

## **Commonwealth of Massachusetts Department of Early Education and Care**

### **Meeting of the Board**

October 13, 2020



## **Agenda**



- Strategic Action Plan Progress
  - Program Pillar: Program Supports and Financing Strategies -Discussion
  - EEOST Grant Restructure and Emergency Regulations Vote
- FY 21 Budget & Spending Update

## **Reminder: Strategic Plan Goals**



### Children, Youth, Families

Children are on track for success in school and to reach their full potential.

Their families are empowered to work, build their skills, and attain economic mobility while supporting their children's education and development.

#### **Educators**



The early childhood and out-of-school time workforce is professionally prepared, well supported, adequately compensated, and culturally and linguistically representative of the population it serves.

#### **Programs**



Programs will increase their sustainability, engage in continuous quality improvement, and promote high-quality education and healthy development among children and youth.

#### System



To efficiently and effectively steward public investments in early education and care with utmost integrity, transparency and accountability to the people of Massachusetts.

## **Reminder: Strategic Plan Actions**



In September we looked at these initiatives in detail...

## Children, Youth & Families

- Address affordability and build community capacity to serve families
- 2. Ensure children are on track to third grade success

## **Educators**

- 3. Build an educator credentialing framework
- 4. Align PD pathways to credentialing framework

## **Programs**

- 5. Build a backbone for quality to drive investment in EEC
- 6. Ensure comprehensive program supports

#### System

Building a Foundation for Change

Innovation

Areas

7. Make operational improvements toward a user-centered EEC



### Children, Youth, Families

### Children, Youth & **Families**

- 1. Address affordability and build community capacity to serve families
- 2. Ensure children are on track to third grade success





Families access high quality programs that are affordable, accessible, and a fit for their needs.



#### **Meaningful Child** Development, **Education, and Family Economic Outcomes**

- -children are on track to 3<sup>rd</sup> grade success with an agreed upon set of metrics, particularly those who live in low-income households and are students of color
- -growth in high quality child care capacity in areas of greatest need (ex. child care deserts)
- -growth in child care subsidies, particularly for infants and toddlers -increase in families participating in developmental screening for their children

**EEC Actions** 

Results for Children, Youth, and Families



#### **Educators and Professionals**

## **Educators**

- 3. Build an educator credentialing framework
- 4. Align PD pathways to credentialing framework





Workforce pathways are aligned with job-embedded PD that increase qualifications and improve quality.



#### **Educator Growth, Retention & Compensation**

- -retention of highly qualified educators through the pandemic crisis
- -increase in professionally qualified **EEC** workforce across
- Massachusetts
- Increase in qualified EEC workforce diversity by race, ethnicity, primary language
- -increase in average educator compensation by professional category

**EEC Actions** 

**Results for Educators** 



### **Programs**

## **Programs**

5. Build a backbone for quality to drive investment in EEC

6. Ensure comprehensive program supports



Program quality measures are aligned with integrated systems of support, including capacity building and funding to incentivize progress



Higher Quality Classrooms, Teaching and Learning

#### **STABILITY MEASURES:**

-sustained licensed capacity by region and program type, particularly in areas like <u>child care</u> deserts

#### **QUALITY MEASURES:**

- -increase in programs using continuous quality improvement resources
- -growth in programs advancing quality from QRIS 2.0 baseline year

**EEC Actions** 

**Results for Programs** 



### **EEC System**

## System

7. Make operational improvements toward a user-centered EEC





System streamlining, coordination, technology improvement, communication, and user orientation across people and systems.



Greater Field Stability & Strength

-growth in licensed agencies by region and program type -decrease in program closings -decrease in agency resource burden to navigate EEC processes -increased feedback loops and engagement with the field -increased EEC employee engagement

**EEC Actions** 

**Results System-Wide** 

## **Closer Look: Programs**



A unified definition of quality with program support and tiered financial incentives



Program quality measures tie to capacity building support and funding to incentivize progress Economic model for greater program stability, tied to quality expectations

#### EEC role is to:

- Define and operationalize QRIS 2.0
- Align program supports to advance quality
- Integrate program support and licensing monitoring functions to build a datadriven, holistic program support picture
- Coordination with other agencies to engage with residential and placement programs through review and revision of regulatory guidance

#### EEC role is to:

- Ensure available state and federal dollars are maximized towards operational strength
- Effectively communicate field reopening status and needs to shape statewide strategies towards stability
- Innovate to drive new thinking and modeling for investments







A unified definition of quality with program support and tiered financial incentives



Program quality measures tie to capacity building support and funding to incentivize progress Economic model for greater program stability, tied to quality expectations

- In the COVID-19 operating environment, the most immediate program support needs identified by providers through surveying:
  - balancing health and safety with child development and social emotional growth
  - sustaining business or operating models through fluctuations in family demand and enrollment
  - staffing and scheduling patterns to meet business and family needs
  - support to communicate with families regarding COVID risk management.

- 3% of programs have closed permanently;
   ~20% of programs have not yet decided to reopen
- Concerns about ongoing program viability due to:
  - increased costs tied to COVID with PPE, cleaning costs, and educational materials and technology leading cost drivers
  - decreased revenue from child enrollment – with attendance at 41% and enrollment at 62% of licensed capacity





QRIS Domains	What is it?	Initial Quality	Advancing Quality	Sustaining Quality
Curriculum & Assessment	developmentally appropriate, evidence- based, standards-aligned curriculum and assessment data to set individual learning goals	r quality	ed grants	ECSOs
Screening	developmental screener (ASQ) for family engagement and referrals to resources/supports	dation fo	targeted Ss	ports ex.
Learning Environment	a <u>high quality</u> learning environment and interactions that foster learning, exploration, and play	foun	orts and Ex. PDC	ldns
Family Engagement	Family engagement, family leadership in program development, home visit	is the	ddn	quality
Leadership and Professional Learning	infrastructure that supports educator professional development  Create, execute, monitor a Continuous  Quality Improvement Plan	Licensing	Program s	Targeted c

- Every program is incorporated into the QRIS 2.0 through EEC licensing and regulation revision
- Each program has a Continuous Quality Improvement Plan, which is a living document that aligns
  across program supports and licensing monitoring, and is foundational to a program's interactions
  across all EEC functions
- Across quality levels, supports are targeted to program type and demonstrated need
- Programs that reach a threshold of quality may access sustainable funding
- Family Child Care and Out of School Time programs will have custom QRIS 2.0 developed in partnership with the field



## **How Licensing Lays the Foundation for Quality**



**Unit of Change**: Licensing interactions. Continuous Quality Improvement Plans unified planning for EEC across all functions.



Value Proposition: offers a continuous quality touchpoint for programs that is integrated with regulations and compliance functions



**The How**: Routines of collaboration tie program support and licensing monitoring together into a single system of support and build Licensor knowledge across quality domains.

Licensors are the first and primary point of contact with programs

Program Continuous Quality Improvement Plans will be verified as part of the licensing process, and used in partnership with Licensors to identify strengths, areas for growth, and action steps

Licensor monitoring will include action steps from CQIP and connections to needed supports; Licensor toolkit will include interventions from PDCs, MBHCs, ECSOs, and other EEC funded support providers

Improved connections with investigations unit

#### **STATUS: emerging readiness**

Licensor interactions through program reopening pivoted from compliance to support

CQIPs not yet integrated into program interactions



## **How PDCs Advance Quality**

PDCs have supported programs through reopening - offerings have included trauma 101, program administration during COVID, strategies to promote physical distancing, communicating with families, putting EEC Health and Safety requirements into practice, tools for SEL growth, and deciding to reopen

#### **STATUS: nearing readiness**

PDC offerings have been integrated into Licensor toolkits as an alternative to noncompliance when appropriate

Increasing connectivity between licensing and PDC program supports

Connections with CQIP advancement will come with QRIS 2.0 in spring



Unit of Change: CQIP progression.

Programs target specific improvement areas to advance quality; EEC teams match programs with TA and interventions to support their efforts.



Value Proposition: PDCs support programs at scale through broad offerings; they also support struggling programs across specific quality domains through consultation.



**The How:** Program support data is regularly 'matched' with regional licensing data to understand patterns of program needs and opportunities across the state.

## How ECSOs Sustain Quality through Leadership and Professional Learning Domain





Value Proposition: ECSOs accelerate quality improvement for programs that have already shown CQIP progress through leadership coaching and support



**Unit of Change**: Job Embedded Professional Development.

By cultivating program leaders, program continuous quality improvement grows and is sustained.



**The How**: ECSOs provide targeted support services for select programs identified for their readiness to participate fully in leadership to sustain quality.

#### **ECSOs**

Children's Literacy Initiative
The Lastinger Center (University of Florida)

UMASS Boston in partnership with The Ounce of Prevention

Offered to sustain quality through leadership support, ECSOs will support programs to:

- Engage in continuous quality improvement program-wide
- Facilitate job embedded professional development, including classroom coaching, using structured tools (i.e. protocols to implement communities of practice)
- Support educators to successfully integrate curriculum and child assessment strategies in service of high-quality instructional practices

#### **STATUS: preparing to launch**

Pilot recruitment about to begin. Participating program leaders will engage in targeted coaching and peer learning up to 4 yrs, with financial incentive of \$1K per program each year to support improvement goals.



## **Program Quality Relies on System Coordination**



Program quality measures tie to capacity building support and funding to incentivize progress





System streamlining, coordination, technology improvement, and user orientation across people and systems.

- All elements of the strategic plan require a strong foundation of system change – they require EEC to work differently
- Operational improvements already underway within the EEC regional and central office structures are being felt by programs on the ground, for example:
  - establishing central office points of contact for each region to assist with BRCs, legal, and investigation inquiries
  - coordinated public Town Halls in each region collaboratively offered by cross-unit teams, with RDs as hosts
  - frequent all-region Licensor and Supervisor touchpoints to ensure clarity through guidance changes and establish consistency across the state in program monitoring and support
- The next phase of development for this coordination will establish monthly meetings as part of the routines of collaboration
  - Participation from program support liaisons, licensors and regional teams, teacher qualifications and workforce units
  - Objective: build licensor capabilities to identify opportunities for program quality improvement and pair with appropriate resources; inform program support offerings with licensor expertise regarding program operational challenges and strengths.



## **System Coordination Relies on Data & Inquiry**

#### **Routines of Collaboration**

Monthly program support meeting protocol will cover program strengths and challenges at regional level and address individual cases in need of support.

Program liaisons connect back to program support providers to inform their training and consultation content.

Potential to also include educator data as a key quality indicator and review family needs through CFCE data and broader family engagement.

## Program Support Data

PDC attendance records and coaching intervention records

Mental and behavioral health consultation referrals, interventions, results

Other program data in process (FCC Systems, CFCEs, CCPIs, ECSOs)

# Teacher Qualifications Data

Educators by qualification level (more than HS, CD, College, +) for region, with statewide benchmark

Qualifications case data for educators in region with status

### Regional LEAD Data

Licensor visit observations and support data

Compliance data: complaints and noncompliance data, pending corrective actions

Health and Safety plan observations and technical assistance data





A unified definition of quality with program support and tiered financial incentives



Economic model for greater program stability, tied to quality expectations

With the support of the Commonwealth Children's Fund, EEC has engaged with Third Sector to explore theoretical economic models for the field that might yield greater program stability.

## **Economic Model for Greater Program Stability**



## EEC Action Plan Goal 3A – Build a Backbone for Quality to Drive Investment:

The starting point for quality is sustainability. Unless programs are able to support their day to day operations in a way that enables their focus on the structural and human factors that build effective early care and education, it will be challenging to engage in continuous quality improvement towards positive child and youth outcomes.

- There is a need for funding approaches that can better stabilize the day to day operations of early education and care programs.
- COVID has reinforced the necessity for improving program financial stability.
- More stable funding for day to day operations will ensure programs are able to focus on building quality.

#### Project Overview:

- Build an economic model to understand 1) scenarios for how funding approaches would be structured and 2) implications and key considerations of funding scenarios.
- The economic model draws on existing work completed by EEC, including cost of care and the recent EEC action plan.
- Use the economic model to initiate conversations with stakeholders to further refine structures and approaches.
- Advance dialogue for how best to implement new funding approaches for the field.



## **Timeline for Economic Model Development**



#### **Economic Model Development**

August

September

October

November 2020 - Early 2021

- Review of existing EEC program data and cost of care model
- Discussions with EEC leadership and staff
- Development and refinement of economic model
- Stakeholder engagement initiated to receive input on economic model
- Continued refinement of economic model
- Project concludes with recommendations for next steps.

EEC plans to share the work completed in the Economic Model Development phase via a field webinar when completed.



## **Principles for Economic Model Development**



### Stability

- · Move away from relying solely on per child funding models
- Mitigate impacts of temporary closures and fluctuations in enrollment on program quality and sustainability

#### Adequacy

- · Support healthy finances without impacting affordability for families
- Promote programs' capacity to invest in adequate compensation for staff
- Mechanism to match funding to incentivize higher quality and thus impact on child outcomes (based on QRIS 2.0)

#### Equity

- Inclusive of all early education and care providers
- · Mechanism to target funding to need to maintain infrastructure

## **Simplicity**

• Should not create a heavy burden for EEC or programs



## **Agenda**



- Strategic Action Plan Progress
  - Program Pillar: Program Supports and Financing Strategies -Discussion
  - EEOST Grant Restructure and Emergency Regulations Vote
- FY 21 Budget & Sending Update

## Early Education & Out-of-School Time Capital Fund (EEOST) Background



The Early Education and Out of School Time (EEOST) Capital Fund was created by *An Act Financing the Production and Preservation of Housing for Low and Moderate Income Residents* in November 2013 and reauthorized in May 2018.

- The statute authorizes EEC to administer up to \$45 million in general obligation bond funds for grants to develop eligible facilities for use as licensed Large Group and School Age Child Care Programs as defined in 606 CMR 7.00.
- The EEOST Capital Fund provides grants to tax-exempt non-profit organizations, to develop and/or rehabilitate eligible facilities in which at least 50% of the facility shall serve low income families who are eligible for public subsidy.
- For FY21: The Executive Office of Administration and Finance has allocated \$3.7M in grant funding for the EEOST Capital Fund Program.

# Early Education and Out of School Time Capital Fund: Proposed Grant Program Changes to Promote Health and Safety During COVID-19



Context: Programs across the Commonwealth need more urgent funding for capital projects for facility modifications related to health and safety improvements related to COVID-19 requirements.

## Proposed Changes to the Grant Program:

- Reduce size of grant awards from \$400,000-\$1,000,000 to \$100,000-\$250,000, accommodating more programs for projects directly related to health and safety.
- Simplify application and eliminate preapplication for FY21 for reduced award amounts.
- Shorten length of grant obligation timeframe from 25 years (15 years for leased property) to 5 years to coordinate with the smaller grant amounts.

## **Early Education and Out of School Time Capital Fund: Proposed Emergency Regulatory Changes**



Emergency Regulations will be limited to flexibility specifically needed to address the smaller grants for FY21. By utilizing the Emergency Regulation process, EEC can move forward with the application process while finalizing flexibility in the regulations.

## Proposed Emergency Regulation Changes for Approval:

- Allow the Commissioner to change the length of the grant period. 606
   CMR 15.04(2)(c)
- Allow the Commissioner to change the timetable for grant recapture in case of default to reflect the shorter grant periods. 606 CMR 15.04(2)(d)

## **EEOST Capital Fund Regulations Timetable**



EEOST applications will be released when finalized using the emergency regulations to expedite the process for programs. Regulations will be finalized prior to funding distribution, per the process below.

Tasks	Timeline			
Presentation to the EEC Board for vote on promulgation of emergency regs and public comment period	October 13, 2020			
Promulgation and regs become effective	October 16, 2020			
Public comment period	October 16 - November 6, 2020			
EEC reviews public comment	November 9 - November 16, 2020			
Present public comment to EEC Board; vote on adoption of changes if any	December 8, 2020			
Send any changes to Secretary of State's Office for final promulgation or send Notice of Compliance if no changes	December 10, 2020			
Regulations published in Mass. Register for final promulgation	December 11, 2020			

## **Agenda**



- Strategic Action Plan Progress
  - Program Pillar: Program Supports and Financing Strategies -Discussion
  - EEOST Grant Restructure and Emergency Regulations Vote
- FY 21 Budget & Spending Update



## **Typical Budget Cycle Timing**

October/November: Board submits EEC budget priorities to the Governor to inform next fiscal year proposal

June: Governor signs the budget into law, applying any vetos or overrides for final consideration by the legislature.

January: Governor submits proposed budget legislation for upcoming fiscal year to the State Legislature

May/June: House and Senate Conference Committee is formed to negotiate final budget legislation.

April/May: House and Senate vote on budget legislation in each Chamber reflecting priorities



## FY21 Budget Cycle

Normally, the Board would be considering FY22 budget priorities now.



October/November:
Board submits EEC
budget priorities to the
Governor to inform next
fiscal year proposal

June: Governor signs the budget into law, applying any vetos or overrides for final consideration by the legislature.

The FY21 budget cycle was disrupted here in March due to COVID-19.

The FY21 budget fiscal year to the State Legislature



May/June: House and Senate Conference Committee is formed to negotiate final budget legislation.

April/May: House and Senate vote on budget legislation in each Chamber reflecting priorities



### **Board FY21 Priorities**

- Last fall, EEC Board Recommended the Following Priorities that were Reflected in the Governor's Proposed Budget submitted on January 22, 2020:
  - Parent fees: \$10M investment in reducing parent copays based on federal guidance
  - Restructuring Child Care Financing: \$10M investment in moving towards classroom/program-based funding models
  - Build Infrastructure for Field Support: \$13.5M towards staff and technology to create streamlined operations and reduce backlogs
  - Additional Programmatic Investments to Implement Quality Supports:
     Needed Investment TBD with Strategic Planning

While the needs of the field have increased with the COVID-19 crisis, these priorities are still relevant.



## **FY21** Budget Uncertainty – Statewide Context

#### **Governor's Proposed Budget Included:**

 All of EEC Board Priorities: \$761.8M, an increase of \$55M increased over the FY20 budget

#### FY21 Budget Process has been delayed by COVID-19:

- In July, the Legislature has passed an interim budget through the end of October.
- Last week, the Governor and legislative budget leaders convened an economic roundtable to get a consensus on the budget approach in FY21 and beyond.

## **FY21 Uncertainty- EEC Response**



- In response to the uncertainty of FY21, EEC has been cautious in our fiscal approach.
   The following strategies have been employed to mitigate the impact of any future cuts:
  - Shorter contracts when possible, providing more flexibility when needed on scope
  - Delay any competitive grants and earmarked initiatives until the FY21 budget becomes clearer to mitigate new commitments
- EEC has prioritized FY21 financial commitment to activities that are targeted to help families maintain access to childcare and ensure supports for program operations:
  - Flexibility in subsidy enrollment and attendance policies, including full-day enrollment for school age children during remote instruction
  - Support continued coverage of parent fees for subsidized families through the fall
  - Investments in program operations through PPE, Professional Development Centers to support reopening, Mental and Behavioral Health Consultation, and business supports
  - Maintain staffing investments in EEC to ensure responsive engagement with the field



## **FY21 Interim Budget – Status Update**

## The following strategies are being used by EEC to navigate the FY21 uncertainty

Line Description	Strategies for Mitigating Budget Uncertainty			
Administration	Limiting administrative expenses while protecting staff capacity			
Quality Improvements	UPK recompetition delayed until confirmed budget commitment			
Center Based Rate Reserve Sliding Fee Scale Reserve	Reduction in any caseload related account has a general impact on EEC's ability to provide child			
Access Management	Engaged in shorter contracts (2 month).			
Supportive Care	Full FY20 expenditures not reflected in the interim budget. All services to families being			
Income Eligible Child Care	prioritized.			
Grants to Head Start	Engaged in 12 month contracts			
Commonwealth Preschool Partnership Initiative	Engaged in 6 month contracts to await budget direction			
Mental Health	Engaged in 12 month contracts			
EEC Contingency Contract Retained Revenue	N/A			
Family and Community Engagement Services	Engaged in 12 month contracts			
EEC Provider Higher Education Opportunities	Engaged in 6 month contracts, awaiting budget direction			



## **FY21 Caseload Update**

	Number of Billed Children										
	U	odated June Actuals		Updated July Actuals		August Actuals		Sept Forecast			
DCF Voucher		4,617		4,077		3,694		4,158			
DCF Contract		7,446		7,381		6,690		7,438			
DTA Voucher		11,562		10,276		9,265		10,421			
IE Voucher		20,275		17,238		16,118		18,144			
IE Contract		11,239		10,804		9,916		11,038			
Total:		55,139		49,776		45,683		51,199			
	Comparison to Last Year's Actual Costs										
	U	odated June Actuals		Updated July Actuals		August Actuals		Sept Forecast			
3000-3060 Base Caseload	\$	26,107,593	\$	27,974,748	\$	21,545,387	\$	24,615,568			
3000-4060 Base Caseload	\$	24,000,435	\$	24,118,394	\$	19,300,218	\$	22,111,495			
Parent Fees	\$	6,345,307	\$	6,568,342	\$	5,441,290	\$	7,419,095			
Total:	\$	56,453,335	\$	58,661,484	\$	46,286,894	\$	54,146,158			
FY2020 Actuals			\$	55,153,275	\$	51,721,776	\$	43,452,937			
Difference			\$	3,508,209	\$	(5,434,882)	\$	10,693,221			
-											

- As expected, September enrollment appears to be climbing back up. More analysis will be done as reporting is finalized.
- Caseload costs are increased due to full-day services needed by school age children and parent fees.



## **Appendix: EEOST Supplementary Info**

## Early Education & Out of School Time Capital Fund (EEOST): Current Key Provisions



- Funding shall be used for:
  - Acquisition
  - Design
  - Construction
  - Repair
  - Renovation
- Grant term is currently a minimum of 25 years for grantee-owned facilities or a minimum of 15 years for leased facilities.
- Grant shall be secured by a mortgage lien in favor of EEC and/or the Community Economic Development Assistance Corporation (CEDAC).



- · Land use restrictions, limiting use to child care programs, shall apply.
- Grant funds are subject to recapture for failure to comply with the grant terms.
- Grantees must be in good legal/financial standing (no pending investigations or past EEC actions that question a program's ability to ensure children's safety.)

## **Administration of EEOST Capital Fund**



- EEC designated CEDAC to administer the EEOST Grant Program.
- Children's Investment Fund (CIF), which is affiliated with CEDAC, provides technical assistance and financing to ECE & OST facilities across MA.
- CEDAC/CIF has worked with EEC in development of Grant Review Standards and will continue to administer the grants that EEC awards. \$3,700,000 is available for awards in FY 21.
- In combined rounds for FY14 and FY15, EEC awarded a total of \$7,450,000 to 10 programs.
- In FY16, EEC awarded a total of \$3,600,000 to 6 programs.
- In FY17, EEC awarded a total of \$4,143,740 to 5 programs.
- In FY18, EEC awarded a total of \$4,000,000 to 4 programs.
- In FY19, EEC awarded a total of \$6,000,000 to 6 programs.
- In FY20, EEC awarded a total of \$6,475,000 to 7 programs.