

## DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD

### MINUTES OF THE 996<sup>TH</sup> MEETING, WEDNESDAY JULY 22, 2020 AT 8:40 A.M, VIA ZOOM.

#### 1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:40 a.m.

##### MEMBERS PRESENT:

Rebecca Sherer, P.E., Chairwoman	Registered Engineer
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA	Registered Architect
Daniel M. Carson, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Kenneth Wexler	General Contractor
Virginia Greiman	Public Member

##### MEMBERS ABSENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA, Vice Chairman	Registered Architect
Jessica Tsymbal, AIA, LEED AP	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA	Registered Architect
Gregory E. Brown, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Janice M. Bergeron	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Bill Perkins, Executive Director, Claire G. Hester, Program Coordinator III and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

#### 2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 994<sup>th</sup>, June 24, 2020 meeting by David Chappell, seconded by Martha Blakey Smith.

Motion was approved unanimously.

A motion to approve the minutes of the 995<sup>th</sup>, July 8, 2020 meeting by David Chappell, seconded by Daniel Carson. Motion was approved unanimously.

#### 3. VISITORS:

Jessica Stebbins	HDR, Inc.
Nancy Banks	B2Q Associates
Gail Sullivan	Studio G Architects
Kevin Webb	STV, Inc.
William Epp	JACA Architects, Inc.
Arianna Griffin	Array Architects
Thomas Iskra	BVH
Alexandra Patterson	Shepley Bulfinch
Katie Ferrier	Arrowstreet
John Zychowicz	Liro
Alex Dorn	William Pevear
Susie Festel	JACA Architects, Inc.
Christina Silvestro	Liro
Aarathi Nirmalan	Cannon Design
Charles Kelsey	DCAMM
Sara Ruggiero	
Liz Minnis	DCAMM
Brian McKenna	Cannon Design
Robin Greenleaf	Architectural Engineers
Irene Kang	
Kevin Murrett	Arch Res
Amy Winter	DCAMM
Robert Rink	STV, Inc.
Molly Moore	MDS-Boston
Karen Reichenbacher	STV, Inc.
Marisa Sullivan	Studio G Architects
Steve Montibello	Cosentini
Rebecca Maloney	Arup
Antonio Leite	DCAMM
Sam Galvin	Array Architects
Jared Oakley	Arch Res
Dena Zyroff	Isgenuity
Jen Shelby	Architectural Engineers
Robin Whitman	DCAMM
Laurene Demoy	Studio G Architects
Price Jepsen	STV, Inc.
Arlita McNamee	Arch Res
Ellen Whittemore	DCAMM

William Pevear	William Pevear
John Nunnari	Architects Org.
Scott Mandeville	Moody Nolan
Michael Niehaus	Array Architects
Brian Novelline	Liro

**4. NEW BUSINESS:****A. DSB List #20-09, Study, Planning, Design & Construction of Healthcare/Residential Care Environment Facilities, Multiple Locations, Fee: \$3,000,000 (House Doctor), 27 Applicants**

Review of the twenty-seven (27) applications resulted in determination that three (3) of the applicants had failed to meet the following requirements and could not be considered for this project:

AECOM had no MBE firm nominated. On a motion to disqualify AECOM by Daniel Carson, seconded by David Chappell. Motion was unanimously approved to disqualify AECOM.

E/F/H was missing Sections #11 to #16; Application was not signed. On a motion to disqualify E/F/H Architects by David Chappell, seconded by Daniel Carson. Motion was unanimously approved to disqualify E/F/H Architects.

JACA Architects did not submit a Section #9. On a motion to disqualify JACA Architects by Daniel Carson, seconded by Martha Blakey Smith. Motion was unanimously approved to disqualify JACA Architects.

Ellen Whittemore, Project Manager from DCAMM was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board. This is a very important project for DCAMM especially during the COVID 19 crisis. These hospitals deal with residential, health, mental health, corrections and substance abuse. They are looking for a range of consultants that can do strategic and master planning to boiler replacements. Another important factor they would like the Board to consider as part of selection is the diversity statement that firms submitted as part of the project criteria.

Below is a summary of some of the members comments for each applicant:

Array Architects had a complete team and showed some experience in healthcare. In Section #10 they did not take the opportunity to respond directly to the items requested in the advertisement and provided more boiler plate information. They did take advantage of supplying more than one firm in some disciplines in order to engage the M/WBE stated in the diversity statement.

CannonDesign provided alternate disciplines and how they would be covered in-house as well. They had good individual qualifications for this project. Some members commented that they are a very strong firm and appreciated how they set up their resumes; it was easy to evaluate them. Section #10 was very responsive and relevant.

CHA Architecture is undertaking a lot of roles and did not consider having alternate disciplines or sub-consultants. It will be difficult for them to meet the M/WBE requirements. In some cases, there was limited healthcare experience although the project manager did show experience in the healthcare area. The agency found that this application was not as well put together as some of the other applications.

DiGiorgio Associates had so many in-house personnel and it would be difficult to meet the M/WBE requirements. The in-house staff resumes are generic and do not reflect the specific work individuals did on projects. In Section #10 they did answer the evaluation questions and gave some examples of their work. Most of their work is out-of-state but could bring a different perspective to this project.

EYP Architecture resume of the PIC showed higher education experience but did not list much relevant experience for this project. Other resumes especially the healthcare planner listed projects but did not show their experience. Section 8a was okay and they talked about new construction shown in 8a and renovations in existing facilities.

Gensler showed extensive healthcare experience in their resumes, but more detail would have been appreciated. They have a very strong proposal and it was reflected in the comments from the user agency. Section #10 was also very strong and concrete examples were shown.

HDR Architecture resume for the PIC shows relevant experience in healthcare. Section #10 was very strong and demonstrated experience in new and renovated facilities.

HED Architecture team of consultants had strong experience in healthcare. They had a good Section #10 and highlighted relevant experience in new and renovated facilities.

ICON Architecture resume for PIC experience showed higher education and no healthcare experience. The team had relevant experience with healthcare. Section #8 did not show relevant experience for this project. Section #10 seemed to have generic boilerplate information. This was not one of the stronger applications.

Isgenuity had a strong application showing a range of experience in new and renovated projects. They had a good Section #8a and responded to the criteria for Section #10.

Lavallee Brensinger Architects showed strong relevant project experience. Their team also noted a range of healthcare experience. Section #8 and Section #10 were very good, showing a breadth of experience for this healthcare project.

Leslie Saul & Associates and their team did not show a lot of healthcare experience. They did not respond well to Section #10 and did not include a diversity statement showing how the team would meet the M/WBE requirements for this project.

Linea 5, Inc showed relevant project experience. The resumes of the PIC and Project Manager provided healthcare experience. They had a good Section #8 but Section #10 did not answer all the evaluation questions requested in the advertisement.

LWDA and their team had strong relevant experience in healthcare. Section #8 highlighted project experience and addressed all aspects of this project. Section #10 was good but a little bit generic and did not respond directly to a couple of the evaluation questions.

Margulies Perruzzi demonstrated strong relevant project experience in healthcare. The sub-consultants were also very familiar with healthcare projects. Their Section #8 and Section #10 were good. This was another strong application.

Moody Nolan and their team showed relevant project experience throughout their application. The Project Manager in Boston did not show healthcare experience. They needed to show how they will work with out-of-state personnel on projects. Section #10 was not that strong.

Shepley Bulfinch had a very strong application and showed strong relevant project experience in healthcare. The PIC and Project Manager showed pertinent healthcare experience in their resumes. Section #10 was good and addressed all the evaluation questions.

SmithGroup showed strong relevant healthcare experience. The resumes, Section #8 and Section #10 were strong and demonstrated the breadth of healthcare experience. This was a good proposal.

SMRT and their sub-consultants showed strong relevant experience in healthcare. The PIC and Project Manager resumes include project experience for this project. They provided a good Section #8 and Section #10 and answered all the evaluation criteria questions.

Stantec and its design team showed relevant experience in healthcare projects. They addressed all the evaluation criteria in Section #8 and Section #10. This was another strong application.

Studio G Architects showed experience with behavioral health, corrections and residential treatment projects but no healthcare experience. Their team of consultants showed similar relevant project experience. Section #8 and #10 highlighted some healthcare projects but more specific project examples would have been helpful.

STV, Inc. and their design team showed relevant project experience for this project. They answered all the evaluation criteria questions in Section #8 and #10.

The Robinson Green Beretta demonstrated relevant project experience. The Project Manager did not list any relevant experience for this project. They showed healthcare projects in Section #8 and answered all the evaluation criteria questions in Section #10.

William Pevear Architects is a small firm but have the relevant healthcare experience for this project. The PIC listed healthcare experience in the resume. Section #8 was good and showed examples of projects. Section #10 demonstrated relevant project experience in healthcare facilities and answered the evaluation questions in the advertisement.

After a discussion the Board voted to select the following six (6) unranked finalists for this House Doctor project:

CannonDesign  
Gensler  
Margulies Perruzzi  
Shepley Bulfinch  
SmithGroup  
Stantec

On a motion by Martha Blakey Smith to select the above unranked firms for the House Doctor project at Healthcare/Residential Care Environment Facilities in multiple locations, seconded by Virginia Greiman. Motion was unanimously approved.

5. **MOTION TO ADJOURN:** The Board adjourned at 11:21 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of July 22, 2020 by Kenneth Wexler, seconded by Virginia Greiman. Motion was approved unanimously.

6. **NEXT MEETING:**

**WEDNESDAY, August 5, 2020, at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM**

Submitted by: Claire G. Hester

Approved by: Rebecca Shivers