Office of Outdoor Advertising Public Meeting

October 11, 2018 11:00 AM

Ten Park Plaza

Conference Rm 5 & 6

Boston, MA 02116

Present:

John Romano, Executive Director

PROCEEDINGS

1.3

2.1

2.2

2.3

JOHN ROMANO: Good morning everyone.

My name is John Romano I am the Director of Outdoor

Advertising for MassDOT. We are here for the October

11, 2018, Public Hearing. I am sorry it is 11:02 and usually the hearing is over by now.

A couple of housekeeping items. There is a sign in sheet on the table to my left along with a copy of today's agenda and the meeting minutes from September 13, 2018 hearing.

This meeting is accessible to people with disabilities and individuals of limited English proficiency. If you filed a request with us for assistive technologies, sign language, alternate format documents, an interpreter, translated documents, or other such services to be available here today, please see Lydia who is sitting in the front row if you have not yet received the assistance you had asked for. I do not believe we received any requests in advance. We can also make amplification devices available for anyone who needs them. If you need any other similar assistance to participate

today, please let Lydia know and we will do our best to help you today. Of course, if we get a request in advance it is always helpful and we make sure they are here for your needs. Seeing none.

Next item on the agenda is the Meeting Minutes approval from September 13, 2018. Any questions, comments, corrections?

(No comments)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

JOHN ROMANO: Seeing none, we will mark those minutes of September 13 approved. First item on the agenda is an application for permit transfers between Outfront Media, LLC and Motivate International Inc. These are for ten bicycle kiosks street furniture units in the City of Somerville. These are all existing. There are no new permits. They are all in their existing locations. These are just transfer of permits from one licensee to another.

The application numbers are 2014023 through 2014032. The locations are listed on the agenda. They are all in Somerville. I am going to do these as a group unless there is any objection to that. If you have a specific question about any specific location, please let me know. We will do

1	them as a group. Is there anyone here from either						
2	Outfront and/or Motivate?						
3	ANGELA RUFO: Angela Rufo from						
4	Outfront.						
5	JOHN ROMANO: Thank you. So we have						
6	reviewed the transfer application. It appears that						
7	everything is in order here. The fee for transfer has						
8	been submitted. My inspector Chris Chaves has						
9	inspected all of the locations. Chris based on your						
10	report that you submitted no issues.						
11	CHRIS CHAVES: No issues.						
12	JOHN ROMANO: They will be transferred						
13	as is to Motivate. Does anyone have any questions on						
14	these applications?						
15	(No response)						
16	JOHN ROMANO: Angela, anything to add						
17	at this point?						
18	ANGELA RUFO: No.						
19	JOHN ROMANO: Okay. We will take these						
20	under advisement and we will get back to you. Thank						
21	you.						
22	Next up on the agenda is an application						

for an electronic permit. RSA Media, Inc. 10 Merchant

23

Street in Sharon. This is a single sided digital structure facing North. Application number 2018D019. Notification was sent to the municipality by my office on September 10 to the Town Administrator and the Town Clerk. Is the applicant present?

JIM LACK: Yes, sir. Jim Lack for RSA Media.

JOHN ROMANO: Thank you, sir. Is there anybody here representing the municipality where the sign is proposed?

(No response)

JOHN ROMANO: None. Are there any abutters present today?

(No response)

JOHN ROMANO: Is there anyone present from the district office?

(No response)

JOHN ROMANO: No. Mr. Lack, I just want to let you know that we did get a note from the district office, Mr. Bill Travis. Just to let you know that if any tree cutting or trimming is required that would require a separate permit from the district office.

1	JIM LACK: Right. We are going to be						
2	applying.						
3	JOHN ROMANO: Okay, that is separate						
4	from the permit that you receive here. If you should						
5	receive this permit it does not guarantee anything						
6	from the district. You know.						
7	JIM LACK: We are going to be applying.						
8	We are just getting the arborist to do all the work						
9	before we apply.						
10	JOHN ROMANO: Great. Perfect, thank						
11	you. Is anybody present from Traffic Operations						
12	MassDOT?						
13	(No response)						
14	JOHN ROMANO: No. However, I do have a						
15	memo from the State Traffic Engineers Office that no						
16	traffic study will be required for this site per their						
17	review.						
18	Mr. Lack, was certified mail notice to						
19	abutters sent out by you at least 30-days prior to						
20	submitting your application to my office?						
21	JIM LACK: Yes sir.						
22	JOHN ROMANO: I do have copies of the						
23	Post Office receipts, thank you for submitting those.						

Also, you did provide us with a copy of the letter that was sent. I have that as well. Have you received any written comments in support or opposition of this application?

JIM LACK: No.

JOHN ROMANO: We have not either. A special permit or variance required from the town?

JIM LACK: Yes, we did receive a variance from the town.

JOHN ROMANO: I do have a copy of that variance. Thank you for submitting it. At this time I will have my inspector Marc Plante give his report.

MARC PLANTE: Thank you, John. This is an application for a one-sided digital sign facing I-95 in the Town of Sharon. It will be facing to the North. It is of business character and there are two businesses within 500-feet. The situation with playgrounds, parks, and recreational use there is nothing within 30-feet.

Spacing issue, there is a digital sign to the North. The measurement is 1,012-feet. There is also an application that has been approved but has not been built to the South a single face digital but

Τ	the measurement is 1,081-feet.	So this spot is
2	conforming to the regulations.	

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

JOHN ROMANO: Great, thanks. Anything else to add on that?

MARC PLANTE: No.

JOHN ROMANO: Mr. Lack, anything else you would like to add to the application at this time?

JIM LACK: No sir.

JOHN ROMANO: Thank you. Any questions, comments, from the audience?

(No response)

JOHN ROMANO: Seeing none Mr. Lack just a reminder should this permit be issued you will need to follow all the items in 700 CMR 3.17 regarding brightness, the 10-second static display, 15-hour public service requirement per month, etcetera.

JIM LACK: Yes.

JOHN ROMANO: I know you are familiar with those from previous. Mr. Kelleher from my office will be in touch with you guys should the permit be done on the PSA.

JIM LACK: He promptly gets in touch with us on the first of each month.

1	JOHN ROMANO: Great. Okay, we will						
2	take this under advisement and we will get back to						
3	you.						
4	JIM LACK: Thank you.						
5	JOHN ROMANO: Next up on the agenda we						
6	have an application from Harbor Outdoor LLC 290 Wood						
7	Road in Braintree. This is for a two-sided digital						
8	application. Number 2018D020 and 2018D021.						
9	Notification was sent to the Mayor and the Town Clerk						
10	on September 10, 2018, by my office. Is the applicant						
11	present?						
12	BRIAN HANEY: Yes sir Brian Haney from						
13	Sweder and Ross.						
14	JOHN ROMANO: Is there anybody here						
15	representing the municipality where the sign is						
16	proposed?						
17	(No response)						
18	JOHN ROMANO: Are there any abutters						
19	present today?						
20	TOM AYLESWORTH: Yes, Mr. Romano, Tom						
21	Aylesworth, A-Y-L-E-S-W-O-R-T-H. I am the attorney for						
22	the abutter Wood on Wood Road Inc.						
23	JOHN ROMANO: We will give you a time						

1 to speak at the end of my questions. Is anyone here 2 from the District Office? 3 (No response) Is anybody present from 4 JOHN ROMANO: 5 MassDOT Traffic Operations? 6 (No response) JOHN ROMANO: Mr. Haney, I do have a memo from the State Traffic Engineers Office that says 8 9 no traffic study would be required should the permit 10 be issued on this. Mr. Haney, was certified mail 11 notice sent to abutters at least 30 days prior to 12 submitting your application to my office? 13 BRIAN HANEY: Yes it was, Mr. Romano. 14 JOHN ROMANO: And I do have copies of 15 the Post Office receipts, so thank you. Also, the 16 letter that you sent out you did provide a copy to my 17 office as well. Thank you. Has the applicant 18 received any written comments in support or opposition 19 to the application? 20 BRIAN HANEY: Indirectly we have

2.1

22

23

JOHN ROMANO: We also have that. We

received written comments from the abutter Wood on

Wood Road in opposition.

have a submission from them as well. That is part of the record. We have that here. Thank you. Did you receive a special permit or variance from the Town of which you are applying?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

BRIAN HANEY: We did receive a special permit a copy of which is included with the application.

JOHN ROMANO: At this time I am going to ask my inspector to give his report. Mr. Chaves.

CHRIS CHAVES: Thank you, John. This is a proposed 14x48 two-sided monopole facing I93.

The proposed location does have two businesses within 500-feet. Also, it meets the requirement for ramps from the on-ramp to the North. Also, it meets the spacing requirement to the existing electronic permit to the South. I have nothing else to report on this location.

JOHN ROMANO: So the existing one is to the South, Chris?

CHRIS CHAVES: Yes. It is permit number 2016D008.

JOHN ROMANO: Great, thank you. Mr. Haney, is there anything that you would like to add to

your application at this time?

BRIAN HANEY: The only thing, Mr.

Romano, is a copy of the assignment that we have conducted that assigns all rights granted under the special permit to the Trust and to the LLC to Harbor Outdoor. It is the same additional interest and it is the same individual it is just memorializing that.

JOHN ROMANO: Okay, we will put that in as part of the record as well. Mr. Aylesworth, did you want to speak at this time?

TOM AYLESWORTH: Thank you, Mr. Romano. Yesterday Judge Foster of the Land Court issued a preliminary injunction ordering that no building permit -- the applicant is not allowed to seek any building permit or to otherwise construct this billboard pending the final outcome of that appeal.

That appeal, Mr. Romano, there are two appeals. The first one we thought was after the applicant received its special permit and that was from the Planning Board in the Town of Braintree.

That is also before Judge Foster and as of yesterday, it is consolidated with the second appeal. That is what we were in court on yesterday. That is an

appeal for a decision by the Braintree Zoning Board of Appeals. That is a second form of relief that was obtained by this applicant.

2.1

2.2

After they got the special permit they then went to the ZBA seeking a finding, not a variance but a finding that this billboard could be constructed at 290 Wood Road. The reason they needed the additional relief in addition to the special permit is because this lot is none conforming in terms of setbacks, lot coverage and open space. Those non-conformities were allowed by prior variances. So they are not entitled to special protection as grandfathered non-conformities they were allowed --they are legal non-conformities by variance.

Under the law and this is what we argued in the appeal. Under the law in order to put in a new structure of a non-conforming lot where the non-conformities are allowed by variance the applicant needs another variance, not a finding. So we made that argument in court yesterday and you have our motion that we submitted. Judge Foster found that we are likely to succeed on the merits of that argument, of that claim. That the ZBA unlawfully issued a

finding instead of a variance for the reasons I articulated.

2.1

2.2

So I did inform Attorney Fenton of the result yesterday. That hearing was in the morning in the afternoon a decision hasn't been written yet by Judge Foster but he did enter a docket marking summarizing the decision. We received that by Courts Clerk by email. I also reported that to Attorney Fenton. That sets forth what the Court's conclusion is on this preliminary junction that my client is likely to succeed in proving that the ZBA relief is unlawful.

Also, saying that the judge is not taking any position on what should happen today, remaining neutral. The judge made it very clear in the hearing yesterday that he is not suggesting one way or another what this office should do. That would be of course up to you. But, if the permit were to issue the sign can't be built at least not while the preliminary junction is still in place. That is going to remain in place until the case is over.

We oppose the issuance of the permit because what is the point of issuing the permit where

number one, the court is already ruled that it is likely that we will prevail on our claim that the relief from the ZBA is unlawful. Number two, if it does issue nothing can be done. So we submit that the most logical way of proceeding would be either to deny the permit and the application outright and let them reapply when the case is over if they prevail. Or alternatively, take your decisions under advisement; keep it under advisement until Judge Foster issues a final ruling. That seems to make sense because until that time nothing can happen. So for those reasons, we would oppose the issuance of a permit pending the outcome of the appeal.

JOHN ROMANO: Thank you very much. Any other comments or questions from the general public?

(No response)

JOHN ROMANO: Mr. Haney, would you like to add anything before we close?

BRIAN HANEY: Just very briefly, Mr.

Romano. I think with respect to Mr. Aylesworth

position I think it is a slight mischaracterization of
what Judge Foster found. The specific wording is that
preliminary injunction will enter and allow to seek

permit from Office of Outdoor Advertising, OOA but in joining for applying for a building permit etcetera.

2.2

appropriate under the law in regulations. Judge
Foster took no position on this agency, in fact saying he has no jurisdiction over this agency. In fact, saying at the hearing I don't want you to lose your place at OOA. What this agency and this Board determines is utterly irrelevant from what Braintree has already determined except for Braintree has already determined and issued a permit allowing us to do exactly that which we are applying to this Board for a permit to do. That is under appeal. That is undeniable. There is now an injunction in that process that is undeniable.

However, he hasn't won the appeal yet.

It has been merely -- there has been an order issued as the injunction the appeal is pending. What this organization does and should do is separate and apart from that process. I would ask this Board to continue to keep it separate and apart for this Board to execute its functions and its obligations separate and apart from that process.

2.1

If we lose the appeal the permit will be returned because we won't be able to build a billboard. If we win the appeal we can move forward with building the billboard because we will go get our building permit. That is where it should stand. Thank you very much.

abuttal. I don't think I mischaracterized Judge
Foster's comments. I said he was neutral and was
giving no indication of what should happen as a result
of this proceeding. He made some comment about the
applicant not losing its place but that was not any
suggestion that the permit should issue. His stance
was very neutral and did not take a position one way
or another.

Finally, I would say this. There is no point in issuing the permit if the sign can't be built. There will be a decision eventually from the court. That is number one but number two independently this office should make its own determination to whether that zoning relief that was obtained by the applicant is lawful. We submitted the papers to show you why it isn't. If they don't meet

1	local zoning than the permit shouldn't issue. You						
2	will make your own determination on whether that's the						
3	case. Thank you.						
4	JOHN ROMANO: Anything else?						
5	(No response)						
6	JOHN ROMANO: Great, we will take this						
7	all under advisement as we do with all of our						
8	applications. We will let you know what we decide.						
9	BRIAN HANEY: Thank you very much.						
10	TOM AYLESWORTH: Thank you.						
11	JOHN ROMANO: Last piece of the agenda,						
12	For the Record actions taken since the last Public						
13	Hearing. Any questions, comments, or concerns from						
14	anybody?						
15	(No response)						
16	JOHN ROMANO: Seeing none. Last piece						
17	public comment. Any public comments on anything?						
18	(No response)						
19	JOHN ROMANO: None. Thank you all very						
20	much. We are adjourned. Enjoy the rest of the day.						
21							
22							
23							

	Office	of	Outdoor	Adverti	sing	October	11,	2018
1								
2								
3								
4								
5			Whereur	on, the	proc	eedinas	were	

concluded on October 11, 2018, at 11:23 a.m.)

ATM, INC. Court Reporting Services 339-674-9100

CERTIFICATE

I, Tammy A. Hillery, do hereby certify that the foregoing record is a true and accurate transcription of the proceedings in the above-captioned matter to the best of my skill and ability.

Tammy Hillery

Tammy A. Hillery

 $\star\star$ All names not provided were spelled phonetically to the best of my ability.