Middleborough, MA



Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness (MVP) and Community Resilience Building (CRB) Workshop Summary of Findings

March 2020

Submitted by:



Overview

Middleborough, the second largest town in Massachusetts by size with over 70 square miles of land area, is a town of 23,145 residents. Centrally located in the heart of Plymouth County in southeastern Massachusetts, Middleborough is situated along interstate 495 and is just 38 miles south of Boston and 30 miles east of Providence, Rhode Island. The town's low-density land use pattern has contributed to both its image and the way of life of its citizens. Middleborough's most recent Master Plan recognizes that the town's landscape is "physically defined by its open space, rivers, bogs, forests and farms," though new residential and commercial development is occurring in some of these spaces.

The town is split across two watersheds; the Taunton River watershed in the north and the Buzzards Bay Watershed in the south. Along with Lakeville, Freetown, and Rochester, Middleborough contains a portion of the Assawompset Ponds Complex (APC), the largest natural inland body of water in the state, and the primary or emergency drinking water supply reservoir for all or portions of 13 communities including the cities of New Bedford and Taunton (but not including Middleborough itself). Aside from the APC, the Nemasket River, Tispaquin Pond, and Taunton River are among the other major important surface water resources in town. The most significant sources of groundwater for Middleborough's municipal public supply are found within the central portions of town, and are characterized by their location in glacial sand and gravel deposits. The permeable quality of these soils makes for excellent recharge, but also leaves ground water vulnerable to pollution depending upon surface soil cover.

Historically an agricultural community, Middleborough's agricultural sector has waned in recent decades, though cultivation is still relatively common in the town, including cranberry bog production. Ocean Spray has a headquarters in Middleborough. Aside from productive bogs, the town has a large and biologically complex wetland system, including the Great and Little Cedar Swamps that are regionally unique habitat areas. Middleborough's landscape has experienced increased stress in recent years from impacts of the flood/drought cycle, most notably the flood of 2010, which caused widespread flooding, followed several years later by a significant drought in 2016.

Local residents see collaborative planning as an effective way to ensure a resilient community and sustain critical shared resources, now and into the future. To support the community in considering and prioritizing actions to improve its climate resilience, the Town of Middleborough applied for and received a grant from the Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs (EEA) to become a Designated Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness (MVP) Community. Core members of the Resilient Taunton Watershed Network (RTWN) were tasked with coordinating the workshop, specifically the Southeast Regional Planning and Economic Development Division (SRPEDD), who acted as Middleborough's Certified MVP Provider. Staff from The Nature Conservancy, Manomet, and Mass Audubon supported the Community Resilience Building (CRB) workshop process as Certified MVP Providers and members of RTWN. These planning workshops took place on two Fridays, January 10 and 24, 2020 at the Middleborough Town Hall.

Stakeholders from Middleborough were present as workshop participants, including representatives from many municipal boards and departments. Also in attendance were representatives from Middleborough's schools, library, local and regional community groups, and more (see *Appendix A* for a full listing). Attendees were divided into two distinct groups that remained consistent in both workshops. Each group identified features in Middleborough visually with a map (Appendix B), and verbally on a matrix (Appendices C/D). Each feature was related to hazards that the town is concerned about and participants determined whether a particular feature was considered a hazardous vulnerability or a strength that helps Middleborough mitigate potential impacts. Each item listed on a group's matrix was numbered, and corresponded to a numbered dot they placed on their map. The colors used on the maps were (red), environmental (green), and societal (blue in Group 1, yellow in Group 2)

Through facilitated discussion, workshop attendees:

- Defined top local natural and climate-related hazards of concern;
- Identified existing and future strengths and vulnerabilities;
- Developed prioritized actions for the community;
- Identified immediate opportunities to collaboratively advance actions to increase resilience

Several recurring themes emerged from the discussion, especially around the movement, availability, and treatment of water within Middleborough. Flooding has the potential to impact undersized stream crossings, brownfields, water treatment facilities, and roadway infrastructure, with the flood levels of 2010 fresh in the minds of local staff and residents. There is a need for **proactive water supply resource management** in the face of drought cycles, and an equal need for **changing approaches to stormwater management** as intensifying storms cause greater runoff and stormwater pooling in the town's urbanized areas. Some of this stormwater could be better absorbed with **ecologically-based improvements to crucial waterways** such as the Nemasket River. Participants also felt an urgency surrounding increased **threats from mosquito- and tick-borne diseases**, desiring collaboration with the state on this issue toward a holistic and proactive approach targeted at early mosquito lifecycle stages and limited spill-over effects on wildlife. Regarding wildlife, there was consensus from both groups on the need to **enhance the habitat of wildlife** unique to this area and that provide crucial services, such as herring,

pollinators, and vulnerable tree species as these organisms face increased stresses from climate change. Finally, a common theme emerged around the need to **increase sheltering capacity**, for both people and their animals, especially during extreme temperature events and during power outages caused by tree blow-downs.





Top Hazards and Vulnerable Areas

Participants discussed past impacts from natural hazards that they have experienced, and came to consensus on the top four concerns to their community, which were identified as:

- Flooding
- Severe storms/wind
- Drought/temperature extremes
- Vector borne diseases (ticks/mosquitos)

Flooding describes the threat to Middleborough's residents, roads, water management infrastructure, and environmentally sensitive areas and waterways that is posed by frequent large precipitation events. The town is experiencing increasing periods of high groundwater levels that leave little room for additional infiltration, clogged catch basins and waterways that are affected by siltation, increased nutrient-laden runoff and the presence of undersized bridges, culverts, and rail infrastructure that act as choke points that contribute to roadway flooding. Failure of certain dams would threaten municipal water treatment plants.

Severe Storms / Winds are a primary concern because during strong storm events, flooding can cut off access to major thoroughfares, and trees frequently fall, further limiting road access for residents and emergency personnel. High wind also threatens existing power infrastructure. Residents who rely on wells for drinking water are left without functioning pumps and running water, sometimes for days. With limited generator backups at some town facilities (such as town fuel pumps), extended power outages could limit initial emergency response.

Drought / Temperature Extremes represent the projected increase in the number of highdegree days that are anticipated to occur as the climate warms. With a historically cooler temperature range, many houses in New England do not yet have features like AC/Central Air. Vulnerable populations with mobility issues and income disparities may not have ready access to cooling capabilities during extreme temperatures, and may not elect to leave their homes for shelter if there is no sheltering plan for animals and livestock. Alongside heat, more intense drought periods are anticipated. Town water supplies from well fields, without a reservoir or backups in case of extended drought.

Vector Borne Diseases refers to vectors that increase the risk of exposure to disease (e.g. ticks, mosquitos), and invasive species that threaten the ecological integrity of Middleborough's abundant natural lands. There has been a dramatic increase in the severity of the threat from vector populations in recent years, particularly from mosquitos

carrying Eastern Equine Encephalitis (EEE), leading to increased risks to public health. The town is anticipating an increase in retired cranberry bogs, which require proactive management and, where possible, conversion back to natural flowing water systems to control the stagnant waters in which mosquito populations proliferate. While local officials and residents prioritize the safety of the community, there is also a concern that reactive pest management spraying techniques are damaging ecosystems and wildlife.

Areas of Concern

Several locations in town were identified as important strengths or notable vulnerabilities, and some, because of their complex nature, were considered to be both strengths *and* vulnerabilities. The top four natural hazards identified by Middleborough workshop attendees were **flooding**, **severe storms/wind**, **drought/temperature extremes**, and **vector borne diseases (ticks/mosquitos)**. Prioritization (high, medium, low) and time anticipated to complete each action is indicated in the digitized matrices (*Appendix B*).

Infrastructural concerns centered around the question "where can we put water?" The effects of flooding threaten multiple types of infrastructure in the town, as well as historic and cultural assets, with a need for better stormwater capture and elimination of waterway choke points emerging as paramount issues. MVP planning groups also cited threats to the electrical system and the town's emergency management infrastructure from storms with intense rains and wind.

Electrical and Gas System

Middleborough views its municipal electric and gas utility as a community strength, with substations and power lines located throughout the town. However, pressures from intensifying storm events, an exacerbated flood-drought cycle, and invasive diseases have led to increased tree fall in recent years. With increased rain, the understory is growing rapidly. These changes have created major issues along power corridors. Utility overhead lines are snapping during storm events. Lines cannot be undergrounded everywhere in town due to the high water table and floodplain areas.

Continued proactive trimming, homeowner tree-planting education, line-strengthening insulation, and the use of a boulevard type of roadway design (poles in this area away from the treeline) were cited as actions to mitigate the occurrence of power outages in town moving forward. The need for backup power generation is paramount at the town's fuel pumps (fire station) and water supply wells. The inability to fuel the town's vehicle fleet during a power disruption would compound the effects of any hazardous event, slowing town response and recovery.

Water Supply and Treatment

The town completed a major upgrade to its wastewater treatment plan in 2017 in order to meet EPA and DEP standards for phosphorus and nitrogen levels discharged into the Nemasket River. However, intensifying storms and rainfall alongside Middleborough's high groundwater levels, are taxing the town's treatment system. When the Nemasket floods, the river sometimes overtops the plant's effluent discharge pipes. Sump pumps are emerging as a major contributor after storm events, especially in the downtown area, where there is also a limitation in the capacity of catch basins. There may be clogs or need for relining sewer pipes in the center of town, especially near the fire station, library, and former police station.

MVP groups were supportive of grey infrastructure fixes to channeling stormwater, but were also equally enthusiastic about Green Infrastructure approaches and the restoration of natural systems to improve water movement and flow, such as clearing the Nemasket River of obstructive sandbars and vegetation. Enhanced coordination with DEP in the permitting process would aid such efforts. Grey water recapture systems were also mentioned as a potential site-specific solution at the Library

Some residences are served by private wells, while others are connected to the town's municipal water supply. Water Department infrastructure is aging and the distribution line system has few redundancies in storm event situations that would disrupt access to the lines on Wareham Street and East Grove Street and cut off water supply to south Middleborough. Town well fields scattered throughout town are the town's drinking water supply, without backup reservoirs in drought scenarios. The East Main Street water treatment plant and four wells below Stony Brook Dam would be seriously impaired if the dam fails. The East Grove Street water treatment facility is in a similarly vulnerable position below the Nemasket River Dam.

Transportation Infrastructure (roads, dams, bridges, culverts)

Finally, the discussion highlighted specific vulnerable transportation infrastructure features. Undersized dams, bridges, and culverts along the Nemasket River and other waterways are creating choke points that back up when streamflow intensifies after storm events. Specific dams include the Wareham Street aka "Bascule" Dam and the Plymouth Street Dam on the Nemasket River, Stony Brook Dam at Pratt Farm, the Woods Pond Dam on Chestnut Street, and Assawompset Pond Dam. Specific bridges include the Vernon Street Bridge north of 44 on Poquoy Brook and the Vernon Street Bridge on the Taunton River itself. Specific culverts include those under Summer Street, Thomas Street at cranberry bogs, and a Plymouth Street culvert associated with Savory Pond Cranberry bogs.

Better coordination with MassDOT is needed on multiple fronts to address stormwater effects on roadway infrastructure, including better outfall maintenance, alleviating stream choke points caused by railroad abutments and rail bridges, better maintenance of the catch basin at the Route 44/Plymouth Street intersection, and drainage issues on Routes 44 and 495 that contribute to sedimentation and sandbars in the Nemasket River. New road surface quality and road bed design standards were also discussed as an approach that may help to alleviate drainage issues and maintain roads over time. Outside of the category of transportation infrastructure, groups noted the flood damage potential at several town buildings and parklands (the library, Pratt Farm, Oliver Mill Park, and Oliver House).

Environmental concerns focused on water quality of the town's waterways, waterbodies, and wellhead protection areas, threats from vector-borne disease, and balancing Middleborough's environmental health while also accommodating additional development.

Water Quality

Water quality concerns are present for multiple different types of water resources in Middleborough. Workshop participants pointed to the interaction between increased precipitation and greater runoff causing additional nutrient deposition, more algal blooms, and lower dissolved oxygen with very negative effects on the health of streams and ponds. The Nemasket River is narrowing in many spots due to invasive species and siltation clogging the channel and stymieing the movement of water. These issues are affecting the Nemasket's ability to maintain the historical herring run, an element of local biodiversity and an ecotourist attraction that contributes to Middleborough's economic resilience. A comprehensive Assawompset Ponds Management Plan that encompasses habitat fragmentation and nutrient levels in the ponds and the Nemasket would help to address this issue.

In addition to surface water, the town's wellhead protection areas are both a strength and a potential vulnerability. The extent of Zone II's should be reevaluated to ensure that adequate protection buffers are in place, particularly under future climate conditions. Participants in both groups also noted the potential for serious impairments to occur to both land and water bodies if flooding were to extend over near-bank contaminated sites, such as the current but soon to be former DPW site and Rockland Industries Hazardous Waste Site, and contribute to the migration of contaminated materials. While the Health Department does a lot of monitoring, additional resources are needed for incorporating climate resilience into MS4 permits and for monitoring releases from the water treatment plant. The water quality monitoring program exemplified by the Taunton River Watershed Alliance could be transmitted to the Buzzards Bay watershed as a model to emulate.

Controlling Vector-Borne Disease

Towns in the southeastern region of Massachusetts were under a pronounced threat from Triple E in 2019. While everyone understands the importance of preventing EEE, participants noted that spraying could be more proactive, targeting larval stages at the beginning of the season. Additional coordination between state and local entities is essential, with a more holistic approach to the management of mosquitos and ticks, the missing link in current approaches. Spraying that minimizes spillover impacts on the rest of the town's wildlife and natural environment was very important to workshop participants, as was the need for more education and training on natural solutions to this issue for homeowners, such as the use of mosquito control donuts and reducing standing water.

Balancing Development Impacts

Workshop participants expressed concern about the location of new development in the face of climate change that will further tax environmental systems. Wetlands, so vital to storing stormwater, are being affected by the siting of residential development on nearby uplands and by the town's earth removal bylaw. This issue is very salient due to recent development projects that highlighted the town's lack of a local wetlands bylaw that would expand violation enforcement capabilities. Workshop participants expressed a desire for additional wetland regulatory measures from the state so that there is less discrepancy between towns, but also desire a sound, town adopted wetland bylaw.

Solar development is another trend in Middleborough that has positive but also problematic aspects. The retirement of cranberry farmers is on the rise and is expected to increase with a changing climate that brings fungus problems associated with more rainfall and a loss of essential chills. Retiring cranberry bog owners are exploring the option of putting solar arrays on their properties, but the public utility is not accepting any more solar into the grid at the moment. An alternative option to solar on some cranberry bogs would be restoration to true wetland habitat, further mitigating stormwater impacts by providing additional retention areas.

Societal vulnerabilities identified included the need to improve available housing resources for vulnerable populations in Middleborough, a point strongly advanced in both MVP groups. Also noted were the needs to expand and improve sheltering capacities and for a bolstered emergency communication system.

Housing for Vulnerable Populations

Middleborough's population is growing without enough options for housing that is affordable to lower income households. Participants noted a growing income gap within town. Both groups identified the need to reassess zoning and development regulations to

expand the availability of housing that can accommodate vulnerable elderly and lowincome populations. Participants were seeking ways to find synergy between affordable housing, economic development, and conservation issues and to achieve housing options for vulnerable populations that are outside of areas prone to flooding or contamination.

Sheltering Capacity

As Middleborough experiences more extreme heat and storm events, adequate sheltering capacity for both power outages and cooling stations on hot days are expected to play a pivotal role in caring for residents and vulnerable populations. Workshop participants were unsure if a cooling station exists in town, though the Council on Aging does invite clients to come to the office to cool down on hot days. There is an emergency shelter available at Nichols School, where residents were also able to bring domestic animals to be housed in crates provided by Animal Control. Advertising the fact that animal caretakers are able to shelter with their pets more widely will be important to ensuring that owners will not fail to seek shelter under the mistaken impression that pets are not welcome. The need for establishing a network capable of sheltering livestock in this agricultural community is also an important asset.

Emergency Communications

While Middleborough has the technology in place for robust emergency communications, local protocols have not yet been put in place to normalize their operations. For example, the town has reverse 911 capabilities, but there is uncertainty around the circumstances in which it should be used such that important information is broadcast without bothering residents too often. DPW is working with other town departments to develop a centrally managed system. Staffing for emergency response is a point of vulnerability that might be partially addressed through regular department head meetings, which would build initial bridges between staff that are vital foundations in any emergency situation. There is a Local Emergency Planning Committee that meets semi-regularly. Regular quarterly meetings for this group should be established.

Current Strengths and Assets

Middleborough is well acquainted with the many strengths it leverages to manage the risks that natural hazards pose. Supporting and enhancing existing strengths and assets into the future will complement strategies identified to address current vulnerabilities, further helping to build local resilience. The following strengths and assets were identified as essential for adapting to the impacts of **flooding**, **severe storms/wind**, **drought/temperature extremes**, and **vector borne diseases (ticks/mosquitos)**:

Infrastructural Strengths

- The existence of the local municipal electric and gas utility is a real advantage for the community, providing centralized and local operations and support. The utility is using insulated power lines, which increase line strength and resilience.
- The new wastewater treatment plant, despite siting issues around the location and flood vulnerability of effluent discharge pipes, is a strength. It has additional available capacity and nutrient permitting is not a limiting factor at present.

Environmental Strengths

- Middleborough's rural character and abundant natural lands and open space are seen as important strengths in town. A history of effective land conservation has resulted in preserved areas that will help to mitigate climate change impacts such as floodplain and flood storage areas.
- The wellhead protection areas in town provide a level of water quality protection that is an important strength given that many residents are connected to the public water supply.
- Middleborough's location around the Assawompset Ponds can be a vulnerability from flooding risks, but it is also a very important asset tied to Middleborough's cultural identity with natural phenomena like the herring run.
- The DPW has developed programs that champion the environment, such as the free tree giveaways on Arbor Day. These existing programs could be combined with new initiatives such as giveaways of wildflower seeds to further promote pollinator habitats to proliferate in town.
- The town's Right to Farm bylaw and Agricultural Commission assist in preserving farmland and maintaining the productive aspects of farmland that also dovetail with climate mitigation efforts.

Societal Strengths

- Continued review of local bylaws for necessary updates is a strength. Staff support local boards and commissions extremely well in formulating these updates. The recent Planning Board efforts around updating rules for tree planting to avoid conflicts with electric utility infrastructure and the passage of the recent stormwater bylaw are examples.
- While it creates some challenges, workshop participants noted the town's growing population as a strength. Limited growth tied to the carrying capacity of the land can help Middleborough to remain a vibrant community.
- The town's active Council on Aging was noted as a strong community pillar that can be built upon to address the needs of senior and vulnerable populations dealing with climate change impacts.

Top Recommendations to Improve Resilience

Once climate vulnerability issues were recorded in the first workshop session, the second session challenged participants to formulate potential solutions and to form a consensus on the top three most urgent priorities for bolstering Middleborough's resilience.

Each of the two original groups generated actions related to the list of strengths and vulnerabilities, and then worked with facilitators to decide the top priority actions for each of the feature categories (infrastructural, environmental, and societal). After making these decisions in individual groups, all attendees came together to share their priority actions and discuss emergent themes. Facilitators led the group in a verbal vote to select the top three priority actions that the town should take.

Participants were encouraged to consider action items that mitigated hazards through strengthening natural systems and processes, to complement technological or constructed fixes. An action that limits the damage of natural hazards through conserving existing lands, integrating benefits of nature where they are critically needed (i.e. flood storage, water quality improvement), or restoring an ecosystem where it has been disrupted is referred to as a **Nature-based solution**. Nature-based solutions (NBS) are a category of strategies in climate adaptation and their exploration is of interest to the Commonwealth of Massachusetts as a national leader in comprehensive hazard mitigation planning. Effective implementation of NBS means designing community features where the functions of built infrastructure and the natural environment are mutually reinforcing in providing protections and benefits for residents.

The workshops' top three themes for priority actions that resulted from the discussion were: **capabilities for emergency response**, **town drainage** and **land use patterns**, **planning, and bylaws**.

Capabilities for Emergency Response

Enabling Continued Operations in an Emergency

- Build a new communication tower. The current tower dates to the 1950s and does not yet have backup power, leaving town radio communications vulnerable to interruption.
- Install a backup generator at the town fuel pumps, so that Middleborough staff have the vehicles necessary to respond to hazard impacts, including storm debris removal, evacuation, and others.

Expanding Communications During an Emergency Event

- Develop outreach materials for the public addressing potential hazard plans and resources that can be distributed ahead of time on the website and at town fairs and events.
- Provide information to the public on the sheltering options available during emergencies (location, timing, pets allowed, etc) and hot days, and expand sheltering availability and cooling stations to areas of existing parks (i.e. pools, sprinklers, splash pads) and library or other public facilities if necessary.
- Formulate protocols for the reverse 911 system that the town has and consider strategies that might be able to target vulnerable populations such as the elderly.
- Lay the groundwork for smooth communications between staff by implementing a monthly department head meeting.
- Establish a "good neighbor" network for residents to assist one another and look in before, during, and after hazard events.

Town Drainage

Alleviate Flooded Roadways

- Conduct a regional study of culverts and constrictions on waterways in town to set up a schedule for fixing the bridges, culverts, and rail crossings that are undersized in this system. MassDOT would need to be involved as some of their infrastructure affects the local natural resource system.
- Expand the study above to include impacts on town water treatment facilities that are flooded by backups in the river system, including the East Grove Street Treatment Plant and the treatment plant in vicinity of Stony Brook Dam.
- Consider options for addressing the Bascule Dam.
- Conduct a study of drainage in the town center that would include identifying illegal sump pumps, current sewer pipe capabilities and degradation, and catch basin assessment. The study should include both constructed fixes and nature-based solutions such as rain gardens and grey water capture.
- Install a grey water capture system at the library as a pilot project.
- Pursue a feasibility study for the flooding issues at the discharge point at the Wastewater Treatment Plant.
- Pass needed amendments to the stormwater bylaw at Town meeting.

Enhance Nature Based Solutions to Flooding

- Remove impediments to proper stream channeling and water movement on the Nemasket and in the Assawompset Ponds Complex, including siltation between the Ponds and the Nemasket, siltation from Routes 44 and 495, and invasive vegetation.
- Develop a comprehensive management plan for the Assawompset Ponds Complex and the Nemasket River.
- Study the feasibility of designating the Assawompset Ponds as an Area of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC).
- Conduct a vulnerability assessment for the possibility of harmful pollutants migrating from contaminated sites (such as the Rockland Industries Hazardous Waste Site) during flood events and clean these areas so that they are no longer potential toxic floodplain areas.

Land Use Patterns and Zoning

Housing that Accommodates Vulnerable Populations

- Revisit the town's Master Plan to create a strategy for studying demographic changes and accommodating population growth through smart growth techniques.
- Explore community-owned and co-housing.
- Review bylaws to allow areas of higher density housing that is more accessible to low-income households.
- Work with the state to review the standards applicable to 40B projects and advocate for restructuring the program, based on the fact that projects are being constructed with inadequate infrastructure (sewer, power, etc.) for resilience.

Build without disrupting Natural Systems

- Adopt a wetlands bylaw with additional wetlands buffer provisions.
- Amend Middleborough's zoning and subdivision bylaws to minimize the development of housing on flood-prone lands.
- Encourage the development of energy-efficient homes.
- Pursue additional funding for weatherization (grants, rebates, etc.).
- Encourage additional protected open space acquisition to support watershed management through utilization of Middleborough's CPA funding, the creation of a TDR bylaw, updated of the town's open space plan, and revisions to open space subdivision bylaw.

- Consider a bylaw review to ensure that nature-based solutions are permitted in town. MassAudubon has a system for conducting such reviews.
- Work with state agencies such as MDAR and DER to repurpose and restore cranberry and non-cranberry agricultural land to restore and protect productive or natural functions, such as conversion of retiring cranberry bogs into wetlands.
- Consider engaging with federal opportunities for buy-out programs on repetitive loss properties.

Two priorities in contention that did not make the top three but that are notable for their high importance were **decreasing carbon emissions** and **improving communication channels**.

Decreasing Carbon Emissions

- Utilize electric vehicles in town operations.
- Study geothermal energy potential in town.
- Increase the availability of renewable energy sources where appropriate.
- Pursue additional transportation options including the installation of lanes for scooters and bikes, bike rental stations, and shared use paths connected to town services and buildings.

Improving Communication Channels

- Pursue better communications with the Department of Public Health surrounding mosquito spraying to help formulate a plan that is proactive, addressing the threat at its larval stage, and that adds alternative solutions that do not require harmful spraying to address this very important public health issue.
- Pursue better communications with MassDOT on the effects of rail bridge abutments and regarding their outfall maintenance plan.

In making these recommendations, this cohort generated an array of potential actions that related back to the identified top priority hazards and how they impact Middleborough's infrastructure, environment, and society. A complete list of actions generated by the groups, along with their prioritization (high, medium, low) and time-frame (short-term, long-term, or ongoing) can be found in *Appendix D*.

Citation

Town of Middleborough (2020) Community Resilience Building Workshop Summary of Findings. Resilient Taunton Watershed Network. Middleborough, MA

Acknowledgements

The Middleborough Core Team and Facilitation Team would like to thank the following for their contributions to the MVP Workshop process: the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, EEA, Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Program for their funding support for these workshops, and; all of those who participated in the workshops and contributed to the plan resulting from these workshops. **Appendix A** lists all the participants that attended both workshops and their respective affiliations with the town. Most participants were present for both sessions; however, some on the list were only available to attend one workshop or the other.

Appendices B, C & D show different methods of recording the same vulnerabilities and strengths named by workshop participants through mapping and prioritized lists. Two groups recorded infrastructural, environmental, and societal features in Middleborough and the hazard(s) to which they relate. Each feature category (infrastructure, environment, society) was documented on a separate matrix (see Appendices C and D for complete lists). On these short lists, or matrices, action items were identified corresponding to each feature that was named. Each action was then assigned a high, medium, or low priority value and expected short-term, long-term, or ongoing time frame to complete.

To account for spatial relationships between features, participants simultaneously placed points on a map that corresponded to items they named on the different matrices (Appendix B). Items on the map are numbered to correspond to the written list, but do not represent prioritization or associated action(s).

Appendix A: CRB Workshop Participants

Name	Affiliation
Leeann Bradley	Town Planner
Patricia Cassady	Conservation Agent
Carolyn Gravelin	Commission on Disability
Joe Mandile	Planning Department
Arthur Battistini	Historical Commission / Board of Selectmen
Jack Healy	Middleborough Gas and Electric, Planning, Zoning
Dody Adkins-Perry	Sustainable Middleborough
Dave Cavanaugh	Herring Commission
Randy Gagne	Library
Kimberly French	Sustainable Middleborough
Chris Peck	DPW
Michael Bumpus	Middleborough Water
Louise Dery-Wells	Herring Commission
Andrew Sukeforth	Town Manager's Office
Sandy Richter	Middleborough Gas and Electric
Robert Buker	Health Department
Courtney Rocha	MVP Program
Meg Riley	Soule Homestead
Rich Johnson	Amory Engineers for Water Dept.
Jeff Stevens	Green Energy Committee
Scott MacFaden	Wildlands Trust

Appendix B: Strengths and Vulnerabilities Map

Map of Middleborough, Group 1. Red dots indicate infrastructural features, green dots indicate environmental features, and blue dots indicate societal features.



Map of Middleborough, Group 2. Red dots indicate infrastructural features, green dots indicate environmental features, and yellow dots indicate societal features.



Appendix C: Matrix Photographs

Group 1

Infrastructure

Community Resilience Buildi	ng Risk Ma	trix		P		www	.CommunityResilier	nceBuilding	.com
H-M-L priority for action over the Short o	or Long term (an	d Ongoing)		Top Priority Hazards	tornado, floods, wild	lfires, earthquake, dr	ought, sea level rise, h		
\underline{V} = Vulnerability \underline{S} = Strength		0 01		FLOOD	STORM/	DROUGHT/	VECTOR BORNE	Priority	Time
Features	Location	Ownership	V or S	rout	WIND	TEMP.	DISEASE	<u>нм</u>	Short Lor Ongoing
Infrastructural				betty states	contand with.	theed a high water +	ablar =		Queonie
Electrical System Disruption	Tournwide	Public GAF	SN	the second se		ty to self-gen		H	0
. Illegal Sump Pomps c.	Townwide	VARIOUS	V (WWITE)	Finid out where	it is empired	ask trans	loce / valuerability		
. Curvert system a.	Townwide	Town/DOT	V/S	Tarmavida Car	the coming from	n; look at year,	de actornatives	ML	0
. Rail bridge abutments	Townwide	DOT-*	V/S	An interest	CION / assessment	stady of our a	uluerts/dramage	m	0
Bascule Dam at Warehom St.	A MARKEN AND A MARKEN A	Town		Conduct on on	pessment of DOT	stractares on o	a ratard 10000100	2 H	5
. Seven pipes in Town Center	11		V	Do a geosibill	ty sotudy and al	ternatives appares	ment for removal o	retards in	VL
		Town	V	Do a video/an	essmunt of the .	pipes in the Too	n Center	H	5/0
Copacity of catch bacins in Down		Town	V	Compose the	capacity & the	Complete Stree	to plan and	m	D
. Where can we put the stormwater	Townwide	Varorio	V	Program will	the MVP prace	ame 's childre by u	huse notice board are	111	0
Braywater recapture (Library)	u u	Town		1 Loc Ale libraria	to pilot the to	ATTES COULTY TO AD	hugo hanne baad al		0
Alternative Stormwater treatment	H u	Town	V	lack at actual	board infittati	chrocosy		H	5/0
(Summer St. aua)				roup an many	baller inginitian	on strage		m	0
Discharge point of the WATP	Nemacket R.	Town	vls	1 the at the	den han A				
Storm impacts on cultural and		1	1/5	LOOK & THE A	decurations for	10 cation/elunt	on of pipe	L	0
-historical assets (Pratt Farm, Olive	Hum	1		Hon on man	reserved of our	caltural/histo	Vail Knolscope	m	4
Annulated any sching as has	Property and and and	Town	V/s	nome nature b	aced practices to	limit creaerable	44		
Ensulated power wind one leas	Townwide	GKE	S						
Planning Board is asking homesumana	11	Various	S	Million and C	an or				
to plant 1000 - height thas hew = 10	street tices								
Road design, raised road beds- drainage	u	V	VIS	Sec 7 4 8	a hours o				(and a
Lack of ability for quality control	Tenonwide	VariooD	VIS	the second s					
on 40 83 **		000000	13	LOOK of Zonin	: Weigh impacts	to our Green tong	astructure . losk a	+ H	5/0
Mass DOT outfall maintenance	"			efficient affind	able housing d	ogn/types (w	constines	1.00	
(schedule & completion dates?)	"	DOT	V/s	Project coord	inclusion in 10	cal and state	Acade the	H	0
(and a mpression and S.)				na and series and	nature based as	- Singl	propuls 18	FI	0

* BO RA and drone ** Look at bumping state regularings father information we're seehing

Environment

H	\underline{M} - \underline{L} priority for action over the Short or I	Long term (and	d Ongoing)		Top Priority Hazards (tornado, floods, wild	fires earthqueles d	CommunityResilien	ceBuilding.	com
1000	= Vulnerability S = Strength		a onBound)		4	, is a start of the start of th	ines, eartiquake, drot	ight, sea level rise, h	eat wave, et Priority	c.) Time
	vironmental	Location	Ownership	V or S	1				HML	Short Lon
-	ACEC doisnotion for the Assawompset	all tourso							n m e	Ongoing
7	ands Watershed w/a Management Plan	in the Watershed	Various	V/s	Look at this a	ption as post of	the Assawompat	Forde Study	H	S
~	led more resources gos stormwater	Townwide	Varioso	V	<u></u>					
m	enitoring on rivers, structures and	1 of other holders	Variabo	V	Filet study a	in the Roots 28	sies and the ne	as Stormwidter	H	S
-	Siltation and invacine vegetation	w ·	4			with Group of	the second se		-	
	leed a wotlando protection bylaw			V.			upper fonds sta		H	0
	hat reference climate resiliency.	11	Town	V.	Look at the a	cotion of a rega	mally consistent	t wetlanda	H/m	0
-		. 11			protection by	aw for the water	shed communities	(APC pilot)		
_	cament development patterns		Various	V	Educate on al	ternations to try	ditional dwelopna	nt patterns	H	0
2	letter management of ticks a masgurton	a; 11	Varioio	V	DPH should be	more prosective -	than reactive - rea	muceo to trome -	eteratine"	
	snagement of worsening groundwater ,	issues "	ŀ .	V	Topic of restor	el discupsion	(APC)		m	1
n	e have protected a lot of volumable	. 11	11	Si		Contraction in			HM	40
10	nd - but there is more to do			and the second	I had a me	State of the second second	cond-the	Healthy Sols	11/11	40
R	tention of our apr. soils and	"	u	SIU	The solutions	its the State	Food Secrety Plan		H	5/0
PI	oductive afr. land			-/0			e; be a "food cide			
P	purpose / restore cramberry, frest & agr. d to help promote resiliency	. 11	"	V			da their restrat		m	L
F	nd to help promote resultioned with Removal Bylaw meads work (stake should also look at Title 5/structural fell	. 11	Town	V			and "willy's" to pres		H	S
-	should also look at Title 5/structural feel	Nemasket R.	the second second	V		when the APC.			H	50
100	thress the chronic needs of the Nemosler a improve on finding and brodinessing paress what is going antreated in our			V			i efforts to hel	- with the	1	1/0
R	when is going white and in our	Townwide	Various			soco neto our un		p inter the		170
in	prostel (white and septic) and is going or groundwater, and and streams		and and and a					u diction.	m	5/0
0	worss problems at brownfuld sites	Townwide	Varioùo	V	Look of white we	kela prownighted a	ceasspirate and rea			175
an	d how they increase our vulnuability									
			Martin Street	-	and the second second	Contraction of the local division of the loc	and the second second		1	-

Society

				Top Priority	Hazards (to	rnado, floods, wild	fires, earthquake, drou	ight, sea level rise	Priority	Time
<u>H-M-L</u> priority for action over the Sho <u>V</u> = Vulnerability S = Strength	rt or Long term	(and Ongoing)							HML	Short Lor Ongoing
Features	Locatio	n Owners	hip Vor S	5					-	
Societal		-		-			(104)	1.1. 1+	H	0
Look at Zoning and housing st	ock Townwid	e Variou	oV	See 1	rograchuc	tale (developmind	pottones & 40Bis)	TOOK W	11	-
needed to accommodate elderly unemable populations	and	1.111		Commu	mity our	ed housing as w	ell		-	+
Looming problem of availability	ter 11	Town/G	EV	Edora	tion on	atternatives an	d Super - efficience	21" in the	m	0
Loomens propieri of assance in		10			me where	ties as we tr	mortion	•		-
of Goo & Electric service un coming years.		-		uce of	our acce	100.10			m	0
Deap hulan dive to see wo	at		- 1	Masi	Auduko	n Model				T
We do and how we do if in rel	aution II	Town	n VIs							
to being climate ready /resilien	rt								Н	0
How do we deal with population	and II		V/s	· (00	, develop	ment patterns a	40 B discussionie)			
	and 11		V		#1 abs	ve)			m	0
papoive design - how we use our	1450000005									-
paper we design - non were an	10	, pi	SA	1 0.	advista	echarts with .	the LEPC and	e Hogard	m/L	. 0
. We need to look at our over	see 11	"	>/(1 040	Linkin	Plan (and M	INP			
Emergency purporedness		and the second		////	FIGOTION	Flan (over 10	an mai tarne	101	H	0
. Davelop a sustairable you	od u	u	V	Look	e of the	precedo of our of	rogrames in terms	12		-
and water supply	The state	Contraction of the local division of the loc		educ	ational r	pources needed			m	0
Need more public education	on "	11	V	1		Þ	в	-	- 11	10
resiliency - also in our school	15				Section.			-		
resilvency - also on our schol	010	1	V	Min	o mobilit	y optiono for-	non-street vehicl	es (part of	H/r	n s/
7. Need more alternative tran	spontation			11100	the Chart	to low cubont	no carbon alternativ	io; sup's		
options .	and the second	-	122	Comp	and Street	G) Int courty	100 11 0 1	4	m/	10
. Need a "good neighbor "			the second	1001	e at #6	above/upos	sible grath anyol	sprent		
network to check on elderly.	, nulmable,	h	V				1010			1
and each other				he	soones u	acaused from	W.P. (work	BWHL	L	L
11. Look at vulnorable / sepetit		11		1 1	. Notar	e Concervang i	on this)		1000	

Group 2

Infrastructure

	Community Resilience Buildir \underline{H} - <u>Upriority for action over the Short or</u> \underline{Y} = Vulnerability <u>S</u> = Strength Features	s risk Mat	trix 🗨 🖡	4 491						
	Features	Long term (an	d On		(P)					
	Infrastructural	- (un	u Ongoing)		Top Priority Hazards		www	Come		
0	WASSILLA	Location	Owner		FLOODING	tornado, floods, wild	fires and	CommunityResilie	nceBuilding	
-	WARANA ST DAN		Ownership	V or S		SIOKAS + WIND	DRouces	ought, sea level rice		-com
0	PLYMOUTH ST. BRIDGE	49 WERSHAIL	TOkel				TENP	VECTOR	Priority	ttc.)
3	TROMUS ST. CULVERT	OH MAP		V+S	REMOVAL			ILLNE CO	HML	Short Long
	ST. COLVERT	OH THOMAS	STATE	V	REMOVAL W RESEADING REPLACE BRID	ASSOCIATED	C. HI VENT	1000	L	Ongoing
- 4	STONYBROOK DAM	ST.	Trikal	-	REPLACE BRID	SE + WATER LU	E HEVERT UP	BRADESA		
2	NEMASKET	PRIAT FARM	TOM/N	V	LICKT REAL	AFTINE			H	3
	(SILTING RIVER)	MULTIDIE		V	CURRENTLY UND	ER STHOL	CD. WIBOG OWHE	R)	M/L	L
6	W.W. TREPALENT		STATE + RANLROAD	V	CURRENTLY UND STUDY HEEDED	51907:7	DWH MIG. TO	PKK OFTRA	H/M	5
7	ASSAWMAPSETT DATA	EVERIE ST.	TOWN	V	STUDY HEEDED SOLUTION NOT CLE	IS DETTER 4	PERSTAND SOL	MTICHC	H	L
-	(FLOODING & SILT)	POND O ITLET	TAUNTON	V				DAM NEMOURI	M	-
8	LATED I HIS III	WARINA F		a state of the		TH HER	DED		M	0
9		OFF WRITH	TOWN	V	STUDY ALTERHAT	IVE WATER 41	E LOCATION		m/L	0
-	TOWN FUEL PUPPS	AT DART		V	NEW FALICITY A	EEDED			M/L	0
- 19	(NO BACKUP POWER)	FIRE CN. MA	TOWN	V	CONHECT TO FIRE				H	S
11	IREATMENT PLANTY	and the second second	TOWH	-	LINK TO STADY O				н	5
12	SS EAST GROVE	E. GROVE	And the second second	V					H	L
. 2	TREATMENT PLANT (FLOODING)		TOWM	V	LINK TO STUDY O				MAL	0
13	MID. ROTERY	28+49	STATE	V	WORK W/ STATE	TO FUND PLYO	VER		M	0
14	VINE ST. GAS FALLIN	VINE +	TOWH	V	DETTER PROTEC	TION OF PACIN	UTY		M/H	L
The second	VERNON ST. USRIGGE	Poguoy BK	TOWM	V	REPLACEMENT	NEEDED			MIH	L
15	(FLCCDING)		The second second second	V.	LINK TO WHREH	AN DAN STUDY	1		L	0
16	OLD GAE POWER PLANT	WAREALL ST.	TOWM	V	SITE MAY BE DEHAND MGT.	REDEVELOPED	1		MIL	L
	(FLOOD) TOWN WELLS	MULTIPLE	TOWN	V					MIL	L
17	VERNON ST. BRIDGE (2)	THUNKON R.	TOWH	V	REPLACEMENT	F			#	0
18	(FLOOD)			V	FOLLOW-UP ST	NDY MEEDED	1		MIL	0
	PACKLAND IND.	PLYMOUTH ST.	PRIVATE	V+5	AUDIT NEEDE	, EST. CAPACI	TH, RECYCLING, F	MB. ED.		-
19	ROCKLAND IND. (HAZ. WASTE)	PLYMPTON ST	TOWN/PRI				1			0
20	LANDFILL	E. HESTHUT	PREZ	V	RELOCHNOH OF	REMANING RES	TEHITS		M/L	
-	WOODS POND DATA (FLOODING)	ST. PLYMOUTH	C the	V		and the second s			M	0
21	WOODS FORD PAR (ELCED)	ST	STATE OWNE	V	REPLACE BYLAW UPDI			2	1	0
22	WOLOSKI PARK (FLOOD)	PLY. ST.	PIKTTOWN	1	BULLEW UPDI	HE / UPDATE	11.00		-	
	STHORY POND CULVERT	PLAN 57.	PRI	V	TRAPPIC STI	NDY / UPGRADE	2		M	0
23	STUCKY - (ELOOD)	PLAN SI.	TOWH	V	TRAPPIC SI					Ø
	OAK POINT (FLOOD)	~	Town		MITORING	MEEDED	~ 10	WM FACILITIE	; п	0
24	PLAN ST. +105 (TRAFFIC SAFE)	Л	MIX	V	pontesser	WE FOR IMP	UPDATE .	ALES	H	0
25		MULT.			SEEK FUMD	HEEDED MG FOR INF OTHERMAL, IN	CREASE RENTS	W	6	
	BROWN FIELDS	MULT	To wn	Vis	STUDY GE	OTHERMAL, IN	and the second se			
26	ENERGY EPHILIENCY	MULT	Taut	3	Juli	ALL STREET				
27	TOWN FOCUS ON REDUCING COZ EMISSICHS	INCH DI								

Environment

a shift of the second s		TETIONS			THER P	LAND + ZOMINO	(1200-2)		
D PLANNING DOC + BYL D COMBINED OPEN SPAC Community Resilience Buildi	ng Dick Ma		-	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		1	UPDATE)		
			44			and the second se	and the second		
<u>H-M-L</u> priority for action over the Short o \underline{V} = Vulnerability \underline{S} = Strength	- 1					www	.CommunityResilie	nceBuilding	
\underline{V} = Vulnerability \underline{S} = Strength	r Long term (an	nd Ongoing)	•	Top Priority Hazards	(tornado, floods, wild	fires earthquale		anding	Lom
Features	Location			Floogen	Drought +	STORMS	ught, sea level rise, h	neat wave, e	tc.)
Environmental	Location	Ownership	V or S		High TEMPS	DUIW .	NECTOR DIRECT	Priority	Time
(POWER BLOWDOWN	ACROSS .		1.		n.h.	WIND	Q. Q.	ΗMΓ	Short Long
(ROWER LOSS FOR THE PARTY)	LOCILIN	MIX	V	ADDITICHAL TOW	H ENHOLES				Dugoing
LUCKT GUTTED & GENER	MULTIPLE	MIX	V	FOREST MAT. PLA	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	EDED		M	0
	MULTIPLE	MIX :	-					M	-
FROTECTED OPEN COL		TALK .	V	PILOT IN PEDALO					0
(FLOOD STORAGE) WELLHEAD PROT. AREAS	MULT.	MIX .	5	FUND LAND PR	TECTION / CON			H/M	0
	MULT.	MIX	Ves	APPLICATION OF E	Alexander / Statis	T.D.K. 4 KENSE	OPEN SPACE SU	BRIVISKA	BYLAW
OPEN SPACE US DEVELOPMENT	MULT.	MIX	V		ISTING BYLAN			M	0
POTENTIAL CONS. LAND ON	PLY. ST.		-		GLAC -			7	
CHABERLAND FARMS	E. OF BEDFOR	PRE.	3	DEV. ST.RAT.	/IMP. OPEN SP	A EL PLAN / UPDA	E MACHTO P		
LAND PURCHASE	THOMPSON ST.	PRI	5			CCI AFI/II MI	E MOTER PLA	1 14	0
NG. PRESERVATION	MULT.	MX	5						-
POMB MGT. PLAN	ACRIES		-	-				7	
	APC	MIX.	5	DEV. AND IMP				H	0
BOG COMVERSOM (SOLAR)	MARTIPLE	PR1.	V					L	0
SOLAR VS. AG. LAND	MULT.	PRI.	V						
RED PINE	POND	MIX	V			Contraction in the			0
(FIRE HAZARD) (DISEASE) C.C. IMPACTS ON CRAMBERRY OPS,	COMPLEX		1000			and the second	and the Property of	M	
C.C. THENELIS ON CICHIBERRY OPS,	MWCT.	PRI	V	LINKS TO OPEN	SPIKE PROT.			M	d
HERENYS RUN C.C. IMPACTS	MILT.	XIAN	V	LINKS TO REMOV	AL OF OTHER ST	RESSORS (SILT/PA	HAS/ETC.)	H/M	0
ENTHINGE PLUMATOR HABITAT	MULT	MIX	S+V	PUB. ED., SEED	DIST. , USE OF TO	WH LAND		M/L	5
	TOWHILIDE		Steelle	PROACTIVE MGT.,	BAT BOXES, PUR.	ED J.P.M.		4	0
HOVERSE INPACTS OF INSECT SPRAVING	10 WHO IDE	STATE/PRI	V		A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OFTA CONTRACTOR O			H	115
INSECT SPRAYING DYLAW	MILLT.	TOWN .	V	ADOPT WETLAND	BILAW				0
	MULT.	MIX	V	ADDRESS OTHER	STRESSORS			L	-
WILPLIFE IMPACTS			V	L.N. PLANHING/	BYLAW UPDAT	/ PAB. ED.		M	0
WHER QUALITY	MULT.	MIX		L					
WA	MULT.	MIX	V			LALDON		M	0
DROWNFIENDS TINVATE WELLS	MULT.	PRI.	V	PUB. ED. OH	TESTING W.Q.	/ RHOOM		M	0

Society

H-M-L priority for action over the Short o	r Long term (ar	d Ongoing)		Top Phoney Hazards	(tornado, noods, wild	nres, earthquake, un	ought, sea level rise, h	Priority	Ti
<u>V</u> = Vulnerability <u>S</u> = Strength Features	Location	Ownership	V or S			and and the second		HML	<u>Sho</u>
Societal			1.0.0						0
POPULATION GROWTH	MULT.	MIX	++5	L.U. MGT/MASI	ER PLAN UPDATE	STILLY DEVICA	APHIC CHANGE	H	
HEED FOR LOW/MOD. INCOME HOUSING	MULT	MIX	V	ZONING UPDA			on the other pe	14	1
SCHOOL CAPICITY	MULT.	TOWH	V		177 02 12		P. C. BRIDE	- CTX	1
ELPERLY SERVICES	MULT.	MIX	Vas	WHHDHIH + IH	PROVE SERVIC	ES		MAX H	0
(CONLING + BEATING)	MULT.	TOWH	SAV	PUB. INFO / EDU	CATION			M	0
STAFFINS FOR ENG. RESPONSE	MULT.	TOWN	V	INCREASE FU	DING	-		H	0
PUBLIC EDUCATION (C.C. IMPACTS)	MULT.	TOWAL	V+S	DEV. ED. MATE	RIALS	Contraction of the	The second s	H	
COMMUNICATIONS IMPROVEMENTS	TOWNWIDE	TOWH	145	ENHANCE USE	OF TOWH WER	SITE			-
FINANCE	422	TOWN	V	NEED TO FUND	DENTFIED PRO	PUBLIC		H	1
LIVESTOCK +	MULT.	MIX	V	STADY EVAC. O	PTIONS AND S				C
PETS	MULT	MIK	V	~1	TONS AND SI	TELIER OPTIM		MM	(
and the second states and the second states and the	and the second	-						+	1
and the second s		Contraction of the	10000			0.	1000		
the state of the second	1000	and the second	Share and					2 20	
		Contraction of the	1000		-				
The second se		all the	-						
and the second se	and the second s	-	And and and and	Contraction of the second	at the second			100	
	C. Briter				A Danie	and the second			
	and the second s	and the second	-	and the second					
		and the second		The lot of					
		and the second	a series		The second second			-	-
and the second	and and the		and the second second	The second second second		a subscription of the		and a second second	

Appendix D: Digitized Matrices – Group 1 followed by Group 2

					-		
Action ID (no order)	Features	Location	<u>Ownership</u>	<u>V or S</u>	Drought + Flooding High Storms + Wind Vector Borne Temps Disease Disease	<u>Priority</u>	<u>Time</u>
			<u>Infrastructural</u>	<u>uctural</u>		<u>HML (high, med, low)</u>	<u>SLO (short,</u> long, ongoing)
Ч	Electrical system disruption / also gas disruption due to flash freeze w/ groundwater fluctuation	Townwide	Public/G+E	S/V	We have to improve our ability to self-generate/reduce vulnerability; better storage; contend with trees & high water tables	т	0
2*	Illegal sump pumps	Townwide	various	V (WWTP)	Find out where it is coming from; look at feasible alternatives	M/L	0
3*	Culvert system	Townwide	Town/DOT	S/A	Townwide location/assessment study of our culverts/drainage	М	0
4	Rail bridge abutments	Townwide	DOT*	S/A	Conduct an assessment of DOT structures on our natural resources	т	S
л *	Bascule dam at Wareham St.	Wareham St.	Town	<	Do a feasibility study and alternatives assessment for removal or restoration	M/L	
6*	Sewer pipes in Town Center	Townwide	Town	<	Do a video/assessment of the pipes in the Town Center	т	S/0
7*	Capacity of catch basins in Downtown	Townwide	Town	<	Combine the capacity of the Complete Streets Plan and Program with the MVP program's ability to address	2	0
∞	Where can we put the stormwater	Townwide	various	<		2	0
9*	Graywater recapture (library)	Townwide	Town		Use the library to pilot the technology	т	S/0
10*	Alternative stormwater treatment (Summer St. area)	Townwide	Town	<	Look at nature based infilitration/storage	Σ	0
11	Discharge point of the WWTP	Nemasket R.	Town	S/A	Look at the alternatives for location/elevation of pipe	-	0

	Storm impacts on				Plan for management of our cultural/historical		
12	cultural and historical assets (Pratt Farm, Oliver House)		Town	V/S	landscapes using nature based practices to limit vulnerability	Σ	F
13	Insulated power lines are	Townwide	G+E	S			
Ľ	less vulnerable		ſ	C			
	Planning Board is asking						
7	homeowners to plant	Toursuido		n			
L t	low-height trees/new		various	Ċ			
	regs no street trees						
	Road design, raised road						
15	beds - drainage is an	Townwide	various	S/A	See 7&8 above		
	issue						
					Look at zoning; weigh impacts to our Green		
16*	Lack of ability for quality control on 40Bs**	Townwide	various	S/A	design/types (incentives). **Look at advocating for	т	0/S
					changes to state requirements for the improvements		
	Mass DOT outfall				c		
17	maintenance (schedule + Townwide	Townwide	DOT	S/A	Project coordination on local and state roads to	T	0
	completion dates?)				maximize our nature based approach		

8	7*	6	5	4	3*	Ν	1*		<u>Action ID</u> (no order)
We have protected a lot of valuable land - but there is more to do	Management of worsening groundwater issues	Better management of ticks & mosquitoes; tree related pests	Current development patterns	Need a wetlands protection bylaw that references climate resiliency	Siltation and invasive vegetation	Need more resources for stormwater monitoring on rivers, streams, and waterbodies	ACEC designation for the Assawompset Ponds Watershed w/ a management plan		Features
=	=	=	=	=	=	Townwide	all the towns in the watershed		Location
=	=	various	various	Town	Ξ	various	various	<u>Environmental</u>	<u>Ownership</u>
N/S	٨	<	<	<	٨	<	S/A	<u>mental</u>	V or S
	Topic of regional discussion (APC)	DPH should be more proactive than reactive - resources to towns - alternatives*	Educate on alternatives to traditional development patterns	Look at the creation of a regionally consistent wetlands protection bylaw for the watershed communities (APC pilot)	Look at this under the Assawompset Ponds Study	Pilot study in the Route 28 area under the new Stormwater Bylaw/Stormwater Group structure	Look at this option as part of the Assawompset Ponds Study		Drought + Vector Borne High Storms + Wind Disease Temps Disease Disease
	M	rces H	Н	PC H/M	н	т	ls Н	HML (high, <u>med, low)</u>	<u>Priority</u>
	L	s/o	0	0	0	S	S	, <u>SLO (short,</u> long, ongoing)	<u>Time</u>

14	13	12*	11	10	9
Address problems at brownfield sites and how they increase our vulnerability	Address what is going untreated in our wastewater (WWTP and septic) and is going into our groundwater, rivers and streams	Address the chronic needs of the Nemasket and impacts on fishery and biodiversity *consideration of pollinators and pollinator habitat	Earth removal bylaw needs work (state should also look at Title 5/structural fill)	Repurpose/restore cranberry, forest & agr. land to help promote resiliency	Retention of our agr. soils and productive agr. Land
Townwide	Townwide	Nemasket R.	=	=	=
various	various		Town	=	=
<	<	<	<	<	V/S
Look at where we need brownfield assessments and remediation	Increase our public education efforts to help limit the amount of what goes into our wastewater	Look at this under the APC study	Better controls on the "how's" and "why's" to preserve our assets	Work w/ MDAR and DER under their restoration programs	Tie solutions with the State Food Security Plan and the Healthy Soils Action Plan and alternatives for farming "with" climate change; be a "food island"; encourage biodiversity
Μ	L L	н	н	M	т
s/o	L/O	s/0	S	F	S/O

œ	7	б	ഗ	4	ω	2	1 *		Action ID (no order)
Need more public education on resiliency - also in our schools	Develop a sustainable food and water supply	We need to look at our overall emergency preparedness	Promote energy efficiency and passive design - how we use our resources	How do we deal with population growth	Deep bylaw dive to see what we do and how we do it in relation to being climate ready/resilient	Looming problem of availiability of Gas + Electric service in coming years	Look at zoning and housing stock needed to accomodate elderly and vulnerable populations		Features
=	=	=	=	=	=	=	Townwide		<u>Location</u>
=	-	=			Town	Town/G+E	various	<u>Societal</u>	<u>Ownership</u>
<	<	S/V	<	S/A	S/A	<	<	<u>etal</u>	<u>V or S</u>
=	Look at the needs of our programs in terms of educational resources needed	Coordinate efforts with the LEPC and Hazard Mitigation Plan (and MVP)	see #1 above)	see development patterns & 40B discussions)	Mass Audubon model	Education on alternatives and "super-efficiency" in the use of our utilities as we transition	See Infrastructure (development patterns & 40Bs) - look at community owned housing as well		Drought + High Storms + Wind Vector Borne Temps Temps Disease
Z	Н	M/L	ß	т	Μ	ß	т	<u>HML (high, med, low)</u>	<u>Priority</u>
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u>SLO (short,</u> long, ongoing)	<u>Time</u>

11	10	9
Look at vulnerable/repetitive loss properties & options	Need a "good neighbor" network to check on elderly, vulnerable, and each other	Need more alternative transportation options
=	=	=
<	<	<
Lessons learned from W.P (working with The Nature Conservancy on this)	Look at #6 above/possible youth employment	Micro mobility options for non-street vehicles (part of Complete Streets); low carbon/no carbon alternatives; SUPs
F	M/L	H/M
F	0	s/o

13	12	11	10*	\$ *	œ	7	6	л	4*	ω *	2*	1*		Action ID (no order)
Mid. rotary	SS East Grove Treatment Plant (flooding)	Treatment plant + well field (flooding)	Town fuel pumps (no backup power)	Comm. tower backup power (age)	Wareham St. water line crossing	Assawompset Dam (flooding + silt)	W.W. treatment (flooding)	Nemasket River Crossings (silting river)	Stonybrook Dam	Thomas St. Culvert	Plymouth St. Bridge	Wareham St. Dam		Features
28+44	E. Grove	E. Main St.	Fire Station (N. Main)	Off Wareham St, Barton Hill	Wareham + East Grove	Pond outlet	Everett St.	Multiple	Pratt Farm	on Thomas St.	On map (Nemasket River)	49 Wareham		<u>Location</u>
State	Town	Town	Town	Multiple	Town	Taunton	Town	State & Railroad	Town	Town	Town/State	Town	Infrastructura	Ownership
<	<	<	<	<	<	<	<	<	<	<	<	S+A	<u>uctural</u>	<u>V or S</u>
Work w/ state to fund flyover	Link to study of Wareham Dam	Link to study of Stonybrook Dam	Connect to fire station gen.	New facility needed	Study alternative water line location	Pond management plan needed	Solution not clear, link to study of Wareham Dam removal	Study needed to better understand solutions	Currently under study: Town Mtf to pick option	Culvert replacement (coord. w/ bog owner)	Replace bridge + water line	Removal w/ associated culvert upgrades + regrading		Drought + FloodingDrought + HighStorms + WindVector BorneTempsTempsDisease
٤	M/L	Н	н	н	M/L	M/L	м	R	Н	H/M	M/L	т	<u>HML (high, med, low)</u>	<u>Priority</u>
0	0	L	S	S	0	0	0	L	L	S	L	ر.	<u>SLO (short,</u> long, ongoing)	<u>Time</u>

					0 .,		
14	Vine St. gas facility	Vine + Center	Town	<	Better protection of facility	M/H	F
15	Vernon St. bridge (flooding)	Poquoy Bk	Town	<	Replacement needed	M/H	-
16	Old G+E power plant (flood)	Wareham St.	Town	<	Link to Wareham Dam study; site may be redeveloped	-	0
17	Town wells (drought)	Multiple	Town	<	Demand mgt., study new sites	M/L	-
18	Vernon St. bridge(s)(flood)	Taunton R.	Town	<	Replacement	M/L	F
19	Rockland Ind. (haz. waste)	Plymouth St.	Private	<	Follow-up study needed	т	0
20	Landfill	207 Plympton St.	Town/pri	S+A	Audit needed, est. capacity, recycling, pub. ed.	M/L	0
21	Woods Pond Dam (flooding)	Chestnut St.	Private?	<			
22	Woloski Park (flood) Plymouth St.	Plymouth St.	F+W State owned	<	Relocation of remaining residents	Z	0
23	Savery Pond culvert	Ply. St.	Town	<	Replace	M/L	-
24	Oak Point (flood)	Plain St.	Pri.	<	Bylaw update/update infrastructure	М	0
25	Plain St. + 105 (traffic study)		Town	<	Traffic study/upgrade	۲	0
26	Brownfields	Multiple	Mix	<	Monitoring needed	м	0
27*	Energy efficiency	Multiple	Town	V&S	Seek funding for imp./update town facilities	Н	0
28*	Town focus on reducing CO2 emissions	Multiple	Town	S	Study geothermal, increase renewables	т	ο

13	12	11	10	*6	8*	7*	6*	л *	4 *	3*	2	1		Action ID (no order)
Red Pine (fire hazard) (disease)	Solar vs. ag. land	Bog conversion (solar)	Pond mgt. plan	Ag. preservation	Cumberland Farms land purchase	Potential cons. land on Taunton River	Open space vs development	Wellhead prot. areas	Protected open space (flood storage)	Nem. River silt + blockage (inv. veg.)	Fire management (Rocky Gutter + other open space)	Tree blowdown (power loss)		<u>Features</u>
Pond complex	Multiple	Multiple	APC	Multiple	Thompson St.	Ply. St. E. of Bedford	Multiple	Multiple	Multiple	Multiple	Multiple	Across locality		<u>Location</u>
Mix	Pri.	Pri.	Mix	Mix	Pri.	Pri.	Mix	Mix	Mix	Mix	Mix	Mix	<u>Environmental</u>	Ownership
<	<	<	S	S	S	S	<	V+S	S	<	<	<	<u>mental</u>	<u>V or S</u>
			Dev. and imp.			Dev. strat./imp. Open Space Plan/update Master Plan		Application of existing bylaw	Fund land protection/study T.D.R. + reuse open space subdivision bylaw	River/pond study; pilot in permitting	Forest mgt. plan needed; coord. w/ state	Additional town funding needed		Drought + High Storms + Wind Vector Borne Temps Temps Disease
Z		L	т			Н		R	Н	H/M	Μ	R	<u>HML (high, med, low)</u>	<u>Priority</u>
0		0	0			0		0	0	0	0	0	<u>SLO (short,</u> long, ongoing)	<u>Time</u>

23	22	21	20*	19	18*	17	16	15	14
Cold water streams	Private wells	Brownfields	Water quality	Wildlife impacts	Lack of wetland bylaw	Adverse impacts of insect spraying	Enhance pollinator habitat	Herring Run C.C. impacts	C.C. impacts on cranberry ops
Multiple	Multiple	Multiple	Multiple	Multiple	Multiple	Townwide	Multiple	Multiple	Multiple
Mult.	Pri.	Mix	Mix	Mix	Town	State/pri	Mix	Mix	Pri.
<	<	<	<	<	<	<	S+V	<	<
Links to pond/river study/WMA	Pub. ed. on testing W.Q./Radon		L.U. planning/bylaw update/pub. ed.	Address other stressors	Adopt wetlands bylaw	Proactive mgt., bat boxes, pub. ed., I.P.M.	Pub. ed., seed dist., use of town land	Links to removal of other stressors (silt/dams/etc.)	Links to open space prot.
M	М		М	-	Н	Н	M/L	H/M	M
0	0		0	0	S	0	S	0	0

б	σ	4*	ω	2*	1*		<u>Action ID</u> (no order)
Staffing for emg. response (snow plow)	Shelters (cooling + heating)	Elderly services	School capacity	Need for low/mod. income housing	Population growth		<u>Features</u>
Multiple	Multiple	Multiple	Multiple	Multiple	Multiple		Location
Town	Town	Mix	Town	Mix	Mix	<u>Societal</u>	<u>Ownership</u>
<	S+V	V+S	<	<	V+S	<u>etal</u>	<u>V or S</u>
Increase funding	Pub. info/education	Maintain + improve sei		Zoning update	L.U. mgt/ma		Flooding
ding	lucation	mprove ser		ite	ıster plan u		<u>Drought +</u> <u>High</u> T <u>emps</u>
		rvices			pdate/study den		<u>Storms + Wind</u>
					L.U. mgt/master plan update/study demographic change		<u>Vector Borne</u> <u>Disease</u>
т	Z	т		H/M	Н	<u>HML (high,</u> med, low)	<u>Priority</u>
0	0	0		0	0	HML (high, SLO (short, med, low) long, ongoing)	<u>Time</u>

	-				_		
7*	Public education	Multinle	Town	V+V	V+C Dev ed materials	T	^
,	(C.C. impacts)	iviulupie		V T J		=	Ĺ
Q *	Communications	Townwide	Town	N+C	Enhance lice of town	E	0
с	improvements			v T U	בוווומווכב מזב טו נטאוו איבמזונב, מבףני וובמת ווונקי/ איווטףזוז	=	Ĺ
6	Finance	All	Town	<	Need to fund identified projects	Μ	0
10	Livestock - shelter need	Mult.	Mix	<	Study evac. options and shelter options	R	0
11	Pets - shelter need Mult	Mult	Mix	<	п	т	0