

March 17, 2023

Ms. Deborah Devaux, Chair Health Policy Commission 50 Milk Street, 8th Floor Boston, MA 02109 Senator Cindy Friedman Chair, Joint Committee on Health Care Financing State House, Room 313 Boston, MA 02133 Representative John Lawn Chair, Joint Committee on Health Care Financing State House, Room 236 Boston, MA 02133

RE: Health Policy Commission's Public Hearing on the Potential Modification of the 2023 Health Care Cost Growth Benchmark

Dear Chair Devaux, Senator Friedman, and Representative Lawn:

On behalf of the Massachusetts Association of Health Plans (MAHP), which represents 14 member health plans and two behavioral health organizations that provide coverage to nearly 3 million Massachusetts residents, I am writing to offer testimony to the Health Policy Commission (HPC) as you consider modification of the health care cost growth benchmark for 2024. We appreciate the HPC engaging with stakeholders and the opportunity to offer our comments in support of maintaining a strong cost growth benchmark set at 3.6%.

The cost growth benchmark is a vital part of the HPC's cost containment mission and it is an important reminder that health care cost growth is a shared responsibility among health plans, providers and the pharmaceutical industry. In recent years, however, it seems that commitment to the benchmark has begun to fray, with calls from hospitals and health systems to suspend and replace the benchmark's existing framework or to set the benchmark at the rate of inflation. While the COVID-19 pandemic caused major disruptions in the Massachusetts health care system, suppressing utilization and health care spending in 2020 and making year over year measurement of total health care expenditures challenging, the benchmark itself remains an important tool that should not be abandoned or weakened.

Indeed, the very same factors that challenged our collective ability to meet the state's cost growth benchmark prior to the COVID-19 pandemic continue to exist today:

- Persistent increases in the prices that doctors, hospitals, and other providers charge, often driven by market leverage rather than quality of care,
- Care largely being delivered by high-cost providers in high-cost settings, and
- Continued excessive spending growth for prescription drugs.

These cost drivers are not new. Since Attorney General Martha Coakley's seminal report on cost trends and cost drivers in the Massachusetts market, over 30 state reports from the HPC, the Center for Health Information and Analysis (CHIA), and the Massachusetts Office of the Attorney General have confirmed

that these very same factors continue to challenge our ability to meet the cost growth benchmark and to provide affordable, high-quality health care to our state's residents.¹

It is important to recognize that health insurance premiums are a direct reflection of the cost of health care. When pharmaceutical companies, hospitals, and providers raise their prices, employers and consumers bear those costs in their premiums and cost-sharing.

MAHP and our member plans have long-supported the HPC's recommendations to rein in excessive price growth and ensure full-system accountability to the benchmark. Our comments below reflect on this year's findings from the CHIA *Annual Report on the Performance of the Massachusetts Health Care System* and the HPC recommendations that will make actionable changes to improve affordability for Massachusetts employers and consumers.

Holding Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Accountable to the Cost Growth Benchmark

Prescription drug spending remains a significant challenge, with pharmacy costs accounting for \$12.7 billion in health care spending in 2021 alone, according to CHIA's *Annual Report on the Performance of the Massachusetts Health Care System* for 2023.² From 2019 to 2021, pharmacy spending grew by nearly \$2.1 billion gross of rebates and close to \$1.3 billion net of rebates, increasing at an annualized rate of 9.6% and 7.5% respectively, well above the 3.6% benchmark. Since 2014, prescription drug prices have risen 33%, 20 times faster than the rate of inflation and outpacing price increases for any other medical commodity or service.³ In addition to price increases for existing generic and specialty medications, launch prices for new brand-name prescription drugs increased 20% per year between 2008 and 2021. Median launch prices increased from \$2,115 per year in 2008 to \$180,007 per year in 2021, while the share of drugs priced at \$150,000 per year or more rose from 9% in 2008-2013 to 47% in 2020-2021.⁴

Despite these significant increases, the pharmaceutical industry remains absent from the cost containment conversation in Massachusetts. MAHP and our member plans believe it is critical that drug manufacturers are held accountable to the benchmark, are called as witnesses at the annual Cost Trends Hearing and are subject to the associated data collection requirements by the HPC, CHIA, and the state's Attorney General, just as health plans and providers are today. Requiring drug manufacturers to be part of the annual hearings would be an important step toward understanding the impact drug pricing has on the statewide cost benchmark, whether the costs associated with novel drug therapies offer value in comparison to other therapies and treatments, and whether they are improving patient care. Without accountability, drug manufacturers have benefited from years of unchecked cost growth, threatening the state's ability to meet the benchmark.

In addition, we also strongly support the HPC's recommendation from the 2022 Cost Trends Report that the state should authorize the expansion of the HPC's drug pricing review authority to include drugs with a financial impact on the commercial market in Massachusetts. This enhanced authority complements current strategies health plans use to maximize value and enhance access for consumers through risk-based contracts and value-based benchmarks and ensuring access to high-quality pharmacy services at

¹ Freedman Healthcare. *Re-examining the Health Care Cost Drivers and Trends in the Commonwealth – A Review of State Reports* (2008-2018). (2019). Available at: https://secureservercdn.net/198.71.233.29/9a2.583.myftpupload.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/freedman-report-2018-final.pdf

² Center for Health Information and Analysis. *Annual Report on the Performance of the Massachusetts Health Care System: March 2023*. Available at: https://www.chiamass.gov/assets/2023-annual-report/2023-Annual-Report.pdf
³GoodRx Health. *Prices for Prescription Drugs Rise Faster Than Prices for Any Other Medical Good or Services* (2020).
Retrieved from: https://www.goodrx.com/blog/prescription-drugs-rise-faster-than-medical-goods-or-services/

⁴ Rome BN, Egilman AC, Kesselheim AS. Trends in Prescription Drug Launch Prices, 2008-2021. JAMA. 2022;327(21):2145–2147. doi:10.1001/jama.2022.5542 https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/article-abstract/2792986

competitive prices. It also aligns with authority granted to MassHealth that has yielded significant savings for the MassHealth program.

Addressing Continued Increases in Unit Price

As noted above, in the decade since 2010, over 30 state reports have examined health care costs and the key cost drivers in the Commonwealth and all have found that the prices charged by providers remain the most significant factor driving health care costs.⁵ Price, rather than utilization, has been identified as a primary driver of health care spending and CHIA estimates that approximately 50% of spending growth in Massachusetts is explained by growth in unit prices.

This is not dissimilar to trends across the country – according to national research, as of March 2021, hospital price growth has emerged as the fastest growing price component, with prices 4.8% higher than they were at the start of the pandemic. Hospital price growth has accelerated over the past year, hitting their fastest growth rate since 2004 in March of 2021 (previously presented in the Health Policy Commission's *Interim Report on the Impact of COVID-19*) with physician services prices, dental care price growth, and nursing home care prices following closely behind.

In Massachusetts, while hospital spending declined in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic, over the past decade hospital spending has accounted for the largest share of commercial spending and spending growth. In the commercial inpatient market in Massachusetts, hospital spending accounted for 54% of growth from 2018 to 2019 and for \$397.6 million in new health care spending from 2019 to 2021. ⁸ Hospital inpatient spending has continued to grow despite a constant or declining number of hospital stays - commercial inpatient utilization declined by 9.3% between 2014 and 2018, while spending, associated with higher prices charged, continued to grow by 5.2%. ⁹

Hospital outpatient spending has also grown quickly in the commercial market, increasing by \$673.8 million between 2019 and 2021 alone. In years past, increases in instances of outpatient surgery drove spending growth in this category; however, any potential cost savings have been mitigated by a shift to higher-cost outpatient centers as there is considerable variation in average payments for hospital outpatient surgeries, with the highest priced hospital systems garnering payments between 40% and 78% above the median. In the commercial market, increasing by \$673.8 million between 2019 and 2021 alone. In years past, increases in instances of outpatient surgery drove spending growth in this category; however, any potential cost savings have been mitigated by a shift to higher-cost outpatient centers as there is considerable variation in average payments for hospital outpatient surgeries, with the highest priced hospital systems garnering payments between 40% and 78% above the median. In the payments of the p

In addition, hospitals and physician groups with greater market power garner price increases that exceed their costs to deliver care. As Massachusetts, like other states across the country, sees the continuing trend of mergers, acquisitions, expansions and consolidations, the impact of these transactions is borne out in the cost of hospital and provider services. The academic evidence on the effect of hospital mergers and provider consolidation has made clear that, in most cases, consolidation does not lead to better care and

⁵ Freedman Healthcare. *Re-examining the Health Care Cost Drivers and Trends in the Commonwealth – A Review of State Reports* (2008-2018). (2019). Available at: https://secureserverdn.net/198.71.233.29/9a2.583.myftpupload.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/freedman-report-2018-final-pdf

⁶ Corwin Ryan, *Perspective: Are Rising Health Care Prices Another COVID-19 Side Effect?* Altarum. April 2021. Available at: https://altarum.org/news/are-rising-health-care-prices-another-covid-19-side-effect

⁷ Health Policy Commission. *Interim Report on the Impact of COVID-19 on the Massachusetts Health Care System*. (April 2021). Available at: https://www.mass.gov/doc/impact-of-covid-19-on-the-massachusetts-health-care-system-interim-report/download

⁸ Center for Health Information and Analysis. *Annual Report March* 2023.

⁹ Health Policy Commission. 2019 Health Care Cost Trends Report. Available at: https://www.mass.gov/doc/2019-health-care-cost-trends-report/download

¹⁰ Center for Health Information and Analysis. *Annual Report March* 2023.

¹¹ Health Policy Commission. 2019 Health Care Cost Trends Report.

lower prices, but rather leads to enhanced bargaining power with no notable improvement in quality for patients. 12,13

As such, MAHP and our member plans urge the HPC and Legislature to consider the importance of full-system accountability to the performance improvement plan (PIP) process. Today, accountability under the PIP process applies only to health plans and primary care provider groups. As the PIP process provides significant insight for the HPC into market trends and entities' cost control strategies, even without a PIP being required, inclusion of hospitals will provide strengthened oversight. We also support more authority for the HPC within the existing PIP process, including allowing the HPC to set savings targets and require reporting on how savings flow through to purchasers of insurance, with greater penalties for non-compliance or above-benchmark spending as have been enacted in other states. In addition, we support expansion of the HPC's authority to review above benchmark spending, including baseline levels of spending in addition to price growth and baseline prices relative to the market.

Preserving Health Plan Cost Containment Tools

When taken together, continued increases in unit price for hospital and provider services, exorbitant launch prices and excessive price increases for prescription drugs, and mandated coverage expansions and payment parity directives significantly challenged health plans' ability to cover the costs of member care in 2021. As care returned to in-person settings and state and federal directives expanding coverage requirements remained in place, premium revenue struggled to keep pace with claims costs, resulting in financial losses for health plans, an aggregate \$12 per member per month loss in the fully insured market.¹⁴

Employers, individuals, and families purchasing health insurance coverage entrust health plans to manage care and ensure that members receive the right care, in the right setting, at the right time. Today, vital tools used by health plans at the request of insurance purchasers are under attack. This legislative session, approximately 37 bills have been filed to eliminate or modify utilization management tools like prior authorization and approximately 56 bills have been filed to limit or eliminate consumer cost-sharing. Likewise, there are also two bills filed to prohibit carriers from utilizing the cost growth benchmark in provider negotiations. Without these important tools, health plans will be left with few, if any, strategies to effectively drive quality, ensure proper utilization and rein in unnecessary spending. This will result in employers and consumers bearing the brunt of increased costs through higher premiums.

Consumer Cost-Sharing

We are deeply concerned about efforts to eliminate consumer cost-sharing, whether for targeted treatments or more generally. As health insurance premiums and medical costs are inextricably linked, the rising cost of medical services charged by providers and the exorbitant increases in the prices of prescription drugs have affected consumers in the form of consumer cost sharing.

Cost sharing is an important aspect of plan design that engages consumers in health care decision-making. This is an important tool that employers request to ensure that employees partner with them to choose care that is both high quality and cost effective. For example, cost-sharing strategies have been very effective in driving members to lower cost prescription drugs and away from emergency rooms to less

¹² See: O'Malley AS, Bond AM, Berenson RA. Rising hospital employment of physicians: better quality, higher costs? Washington (DC): Center for Studying Health System Change; 2011 Aug. (Issue Brief No. 136). Google Scholar & Delbanco S, Galvin R, Murray R. Provider consolidation and health spending: responding to a growing problem. Health Affairs Blog [blog on the Internet]. 2012 Nov 14 [cited 2016 Dec 1]. Available from: http://healthaffairs.org/blog/2012/11/14/provider-consolidation-and-health-spending-responding-to-a-growing-problem/
Google Scholar

¹³ Beaulieu ND, Chernew ME, McWilliams JM, et al. Organization and Performance of US Health Systems. *JAMA*. 2023;329(4):325–335. doi:10.1001/jama.2022.24032

¹⁴ Center for Health Information and Analysis. *Annual Report March* 2023.

costly settings. In addition, prohibiting health plans from establishing copayments ignores existing state and federal laws on permissible cost-sharing measures. Under the Affordable Care Act, health plans in Massachusetts are required to provide coverage for a broad range of preventive services and plans may not impose cost-sharing, such as copayments, deductibles, or co-insurance, on patients receiving preventive care services.

Likewise, removing cost-sharing will have the unintended consequence of significantly raising health care premiums. In compliance with the ACA, all health insurance products offered to individuals and small businesses must fit into narrow actuarial value ranges, meaning that the ratio of consumer costs to insurer costs is heavily regulated. The amount of out-of-pocket expenses a member may be subjected to depends on the metallic tier into which the particular member's health plan falls. For example, a member who enrolls in a Platinum product will pay more each month in monthly premiums but will spend less out-of-pocket each time they receive health care services. As a result, even minor reductions to copayments or coinsurance for a medical or prescription drug benefits make a product's benefits to the member richer, increasing the plan's actuarial value and bringing it into a higher metallic tier, ultimately raising the premium cost for the consumer. Similarly, if a health plan wants to keep a product in a specific tier, they would need to reduce other benefits to accommodate the reduction in cost-sharing.

Utilization Management

Prior authorization and other utilization management tools are vital to the goals of ensuring that members can access safe, cost-effective, and high-quality care at the right time and in the right setting. Health plans use prior authorization in limited circumstances to lower patient's out of pocket costs, protect patients and prevent misuse, overuse, and unnecessary or potentially harmful care, and to ensure that care is consistent with evidence-based practices. While often raised as a concern by providers, our health care system needs prior authorization for three key reasons:

- 1. To protect against unnecessary care doctors themselves estimate that nearly a quarter of care is unnecessary¹⁵, which has been confirmed by numerous studies.
- 2. To protect against harmful care inappropriate care can be more than merely wasteful, it can be harmful. (Exposure to unnecessary radiation, missed diagnoses and false positives, and ineffective procedures and treatments). 17
- 3. To increase health care affordability needless medical tests waste billions of dollars every year; \$200-\$800 billion is wasted annually on excessive testing and treatment.¹⁸

Eliminating prior authorization removes an important check in the health care continuum aimed at ensuring access to high-quality, affordable, and appropriate care.

As detailed in CHIA's Annual Report on Performance of the Massachusetts Health Care System for 2023, employers and consumers are eschewing benefit design choices developed to constrain costs, such as limited and tiered network products, in favor of high-deductible health plans (HDHPs) that offer broader networks and lower premium costs. As more consumers move to HDHP's, tools like consumer cost-sharing and utilization management programs are necessary to constrain costs and make health care affordable for employers and consumers. Without these tools, we are gravely concerned about our ability to meet the

¹⁵ Lyu H, Xu T, Brotman D, Mayer-Blackwell B, Cooper M, Daniel M, et al. (2017) Overtreatment in the United States. PLoS ONE 12(9): e0181970. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181970

¹⁶ https://www.washingtonpost.com/health/how-one-medical-checkup-can-snowball-into-a-cascade-of-tests-causing-more-harm-than-good/20/20/01/03/0c80/24fc-20eb-11ea-bed5-880/64cc91a9 story html

good/2020/01/03/0c8024fc-20eb-11ea-bed5-880264cc91a9_story.html

To Ganguli, I., Simpkin, A.L., Colla, C.H. et al. Why Do Physicians Pursue Cascades of Care After Incidental Findings? A National Survey. J

GEN INTERN MED 35, 1352–1354 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-019-05213-1

¹⁸ Smith, M. et al. National Academy of Sciences. (2012). Best Care at Lower Cost: The Path o Continuously Learning Health Care in America. Available at: http://medecon.pbworks.com/w/file/fetch/58367928/Best%20Care%20at%20Lower%20Cost.pdf

state's cost growth benchmark. We respectfully request the HPC and Legislature be mindful of these ongoing attacks on managed care as you contemplate cost containment in 2023 and beyond.

In closing, MAHP and our member plans are committed to ensuring access to high-quality, affordable, and equitable health care services. We urge the HPC to set the cost growth benchmark at 3.6% for 2024, an aggressive, but achievable goal, as a strong signal that health care cost containment remains a priority in the Commonwealth.

We appreciate the opportunity to offer these comments as you consider the 2024 benchmark. Please feel free to contact me directly should you have any questions or need additional information on our comments.

Sincerely,

Lora Pellegrini

President & CEO, Massachusetts Association of Health Plans

Matilde Castiel, M.D., Health Policy Commissioner

Cc: Secretary Kate Walsh, Executive Office of Health and Human Services
Secretary Matthew Gurkowicz, Executive Office of Administration and Finance
David Seltz, Executive Director, Health Policy Commission
Coleen Elstermeyer, Deputy Executive Director, Health Policy Commission
Martin Cohen, Health Policy Commission Vice Chair
Barbara Blakeney, MS, RN, FNAP, Committee Chair, Care Delivery Transformation
David Cutler, Ph.D., Committee Chair, Market Oversight and Transparency
Donald Berwick, M.D., MPP, Health Policy Commissioner
Tim Foley, Health Policy Commissioner
Patricia Houpt, Health Policy Commissioner
Ron Mastrogiovanni, Health Policy Commissioner