

THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

OFFICE OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS REGULATION

Division of Insurance

Report on the Comprehensive Market Conduct Examination of

The Northern Assurance Company of America

Canton, Massachusetts

For the Period January 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007

NAIC GROUP CODE: 1129 NAIC COMPANY CODE: 38369

EMPLOYER'S ID NUMBER: 04-2974375



COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS Office of Consumer Affairs and Business Regulation DIVISION OF INSURANCE

One South Station • Boston, MA 02110-2208 (617) 521-7794 • FAX (617) 521-7770 Springfield Office (413) 785-5526 TTY/TDD (617) 521-7490 http://www.mass.gov/doi

DEVAL L. PATRICK GOVERNOR DANIEL O'CONNELL SECRETARY OF HOUSING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

TIMOTHY P. MURRAY LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR DANIEL C. CRANE DIRECTOR

NONNIE S. BURNES COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE

October 24, 2008

Nonnie S. Burnes Commissioner of Insurance Commonwealth of Massachusetts Division of Insurance One South Station Boston, Massachusetts 02110-2208

Dear Commissioner Burnes:

Pursuant to your instructions and in accordance with Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 175, Section 4 a comprehensive examination has been made of the market conduct affairs of

THE NORTHERN ASSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA

at its home office located at:

One Beacon Lane Canton, Massachusetts 02021

The following report thereon is respectfully submitted.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
SCOPE OF EXAMINATION	4
EXAMINATION APPROACH	4
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	5
COMPANY BACKGROUND	7
I. COMPANY OPERATIONS/MANAGEMENT	8
II. COMPLAINT HANDLING	18
III. MARKETING AND SALES	21
IV. PRODUCER LICENSING	24
V. POLICYHOLDER SERVICES	29
VI. UNDERWRITING AND RATING	35
VII. CLAIMS	49
SUMMARY	60
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	61
R. T. C.	

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

The Massachusetts Division of Insurance (the "Division") conducted a comprehensive market conduct examination of The Northern Assurance Company of America ("the Company") for the period January 1, 2006 to June 30, 2007. The examination was called pursuant to authority in Massachusetts General Laws Chapter ("M.G.L. c.") 175, Section 4. The market conduct examination was conducted at the direction of, and under the overall management and control of, the market conduct examination staff of the Division. Representatives from the firm of Rudmose & Noller Advisors, LLC ("RNA") were engaged to complete certain agreed upon procedures.

EXAMINATION APPROACH

A tailored audit approach was developed to perform the examination of the Company using the guidance and standards of the 2006 NAIC Market Regulation Handbook, ("the Handbook") the market conduct examination standards of the Division, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts insurance laws, regulations and bulletins and selected federal laws and regulations. All procedures were performed under the management and control and general supervision of the market conduct examination staff of the Division, including procedures more efficiently addressed by the concurrent Division financial examination of the Company. For those objectives, market conduct examination staff discussed, reviewed and used procedures performed by the Division's financial examination staff to the extent deemed necessary, appropriate and effective, to ensure that the objective was adequately addressed. The following describes the procedures performed and the findings for the workplan steps thereon.

The basic business areas that were reviewed in under this examination were:

- I. Company Operations/Management
- II. Complaint Handling
- III. Marketing and Sales
- IV. Producer Licensing
- V. Policyholder Service
- VI. Underwriting and Rating
- VII. Claims

In addition to the processes' and procedures' guidance in the Handbook, the examination included an assessment of the Company's internal control environment. While the Handbook approach detects individual incidents of deficiencies through transaction testing, the internal control assessment provides an understanding of the key controls that Company management uses to run their business and to meet key business objectives, including complying with applicable laws and regulations related to market conduct activities.

The controls assessment process is comprised of three significant steps: (a) identifying controls; (b) determining if the control has been reasonably designed to accomplish its intended purpose in mitigating risk (i.e., a qualitative assessment of the controls); and (c) verifying that the control is functioning as intended (i.e., the actual testing of the controls). For areas in which controls reliance was established, sample sizes for transaction testing were accordingly adjusted. The form of this report is "Report by Test," as described in Chapter 15, Section A. of the Handbook.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This summary of the comprehensive market conduct examination of the Company is intended to provide a high-level overview of the examination results. The body of the report provides details of the scope of the examination, tests conducted, findings and observations, recommendations and, if applicable, subsequent Company actions. Managerial or supervisory personnel from each functional area of the Company have been advised to review report results relating to their specific area.

The Division considers a substantive issue as one in which corrective action by the Company is deemed advisable, or one in which a "finding," or violation of Massachusetts insurance laws, regulations or bulletins was found to have occurred. It also is recommended that Company management evaluate any substantive issues or "findings" for applicability to potential occurrence in other jurisdictions. When applicable, corrective action should be taken for all jurisdictions, and a report of any such corrective action(s) taken should be provided to the Division.

The following is a summary of all substantive issues found, along with related recommendations and, if applicable, subsequent Company actions made, as part of the comprehensive market conduct examination of the Company. All Massachusetts laws, regulations and bulletins cited in this report may be viewed on the Division's website at www.mass.gov/doi.

The comprehensive market conduct examination resulted in no findings or negative observations with regard to company operations/management, marketing and sales, policyholder service, underwriting and rating and claims. Examination results showed that the Company is in compliance with all tested Company policies, procedures and statutory requirements addressed in these sections.

SECTION II - COMPLAINT HANDLING

STANDARD II-2

Findings; None.

<u>Observations</u>: It appears that the Company has adequate procedures in place to address complaints. The Company reported no complaints during the examination period. The Company is in the process of enhancing its complaint monitoring and trending capabilities.

<u>Recommendations</u>: The Company should complete the enhanced complaint monitoring and trending reporting process and timely implement its use.

SECTION IV - PRODUCER LICENSING

STANDARD IV-1

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: Based on the results of RNA's testing of 10 ocean marine policies issued or renewed during the examination period, all of the producers who sold policies during the examination period were properly licensed, and four were included on the Division's list of the Company's appointed agents at the time the policies were issued. The remaining six producers were not appointed as agents at the time the policies were issued. The Company subsequently appointed the six producers as agents.

<u>Recommendations</u>: The Company should implement a control procedure during underwriting to ensure that all producers are appointed as agents prior to selling business. Further, the Company and the Division shall complete a reconciliation of the Company's agent appointments at a mutually agreed upon date, to ensure that such appointment records are in agreement.

Subsequent Actions: The Company states that it is now appointing all producers as agents within the required time frame.

COMPANY BACKGROUND

The Company is a wholly-owned subsidiary of OneBeacon Insurance Company ("OneBeacon"), a Pennsylvania domestic insurance company. OneBeacon is a wholly-owned subsidiary of OneBeacon Insurance Group LLC ("OBLLC"), an insurance holding company domiciled in Delaware. OBLLC is an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of OneBeacon Insurance Group, Ltd. ("OB"), a publicly traded insurance holding company domiciled in Bermuda. White Mountains Insurance Group, Ltd., also an insurance holding company domiciled in Bermuda, is the ultimate controlling entity indirectly owning 74.5% of the outstanding common shares of OB as of December 31, 2007, representing 96.7% of the voting power of a combined two-class common stock structure. The One Beacon Companies are rated "A" ("Excellent") by A.M. Best.

In Massachusetts, the Company writes only marine-type risks, which are written by the Company's specialty lines business unit, International Marine Underwriters, headquartered in New York City with an office located in Boston, Massachusetts. In addition, the Company writes a majority of its business in other personal and commercial lines in the remaining 49 states and the District of Columbia. The Company wrote homeowners business in Massachusetts prior to the examination period, but ceased writing such business in 2005. As a result, the Company continued to pay homeowners claims during the examination period. Other lines of business are sold through affiliated insurance companies within OB. The Company and OneBeacon contract with approximately 130 independent agencies in Massachusetts.

The Company had \$355.9 million in admitted assets and \$192.0 million in surplus as of December 31, 2006. For the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company's earned premium was \$100.4 million and net income was \$14.5 million. The Company does not directly employ any individuals. Rather, the Company reimburses OB for the Company's portion of shared services incurred by OB including staffing costs.

The key objectives of this examination were determined by the Division with emphasis on the following areas.

I. COMPANY OPERATIONS/MANAGEMENT

Evaluation of the Standards in this business area is based on (a) an assessment of the Company's internal control environment, policies and procedures, (b) the Company's response to various information requests, and (c) a review of several types of files at the Company.

<u>Standard I-1</u>. The regulated entity has an up-to-date, valid internal, or external, audit program.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses whether there is an audit program function that provides meaningful information to management.

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: The following key observations were noted in conjunction with the review of this Standard:

- The Company's statutory financial statements and OB's financial statements are audited annually by an independent accounting firm.
- OB's internal audit department reports to the OB Board of Directors' Audit Committee.
- OB's internal audit plan is based on priorities established by the Audit Committee, with input from senior management. The Audit Committee approves the plan for the following year prior to year end, and monitors plan progress and implementation results periodically throughout the year.
- OB's internal audit department conducts periodic audits of the Company's various operational areas to ensure compliance with OB and Company policies and procedures, and recommends enhancements to such policies and procedures.
- OB's claim department performs monthly branch self-audits, to review and evaluate processed claims for adherence to OB and Company policies and procedures. Further, OB's home office claims management conducts quality control audits to evaluate settlement practices by reviewing bodily injury settlements, liability claims and material damage claims.
- OB conducts compliance audits of its producers regarding required maintenance of certain underwriting information that is retained by the producer.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquiry appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA reviewed various internal audit reports, claims department branch self-audits and home office claims quality control audits to evaluate procedures performed and results obtained.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: The internal audit reports, claims department branch self-audits and home office claims quality control audits reviewed by RNA provided detailed information on the procedures performed, audit findings and recommendations for improvement. The review of these audits indicated that the Company is generally in compliance with policies, procedures and regulatory requirements.

Recommendations: None.

<u>Standard I-2</u>. The regulated entity has appropriate controls, safeguards and procedures for protecting the integrity of computer information.

No work performed. All required activity for this Standard is included in the scope of the ongoing statutory financial examination of the Company.

<u>Standard I-3</u>. The regulated entity has anti-fraud initiatives in place that are reasonably calculated to detect, prosecute, and prevent fraudulent insurance acts.

18 U.S.C. § 1033; Division of Insurance Bulletins 1998-11 and 2001-14.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses whether the Company has an anti-fraud plan that is adequate, up-to-date, in compliance with applicable statutes and is appropriately implemented.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1033 of the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994 ("Act"), it is a criminal offense for anyone "engaged in the business of insurance" to willfully permit a "prohibited person" to conduct insurance activity without written consent of the primary insurance regulator. A "prohibited person" is an individual who has been convicted of any felony involving dishonesty or breach of trust or certain other offenses, who willfully engages in the business of insurance as defined in the Act. In accordance with Division of Insurance Bulletins 1998-11 and 2001-14, any entity conducting insurance activity in Massachusetts must notify the Division in writing of all employees and producers affected by this law. Individuals "prohibited" under the law may apply to the Commissioner for written consent, and must not engage or participate in the business of insurance unless and until they are granted such consent.

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: The following key observations were noted in conjunction with the review of this Standard:

- Band the Company have a written plan to address fraud throughout the organization.
- OB and the Company have a Special Investigative Unit ("SIU") within the claim department, which is dedicated to the prevention and handling of fraudulent activities.
- The SIU has written policies, guidelines and procedures to address claim fraud prevention.
- The SIU tracks and investigates potentially fraudulent activity with the assistance of other departments, and reports such activity to regulators as required.
- OB's and the Company's policy is to seek the Division's approval regarding the hiring of any "prohibited person" when it wishes to employ such a person.

■ The Company does not directly employ any individuals, since it reimburses OB for its portion of shared services including staff. Beginning in 2000, OB began conducting criminal background checks on all new employees.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquiry appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA reviewed the Company's anti-fraud policies and procedures, and the work of the SIU, as part of various claims standards.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: Based upon RNA's review of policies and procedures, it appears that antifraud initiatives are in place to detect, prosecute, and prevent fraudulent insurance acts.

Recommendation: None.

Standard I-4. The regulated entity has a valid disaster recovery plan.

No work performed. All required activity for this Standard is included in the scope of the ongoing statutory financial examination of the Company.

<u>Standard I-5</u>. Contracts between the regulated entity and entities assuming a business function or acting on behalf of the regulated entity, such as, but not limited to, MGAs, GAs, TPAs and management agreements must comply with applicable licensing requirements, statutes, rules and regulations.

No work performed OB and the Company do not utilize MGAs or TPAs; therefore this standard is not applicable to this examination.

<u>Standard 1-6</u>. The regulated entity is adequately monitoring the activities of any entity that contractually assumes a business function or is acting on behalf of the regulated entity.

No work performed. OB and the Company do not utilize MGAs or TPAs; therefore this standard is not applicable to this examination.

<u>Standard I-7</u>. Records are adequate, accessible, consistent and orderly and comply with state record retention requirements.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses the organization, legibility and structure of files, as well as the determination of the Company's compliance with record retention requirements.

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: OB and the Company have established written record retention policies and procedures for each key function and department, that note the length of time specific documents must be retained.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquiry appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure:</u> RNA reviewed OB's and the Company's record retention policies and evaluated them for reasonableness.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

Observations: OB and the Company's record retention policies appear reasonable.

Recommendations: None.

Standard I-8. The regulated entity is licensed for the lines of business that are being written.

M.G.L. c. 175, §§ 32 and 47.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses whether the lines being written by a Company are in accordance with the authorized lines of business.

Pursuant to M.G.L. c. 175, § 32, domestic insurers must obtain a certificate authorizing them to issue policies or contracts. M.G.L. c. 175, § 47 sets forth the various lines of business for which an insurer may be licensed.

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: Due to the nature of this Standard, no controls assessment was performed.

Controls Reliance: Not applicable.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA reviewed the Company's Certificate of Authority, and compared it to the lines of business which the Company writes in the Commonwealth.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: The Company is licensed for the lines of business being written.

Recommendations: None.

Standard I-9. The regulated entity cooperates on a timely basis with examiners performing the examinations.

M.G.L. c. 175, § 4.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses the Company's cooperation during the course of the examination.

M.G.L. c. 175, § 4 sets forth the Commissioner's authority to conduct examinations of an insurer.

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: Due to the nature of this Standard, no controls assessment was performed.

Controls Reliance: Not applicable.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: The Company's level of cooperation and responsiveness to examiner requests was assessed throughout the examination.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: The Company's level of cooperation and responsiveness to examiner requests was acceptable.

Recommendations: None.

<u>Standard I-10</u>. The regulated entity has procedures for the collection, use and disclosure of information gathered in connection with insurance transactions to minimize any improper intrusion into the privacy of applicants and policyholders.

Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, §§ 502, 503, 504 and 505 and 16 Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR") Part 313.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses the Company's policies and procedures to ensure it minimizes improper intrusion into the privacy of consumers.

The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, §§ 502, 503, 504 and 505 and 16 CFR Part 313 set forth requirements for proper notice to consumers, and restrictions on a financial institution's ability to disclose non-public personal information about consumers to nonaffiliated third parties. Further, a financial institution must provide its customers with a written notice of its privacy policies and practices. In addition, a financial institution is prohibited from disclosing non-public personal consumer information to nonaffiliated third parties, unless the institution satisfies various disclosure and opt-out requirements and the consumer has not elected to opt out of such disclosure.

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: The following key observations were noted in conjunction with the review of Standards I-10 through I-17:

- The Company's practice is to provide the initial privacy notice to individual applicants on the policy application.
- The Company's privacy policy states that it collects certain types of non-public personal information from third parties or other sources, and gives examples of such third parties or other sources. The privacy policy further notes that the Company may disclose information as permitted by law, and that consumers have the right to access and to correct inaccuracies in this information.
- The Company's privacy policy states that it does not disclose any non-public personal information to any affiliate or non-affiliated third party for marketing purposes, and discloses non-public personal information only for the purpose of processing and evaluating consumers' insurance applications or claims.
- The Company annually provides the privacy policy to individual customers via mail upon renewal
- The Company provides its privacy policy on its website.
- The Company annually conducts an information systems risk assessment to consider, document and review information security threats and controls. The risk assessment evaluations have resulted in continual improvements to information systems security.
- Company policy requires that its information technology security practices safeguard
 non-public personal and health information, and communicates these practices to all staff
 in training programs, compliance presentations and various memoranda as needed.
 Company policy also requires all staff to take annual privacy training, and to sign an
 acknowledgement that they have taken such training.
- Only individuals approved by Company management are granted access to the Company's electronic and operational areas where non-public personal and health information is located. Access is frequently and strictly monitored.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquiry appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>. RNA interviewed Company personnel with responsibility for privacy compliance, and reviewed documentation supporting its privacy policies and procedures.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: It appears from RNA's review that the Company's privacy practices minimize any improper intrusion into individuals' privacy.

Recommendations: None.

<u>Standard I-11</u>. The regulated entity has developed and implemented written policies, standards and procedures for the management of insurance information.

Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, §§ 502, 503, 504 and 505 and 16 CFR Part 313.

The objective of this Standard relates to privacy matters and is included in Standards I-10 and I-12 through I-17.

<u>Standard I-12</u>. The regulated entity has policies and procedures to protect the privacy of non-public personal information relating to its customers, former customers and consumers that are not customers.

Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, §§ 502, 503, 504 and 505 and 16 CFR Part 313.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses the Company's policies and procedures to ensure it protects the privacy of non-public personal information.

The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, §§ 502, 503, 504 and 505 and 16 CFR Part 313, set forth requirements for proper notice to consumers, and restrictions on a financial institution's ability to disclose non-public personal information about consumers to nonaffiliated third parties. Further, a financial institution must provide its customers with an annual notice of its privacy policies and practices. In addition, a financial institution is prohibited from disclosing non-public personal consumer information to nonaffiliated third parties, unless the institution satisfies various disclosure and opt-out requirements and the consumer has not elected to opt out of such disclosure.

Controls Assessment: See Standard I-10.

Controls Reliance: See Standard I-10.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel with responsibility for privacy compliance, and reviewed documentation supporting its privacy policies and procedures.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: It appears from RNA's review that the Company's policies and procedures adequately protect consumers' non-public personal information.

Recommendations: None.

<u>Standard I-13.</u> The regulated entity provides privacy notices to its customers and, if applicable, to its consumers who are not customers regarding treatment of non-public personal financial information.

Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, §§ 502, 503, 504 and 505 and 16 CFR Part 313.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses the Company's practice of providing privacy notices to customers and consumers.

The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, §§ 502, 503, 504 and 505 and 16 CFR Part 313, set forth requirements for proper notice to consumers, and restrictions on a financial institution's ability to disclose consumers' non-public personal information to nonaffiliated third parties. Further, a financial institution must provide its customers with an annual written notice of its privacy policies and practices. In addition, a financial institution is prohibited from disclosing

consumers' non-public personal information to nonaffiliated third parties, unless the institution satisfies various disclosure and opt-out requirements and the consumer has not elected to opt out of such disclosure.

Controls Assessment: See Standard I-10.

Controls Reliance: See Standard I-10.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel with responsibility for privacy compliance, and reviewed documentation its supporting privacy policies and procedures.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: Based upon RNA's review of the Company's privacy notice and its privacy practices, it appears that the Company has a process to provide sufficient privacy notice to individual applicants and policyholders regarding its collection and disclosure of non-public personal financial information. The Company primarily writes commercial coverage and is not required to provide privacy notices to commercial customers.

Recommendations: None.

Standard I-14. If the regulated entity discloses information subject to an opt out right, the company has policies and procedures in place so that non-public personal financial information will not be disclosed when a consumer who is not a customer has opted out, and the company provides opt out notices to its customers and other affected consumers.

Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, §§ 502,503, 504 and 505 and 16 CFR Part 313.

No work performed. The Company does not utilize opt out rights as it does not share information with others for marketing purposes; therefore, this standard is not applicable to this examination.

<u>Standard I-15.</u> The regulated entity's collection, use and disclosure of non-public personal financial information are in compliance with applicable statutes, rules and regulations.

Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, §§ 502, 503, 504 and 505 and 16 CFR Part 313.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses the Company's policies and procedures regarding collection, use and disclosure of non-public personal financial information.

The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, §§ 502, 503, 504 and 505 and 16 CFR Part 313, set forth requirements for proper notice to consumers, and restrictions on a financial institution's ability to disclose consumers' non-public personal information to nonaffiliated third parties. Further, a financial institution must provide its customers with an annual written notice of its privacy policies and practices. In addition, a financial institution is prohibited from disclosing consumers' non-public personal information to nonaffiliated third parties, unless the institution

satisfies various disclosure and opt-out requirements and the consumer has not elected to opt out of such disclosure.

Controls Assessment: See Standard I-10.

Controls Reliance: See Standard I-10.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel with responsibility for privacy compliance, and reviewed documentation supporting its privacy policies and procedures. Based upon underwriting and claims testing procedures, RNA looked for any evidence that the Company improperly collected, used or disclosed non-public personal financial information.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: It appears from RNA's review that the Company's policies and procedures provide reasonable assurance that the Company properly collects, uses and discloses non-public personal financial information.

Recommendations: None.

<u>Standard I-16</u>. In states promulgating the health information provisions of the NAIC model regulation, or providing equivalent protection through other substantially similar laws under the jurisdiction of the Department of Insurance, the regulated entity has policies and procedures in place so that non-public personal health information will not be disclosed except as permitted by law, unless a customer or a consumer who is not a customer has authorized the disclosure.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses the Company's policies and procedures to ensure it maintains privacy of non-public personal health information related to claims.

Controls Assessment: See Standard I-10.

Controls Reliance: See Standard I-10.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel with responsibility for privacy compliance, and reviewed documentation supporting its privacy policies and procedures related to claims. In conjunction with claims testing, RNA looked for evidence of improper use and maintenance of non-public personal health information.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: Based upon RNA's review of the Company's claim policies and procedures, and review of liability claims processed during the examination period, it appears that such policies and procedures provide reasonable assurance that the Company maintains the privacy of non-public personal health information related to claims.

Recommendations: None.

Standard I-17. Each licensee shall implement a comprehensive written information security program for the protection of non-public customer information.

Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, §§ 502, 503, 504 and 505 and 16 CFR Part 313.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses the Company's information security efforts to ensure that non-public consumer information is protected.

The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, §§ 502, 503, 504 and 505 and 16 CFR Part 313, set forth requirements for proper notice to consumers, and restrictions on a financial institution's ability to disclose consumers' non-public personal information to nonaffiliated third parties. Further, a financial institution must provide its customers with an annual written notice of its privacy policies and practices. In addition, a financial institution is prohibited from disclosing consumers' non-public personal information to nonaffiliated third parties, unless the institution satisfies various disclosure and opt-out requirements and the consumer has not elected to opt out of such disclosure.

Controls Assessment: See Standard 1-10.

Controls Reliance: See Standard I-10.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel with responsibility for privacy compliance, and reviewed documentation supporting its privacy policies and procedures.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: Based upon RNA's review of the Company's information security policies and procedures, it appears that the Company has implemented an information security program, which provides reasonable assurance that its information systems protect non-public customer information.

Recommendations: None.

II. COMPLAINT HANDLING

Evaluation of the Standards in this business area is based on (a) an assessment of the Company's internal control environment, policies and procedures, (b) the Company's response to various information requests, and (c) a review of several types of files at the Company.

<u>Standard II-1</u>. All complaints are recorded in the required format on the regulated entity complaint register.

M.G.L. c. 176D, § 3(10).

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses whether the Company formally tracks complaints or grievances as required by statute.

Pursuant to M.G.L. c. 176D, § 3(10), an insurer is required to maintain a complete record of all complaints it received from the date of its last examination. The record must indicate the total number of complaints, the classification of each complaint by line of insurance, the nature of each complaint, the disposition of each complaint and the time to process each complaint.

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: The following key observations were noted in conjunction with the review of this Standard:

- Written Company policies and procedures govern the complaint handling process.
- The Company logs all written complaints in the complaint register in a consistent format.
- The complaint register includes the date received, the date closed, the person making the complaint, the insured, the policy number, state of residence, the nature of the complaint and the complaint disposition.
- The Company's policy is to respond to Division complaints within 14 calendar days of receipt when possible, and in a timely manner once it receives and evaluates all required information.
- The Company provides a telephone number and address in its written responses to consumer inquiries and on its web site.
- The Company generally monitors complaint activity and trends.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquiry appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed management and staff responsible for complaint handling, and examined evidence of the Company's related processes and controls.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: RNA noted that the Company's format for recording complaints includes all necessary information. The Company reported no complaints during the examination period. Based upon the results of testing, it appears that the Company has a process for recording complaints in the required format in accordance with its policies, procedures, and statutory requirements.

Recommendations: None.

<u>Standard II-2</u>. The regulated entity has adequate complaint handling procedures in place and communicates such procedures to policyholders.

M.G.L. c. 176D, § 3(10).

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses whether the Company has adequate complaint handling procedures and communicates those procedures to policyholders.

M.G.L. c. 176D, § 3(10) requires that (a) the Company has documented procedures for complaint handling; (b) the procedures in place are sufficient to enable satisfactory handling of complaints received as well as to conduct root cause analyses in areas developing complaints; (c) there is a method for distribution of and obtaining and recording responses to complaints that is sufficient to allow response within the time frame required by state law, and (d) the Company provides a telephone number and address for consumer inquiries.

Controls Assessment: See Standard II-1.

Controls Reliance: See Standard II-1.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed management and staff responsible for complaint handling, and examined evidence of the Company's related processes and controls. The Company reported no complaints during the examination period. RNA also reviewed the Company's website, and various forms sent to policyholders, to determine whether the Company provides contact information for consumer inquiries as required.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u> It appears that the Company has adequate procedures in place to address complaints. The Company reported no complaints during the examination period. The Company is in the process of enhancing its complaint monitoring and trending capabilities.

Recommendations: The Company should complete the enhanced complaint monitoring and trending reporting process and timely implement its use.

<u>Standard II-3</u>. The regulated entity takes adequate steps to finalize and dispose of the complaint in accordance with applicable statutes, rules and regulations and contract language.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses whether the Company response to the complaint fully addresses the issues raised, is properly documented, includes appropriate remedies and complies with statutes, regulations and contract language.

Controls Assessment: See Standard II-1.

Controls Reliance: See Standard II-1.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed management and staff responsible for complaint handling, and reviewed the Company's related processes and controls.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: The Company reported no complaints during the examination period. The Company appears to have a process to finalize and dispose of complaints in accordance with applicable statutes, rules and regulations and contract language.

Recommendations: None.

<u>Standard II-4</u>. The time frame within which the regulated entity responds to complaints is in accordance with applicable statutes, rules and regulations.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses the time required for the Company to process each complaint.

Massachusetts does not have a specific time standard in the statutes or regulations. However, the Division has established a practice of requiring that insurers respond to complaints from the Division within 14 calendar days from the date they receive a notice of complaint.

Controls Assessment: See Standard II-1.

Controls Reliance: See Standard II-1.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed management and staff responsible for complaint handling, and reviewed the Company's related processes and controls.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: The Company reported no complaints during the examination period. The Company appears to have processes for responding to complaints in a timely manner

Recommendations: None.

III. MARKETING AND SALES

Evaluation of the Standards in this business area is based on (a) an assessment of the Company's internal control environment, policies and procedures, (b) the Company's response to various information requests, and (c) a review of several types of files at the Company.

<u>Standard III-1</u>. All advertising and sales materials are in compliance with applicable statutes, rules and regulations.

M.G.L. c. 176D, § 3; Division of Insurance Bulletin 2001-02.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses whether the Company maintains a system of control over the content, form and method of dissemination for all advertisements of its policies

Pursuant to M.G.L. c. 176D, § 3, it is deemed an unfair method of competition to misrepresent or falsely advertise insurance policies, or the benefits, terms, conditions and advantages of said policies. Pursuant to Division of Insurance Bulletin 2001-02, an insurer who maintains an Internet website must disclose on that website the name of the company appearing on the certificate of authority, and the address of its principal office.

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: The following key observations were noted in conjunction with the review of this Standard:

- The corporate communications department, the legal department and the business line manager collaboratively develop advertising and sales materials targeted to customers and producers.
- OB and the Company permit agents to develop advertising material. The standard agency contract requires agents to obtain home office approval prior to use of such material.
- OB's policy is to disclose its name and address on its website.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquiry appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure:</u> RNA interviewed Company personnel with responsibility for advertising and sales materials. The Company stated that it has not developed ocean marine advertising and sales materials. RNA reviewed the standard agency contract for the requirement to obtain home office approval prior to use of agent-developed advertising material. Finally, RNA reviewed the OB website for appropriate disclosure of its name and address, and general compliance with statutory and regulatory requirements.

<u>Transaction Testing Results:</u>

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: The Company stated that it has not developed ocean marine advertising and sales materials. The standard agency contract contains the requirement to obtain home office approval prior to use of agent-developed advertising material. The

Company's website disclosure complies with the requirements of Division of Insurance Bulletin 2001-02.

Recommendations: None.

<u>Standard III-2</u>. Regulated entity internal producer training materials are in compliance with applicable statutes, rules and regulations.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses whether all of the Company's producer training materials are in compliance with state statutes, rules and regulations.

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: The following controls were noted as part of this Standard and Standard III-3:

- The Company has distributed producer training materials focusing on Company policies, practices and procedures, including those relating to underwriting and rating, policyholder service, and claims.
- The Company's producers have access to electronic policy and procedure manuals through the Company's agent web portal.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquiry appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel with responsibility for developing and distributing producer training materials, and generally reviewed such materials in use during the examination period for accuracy and reasonableness.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

Observations: The Company's producer training materials appear accurate and reasonable.

Recommendations: None.

<u>Standard III-3</u>. Regulated entity communications to producers are in compliance with applicable statutes, rules and regulations.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses whether the written and electronic communication between the Company and its producers is in accordance with applicable statutes, rules and regulations.

Controls Assessment: See Standard III-2.

Controls Reliance: See Standard III-2.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel with responsibility for developing and circulating written producer communications, and generally reviewed communications to producers during the examination period for accuracy and reasonableness.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: The Company's communications to producers appear accurate and reasonable.

Recommendations: None.

<u>Standard III-4</u>. Regulated entity mass marketing of property and casualty insurance is in compliance with applicable statutes, rules and regulations.

No work performed. This Standard is not covered in the scope of examination because the Company does not mass market property and casualty insurance in Massachusetts.

IV. PRODUCER LICENSING

Evaluation of the Standards in this business area is based on (a) an assessment of the Company's internal control environment, policies and procedures, (b) the Company's response to various information requests, and (c) a review of several types of files at the Company.

<u>Standard IV-1</u>. Regulated entity records of licensed and appointed (if applicable) producers agree with department of insurance records.

18 U.S.C. § 1033; M.G.L. c. 175, §§ 162I and 162S; Division of Insurance Bulletins 1998-11 and 2001-14.

Objective: The Standard addresses licensing and appointment of the Company's producers.

M.G.L c. 175, § 162I requires that all persons who solicit, sell or negotiate insurance in the Commonwealth be licensed for that line of authority. Further, any such producer shall not act as an agent of the Company unless the producer has been appointed by the Company pursuant to M.G.L c. 175, § 162S.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1033 of the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994 ("Act"), it is a criminal offense for anyone "engaged in the business of insurance" to willfully permit a "prohibited person" to conduct insurance activity without written consent of the primary insurance regulator. A "prohibited person" is an individual who has been convicted of any felony involving dishonesty or a breach of trust or certain other offenses, who willfully engages in the business of insurance as defined in the Act. In accordance with Division of Insurance Bulletins 1998-11 and 2001-14, any entity conducting insurance activity in Massachusetts has the responsibility of notifying the Division, in writing, of all employees and producers acting as agents who are affected by this law. Individuals "prohibited" under the law may apply to the Commissioner for written consent, and must not engage or participate in the business of insurance unless and until they are granted such consent.

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: The following key observations were noted in conjunction with the review of this Standard:

- The Company's appointment procedures are designed to comply with statutory requirements, which state, in part, that an insurer seeking to appoint a licensed producer as agent must do so within 15 days from the date the producer's contract is executed, or when the first policy application is received.
- The Company's policy is to seek the Division's approval regarding the appointment of any "prohibited person" as noted above when it wishes to appoint such a person.
- The Company maintains an automated producer database that tracks all terminations, appointments and other licensing changes related to its appointed agents.
- The Company verifies that producers are properly licensed for the lines of business to be sold in Massachusetts prior to contracting with them as agents.
- All appointed agents are required to enter into a written contract with the Company prior to selling business. Standard contract terms and conditions address authorities and responsibilities, producer licensing, maintenance of records, ownership of business,

privacy requirements, binding authority, commission rates, premium accounting, advertising, and termination/suspension provisions.

- The Company requires its appointed agents to maintain \$1 million of E&O coverage.
- The Company's producer compensation policies are disclosed on the OB website.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquiry appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed individuals with responsibility for producer contracting and processing of agent appointments. RNA reviewed evidence of agent appointments in conjunction with the testing of 10 ocean marine policies issued or renewed during the examination period. RNA verified that the sales agent for each policy was included on the Division's list of the Company's appointed agents at the time of sale.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: Based on the results of RNA's testing of 10 ocean marine policies issued or renewed during the examination period, all of the producers who sold policies during the examination period were properly licensed, and four were included on the Division's list of the Company's appointed agents at the time the policies were issued. The remaining six producers were not appointed as agents at the time the policies were issued. The Company subsequently appointed the six producers as agents.

<u>Recommendations</u>: The Company should implement a control procedure during underwriting to ensure that all producers are appointed as agents prior to selling business. Further, the Company and the Division shall complete a reconciliation of the Company's agent appointments at a mutually agreed upon date, to ensure that such appointment records are in agreement.

<u>Subsequent Actions:</u> The Company states that it is now appointing all producers as agents within the required time frame.

<u>Standard IV-2</u>. The producers are properly licensed and appointed (if required by state law) in the jurisdiction where the application was taken.

18 U.S.C. § 1033; M.G.L. c. 175, §§ 162I and 162S; Division of Insurance Bulletins 1998-11 and 2001-14.

See Standard IV-1 for testing.

<u>Standard IV-3</u>. Termination of producers complies with applicable standards, rules and regulations regarding notification to the producer and notification to the state, if applicable.

M.G.L. c. 175, §§ 162R and 162T.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses the Company's termination of producers in accordance with applicable statutes requiring notification to the state and the producer.

Pursuant to M.G.L. c. 175, § 162T, the Company must notify the Division within 30 days of the effective date of a producer's termination, and if the termination was "for cause" as defined in M.G.L. c. 175, § 162R, the Company must notify the Division of such cause. Further, M.G.L. c. 175, § 162R provides the reasons for which the Company may terminate a producer's appointment as agent, and the reasons for which the Division may terminate a producer's license.

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: The following key observations were noted in conjunction with the review of this Standard:

- The Company's policy and practice is to notify the Division of agent terminations as required by statute.
- The Company's policy and practice is to notify the Division of the reason for agent terminations when the termination is "for cause"
- The Company has a process for notifying agents of an appointment termination which complies with statutory and contractual requirements.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquiry appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed individuals with responsibility for producer contracting and termination processing.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: The Company terminated no agents during the examination period. The Company appears to have an appropriate process for notifying the Division when it terminates agent appointments.

Recommendation: None.

<u>Standard IV-4</u>. The regulated entity's policy of producer appointments and terminations does not result in unfair discrimination against policyholders.

<u>Objective</u>: The Standard addresses the Company's policy for ensuring that producer appointments and terminations do not unfairly discriminate against policyholders.

Controls Assessment: See Standards IV-1 and IV-3.

Controls Reliance: See Standards IV-1 and IV-3.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed individuals with responsibility for processing of producer contracts, appointments and terminations. RNA reviewed documentation for 10 ocean marine policies that were issued or renewed during the examination period, for any evidence of unfair discrimination against policyholders resulting from the Company's policies regarding producer appointments and terminations.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: RNA noted no evidence when it tested ocean marine policies that the Company's policies and procedures regarding producer appointments and terminations resulted in discrimination against policyholders.

Recommendations: None.

Standard IV-5. Records of terminated producers adequately document the reasons for terminations.

M.G.L. c. 175, §§ 162R and 162T.

<u>Objective</u>: The Standard addresses the Company's documentation of producer terminations.

Pursuant to M.G.L. c. 175, § 162T, the Company must notify the Division within 30 days of the effective date of a producer's termination, and if the termination was "for cause" as defined in M.G.L. c. 175, § 162R, the Company must notify the Division of such cause. Further, M.G.L. c. 175, § 162R provides the reasons for which the Company may terminate a producer's appointment as agent and the reasons for which the Division may terminate a producer's license.

Controls Assessment: See Standard IV-3.

Controls Reliance: See Standard IV-3.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed individuals with responsibility for producer contracting and termination processing.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: The Company terminated no agents during the examination period, and appears to have an appropriate process for documenting the reasons for agent terminations.

Recommendations: None.

<u>Standard IV-6</u>. Producer account balances are in accordance with the producer's contract with the insurer.

No work performed. This Standard is not covered in the scope of examination because the Company direct bills most premium, thus excessive debit account balances are not a significant issue. If material debit account balances existed, they would be evaluated in the scope of the statutory financial examination of the Company.

V. POLICYHOLDER SERVICE

Evaluation of the Standards in this business area is based on (a) an assessment of the Company's internal control environment, policies and procedures, (b) the Company's response to various information requests, and (c) a review of several types of files at the Company.

<u>Standard V-1</u>. Premium notices and billing notices are sent out with an adequate amount of advance notice.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses efforts to provide policyholders with sufficient advance notice of premiums due and notice of cancellation due to non-payment.

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: The following key observations were noted in conjunction with the review of this Standard:

- The Company primarily direct bills policyholders, who receive a billing notice from the Company approximately 20 days prior to the premium due date. The Company receives premium payments primarily by check.
- The Company bills the agent monthly for the premium activity of some customers, or the agent provides the Company a record of monthly activity using a policy listing. Payment from the agent is due in 45-50 days after billing, or after the date the agent provides the monthly activity listing.
- Company policy generally requires a 25% premium down payment at the time an application is taken.
- All billing notices contain disclosures regarding grace periods and policy cancellation for non-payment of premium.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquiry appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel with responsibility for policyholder service, and reviewed billing notice dates for ocean marine policies issued or renewed during the examination period.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: Based upon results of review, premium and billing transactions appeared to be processed according to the Company's policies and procedures.

Recommendation: None.

Standard V-2. Policy issuance and insured requested cancellations are timely.

M.G.L. c. 175, § 187B.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses the Company's procedures to ensure that customer cancellation requests are processed timely. Objectives pertaining to policy issuance are included in Underwriting and Rating Standard VI-6. Return of premium testing is included in Policyholder Service Standard V-7.

Pursuant to M.G.L. c. 175, § 187B, insurers are required to return unearned premium in a reasonable time upon receipt of the policyholder's request to cancel.

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: The following key observations were noted in conjunction with the review of cancellation and withdrawals under this Standard:

- Company policy is to cancel policies upon notification from the producer of the policyholder's request, and to process premium refunds in a timely manner.
- The Company refunds unearned premium to policyholders on a pro-rata basis pursuant to statutory and regulatory guidelines.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquiry appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel with responsibility for policyholder service, and reviewed evidence that two ocean marine cancellations processed during the examination period were processed timely.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: The insured-requested cancellations tested were processed timely according to the Company's policies and procedures. Based upon the results of testing, the Company's processing of insured-requested cancellations appears to be functioning in accordance with its policies, procedures, and statutory requirements.

Recommendations: None.

<u>Standard V-3</u>. All correspondence directed to the regulated entity is answered in a timely and responsive manner by the appropriate department.

<u>Objective:</u> This Standard addresses the Company's procedures for providing timely and responsive information to customers from the appropriate department. Complaints are covered in the Complaint Handling section. Claims are covered in the Claims section.

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: The following key observations were noted in conjunction with the review of this Standard:

- Customer service representatives answer policyholders' general questions about their policies or billing matters.
- The Company considers its producers as having the primary relationship with the policyholder. Since customer service representatives are not licensed producers, policyholders must request endorsements and policy changes through the producer. Policyholders who request such changes through customer service are transferred to the producer for servicing.
- The Company monitors the results of its monthly Key Performance Indicators ("KPIs") regarding policyholder service performance.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquiry appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA discussed correspondence procedures with Company personnel, and reviewed correspondence in conjunction with underwriting, rating, policyholder service and claims standards. RNA also obtained and reviewed documentation showing customer service KPIs for the examination period.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: Based upon a review of general correspondence between policyholders and the Company regarding underwriting, rating, policyholder service and claims, and review of the KPI information, it appears that the Company handles customer inquiries and correspondence directed to it in a timely and responsive manner.

Recommendations: None.

Standard V-4. Whenever the regulated entity transfers the obligations of its contracts to another regulated entity pursuant to an assumption reinsurance agreement, the regulated entity has gained the prior approval of the insurance department and the regulated entity has sent the required notices to affected policyholders.

No work performed. The Company does not enter into assumption reinsurance agreements.

Standard V-5. Policy transactions are processed accurately and completely.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses procedures for the accurate and complete processing of policy transactions. Objectives pertaining to policy issuance, renewal and endorsements are included in Underwriting and Rating Standard VI-6. Return of premium testing is included in Policyholder Service Standard V-7. Billing transactions are reviewed in Policyholder Service Standard V-1,

and insured-requested cancellations are tested in Policyholder Service Standard V-2. Company cancellations and non-renewals are tested in Underwriting and Rating Standard VI-7 and VI-8.

<u>Standard V-6</u>. Reasonable attempts to locate missing policyholders or beneficiaries are made.

M.G.L. c. 200A, §§ 1, 2, 7-7B, 8A and 9.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses efforts to locate missing policyholders or beneficiaries and to comply with escheatment and reporting requirements.

M.G.L. c. 200A, §§ 1, 2, 7-7B, 8A and 9 state that amounts due policyholders or beneficiaries are presumed abandoned if unclaimed for more than three years after the funds become payable. Annual reporting to the State Treasurer's Office regarding efforts to locate owners is required, and the statutes require payments to the State Treasurer's Office for escheated property.

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: The following controls were noted in review of this Standard:

- Company policy requires that un-cashed checks, including claims and premium refunds, be reported and escheated when the owner can not be found.
- The Company has implemented procedures for locating lost owners via Company records and public databases. The Company conducts further research on un-cashed checks, and sends a letter to the last known address in an attempt to locate the owner.
- The Company annually reports escheatable funds to the State Treasurer by November 1st as required by law. Prior to escheatment of funds, a final attempt is made to locate the owner.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquiry appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedures</u> RNA discussed the Company's procedures for locating missing policyholders and escheatment of funds with Company personnel, and reviewed supporting documentation.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>observations</u>: The Company appears to have processes for locating missing policyholders and claimants, and appears to make reasonable efforts to locate such individuals. The Company appears to report unclaimed items and escheat them as required by law.

Recommendations: None.

<u>Standard V-7</u>. Unearned premiums are correctly calculated and returned to appropriate party in a timely manner and in accordance with applicable statutes, rules and regulations.

General: M.G.L. c. 175, §§ 187B and 187C.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses return of the correctly calculated unearned premium in a timely manner when policies are cancelled.

Pursuant to M.G.L. c. 175, § 187B, a company is required to refund the proper amount of unearned premium upon any policy termination. Under M.G.L. c. 175, § 187C, a company canceling a policy of insurance must tender the full return premium due, without deductions, at the time the cancellation notice is served on the insured.

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: The following key observations were noted in conjunction with the review of this Standard:

- Company policy is to cancel policies upon notification from the producer of the policyholder's request, and to process premium refunds in a timely manner.
- The Company refunds unearned premium to policyholders on a pro-rata basis, pursuant to statutory and regulatory guidelines.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquiry appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel with responsibility for the underwriting process. RNA selected two ocean marine insured-requested cancellations processed during the examination period to test for timely payment of properly calculated premium refunds...

Transaction Testing Results

Findings: None

<u>Observations</u>: Based on the results of testing, premium refunds appear to be calculated properly and returned timely.

Recommendations: None.

Standard V-8. Claims history and loss information is provided to insured in timely manner.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses the Company's procedures for providing history and loss information to insureds in a timely manner.

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: The following key observations were noted in conjunction with the review of this Standard:

- The Company's producers and its claims personnel have access to claims history and paid loss information for policyholders from a private Comprehensive Loss Underwriting Exchange database.
- The Company's policy is to ask the producer to provide a policyholder their claims history and paid loss information upon request.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquiry appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA discussed with Company personnel its policies and procedures for responding to policyholder inquiries regarding claims history and paid loss information.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: The testing of underwriting and rating, claims, complaints and policyholder service noted no evidence of the Company failing to respond to policyholder inquiries on claims history and paid loss information.

Recommendations: None.

VI. UNDERWRITING AND RATING

Evaluation of the Standards in this business area is based on (a) an assessment of the Company's internal control environment, policies and procedures, (b) the Company's response to various information requests, and (c) a review of several types of files at the Company.

<u>Standard VI-1</u>. The rates charged for the policy coverage are in accordance with filed rates (if applicable) or the regulated entity rating plan.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses whether the Company is charging premiums using properly filed rates.

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: The following key observations were noted in conjunction with the review of this Standard:

- The Company has written underwriting and rating policies and procedures which are designed to reasonably assure consistency in classification and rating.
- The Company conducts compliance audits of its producers regarding required maintenance of certain underwriting information that is retained by the producer.
- Company policy prohibits unfair discrimination in the application of premium discounts and surcharges, and in the application of the general rating methodology, in accordance with company policies and procedures.
- Ocean marine rates are based on the Company's experience and are not required to be filed with the Division. Property coverage rating criteria include territory, coverage amount, coverage type and property age. Liability coverage rates are generally based on the type of business, number of employees, payroll and annual revenue.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquiry appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel with responsibility for the underwriting process, and reviewed other rating information. RNA selected two ocean marine policies issued or renewed during the examination period to test rate classifications and premiums charged, RNA verified that each policy's premium, discounts and surcharges complied with Company policies and procedures.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: Based on the results of testing, it appears that the Company calculates policy premiums, discounts and surcharges in compliance with its policies and procedures.

Recommendations: None.

<u>Standard VI-2</u>. All mandated disclosures are documented and in accordance with applicable statutes, rules and regulations.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses whether all mandated disclosures for rates and coverage are documented in accordance with statutes and regulations, and are timely provided to insureds.

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: The following key observations were noted in conjunction with the review of this Standard:

- The Company has written policies and procedures for processing new and renewal business.
- The Company's supervisory procedures are designed to ensure that new business submissions from producers are accurate and complete, including the use of all Company required forms and instructions.
- The Company's insurance policies provide disclosures as required by Company policies and procedures.
- The Company conducts compliance audits of its producers regarding required maintenance of certain underwriting information that is retained by the producer.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquiry appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel with responsibility for the underwriting process, and selected 10 ocean marine policies issued or renewed during the examination period to test for timely disclosure of rates and coverages.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: Based upon testing, the Company appears to provide required coverage disclosures to insureds upon initial application and renewal, in accordance with policies and procedures.

Recommendations: None.

<u>Standard VI-3</u>. The regulated entity does not permit illegal rebating, commission cutting or inducements.

M.G.L. c. 175, §§ 182, 183 and 184; M.G.L. c. 176D, § 3(8).

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses illegal rebating, commission cutting and inducements, and requires that producer commissions adhere to the commission schedule.

Pursuant to M.G.L. c. 175, §§ 182, 183 and 184, the Company, or any agent thereof, cannot pay or allow, or offer to pay or allow any valuable consideration or inducement not specified in the policy or contract. Similarly, under M.G.L. c. 176D, § 3(8), it is an unfair method of competition

to knowingly permit or make any offer to pay, allow or give as inducement any rebate of premiums, any other benefits or any valuable consideration or inducement not specified in the contract.

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: The following key observations were noted in conjunction with the review of this Standard:

- The Company has procedures for paying producers' commissions in accordance with home office approved written contracts.
- The Company's producer contracts, and its home office policies and procedures, are designed to comply with statutory underwriting and rating requirements that prohibit special inducements and rebates.
- The Company's producer compensation policies are disclosed on the OB website.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquiry appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed individuals with responsibility for commission processing and producer contracting. In connection with the review of producer contracts, RNA inspected new business materials, producer training materials and manuals for indications of rebating, commission cutting or inducements. RNA also evaluated the Company's response to the Division's survey on broker activities. Finally, RNA selected two ocean marine policies issued or renewed during the examination period to test commissions paid to producers, and to look for indications of rebating, commission cutting or inducements.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: Based on the results of testing and review of the Company's response to the Division's survey on broker activities, it appears that the Company's processes for prohibiting illegal acts, including special inducements and rebates, are functioning in accordance with its policies, procedures and statutory requirements.

Recommendations. None.

Standard VI-4. The regulated entity underwriting practices are not unfairly discriminatory. The company adheres to applicable statutes, rules and regulations and regulated entity guidelines in the selection of risks.

General: M.G.L. c. 175, § 193T.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses whether unfair discrimination is occurring in insurance underwriting.

M.G.L. c. 175, § 193T prohibits discrimination in underwriting or in rates charged for all policies based on blindness or partial blindness, mental retardation or physical impairment, unless such discrimination is based on "sound actuarial principles or is related to actual experience."

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: The following key observations were noted in conjunction with the review of this Standard:

- Company policy and practice prohibits unfair discrimination in underwriting in accordance with statutory requirements.
- Written Company underwriting guidelines are designed to reasonably assure appropriate acceptance and rejection of risks on a proper, consistent and fair basis.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquiry appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel with responsibility for the underwriting process, and selected 10 ocean marine policies issued or renewed during the examination period to test for evidence of unfair discrimination in underwriting.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: Based on the results of testing, RNA noted no evidence that the Company's underwriting practices were unfairly discriminatory.

Recommendations: None.

<u>Standard VI-5</u>. All forms including contracts, riders, endorsement forms and certificates are filed with the Department of Insurance (if applicable).

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses whether policy forms and endorsements are filed with the Division for approval.

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: The following key observations were noted in conjunction with the review of this Standard and Standard VI-20:

- In Massachusetts the Company writes only ocean marine coverage, and such contracts, riders and forms are not required to be filed with the Division.
- Producers are required to use Company-approved forms and endorsements as guidelines when providing quotes to customers.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquiry appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel with responsibility for the underwriting process. RNA selected 10 ocean marine policies issued or renewed during the examination period, to test for the use of acceptable policy forms and endorsements in compliance with Company policies and procedures.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: Based on the results of testing, it appears that the Company is using acceptable policy forms and endorsements in compliance with its policies and procedures.

Recommendations: None.

<u>Standard VI-6</u>. Policies, riders and endorsements are issued or renewed accurately, timely and completely.

Objective: This Standard addresses whether the Company issues policies and endorsements timely and accurately.

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: The following key observations were noted in conjunction with the review of this Standard:

- The Company has written underwriting and rating policies and procedures which are designed to reasonably assure consistency in classification and rating.
- Company policy prohibits unfair discrimination in the application of premium discounts and surcharges, and in the application of the general rating methodology, in accordance with company policies and procedures.
- Any changes in policy coverage must be requested through the producer, who must timely process such requests.
- Applications submitted by producers are reviewed by the underwriting department to ensure that they are complete and internally consistent.
- The Company conducts compliance audits of its producers regarding required maintenance of certain underwriting information that is retained by the producer.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquiry appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure:</u> RNA interviewed Company personnel with responsibility for the underwriting process. RNA selected 10 ocean marine policies and one endorsement for the examination period, to test whether new and renewal policies and endorsements were issued timely, accurately and completely.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: Based on the results of testing, it appears that the Company generally issues new and renewal policies and endorsements timely, accurately and completely.

Recommendations: None.

Standard VI-7. Rejections and declinations are not unfairly discriminatory.

General: M.G.L. c. 175, § 193T.

Objective: This Standard addresses the fairness of application rejections and declinations.

M.G.L. c. 175, § 193T prohibits discrimination based on blindness or partial blindness, mental retardation or physical impairment, unless such discrimination is based on "sound actuarial principles or is related to actual experience."

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: The following key observations were noted in conjunction with the review of this Standard:

- Company policy and practice prohibits unfair discrimination in underwriting in accordance with statutory requirements.
- Written Company underwriting guidelines are designed to reasonably assure appropriate acceptance and rejection of risks on a proper, consistent and fair basis.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquiry appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel with responsibility for the underwriting process. RNA selected two ocean marine company-initiated cancellations, and two ocean marine non-renewals processed during the examination period, to ensure that cancellations were not unfairly discriminatory.

Transaction Testing Results.

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: Based on the results of testing, Company-initiated cancellations and non-renewals do not appear to be unfairly discriminatory.

Recommendations: None.

<u>Standard VI-8</u>. Cancellation/non-renewal, discontinuance and declination notices comply with policy provisions and state laws and regulated entity guidelines.

General: M.G.L. c. 175, § 187C.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses notice to policyholders for cancellation, non-renewal and declinations, including advance notice before expiration for cancellation and non-renewals.

Pursuant to M.G.L. c. 175, § 187C any Company shall effect cancellation of any policy by serving written notice thereof as provided by the policy and by paying the full return premium due.

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: The following key observations were noted in conjunction with the review of this Standard and Standard VI-9:

- Company policy requires that written cancellation notice be given to policyholders. The Company generally does not cancel policies after the first 60 days of coverage, nor do they rescind coverage. However, when the Company elects to cancel such coverage, its practice is to give notice to the producer, who is responsible for timely communicating the pending action to the policyholder.
- Company policy requires that policyholders be given at least 30 days notice prior to non-renewal. The Company's practice is to give notice to the producer, who is responsible for communicating the pending action to the policyholder.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquiry appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel with responsibility for the underwriting process. RNA also selected two ocean marine company-initiated cancellations, and two ocean marine non-renewals processed during the examination period, to test compliance with cancellation and non-renewal notice procedures.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: Based on the results of testing, the Company appears to comply with notice procedures for company-initiated cancellations and non-renewals.

Recommendations: None.

Standard VI.9. Rescissions are not made for non-material misrepresentation.

General: M.G.L. c. 175, § 187D.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses whether decisions to rescind and to cancel coverage are made appropriately.

M.G.L. c. 175, § 187D allows the cancellation of any policy for nonpayment of premium.

Controls Assessment: See Standard VI-8.

Controls Reliance: See Standard VI-8.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel with responsibility for the underwriting process. RNA also selected two ocean marine company-initiated cancellations, and two ocean marine non-renewals processed during the examination period, to test for evidence of improper rescission.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: None of the policies tested were rescinded, and RNA noted no improper rescission in conjunction with other underwriting tests.

Recommendations: None.

Standard VI-10. Credits, debits and deviations are consistently applied on a non-discriminatory basis.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses whether unfair discrimination is occurring in the application of premium discounts and surcharges.

Controls Assessment: See Standard VI-1.

Controls Reliance: See Standard VI-1.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel with responsibility for the underwriting process, and reviewed other rating information. RNA selected two ocean marine policies issued or renewed during the examination period, to test rate classifications and premiums charged. RNA verified that each policy's credits and deviations were consistently applied on a non-discriminatory basis.

Transaction Testing Results

Findings; None.

<u>Observations</u>: Based on the results of testing, it appears that the Company consistently applies credits and deviations on a non-discriminatory basis.

Recommendations: None.

<u>Standard VI-11</u>. Schedule rating or individual risk premium modification plans, where permitted, are based on objective criteria with usage supported by appropriate documentation.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses whether schedule rating or individual risk premium modification plans are based on objective criteria and appropriately documented.

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: The following key observations were noted in conjunction with the review of this Standard:

- The Company has written policies and procedures for determining individual risk premium modification plans.
- Underwriting personnel are required to approve individual risk premium modification plans, and ensure that such decisions are documented in the underwriting files.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquiry appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel with responsibility for the underwriting and rating process. RNA selected two ocean marine policies issued or renewed during the examination period to test individual risk premium modification plans, to ensure that such modifications are objective and properly documented.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: Based upon testing, the Company appears to properly use individual risk premium modification plans, and ensures that such modifications are objective and properly documented.

Recommendations: None.

<u>Standard VI-12</u>. Verification of use of the filed expense multipliers; the regulated entity should be using a combination of loss costs and expense multipliers filed with the Department of Insurance.

No work performed. This Standard is not covered in the scope of examination because the Company does not offer workers' compensation insurance.

<u>Standard VI-13.</u> Verification of premium audit accuracy and the proper application of rating factors.

No work performed. This Standard is not covered in the scope of examination because the Company does not offer workers' compensation insurance.

Standard VI-14. Verification of experience modification factors.

No work performed. This Standard is not covered in the scope of examination because the Company does not offer workers' compensation insurance.

Standard VI-15. Verification of loss reporting.

No work performed. This Standard is not covered in the scope of examination because the Company does not offer workers' compensation insurance.

Standard VI-16. Verification of regulated entity data provided in response to the NCCI call on deductibles.

No work performed. This Standard is not covered in the scope of examination because the Company is not subject to NCCI data calls.

Standard VI-17. Underwriting, rating and classification are based on adequate information developed at or near inception of the coverage rather than near expiration, or following a claim.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses whether underwriting, rating and classification decisions are based on adequate information developed at or near inception of the coverage, rather than near expiration or following a claim.

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: The following key observations were noted in conjunction with the review of this Standard:

- Written Company policies and procedures are designed to reasonably assure consistency in the application of underwriting guidelines, rating classifications, premium discounts and surcharges determined at or near the inception of coverage.
- Company policy and practice prohibits unfair discrimination in underwriting in accordance with statutory requirements.
- Written Company underwriting guidelines are designed to reasonably assure appropriate acceptance and rejection of risks on a proper, consistent and fair basis.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquity appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel with responsibility for the underwriting process. RNA selected 10 ocean marine policies issued or renewed during the examination period to test whether underwriting, rating and classification are based on adequate information developed at or near inception of coverage.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: Based on the results of testing, it appears that the Company is using underwriting, rating and classification guidelines based on adequate information developed at or near inception of coverage.

Recommendations: None.

Standard VI-18. Audits, when required, are conducted accurately and timely.

See Standard I-1 in Company Operations/Management for audits by external and internal auditors.

<u>Standard VI-19</u>. The regulated entity underwriting practices are not unfairly discriminatory. The company adheres to applicable statutes, rules and regulations and regulated entity guidelines in the selection of risks.

See Standard VI-4 for testing of this standard.

<u>Standard VI-20</u>. All forms and endorsements, forming a part of the contract are listed on the declaration page and should be filed with the Department of Insurance (if applicable).

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses whether policy forms and endorsements are filed with the Division for approval.

Controls Assessment: See Standard VI-5.

Controls Reliance: See Standard VI-5.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel with responsibility for the underwriting process. RNA selected 10 ocean marine policies and one endorsement issued or renewed during the examination period, to test for the use of policy forms and approved endorsements in compliance with Company policies and procedures.

Transaction Testing Results.

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: Based on the results of testing, it appears that the Company is using acceptable policy forms and endorsements in compliance with policies and procedures.

Recommendations: None.

<u>Standard VI-21</u>. The company does not engage in collusive or anti-competitive underwriting practices.

M.G.L. c. 176D, §§ 3(4) and 3A.

Objective: This Standard addresses whether the Company has engaged in any collusive or anti-competitive underwriting practices.

Pursuant to both M.G.L. c. 176D, § 3(4) and M.G.L. c. 176D, § 3A, it is an unfair method of competition, and an unfair or deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance, to enter into any agreement, or to commit any act of boycott, coercion or intimidation resulting in, or tending to result in, unreasonable restraint of, or monopoly in, the business of insurance.

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: The following key observations were noted in conjunction with the review of this Standard:

- Company policy requires that the underwriting department apply consistent underwriting practices, and that no underwriter or producer shall engage in collusive or anticompetitive practices.
- The Company conducts compliance audits of its producers regarding required maintenance of certain underwriting information that is retained by the producer.
- Company policy prohibits unfair discrimination in the application of premium discounts and surcharges, and in the application of the general rating methodology, in accordance with company policies and procedures.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquiry appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure:</u> RNA interviewed Company personnel with responsibility for the underwriting process. RNA selected 10 ocean marine policies issued or renewed during the examination period, to determine whether any underwriting practices appeared collusive or anticompetitive.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: Based on the results of testing, RNA noted no instances where the Company's underwriting policies and practices appeared collusive or anti-competitive.

Recommendations: None.

<u>Standard VI-22</u>. The regulated entity underwriting practices are not unfairly discriminatory. The regulated entity adheres to applicable statutes, rules and regulations in application of mass marketing plans.

No work performed. This Standard is not covered in the scope of examination because the Company does not offer mass marketing plans.

<u>Standard VI-23</u>. All group personal lines property and casualty policies and programs meet minimum requirements.

No work performed. This Standard is not covered in the scope of examination because the Company does not offer group products.

<u>Standard VI-24</u>. Cancellation/non-renewal notices comply with policy provisions and state laws, including the amount of advance notice provided to the insured and other parties to the contract.

See Standard VI-8 for testing of this standard.

<u>Standard VI-25</u>. Regulated entity verifies that VIN number submitted with application is valid and that the correct symbol is utilized.

No work performed. This Standard is not covered in the scope of examination because the Company does not offer automobile coverage.

Standard VI-26. All policies are correctly coded

Objective: This Standard addresses the accuracy of statistical coding.

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: The following key observations were noted in conjunction with the review of this Standard:

- The Company has written underwriting policies and procedures which are designed to reasonably assure consistency in classification and rating.
- Company policy is to timely accumulate complete and accurate premium data.
- The Company conducts compliance audits of its producers regarding required maintenance of certain underwriting information that is retained by the producer.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquiry appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel with responsibility for the underwriting process, and selected 10 ocean marine policies issued or renewed during the examination period to test data coding.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: Based on the results of testing, it appears that the Company uses proper data coding procedures.

Recommendations: None.

<u>Standard VI-27</u>. Application or enrollment forms are properly, accurately and fully completed, including any required signatures, and file documentation supports underwriting decisions made.

<u>Objective</u>: This Standard addresses whether policy file documentation adequately supports decisions made in underwriting and rating.

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: The following key observations were noted in conjunction with the review of this Standard:

- Company policy requires that the underwriting files support its underwriting and rating decisions.
- Producers are responsible for completing applications for new business and obtaining information needed to properly underwrite and rate the policy.
- Underwriting personnel review the applications submitted by producers for completeness and internal consistency.
- The Company conducts compliance audits of its producers regarding required maintenance of certain underwriting information that is retained by the producer.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquiry appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel with responsibility for the underwriting process, and selected 10 ocean marine policies issued or renewed during the examination period, to test whether policy files adequately support the Company's decisions.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: Based on the results of testing, it appears that policy files adequately supported the Company's decisions.

VII. CLAIMS

Evaluation of the Standards in this business area is based on (a) an assessment of the Company's internal control environment, policies and procedures (b) the Company's response to various information requests, and (c) a review of several types of files at the Company.

<u>Standard VII-1</u>. The initial contact by the regulated entity with the claimant is within the required time frame.

M.G.L. c. 176D, § 3(9)(b).

<u>Objective</u>: The Standard addresses the timeliness of the Company's initial contact with the claimant.

Pursuant to M.G.L. c. 176D, § 3(9)(b), unfair claim settlement practices include failure to acknowledge and act reasonably promptly upon communications with respect to claims arising under insurance policies.

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: The following key observations were noted in conjunction with the review of Standards VII-1 through VII-13:

- Written Company policies and procedures govern the claims handling process.
- A majority of claims are reported through one of the Company's agents. Written claim forms are received via fax, mail, or electronically. Company policy requires that a claim file be established and an adjustor assigned within 24 hours of the receipt of the loss notice.
- All ocean marine claims require a notarized attestation of the facts of the claim from the ship's captain (master of vessel).
- Ocean marine claims may be assigned to an outside investigator when needed or, alternatively, a written estimate for hull repairs from the owner may be accepted and the claim paid accordingly.
- Company policy is to acknowledge homeowners claims within 24 hours, and ocean marine claims within two business days.
- OB's claim department performs monthly branch self-audits to review and evaluate processed claims for adherence to OB and Company policies and procedures. Further, OB's home office claims management conducts quality control audits evaluating settlement practices by reviewing bodily injury settlements, liability claims and material damage claims.
- ensure appropriate reserves have been established.
- Company policy is to pay claims upon receipt of a proof of the claim.
- Claims management uses exception reports to measure operational effectiveness and claim processing time.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquiry appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel to understand its claim handling processes, and obtained documentation supporting such processes. RNA selected two homeowners and three ocean marine claims processed during the examination period, to evaluate the Company's compliance with its claim handling policies and procedures. RNA verified the date each selected claim was reported to the Company, and noted whether its initial contact with the claimant was timely acknowledged.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: The claim transactions tested were processed according to the Company's policies and procedures, and the Company's initial contact with claimants was timely. Based upon the results of testing, it appears that the Company's processes for making initial contact with claimants are functioning in accordance with its policies, procedures, and statutory requirements.

Recommendations: None.

Standard VII-2. Timely investigations are conducted.

M.G.L. c. 176D, § 3(9)(c).

Objective: The Standard addresses the timeliness of the Company's claims investigations.

Pursuant to M.G.L. c. 176D, § 3(9)(c), unfair claims settlement practices include failure to adopt and implement reasonable standards for the prompt investigation of a claim.

Controls Assessment: See Standard VII-1.

Controls Reliance: See Standard VII-1.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel to understand its claim handling processes, and obtained documentation supporting such processes. RNA selected two homeowners and three ocean marine claims processed during the examination period to evaluate the Company's compliance with its claim handling policies and procedures, and to verify that it conducts timely investigations.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: Based upon the results of testing, it appears that the Company's processes for investigating claims are functioning in accordance with its policies, procedures and statutory requirements.

Standard VII-3. Claims are resolved in a timely manner.

General: M.G.L. c. 176D, § 3(9)(f); M.G.L. c. 175, § 28.

Objective: The Standard addresses the timeliness of the Company's claim settlements.

Pursuant to M.G.L. c. 176D, § 3(9)(f), unfair claim settlement practices include failing to effectuate prompt, fair and equitable settlements of claims in which liability has become reasonably clear. In addition, if an insurer makes a practice of unduly engaging in litigation, or of unreasonably and unfairly delaying the adjustment or payment of legally valid claims, M.G.L. c. 175, § 28 authorizes the Commissioner to make a special report of findings to the General Court.

Controls Assessment: See Standard VII-1.

Controls Reliance: See Standard VII-1.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel to understand its claim handling processes, and obtained documentation supporting such processes. RNA selected two homeowners and three ocean marine claims processed during the examination period, to verify that claim resolutions were timely.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: Based upon the results of testing, it appears that the Company resolves claims timely in compliance with Company policies, procedures and statutory requirements.

Recommendation: None.

Standard VII-4. The regulated entity responds to claim correspondence in a timely manner.

M.G.L. c. 176D, §§ 3(9)(b) and 3(9)(e).

<u>Objective</u>: The Standard addresses the timeliness of the Company's response to all claim correspondence.

Pursuant to M.G.L. c. 176D, §§ 3(9)(b) and 3(9)(e), respectively, unfair claim settlement practices include failure to promptly address communications for insurance claims, and failure to affirm or deny coverage within a reasonable time after the claimant has given proof of loss.

Controls Assessment: See VII-1.

Controls Reliance: See VII-1.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel to understand its claim handling processes, and obtained documentation supporting such processes. RNA selected two

homeowners and three ocean marine claims processed during the examination period to verify that claims correspondence was answered timely.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: RNA noted that correspondence for the tested claims was answered timely. Based upon the results of testing, it appears that the Company timely responds to claim correspondence, in compliance with its policies, procedures and statutory requirements.

Recommendations: None.

Standard VII-5. Claim files are adequately documented.

<u>Objective</u>: The Standard addresses the adequacy of information maintained in the Company's claim records.

Controls Assessment: See VII-1.

Controls Reliance: See VII-1.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel to understand its claim handling processes, and obtained documentation supporting such processes. RNA selected two homeowners and three ocean marine claims processed during the examination period, to verify that claim files were adequately documented.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: RNA noted that the files for tested claims were adequately documented. Based upon the results of testing, it appears that the Company's processes for documenting claim files are functioning in accordance with its policies and procedures.

Recommendations: None.

<u>Standard VII-6</u>. Claims are properly handled in accordance with policy provisions and applicable statutes (including HIPAA), rules and regulations.

General: M.G.L. c. 176D, §§ 3(9)(d) and 3(9)(f); M.G.L. c. 175, §§ 22I, 24D, 24E, 24F, 111F, 112, 112C and 193K.

Property/Liability: M.G.L. c. 175, §§ 96, 97, 97A, 100, 102; M.G.L. c. 139, § 3B.

<u>Objective</u>: The Standard addresses whether appropriate claim amounts have been paid to the appropriate claimant/payee.

Pursuant to M.G.L. c. 176D, §§ 3(9)(d) and 3(9)(f), respectively, unfair claim settlement practices include refusal to pay claims without conducting a reasonable investigation based upon all available information; and unfair trade practices include failure to effectuate prompt, fair and equitable settlements of claims in which liability has become reasonably clear.

M.G.L. c. 175, § 22I allows companies to retain unpaid premium due from claim settlements. Claim payments must also comply with M.G.L. c. 175, § 24D to intercept non-recurring payments for past due child support. M.G.L. c. 175, § 24E, requires the insurer to exchange information with the Commonwealth not less than 10 business days prior to making payment to a claimant who has received public assistance benefits. M.G.L. c. 175, § 24F requires communication with the Commonwealth regarding unpaid taxes. Medical reports must be furnished to injured persons or their attorney pursuant to M.G.L. c. 175, § 111F. In addition, M.G.L. c. 175, § 112C requires companies to reveal to an injured party making a claim against an insured, the amount of the limits of said insured's liability coverage upon receiving a request in writing for such information.

M.G.L. c. 175, § 112 states that liability of any company under a motor vehicle liability policy, or under any other policy insuring against liability for loss or damage on account of bodily injury, death, or damage to property, shall become absolute whenever the loss or damage for which the insured is responsible occurs, and the satisfaction by the insured of a final judgment for such loss or damage shall not be a condition precedent to the right or duty of the company to make payment on account of said loss or damage.

M.G.L. c. 175, § 193K prohibits discrimination by companies in the reimbursement of proper expenses paid to certain professions and occupations, such as physicians or chiropractors.

Property/Liability Claims:

M.G.L. c. 175, § 96 limits the Company's liability to the actual cash value of the insured property when a building is totally destroyed by fire. In addition, if the insured has paid premiums on a coverage amount in excess of said actual cash value, the statute states the insured shall be reimbursed the proportionate excess of premiums paid with interest at six percent per year.

M.G.L. c. 175 § 97 requires the Company to pay fire losses to mortgagees of property upon satisfactory proof of rights and title in accordance with the insurance policy. Further, when a claim for loss of damage to property exceeds five thousand dollars, M.G.L. c. 175 § 97A requires the Company to ensure that the claimant submits to them a certificate of municipal liens from the collector of taxes in the city or town wherein such property is located. The Company shall pay to the city or town any amounts shown on the certificate of municipal liens as outstanding on the date of loss. The provisions of M.G.L. c. 175 § 97A do not apply to certain owner-occupied dwellings.

M.G.L. c. 139, § 3B prohibits the Company from paying claims covering loss or damage to a building or other structure (defined as "dangerous" pursuant to M.G.L. c. 143, § 6) in excess of one thousand dollars, without having given 10 days written notice to the building commissioner or inspector of buildings appointed pursuant to the state building code, to the fire department, and to the board of health, in the city or town where the property located.

M.G.L. c. 175, § 100 sets forth standards for selecting a referee if the parties to a claim fail to agree on the amount of loss. In addition, M.G.L. c. 175 § 102 states the failure of the insured

under a fire policy to render a sworn statement shall not preclude recovery if the insured renders a sworn statement after receiving a written request for such sworn statement from the Company. M.G.L. c. 175, § 102 further defines requirements related to such a request for a sworn statement made by the Company.

Controls Assessment: See VII-1.

Controls Reliance: See VII-1.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel to understand its claim handling processes, and obtained documentation supporting such processes. RNA selected two homeowners and three ocean marine claims processed during the examination period, to verify that claims were handled in accordance with applicable policy provisions, and statutory and regulatory requirements.

<u>Transaction Testing Results:</u>

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: Based upon the results of testing, it appears that the Company's processes for handling claims in accordance with policy provisions, statutory and regulatory requirements are functioning in accordance with its policies and procedures.

Recommendations: None.

Standard VII-7. Regulated entity claim forms are appropriate for the type of product.

<u>Objective</u>: The Standard addresses the Company's use of claim forms that are proper for the type of product.

Controls Assessment: See Standard VII-1.

Controls Reliance: See Standard VII-1.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel to understand its claim handling processes, and obtained documentation supporting such processes. RNA reviewed two homeowners and three ocean marine claims processed during the examination period, to note whether claim forms were appropriate for the type of product.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: RNA noted that claim forms for the tested claims were appropriate and used in accordance with the Company's policies and procedures.

<u>Standard VII-8</u>. Claim files are reserved in accordance with the regulated entity's established procedures.

<u>Objective</u>: The Standard addresses the adequacy of information maintained in the Company's claim records related to its reserving practices.

Controls Assessment: See Standard VII-1.

Controls Reliance: See Standard VII-1.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel to understand its claim handling processes, and obtained documentation supporting such processes. RNA reviewed two homeowners and three ocean marine claims processed during the examination period, to note whether claim reserves were evaluated, established and adjusted in a reasonably timely manner.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: RNA noted that the reserves for the tested claims were evaluated, established and adjusted according to the Company's policies and procedures. Based upon the results of testing, it appears that the Company's processes for evaluating, establishing and adjusting claim reserves are functioning in accordance with its policies and procedures, and are reasonably timely.

Recommendations: None.

Standard VII-9. Denied and closed without payment claims are handled in accordance with policy provisions and state law.

M.G.L. c. 176D, §§ 3(9)(d), 3(9)(h) and 3(9)(n).

<u>Objective</u>: The Standard addresses the Company's decision-making and documentation of denied and closed-without-payment claims.

Pursuant to M.G.L. c. 176D, § 3(9)(d), unfair claims settlement practices include refusal to pay claims without conducting a reasonable investigation based upon all available information. Pursuant to M.G.L. c. 176D, § 3(9)(h), unfair claims settlement practices include attempting to settle a claim for an amount less than a reasonable person would have believed he or she was entitled to receive. M.G.L. c. 176D, § 3(9)(n) considers failure to provide a reasonable and prompt explanation of the basis for denial of a claim an unfair claims settlement practice.

Controls Assessment: See Standard VII-1.

Controls Reliance: See Standard VII-1.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel to understand its claim handling processes, and obtained documentation supporting such processes. RNA selected one homeowners and one ocean marine claim denied or closed without payment during the

examination period for testing. RNA reviewed the claim correspondence and investigative reports, and noted whether the Company handled the claims timely and properly before closing them.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: RNA noted that the files for the denied or closed without payment claims tested appeared complete, including correspondence and other documentation. Further, the Company's conclusions appeared reasonable. Based upon the results of testing, it appears that the Company's processes do not unreasonably deny or delay payment of claims.

Recommendations: None.

<u>Standard VII-10</u>. Cancelled benefit checks and drafts reflect appropriate claim handling practices.

<u>Objective</u>: The Standard addresses the Company's procedures for issuing claim checks as they relate to appropriate claim handling practices.

Controls Assessment: See Standard VII-1.

Controls Reliance: See Standard VII-1.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel to understand its claim handling processes, and obtained documentation supporting such processes. RNA reviewed two homeowners and three ocean marine claims processed during the examination period, to note whether claim payment practices were appropriate, and whether there were inappropriate releases of Company liability.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: RNA noted that each claim selected for testing was recorded according to the Company's policies and procedures, and that claim payment documentation was adequate. RNA noted no instances where claim payment practices appeared inappropriate. Based upon the results of testing, it appears that the Company's processes for issuing claim payment checks are appropriate and functioning in accordance with its policies and procedures.

<u>Standard VII-11</u>. Claim handling practices do not compel claimants to institute litigation, in cases of clear liability and coverage, to recover amounts due under policies by offering substantially less than is due under the policy.

M.G.L. c. 176D, §§ 3(9)(g) and 3(9)(h), M.G.L. c. 175, § 28.

<u>Objective</u>: The Standard addresses whether the Company's claim handling practices force claimants to (a) initiate litigation for the claim payment, or (b) accept a settlement that is substantially less than what the policy contract provides.

Pursuant to M.G.L. c. 176D, §§ 3(9)(g) and 3(9)(h), unfair claims settlement practices include (a) compelling insureds to initiate litigation to recover amounts due under an insurance policy by offering substantially less than the amounts ultimately recovered in actions brought by such insureds, and (b) attempting to settle a claim for less than the amount to which a reasonable person would have believed he or she was entitled by reference to written or printed advertising material accompanying or made part of an application. Moreover, if an insurer makes a practice of unduly engaging in litigation, or of unreasonably and unfairly delaying the adjustment or payment of legally valid claims, M.G. L. c. 175, § 28 authorizes the Commissioner to make a special report of findings to the General Court.

Controls Assessment: See Standard VII-1.

Controls Reliance: See Standard VII-1.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel to understand its claim handling processes, and obtained documentation supporting such processes. RNA reviewed two homeowners and three ocean marine claims processed during the examination period, to note whether claim reserves were evaluated, established and adjusted in a reasonably timely manner. When applicable, RNA verified the date the claims were reported, reviewed correspondence and investigative reports, and noted the whether the Company handled the claims timely and properly.

Transaction Testing Results

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: Documentation for the selected claims involving litigation appeared complete, including correspondence and other documentation. Further, the Company's conclusions appeared reasonable. Based upon the results of testing, it appears that the Company's processes do not unreasonably deny claims or compel claimants to initiate litigation.

Recommendations: None.

<u>Standard VII-12</u>. Regulated entity uses the reservation of rights and excess of loss letters, when appropriate.

<u>Objective</u>: The Standard addresses the Company's use of reservation of rights letters, and its procedures for notifying an insured when the amount of loss will exceed policy limits.

Controls Assessment: See VII-1.

Controls Reliance: See VII-1.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel to understand its claim handling processes, and obtained documentation supporting such processes. RNA reviewed two homeowners and three ocean marine claims processed during the examination period, to note whether reservations of rights or excess loss letters were warranted.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: RNA noted that the tested claims were reported according to the Company's policies and procedures, and noted no instances where a reservation of rights or excess loss letter was used inappropriately. Based upon the results of testing, it appears that the Company's processes for utilizing reservation of rights and excess loss letters are functioning in accordance with its policies and procedures.

Recommendations: None.

<u>Standard VII-13</u>. Deductible reimbursement to insureds upon subrogation recovery is made in a timely and accurate manner.

<u>Objective</u>: The Standard addresses the Company's timely refund of deductibles from subrogation proceeds.

Controls Assessment: See Standard VII-1.

Controls Reliance: See Standard VII-1.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure</u>: RNA interviewed Company personnel to understand claims handling processes, and obtained documentation supporting such processes. RNA reviewed two homeowners and three ocean marine claims processed during the examination period, to note whether subrogation recoveries were reasonably timely and accurate.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: RNA noted that the tested claims were accurately recorded according to the Company's policies and procedures, and noted no instances where subrogation recovery was not made in a timely and accurate manner. Based upon the results of testing, it appears that the Company's processes for making subrogation recoveries to insureds are functioning in accordance with its policies and procedures.

Standard VII-14. Loss statistical coding is complete and accurate.

M.G.L. c. 175A, § 15(a) and 211 CMR 15.00.

<u>Objective</u>: The Standard is addresses the Company's complete and accurate reporting of loss statistical data to appropriate rating bureaus.

Pursuant to M.G.L. c. 175A, § 15(a), insurers must record and report their loss and countrywide expense experience in accordance with the statistical plan promulgated by the Commissioner and the rating system on file with the Commissioner. The Commissioner may designate a rating agency or agencies to assist her in the compilation of such data. In accordance with 211 CMR 15.00, the Commissioner established and fixed various statistical plans to be used in relation to homeowners' insurance and related coverages, in accordance with M.G.L. c. 175A, § 15(a).

<u>Controls Assessment</u>: The following key observations were noted in conjunction with the review of this Standard:

- Company policy is to timely report complete and accurate homeowners' property/liability loss data to ISO in the required format.
- The Company quarterly reports detailed homeowners' property/liability loss data to ISO, including loss experience by line of business, type of loss, dollar amounts, claim counts, accident dates, territory, etc.

<u>Controls Reliance</u>: Controls tested via documentation inspection, procedure observation and/or corroborating inquiry appear to be sufficiently reliable to be considered in determining the extent of transaction testing procedures.

<u>Transaction Testing Procedure:</u> RNA interviewed Company personnel to understand its loss statistical reporting processes, and obtained documentation supporting such processes. RNA reviewed detailed reports from ISO showing the Company's loss data in summary format, and reviewed the ISO reports for reasonableness compared to Company statistical data.

Transaction Testing Results:

Findings: None.

<u>Observations</u>: The Company generally appears to report loss statistical data to ISO timely and accurately, and its processes are functioning in accordance with the Company's policies, procedures and statutory requirements.

SUMMARY

Based upon the procedures performed in this comprehensive examination, RNA has reviewed and tested Company operations/management, complaint handling, marketing and sales, producer licensing, policyholder service, underwriting and rating, and claims as set forth in the 2006 NAIC Market Regulation Handbook, the market conduct examination standards of the Division, and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts insurance laws, regulations and bulletins. We have made recommendations to address various concerns in the areas of producer licensing and underwriting and rating.

ROP THE OR WASHINGTON TO THE ROPE THE OR THE

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This is to certify that the undersigned is duly qualified and that, in conjunction with Rudmose & Noller Advisors, LLC, applied certain agreed-upon procedures to the corporate records of the Company in order for the Division of Insurance of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts to perform a comprehensive market conduct examination ("comprehensive examination") of the Company.

The undersigned's participation in this comprehensive examination as the Examiner-In-Charge encompassed responsibility for the coordination and direction of the examination performed, which was in accordance with, and substantially complied with, those standards established by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC") and the 2006 NAIC Market Regulation Handbook. This participation consisted of involvement in the planning (development, supervision and review of agreed-upon procedures), administration and preparation of the comprehensive examination report. In addition to the undersigned, Dorothy K. Raymond of the Division's Market Conduct Section participated in this examination and in the preparation of the report.

The cooperation and assistance of the officers and employees of the Company extended to all examiners during the course of the examination is hereby acknowledged.

Matthew C. Regan, III
Director of Market Conduct &
Examiner-In-Charge
Commonwealth of Massachusetts
Division of Insurance
Boston, Massachusetts