DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD

MINUTES OF THE 1031ST MEETING, WEDNESDAY JANUARY 19, 2022 AT 8:35 a.m., VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:35 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Elise F. Woodward, AIA, Chair Registered Architect David A. Chappell, P.E., V-Chair Registered Engineer Khalil Mogassabi, AIA Registered Architect

Alan Ricks, AIA, Registered Architect (joined at 9:00 a.m.)

Martha Blakey Smith, AIA Registered Architect (left at 10:15 a.m.)

Ilyas Bhatti, P.E. Registered Engineer
Daniel M. Carson, P.E. Registered Engineer
Maureen Sakakeeny, P.E. Registered Engineer
David Capaldo General Contractor
Kathleen B. Colwell Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Janice Bergeron Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Claire G. Hester, Program Coordinator III and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1030th January 5, 2022 meeting was made by Ilyas Bhatti, seconded David Capaldo. Motion was approved.

3. <u>VISITORS:</u>

Amy Winter	DCAMM
Elayne Campos	DCAMM
Karen Jason	Bridgewater State University
Barbara Masaitis	Bridgewater State University
Jennifer Shelby	Architectural Engineers
Katie Ferrier	Arrowstreet
Kelsey Lyons	R.W. Sullivan
Kari Scullin	Perkins Will
Peter Turowski	Turowski2 Architecture
Nancy Banks	B2Q Associates
David Damon	Perkins Will
Aaron Shenette	Gensler
Paola Munoz	FM Architecture
Karen Reichenbacher	STV
Joel P.	Shepley Bulfinch
Ashley Horan	TSKP Študio
Molly Moore	MDS/Miller Dyer Spears
Mark Freeman	Perry Dean Rogers
Samantha Espinoza	Samantha Espinoza
Kerry Duran	Perkins Will
Susan Wisler	ARC Engineers
Susan Elmore	Cambridge Seven
Celeste Soares	Turowski2 Architecture
Jess Bell	FM Architecture
Andrew Grote	Perkins Will
Pamela Perini	Pamela Perini Consulting
Debi McDonald	Jacobs
Rick Jones	Jones Architecture
Michael Camoscio	STV
Kelsey Lyons	R.W. Sullivan
Steve Karan	BER Engineering
Erica Patten	Turowski2 Architecture
Morgan Devlin	Morgan Devlin
Tiffany Wright	LLB Architects
Anna Carrar	Ann Carrar
Tom Murphy	OPM/NV5 – City of a Hill Charter School
Greg Burchard	Jones Architects
Stephanie Beals	TSKP
Robin Greenleaf	ARC Engineers
Marisa Breece Sullivan	Studio G Architects
Sharmila Bail	Shekar

PAGE 2 MINUTES OF THE 1031ST MEETING - WEDNESDAY JANUARY 19, 2022

Kate Zagarenski	STV
J Hughes	BER Engineering
S Davis	Studio 2112
Gabi Cole	B2Q
Monica Carroll	Perry Dean Rogers
Paul Hession	Massachusetts State Police
Arleen Guyan	Crowley Engineering
G Soto	StudioMLA
Lisa Desfosses	I Plus Consulting
Kristina Kashanek	Jones Architects
Michael Lindstrom	StudioMLA

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. DSB List #21-33, BSC2201, Bridgewater State University, Burnell Hall Renovation (DCAMM), ECC: \$27,664,668, Fee for Study is \$550,000, Fee for Schematic Design/Final Design is to be determined, 16 Applicants

Amy Winter and Elayne Campos, both from DCAMM along with the following representatives from Bridgewater State University: Karen Jason and Barbara Masaitis were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following sixteen (16) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

Bergmeyer Associates - This was a strong application. They have provided relevant project experience.

Cambridge Seven – They have relevant higher education experience for this project. This was a strong application. They have highlighted design excellence in the listed projects.

Fennick McCredie Architecture – They provided a strong WBE/MBE representation and a good diversity statement. They had a good Section #5, but the sustainability response was general. This was a good application.

Gensler – This was another good application. The PIC and PM have relevant project experience. There was no specific mention of experience on CM at Risk projects.

GUND Partnership – Their mechanical subconsultant Rist-Frost-Shumway had experience working at Bridgewater State University. They provided a national view of higher education experience.

ICON Architecture – This was a strong application and they have relevant experience in higher education. They provided a mix of diversity in their team.

Jacobs Consultants, Inc. – Their team members in general had 2-4 years of experience with the firm. MBE/WBE requirement was weak.

Jones Architecture, Inc. – Their mechanical subconsultant Rist-Frost-Shumway had experience working at Bridgewater State University. They provided relevant project experience for this project. This was a good application.

Linea 5, Inc. – They provided excellent references and relevant higher education experience. Their diversity statement was not as strong as other firms.

LLB Architects – They provided good client references. They provided good examples of case studies in Section #5, but no information on CM at Risk.

Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc. – The subconsultant team had experience working at Bridgewater State University. They provided relevant project experience. This was a smaller firm and the relevant projects listed were smaller in nature.

MDS/Miller Dyer Spears – This was another strong application. Their mechanical engineer GGD had experience working at Bridgewater State University. They responded to all the criteria required in the advertisement. Section #5 was strong and tailored specifically to the project.

Perkins and Will – This was another strong proposal. They provided the relevant experience for this project. Their team has strong experience with design excellence.

Perry Dean Rogers Partners & Architects – This was another good application. They provided relevant project experience. They have experience working on the Bridgewater State University campus. Section #5 was good.

Shepley Bulfinch Richardson & Abbott – They are also teamed with the mechanical engineer Rist-Frost-Shumway. They provided a good evaluation.

Turowski2 Architecture, Inc. – This firm is teamed with the mechanical engineer GGD. This was another strong application. They provided excellent references and a good Section #5.

PAGE 3 MINUTES OF THE 1031ST MEETING - WEDNESDAY JANUARY 19, 2022

B. Public Comments

No public comment

C. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following three (3) unranked finalists to be interviewed on February 2, 2022:

MDS/Miller Dyer Spears
Perry Dean Rogers Partners & Architects
Turowski2 Architecture, Inc.

Motion was made by Martha Blakey Smith to select the unranked finalists mentioned above for the Bridgewater State University project, seconded by Ilyas Bhatti. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are schematic plans & outline specifications and certifiable building study. It is intended that the continued services (design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract) will be required of the selected Designer's team following completion of the certified study & notification of the Board in accordance with M.G.L. c.7C.

D. DSB List #21-34, MSP MEP21HD1, Massachusetts State Police, Study & Design for Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing & Fire Protection Renovations Repairs & Upgrades, Statewide, Available Aggregate Amount: \$1,500,000, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c7C, §5, for an individual project, Fee: \$500,000 (House Doctor – 3 contracts), 9 Applicants

Paul Hession from Massachusetts State Police was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following nine (9) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

AKAL Engineering, Inc. - There was no specific similar project experience. They did provide a security and detention specialist.

Architectural Engineers, Inc. – They have a current house doctor contract with MSP, but it is coming to an end. This was a good application with relevant experience. They were weak in the MBE/WBE participation.

B2Q Associates, Inc. – This was a strong application. They responded to all criteria listed in the advertisement. They have prior experience with MSP. They provided strong references.

BLW Engineers, Inc. – This was a good application. They provided relevant project experience for this project. Section #5 was good but could have shown more specific project details.

Building Engineering Resources, Inc. – This was another strong application with relevant project experience. They were weak in the MBE/WBE participation.

Fitzemeyer & Tocci Associates, Inc. – This was a well-known firm but did not provide the background in law enforcement experience as other firms.

R.W. Sullivan Engineering – This was another strong application with relevant project experience.

Shekar & Associates, Inc. – This was a very diverse team. They provided relevant project experience and have worked with MSP. This was a good application.

STV, Inc. – This was a good application. They have worked with the MSP. They provided relevant project experience.

E. Public Comments

No public comment

F. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following three (3) unranked finalists for this House Doctor project:

B2Q Associates, Inc. Shekar & Associates, Inc. STV, Inc.

Motion was made by Maureen Sakakeeny to select the unranked finalists mentioned above for the Massachusetts State Police House Doctor project, seconded by David Capaldo. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans and specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration of construction contract.

G. DSB List #21-36, 2021-01, City on a Hill Charter School Renovation & Expansion, 58 Circuit Street, Roxbury, MA 02119, ECC: \$4 million exclusive of soft costs and change order contingency, Fee for Study/Schematic Design and Final Design is to be negotiated, 4 Applicants

Thomas Murphy, OPM from NV5 was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following four (4) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

CSS Architects, Inc. – This firm provided relevant experience for this charter school project. This was a good application. They did provide a PIC and two project managers to meet the availability of the tight schedule for this project.

Jones Architecture, Inc. – This was a good application with relevant project experience for this project. The prime firm resumes are weighted on higher education experience. Charter School experience was highlighted in Section #5.

Michael Lindstrom Associates Architects dba StudioMLA Architects - This was a good application. The team had relevant project experience. Section #5 was strong showing their involvement in the community and how the team will work together.

Moody Nolan – This was a good proposal. There was a disconnect in some of the resumes that did not reflect K-12 experience in Massachusetts.

H. Public Comments

No public comment

Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following three (3) finalists in ranking order for City on a Hill Charter School project:

> Michael Lindstrom Associates Architects dba StudioMLA Architects (21 points) CSS Architects (14 points) Jones Architecture (11 points)

Motion was made by Ilyas Bhatti to select the finalist in ranking order mentioned above for the City on a Hill Charter School project, seconded by David Capaldo. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract.

Board Business J.

Elise Woodward submitted a letter to the Board regarding consistency in diversity for the applications; it was posted in Boardvantage. Elise will draft a memo to DCAMM to be approved by the Board and sent to DCAMM. Elise and David had a meeting with Liz and Ganesh both from DCAMM. Ganesh will be attending DSB meetings as DCAMM liaison to the DSB. Liz suggested that DCAMM Access and Opportunities team could attend future meetings to discuss diversity reports further.

Elise wants to have a report for current selections and appointments of prime firms applying for each project review. There will be an Autocene training for the Board in March/April.

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 11:17 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of January 19, 2022 by Ilyas Bhatti, seconded by David Capaldo. Motion was approved.

6. **NEXT MEETING:**

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 2, 2022 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire S. Hester

Approved by: Blise J. Arrdural