



Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination

Meeting Minutes

Date/Time: Tuesday, May 12, 2026, at 10:00 AM
Place: Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination
1 Ashburton Place, Suite 601, Boston, MA 02108
Format: In-Person Meeting with YouTube Livestream for Public Observation
Livestream: https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCh_wjAthLJTQf-KMgMGv1Yw

The Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination held a public meeting on Tuesday, May 12, 2026, in person, with commissioners and staff participating at the meeting location. The meeting was livestreamed for public observation.

Commissioners Present:

Chair Sunila Thomas George
Commissioner Christina Brown
Commissioner Jeremy Scheiner
Commissioner Edward Mitnick
Commissioner Steven Locke

Staff Present:

Michael Memmolo, Executive Director
Deirdre Ann Hosler, General Counsel
Diane Nordbye, Deputy General Counsel
Lila L. Roberts, Esq., Chief of Investigations
Andrew Espinosa, Deputy Chief of Investigations
Ruthy Barros, Recording Secretary

1. Call to Order

Chair Sunila Thomas George called the meeting to order at 10:08 AM. The Chair stated that notice of the meeting and agenda had been properly filed with the Secretary of the Commonwealth and posted on the Commission's website in accordance with the Massachusetts Open Meeting Law.

The Chair conducted a roll call. Commissioner Brown, Commissioner Locke, Commissioner Mitnick, Commissioner Scheiner, and Chair Thomas George were present. The Chair announced that a quorum was present.

Roll Call Attendance:

<i>Chair Thomas George</i>	<i>Present.</i>
<i>Commissioner Brown</i>	<i>Present.</i>
<i>Commissioner Locke</i>	<i>Present.</i>

Commissioner Mitnick
Commissioner Scheiner

Present.
Present.

2. Approval of the April 3, 2026 and April 6, 2026 Commission Meeting Minutes

The Chair introduced the draft minutes from the April 3, 2026 and April 6, 2026 Commission meetings. Hearing no discussion, the Commission voted unanimously to approve the minutes by a vote of 5-0.

Roll Call Vote:

<i>Chair Thomas George</i>	<i>Aye.</i>
<i>Commissioner Brown</i>	<i>Aye.</i>
<i>Commissioner Locke</i>	<i>Aye.</i>
<i>Commissioner Mitnick</i>	<i>Aye.</i>
<i>Commissioner Scheiner</i>	<i>Aye.</i>

3. Executive Director and Commissioner Announcements

Executive Director Memmolo announced that the Commission is working to finalize the transition date for the Fall River office. He stated that a grand opening is planned for Friday, May 22, with the time to be determined.

Commissioner Brown noted that Justine LaVoye, Communications Director, had shared that the City of Boston recently recognized the inaugural George Friday Day, honoring a pivotal civil rights case investigated by the Commission nearly 80 years ago.

Commissioner Scheiner recognized Asian American and Pacific Islander Heritage Month and Jewish American Heritage Month. The Commission also acknowledged Chair Thomas George's belated birthday.

4. Discussion and Review of Proposed Revisions to and Public Comments Received on MCAD Draft Rules of Procedure, 804 CMR 1.00

The Commission continued its review of proposed revisions to 804 CMR 1.00 and public comments received.

Chair Thomas George noted that a revised cleanup version had been circulated following prior discussions. The Commission resumed its review near 804 CMR 1.08, concerning investigative dispositions and appeals, but first addressed several consistency items.

Chair Thomas George suggested revising the definition of "probable cause" to match the language used in 804 CMR 1.08(1)(f). She proposed language stating that probable cause means it is more probable than not that a respondent committed an unlawful practice or violated a statute under the Commission's jurisdiction. The Commission agreed that the broader wording would avoid confusion and cover Chapter 151B, Chapter 151C, and other statutes enforced by the Commission.

Chair Thomas George next proposed revising the definition of "public hearing" by replacing

“charges” with “claims” and removing “in the complaint.” She explained that, at the public hearing stage, the matter concerns claims certified to public hearing rather than the original complaint.

The Commission then discussed 804 CMR 1.04(7), concerning pseudonym complaints. Chair Thomas George recommended changing “a complaint” to “the complaint” to make clear that, if a motion to proceed under a pseudonym is denied, the complainant may not submit a new or materially different complaint. General Counsel Hosler explained that, as a technical matter in CCMS, complaint information may be entered before the pseudonym motion is resolved, but the complaint is not filed until the issue is decided. Commissioner Mitnick questioned the use of “authorize,” and the Commission discussed using “consent” instead.

The Commission next discussed 804 CMR 1.05(1), concerning preservation of evidence. Chair Thomas George initially questioned whether language stating that notice of a complaint may occur during preliminary investigation was necessary. She expressed concern that the language could create additional burdens if limited contact with a respondent were later argued to trigger preservation duties or dismissal-notice obligations.

Commissioner Scheiner asked whether the language served a useful purpose by warning respondents that they may have a duty to preserve evidence once they are aware of a complaint. General Counsel Hosler explained that this was the reason the sentence had been included. Executive Director Memmolo noted that CCMS has the capacity to serve during preliminary investigation if needed. Chief of Investigations Roberts explained that Investigations may send preservation-of-evidence letters when a respondent is notified before formal service.

Commissioner Locke stated that, if MCAD contacts a respondent about a complaint, the first communication should include a preservation notice to avoid later disputes about notice. Commissioner Mitnick noted that preservation duties may arise even before MCAD affirmatively notifies a respondent, depending on what the respondent already knows. Commissioner Brown asked how preservation and closure letters would be sent. The discussion emphasized that clear opening and closing letters would help respondents understand the process.

After discussion, Chair Thomas George stated that she was comfortable with the preservation-letter approach. She clarified that minor contact, such as confirming an email address or number of employees, would not amount to notice of the allegations. However, if MCAD contacts a respondent in a way that informs the respondent about the complaint or allegations, a preservation-of-evidence letter should be sent.

The Commission then discussed 804 CMR 1.08(4), concerning motions for reconsideration. Chair Thomas George stated that a respondent’s motion for reconsideration based on the absence of a genuine issue of material fact should be filed after the close of discovery but before issuance of the certification order. The Commission agreed that the language should make both requirements clear.

The Commission next discussed 804 CMR 1.12(C), concerning appeals and the Commission’s

discretion to hold hearings. The discussion clarified that appeals would generally proceed by written review, but the Commission may allow a live hearing in person, by telephone, or virtually, at its discretion. Commissioner Locke raised a harmonization issue between written appeals and oral hearings. The Commission discussed clarifying that the written submission is the appeal, while a live hearing is an additional process the Commission may allow.

The Commission also discussed removing language that “strongly discouraged” certain filings, agreeing that regulatory language should be neutral and should state the operative rule directly. The Commission revisited 804 CMR 1.08(15) and confirmed that the phrase “within six months of dismissal” would be removed.

The Commission then discussed written appeals and extensions of time. Chair Thomas George questioned whether the regulation should limit parties to only one extension where good cause is shown. She noted that serious circumstances, such as illness or hospitalization, may justify more than one extension. The discussion addressed the balance between flexibility and administrative efficiency. Commissioner Brown expressed concern that repeated extension requests can become burdensome and delay the process. The Commission appeared to favor keeping the one-extension limitation while recognizing that reasonable accommodation requests remain available separately.

The Commission next discussed 804 CMR 1.09(A), concerning relief that may be sought during conciliation. A public comment suggested adding interest and out-of-pocket expenses. The Commission discussed whether interest should be listed because conciliation is a settlement process rather than an adjudicated award. The Commission recognized that parties may already raise interest and out-of-pocket expenses during settlement discussions, and that the existing list is non-exhaustive.

The Commission then discussed settlement offers made during the conference phase. A public comment suggested adding future litigation costs and maintenance of profitability as factors in determining whether a settlement offer is reasonable. The Commission discussed these suggested additions but did not appear inclined to include them, noting that the analysis should focus on the value and merits of the case rather than speculative costs or broader business considerations.

A break was called at approximately 11:27 AM. The meeting resumed at approximately 11:40 AM.

After the break, the Commission resumed with 804 CMR 1.10, concerning discovery. The Commission discussed clarifying that a party responding to a request for production must serve both a written response and copies of responsive documents within the applicable timeframe. The purpose was to avoid an interpretation that a party could meet the deadline by serving only objections or promises to produce documents later.

The Commission also discussed whether subpoena language in 804 CMR 1.10 should cross-reference 804 CMR 1.14. The discussion recognized that the cross-reference may provide clarity, although some Commissioners noted that the term “subpoena” may already be sufficiently understood.

The Commission discussed removing the word “papers” from document-production language because the term is already included within the definition of “documents.”

The Commission next reviewed certification of claims to public hearing and identified a typo. The Commission determined that only the typo needed to be corrected.

The Commission then discussed the public hearing section and who may adjudicate public hearings. Commissioner Locke noted that, because the following subsection addresses substitution of an adjudicator, the section should first identify that a public hearing may be conducted by an adjudicator appointed by the Commission. The Office of the General Counsel agreed that this was one of the places where the regulations should refer to an individual adjudicator appointed by the Commission.

The Commission also discussed whether to reference M.G.L. c. 30A, § 11, which governs adjudicatory proceedings. The discussion clarified that the adjudicator may be the Commission or an individual adjudicator appointed by the Commission.

The Commission reviewed language concerning public hearing conduct. Chair Thomas George recommended replacing “representatives” with “counsel” because, at the public hearing stage, parties may appear pro se or through an attorney admitted to the Massachusetts bar.

The Commission then discussed protective orders at the public hearing stage and whether good cause should be expressly connected to the public interest. The discussion emphasized that public hearings involve a heightened public interest because evidence is presented in a public forum. Commissioner Locke explained that including public-interest language would preserve the Commission’s discretion if a decision were later challenged. The Commission agreed to keep public-interest language in the public hearing protective-order provision.

The Commission discussed a public comment from MCAD staff concerning the role of the Commission after a certification order issues. The discussion clarified that, once the Commission issues a certification order and Commission Counsel prosecutes the matter, Commission Counsel appears on behalf of the Commission in the prosecutorial role and may proceed it with or without the complainant’s participation.

The Commission also discussed default at the public hearing stage. Chair Thomas George suggested that, if the defaulting party is the complainant, the complaint “may be dismissed,” rather than requiring dismissal, to preserve the Commission’s discretion. The Commission also discussed that, if a respondent defaults, the hearing proceeds, but the prosecuting party must still present sufficient evidence to establish liability and remedy.

The Commission discussed attorney’s fees language. The bar had objected to use of the word “average” and suggested “fair market rate.” The discussion also addressed proposed fee-petition requirements, including detailed contemporaneous time records, a breakdown of costs, and a supporting affidavit from every attorney for whom fees are sought. The Commission discussed concerns with the phrase “local market” because it may create confusion about the relevant geographic market. The discussion appeared to favor using “fair market hourly rate,” with the

hearing officer or hearing commissioner retaining discretion to evaluate supporting materials submitted with fee petitions, including affidavits, fee charts, or other documentation as appropriate.

The Commission discussed revising the heading “Motions and Requests” to “Requests and Motions” because the first subsection concerns requests and the remaining provisions concern motions.

The Commission discussed removing explanatory language stating that pre-probable cause motions slow investigations. Chair Thomas George stated that the regulations should state the operative rule directly and avoid opinion-style language. The Commission acknowledged the workload caused by motion practice but appeared to support removing the explanatory language from the regulation.

The Commission discussed reorganizing the motion section for clarity, including separate subsections for authority, context, and filing. The Commission also discussed public comments requesting additional motion categories, but the Office of the General Counsel did not recommend expanding motion practice at this time.

The Commission reviewed reply and sur-reply memoranda. Chair Thomas George recommended removing language stating that such filings are “strongly disfavored” and retaining the substantive limitation that they may only address matters raised in the opposition or reply that were not, and could not have been, addressed previously.

The Commission also discussed reorganizing the post-probable cause motion section. Commissioner Locke suggested that the section should begin with the general procedure before addressing motions at public hearing. The Commission agreed the section should be reorganized for clarity.

Before moving to 804 CMR 1.14, the Commission briefly returned to the definitions section. Chair Thomas George suggested simplifying the definition of “order” to read: “Order means an instruction, ruling, direction, or decision of the Commission.” The Commission also discussed revising the definition of “party” to avoid stating that a complaint is certified to public hearing, because claims, not complaints, are certified.

The Commission then moved to 804 CMR 1.14. Chair Thomas George raised a concern about language requiring a showing of economic hardship and interest of justice before a party may request that the Commission issue a subpoena. She questioned whether that language conflicted with M.G.L. c. 30A, § 12(3). The Commission discussed whether the standard should focus on economic hardship, the interest of justice, public interest, or the Commission’s discretion.

The Commission clarified that the issue is not whether a party may seek subpoenas generally, but whether the Commission itself should issue a subpoena on a party’s behalf. The preferred approach was to state that, upon a showing of economic hardship, a party may request that a subpoena be issued by the Commission, and that issuance remains within the Commission’s discretion.

General Counsel Hosler confirmed that the next draft would incorporate the agreed-upon edits, including the broader cleanup to use “Commission” consistently throughout the regulations.

5. Next Meeting Dates

The next meetings were confirmed for Wednesday, May 27, 2026, from 5:00 PM to 7:00 PM, and Friday, May 29, 2026, from 10:00 AM to 12:00 PM.

6. Other Business

The Chair asked whether there was any other business. No additional business was raised.

7. Adjournment

Commissioner Mitnick moved to adjourn the meeting. Chair Thomas George seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously, and the meeting adjourned at approximately 1:02 PM.

Meeting Materials

Meeting materials included the draft proposed revisions to 804 CMR 1.00, the Office of the General Counsel memorandum, written public comments received regarding the proposed revisions, and supporting materials presented or referenced during the meeting.



NOTICE OF MEETING AND AGENDA

Pursuant to the Massachusetts Open Meeting Law, M.G.L. c. 30A, §§ 18-25, and the extended temporary provisions pertaining to the Open Meeting Law (most recently extended on March 28, 2025), notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination. The meeting will take place:

Tuesday | May 12, 2026 | 10:00 AM

In-Person Meeting with YouTube Livestream


https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCh_wjAthLJTQf-KMgMGv1Yw

Please note that the Commission will conduct this public meeting in person. The meeting will also be accessible to the public by livestream as an adequate alternative means of public access to the Commission's deliberations. If a technical issue affects the livestream, updated access information will be posted promptly at www.mass.gov/mcad.

All documents and presentations related to this agenda will be available for review on the MCAD website.

1. Call to Order
2. Approval of the April 3, 2026 and April 6, 2026 Commission Meeting Minutes **VOTE**
3. Discussion and Review of Proposed Revisions to and Public Comments Received on MCAD Draft Rules of Procedure, 804 CMR 1.00
4. Next Meeting Date – Friday, May 29, 2026, from 10:00 AM to 12:00 PM (tentative)
5. Other Business – Reserved for matters the Chair did not reasonably anticipate at the time of posting.
6. Adjournment

I certify that on this date, this Notice was posted as “MCAD Public Meeting Notice and Agenda (May 12, 2026)” at www.mass.gov/mcad and emailed to regs@sec.state.ma.us. Posted to website: April 28, 2026 at 2:30 PM.


Chairwoman, Sunila Thomas George

This meeting is open to all interested individuals. If there are any questions pertaining to accessibility and/or further assistance is needed, please email ruthy.barros2@mass.gov.



Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination Meeting Minutes

Date/Time: Friday, April 3, 2026, at 1:00 PM
Place: Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination
Hybrid Meeting (In-Person and Remote) and YouTube Livestream
https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCh_wjAthLJTQf-KMgMGv1Yw

The Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination held a public meeting on Friday, April 3, 2026, in a hybrid format, with commissioners and staff participating both in person and remotely. The meeting was livestreamed for public observation.

Commissioners Present:

Chair Sunila Thomas George
Commissioner Christina Brown
Commissioner Jeremy Scheiner
Commissioner Edward Mitnick
Commissioner Steven Locke

Staff Present:

Michael Memmolo, Executive Director
Deirdre Ann Hosler, General Counsel
Diane Nordbye, Deputy General Counsel
Lila L. Roberts, Esq., Chief of Investigations (remote)
Andrew Espinosa, Deputy Chief of Investigations
Ruthy Barros, Recording Secretary

1. Call to Order

Chair Sunila Thomas George called the meeting to order at 1:08 PM. The Chair stated that notice of the meeting and agenda had been properly posted with the Secretary of the Commonwealth and on the Commission's website in accordance with the Massachusetts Open Meeting Law.

The Chair then conducted a roll call of commissioners in alphabetical order. Commissioner Brown, Commissioner Locke, Commissioner Mitnick, Commissioner Scheiner, and Chair Thomas George were present. The Chair announced that a quorum was present and that the meeting could proceed.

Roll Call Attendance:

<i>Chair Thomas George</i>	<i>Present.</i>
<i>Commissioner Brown</i>	<i>Present.</i>
<i>Commissioner Locke</i>	<i>Present.</i>

Commissioner Mitnick
Commissioner Scheiner

Present.
Present.

2. Approval of Minutes from March 19, 2026

The Chair introduced the minutes from the March 19, 2026 meeting and asked whether the commissioners had any questions or discussion. Hearing none, Chair Thomas George moved to approve the minutes. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Mitnick, and the minutes were approved unanimously by a vote of 5–0.

Roll Call Vote:

<i>Chair Thomas George</i>	<i>Aye.</i>
<i>Commissioner Brown</i>	<i>Aye.</i>
<i>Commissioner Locke</i>	<i>Aye.</i>
<i>Commissioner Mitnick</i>	<i>Aye.</i>
<i>Commissioner Scheiner</i>	<i>Aye.</i>

3. Discussion and Review of Proposed Revisions to and Public Comments Received on MCAD Draft Rules of Procedure, 804 CMR 1.00

The Commission then moved to the main item on the agenda, which was the discussion and review of the proposed revisions to, and public comments received on, the MCAD Draft Rules of Procedure at 804 CMR 1.00. Before beginning the section-by-section review, Chair Thomas George offered introductory remarks. She noted the significance of the meeting, including that all five commissioners were present in person and that the Commission was engaged in the process of reviewing proposed regulatory revisions. The Chair thanked the members of the public, organizations, attorneys, and other constituents who submitted comments on the draft regulations. She stated that the Commission had reviewed the comments and appreciated the time, care, and concern reflected in them.

Chair Thomas George emphasized that MCAD remains open for business and continues to assist members of the public. She stated that the Commission is open Monday through Friday and that individuals who come to MCAD seeking assistance will continue to receive help. The Chair acknowledged that the Commission is undertaking several major initiatives at the same time and stated that, if something is not working, the agency will work to fix it. She further stated that the Commission should not be afraid of change and that the goal is to improve efficiency while preserving the agency's core mission of enforcing the civil rights laws of the Commonwealth.

The Chair thanked MCAD staff, leadership, the Office of the General Counsel, and Senior Hearing Officer Jason Barshak for their work on the proposed regulations and related materials. She acknowledged the amount of work that went into the regulatory process and thanked the Office of the General Counsel for its efforts in preparing the memorandum and supporting the Commission through the review process.

Commissioner Scheiner also offered remarks. He acknowledged several religious, cultural, and community observances, including Good Friday, Passover, Eid, Trans Day of Visibility, Arab American Heritage Month, Armenian American Heritage Month, and the recent passing of disability rights activist Alice Wong. Commissioner Scheiner thanked the General Counsel,

Deputy General Counsel, Chief of Investigations, Deputy Chief of Investigations, Executive Director, staff, public commenters, and fellow commissioners for their work and participation in the process. He stated that the public comments helped identify areas of confusion and areas where the Commission could improve how it communicates about what is changing and what is not changing.

Commissioner Brown also offered remarks, noting that they were newer to the Commission and had reviewed a significant amount of material. Commissioner Brown stated that the mission and vision of the Commission came through in the materials and emphasized the importance of public comment, transparency, modernization, and maintaining the Commission's purpose of eliminating discrimination in the Commonwealth.

The Chair then explained that the Commission would proceed section by section through the draft regulations. The Chair stated that commissioners could ask questions of management staff directly and that the Commission would attempt to address less complicated edits first, while flagging more complex issues to return to later. The draft regulations that had been released for public comment were displayed so that commissioners and staff could review the same document during the discussion.

The Commission first discussed preliminary edits to the index and headings. The Chair noted proposed changes to several section headings, including "Parties and Representatives," "Service and Notice," and "Commission Initiated Complaints." The Chair also recommended changing the heading of section 1.13 from "Motions and Requests" to "Requests and Motions," because the section begins with requests. There was consensus on that change.

The Commission then discussed the Scope and Purpose section. Commissioner Brown suggested that the Scope and Purpose section should more clearly reflect the purpose behind the regulations and the Commission's mission, including fairness, justice, equity, access, modernization, and service to victims of discrimination. Commissioner Scheiner agreed and noted that any language should be framed in a durable way, focused on the Commission's mission rather than only on modernization. Chair Thomas George agreed that it would be helpful to include mission-centered language. There was also discussion about whether references to modernization should be framed in a way that would remain appropriate over time, since the regulations are intended to be durable. Counsel agreed to work on possible language for later consideration.

The Commission then moved to section 1.02, Definitions. The Commission reviewed proposed definitions and edits, including administrative dismissal, chair, commissioner, complainant, complaint, counsel for the Commission, counsel of record, document, duly authorized representative, ex parte, filing, full commission, investigative disposition, MCAD Case Portal, motion, order, party, person, personal service, preliminary appeal, probable cause, representative, respondent, sua sponte, and subpoena duces tecum.

During the discussion of the definition of "commissioner," there was a question regarding the use of the term "designees." Staff explained that commissioners may designate staff members for certain activities and that the language was intended to reflect existing practice. As an example,

staff explained that conciliation falls under the purview of the Chair as keeper of the public interest, but the ADR unit may conduct conciliations on the Chair's behalf.

The Commission discussed adding a definition for "counsel," "counsel of record," or "attorney of record," to clarify that those terms refer to an attorney who has filed a notice of appearance in a particular matter before the Commission, including counsel for the Commission. The Chair explained that the terms appear throughout the regulations and that adding a definition would improve consistency.

The Commission also discussed the definition of "document." The proposed definition included paper documents, electronically stored information, writings, drawings, books, records, graphs, charts, photographs, sound recordings, video recordings, images, and other data or data compilations stored in any medium from which information can be retrieved and made into a reasonably usable form. Commissioner Brown questioned whether the definition should specifically reference the MCAD Case Portal, since many documents will be stored there.. It was noted that the definition is intended to be broader than the portal and to include emails, discovery materials, hearing materials, and other records. There was also discussion about whether the phrase "paper document" was redundant or outdated, but staff explained that the definition is based on familiar legal language used in discovery and court practice. The general view was to retain the legally recognized formulation.

The Commission then discussed the definition of "duly authorized representative." The Chair explained that a duly authorized representative is generally a non-attorney authorized by a party, often a pro se complainant, to assist and communicate on the party's behalf before the Commission. The Chair proposed removing the acronym "DAR" from the regulations and spelling out "duly authorized representative" throughout the document, because acronyms can be confusing to members of the public or practitioners who may read only one section of the regulations. There was agreement to remove use of the acronym. The Chair also proposed removing the phrase "primarily through the MCAD Case Portal" from the definition, explaining that this language describes process rather than the meaning of the term. Commissioners agreed to that change. A grammatical edit was also suggested so that the definition would refer to a person who is not an attorney and "is authorized" by a party.

The Commission discussed the term "predetermination" and whether it remained the best terminology. Staff explained that "predetermination" and "post-determination" may not accurately capture the Commission's process, because a preliminary appeal may occur after an investigative disposition even though there has not been a probable cause finding. The Chair indicated that she would propose replacing those terms with "pre-probable cause" and "post-probable cause" to better reflect the stages of the process.

The Commission next discussed the proposed definition of "filing." Commissioner Scheiner raised concern that defining filing primarily by reference to the MCAD Case Portal could create confusion and suggest that mail and in-person filing are no longer available.. Staff explained that the definition was intended to establish the portal as the default filing method because the term "filing" appears throughout the regulations, while other sections would identify exceptions. Staff also explained that mailed or in-person submissions are later entered into the portal, but the filing

date remains the date the Commission receives the document. The Commission agreed to flag the definition of filing and return to it after discussing the later sections addressing filing methods more fully.

The Commission continued reviewing the remaining definitions. There was discussion regarding “full commission,” and staff explained that the term refers to the Commission acting in its adjudicatory capacity, rather than simply to quorum under the Open Meeting Law. The Commission also discussed the definition of “MCAD Case Portal,” including whether to describe filings through the portal as immediate or instantaneous. Some commissioners noted that the portal provides efficiency and avoids delays associated with mail, but others expressed concern that language such as “instantaneous” could create interpretive problems. The Commission also discussed moving the phrase “after completion of the user registration process” so that it more clearly applied to use of the portal.

The Commission reviewed the definitions of “motion,” “order,” and “party.” During the discussion of “party,” the Commission considered whether statutory references should be by citation to the Massachusetts General Laws and noted that citation style should be reviewed for consistency throughout the draft regulations.

At approximately 2:19 PM, upon motion by Commissioner Brown, seconded by Commissioner Locke, the Commission took a brief recess. The meeting resumed at approximately 2:30 PM.

After returning from recess, the Commission continued its review of the definitions section. The Commission discussed “personal service” and agreed to simplify the phrase “actual delivery” to “delivery.” The Commission further discussed replacing “post-determination” with “post-probable cause” and “predetermination” with “pre-probable cause,” and discussed whether “preliminary appeal” should continue to be described as informal. The Commission also discussed the definition of “probable cause” and whether the phrase “unlawful practice” appropriately captured the statutes enforced by MCAD.

After completing the definitions section, the Commission asked management staff whether there were any concerns about the definitions discussed or whether any definitions were missing. A question was raised about the acronym “ADR.” Staff clarified that ADR means alternative dispute resolution, but because the acronym appears in the memorandum rather than in the regulatory text, the Commission did not add it as a defined term.

The Commission then moved to section 1.03, Commissioner Authority. Staff explained that this section reflects one of the major structural changes in the draft regulations, including the move away from the prior model involving investigating commissioners and toward the current structure of five commissioners, including a full-time chair and per diem commissioners. There was discussion about language assigning authority to the Chair and whether the term “exclusive authority” was necessary. Staff explained that the language was intended to clarify that certain authority belongs to the Chair and not to Commission staff. The Chair stated that she had tried to review the language from the perspective of future chairs and commissioners, rather than only from the perspective of the current Commission.

The Commission then moved to section 1.04, Complaint, Timely Amendment, and Withdrawal. Chair Thomas George explained that there had been confusion in the public comments about complaint filing, intake, and the role of the MCAD Case Portal. She emphasized that removing references to complaints being “delivered by intake” was not intended to mean that members of the public could no longer receive assistance from MCAD staff. Rather, the proposed language was intended to clarify the methods for filing complaints and reflect current Commission practice.

The Chair proposed language clarifying that complaints may be filed directly through the MCAD Case Portal, in person at one of the Commission’s offices with assistance from staff, or by U.S. mail to the Clerk’s Office. She also proposed separate language for pseudonym complaints, explaining that those complaints cannot be filed directly through the portal because they require approval through a different process. The Chair also proposed that complaints filed by counsel be filed directly through the portal. Staff emphasized that all complaints ultimately must be entered into the portal because it serves as the Commission’s case management system and system of record. The Chair reiterated that the Commission was not trying to prevent members of the public from filing complaints or receiving staff assistance.

The Commission also discussed the role of duly authorized representatives in the filing process. The Chair explained that a duly authorized representative may assist a complainant in preparing or drafting a complaint but should not file a complaint through the portal on behalf of a complainant before being authorized in the case. The Chair explained that this distinction helps ensure that no one files a complaint on behalf of another person without proper authority.

The Commission then discussed mediation and tolling issues connected to section 1.04. The Chair expressed concern about parties mediating before filing a complaint because the Commission may not see or assess potential discrimination matters. The Chair emphasized that MCAD’s mission is to eradicate discrimination and that the agency can only fulfill that mission if it has visibility into potential discrimination occurring in the Commonwealth. The Commission discussed whether agreements to mediate create enough of a record for the agency to track repeat respondents or broader patterns. Staff explained that the Clerk’s Office maintains files for agreements to mediate, but that those agreements do not provide the Commission with the same information as a formal complaint. The Commission also discussed whether the term “tolling” should be defined, and counsel indicated that a proposed definition could be prepared for consideration at the next meeting.

Because of unresolved issues involving pseudonym complaints and related filing procedures, the Commission agreed to set aside that portion temporarily and return to it later after staff and counsel had more time to refine the language and confirm how the process could be supported operationally.

As the meeting time was running short, the Commission considered how best to proceed. The commissioners noted that section 1.05 was lengthy and that it would be difficult to review carefully with the limited time remaining. Rather than rushing through that section, the Commission agreed to skip section 1.05 for the moment and turn to section 1.06, Mediation.

The Commission began discussing section 1.06, Mediation. Commissioner Locke raised a question about how mediation would function under the revised language, particularly whether mediation would require an internal Commission determination before parties could request it. Staff explained that the Commission has been adjusting its mediation process and that the ADR unit remains an important part of the agency's work. Staff explained that the prior practice of presenting mediation to every respondent at the time of service was not always the best use of ADR resources, particularly before a complaint had been assessed. Under the proposed approach, mediation remains available, but the Commission first conducts an initial assessment to determine whether a case is appropriate for mediation.

Staff clarified that respondents would not lose the ability to request mediation once a matter enters formal investigation. Once a respondent files a position statement through the portal, the respondent can still request mediation, and the complainant may then agree to participate. If both sides agree, the matter is routed to the ADR unit for review. The Commission discussed whether the regulatory language should make this process clearer, including whether the word "offered" could cause confusion by suggesting that the Commission alone decides whether parties may access mediation. Staff noted that some of the details may be better addressed through public-facing guidance, forms, FAQs, or implementation materials rather than in the regulations themselves.

The Commission also discussed differences in mediation procedure for housing cases, where both complainants and respondents may request mediation because of the urgent nature of housing disputes. In other cases, staff explained that respondents initially receive the mediation request option and the complainant is invited if the respondent initiates the request. The Commission discussed whether the regulations should describe that process in more detail or remain flexible enough to accommodate operational changes as the portal and mediation process evolve.

4. Other Business.

The Chair asked whether there was any other business to come before the Commission. No additional business was raised.

5. Next Meeting Date

The Commission confirmed that the next meeting would be held on Monday, April 6, 2026, from 1:00 PM to 4:00 PM, for continued discussion of the proposed revisions to 804 CMR 1.00.

6. Adjournment

Upon motion by Chair Thomas George, seconded by Commissioner Scheiner, the Commission voted unanimously (5–0) to adjourn at approximately 4:03 PM.

Roll Call Vote:

<i>Chair Thomas George</i>	<i>Aye.</i>
<i>Commissioner Brown</i>	<i>Aye.</i>
<i>Commissioner Locke</i>	<i>Aye.</i>
<i>Commissioner Mitnick</i>	<i>Aye.</i>
<i>Commissioner Scheiner</i>	<i>Aye.</i>

The meeting adjourned at 4:03 PM.

Meeting Materials

Meeting materials included the draft proposed revisions to 804 CMR 1.00, the Office of the General Counsel memorandum, written public comments received regarding the proposed revisions, and any supporting materials presented or referenced during the meeting.

DRAFT



Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination Meeting Minutes

Date/Time: Monday, April 6, 2026, at 1:00 PM
Place: Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination
Hybrid Meeting (In-Person and Remote) and YouTube Livestream
https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCh_wjAthLJTQf-KMgMGv1Yw

The Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination held a public meeting on Monday, April 6, 2026, in a hybrid format, with commissioners and staff participating both in person and remotely. The meeting was livestreamed for public observation.

Commissioners Present:

Chair Sunila Thomas George
Commissioner Christina Brown
Commissioner Jeremy Scheiner
Commissioner Edward Mitnick
Commissioner Steven Locke

Staff Present:

Michael Memmolo, Executive Director
Deirdre Ann Hosler, General Counsel
Diane Nordbye, Deputy General Counsel
Lila L. Roberts, Esq., Chief of Investigations (remote)
Andrew Espinosa, Deputy Chief of Investigations
Ruthy Barros, Recording Secretary

1. Call to Order

Chair Sunila Thomas George called the meeting to order at 1:04 PM. The Chair stated that notice of the meeting and agenda had been properly posted with the Secretary of the Commonwealth and on the Commission's website in accordance with the Massachusetts Open Meeting Law.

The Chair then conducted a roll call of commissioners in alphabetical order. Commissioner Brown, Commissioner Locke, Commissioner Mitnick, Commissioner Scheiner, and Chair Thomas George were present. The Chair announced that a quorum was present and that the meeting could proceed.

Roll Call Attendance:

<i>Chair Thomas George</i>	<i>Present.</i>
<i>Commissioner Brown</i>	<i>Present.</i>
<i>Commissioner Locke</i>	<i>Present.</i>

Commissioner Mitnick
Commissioner Scheiner

Present.
Present.

2. Discussion and Review of Proposed Revisions to and Public Comments Received on MCAD Draft Rules of Procedure, 804 CMR 1.00

The Commission then moved to the main agenda item, which was the continued discussion and review of the proposed revisions to, and public comments received on, the MCAD Draft Rules of Procedure at 804 CMR 1.00. The Chair noted that this meeting was a continuation of the Commission's April 3, 2026 discussion.

Before the Commission resumed its section-by-section review, Commissioner Brown shared remarks regarding a recent Bay State Banner article, shared by Press Secretary Justine LaVoye, concerning the history of MCAD and the agency's early civil rights enforcement work. Commissioner Brown stated that the article highlighted the importance of the work before the Commission and connected to the issues being discussed in the proposed regulations. Chair Thomas George thanked Commissioner Brown for sharing the article and noted that MCAD has played a pivotal role in civil rights enforcement throughout its history.

Chair Thomas George also offered brief remarks before continuing the review. She thanked the individuals, law firms, organizations, and members of the public who submitted comments on the draft regulations, stating that the comments had been carefully reviewed and were valuable to the process. She also recognized the work of the Office of the General Counsel in preparing the memorandum explaining and contextualizing the proposed revisions. The Chair further acknowledged Senior Hearing Officer Jason Barshak for his insight and support during the regulatory review process.

The Commission resumed discussion with section 1.06, Mediation, which had been started during the April 3, 2026 meeting. The Commission discussed language stating that mediation may be offered to the parties at the discretion of the Commission or the Chair. Commissioner Locke suggested that the sentence may be duplicative because the first sentence of the section already provides that the Commission may offer mediation before the issuance of an investigative disposition or final decision.. Counsel explained that the additional language was intended to distinguish between the Commission's authority before probable cause and the Chair's authority after probable cause, consistent with other structural revisions in the draft regulations.

The Commission discussed whether the regulations should more clearly distinguish between actions taken by the Commission and actions taken by the Chair. There was discussion about the meaning of the term "Commission" and whether it could create ambiguity if the regulations assign authority to the Commission without making clear whether the Chair may act in certain circumstances. Counsel explained that one purpose of the regulatory revisions is to clarify the new structure, including the removal of the investigating commissioner role and the distinction between investigative functions handled by staff and post-probable cause authority vested in the Chair. The Commission discussed possible edits to section 1.06 to clarify that the Commission may offer mediation before an investigative disposition, and that the Chair may do so after probable cause.

The Commission also discussed how mediation functions in practice. Staff explained that, under the revised process, the Commission may conduct an initial review before determining whether mediation is appropriate. Staff further clarified that respondents would not lose the ability to request mediation once a matter enters formal investigation. Once a respondent files a position statement through the portal, the respondent may request mediation, and if the complainant agrees, the matter may be routed to the ADR unit for review. The Commission discussed whether the regulation should describe the operational details of mediation or whether some of those details would be better addressed through public-facing guidance, forms, FAQs, or internal implementation procedures.

The Commission then returned to section 1.04, Complaint, Timely Amendment, and Withdrawal, to continue discussion of issues relating to pseudonym complaints. Counsel explained that the proposed revisions were intended to clarify that when a complainant seeks to proceed under a pseudonym and files a complaint at the same time, the complaint is treated as filed on the date it is submitted, regardless of whether the motion to proceed under a pseudonym is later allowed or denied. Counsel further explained that operational details regarding how pseudonym complaints are processed in the case management system do not need to be stated in the regulations, because those are administrative implementation issues.

The Commission discussed the difference between a pseudonym complaint and an anonymous complaint. Counsel clarified that a complainant seeking to proceed under a pseudonym must still provide their real name and contact information to the Commission. If the motion is allowed, the pseudonym may be used publicly and in service on the respondent, subject to a protective order. The Commission discussed the importance of explaining this process clearly to the public, including through website guidance or FAQs, because the terminology may be confusing.

The Commission also discussed revised language relating to tolling and filing deadlines. Counsel explained that, rather than defining “tolling” separately, the revised draft could use plain language stating that the deadline for filing a complaint may be extended under certain circumstances. Commissioners generally agreed that plain-language phrasing would be clearer to members of the public.

The Commission then discussed the structure of the revised section 1.04. Counsel explained that much of the language remained substantively the same but had been reorganized into clearer subsections addressing Commission authority, filing requirements, form of the complaint, and the motion process. Counsel noted that the revisions may look substantial because of reformatting and reorganization, but many of the underlying concepts were already present. The Commission agreed to move forward from section 1.04 and proceed to section 1.05.

The Commission then reviewed section 1.05, Investigation and Answer of the Complaint. The Chair noted that public comments raised concerns about the preliminary investigation process and whether the proposed language in subsections (6), (7), (8), and (9) could create confusion about how complaints are evaluated before formal investigation. The Commission discussed subsection (6), including language referring to allegations that are “factually plausible and capable of verification” and language concerning allegations that are “vague.”

The Chair expressed concern about using “vague” as a basis for dismissal. She stated that if a complaint lacks sufficient detail, staff should generally contact the complainant, obtain clarification, and make a more informed determination, rather than dismissing the matter prematurely. The Deputy Chief of Investigations explained that allegations deemed facially implausible have historically been dismissed only sparingly and generally in extreme circumstances. Staff further explained that the preliminary investigation process often includes outreach to the complainant to clarify or strengthen the complaint, determine whether an amendment is needed, or assess whether dismissal is appropriate.

The Commission discussed whether the concepts of vagueness, inability to verify allegations, and failure to provide a more definite statement are distinct or overlapping. The Chair suggested that if a complaint remains unclear only after staff have attempted to obtain clarification, the issue may be better described as a failure to provide a more definite statement or as allegations that are incapable of verification, rather than simply as “vague.” Several commissioners expressed concern that “vague” may be too imprecise to serve as a separate dismissal basis in the regulations. There appeared to be general support for removing “vague” as an independent basis and relying instead on clearer concepts such as factual plausibility, capability of verification, and failure to state a claim.

The Commission then discussed subsection (7), including whether the draft language improperly introduced concepts of damages or degree of harm into the preliminary investigation stage. Commissioners expressed concern that references to monetary or non-monetary harm could suggest that the Commission is measuring damages too early in the process. The Commission discussed whether the provision should instead focus on whether the alleged discriminatory conduct has been remedied, resolved, or no longer requires further formal investigation.

Staff explained that the provision was intended to address practical questions of resource allocation and timely intervention, particularly in situations where the complained-of issue has been quickly corrected or is no longer ongoing. The Commission discussed examples, including a housing accommodation request where the requested accommodation is provided shortly after the complaint is filed. Commissioners noted that such a situation may be better understood as one where the matter has been resolved or remedied, rather than one where the harm was too minimal to warrant action.

The Commission continued discussing how to revise subsection (7) so that it does not suggest that otherwise valid discrimination complaints may be dismissed simply because the measurable harm appears small. Commissioners expressed support for reframing the language around whether the alleged discriminatory conduct has been remedied or resolved. The Commission also discussed whether the regulation should include language recognizing Commission discretion in determining whether further investigation is warranted after preliminary review.

The Commission then discussed the remaining proposed additions to section 1.05, including provisions intended to provide greater structure for the preliminary investigation process. Counsel explained that the new language was intended to recognize the outreach and review that staff already perform during the preliminary stage and to make that process more consistent. The

discussion reflected that the preliminary investigation process should not be understood as reducing access, but rather as providing earlier substantive review of complaints before formal investigation.

The Commission then discussed how best to proceed with the remaining revisions. Commissioners expressed a preference for continuing to receive summaries of major changes rather than reading every line of the revised draft aloud. Counsel and staff agreed to continue refining the language based on the Commission's discussion, particularly with respect to section 1.05 and the language concerning vagueness, verification, harm, resolution, and Commission discretion.

3. Other Business.

The Chair asked whether there was any other business to come before the Commission. No additional business was raised.

4. Next Meeting Date

The Commission discussed scheduling the next meeting and whether it should be held remotely or in person. The Commission confirmed that the next meeting would be held on Tuesday, May 12, 2026, from 10:00 AM to 12:00 PM, for continued discussion of the proposed revisions to 804 CMR 1.00.

5. Adjournment

Upon motion by Chair Thomas George, seconded by Commissioner Mitnick, the Commission voted unanimously (5–0) to adjourn. The meeting adjourned at approximately 4:04 PM.

Roll Call Vote:

<i>Chair Thomas George</i>	<i>Aye.</i>
<i>Commissioner Brown</i>	<i>Aye.</i>
<i>Commissioner Locke</i>	<i>Aye.</i>
<i>Commissioner Mitnick</i>	<i>Aye.</i>
<i>Commissioner Scheiner</i>	<i>Aye.</i>

The meeting adjourned at 4:04 PM.

Meeting Materials

Meeting materials included the draft proposed revisions to 804 CMR 1.00, the Office of the General Counsel memorandum, written public comments received regarding the proposed revisions, and any supporting materials presented or referenced during the meeting.

804 CMR 1.00: RULES OF PROCEDURE

Section

- 1.01: Scope and Purpose
- 1.02: Definitions
- 1.03: Commissioner Authority
- 1.04: Complaint Filing, Amendment, Withdrawal
- 1.05: Investigation and Answer of Complaint
- 1.06: Mediation
- 1.07: Investigative Default Procedure
- 1.08: Investigative Disposition, Complaint Dismissals and Appeal
- 1.09: Conciliation
- 1.10: Discovery
- 1.11: Certification of ~~Issues~~ Claims to Public Hearing
- 1.12: Public Hearing
- 1.13: Requests and Motions ~~and Requests~~
- 1.14: *Subpoenas*
- 1.15: Parties and ~~Counsel~~ Representatives
- 1.16: Service and Notice by the Commission
- 1.17: Computing and Extending Time
- 1.18: ~~Proceedings on~~ Commission Initiated Complaints
- 1.19: Emergency Proceedings
- 1.20: Language Assistance Services
- 1.21: Access to Commission Materials and Restrictions to Personal Data
- 1.22: Sanctions
- 1.23: Full Commission Review
- 1.24: Judicial Review
- 1.25: Judicial Enforcement

1.01: Scope and Purpose

804 CMR 1.00 establishes rules for procedure and practice before the Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination. The purpose of 804 CMR 1.00 is to achieve a just, speedy and fair determination of matters before the Commission in the service of its mission to eradicate discrimination in the Commonwealth ~~of the public interest~~. In the interests of justice, the Commission ~~through its staff and individual Commissioners~~, may exercise its discretion and relax the application of 804 CMR 1.00. The Commission shall issue such orders and fashion such relief as shall vindicate the rights of victims of discrimination and effectuate the purposes of the statutes it enforces. ~~enforced by the Commission~~ Efficiency in case processing shall be dedicated to these purposes.

1.02: Definitions

As used in 804 CMR 1.00:

Administrative Dismissal means a case dismissal that may occur at any time in the processing of a complaint for which no preliminary appeal is available.

Chair means the Commissioner designated by the Governor responsible for presiding over Commission meetings and performing duties authorized by statute, regulation and Commission procedure, or their designee.

Commission means the Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination (MCAD) as constituted under M.G.L. c. 6, § 56, including Commissioners and Commission employees.

Commissioner means one of three ~~five~~ members of the Commission appointed by the Governor of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in accordance with M.G.L. c. 6, § 56, which includes ~~the~~ Chairperson of the Commission, or their designees.

Complainant means any person who files a ~~verified~~ complaint with the Commission.

Complaint means a document containing the allegations of unlawful discrimination filed with the Commission, ~~and includes HUD housing complaints, absent a special rule or exception.~~

Counsel, Counsel of Record, or Attorney of Record means any attorney who has a notice of appearance on a particular matter before the Commission including counsel for the Commission.

Counsel for the Commission means an attorney employed by the Commission who prosecutes a complaint on behalf of the public interest and represents the Commission in judicial and administrative proceedings.

Document means any paper document or electronically stored information, including but not limited to writings, drawings, books, records, graphs, charts, photographs, sound recordings, video recordings, images and other data or data compilations, stored in any medium from which information can be retrieved ~~obtained either directly or, if necessary, after translation and made~~ into a reasonably usable form.

Duly Authorized Representative ~~(DAR)~~ means any person who is not an attorney and is authorized by a party to act and communicate on their behalf with the Commission pre-determination ~~pre-probable cause, primarily through the MCAD Case Portal, including an attorney in good standing, who has filed a notice of appearance in a matter before the Commission.~~

Ex Parte means without notice to or argument from the ~~adverse~~ other party or parties.

Filing means the submission of a document or information through the MCAD Case Portal unless alternative means of filing are specified in 804 CMR 1.00 ~~or allowed by the Commission on a case by case basis.~~

Full Commission means at least ~~two but sometimes~~ three Commissioners acting together.

Hearing Commissioner means the Commissioner designated by the Chairperson of the Commission to adjudicate complaints of discrimination, ~~or their other designee of the Chair, including a person found to be qualified by the Commission as a Hearing Officer, or the Full Commission in the event the Full Commission orders and presides over a public hearing.~~

HUD Housing Complaint means ~~any complaint alleging discrimination in housing under M.G.L. c. 151B which is dual filed with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.~~

Investigating Commissioner means the Commissioner designated by the Chairperson of the Commission to investigate a complaint of discrimination, ~~or their designee.~~

Investigative Disposition means the official document issued by the Commission upon conclusion of the investigation containing the determination of the ~~Investigating Commissioner~~ Commission.

MCAD Case Portal means the online access point to the Commission's case management system available through its website, which provides parties and representatives with the ability to file complaints, motions, and other documents, access case information, make requests, and communicate with the Commission ~~after completion of a user registration process.~~

Moving Party means the party who files a written motion at any time ~~with the Commission,~~ or moves for relief verbally during a ~~at public hearing~~ Commission proceeding.

Order means ~~a~~ instruction, ruling, direction, or decision of the Commission or a Commissioner.

Party means the complainant, respondent and any person permitted to intervene in a Commission proceeding; after a complaint is certified to public hearing, the Commission ~~also becomes~~ is the primary a party to the proceeding and complainant becomes the intervening party in accordance with M.G.L. c. 151B, § 5.

Person means any natural person, corporation, trust, partnership, incorporated or unincorporated association, limited liability company, and any ~~other~~ legal entity, and shall not mean artificial intelligence.

Personal Service means ~~actual~~ the delivery of the notice or process to the person to whom it is directed, which in the case of a natural person means, either by delivery in hand or by leaving copies at the last and usual place of abode of such person, and in the case of a legal entity, means delivering notice or process in hand to an officer, managing or general agent or person in charge of the business at the principal place of business, or by delivering such copies to any other agent authorized by appointment or by law to receive service of process.

~~Post determination means the period of time beginning with the issuance of an investigative disposition.~~ Post-Probable Cause means the period of time after the issuance of a probable cause disposition.

~~Pre determination means the period of time between the filing of a complaint and the issuance of an investigative disposition, during which the Commission is investigating the complaint.~~

Preliminary Hearing-Appeal means ~~an~~ complainant's ~~informal~~ appeal ~~hearing held at the Commission upon written request of a complainant or their attorney within ten days of service of an adverse investigative disposition of an investigative disposition where probable cause is not found, except for administrative dismissals, as provided for in under M.G.L. c. 151B, § 5 and otherwise known as a preliminary hearing.~~

Pre-Probable Cause means the period of time between the filing of a complaint and the issuance of an investigative disposition during which the Commission is investigating the complaint including the preliminary appeal process.

Probable Cause means there is sufficient evidence upon which a fact-finder could form a reasonable belief that it is more probable than not that a respondent committed ~~an unlawful practice under~~ a violation of any statute enforced by the Commission.

Pro Hac Vice means admission of an attorney who is not a member of the Massachusetts bar to appear and practice at the Commission for a particular case only.

Pro Se means a person appearing on their own behalf and without a representative ~~who is not represented by an attorney.~~

Pseudonym means a fictitious name used to conceal the identity of a complainant.

Public Hearing means the adjudicatory hearing held at the Commission pursuant to M.G.L. c. 151B, § 5 in which the respondent answers ~~those~~ see charges ~~of in~~ the complaint certified to hearing, by the Chair, by the Investigating Commissioner.

Representative means ~~an attorney in good standing with a notice of appearance on file at the Commission, or a~~ DARduly authorized representative.

Respondent means the person, business, employer, labor organization, employment agency, housing provider, real estate broker or agent, lender, appraiser or any other person or entity alleged to have committed unlawful discrimination in a complaint filed with the Commission.

Sua Sponte means an action taken by the Commission or a Commissioner ~~of its its their~~ own accord which does not require a motion or request from ~~prompting or suggestion by a~~ party.

Subpoena Duces Tecum means an order commanding the production of ~~evidence including specified books, documents or tangible things, or records~~; such ~~order command~~ may be included in a *subpoena* commanding testimony or it may be issued independent of or in lieu of testimony.

1.03: Commissioner Authority for the Administration of Complaints

In all matters involving the administration of complaints filed ~~with the Commission, the authority to take any action pursuant to M.G.L. c. 151B, and unless otherwise specifically noted in where Commissioner authority is specified in~~ 804 CMR 1.00 ~~shall be in accordance with M.G.L. c. 151B and M.G.L. c. 6, § 56, and Commissioners may designate Commission staff to execute their statutory duties with regard to the administration of complaints. , one any Commissioner, including the Chair, may act for the Commission. Where authority of the Chair is specified in 804 CMR 1.00, the Chair has exclusive authority to act for the Commission, absent designation of the matter to another Commissioner or MCAD staff member. Where neither Commissioner nor Chair authority is specified in 804 CMR 1.00, the Commission staff have exclusive authority to act.~~

1.04: Complaint Filing, Amendment and Withdrawal

(1) Who May File. –A complaint alleging violations of the statutes enforced by the Commission may be filed at the Commission by any of the following:

- (a) A person claiming to be aggrieved by the alleged violation(s) ~~or a parent or guardian filing on behalf of an aggrieved minor child~~;
- (b) ~~The duly authorized~~ representative of a person claiming to be aggrieved by the alleged violation(s);
- (c) An organization whose purpose includes the elimination of the unlawful practice(s) which is the subject of the complaint and whose members include one or more persons claiming to be aggrieved by the alleged violations, provided that the injured person(s) shall be named if the complaint seeks victim-specific relief;
- (d) The Attorney General or their authorized representative provided that the injured person shall be named if the complaint seeks victim-specific relief; or
- (e) The Commission, pursuant to 804 CMR 1.18.

(2) Manner of Filing.

(a) ~~A complaint filed by a pro se person or by the Commission, pursuant to 804 CMR 1.18, shall be filed in one of the following manners:~~

1. ~~A complaint may be filed directly through the MCAD Case Portal from the Commission's website.~~
2. ~~A complaint may be filed by visiting any of the Commission's offices during regular business hours and receiving assistance in filing the complaint in the MCAD Case Portal.~~
3. ~~A complaint may be filed with the Clerk's Office by U.S. Mail.~~
4. ~~A complainant who wishes to proceed by pseudonym shall follow the procedures outlined in 804 CMR 1.04(7) and may only file such complaints with the Clerk's Office by U.S. Mail or courier or by visiting any one of the Commission's offices during regular business hours. Pseudonym complaints filed through the MCAD Case Portal shall be administratively dismissed without prejudice.~~

(b) ~~A complaint filed by counsel or an organization shall be filed directly through the MCAD Case Portal from the Commission's website.~~

~~By MCAD Case Portal. All complaints filed pursuant to 804 CMR 1.04(1) by persons and organizations other than the Commission shall be filed through the MCAD Case Portal subject to the following provisions and exceptions:~~

~~DARs shall file complaints pursuant to 804 CMR 1.04(1)(b) by providing assistance to complainants with filing through the MCAD Case Portal on their own behalf under 804 CMR 1.04(1)(a). Upon filing a complaint, the complainant may then formally authorize the DAR to act on their behalf pursuant to 804 1.15(13). Persons filing a complaint pursuant to 804 CMR 1.04(1)(a) may file through the MCAD Case Portal with the assistance of the Commission by visiting any of the Commission's offices during regular business hours.~~

~~Persons filing a complaint pursuant to 804 CMR 1.04(1)(a) may file a complaint with the Clerk's Office by U.S. Mail although such filings are strongly discouraged and may cause delay in the processing of the complaint. Upon the filing of a complaint by U.S. Mail, the complainant shall be required to comply with 804 CMR 1.15(16).~~

~~The filing of a complaint pursuant to 804 CMR 1.04(1)(a) by any method other than the MCAD Case Portal may be allowed as a reasonable accommodation for disability.~~

~~Pseudonym complaints shall not be filed through the MCAD Case Portal. A complainant who wishes to proceed by pseudonym shall follow the procedures outlined in 804 CMR 1.04(7) and may only file such complaints with the Clerk's Office by U.S. Mail or by delivery of the complaint to any of the Commission's offices during regular business hours. Pseudonym complaints filed through the MCAD Case Portal shall be administratively dismissed.~~

~~(a) By Delivery: A complaint may be filed by delivering a copy in person during regular Commission business hours or by U.S. mail to any of the Commission's offices.~~

~~(b) By Intake: A complaint may be filed at any of the Commission's offices by participating in the intake process with a Commission representative and signing the complaint. A complainant who, because of a disability, is unable to visit a Commission office may request an accommodation to conduct the intake process by telephone and may return the signed complaint to the Commission by U.S. mail for filing.~~

(3) Time of Filing. A complaint shall be filed within 300 days after the alleged unlawful conduct; provided, however, that a complaint alleging violations of M.G.L. c. 151C shall be filed within the time limits required by M.G.L. c. 151C. The period in which to file a complaint under any statute within the Commission's jurisdiction commences on the date that the Complainant knew or should have known of the alleged unlawful conduct claim of discrimination.

(4) Exceptions to Time of Filing. A complaint may be filed beyond the time limits within 804 CMR 1.04(3) under the following circumstances:

(a) Collective Bargaining Agreement Grievance. When a grievance on behalf of an individual is filed pursuant to a collective bargaining agreement, the individual may file a complaint based on the same facts within 300 days of when they knew or should have known that the matters raised in the grievance would support a claim of discrimination. The Commission may stay its investigation until any such grievance proceedings have concluded.

(b) Continuing Violation. When facts are alleged which indicate unlawful conduct is of a continuing nature and part of an ongoing pattern of discrimination, the complaint may include actions outside of the statutory filing period so long as the last discriminatory act in the pattern occurred within the statutory filing period.

(c) Mediation Prior to Filing of Complaint. When an aggrieved person enters into an agreement to voluntarily mediate the alleged discriminatory acts prior to filing a complaint at the Commission but within the statutory filing period, the time for filing may be tolled subject to the following conditions:

1. ~~The parties are represented by counsel~~Every party is represented by an attorney counsel;

2. The parties execute an agreement, signed by the parties, their attorneys, and the mediator, which states the nature of the dispute, the intent to mediate the dispute, a request to toll the statutory filing deadline to pursue mediation, a commitment by the attorneys and mediator to conduct the mediation within 90 days, and an agreement to promptly report the outcome of the mediation to the Clerk's Office;

3. The parties submit the agreement executed pursuant to 804 CMR 1.04(4)(c)2. to the Clerk's Office via email or U.S. Mail within the statutory filing deadlines provided for in 804 CMR 1.04(3). The agreement shall only be available to the parties and not the public consistent with all mediation documents on file with the Commission per the confidentiality outlined in 804 CMR 1.06; and

4. ~~The Commission shall toll the statutory filing deadline for 90 days from the date the agreement is submitted to the Clerk's Office, or until the mediator and parties have reported that they are unable to resolve the matter through mediation, whichever date is sooner; and~~

~~5.4.~~ If the parties are unable to resolve the matter through mediation the ~~person complainant claiming to be aggrieved by the alleged violation~~ shall file a complaint ~~using the MCAD Case Portal pursuant to 804 CMR 1.04(2)~~ within ~~21-120~~ days of ~~reporting that the mediation was unsuccessful~~ filing the agreement to mediate with the Clerk's Office, and the complaint. ~~The filing date shall will~~ be deemed ~~filed to be on~~ the date the agreement to mediate was filed with the Commission. The complainant shall notify the Commission of the previous filing of the agreement to mediate in order for the Commission to adjust the filing date of the complaint.

(d) Equitable Tolling. The ~~statute of limitations~~ deadline for filing a complaint with the Commission may be ~~equitably tolled~~ extended in those cases where the complainant is excusably ignorant of the statutory filing period, or where respondent, the Commission, or a third-party has affirmatively misled the complainant, or otherwise prevented the complainant from filing, as determined by the ~~Investigating Commissioner~~ Commission.

~~(e) Discovery Rule. The deadline for filing a complaint begins at the time the complainant knew or should have known about the allegedly unlawful conduct.~~

(5) Form. ~~The All~~ complaints shall be in writing. Complaints filed under 804 CMR 1.04(1)(a), and shall be signed and verified by the complainant. Verification consists of a signed statement, under the pains and penalties of perjury, by the complainant that they have read the complaint and that the allegations contained therein are true to the best of their knowledge. Complaints filed pursuant to 804 CMR 1.04(1)(b), (c), and (d) may be signed by an attorney without verification by the complainant.

(6) Content. The complaint shall contain:

- (a) The date(s) on which the unlawful discriminatory acts occurred; or, when the acts are of a continuing nature, the period of time during which acts occurred and the specific date of the last discriminatory act;
- (b) A concise statement of the alleged discriminatory acts committed by specific persons, if known to the complainant; sufficient to enable the Commission to investigate the claims, and provide notice to the respondent(s) of potential liability;
- (c) If appropriate, a statement indicating that the complaint is on behalf of a proposed class based on the criteria provided in 804 CMR 1.15(4)(a);
- (d) Appropriate identification of the complainant(s) and the person(s) alleged to have committed unlawful discriminatory acts, unless proceeding by use of pseudonym pursuant to 804 CMR 1.04(7); and
- (e) Factual allegations sufficient to support ~~each~~ the claim.

(7) Use of Pseudonym Complaints. In exceptional circumstances, the ~~Investigating Commissioner~~ Commission may determine that the public interest ~~requires~~ supports allowing a complainant ~~, including a parent or legal guardian filing on behalf of a minor child,~~ to proceed ~~before the Commission~~ under a pseudonym; ~~which~~ The use of a pseudonym shall not impact the ~~Commission's~~ ability to issue protective orders. Any complainant may request to proceed via under a pseudonym, including a parent or legal guardian filing on behalf of a minor child, or complainants who were an individual who was a minor child then at the time of the alleged discrimination. The use of a pseudonym is not permitted after certification of the complaint to public hearing, except where noted otherwise. The use of a pseudonym may be permitted ~~when~~ where the complainant demonstrates a specific overriding ~~reason~~ need for confidentiality ~~unique to complainant and based on~~ substantial safety or privacy interests ~~are demonstrated.~~ The following requirements apply to pseudonym complaints:

(a) Filing Requirements. A complainant who wishes to proceed by pseudonym shall:

- 1. simultaneously file with the Commission a pseudonym complaint along with an *ex parte* motion to allow the use of a pseudonym, and
- ~~1.2.~~ the filing shall be Submit both documents by U.S. Mail to the Clerk's Office or hand delivery by visiting at a Commission office only;

(b) Form of the Complaint. The pseudonym complaint shall ~~conform~~ comply with 804 CMR 1.04(1) through (6), except that it shall not include the identity of the complainant; ~~and the pseudonym complaint shall be docketed and submitted for review and authorization in accordance with 804 CMR 1.05(2);~~

(c) Ex parte Motion for Use of a Pseudonym.

- 1. The motion ~~to allow the use of a pseudonym shall be filed under automatic protective order by the Investigating Commissioner~~ Commission and shall

~~thereafter be unavailable to the public or the respondent(s) shall be automatically placed under protective order and shall not be disclosed to the public or the respondent pursuant to 804 CMR 1.21(3);~~

2. ~~The motion to allow the use of a pseudonym shall contain the complainant's full name and conform to 804 CMR 1.13(1), and shall articulate and set forth, with specificity, the reasons why the use of a pseudonym should be allowed for supporting the request;~~

~~(e) The motion to allow the use of a pseudonym shall conform to 804 CMR 1.13(9)(b) except that the motion may be filed ex parte;~~

~~1.3. If the Commission Investigating Commissioner issues an order granting the motion to allow the use of a pseudonym is granted grants the mMotion:~~

a. ~~The complaint shall be docketed and an order allowing the use of a pseudonym shall be served with the complaint accordingly upon authorization to formal investigation pursuant to 804 CMR 1.05(3) shall be processed in accordance with 804 CMR 1.054(2), and, if authorized for formal investigation under 804 CMR 1.05(3), the Commission shall serve the respondent with the pseudonym complaint and the order allowing pseudonym use;~~

b. ~~If the respondent cannot reasonably identify the complainant, the respondent shall notify the Commission in writing within ten days of receipt. The Commission shall then provide such information it deems necessary to permit the respondent to respond.~~

~~a.c. The parties shall not include the complainant's identity in filings with the Commission, or move for the protective order to prevent public disclosure of filing.~~

~~b.d. If the respondent is unable to identify with sufficient assurance the identity of the complainant, the respondent shall notify the Commission in writing within ten days of its receipt of the order, and the Commission shall determine and provide the information it deems necessary to permit the respondent to file a position statement;~~

~~e. The parties shall omit the identity of the complainant in documents filed with the Commission or move for a protective order to prevent public disclosure of the filing.~~

4. ~~If the Commission denies the motion is denied: The Complainant shall have 30 days from the receipt of the denial to authorize the filing of a file a complaint using their real name. The Commission shall deem the filing date of the complaint to be the date the pseudonym motion was originally filed.~~

~~(d) If the Investigating Commissioner issues an order denying the motion to allow the use of a pseudonym is denied, the complaint shall not be docketed and the complainant shall have 30 days from receipt of the order denying the request to amend file a complaint using their own name. If the complainant files such complaint within 30 days the Commission shall deem the complaint filing date as allow the complaint to include the identity of the complainant by written communication with the Clerk's Office and the original docket number assigned to the pseudonym complaint; failure to amend allow the complaint to proceed without a pseudonym within 30 days shall result in the dismissal of the complaint pursuant to 804 CMR 1.08(1)(d); the date the motion to allow the use of a pseudonym was filed with the Commission, upon request by the complainant.~~

~~(e)(d) An Investigating Commissioner Pre determination, the Commission may grant a request for a pseudonym complaint or sua sponte require the use of a pseudonym in a complaint. The Chair may sua sponte require the use of a pseudonym or grant a request to use a pseudonym post determination or in any complaint, including those initiated pursuant to 804 CMR 1.18.; The Commission and the Chair and may issue protective orders and amendments to the complaint as necessary to accomplish that these purposes.~~

(8) Amendments to the Complaint in General.

(a) How Made Method. A complaint may be amended at any time, either by motion from the complainant, sua sponte ~~sua sponte~~ by the Commission or in response to an order for a more definite statement in accordance with 804 CMR 1.05(11).

(b) Amended Content. A complaint or any part thereof may be amended to cure technical defects or omissions, including failure to swear to the complaint, to clarify and amplify allegations made therein, to add or remove respondents, to allege additional acts

constituting unlawful discriminatory practices or claims related to or arising out of the subject matter of the original complaint, ~~including claims or respondents~~ Previously dismissed claims or respondents may be reinstated by amendment sua sponte or when supported by a motion for reconsideration of probable cause under 804 CMR 1.08(4)(a). ~~if supported by evidence discovered during the prosecution of the remaining claims.~~ Regardless of how the amendment was made, amendments shall relate back to the original filing date of the complaint.

(9) Procedure for Amending Complaint.

(a) Sua Sponte Amendments by the Commission. ~~Pre-determination~~ Pre-probable cause sua sponte ~~Aa~~ Amendments may shall be made in writing by the Investigating Commissioner Commission, and may be made at any time after the filing of the complaint and before the issuance of a public hearing decision under 804 CMR 1.12, except that amendments relating to complaints initiated pursuant to 804 CMR 1.18 shall be made by the Chair. Post-determination and at any time prior to certification to public hearing, sua sponte amendments shall be made in writing by the Chair. After certification to public hearing, sua sponte amendments shall be made in writing and by a Hearing Commissioner at any time after certification to public hearing by amending the certification order. In each instance prior to public hearing, The Commission shall serve each party with an amended complaint or certification order whenever sua sponte amendments are made. the amendment(s) or order permitting the amendments shall be served by the Commission upon each party.

(b) Amendments by Motion from Complainant. Motions to amend the complaint are governed by 804 CMR 1.13, ~~and shall include a copy of a proposed amended complaint for filing and service.~~

(c) Amendments to HUD Housing Complaints. Amendments to An amended HUD housing complaints shall be filed within 45 days of the initial filing of the complaint or no later than five days after service of the position statement, whichever is later, unless good cause is shown to enlarge the time. ~~HUD~~ HUD housing complaints may be amended at the discretion of the ~~Commission~~ Investigating Commissioner. Events occurring after amending a ~~HUD~~ housing complaint may be the subject of a new complaint.

(d) Response to Order for a More Definite Statement. A complainant shall ~~amend the complaint in accordance with~~ respond to an order ~~by the Investigating Commissioner Chair~~ issued pursuant to 804 CMR 1.05(11) with the information required by the order. The response must be filed within ten days of receipt of the order. An amended complaint incorporating the response shall be served by the Commission upon each party.

(e) Response to Amendments. ~~The Investigating Commissioner or Hearing Commissioner may request a response to an amendment from any named or proposed party.~~

1. Pre-determination ~~Pre-probable cause~~ Aa amendments. If a position statement has already been filed, the respondent may amend the position statement within 14 days of receipt of amendments to the complaint. Where no position statement has yet been filed, respondent shall file a position statement in response to amendments in accordance with 804 CMR 1.05(8).

2. Post-determination ~~Post-probable cause~~ Aa amendments. The Unless otherwise ordered by the Commission, the respondent may not amend the position statement ~~post-determination~~ post-probable cause, although they may oppose a ~~post-determination~~ post-probable cause motion to amend the complaint in accordance with 804 CMR 1.13 or in response to an order by the Commission. request from the Chair or a Hearing Commissioner ~~Commission.~~

(10) Standard for Allowance of Amendments after Certification to Public Hearing. Motions to amend a complaint after certification to public hearing may be granted if the amendment arises out of the subject matter of the initial complaint and there is no undue prejudice to a party ~~or individual.~~ Nothing in 804 CMR 1.04(1) limits the discretion of the Commission ~~Hearing Commissioner~~ to amend the complaint to conform to the evidence adduced at hearing.

(11) Notice to the Parties. The Commission shall serve a copy of the original complaint and any amended complaints upon complainant and respondent except where the

~~Investigating Commissioner~~Commission dismisses the complaint pursuant to 804 CMR 1.05(2).

(12) Withdrawal of Complaint. Withdrawal of a complaint for any reason is subject to the following provisions:

- (a) Within the first 90 days of filing a complaint, ~~t~~The complainant may request withdrawal of the complaint for the purpose of removing it to court pursuant to M.G.L. c. 151B, § 9 or for other reason, including settlement, ~~within the first 90 days of filing a complaint,~~ and such request shall be in writing and shall set forth the reasons therefor.
- (b) The ~~Investigating Commissioner~~Commission may grant or deny ~~in writing~~ a request to withdraw within the first 90 days of filing a complaint as the public interest requires.
- (c) After 90 days of filing the complaint, the complainant may withdraw the complaint as of right for any reason, including removal to court pursuant to M.G.L. c. 151B, § 9 or settlement, upon written notice to the Commission.
- (d) If a complainant files a complaint in court pursuant to M.G.L. c. 151B, § 9 without first withdrawing the complaint pursuant to 804 CMR 1.04(12)(a) or (c), the Commission may consider the complaint withdrawn as of the court filing date.
- (e) Withdrawal of a complaint at any time shall not affect the Commission's right to initiate a complaint based upon the same allegations, and such Commission initiated complaint shall relate back to the date of filing of the individual complaint.

(13) Additional Requirement for Withdrawal of Complaint by Removal to Court. Any person who has withdrawn a complaint before the Commission to file an action in any court of the Commonwealth or in any federal court, which includes a claim under M.G.L. c. 151B, M.G.L. 151C, or M.G.L. c. 272, §§ 92A, 98, or 98A, or any other statutes under the jurisdiction of the Commission, shall promptly provide the Commission General Counsel of the Commission with a copy of the complaint filed in court.

1.05: Investigation and Answer of Complaint

(1) Preservation of Evidence. Upon notice of the complaint, parties shall preserve all manner and forms of information and documents that are or may lead to evidence relevant to the complaint charge of discrimination. Failure to so preserve may result in a rebuttable presumption concerning the evidence against the party failing to make such preservation. The Commission may issue sanctions pursuant to 804 CMR 1.22 related to the failure to preserve evidence. For purposes of the duty to preserve evidence, notice of the complaint may occur during preliminary investigation pursuant to 804 CMR 1.05(2)(a) or after formal service of the complaint pursuant to 804 CMR 1.05(3).

(2) Review and Authorization Preliminary Investigation. The Commission ~~may~~ shall undertake a preliminary ~~review~~ investigation of a complaint to determine if further investigation utilizing the process within 804 CMR 1.04(4) through (10) would serve the public interest and may dismiss a complaint after preliminary investigation ~~at such stage~~ subject to the following provisions:

(a) Manner of Investigation. ~~A~~The preliminary investigation shall be undertaken to decide whether to authorize a complaint for formal investigation or to dismiss the complaint pursuant to 804 CMR 1.05(2)(b). The Commission shall have discretion to investigate complaint details in any manner necessary to achieve these purposes, which may include outreach to the parties, witnesses, and other persons, reviewing documents and gathering additional information.

(b) Grounds for Dismissal. The Commission may dismiss a complaint after preliminary investigation for any of the following reasons:

1. determine that further investigation is unwarranted due to a lack of standing,
2. -lack of jurisdiction;
3. u~~U~~ntimeliness;
4. failure to state a claim of discrimination;
5. frivolous claims, or;
6. where ~~where~~ allegations are ~~facially~~ implausible or incapable of verification, ~~or~~ vague;
7. Allegations the alleged of discriminatory conduct has been sufficiently remediated or resolved ~~monetary and non-monetary harm appear de minimus, moot, or mitigated during preliminary investigation.~~

- ~~8. allegations contain tenuous/insufficient nexus between protected class and allegedly discriminatory conduct; or~~
- ~~9. The public interest requires focusing Commission resources on complaints with greater impact on the mission to eradicate discrimination.~~
- ~~(b)(c) Notice of Dismissal. If the Commission determines that further authorization of a formal investigation would not serve the public interest pursuant to 804 CMR 1.05(2)(a), the Commission shall state the reasons for the determination in a dismissal notice served upon complainant and any respondents notified of the complaint only. Such dismissal notice, whether issued within the first 90 days of the filing of the complaint or later, shall constitute authorization to the complainant ~~under 804 CMR 1.04(12)(b)~~ to pursue a civil action pursuant to M.G.L. c. 151B, § 9.~~
- ~~(e)(d) Appeal of Dismissal. The complainant may appeal a dismissal issued pursuant to 804 CMR 1.05(2)(b) by filing with the Clerk's Office a written request for a preliminary hearing pursuant a notice of appeal to 804 CMR 1.08(4)(b).~~
- (3) Notice of Authorization of Formal Investigation. Upon the authorization of a formal investigation, the Commission shall ~~serve notify complainant and respondent of the filing of with~~ the complaint. Service Notice to respondent shall include ~~a copy of the complaint and~~ notice of their procedural rights and obligation to respond.
- (4) Manner of Formal Investigation. Upon authorization pursuant to 804 CMR 1.05(3), the ~~Investigating Commissioner~~ Commission may undertake investigation of the complaint by field visit, written or oral inquiry, review of evidence submitted, conference, or any other method deemed suitable within the discretion of the Commission, none of which shall be subject to 804 CMR 1.10. Such investigation may include, but is not limited to:
- (a) ~~Witness i~~ Interviews of parties, witnesses or other person;
 - (b) Requests for production or inspection of documents, ~~papers~~ and other tangible things;
 - (c) Issuance of *subpoenas* requiring the attendance of persons or the production for examination of documents and other tangible things in accordance with 804 CMR 1.14.
 - (d) ~~Service of interrogatories on either~~ Requests for information from any party;
 - (e) Conducting depositions; and
 - (f) ~~Soliciting and receiving~~ Seeking input from parties in preparing formal information requests or conducting investigative conferences.
 - ~~(g) Considering the input of the parties in developing its requests for information. Accordingly, parties may request that the Commission seek certain information or conduct an investigative conference as part of its investigation.~~
- (5) Prompt Investigation.
- (a) ~~HUD-Housing Complaints.~~ The investigation of a complaint alleging discrimination in housing ~~dual filed with HUD cases~~ shall be completed in no more than 100 days after receipt of the complaint, unless it is impracticable to do so.
 - (b) Complaints Other than HUD-Housing Complaints. The investigation of a complaint alleging discrimination in all areas within the jurisdiction of the Commission other than housing complaints ~~dual filed with HUD~~ shall be completed in no more than 18 months following receipt of the complaint, unless it is impracticable to do so.
 - (c) Notification of Delay. The Commission shall notify the parties if it is unable to complete the investigation within the time periods in 804 CMR 1.05(5)(a) and (b).
- (6) Commission's Right to Investigate. No waiver or other agreement signed by any individual shall affect the Commission's right to investigate any complaint filed before it or to initiate a complaint to enforce the Commonwealth's anti-discrimination statutes. The Commission may investigate information related to allegations of unlawful discrimination prior to initiating proceedings under 804 CMR 1.18.
- (7) Deferral of Investigation. Whenever the ~~Investigating Commissioner~~ Commission has reason to believe that another forum, having jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter contained in a complaint filed with the Commission, is conducting a prompt and thorough investigation of such complaint in a manner consistent with the requirements and standards of the Commission, the ~~Investigating Commissioner~~ Commission may defer the investigation of the complaint until such other forum has completed its investigation or resolved the complaint, subject to the following provisions:

(a) The Commission shall notify the parties in writing of any decision to defer investigation of a complaint until after investigation or resolution of such complaint by another forum.

(b) Upon the conclusion of the investigation or resolution of the complaint by another forum, the ~~Investigating Commissioner~~ Commission shall make a determination in accordance with 804 CMR 1.08(1)(a).

(8) Answer to the Complaint: Position Statement.

~~(a)~~ Form and Timing. Each respondent shall file an answer to the complaint in the form of a position statement as follows:

~~(b)(a)~~ Complaints Other than HUD Housing Complaints. The position statement shall be filed either within 21 days of receipt of the notice of authorized formal investigation or within 21 days of receipt of an amended complaint filed pursuant to 804 CMR 1.04(9)(d) if the amended complaint is received before the filing of the position statement. Upon written request by the respondent, and for good cause shown, the Commission may grant an extension in which to file the position statement not to exceed 21 days absent exceptional circumstances.

~~1. —~~ HUD Housing Complaints.

~~a. — A respondent in a housing discrimination case dual filed with HUD shall file a position statement within 14 days of receipt of the notice of authorized investigation.~~

~~b. — Due to the 100-day period in which to investigate HUD housing complaints under 804 CMR 1.05(5)(a), respondents to HUD housing complaints are strongly discouraged from requesting extensions of time in which to file position statements. Upon written request by the respondent, and for good cause shown, the Commission may grant an extension of not more than seven days in which to file the position statement.~~

~~c. — A respondent who fails to file a position statement in accordance with 804 CMR 1.05(8)(a)2.a. or within an extension of time granted pursuant to 1.05(8)(a)2.b. remains obligated to file the position statement.~~

~~(e)(b)~~ Failure to File. Upon failure to provide a position statement, the Commission may issue a notice of consequences in accordance with 804 CMR 1.07(1).

~~(d)(c)~~ Service. Each respondent shall serve the position statement upon the Commission, the complainant, and any attorney of record. ~~for a complainant.~~

~~(e)(d)~~ Content. The position statement shall assert all jurisdictional and other defenses which the respondent wishes to raise and shall also contain a full and complete description of the respondent's positions in response to all allegations of the complaint. The position statement shall be signed and affirmed by the respondent. Signature and affirmation shall include:

1. A signature under the pains and penalties of perjury by each respondent, which in the case of a corporate respondent shall be a principal of respondent, or a person, other than its attorney, authorized to act for the respondent; and

2. If a respondent is represented by an attorney, the position statement shall also be signed by respondent's attorney.

~~(f)(e)~~ Amendments. A position statement may only be amended in accordance with 804 CMR 1.04(9)(e).

(9) Rebuttal to the Position Statement.

(a) Rebuttal Encouraged. Rebuttals to the position statement are not required, but are strongly encouraged and may be requested by the Commission to assist in the investigation of the complaint.

~~(b)~~ Form and Timing.

~~(e)(b)~~ Rebuttals to Position Statements for Complaints Other than HUD Housing Complaints. Within 21 days of service of a position statement or a request for rebuttal from the Commission, a complainant may file a rebuttal to the respondent's position statement. Rebuttals shall be in writing, except that pro se complainants may be permitted by the ~~Investigating Commissioner~~ Commission to provide a verbal rebuttal. Upon written request of the complainant, and for good cause shown, the Commission may grant an extension of not more than 21 days to file the rebuttal absent exceptional circumstances.

~~1. —~~ Rebuttals to Position Statement for HUD Housing Complaints. ~~Rebuttals to the position statement may be made in writing or verbally, but shall be made within~~

~~ten days of service of the position statement or request by the Commission. Upon request of the complainant, and for good cause shown, the Commission may grant an extension of not more than five days to file the rebuttal absent exceptional circumstances.~~

~~(d)~~(c) Service. A complainant shall serve a copy of the written rebuttal on a pro se respondent or on respondent's ~~representative, attorney,~~ unless the rebuttal is subject to a protective order pursuant to 804 CMR 1.05(12).

(10) Investigative Conference.

(a) Notice. The Commission may convene one or more ~~an~~ investigative conferences for the purpose of obtaining evidence, identifying issues in dispute, ascertaining the positions of the parties, and exploring the possibility of settlement. Notice of the investigative conference shall be provided to all parties at least 14 days prior thereto and may identify the individuals requested to attend on behalf of a party.

(b) Rescheduling. Parties may request to reschedule the investigative conference for good cause by submitting a written request to the ~~Investigating Commissioner~~Commission, which shall be granted at the discretion of the ~~Investigating Commissioner~~Commission.

(c) Participants. A party may be accompanied at an investigative conference by ~~their a representative~~ attorney or other duly authorized representative. An attorney for a party not previously having entered an appearance shall do so at the beginning of the investigative conference. Participation by any other person other than a representative shall be at the discretion of the ~~Investigating Commissioner~~Commission.

(d) Conduct. The ~~Investigating Commissioner~~Commission shall conduct the investigative conference and control the proceedings. Parties ~~and or~~ their representatives may be questioned by the ~~Investigating Commissioner~~Commission about the issues under investigation, and may be permitted to make a brief statement. No audio, visual, digital, or other verbatim recording of the conference may be made. The ~~Investigating Commissioner~~Commission shall decide who shall be heard and the order in which they are heard. The ~~Investigating Commissioner~~Commission may exclude witnesses and other persons from the investigative conference.

(e) Failure to Attend. The failure of a complainant to attend the investigative conference after due notice may result in an adverse disposition in accordance with 804 CMR 1.08. The failure of a respondent to attend the investigative conference after due notice may result in investigative default in accordance with 804 CMR 1.07. The Commission may sanction any party failing to attend or requesting more than one continuance without good cause as provided for in 804 CMR 1.22.

(11) Motion for More Definite Statement. If a complaint is so vague or ambiguous that the respondent cannot reasonably be expected to take an informed legal position concerning the relevance of evidence sought, the ~~Investigating Commissioner~~Commission may *sua sponte*, or upon motion, order a more definite statement. A motion for a more definite statement is subject to 804 CMR 1.13 and shall be filed within ten days of receipt of the complaint. Such motion shall describe the defects complained of and details desired. The complainant's response to an order for a more definite statement is governed by 804 CMR 1.04(9)(d).

(12) Motion Request for Protective Order. A party may ~~move request for~~ a protective order to prevent the disclosure of information provided during the investigation for good cause shown, ~~and the Investigating Commissioner Commission may allow such motion request if the public interest and other circumstances warrant such order.~~ The ~~Investigating Commissioner~~Commission may seek a response from the non-~~moving~~ requesting party. Information that is subject to a protective order shall not be disclosed during the investigation of the matter, but, unless otherwise provided, may be subject to discovery by the parties after an investigative disposition.

~~(13) Predetermination Discovery Conducted by the Parties. The parties do not have a right to conduct discovery during the investigation of the complaint. If both parties are represented by counsel, they may be permitted to conduct discovery by *sua sponte* order of the Investigating Commissioner or upon motion by a party. Any such discovery shall focus solely on the allegations of the complaint and any defenses thereto, and shall be~~

~~conducted in accordance with 804 CMR 1.10, subject to the following limitations and additional provisions:~~

~~(a) Limited Discovery.~~

- ~~1. Absent a specific contrary order of the Investigating Commissioner, discovery by each party shall be limited to 15 interrogatories, including subparts, 15 requests for production of documents, and six hours of deposition by each side;~~
- ~~2. Parties shall respond to interrogatories and requests for production of documents within 30 days of service;~~
- ~~3. Notice of depositions shall be served at least 14 days prior to the date of deposition;~~
- ~~4. Unless a different time frame is granted, discovery shall be completed within six months of issuance of discovery order; and~~
- ~~5. The parties may not alter the limitations in 804 CMR 1.05(13)(a) by agreement under 804 CMR 1.10(9).~~

~~(b) Failure to Conduct Discovery. Failure to conduct predetermination discovery in good faith, when ordered by the Investigating Commissioner, may be deemed cause for:~~

- ~~1. Administrative dismissal of the matter pursuant to 804 CMR 1.08(1)(d) for unreasonable refusal by complainant to cooperate with the processing of the matter;~~
- ~~2. Investigative default pursuant to 804 CMR 1.07 for unreasonable refusal by respondent to appear and cooperate with the processing of the matter; and~~
- ~~3. The Investigating Commissioner may order the party failing to conduct discovery in good faith, or the attorney advising him or her, or both, to pay the requesting party's reasonable expenses, including attorney's fees and costs, and the Commission's expenses caused by the failure.~~

~~(c) Discovery Submission. Parties permitted to conduct predetermination discovery shall file with the Commission and serve each other party with a memorandum describing facts relevant to a causal determination, including any agreed upon facts. The memorandum shall not exceed 15 double spaced pages, and shall be filed within 30 days of the end of the discovery period. Upon request by the Investigating Commissioner, the party shall provide copies of answers to interrogatories, relevant documents produced and deposition transcript testimony which support the facts relevant to the determination.~~

1.06: Mediation

(1) Mediation. The Commission may offer the parties mediation aimed at resolution of the complaint prior to the issuance of an investigative disposition or the Chair may do so post probable cause a final decision. Mediation offered to the parties by the Commission is subject to M.G.L. c. 233, § 23C, and the following conditions:

~~(a) The mediation of a complaint shall be performed by the Investigating Commissioner. Mediation may be offered to the parties at the discretion of the Commission or the Chair.~~

(b) The Commission does not abrogate its obligation to vindicate the public interest by offering mediation to the parties.

(c) Pursuant to 804 CMR 1.06(1)(a) and (b), the confidentiality required by M.G.L. c. 233, § 23C applies to the Commission as a whole. Details of a mediation remain confidential within the Commission and confidential mediation materials not otherwise discoverable shall not be disclosed in any judicial or administrative proceeding, including public hearings held pursuant to 804 CMR 1.12, 1.18 or 1.19.

(d) The Commission may cancel or terminate its efforts to mediate a complaint if: any party fails or refuses to confer with the Commission; any party fails to make a good faith effort to resolve any dispute; the complainant fails to accept a reasonable settlement offer as provided in 804 CMR 1.09(11); the mediation does not serve to vindicate the public interest; or the Commission finds, for any reason, that voluntary agreement is not likely to result.

(e) All parties shall attend mediation with authority to settle the matter.

~~(f) At a predetermination mediation, a party may be represented by a duly authorized representative. Representation for the parties at a mediation shall be consistent with 804 CMR 1.15(14).~~

~~(2) Additional Provisions Applicable to Mediation of HUD Housing Complaints. The Commission encourages the parties to resolve HUD housing complaints through voluntary~~

~~settlement prior to the issuance of an investigative disposition through mediation, subject 804 CMR 1.06(1)(a) through (f) and to the following additional provisions:~~

~~(3)(2) The Investigating CommissionerCommission shall may seek the parties' voluntary participation in pre-determination mediation at the Commission within 30 days of the filing of the complaint, unless impracticable to do so.~~

~~(a) Any party who declines to participate or seeks postponement of a pre-determination mediation shall provide written notice to the Investigating CommissionerCommission within 48 hours of the scheduled mediation date. Failure to provide such notice without good cause may result in sanctions against the party failing to give notice.~~

1.07: Investigative Default Procedure

(1) Notice of Consequences for Failure to Answer or Participate. If a respondent fails to answer a ~~verified~~ complaint or otherwise fails to participate in the investigation, the ~~Investigating CommissionerCommission~~ may serve upon respondent a notice of consequences. The notice of consequences shall list available sanctions for failing to answer or participate, which include, but are not limited to:

(a) The issuance of a probable cause determination in accordance with the allegations of the complaint; and

(b) A waiver of respondent's right to pursue reconsideration of the probable cause determination pursuant to 804 CMR 1.08(4)(a).

(2) Response to Notice of Consequences. The respondent shall file a position statement with the Commission within ten days of receipt of the notice of consequences or take any other action required by the notice of consequences to avoid an order and entry of default imposing sanctions pursuant to 804 CMR 1.07(3).

(3) Order and Entry of Investigative Default.

(a) If respondent fails to respond to the notice of consequences as required by 804 CMR 1.07(2), the ~~Investigating CommissionerCommission~~ may issue an order and entry of investigative default, imposing one or more of the sanctions available under 804 CMR 1.07(1) and 804 CMR 1.22.

~~(b) If sanctions are imposed, the Investigating CommissionerCommission may also order the payment of reasonable costs and attorney's fees associated with the failure to cooperate, to be paid by the defaulting party to the Commission and other parties.~~

~~(c)(b)~~ After the imposition of sanctions, the ~~Investigating CommissionerCommission~~ may take the following additional actions:

1. Order that a matter be processed pursuant to 804 CMR 1.19;

2. Seek relief in the Superior Court for a violation of M.G.L. c. 151B, § 8, pursuant to M.G.L. c. 214, § 3(12) or (13); and

3. Any other relief against the defaulting parties or its counsel representative as is necessary and appropriate to enforce the provisions of M.G.L. c. 151B, § 5.

(4) Removal of Default. Within 14 days of the order and entry of investigative default, the respondent may petition the ~~Investigating CommissionerCommission~~ for the removal of investigative default, for good cause shown. The respondent's assertion of good cause shall be in affidavit form and shall include all information sought by the Commission. ~~The respondent shall includeincluding~~ a position statement ~~in the petition to remove defaultif required by the Notice of Consequences.~~

1.08: Investigative Dispositions, Complaint Dismissals and Appeal

(1) Types of Investigative Dispositions. In addition to an investigative disposition issued under 804 CMR 1.05(2), ~~the~~ Commission may conclude the investigation of a complaint as follows:

(a) Substantial Weight Granted to Investigation by Another Forum. After deferral of an investigation pursuant to 804 CMR 1.05(7), if it appears that the Commission's requirements and standards have been met, the Commission may accord substantial weight to the findings or resolution of the other forum and close the investigation or prosecute the complaint pursuant to 804 CMR 1.08(1)(f)1. ~~or 3.~~

(b) Withdrawal of Complaint. The Commission shall dismiss a complaint properly withdrawn pursuant to 804 CMR 1.04(12), although the Chair it it may decide to file a

Commission initiated complaint based on the same allegations pursuant to 804 CMR 1.18.

(c) Lack of Jurisdiction. Whenever the ~~Investigating Commissioner~~Commission determines that the Commission lacks jurisdiction over the parties or subject matter of the complaint, the ~~Investigating Commissioner~~Commission shall dismiss the complaint and shall notify the parties in writing, stating the reasons therefor.

(d) Administrative Dismissal. ~~If the public interest so requires, the~~ ~~Investigating Commissioner~~Commission may administratively dismiss a complaint for reasons including, but not limited to, bankruptcy, death of a party, inability to locate a party, adjudication by another forum, unreasonable refusal by complainant to cooperate with processing the case ~~and other conduct providing grounds for sanctions in 804 CMR 1.22~~, failure to participate, or refusal to accept a reasonable settlement offer pursuant to the criteria of 804 CMR 1.09(11). In addition, conduct providing grounds for sanctions in 804 CMR 1.22 is an independent basis for administrative dismissal of the complaint.

Administrative dismissal shall be subject to the following provisions:

1. Before dismissing a matter because of inability to locate a party, the Commission shall provide notice to the party stating that the matter shall be dismissed if a response is not received within 30 days. Such notice shall be provided to the last address reported to the Commission by the party. The ~~Investigating Commissioner~~Commission shall allow 30 days for response before administratively dismissing the matter.
2. Unreasonable refusal by complainant to cooperate with processing of the case may include, but is not limited to, failure to provide information, materials or responses which are necessary for investigation of the complaint, failure to cooperate with counsel for the Commission in the prosecution of the complaint, or failure to comply with an order issued by the ~~Investigating Commissioner~~Commission.
3. When practicable, in any matter administratively dismissed, written notice shall be provided to the complainant, including the reasons for the dismissal.
4. An administrative dismissal shall not constitute a final order for purposes of exercising rights provided under M.G.L. c. 151B, § 6 or M.G.L. c. 30A.
5. The ~~Investigating Commissioner~~Commission may reopen an administratively dismissed case for good cause shown ~~within six months of dismissal~~.

(e) Settlement. The Commission may dismiss a complaint due to settlement of the complaint under the following conditions:

1. Notification to Commission. Parties shall promptly notify the Commission in writing upon reaching a settlement.
2. Review and Availability of Settlement Terms.
 - a. The ~~Investigating Commissioner~~Commission may require the parties to submit the terms of such settlement in writing to the Commission for review.
 - b. ~~Except in HUD housing complaints dual filed with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development or in complaints filed against Commonwealth executive branch agencies, the~~ Commission may keep settlement terms confidential at the request of the parties unless confidentiality is precluded by law, Commission contractual workshare agreements, or compelling public policy considerations.
 - c. If it appears from the facts of the complaint and the terms of settlement that the public interest has been served, the ~~Investigating Commissioner~~Commission or the Chair may dismiss the complaint without a determination as to whether probable cause existed to credit the allegations of the complaint, and shall so notify the parties in writing.

(f) Causal Determinations After Formal Investigation.

1. Probable Cause. A determination of probable cause shall be made when the ~~Investigating Commissioner~~Commission concludes Commission recommends after formal investigation of the complaint that there is sufficient evidence upon which a fact-finder could form a reasonable belief that it is more probable than not that respondent committed an unlawful practice or violated a statute under the Commission's jurisdiction, ~~and the Chair authorizes the probable cause determination~~. Disputes involving genuine issues of material fact shall be reserved for a fact finder at public hearing. Right to Elect Judicial Determination. If the ~~Investigating Commissioner~~ Chair ~~Commission~~ finds probable cause to credit the

allegations of any housing complaint, ~~including those dual filed with HUD,~~ the ~~Investigating Commissioner~~ Commission Chair shall immediately serve notice upon complainant and respondent of the right to elect judicial determination of the complaint pursuant to M.G.L. c. 151B, § 5.

2. Lack of Probable Cause. If the ~~Investigating Commissioner~~ Commission determines after formal investigation of the complaint that there is insufficient evidence to support a determination of probable cause to credit the allegations of the complaint, a lack of probable cause determination shall be issued and the complaint shall be dismissed. Notice of a lack of probable cause determination shall be issued within ten days from such determination.

~~3. Multiple Determinations. Where the complaint contains claims of more than one unlawful practice, the Investigating Commissioner shall make a determination of probable cause or lack of probable cause with respect to each claim.~~

(2) Complaint Dismissals After a Probable Cause Determination. ~~Investigative Disposition.~~ The Commission Chair may dismiss a complaint utilizing the procedures within 804 CMR 1.08(1)(b), (c), (d) or (e) at any time after the issuance of a probable cause determination pursuant to 804 CMR 1.08(1)(f)1. ~~and 3., including that the Commission Chair shall dismiss the complaint upon referral to the Attorney General after a party's election of judicial determination of a housing practice pursuant to M.G.L. c. 151B, § 5. The Chair may dismiss a complaint utilizing the procedures within 804 CMR 1.08(1)(b), (c), (d), (e) at any time after the issuance of a probable cause determination pursuant to 804 CMR 1.08(1)(f)1. An administrative dismissal ordered by the Chair pursuant to 804 CMR 1.08(1)(d) may only be reopened by order from the Chair upon a showing of good cause.~~

(3) Notice of Investigative Disposition. The Commission shall provide written notice of the investigative disposition to the parties, including whether the complaint has been dismissed or remains open and subject to further process.

(4) Reconsideration or Appeal of Investigative Disposition.

~~(a)~~ Motion for Reconsideration of Probable Cause Determination.

~~(b)~~ (a) Any party respondent may move for reconsideration of a probable cause determination for good cause at any time prior to the issuance of a certification conference scheduled order pursuant to 804 CMR 1.11(43) or issuance of a certification order if no conference is held, or within 30 days of the close of discovery, whichever is later, or within 45 days of certification to public hearing pursuant to 804 CMR 1.12 if no certification conference is held, subject to the following requirements:-

1. Grounds for a motion for reconsideration of a probable cause determination filed by a complainant shall be limited to argument that the pre-determination pre-probable cause removal of claims or respondents from the complaint was in error or based on evidence obtained in discovery that supports reinstatement of a previously dismissed claim or respondent.

~~1-2.~~ 2. A motion for reconsideration from a respondent which is based on the absence of a genuine issue of material fact shall be filed after the close of discovery.

~~2-3.~~ 3. Motions for reconsideration shall be served in accordance with 804 CMR 1.13.

~~3-4.~~ 4. The ~~Investigating Commissioner~~ Chair Commission shall render a decision on the motion for reconsideration, as soon as reasonably practicable.

~~4-5.~~ 5. The ~~Investigating Commissioner~~ Chair Commission may issue an order reversing the probable cause determination, reopening the matter for further investigation, modifying the probable cause determination, amending the complaint, or taking such other action as is deemed necessary in the interests of justice.

~~5-6.~~ 6. Upon reversal or modification of a probable cause determination, complainant does not have a right to a preliminary hearing appeal pursuant to 804 CMR 1.08(4)(b) or a right to review under M.G.L. c. 151B, § 6 or M.G.L. c. 30A.

~~(e)~~ (b) Preliminary Appeal of Disposition: Preliminary Hearing. The complainant may appeal an investigative disposition entered under 804 CMR 1.08(1)(c) and (f)2. ~~and 3.~~ as well as a dismissal pursuant to 804 CMR 1.05(2) by filing a written request for a preliminary hearing with the Clerk's Office notice of appeal within ten days after receipt of the notice of investigative disposition or dismissal. Notices of appeal filed beyond ten

days after the receipt of the notice of investigative disposition shall not be allowed. The ~~Clerk's Office~~Commission shall notify all other parties of the appeal~~schedule a hearing and~~, except for appeals filed pursuant to 804 CMR 1.05(2), ~~shall notify all other parties of the appeal~~. The following provisions apply to all preliminary ~~hearings~~appeals:

~~1. The hearing may be held in person or in writing at the discretion of the Investigating Commissioner.~~

~~2.1. All appeals shall be made in writing unless a live hearing, whether virtual, telephonic, or in person is necessary to accommodate disability.~~ No audio, visual, digital, or other verbatim recording of the ~~conference~~live hearing may be made.

~~2. Written appeals are due within 14 days of the filing of the notice of appeal. Extensions of time to file written appeals are strongly disfavored and will not be granted without a showing of good cause. Upon a showing of good cause, the Commission shall allow no more than one extension.~~

~~3. The Commission shall not consider written appeals filed after the original or extended deadline.~~

Only appeals that comply with 804 CMR 1.08(b) will be sent to a Commissioner for their decision.

~~4. Written or oral appeals shall include reasons why the determination was made in error and supporting evidence.~~

~~3.5. The hearing preliminary appeal~~ shall not be subject to the requirements of M.G.L. c. 30A and the determination shall not be subject to Full Commission or judicial review under M.G.L. c. 151B, § 6 or M.G.L. c. 30A.

~~4.6. The Investigating Commissioner shall preside at the hearing and may permit the complainant to present oral or written reasons why the determination is in error, and present supporting evidence as appropriate.~~ Except for appeals filed pursuant to 804 CMR 1.05(2), the respondent may also be permitted to present ~~oral or written~~ reasons why the determination should be sustained along with supporting evidence as appropriate.

~~5.7. In assessing the appeal, The Investigating Commissioner~~Commission may request additional information and evidence ~~at or following the preliminary hearing.~~

~~6.8. A The Investigating Commissioner~~ The Commissioner may, upon review of evidence presented:

- a. affirm the disposition ~~or dismissal~~;
- b. reverse the disposition ~~or dismissal~~ and issue a probable cause determination;
- c. ~~reopen the case for further~~reverse the disposition and authorize or continue a formal investigation;
- d. modify the disposition ~~or dismissal~~; or
- e. take such other action as deemed necessary in the interest of justice.

1.09: Conciliation

(1) Conciliation Required after Determining Probable Cause. Upon a determination of probable cause pursuant to 804 CMR 1.08(1)(f)1. ~~or 3.~~, the ~~Investigating Commissioner~~Chair Commission shall endeavor to eliminate the unlawful practice complained of through conference, conciliation and persuasion in accordance with M.G.L. c. 151B, §5. In conciliating a complaint, the ~~Commission Chair~~Commission shall attempt to achieve a just resolution of the complaint and to obtain assurances that the respondent shall satisfactorily remedy any violations of the rights of the aggrieved person, and take such action as shall assure the elimination of discriminatory practices, or the prevention of their occurrence in the future.

(2) Party Obligations.

(a) Attendance at a scheduled conciliation is mandatory, and all parties shall attend conciliation with authority to settle the matter.

(b) The case in support of the complaint at conciliation shall be presented either by ~~private counsel~~an attorney retained by complainant or by counsel for the Commission. A complainant may not proceed ~~pro se~~ at conciliation without private counsel or without counsel for the Commission in attendance. A complainant's failure to retain counsel or cooperate with counsel for the Commission shall be grounds for dismissing the matter.

- (c) At least ten days prior to the conciliation, counsel for complainant or counsel for the Commission shall send a written settlement proposal to respondent.
 - (d) At least five days prior to the conciliation, the parties shall hold preliminary settlement discussions for the purpose of making a good faith effort to resolve the complaint.
- (3) Continuances. Continuances shall not be granted except upon written motion filed in accordance with 804 CMR 1.13 demonstrating good cause, including emergency motions. Non-emergency motions to continue shall be assented to by all parties or filed jointly.
- (4) Consequences for Failing to Attend Conciliation. Failure to attend conciliation may result in the imposition of sanctions ~~for costs incurred by the Commission or the opposing party in accordance with 804 CMR 1.22~~. A respondent's failure to attend may result in the immediate certification to public hearing pursuant to 804 CMR 1.11, and complainant's failure to attend may result in the administrative dismissal of the complaint pursuant to 804 CMR 1.08(1)(d).
- (5) Provisions Sought for the Public Interest. The provisions which may be sought for the vindication of the public interest, include but are not limited to:
 - (a) Elimination of the discriminatory practice;
 - (b) Prevention of future discriminatory practices;
 - (c) Remedial affirmative activities to overcome discriminatory practices;
 - (d) Apologies;
 - (e) Reporting requirements;
 - (f) Monitoring and enforcement activities;
 - (g) Consent orders or decrees; and
 - (h) Educational and training efforts.
- (6) Relief Sought for Aggrieved Persons. The relief sought for aggrieved persons in conciliation may include, but is not limited to:
 - (a) Monetary relief in the form of compensatory damages for back pay, front pay, and emotional distress, and attorney fees and costs;
 - (b) Equitable relief including, but not limited to, reinstatement to employment, promotion, letters of recommendation or reference, access to the dwelling at issue (or to a comparable dwelling), the provision of services or facilities, an apology and a promise to refrain from engaging in the same or similar discriminatory conduct, reasonable accommodation, or other specific relief; and
 - (c) Injunctive relief appropriate to the elimination of discriminatory practices affecting the aggrieved person or persons.
- (7) Termination of Conciliation Efforts. ~~The Commission Chair~~ Commission may terminate ~~its~~ its efforts to conciliate a complaint if the respondent fails or refuses to confer with the Commission; the complainant or the respondent fail to make a good faith effort to resolve any dispute; or the ~~Commission Chair~~ Commission finds, for any reason, that voluntary agreement is not likely to result.
- (8) Information Obtained during Conciliation. Nothing that is said or done in the course of conciliation can be used as evidence in a subsequent public hearing held pursuant to 804 CMR 1.12 or in civil actions under M.G.L. c. 151B, § 9.
- (9) Review of Compliance with Conciliation Agreements. The ~~Commission Chair~~ Commission, ~~through its individual Commissioners, from time to time,~~ may review compliance with the terms of any conciliation agreement. Whenever there ~~is~~ is ~~Commission~~ has reasonable cause to believe that a respondent has breached a conciliation agreement, the ~~Investigating Commissioner Chair~~ Commission may take appropriate action, including reopening of the matter before the Commission, and the filing of a civil action for enforcement of the terms of the conciliation agreement and seeking appropriate sanctions under M.G.L. c. 151B, § 8.
- (10) Conciliation Agreement. A conciliation agreement shall be an agreement between the respondent and the complainant and shall be subject to the approval of the ~~Commission Chair~~ Commission. ~~It may include any or all remedies available under 801 CMR 1.00.~~ Such

agreement shall be in writing, shall set forth the terms of the agreement, and shall be signed by the parties. In accordance with M.G.L. c. 151B, § 5, the Commission may make public the terms of conciliation when the complaint has been so disposed of.

(11) Failure to Accept Reasonable Settlement Offer. When a formal offer of settlement by a respondent is acceptable to ~~the CommissionChair Commission~~, but not to the complainant, the ~~CommissionChair Commission~~ may dismiss the complaint and, if timely, the complainant may proceed in the appropriate court of competent jurisdiction under M.G.L. c. 151B, § 9. In making this determination, offers of settlement by a respondent shall be reviewed by the ~~Investigating CommissionerChair Commission~~ to determine whether the public interest would be served by the continuation of the proceedings. The ~~Chair Commission Investigating Commissioner~~ may consider the following non-exhaustive criteria in making this determination:

- (a) Probability of success after public hearing;
- (b) Reasonableness of offer;
- (c) Reasonableness of complainant's refusal, if any;
- (d) The amount of the complainant's economic loss, and respondent's degree of responsibility thereof;
- (e) Evidence of any emotional distress suffered by the complainant, and respondent's degree of responsibility;
- (f) The egregiousness of the discrimination charged; and
- (g) Whether the time for filing a civil action, under M.G.L. c. 151B, § 9, has expired.

1.10: Discovery

(1) When Allowed. Discovery may only be conducted pursuant to a discovery order from the ~~CommissionChair Commission~~. Discovery orders ~~may issue predetermination pursuant to 804 CMR 1.05(13), and discovery orders may issue post-determination~~ if a matter does not resolve at conciliation conducted pursuant to 804 CMR 1.09.

(2) Contents of Discovery Order. A discovery order shall set forth the time period in which all discovery shall be ~~completedserved~~. Permitted discovery may include, but is not limited to, interrogatories to parties, requests for the production of documents, ~~papers~~ and other tangible things, depositions, *subpoenas*, requests for site examination, and requests for admissions.

(3) Scope of Discovery. Parties may obtain discovery regarding any matter, not privileged, which is relevant to the subject matter in the complaint, whether it relates to the claim or defense of the party seeking discovery or to the claim or defense of any other party, including the existence, description, nature, custody, condition and location of any ~~books, documents or, or other~~ tangible things and the identity and location of persons having knowledge of any discoverable matter. It is not grounds for objection that the information sought shall be inadmissible at a public hearing if the information sought appears reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

(4) Interrogatories.

(a) No party shall serve upon any other party as of right more than 30 interrogatories, including interrogatories subsidiary or incidental to, or dependent upon, other interrogatories, however grouped or combined; but the interrogatories may be served in two or more sets, as long as the total number of interrogatories served does not exceed 30. All interrogatories shall be numbered consecutively.

~~(b)~~ Each interrogatory shall be answered separately and fully in writing under the pains and penalties of perjury, unless it is specifically objected to, in which event the reasons for objection shall be stated in lieu of the answer; each answer or objection shall be preceded by the interrogatory to which it responds.

(b) General objections to interrogatories are prohibited. Each objection to an interrogatory shall be specific to that interrogatory and shall have a good faith basis. If a party refuses to answer an interrogatory, the party shall so state and identify each objection asserted to justify the refusal to answer. If a party, after having asserted an objection, answers the interrogatory, the answer shall state either:

1. notwithstanding the objection no information has been withheld from the answer, or

2. information has been withheld from the answer because of the objection. Where information has been withheld from the answer, the objecting party shall describe the nature of the information withheld and identify each objection asserted to justify the withholding.

(c) The answers are to be signed by the person making them under the pains and penalties of perjury, the objections by the person or attorney making them.

(d) The party upon whom the interrogatories have been served shall serve answers and objections, if any, within 45 days after the service of the interrogatories.

(5) Requests for Production or Inspection of Documents, Papers and Other Tangible Things.

(a) The party upon whom the request is served shall serve a written response within 30 days after the service of the request. The response shall state, with respect to each item or category, that inspection and related activities shall be permitted as requested, unless the request is objected to, in which event the reasons for objection shall be stated. If objection is made to part of an item or category, the part shall be specified.

(b) Unless otherwise stipulated or ordered by the Commission:

1. A party shall produce documents as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the request;

2. The producing party may produce copies of the documents, including by electronic means, provided that, if requested, the producing party affords all parties a fair opportunity to verify the copies by comparison with the originals;

3. If a request does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, a party shall produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms; and

4. A party need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(c) The written response accompanying production shall state either:

1. All responsive documents or things in the possession, custody, or control of the responding party have been produced;

2. After diligent search no responsive documents or things are in the possession, custody, or control of the responding party; or

3. A specific objection made to the request. When specific objection is made, the response shall describe the nature of all responsive documents or things in the possession, custody, or control of the responding party that have not been produced because of the objection.

(6) Depositions.

(a) A party desiring to take the deposition of any person upon oral examination shall include in the notice the time and place for taking the deposition, the name and address of each person to be examined and the manner in which the deposition shall be recorded.

(b) The notice of deposition shall be served at least 14 days prior to the date of the deposition, and the parties shall receive the same notice for third party depositions.

(c) The parties shall be given 14 days notice, and an opportunity to quash, prior to the service of a third-party *subpoena*.

(d) A party may name as a deponent a public or private corporation or a partnership or association or governmental agency and describe with reasonable particularity the matters on which examination is requested. The named organization shall designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to testify on its behalf, and may set forth, for each person designated, the matters on which they shall testify. The persons so designated shall testify as to matters known or reasonably available to the organization. If the corporation, partnership or association does business in Massachusetts, it must produce its designee(s) for deposition in Massachusetts.

(e) If a *subpoena duces tecum* is to be served on a person to be examined, the designation of the material to be produced as set forth in the *subpoena*, shall be attached to the notice.

(f) The party requesting a deposition shall make all necessary arrangements for the taking and recording of depositions, including the cost thereof.

(g) The testimony at a deposition may be recorded by other than stenographic means. The party conducting the deposition shall ensure that the recorded testimony is accurate and trustworthy.

(h) All objections made at the time of the deposition shall be noted by the person taking the deposition. Evidence objected to shall be taken subject to the objection. Counsel for a witness or a party may not instruct a deponent not to answer except where necessary to assert or preserve a privilege or protection against disclosure, to enforce a limitation on evidence directed by the ~~Commission~~ Chair Commission or stipulated in writing by the parties, or to suspend the deposition for the purpose of bringing a motion to terminate or limit the examination where it is being conducted in bad faith or in such manner as unreasonably to annoy, embarrass, or oppress the deponent or party.

(i) Subject to valid objections to admissibility, depositions or any part thereof may be used for any purpose with respect to witnesses who are unavailable at the hearing or to contradict or impeach the credibility of witnesses who ~~are available to~~ testify at ~~p~~Public hHearing.

(j) ~~The Investigating Commissioner~~ Chair Commission may order the filing of any deposition transcript with the Commission.

(7) Admissions.

(a) A party may serve upon another party a written request for admission of the truth of matters within the scope of discovery that relate to statements or opinions of fact or of the application of law to fact, including the genuineness of any documents attached to the request.

~~(b)~~ Each matter of which an admission is requested shall be separately set forth and is deemed admitted unless within 30 days after its service, the party to whom the request is directed serves upon the party requesting the admission:

1. A written statement signed by the party under the pains and penalties of perjury denying the matter or setting forth in detail why it cannot truthfully admit or deny it; or

2. A written objection addressed to the matter, signed by the party or representative. If an objection is made, the reasons shall be stated.

~~(c)~~ When good faith requires that a party qualify the answer or deny only a part of the matter of which an admission is requested, the party shall specify so much of it as is true and qualify or deny the remainder. A party may not give lack of information or knowledge as a reason for failure to admit or deny the request unless the party states that it has made reasonable inquiry and the information known or readily obtainable by such party is insufficient to enable the party to admit or deny. Each response shall be preceded by the request to which it responds. Any admission made is for the purpose of the pending case only and is not an admission for any other purpose nor may it be used in any other proceeding. The maximum number of admissions as of right shall be 50.

~~(7)~~(8) Protective Orders. Upon motion by a party or by a person from whom discovery is sought, and for good cause shown, the ~~Commission~~ Chair Commission may issue a protective order limiting discovery ~~as justice requires~~ to protect a party or person from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense. If the motion for a protective order is denied, the ~~Commission~~ Chair Commission may order that any party shall comply with the discovery requests.

~~(8)~~(9) Failure to Comply with Discovery. Failure to comply with discovery may result in any of the following orders from the ~~Commission~~ Chair Commission *sua sponte* or upon motion from a party:

(a) An order to comply with discovery containing consequences for further noncompliance;

(b) An order that matters upon which discovery is sought or any other designated facts shall be taken to be established for the purpose of supporting a claim or defense of the party seeking discovery;

(c) An order refusing to allow the noncomplying party to support or oppose designated claims or defenses, or prohibiting them from introducing designated matters in evidence;

~~(e)~~(d) An order prohibiting a party from calling witnesses or introducing documents at the public hearing;

~~(d)~~(e) An order dismissing the action or rendering a judgment by default; immediate certification to public hearing pursuant to 804 CMR 1.11; and

~~(e)~~(f) In lieu of any of the foregoing orders or in addition thereto, an order requiring the noncomplying party or the attorney advising the noncomplying party or

both to pay monetary sanctions and reasonable expenses, including attorney fees and Commission expenses caused by the noncompliance, in accordance with 804 CMR 1.22.

~~(9)(10)~~ Stipulations Regarding Discovery Procedure. Unless the ~~Commission Chair~~ Commission orders otherwise, after the issuance of a discovery order pursuant to 804 CMR 1.10(1), the parties may by written stipulation and agreement modify the procedures provided by 804 CMR 1.10~~(4-7)~~.

1.11: Certification of ~~Issues-Claims~~ to Public Hearing

(1) Certification. When the ~~Investigating Commissioner Chair~~ Commission determines that the public interest requires a certification of ~~issues-claims~~ to public hearing, the ~~Investigating Commissioner Chair~~ shall issue a certification order in the name of the Commission pursuant to M.G.L. c. 151B, § 5.

(2) Certification Process. The ~~Investigating Commissioner Chair~~ Commission may issue a certification order ~~identifying the claims that shall be certified to public hearing *and* *sponte or upon notification that discovery is complete, or, if circumstances so warrant,*~~ the ~~Investigating Commissioner Chair~~ Commission may schedule a conference pursuant to 804 CMR 1.11(3) prior to issuance of such order to determine which ~~issues-claims~~, if any, shall be certified to public hearing.

(3) Certification Conference. The ~~Investigating Commissioner Chair~~ Commission shall serve notice of the certification conference upon all parties and counsel of record. The ~~Investigating Commissioner Chair~~ Commission may issue an order requiring written submissions by the parties in advance of the certification conference, and failure to provide all written submissions as ordered shall be cause for sanctions in accordance with 804 CMR 1.22. The written submissions shall contain the following:

- (a) List of proposed ~~issues-claims~~ to be certified to public hearing;
- (b) Affirmative defenses;
- (c) Parties, including definition of proposed classes;
- (d) Pending motions (e.g., discovery issues, motions for certification, motions for reconsideration of probable cause);
- (e) All relief sought, including any monetary and equitable relief and description of the bases on which damages are calculated;
- (f) Settlement efforts; and
- (g) Any other matter which in the judgment of the ~~Investigating Commissioner Chair~~ Commission is likely to expedite the preparation and presentation of the case.

(4) Certification Order. The certification order shall be in writing, served upon all parties and counsel of record and may provide the following:

- (a) Certification of ~~issues-claims~~ to be considered at a public hearing ~~before a Hearing Commissioner;~~
- (b) Certification of one or more questions of law to the Full Commission; and
- (c) Address any other matters the ~~Investigating Commissioner Chair~~ Commission deems appropriate in the public interest, including a denial of certification and reversal of the probable cause determination.

1.12: Public Hearings

~~(1) — Who May Adjudicate.~~ The public hearing shall be governed by M.G.L. 151B, § 5 and 804 CMR 1.12, and shall be conducted by a Hearing Commissioner.

~~(2)(1)~~ Substitution of Adjudicator. ~~Hearing Commissioner.~~ In the event of the unavailability of the original adjudicator ~~the Hearing Commissioner~~ to issue a written decision pursuant to 804 CMR 1.12(18), the Commission Chairperson shall assign another ~~adjudicator Hearing Commissioner~~ to hear any remaining evidence and to review the record, including the hearing transcript, resulting in one of the following outcomes:

- (a) In the event the resolution of disputed issues of material fact rests on findings of witness credibility, and a decision cannot be rendered without such findings, the case shall be reassigned for a new hearing. Prior to scheduling a new hearing, the

~~Commission Chair~~ Commission shall hold a status conference with the parties and make good faith efforts at resolving the matter.

(b) In cases where the decision does not rest on credibility findings, a substitute ~~adjudicator~~ Hearing Commissioner may render the decision. Prior to the issuance of a final decision, the parties may make written requests for proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law and an ~~order, and order and~~ shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity to file objections thereto. Any proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law or proposed orders shall be ~~submitted in paper and electronic form, unless otherwise filed~~ as ordered by the Commission Hearing Commissioner.

~~(3)(2)~~ Waiver Required. M.G.L. c. 151B, § 9 makes available to the complainant a trial in court as an alternative to public hearing before the Commission. Accordingly, the complainant shall waive the right to remove all claims certified to public hearing ~~the complaint~~ prior to the commencement of the public hearing.

~~(4)(3)~~ Conduct. All parties, witnesses, ~~counsel representatives~~ and others present at a public hearing shall conduct themselves in a manner consistent with the standards of decorum commonly observed in the courts of the Commonwealth. Where such standards are not observed, the Hearing Commissioner Commission Commission may take such action as ~~they if it~~ deems appropriate, including assessing monetary sanctions against a non-complying person pursuant to 804 CMR 1.22, and adjourning the proceedings.

~~(5)(4)~~ Request for Clarification. ~~The Hearing Commissioner, u~~ Upon written request of a party or by the Commission *sua sponte*, ~~may seek~~ clarification of the complaint and certification order may be sought. In the event there remains a dispute about which claims issues are certified to hearing, the Commission Hearing Commissioner may proceed to hear evidence on any and all claims presented and may amend the certification order ~~complaint~~ to conform to the evidence as established at the hearing.

~~(6)(5)~~ Continuance. Any party requesting a continuance shall make such request in writing ~~to the Hearing Commissioner~~ by motion pursuant to 804 CMR 1.13. Continuances shall be granted only upon a showing of ~~changed circumstances or~~ good cause. In any instance in which a continuance results in fees or costs to the Commission or another party, the party requesting the continuance may be required ~~by the Hearing Commissioner Commission~~ to incur such costs.

~~(7)(6)~~ Settlement after Commencement of Public Hearing. If after the commencement of a public hearing the matter in dispute before the Commission is settled between the parties and the settlement is acceptable to ~~the Chair Commission, Commission, it~~ the Hearing Commissioner shall dismiss the matter.

~~(8)(7)~~ Ex Parte Communications. In any proceeding held pursuant to 804 CMR 1.12 or in any appeal therefrom, ~~no party or counsel of record, including counsel for the Commission, neither a party nor a party's authorized representative~~ shall communicate *ex parte* with the ~~adjudicator~~ Hearing Commissioner for any reason on any matter related to the proceeding prior to the issuance of a final decision of the Commission pursuant to 804 CMR 1.24 or 1.25 ~~hearing decision~~.

~~(9)(8)~~ Protective Orders. Upon motion or sua sponte, the Commission a Hearing Commissioner may issue A party may move for a protective order to prevent the public disclosure of information at public hearing or within the record of public hearing for good cause shown, and ~~the Hearing Commissioner may allow such motion~~ if it is in the public interest. A protective order may provide for the exclusion, limitation, redacting or impounding of documentary or testimonial evidence at public hearing or within the public hearing record.

~~(10)(9)~~ Public Hearing Default Rules. Failure to appear at Public Hearing: Whenever a party duly notified of the time and place of a public hearing fails to appear at the hearing either in person or by representative, the Hearing Commissioner shall enter ~~the party's default shall be entered on the record and and serve it with~~ written notice of the default shall be served within ten days of the entry of default. If the defaulting party is the complainant, the Hearing Commissioner shall dismiss the complaint shall be dismissed

unless counsel for the Commission has appeared in the matter and is continuing with the prosecution of the complaint without the appearance of complainant. If the defaulting party is the respondent, the Hearing Commissioner shall conduct the hearing shall be conducted on its scheduled date, and the complainant shall continue to have the burden of establishing liability and remedy notwithstanding the entry of default. Within ten days of receipt of notice of entry of default, the defaulting party may petition the Hearing Commissioner to vacate the default and reopen the case upon a showing of good cause established through affidavit signed under the pains and penalties of perjury. The other parties may file a response to the request for removal of default within ten days of receiving a copy of it. If the case is reopened, the party in default may be ordered to bear the reasonable costs incurred as a result of the default.

(a) Entry of Default. Whenever any party duly notified of the time and place of a public hearing fails to appear at the hearing either in person or by appearance of counsel, the Hearing Commissioner shall enter the party's default on the record. Written notice of the entry of default and of the consequences shall be served upon the defaulting party within ten days of the entry of the default.

(b) Consequences of Default. If the party in default is the complainant, the Hearing Commissioner shall dismiss the complaint, for which there shall be no remedy other than a petition for removal of default. If the party in default is the respondent, the Hearing Commissioner shall conduct a default hearing on the date scheduled for the public hearing.

(c) Default Hearing. At a default hearing, the Hearing Commissioner shall receive any relevant evidence proffered by the complainant, shall decide liability, and, where appropriate, shall determine the amount of any damages or other relief to be ordered. After the default hearing, the Hearing Commissioner shall enter a final decision and order.

(d) Removal of Default. Within ten days of receipt of the notice of entry of the default, the party in default may petition the Hearing Commissioner to vacate the entry of default, remove the consequences of the default and reopen the case for good cause shown. The assertion of good cause of the party in default shall be in affidavit form under the pains and penalties of perjury. In any instance in which a case is reopened after entry of default, the party in default may be ordered to bear the reasonable costs incurred as a result of the default.

(10) Transcript and Record of Public Hearing.

(a) The record of the public hearing shall consist of the exhibits and either an electronic recording or a transcript of the hearing. If a party arranges to have a stenographer at the public hearing, the stenographic record shall be the official record of the public hearing, the Commission shall not create an electronic recording, and the party arranging for the stenographer shall furnish the Commission at no charge with a certified copy of the transcript within ten days of receipt of the transcript from the stenographer. If there is no stenographer at the public hearing, the Commission shall make an audio recording of the public hearing. A party desiring a copy of the recording shall make a request in writing to the Commission and shall pay the cost thereof. A transcription of the electronic record made by a party may be cited if the Commission and all parties agree to accept the transcription as the official record of the public hearing, with a party waiving any objection to the accuracy of such transcript if not made within 20 days of its filing.

(b) The administrative record for the purpose of judicial review under M.G.L. c. 30A, § 30A shall be the record of public hearing in accordance with 804 CMR 1.12(11)(a), together with the certification to public hearing, the complaint as amended, and any ~~post-determination~~ post-probable cause motions and orders disposing of such motions at issue in the complaint for judicial review.

The record shall consist of either an electronic recording or a transcript of the hearing, as well as the exhibits in evidence including, if submitted, the certification to public hearing, complaint, stipulations, motions and the dispositions thereof. Testimony offered at a public hearing shall be either transcribed by stenographer retained by a party or parties or electronically recorded by the Commission, subject to the following provisions:

(c) If a party arranges to have a stenographer present at the public hearing, the Commission and the parties shall agree that the stenographic record shall be the official

~~record of the proceedings and that the Commission shall not create an electronic recording.~~

~~(f) The party arranging for the stenographer shall furnish the Commission with a certified copy of the transcript within ten days of receipt of the transcript from the stenographer.~~

~~(g) In the case of an electronically recorded hearing, a party desiring a copy of the recording shall make a request in writing to the Clerk's Office in Boston Commission and agree to pay the cost thereof.~~

~~(h) Any transcription of the electronic record made by a party subsequent to the hearing shall be filed with the Clerk's Office in Boston Commission and may be cited if the Commission and all parties agree to accept the transcription as the official record. A party waives any objection to the accuracy of such transcript if not made within 20 days of its filing.~~

(11) Stipulations. Written stipulations of facts may be introduced in evidence, if signed by the persons sought to be bound thereby, or by their authorized representatives. Oral stipulations may be made on the record during the course of a public hearing.

(12) Evidence. The Commission shall not be bound by the rules of evidence observed by courts except for the rules of privilege. The Commission may permit the admission of records, reports, statements or data compilations of public agencies setting forth factual findings resulting from an investigation made pursuant to authority granted by law, unless the sources of information indicate lack of trustworthiness. Evidence unrelated to the issues claims certified to public hearing may be deemed irrelevant or not probative of the issues claims to be decided and, therefore, inadmissible.

(13) Administrative Notice. The Commission - Hearing Commissioner - may take administrative notice of matters as might be judicially noticed by the courts of the United States or of the Commonwealth, and of technical or general facts within its specialized areas of knowledge.

(14) Oral Argument. The Commission Hearing Commissioner may allow a reasonable time to the parties for oral argument.

~~(15) Post-Hearing Briefs and Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law. The parties, and any interested person upon recognized by the Commission approval by the Hearing Commissioner, shall file a post-hearing brief containing Briefs and proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law and other information as required by the Commission by the Hearing Commissioner. The timing of the filing of the brief and its page limit, format and filing method shall be determined by the Commission. Hearing Commissioner, and a proposed order may be filed by parties or by any interested person before or during the course of a hearing, or within such time thereafter as the Hearing Commissioner may designate. Any brief or proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law and proposed order shall be submitted in paper and electronic form, unless otherwise filed as ordered by the Hearing Commissioner.~~

(16) Other Post-Hearing Submissions. The Commission Hearing Commissioner may allow the parties, after a showing of good cause, to file additional evidentiary documents or exhibits within a reasonable time subsequent to the completion of the hearing. ~~If a request for such filing is granted, on or before the date set for filing, the requesting party shall send copies of all documents or exhibits to all other parties. If providing copies is impracticable, the~~ The Commission Hearing Commissioner may, ~~in the alternative,~~ allow reasonable inspection of the original documents or tangible things by all parties. The Commission The Hearing Commissioner may also require that the parties file additional evidentiary documents or exhibits, including stipulations concerning damages, subsequent to the completion of the hearing.

(17) Public Hearing Decision. Finding and Order. The Commission Hearing Commissioner shall issue a decision in writing either dismissing the complaint or granting relief to the aggrieved party for the purposes of effectuating the laws under its jurisdiction, pursuant to M.G.L. c. 151B, § 5. The decision shall contain all findings of fact and conclusions of law necessary to address each and every claim issue certified to public

hearing ~~or addressed at the public hearing~~. The parties shall be notified in writing of their rights to appeal such decision to the Full Commission. A copy of the decision shall be served upon each party and the attorneys of record ~~and the Attorney General~~.

(18) Request for Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs. Where the complainant prevails at public hearing, the complainant may, within 15 days of receipt of the public hearing decision, petition ~~the Hearing Commissioner for~~ an award of reasonable attorney's¹ fees and costs. Such petition shall include detailed, contemporaneous time records, a breakdown of costs and a supporting affidavit from every attorney for whom fees are sought. The petition shall also include information about average hourly rates for attorneys with similar experience, which may be in the form of affidavits from attorneys with knowledge of such hourly rates or model fee charts, or other documentation. A respondent may file a written opposition within 15 days of receipt of said petition. ~~The Hearing Commissioner shall decide the matter~~. To the extent that the respondent appeals an order on a petition for attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 804 CMR 1.23(1)(a), such appeal shall be consolidated with any pending appeal ~~on the merits~~ to the Full Commission of the underlying public hearing decision issued pursuant to 804 CMR 1.12(18), if any. ~~A Hearing Commissioner decision on a request for award of attorney's¹ fees and costs incurred at public hearing is a final decision appealable to the Full Commission pursuant to 804 CMR 1.23(1)(a), regardless of whether a party has appealed the underlying public hearing decision to the Full Commission.~~

1.13: Motions and Requests

(1) Requests. The Commission shall allow parties to make specific requests in lieu of motions through the MCAD Case Portal, and all parties and representatives shall use the MCAD Case Portal to make such requests. Such requests include, but are not limited to, requests for reasonable accommodation, requests for language assistance services, requests to withdraw the complaint, requests for mediation, ~~pre-determination~~ pre-probable cause requests for extensions of time, and ~~pre-determination~~ pre-probable cause requests for protective orders. If a specific request is available through the MCAD Case Portal, a motion shall not be filed addressing such request.

(2) Motions in General.

(a) Content. Motions shall be made in writing, state with particularity the grounds therefor, set forth the relief sought, and may include a proposed order. Motions shall contain a statement of the reasons, including supporting authorities, why the motion should be granted and may include a request for a hearing. Motions ~~shall may~~ contain affidavits and other documents setting forth or evidencing facts on which a motion is based.

~~(a)(b)~~ Filing. All motions filed at the Commission shall be managed and processed by the Clerk's Office.

~~(b)~~

(c) Format and Length for Motions, Oppositions, Replies and Memoranda. All motions, oppositions, replies, memoranda and other documents, except for exhibits, shall be filed on 8 1/2" by 11" paper, when not filed electronically, and be typed in no less than 12-point type and double spaced, provided that the case caption, footnotes and quotations may be single spaced. The margins shall be at least one inch. The title of each document shall appear on the first page thereof. Unless leave of the Commission is provided in advance, all motions, oppositions, and memoranda shall not exceed 20 pages, and any reply or sur-reply shall not exceed ten pages.

~~(e)(d)~~ Sanctions for Noncompliance. The Commission need not act on any motion that fails to comply with the requirements of 804 CMR 1.13.

(3) Pre-determination Probable Cause Motions.

Motion Authority. ~~Pre-probable causedetermination motions shall be decided by the Commission~~.

(a) Recognized Motions. ~~Predetermination~~ Pre-probable cause motions slow the investigative process and interfere with the timely investigation of complaints. Accordingly, and as required by 804 CMR 1.13(1), parties and representatives shall make specific requests recognized by 804 CMR 1.00 using the MCAD Case Portal in

lieu of motion practice. ~~Pre-determination~~ probable cause motions recognized by the Commission are limited to those enumerated herein, and are required where recognized. The following motions may be filed ~~pre-determination~~ probable cause:

1. Motion for a More Definite Statement;
2. Motion for Substitution, Joinder, or Amendment of Parties;
3. Motion to Amend Complaint; or
4. Motion to Dismiss. ~~Pre-determination~~ Pre-probable cause motions to dismiss may be filed in lieu of the position statement or after a position statement has been filed. Grounds for a ~~pre-determination~~ probable cause motion to dismiss are limited to showing that:
 - a. Claims are filed in court or are being investigated by another forum;
 - b. Claims or respondents are outside of the jurisdiction of the Commission;
 - c. Claims are precluded, or moot for any reason including settlement; or
 - d. Claims are untimely filed.

(b) Procedure for Filing ~~Pre-determination~~ Probable Cause Motions, Oppositions, and Replies. All ~~pre-determination~~ probable cause motions, oppositions, and replies shall be filed independently in the MCAD Case Portal and are subject to the service rules within 804 CMR 1.16(2). A party opposing a motion may file an opposition to the motion within 14 days of service of the motion. The memorandum in opposition shall include a statement, with any supporting authorities, why the motion should not be allowed. Affidavits and other documents setting forth or evidencing facts on which the opposition is based shall be served with the opposition. ~~Opposing parties shall serve on the moving party an original and a copy of the opposition, and serve a copy on every other party. The moving party may serve a reply within seven days of service of the opposition, following which any opposing party may serve a sur-reply within seven days of service of the reply. Reply and sur-reply memoranda are strongly disfavored ~~pre-determination~~ pre-probable cause and shall be limited to addressing matters raised in the opposition or the reply that were not, and could not have been, addressed previously.~~

(c) Motion Conferences. In the event the Commission determines that a conference is necessary or shall aid in the disposition of a ~~pre-determination~~ probable cause motion, the Commission may order the parties to appear to answer questions and present oral argument in support of their respective positions.

(4) Post-~~determination~~ Probable Cause Motions.

Motion Authority. ~~Motions filed post-determination~~ probable cause at any time prior to certification of a complaint to public hearing shall be decided by the Chair. Motions filed after certification of a complaint to public hearing other than a motion for reconsideration of a probable cause determination shall be decided by the Hearing Commissioner.

(a) Motions at Public Hearing. Motions made during the public hearing may be stated orally on the record. The Commission Hearing Commissioner may require oral or written supplementation if deemed necessary.

~~(d)~~

~~(e)~~(b) Parties Required to Confer Prior to Filing. ~~When the parties are represented by counsel or counsel for the Commission is prosecuting the matter, p~~ Prior to any ~~post-determination~~ probable cause motions being filed, the parties are required to confer in good faith to attempt to reach resolution of the issues raised and relief being sought. The moving party shall be responsible for arranging said conference. Conferences may be conducted by telephone or other electronic means. All motions filed shall contain a certificate affirming compliance with the requirement to confer. Motions unaccompanied by such a certificate may be denied without prejudice to renew when accompanied by the required certificate.

~~(f)~~(c) Procedure for Serving and Filing ~~Post-determination~~ Probable Cause Motions, Oppositions and Replies.

1. Original Motion. ~~Where both parties are represented by counsel, t~~ The moving party shall first serve a copy of the motion and the other supporting documents on every other party or ~~counsel~~ attorney, including counsel for the Commission who has entered an appearance.
2. Opposition to Motions. A party opposing a motion may serve the moving party with a memorandum in opposition within 14 days of service of the motion.

The memorandum in opposition shall include a statement, with any supporting authorities, why the motion should not be allowed. Affidavits and other documents setting forth or evidencing facts on which the opposition is based shall be served with the opposition. Opposing parties shall serve on the moving party an original and a copy of the opposition, and serve a copy on every other party.

3. Reply and Sur-reply. Following receipt of the memorandum in opposition, the moving party may serve a reply within seven days of service, following which any opposing party may serve a sur-reply within seven days of service. Reply and sur-reply memoranda shall be limited to addressing matters raised in the opposition or the reply that were not, and could not have been, addressed previously.

4. Filing of Motion Packet

a. Upon receipt of the opposition or a sur-reply, if one is made, the moving party shall attach the original opposition and any reply and sur-reply to the original motion and shall file with the Commission the combined documents and a document listing the title of each paper in the motion packet within ten days of service of the opposition or, if a reply was served, within ten days of service deadline for sur-reply, unless the moving party has notified all parties that the motion has been withdrawn within ten days of service of the opposition or sur-reply.

b. If the moving party does not receive an opposition within three business days after expiration of the time permitted for service of an opposition, the moving party shall file the motion with the Commission and include an affidavit reciting compliance with 804 CMR 1.13(5)(a) and no receipt of a timely opposition.

c. The moving party shall give prompt notice of the filing of the motion to all other parties by serving a notice of filing which should include a listing of the title of each paper filed and the date the motion packet is filed with the Commission. Motions, oppositions and replies shall include the attorney's name, address, telephone number and an email address.

5. Service. Motions, oppositions and replies may be served electronically, by U.S. mail or via personal service. If a motion, opposition, reply, or sur-reply is served by U.S. Mail, any time period identified in 804 CMR 1.13(~~5~~3) and (4) shall be increased by three days.

~~(g)~~

~~(h) Sanction for Noncompliance. The Commission need not act on any motion that fails to comply with the requirements of 804 CMR 1.13.~~

~~(i)~~

~~(d) Motion Conferences.~~ In the event the ~~Commission~~ Chair or Hearing Commissioner ~~Commission~~ determines that a conference is necessary or shall aid in the disposition of a motion, the ~~Commission~~ Commission Chair or Hearing Commissioner may order the parties to appear to answer questions and present oral argument in support of their respective positions.

~~(j)~~

~~(e) Certificates of Service.~~ The final page of every document served in accordance with 804~~3~~ CMR 1.13 shall contain a certificate of service noting the date of service and the manner in which service was made on every party. ~~The statement may be in the following form:~~

~~I hereby certify that a true copy of the above document was served upon (each party appearing pro se and) the attorney of record for each (other) party (and counsel for the Commission) (by hand) (by email) (by mail) on (date). (Signature.)~~

~~(2) Special Requirements/Exceptions from Filing Requirements:~~

~~(a) Pro Se Parties. When one or more parties are unrepresented, all parties are exempt from 804 CMR 1.13(5)(a) through (d) and the requirement to confer in advance of filing a motion as provided in 804 CMR 1.13(4). Pro se parties are encouraged to respond in writing to any motion they oppose, and shall:~~

- ~~1. Serve all motions, oppositions, replies and sur-replies on opposing parties;~~
- ~~2. File oppositions within 14 days of service of the original motion;~~
- ~~3. Limit replies and sur-replies to matters raised in the opposition; and~~
- ~~4. File replies within seven days of service of the opposition and sur-replies within seven days of service of the reply.~~

~~(b) Predetermination Motions. Motions seeking an order from the Investigating Commissioner prior to the issuance of an investigative disposition shall be filed with the Investigator assigned to the matter.~~

~~1. Motions to amend a complaint pursuant to 804 CMR 1.04(9)(b) and motions for extensions of time pursuant to 804 CMR 1.17(2)(a) filed prior to an investigative disposition need not comply with 804 CMR 1.13(4) or (5)(a) through (d), except such motions shall be served upon any opposing party.~~

~~2. Motions for protective orders pursuant to 804 CMR 1.05(12) seeking to prevent disclosure to a party of information submitted during the investigation need not comply with 804 CMR 1.13(4) or (5)(a) through (d), except such motions shall be served upon any opposing party.~~

~~3. Generally, investigation of a complaint shall not be stayed pending the ruling on a motion. However, where the Commission's jurisdiction or authority to proceed is challenged by a motion filed with the Commission, the Investigating Commissioner may stay investigation of the merits of the charge pending a ruling on the motion.~~

~~(c) Post determination, Pre certification Motions. Motions to the Investigating Commissioner filed after an investigative disposition has issued, but prior to the certification to public hearing, shall be filed with the Clerk's Office.~~

~~(d) Post certification Motions. Motions seeking an order from the Hearing Commissioner following certification to public hearing shall be filed with the Clerk's Office in Boston.~~

~~(3)~~(5) Appeal of Order Granting or Denying a Motion.

(a) Appeal to Full Commission. The Full Commission may entertain an interlocutory appeal of a Commission ruling occurring after certification and prior to public hearing by the Hearing Commissioner if such appeal is related to the jurisdiction of the Commission or its authority to proceed on a matter. Prior to the issuance of a public hearing decision, an appeal to the Full Commission is not available for any other Commission rulings by the Hearing Commissioner or for any rulings made by the Investigating Commissioner or orders from the Commission or the Chair, except as provided in 804 CMR 1.15(~~54~~)(g). ~~Requests for relief addressed to the Full Commission shall be filed with the Clerk's Office in Boston.~~ An order of the Full Commission issued in accordance with 804 CMR 1.13(~~540~~) is not a final order of the Commission and is not subject to judicial review pursuant to M.G.L. c. 151B, § 6 or M.G.L. c. 30A.

(b) Motion for Reconsideration of an Order Issued after Certification to Public Hearing by a Hearing Commissioner. In the absence of new evidence proffered, motions for reconsideration of an such orders by a Hearing Commissioner shall be denied.

~~(4)~~(6) Emergency Motions.

(a) Motions for emergency relief shall contain a cover page bearing the heading "Emergency Motion" in large, bold type. ~~Such motions shall be filed with the Investigating Commissioner prior to issuance of an investigative disposition, and with the Clerk's Office in Boston thereafter.~~

(b) Motions for emergency relief shall set forth the facts showing the existence and nature of immediate and irreparable harm.

(c) The moving party shall serve a copy of the motion seeking emergency relief on all other parties, ~~counsel~~ and attorneys of record including counsel for the Commission, simultaneous with the filing at the Commission. Such motions are exempt from 804 CMR 1.13(~~45~~)(d).

(d) Emergency motions are exempt from 804 CMR 1.13(4)(~~c~~), although the Commission encourages all ~~counsel of record parties~~ to confer in good faith prior to filing any such motion in order to narrow or obtain agreement upon the relief sought.

1.14: Subpoenas

(1) General Provisions. *Subpoenas* shall be issued in the name of the Commission and in accordance with M.G.L. c. 151B, § 3 and M.G.L. c. 30A, § 12, subject to the following provisions:

(a) *Subpoenas* may require the attendance and testimony of witnesses and the production, copying, and photographing of any evidence including, but not limited to,

~~books, records, correspondence~~ documents, other tangible things, or electronically stored information.

(b) A *subpoena* may be served by any person who is not a party and who is 18 years of age or older.

(c) Service of a *subpoena* upon a person named therein shall be made by personal service.

(d) When a *subpoena* is issued at the request of a party other than the Commission, the cost of the service as well as witness and mileage fees shall be those as in civil cases before the courts and shall be borne by the moving party. The Commission, Chair or Hearing Commissioner may waive such fees upon a showing of economic hardship.

(e) Upon a showing of economic hardship and in the interest of justice a party may move that a *subpoena* be issued by the Commission. Any such motion is exempt from 804 CMR 1.13(4)(c) and (d), ~~and (5)~~, although it shall be served on all counsel of record including for all other parties to the proceeding before the Commission including, but not limited to, counsel for the Commission.

(f) Any party issuing a *subpoena* to a non-party shall give 14 days notice to the Commission and all parties prior to service, and the *subpoena* may not be served pending any motion served pursuant to 804 CMR 1.10(7) or 804 CMR 1.14(4).

(g) A party may not serve subpoenas pre-determination probable cause unless authorized by the Commission.

(h) A party may serve subpoenas post-determination probable cause once a discovery order has been issued, by the Chair.

(i) Following certification to public hearing, a party may issue subpoenas compelling the attendance and testimony of witnesses and the production of documents and tangible things at public hearing.

(j) All subpoenas shall identify the name and address of the party at whose request the subpoena was issued.

~~(2) Prior to Certification to Public Hearing. The Investigating Commissioner Pre-determination, the Chair or the Commission may issue subpoenas relating to any matter under investigation or in question before them. Any party may move for the issuance of a subpoena from the Investigating Commissioner Chair. The Investigating Commissioner Chair may, through a discovery order, delegate the authority to issue subpoenas to counsel for the parties.~~

~~(3) Following Certification to Public Hearing. Following certification to public hearing, counsel for any party may issue subpoenas compelling the attendance and testimony of witnesses and the production of documents at public hearing. The subpoena shall identify the name and address of the party at whose request the subpoena was issued.~~

~~(4)~~ (2) Vacation or Modification of Subpoenas. Any non-party subject to *subpoena*, counsel for any party to the proceeding, pro se party, or counsel for the Commission may move to vacate or modify a *subpoena* in accordance with 804 CMR 1.13, except that non-parties are exempt from 804 CMR 1.13(5)(a) through (d). The Investigating Commissioner Chair Commission or, in the event the complaint has been certified to public hearing, the Hearing Commissioner, shall review the *subpoena* to determine whether it should be vacated or otherwise modified and issue an order ~~decision forthwith~~.

~~(5)~~ (3) Enforcement of Subpoenas. Upon the failure of any person to comply with a *subpoena* issued pursuant to 804 CMR 1.14 and not subsequently vacated or modified by the Commission, the Commission may, either through one of its attorneys or through a private counsel attorney so designated, apply to the Superior Court for an order requiring compliance with the *subpoena* pursuant to M.G.L. c. 30A, § 12(5) and M.G.L. c. 151B, § 6. The Commission may seek an order for costs and attorney fees from the Superior Court when enforcing *subpoenas* pursuant to 804 CMR 1.14(5), as well as appropriate sanctions under M.G.L. c. 151B, § 8.

1.15: Parties and ~~Counsel~~ Representatives

(1) Duty to Provide Contact Information. Parties and representatives are required to provide the Commission with contact information in the form of a current mailing address,

email address, and telephone number, and shall update such information when there is a change to any such information. Parties and representatives are required to provide and update contact information in the MCAD Case Portal. A party that is allowed alternative means of case access pursuant to 804 CMR 1.15(15) shall provide and update contact information with the Commission in writing.

~~(1)~~(2) Intervention. Any person or organization not originally a party to a complaint may move to intervene in a complaint ~~post-determination~~probable cause, and shall be permitted to intervene, if, in the judgment of the ~~Commission~~~~Commissioner~~Chair prior to certification to public hearing, or the Hearing Commissioner after certification to public hearing, that person or organization has a material interest in the outcome of the complaint, and asserts a claim or defense which has common questions of law or fact with the complaint.

~~(2)~~(3) Substitution, Joinder, or Amendment of Parties. The Commission may *sua sponte*, or upon motion of any party, make such substitution, joinder, or amendment of parties as justice or convenience may require ~~pre-determination~~probable cause. ~~Post-determination~~probable cause and before certification to public hearing, or in complaints initiated pursuant to 804 CMR 1.18, the Chair may take such action, and the Hearing Commissioner may take such action after certification to public hearing. All parties shall be notified of any substitution, joinder or amendment.

~~(3)~~(4) Consolidation. The Commission may *sua sponte*, or upon motion of a party, order complaints involving a common question of law or fact to be consolidated ~~for investigation. Post-determination~~probable cause and before certification to public hearing, or in complaints initiated pursuant to 804 CMR 1.18, the Chair may take such action. The Hearing Commissioner may take such action after certification to, conciliation or public hearing.

~~(4)~~(5) Class Action.

(a) The ~~Investigating Commissioner~~Chair Commission may grant permission or *sua sponte* determine that a case shall proceed as an authorized class action at any time after a probable cause determination pursuant to 804 CMR 1.08(1)(f)1. ~~or 3.~~ and prior to certification to public hearing pursuant to 804 CMR 1.11 if the following prerequisites are met:

1. The class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable;
2. There are questions of law or fact common to the class;
3. The claims or defenses of the representative parties are typical of the claims or defenses of the class;
4. The representative parties shall fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class;
5. The public interest is served by a class proceeding; and
6. The parties are allowed an opportunity to submit briefs on the issue prior to a *sua sponte* determination.

(b) A class action shall be maintained if the prerequisites of 804 CMR 1.15~~(5)~~~~(4)~~(a) are met, and the ~~Investigating Commissioner~~Chair Commission finds that the questions of law or fact common to the members of the class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members, and that a class action is superior to the other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

(c) The ~~Investigating Commissioner~~Chair Commission may require such review and impose such terms as shall fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class on whose behalf the complaint is brought or defended. Whenever the representative party appears to the ~~Investigating Commissioner~~Chair Commission inadequate to fairly protect the interests of absent individuals who may be bound by any Commission order, the ~~Investigating Commissioner~~Chair Commission may, at any time prior to entry of the order certifying the class, amend the complaint to eliminate any reference to representation of absent persons. The ~~Investigating Commissioner~~Chair Commission shall enter an order in such form as to affect only the parties to the action and those adequately represented.

(d) Respondent(s) or any person whose rights may be affected by the resolution of an authorized class action may challenge the class nature of the complaint before or within ten days' notice of certification to public hearing pursuant to 804 CMR 1.11 through a motion submitted to the ~~Investigating Commissioner~~Chair Commission for a hearing

concerning the validity of the class. The respondent or person challenging the authorized class action shall have the burden of showing by a preponderance of the evidence that the proposed class fails to satisfy the requirements of 804 CMR 1.15(4).

(e) An authorized class action shall not be withdrawn or modified without the approval of the ~~Investigating Commissioner Chair~~ Commission.

(f) In any case brought as a class action, the Commission may, when appropriate, order that all remedies shall apply to member(s) of the class.

(g) A party may appeal an order by the ~~Investigating Commissioner Chair~~ Commission certifying a class action to the Full Commission, ~~pursuant to 804 CMR 1.13(10)(a)~~.

~~(5)~~(6) Counsel Required following Probable Cause. Following a probable cause determination pursuant to 804 CMR 1.08(1)(f)1. ~~or 3~~, the case in support of the complaint shall be presented before the Commission by an attorney. Such attorney may either be a Commission attorney, or, at the discretion of the Commission, an attorney retained by the complainant. The determination whether to assign one of the Commission's attorneys to a pending matter shall be made at the discretion of the ~~Investigating Commissioner~~ Commission in consideration of the public interest.

~~(6)~~(7) Private Representation. A complainant may have a private ~~counsel~~ attorney notwithstanding the assignment of one of the Commission's attorneys to a case, although in such case counsel for the Commission has exclusive authority to present the case in support of the complaint and represent the public interest.

~~(7)~~(8) Attorney Withdrawal.

(a) Prior to a conciliation conference held pursuant to 804 CMR 1.09, an attorney may, without leave of the Commission, withdraw from a matter by filing a written notice of withdrawal together with proof of service on their client and all other parties.

(b) Following the conciliation conference held pursuant to 804 CMR 1.09, an attorney may, without leave of the Commission, withdraw from a matter by filing a written notice of withdrawal which includes an appearance of successor counsel. Absent an appearance of successor counsel, an attorney shall obtain leave of the ~~Investigating Commissioner Chair~~ Commission to withdraw from a case. In deciding whether to allow the withdrawal of an attorney, the ~~Investigating Commissioner Chair's~~ Commission's considerations may include, but are not limited to, the public interest necessary to assign one of the Commission's attorneys and the impact of a withdrawal on the timely, fair and efficient adjudication of the case.

(c) Unless there is appearance by successor counsel, every notice of withdrawal or request to withdraw filed under 804 CMR 1.15(7)(a) or (b) shall include current contact information for the party for whom representation is being withdrawn, including home and business address, email address and telephone number(s).

(d) Leave to withdraw without successor counsel shall be conditioned upon counsel's cooperation in the transfer of the matter to the Commission including, but not limited to, providing the Commission with all discovery conducted prior to withdrawal.

~~(8)~~(9) Enforcement by Private Counsel. The Commission may grant a request ~~n ex parte motion~~ by complainant's counsel to be the designated agent of the Commission for purposes of enforcement of a settlement agreement, consent order, *subpoena* or final order of the Commission provided that the interest of the complainant and the interest of the Commission are not in conflict. Designation of private counsel as agent of the Commission for purposes of enforcement shall be made in writing.

~~(9)~~(10) Attorney Signature.

(a) Every pleading, motion or document filed by a party represented by counsel shall be signed by at least one attorney of record. The address of each attorney, telephone number, business email address, and Bar Registration Number shall be stated. ~~motion or document submitted filed by a party represented by counsel shall be signed by at least one attorney of record, in the individual attorney's name and shall include the attorney's address, electronic mail address and Board of Bar Overseers or Bar Registration number.~~

(b) The signature of an attorney constitutes certification that the signer has read the pleading, motion, or document; that based on the signer's knowledge, information and belief, it is grounded in fact and warranted by existing law or constitutes a good faith argument for the extension, modification, or revision of existing law; and is not

interposed for any improper purpose, such as to harass or cause unnecessary delay or increase in the cost of the proceedings.

(c) Any pleading, motion or document ~~submitted~~ filed by counsel that is unsigned shall be stricken, unless it is signed promptly after the omission is brought to the attention of the attorney who ~~submitted~~ filed the document.

(d) If a pleading, motion, or other document submitted to the Commission fails to comply with the requirements within 804 CMR 1.15(9), the Commission Investigating Commissioner Chair or a Hearing Commissioner assigned to the case may impose sanctions in accordance with 804 CMR 1.22 upon the attorney, the represented party, or both, including an order to pay reasonable expenses and attorney's fees incurred by the opposing party or the Commission as a result of the noncompliant document.

~~(10)~~ (11) Attorney Notice of Appearance and Pro Hac Vice Notice. Any attorneys representing a client ~~in a complaint filed with~~ at the Commission shall be a member in good standing of the bar of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and shall file a notice of appearance. The filing of a complaint on behalf of a client pursuant to 804 CMR 1.04(1) shall constitute a notice of appearance. If an attorney is not a member of the Massachusetts bar, in order to be admitted to represent a client *pro hac vice*, a motion for admission shall be filed in conformance with the following:

(a) The motion shall be signed and filed by a member in good standing of the Massachusetts bar on behalf of the attorney seeking admission *pro hac vice*.

(b) The motion shall be accompanied by an affidavit from the attorney signing the motion stating that the out of state attorney is a member of the bar in good standing in every jurisdiction where admitted to practice; there are no disciplinary proceedings pending; and the attorney is familiar with the Commission's regulations and the Massachusetts anti-discrimination laws.

~~(11)~~ (12) Limited Exception to Attorney Pro Hac Vice Admission. Pre-determination probable cause and during any appeal filed pursuant to 804 CMR 1.08(4)(b), an attorney who is a member in good standing of a state bar outside of Massachusetts may represent a party before the Commission representative without being admitted pro hac vice. After the issuance of a causal determination under 804 CMR 1.08(1)(f)2., an attorney who is not a member of the Massachusetts bar in good standing or admitted pro hac vice may not represent a party before the Commission.

~~(12)~~ (13) Appearance by a DARDuly Authorized Representative. A party shall have exclusive control over the appearance of a DARDuly authorized representative and may authorize or revoke representation by a DARDuly authorized representative at any time. A party who wishes to authorize or revoke representation of a DARDuly authorized representative shall do so in writing either through the MCAD Case Portal or through alternative means if allowed under 804 CMR 1.15(13), except that authorization shall be via the MCAD Case Portal in all instances where a DARDuly authorized representative assists with the filing of the complaint pursuant to 804 CMR 1.04(2)(a)1.

(14) Scope of DARDuly Authorized Representation. In accordance with the prohibition against the practice of law by non-attorneys, DARDuly authorized representation is not allowed after a probable cause disposition.

(15) Use of the MCAD Case Portal by Representatives.

(a) Attorneys who are members of the bar in good standing in any U.S. jurisdiction representing parties before the Commission are required to register for and use the MCAD Case Portal for all filings with the Commission, including the filing of the complaint. The MCAD Case Portal shall be the sole means of case access for all attorneys representing parties before the Commission, and the primary avenue for communication with the Commission.

(b) Duly Authorized Representatives representing parties before the Commission who are authorized in accordance with 804 CMR 1.15(13) are required to register for and use the MCAD Case Portal for all filings with the Commission except for the filing of the complaint, as provided for in 804 CMR 1.04(2)(a)1. The MCAD Case Portal shall be the sole means of case access for all Duly Authorized Representatives representing parties before the Commission, and the primary avenue for communication with the Commission.

~~(13)~~(16) Use of the MCAD Case Portal by Pro Se Parties. Pro se parties are required to register for and use the MCAD Case Portal for all filings with the Commission absent good cause, although they may file a complaint by U.S. Mail or otherwise as provided for in 804 CMR 1.04(2)(a). Absent good cause, the MCAD Case Portal shall be the sole means of case access for pro se parties, and the primary avenue for communication with the Commission. Good cause to use alternative means for filing, case access and communication with the Commission may be demonstrated in a request for reasonable accommodation for disability or by demonstrating a compelling inability to access or use the MCAD Case Portal that is unrelated to disability. If the Commission finds good cause for allowing alternative means of case access for a pro se party, the Commission shall provide notice to all parties.

1.16: Service and Notice by the Commission

(1) Service by the Commission.

~~(a)~~ Manner of Service. The Commission may serve any document, including the complaint.

~~(b)~~

~~(a)~~ In the absence of a specific rule of service in 804 CMR 1.00, the Commission may serve any paper in a manner reasonably calculated to ensure receipt including, but not limited to, service through the MCAD Case Portal, by U.S. Mail, personal service, or service via electronic mail.

~~(b)~~ Service of the Complaint. Notice of the complaint shall be deemed sufficient and a party shall be deemed properly served with the complaint when:

1. A party who is a registered user of the MCAD Case Portal responds to the Commission through the MCAD Case Portal in response to the complaint after service by any means;
2. A party registers as a user in the MCAD Case Portal in response to the complaint after service by any means;
3. An attorney for a party enters an appearance through the MCAD Case Portal in response to the complaint after service by any means; or
4. Service is via personal service.

(2) Service by the Parties. Any service obligation in 804 CMR 1.00 is met by filing a document through the MCAD Case Portal as to every party and representative who is a registered user of the MCAD Case Portal and a certificate of service is not required, subject to the following exceptions:

(a) Where service of motions, oppositions, replies, and sur-replies on opposing parties is required prior to filing a motion package under 804 CMR 1.13, the parties shall serve those documents in accordance with 804 CMR 1.13.

(b) Service of discovery documents pursuant to 804 CMR 1.10 shall not be through the MCAD Case Portal, except for notices of non-party subpoenas filed under 804 CMR 1.14(1)(f), and every document served shall contain a certificate of service noting the date of service and the manner in which service was made on the party.

(c) When the Commission has authorized a party to use alternative means of filing and case access pursuant to 804 CMR 1.15(14), service on that party shall conform to the order of the Commission allowing the alternative means, and the final page of every document served shall contain a certificate of service noting the date of service and the manner in which service was made on the party.

~~(2)~~(3) Sufficient Notice after Service of the Complaint. After service of the complaint, all registered users of the MCAD Case Portal shall be notified of case documents and proceedings through system notifications sent to their registered email addresses, in accordance with the parties' obligation to keep contact information up to date and accurate pursuant to 804 CMR 1.15(1). System notifications shall constitute sufficient notice of the content communicated within the notifications or attached thereto, and accessing the MCAD Case Portal shall serve as proof of notice of that which is available to the party in the MCAD Case Portal as of the time of access. If a party does not register or participate as a user in the MCAD Case Portal after proper service, either because they are authorized to use alternative means of filing and case access pursuant to 804 CMR 1.15(15) or because of a failure to participate in the investigation or adjudication of a complaint after service,

the party shall be deemed on notice of every document served by the Commission or the parties to the party's last known address.

1.17: Computing and Extending Time

(1) Computation.

- (a) In computing any period of time prescribed or allowed by 804 CMR 1.00 or by order of the Commission, the day of the act or event, including default, after which the designated period of time begins to run shall not be included.
- (b) The last day of the period being computed shall be included, unless it is a Saturday, Sunday, or a legal holiday, in which event the period runs to the end of the next day which is not a Saturday, a Sunday, or a legal holiday.
- (c) When the period of time prescribed or allowed is less than seven days, intermediate Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays shall be excluded from the computation.
- (d) A legal holiday for the purposes of 804 CMR 1.17(1)(b) includes those days specified by M.G.L. c. 4, § 7 and any other day appointed as a holiday by the President or the Congress of the United States, or designated by the Laws of Massachusetts.

(2) Extensions.

- (a) Pre-determination Probable Cause. During any stage of the investigation, upon written request and for good cause shown, any party may request an extension of time. The request shall be addressed to the ~~Investigating Commissioner~~ Commission and state the extension of time being requested and the reason(s) for the extension. ~~Pursuant to 804 CMR 1.13(9)(b)1., extension requests are exempt from motion practice and conference requirements.~~
- (b) Post-determination Post-probable cause. After an investigative disposition has issued, any request for an extension shall be made to the Clerk's Office and shall be subject to motion practice and other requirements within 804 CMR 1.13.

1.18: Proceedings on Commission Initiated Complaints

(1) General Provisions

- (a) All complaints initiated by the Commission pursuant to M.G.L. c. 151B, § 5 and 804 CMR 1.04(1)(e) shall be processed under 804 CMR 1.18, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.
- (b) Such matters shall be investigated, heard and determined by the Commission as expeditiously as possible.
- (c) Upon a Commission initiated complaint, the procedures of 804 CMR 1.00 shall be suspended, with the exception of proceedings pursuant to 804 CMR 1.23, 1.24 and 1.25.
- ~~(e)(d)~~ The Commission ~~The Chair~~ may make the complaint and the terms of any settlement agreement publicly available at any time.

(2) Service and Investigation

- (a) The Commission shall serve a Commission initiated complaint on each of the parties via personal service. Such service shall include a notice requiring each respondent to file a position statement as described in 804 CMR 1.05(8) within 21 days of service.
- (b) The Commission may serve *subpoenas* via personal service as it deems necessary to investigate the matter.
- (c) The Commission shall, within ~~45~~ 30 calendar days of service of the Commission initiated complaint, convene an investigative conference, as described in 804 CMR 1.05(10), except that the Commission may provide less than 14 days notice of the conference. Notice of the date, time, and place of the conference shall be served upon the parties. The investigative conference shall result in one of the following outcomes:
 - 1. The ~~Investigating Commissioner~~ Commission may determine that a lack of probable cause exists for crediting the allegations of the complaint, and shall notify the parties of such determination. Such determination shall be the final order of the ~~Commission~~ Investigating Commissioner, and is not subject to preliminary ~~hearing~~ appeal pursuant to 804 CMR 1.08(4)(b) or Full Commission or judicial review pursuant to M.G.L. c. 151B, § 6 or M.G.L. c. 30A.
 - 2. The Commission ~~Chair~~ Investigating Commissioner may determine that probable cause exists for crediting the allegations of the complaint, in which case the Commission ~~Investigating Commissioner~~ shall immediately endeavor to

eliminate the unlawful practice complained of by conference, conciliation and persuasion.

If the ~~Commission Chair Investigating Commissioner~~ finds probable cause to credit the allegations of any housing complaint, ~~including those dual filed with HUD, the Commission Chair Investigating Commissioner~~ shall immediately serve notice upon the respondent of the right to elect judicial determination of the complaint pursuant to M.G.L. c. 151B, § 5.

(3) Certification to Public Hearing.

(a) The ~~Commission Chair Investigating Commissioner~~ may certify the ~~issues-claims~~ for public hearing if the matter is not settled through conciliation. In any matter certified for public hearing the Commission may seek all remedies available pursuant to M.G.L. c. 151B.

(b) The ~~Commission Chair Investigating Commissioner~~ shall serve a written notice requiring respondent to answer the charges of the complaint at a public hearing before the Commission, at a time and place to be specified in the notice. Requests to continue shall be granted only upon a showing of good cause.

(4) Public Hearing.

(a) The public hearing should, if at all practicable, occur within 60 days of the investigative conference described in 804 CMR 1.18(2)(c).

(b) The case in support of the complaint shall be presented before ~~the Commission by counsel for the Commission. - the Hearing Commissioner by the Investigating Commissioner~~ the Commission by counsel for the Commission.

(c) The respondent shall appear at the public hearing in person, with or without an attorney, and may submit evidence to rebut the charges in the complaint.

~~(d) Public hearings shall be heard by a Hearing Commissioner, who shall not be the Commissioner who previously investigated the matter and issued the complaint.~~

(5) Public Hearing Decision. The ~~Commission Hearing Commissioner~~, should, if at all practicable, issue a final order within 60 days from the conclusion of a public hearing pursuant to 804 CMR 1.18(4).

1.19: Emergency Proceedings

(1) General Provisions.

(a) The ~~Commission Investigating Commissioner~~ may upon motion demonstrating necessity, *or sua sponte*, order that any matter under ~~its his or her~~ jurisdiction be processed as an emergency proceeding. The Commission may seek all remedies available pursuant to M.G.L. c. 151B.

(b) Such matters shall be investigated, heard and determined by the Commission as expeditiously as possible.

(c) Upon an order that a matter be set for emergency proceedings, the procedures of 804 CMR 1.00 shall be suspended, with the exception of 804 CMR 1.23, 1.24 and 1.25.

1.20: Language Assistance Services

(1) Basis for Services. Parties who require language assistance services to ensure meaningful access to, participation in and understanding of Commission proceedings, programs or services, shall be provided such services.

(2) Request Required. Language and interpreter assistance services may be provided if the individual in need or his or her representative makes a written or verbal request for such services in advance of the proceeding, or if the Commission determines there is a need for said services. The Commission retains discretion to determine when such services are needed.

(3) Type of Services. The services to be provided, whether telephonic interpretation, professional interpretation services, or interpretation by a competent bilingual staff member or other bilingual individual, or other language assistance service, shall be determined by the Commission.

(4) Adequate Notice Required. Parties requiring language assistance services shall make every effort to give adequate notice to the Commission of the need for such services. If the Commission determines that such services are required and inadequate notice has been provided to secure such services, a proceeding may be continued until such time as the services may be secured.

(5) Request for a Specific Interpreter. Whether to allow a party's request for interpretation services from a particular individual is entirely within the discretion of the Commission.

1.21: Access to Commission Materials and Restrictions to Personal Data

(1) Case Information Available to the Public. The following requirements apply to a request for case information by the public, all subject to 804 CMR 1.21(3):

(a) ~~Predetermination~~Pre-probable cause. The investigative file in every charge under investigation, including the complaint, shall be confidential and exempt from public disclosure.

(b) ~~Post determination~~Post-probable cause. The investigative file in every charge after an investigative disposition issues shall be confidential and exempt from public disclosure except for the complaint and the investigative disposition. The ~~post-determination~~post-probable cause record of all docketed proceedings, filings, orders, and notices ~~administrative record, which~~ begins at the issuance of a probable cause determination and does not include the investigative file except for the complaint, shall be available upon case dismissal or the issuance of a public hearing decision, whichever is first. In the event of an appeal pursuant to 804 CMR 1.23, the record of the appeal shall be available upon case dismissal or the issuance of a final decision by the Full Commission, whichever is first.

(2) Additional Case Information Available to the Parties. ~~Post-determination~~Post-probable cause the investigative file shall be available to the parties ~~Upon request to the Records Access Officer post determination, the parties to a complaint shall be allowed access to the investigative file,~~ except that all records described in 804 CMR 1.21(3) shall be unavailable.

(3) Unavailable Information. Privileged information, attorney work product, information exempt from disclosure pursuant to the public records law or other laws, information withheld or redacted pursuant to 804 CMR 1.21(4), and information placed under a pseudonym or protective order by the Commission pursuant to 804 CMR 1.04(7), 1.05(12) or 1.12(9) is not available to the public or to the parties.

(4) Restrictions on Personal Data Identifiers in Filings. To protect personal privacy, parties and counsel-representatives shall refrain from including, or shall partially redact where inclusion is necessary, the following personal data identifiers from all filings and exhibits submitted to the Commission, whether filed electronically or in paper, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission:

(a) Social Security, Taxpayer Identification, Driver's License, State-issued Identification Card or Passport Numbers. If any such individual numbers shall be included in a filing, only the last four digits of that number should be used.

(b) Names of Minor Children. If the involvement of a minor child shall be included in a filing, they shall be referred to only as "minor child," although multiple minor children shall be distinguished from one another numerically, i.e., "minor child 2."

(c) Dates of Birth. If an individual's date of birth shall be included in a filing, only the year should be used.

(d) Financial Account, Credit or Debit Card Numbers. If any such financial account numbers shall be included in a filing, only the last four digits of these numbers should be used.

(e) Medical Record Numbers Patient Identification Numbers. If any such medical record numbers shall be included in a filing, only the last four digits of these numbers should be used.

(f) Mother's Maiden Name. If a person's mother's maiden name is identified as such, only the first initial of the maiden name shall be used.

(5) Responsibility for Redaction and Availability of Original. The responsibility for redacting personal data identifiers rests solely with parties and ~~counsel~~representatives. The Commission shall not review each filing for compliance with 804 CMR 1.21(4). ~~The parties and counsel~~representatives shall keep a complete copy of any document redacted containing the complete personal data identifier and shall furnish it to the Commission promptly upon request by the Commission to produce an un-redacted version of the document.

1.22: Sanctions

(1) Grounds for Sanctions. Parties, representatives and others accessing Commission services and offices may be subject to sanctions as provided throughout 804 CMR 1.00 and on the following grounds:

- (a) Failure to comply with any provisions in 804 CMR 1.00 as applicable, or any directives or orders by Commissioners or Commission staff;
- (b) Abusive, disruptive, or harassing behavior towards other parties, representatives, Commissioners, or Commission staff;
- (c) The filing of three or more complaints subject to dismissal pursuant to 804 CMR 1.05(2)(b)(1) through (8) or administrative dismissal via sanctioning authority in 804 CMR 1.22 in a one-year period; or
- (d) The intentional filing of documents containing false information, including false information generated by artificial intelligence.

(1) Sanction Types. Sanctions, may include but are not limited to:

(2)

(a)

~~(a) Failure by any participant in Commission investigations and proceedings to comply with Commission orders, requirements or procedures may result in the imposition of sanctions against a participant or counsel, which may include without limitation the award of attorney's fees and costs to other parties or the Commission,~~

~~(b) Administrative dismissal of the complaint;~~

~~(c) Limitations to or denial of access to Commission offices;~~

~~(d) Removal of ~~D~~duly ~~A~~authorized ~~R~~representation authorization;~~

~~(e) Denial of access to the MCAD Case Portal;~~

~~(f) Written reprimands;~~

~~(g) Limitations on communications with Commission staff, or~~

~~(h) as well as i~~Investigative default against a respondent pursuant to 804 CMR 1.07.

(3) Sanction Orders and Written Reprimands. An order is required prior to imposing any sanction in 804 CMR 1.22 which shall address the grounds and the timeframe for the sanction imposed. At least one written reprimand is required prior to issuing any sanction order under 804 CMR 1.22(1)(b) unless the complainant had a complaint administratively dismissed within the previous year for the same or similar conduct or the conduct involves actual or threatened physical harm to any party, representative, Commission staff member or other person involved in the processing of the complaint.

~~(2) Sanction Authority. Sanctions may be imposed by the Commission, the Chair, a Hearing Commissioner, and the Full Commission.~~

1.23: Full Commission Review

(1) Review of a ~~Public Hearing Decision of a Hearing Commissioner.~~

(a) Time Period for Request. Any party aggrieved by a final public hearing decision of a Hearing Commissioner may, within ten days of receipt of the decision, file a notice of appeal with the Clerk's Office, ~~in Boston.~~

(b) Petition for Review. Within 30 days of receipt of the decision, the appellant shall file with the Commission a petition for review with the Clerk's Office ~~in Boston~~ setting forth:

1. A statement of the ~~issues~~claims presented for review;
2. A succinct statement of facts relevant to the issue(s) presented for review with appropriate citation references to the record upon which the appellant relies to support the appeal;
3. Any findings of fact which the appellant claims are not supported by substantial evidence and unwarranted by the facts in the record;

4. Any alleged error of law, including citations to the authorities, statutes and parts of the record relied on;
 5. All other matters on which the appellant relies to support the appeal; and
 6. The relief to which the appellant claims they are entitled, which relief may be requested in the alternative.
- (c) Service. The party filing a notice of appeal or petition for review of a public hearing decision of the Hearing Commissioner shall serve a copy of the notice and petition upon all parties to the proceeding.
- (2) Intervention in the Review.
- (a) Any party to the public hearing proceeding before the Hearing Commissioner shall have the right to intervene in the review proceeding by filing a notice of intervention stating the party's interest and the position taken with respect to the decision under appeal.
 - (b) The Full Commission may in its discretion permit other interested persons to intervene in the review proceeding, if such persons are substantially and specifically affected by the proceedings.
 - (c) The notice of intervention shall be filed within ten days of receipt of the petition for review and shall be served on all parties by the intervener.
 - (d) An intervener may file a brief in reply to the petition for review addressing the appellant's arguments within 30 days of receipt of the petition for review, which shall be served on all parties by the intervener.
- (3) Form of Petition for Review and Intervener's Brief. Except by permission of the Full Commission, a petition for review and any intervener's brief shall not exceed 30 pages. The text shall be double spaced, and typeface shall be 12-point or larger size and not exceed 10.5 characters per inch. The margins shall be at least one inch.
- (4) Citation of Supplemental Authorities. When pertinent and significant authorities come to the attention of a party after the petition for review or intervener's brief has been filed, a party shall promptly advise the Full Commission, by letter, with a copy to all parties, setting forth the citations.
- (5) Stay of Order. The filing of a petition for review of a public hearing the decision of the Hearing Commissioner shall operate as a stay of execution of the public hearing decision Order of the Hearing Commissioner, unless ordered otherwise by the Full Commission.
- (6) Full Commission Members. Commissioners who have presided over a matter post-probable cause. The Investigating Commissioner Chair shall not participate in the deliberations of the Full Commission except when necessary to create a quorum of the Full Commission or to resolve a split decision, or in cases in which the Chair was the Hearing Commissioner. The Hearing Commissioner but not any designee shall participate in the review of their decision unless such participation is impracticable.
- (7) Oral Argument. The Commission may, in its discretion, order oral argument on a petition for review.
- (8) Record of Review. The petition for review shall be confined to the record presented at the public hearing.
- (9) Additional Evidence. If application is made to the Full Commission for leave to present additional evidence, and it is shown to the satisfaction of the Full Commission that the additional evidence is material to the issues-claims in the case, and that there was good reason for failure to present it at in the public hearing proceeding before the Hearing Commissioner, the Full Commission may order that the additional evidence be taken after remand before the Hearing Commissioner upon such conditions as the Full Commission deems proper.
- (10) Full Commission Decision. After review of the decision of the Hearing Commissioner, the Full Commission may affirm the decision, or remand the matter for further proceedings before the Hearing Commissioner; or set aside or modify the decision,

if it determines that the substantial rights of any party may have been prejudiced because the decision is:

- (a) In violation of constitutional provisions;
- (b) In excess of the statutory authority or jurisdiction of the Commission;
- (c) Based on an error of law;
- (d) Made on unlawful procedure;
- (e) Unsupported by substantial evidence; or
- (f) Arbitrary or capricious, an abuse of discretion, or otherwise not in accordance with law or the order of the ~~Investigating Commissioner~~ Chair certifying the ~~issues-claims~~ to public hearing.

(11) Commission Initiated Review of Public Hearing Decisions. The Full Commission may review the final public hearing decision ~~of a Hearing Commissioner sua sponte~~. In addition, a Commissioner who presided over the matter post-probable cause the Investigating Commissioner Chair, may request the Full Commission to review a public hearing decision. In such event, the Full Commission may order oral argument, or order the parties to submit memoranda of law or fact.

(12) Request for Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs after Issuance of Full Commission Decision. Where the complainant prevails in an appeal to the Full Commission, the complainant may, within 15 days of receipt of the Full Commission decision issued pursuant to 804 CMR 1.23(10) or (11), petition for an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs subject to the following provisions:

- (a) The petition shall include detailed, contemporaneous time records and a supporting affidavit; The petition shall also include information about average hourly rates for attorneys with similar experience, which may be in the form of affidavits from attorneys with knowledge of such hourly rates or model fee charts, or other documentation;
- (b) If complainant is the appellant, the petition may contain a request for fees and costs incurred prior to the appeal as well as those incurred as a result of litigating the appeal;
- (c) If complainant is the appellee, the petition may contain only a request for supplemental fees and costs incurred as a result of litigating the appeal, as the costs incurred in prior proceedings before the Full Commission shall have been requested in accordance with 804 CMR 1.12(19);
- (d) A respondent may file an opposition within 15 days of receipt of said petition; and
- (e) The decision by the Full Commission on the petition for the award of attorney's fees and costs, together with the Full Commission decision issued pursuant to 804 CMR 1.23(10) or (11) shall constitute the final order of the Commission for the purpose of judicial review pursuant to M.G.L. c. 151B, § 6 and M.G.L. c. 30A.

1.24: Judicial Review

(1) Definition of Final Commission Order. For the purpose of judicial review pursuant to M.G.L. c. 151B, § 6 and M.G.L. c. 30A, only the decision of the Full Commission pursuant to 804 CMR 1.23(10), (11) –or (12) shall constitute the final order of the Commission.

(2) Who May Obtain Judicial Review of a Final Commission Order. Judicial review of a final Commission order may be obtained by the complainant, respondent or other person aggrieved by such order pursuant to M.G.L. c. 151B, § 6.

1.25: Judicial Enforcement

(1) Definition of Final Commission Order. Where no party files a timely appeal to the Full Commission, the ~~hearing~~ public hearing decision of the Hearing Commissioner shall constitute the final order of the Commission for the purposes of judicial enforcement. If an appeal to the Full Commission is timely filed, or the public hearing decision of the Hearing Commissioner is reviewed *sua sponte*, the Full Commission decision shall constitute the final order of the Commission for purposes of judicial enforcement. Consent orders entered into by the Commission may constitute final orders of the Commission for the purposes of judicial enforcement.

(2) Who May Obtain Judicial Enforcement of a Final Commission Order. A party to a consent order or a person affected by a final decision and order of the Commission may request that the Commission initiate an action for enforcement. The Commission may obtain enforcement by filing a petition in the appropriate state court pursuant to M.G.L. c. 151B, § 6. The Commission may appear in court at enforcement proceedings through one of its attorneys, or it may designate ~~counsel~~an attorney for the party aggrieved by the alleged violation as agent of the Commission for the purpose of obtaining enforcement, in writing. An attorney so designated is not authorized to negotiate settlement terms addressing relief in the public interest ordered in a final Commission order, including civil penalties issued pursuant to M.G.L. c. 151B, § 5 or affirmative relief provided for in 804 CMR 1.09(5).

(3) Method of Enforcement. The Commission may seek to enforce the provisions of M.G.L. c. 151B, M.G.L. c. 151C, or M.G.L. c. 272, §§ 92A, 98, or 98A, or any other statutes under the jurisdiction of the Commission, or 804 CMR 1.00 by utilization of the procedures set forth in M.G.L. c. 151B, §§ 5, 8, M.G.L. c. 214, § 3(12) or (13) or any other lawful means as the interests of justice demand.

REGULATORY AUTHORITY

804 CMR 1.00; M.G.L. c. 151B, § 3; M.G.L. c. 151C, § 5.