# Office of Outdoor Advertising Public Meeting

February 8, 2018 11:00 AM

State Transportation Building

10 Park Plaza

2<sup>nd</sup> Floor

Boston, MA 02116

Present:

John Romano, Executive Director

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	JOHN ROMANO: Good morning, everyone.
4	My name is John Romano. I'm the Director of Outdoor
5	Advertising for MassDOT. We are here this morning for
6	the February 8 <sup>th</sup> public hearing.
7	If you have not already done so, there
8	is a sign-in sheet on the table to the left. There
9	are also agendas there. I do want to call to your
10	attention that the agenda has been slightly revised
11	and the items for Haymarket Station and the vent grate
12	at Park Street have been removed from the agenda at
13	this time.
14	AUDIENCE: Sorry? Say that again
15	please. What's removed?
16	JOHN ROMANO: There were three
17	locations for Haymarket Station and a vent grate on
18	Tremont Street for Park Street. Those have been
19	removed off the agenda.
20	AUDIENCE: Permanently?
21	JOHN ROMANO: At this time.
22	AUDIENCE: You have 128 Tremont Street
23	and Tremont at Winter. Those are still on or not?
24	JOHN ROMANO: Yeah, everything that's

	office of outdoor Advertising replacify o, 2010
1	on the new agenda.
2	Okay. We are going to review there
3	was also copies of the last January hearing meeting
4	minutes there. Are there any questions or concerns
5	for the meeting minutes?
6	(No response.)
7	JOHN ROMANO: Seeing none, we will mark
8	those approved.
9	Okay. Today's agenda. The first item
10	up on the agenda is the Massachusetts Bay Transit
11	Authority. It's an application to become a licensee
12	of the Office of Outdoor Advertising in the State of
13	Massachusetts.
14	Is anybody here to represent the T on
15	this issue? Please state your name for the record.
16	EVAN ROWE: Evan Rowe.
17	JOHN ROMAN: Thank you, sir.
18	Okay, Evan. We've reviewed all of the
19	paperwork, applications submitted, $W-9$ , and also
20	payment. There appears to be no issues with the
21	paperwork at this time. Do you have anything that you
22	would like to add to that application?

EVAN ROWE: No, that's fine.

JOHN ROMANO: Are there any questions

23

24

Office of	Outdoor	Advertising	February	8,	2018
-----------	---------	-------------	----------	----	------

1	or comments from the general public?
2	Yes, ma'am. Please state your name.
3	RACHEL THURLOW: Hi. Rachel Thurlow,
4	Hancock Street.
5	Are you assuming this role because the
6	Clear Channel contract has ended?
7	EVAN ROWE: I'm sorry?
8	RACHEL THURLOW: Are you becoming a
9	licensee because Clear Channel is no longer the MBTA's
10	billboard operator?
11	EVAN ROWE: There is a number of
12	reasons that we want to do it. It's not
13	RACHEL THURLOW: Okay. Just generally,
14	the conflict with the MassDOT as billboard regulator,
15	any has a legal opinion been issued on this?
16	JOHN ROMANO: There's no conflict.
17	Any other questions? Yes, ma'am?
18	SUSAN PRINDLE: Does this constitute an
19	approval
20	JOHN ROMANO: Please state your name.
21	SUSAN PRINDLE: Susan Prindle from Back
22	Bay.
23	Does this constitute an approval of the
24	application?

1	JOHN ROMANO: It does not.
2	SUSAN PRINDLE: Okay. We're still
3	going to get a hearing on that?
4	JOHN ROMANO: This is the hearing.
5	Okay. I just want to state upfront before I finish
6	this. All items on every agenda at this hearing,
7	whether it's today, or past, or going forward, are
8	taken under advisement. There are no decisions made
9	at this hearing for any of them, whether it's a
10	license application, a permit application. We hear
11	we go through a series of questions. We get comments
12	and feedback from the proponent and from anybody else
13	who wants to speak. And then it's taken under
14	advisement. No decisions
15	SUSAN PRINDLE: Will that system change
16	if this license is granted?
17	JOHN ROMANO: No, no, no. It has
18	nothing to do with it. That's just in general across
19	the board that's how it works. I just want to let
20	people know that no decisions get made at this
21	hearing.
22	Okay. We will take that under
23	advisement and we will get back to you.
24	The next item, Item B, Applications for

1	Permits. Outfront Media, LLC has 12 applications in
2	various locations on MBTA stations throughout the City
3	of Boston for digital urban panels. I am going to
4	take these both individually and as a group. So, I'm
5	going to do Aquarium together, Arlington Station
6	together, you know, as a group as we go through these.
7	I will take comments collectively at
8	the end of all of them. As I said, there are no
9	decisions made at this hearing, but we will go through
10	them as a group. We will show the locations up on the
11	screen. And then instead of having people maybe
12	repeat the same comments at each one, we'll take them
13	as a group. Certainly, if there's a specific station
14	or location that your comment is about, you can state
15	that.
16	We do ask, as the meeting is being
17	recorded, that you state your name for the record.
18	So, with that, I am going to go
19	through. So, Aquarium Station, two locations, State
20	Street and Old Atlantic Ave. They are Applications
21	Number 2018002 and 003. Notice was sent by my office
22	to the Mayor and the City Clerk on January 9, 2018.
23	Is the applicant present?
24	JOHN MAHONEY: John Mahoney from

1	Outfront Media.
2	JOHN ROMANO: Is there anybody here
3	representing the municipality?
4	(No response.)
5	JOHN ROMANO: Seeing none.
6	Are there any abutters to this specific
7	location?
8	(No response.)
9	JOHN ROMANO: None.
10	Is anyone here from the Highway
11	District Office?
12	(No response.)
13	JOHN ROMANO: No.
14	Traffic operations, as these are
15	digital urban panels, we had our Traffic Department
16	review them. I know Ted Massey is here in the back of
17	the room.
18	TED MASSEY: Yeah, we reviewed this
19	location and no traffic study is required.
20	JOHN ROMANO: At this time, so I have
21	not received any written comments in support or
22	opposition to this particular location.
23	Mr. Rowe excuse me Mr. Mahoney,
24	have you guys received anything for this particular

1	location?
2	JOHN MAHONEY: We have received
3	nothing.
4	JOHN ROMANO: Okay. I'm going to have
5	my inspector give us a quick field report on just
6	Aquarium Station.
7	CHRISTOPHER CHAVES: Thank you, John.
8	As you mentioned, there is two
9	locations within this station.
10	JOHN ROMANO: Sorry, Chris. Please
11	state your name for the record.
12	CHRISTOPHER CHAVES: Oh, Chris Chaves,
13	field inspector.
14	There are two locations within this
15	station, both one on either end of the station
16	affixed to the entrances of Aquarium Station. There
17	are no CMR issues with the street furniture
18	designation.
19	JOHN ROMANO: Okay. Great. As I
20	mentioned, I'm going to hold public comment at the end
21	after we go through all of these and you can address
22	specific locations.
23	Okay. Thank you.
24	Mr. Mahoney, anything you would like to

1	add at this time to these particular locations?
2	JOHN MAHONEY: No, sir.
3	JOHN ROMANO: All right. Then we will
4	take these so, I'm going to reserve any statement
5	about taking them under advisement until we go through
6	the whole group. We'll put those aside.
7	The next locations are Arlington
8	Station. There are four locations proposed. And they
9	are all on Boylston Street. They are Permit
10	Applications Number 2018004, 005, 006, and 007. The
11	applicant is the same, Mr. John Mahoney, correct?
12	JOHN MAHONEY: Yes, sir.
13	JOHN ROMANO: Is there anyone here
14	representing the municipality where the sign is
15	proposed?
16	(No response.)
17	JOHN ROMANO: None. Are there abutters
18	here for this specific location? I know there are
19	several, so I'm going to ask that we hold the comments
20	till the end, if that's okay, as I said before.
21	Is anyone here representing the
22	District Office?
23	KATE BELL: Yes.

JOHN ROMANO: Please state your name

24

1	and	
2	KATE BELL: Kate Bell, representi	ıng
3	City Councilor Josh Zakim.	
4	JOHN ROMANO: I'm sorry. I mean	our
5	Highway District.	
6	KATE BELL: Got you. Sorry.	
7	JOHN ROMANO: But that's okay, Ka	ate.
8	KATE BELL: Just making sure.	
9	JOHN ROMANO: Teddy Massey from o	our
10	Traffic Office.	
11	TED MASSEY: Yeah. We reviewed t	these
12	locations, and no traffic study will be required	i.
13	JOHN ROMANO: Okay. John, have y	70u
14	received any comments in support or opposition t	10
15	these?	
16	JOHN MAHONEY: We haven't receive	ed any
17	comments.	
18	JOHN ROMANO: I can tell you that	; I
19	have received many, probably close to 50 or more	e. And
20	I bet as we're here, I'm still getting emails to	our
21	account. What we do with those letters is I am	not
22	going to read each individual one of them. We w	vill
23	make copies of them and they will be given to the	ne
24	stenographer and they will be incorporated as pa	art of

1	the public record for this hearing as part of the
2	transcript that will get posted online.
3	We do have Andrew Bettinelli from
4	Senator Brownsberger's office who provided us with a
5	letter. And I know that Andrew would like to read
6	that into the record, so I'm going to allow him to do
7	that now.
8	ANDREW BETTINELLI: Sure.
9	JOHN ROMANO: Thank you, Andrew.
10	ANDREW BETTINELLI: Yes. So, my name
11	is Andrew Bettinelli. I'm Senator Brownsberger's
12	Chief of Staff. I have a letter signed by Senator
13	Brownsberger, Senator Boncore, Representative
14	Livingstone, Representative Michlewitz, and
15	Representative Rushing. And I'll just read the letter
16	now.
17	"We are writing to oppose the outdoor
18	advertising permit applications by Outfront Media,
19	LLC, especially regarding the panel located within the
20	Back Bay Historic District and those proposed for
21	Arlington Street and Tremont Street. The proposed
22	'digital urban panels' would not be in harmony with
23	the visual character of the neighborhood. The
24	proposed panel placements also are immediately

OTITCE	ΟŢ	Outdoor	Advertising	repruary	Ö,	2018

1	adjacent to the Boston Common and the Public Garden,
2	two of the most visited parks anywhere. We should not
3	allow digital urban panels to interfere with the
4	beauty and enjoyment of these parks.
5	To protect the historic character of
6	the neighborhood, we urge you to deny the
7	application."
8	JOHN ROMANO: Thank you very much.
9	ANDREW BETTINELLI: Thank you.
10	JOHN ROMANO: I know that Elizabeth
11	Hunt from Rep. Rushing's office is here. Would you
12	like to speak at this time?
13	ELIZABETH HUNT: I think he got it all.
14	JOHN ROMANO: Also, from Councilor
15	Zakim's office, we did receive a letter in that group.
16	Would you like to say anything?
17	KATE BELL: Sure. I won't go through
18	the entire letter just because you already received
19	it. But we would just like to reiterate the
20	Councilor's
21	JOHN ROMANO: Could you just state your
22	name again so he can
23	KATE BELL: Sorry. Yeah. Kate Bell

representing City Councilor Josh Zakim.

24

1	I'm just going to reiterate the
2	Councilor's opposition to the installation of these
3	digital urban panels at the Arlington, Back Bay, and
4	Park Street stations in the strongest terms. They
5	clearly violate the Back Bay Architectural Commission
6	Commercial Guidelines as well as appear to violate
7	MassDOT's own regulations on outdoor advertising,
8	considering that all are within 300 feet of public
9	parks.
10	So, I would just reiterate his
11	opposition to this.
12	Thank you.
13	JOHN ROMANO: Thank you very much for
14	your comments.
15	Okay. And, again, we will get to all
16	of your comments when we get through the bunch here.
17	Chris, did you do these as well? So,
18	Chris Chaves, my inspector, will go through his
19	report.
20	CHRISTOPHER CHAVES: Thank you, John.
21	As you mentioned, there are three
22	locations on Arlington Street facing Boylston, one on
23	Berkeley facing Boylston, all affixed to entrances or
24	exits from the Arlington Station. Again, with street

1	furniture, there are no issues with CMR.
2	JOHN ROMANO: Okay. Thank you, Chris.
3	Okay. So that is numbers 4, 5, 6, and
4	7.
5	So, next we are going to go with Back
6	Bay Station. There are four locations: Columbus Ave.
7	at Clarendon, Application 2018008; Clarendon at
8	Columbus, 2018009; Dartmouth Street, 2018010; and
9	Dartmouth Street, again, 2018011.
10	As with all the previous applications,
11	notice was sent to the Mayor and the City Clerk by my
12	office on January 9, 2018. I am going to go through
13	all four locations for Back Bay Station at the same
14	time.
15	Again, Mr. John Mahoney representing
16	the proponent.
17	Is there anybody here from the
18	municipality? I don't know if anybody came in from
19	the city.
20	(No response.)
21	JOHN ROMANO: No. Are there abutters

present here today for this location?

District Office?

22

23

24

Office of Outdoor Advertising February 8, 2018

# ATM, INC. Court Reporting Services 339-674-9100

Is anybody here from the Highway

1	(No response.)
2	JOHN ROMANO: Traffic? Teddy?
3	TED MASSEY: Yeah, Ted Massey, Traffic.
4	We reviewed these locations and no
5	traffic study will be required.
6	JOHN ROMANO: Okay. Thank you, sir.
7	John, again, any written comments in
8	support or opposition?
9	JOHN MAHONEY: No, Mr. Director, we've
10	received no comments.
11	JOHN ROMANO: Some of the comments that
12	we received in the stack that I told you, some are all
13	of these in general and some specific. So, this is
14	included in a couple of those, but no specific ones
15	that I think for this. I haven't read through every
16	single comment at this point. But, again, they'll all
17	be put in with the record of this hearing.
18	Chris, you've got these, too, right?
19	So, Chris Chaves, can you give your inspection report?
20	CHRISTOPHER CHAVES: Yes. As you
21	mentioned, there are four locations. Two of them are
22	at the Columbus-Clarendon Street portion of Back Bay,
23	and there are two facing Dartmouth Street, at 145
24	Dartmouth Street. All are affixed to entrances of the

Office	of	Outdoor	Advertising	February	8,	2018
--------	----	---------	-------------	----------	----	------

1	station. No CMR issues with the street furniture.
2	JOHN ROMANO: Thank you, sir.
3	Okay. Now, we're going to go to the
4	last two items on page three, which are these are
5	128 Tremont Street, Park Street Station, and Tremont
6	Street at Winter Street, also Park Street Station.
7	These are Applications 2018015 and 016.
8	Again, as with all of the other
9	applications, notice was sent to the Mayor and City
10	Clerk on January 9, 2018 by my office.
11	Again, Mr. Mahoney, John Mahoney, is
12	the applicant.
13	Is anybody here from the city?
14	(No response.)
15	JOHN ROMANO: No. Any abutters present
16	for these? Okay. There is one, two, a couple of
17	people. Okay. So, I'm going to put several again.
18	Okay.
19	Is anybody here from the District
20	Office?
21	(No response.)
22	JOHN ROMANO: Mr. Massey, traffic
23	again.
24	TED MASSEY: Yes. We determined no

Office of	Outdoor	Advertising	February	8,	2018
-----------	---------	-------------	----------	----	------

1	traffic study was required in this location.
2	JOHN ROMANO: Okay. Again, Mr.
3	Mahoney, you have not received for or against?
4	JOHN MAHONEY: I have not.
5	JOHN ROMANO: As I've stated earlier,
6	we have received many, a big stack. We will include
7	all of them with the transcript for the record of this
8	hearing.
9	And, Jason Bean, my inspector, is the
10	one who inspected these.
11	JASON BEAN: Yeah. Thank you, John.
12	As with the other ones, these are
13	digital panels. They're street furniture affixed to
14	station entrances. At this time, there's no issues
15	that we can find.
16	JOHN ROMANO: Thank you. So, what I'm
17	going to do is, first of all, Mr. Mahoney, anything
18	you'd like to add to the applications?
19	JOHN MAHONEY: No, sir.
20	JOHN ROMANO: Next, as with electronic
21	advertising, there are several issues, several
22	requirements in the CMR with electronic boards that
23	there can be no moving. Displays must be up for a
24	minimum of ten seconds and they have to be instant

Office of	Outdoor	Advertising	February	8,	2018

1	changes. There can be no animation on any of these.
2	And, you know, there are a handful of other
3	requirements under electronic permits that you are
4	required to follow these, and we expect those all to
5	be followed in these cases. And, as we do with all
6	our billboards, out inspectors keep an eye as well as
7	the general public on those, and we will, if there are
8	any issues. Do you have any questions with any of
9	those requirements?
10	JOHN MAHONEY: We understand and we
11	have no questions.
12	JOHN ROMANO: Okay. So, just one more
13	statement I want to make and then we will get to
14	comments from the general public.
15	I just want people to as my
16	inspectors referred to, based on a legal opinion from
17	MassDOT general counsel, these digital urban panels
18	are considered street furniture and not electronic
19	billboards. And so they are reviewed under the
20	(Audience laughter.)
21	JOHN ROMANO: They are reviewed under
22	the street furniture purpose of the CMR. So, that's
23	not I'm not here to debate that issue today.
24	AUDIENCE: Could you explain it though?

1	AUDIENCE: Can you make public
2	Stephanie Pollack's interpretation?
3	JOHN ROMANO: Eileen, can you do that?
4	EILEEN FENTON: Yes, we can make the
5	letter available.
6	AUDIENCE: Can you explain just what's
7	the difference?
8	AUDIENCE: Yeah.
9	EILEEN FENTON: I can briefly say that
10	counsel has opined that the head houses at these
11	various locations fit within and are of like kind and
12	function to other street furniture structures, such as
13	bus shelters. So, they fit within the legal
14	definition under the regulations of street furniture.
15	RACHEL THURLOW: Federal Highway signed
16	off on that because every other definition is for
17	removable, not fixed street furniture.
18	JOHN ROMANO: Excuse me. If you're
19	going to speak, you need to raise your hand so I can
20	acknowledge you. And you need to identify who you are
21	so that we can get it on the record.
22	Yes?
23	RACHEL THURLOW: Rachel Thurlow in
24	Boston.

1 So, every other street furniture 2 definition in transit law speaks to removable street 3 items, mainly equipment things. The station head as street furniture is quite inventive. We would 5 definitely like to see Stephanie Pollack's reasoning for this and also Federal Highway's signoff. 6 7 We understand DOT is in a tough spot being the billboard proliferator and regulator. 8 9 JOHN ROMANO: Thank you. Okay. So I'm 10 going to try to do this as reasonable and as logical 11 as I can, trying to get some -- in some type of order. 12 So, I will ask that you state your name and the particular -- you don't have to state the application, 13 14 but just whether it's Park Street, you know, Back Bay 15 Station, Aquarium, whichever station it is that you're 16 speaking on. Or, if it's all of them, you can say all of them, just so we know what you're referring to. 17 18 I'm going to start on my left over here 19 and I'm going to go front to back. I ask that you 20 speak, you know, stand up and speak loud so that we 21 can get your conversation recorded. We will take any 22 statements. And I'd just ask that you just keep your 23 comments relatively brief, but I will allow you to 24 make your comment.

1	So, if you want to speak here, please
2	just raise your hand and I'll call you in a logical
۷	just raise your mand and i ir carr you in a rogicar
3	order. Just raise your hand if you want to speak.
4	Okay. We'll start right here with you, ma'am.
5	VICKI SMITH: Hi. My name is Vicki
6	Smith. I'm president of the Neighborhood Association
7	of the Back Bay. We represent 2,000 members. We've
8	been around for about 60 years. And we strongly
9	oppose the installation of these signs at Arlington
10	Street.
11	The initial meeting that I went to said
12	that there well, they say there are four signs.
13	They are on both front and back, so it really is eight
14	signs, and that they actually would flash every 15
15	if I have this right every 15 to 90 seconds, so
16	that they are, in fact, kind of moving.
17	So, we strongly oppose them. The Back
18	Bay Architectural Guidelines are clear on the
19	inappropriateness of a structure like those proposed
20	by the MBTA. Box signs with backgrounds that are
21	illuminated are inappropriate. Illumination shall be
22	static, steady burning. Animated or flashing effects
23	are inappropriate as are televisions, projection
24	screens, LED, or any future technology which

1	incorporates movement.
2	So, thank you very much.
3	JOHN ROMANO: And we did receive your
4	letter. It's in the comments that we have. So, I do
5	have that.
6	Thank you.
7	Yes, sir.
8	GREG GALER: Good morning. I'm Greg
9	Galer. I'm executive director of the Boston
10	Preservation Alliance. We sent in a letter as well.
11	JOHN ROMANO: Yes.
12	GREG GALER: The Alliance represents
13	dozens of organizations and over 100 businesses
14	throughout the City who partner with us to try to find
15	thoughtful evolution of the City, allowing the City to
16	change but respecting the City's unique character.
17	The Alliance opposes providing the MBTA
18	with carte blanche to install the proposed signs in
19	historic neighborhoods without dialogue with
20	interested parties and those in the Boston Landmarks
21	Commission who, in particular, oversee the Back Bay
22	Architectural Commission the Back Bay Architectural
23	District. We're particularly concerned about those at
24	Arlington Street. And we want to understand the

proposal for Park Street as well. We understand there was a change this morning. But we want to really understand what's proposed.

As you've heard, the signs are truly in violation of the architectural guidelines for the Back Bay. Everyone else proposing signs in the Back Bay District needs to follow those rules, and we feel the MBTA, particularly as a public agency, should be exemplary in their outreach and willingness to listen to concerned citizens rather than deaf to it.

MassDOT rules, the CMR rules which apply to signs, which I guess you said don't apply here, but I just wanted to point out those rules note that the permit shall be granted for a sign which the Director determines would not be in harmony with or suitable to the surrounding area, would not significantly be damaging to the visual impact. It references the physical, environmental, cultural and historical, and architectural characteristics of the neighborhood.

So, we see no reason why the T can't accomplish that in a manner sympathetic to the broader goals. We're just asking for a discussion with the community. We think there's a compromise and a

1	dialogue that is going to occur, and both these things
2	can be met. But we really ask for these not to be
3	approved currently until these dialogues can occur.
4	Thank you.

JOHN ROMANO: Thank you, sir.

Anybody else on my left here? Okay.

This ma'am right here.

SUSAN PRINDLE: Hi. My name is Susan Prindle. I've lived in Back Bay for longer than I'd like to remember. I am a big fan of the T. I'm on the T all the time. I'm a trolley card holder. I'm a user. And I wouldn't jump the turnstiles if I could, but I can't.

I would like to speak about the applications 8004 to 8007, Arlington Street, Berkeley Street.

I can't support the proliferation of backlit advertising signs in a historic district and adjacent to our historic parks. These resources are invaluable as well, as well as the T. They are what make Boston, Boston. They are what brings people to our city to learn about our history and to enjoy the beauty of our open spaces and architecture. Once they are compromised, they cannot be restored.

1 You've heard that the proposed 2 billboards from Outfront Media are designed to provide real-time information to riders. That's partly true. 3 But the real purpose is to provide revenue through the sale of advertising space through large 56x31 backlit 5 screens. I am 60 inches tall. So, if you put me 6 7 sideways, I'd be about the same size as what you're planning. These screens are as wide as I am tall, 8 9 with moving advertisement and lights. They are 10 completely inappropriate in a historic area, and specifically prohibited by the Back Bay Commission 11 12 quidelines. 13 While the T claims that these screens 14 will enliven the streetscape, many of us believe they 15 will instead detract from it by overwhelming it with 16 visual clutter. We believe there are alternative sites within the station that could achieve the T's 17 18 objectives without negative impact. And we would like 19 the applicants to explore this option with us. 20 you can deny the current application, they will not do so because we have asked. 21 22 I understand that many letters have 23 been submitted. I'd like to point out one from Joseph Cornish, the director of design review of the Back Bay 24

1	Architectural Commission. He writes:
2	"The proposed panels are clearly
3	contrary to both the guidelines and the mission of the
4	Back Bay Architectural Commission and will negatively
5	impact the historic setting of the district, as well
6	as the following nearby or individual/pending
7	landmarks: Public Garden, Arlington Street Church,
8	the Berkeley Building, and the Paine Furniture
9	Building."
10	He, too, requests that the application
11	be denied.
12	I hope the Board will deny this
13	application and encourage the applicant to seek a
14	solution inside the station where the information can
15	be provided to those who need it without damage to our
16	historic streetscapes and parks.
17	JOHN ROMANO: Thank you. Ma'am, and
18	then the gentleman in the back.
19	JANET HURWITZ: My name is Janet
20	Hurwitz. I'm an architect and have lived and worked
21	in Back Bay for over 35 years. I've completed at
22	least 30 projects within the District. I've also
23	taught a course in historic preservation at
24	Northeastern and am very familiar with both the local

1	preservation laws and the national laws.
2	I'm just going to say that I strongly
3	oppose these panels as being blatantly in conflict
4	with the guidelines of the District and the principles
5	of the historic preservation laws. I understand that
6	the MBTA feels that they are exempt from normal review
7	process, which we rely on, like the Back Bay
8	Architectural Commission. However, I think it should
9	be everyone's responsibility, including the MBTA, to
10	act as stewards of this unique area.
11	I also want to say that I'm confused
12	about the Berkeley Street sign because there is no
13	entrance on Berkeley; it's closed. So, that's purely
14	advertising. I don't understand that one.
15	Thank you.
16	JOHN ROMANO: Thank you.
17	Sir, in the back?
18	CHRIS MEYER: Hi. Chris Meyer. I live
19	at 165 Tremont, so I'm an abutter to the Park Street
20	location, which is what my comment is about. I would
21	see this installation from my window.
22	I have a question for Outfront, which
23	is do they distinguish between installations in
24	historic districts and elsewhere because it seems to

Office of Outdoor Advertising February 8, 2018 1 me, if not, I don't know what a historic district 2 designation means or what it is for. My wife and I recently returned from 3 Hong Kong and Shenzhen in China. And, there, in 5 addition to all kinds of signs, many of them lit, stores can broadcast sound in the street as part of 6 7 their advertising. And it's quite an unpleasant experience, at least if you're not used to it and 8 9 maybe if you are. 10 We've become used to a lot of advertising in our lives. People estimate tens of 11 12 thousands of messages per day are received. And, my wife and I were walking on Boylston Street opposite 13 14 the Public Garden and remarking on how special it is 15 that Boston has environments that are free of that 16 kind of intrusiveness and that that's part of Boston's special character. 17 18 So, I would find that materially 19 negative on daily life to take Frederick Olmsted's 20 park and put lit advertisements in it. And I would 21 ask the Commission how this could possibly serve the 22 public interest. 23 Thank you, sir. JOHN ROMANO:

24

Anybody standing up in the back to the

1	left? Yes, ma'am. Please state your name.
2	JANET TIAMPO: Janet Tiampo, Boston
3	resident.
4	My concern with the Park Street and
5	Arlington Street stations is that I feel like over the
6	last six months or so there is literally and
7	figuratively a shadow coming over our parks. We are
8	slowly chipping away at the beauty and the nature and
9	the history of our parks. And I feel like this is
10	just one more step that brings us further away from
11	their essence.
12	JOHN ROMANO: Thank you.
13	Yes?
14	ALICE MURPHY: Alice Murphy. I've
15	lived in the Back Bay for over 20 years.
16	I just have a short comment. I am
17	opposed to all of these signs for the reason of
18	reducing visual clutter and increasingly escalating
19	the demand because the more we become blind to
20	advertisements, the more you have to up your game.
21	And it will just continue to escalate.
22	I also point out that there are
23	wonderful apps for getting real-time information about
24	transportation, which I have used in this and other

1	cities. So, I don't really see why everybody needs to
2	have this information flashed at them on the street
3	level.
4	So, I would just suggest that we look
5	at other options for transmitting this information and
6	prioritizing it, where it doesn't show.
7	JOHN ROMANO: Thank you, ma'am.
8	Okay. I'm going to go to my right
9	here. Ma'am, go ahead.
10	PAMELA HUMPHREY: I'm Pamela Humphrey.
11	I've lived in the Back Bay for 30 years.
12	I'm very opposed to all of these kinds
13	of signs in this historic city, not to mention that it
14	violates a gazillion other rules and regulations
15	regarding the historic district and how we treat it.
16	I think that it is you know, we are
17	being besieged by visual images constantly, noise.
18	You go into stations, you go into stores, you go into
19	everything. And, you know, if you take a look at the
20	impact on people's brains, their sense of wellbeing
21	and psychology, there's another piece to this as well.
22	So the question, you know, so there's another piece to
23	that. It's not just the fact that it's ugly and it
24	defies the rules and regulations that we've so

carefully put into the city to try to protect its normal character, but there are also issues around the impact on your health and wellbeing. So, there are plenty of studies about that if you wanted to bother to look it up.

If the issue and the -- if the issue is that you want to provide information to travelers on the MBTA, there's no good reason to put it outside in the middle of an historic district. You ought to put it inside the stations because that's where people are going to look for information.

I think -- this is a slight aside is that the thing that is so upsetting to me about what's going on in Boston right now, the unbridled development that's taking place in this city is absolutely out of control. It's changing, permanently changing the character of the city. Transportation issues are a huge -- we have no infrastructure for better transportation to handle the crowds that are being brought into the city as a result of the construction. The Copley Square development, Boston Properties development, is going to bring 3,200 people into the city. You can't even find a place for the buses.

1	So, I mean it's just this is just
2	one piece of this creeping, creeping outcome of
3	changing the fundamental character of the city without
4	any long-term vision for it. Mayor Walsh talks about
5	2030. 2030, it's over by 2030. We have no vision for
6	development in the city and how to handle it. It's
7	all piece-by-piece by piece. And it's totally and
8	completely inefficient. And I think that there are
9	things that are going on in the city, whether it's
10	advertising or whether it's development, that is going
11	to destroy the character of the city. You know, we're
12	going to turn into New York.
13	So, if you like New York, I suppose
13 14	So, if you like New York, I suppose that's okay. But if you live in Boston, you're here
14	that's okay. But if you live in Boston, you're here
14 15	that's okay. But if you live in Boston, you're here for a whole variety of reasons, but one of them is
14 15 16	that's okay. But if you live in Boston, you're here for a whole variety of reasons, but one of them is because of the livability of the city. And we're
14 15 16 17	that's okay. But if you live in Boston, you're here for a whole variety of reasons, but one of them is because of the livability of the city. And we're slowly but surely turning it into a major metropolis
14 15 16 17 18	that's okay. But if you live in Boston, you're here for a whole variety of reasons, but one of them is because of the livability of the city. And we're slowly but surely turning it into a major metropolis on this island piece of property in order to get
14 15 16 17 18	that's okay. But if you live in Boston, you're here for a whole variety of reasons, but one of them is because of the livability of the city. And we're slowly but surely turning it into a major metropolis on this island piece of property in order to get whatever it is you think you want to get. Tax dollars
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that's okay. But if you live in Boston, you're here for a whole variety of reasons, but one of them is because of the livability of the city. And we're slowly but surely turning it into a major metropolis on this island piece of property in order to get whatever it is you think you want to get. Tax dollars are great, but I think thoughtful development in an
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that's okay. But if you live in Boston, you're here for a whole variety of reasons, but one of them is because of the livability of the city. And we're slowly but surely turning it into a major metropolis on this island piece of property in order to get whatever it is you think you want to get. Tax dollars are great, but I think thoughtful development in an historic city is doubly important, at least for

1	JOHN ROMANO: Anybody else on the go
2	ahead.
3	JANE DIMITRY: My name is Jane Dimitry.
4	I've lived in the Back Bay since 1993. So, I'm not
5	good at math.
6	But I'm opposed to any digital signs in
7	Boston. And I'm not so eloquent. Frankly, I think it
8	cheapens the look of the city of Boston, especially
9	the Back Bay, which is historic. I don't see why the
10	signs can't be in the stations themselves.
11	And, you know, I'm for the MBTA. I'm a
12	complete advocate. We need more public
13	transportation, not less. But to get revenue from
14	this, this is not the way to do it.
15	JOHN ROMANO: Thank you.
16	Anybody else on the right here? Ma'am,
17	go ahead.
18	LESLIE ADAM: My name is Leslie Adam.
19	And I am the Board chair of the Friends of the Public
20	Garden. We are an organization that has an unusual
21	private-public relationship with the city of Boston
22	and we care for these three historic parks: the
23	Common, the Public Garden, and the Commonwealth Avenue
24	Mall.

1	And one of the things that is really
2	special is that our members or supporters are not just
3	people who are adjacent. We represent 31 states here
4	in the Union and over 140 communities in the state of
5	Massachusetts.
6	These are very, very important parks,
7	not only for our city, but for our history nationally
8	in the United States.
9	The Friends of the Public Garden would
10	like to express our strong opposition to the proposed
11	illuminated electronic billboards at the Arlington
12	Street and Park Street Massachusetts Bay
13	Transportation Authority stations. The application by
14	Outfront Media should be denied because these
15	billboards these digital billboards intrude on and
16	negatively impact the character of our landmark
17	historic parks and surrounding neighborhoods. The
18	location and digital illumination of this outdoor
19	advertising is in violation of MassDOT's own
20	regulations for signage because it is within 300 feet
21	of two landmark historic parks. The Back Bay
22	Architectural Guidelines emphasize the
23	inappropriateness of illuminated signs within the
24	historic district.

1	We strongly urge the Office of Outdoor
2	Advertising to deny this application from Outfront
3	Media and require them to work with residents and
4	neighborhood stakeholders to find an acceptable
5	solution. There are opportunities for indoor
6	placement of panels that can achieve the MBTA's
7	advertising and informational goals without the visual
8	and light pollution of the current proposal. Given
9	the revenue projections, the negative impact of these
10	signs amount to selling Boston's public and historic
11	landscapes for a pittance.
12	Thank you.
13	(Applause.)
14	JOHN ROMANO: Anyone else on the right
15	side?
16	(No response.)
17	JOHN ROMANO: Okay. Seeing none, John,
18	anything you want to add to your applications?
19	JOHN MAHONEY: No, sir.
20	JOHN ROMANO: MBTA, anything you want
21	to say at this time?
22	AUDIENCE: He wants to add that he's
23	not doing it, withdraw the application.
24	JOHN ROMANO: Okay. With that, as we

Office	of	Outdoor	Advertising	February	8,	2018
--------	----	---------	-------------	----------	----	------

1	do with all applications, or permits, licenses,
2	amendments, or any such, we will take this all under
3	advisement. We have all of the comments. If there's
4	something in writing that people were reading that
5	they haven't already submitted that you would like to,
6	you can leave them here with me or you can give them
7	to Lydia, my assistant. We do have our Outdoor
8	Advertising email. You can still email comments in.
9	So, we will take this all under
10	advisement. And we will Mr. Mahoney, we will get
11	back to you. And the T will make sure that people
12	know what the decision is.
13	We have Item C is applications for 2018
14	Renewal of Outdoor Advertising Licenses and Permits;
15	also, Item D for Unlicensed Permits. Are there any
16	questions, comments, concerns on those listed?
17	(No response.)
18	JOHN ROMANO: Seeing none, those are
19	approved.
20	Item 4 is for the record, actions taken
21	since the last public hearing. They are listed. And,
22	also, so there's a new license, permit transfers, and
23	application for unlicensed permits. Any questions,
24	comments, concerns?

1	(No response.)
2	JOHN ROMANO: Seeing none.
3	With that, any other questions,
4	comments, or concerns?
5	(No response.)
6	JOHN ROMANO: Seeing none, the meeting
7	is adjourned. Thank you all and have a great
8	afternoon.
9	Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded on
10	February 8, 2017 at 11:40 a.m.)
11	//
12	//
13	//
14	//
15	//
16	//
17	//
18	//
19	//
20	//
21	//
22	//
23	//
24	//

#### CERTIFICATE

I, Judith Luciano, do hereby certify that the foregoing record is a true and accurate transcription of the proceedings in the above-captioned matter to the best of my skill and ability.

Judith Quciano

Judith Luciano

 $\star\star$  All names not provided were spelled phonetically to the best of my ability.