## **COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS**

## DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL ACCIDENTS

## **BOARD NO. 045699-89**

Kenneth L. Hays North Shore Assoc. of Retarded Citizens Fidelity & Casualty Insurance Co. Employee Employer Insurer

## **REVIEWING BOARD DECISION**

(Judges McCarthy, Wilson and Smith<sup>1</sup>)

<u>APPEARANCES</u> Alan Pierce, Esq., for the employee Paul Fisher, Esq., for the insurer

**MCCARTHY, J.** Kenneth Hays, now fifty-five years of age, injured his back at work on August 16, 1989. The case was accepted and § 34 benefits were paid to exhaustion. Thereafter, Mr. Hays was awarded § 34A benefits. Empowered by G.L. c. 152 § 8(2)(j), the insurer stopped paying weekly benefits for about six months while Hays was incarcerated. Benefits under § 34A were resumed after his release and the insurer then filed a discontinuance complaint. The complaint was denied at conference and then went to a full evidentiary hearing. We have the case on appeal by the insurer from a decision denying the complaint to terminate weekly benefits.

The insurer in its brief contends that the evidentiary record would support a finding of an earning capacity. While the ultimate factual question is a close one, we cannot say that the judge was arbitrary or capricious, or wrong as a matter of law when he denied the complaint to terminate § 34A benefits.

A § 11A examiner found permanent loss of function and opined that while he could work full-time, Hays should not lift, bend, stoop, climb or kneel. (Dec. 5.) The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Judge Smith no longer serves as a member of the reviewing board.

judge found the employee to be a credible witness. (Dec. 7.) According to Hays, the work he did while in jail was not physically demanding. (Tr. 43-47). This work, as described by Hays, does not seem to exceed the physical restrictions set by the § 11A physician. The insurer's effort to terminate § 34A benefits was based in part on that work. (Insurer Brief 1).

The question whether Hays is permanently and totally incapacitated was fully litigated earlier. A decision favorable to the employee was filed on September 15, 1994. The judge expressly found that since the decision was filed, the employee's symptoms have worsened. <u>Gramolini's Case</u>, 328 Mass. 86, 88 (1951). He is now in constant pain, has difficulty sleeping and "weakens quicker." (Dec. 7.) Given these findings, we cannot say that the judge erred as a matter of law. We therefore affirm the decision and direct the insurer to pay counsel fees of \$1,000.00 under § 13A.

So ordered.

William A. McCarthy Administrative Law Judge Sara Holmes Wilson Administrative Law Judge

Filed: September 22, 2000