



This annual report provides information on non-Hispanic admissions to BSAS funded and/or licensed services. In FY 2017, there were 95,929 admissions where the client reported being non-Hispanic at the time of admission. Starting in FY 2017, this fact sheet includes all age groups (including <18 years of age). To ensure proper interpretation of this data, please read the footnotes and refer to the appendix at the end of this report.

Characteristics of Admissions

- The average age at admission for non-Hispanic admissions was 34.7 years.

- 20.4% had children under six years of age; 28.4% had children 6-18 years old.

- 4.7% lived with children under six years of age; 7.2% lived with children 6-18 years old.

GenderGenderRealInternationalBick or Mita ArwinoBick		% of Enrollments				
Meta65%Tartageod0.5%Ree8.5%Sak or Miran Ameria2.5%Called Called Cal	Gender					
Transgewic 0.1% Race 82% Black or African Amorican 82% Muth Racial 2.5% Composition of Less Theorem Co	Female	33.4%				
Rece Bleck or African 8.2% Bleck or African 8.2% Bleck or African 3.5% Education Level 8.5% Education Level 8.5% Education Level No Editor Diversor Tan High School 2.3% Beyond High School 2.3% Callege Degree or Higher 8.2% Callege Degree or Higher 8.2% Age 2.5% Age 1.5% East Tan 18 1.5% Station Offer 1.5% Station Offer 1.5% Employeed 1.5% Employeed 1.6% Employeed 1.6% Monteles at Enrollment (N= 68,355; excludes cases where housing disposition at Caller on the determined) No Tangloyei 5.2% Martiel Status No Clenct's Region of Residence 3.7% Clenct's Region of Residence 1.3% <td>Male</td> <td>66.5%</td>	Male	66.5%				
Back or African American8.2%Mulis Racial3.5%In Carl Carl Carl Carl Carl Carl Carl Carl	Transgender	0.1%				
Multi-kacial3.5%Control2.5%Education Level58.5%Education cless Than High School2.3%College Degree of High School Polison of CED44.2%College Degree of High School Polison of CED2.9%Age2.5%Age2.5%Age2.5%College Degree of High School Polison of CED4.2%Age2.5%College Degree of High School Polison of CEDCollege Degree of High School Polison of CEDAge2.5%College Degree of High School Polison of CEDCollege Degree of Polison of CEDCollege Degree of CED <td>Race</td> <td></td>	Race					
Other2.5%Education Level5.5%Education or Less Than High School2.3%I Beyord High School Diptern / GED4.2%Beyord High School Diptern / GED4.2%College Degree or Higher8.2%College Degree or Higher8.3%College Degree or Higher8.3%College Degree or Higher8.3%College Degree or Higher8.3% <tr< td=""><td>Black or African American</td><td>8.2%</td></tr<>	Black or African American	8.2%				
White85.8%Education or Less Than High School23.3%Call Call Call Call Call Call Call Call	Multi-Racial	3.5%				
Education Level Value Statu High School 2.3% No Education or Less Than High School 2.3% No Education or Less Than High School, No Callege Degree 2.9% Callege Degree or Higher 8.2% Callege Degree or Higher 8.2% Age	Other	2.5%				
No Education or Less Than High School22.3%High School Dipoma / GED42.2%Beyond High School, No College Degree22.9%College Degree or Higher8.2%College Degree or Higher8.2%Age5.5%Age1.2%College Degree or Higher1.2%College Degree or Higher1.2%Age1.2%College Degree or Higher1.2%Age1.2%College Degree or Higher1.2%College Degree Or Higher1.3%College Degree Degree Or Higher1.4%<	White	85.8%				
High School Diploma / EDB44.2%Beyord High School No College Degree2.9%College Degree Higher8.2%College Degree Higher8.2%Age25%Age10%College Degree Job School Sc	Education Level					
Beyond High School, No College Degree or High22.9%College Degree or High2.9%Age5.5%Age5.1%College College Degree of High5.1%College Degree of High5.6%College Degree of High5.6%College Degree of High5.6%College Degree of High5.8%College Degree of High5.4%College Degree of High5.4%College Degree of High5.1%College Degree of High5.3%College Degree of High5.4%College Degree of High	No Education or Less Than High School	22.3%				
College Degree or Highe 8.2% Age 5% Age 12% College Legs Than 18 1.2% College Legs Than 18 1.5% College Legs Than 18 1.6% Employment (N= 41,783; excludes not in labor force) 1.43% Employment (N= 41,783; excludes cases where housing disposition at Unit to the determined) 1.43% Marcel Status 7.9% Marcel Status 2.1% Marcel Status 1.2% College C	High School Diploma / GED	44.2%				
Other2.5%AgeLess Than 131.2%18 to 255.1%18 to 255.1%18 to 262.5%18 to 272.5%18 to 282.5%18 to 292.5%19 to 4030.2%19 to 41 to 506.6%19 to 41 to 516.6%10 to 41 to 514.3%10 to 41 to 514.3%10 to 41 to 515.2%10 to 41 to 52 to 43%5.2%10 to 45 to 51 to 45 to 51 to 45 to 51 to 45 to 51 to	Beyond High School, No College Degree	22.9%				
Age Less Than 18 1.2% 18 to 25 15.1% 26 to 30 2.5% 31 to 40 30.2% 41 to 50 6.6% 51 and Olde 14.3% Employment (N= 41,783; excludes not in labor force) 45.8% More Employed 54.8% Not Employed 54.8% More Employed 54.9% More Employed 59.1% Martel Status 70.9% Martal Status 8.8% More Explored 12.0% More Explored 12.0% Marte Status 72.3% Merro More Married 73.% Marte Status 13.9% Client's Region of Residence 13.3% Marte Status 14.9% Status 14.9%	College Degree or Higher	8.2%				
Less Than 181.2%18 to 255.1%2 6 to 3022.5%31 to 400.2%41 to 5016.6%51 and Older14.3%Employment (N= 41,783; excludes not in labor force)41 to 516.6%Colspan="2">Colspan="2"Colspan="2">Colspan="2"Colspan="2">Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2" <tr <="" <td="" colspan="2" td="">Co</tr>	Other	2.5%				
18 to 2515.1%26 to 3022.5%31 to 4030.2%41 to 5016.6%51 and Older14.3%Employment (N= 41,783; excludes not in labor force)UEmployment (N= 68,355 ; excludes cases where housing disposition at employedNot EmployedMemeless at Enrollment (N= 68,355 ; excludes cases where housing disposition at employedNot EmployedMarital StatusTo 3%AdvanceNoveNoveNoveAdvanceNoveAdvanceSepared3.%Cleret's Region of ResidenceCleret's Region of ResidenceCleret's Region of ResidenceCleret's Region of Residence1.3%Cleret's Region of ResidenceLandAdvanceCleret's Region of Residence1.3%Cleret's Region of Residence1.3%Cleret's Region of ResidenceCleret's Region of Residence1.3%Cleret's Region of Residence1.3%Cleret's Region of Residence1.3%1.3%Cleret's Region of Residence <tr <td="">1</tr>	Age					
26 to 3022.5%31 to 4030.2%41 to 5016.6%51 and 0114.3%Employment (N= 41,783; excludes not in labor force)45.8%Colspan="2">Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan	Less Than 18	1.2%				
31 to 4030.2%41 to 5016.6%51 and Older14.3%Employeed 14.3%Employeed 45.8%Colspan="2">Colspan="2"Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2"Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2"Colspan="2">Colspan="2"Colspan="2">Colspan="2"	18 to 25	15.1%				
41 to 5016.%Employment (N= 41,783; excludes not in labor force)43.%Employment (N= 68,355; excludes cases where housing disposition at extrement ould not be determined)54.%Homeless at Enrollment (N= 68,355; excludes cases where housing disposition at extrement)70.9%Martial Status29.1%Martial Status10.%Caller (A)2.3%Martial Status3.%Caller (A)9.%Caller (A)3.%Caller (A)3.%Caller (A)1.9%Caller (A)1.3%Caller (A)1.3%Caller (A)1.4%Caller (26 to 30	22.5%				
S1 and Older 14.3% Employment (N= 41,783; excludes not in labor force) 45.8% Employed 45.8% Not Employed 54.2% Homeless at Enrollment (N= 68,355 ; excludes cases where housing disposition at entert could not be determined) 70.9% Marital Status 70.9% Marital Status 8.8% Client's Region of Residence 12.0% Client's Region of Residence 3.7% Client's Region of Residence 1.3% Client's Region of Residence 14.9% All 14.9% All 14.9% Client's Region of Residence 14.9% Client's Region of Residence 14.9% Client's Region of Residence 14.9%	31 to 40	30.2%				
Employment (N= 41,783; excludes not in labor force) Employed 45.8% Not Employed 54.2% Homeless at Enrollment (N= 68,355 ; excludes cases where housing disposition at enrollment could not be determined) 70.9% Wartal Status 70.9% Marital Status 20.1% Marited Status 8.8% Clear Counce 12.0% Marited Status 3.7% Marter Status 72.3% Clear Counce 13.% Clear Status 72.3% Status 72.3% <	41 to 50	16.6%				
Employed 45.8% Not Employed 54.2% Homeless at Enrollment (N= 68,355 ; excludes cases where housing disposition at errollment could not be determined) 70.9% Marital Status 29.1% Marital Status 8.8% Composition at errollment (N= 68,355 ; excludes cases where housing disposition at errollment could not be determined) 70.9% Marital Status 29.1% Marital Status 29.1% Composition at errollment (N= 68,355 ; excludes cases where housing disposition at errollment could not be determined) 70.9% Marital Status 29.1% Marital Status 29.1% Marital Status 29.1% Marital Status 29.1% Marital Status 20.9% Object (A) 8.8% Partice (A) 20.9% All (A) 21.3% Client's Region of Residence 21.3% Client's Region of Residence 13.3% Client (A) 14.9% All (A) 14.9% Client (A) 16.8%	51 and Older	14.3%				
Not Employed 54.2% Homeless at Enrollment (N= 68,355 ; excludes cases where housing disposition at ===================================	Employment (N= 41,783; excludes <u>not in labor force</u>)					
Homeless at Enrollment (N= 68,355 ; excludes cases where housing disposition at entrolle not be determined) 0.9% 20.1% Marital Status Marital Status 12.0% 13.3% 12.0% 12.0% 12.0% 12.0% 12.0% 12.0% 12.0% 12.0% 12.0% 12.0% 12.0%	Employed	45.8%				
No70.9%Marital StatusMarital StatusStatusMarital Status<	Not Employed	54.2%				
No70.9%Marital StatusMarital StatusStatusMarital Status<	Homeless at Enrollment (N= 68,355 ; excludes cases where housing disposition at er	nrollment could not be determined)				
Marital StatusMarital StatusMarital StatusMarital StatusMarital StatusMarital StatusSeparated1000Separated3.7%Calcent StatusPartnership1.9%Client's Region of ResidenceClient's Region of ResidenceGreater Boston14.9%Client's Region of SeparatedSeparatedSeparatedSeparatedSoutheas2.3%2.3%2.3%2.3%2.3%2.3%2.3%2.3%2.3%3.3%<						
Married8.8%Divored12.0%Separated3.7%Never Married72.3%Partnership1.9%Client's Region of Residence1.3%Client's Region of Residence13.3%Client's Region of Residence14.9%Scotter Boston14.9%Scotter Boston14.9%Scotter Boston16.8%Scotter Boston50utheastScotter Boston50utheastScotter Boston16.8%	Yes	29.1%				
Divorced12.0%Separated3.7%Never Married72.3%Partnership1.9%Client's Region of Residence1.3%Client's Region of Residence13.3%Client's Region of Residence14.9%International Separated14.9%Separated16.8%Separated27.4%	Marital Status					
Divorced12.0%Separated3.7%Never Married72.3%Partnership1.9%Client's Region of Residence1.3%Client's Region of Residence13.3%Client's Region of Residence14.9%International Separated14.9%Separated16.8%Separated27.4%	Married	8.8%				
Separated3.7%Never Married72.3%Partnership1.9%I as Widowed1.3%Client's Region of ResidenceClient's Region of ResidenceGreater Boston14.9%I as Widowed11.4%I as Widowed16.8%Southeast50.4%	Divorced					
Never Married72.3%Partnership1.9%Client's Region of Residence1.3%Client's Region of Residence13.3%Central13.3%Greater Boston14.9%Interformer11.4%Interformer16.8%Southeast27.4%						
Partnership1.9%Widowed1.3%Client's Region of ResidenceCentral13.3%Greater Boston14.9%International Metro West11.4%Northeast16.8%Southeast27.4%						
Widowed1.3%Client's Region of Residence13.3%Central13.3%Greater Boston14.9%Intersection11.4%Northeast16.8%Southeast27.4%						
Central13.3%Greater Boston14.9%Metro West11.4%Northeast16.8%Southeast27.4%						
Central13.3%Greater Boston14.9%Metro West11.4%Northeast16.8%Southeast27.4%	Client's Region of Residence					
Greater Boston14.9%Metro West11.4%Northeast16.8%Southeast27.4%		13.3%				
Metro West11.4%Northeast16.8%Southeast27.4%	Greater Boston					
Northeast16.8%Southeast27.4%						
Southeast 27.4%		16.8%				
Western 15.0%						
Out of State 1.1%						





Past Year Needle Use							
No	53.0%						
Yes	47.0%						
Prior Mental Health Treatment							
No	52.9%						
Yes	47.1%						
Prior Mental Health Medication (in the past 12 months)							
No	62.4%						
Yes	37.6%						





Substance Use Trends

Primary Substance of Use

Table 1 provides a summary of the "primary drug" reported at admission.

	Table 1 Primary Drug Trend: FY 2008 - FY 2017									
	Total Admissions	Marijuana		Opioids	Other		Sedatives/Hypnotics	Stimulants		
	TOLAI AUTIISSIONS	Marijuana	Heroin	Other Opioids	Other	Alcohol	Other Sedatives/Hypnotics	Crack/Cocaine	Other Stimulants	
FY 2008	93,740	6.0%	34.9%	7.3%	0.4%	42.6%	1.0%	7.6%	0.3%	
FY 2009	90,229	5.2%	37.7%	8.3%	0.5%	40.9%	1.1%	6.1%	0.3%	
FY 2010	93,126	4.6%	39.3%	9.9%	0.2%	39.7%	1.2%	4.8%	0.2%	
FY 2011	89,994	4.5%	38.2%	11.8%	0.2%	39.2%	1.6%	4.2%	0.3%	
FY 2012	91,139	4.0%	42.3%	11.3%	0.2%	36.4%	1.5%	4.0%	0.3%	
FY 2013	90,570	3.9%	47.7%	8.1%	0.4%	34.9%	1.2%	3.5%	0.3%	
FY 2014	93,422	3.5%	52.7%	6.2%	0.3%	32.8%	1.1%	3.1%	0.3%	
FY 2015	86,481	3.3%	52.7%	5.2%	0.2%	34.4%	1.1%	2.8%	0.4%	
FY 2016	84,488	3.5%	53.5%	4.7%	0.3%	33.2%	1.2%	3.1%	0.4%	
FY 2017	87,167	3.3%	50.9%	4.9%	0.3%	34.4%	1.6%	4.1%	0.5%	

- Drug Categories:

Other Opioids includes: Prescription and Non Prescription Opioids.

Other includes: PCP, Other Hallucinogens, Inhalants, OTC, and Other.

Other Sedatives/Hypnotics includes: Benzodiazepines, Other Tranquilizers, Barbituates, and Other Sedatives. *Other Stimulants* includes: Methamphetamine, Other Amphetamines, Other Stimulants, and Club Drugs. - The total admissions reported in this table may vary from the total admissions for non-Hispanic admissions; for additional information, please refer to the appendix.

Past Year Substance Use

At admission, clients are asked to report on all substances used in the past 12 months. Due to poly-substance use*, percentages may add up to more than 100%. Table 2 shows the distribution of past year substance use for all substances. This table includes information about admissions that reported past year substance use.

	Table 2 Past Year Substance Use Trend: FY 2008 - FY 2017									
	Total Admissions	Marijuana	Opioids		Other		Sedatives/Hypnotics	Stin	nulants	
	Total Admissions	Marijuana	Heroin	Other Opioids	Other	Alcohol	Other Sedatives/Hypnotics	Crack/Cocaine	Other Stimulants	
FY 2008	88,826	26.9%	40.4%	20.3%	4.9%	68.9%	15.4%	34.6%	2.6%	
FY 2009	85,448	27.5%	43.9%	23.5%	3.6%	66.7%	18.3%	31.1%	2.8%	
FY 2010	88,574	26.1%	45.5%	25.1%	3.3%	63.5%	18.6%	27.8%	2.7%	
FY 2011	85,832	25.8%	44.5%	27.7%	3.5%	62.9%	20.6%	27.7%	3.3%	
FY 2012	87,267	25.7%	48.6%	27.2%	3.7%	60.5%	21.1%	27.5%	3.4%	
FY 2013	86,533	26.0%	53.5%	22.1%	4.6%	58.9%	20.0%	26.0%	3.8%	
FY 2014	89,464	24.0%	58.2%	18.6%	4.0%	55.6%	20.4%	25.8%	4.1%	
FY 2015	82,945	23.7%	58.4%	15.9%	3.7%	56.4%	18.6%	24.1%	4.1%	
FY 2016	80,968	24.4%	59.2%	15.2%	4.0%	56.2%	18.4%	26.3%	4.6%	
FY 2017	83,677	24.4%	57.2%	14.2%	3.6%	57.1%	18.3%	29.3%	4.9%	

- Drug Categories:

Other Opioids includes: Prescription and Non Prescription Opioids.

Other includes: PCP, Other Hallucinogens, Inhalants, OTC, and Other.

Other Sedatives/Hypnotics includes: Benzodiazepines, Other Tranquilizers, Barbituates, and Other Sedatives. *Other Stimulants* includes: Methamphetamine, Other Amphetamines, Other Stimulants, and Club Drugs. - The total admissions reported in this table may vary from the total admissions for non-Hispanic admissions; for additional information, please refer to the appendix.

* Poly-substance use refers to either the use of multiple substances at the same time, or the use of multiple substances during a specified time frame.





Service Utilization

Service Utilization

Table 3 contains a list of the types of services utilized by non-Hispanic admissions.

	Table 3 Service Category Trend: FY 2008 - FY 2017											
	Total Admissions	Acute Treatment Services	County Corrections	Engagement, Assessment and Referral	Intervention	Medication Assisted Treatment	Outpatient	Post Detox Stabilization	Recovery High School	Recovery Support	Residential	Supportive Case Management
FY 2008	94,308	36.0%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	6.2%	39.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.5%	3.0%
FY 2009	91,121	37.5%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	34.0%	7.4%	0.0%	*	8.6%	2.8%
FY 2010	93,918	38.3%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	7.8%	29.9%	10.6%	0.0%	0.6%	8.5%	2.7%
FY 2011	90,761	39.6%	1.7%	0.0%	0.1%	7.0%	28.7%	11.8%	0.0%	0.4%	8.5%	2.4%
FY 2012	92,619	39.1%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	7.4%	28.5%	11.7%	0.1%	0.9%	8.6%	2.0%
FY 2013	92,505	40.4%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	27.3%	11.3%	0.1%	0.7%	8.5%	1.9%
FY 2014	97,424	42.5%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	23.5%	12.1%	0.1%	0.7%	8.6%	1.9%
FY 2015	92,422	42.7%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	23.6%	12.4%	0.1%	0.5%	8.7%	2.0%
FY 2016	91,382	40.6%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%	22.6%	13.1%	0.1%	1.6%	9.3%	1.8%
FY 2017	95,920	38.5%	1.3%	3.1%	0.0%	9.6%	20.8%	13.8%	0.1%	2.6%	8.3%	1.8%

- The total admissions reported in this table may vary from the total admissions for non-Hispanic admissions; for additional information, please refer to the appendix. - Service types are classified into service categories based on setting.

- Engagement, Assessment and Referral includes opioid urgent care centers

- Medication Assisted Treatment includes all three FDA-approved MAT treatments: methadone, buprenorphine and extended-release injectable naltrexone

- Acute Treatment Services includes acute treatment services and section 35





Appendix

General Notes

- To protect client confidentiality, the data in cells containing 5 or fewer counts are suppressed.
- Data includes primary clients only; a primary client is defined as a person seeking treatment for their personal substance use problem(s).
- Missing/unknown/not applicable responses are excluded from the data. Therefore, the number of admissions for each category/table may vary from the total number of admissions.
- In 2014, a new system of reporting was adopted by some Methadone treatment providers. Due to this system change, the reported enrollment numbers for FY 2014 may
- be higher than the actual number of enrollments. This also influences any assessment data captured in the trend tables starting from 2014 onward.
- Homelessness at enrollment is determined based on the client's housing disposition at the time of enrollment.
- Due to data updates and changes to definitions, data included in this fact sheet should not be compared to similar data published in prior years.
- Data and definitions used were as of July 6, 2018.
- Prepared by: Massachusetts Department of Public Health, Bureau of Substance Addiction Services, Office of Statistics and Evaluation on August 13, 2018.

Not Applicable Values

The table below shows values that reflect a valid response for the element, but were excluded from the percent calculations. Specifically, in the calculation of the % employed, we exclude clients who are not in the labor force and clients who reported an employment status of other.

Category	Response	% of Total Admissions
Employment	Not In Labor Force	52.4%
	Other	0.5%