UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

COMMONWEALTH OF
MASSACHUSETTS; STATE OF
CALIFORNIA; STATE OF NEW YORK;
STATE OF CONNECTICUT; STATE OF
ILLINOIS; STATE OF DELAWARE;
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA; STATE OF
HAWAI'I; STATE OF MAINE; STATE OF
MARYLAND; STATE OF MICHIGAN;
STATE OF NEVADA; STATE OF NEW
JERSEY; STATE OF NEW MEXICO; JOSH
SHAPIRO, in his official capacity as Governor
of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; STATE
OF RHODE ISLAND; and STATE OF
WISCONSIN,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DONALD J. TRUMP, in his official capacity as President of the United States; PAMELA JO BONDI, in her official capacity as United States Attorney General; and the UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE;

Defendants.

Case No.: 25-cv-12162

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Plaintiffs, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, State of California, State of New York, State of Connecticut, State of Illinois, State of Delaware, District of Columbia, State of Hawai'i, State of Maine, State of Maryland, State of Michigan, State of Nevada, State of New Jersey, State of New Mexico, Josh Shapiro (in his official capacity as Governor of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania), State of Rhode Island, and State of Wisconsin, allege as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Since taking office on January 20, 2025, President Donald J. Trump and his administration have relentlessly, cruelly, and unlawfully targeted transgender individuals. The Trump administration has sought to deny their very existence, banish transgender residents from the public square, and refuse them medically necessary healthcare through unlawful Executive Orders (EOs) and a raft of federal agency actions implementing those EOs. What's more, the Attorney General has not minced words that she will use the Department of Justice to "bring [] an end" to gender-affirming care for transgender adolescents. The result is an atmosphere of fear and intimidation experienced by transgender individuals, their families and caregivers, and the medical professionals who seek only to provide necessary, lawful care to their patients.
- 2. This lawsuit challenges one of President Trump's Executive Orders—E.O. 14,187, referenced herein as the "Denial of Care" Order—and two implementing actions taken by the Department of Justice that aim to eliminate the provision of medically necessary healthcare to transgender individuals under age 19—a category that includes not only minors, but also eighteen-year-olds who have reached the age of majority—by intimidating providers into ceasing care through threats of civil and criminal prosecution under laws unconnected to the lawful provision of this care. These threats have no basis in law. No federal law prohibits, much less criminalizes, the provision or receipt of gender-affirming care for transgender adolescents.² In fact, federal healthcare programs have reimbursed the provision of such care for years.

Although this Complaint focuses primarily on one Executive Order and related memoranda from the Department of Justice, the Administration has engaged in additional conduct to create an environment of fear and to coerce providers to cease care. The Administration has issued additional "guidance" and sent threatening letters and requests for sensitive patient information to providers in our states.

² As used in this Complaint, "adolescent" refers to individuals between the ages of 10 and 19, consistent with the World Health Organization's definition of the term.

3. Furthermore, these actions interfere with weighty state interests. Specifically, the regulation of medicine is a core traditional police power belonging to the States and protected by the Tenth Amendment. Yet through the challenged actions, the Defendants seek to trammel on State power and eliminate this care, even in those States where such care is supported, and indeed protected, by law.

Case 1:25-cv-12162

4. The Defendants' actions have had the intended effect of chilling providers from gender-affirming care to individuals under 19 years old—care that is lawful and protected in Plaintiff States. The administration has explicitly threatened civil and criminal prosecution of providers of this care and launched criminal investigations into children's hospitals that provide this care in California, Colorado, and Massachusetts, and demanded extensive data—including patient medical records—regarding the provision of this care from hospitals across the country, without any reason to believe those hospitals have violated the statutes being invoked. Facing threats to their licenses, livelihoods, and liberty, some providers in Plaintiff States have announced that they will cease providing this longstanding, medically necessary, often lifesaving care to their patients. These actions have been touted by Defendants as precisely what was intended by their unlawful and disingenuous targeting, including explicit acknowledgement as much by Defendant Trump³: In a press release, attached hereto as Exhibit A, the White House crowed that "President Trump Promised to End Child Sexual Mutilation—and He Delivered."

_

³ See Exhibit A, News Release, The White House, President Trump Promised to End Child Sexual Mutilation – and He Delivered (July 25, 2025), https://www.whitehouse.gov/articles/2025/07/president-trump-promised-to-end-child-sexual-mutilation-and-he-delivered/ (touting closures or changes in practice at 21 clinics nationwide, including in Plaintiff States California, New York, and Illinois); see also News Release, The White House, President Trump Marks Six Months in Office with Historic Successes (July 20, 2025), https://www.whitehouse.gov/articles/2025/07/president-trump-marks-six-months-in-office-with-historic-successes/ ("President Donald J. Trump celebrates the most successful first six months in office for any President in modern American history," including where 'Hospitals and hospital systems across the country have halted so-called "gender-affirming care' for minors following President Trump's executive order 'protecting children from chemical and surgical mutilation.").

- 5. In many of the Plaintiff States, transgender individuals are protected by state laws that prohibit discrimination in, among other things, the provision of healthcare services, and which enable them to live healthy lives consistent with their gender identity. Many Plaintiff States' legislatures and administrative agencies have enacted statutes, laws, and regulations consistent with that fundamental principle. The federal threat of civil and criminal investigations and prosecutions restricts Plaintiff States' ability to regulate the healthcare that would otherwise be accessible to their own residents. Through their multi-faceted campaign to pressure providers to cease providing this medically necessary care to transgender adolescents, Defendants have coerced hospitals, individual providers, and others to potentially violate Plaintiff States' anti-discrimination and age-of-majority state laws.
- 6. Certain Plaintiff States' hospitals, clinics, and universities also provide this medically necessary care and face a credible threat of civil and criminal subpoenas and prosecutions. In addition, diminished access to this care will result in unnecessary pain, anguish, and despair for transgender adolescents experiencing gender dysphoria in Plaintiff States.
- 7. None of the Administration's actions challenged by this suit have any legal basis. They should be declared unlawful and vacated in their entirety. This Court should declare Section 8 of the Denial of Care Order unconstitutional, vacate and set aside the two final agency actions that flow from that section, declare that the provision of medically necessary care to adolescents subject to the requirements of a state's regulatory system is lawful, and enjoin enforcement of Section 8 of the Denial of Care Order and of the two DOJ directives.

JURISDICTION

8. The Court has subject-matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this action arises under the Constitution and laws of the United States and further has jurisdiction

to render declaratory relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2201. This Court also has jurisdiction pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1346, as a civil action against the United States founded upon the Constitution, an Act of Congress, or an executive regulation, and 28 U.S.C. § 1361, as an action to compel an officer or employee of the United States or an agency to perform a duty owed to the plaintiff.

Jurisdiction is also proper under the judicial review provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 702.

- 9. Declaratory and injunctive relief is sought consistent with 5 U.S.C. §§ 705 and 706, and as authorized in 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.
- 10. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(2) and (e)(1). Defendants are United States agencies or officers sued in their official capacities. Plaintiff Commonwealth of Massachusetts is a resident of this judicial district, and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this Complaint occurred and continue to occur within this district.

PARTIES

- 11. Plaintiff the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, represented by and through its Attorney General Andrea Joy Campbell, is a sovereign state of the United States of America. Attorney General Campbell is authorized to pursue this action under Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 12, §§ 3, 10.
- 12. Plaintiff the State of California is a sovereign state in the United States of America. California is represented by Attorney General Rob Bonta, who is the chief law enforcement officer of California.

- 13. Plaintiff the State of New York is a sovereign state in the United States of America. New York is represented by Attorney General Letitia James, who is the chief law enforcement officer of New York.
- 14. Plaintiff the State of Connecticut, represented by and through the Attorney General, William M. Tong, is a sovereign state of the United States of America. Attorney General Tong is the State's chief legal officer and is authorized under Connecticut General Statutes § 3-125 to act in federal court on behalf of the State on matters of public concern.
- 15. Plaintiff, the State of Illinois, represented by and through its Attorney General Kwame Raoul, is a sovereign state of the United States of America. Attorney General Raoul is authorized to pursue this action under Illinois law. *See* 15 ILCS 205/4.
- 16. Plaintiff State of Delaware is a sovereign state of the United States of America. This action is brought on behalf of the State of Delaware by Attorney General Kathleen

 Jennings, the "chief law officer of the State." *Darling Apartment Co. v. Springer*, 22 A.2d 397, 403 (Del. 1941). Attorney General Jennings also brings this action on behalf of the State of Delaware pursuant to her statutory authority. Del. Code Ann. tit. 29, § 2504.
- 17. Plaintiff the District of Columbia is a municipal corporation organized under the Constitution of the United States. It is empowered to sue and be sued, and it is the local government for the territory constituting the permanent seat of the federal government. The District is represented by and through its chief legal officer, Attorney General Brian L. Schwalb. The Attorney General has general charge and conduct of all legal business of the District and all suits initiated by and against the District and is responsible for upholding the public interest. D.C. Code. § 1-301.81.

- 18. Plaintiff State of Hawai'i, represented by and through its Attorney General Anne E. Lopez, is a sovereign state of the United States of America. The Attorney General is Hawaii's chief legal officer and chief law enforcement officer and is authorized by Hawaii Revised Statues § 28-1 to pursue this action.
- 19. Plaintiff the State of Maine, represented by and through its Attorney General Aaron M. Frey, is a sovereign state of the United States. As the State's chief law officer, the Attorney General is authorized to act on behalf of the State in this matter pursuant to 5 Me. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 191.
- 20. The State of Maryland is a sovereign state of the United States of America. Maryland is represented by and through its chief legal officer, Attorney General Anthony G. Brown.
- 21. Plaintiff the State of Michigan is a sovereign state of the United States of America. Michigan is represented by Attorney General Dana Nessel, who is the chief law enforcement officer of Michigan.
- 22. Plaintiff State of Nevada, represented by and through Attorney General Aaron D. Ford, is a sovereign State within the United States of America. The Attorney General is the chief law enforcement of the State of Nevada and is authorized to pursue this action under Nev. Rev. Stat. 228.110 and Nev. Rev. Stat. 228.170.
- 23. Plaintiff State of New Jersey is a sovereign state in the United States of America. New Jersey is represented by Attorney General Matthew Platkin, who is the chief law enforcement officer of New Jersey.

- 24. The State of New Mexico is a sovereign state of the United States of America.

 New Mexico is represented by Attorney General Raúl Torrez, who is the State's chief law enforcement officer.
- 25. Plaintiff Josh Shapiro brings this suit in his official capacity as Governor of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. The Pennsylvania Constitution vests "[t]he supreme executive power" in the Governor, who "shall take care that the laws be faithfully executed." Pa. Const. art. IV, § 2. The Governor oversees all executive agencies in Pennsylvania and is authorized to bring suit on their behalf. 71 P.S. §§ 732-204(c), 732-301(6), 732-303.
- 26. The State of Rhode Island is a sovereign state in the United States of America. Rhode Island is represented by Attorney General Peter F. Neronha, who is the chief law enforcement officer of Rhode Island.
- 27. The State of Wisconsin is a sovereign state in the United States of America. Wisconsin is represented by Joshua L. Kaul, the Attorney General of Wisconsin. Attorney General Kaul is authorized under Wis. Stat. § 165.25(1m) to pursue this action on behalf of the State of Wisconsin.
- 28. Defendant Donald J. Trump is the President of the United States, charged under Article II of the Constitution to "take Care that the Laws be faithfully executed." U.S. Const. Art. II § 3. He is sued in his official capacity.
- 29. Defendant Pamela Jo Bondi is the Attorney General of the United States. She is sued in her official capacity. Attorney General Bondi is responsible for all aspects of the operation and management of the United States Department of Justice (DOJ), including implementing and fulfilling DOJ's duties under the United States Constitution and statutory law.

- 30. Defendant DOJ is a cabinet agency within the executive branch of the United States government. 28 U.S.C. § 501. DOJ has several divisions, including the Civil and Criminal Divisions. The Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) is the principal investigative arm of DOJ.
- 31. Defendants Attorney General Bondi and DOJ are referred to collectively as the "Agency Defendants."

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- I. Gender Identity and the Provision and Protection of Healthcare
 - A. Transgender Identity, Gender Dysphoria, Intersex Identity, and Healthcare
- 32. Gender identity refers to a person's internal sense of belonging to a particular gender.⁴ Everyone has a gender identity, and a person's gender identity cannot be altered by coercion or medical intervention.
- 33. Sex is based on anatomical and physiological traits that include external genitalia, secondary sex characteristics (i.e., traits that are sex-linked but not part of the reproductive system), gonads, chromosomes, and hormones.⁵
- 34. Transgender individuals are people whose gender identity differs from their sex assigned at birth. A transgender boy is someone whose sex assigned at birth was female but has a male gender identity. A transgender girl is someone whose sex assigned at birth was male but

9

⁴ "Gender refers to the characteristics of women, men, girls and boys that are socially constructed. This includes norms, behaviours and roles associated with being a woman, man, girl or boy, as well as relationships with each other. As a social construct, gender varies from society to society and can change over time." *Gender and health*, World Health Organization, https://www.who.int/health-topics/gender#tab=tab_1 (last accessed Jul. 16, 2025).
⁵ National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, Introduction and Background, *in* Measuring Sex,

Gender Identity, and Sexual Orientation 17, 20 (Nancy Bates, Marshall Chin, & Tara Becker eds., 2022).

has a female gender identity. Non-binary individuals have a gender identity that does not fit within the binary construction of either "male" or "female."

- 35. In addition to transgender individuals (whose gender identity is different than their sex assigned at birth), other people who do not fit into a binary sex classification may be intersex. Individuals who are intersex "have reproductive or sexual anatomy that doesn't fit into an exclusively male or female (binary) sex classification." Some individuals present with intersex traits at birth, while others may not notice until during or after puberty; some may even "never notice their intersex traits externally." Intersex traits include combinations of chromosomes and mixed genitals and sex organs. There are dozens of medically recognized combinations of intersex traits. Individuals may, for example, be born with "female" external genitalia but experience "male" puberty (such as hair growth and voice deepening).
- 36. According to recent estimates, approximately 1.3 million adults in the United States (about 0.5%) identify as transgender. Approximately 300,000 youth ages 13 to 17 in the United States (about 1.4% of that age group) identify as transgender. And even the lowest estimates of individuals with intersex traits include hundreds of thousands of people in the United States alone. 12
- 37. The health and wellbeing of all people, including those who are transgender and intersex, depends on their ability to live in a manner consistent with their gender identity.

⁶ Intersex, Cleveland Clinic, https://my.clevelandclinic.org/health/articles/16324-intersex (last visited July 29, 2025).

⁷ *Id*.

⁸ *Id*.

⁹ *Id*.

¹⁰ *17-beta Hydroxysteroid Dehydrogenase 3 Deficiency*, Medline Plus, https://medlineplus.gov/genetics/condition/17-beta-hydroxysteroid-dehydrogenase-3-deficiency/ (last visited July 29, 2025).

¹¹ Jody L. Herman et al., Williams Inst., How Many Adults And Youth Identify As Transgender In The United States? 4 (June 2022), https://williamsinstitute.law.ucla.edu/wp-content/uploads/Trans-Pop-Update-Jun-2022.pdf. ¹² United Nations Human Rights Office, Intersex People Fact Sheet, https://www.unfe.org/sites/default/files/download/Intersex%20factsheet%202024%20EN%20-%20CLEARED.pdf (last accessed July 29, 2025) (noting that experts estimate between 0.05% and 1.7% of the global population is born with intersex traits).

38. For some transgender people, the incongruence between their gender identity and sex assigned at birth can cause clinically significant distress, recognized by the American Psychiatric Association's *Diagnostic & Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition, Text Revision* ("DSM-5-TR") as "gender dysphoria." To be diagnosed with gender dysphoria, the incongruence must persist for at least six months and be accompanied by clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning. 14

Case 1:25-cv-12162

- 39. Healthcare for transgender adolescents with gender dysphoria is medically necessary healthcare. Gender dysphoria is a serious medical condition.¹⁵ Treatment for gender dysphoria aims to resolve the distress associated with the incongruence between a transgender person's sex assigned at birth and their gender identity.
- 40. Treatment for gender dysphoria comes in a number of forms. For example, "social transitioning" is the process by which transgender or non-binary people begin to live in a manner consistent with their gender identity. This can include using a new name and pronoun that corresponds to their gender identity, and adopting dress, hair, or cosmetic styles that more authentically reflect their gender identity. Social transitioning is typically the first—and, in some cases, the only—step a transgender adolescent takes in assuming their gender identity.
- 41. Individuals experiencing gender dysphoria may also seek medical intervention to address gender dysphoria. Medical intervention for adolescents comes after a long assessment process by a licensed physician and licensed mental health provider to ensure that any such

_

¹³ Am. Psychiatric Ass'n, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders 513 – 14 (5th ed., text rev. 2022).

 $^{^{14}}$ Id at 512 - 13.

¹⁵ See Eric Yarbrough et al., Am. Psych. Ass'n, Gender Dysphoria Diagnosis, in A Guide for Working With Transgender and Gender Nonconforming Patients (2017).

intervention is medically necessary for the patient, and that the patient and their family are adequately informed of any risks that accompany such treatment.

- 42. Medical intervention to address gender dysphoria may include puberty-delaying medication, hormone treatment, and surgery—healthcare that has been referred to collectively as "gender-affirming care." Puberty-delaying medications, sometimes called "puberty blockers," are used to delay the changes of puberty in transgender and some intersex adolescents who have already started puberty in order to prevent changes to those individuals' bodies that are inconsistent with their gender identity and that, if they were to proceed, could exacerbate the individuals' gender dysphoria. Puberty blockers are administered through injections several times a year, or through a subcutaneous implant that continuously administers the medication for approximately one year. Puberty blockers are also a medically accepted treatment that is prescribed for precocious puberty.
- 43. Hormone therapy typically consists of testosterone for transgender boys, and estrogen in addition to medicine to suppress testosterone for transgender girls. Hormone therapy allows transgender adolescents to develop physical characteristics consistent with their gender identity. Hormone therapy is a medically appropriate treatment for some individuals with gender dysphoria that is also routinely prescribed for non-transgender adolescents with delayed puberty.
- 44. Some older adolescents suffering severe gender dysphoria may require and be offered surgical options such as masculinizing chest surgery for transgender boys. The same surgery is commonly performed on non-transgender boys as a treatment for gynecomastia. This surgery is considered medically appropriate for treating some individuals with gender dysphoria and is only performed in extremely rare circumstances for transgender individuals under the age of 19.

- 45. Left untreated, gender dysphoria can substantially affect quality of life, including causing "symptoms of depression and anxiety, substance use disorders, a negative sense of well-being and poor self-esteem, and an increased risk of self-harm and suicidality." Indeed, in the wake of the Executive Orders, providers expressed deep concern over the imminent "spike in acute mental health crises" anticipated by interruptions in care. ¹⁷
- 46. Decisions about healthcare services for adolescents with gender dysphoria are made in consultation with medical professionals, who consult with individual patients and their families or caregivers, where required, and recommend an appropriate course of treatment. The patient must always consent to receive care. For individuals under the age of 18, such decisions are made consistent with state law, which generally requires that such treatment decisions occur only with the consent and participation of parents or legal guardians.
- 47. In all Plaintiff States, residents who are 18 years old are legal adults who have legal authority to make medical decisions for themselves.
- 48. Forcing adolescents diagnosed with gender dysphoria to wait until they turn 19 to access medically necessary healthcare services can cause significant harm. Not only are they denied medical treatment that could alleviate their anxiety, depression, and other symptoms of their clinically diagnosed gender dysphoria, but their clinical distress is also likely to worsen while they wait. Without treatment, transgender adolescents will undergo puberty and the associated physiological changes typical of those with their sex assigned at birth, which do not correspond to their gender. That experience may amplify their gender dysphoria and may

¹⁶ Garima Garg et al., *Gender Dysphoria*, StatPearls (July 11, 2023), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK532313/.

¹⁷ Charlotte Rene Woods, *VCU Health resumes gender-affirming care* – *but only for some*, Virginia Mercury (Feb. 25, 2025), https://virginiamercury.com/briefs/vcu-health-resumes-gender-affirming-care-but-only-for-some/ ("'I know many of us are anticipating a large spike in children who are in acute mental health crises,' wrote Dr. Frank Petruzella, division chief of emergency pediatric medicine, in an email sent shortly after Trump's order.").

unnecessarily increase the costs and complications associated with obtaining such healthcare after they turn 19.

- 49. Transgender adolescents who are denied access to this medically necessary healthcare are also denied the irreplaceable opportunity to mature and grow with their peers, and in their schools and communities, as their authentic selves.
- 50. Some intersex individuals experience gender dysphoria and require treatment to alleviate it. And many of the same treatments used to treat transgender individuals with gender dysphoria may be used to treat intersex individuals who need specialized medical care. For instance, in consultation with an array of specialists and medical providers, some intersex individuals receive medically necessary hormone therapy to "induce secondary sex characteristics," "affirm gender identity if sex assigned at birth does not correspond with gender identity," or "replace sex hormones after surgical removal of gonads." ¹⁹

B. Standards of Care for Treating Individuals Under 19 with Gender Dysphoria

51. Many major medical organizations—including the American Academy of Pediatrics, American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, American Academy of Family Physicians, American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, American Society for Reproductive Medicine, American College of Physicians, American Medical Association, the American Psychiatric Association, and the Endocrine Society—relying on extensive clinical experience and research findings have recognized the benefits of providing medical care when appropriate to improve the symptoms of gender dysphoria. Recognizing that denying or interrupting such medically necessary care can have tragic consequences for the physical and

14

¹⁸ National LGBTQIA+ Health Education Center, Affirming Primary Care for Intersex People (Aug. 6, 2020) ("While many intersex people do not need any specialized medical care, some require care at specific developmental junctures, and others have lifelong needs related to their individual variation").

¹⁹ *Id.* at 7.

mental well-being of transgender adolescents diagnosed with gender dysphoria, they have in many cases created detailed, evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. With minor variations, those guidelines broadly promote a uniform standard of care.

- 52. In Plaintiff States, healthcare providers in hospitals and other medical facilities follow and continue to use evidence-based, well-researched clinical practice and medical guidelines to assess, diagnose, and treat patients with gender dysphoria. Like all medical treatments, the benefits and risks must be carefully weighed in every individual circumstance, and patients (and minor patients' parents or legal guardians) must provide informed consent.
- 53. Providers who deliver this care to transgender patients under 19 are dedicated professionals who hold themselves to stringent guidelines based on the most current scientific evidence available. Under the existing standard of care, teams of expert specialists engage in a holistic model of care that considers the patient's mental health, internal identity, developmental journey, goals and aspirations, and other medically relevant factors such as comorbidities. Guided by their families and providers, adolescent patients are at the center of any treatment plan, and decisions as to the trajectory of that care are their own.
- 54. The treatment of gender diverse adolescents is a multidisciplinary effort, and the standard of care calls, at minimum, for an appropriately trained diagnosing clinician and a mental health provider for the patient, in addition to primary care providers. The care team must pay particular attention to the patient's developmental stage, neurocognitive function, and language skills and include a supporting adult in decision-making to ensure that the patient is fully informed about the options for treatment and the associated risks and benefits.
- 55. The first step in accessing treatment is a comprehensive assessment by qualified providers who specialize in both gender identity and adolescent health. This assessment includes

physical evaluations, mental health screenings, and discussions about the patient's gender identity and its impact on the patient's well-being. To meet the diagnostic criteria of gender dysphoria, a patient must have experienced a "marked incongruence" between their gender identity and sex assigned at birth for at least six months. That incongruence must manifest in at least two of a number of criteria indicating that the patient has experienced strong desires to have the physical characteristics or social role of a different gender, and the conviction that their lived experience aligns more with the lived experience of a different gender.²⁰

- 56. Only after the patient has undergone this thorough diagnostic process can they begin to contemplate further interventions, with the help of their primary care physician, mental health provider, endocrinologist, gender specialist, as well as any other relevant specialists for that particular patient. These expert provider teams work with patients and their supporting adult(s) to develop treatment plans designed to address the specific needs of each individual.
- 57. Often, treatment plans begin with social transition, such as by supporting the patient in using a chosen name and pronouns that correspond more closely with their gender identity at home, school, or in other social environments. This alone has been shown to improve mental health outcomes and reduce suicidality in gender diverse youth when the young person lives in a supportive environment.²¹ Because some young people may face increased harassment or bullying due to social transition, care guidelines recommend that providers discuss these risks and benefits so that the individual can make an informed choice.²²

-

²⁰ Am. Psychiatric Ass'n, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders 512 – 14 (5th ed., text rev. 2022).

²¹ Stephen T. Russell et al., *Chosen Name Use Is Linked to Reduced Depressive Symptoms, Suicidal Ideation, and Suicidal Behavior Among Transgender Youth*, 63 J. Adolescent Health 503, 505 (2018), https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29609917/.

²² Jack L. Turban et al., *Timing of Social Transition for Transgender and Gender Diverse Youth, K-12 Harassment, and Adult Mental Health Outcomes*, 69 J. Adolescent Health 991, 996 (Dec. 2021), https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1054139X21002834?dgcid=coauthor; 2021) Min Kyung Lee et al., *The Impact of Gender Affirming Medical Care During Adolescence on Adult Health Outcomes Among Transgender and Gender Diverse*

- 58. For adolescents who have not started puberty, social transition is the only clinically recommended form of gender-affirming care. This is because age is the most important factor in determining what kind of care is appropriate. Indeed, providers are encouraged to recognize that gender diversity in children is not a pathology and may not result in adult gender incongruence; rather, providers are encouraged to support a young person's gender exploration so as to empower the individual to come to an autonomous understanding of their own identity, from childhood, through adolescence, and into adulthood.²³
- 59. Moreover, certain medical interventions are categorically proscribed by age. For example, hormone treatment of any kind is not recommended for young people who have not started puberty. For adolescents under 14, hormone agonists, commonly referred to as "puberty blockers," may be initiated as a monotherapy; however, young people 16 and over who have used or anticipate using hormone agonists for an extended period of time typically are both eligible for and recommended to use hormone replacement therapy in addition to puberty blockers. ²⁴ This is both to mitigate the risks associated with suppressing sex hormones during a critical developmental period, and because adolescents aged 16 and older typically have the mental capacity to make an informed decision about this kind of care.
- 60. Providers who deliver hormone treatments to patients under 19 are expected to regularly monitor the patient through physical assessments every three to six months and laboratory tests every six to twelve months.

17

Individuals in the United States: The Role of State-Level Policy Stigma, 11 LGBT Health 111, 112 (Mar. 2024), https://www.liebertpub.com/doi/10.1089/lgbt.2022.0334?url_ver=Z39.88-2003&rfr_id=ori%3Arid%3 Acrossref.org&rfr_dat=cr_pub++0pubmed.

²³ E. Coleman et al., Standards of Care for the Health of Transgender and Gender Diverse People, Version 8, 23 Int'l J. Transgender Health S1, S67 (2022) ("[G]ender diversity is an expected aspect of general human development.").

²⁴ See Janet Y. Lee & Stephen M. Rosenthal, Gender-Affirming Care of Transgender and Gender-Diverse Youth: Current Concepts, 74 Annual Rev. Med. 107, 108 – 109 (2023),

https://www.annualreviews.org/content/journals/10.1146/annurev-med-043021-032007.

- 61. Surgical interventions for gender diverse adolescents under the age of 18 are exceptionally rare, and those surgeries that do occur are almost entirely chest masculinization procedures, often referred to as "top surgery."²⁵ It is further recommended that the patient have undergone a year of gender-affirming hormone treatment prior to any surgery. Other surgeries are generally not recommended by either the Endocrine Society or World Professional Association for Transgender Health (WPATH) guidelines for patients under 18. As with any other medical procedure, in determining whether top surgery may be appropriate for a patient, a provider should consider their physical and mental health status.
- 62. As with all medical care, these treatments for transgender patients carry certain risks and providers are expected to discuss these and other risks with patients and their families in order to ensure that the adolescent and their parents or caregivers understand the risks such that the patient is able to make a fully informed decision to proceed with treatment.
 - C. Plaintiff States' Efforts to Protect Transgender Individuals From Discrimination and Ensure the Availability of Healthcare for Their Residents
- 63. Many Plaintiff States have enacted laws, policies, and protections for transgender residents, including transgender and intersex people under 19 years of age, in an appropriate and lawful exercise of their traditional police power to regulate the practice of medicine within their borders.²⁶ These protections include the right to access medically necessary healthcare, regardless of gender identity.²⁷

²⁵ Dannie Dai et al., *Prevalence of Gender-Affirming Surgical Procedures Among Minors and Adults in the US*, 7 JAMA Network Open 1, 3 (June 27, 2024), https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamanetworkopen/fullarticle/2820437.
²⁶ See, e.g., Mass. Gen. Laws c. 12, § 11 I 1/2 (a)-(d); N.Y. Const. art. 1, § 11(a); N.Y. Exec. Law § 296 et. seq.; 775 Ill. Comp. Stat. 5/1-102; 775 Ill. Comp. Stat. 5/1-103(O), (O-1); 775 Ill. Comp. Stat. 5/5-101(A)(6); Unruh Civil Rights Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 51.

²⁷ See, e.g., Mass. Gen. Laws c. 272, §§ 92A, 98; Mass. Div. of Ins. Bulls. 2021-11, 2014-03; N.Y. Pub. Health L. § 2803(1)(g); N.Y. Comp. Civ. R. Regs. tit. 9, § 466.13, tit. 10, §§ 405.7(b)(2), (c)(2), 505.2(l)(2); 410 Ill. Comp. Stat 50/3(a); 735 Ill. Comp. Stat 40/28-10; 215 Ill. Comp. Stat 5/356z.60(a); 50 Ill. Admin. Code § 2603.35(a); Conn. Ins. Dept. Bull. IC-34.

- 64. Access to healthcare services is a right secured by the constitution and laws of the Commonwealth of the Massachusetts. Mass. Gen. Laws c. 12, § 11 I ½(b). ²⁸ Any acts or omissions undertaken to aid or encourage, or attempt to aid or encourage, another person in the exercise and enjoyment of the right to access healthcare services are also legally protected healthcare activities under Massachusetts law. Mass. Gen. Laws c. 12, § 11 I ½(a) & (d). Massachusetts prohibits discrimination on the basis of gender identity in the provision of healthcare services and insurance coverage. *See* Mass. Gen. Laws c. 272, §§ 92A, 98; Mass. Division of Insurance Bulletins 2021-11 and 2014-03 (interpreting Mass. Gen. Laws c. 175, §§ 4C, 24A, and 120F).
- 65. In California, healthcare is a right secured by state law. Cal. Civ. Code § 1798.301. California law also prohibits discrimination on the basis of gender identity, gender expression, transgender status, gender dysphoria diagnosis, or intersex status in the provision of healthcare services. Cal. Civ. Code § 51; Cal. Gov't Code §§ 11135, 12926; Cal. Code Regs. tit. 2, §§ 14000 *et seq*. In California, healthcare for transgender residents is "medically necessary health care that respects the gender identity of the patient, as experienced and defined by the patient." Cal. Welf. and Inst. Code § 16010.2(b)(3); Cal. Civ. Code § 1798.300(c).
- 66. The New York State Constitution prohibits discrimination on the basis of gender identity and gender expression. N.Y. Const., art. I, § 11(a). Under New York law, healthcare providers cannot deny services or treat a person less well than others on the basis of their protected characteristics including sex and gender identity or expression. N.Y. Exec. Law § 296 et. seq. New York law also protects to access healthcare without discrimination on the basis of

²⁸ Gender-affirming healthcare services are defined by Mass. Gen. Laws c. 12, § 11 I ½(a) to include "all supplies, care and services of a medical, behavioral health, mental health, surgical, psychiatric, therapeutic, diagnostic, preventative, rehabilitative or supportive nature relating to the treatment of gender dysphoria."

sex, gender identity, gender expression, transgender status, or diagnosis of gender dysphoria and requires providers to treat their patients fairly and bans discrimination on the basis of sex, gender identity, disability, age, or source of payment. N.Y. Comp. Civ. R. Regs. tit. 10, §§ 405.7(b)(2), (c)(2); N.Y. Comp. Civ. R. Regs. tit. 9, § 466.13; N.Y. Pub. Health L. § 2803(1)(g).

- 67. Connecticut likewise prohibits discrimination on the basis of gender identity in public accommodations, including hospitals, and insurance coverage. *See* Conn. Gen. Stat. § 46a-64; Conn. Insurance Dept. Bulletin IC-34. Connecticut also prohibits discrimination on the basis of gender identity in the provision of medical care. *See* P.A. 25-154, Sec. 1.
- 68. Illinois law prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex or gender identity by hospitals, pharmacies, and healthcare providers, among others. 775 ILCS 5/1-102; 775 ILCS 5/1-103(O), (O-1); 775 ILCS 5/5-101(A)(6). The Illinois Insurance Code further prohibits discrimination based on an individual's actual or perceived gender identity, or on the basis that an individual is transgender, and requires coverage of, *inter alia*, "hormonal treatment administered to treat gender dysphoria." 215 ILCS 5/356z.60(a); 50 Ill. Admin. Code 2603.20, 2603.35(a), 2603.40. In Illinois, residents have "the right of each patient to care consistent with sound nursing and medical practices[.]" 410 ILCS 50/3(a).
- 69. The Delaware "Transgender Identity Nondiscrimination Act of 2013," referred to as SB 97 (2013), made gender identity a protected class and banned discrimination against a person based on gender identity in housing, employment, public works contracting, public accommodations, and insurance. Delaware law prohibits blanket policy exclusions for gender-affirming care and requires that insurance companies provide coverage for gender-affirming care on an equivalent basis with similar care provided for other diagnoses and impose equal premiums for those seeking or receiving gender-affirming care. 18 Del. C. § 3571N; 18 Del. C.

- § 7207. Delaware law bans conversion therapy for youth. 24 Del. C. § 1702. On June 20, 2025, Governor Matthew Meyer issued Executive Order 11, Protecting Gender-Affirming Care In Delaware, which declared, in pertinent part, that "'gender-affirming care' means any medically necessary healthcare or treatment consistent with current clinical standards of care prescribed by a licensed healthcare provider for the treatment of a condition related to the individual's gender identity and that is legal under Delaware law." Executive Order 11 further prohibits state professional regulation boards from disciplining a healthcare professional solely on the basis of providing or assisting with gender-affirming care that is or would otherwise be lawful in Delaware.
- 70. In the District of Columbia, healthcare providers are prohibited from denying services to a person based on the person's perceived or actual sex or gender identity or expression. D.C. Code § 2-1402.31 *et seq.* Specifically, a "place of public accommodation" is prohibited from "deny[ing], directly or indirectly, any person the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, advantages, and accommodations of any place of public accommodation." *Id.* at § 2-1402.31(a)(1). A "place of public accommodation" is defined as "any person or place that provides, to a person in the District, access to an accommodation, service, or good, whether or not that person or place maintains a physical location in the District or charges for those goods or services," and expressly includes "clinics" and "hospitals" in its definition. *Id.* at § 2-1401.02(24).
- 71. Maine law prohibits healthcare providers from denying services to or discriminating against any individual based on gender identity. 5 MRS §4551 *et seq*. State laws expressly protect access to gender-affirming care in Maine. 22 MRS §1508 (minors' access to gender-affirming care); 22 MRS §3174-MMM (coverage for gender-affirming care).

- 72. In Maryland, state-regulated health insurance carriers are barred from discriminating against individuals on the basis of their sex or gender identity. Md. Code Ann., Ins. § 15-1A-22. This includes prohibitions on refusing, withholding, or denying coverage because of the individual's sex or gender identity. *Id.* § 15-1A-22(d). Maryland's Medical Assistance Program, a state public health program for eligible low-income adults, children, pregnant women, elderly adults, and people with disabilities, is required by state law to cover all medically necessary gender-affirming treatment prescribed to a Program recipient in accordance with current clinical standards of care. Md. Code Ann., Health-Gen, § 15-151(c).
- 73. In Michigan, individuals cannot be denied healthcare services based on, among other classifications, sex or gender identity or expression. Mich. Compl. Laws § 37.2302.
- 74. The New Jersey Law Against Discrimination prohibits discrimination and harassment based on gender identity or expression in public accommodations, including clinics, hospitals, and other medical settings. *See* N.J. Stat. Ann. 10:5-5(*l*), (rr), 10:5-12(f). New Jersey law also prohibits health insurance carriers from discriminating "on the basis of a covered person's or prospective covered person's gender identity or expression or on the basis that the covered person or prospective covered person is a transgender person." N.J. Stat. Ann. § 17B:26-2.1*ii.*; *see also* N.J. Stat. Ann. § 17:48A-7*ll*; N.J. Dep't of Banking & Ins., Bulletin No. 23-05.
- 75. New Mexico's Reproductive and Gender-Affirming Health Care Freedom Act prohibits discrimination based on a person's use of or refusal to use gender-affirming health care services. NMSA 1978, § 24-34-3(A) (2023). New Mexico also prohibits restriction or interference "with a person's ability to access or provide reproductive health care or gender-affirming care within the medical standard of care." NMSA 1978, § 24-34-3(B) (2023).

Additionally, New Mexico's Reproductive and Gender-Affirming Health Care Protection Act protects the State's healthcare providers from "foreign investigation[s] or proceeding[s] that seek[] to impose civil or criminal liability or professional disciplinary action" for engaging in the provision of gender-affirming care. *See* NMSA 1978, §§ 24-35-1 through 8 (2023).

- 76. Pennsylvania law prohibits discrimination against individuals in public accommodation because of a person's sex assigned at birth, gender identity or expression, or differences of sex development (i.e., intersex characteristics). 43 P.S. §§ 952, 954; 16 Pa. Code §§ 41.204, 41.206. Pennsylvania health care facility regulations mandate nondiscriminatory practices in patient care. 28 Pa. Code §§ 51.11-51.13. The Pennsylvania State Board of Medicine; State Board of Osteopathic Medicine; State Board of Nursing; State Board of Social Workers, Marriage and Family Therapists, and Professional Counselors; and State Board of Psychology have each issued a statement of policy stating that use of conversion therapy—i.e., the wide range of interventions by mental health professionals that seek to change an individual's sexual orientation or gender expression—may constitute unprofessional conduct when directed at minors. 49 Pa. Code § 16.63, 25.218, 21.416, 47.5, 48.5, 49.5, 41.62. The Pennsylvania Insurance Department has issued guidance that health insurance policies should not exclude services based on gender identity and must provide coverage for medically necessary services regardless of an individual's gender identity. Pa. Insurance Dep't Notice Regarding Nondiscrimination, 46 Pa.B. 2251 (Apr. 30, 2016).
- 77. Rhode Island prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex, gender identity, or gender expression in the provision of health care. State-licensed health care facilities are prohibited from denying care on the basis of sex, gender identity, or gender expression. R.I. Gen. Laws § 23-17-19.1; *see also* R.I. Gen. Laws § 28-5.1-12 (requiring state-licensed or

chartered health care facilities to comply with the state policy of equal opportunity and nondiscrimination in patient admissions and health care service); 220-RICR-80-05-1 (regulations further detailing these obligations of health care facilities, including obligations to admit patients without discriminating on the basis of "gender identity or expression" and implement employment policies that prohibit discrimination on the basis of "sex" or "gender identity or expression"). Rhode Island further prohibits discrimination on the basis of gender identity, expression, or gender dysphoria diagnosis in the provision of health insurance regulated by the state. Health Insurance Bulletin 2015-3, Guidance Regarding Prohibited Discrimination on the Basis of Gender Identity or Expression (November 23, 2015).

78. In Wisconsin, under Wis. Stat. § 36.12(1), "[n]o student may be denied admission to, participation in or the benefits of, or be discriminated against in any service, program, course or facility of the [University of Wisconsin System] or its institutions because of the student's ... sex [or] ... sexual orientation ..." This same antidiscrimination provision applies to students in Wisconsin's Technical College System under Wis. Stat. § 38.23, and public students in K-12 under Wis. Stat. § 118.13. Discrimination based on sex is also prohibited in employment (Wis. Stat. §§ 111.31-111.36); in open housing (Wis. Stat. §106.50(1m)(h)); and in public places of accommodation or amusement (Wis. Stat. § 106.52(3). Also, Wisconsin law prohibits insurers from discriminating among policyholders by offering different terms of coverage except on the basis of classifications related to the nature and the degree of the risk, or to deny benefits on the basis of sex. *See* Wis. Stat. § 628.34(3); Wis. Stat. § 632.746(10), Wis. Stat. § 632.748(2); Wis. Admin. Code § Ins 6.55)). These laws have been interpreted to prohibit the exclusion of health treatments based on gender identity that would otherwise be covered, and to prohibit the exclusion, limitation, or denial of covered benefits based on an insured's gender identity by self-

funded, non-federal governmental plans. *See* Wis. Stat.§ 632.746 (10)(b)2. Finally, in January 2019, Governor Tony Evers issued Executive Order #1, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of gender identity in government employment.

- 79. Many Plaintiff States also have "shield laws" that are intended to protect patients and providers who provide or access this medically necessary and lawful healthcare within our states from criminal and civil liability in an out-of-state-jurisdiction that outlaws it.²⁹
- 80. Many Plaintiff States also require that transgender and gender diverse individuals in state custody have access to medically necessary care, without unnecessary delays, requirements, or barriers.³⁰
- 81. Many of the States' agencies are also subject to laws and regulations that prohibit discrimination on the basis of gender identity and expression and have adopted nondiscrimination policies that further prohibit such discrimination. For example, the New York State Office of Children and Family Services (NY OCFS) is bound by state regulations governing foster care, juvenile justice facilities, and programs for runaway and homeless youth that prohibit discrimination based on gender identity or expression and disability, and state statutes and a state constitutional provision which further prohibit such discrimination. NY OCFS policy also forbids discrimination by employees, contractors, and volunteers on the basis of gender identity or expression. Accordingly, NY OCFS is required to treat adolescents seeking

_

²⁹ See, e.g., 735 ILCS 40/28-5, et seq.; Mass. Gen. Laws c. 12, § 11 I ½(c); Conn. Gen. Stat. §§ 19a-17e, 52-146w, 52-571m, 54-82i, 52-155a, 54-155a, and 54-162; House Bill 205, 153rd Delaware General Assembly (2025); 14 MRS §9901 (Maine); N.J. Exec. Order No. 326 (Apr. 4, 2023) (ordering application of New Jersey shield law, N.J. Stat. Ann. § 2A:160-14, to "protect people or entities in New Jersey providing, receiving, assisting in providing or receiving, seeking, or traveling to obtain gender-affirming health care services").

³⁰ See, e.g., DCF Policy 2021-01: Gender-affirming Medication Consent Policy, DYS Policy on the Prohibition of Harassment and Discrimination Against Youth, DYS Guidelines for Practices with LGBTQI and gender non-conforming Youth (Massachusetts); DCFS Procedure, Rule 302, Appendix K, P.T. 2023.07 (Illinois); N.J. Dep't of Children & Families, *LGBTIA Policy*, Policy Manual, Vol. I, ch. A (rev. Sept. 3, 2024) (New Jersey).

this medically necessary care no differently than adolescents seeking other medically necessary care.

82. Some of the States' agencies have legal obligations to ensure youth in the custody or care of the States can access medically necessary healthcare. For example, NY OCFS is required by law to provide comprehensive medical, health, and behavioral health services to every child in its care or custody. 18 CRR-NY 441.22. This includes all medically necessary care for transgender adolescents. The agency's policies and practices reflect this obligation.

D. State Regulation of the Practice of Medicine

- 83. States exercise their power to regulate medicine in various ways. Perhaps most significantly, states regulate the practice of medicine by defining the scope and contours of medical practice and requiring medical licenses for practitioners.
- 84. Since 1895, all states have had boards that oversee the licensing of medical professionals. Fundamental and consistent requirements for obtaining a medical license across states include graduation from an accredited medical school, completing one or more years of residency or fellowship, and passing a licensing examination. Additional requirements can include interviews, a documented lack of criminal history, and malpractice insurance coverage documentation. State boards also regulate medical practice by disciplining licensees who act illegally or unethically and by "enact[ing] laws and regulations that directly circumscribe how licensed practitioners conduct medical practice," such as reporting, disclosure, and timeframe rules.³¹
- 85. The Massachusetts Board of Registration in Medicine (BORIM) is a state governmental body that is responsible for licensing, regulation, and discipline of Massachusetts

³¹ Patricia J. Zettler, Toward Coherent Federal Oversight of Medicine, 52 S.D. L. Rev. 427, 450-52 (2015).

physicians and acupuncturists. BORIM's mission is to ensure that only qualified and competent physicians of good moral character are licensed to practice in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and that those physicians and healthcare institutions in which they practice provide to their patients a high standard of care, and support an environment that maximizes the high quality of healthcare in Massachusetts.

- 86. The Medical Board of California is a state government agency that is responsible for the licensure and discipline of medical doctors. The mission of the Medical Board of California is to protect consumers of healthcare in California and to prevent harm through licensing and regulations of physicians, surgeons, and other healthcare professionals. The Medical Board of California enforces the Medical Practice Act to ensure quality medical care in California.
- 87. In New York, the New York State Department of Education is responsible for licensing physicians by setting the requirements for licensure, including education and examinations. *See* N.Y. Educ. L. §§ 6520 *et seq*. The New York State Department of Health is responsible for disciplining physicians. *See* N.Y. Educ. L. 6530 *et seq*.; N.Y. Pub. Health L. §§ 230 *et seq*. The Office of Professional Medical Conduct of the New York State Department of Health is responsible for investigating all complaints of misconduct, coordinating disciplinary hearings that may result from an investigation, and monitoring physicians who are the subject of disciplinary action. Disciplinary hearings are held before the Board for Professional Medical Conduct.
- 88. The Connecticut Medical Examination Board is the state government body that is responsible for licensing and discipline of physicians in Connecticut. The Board ensures that physicians licensed to practice in Connecticut provide care within the scope of their practice and

the acceptable standard of care. The board may take disciplinary actions against a physician who, among other things, prescribes medication without a therapeutic or medically proper purpose. Conn. Gen. Stat. § 20-13c.

- 89. The Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation regulates various professions in Illinois, including healthcare professionals. The Illinois State Medical Board advises the Department on qualifications for physician licensure. 225 ILCS 60/3. Among other things, the Board sets minimum education standards and investigates allegations of physician misconduct.
- 90. The Delaware Board of Licensure and Discipline, a Board within the Delaware Division of Professional Regulation, is the state government body that is responsible for licensing and disciple of physicians in Delaware. The Board develops standard for professional competency and ensures that physicians licensed to practice in Delaware provide care within the scope of their practice and the acceptable standard of care. 24 Del. C. §1700. The board may take disciplinary actions against a physician who, among other things, performs unnecessary medical procedures, fraudulently bills for medical services or willfully fails to treat a person under their care. 24 Del. C. §1731(b)(3).
- 91. The District of Columbia Department of Health's Board of Medicine is the District agency authorized to license and regulate physicians as part of the Department of Health's overall mission to "promote[] health, wellness, and equity across the District, and protect[] the safety of residents, visitors, and those doing business in our nation's capital." D.C. Code § 3-1201 *et seq.*; D.C. Mun. Reg. § 17-4600 *et seq.* The Board of Medicine has broad jurisdiction to regulate the practice of medicine in the District of Columbia, including the licensure and discipline of physicians. D.C. Code § 3-1202.03; § 3-1205.14 *et seq.*

- 92. The Maine Board of Licensure in Medicine is the state agency responsible for protecting the health and safety of the public by determining who may be licensed for medical practice in Maine and by regulating the medical practice of those licensees. The Board monitors the practice of medicine to ensure the integrity of the profession and to maintain high professional standards and conduct. It may discipline and/or sanction licensees who violate the standards of conduct or whose performance is below minimum acceptable standards of proficiency. 32 MRS § 3263 et. seq.
- 93. The Maryland Board of Physicians is the state governmental agency authorized to license and regulate physicians and allied health providers pursuant to Md. Code Ann., Health Occ. §§14-101 et seq., §§14-5A-01 et seq. through §§ 14-5G-01 et seq., and §§ 15-101 et seq., and to discipline licensees who violate relevant grounds of the Health Occupations Article. In addition to establishing qualifications for licensure, the Board is responsible for investigating complaints against licensees and for taking action against the licenses of those who fail to maintain Maryland's high standards for the delivery of quality medical care.
- 94. The Michigan Board of Medicine is a state governmental body that is responsible for licensing, regulation, and discipline of Michigan physicians. The Board ensures that physicians licensed to practice in Michigan provide care within the scope of their practice and the acceptable standard of care.
- 95. The New Jersey Board of Medical Examiners (NJBOM) is a state body that is responsible for the licensing, regulation, and discipline of New Jersey medical professionals. The NJBOM's "paramount responsibility" is "the protection of the public's health, safety and welfare," and it meets this "responsibility by licensing medical professionals, adopting regulations, determining standards of practice, investigating allegations of physician misconduct,

and disciplining those who do not adhere to requirements - thereby assuring the public that the physicians are qualified, competent, and honest."³²

- 96. The New Mexico Medical Board (NMMB) and the New Mexico Board of Nursing (NMBN) are state governmental bodies responsible for the regulation and licensing of healthcare providers. The NMMB was created in the interest of public health, safety, and welfare to protect the public from the improper, unprofessional, incompetent, and unlawful practice of medicine. The NMBN's mission is to protect the public safety through effective regulation of nursing care and services.
- 97. The Pennsylvania State Boards of Medicine and Osteopathic Medicine are governmental entities responsible for the licensure, regulation, and discipline of medical physicians, osteopathic doctors, and certain allied health professionals in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. See 63 P.S. §§ 422.1 422.51a; id. §§ 271.1 271.19. By law, medical and osteopathic doctors must be licensed to engage in the practice of medicine, surgery, and osteopathic medicine in Pennsylvania. See 63 P.S. §§ 422.28, 442.10; id. § 271.3. Medical physicians and osteopathic doctors must meet minimum qualifications for licensure, including education, training, and examination requirements. See 63 P.S. § 422.22; id. § 271.6. The Boards play a central role in ensuring that physicians and osteopathic doctors deliver competent, ethical, and legally compliant care throughout the Commonwealth. Through their regulatory and disciplinary authority, the Boards protect public health and maintain high standards of medical practice in Pennsylvania. The Boards have the authority to refuse, suspend, or revoke a license for "unprofessional or immoral conduct" or for "incompetence, gross negligence, or repeated

-

³² About the Board, State Bd. of Med. Exam'rs, https://www.njconsumeraffairs.gov/bme/Pages/about.aspx (last visited July 24, 2025).

acts of negligence or incompetence in the practice of medicine or surgery." *See* 63 P.S. §§ 422.41(8)–(9); *id.* § 271.15; 49 Pa. Code § 16.61.

- 98. The Rhode Island Board of Medical Licensure and Discipline is the body that oversees medical licensing and discipline in the State of Rhode Island. R.I. Gen. Laws §§ 5-37-1 et seq. The purpose of this board is to uphold standards for medical licensure and ensure the clinical competence of licensed physicians in the state.
- 99. The Wisconsin Medical Examining Board (MEB) is the state board responsible for regulating the practice of medicine and osteopathy in Wisconsin. It is created in Wis. Stat. § 15.405(7) and has authority as outlined in Wis. Stat. ch. 448. MEB plays a vital role in safeguarding public health by ensuring that only qualified, competent, and ethical physicians and healthcare providers are licensed to practice medicine in Wisconsin. This includes setting high standards for medical licensing, overseeing the conduct of medical professionals, and ensuring ongoing education and compliance with medical laws and regulations.
- 100. Additionally, each state has its own standards and regulations in place to ensure patients receive care consistent with evidence-based medicine. These protections are sufficient to ensure patients enter into medical procedures or begin new medications with their eyes open to potential side effects and impacts.

II. Executive Orders Targeting Transgender Individuals and Their Medical Care

101. In the first days of his administration, President Trump issued two Executive Orders aimed at limiting recognition of the existence of transgender individuals by the federal government and restricting their care. While this lawsuit specifically challenges Section 8 of the Denial of Care Executive Order, as well as final agency actions that flow from that section, both

Executive Orders demonstrate the administration's hostility to transgender individuals more broadly.

- 102. On January 20, 2025, President Trump issued Executive Order No. 14,168, Defending Women from Gender Ideology Extremism and Restoring Biological Truth to the Federal Government, 90 Fed. Reg. 8615 (Jan. 20, 2025) (the "Gender Identity Order," attached hereto as Exhibit B). The Gender Identity Order declares that it is now "the policy of the United States to recognize two sexes, male and female," and defines "sex" as "an individual's immutable biological classification as either male or female." Decrying what the Administration deems an "ongoing and purposeful attack against the ordinary and longstanding use and understanding of biological and scientific terms," the Gender Identity Order denies the existence of gender identity as distinct from biological sex and seeks to prohibit the use of federal funds to promote what it dubs "gender ideology."
- 103. Section 3 of the Gender Identity Order specifies actions to be taken by each federal agency to implement the Order. As relevant here:
 - a. Section 3(b) orders each agency to "enforce laws governing sexbased rights, protections, opportunities, and accommodations to protect men and women as biologically distinct sexes."
 - b. Section 3(e) of the Gender Identity Order states: "Agencies shall remove all statements, policies, regulations, forms, communications, or other internal and external messages that promote or otherwise inculcate gender ideology, and shall cease issuing such statements, policies, regulations, forms, communications or other messages. Agency forms that require an individual's sex shall list male or female, and shall not request gender identity. Agencies shall

take all necessary steps, as permitted by law, to end the Federal funding of gender ideology."

- c. Section 3(g) states: "Federal funds shall not be used to promote gender ideology. Each agency shall assess grant conditions and grantee preferences and ensure grant funds do not promote gender ideology."
- 104. On January 28, 2025, President Trump issued Executive Order No. 14,187,
 Protecting Children from Chemical and Surgical Mutilation, 90 Fed. Reg. 8,771 (Jan. 28, 2025)
 (the "Denial of Care Order," attached hereto as Exhibit C), which expanded on the Gender
 Identity Order.
- 105. Section 1 of the Denial of Care Order asserts, among other things: "Across the country today, medical professionals are maiming and sterilizing a growing number of impressionable children under the radical and false claim that adults can change a child's sex through a series of irreversible medical interventions. This dangerous trend will be a stain on our Nation's history, and it must end . . . Accordingly, it is the policy of the United States that it will not fund, sponsor, promote, assist, or support the so-called 'transition' of a child from one sex to another, and it will rigorously enforce all laws that prohibit or limit these destructive and lifealtering procedures." 90 Fed. Reg. 8771 (Feb. 3, 2025).
 - 106. Section 2 of the Denial of Care Order sets out the following definitions:
 - a) The term "child" or "children" means "an individual or individuals under 19 years of age";
 - b) The term "pediatric" means "relating to the medical care of a child"; and
 - c) The phrase "chemical and surgical mutilation" means "the use of puberty blockers, including GnRH agonists and other interventions, to

delay the onset or progression of normally timed puberty in an individual who does not identify as his or her sex; the use of sex hormones, such as androgen blockers, estrogen, progesterone, or testosterone, to align an individual's physical appearance with an identity that differs from his or her sex; and surgical procedures that attempt to transform an individual's physical appearance to align with an identity that differs from his or her sex or that attempt to alter or remove an individual's sexual organs to minimize or destroy their natural biological functions."

- 107. Though the Denial of Care Order purports to protect "children," it defines "child" or "children" as an individual or individuals under 19 years of age"—including individuals who are 18 years old, which is the age of majority in the Plaintiff States.
- 108. Section 2 of the Denial of Care Order conflates, without evidence, the offensive and inaccurate term "chemical and surgical mutilation" with "gender-affirming care," which is known to comprise a wide range of individualized, patient-specific care, including the use of puberty blockers and sex hormones as well as non-medical interventions.³³
- 109. The phrase "chemical and surgical mutilation" is not a medically recognized term, and the American Academy of Pediatrics has issued a statement contesting the claims found in Section 2 of the Denial of Care Order and subsequent administration reports.³⁴

³³ Phie Jacobs, *Researchers slam HHS report on gender-affirming care for youth*, Science (May 2, 225), https://www.science.org/content/article/researchers-slam-hhs-report-gender-affirming-care-youth.

³⁴ The statement criticized the Administration's interference with the physician-patient relationship, asserting that the "report misrepresents the current medical consensus and fails to reflect the realities of pediatric care" and "prioritizes opinions over dispassionate reviews of evidence." *AAP speaks out against HHS report on gender dysphoria, infringement on physician-patient relationship*, AAP News (May 1, 2025), https://publications.aap.org/aapnews/news/32145/AAP-speaks-out-against-HHS-report-on-gender.

- 110. Section 8 of the Denial of Care Order, which is titled "Directives to the Department of Justice," states in part: "The Attorney General shall:
 - a. review Department of Justice enforcement of section 116 of title 18,
 United States Code, and prioritize enforcement of protections against female genital mutilation;
 - b. convene States' Attorneys General and other law enforcement officers to coordinate the enforcement of laws against female genital mutilation across all American States and Territories; [and]
 - c. prioritize investigations and take appropriate action to end deception of consumers, fraud, and violations of the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act by any entity that may be misleading the public about long-term side effects of chemical and surgical mutilation."
- 111. Both Executive Orders use inaccurate, cruel, demeaning, and inflammatory language designed to deter and interfere with the provision and regulation of medical care. The Denial of Care Order refers to this medically necessary care for transgender adolescents as "chemical and surgical mutilation" and defines 18-year-old adults as "children." 90 Fed. Reg. at 8771. And the Gender Identity Order denies that gender identity exists distinct from biological sex, and thus by implication denies the existence of transgender people.
- 112. The Executive Orders also promulgate a definition of biological sex that is divorced from biology itself, ignoring the complex interplay of genetics and hormones that sometimes results in variations in primary and secondary sex characteristics. As a result, the Executive Orders deny—with no basis in science or medicine—the very existence and lived experiences of intersex people.

- 113. Critically, the Denial of Care Order states that it is the policy of the federal government that the provision of medically necessary gender-affirming care to transgender adolescents should be forbidden: Section 1 calls such care "a stain on our Nation's history[that] must end."
- III. Agency Actions Directing the Use of Investigations and Enforcement Actions to Advance the Ideological Priorities Identified in the Denial of Care Order and to Restrict the Provision of Medically Necessary Care for Transgender Adolescents
- Order in order to accomplish the President's stated policy that this medically necessary care for transgender adolescents "must end." The DOJ defendants have issued two directives outlining DOJ's interpretation of the legality of this care under several federal statutes. The directives represent the consummation of DOJ's decision-making process and additionally instruct DOJ staff to use investigations and enforcement actions to advance this interpretation of the law and the priorities identified in the Denial of Care Order. DOJ has issued these directives notwithstanding the fact that there is no lawful basis for it to do so. On the contrary, these directives reverse and conflict with the law, as well as years of practice. In other words, DOJ has improperly weaponized its authority by issuing a novel and atextual legal interpretation that has intimidated providers, pressuring them to stop offering lawful, necessary, and often lifesaving medical care to transgender adolescents.

A. The Bondi Directive

115. On April 22, 2025, U.S. Attorney General Bondi issued a "memorandum" titled "Preventing the Mutilation of American Children" ("Bondi Directive," attached hereto as Exhibit D). The Bondi Directive is directed to all U.S. DOJ employees—40 separate component

organizations and more than 115,000 employees.³⁵ Without citing any legal authority to support its claims, the Bondi Directive describes the provision of this medically necessary care as "fraud" and "exploitation" by the medical community at the expense of the patients receiving care and their families. And it states that the "medical community" can act as a "bulwark" against "[g]ender ideology" in the form of "life-altering chemical and surgical intervention."

- 116. The Bondi Directive directs the following actions regarding the investigation and prosecution of medical providers who provide medically necessary care for transgender adolescents.
- 117. First, in a section titled "Enforcement of Laws Outlawing Female Genital Mutilation," the Bondi Directive orders U.S. Attorneys to engage in the criminal prosecution of medically necessary care for transgender minors as purported violations of the laws against female genital mutilation. Specifically, the Bondi Directive "direct[s] all U.S. Attorneys to investigate all suspected cases of [female genital mutilation] FGM—under the banner of so called 'gender-affirming care' or otherwise—and to prosecute all FGM offenses to the fullest extent possible." This directive tracks Section 8(a) of the Denial of Care EO.³⁶
- 118. The FGM statute, which was passed in 1996 and contains not one but two carveouts for medical care provided by a licensed physician, has never been interpreted or enforced to include this care.

³⁵ About DOJ, U.S. Dept. of Justice, https://www.justice.gov/about#:~:text=the%20taxpayers'%20dollars.,Organization,50%20countries%20around%20the%20world (last visited July 29, 2025).

³⁶ See 18 U.S.C. § 116. The full statutory definition of FGM is as follows: "any procedure performed for non-medical reasons that involves partial or total removal of, or other injury to, the external female genitalia, and includes—(1) a clitoridectomy or the partial or total removal of the clitoris or the prepuce or clitoral hood; (2) excision or the partial or total removal (with or without excision of the clitoris) of the labia minora or the labia majora, or both; (3) infibulation or the narrowing of the vaginal opening (with or without excision of the clitoris); or (4) other procedures that are harmful to the external female genitalia, including pricking, incising, scraping, or cauterizing the genital area." *Id*.

- and distribution of pharmaceuticals supporting medical care for transgender adolescents. It states that U.S. DOJ "will investigate and hold accountable medical providers and pharmaceutical companies that mislead the public about the long-term side effects of chemical and surgical mutilation." To that end, the memo "direct[s] the Civil Division's Consumer Protection Branch to undertake appropriate investigations of the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act by manufacturers and distributors engaged in misbranding by making false claims about the onor off-label use of puberty blockers, sex hormones, or any other drug used to facilitate a child's so-called 'gender transition.'" The Bondi Directive further asserts that "[e]ven if otherwise truthful, the promotion of off-label uses of hormones . . . run afoul of the FDA's prohibitions on misbranding and mislabeling." This directive directly tracks Section 8(c) of the Denial of Care EO.
- 120. The Bondi Directive cites no evidence to suggest that the above-mentioned conduct is actually occurring, i.e., that manufacturers, distributors, or physicians have been making misleading or false claims about hormone replacement therapy or puberty blockers.

 Indeed, the Bondi Directive appears to order the investigation based purely on the fact that these therapies are critical components of this necessary medical care.
- 121. Third, the Bondi Directive directs the civil investigation of False Claims Act violations in connection with the provision of medical care for transgender adolescents. The Bondi Directive "direct[s] the Civil Division's Fraud Section to pursue investigations under the False Claims Act of false claims submitted to federal healthcare programs for any non-covered services related to radical gender experimentation." It further states that "[f]alsely billing the

government for the chemical or surgical mutilation of a child is a violation of the False Claims

Act and is subject to treble damages and severe penalties."

- 122. This care has been and is currently covered under Medicare on a patient-bypatient basis, and under Medicaid per each state's regulatory program.³⁷
- 123. In its final paragraph, the Bondi Directive makes clear that its aim is to end the provision of gender-affirming care to transgender adolescents by leveraging these criminal and civil statutes to achieve the Administration's desired policy outcomes, regardless of what the statutes actually say: "Every day, we hear more harrowing stories about children who will suffer for the rest of their lives because of the unconscionable ideology behind 'gender-affirming care.' Under my leadership, the Department of Justice will bring these practices to an end." Said another way, DOJ under Bondi's leadership will bring an end to lifesaving medical treatment for transgender and intersex adolescents.
- 124. On June 2, 2025, the Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI"), the principal law enforcement arm of DOJ, published a tweet on X stating: "Help the FBI protect children. As the Attorney General has made clear, we will protect our children and hold accountable those who mutilate them under the guise of gender-affirming care. Report tips of any hospitals, clinics, or practitioners performing these surgical procedures on children."³⁸ The tweet links to an FBI tip line that requests information "to report federal crimes."³⁹

39

³⁷ Gender Dysphoria and Gender Reassignment Surgery, CAG-00446N (CMS Aug. 30, 2016), https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/ncacal-decision-memo.aspx?proposed=N&NCAId=282; *Medicaid Coverage of Transgender-Related Healthcare*, MAP, https://www.lgbtmap.org/equality-maps/medicaid (last visited July 29, 2025).

³⁸ @FBI, X (June 2, 2025, 1:15PM), https://x.com/FBI/status/1929587710894739567.

³⁹ Electronic Tip Form, FBI, https://tips.fbi.gov/home (last visited July 19, 2025).

B. The Shumate Directive

- 125. On June 11, 2025, Assistant Attorney General Brett A. Shumate issued a memorandum to all U.S. DOJ civil division employees with the subject "Civil Division Enforcement Priorities" (the "Shumate Directive," attached hereto as Exhibit E).
- 126. The Shumate Directive describes the policy objectives and directives in the Denial of Care Order, the Gender Identity Order, and the Bondi Directive, and "directs Civil Division attorneys to prioritize investigations and enforcement actions advancing those priorities."
- 127. Specifically, the Shumate Directive directs the Civil Division to "use all available resources to prioritize investigations of doctors, hospitals, pharmaceutical companies, and other appropriate entities" to pursue alleged violations "of the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and other laws by (1) pharmaceutical companies that manufacture drugs used in connection with so-called gender transition; and (2) dealers such as online pharmacies suspected of illegally selling such drugs." The Shumate Directive provides no indication that pharmaceutical companies that manufacture therapies used in this care have committed, or are more likely to commit, violations of the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act.
- 128. The Shumate Directive further directs the Civil Division to "aggressively pursue claims under the False Claims Act against healthcare providers that bill the federal government for impermissible services. This includes, for example, providers that attempt to evade state bans on gender dysphoria treatments by knowingly submitting claims to Medicaid with false diagnosis codes." Again, the Shumate Directive provides no indication that providers of this care are engaged in misbilling and simply requires attorneys to scrutinize such providers "aggressively."

C. Implementation of the DOJ Directives

- 129. The DOJ directives implement a new interpretation of the law and, in accordance with such, threaten baseless civil and criminal prosecution, as well as onerous investigations and data demands. These actions interfere with state regulation of the provision of medical care and intimidate providers of this important medical care into reducing or eliminating medically necessary services. Indeed, the providers are faced with an impossible choice in light of these directives: either (1) continue providing lawful care and risk being subject to (and incurring the time and expense of defending oneself against) baseless investigations and, potentially, prosecution, or (2) cease providing care that is consistent with federal law, protected under state law, and medically appropriate.
- 130. These efforts to chill the provision of healthcare for adolescents—even in states where such care is legal and protected—show that the Agency Defendants have adopted and are engaged in a systematic effort to leverage the threat of criminal and civil enforcement to eliminate medically necessary care for transgender adolescents in the United States.
- 131. In developing and implementing these directives, the Agency Defendants have interfered with numerous critical aspects of the provision of this medically necessary healthcare, including (but not limited to) the States' role as the regulators of the practice of medicine within their borders, the benefits that patient-centered care provides to transgender and intersex patients, the consensus among all major American medical organizations in favor of the availability of such care, and the informed consent and decision-making process of patients, their families, and their doctors.
- 132. On July 9, 2025, following the issuance of the Bondi and Shumate Directives,
 DOJ issued a press release announcing that it had issued more than twenty subpoenas to doctors

and clinics that provide this care. While the release stated that the subpoenas relate to investigations that "include healthcare fraud, false statements, and more"—paralleling the statutes identified in Section 8(c) of the Denial of Care Order—the release also makes clear that DOJ's intent in issuing the subpoenas is not enforcement of the specific prohibitions of those laws but the chilling of medical care with which the administration disagrees ideologically. In the release, Attorney General Bondi states that "[m]edical professionals and organizations that mutilated children in the service of a warped ideology will be held accountable by this Department of Justice."

- 133. Neither Attorney General Bondi nor Shumate point to any evidence of specific marketing practices for off-label uses of medication or the use of improper billing codes, as is prohibited by the statutes listed in Section 8(c) of the Denial of Care Order. Rather, these statements make plain that the subpoenas are intended to subject providers to the threat of prosecution solely because they have provided legal and essential medical care to adolescents diagnosed with gender dysphoria.
- 134. According to the New York Times, the subpoenas demand that providers produce to the federal government a significant amount of private, confidential, and HIPAA-protected patient information. It characterized the subpoenas as "part of a coordinated effort between the Justice Department and the White House to fulfill President Trump's promises to curtail pediatric gender care."

40

⁴⁰ Press Release, U.S. Dep't of Just., Department of Justice Subpoenas Doctors and Clinics Involved in Performing Transgender Medical Procedures on Children (Jul. 9, 2025), https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/department-justice-subpoenas-doctors-and-clinics-involved-performing-transgender-medical.

⁴¹ Azeen Ghorayshi & Glenn Thrush, *Justice Dept. Demands Patient Details From Trans Medicine Providers*, N.Y. Times (Jul. 10, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/10/health/transgender-medicine-minors-trump-subpoena.html.

- 135. Further, the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) held a workshop on July 9, 2025 "devoted to the question of whether providers of transgender medical treatments were engaging in unfair or deceptive trade practices." At the workshop, one panelist "argued that doctors providing [medical care to transgender adolescents] should be prosecuted to create a chilling effect across the country," suggesting that "[t]hen these people will be held accountable ... and there's a very good chance this will stop even in blue states." A fellow panelist presently working at DOJ stated that he was "[w]orking on it."
- with the FTC to investigate "[u]nscrupulous business practices": "The Branch, working in partnership with the FTC, brings cases against such practices under the FTC Act and regulations promulgated by the FTC." Thus, the FTC's focus on the provision of essential medical care to transgender adolescents as a fraudulent trade practice is closely tied to the aims outlined in the Bondi memo and the directive to investigate such care.
- 137. In addition to civil subpoenas, upon information and belief, DOJ has initiated criminal investigations of providers of this care as well: On June 24, 2025, Fox News reported that the FBI launched criminal investigations into Boston Children's Hospital, Children's Hospital Colorado, and Children's Hospital Los Angeles pursuant to the FGM criminal statute. 44 Upon information and belief, DOJ has no basis to believe that the targets of these criminal investigations have engaged in any misconduct under the FGM criminal statute. The only basis

⁴² See Fed. Trade Comm'n, *The Dangers of "Gender-Affirming Care" for Minors* (Jul. 9, 2025), https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/ftc_gov/pdf/FTC-The-Dangers-of-Gender-Affirming-Care-for-Minors-Transcript.pdf.

⁴³ Consumer Protection Branch Practice Areas, U.S. Dep't of Just., https://www.justice.gov/civil/consumer-protection-branch-practice-areas (last visited Jul. 29, 2025).

⁴⁴ Alec Schemmel, *FBI launches probes into 3 children's hospitals for alleged genital mutilation of minors*, Fox News (June 24, 2025), https://www.foxnews.com/politics/fbi-launches-probes-against-3-childrens-hospitals-genital-mutilation-minors.

for the investigation is the agency's adopted belief that the provision of this medically necessary care, which is provided in accordance with the laws of the states where such care takes place, violates that federal statute.

IV. Inapplicability of Statutes Identified by DOJ to Medically Appropriate Healthcare for Transgender Patients

138. The Denial of Care Order, Bondi Directive, and Shumate Directive set forth a legal interpretation that is designed to intimidate providers of gender-affirming care for transgender adolescents by threatening action under the False Claims Act, the Female Genital Mutilation Statute, and the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. However, the provision of medically appropriate care for transgender individuals with gender dysphoria does not—on its own—facially violate any of these federal statutes. Furthermore, this novel legal interpretation departs from longstanding practice.

A. The False Claims Act ("FCA")

- 139. The FCA was created to prevent fraud on the United States government. The FCA imposes civil liability on any person or entity that submits a false or fraudulent claim for payment to the United States government.⁴⁵ A person or entity may be liable under the FCA if that person or entity "knowingly presents, or causes to be presented, a false or fraudulent claim for payment or approval."⁴⁶
- 140. The Bondi Directive directs the Civil Division's Fraud Section to "pursue investigations under the False Claims Act of false claims submitted to federal healthcare programs for any non-covered services related to radical gender experimentation." The Bondi

44

⁴⁵ 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729 - 3733.

⁴⁶ *Id.* at § 3729.

Directive also directs investigations into false claims submitted for "the chemical or surgical mutilation of a child."

- 141. Absent a change in federal law about covered services, accurately billing federal programs for the provision of health care for transgender patients on its own is not a violation of the False Claims Act. In fact, federal programs including Medicare have explicitly allowed for the reimbursement of health care for transgender patients for a wide range of treatments including hormone therapy and surgery.
- 142. The threat of FCA enforcement against providers who properly bill and code their services speaks to the broader goal of the Defendants to paint all medically necessary healthcare for transgender patients as "fraudulent" in and of itself.⁴⁷

B. The Female Genital Mutilation Act ("FGM Act")

- 143. The FGM Act was passed in 1996 as part of the United States's commitments to its international partners and the United Nations in their efforts to safeguard the rights of women and girls. It takes a two-pronged approach, codified at 18 U.S.C. § 116 and 22 U.S.C. § 262K-2, imposing criminal penalties and financial consequences for violations of the Act.
- 144. "FGM," as reflected in its statutory definition, refers to a broad range of cultural practices across many groups that involve inflicting varying degrees of injury to women and girls. These are non-medical procedures performed by individuals with no professional training,

⁴⁷ See Fed. Trade Comm'n, *The Dangers of "Gender-Affirming Care" for Minors* (Jul. 9, 2025), https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/ftc_gov/pdf/FTC-The-Dangers-of-Gender-Affirming-Care-for-Minors-Transcript.pdf. During the FTC workshop titled 'The Dangers of "Gender-Affirming Care' for Minors," DOJ Chief of Staff Chad Mizelle said the following: "The DOJ also has numerous civil and criminal tools to root out fraud. We are focusing these tools on everyone who's involved in the multi-billion-dollar industry of harming our kids...We're investigating violations such as healthcare fraud, false statements, all of this which could result in either civil or criminal liability for these clinics. We have also investigations into hospitals and other providers related to fraudulent billing, false claims under Medicaid fraud and the False Claims Act. We're going after false and deceptive claims by non-profits and medical associations that have provided false, deceptive or scientifically dubious assertions about transition related medical interventions, allegedly is cover for the clinics and the hospitals to be able to do what they're doing." *Id.* at 49.

and often performed without access to medical equipment, sterile environments, or medications to prevent infections. As a result, individuals subjected to these practices can endure lifelong or even fatal complications. The FGM Act is not designed to criminalize the provision of medically necessary care to transgender individuals. Nor did Congress understand the law to criminalize such care at the time of its adoption, and it has never been so interpreted in the 30 years it has been in force. Indeed, in those 30 years, DOJ has pursued only two criminal prosecutions under the Act. The Act has primarily had implications in asylum and immigration law.⁴⁸

- 145. The FGM Statute defines FGM as follows: any procedure performed upon a person under 18 for non-medical reasons that involves partial or total removal of, or other injury to, the external female genitalia, and includes— (1) a clitoridectomy or the partial or total removal of the clitoris or the prepuce or clitoral hood; (2) excision or the partial or total removal (with or without excision of the clitoris) of the labia minora or the labia majora, or both; (3) infibulation or the narrowing of the vaginal opening (with or without excision of the clitoris); or (4) other procedures that are harmful to the external female genitalia, including pricking, incising, scraping, or cauterizing the genital area.⁴⁹
- 146. The FGM statute also provides that "[a] surgical operation is not a violation of this section if the operation is—(1) necessary to the health of the person on whom it is performed, and is performed by a person licensed in the place of its performance as a medical practitioner; or (2) performed on a person in labor or who has just given birth and is performed for medical purposes connected with that labor or birth by a person licensed in the place it is

_

⁴⁸ See generally Michelle A. McKinley, *Cultural Culprits*, 24 Berkeley J. Gender L. & Just. 91 (2009); Erika Sussman, *Contending with Culture: An Analysis of the Female Genital Mutilation Act of 1996*, 31 Cornell Int'l L.J. 193 (1998).

⁴⁹ See 18 U.S.C. § 116.

performed as a medical practitioner, midwife, or person in training to become such a practitioner or midwife."⁵⁰

147. The provision of medically necessary healthcare for transgender patients does not—and cannot—fit into the definition of FGM for a variety of reasons. First and foremost, by its nature, the care has been determined by providers and patients to be "necessary to the health of the person on whom it is performed," excluding it from the statutory definition. Indeed, the federal government has consistently reimbursed healthcare provided to transgender patients under 18 as medically necessary. Second, as described herein, performing gender-affirming surgeries of any kind on patients under 18 is exceedingly rare, and performing surgeries that would impact external genitalia are even more rare. Third, the provision of hormones or puberty blockers are given orally, through an injection, or through an implant in a patient's limbs; there is no surgical change to the genitals as a result. Thus, it is incredibly unlikely that any provider in the Plaintiff States has engaged in any conduct that conceivably could be captured by the FGM statute.

C. The Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act ("FDCA")

- 148. The FDCA creates protections against deficits in the safety and efficacy of food, drugs, devices, and cosmetics in the United States. The primary purpose of the FDCA is to prevent adulterated or misbranded food, drugs, devices, or cosmetics from entering the market and to provide remedies for harm caused if they do.
- 149. The Bondi Directive states that "[e]ven if otherwise truthful, the promotion of offlabel uses of hormones . . . run afoul of the FDA's prohibitions on misbranding and mislabeling."

⁵⁰ *Id*.

- 150. However, the FDCA does not prohibit off-label prescribing by a provider, and, according to the FDA, "healthcare providers generally may prescribe [a] drug for an unapproved use when they judge that it is medically appropriate for their patient." This is because a medication that is prescribed "off label" has already gone through rigorous regulatory review such that it is considered safe for delivery in humans. Indeed, the prescription of medications for off-label use is quite common, 52 especially in pediatric medicine. Depending on the medication, anywhere from 20% to 100% of pediatric prescriptions may be written for "off-label" uses. 53
- 151. The FDCA also does not prohibit physicians from prescribing or providing certain treatments. Rather, it primarily aims to prevent manufacturers from promoting medications or medical devices in ways that may be harmful to consumers.

V. Other Agencies' Support for DOJ Directives and the Denial of Care Order

- 152. That DOJ's directives represent the consummation of its decision-making process and the adoption of a new legal interpretation is only underscored by the fact that other agencies are following these directives and, in certain instances, coordinating with DOJ to implement them.
- 153. By way of example, on July 2, 2025, the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) announced a new working group in partnership with DOJ to "make referrals to DOJ of potential violations of the FCA that reflect Working Group priorities." The

⁵¹ Understanding Unapproved Use of Approved Drugs "Off Label," FDA, https://www.fda.gov/patients/learn-about-expanded-access-and-other-treatment-options/understanding-unapproved-use-approved-drugs-label (last visited Jul. 28, 2025).

⁵² Carolyn M. Clancy, *Off-Label Drugs: What You Need to Know*, AHRQ Archive (Apr. 21, 2009), https://archive.ahrq.gov/news/columns/navigating-the-health-care-system/042109.html.

⁵³ H. Christine Allen et al., *Off-Label Medication use in Children, More Common than We Think: A Systematic Review of the Literature*, 111 J. Okla. State. Med. Ass'n 776 (Oct. 2018), https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6677268/.

announcement specifically cites the Shumate Directive as identifying "priority FCA matters" to be addressed by the working group.

- 154. Even before the announcement of the working group, HHS and its subsidiary agencies took a range of actions to collect information and data to be used to support potential action by DOJ to intimidate providers into ceasing the provision of this medical care to adolescents.
- 155. First, on February 19, 2025, HHS issued guidance for federal agencies "implementing Executive Order 14168," notably "expanding on the sex-based definitions set forth in the Executive Order." In furtherance of the Section Five mandate within the Denial of Care Order to "take all appropriate actions to end" this healthcare, the HHS guidance states that there are "only two sexes, female and male" and that sex is "determined genetically at conception (fertilization)." The guidance issued definitions for a number of terms. On a website promoting this guidance, HHS noted that it is "promot[ing] policies to end the chemical and surgical mutilation of children."⁵⁴
- 156. On May 1, HHS published a 409-page, unattributed report titled "Treatment for Pediatric Gender Dysphoria: Review of Evidence and Best Practices" (the "HHS Report"). The report questions the methodologies used by studies that support the efficacy and safety of certain treatments for gender dysphoria including puberty blockers and surgery. The HHS Report recommends further research into psychotherapy as a treatment option. However, it also asserts that "[t]his document is not intended to serve as a clinical practice guideline and does not aim to

⁵⁴ Protecting Women and Children, Off. on Women's Health, https://womenshealth.gov/protecting-women-and-children (last visited Jul. 29, 2025).

⁵⁵ See U.S. Dep't of Health & Hum. Servs., Treatment for Pediatric Gender Dysphoria: Review of Evidence and Best Practices (May 1, 2025), https://opa.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/2025-05/gender-dysphoria-report.pdf.

issue treatment recommendations."56 The HHS Report has been criticized by the majority of mainstream medical organizations, including the American Psychological Association, which criticized the lack of attributed authorship and weak methodology, as well as the American Academy of Pediatrics, which stated that the report "misrepresents the current medical consensus and fails to reflect the realities of pediatric care."57

- On May 28, 2025, HHS published a letter on X (formerly Twitter) from HHS 157. Secretary Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. addressed to "Health Care Providers, Health Care Risk Managers, and State Medical Boards."58 The letter advises those entities "to read with care" the HHS Report and—contrary to the assessment of the mainstream medical community—claimed that the report was a "methodologically rigorous assessment of evidence" concerning the provision of this medical care and "employs the tools of evidence-based medicine."
- 158. The HHS letter further told providers of this care for adolescents that "HHS expects you promptly to make the necessary updates to your treatment protocols and training for care for children and adolescents with gender dysphoria to protect them from these harmful interventions."⁵⁹ The letter also notes that HHS published guidance "to protect whistleblowers who make reports concerning these harmful interventions for minors, including for fraud, waste, and abuse in HHS-funded programs, and created a portal to receive complaints at www.hhs.gov/protect-kids."
- 159. On the same day, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), a subsidiary agency of HHS, published a letter from Administrator Dr. Mehmet Oz that it sent to

⁵⁶ *Id.* at 261.

⁵⁷ News Release, Susan J. Kressly, Am. Acad. of Pediatrics President, AAP Statement on HHS Report Treatment for Pediatric Gender Dysphoria (May 1, 2025), https://www.aap.org/en/news-room/news-releases/aap/2025/aapstatement-on-hhs-report-treatment-for-pediatric-gender-dysphoria/.

⁵⁸ See @HHSGov, X (May 28, 2025, 2:17PM), https://x.com/HHSGov/status/1927791449476567043. ⁵⁹ *Id*.

hospitals across the country, including in the Plaintiff States. Titled "Urgent Review of Quality Standards and Gender Transition Procedures," the CMS letter states that "the United States government has serious concerns with medical interventions for gender dysphoria in children." After describing puberty blockers, hormone therapy, and surgeries as the medical interventions in question, the letter states that "CMS believes that these interventions were initiated with an underdeveloped body of evidence, lack reliable evidence of benefits to minors, and are now known to carry serious risks of long-term and irreparable harm."

- 160. The CMS letter further requested that—within 30 days—hospitals provide CMS with information on: (1) "The adequacy of informed consent protocols for children with gender dysphoria, including how children are deemed capable of making these potentially life-changing decisions and when parental consent is required; (2) Changes to clinical practice guidelines and protocols that your institution plans to enact in light of the [May 1 HHS Report] and corresponding guidance released by the Department; and (3) Any adverse events related to these procedures, particularly children who later look to de-transition" (emphasis original). 62
- 161. The CMS letter further requested (within 30 days) that the hospitals "provide complete financial data for all pediatric sex modifications performed at your institution and paid, in whole or in part, by the federal government." The requested financial data includes: (1) all billing codes used for "pediatric sex trait modifications"; (2) facility and provider-level revenue (or utilization data) generated directly or indirectly from these procedures (from 2020 to the present); (3) facility and provider-level operating and profit margins for each procedure type (both for the institutions themselves and for directly or indirectly owned and/or affiliated

⁶⁰ See Letter from Dr. Mehmet Oz, Administrator, Ctrs. for Medicare & Medicaid Servs. (May 28, 2025), https://www.cms.gov/files/document/hospital-oversight-letter-generic.pdf.

⁶¹ *Id*.

⁶² *Id*.

providers); and (4) projected revenue forecasts for these service lines.⁶³ The letter also provides a "non-exhaustive list of potentially relevant diagnosis and procedure codes" as a reference point. That list includes 134 distinct diagnosis and procedure codes.⁶⁴ The letter cited no authority for its sweeping financial data production demands.

162. Coupled with the threat of criminal and civil investigations hanging over providers, these requests for information and instructions to conform longstanding protocols and standards of care with the HHS Report are not benign. Rather, they are additional evidence of the increasing pressure and outsized scrutiny transgender patients and medical professionals have suffered since January 20, 2025.

VI. The Impact of the Denial of Care Order, the DOJ Directives, and The Actions Implementing Them

163. The Denial of Care Order, the DOJ directives, and DOJ's actions to implement them are having the desired effect. These actions are causing chaos, confusion, and fear among medical care providers and stoking anxiety and dread among transgender and intersex adolescents and their families, guardians, and caregivers. These actions have been decried by the mainstream medical community, including the American Academy of Pediatrics, which has lamented the insertion of politics into the examination room, interfering with the provider-patient relationship:

We reiterate that all patients must have access to evidence-based, comprehensive medical care, and that physicians must be able to practice medicine that is informed by their education, training, and experience without threat of criminalization. Politics should not get in the way of evidence-based care and a strong patient-physician relationship.⁶⁵

⁶⁴ *Id*.

⁶³ *Id*.

⁶⁵ News Release, Am. Acad. of Pediatrics, Leading Physician Groups Oppose Infringements on Medical Care, Patient-Physician Relationship (May 1, 2025), https://www.aap.org/en/news-room/news-releases/aap/2025/leading-physician-groups-oppose-infringements-on-medical-care-patient-physician-relationship/?_gl=1*11vciwm*_ga* NDY0Njc3ODI3LjE3NTM2NDI2Nzg.*_ga_FD9D3XZVQQ*czE3NTM2NDI2NzgkbzEkZzAkdDE3NTM2NDI2NzgkajYwJGwwJGgw.

164. In the immediate aftermath of the Denial of Care Order, some Plaintiff States' Attorneys General responded to the overwhelming demand for guidance and clarity by issuing public guidance relating to health care providers' obligations to provide care consistent with state laws, and reminding providers of the limited legal authority of an executive order. State health agencies also issued statements reminding both public and private providers that the Denial of Care EO did not require them to stop treatments and reminding them of their ethical and legal obligations to ensure continuity of care.

Other states' Attorneys General issued a not-so-subtle threat that "[h]ospitals and institutions that continue to mutilate children place themselves at significant legal risk and face substantial financial exposure." The White House celebrated the panicked initial reaction by providers and organizations to cancel appointments, boasting that this was the Denial of Care Order's "intended effect."

⁶⁶ See, e.g., Letter from Letitia James, Attorney General of New York, on New York State Law Obligations after Executive Order 14187 (Feb. 3, 2025), https://ag.ny.gov/sites/default/files/letters/ag-james-to-hc-providers-re-tro-letter-2025.pdf; Press Release, Rob Bonta, Attorney General of California, Cal. Dep't of Justice, Attorney General Rob Bonta Reminds Hospitals and Clinics of Anti-Discrimination Laws Amid Executive Order on Gender Affirming Care (Feb. 5, 2025), https://oag.ca.gov/news/press-releases/attorney-general-bonta-reminds-hospitals-and-clinics-anti-discrimination-laws; Press Release, Andrea Joy Campbell, Attorney General of Massachusetts, AG Campbell Leads 14 AGs In Issuing Statement On Protecting Access To Gender-Affirming Care (Feb. 5, 2025), https://www.mass.gov/news/ag-campbell-leads-14-ags-in-issuing-statement-on-protecting-access-to-gender-affirming-care#:~:text=Health%20care%20decisions%20should%20be,living%20as%20their%20authentic%20 selves.

⁶⁷ Letter from Mark Hennessey, Director, N.Y. Dep't of Health, on Continuity of Care (Feb. 18, 2025), https://health.ny.gov/professionals/hospital administrator/letters/2025/docs/dal 25-02.pdf.

⁶⁸ Letter from John Miyares, Attorney General of Virginia, to University of Virginia and Virginia Commonwealth University (Jan. 30, 2025) https://ewscripps.brightspotcdn.com/6e/61/442bb4c04f47afe33ef1470856fc/file-3674.pdf ("Any state institution that continues to chemically and surgically mutilate children risks violating [the Actions'] and being terminated from participation in the Medicare and Medicaid programs . . . The chemical and surgical mutilation of children must stop immediately.").

⁶⁹ News Release, The White House, President Trump is Delivering on His Commitment to Protect our Kids (Feb. 3, 2025), https://www.whitehouse.gov/articles/2025/02/president-trump-is-delivering-on-his-commitment-to-protect-our-kids/; *see also* News Release, The White House, President Trump is Protecting America's Children (Mar. 4, 2025), https://www.whitehouse.gov/articles/2025/03/president-trump-is-protecting-americas-children/.

- 166. Under the cumulative weight of these targeted actions against medical care providers—which baselessly threaten civil and criminal prosecution and demand burdensome production of financial and patient data—some providers are scaling back or halting this care altogether. Not only have these federal actions harmed transgender and intersex individuals suffering from gender dysphoria, as well as their families and caregivers, but the actions are harming Plaintiff States as well. The Denial of Care Order, the DOJ directives, and the actions implementing them are impairing Plaintiff States' authority to regulate the practice of medicine in their states and their authority to protect and enhance the health and well-being of their residents.
- 167. The Administration has boasted that this intended result—vital healthcare being shut off—is coming to fruition because of its actions, explaining that "Hospitals and hospital systems across the country have halted so-called 'gender-affirming care' for minors following President Trump's executive order 'protecting children from chemical and surgical mutilation."

A. Impact on Providers and Recipients of Healthcare for Transgender Adolescents

- 168. The Denial of Care Order, the DOJ directives and the agency actions implementing them seek to completely eliminate access to this medically necessary care for anyone under the age of 19. And they are succeeding.
- 169. As a result of the Agency Defendants' concerted efforts at intimidation, several providers of this care in the Plaintiff States have already scaled back the services they offer to transgender adolescent patients or have shut down their gender care clinics entirely in an attempt

⁷⁰ News Release, The White House, President Trump Marks Six Months in Office with Historic Successes (Jul. 20, 2025), https://www.whitehouse.gov/articles/2025/07/president-trump-marks-six-months-in-office-with-historic-successes/.

to avoid groundless civil or criminal investigations or actions. This reduction in services has caused significant harm to transgender adolescents in the Plaintiff States, depriving them of essential care at a critical time in their development.

- 170. In California, Children's Hospital Los Angeles (CHLA) is the largest provider of this care for adolescents. CHLA's Center for Transyouth Health and Development (CTYHD) was one of the country's oldest and largest providers of this care for adolescents and young adults, having provided "high-quality, evidence-based, medically essential care" for transgender adolescents for more than 30 years. The CTYHD was among the few facilities in the country providing puberty blockers, hormone replacement therapy, and surgical procedures for transgender adolescents on public insurance.
- 171. On June 12, 2025, CHLA wrote to nearly 3,000 patient families, announcing the complete closure of CTYHD due to "significant operational, legal, and financial risks stemming from the shifting policy landscape at both the state and federal levels." CHLA announced July 22, 2025 as the closure date of CTYHD, "to give patient families adequate time to plan for moving care to providers less impacted by the legal and financial pressures our health system faces."
- 172. Upon information and belief, and to illustrate the kind of impact these closures can have, remaining providers in the Los Angeles region do not have capacity to quickly absorb thousands of additional patients. It can take an average of at least one month for a patient and

⁷¹ Children's Hospital Los Angeles, CHLA, https://www.chla.org/adolescent-and-young-adult-medicine/center-transyouth-health-and-development (last visited Jul. 30, 2025).

⁷² Sonja Sharp, *Children's Hospital Los Angeles halts transgender care under pressure from Trump*, Los Angeles Times (June 12, 2025), https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2025-06-12/childrens-hospital-of-los-angeles-transgender-care#:~:text=The%20hospital's%20Transyouth%20center%20is,the%20state%20Department%20 of%20Justice.

⁷³ Julie Sharp, *Children's Hospital Los Angeles to close trans youth center*, CBS News (June 13, 2025), https://www.cbsnews.com/losangeles/news/childrens-hospital-los-angeles-close-trans-youth-center/. ⁷⁴ *Id*.

their family to identify a new provider and obtain insurance approval to establish care with the new provider. Additionally, patients that transition to new providers often must spend months on wait lists prior to having their first appointment with the new provider. As one parent of two longtime patients of CTYHD shared, the consequences of CTYHD's closure will be "life and death" for some of the transgender individuals who will no longer have access to care.⁷⁵

- 173. In Chicago, Illinois, one provider has stopped providing this care—specifically, puberty blockers and hormone replacement therapy—for new patients under 18.⁷⁶ Another Chicago hospital explained that, "in response to continued federal actions, it will discontinue all gender-affirming pediatric care effective immediately."⁷⁷
- 174. In Connecticut, the two primary providers of care for transgender adolescents have elected to stop providing medical care—including puberty blockers and hormone replacement therapy—to patients under 19.⁷⁸ Both hospitals highlighted federal pressures, with one noting the "federal executive orders and administrative actions relating to gender-affirming care for patients under age 19." The winding down of these programs may leave hundreds of young people without access to lifesaving care.
- 175. As of July 8, 2025, Nemours Children's Hospital in Delaware is no longer offering gender-affirming care beyond behavioral health services for new patients. A

⁷⁵ Nico Lang, *Children's Hospital LA shuts down trans youth clinic, leaving families scrambling*, Los Angeles Public Press (June 13, 2025), https://lapublicpress.org/2025/06/chla-shuts-down-trans-youth-clinic/.

56

⁷⁶ Mack Liederman, *Rush Medical Center Rolls Back Gender-Affirming Care For Minors*, Block Club Chicago (Jul. 15, 2025), https://blockclubchicago.org/2025/07/15/rush-medical-center-rolls-back-gender-affirming-care-forminors-as-pressure-from-trump-mounts/.

⁷⁷ Trans CARE (Clinic for Affirmation and Reproductive Equity): Important Update to Gender-Affirming Pediatric Care Services, UChicago Med., https://www.uchicagomedicine.org/conditions-services/transgender-care-services/trans-care (last updated Jul. 18, 2025).

⁷⁸ Kaitlin McCallum, *Yale follows Connecticut Children's in gutting pediatric gender care*, Hartford Courant (Jul. 24, 2025), https://www.courant.com/2025/07/24/yale-follows-connecticut-childrens-in-gutting-pediatric-gender-care/.

spokesperson for the hospital stated, "This change reflects evolving communications and actions from federal agencies directed at healthcare providers related to gender-affirming care." ⁷⁹

176. In a press release titled "President Trump Promised to End Child Sexual Mutilation — and He Delivered," *see* Exhibit A, the White House published a list of providers who have been forced to change their practices as a result of the onslaught of pressure from the Defendants, including:

- a. **Phoenix Children's Hospital**: Within days of the issuance of the Denial of Care Order, Phoenix Children's Hospital "indefinitely paused" the provision of hormone therapy to adolescents in its gender clinic "to ensure . . . full compliance with the recent executive order." Other Arizona clinics followed suit. ⁸⁰ Though some clinics in Arizona resumed hormone therapy after enforcement of the Denial of Care Order was enjoined, Phoenix Children's Hospital has not. ⁸¹
- b. **Stanford Medicine**: Effective June 2, 2025, in order to "protect providers and patients," and "[a]fter careful review of the latest actions and directives from the federal government and following consultations with clinical leadership, including our multidisciplinary LGBTQ+ program and its providers, Stanford Medicine paused providing gender-related surgical procedures as part of [its] comprehensive range of medical services for LGBTQ+ patients under the age of 19."82
- c. **Denver Health**: Immediately following the issuance of the Denial of Care Order, Denver Health in Colorado indefinitely suspended gender-affirming surgeries for minors, citing the "criminal and financial consequences for those that do not comply" with the Order. 83
- d. **University of Colorado Health**: On February 2, 2025, UCHealth announced that in order to comply with the Denial of Care Order, it updated its policy only

⁷⁹ Aubrey Whelan, *Nemours Children's Hospital cuts back on gender-affirming care for new patients*, The Philadelphia Inquirer (Jul. 8, 2025), https://archive.ph/c6YyL.

⁸⁰ Stephanie Innes, *Phoenix medical providers halt some gender-affirming care for teens because of Trump order*, AZ Central (Feb. 13, 2025), https://www.azcentral.com/story/news/politics/arizona/2025/02/13/trump-executive-order-halts-gender-affirming-care-for-arizona-kids/78418545007/.

⁸¹ Stephanie Innes, *Phoenix clinic to resume gender-affirming care for children paused by Trump order*, AZ Central (Feb. 15, 2025), https://www.azcentral.com/story/news/local/arizona-health/2025/02/15/az-clinic-to-resume-gender-affirming-care-transgender-people-under-19/78625587007/.

⁸² Clara Harter, *Stanford Medicine ends surgeries for transgender minors amid pressure from Trump administration*, Los Angeles Times (June 25, 2025), https://archive.ph/GY2fX.

⁸³ Sydney Isenberg, *Denver Health suspends gender-affirming surgeries for people under 19 following Trump executive order*, Denver7 (Jan. 30, 2025), https://www.denver7.com/news/pride/denver-health-suspends-gender-affirming-surgeries-for-minors-following-trump-executive-order.

- allowing for gender-affirming surgeries "for appropriate patients who are 18 or older" to only allow such services for patients 19 or older. It also suspended the provision of "the specific medical therapies outlined in the executive order" to patients under 19.84
- e. University of Chicago Medicine: A week after DOJ issued a press release announcing that it had issued over 20 subpoenas to providers, UChicago made the "difficult decision" to suspend gender-affirming surgeries for patients under 19.85
- Rush Medical Center: On July 16, 2025, Rush University Medical Center in Chicago announced that it had paused the provision of hormone therapy to patients under 18, but remained committed to advocating for the LGBTQ+ community in these "unprecedented times." Rush stated that it is "doing what it can under mounting pressure from the federal government."86
- g. New York City, Mount Sinai: Within days of the issuance of the Denial of Care Order, Mount Sinai and other New York hospitals reportedly cancelled scheduled surgical procedures for patients under 19 and informed parents that they would not provide hormone therapy treatments to new patients under 19.87
- h. New York-Presbyterian: In early February, New York Presbyterian hospital removed references to the provision gender-affirming care to adolescents from its website, stating that it was "working to comply with applicable state and federal laws."88
- i. Pennsylvania: In April 2025, shortly after the release of the Bondi Directive, three major Pennsylvania hospital systems, Penn State Health, the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, and the University of Pennsylvania Health System, all announced they would no longer provide gender-affirming surgeries to

⁸⁴ Statement form UCHealth on GAC. 2-5-2025, U. Colo. Anschutz Med. Campus (Feb. 5, 2025). https://www.cuanschutz.edu/federaltransition/updates/statement-from-uchealth-on-gac---2-5-2025#:~:text= UCHealth%20respects%20the%20important%2C%20private,as%20they%20navigate%20these%20changes... Children's Hospital of Colorado also suspended the provision of puberty blockers and hormone therapy to patients under 19, citing the Denial of Care Order. Id. CHCO never provided gender affirming surgical services to patients under 19. Children's Hospital Colorado (CHOCO) Federal Transition Update, 2-5-25, U. Colo. Anschutz Med. Campus (Feb. 5, 2025), https://www.cuanschutz.edu/federaltransition/updates/chco-federal-transition-update---2-5-

⁸⁵ Violet Miller, UChicago discontinues gender-affirming care for minors, Chicago Sun-Times (Jul. 18, 2025), https://chicago.suntimes.com/health/2025/07/18/uchicago-discontinues-gender-affirming-care-for-minors. ⁸⁶ Mary Norkol, Rush Medical Center halts gender-affirming care for new patients under 18, Chicago Sun-Times (Jul. 16, 2025), https://chicago.suntimes.com/lgbtq/2025/07/16/rush-medical-center-rolls-back-gender-affirmingcare-for-minors.

⁸⁷ Emily Witt, Where Do Trans Kids Go from Here?, New Yorker (Feb. 19, 2025), https://www.newyorker.com/ news/the-lede/where-do-trans-kids-go-from-here.

⁸⁸ Kelsey Butler & Laura Nahmias, NYC Hospitals Halt Some Gender Affirming Care After Trump Order, Bloomberg (Feb. 5, 2025), https://www.yahoo.com/news/nyc-hospitals-halt-gender-affirming-222456366.html.

- patients under 19. Penn State Health and UPMC also suspended the provision of hormone therapy to patients under 19.
- The Hospital of Richmond at VCU Health: Immediately following the issuance of the Denial of Care Order, the Hospital of Richmond paused the provision of gender-affirming care to patients under 19. It resumed that care in February 2025 but does not offer it to new patients.
- k. Children's Hospital of The King's Daughters: Upon the advice of the Attorney General of Virginia, CHKD suspended the use of hormone therapy and puberty blockers in order to treat gender dysphoria in patients under 19.89
- 1. Seattle Children's Hospital: Immediately following the issuance of the Denial of Care Order, Seattle Children's suspended the provision of gender-affirming surgical services to patients under 19. Though the hospital briefly resumed surgical services, news outlets report that surgeries are again on hold. 90
- m. Children's National Hospital: Citing "increasing legal and regulatory risks," on July 21, 2025, Children's National Hospital in Washington, D.C. announced that it will "discontinu[e] the prescription of gender-affirming medications," effective August 25, 2025. 91
- n. Kaiser Permanente: On July 23, 2025, California healthcare giant Kaiser Permanente announced that it would pause all gender-affirming surgeries for patients under 19, effective August 29, 2025. 92
- 177. Nowhere in the post from the White House is there an acknowledgement of the thousands of patients and families who will be impacted by these closures and terminations of care.

В. **Impact on Plaintiff States**

Defendants' efforts to stop the provision of gender-affirming care to adolescents 178. through the intimidation of care providers undeniably causes harm to providers, patients, and their families—but it inflicts manifold injuries on the Plaintiff States as well.

⁸⁹ CHKD suspends hormone therapy, puberty blockers for gender-affirming care following Trump exec. order, WTKR (Feb. 3, 2025), https://www.wtkr.com/news/health/chkd-suspends-hormone-therapy-puberty-blockers-forgender-affirming-care.

⁹¹ Gender Development Program, Children's Nat'l, https://www.childrensnational.org/get-care/departments/genderdevelopment-program (last visited Jul. 30, 2025).

⁹² Leif Le Mahieu, Health Care Provider Puts Stop To Trans Surgeries On Kids, Daily Wire (Jul. 23, 2025), https://www.dailywire.com/news/health-care-puts-stop-to-trans-surgeries-on-kids.

1. Injury to States as Payors and Providers of Healthcare

- 179. Apart from the injury to their sovereign interests, discussed below, some Plaintiff States are injured directly as providers of healthcare. Just as the Bondi and Shumate Directives and their follow-on actions threaten to interfere with private healthcare providers' provision of care, so too do they interfere in those Plaintiff States where state-run or state-affiliated entities provide medically necessary care to transgender adolescents and thus are potential targets of the threatened federal enforcement. This interference ranges from possible significant financial penalties to criminal prosecution of state employees, and it also promises to drain significant state resources in responding to or accommodating these threats.
- 180. The injuries to States as providers and payors of healthcare go beyond direct responses to Defendants' unlawful actions. The inability to access this care for transgender adolescents has been linked to significant mental and physical health impacts. Transgender adolescents who are denied this care are likely to require additional, more costly physical and mental healthcare, now and down the road.⁹³
- 181. As noted above, the lack of access to this care can increase rates of depression and anxiety among transgender adolescents. Treatment for depression and anxiety can be costly and would result in Plaintiff States expending additional funds to care for these residents, including through providing additional mental health counseling, crisis services, and hospital admissions, when the treatment that could potentially alleviate the source of such depression and anxiety remains unavailable.

(explaining how puberty blockers and hormone replacement therapies allow transgender adolescents to avoid intense psychological distresses, including anxiety, depression, and suicidal behavior).

⁹³ Landon D. Hughes et al., "These Laws Will Be Devastating": Provider Perspectives on Legislation Banning Gender-Affirming Care for Transgender Adolescents, 69 J. Adolescent Health 976 (2021) ("[P]roviders described how denial of evidence-based, gender-affirming care for [transgender and gender-diverse youth] will necessitate more serious and costly interventions including avoidable surgeries later in life"); Outlawing Trans Youth: State Legislatures and the Battle over Gender-Affirming Healthcare for Minors, 134 Harv. L. Rev. 2163 (2021)

- 182. Further, the lack of access to this medical care, particularly treatments like puberty-delaying medications, can force transgender individuals to complete endogenous puberty, which causes their bodies to undergo physical changes that they may seek to reverse later in life. Puberty-delaying medications also have the potential to improve mental well-being, ease depression and anxiety, and improve social interactions with others.
- 183. The more costly procedures and treatments for the consequences of being denied this medical care will be borne by the States, which are providers of State Medicaid plans and which have enacted protections to ensure such healthcare is covered by state-offered health insurance.
- 184. In addition, if Defendants succeed in intimidating providers of this medical care to adolescents into closing their doors, many Plaintiff States could be unable to satisfy their own legal obligations to provide minors in their custody with the medical care they need.

2. Injury to States' Sovereign Interests

- 185. The Constitution vests the States with traditional police powers, which include the ability to regulate the medical profession, license medical professionals, and the authority to make policy judgments regarding the health, wellbeing, dignity, and autonomy of their residents and people within their borders.
- 186. The Tenth Amendment reserves for the states all rights and powers "not delegated to the United States" federal government.⁹⁴ Commonly referred to as "traditional state police powers," the rights and powers of the states include the exercise of control over the "health, safety, and welfare of state citizens."⁹⁵

_

⁹⁴ U.S. CONST. amend. X.

⁹⁵ U.S. CONST. amend. X; Slaughterhouse Cases, 83 U.S. 36, 62 (1873) (describing the police power as extending "to the protection of the lives, limbs, health, comfort, and quiet of all persons…within the State").

- 187. Such powers reserved to the States include the authority to regulate the practice of medicine, which dates back to at least 1889. Courts have upheld a broad set of "state medical practice laws against constitutional challenges, making clear that states are generally authorized to legislate in the medical practice area." Such powers also include the States' authority to make policy judgments regarding the health and wellbeing of their residents.
- 188. Defendants' efforts to chill this medically necessary care out of existence functionally supersedes the Plaintiff States' authority to regulate the practice of medicine and their considered judgment that access to this medical care for adolescents should be protected.
- 189. For example, the Denial of Care Order explicitly calls this care for adolescents "a stain on our Nation's history [that] must end."
- 190. DOJ's actions, similar and pursuant to the Denial of Care Order, also reflect an unconstitutional attempt to infringe on the States' power to regulate medicine. To illustrate, Attorney General Bondi justified the subpoenas that issued pursuant to the Bondi and Shumate Directives by stating that "[m]edical professionals and organizations that mutilated children in the service of a warped ideology will be held accountable by this Department of Justice," and she promised in the Bondi Directive that her Justice Department would "bring []an end" to this medical care for adolescents. Thus, rather than being animated by particular marketing practices for off-label uses of medication or the use of improper billing codes—the particular misconduct that is prohibited by the statutes listed in Section 8(c) of the Denial of Care Order—the subpoenas are intended to subject providers to the threat of prosecution simply because they have provided a form of medical care that is explicitly provided for and legal under the laws of their respective States.

⁹⁶ Patricia J. Zettler, Toward Coherent Federal Oversight of Medicine, 52 S.D. L. Rev. 427, 448 (2015).

- 191. The Denial of Care Order, the DOJ directives, and the agency actions implementing them also injure many Plaintiff States' abilities to give full effect to their own laws protecting residents' health, safety, and rights, harming their sovereign interests and intruding on an area traditionally left to the province of the States.
- 192. As detailed above, Plaintiff States have enacted numerous laws to protect transgender residents and ensure access to medically necessary healthcare. These enactments reflect the policy judgments by many Plaintiff States that continued access to this care is vital to the health, wellbeing, dignity, and autonomy of their residents and people within their borders.
- 193. The Denial of Care Order, the DOJ directives, and the agency actions implementing them will make this medical care difficult or impossible to obtain for patients under 19. But many of the States' agencies are obligated under state law to provide medically necessary healthcare to minors in their care or custody. The effects of these federal actions will render many State agencies unable to fulfill their legal duty. Additionally, it will force state agencies to treat transgender adolescents seeking this medically necessary care differently from adolescents seeking other forms of medically necessary healthcare, in violation of state laws and regulations. This frustrates the States' ability to effectuate and enforce their own laws and policies, including in the regulation of medicine, and injures the States' sovereign interests.
- 194. The collective effect of the Executive Order, the DOJ directives, and their implementation is to pressure providers to cease providing healthcare that they deem medically necessary. This puts providers in the untenable position of risking either substantial financial and legal liability for baseless federal claims or running afoul of many Plaintiff States' anti-discrimination laws if they decline to provide medically necessary care while providing the same treatments to cisgender individuals.

195. Because the Denial of Care Order, DOJ directives, and agency actions implementing them address this medical care provided to individuals aged 19 and under, they also force providers to violate state laws allowing 18-year-olds to make their own medical decisions.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT ONE

(Against the Agency Defendants)
Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A):
Arbitrary and Capricious Agency Action

- 196. Plaintiff States repeat and incorporate by reference each allegation of the prior paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 197. Defendant DOJ is an agency under the APA, 5 U.S.C. § 551(1), and the DOJ directives are an "agency action" under the APA, *id.* § 551(13).
- 198. Under the APA, a Court must "hold unlawful and set aside agency action" that is "arbitrary [or] capricious." 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A). "An agency action qualifies as 'arbitrary' or 'capricious' if it is not reasonable and reasonably explained." *Ohio v. EPA*, 603 U.S. 279, 292 (2024) (quotation marks omitted). "In reviewing an agency's action under that standard," courts ask whether the agency "has offered 'a satisfactory explanation for its action, including a rational connection between the facts found and the choice made." *Id.* (quoting *Motor Vehicle Mfrs. Ass'n of the U.S., Inc. v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, 463 U.S. 29, 43 (1983)). Further, "an agency rule would be arbitrary and capricious if the agency has relied on factors which Congress has not intended it to consider [or] entirely failed to consider an important aspect of the problem." *Motor Vehicle Mfrs. Ass'n.*, 463 U.S. at 43.
- 199. The Bondi and Shumate Directives are final agency actions that, both individually and collectively, are arbitrary and capricious.

- 200. The DOJ directives are arbitrary and capricious because they rely on factors that Congress has not intended the Agency Defendants to consider. These collective actions by the Agency Defendants explicitly threaten civil and criminal prosecution of medical care providers under the FGM statute; the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act; and the False Claims Act. But the Agency Defendants have made clear that the actions they are taking under those statutes are not designed to combat the problem Congress enacted those statutes to address or any conduct that the text of the statutes enacted by Congress prohibits; rather, these actions flow directly from President Trump's directive to intimidate and chill providers into ceasing the provision of medical care for adolescents suffering from gender dysphoria.
- 201. The DOJ directives are arbitrary and capricious because the Agency Defendants have failed to engage in reasoned consideration of their actions, and have failed to offer a reasoned justification for their actions beyond the unsupported assertions and directives in the Denial of Care Order.
- 202. The DOJ directives are arbitrary and capricious because the Agency Defendants, in adopting and implementing them, have failed to consider several important aspects of the issues before them, including: (1) the longstanding reliance interests in the availability of this medically necessary care, on the part of individuals suffering from gender dysphoria, the healthcare providers treating them, and the Plaintiff States dedicated to the health and well-being of their residents; and (2) the severe economic and health impacts on Plaintiff States and their residents from the use of DOJ enforcement threats to reduce or curtail the provision of this medically necessary care.

203. Accordingly, Plaintiff States are entitled to an order and judgment, and to a permanent injunction, holding unlawful and setting aside the Challenged Directives and enjoining any action taken to enforce or implement the Challenged Directives.

COUNT TWO

(Against the Agency Defendants)
Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A) & (C)
Contrary to Law and in Excess of Statutory Authority

- 204. Plaintiff States repeat and incorporate by reference each allegation of the prior paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 205. Defendant DOJ is an agency under the APA, 5 U.S.C. § 551(1), and the DOJ directives are an "agency action" under the APA, *id.* § 551(13).
- 206. Under the APA, an agency action must be set aside if it is "in excess of statutory jurisdiction, authority, or limitations, or short of statutory right," 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(C), or is "otherwise not in accordance with law," *id.* § 706(2)(A).
- 207. The Bondi and Shumate Directives state expressly or imply that the provision of medical care to treat gender dysphoria in adolescents violates the FGM statute, FDCA, or FCA, and is therefore subject to criminal and civil enforcement.
- 208. These statements are contrary to the clear text, scope and meaning of each of these three statutes. The provision of medically necessary healthcare to treat gender dysphoria in adolescents does not fall within the scope of these statutes. Indeed, no federal law criminalizes such medical care.
- 209. The FGM statute, 18 U.S.C. § 116, defines "female genital mutilation" as limited to procedures performed for "non-medical reasons." 18 U.S.C. § 116(e). Surgical operations do not violate the statute when, inter alia, they are "necessary to the health of the person on whom [they are] performed, and [are] performed by a person licensed in the place of . . .performance as

a medical practitioner." 18 U.S.C. § 116(b)(1). The provision of medically necessary healthcare to treat gender dysphoria in adolescents, provided by licensed healthcare providers, does not violate the FGM statute.

- 210. The Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, 21 U.S.C. ch. 9, governs, among other things the manufacturing, distribution, and sale of drugs and medical devices. The FDCA sets forth the process by which drugs are approved by the federal government for distribution, and requires drug manufacturers to submit evidence of drug safety and effectiveness. The FDCA does not make it unlawful for healthcare providers to provide medically necessary healthcare to treat gender dysphoria in adolescents.
- 211. The federal False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733, governs liability for submitting false claims to the federal government. The FCA provides that any person who knowingly submits, or causes to submit, false claims to the government is liable for three times the government's damages plus a penalty linked to inflation. The FCA does not make it unlawful for healthcare providers to provide medically necessary healthcare to treat gender dysphoria in adolescents and to accurately bill for such treatments, nor does it suggest that such care is inherently fraudulent.
- 212. The Agency Defendants' invocation of these three statutes as legal authority for prosecuting the provision of medical care to treat gender dysphoria in adolescents is therefore contrary to law.
- 213. The DOJ directives are also contrary to state law, as state law—not the federal FGM, FDCA or FCA statutes—governs the practice of medicine. The Agency Defendants' attempt to invoke these federal statutes as grounds for policing the provision of a category of

medical care is contrary to Plaintiff States' statutes and regulations governing the licensing, regulation, and supervision of medical care in their States.

214. Accordingly, Plaintiff States are entitled to an order and judgment, and to a permanent injunction, holding unlawful and setting aside the DOJ directives and enjoining any action taken to enforce or implement the DOJ directives.

COUNT THREE

(Against the Agency Defendants)
Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(B)
Contrary to Constitutional Right, Power, Privilege or Immunity: Tenth Amendment

- 215. Plaintiff States repeat and incorporate by reference each allegation of the prior paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 216. Defendant DOJ is an agency under the APA, 5 U.S.C. § 551(1), and the DOJ directives are an "agency action" under the APA, *id.* § 551(13).
- 217. Under the APA, an agency action must be set aside if it is "contrary to constitutional right, power, privilege, or immunity." 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(B).
- 218. The Bondi Directive, the Shumate Directive, and the actions that flow from them violate the Tenth Amendment.
- 219. The Tenth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution reserves to the Plaintiff States the sovereign authority to regulate their internal affairs, to exercise their powers of self-government, and to legislate under their police powers to protect the health and safety of their residents. Accordingly, it has been well-established for over a century that "direct control of medical practice in the states is beyond the power of the federal government." *Linder v. United States*, 268 U.S. 5, 18 (1925); *see also Gonzales v. Oregon*, 546 U.S. 243, 270 (2006) (holding that the Controlled Substances Act did not intend to "regulate the practice of medicine," which is traditionally a core police power of the states).

- 220. Though Congress may legislate to regulate interstate activities, which may include the promulgation of criminal and regulatory laws, the Executive may not amend or adopt novel interpretations of statutes in order to disrupt a state's medical regulatory scheme or invent criminal activity. *See Gonzales*, 546 U.S. at 269-70 (holding that Controlled Substances Act did not prohibit Oregon doctors from prescribing medication for the purpose of physician-assisted suicide, where such care had been codified through voter ballot measure).
- 221. Plaintiff States have the authority and exercise the authority to regulate the practice of medicine within their States.
- 222. Moreover, the regulation of healthcare and of the practice of medicine is a core function of state authority under our system of federalism. *Linder*, 268 U.S. at 18. The States—not the federal government—are empowered to regulate the practice of medicine and the medical profession, including by licensing medical professionals ensuring that evidence-based medical care is available to their residents. Defendants' efforts to leverage unrelated and inapplicable statutes to institute a de facto national regime barring the provision of this care to adolescents flatly disregards this long-established state authority and seeks to impose a federal standard of medical care on every medical provider in the country, effectively usurping a core state police power.
- 223. Also, as detailed above, many Plaintiff States have enacted numerous laws to protect transgender residents and to ensure their access to medically necessary care. These enactments reflect the sovereign policy judgments by Plaintiff States that continued access to this care is vital to the health, wellbeing, dignity, and autonomy of their residents and people within their borders.

- 224. The DOJ directives and the actions implementing them undermine many Plaintiff States' abilities to give full effect to their own laws protecting residents' health, safety, and rights, harming their sovereign interests and intruding on an area traditionally left to the province of the States. The collective and intended effect of the Denial of Care Order and agency actions is to intimidate providers to cease providing this medically necessary care. But if providers cease providing this care to avoid substantial financial and legal liability for baseless federal claims, they risk running afoul of many Plaintiff States' anti-discrimination and age-of-majority and consent state laws. Those laws prohibit discrimination on the basis of sex, gender identity, gender expression, transgender status, diagnosis of gender dysphoria, or intersex status, require the coverage and provision of medically necessary care, and grant 18-year-olds the right to make their own medical decisions. See, e.g., N.Y. Pub. Health L. § 2803(1)(g); Mass. Gen. Laws c. 12, § 11 I ½(b); Cal. Fam. Code § 6500; 775 ILCS 5/1-102; 775 ILCS 5/1-103(O), (O-1); 775 ILCS 5/5-101(A)(6); 755 ILCS 5/11-1. The Denial of Care Order, the DOJ directives, and the actions implementing them render it impossible for hospitals, providers, pharmacies, and others to comply with their legal obligations in the Plaintiff States.
- 225. None of the statutes relied on in the Directives as the legal basis for them—the FGM statute, the FDCA and the FCA—authorize Defendants to intrude on an area "traditionally supervised by the States' police power." *Gonzales*, 546 U.S. at 274.
- 226. The DOJ directives and the actions implementing them impermissibly intrude on the Plaintiff States' sovereign authority to enforce their own laws and/or effectuate their policy choices regarding the provision of this care. They violate the Tenth Amendment.
- 227. These constitutional violations provide an independent basis for the Court to vacate and set aside the challenged agency actions.

228. Accordingly, Plaintiff States are entitled to an order and judgment, and to a permanent injunction, holding unlawful and setting aside the DOJ directives and enjoining any action taken to enforce or implement the DOJ directives.

COUNT FOUR (Against All Defendants) U.S. Constitution, Tenth Amendment

- 229. Plaintiff States repeat and incorporate by reference each allegation of the prior paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 230. For the reasons stated above, the Denial of Care Order, the DOJ directives, and the actions implementing them violate the Tenth Amendment's protections of the Plaintiff States' sovereign authority to regulate their internal affairs, to exercise their powers of self-government, and to legislate under their police powers to protect the health and safety of their residents.
- 231. Plaintiff States have the authority under the Tenth Amendment, and exercise the authority, to regulate the practice of medicine within their States.
- 232. As detailed above, many Plaintiff States have enacted numerous laws to protect transgender residents and ensure access to this medical care. These enactments reflect the sovereign policy judgments by many Plaintiff States that continued access to this medical care is vital to the health, wellbeing, dignity, and autonomy of their residents and people within their borders.
- 233. The Denial of Care Order, the DOJ directives, and the actions implementing them undermine Plaintiff States' abilities to give full effect to their own laws protecting residents' health, safety, and rights, harming their sovereign interests and intruding on an area traditionally left to the province of the States.

- 234. The Denial of Care Order, the DOJ directives, and the actions implementing them impermissibly intrude on the Plaintiff States' sovereign authority to enforce their own laws and/or effectuate their policy choices regarding the provision of this care. They violate the Tenth Amendment.
- 235. Accordingly, Plaintiff States are entitled to an order and judgment, and to a permanent injunction, holding unlawful and setting aside Section 8 of the Denial of Care Order and the DOJ directives, and enjoining any action taken to enforce or implement Section 8 of the Denial of Care Order and the DOJ directives.

COUNT FIVE (Against All Defendants) Declaratory Judgment, 28 U.S.C. § 2201

- 236. Plaintiff States repeat and incorporate by reference each allegation of the prior paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 237. The Denial of Care Order, the DOJ directives, and their implementing final agency actions seek to weaponize a variety of federal statutes—the FGM statute, the FDCA, and the FCA—and the threat of investigation or prosecution under these statutes to intimidate providers out of offering medically necessary care to adolescents. None of these statutes address such care, let alone proscribe it.
- 238. An actual and substantial controversy exists between Plaintiff States and the Defendants about whether the FGM statute, the FDCA, and the FCA make it unlawful for healthcare providers to provide medically necessary healthcare to treat gender dysphoria in adolescents.

- 239. This action is presently justiciable because Defendants have asserted that the FGM statute, the FDCA, and the FCA make it unlawful for healthcare providers to provide medically necessary healthcare to treat gender dysphoria in adolescents.
- 240. The FGM statute, 18 U.S.C. § 116, defines "female genital mutilation" as limited to procedures performed for "non-medical reasons." 18 U.S.C. § 116(e). Surgical operations do not violate the statute when, inter alia, they are "necessary to the health of the person on whom [they are] performed, and [are] performed by a person licensed in the place of [their] performance as a medical practitioner." 18 U.S.C. § 116(b)(1). The provision of medically necessary healthcare to treat gender dysphoria in adolescents, provided by licensed health providers, does not violate the FGM statute.
- 241. The Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, 21 U.S.C. ch. 9, governs, among other things the manufacturing, distribution and sale of drugs and medical devices. The FDCA sets forth the process by which drugs are approved by the federal government for distribution, and requires drug manufacturers to submit evidence of drug safety and effectiveness. The FDCA does not regulate the physician-patient relationship, and does not make it unlawful for healthcare providers to provide medically necessary healthcare to treat gender dysphoria in adolescents, including providing or prescribing drugs off-label.
- 242. The federal False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733, governs liability for submitting false claims to the federal government. The FCA provides that any person who knowingly submits, or causes to submit, false claims to the government is liable for three times the government's damages plus a penalty linked to inflation. The FCA does not make it unlawful for healthcare providers to provide medically necessary healthcare to treat gender

dysphoria in adolescents and to accurately bill for that treatment, nor does it deem such care inherently fraudulent.

- 243. This Court should issue a declaratory judgment stating that the FGM statute, 18 U.S.C. § 116, does not make it a crime for properly licensed persons, performing in their capacity as medical practitioners, to provide medically necessary healthcare to treat gender dysphoria in adolescents.
- 244. This Court should issue a declaratory judgment stating that the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, 21 U.S.C. ch. 9, does not make it unlawful for healthcare providers to provide medically necessary healthcare, including pharmaceutical and surgical therapies, to treat gender dysphoria in adolescents.
- 245. This Court should issue a declaratory judgment stating that the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733, does not make it unlawful for healthcare providers (i) to provide medically necessary healthcare, including prescribing or providing drugs off-label, to treat gender dysphoria in adolescents or (ii) to communicate about this care with adolescents or their caregivers in the context of a provider-patient relationship.
- 246. Declaratory relief will clarify the rights and obligations of the parties and, therefore, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201, is appropriate to resolve this controversy.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff States request that the Court grant the following relief:

- A. Declare pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201 that Section 8 of the Denial of Care Order is unconstitutional and unlawful;
- B. Declare pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201 that the provision of gender-affirming healthcare to a person under 19-years old that is in the good faith medical judgment of the treating

healthcare provider medically necessary (and the accurate billing for such treatment) does

not, standing alone, violate the Female Genital Mutilation statute (18 U.S.C. § 116); the

Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. ch. 9 §§ 301 et seq.); or the False Claims Act

(31 U.S.C. § 3729);

C. Enter an order pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 706(2) holding unlawful and setting aside the

following final actions undertaken by the Agency Defendants:

a. The April 22, 2025 Bondi Directive titled "Preventing the Mutilation of American

Children," and

b. The June 11, 2025 Shumate Directive to all Civil Division Employees, titled

"Civil Division Enforcement Priorities," to the extent that it threatens to use "all

available resources to prioritize investigations of doctors, hospitals,

pharmaceutical companies" and others who provide medically necessary care to

adolescents;

D. Issue injunctive relief enjoining defendants from enforcing the FGM, FDCA, or the FCA

in violation of the declaratory relief set forth above; and

E. Grant any other and further relief that this Court may deem just and proper and that the

interests of justice may require.

Dated: August 1, 2025

75

ANDREA JOY CAMPBELL

Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts

By: /s/ Adam M. Cambier

Adam M. Cambier (BBO No. 690525)

Assistant Attorney General

Allyson Slater (BBO No. 704545)

Director, Reproductive Justice Unit

Jak Kundl (BBO No. 713951)

Chloe Cable (BBO No. 708179)*

Morgan Carmen (BBO No. 716769)*

Assistant Attorneys General

One Ashburton Place

Boston, MA 02108

(617) 963-2278

adam.cambier@mass.gov

allyson.slater@mass.gov

jak.kundl@mass.gov

chloe.cable@mass.gov

morgan.carmen@mass.gov

Counsel for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts

ROB BONTA

Attorney General of the State of California

By: /s/ Hilary A. Burke Chan

Hilary A. Burke Chan*

Deputy Attorney General

Neli Palma*

Senior Assistant Attorney General

Kathleen Boergers*

Supervising Deputy Attorney General

Nimrod Pitsker Elias *

Crystal Adams*

Deputy Counsel General

1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000

Oakland, CA 94612

(510) 879-3096

Hilary.Chan@doj.ca.gov

Counsel for the State of California

LETITIA JAMES

Attorney General of the State of New York

By: /s/ Galen Sherwin

Galen Sherwin*

Special Counsel, Reproductive Rights

Travis W. England*

Deputy Chief, Civil Rights Bureau

Rabia Muqaddam*

Chief Counsel for Federal Initiatives

Elizabeth A. Brody*

Assistant Solicitor General

Andres Ivan Navedo*

Zoe Ridolfi-Starr*

Stephanie Torre*

Assistant Attorneys General

28 Liberty St.

New York, NY 10005

(212) 416-8000

Galen.sherwin@ag.ny.gov

Travis.england@ag.ny.gov

Rabia.muqaddam@ag.ny.gov

Elizabeth.brody1@ag.ny.gov

Ivan.navedo@ag.ny.gov

Zoe.ridolfi-starr@ag.ny.gov

Stephanie.torre@ag.ny.gov

Counsel for the State of New York

WILLIAM TONG

Attorney General of the State of Connecticut

By: /s/ Janelle R. Medeiros

Janelle R. Medeiros*

Special Counsel for Civil Rights

By: /s/ Emily Gait

Emily Gait*

Co-Special Counsel for Reproductive

Rights

165 Capitol Ave

Hartford, CT 06106

(860) 808-5020

Emily.gait@ct.gov

Janelle.Medeiros@ct.gov

Counsel for the State of Connecticut

KWAME RAOUL

Attorney General of the State of Illinois By: /s/ Aleeza Strubel Aleeza Strubel* Complex Litigation Counsel, Special Litigation Bureau Abigail R. Durkin* Assistant Attorney General II, Special Litigation Bureau 115 S. LaSalle Street, 35th Floor Chicago, IL 60603 (773) 914-3046 Aleeza.Strubel@ilag.gov Abigail.Durkin@ilag.gov

Counsel for the State of Illinois

KATHLEEN JENNINGS

Attorney General of the State of Delaware By: /s/ Vanessa L. Kassab Ian R. Liston* Director of Impact Litigation Vanessa L. Kassab* Deputy Attorney General Jennifer Kate Aaronson* Deputy Attorney General 820 N. French Street Wilmington, DE 19801 (302) 683-8899 vanessa.kassab@delaware.gov Jennifer.aaronson@delaware.gov

Counsel for the State of Delaware

BRIAN L. SCHWALB

Attorney General of the District of Columbia By: /s/ Samantha Hall Samantha Hall* Assistant Attorney General 400 Sixth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20001 (202) 788-2081 samantha.hall@dc.gov

Counsel for the District of Columbia

ANNE E. LOPEZ

Attorney General of the State of Hawai'i By: /s/ Kaliko 'onālani D. Fernandes David D. Day* Special Assistant to the Attorney General Kaliko'onālani D. Fernandes* Solicitor General 425 Queen Street Honolulu, HI 96813 (808) 586-1360 david.d.day@hawaii.gov kaliko.d.fernandes@hawaii.gov

Counsel for the State of Hawai'i

AARON M. FREY

Attorney General of the State of Maine By: /s/ Vivian A. Mikhail Vivian A. Mikhail* Deputy Attorney General 6 State House Station Augusta, ME 04333 (207) 626-8800 vivian.mikhail@maine.gov

Counsel for the State of Maine

ANTHONY G. BROWN

Attorney General of the State of Maryland By: /s/ Keith M. Jamieson Keith M. Jamieson* Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 200 Saint Paul Place Baltimore, MD 21202 (410) 576-6960 kjamieson@oag.state.md.us

Counsel for the State of Maryland

DANA NESSEL

Attorney General of the State of Michigan By: /s/ Neil Giovanatti
Neil Giovanatti*
Daniel Ping*
Assistant Counsel General
525 W. Ottawa
Lansing, MI 48909
(517) 335-7603
GiovanattiN@michigan.gov
PingD@michigan.gov

Counsel for the State of Michigan

AARON D. FORD

Attorney General of the State of Nevada By: /s/ Heidi Parry Stern Heidi Parry Stern* Solicitor General Office of the Nevada Attorney General 1 State of Nevada Way, Ste. 100 Las Vegas, NV 89119 (702) 486-2496 HStern@ag.nv.gov

Counsel for the State of Nevada

MATTHEW J. PLATKIN

Attorney General of the State of New Jersey
By: /s/ Lauren E. Van Driesen
Lauren E. Van Driesen*
Bryce K. Hurst*
Elizabeth R. Walsh*
Deputy Attorneys General
124 Halsey Street, 5th Floor
Newark, NJ 07101
(609) 696-5279
Lauren.VanDriesen@law.njoag.gov
Bryce.Hurst@law.njoag.gov
Elizabeth.Walsh@law.njoag.gov

Counsel for the State of New Jersey

RAÚL TORREZ

Attorney General of the State of New Mexico
By: /s/ Astrid Carrete
Astrid Carrete*
Assistant Attorney General
408 Galisteo Street
Santa Fe, NM 87501
(505) 270-4332
acarrete@nmdoj.gov

Counsel for the State of New Mexico

JOSH SHAPIRO

In his official capacity as Governor of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
By: /s/ Aimee D. Thomson

Jennifer Selber*
General Counsel
Aimee D. Thomson*
Deputy General Counsel
Pennsylvania Office of the Governor
30 N. 3rd St., Suite 200
Harrisburg, PA 17101
(223) 234-4986
aimeethomson@pa.gov

Counsel for Governor Josh Shapiro

PETER F. NERONHA

Attorney General of Rhode Island
By: /s/ Kathryn T. Gradowski
Kathryn T. Gradowski*
Special Assistant Attorney General
Julia C. Harvey*
Special Assistant Attorney General
150 South Main Street
Providence, RI 02903
(401) 274-4400
kgradowski@riag.ri.gov
jharvey@riag.ri.gov

Counsel for the State of Rhode Island

JOSHUA L. KAUL

Attorney General of the State of Wisconsin By: /s/ Jody J. Schmelzer

Jody J. Schmelzer*

Assistant Attorney General

17 West Main Street

Post Office Box 7857

Madison, Wisconsin 53707

(608) 266-3094

jody.schmelzer@wisdoj.gov

Counsel for the State of Wisconsin

^{*}Pro hac vice motion forthcoming

JS 44 (Rev. 10/20)

Case 1:25-cv-12162CIVPCUMOVIER SIFILE 108/01/25 Page 1 of 6

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	(DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS							
* *	of Massachusetts, e	et al. (see attache	ر (م ر							
Commonwealth of Massachusetts, et al. (see attached)				Donald J. Trump in his official capacity, et al. (see attached)						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff N/A				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant N/A						
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)						
(see attached)				U.S. Department of Justice, 950 Pennsylvania Ave. NW,						
				Washington, D	.C. 20530	(202-514-20	000)			
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in (One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF PI (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPA		Place an "X" in and One Box for .			
1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question				TF DEF		na one Bonjor .	PTF	DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government N	lot a Party)	Citiz	en of This State	1 1	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T		4	4	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	p of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	2 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		5	5	
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 3	Foreign Nation		<u> </u>	<u></u> 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box On	ly)			Click here	for: Nature of S		_		
CONTRACT		RTS		DRFEITURE/PENALTY		KRUPTCY		STATUT		
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability	PERSONAL INJUR' 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/		5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other	423 Witl 28 U	USC 157	375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment		С	
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights		410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking			
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability				830 Patent		450 Commerce		
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	368 Asbestos Personal			835 Patent - Abbreviated		460 Deport		hao boom	
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability			840 Trac	v Drug Application demark	470 Racket	teer influer t Organiza		
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER		LABOR	880 Defend Trade Secrets		480 Consumer Credit			
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending		0 Fair Labor Standards Act	Act	of 2016		SC 1681 or		
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	-	0 Labor/Management	SOCIAL SECURITY		485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act		unici	
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage		Relations		(1395ff)	490 Cable/			
196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage		0 Railway Labor Act		ck Lung (923)	850 Securi		nodities/	
	362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	H ^{7/5}	1 Family and Medical Leave Act		VC/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	Excha 890 Other		Actions	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	NS 79	0 Other Labor Litigation	=	(405(g))	891 Agricu			
210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	79	1 Employee Retirement			893 Enviro			
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act		AL TAX SUITS	895 Freedo	m of Infor	rmation	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	442 Employment 443 Housing/	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	,			es (U.S. Plaintiff Defendant)	Act 896 Arbitra	ation		
245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations	530 General			871 IRS-	—Third Party	x 899 Admir		rocedure	
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION		-	26 USC 7609		view or Ap		
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Other		2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration	1		Agency 950 Consti	y Decision		
	Other	550 Civil Rights		Actions			State S		OI.	
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition								
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of								
		Confinement								
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i	• •									
		Remanded from Appellate Court	□4 Rein Reop		r District	6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer		Multidis Litigation Direct F	on -	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Stat	tute under which you ar	e filing (Do not cite jurisdictional state	/			Directi	··iic	
5 U.S.C. & 706: 28 U.S.C. & 2201: U.S. Const					inics nincss ti	rersity).				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of car	use:		onal claims concerning Ex	ecutive Order	r and agency action	ns			
VII. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS	IS A CLASS ACTION	ı D	EMAND \$	C	HECK YES only i	if demanded in	1 complai	int:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 23	3, F.R.Cv.P.			Л	URY DEMAND:	Yes	X No		
VIII. RELATED CASI	(See instructions):									
		JUDGE			DOCK	ET NUMBER				
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT		OF RECORD						
8/1/2025		/s/ Adam M. Cambie	er							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY										
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	OGE			

List of Plaintiffs

Commonwealth of Massachusetts

State of California

State of New York

State of Connecticut

State of Illinois

State of Delaware

District of Columbia

State of Hawai'i

State of Maine

State of Maryland

State of Michigan

State of Nevada

State of New Jersey

State of New Mexico

Josh Shapiro, in his official capacity as Governor of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

State of Rhode Island

State of Wisconsin

List of Defendants

Donald J. Trump, in his official capacity as President of the United States

Pamela Jo Bondi, in her official capacity as United States Attorney General

United States Department of Justice

ANDREA JOY CAMPBELL

Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts

By: /s/ Adam M. Cambier

Adam M. Cambier (BBO No. 690525)

Assistant Attorney General

Allyson Slater (BBO No. 704545)

Director, Reproductive Justice Unit

Jak Kundl (BBO No. 713951)

Chloe Cable (BBO No. 708179)*

Morgan Carmen (BBO No. 716769)*

Assistant Attorneys General

One Ashburton Place

Boston, MA 02108

(617) 963-2278

adam.cambier@mass.gov

allyson.slater@mass.gov

jak.kundl@mass.gov

chloe.cable@mass.gov

morgan.carmen@mass.gov

Counsel for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts

ROB BONTA

Attorney General of the State of California

By: /s/ Hilary A. Burke Chan

Hilary A. Burke Chan*

Deputy Attorney General

Neli Palma*

Senior Assistant Attorney General

Kathleen Boergers*

Supervising Deputy Attorney General

Nimrod Pitsker Elias *

Crystal Adams*

Deputy Counsel General

1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000

Oakland, CA 94612

(510) 879-3096

Hilary.Chan@doj.ca.gov

Counsel for the State of California

LETITIA JAMES

Attorney General of the State of New York

By: /s/ Galen Sherwin

Galen Sherwin*

Special Counsel, Reproductive Rights

Travis W. England*

Deputy Chief, Civil Rights Bureau

Rabia Muqaddam*

Chief Counsel for Federal Initiatives

Elizabeth A. Brody*

Assistant Solicitor General

Andres Ivan Navedo*

Zoe Ridolfi-Starr*

Stephanie Torre*

Assistant Attorneys General

28 Liberty St.

New York, NY 10005

(212) 416-8000

Galen.sherwin@ag.ny.gov

Travis.england@ag.ny.gov

Rabia.muqaddam@ag.ny.gov

Elizabeth.brody1@ag.ny.gov

Ivan.navedo@ag.ny.gov

 $\underline{Zoe.ridolfi\text{-}starr@ag.ny.gov}$

Stephanie.torre@ag.ny.gov

Counsel for the State of New York

WILLIAM TONG

Attorney General of the State of Connecticut

By: /s/ Janelle R. Medeiros

Janelle R. Medeiros*

Special Counsel for Civil Rights

By: /s/ Emily Gait

Emily Gait*

Co-Special Counsel for Reproductive

Rights

165 Capitol Ave

Hartford, CT 06106

(860) 808-5020

Emily.gait@ct.gov

Janelle.Medeiros@ct.gov

Counsel for the State of Connecticut

KWAME RAOUL

Attorney General of the State of Illinois By: /s/ Aleeza Strubel Aleeza Strubel* Complex Litigation Counsel, Special Litigation Bureau Abigail R. Durkin* Assistant Attorney General II, Special Litigation Bureau 115 S. LaSalle Street, 35th Floor Chicago, IL 60603 (773) 914-3046 Aleeza.Strubel@ilag.gov Abigail.Durkin@ilag.gov

Counsel for the State of Illinois

KATHLEEN JENNINGS

Attorney General of the State of Delaware By: /s/ Vanessa L. Kassab Ian R. Liston* Director of Impact Litigation Vanessa L. Kassab* Deputy Attorney General Jennifer Kate Aaronson* Deputy Attorney General 820 N. French Street Wilmington, DE 19801 (302) 683-8899 vanessa.kassab@delaware.gov Jennifer.aaronson@delaware.gov

Counsel for the State of Delaware

BRIAN L. SCHWALB

Attorney General of the District of Columbia By: /s/ Samantha Hall Samantha Hall* **Assistant Attorney General** 400 Sixth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20001 (202) 788-2081 samantha.hall@dc.gov

Counsel for the District of Columbia

ANNE E. LOPEZ

Attorney General of the State of Hawai'i By: /s/ Kaliko 'onālani D. Fernandes David D. Day* Special Assistant to the Attorney General Kaliko'onālani D. Fernandes* Solicitor General 425 Queen Street Honolulu, HI 96813 (808) 586-1360 david.d.day@hawaii.gov kaliko.d.fernandes@hawaii.gov

Counsel for the State of Hawai'i

AARON M. FREY

Attorney General of the State of Maine By: /s/ Vivian A. Mikhail Vivian A. Mikhail* Deputy Attorney General 6 State House Station Augusta, ME 04333 (207) 626-8800 vivian.mikhail@maine.gov

Counsel for the State of Maine

ANTHONY G. BROWN

Attorney General of the State of Maryland By: /s/ Keith M. Jamieson Keith M. Jamieson* Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 200 Saint Paul Place Baltimore, MD 21202 (410) 576-6960 kjamieson@oag.state.md.us

Counsel for the State of Maryland

DANA NESSEL

Attorney General of the State of Michigan By: /s/ Neil Giovanatti
Neil Giovanatti*
Daniel Ping*
Assistant Counsel General
525 W. Ottawa
Lansing, MI 48909
(517) 335-7603
GiovanattiN@michigan.gov
PingD@michigan.gov

Counsel for the State of Michigan

AARON D. FORD

Attorney General of the State of Nevada By: /s/ Heidi Parry Stern Heidi Parry Stern* Solicitor General Office of the Nevada Attorney General 1 State of Nevada Way, Ste. 100 Las Vegas, NV 89119 (702) 486-2496 HStern@ag.nv.gov

Counsel for the State of Nevada

MATTHEW J. PLATKIN

Attorney General of the State of New Jersey
By: /s/ Lauren E. Van Driesen
Lauren E. Van Driesen*
Bryce K. Hurst*
Elizabeth R. Walsh*
Deputy Attorneys General
124 Halsey Street, 5th Floor
Newark, NJ 07101
(609) 696-5279
Lauren.VanDriesen@law.njoag.gov
Bryce.Hurst@law.njoag.gov
Elizabeth.Walsh@law.njoag.gov

Counsel for the State of New Jersey

RAÚL TORREZ

Attorney General of the State of New Mexico
By: /s/ Astrid Carrete
Astrid Carrete*
Assistant Attorney General
408 Galisteo Street
Santa Fe, NM 87501
(505) 270-4332
acarrete@nmdoj.gov

Counsel for the State of New Mexico

JOSH SHAPIRO

In his official capacity as Governor of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
By: /s/ Aimee D. Thomson

Jennifer Selber*
General Counsel
Aimee D. Thomson*
Deputy General Counsel
Pennsylvania Office of the Governor
30 N. 3rd St., Suite 200
Harrisburg, PA 17101
(223) 234-4986
aimeethomson@pa.gov

Counsel for Governor Josh Shapiro

PETER F. NERONHA

Attorney General of Rhode Island
By: /s/ Kathryn T. Gradowski
Kathryn T. Gradowski*
Special Assistant Attorney General
Julia C. Harvey*
Special Assistant Attorney General
150 South Main Street
Providence, RI 02903
(401) 274-4400
kgradowski@riag.ri.gov
jharvey@riag.ri.gov

Counsel for the State of Rhode Island

JOSHUA L. KAUL

Attorney General of the State of Wisconsin By: /s/ Jody J. Schmelzer

Jody J. Schmelzer*

Assistant Attorney General

17 West Main Street

Post Office Box 7857

Madison, Wisconsin 53707

(608) 266-3094

jody.schmelzer@wisdoj.gov

Counsel for the State of Wisconsin

^{*}Pro hac vice motion forthcoming

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

1.			e of first party on each side only) Commonwealth of Massachusetts, et al., vs. Donald J. Trump in his s President of the United States, et al.								
2.	Category in which the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the civil cover sheet. (See local rule 40.1(a)(1)).										
		I.	160, 400, 410, 441, 535, 830*, 835*, 850, 880, 891, 893, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT.								
		II.	110, 130, 190, 196, 370, 375, 376, 440, 442, 443, 445, 446, 448, 470, 751, 820*, 840*, 895, 896, 899.								
	✓	III.	120, 140, 150, 151, 152, 153, 195, 210, 220, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 365, 367, 368, 371, 380, 385, 422, 423, 430, 450, 460, 462, 463, 465, 480, 485, 490, 510, 530, 540, 550, 555, 56								
			625, 690, 710, 720, 740, 790, 791, 861-865, 870, 871, 890, 950.								
			*Also complete AO 120 or AO 121. for patent, trademark or copyright cases.								
3.			if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has been filed in this icate the title and number of the first filed case in this court.								
4.	Has a p	rior action	between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court?								
			YES NO								
5.	Does th §2403)	e complai	nt in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public interest? (See 28 USC								
	If so, is	the U.S.A	or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party?								
			YES NO								
6.	Is this c	ase requi	ed to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 USC §2284? YES NO								
7.			es in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the United States and the Commonwealth of governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule 40.1(d)).								
			YES L NO L								
		A.	If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside?								
			Eastern Division Central Division Western Division								
		В.	If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside?								
			Eastern Division Central Division Western Division								
8.	_		f Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, sheet identifying the motions)								
			YES L NO L								
(PL	EASE TY	PE OR PR	INT)								
			dam M. Cambier								
			rton Place, Boston, MA 02108								
			963-2278								

EXHIBIT A

The WHITE HOUSE

ARTICLES

President Trump Promised to End Child Sexual Mutilation — and He Delivered

The White House

July 25, 2025

During his campaign, President Donald J. Trump <u>repeatedly pledged</u> to end the irreversible chemical and surgical mutilation of our children: "We are not going to allow child sexual mutilation."

For years, politicians have promised to end the barbaric, pseudoscientific practice — but President Trump is the only one who has actually delivered.

This week, Yale New Haven Health and Connecticut Children's Medical Center <u>announced</u> they are ending their so-called "gender-affirming care services." They join a growing list of health systems across the country following President Trump's executive action.

- Phoenix Children's Hospital <u>stopped</u> providing puberty blockers and hormone therapy to minors.
- Stanford Medicine <u>ended</u> sex-change surgeries for minors.
- Children's Hospital Los Angeles <u>closed</u> its "Center for Transyouth Health and Development and Gender-Affirming Care."
- Denver Health <u>suspended</u> sex change surgeries for patients under 19.
- UCHealth ended so-called "gender-affirming services" for patients under 19.
- Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago <u>stopped</u> sex-change surgeries for patients under 19.
- UChicago <u>suspended</u> so-called "gender-affirming care" for minors.
- Northwestern Memorial Hospital <u>stopped</u> sex-change surgeries for minors.
- Rush Medical Center <u>halted</u> gender-affirming care for new patients under 18.

- 7/30/25, 12:34 PM
 - In New York City, Mount Sinai and New York-Presbyterian both <u>curbed</u> so-called "gender-affirming care" for minors.
 - In Pennsylvania, <u>Penn State Health</u>, the <u>University of Pittsburgh Medical Center</u>, and the <u>University of Pennsylvania Health System</u> all stopped so-called "genderaffirming care" for patients under 19.
 - The Hospital of Richmond at VCU Health <u>halted</u> so-called "gender-affirming care" for <u>new patients</u> under 19.
 - Children's Hospital of The King's Daughters <u>suspended</u> hormone therapy and puberty blockers for gender-affirming care in children under 19.
 - Seattle Children's Hospital <u>stopped</u> providing so-called "gender-affirming surgery" to patients under 19.
 - In Washington, D.C., Children's National Hospital "<u>paused</u>" prescribing puberty blockers and hormone therapies for minors.
 - Kaiser Permanente <u>paused</u> sex-change surgeries for patients under 19 across all its hospitals and surgical centers.

NEWS
ADMINISTRATION
ISSUES
CONTACT

EOP

VISIT

GALLERY

VIDEO LIBRARY

AMERICA 250

FOUNDING FATHERS



Your email SIGN UP

Text POTUS to 45470 to receive updates

THE WHITE HOUSE

1600 Pennsylvania Ave NW Washington, DC 20500

WH.GOV

Copyright

Privacy



EXHIBIT B

Presidential Documents

Executive Order 14168 of January 20, 2025

Defending Women From Gender Ideology Extremism and Restoring Biological Truth to the Federal Government

By the authority vested in me as President by the Constitution and the laws of the United States of America, including section 7301 of title 5, United States Code, it is hereby ordered:

Section 1. Purpose. Across the country, ideologues who deny the biological reality of sex have increasingly used legal and other socially coercive means to permit men to self-identify as women and gain access to intimate single-sex spaces and activities designed for women, from women's domestic abuse shelters to women's workplace showers. This is wrong. Efforts to eradicate the biological reality of sex fundamentally attack women by depriving them of their dignity, safety, and well-being. The erasure of sex in language and policy has a corrosive impact not just on women but on the validity of the entire American system. Basing Federal policy on truth is critical to scientific inquiry, public safety, morale, and trust in government itself.

This unhealthy road is paved by an ongoing and purposeful attack against the ordinary and longstanding use and understanding of biological and scientific terms, replacing the immutable biological reality of sex with an internal, fluid, and subjective sense of self unmoored from biological facts. Invalidating the true and biological category of "woman" improperly transforms laws and policies designed to protect sex-based opportunities into laws and policies that undermine them, replacing longstanding, cherished legal rights and values with an identity-based, inchoate social concept.

Accordingly, my Administration will defend women's rights and protect freedom of conscience by using clear and accurate language and policies that recognize women are biologically female, and men are biologically male

- **Sec. 2.** *Policy and Definitions.* It is the policy of the United States to recognize two sexes, male and female. These sexes are not changeable and are grounded in fundamental and incontrovertible reality. Under my direction, the Executive Branch will enforce all sex-protective laws to promote this reality, and the following definitions shall govern all Executive interpretation of and application of Federal law and administration policy:
- (a) "Sex" shall refer to an individual's immutable biological classification as either male or female. "Sex" is not a synonym for and does not include the concept of "gender identity."
- (b) "Women" or "woman" and "girls" or "girl" shall mean adult and juvenile human females, respectively.
- (c) "Men" or "man" and "boys" or "boy" shall mean adult and juvenile human males, respectively.
- (d) "Female" means a person belonging, at conception, to the sex that produces the large reproductive cell.
- (e) "Male" means a person belonging, at conception, to the sex that produces the small reproductive cell.
- (f) "Gender ideology" replaces the biological category of sex with an ever-shifting concept of self-assessed gender identity, permitting the false claim that males can identify as and thus become women and vice versa, and requiring all institutions of society to regard this false claim as true.

Gender ideology includes the idea that there is a vast spectrum of genders that are disconnected from one's sex. Gender ideology is internally inconsistent, in that it diminishes sex as an identifiable or useful category but nevertheless maintains that it is possible for a person to be born in the wrong sexed body.

- (g) "Gender identity" reflects a fully internal and subjective sense of self, disconnected from biological reality and sex and existing on an infinite continuum, that does not provide a meaningful basis for identification and cannot be recognized as a replacement for sex.
- **Sec. 3.** Recognizing Women Are Biologically Distinct From Men. (a) Within 30 days of the date of this order, the Secretary of Health and Human Services shall provide to the U.S. Government, external partners, and the public clear guidance expanding on the sex-based definitions set forth in this order.
- (b) Each agency and all Federal employees shall enforce laws governing sex-based rights, protections, opportunities, and accommodations to protect men and women as biologically distinct sexes. Each agency should therefore give the terms "sex", "male", "female", "men", "women", "boys" and "girls" the meanings set forth in section 2 of this order when interpreting or applying statutes, regulations, or guidance and in all other official agency business, documents, and communications.
- (c) When administering or enforcing sex-based distinctions, every agency and all Federal employees acting in an official capacity on behalf of their agency shall use the term "sex" and not "gender" in all applicable Federal policies and documents.
- (d) The Secretaries of State and Homeland Security, and the Director of the Office of Personnel Management, shall implement changes to require that government-issued identification documents, including passports, visas, and Global Entry cards, accurately reflect the holder's sex, as defined under section 2 of this order; and the Director of the Office of Personnel Management shall ensure that applicable personnel records accurately report Federal employees' sex, as defined by section 2 of this order.
- (e) Agencies shall remove all statements, policies, regulations, forms, communications, or other internal and external messages that promote or otherwise inculcate gender ideology, and shall cease issuing such statements, policies, regulations, forms, communications or other messages. Agency forms that require an individual's sex shall list male or female, and shall not request gender identity. Agencies shall take all necessary steps, as permitted by law, to end the Federal funding of gender ideology.
- (f) The prior Administration argued that the Supreme Court's decision in *Bostock* v. *Clayton County* (2020), which addressed Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, requires gender identity-based access to single-sex spaces under, for example, Title IX of the Educational Amendments Act. This position is legally untenable and has harmed women. The Attorney General shall therefore immediately issue guidance to agencies to correct the misapplication of the Supreme Court's decision in *Bostock* v. *Clayton County* (2020) to sex-based distinctions in agency activities. In addition, the Attorney General shall issue guidance and assist agencies in protecting sex-based distinctions, which are explicitly permitted under Constitutional and statutory precedent.
- (g) Federal funds shall not be used to promote gender ideology. Each agency shall assess grant conditions and grantee preferences and ensure grant funds do not promote gender ideology.
- **Sec. 4.** Privacy in Intimate Spaces. (a) The Attorney General and Secretary of Homeland Security shall ensure that males are not detained in women's prisons or housed in women's detention centers, including through amendment, as necessary, of Part 115.41 of title 28, Code of Federal Regulations and interpretation guidance regarding the Americans with Disabilities Act.

- (b) The Secretary of Housing and Urban Development shall prepare and submit for notice and comment rulemaking a policy to rescind the final rule entitled "Equal Access in Accordance with an Individual's Gender Identity in Community Planning and Development Programs" of September 21, 2016, 81 FR 64763, and shall submit for public comment a policy protecting women seeking single-sex rape shelters.
- (c) The Attorney General shall ensure that the Bureau of Prisons revises its policies concerning medical care to be consistent with this order, and shall ensure that no Federal funds are expended for any medical procedure, treatment, or drug for the purpose of conforming an inmate's appearance to that of the opposite sex.
- (d) Agencies shall effectuate this policy by taking appropriate action to ensure that intimate spaces designated for women, girls, or females (or for men, boys, or males) are designated by sex and not identity.
- **Sec. 5.** Protecting Rights. The Attorney General shall issue guidance to ensure the freedom to express the binary nature of sex and the right to single-sex spaces in workplaces and federally funded entities covered by the Civil Rights Act of 1964. In accordance with that guidance, the Attorney General, the Secretary of Labor, the General Counsel and Chair of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, and each other agency head with enforcement responsibilities under the Civil Rights Act shall prioritize investigations and litigation to enforce the rights and freedoms identified.
- **Sec. 6**. *Bill Text*. Within 30 days of the date of this order, the Assistant to the President for Legislative Affairs shall present to the President proposed bill text to codify the definitions in this order.
- **Sec. 7.** Agency Implementation and Reporting. (a) Within 120 days of the date of this order, each agency head shall submit an update on implementation of this order to the President, through the Director of the Office of Management and Budget. That update shall address:
 - (i) changes to agency documents, including regulations, guidance, forms, and communications, made to comply with this order; and
 - (ii) agency-imposed requirements on federally funded entities, including contractors, to achieve the policy of this order.
- (b) The requirements of this order supersede conflicting provisions in any previous Executive Orders or Presidential Memoranda, including but not limited to Executive Orders 13988 of January 20, 2021, 14004 of January 25, 2021, 14020 and 14021 of March 8, 2021, and 14075 of June 15, 2022. These Executive Orders are hereby rescinded, and the White House Gender Policy Council established by Executive Order 14020 is dissolved.
- (c) Each agency head shall promptly rescind all guidance documents inconsistent with the requirements of this order or the Attorney General's guidance issued pursuant to this order, or rescind such parts of such documents that are inconsistent in such manner. Such documents include, but are not limited to:
 - (i) "The White House Toolkit on Transgender Equality";
 - (ii) the Department of Education's guidance documents including:
 - (A) "2024 Title IX Regulations: Pointers for Implementation" (July 2024);
 - (B) "U.S. Department of Education Toolkit: Creating Inclusive and Nondiscriminatory School Environments for LGBTQI+ Students";
 - (C) "U.S. Department of Education Supporting LGBTQI+ Youth and Families in School" (June 21, 2023);
 - (D) "Departamento de Educación de EE.UU. Apoyar a los jóvenes y familias LGBTQI+ en la escuela" (June 21, 2023);
 - (E) "Supporting Intersex Students: A Resource for Students, Families, and Educators" (October 2021);
 - (F) "Supporting Transgender Youth in School" (June 2021);

- (G) "Letter to Educators on Title IX's 49th Anniversary" (June 23, 2021);
- (H) "Confronting Anti-LGBTQI+ Harassment in Schools: A Resource for Students and Families" (June 2021);
- (I) "Enforcement of Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 With Respect to Discrimination Based on Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity in Light of Bostock v. Clayton County" (June 22, 2021);
- (J) "Education in a Pandemic: The Disparate Impacts of COVID-19 on America's Students" (June 9, 2021); and
- (K) "Back-to-School Message for Transgender Students from the U.S. Depts of Justice, Education, and HHS" (Aug. 17, 2021);
- (iii) the Attorney General's Memorandum of March 26, 2021 entitled "Application of *Bostock* v. *Clayton County* to Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972"; and
- (iv) the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission's "Enforcement Guidance on Harassment in the Workplace" (April 29, 2024).
- **Sec. 8**. *General Provisions*. (a) Nothing in this order shall be construed to impair or otherwise affect:
 - (i) the authority granted by law to an executive department or agency, or the head thereof; or
 - (ii) the functions of the Director of the Office of Management and Budget relating to budgetary, administrative, or legislative proposals.
- (b) This order shall be implemented consistent with applicable law and subject to the availability of appropriations.
- (c) This order is not intended to, and does not, create any right or benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law or in equity by any party against the United States, its departments, agencies, or entities, its officers, employees, or agents, or any other person.
- (d) If any provision of this order, or the application of any provision to any person or circumstance, is held to be invalid, the remainder of this order and the application of its provisions to any other persons or circumstances shall not be affected thereby.

Sundanny

THE WHITE HOUSE, January 20, 2025.

EXHIBIT C



Presidential Documents

Executive Order 14187 of January 28, 2025

Protecting Children From Chemical and Surgical Mutilation

By the authority vested in me as President by the Constitution and the laws of the United States of America, it is hereby ordered:

Section 1. *Policy and Purpose*. Across the country today, medical professionals are maiming and sterilizing a growing number of impressionable children under the radical and false claim that adults can change a child's sex through a series of irreversible medical interventions. This dangerous trend will be a stain on our Nation's history, and it must end.

Countless children soon regret that they have been mutilated and begin to grasp the horrifying tragedy that they will never be able to conceive children of their own or nurture their children through breastfeeding. Moreover, these vulnerable youths' medical bills may rise throughout their lifetimes, as they are often trapped with lifelong medical complications, a losing war with their own bodies, and, tragically, sterilization.

Accordingly, it is the policy of the United States that it will not fund, sponsor, promote, assist, or support the so-called "transition" of a child from one sex to another, and it will rigorously enforce all laws that prohibit or limit these destructive and life-altering procedures.

Sec. 2. Definitions. For the purposes of this order:

- (a) The term "child" or "children" means an individual or individuals under 19 years of age.
 - (b) The term "pediatric" means relating to the medical care of a child.
- (c) The phrase "chemical and surgical mutilation" means the use of puberty blockers, including GnRH agonists and other interventions, to delay the onset or progression of normally timed puberty in an individual who does not identify as his or her sex; the use of sex hormones, such as androgen blockers, estrogen, progesterone, or testosterone, to align an individual's physical appearance with an identity that differs from his or her sex; and surgical procedures that attempt to transform an individual's physical appearance to align with an identity that differs from his or her sex or that attempt to alter or remove an individual's sexual organs to minimize or destroy their natural biological functions. This phrase sometimes is referred to as "gender affirming care."
- **Sec. 3.** Ending Reliance on Junk Science. (a) The blatant harm done to children by chemical and surgical mutilation cloaks itself in medical necessity, spurred by guidance from the World Professional Association for Transgender Health (WPATH), which lacks scientific integrity. In light of the scientific concerns with the WPATH guidance:
 - (i) agencies shall rescind or amend all policies that rely on WPATH guidance, including WPATH's "Standards of Care Version 8"; and
 - (ii) within 90 days of the date of this order, the Secretary of Health and Human Services (HHS) shall publish a review of the existing literature on best practices for promoting the health of children who assert gender dysphoria, rapid-onset gender dysphoria, or other identity-based confusion.
- (b) The Secretary of HHS, as appropriate and consistent with applicable law, shall use all available methods to increase the quality of data to guide practices for improving the health of minors with gender dysphoria, rapid-onset gender dysphoria, or other identity-based confusion, or who otherwise seek chemical or surgical mutilation.

- **Sec. 4.** Defunding Chemical and Surgical Mutilation. The head of each executive department or agency (agency) that provides research or education grants to medical institutions, including medical schools and hospitals, shall, consistent with applicable law and in coordination with the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, immediately take appropriate steps to ensure that institutions receiving Federal research or education grants end the chemical and surgical mutilation of children.
- **Sec. 5.** Additional Directives to the Secretary of HHS. (a) The Secretary of HHS shall, consistent with applicable law, take all appropriate actions to end the chemical and surgical mutilation of children, including regulatory and sub-regulatory actions, which may involve the following laws, programs, issues, or documents:
 - (i) Medicare or Medicaid conditions of participation or conditions for coverage;
 - (ii) clinical-abuse or inappropriate-use assessments relevant to State Medicaid programs;
 - (iii) mandatory drug use reviews;
 - (iv) section 1557 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act;
 - (v) quality, safety, and oversight memoranda;
 - (vi) essential health benefits requirements; and
 - (vii) the Eleventh Revision of the International Classification of Diseases and other federally funded manuals, including the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition.
- (b) The Secretary of HHS shall promptly withdraw HHS's March 2, 2022, guidance document titled "HHS Notice and Guidance on Gender Affirming Care, Civil Rights and Patient Privacy" and, in consultation with the Attorney General, issue new guidance protecting whistleblowers who take action related to ensuring compliance with this order.
- **Sec. 6.** TRICARE. The Department of Defense provides health insurance, through TRICARE, to nearly 2 million individuals under the age of 18. As appropriate and consistent with applicable law, the Secretary of Defense shall commence a rulemaking or sub-regulatory action to exclude chemical and surgical mutilation of children from TRICARE coverage and amend the TRICARE provider handbook to exclude chemical and surgical mutilation of children.
- **Sec. 7**. Requirements for Insurance Carriers. The Director of the Office of Personnel Management, as appropriate and consistent with applicable law, shall:
- (a) include provisions in the Federal Employee Health Benefits (FEHB) and Postal Service Health Benefits (PSHB) programs call letter for the 2026 Plan Year specifying that eligible carriers, including the Foreign Service Benefit Plan, will exclude coverage for pediatric transgender surgeries or hormone treatments; and
- (b) negotiate to obtain appropriate corresponding reductions in FEHB and PSHB premiums.
- Sec. 8. Directives to the Department of Justice. The Attorney General shall:
- (a) review Department of Justice enforcement of section 116 of title 18, United States Code, and prioritize enforcement of protections against female genital mutilation;
- (b) convene States' Attorneys General and other law enforcement officers to coordinate the enforcement of laws against female genital mutilation across all American States and Territories;
- (c) prioritize investigations and take appropriate action to end deception of consumers, fraud, and violations of the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act by any entity that may be misleading the public about long-term side effects of chemical and surgical mutilation;

Sundamm

- (d) in consultation with the Congress, work to draft, propose, and promote legislation to enact a private right of action for children and the parents of children whose healthy body parts have been damaged by medical professionals practicing chemical and surgical mutilation, which should include a lengthy statute of limitations; and
- (e) prioritize investigations and take appropriate action to end child-abusive practices by so-called sanctuary States that facilitate stripping custody from parents who support the healthy development of their own children, including by considering the application of the Parental Kidnaping Prevention Act and recognized constitutional rights.
- **Sec. 9.** Enforcing Adequate Progress. Within 60 days of the date of this order, the heads of agencies with responsibilities under this order shall submit a single, combined report to the Assistant to the President for Domestic Policy, detailing progress in implementing this order and a timeline for future action. The Assistant to the President for Domestic Policy shall regularly convene the heads of agencies with responsibilities under this order (or their designees) to coordinate and prepare for this submission.
- **Sec. 10**. Severability. If any provision of this order, or the application of any provision to any person or circumstances, is held to be invalid, the remainder of this order and the application of any of its other provisions to any other persons or circumstances shall not be affected thereby.
- **Sec. 11**. *General Provisions*. (a) Nothing in this order shall be construed to impair or otherwise affect:
 - (i) the authority granted by law to an executive department or agency, or the head thereof; or
 - (ii) the functions of the Director of the Office of Management and Budget relating to budgetary, administrative, or legislative proposals.
- (b) This order shall be implemented consistent with applicable law and subject to the availability of appropriations.
- (c) This order is not intended to, and does not, create any right or benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law or in equity by any party against the United States, its departments, agencies, or entities, its officers, employees, or agents, or any other person.

THE WHITE HOUSE, January 28, 2025.

EXHIBIT D



Office of the Attorney General Washington, D. C. 20530

April 22, 2025

MEMORANDUM FOR SELECT COMPONENT HEADS

FROM:

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

SUBJECT:

PREVENTING THE MUTILATION OF AMERICAN CHILDREN

There is a radical ideological agenda being pushed throughout every aspect of American life—from TV programming and Hollywood film production to children's books and elementary school classrooms—that teaches children to deny biological reality. Gender ideology, masked as science, teaches that children should process adolescent stress and confusion as a case of mistaken identity and that the solution is not to root out and eliminate the underlying condition but to acquiesce in it permanently through life-altering chemical and surgical intervention. That ideology, pushed by far-left politicians, celebrities, politically captured academics, and legacy media, has infected an entire generation of children, who have in turn pushed transgenderism on their peers through social media and other means. Dissenting voices are bullied into silence, and "allies" are praised and rewarded. Tragic and absurd as it is that 1.4% of 13- to 17-year-olds now identify as transgender, that is the predictable result of a coordinated, unchecked ideological attack on America's children.

The medical community, with its roots in hard science, is well-positioned to serve as a bulwark against this sociological disease. And indeed, parents who are desperate to help their confused, frustrated children have understandably turned to medical professionals for help. Unfortunately, those parents have been betrayed by politically captured profiteers at every step. These "professionals" have deployed junk science and false claims about the effects of so-called "gender-affirming care" to justify the barbaric practice of surgically and chemically maiming and sterilizing children. Between 2019 and 2023, an estimated 14,000 children received "treatment" for gender dysphoria, with more than 5,700 subjected to life-altering surgeries.² The practitioners who provided this so-called "care" profited while their patients were left permanently disfigured, scarred, and sterilized. Those children will struggle for the rest of their lives to overcome regret, and their parents will struggle equally to overcome the guilt of ruining their children's lives on the

¹ Azeen Ghorayshi, *Report Reveals Sharp Rise in Transgender Young People in the U.S.*, N.Y. Times (June 10, 2022), https://www.nytimes.com/2022/06/10/science/transgender-teenagers-national-survey.html.

² Rikki Schlott, *Over 5,700 American children had trans surgery between 2019 and 2023, medical group claims: 'Treated like guinea pigs,*' N.Y. Post (Oct. 8, 2024), https://nypost.com/2024/10/08/us-news/over-5700-americans-under-18-had-trans-surgery-from-2019-23/.

Memorandum for All Department Employees Subject: Preventing the Mutilation of American Children Page 2

false and misleading advice of medical providers who told them that surgery or hormone replacement was the best solution to their problems.³

Consider the case of Chloe Cole, whose story, sadly, is not unique.⁴ At just 11 years old, Chloe joined Instagram and was bombarded with "LGBT content and activism." She "saw how trans people online got an overwhelming amount of support," and that "really spoke to [her] because, at the time," she was just a child and "didn't really have a lot of friends of [her] own." She was especially vulnerable at that age because, like many young girls, Chloe felt that her "body didn't match beauty ideals," so she "started to wonder if there was something wrong with" her, even wondering whether she would "be better off as a boy." By the age of 12, Chloe identified as transgender, and she "was fast-tracked through her entire transition—from blockers to a mastectomy—in just two years." "The only pushback she . . . encountered came from the first endocrinologist she saw," but she bypassed that easily by going "to another doctor who gave her the prescription with no trouble." Despite the "vitriol from the transgender activist community," Chloe has bravely shared her regret with the world at just 17 years old because she simply "can't let this happen to other kids." Neither can I, and neither can President Trump.

The Biden administration bears enormous responsibility for the medical community's fraud and exploitation of parents and children who have fallen prey to radical gender ideology. President Biden personally advanced the agenda by hosting transgender activist influencers like Dylan Mulvaney at the White House, 11 opposing state-level bans on gender-affirming care for minors, 12 threatening legal action against Medicaid and Obamacare providers who fail to offer

³ See, e.g., Dr. Marc Siegel et al., *Detransitioning becomes growing choice among young people after gender-affirming surgery*, Fox News (Dec. 19, 2022), https://www.foxnews.com/health/detransitioning-becomes-growing-choice-young-peoplegender-affirming-surgery.

⁴ Chloe Cole, Hearing on Gender Affirming Care before the Subcommittee on the Constitution and Limited Government of the H. Judiciary Comm., 118th Cong. (2023).

⁵ Rikki Schlott, 'I literally lost organs:' Why detransitioned teens regret changing genders, N.Y. Post (June 19, 2022), https://nypost.com/2022/06/18/detransitioned-teens-explain-why-they-regret-changing-genders/.

⁶ *Id*.

⁷ *Id*.

⁸ *Id*.

⁹ *Id*.

¹⁰ *Id*.

¹¹ Emma Colton, *Biden legacy includes relentless push for transgender agenda*, Fox News (Dec. 8, 2024), https://www.foxnews.com/politics/biden-legacy-includes-relentless-push-transgender-agenda.

¹² Edie Heipel, *In interview with trans activist, Biden condemns states banning sex changes on kids*, (Oct. 24, 2022), Catholic News Agency, https://www.catholicnewsagency.com/news/252633/in-interview-with-trans-activist-biden-condemns-states-banning-sex-changes-on-kids.

such care, ¹³ and appointing Rachel Levine—a leading transgender activist who personally identifies as transgender—to serve as Assistant Secretary for Health. Under Levine, the Department of Health and Human Services promoted gender-reassignment surgeries and hormone replacement for the treatment of gender dysphoria in minors¹⁴ and pressured the World Professional Association for Transgender Health ("WPATH") to eliminate age minimums for reassignment surgeries in its 2022 guidelines. ¹⁵ All the while, NIH-funded studies admitted that "little to no empirical data" supported the long-term safety of puberty blockers and hormones, let alone sex-reassignment surgery. ¹⁶ To address the lack of scientific support for his agenda, President Biden allocated more than \$8 million of taxpayer funds for transgender hormone studies on mice. ¹⁷

President Trump has put a stop to this by issuing his executive order "Protecting Children from Chemical and Surgical Mutilation," signed to halt the exploitation enabled by misguided Biden-era policies. Pursuant to the President's directive, I am issuing the following guidance to all Department of Justice employees to enforce rigorous protections and hold accountable those who prey on vulnerable children and their parents.

I. Enforcement of Laws Outlawing Female Genital Mutilation

The Department of Justice will not sit idly by while doctors, motivated by ideology, profits, or both, exploit and mutilate our children. Under my watch, the Department will act decisively to protect our children and hold accountable those who mutilate them under the guise of care. I am putting medical practitioners, hospitals, and clinics on notice: In the United States, it is a felony to perform, attempt to perform, or conspire to perform female genital mutilation ("FGM") on any person under the age of 18.¹⁸ That crime carries a maximum prison sentence of 10 years per count.¹⁹ I am directing all U.S. Attorneys to investigate all suspected cases of FGM—under the

¹³ Executive Order 14075, Advancing Equality for Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Oueer, and Intersex Individuals, 87 Fed. Reg. 37189 (June 15, 2022).

¹⁴ Timothy Nerozzi, *Biden administration endorses transgender youth sex-change operations, 'top surgery,' hormone therapy*, Fox News, March 31, 2022, https://www.foxnews.com/politics/biden-administration-transgender-agenda-youth-sex-change-hormone-therapy.

¹⁵ Azeen Ghorayshi, *Biden Officials Pushed to Remove Age Limits for Trans Surgery, Documents Show*, N.Y. Times (June 25, 2024), https://www.nytimes.com/2024/06/25/health/transgender-minors-surgeries.html.

¹⁶ Patrick Hauf, *Biden administration funds studies on danger of transgender hormonal treatments even as it pushes them on kids*, Fox News, (Oct. 20, 2022), https://www.foxnews.com/politics/biden-funds-studies-dangers-transgender-hormone-treatments.

¹⁷ The White House, March 5, 2025, https://www.whitehouse.gov/articles/2025/03/yes-biden-spent-millions-on-transgender-animal-experiments/.

¹⁸ See 18 U.S.C. § 116(a)(1).

¹⁹ *Id.* § 116(a).

banner of so-called "gender-affirming care" or otherwise—and to prosecute all FGM offenses to the fullest extent possible.

The Department will also ensure that victims and their families are able to report violations to federal law enforcement to expose violators and receive support. The Federal Bureau of Investigation, alongside federal, state, and local partners, will pursue every legitimate lead on possible FGM cases.

II. Investigation of Violations of the Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act and False Claims Act

The Department of Justice will investigate and hold accountable medical providers and pharmaceutical companies that mislead the public about the long-term side effects of chemical and surgical mutilations. To that end:

- I am directing the Civil Division's Consumer Protection Branch to undertake appropriate investigations of any violations of the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act by manufacturers and distributors engaged in misbranding by making false claims about the on- or off-label use of puberty blockers, sex hormones, or any other drug used to facilitate a child's so-called "gender transition." Even if otherwise truthful, the promotion of off-label uses of hormones—including through informal campaigns like those conducted by sales reps or under the guise of sponsored continuing medical education courses—run afoul of the FDA's prohibitions on misbranding and mislabeling.²⁰
- I am also directing the Civil Division's Fraud Section to pursue investigations under the False Claims Act of false claims submitted to federal health care programs for any non-covered services related to radical gender experimentation. Examples include but are not limited to physicians prescribing puberty blockers to a child for an illegitimate reason (e.g., gender dysphoria) but reporting a legitimate purpose (i.e., early onset puberty) to the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, and hospitals performing surgical procedures to remove or modify a child's sex organs while billing Medicaid for an entirely different procedure. Falsely billing the government for the chemical or surgical mutilation of a child is a violation of the False Claims Act and is subject to treble damages and severe penalties.
- I am also notifying the public that the Department is eager to work with *qui tam* whistleblowers with knowledge of any such violations. The False Claims Act allows private citizens to file these actions on behalf of the government against those who have defrauded the government. In meritorious cases, the Department of Justice can intervene, and even if the Department takes over the case, the relator may receive a portion of the government's financial recovery. In 2024 alone, *qui tam* relators received a \$344 million share of victories won by the Department. For more information about initiating a *qui tam* action, please visit the Department's website at

 $^{^{20}}$ See 21 U.S.C. §§ 321(m)-(n), 331, 352(a), (f); 21 C.F.R. §§ 201.100, 201.128, 202.1(l)(2).

Memorandum for All Department Employees Subject: Preventing the Mutilation of American Children Page 5

https://www.justice.gov/archives/jm/criminal-resource-manual-932-provisions-handling-qui-tam-suits-filed-under-false-claims-act.

III. Ending Reliance on Junk Science by the Department

Consistent with Section 3 of the President's Order, the Civil Division has already directed that Department employees shall not rely on the ideologically driven WPATH guidelines, and that they should withdraw all court filings that rely on WPATH's guidelines in any case in which the Department of Justice is actively involved, whether as a party, an amicus, or through the submission of a statement of interest. For the avoidance of doubt, I now expressly extend that direction to all Department employees. I further direct the Civil Rights Division to work with the Civil Division to identify and purge all Department policies, memoranda, and publications and court filings based on WPATH guidelines. WPATH has flouted basic standards for clinical guidelines, silenced its own evidence review team to bury doubts about the science WPATH promotes, muzzled dissenting members, and worked with the prior administration to push reckless policies—like doing away with age minimums for child surgeries.²¹ That is not science; it is radical ideology that endangers children with untested theories, and it has no place in the Department's work. WPATH's guidelines are fundamentally flawed and unreliable, and the Department will not use them in any way that suggests otherwise.

IV. Establish Federal and State Coalition Against Child Mutilation

Federal law enforcement must stand ready to assist states that prioritize children's health over ideology. Accordingly, the Department is launching the Attorney General's Coalition Against Child Mutilation. Through this Coalition, I will partner with state attorneys general to identify leads, share intelligence, and build cases against hospitals and practitioners violating federal or state laws banning female genital mutilation and other, related practices. The Department will support the state-level prosecution of medical professionals who violate state laws that protect children, such as Alabama's Vulnerable Child Compassion and Protection Act,²² which makes it a felony for doctors to treat children with puberty blockers or hormones to affirm a gender identity inconsistent with biological sex.

V. Promoting New Legislation Protecting Children

I have instructed the Office of Legislative Affairs ("OLA") to draft legislation creating a private right of action for children and the parents of children whose healthy body parts have been damaged by medical professionals through chemical and surgical mutilation. The proposed legislation will establish a long statute of limitations and retroactive liability, so that no one providing such "treatment" will escape liability. The Department of Justice will work with members of the House and Senate Judiciary Committees to bring this bill to President Trump as soon as possible. Further, I have instructed OLA to draft legislation amending 18 U.S.C. § 116 to enhance protections for children whose healthy body parts have been damaged by medical

²¹ See, e.g., Defs.' Mot., Boe v. United States, No. 2:22-cv-00184 (M.D. Ala. Jun. 26, 2024).

²² Ala. Code § 26-26-1 (2022).

Memorandum for All Department Employees Subject: Preventing the Mutilation of American Children Page 6

professionals practicing chemical and surgical mutilation. I will also work with state legislatures to encourage the passage of similar legislation at the state level.

* * *

Protecting America's children must be our top priority, whether from drug cartels, terrorists, or even our own medical community. Every day, we hear more harrowing stories about children who will suffer for the rest of their lives because of the unconscionable ideology behind "gender-affirming care." Under my leadership, the Department of Justice will bring these practices to an end.

EXHIBIT E



U.S. Department of Justice Civil Division

Digitally signed by BRETT SHUMATE Date: 2025.06.11 12:27:50 -04'00'

Office of the Assistant Attorney General

Washington, DC 20044

June 11, 2025

MEMORANDUM

TO: All Civil Division Employees

FROM: Brett A. Shumate BRETT

Assistant Attorney General SHUMATE

SUBJECT: Civil Division Enforcement Priorities

President Trump and Attorney General Bondi have directed the Civil Division to use its enforcement authorities to advance the Administration's policy objectives. This memorandum describes those policy objectives and directs Civil Division attorneys to prioritize investigations and enforcement actions advancing these priorities.

1. Combatting Discriminatory Practices and Policies

On January 21, 2025, President Trump issued Executive Order 14,173, Ending Illegal Discrimination and Restoring Merit-Based Opportunity, 90 Fed. Reg. 8633 (Jan. 21, 2025), which established "the policy of the United States to protect the civil rights of all Americans and to promote individual initiative, excellence, and hard work." Id. § 2. To this end, the President "order[ed] all agencies to enforce our longstanding civil-rights laws and to combat illegal private-sector DEI preferences, mandates, policies, programs, and activities." Id. As part of these efforts, Attorney General Bondi has directed that the Department align its "litigating positions with [the] requirement of equal dignity and respect." Memorandum from Attorney General, Eliminating Internal Discriminatory Practices, at 2 (Feb. 5, 2025).

Consistent with these directives, the Civil Division will use all available resources to pursue affirmative litigation combatting unlawful discriminatory practices in the private sector. In particular, the Civil Division is authorized to bring suit under the False Claims Act for treble damages and penalties against any person who knowingly submits or causes the submission of false claims to the government. 31 U.S.C. § 3729 et seq. This includes entities that receive federal funds but knowingly violate civil rights laws. In support of these efforts, the Deputy Attorney General recently announced the Civil Rights Fraud Initiative. The Civil Division is committed to advancing the Initiative and will aggressively investigate and, as appropriate, pursue False Claims Act violations against recipients of federal funds that knowingly violate civil rights laws. The Civil Division will work with the Civil Rights Division, relators, other whistleblowers, and federal agencies to advance these efforts.

2. Ending Antisemitism

On January 29, 2025, President Trump issued Executive Order 14,188, Additional Measures to Combat Anti-Semitism, 90 Fed. Reg. 8847 (Feb. 3, 2025), which established the "policy of the United States to combat anti-Semitism vigorously, using all available and appropriate legal tools, to prosecute, remove, or otherwise hold accountable the perpetrators of unlawful anti-Semitic harassment and violence," id. § 2, and encouraged the "Attorney General ... to employ appropriate civil-rights enforcement authorities ... to combat anti-Semitism," id. § 3(c). The Executive Order also reaffirmed Executive Order 13,899, Combating Anti-Semitism, 84 Fed. Reg. 68779 (Dec. 16, 2019).

The Attorney General has established Joint Task Force October 7 ("JTF 10-7") and directed components to "prioritize seeking justice for victims of the October 7, 2023 terrorist attack in Israel" as well as "combatting antisemitic acts of terrorism and civil rights violations in the homeland." Memorandum from Attorney General, *Establishment of Joint Task Force October 7*, at 1 (Feb. 5, 2025). To assist these enforcement efforts, the Civil Division will prioritize investigations and enforcement actions against entities that make claims for federal funds but knowingly violate federal civil rights laws by participating in or allowing antisemitism.

3. Protecting Women and Children

The President has issued several Executive Orders protecting women and children. On January 20, the President issued Executive Order 14,168, *Defending Women from Gender Ideology Extremism and Restoring Biological Truth to the Federal Government*, 90 Fed. Reg. 8615 (Jan. 30, 2025), which established the "policy of the United States to recognize two sexes, male and female." *Id.* § 2. On February 3, President Trump issued Executive Order 14,187, *Protecting Children from Chemical and Surgical Mutilation*, 90 Fed. Reg. 8771 (Feb. 3, 2025), which directed the Attorney General to, among other things, "prioritize investigations and take appropriate action to end deception of consumers, fraud, and violations of the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act by any entity that may be misleading the public about long-term side effects of chemical and surgical mutilation." *Id.* § 8(c).

Following these directives, Attorney General Bondi directed the Civil Division to "act decisively to protect our children and hold accountable those who mutilate them under the guise of care" and "to undertake appropriate investigations of any violations of the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act by manufacturers and distributors engaged in misbranding by making false claims about the on- or off-label use of puberty blockers, sex hormones, or any other drug used to facilitate a child's so-called 'gender transition.'" Memorandum from Attorney General, *Preventing the Mutilation of American Children*, at 3-4 (April 22, 2025). The Attorney General also directed the Civil Division "to pursue investigations under the False Claims Act of false claims submitted to federal health care programs for any non-covered services related to radical gender experimentation." *Id.* at 4.

The Civil Division will use all available resources to prioritize investigations of doctors, hospitals, pharmaceutical companies, and other appropriate entities consistent with these directives. These efforts will include, but will not be limited to, possible violations of the Food,

Drug, and Cosmetic Act and other laws by (1) pharmaceutical companies that manufacture drugs used in connection with so-called gender transition and (2) dealers such as online pharmacies suspected of illegally selling such drugs. 31 U.S.C. § 301 *et seq*. In addition, the Civil Division will aggressively pursue claims under the False Claims Act against health care providers that bill the federal government for impermissible services. This includes, for example, providers that attempt to evade state bans on gender dysphoria treatments by knowingly submitting claims to Medicaid with false diagnosis codes.

4. Ending Sanctuary Jurisdictions

On President Trump's first day in office, he issued multiple directives to secure the southern border. See Proclamation 10,886, Declaring a National Emergency at the Southern Border of the United States, 90 Fed. Reg. 8327 (Jan. 20, 2025); Executive Order 14,159, Protecting the American People Against Invasion, 90 Fed. Reg. 8443 (Jan. 20, 2025). To ensure that States and local governments promote the enforcement of our nation's immigration laws, he also issued Executive Order 14,287, Protecting American Communities from Criminal Aliens, 90 Fed. Reg. 18761 (April 28, 2025). This built on the President's previous Executive Order 13,768, Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States, 82 Fed. Reg. 8799 (January 25, 2017). Moreover, Attorney General Bondi has directed the Civil Division to "identify state and local laws, policies, and practices that facilitate violations of federal immigration laws or impede lawful federal immigration operations" and "take legal action to challenge such laws, policies, or practices," where appropriate. Memorandum from Attorney General, Sanctuary Jurisdiction Directive, at 3 (Feb. 5, 2025). Consistent with this directive, the Civil Division shall prioritize affirmative litigation to invalidate any State or local laws preempted by Federal law.

5. Prioritizing Denaturalization

The Department of Justice may institute civil proceedings to revoke a person's United States citizenship if an individual either "illegally procured" naturalization or procured naturalization by "concealment of a material fact or by willful misrepresentation." 8 U.S.C. § 1451(a). The benefits of civil denaturalization include the government's ability to revoke the citizenship of individuals who engaged in the commission of war crimes, extrajudicial killings, or other serious human rights abuses; to remove naturalized criminals, gang members, or, indeed, any individuals convicted of crimes who pose an ongoing threat to the United States; and to prevent convicted terrorists from returning to U.S. soil or traveling internationally on a U.S. passport. At a fundamental level, it also supports the overall integrity of the naturalization program by ensuring that those who unlawfully procured citizenship, including those who obtained it through fraud or concealment of material information, do not maintain the benefits of the unlawful procurement.

The Civil Division shall prioritize and maximally pursue denaturalization proceedings in all cases permitted by law and supported by the evidence. To promote the pursuit of all viable denaturalization cases available under 8 U.S.C. § 1451 and maintain the integrity of the naturalization system while simultaneously ensuring an appropriate allocation of resources, the Civil Division has established the following categories of priorities for denaturalization cases:

- 1. Cases against individuals who pose a potential danger to national security, including those with a nexus to terrorism, espionage, or the unlawful export from the United States of sensitive goods, technology, or information raising national security concerns;
- 2. Cases against individuals who engaged in torture, war crimes, or other human rights violations;
- 3. Cases against individuals who further or furthered the unlawful enterprise of criminal gangs, transnational criminal organizations, and drug cartels;
- 4. Cases against individuals who committed felonies that were not disclosed during the naturalization process;
- 5. Cases against individuals who committed human trafficking, sex offenses, or violent crimes;
- 6. Cases against individuals who engaged in various forms of financial fraud against the United States (including Paycheck Protection Program ("PPP") loan fraud and Medicaid/Medicare fraud);
- 7. Cases against individuals who engaged in fraud against private individuals, funds, or corporations;
- 8. Cases against individuals who acquired naturalization through government corruption, fraud, or material misrepresentations, not otherwise addressed by another priority category;
- 9. Cases referred by a United States Attorney's Office or in connection with pending criminal charges, if those charges do not fit within one of the other priorities; and
- 10. Any other cases referred to the Civil Division that the Division determines to be sufficiently important to pursue.

These categories are intended to guide the Civil Division in prioritizing which cases to pursue; however, these categories do not limit the Civil Division from pursuing any particular case, nor are they listed in a particular order of importance. Further, the Civil Division retains the discretion to pursue cases outside of these categories as it determines appropriate. The assignment of denaturalization cases may be made across sections or units based on experience, subject-matter expertise, and the overall needs of the Civil Division.