

# **COMMISSION MEETING**

## NOVEMBER 16, 2023

(Public Notice: G.L. C-30A, Sec. 20, November 14, 2023)









	Торіс	Speaker	Schedule
I	Minutes, September 21, 2023 (VOTE)	Valerie Sullivan, Chair Andrew Stern, General Counsel	8:30-8:40
II	Executive Director's Report (INFORM)	Matthew Veno, Executive Director	8:40-8:45
III	Cost Trends Report (INFORM)	David Seltz, Executive Director, Health Policy Commission (HPC) Dr. David Auerbach, Senior Director, Research and Cost Trends, HPC	8:45-10:00
IV	Audit Services Procurement Recommendation (VOTE)	James Rust, Chief Financial Officer	10:00-10:15
v	CFO Report (INFORM)	James Rust, Chief Financial Officer	10:15-10:25
VI	Other Business/Adjournment	Valerie Sullivan, Chair Matthew Veno, Executive Director	10:25-10:30



### Motion

That the Commission hereby approves the minutes of its meeting held on <u>September 21, 2023</u> as presented.

- Valerie Sullivan, Chair
- Bobbi Kaplan, Vice-Chair
- Cassandra Roeder (A&F Designee)
- Rebecca Butler (Designee for DOI)
- Elizabeth Chabot
- Edward Tobey Choate
- Tamara Davis

- Jane Edmonds
- Joseph Gentile
- Gerzino Guirand
- Patricia Jennings
- Eileen P. McAnneny
- Melissa Murphy-Rodrigues
- Timothy D. Sullivan
- Anna Sinaiko



### II. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT (INFORM)

Matthew Veno, Executive Director & Members of Senior Staff

0: @:



### Projected 2023 Calendar\*



\* Topics and meeting dates are subject to change



#### **III. COST TRENDS REPORT**

#### David Seltz,

Executive Director, Health Policy Commission

&

#### Dr. David Auerbach,

Senior Director, Research and Cost Trends, Health Policy Commission



# Advancing Health Equity: Navigating Cost Containment and Affordability

**David Seltz, Executive Director** 

Dr. David Auerbach, Senior Director, Research and Cost Trends

Massachusetts Health Policy Commission

November 16, 2023





### THE MASSACHUSETTS HEALTH POLICY COMMISSION

Health Care Spending and Pricing Trends

**HPC 2023 Policy Recommendations** 

# In 2012, Massachusetts became the first state to establish a target for sustainable health care spending growth.





### **The Health Care Cost Growth Benchmark**



- Sets a prospective target for controlling the growth of total health care expenditures across all payers (public and private) and is tied to the state's long-term economic growth rate.
- The health care cost growth benchmark is not a cap on spending or provider-specific prices, but is a measurable goal for restraining excessive health care spending growth and advancing health care affordability.
- To promote accountability for meeting the state's benchmark target, the HPC can require health care providers and health plans to implement Performance Improvement Plans and submit to public monitoring.
- A PIP of an individual provider or health plan is only required following a retrospective, comprehensive, and multi-factor review of the entity's performance by the HPC, including evaluating cost drivers outside of the entity's control and the entity's market position, among other factors.

#### **TOTAL HEALTH CARE EXPENDITURES**

**Definition:** Annual per capita sum of all health care expenditures in the Commonwealth from public and private sources

#### Includes:

- All categories of medical expenses and all non-claims related payments to providers
- All patient cost-sharing amounts, such as deductibles and copayments
- Administrative cost of private health insurance

### Chapter 224 established two independent state agencies to work together and monitor the state's health care performance and make data-driven policy recommendations.



<b>HPC</b> Massachusetts Health Policy Commission (HPC)		Center for Health Information and Analysis (CHIA)
Policy hub	PURPOSE	Data hub
Independent state agency governed by an 11-member board with diverse experience in health care	OVERSIGHT	Independent state agency overseen by a Council chaired by the Secretary of Health and Human Services
Sets statewide health care cost growth benchmark Enforces performance against the benchmark Registers provider organizations Conducts cost and market impact reviews Holds annual cost trend hearings and produces annual cost trends reports Supports innovative care delivery investments Certifies accountable care organizations and patient-centered medical homes Conducts research and analysis to support the HPC's policy agenda	DUTIES	<text><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></text>

The Health Policy Commission's Mission and Goal



The HPC's mission is to advance a more transparent, accountable, and equitable health care system through its independent policy leadership and innovative investment programs. The HPC's overall goal is better health and better care – at a lower cost – for all residents across the Commonwealth.

### The work of the HPC is overseen by an 11-member Board of Commissioners who are appointed by the Governor, Attorney General, and State Auditor.



#### **GOVERNOR** Maura Healey

- · Chair with expertise in health care delivery
- Primary care physician
- Expertise in health plan administration and finance
- Secretary of Administration and Finance
- Secretary of Health and Human Services



#### ATTORNEY GENERAL Andrea Campbell

- Expertise as a health economist
- Expertise in behavioral health
- Expertise in health care consumer advocacy



#### **STATE AUDITOR** Diana DiZoglio



### Expertise in innovative medicine

- Expertise in representing the health care workforce
- Expertise as a purchaser of health insurance

**HEALTH POLICY COMMISSION BOARD** 



Deborah Devaux, Chair



ADVISORY COUNCIL

Eight states have now established statewide health care cost growth targets, cumulatively representing one in five residents in the U.S.



How states use cost-growth benchmark programs to contain health care costs. The National Academy for State Health Policy. (2022, February 1). Retrieved from https://www.nashp.org/how-states-use-cost-growth-benchmark-programs-to-contain-health-care-costs/

# The HPC employs four core strategies to realize its vision of better care, better health, and lower costs for all people of the Commonwealth.

community to influence their

actions on a topic or problem



### **RESEARCH AND REPORT** Investigate, analyze, and report trends and insights WATCHDOG Monitor and intervene when necessary to assure market performance PARTNER Engage with individuals, groups, and organizations to achieve mutual goals **CONVENE** Bring together stakeholder

9





The Massachusetts Health Policy Commission

### **HEALTH CARE SPENDING AND PRICING TRENDS**

**HPC 2023 Policy Recommendations** 

# Total health care spending growth in Massachusetts was above the benchmark, on average, from 2017 – 2021.





# Commercial spending growth was substantially above the benchmark and the U.S. average from 2019 – 2021.



Annual growth in per capita commercial health care spending, Massachusetts and the U.S., 2006-2021. Data for 2020 and 2021 represent average annual growth from 2019-2021. Other data points represent growth from the previous year to the year shown.



Notes: Massachusetts data include full-claims members only. Commercial spending is net of prescription drug rebates and excludes net cost of private health insurance. Sources: Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, National Healthcare Expenditure Accounts Personal Health Care Expenditures, 2014-2021 and State Healthcare Expenditure Accounts 2005-2014; Center for Health Information and Analysis, Total Health Care Expenditures, 2014-2021.

# Growth in health insurance premiums and claims spending outpaced wages and inflation in Massachusetts from 2019 – 2021.





# In total, Massachusetts health insurance premiums have grown more than twice as fast as income and three times as fast as inflation since 2000.



Cumulative growth in each indicator, 2000-2022



Notes: Employee contributions to family health insurance premiums have increased as a share of the total premium from 21% in 2000 to 25% in 2022.

Sources: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality: Medical Expenditure Panel Survey-Insurance Component; Census Bureau Current Population Survey (2000-2004); American Community Survey, 1-year (2005-2022); Bureau of Labor Statistics, CPI-U.

Premiums have continued to grow, even as costs have shifted to patients in the form of higher deductibles. 43% of privately insured had high deductible plans in 2021. Percentage of commercially-insured Massachusetts residents with highdeductible plans



Source: CHIA Annual Report on the Performance of the Massachusetts Health Care System: <u>https://www.chiamass.gov/annual-report/</u>. Notes: High deductible defined as individual deductibles greater than \$1,400 in 2020 and 2021 and \$1,350 in 2019.

2021 HDHP Members After years of growth, the average cost of insurance for a family in Massachusetts reached \$26,000 in 2022.



#### **NATIONALLY, PREMIUMS ROSE AN ADDITIONAL 7% IN 2023**

Notes: Cost sharing amount based on data on cost sharing relative to premium payments in 2021 from CHIA Annual Report, 2023. Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality: Medical Expenditure Panel Survey-Insurance Component and Center for Health Information and Analysis, Annual Report, 2023.

# Many residents face premiums and deductibles much higher than these averages. 10% of residents had premiums over \$31,000 and/or deductibles over \$7,000.





### Where does the \$26,000 in average family health care costs come from?





### Where does the \$26,000 in average family health care costs go?





Notes: Prescription drug spending is net of rebates. Figures are based on breakdown of 2021 commercial spending applied to 2022 premium and out of pocket spending. Professional fees associated with care provided in hospitals or other facilities is included in the "Physicians" or "Other professionals" categories. Source: Total Medical Expenditures data obtained from the Center for Health Information and Analysis. CHIA Annual Report on the performance of the Massachusetts health care system, 2023.

# Added to other basic costs of living, health care costs at this level require sacrifices in other areas of life for many Massachusetts families.





Notes: The average employer premium contribution is added back to income. Health insurance premiums include employer portion. Family of 4 includes a 4 year old, an 8 year old, and two adults. Costs are for a family in the Boston metro area.

Data sources: Economic Policy Institute (cost of living for Boston Metro Area family of 4) https://www.epi.org/resources/budget/. AHRQ MEPS-IC (premiums), CHIA Annual Report (out of pocket).

Reducing excessive health care spending is essential to achieving an affordable, equitable, and accessible health care system for all residents of Massachusetts.



- These trends are unsustainable for government, employers (particularly small businesses), and residents.
  - The current trajectory of commercial spending growth will continue to erode take-home pay, increase avoidance of care, worsen health outcomes, and will require more and more residents to choose between health care and other basic needs.
- Limiting the future growth of health care spending will require identifying areas where spending growth can be moderated without harming access to and quality of care, particularly as policymakers and the HPC have identified the need for investments in primary care, behavioral health care, health equity, the health care workforce, and in under-resourced providers.

Prescription drugs and hospital outpatient services were leading drivers of commercial spending growth from 2019-2021.

### Annual per-member growth rate in spending between 2019-2021

- Retail prescription drugs (net of rebates): 7.7%
- Hospital outpatient services: 5.4%
  - Facility spending: 6.5%
  - Professional spending: 1.7%
- Hospital inpatient services: 4.3%
  - Facility spending: 4.8%
  - Professional spending: 1.6%
- Office, urgent care, retail clinic: 1.2%

Notes: Average rebate percentages are applied to retail prescription drug spending but not clinician-administered drug spending. Clinicianadministered drug spending includes the professional spending associated with these encounters. Hospital outpatient spending includes some additional settings that bill on facility claims (UB-04) such as Ambulatory Surgical Centers.

Sources: HPC analysis of the Massachusetts All Payer Claims Database. Retail drug analysis and per-member spending analysis examining retail and clinician-administered drug exclude Anthem.





# Price growth accelerated in 2021 and accounts for the majority of commercial spending growth.



Annual percentage increase in aggregate prices by setting, 2018-2021



Notes: Only procedure codes that were billed from 2018 through 2021 were included (thus, COVID-related services are excluded). HOPD and office price growth includes both facility and professional spending. Price growth is computed at the level of a procedure code encounter. Procedure code encounters are defined as the same person, same date of service, and the same procedure code to capture the potential for both facility and professional claims billed on the same day for the same service based on the setting. The inpatient stay price growth reflects change in payment for inpatient stay divided by APR-DRG weight (case-mix adjusted). Payment growth for inpatient stays include all services provided during the hospital stay. Procedures codes with fewer than 20 services or \$1,000 in aggregate spending during the period were excluded. Percent changes were weighted by the most contemporary aggregate spending for each procedure code (e.g., 2019 for the 2018-19 period). Sources: HPC Annual Cost Trends Report, 2023.

# Average commercial prices (gross) for branded prescription drugs increased 15% in 2021 to over \$1,000 per prescription, with 6% of prescriptions exceeding \$5,000.



Gross spending distribution per branded prescription, 2017-2021



The price of generic drugs has remained stable, with average spending of \$30 per prescription in 2017 and \$31 in 2021.

Notes: Claims with implausible spending and cost-sharing values were excluded. COVID-19 vaccines were excluded from analysis in 2021. Sources: HPC analysis of the Center for Health Information and Analysis (CHIA) All-Payer Claims database, 2017-2021. Data for 4 large payers were included in the analysis.

### Price variation is very high even for commodity-like basic services



\$19,759 \$13,982 \$13,697 The Medicare cost \$13,267 \$12,432 6,304 to provide the lab \$11,385 \$11,319 \$10,699 \$10,583 market basket in \$10,687 \$10,073 2021 was \$3,661 \$9,689 \$9,612 \$9,533 \$9,537 \$8,927 \$8,771 \$8,684 \$8,634 \$8,677 \$8,523 \$8,499 \$8,372 \$8,338 \$7,889 \$7,836 \$7,887 \$7,833 \$7,693 \$7,686 \$7,601 \$7,371 \$6,398 \$6,139 \$5,753 \$5,725 \$5,707 \$5,574 \$5,130 \$5,083 \$5,021 \$4,195 \$4,033 \$3,884 \$3,524 Wellforce Life Labs LabCorp MGB Reliant Atrius BILH Quest Boston Children's **Massachusetts General** Sturdy Memorial Brigham And Women's South Shore North Shore MC Melrose Wakefield Healthcare Tufts MC UMass Memorial MC **Cooley Dickinson** Metrowest MC Emerson Hospital **Beth Israel Deaconess MC** Lowell General Beth Israel Deaconess - Needham Lahey Hospital & MC Mount Auburn Milford Regional MC Signature Healthcare Brockton Southcoast Hospitals Group Baystate MC Cambridge Health Alliance Northeast Beth Israel Deaconess - Milton HealthAlliance Anna Jaques Medical Diagnostic Labs Heywood Lawrence General Beth Israel Deaconess - Plymouth MGB Reference Labs Steward East Side Clinical Labs **Baystate Reference Labs** Berkshire MC Newton-Wellesley Boston MC Winchester Office HOPD Independent Lab Cost sharing

Total cost of a fixed laboratory services market basket, including cost-sharing, among Massachusetts providers in 2021

Notes: The index represents the cost of the same 50 lab services in each hospital or provider shown, weighted by total statewide spending on each lab in 2019 and using the average price of each lab for each provider in 2021. Providers with fewer than 20 service encounters for any individual procedure code have imputed values (statewide mean price) for that procedure code and are not included if more than 20 procedure codes would need to be imputed. Sources: HPC analysis of the Center for Health Information and Analysis (CHIA) All-Payer Claims Database, 2019-2021, V 2021. Data for 5 large payers were included in the analysis; HPC analysis of information from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, Clinical Laboratory Fee Schedule (2021).

# 47% of imaging services performed in 2021 in HOPD settings were paid in excess of 200% of Medicare's HOPD price.



Percentage of imaging services paid at shown ranges relative to what Medicare would pay a HOPD, by setting of care, 2021



Note: Includes encounters for all Medicare covered imaging services. Benchmarks are applied at the level of a procedure code, and reflect the Medicare Physician Fee Schedule professional component and facility payment from the Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS). For services where there is no corresponding OPPS payment (e.g., mammography), the global MPFS payment amount (which corresponds to the entire payment for relevant professional and technical components of an when delivered in an office setting) was applied. Percentages are calculated as the aggregate utilization in each bin divided by total utilization for each care setting. Sources: HPC analysis of the Center for Health Information and Analysis (CHIA) All-Payer Claims Database, 2021, V 2021; HPC analysis of information from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, Medicare Physician Fee Schedule (2021)

- Imaging services make up approximately 5.5% of commercial health care spending.
- 47% of imaging services performed in HOPDs were paid more than 200% of Medicare's HOPD price, as were 11% of imaging services performed in an office setting.
- 22.5% of all imaging spending was above
  200% of Medicare's
  HOPD price.

# Overall, 27% of spending in the following categories was found to be excessive due to high prices. This excessive spending amounted to \$3.0 billion in 2021.



Estimated excessive spending using example benchmark for seven service categories, 2021

Service category	Modeled spending (millions), 2021	Price benchmark	% of spending over the price benchmark	Excessive spending (\$, millions)	Excessive spending (% of TME)
Labs	\$970M	200% of Medicare	22.9%	\$220M	0.9%
Specialty Services	\$620	200% of Medicare (Office)	35.4%	\$220	0.9%
Imaging	\$1,380	200% of Medicare – HOPD	18.8%	\$260	1.0%
Endoscopy/Colonoscopy	\$340	200% of Medicare	4.4%	\$10	0.06%
Inpatient Stays	\$3,620	200% of MassHealth	10.7%	\$390	1.4%
Clinician-Administered drugs	\$650	200% of Medicare	5.8%	\$40	0.2%
Prescription Drugs	\$3,580	120% of international prices	51.9%	\$1,860	7.5%
Total	\$11,150 (45% of TME)		26.9%	\$3,000 (12.0% of TME)	12.0%

Even a modest reduction in growth of commercial spending would lead to significant savings for Massachusetts families.



If Massachusetts health care spending grew 3.6% annually from 2024 to 2030, versus the current trajectory of 5.8%:

Total commercial spending on health care would be reduced by \$23.2 billion

### **14% lower**

family premiums and out of pocket spending (\$35,300 vs. \$40,900) \*in 2030

### \$12,840 more

in take-home pay per worker \*2024-2030 **\$2,107** Saved in out of pocket spending

\*2024-2030

#### Less care avoided due to cost Fewer financial harms

Premium data based on the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey – Insurance component and data from the Massachusetts Center for Health Information and Analysis on out of pocket spending. Calculations assume a 30% family marginal tax rate and that reductions in premium spending are reflected as increases in employee wages that face federal and state taxes. Total enrollment in commercial insurance is from CHIA's enrollment trends data.

### HPC 2023 Policy Recommendations: Focus on Affordability



#### MODERNIZE THE COMMONWEALTH'S BENCHMARK FRAMEWORK TO PRIORITIZE HEALTH CARE AFFORDABILITY AND EQUITY FOR ALL

#### Establish New Affordability Benchmark(s).

- To both complement and bolster the health care cost growth benchmark, the Commonwealth should develop an accountability framework for affordability of care for Massachusetts residents.
- As part of a strategy that tracks improvement on indicators of affordability, including the differential impact of both health plan premiums and consumer out-of-pocket spending by income, geography, market segment, and other factors, an **affordability index** should be measured annually in a benchmark-like process.
- To enable public transparency and accountability, the state's performance on the affordability index and other measures should be incorporated into CHIA's Annual Report and the HPC's Annual Cost Trends Hearing. Such targets should inform the development of new health plan affordability standards at the Division of Insurance (DOI) that play a central role in DOI's review and approval of health plan rates.





The Massachusetts Health Policy Commission

Health Care Spending and Pricing Trends



### **HPC 2023 POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS**


## Modernize the Commonwealth's Benchmark Framework to Prioritize Health Care Affordability and Equity For All.

As recommended in past years, the Commonwealth should strengthen the accountability mechanisms of the benchmark such as by updating the metrics and referral standards used in performance improvement plan (PIP) process and enhance transparency and PIP enforcement tools. The state should also modernize its health care policy framework to promote affordability and equity including through the establishment of affordability and equity benchmarks.

- Strengthen the Health Care Cost Growth Benchmark
- Establish New Affordability Benchmark(s)
- Establish New Health Equity Benchmark(s)

2





### **Constrain Excessive Provider Prices.**

As found in previous cost trends reports, prices continue to be the primary driver of health care spending growth in Massachusetts. To address the substantial impact of high and variable provider prices, the HPC recommends the Legislature enact limitations on excessively high commercial provider prices, establish site-neutral payments for routine ambulatory services, and adopt a default out-of-network payment rate for "surprise billing" situations.

- Limit Excessive Provider Prices
- Require Site-Neutral Payment
- Adopt Default Out-of-Network Payment Rate

3





### Enhance Oversight of Pharmaceutical Spending.

The HPC continues to recommend that policymakers take steps to address the rapid increase in retail drug spending in Massachusetts with policy action to enhance oversight and transparency. Specific policy actions include adding pharmaceutical manufacturers and pharmacy benefit managers (PBMs) under the HPC's oversight, enabling the Center for Health Information and Analysis (CHIA) to collect comprehensive drug pricing data, requiring licensure of PBMs, expanding the HPC's drug pricing review authority, and establishing caps on monthly out-of-pocket costs for high-value prescription drugs.

- Enhance Oversight/Transparency and Data Collection
- > PBM Oversight
- Expand Drug Pricing Reviews
- Limit Out-of-Pocket Costs on High-Value Drugs

4





### Make Health Plans Accountable For Affordability.

The Division of Insurance (DOI) should closely monitor premium growth factors and utilize affordability targets for evaluating health plan rate filings. Policymakers should promote enrollment through the Massachusetts Connector and the expansion of alternative payment methods (APMs). Lower-income employees should be supported by reducing premium contributions through tax credits or wage-adjusted contributions.

- > Enhance Scrutiny of Drivers of Health Plan Premium Growth
- Facilitate Small Business Enrollment in Massachusetts Connector Plans
- Improve Health Equity Through Premium Support for Employees with Lower Incomes
- Alternative Payment Methods (APMs)





### Advance Health Equity For All.

To address enduring health inequities in Massachusetts, the state must invest in affordable housing, improved food and transportation systems, and solutions to mitigate the impact of climate change. Payer-provider contracts should enforce health equity via performance data stratification and link payments to meeting equity targets. Payers should commit to the adoption of the <u>data standards</u> recommended by the Health Equity Data Standards Technical Advisory Group, and efforts should be made to ensure that the health care workforce reflects the diversity of the state's population.

- > Address Social Determinants of Health
- > Use Payer-Provider Contracts to Advance Health Equity
- > Improve Data Collection
- Support Investment in Innovative Strategies to Address Health Equity
- Reduce Inequities in Maternal Health

6





### Reduce Administrative Complexity.

The Legislature should require standardization in payer claims administration and processing, build upon the momentum from recent federal initiatives, and require automation of prior authorization processes, and mandate the adoption of a standardized measure set to reduce reporting burdens and ensure consistency.

- Require Greater Standardization in Payer Processes
- Automate Prior Authorization
- Mandate Adoption of the Aligned Quality Measure Set



## Strengthen Tools to Monitor the Provider Market and Align the Supply and Distribution of Services With Community Need.

The HPC recommends enhanced regulatory measures including focused, data-driven assessments of service supply and distribution based on identified needs and updates to the state's existing regulatory tools such as the Essential Services Closures process, the Determination of Need (DoN) program, and the HPC's material change notice (MCN) oversight authority.

- > Conduct Focused Assessments of Need, Supply, and Distribution
- Strengthen Tools to Monitor and Regulate Supply of Health Care Services
- Enhance the HPC's Market Oversight Authority of For-Profit Investment

8





### Support and Invest in the Commonwealth's Health Care Workforce.

The state and health care organizations should build on recent state investments to stabilize and strengthen the health care workforce. The Commonwealth should offer initial financial assistance to ease the costs of education and training, minimize entry barriers, explore policy adjustments for improved wages in underserved sectors, and should adopt the <u>Nurse</u> <u>Licensure Compact</u> to simplify hiring from other states. Health care delivery organizations should invest in their workforces, improve working conditions, provide opportunities for advancement, improve compensation for non-clinical staff (e.g., community health workers, community navigators, and peer recovery coaches) and take collaborative steps to enhance workforce diversity.

- Public Investments and Policy Change
- > Health Care Delivery Organizations Should Invest in their Workforces
- Ensure Adequate Compensation for Non-Clinical Workforces
- Support Workforce Diversity

9





### Strengthen Primary and Behavioral Health Care.

Payers and providers should increase investment in primary care and behavioral health while adhering to cost growth benchmarks. Addressing the need for behavioral health services involves measures such as enhancing access to appropriate care, expanding inpatient beds, investing in community-based alternatives, aligning the behavioral health workforce to current needs, employing telehealth, and improving access to treatment for opioid use disorder particularly in places where existing inequities present barriers.

- **Focus Investment in Primary Care and Behavioral Health Care**
- Increase Access to Behavioral Health Services
- > Improve Access to Treatment for Opioid Use Disorder



### IV. AUDIT SERVICES PROCUREMENT STAFF RECOMMENDATION

**James Rust**, Chief Financial Officer

0-C= 0-



#### **Procurement Overview**

# During the last few months, the GIC conducted a procurement for healthcare claims audit services. The selected vendor will provide the following services:

- Evaluate the performance and compliance of the GIC's medical plans to GIC contractual requirements and adherence to plan design
  - Measure and evaluate their performance against appropriate benchmarks
- Audit the GIC's prescription benefits manager (PBM) for compliance with pricing, plan design, and contractual obligations
- For the first time, audit the health plans' mental health parity compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and contractual obligations
- Provide recommendations for remediation and improvement in any areas where there were findings
- Conduct post audit meetings with the GIC and audited vendor to implement a performance improvement plan



## Timeline

- The Request for Response (RFR) was posted to COMMBUYS on August 16
- A bidders' conference was held on August 28
- Bids were submitted September 13

Two qualified bidders responded and passed the threshold review:

- Claims Technology Incorporated (CTI) the incumbent auditor
- Myers and Stauffer
- Finalist interviews were held the week of October 16<sup>th</sup> 20<sup>th</sup>
- Best and Final Offers (BAFOs) opportunities were offered to both bidders to clarify certain aspects of their submission and revise their cost proposal
  - Submitted October 31<sup>st</sup>
- Recommendation to proceed with the apparent successful bidder at November 16<sup>th</sup> Commission Meeting



### **Evaluation Overview**

#### **Bidders were evaluated on the following factors:**

- Technical Proposal
  - Audit approach and qualifications of team
- Cost proposal
  - Both price and value offered by the proposed team
- Supplier Diversity Program (SDP) commitment
- Interviews
- References

Both bidders were evaluated as highly qualified and invited to finalist interviews

• Both bidders were ultimately scored very similarly and are very capable of performing the desired services and we thank them for their bids



#### **Results and Recommendation**

Based on the final scores below, the GIC Healthcare Claims Audit Services procurement team recommends that the Commission enter into contract negotiations, for a two-year contract with two one-year options for GIC to renew, with the apparent successful bidder, **Claim Technologies, Incorporated (CTI)**.

Bidder	SDP Plan	Technical Proposal	Cost Proposal *	Finalist Interview	Subtotal	References	Best Value	TOTAL	Rank
Maximum Points	25	30	20	15	90	5	5	100	
СТІ	18	23.76	18.7	10.6	71.06	5	3	79.06	1
M&S	12	22.62	20	11.4	66.02	5		71.02	2

\*Best Value points were awarded to CTI in part due to its commitment to make legal specialists in mental health parity available for consult with the GIC.



### **Motion**

That the Commission hereby approves the staff's recommendation to enter contract negotiations with <u>Claims</u> <u>Technology Incorporated</u> as the apparent successful bidder to provide Healthcare Claims Audit Services beginning in January 2024



## V. CFO REPORT (INFORM)

**James Rust**, Chief Financial Officer

0-C= 0=



### FY2024 State Share Expense for GIC Premium Accounts

FY24 STATE SHARE	EXPENSE FO	OR GIC PRE	MIUM ACCO	DUNTS (2023	3)
	July	August	September	October	TOTAL
Allways Health Claims	\$7,941,302	\$7,075,611	\$6,394,346	\$7,350,156	\$28,761,414
Caremark/Express Scripts/SilverScript Claims	\$90,707,800	\$51,523,663	\$83,689,653	\$79,254,037	\$305,175,152
Davis Vision Claims	\$35,528	\$38,889	\$36,053	\$37,020	\$147,489
Fallon Health Claims	\$18,123	-\$71,235	\$15,818	\$5,069	-\$32,225
Harvard Pilgrim Claims	\$68,691,802	\$69,025,364	\$56,744,370	\$72,683,934	\$267,145,470
Health New England Claims	\$8,491,758	\$7,654,895	\$6,987,360	\$9,227,780	\$32,361,793
Tufts Navigator Claims	\$23,364,960	\$10,158,994	\$2,919,241	\$2,405,218	\$38,848,413
Tufts Spirit and Medicare Complement Claims	\$3,149,263	\$2,064,784	\$1,008,432	\$538,279	\$6,760,758
Unicare Claims	\$92,560,274	\$68,076,273	\$58,372,473	\$57,450,752	\$276,459,771
Other costs	\$1,622,208	\$122,615	\$246,423	\$189,455	\$2,180,701
Claims sub-total	\$296,583,017	\$215,669,852	\$216,414,170	\$229,141,699	\$957,808,738
Basic Life	\$805,334	\$804,970	\$805,835	\$805,892	\$3,222,031
Optional Life	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
RMT Life	\$46,011	\$45,954	\$46,156	\$46,281	\$184,402
Long-Term Disability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Dental	\$871,144	\$869,754	\$872,032	\$868,204	\$3,481,133
Tufts Medicare Preferred	\$657,460	\$662,688	\$663,279	\$670,139	\$2,653,566
UBH Optum	\$77,760	\$77,760	\$77,760	\$77,760	\$311,040
ASO Administrative Fee	\$7,157,064	\$7,149,356	\$7,137,355	\$7,333,907	\$28,777,683
Premiums sub-total	\$9,614,773	\$9,610,482	\$9,602,417	\$9,802,182	\$38,629,855
TOTAL	\$306,197,790	\$225,280,334	\$226,016,587	\$238,943,882	\$996,438,593

Employer state share spending through October 31<sup>st</sup> 2023



#### **FY2024 Enrollee Share Expense for GIC Premium Accounts**

FY24 ENROLLEE SHAR	E EXPENSE	FOR GIC P	REMIUM AC	COUNTS (20	023)
	July	August	September	October	TOTAL
Allways Health Claims	\$2,523,446	\$2,295,267	\$2,074,999	\$2,267,886	\$9,161,598
Caremark/Express Scripts/SilverScript Claims	\$24,540,134	\$15,354,378	\$22,579,563	\$21,307,646	\$83,781,720
Davis Vision Claims	\$6,270	\$6,863	\$6,362	\$6,533	\$26,028
Fallon Health Claims	\$5,072	-\$20,419	\$4,678	\$1,505	-\$9,164
Harvard Pilgrim Claims	\$20,861,852	\$20,676,060	\$17,034,106	\$20,985,691	\$79,557,709
Health New England Claims	\$2,663,488	\$2,400,717	\$2,191,414	\$2,783,739	\$10,039,358
Tufts Navigator Claims	\$6,546,068	\$2,846,205	\$817,872	\$673,860	\$10,884,005
Tufts Spirit and Medicare Complement Claims	\$853,297	\$523,089	\$241,810	\$134,374	\$1,752,570
Unicare Claims	\$26,217,216	\$19,319,930	\$16,679,144	\$15,717,448	\$77,933,738
Other costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Claims sub-total	\$84,216,843	\$63,402,090	\$61,629,947	\$63,878,681	\$273,127,561
Basic Life	\$221,289	\$221,317	\$221,799	\$221,862	\$886,267
Optional Life	\$4,176,550	\$4,184,305	\$4,204,423	\$4,211,220	\$16,776,498
RMT Life	\$11,249	\$11,235	\$11,284	\$11,316	\$45,084
Long-Term Disability	\$1,128,494	\$1,129,796	\$1,180,176	\$1,140,568	\$4,579,034
Dental	\$2,254,771	\$2,259,002	\$2,269,348	\$2,275,976	\$9,059,096
Tufts Medicare Preferred	\$159,572	\$161,247	\$161,541	\$154,681	\$637,041
UBH Optum	\$25,920	\$25,920	\$25,920	\$25,920	\$103,680
ASO Administrative Fee	\$2,098,465	\$2,097,845	\$2,096,095	\$1,868,525	\$8,160,929
Premiums sub-total	\$10,076,310	\$10,090,667	\$10,170,586	\$9,910,067	\$40,247,629
TOTAL	\$94,293,152	\$73,492,756	\$71,800,533	\$73,788,747	\$313,375,189

As expected, enrollee share paid claims have an identical pattern.

#### V. CFO Report (INFORM)



#### GIC Appropriation for Premium Accounts FY2024 Budgeted vs. Actual to date





#### GIC Appropriation for Premium Accounts FY24 Budgeted vs. Actual (By Month)





#### GIC Appropriation for Premium Accounts FY24 Budgeted vs. Actual to Date Cumulative





#### FY2024 STATE SHARE PREMIUM BUDGET FOR GIC PREMIUM ACCOUNTS AS OF OCTOBER 31, 2023

	BUDGET	EXPENSES	Under Budget/ (Over Budget)	% VAR
<b>Basic Life &amp;</b> Health* Account # 1108-5200 & #1599-6152	\$1,011,008,692	\$992,809,970	\$18,198,721	1.8%
Active Dental & Vision Benefits Account # 1108-5500	\$3,597,592	\$3,628,623	(\$31,031)	-0.9%
Total State Share YTD	\$1,014,606,284	\$996,438,593		1.8%



## OTHER BUSINESS/ADJOURNMENT

**Valerie Sullivan**, Chair

0-©= 0-



### FY2023 GIC Commission Meeting Schedule

Unless otherwise announced in the public notice, all meetings take place from 8:30 am - 10:30 am on the 3<sup>rd</sup> Thursday of the month. Meeting notices and materials including the agenda and presentation are available at **mass.gov/gic** under Upcoming Events prior to the meeting and under Recent Events after the meeting.

#### **Please note:**

• Anyone with Internet access can view the livestream via the MA Group Insurance Commission channel on YouTube. The meeting is recorded, so it can be replayed at any time.



Upcoming 2023 Group Insurance Commission Meetings





## Appendix

### **Commission Members**

### **GIC Leadership Team**

**GIC Goals** 

### **GIC Contact Channels**



## **Commission Members**

Valerie Sullivan, Public Member, Chair	Bobbi Kaplan, NAGE, Vice-
Chair	
Gary Anderson, Commissioner of Insurance	Matthew Gorzkowicz, Secretary of Administration & Finance
Elizabeth Chabot, NAGE	Patricia Jennings, Public Member
Edward Tobey Choate, Public Member	Anna Sinaiko, Health Economist
Tamara P. Davis, Public Member	Timothy D. Sullivan, Massachusetts Teachers Association
Jane Edmonds, Retiree Member	Eileen P. McAnneny, Public Member
Gerzino Guirand, Council 93, AFSCME, AFL-CIO	Melissa Murphy-Rodrigues, Mass Municipal Association
Joseph Gentile, Public Safety Member	



### **GIC Leadership Team**

Matthew A. Veno, Executive Director

Erika Scibelli, Deputy Executive Director

Emily Williams, Chief of Staff

James Rust, Chief Financial and Procurement Officer

Paul Murphy, Director of Operations

Stephanie Sutliff, Chief Information Officer

Andrew Stern, General Counsel

Brock Veidenheimer, Director of Human Resources



# GIC Goals

1	Provide access to high quality, affordable benefit options for employees, retirees and dependents
2	Limit the financial liability to the state and others (of fulfilling benefit obligations) to sustainable growth rates
3	Use the GIC's leverage to innovate and otherwise favorably influence the Massachusetts healthcare market
4	Evolve business and operational environment of the GIC to better meet business demands and security standards



### Contact GIC for Enrollment and Eligibility



Online Contact	mass.gov/forms/contact-the-gic		Any time. Specify your preferred method of response	
Email	gicpublicinfo@mass.gov		from GIC (email, phone, mail)	
Telephone	(617) 727-2310, M-F from 8:45 AM to 5:00 PM			
Office location	1 Ashburton Place, Suite 1619, Boston, MA, Not open for walk-			
Correspondence & Paper Forms	P.O. Box 556 Randolph, MA 02368	Allow for processing time. Priority given requests to retain or access benefits, and reduce optional coverage during COVID		



### Contact Your Health Carrier for Product and Coverage Questions

#### Finding a Provider

Accessing tiered doctor and hospital lists

Determining which programs are available, like telehealth or fitness

Understanding coverage

Health Insurance Carrier	Telephone	Website
Harvard Pilgrim Health Care	(844) 442-7324	point32health.org/gic
Health New England	(800) 842-4464	hne.com/gic
Tufts Health Plan (THP)	(800) 870-9488	tuftshealthplan.com/gic
THP Medicare Products	(888) 333-0880	turisheatripian.com/gic
UniCare State Indemnity Plans	(833) 663-4176	
Medicare Products	(800) 442-9300	unicaremass.com
Mass General Brigham Health Plan	(866) 567-9175	massgeneralbrighamhealthplan.com/gic-members



Date:November 13, 2023To:Group Insurance CommissionFrom:Matthew Veno, Executive DirectorSubject:Executive Director's Report

**<u>Purpose</u>**: The purpose of this memo is to provide Commissioners with the Executive Director's report in writing. Questions and comments from Commissioners on the content of this memo are welcome during this portion of the agenda.

#### **HUMAN RESOURCES**

<u>Staff Training update</u>: In late September, we provided training on telephone customer service skills for the GIC Operations team staff who support our members via phone and the GIC's Salesforce-based applications. The virtual training was funded and supported by the Commonwealth's Training and Career Ladder program, with support from NAGE. The training included two sections – one focused on general telephone customer service skills, and another on dealing with difficult callers. Two separate sessions of the training were conducted so that half of the participants could remain on the phones with members while the other half participated in the program. Feedback from program participants was very favorable.

Staffing updates: We have two current jobs posted to fill openings at the GIC.

**Executive Assistant/Office Administration**: This employee reports to the GIC Chief of Staff (Emily Williams) and provide administrative, planning and organizational support to the Executive Director, other members of the Leadership team and the Commission, and will also provide office management support to the agency.

Auditor II (Operation Division, Audit Unit): This employee determines eligibility and processes insurance coverage documents ensuring that all applications are accurate, complete and in compliance with GIC's eligibility rules. The employee will serve as a customer service representative answering a high volume of phone calls concerning benefits and premium billing, and will manage those external interactions through GIC's Customer Relationship Management (CRM) system. Experience with employee benefit programs and billing processes is strongly preferred.



#### LEGISLATIVE AND MUNICIPAL AFFAIRS

- We have been contacted by two regional school districts, one municipality, and one retirement system about the possibility of enrollment through the GIC. As of this writing, Mike Berry has provided two virtual "GIC 101" presentations, with two to follow later this month.
- Executive Director Matthew Veno, Deputy Executive Director Erika Scibelli, and Government Affairs Director Mike Berry met with Senate staff to provide feedback on the Senate's "PACT Act" concerning pharmacy benefit managers, prescription drug access, and Health Policy Commission oversight.

#### **COMMUNICATIONS**

- <u>Fall Buy Out</u>: Staff has used a variety of methods to inform GIC coordinators and members about the Fall 2023 buy-out opportunity. This includes GIC benefits guides, the GIC's website, a news post and alert, an email created for coordinators to forward to members, as well as social media posts.
- <u>The Reduction of Benefits Waiting Period (RWP)</u>: The RWP project is well under way and an initial communication was sent at the end of September. The Communications team is currently working on a written update that will be sent in early December and is building out the details of the communications plan that will run from January through go-live on July 1, 2024.
- <u>Open GIC Positions</u>: The Communications staff continues to collaborate with GIC Human Resources to create website news posts, social media posts, and internal staff e-newsletter pieces encouraging staff to share news about open positions.
- <u>Mass4YOU Employee Assistance Program</u>: The Mass4YOU marketing communications strategy continues to evolve. We have reduced the number of promotion emails sent to coordinators to promote greater engagement. Previously, coordinators received two emails, the first flagging a second email that they are asked to forward to agency employees. By eliminating email one and simply adding a line at the top of the second email, coordinators now receive only one email, and it is clearer which email they're being asked to forward. This change, along with adding more live links within the email, has resulted in a higher open and click rate.
- <u>Reminder to Medicare members</u>: A news post and social media campaign were created to remind GIC Medicare enrollees that if they enroll in another non-GIC Medicare Part D product during Medicare Open Enrollment or anytime throughout the year, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services will disenroll them from their GIC coverage. This campaign will be recycled and will serve as a regular reminder for GIC Medicare members to reduce the amount of GIC members who lose their GIC coverage.



- Ongoing Social Media Campaigns:
  - MyGICLink Member Benefits Portal: Monthly social media posts encourage members to register for the portal, while highlighting the many things members can do there – from checking their application status from Annual Enrollment to communicate with a benefits expert through the chat feature.
  - Mass4YOU Employee Assistance Program (EAP): Monthly social media posts encourage members and non-GIC members who are eligible to explore the free Mass4YOU resources available to them, with post themes gathering inspiration from the monthly Mass4YOU emails.
  - **GIC Benefits: Did You Know?**: Staff continue to promote the *GIC Benefits: Did You Know?* campaign that informs members about benefits they can take advantage of, such as a free flu shot every year and fitness reimbursements.
  - Massachusetts Behavioral Health Line: Staff continues to raise awareness of the free Mass Behavioral Health Help Line available to all GIC members and Commonwealth of Massachusetts employees.