

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD

MINUTES OF THE 1047th MEETING, WEDNESDAY SEPTEMBER 21, 2022 VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:36 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer (left at 10:30am)
David A. Chappell, P.E., V-Chair	Registered Engineer
Severino Luna, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Maureen Sakakeeny, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David Capaldo	General Contractor
Mark Boyle	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA,	Registered Architect
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA	Registered Architect
Kathleen B. Colwell	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Claire G. Hester, Program Coordinator III and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1046th September 7, 2022 meeting was made by Ilyas Bhatti, seconded by Severino Luna. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Jeffrey Marques	Greenfield Community College
Ganesh Ramachandran	DCAMM
Nancy Carter	DYS
Eugene Deutsch	DYS
Matthew Cole	DYS
Monica Morin	SMRT
Keith Campbell	Next Phase Studios
Ashley Horan	TSKP
Nicole Owens	Amenta Emma
Tommy Sinclair	HDR
Deborah Azer	Habeeb
Aaron Shenette	Gensler
Joseph DeLarauze	Cambridge Housing
Amy Ray	EDM
Tamara Macuch	Habeeb
Katie Ferrier	Arrowstreet
Katherine Klepacki	Kuhn Riddle
Jessica Brown	EDM
Marion Lewis-Roosa	SGH
Robin Greenberg	Perkins Eastman
Kayla Skerry	STV
Justine Kubo	ICON
Diana Nicklaus	SAAM
Kristin Tedford	SAAM
Darlene Meacham	Tighe & Bond
Karen Reichenbacher	STV
Kevin Webb	STV
Brenna Sapienza	DCAMM
Laurene Demoy	Studio G Architects
Robin Greenleaf	IMEG Corp.
Nancy Banks	B2Q
Jess Cobbs	Rowse Architects
John Matz	Matz Collaborative
Helena Arnold	AEI Engineers
Renee Laplante	SMRT
Diana Cioffari-MacPhee	Matz Collaborative
John MacAllister	
Chad Reilly	HDR
Betsy Lawson	CDW Consultants
Arthur Thompson	SMRT
Ashley Solomon	Dietz
Stephanie Beals	TSKP

Marisa Breece Sullivan	Studio G Architects
Susan Wisler	IMEG Corp
Iris Davis	CSS Architects
Ted Rowse	Rowse Architects
Mike Sears	RDK/NV5
Jennifer Pollio	B2Q
Joel Goodmonson	ARC
Kate Zagarenski	STV
Chris Nordberg	STV
Rob Ricchi	DCAMM
Tina Saetti	DYS
Jenny Burton	
Samantha Lewis	
Allison Pickering	SMRT
Graham Vickers	SMRT
Frank Greene	STV

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. DSB List #22-16, DSBP-22, Study & Design for General Building Renovations, Repairs, and Upgrades, Greenfield Community College, Available Aggregate Amount: \$2,250,000; ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c7C §5, for an individual project; FEE: \$750,000 (House Doctor), 12 Applicants

Jeffrey Marques from Greenfield Community College was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following twelve (12) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

Amenta Emma Architects – This was a good application. They provided relevant project experience for this project.

Caolo & Bieniek Associates, Inc. – This was a good application. They provided good references and relevant project experience for this house doctor project.

CSS Architects, Inc. – This was another good proposal. They have similar project experience for this project. Section #5 was very detailed and specific.

Dietz & Company Architects, Inc. – This was a good application with relevant project experience.

EDM Architecture & Engineering, PC – This was a good application. They demonstrated on-call and house doctor projects similar to this house doctor project.

Habeeb & Associates Architects – This was good application with a strong Section #5 demonstrating their project experience.

Helene-Karl Architects, Inc. – This was another good proposal. Their references were less than positive. They submitted relevant project experience for this house doctor project.

Jones Payne Architects & Planners – This was a good application. They have limited experience in New England but have relevant project experience.

Jones Whitsett Architects, Inc. – This was not a strong proposal. Section #5 was generic and not tailored to the requested evaluation criteria.

Kuhn Riddle Architects – This was a strong proposal showing relevant experience at UMass Amherst. They provided relevant project experience for this house doctor project.

Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc. – This proposal showed relevant experience but overall was not as complete as other applications.

Next Phase Studios, Inc. – This was a good application. It was recommended that they submit references in Autocene. They did provide experience at Greenfield Community College.

B. Public Comments

None

C. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. After a brief discussion the Board voted to select the following unranked finalists for this House Doctor project:

Dietz & Company Architects, Inc. (after tiebreaker)
EDM Architecture & Engineering, PC (after tiebreaker)

Habeeb & Associates Architects
Helene-Karl Architects, Inc.

Motion was made by Ilyas Bhatti to select the four (4) unranked finalists for the Greenfield Community College House Doctor project, seconded by David Capaldo. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are a certifiable building study, schematic plans and outline specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration of construction contract.

D. DSB List #22-17, DSBP-23, Study & Design for Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing and Fire Protection Renovations, Repairs, and Upgrades, Greenfield Community College, Available Aggregate Amount: \$2,250,000; ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c7C §5, for an individual project; FEE: \$750,000 (House Doctor), 13 Applicants

Jeffrey Marques from Greenfield Community College was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following thirteen (13) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

Affiliated Engineers, Inc. – This was a good application with relevant project experience. They provided a very good diversity statement.

Architectural Engineers, Inc. – This was a good application. They provided relevant project experience for this house doctor project.

B2Q Associates, Inc. – This was a strong proposal. They provided a good diverse team with relevant project experience with good examples in Section #5.

BLW Engineers, Inc. – This was a good application. They focused on their community college and renovation experience.

Clough Harbour & Associates, LLP – This application is not as strong as other proposals submitted. They have experience working with Greenfield Community College.

Consulting Engineering Services, LLC – This was a good application with relevant house doctor experience.

EDM Architecture & Engineering, PC – This was another good proposal with relevant project experience for this project.

Fitzmeyer & Tocci Associates, Inc. – This was a strong application. They provided good references with relevant project experience for this project.

Hesnor Engineering Associates, PLLC – This was a responsive application with a diverse team and excellent Section #5.

Pristine Engineers, Inc. – This was another strong application. They provided relevant project experience for this project.

Richard D. Kimball Co – NV5 – This was a very strong application with relevant project experience at Greenfield Community College and DCAMM.

Seaman Engineering Corporation – This was not a strong application. They provided relevant project experience. They did not respond to Section #5 for the evaluation criteria listed in the advertisement.

Tighe & Bond Designer Services, Inc. – This application was responsive to the project criteria.

E. Public Comments

None

F. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. After a brief discussion the Board voted to select the following three (3) unranked finalists for this House Doctor project:

B2Q Associates, Inc.
Richard D. Kimball Co-NV5
Pristine Engineers, Inc.

Motion was made by David Chappell to select the unranked finalists for the Greenfield Community College House Doctor project, seconded by Maureen Sakakeeny. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are a certifiable building study, schematic plans and outline specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration of construction contract.

G. DSB List #22-20, DYS2201, DYS Taunton – Southeast Regional Youth Service Center, Taunton, ECC: \$21,700,000 (Preliminary); Fee for Draft Study: \$275,000; Fee for Certifiable Study/Schematic Design: To Be Negotiated; Fee for Final Design: To Be Negotiated, 8 Applicants

Ganesh Ramachandran from DCAMM along with Matt Cole, Gene Deutsch and Nancy Carter al from DYS were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following eight (8) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

HDR Architecture, PC – This was a strong application They provided relevant project experience.

ICON Architecture – This was another strong application. The team has submitted relevant project experience.

RGB Architects – This was a good proposal. They provided related project experience.

Rowse Architects, Inc. – This application was not as strong as others. Some of Section #5 was generic and did not answer directly to the evaluation criteria in the advertisement.

SAAM Architecture – This was a good application. They have relevant project experience. They had a good Section #5.

SMRT Architects & Engineers – This was a good proposal with similar project experience. They were responsive to Section #5 for the evaluation criteria.

Studio G Architects – This was another strong application. They have relevant project experience. They are familiar with the Greenfield Community College campus.

STV, Inc. – This was a good application. They provided relevant project experience.

H. Public Comments

None

I. Project Voting and Ranking

The firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted to select the following three (3) firms to be interviewed on October 5, 2022:

HDR Architecture, PC
ICON Architecture
Studio G Architects

Motion was made by David Capaldo to select the above ranked firms for the DYS Taunton project, seconded by Severino Luna. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized is a draft study. It is intended that certifiable study/schematic design, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration of construction contract be required of the selected Designer's teams following completion of the draft and/or certified study and notification of the Board in accordance with M.G.L. c. 7C.

J. Board Business:

None

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 11:18 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of September 7, 2022 Maureen Sakakeeny, seconded by David Capaldo. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 5, 2022 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire H. Hester

Approved by: Elise J. Arruda