Creating A Clean, Affordable, Equitable and Resilient Energy Future For the Commonwealth



Massachusetts Department of Energy Resources COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY RESOURCES

Grid Modernization Advisory Council Equity Working Group

June 26, 2024



Agenda

Item	Time
Welcome, Agenda, Roll Call Meeting Minutes Review and Voting	11:00 – 11:05
Presentation and Discussion: DPU Order 24-15	11:05 – 11:15
Consultant Presentation: Accounting for Equity in Future Massachusetts Electric Sector Modernization Plans	11:15 – 11:40
GMAC Stakeholder Engagement Materials	11:40 – 11:55
Close	11:55 – 12:00



Roll Call

Equity Working Group Voting Councilors

Larry Chretien	Green Energy Consumers Alliance
Julia Fox	Department of Energy Resources
Chris Modlish	Attorney General's Office
Kyle Murray	Acadia Center
Vernon Walker	Clean Water Action
Mary Wambui	Planning Office for Urban Affairs
Kathryn Wright, Chair	Barr Foundation

Non-Voting Councilor

Erin Engstrom (non-voting)

Eversource

Consultants

Jennifer HaughGreenerUChelsea MattiodaSynapseTim WoolfSynapse



Meeting Minutes

- Calling for vote to finalize:
 - > March 5, 2024, Equity Working Group minutes

• Motion to approve the March 5th minutes [as distributed/as corrected]?



- DPU filed this Notice of Inquiry (NOI) on January 4, 2024.
- The purpose is to design or improve utility programming to help reduce energy burdens, specifically for LMI customers.
- DPU has received public comments on a series of questions and recently held a stakeholder session. Major topics include:
 - > Discussion of energy burden in Massachusetts
 - Low-income discount rates
 - > Arrearage management programs (bill forgiveness)
 - Disconnection protections
 - Policy alternatives such as Percentage-of-income payment plans (PIPPs) and Tiered Discounts
 - > Options to manage costs of programming



- Bill discounts, disconnection protections and other programs are part of broader strategy for maintaining service quality and affordability during energy transition.
- Discussion of affordability programs should occur within the broader context of energy efficiency, rate reform, and transmission and distribution spending



- Technical Session with stakeholders was held from 10:00-5:00 p.m. on June 24th.
 - > Materials available <u>here</u>.
- Discussion: Are there any reflections from yesterday's technical session or the public comments that are relevant for the Grid Modernization Advisory Council process?
- Longer-term question: How do we preserve affordability and ensure the benefits and burdens of the energy transition are managed equitably?

(Discussion will continue under distributional equity analysis section of meeting.)







Accounting for Equity in Future Massachusetts Electric Sector Modernization Plans

Presentation to the GMAC Equity Working Group

GMAC Consultants

Synapse Energy Economics

June 26, 2024

- 1. Role of customer protection and equity in the 2024 ESMPs
- 2. Distributional Equity Analysis summary of new DOE Guide
- 3. Accounting for equity in the 2028 ESMPs

Role of Equity and Customer Protection in 2024 ESMPs

The ESMPs said very little about promoting equity, except for proposals for EDCs to collaborate on equity issues in the CESAG and with local leaders through Community Benefits Agreements.

Customer protection issues are inextricably linked with equity issues.

• When costs and rates are kept low, all customers benefit – including LMI customers.

The ESMPs did not demonstrate that the proposed plans will maintain low costs and rates.

- Both the benefit-cost analysis (BCA) and the bill impact analysis were inadequate.
 - Only a small portion of ESMP investments were analyzed understating the bill impacts
 - Many alternative investments were not considered
 - Investments and costs were not optimized
- Other aspects of the ESMPs (e.g., load forecasts) indicate that the proposed investments are unduly expensive.

There was no time in the 2024 ESMP process to propose a direct, quantitative equity analysis.

- The GMAC consultants focused on the excessive costs that would be imposed on all customers.
- A distributional equity analysis needs a reasonable BCA as a starting point.

Distributional Equity Analysis (DEA) Guide: Background

Funded by

 US DOE, through Lawrence Berkeley National Lab (LBNL) and E4TheFuture

Prepared by

- Synapse Energy Economics
- LBNL
- E4TheFuture

Overseen by an Advisory Committee made up of experts in energy equity and in energy planning.

Additional information and report available here:

<u>https://emp.lbl.gov/publications/distributional-equity-analysis</u>

ARTMENT OF ERGY OF SY EFFICIENCY & WABLE ENERGY	Distributional Equity Analysis for Energy Efficiency and Other Distributed Energy Resources A Practical Guide

U.S. DEI

Office ENER RENE There is increasing interest in both energy equity and benefit-cost analysis (BCA) of distributed energy resources (DERs).

BCA is not designed to address equity issues because it assesses costs and benefits of customers on average.

DEA is analytical framework that can be used to answer one key question:

What are the distributional equity impacts of utility resource investments in the context of cost-effectiveness evaluations?

DEA is a nascent, emerging concept in the realm of utility regulation

- The DEA Guide should be viewed as early, evolving guidance for advancing this topic
- Additional experience, analysis, and information will be needed to build on the DEA Guide

Summary of Differences Between BCA and DEA

	Benefit-Cost Analyses	Distributional Equity Analyses
Purpose	To identify which DER programs utilities should invest in	 a) To identify how DER programs impact priority populations b) To identify which DER programs utilities should invest in
Costs and Benefits	Costs and benefits across all customers on average	a) Costs and benefits for priority populationsb) Costs and benefits for other customers
Impacts Analyzed	Utility system impactsParticipant impactsSocietal impacts	Depends on choice of DEA metrics
Metrics	 Costs (PV\$) Benefits (PV\$) Net present value (NPV) Benefit-cost ratio (BCR) 	 Examples: Rates (\$/kWh) Bills (\$/month) Participation rates (% of eligible customers) Energy burden (% of income spent on energy bills) Service shutoffs (% change) Environmental impacts (change in PM 2.5 emissions)

Distributional Equity Analysis: An Overview



Stage 1. Establish Community & Stakeholder Process

A robust stakeholder process is critical to support DEA.

Stakeholder and community process should include representatives from a diverse cross-section of customers that represent the priority population.

- These representatives often face barriers to participation in PUC processes
- The barriers should be recognized and addressed in designing the stakeholder process

Robust stakeholder and community input should be utilized in all stages of the DEA.

Equity-oriented community and stakeholder processes are markedly different from utility decision-making processes used today.

LBNL has a forthcoming companion document, *Engagement Guide for Distributional Equity Analysis,* available at: <u>https://emp.lbl.gov/energy-equity</u>.

Stage 2. Articulate the DEA Context

The DEA should have the same context as the BCA.

Context includes:

- DEA application:
 - □ Single DER, a DER portfolio, multiple DERs, or multiple DER portfolios.
- Timeframe:
 - Prospective
 - Retrospective

The DEA Guide discusses only DERs, but the same concepts and principles can be applied to other utility investments – including grid mod.

Stage 3. Identify Priority Population

- The DEA Guide uses the term "priority populations" to indicate those customers and communities that will be evaluated separately to assess equity impacts.
- Priority populations should be identified using each state's equity policy goals along with stakeholder input.
- A variety of indicators can be used to determine which customers and communities should be included in a priority population. These fall into several categories:
 - Income, population health, poor environmental conditions, access to services, existing inequities

Other terms for priority populations

- Disadvantaged
- Overburdened
- Marginalized
- Underserved
- Vulnerable
- Environmental justice communities
- Frontline communities
- Highly impacted communities
- Target populations

Stage 4. Develop DEA Metrics

- Metrics are an essential element of DEA because they determine which aspects of equity will be evaluated.
- DEA metrics should be identified using each jurisdiction's equity policy goals along with stakeholder input.
- There are many metrics that can be used for systemwide equity assessments.
 - These are used to address the full range of equity issues facing customers: recognition, procedural, distributional, restorative.
- But these need to be downselected for distributional equity analysis.
- Guidelines for developing DEA metrics include:
 - Distributional Focus on distributional equity as opposed to other dimensions of equity
 - Discrete Avoid using metrics that overlap with each other or overlap with results of the BCA to avoid double counting.
 - Tied to the equity goals Metrics should capture the equity costs and benefits relevant to a jurisdiction's policy goals.
 - Associated with the DER impact Some metrics might not be affected by the DER investment under consideration.

Stage 5. Apply DEA Metrics to Priority Population

 DEA requires a lot of data; some publicly available, some not. Examples of data requirements include:

Demographic and Socio- Economic Data	Often can be obtained from nationally available public data, e.g., the US Census Bureau. Can also be collected through community surveys, opt-in participation questions, or other state and local data collection.
Utility Data	Includes billing data, customer account data and addresses, rate information, bill information, participation in DER programs, geographic data, and more. Can often be obtained from utilities, but there are significant data privacy and security challenges.
Energy Impacts	Some utility impacts can be captured using publicly available data, such as government-collected health or environmental data.

Stage 6. Present and Interpret DEA Results

Three Options for Presenting Results

Simple results: Includes unadjusted results for each DEA metric separately for priority population and other customers.

Benchmarked results: Includes simple results for each metric alongside metric-specific benchmarks.

Weighted DEA scores: Applies multi-attribute analysis (MAA) to benchmarked metrics to calculate DEA scores. Weighted scores for each DEA metric can be aggregated to present net scores for priority population and other customers.

Benchmarks:

A set of standards or goals by which success can be measured and can be used to draw more informed conclusions.

Examples:

- Targets for participation
- Targets for reducing energy burden
- Caps for reasonable rate impacts

Example: Benchmarked Results

	Simple	Simple Results 人						
Metric	Priority Population	Other Customers	Priority Population Benchmarks					
Participation Rate (% of eligible population)	11%	22%	20%					
Long-Term Average Rates (% change)	0.9%	0.9%	1.5%					
Participant Bills (% change)	-4.6%	-2.5%	-3%					
Number of shutoffs avoided	20	1	8					
Customer reliability (% change in CEMI)	-2%	-2%	-1%					

Conclusions:

- Priority customers' participation rate is below the benchmark. (-)
- Long-term average rates are within the rate increase cap. (+)
- Priority customer participant bill reductions exceed the target. (+)
- Priority customer reduced shutoffs exceed the target. (+)
- Reliability benefits exceed the target.(+)

Bottom Line:

DER program would improve equity across all the benchmarks if it were redesigned to increase priority population participation.

Stage 7. Make Resource Decisions Using Both BCA and DEA



DEA is a complex process that is likely to be time and resource intensive.

Streamlined practices can be used if time and resources are limited

- The stakeholder process should never be streamlined.
- Start with a relatively narrow DEA application, such as assessing a well-establish energy efficiency portfolio.
- Use existing definitions of priority populations in the jurisdiction.
- Use existing equity metrics or those used in other jurisdictions.
- Use mapping and modeling tools that have already been established in the jurisdiction.
- Focus on the simple and benchmarked results. Skip the DEA scoring techniques.
- Establish clearly defined pass/fail criteria early in the DEA process.

Accounting for Equity the 2028 ESMPs

The EDCs and the GMAC will need to spend a lot of time developing ESMPs that protect all customers, including LMI customers, by

- Optimizing resources to minimize costs
- Developing a meaningful BCA
- Developing a meaningful bill impact analysis

A robust DEA of grid mod plans is a complex, resource-intensive process.

The 2028 ESMPs could include a streamlined DEA:

- 1. Stakeholder process: build off current EWG process
- 2. Context: already defined by the BCA in the ESMPs
- 3. Priority populations: build off existing definitions in MA
- 4. DEA metrics: focus on a small number, e.g., participation and bill impacts
- 5. Apply metrics to populations: build off existing tools in MA
- 6. Present results: use simple results
- 7. Use results of both BCA and DEA: discuss with the EWG and the GMAC

Creating A Clean, Affordable, Equitable and Resilient Energy Future For the Commonwealth



Massachusetts Department of Energy Resources

Grid Modernization Advisory Council Stakeholder Engagement Materials



Goals & Scope of Materials

GMAC materials need to be tied to the 2022 Climate Law enabling statute.

"The council shall seek to ... increase transparency and stakeholder engagement in the grid planning process."

GOALS:

1. Create public awareness of the ESMPs and the GMAC process	2. Offer clear and succinct context for the ESMPs, the GMAC, and their role in the clean energy transition	3. Encourage sign- ups for a GMAC listserv	4. Encourage participation in GMAC- related activities

In Scope

- The importance of grid planning for stakeholders in the Commonwealth
- What are the ESMPs and GMAC and why stakeholders should care
- What is grid modernization and how does it relate to Massachusetts' climate targets
- How to stay engaged with future GMAC and ESMP activities
- Links to related content

Out of Scope

- How to learn about and access clean-energyrelated incentives
 - The EDCs, MassCEC and Green Energy Consumers Alliance provide resources we can link to instead.
- Updates on Energy Facilities Siting Board (EFSB) proceedings for specific projects
- Activities related to other state-level advisory groups (EEAC, EVICC, CEISP, etc.)

Proposed Structure of Engagement Materials

1. New Page on GMAC Website:

Massachusetts Department of Energy Resources

- Introductory Information
 - Concise summary of ESMPs and outcomes of the Order
 - Role of the GMAC and why it is important for the clean energy transition
 - Roles of different grid planning entities (ex. DOER, DPU, EDCs, CESAG)

Supplementary Linked Information

- GMAC pages, relevant DPU orders, utilities' ESMP pages, <u>MassCEC "Clean Energy Lives Here"</u>, EFSB, DOER Office of Community Engagement
- Resources: incentives, bill assistance, contact information
- **2. ~3 "Grid Impacts" Factsheets:** These will be digital and live on a separate GMAC web page dedicated to the ESMPs.
 - > Primarily fact sheets, incorporating both plain-spoken written language and graphics/images.
 - > Could include first person narratives and story-telling elements.
 - > Translated into the top 5 spoken languages in the Commonwealth.



of Energy Resources

Factsheet Design

Looking to create a product that bridges visual components (ex. CECP graphic) and written components (ex. NYSERDA). The factsheets should be visually engaging, yet informative.



Example: Massachusetts Clean Energy and Climate Plan for 2050



Offshore wind is key to achieving New York State's nation-leading clean energy goals of 70% renewable energy by 2030 and 100% clean electricity by 2040



mid-2020s. With its clean energy leadership, highly-skilled workforce, and new offshore wind training investments, New York State is poised to become the hub for the United States' emerging multi-billion-dollar offshore wind industry.

Quality Jobs for New Yorkers

As offshore wind projects are advanced, this new industry has the potential to provide more than 10,000 high-quality and well-paying jobs in manufacturing, construction, installation, research and development, operations and maintenance, and other fields. New York State is working with project developers to promote and fund the first generation of major offshore wind port and supply chain infrastructure, as well as workforce development programs to educate, train, and employ New Yorkers. These initiatives will help anchor the industry's long-term home in New York State.



EXAMPLE JOB FUNCTIONS BY PROJECT DEVELOPMENT STAGE

Planning and	Manufacturing	Construction and	Operations and
Development	and Assembly	Installation	Maintenance
Attorneys Engineers Financial analysts Permitting specialists Scientists	Assemblers Control systems specialists Engineers Port operators Technicians Welders Administrative staff	Crane operators Dock workers Electricians Iron workers Line workers Painters Painters Pile drivers Piumbers Welders	Administrative staff Engineers Pilant managers Support vessel crew Wind turbine technicians

Preparing to join the workforce

Established and developing programs offer multiple paths for workers to prepare for careers in the offshore wind industry.

TRAINING OPPORTU

UNION APPRENTICESHIP TRAINING CONTINUIN ACADEMIC STUDY TRACKS EDUCATION COURSES

INDUSTRY INTERNSHIPS

NEW website empowers

New Yorkers to become a part of

the renewable energy workforce

Learn more about job and

training opportunities:

New resources for job seekers Offshore Wind Training Institute (OWTI)

A collaboration of industry universities, nonprofits, and organized labor, this \$20 million initiative will offer workers across New York pathways to quality careers within the clean energy sector through new job training programs, tailored college curricula, and enhanced academic research opportunities

\$5 Million Ecosystem Fund

The Ecosystem Fund is a collaboration between Equinor and the New York City Economic Development Corporation (NYCEDC) to foster the offshore wind industry in New York City. The Ecosystem Fund will contribute \$5 million towards the following objectives: Scaling the talent pipeline in offshore wind-related careers

Supporting low-income New Yorkers and New York City Housing Authority residents in the green energy transition

Growing the green energy innovation ecosystem in New York Cit

National Offshore Wind Training Center (NOWTC)

The developers of New York's Sunrise Wind project have invested \$10 million in a National Offshore Wind Training Center (NOWTC) in Brentwood on Long Island. The NOWTC is expected to train and certify hundreds of workers under Global Wind Organization (GWO) training standards for offshore wind and offer curriculum and support services for entryways into pre-apprenticeship training for the construction industry as well as manufacturing certifications that will benefit regional employment.



Learn more about offshore

STATE

wind in New York State.

nyserda.ny.gov/offshorewind

NYSERDA



Factsheet Options: Audience & Messages

<u>2</u> Factsheets Answering <u>1</u> Question at 2 different levels Question example: What is happening with the grid and why should I care about the GMAC/ESMP process?

Factsheet 1

101 Level

Audiences:

- Ratepayers
- Low-moderate income (LMI) ratepayers

Key Messages:

- Overview of MA Climate goals + relation to grid
- Role of GMAC/ESMP
- Ways for audiences to engage with GMAC/ grid planning

Factsheet 2 201 Level

Audiences:

- Municipal leaders
- Communities hosting infrastructure

Key Messages:

- How do these processes of updating the grid help MA meet climate goals?
- Ways for audiences to engage with GMAC/grid planning

<u>1</u> Factsheet Answering <u>1</u> Question with information at 101, 201, and 301 levels. **Question example: How can I participate?**

Factsheet 3 101, 202, 301 Levels

Question: How can I participate?

<u>101 Level</u>

- Ratepayers
- LMI ratepayers

<u>201 Level</u>

- Municipal leaders
- Communities hosting infrastructure

<u>301 Level</u>

• Developers



Timeline

- DOER staff and GMAC consultants will continue with visioning, drafting, and scoping of factsheets during the **summer**.
 - GMAC/EWG member feedback is welcome
 - *GMAC members Kathryn Wright and Marybeth Campbell have offered resources to gather a focus group for the factsheet messages.
- The website will be developed over the summer.
- Plan to release factsheets post-DPU Order.
- Push communications through distribution channels:
 - State-level email distribution lists (GMAC listserv, EEA's EJ Office)
 - GMAC member organizations and distribution lists



- Do EWG members have any example materials they can share as models/inspiration?
- Are any EWG members interested in greater involvement in material development (any staff with experience to support, limited meetings to review materials)?
- Could EWG members help with dissemination?
- What other complementary activities should be on our radar as we get closer to the release of an Order?



Materials Proposal Summary

1. Goal	 Create a <u>first round</u> of stakeholder engagement materials to provide awareness and education on the importance of grid planning in the Commonwealth Specific emphasis on the contributions of the GMAC and ESMP processes.
2. Scope	 Increasing transparency of the grid planning process and the path forward to achieving climate targets
3. Structure	 A newly designed GMAC website landing page <u>1-3</u> distinct factsheets that cater to energy consumers' concerns related to grid planning.
4. Audience	 Residential customers, LMI customers, Communities hosting infrastructure, Municipal leaders, Developers
5. Messages	 GMAC/ESMP processes Environment/decarbonization Interconnection
6. Timeline	 Visioning, drafting, and scoping over the next 3 months. The website will be developed over the summer. Plan to release factsheets post-DPU Order. Implementation Team: DOER staff, GMAC consultant, GMAC members



Close

 The next Equity Working Group meeting is September 25, 2024 from 12 – 1 PM.

• Upcoming GMAC/ESMP activities:

Date	Category	Event
August 9 th	Executive Committee Meeting	GMAC strategic planning
August 29 th	ESMP Docket	Order on ESMPs
September 10 th	GMAC Meeting	Discuss next steps for GMAC post ESMP Order
September 25 th	EWG Meeting	Review outreach materials and plans. Discuss next steps.
September 27 th	Executive Committee Meeting	Review 2025 GMAC Budget Request to DPU



Massachusetts Department of Energy Resources

Appendix

Summary of EDC and Intervenor Initial Briefs in DPU Dockets 24-10/11/12

Distributive Equity - Intervenors

Issue	DOER	AGO	Acadia	CLF	CLC	GECA	NRG
Ratepayer burden: there is an overall lack of specificity, transparency, consistency, and comprehensive approach to demonstrating a minimization or mitigation of impacts on ratepayers.	~			✓		~	✓
CBAs: ESMPs should offer more specificity on how CBAs are developed, how EJ populations will benefit, and how they are funded.			✓	√			
Benefit-cost analyses: ESMPs should offer more specificity, accuracy, qualitative and quantitative analysis, granularity, and scenarios.	~	✓	✓				
Access to solar: Eversource's ASAP is duplicative of Solar For All and should not play a gatekeeping role for a program intended to help LMI customers.	\checkmark				\checkmark	~	
EVs / EV charging: existing off-peak charging rebate is too small and residential demand charges are regressive; EDCs should offer robust managed charging programs, both active and passive (such as TVRs).						√	
Gas/electric : gas is not a back-up for heat pumps; we should work to avoid EJ/LMI communities bearing the burden of gas pipeline upkeep by helping these communities make the transition to clean heat.				~			

Procedural Equity - Intervenors

Issue	DOER	AGO	Acadia	CLF	CLC	GECA	NRG
Overall: standardization of the ESMPs and better communications efforts will help make the topic of grid modernization more accessible.	✓			✓		✓	
CESAG: the body should be co-led by a non-utility entity.	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		
CESAG: CBOs do not have the resources that GMAC has.			✓				
CESAG: participants should be adequately compensated.			\checkmark				
CESAG : the body should be nested within an existing framework (e.g., the GMAC) to avoid working group fatigue.	\checkmark		✓	\checkmark			
CESAG : the body should be co-led by GMAC, specifically the EWG.	\checkmark						

Structural/Recognition Equity - Intervenors

Issue	DOER	AGO	Acadia	CLF	CLC	GECA	NRG
Centering equity: equity should be standardized and operationalized within the utilities.	\checkmark						
Centering equity : equity should be incorporated into a standard of review within ESMP filings.				\checkmark			

Equity and Stakeholder Engagement - EDCs

- "The EDCs plan to undertake deliberate steps to increase engagement and communication with communities and identify and balance the specific benefits and burdens that a project may have on a host community" (p. 63)
- The EDCs envision the CESAG as a partnership between community-based organizations and EDCs
 - CESAG will provide an opportunity to create a framework to guide EDCs on how to engage communities about proposed clean infrastructure project and best practices for soliciting feedback (p. 63)
 - EDCs will engage a broad spectrum of experts to join the CESAG (p. 63)