Office of Outdoor Advertising

Public Meeting

May 13, 2021 11:00 AM

State Transportation Building

10 Park Plaza

2nd Floor

Boston, MA 02116

(via Webinar)

Present:

John Romano, Executive Director

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 3 JOHN ROMANO: Good morning, everybody. I am the Director of Outdoor 4 My name is John Romano. 5 Advertising for MassDOT. We are here for the May 13^{th} Office of Outdoor Advertising hearing. 6 7 This meeting is being held virtually by Governor's Executive Order. Due to the ongoing COVID-8 9 19 pandemic, we cannot meet in person, so we are doing 10 this virtually. 11 We are running this hearing by 12 GoToWebinar. 13 Please note that this meeting is being 14 recorded by our stenographer who is in attendance. He 15 will provide a transcript of the meeting as always, 16 and it will be posted to our web page once the meeting 17 notes are approved at the next meeting and they are ready for posting. 18 This meeting is accessible to people 19 20 with disabilities and individuals with limited English 21 proficiency. If you filed a request for assistance 22 and did not receive it, please contact MassDOT's 23 Office of Diversity and Civil Rights at 857-368-8580 24 or massdot.civilrights@dot.state.ma.us.

1	Please note that accessible versions of
2	the meeting materials have been previously posted to
3	the OOA website and will be referred to in the
4	meeting. The links for those documents are also in
5	the chat box, and the materials should also be
6	available to download through GoToWebinar.
7	We are going to run this meeting as
8	close to our regular Outdoor Advertising hearings'
9	procedures as we can. I will go through the agenda.
10	While the sign-in sheet cannot be physically signed,
11	we have a list of those who signed up for the webinar
12	and those who are in attendance.
13	On the screen, we will post the agenda.
14	Gerry, it's in the chat box, but if you
15	can post the agenda up on the screen now.
16	GERARD KELLEHER: Okay.
17	JOHN ROMANO: What we will do is we
18	will go through each item. I will ask questions of
19	the proponents, just like I do in the hearing when we
20	have it at the office in-person at 10 Park Plaza.
21	Just so you know, everybody in the
22	public is currently muted. In about two minutes, the
23	organizer is going to unmute everyone, and you should
24	mute yourself at that time. Should the organizer, who

is Gerry Kelleher from MassDOT, hear excessive
background noise, he will mute people individually or
the whole group if needed.

If you would like to speak when public 4 5 comment is requested, you can unmute yourself at that If you have any trouble unmuting yourself to 6 time. 7 make a comment, you can use the "Raise Hand" function in GoToWebinar in order to indicate you would like to 8 9 make a comment. To raise your hand in GoToWebinar, 10 click the hand icon in the control panel. If you are connected by phone to the audio, you can press *9 to 11 12 raise your hand. On the phone, you can also press *1 to play the features menu and *2 to mute or unmute the 13 14 audio.

You can also post your comment orquestion in the chat box.

You will have a chance to make comments just like you do in the regular public hearing at the end of each item and then a final chance for overall comments at the end of the agenda.

For anybody who is new to the Outdoor Advertising hearings, we do not make any decisions at the actual hearing. Each item is taken under advisement and decisions are made usually within a few

1 weeks, sometimes as early as a week, but we will give 2 time for write-in comments to our email address, which 3 is OOAInformation@dot.state.ma.us. 4 You can send comments in writing there 5 as well. 6 With that, Gerry, if you could unmute 7 everybody, we will start. Just remember to mute 8 yourselves. 9 (Participants unmuted.) 10 GERARD KELLEHER: Can you see the 11 agenda? 12 JOHN ROMANO: Yes, I can. Oh, now it 13 went away. 14 GERARD KELLEHER: Okay. 15 JOHN ROMANO: If you can put it back 16 and go to page two, Gerry, that would be great. 17 Okay. I see it now. 18 GERARD KELLEHER: Okay. 19 JOHN ROMANO: If you could go to page 20 two. 21 We did call to order, sign in. 22 Minutes Approval: The April 8, 2001 23 (sic) public hearing regular session meeting minutes. 24 Are there any comments, questions, on

the April 8, 2001 (sic) minutes? 1 2 (No response.) 3 JOHN ROMANO: I do not see any hands raised and I'm not seeing any questions. 4 5 Gerry, do you see anything, hands? GERARD KELLEHER: No questions. 6 7 JOHN ROMANO: Okay. With that, we will 8 mark those approved. 9 The first item up on the agenda Okay. 10 is an application for a new licenses: Rocco Realty 11 II, LLC, 9 Harding Street, Lakeville, MA. 12 Is there anybody here from Rocco 13 Realty? You can unmute yourself. 14 (No response.) JOHN ROMANO: I am not hearing anybody. 15 16 That's okay. 17 They did submit all of their 18 appropriate paperwork. We do have their W-9 certificate. We also have their Certificate of Good 19 20 Standing and/or Tax Compliance from the Department of 21 Revenue. They did complete their -- send in the 22 appropriate fee amount. And there are no issues 23 related to the submission. 2.4 Does anybody have any comments or

1 questions on this application? GERARD KELLEHER: 2 I don't see anything. JOHN ROMANO: I'm not seeing anything. 3 4 (No response.) 5 JOHN ROMANO: With that, I will take this application under advisement and we will get back 6 7 to them. Okay. Next up on the list is -- we 8 9 have an application for transfer of permits from 10 Bristol Place Ltd. Partnership to Bristol Place Investments LP. These are for four existing permits: 11 Permit 80268, 80269, 80270, and 80271. They're all in 12 13 Attleboro. There are no changes to these signs. This 14 is just a change in the ownership of the signs. It's 15 a transfer of the permits from the existing owner to 16 the new owner. 17 I believe Marc Plante, Marc, you were the inspector for these? 18 19 MARC PLANTE: Yes, sir. Yes. 20 JOHN ROMANO: I know, as I said, these 21 are existing signs. I know you went out and did, you 22 know, an inspection for these. Did you find any 23 changes or anything to the signs? MARC PLANTE: No, the signs are 24

ATM, INC. Court Reporting Services 339-674-9100

1	conforming. There's one monopole with two permits on
2	268-269, and the other two and that is located at
3	the top of Collins Street and Route 1A and the
4	other two are down abutting MBTA property. And the
5	permit numbers are displayed properly. The company
6	names are on them. And they're conforming. So, the
7	signs are fine.
8	JOHN ROMANO: Sorry. I should have
9	sent back. Is there anybody here from either the
10	transferor or the transferee in attendance today?
11	(No response.)
12	JOHN ROMANO: Okay. I'm not hearing
13	anybody.
14	Everything seems to be in order. We
15	will take this transfer under advisement.
16	Are there any comments I'm sorry.
17	First, before I go to that, any comments?
18	(No response.)
19	JOHN ROMANO: I don't see any.
20	Gerry, do you see any?
21	GERARD KELLEHER: No, sir.
22	JOHN ROMANO: Okay. Great. We'll take
23	that one under advisement as well.
24	Next up on the docket is also another

1	transfer. This is Permit Numbers 2020D001 and D002.
2	This is a transfer between American Outdoor
3	Advertising Company to Outfront Media, LLC. They're
4	at 150 Royall Street, Canton.
5	Is anybody here from American Outdoor
6	Advertising and/or Outfront, LLC?
7	STEPHEN ROSS: Stephen Ross, here,
8	representing American Outdoor Advertising.
9	JOHN ROMANO: Thank you for coming, Mr.
10	Ross.
11	We have all of the paperwork is
12	appropriately submitted.
13	And I think, Chris, were you the
14	inspector on these signs, or was that Marc?
15	CHRISTOPHER CHAVES: Yes, it was me.
16	We recently went out there for an amendment inspection
17	as well. But these signs have not been built as of
18	yet. And there's no issue with this location.
19	JOHN ROMANO: Okay. That is correct.
20	STEPHEN ROSS: Excuse me.
21	JOHN ROMANO: These are yet-to-be-built
22	signs.
23	STEPHEN ROSS: Excuse me, Mr. Romano,
24	Director Romano.

1 JOHN ROMANO: Yes. STEPHEN ROSS: I think this is for the 2 3 Canton permits, not the ones on next month's agenda. So, the Canton permits, the structure has been built. 4 5 It's at the intersection of I-95 and I-93. JOHN ROMANO: Yes. Yes, you are 6 7 I'm sorry. I'm confused. I confused them correct. with the other ones. That's right. I apologize for 8 9 that, Mr. Ross. Those are the other location. 10 And, Chris, I believe there's no issue with this location, is that correct? 11 12 CHRISTOPHER CHAVES: There isn't. Like I said, we went out there to do an amendment 13 14 inspection recently to approve moving the sign prior 15 to it being built. But now it's my understanding it 16 has been built, so I have to go do a new build 17 inspection on that. 18 JOHN ROMANO: Okay. Great. Mr. Ross, anything else you'd like to 19 20 add to the application for transfer? 21 STEPHEN ROSS: No, sir. 22 JOHN ROMANO: Okay. Great. Thank you. 23 Sorry about that mix-up. 24 Does anybody have any questions,

ATM, INC. Court Reporting Services 339-674-9100

1 comments? 2 (No response.) 3 JOHN ROMANO: Seeing none. Okay. We will take this under 4 5 advisement and get back to you on that transfer. Thank you, Mr. Ross. 6 7 STEPHEN ROSS: Thank you, sir. JOHN ROMANO: Okay. Next up on the 8 9 agenda, this is an application from -- an application 10 for -- this is for a new permit, a license permit, Entertainment Cinemas Leominster, LLC. These are for 11 12 Permit Number -- Application Numbers 2021003 and 004. These are previously unlicensed Permits 33708 and 13 14 33708A. So this is a previously unlicensed sign that 15 now -- and it was for the existing movie theater and 16 is not looking to be a licensed static sign. 17 Notification was provided to the 18 municipality, to the city clerk and also to the mayor, on April 6, 2021 by my office. 19 20 Is the applicant present? 21 ROBERT ANTONIONI: Good morning, Mr. 22 Romano. I'm Attorney Robert Antonioni, representing 23 the applicant. 24 JOHN ROMANO: Mr. Antonioni, good

1 morning. 2 ROBERT ANTONIONI: Good morning. 3 JOHN ROMANO: Is there anybody here representing the municipality where the sign is being 4 5 proposed? 6 There's Mark Piermarini. I see a hand 7 up. Want to unmute yourself, Mark? MARK PIERMARINI: Yeah, we're here. 8 9 Mark and Marie and Jake Fleming. 10 JOHN ROMANO: Do you have any comments 11 on this application, sir? 12 MARK PIERMARINI: No, we don't have any 13 comments. 14 JOHN ROMANO: Okay. Great. 15 MARK PIERMARINI: You know, the one thing though, is that Route -- it's supposed to be 16 17 Route 13 I think. I don't think Route 12 is correct. 18 JOHN ROMANO: Okay. We'll make that 19 note. 20 Okay. Are there any abutters to this 21 sign present today? 22 (No response.) 23 JOHN ROMANO: Do you see anyone, Gerry? 2.4 GERARD KELLEHER: No.

1 JOHN ROMANO: No. Okay. None. 2 Is anyone present from the MassDOT District Office? 3 4 (No response.) 5 JOHN ROMANO: I don't hear or see any. Traffic operations is not applicable as 6 7 this is a static sign. Mr. Antonioni, was certified mail 8 9 notice to abutters sent out by you at least 30 days 10 prior to submitting your application to my office? 11 ROBERT ANTONIONI: Yes, it was. 12 JOHN ROMANO: Did you submit copies of 13 the post office receipt to me? T did. 14 ROBERT ANTONIONI: 15 JOHN ROMANO: A copy of the letter? ROBERT ANTONIONI: Yeah, I did. Yeah. 16 17 JOHN ROMANO: You have those as part of 18 your application package. Thank you. Have you received any written comments 19 20 in support or opposition to your application? 21 ROBERT ANTONIONI: We have not, no. 22 JOHN ROMANO: Neither have we. 23 It is also my understanding, based on 24 the application that you submitted and the sign-off by

1 the city that you received a special permit. 2 ROBERT ANTONIONI: Right. 3 JOHN ROMANO: And the special permit has been submitted as part of your package, is that 4 5 correct? ROBERT ANTONIONI: Yes, sir. 6 7 JOHN ROMANO: Great. And we have all 8 of that, as I stated. 9 I'm going to have my inspector give his 10 report. 11 JASON BEAN: Yes, thank you, John. 12 As stated before, this is an unlicensed -- these are two unlicensed permits being converted to 13 14 two static licensed permits. They will face east and 15 west on Route 2. This section of Route 2 is not a 16 scenic byway. There are no parks or reservations 17 within 300 feet. There are two businesses within 500 18 feet. So, at this time -- it's a rural arterial 19 designation. So, at this time, there's no issues. 20 The only thing I'll state to the 21 applicant is that the two existing permits, which are 23708 and 23708A, be surrendered before these two new 22 23 ones can be built. Other than that, John, no issues at 24

1 this time.

2 JOHN ROMANO: Great. Thank you very 3 much, Jason. The permit surrender procedures, or 4 5 form I should say, is on our website. You just need to fill those out. You can send them to us. And, you 6 7 know, just use them to do this. You know, they go hand-in-hand. You won't be without a permit. You 8 9 just want to surrender them and new ones approved at 10 the same time. Let the new ones be approved. 11 ROBERT ANTONIONI: Okay. Thank you. 12 JOHN ROMANO: Do you have anything else you would like to add to your application at this 13 14 time? 15 ROBERT ANTONIONI: No, sir. Did I 16 understand you to say that the form for the surrender 17 of the permits is on the website? 18 JOHN ROMANO: It is. 19 ROBERT ANTONIONI: Okay. Thank you. 20 JOHN ROMANO: Okay. Great. Are there any comments or questions 21 22 from anybody on this application? 23 LYDIA QUICK: John, I'm seeing a question in the audience from a Kelly Frey, attendee. 24

1 JOHN ROMANO: Ms. Frey? 2 KELLY FREY: Hello? Can you hear me? 3 JOHN ROMANO: Yeah. KELLY FREY: I was just requesting to 4 5 have audio rights. I'm counsel on behalf of the 6 applicant, to address any concerns that might come up. 7 That's all. I'll wait until that happens. 8 JOHN ROMANO: Okay. Thank you. 9 All right. Seeing no other comments, 10 we will take this under advisement, Mr. Antonioni, and 11 we will get back to you. 12 ROBERT ANTONIONI: Okay. KELLY FREY: Kelly Frey. Apologies. I 13 14 was related to the next item on the agenda. Ι 15 apologize. 16 JOHN ROMANO: No problem, Mr. Frey. 17 ROBERT ANTONIONI: Okay. Thank you 18 very much. JOHN ROMANO: Now, we will go to the 19 20 next item on the agenda. 21 GERARD KELLEHER: John, there's one 22 hand up, Louise Thomas. 23 LOUISE THOMAS: Hi, John. 2.4 JOHN ROMANO: Hi, Louise.

1 LOUISE THOMAS: All right. No, I've 2 never used this app before. 3 GERARD KELLEHER: Oh, all right. Well 4 5 LOUISE THOMAS: Sorry about that. GERARD KELLEHER: No problem. 6 No 7 problem. 8 LOUISE THOMAS: It just asked me to 9 unmute myself. 10 GERARD KELLEHER: You raised your hand. 11 That's okay. 12 All right, John. Thanks. 13 JOHN ROMANO: No problem. Okav. 14 So, the next item on the agenda is an 15 application for electronic permits. This is for Bay Colony Associates, LLC. This is Application Numbers 16 17 2010D010 and D011. The existing permits are 2002041 18 and 042. Notice was sent on April 6, 2021 to the mayor and to the city clerk. 19 20 Is the applicant present? PHILLIP STRAZZULA: Yes, I am. 21 22 JOHN ROMANO: Please state your name 23 for the record. PHILLIP STRAZZULA: Yes, good morning, 24

1 Director Romano. My name is Phillip Strazzula, S-t-r-2 a-z-z-u-l-a. 3 JOHN ROMANO: Thank you, sir. And these are -- the location is 820 4 5 Morrissey Boulevard. 6 Is there anyone here representing the 7 municipality where the sign is being proposed? 8 (No response.) 9 JOHN ROMANO: I'm not hearing or seeing 10 anyone. No. Okay. 11 I know there may be a bunch of people 12 who want to comment on this, so I'm going to hold all comments off till I go through the whole presentation. 13 14 Is there anybody here from the MassDOT District Office? 15 16 (No response.) 17 JOHN ROMANO: No. Okay. 18 Anybody present from MassDOT Traffic Operations? It's a digital sign. 19 20 (No response.) 21 JOHN ROMANO: I am not seeing anybody. Mr. Strazzula, we did receive a memo 22 23 from the State Traffic Engineer's office. They reviewed the location and have determined that no 24

Office of Outdoor Advertising, May 13, 2021 1 further traffic studies would be required at this 2 location. Mr. Strazzula, was certified mail 3 notice to abutters sent out by you at least 30 days 4 5 prior to submitting your application to my office? PHILLIP STRAZZULA: Yes, they were. 6 JOHN ROMANO: And I do have the post 7 8 office receipts and a copy of the letter that you sent 9 to the abutters that was submitted with your package. 10 I have all of those. Thank you for submitting them. So, I'm going to ask this question, and 11 12 I don't need you to go into detail. Have you received any written comments in support or opposition? 13 I'm 14 asking that knowing that you submitted a whole package 15 to me. And then I have received, up to as soon as an 16 hour ago, literally hundreds in both directions. 17 PHILLIP STRAZZULA: Yes, we did. Yes, 18 we have. 19 JOHN ROMANO: And I know you have some 20 -- you have submitted to me what you have. 21 So, I have letters both for and against 22 from elected officials, community groups, neighborhood associations, individuals, businesses, and 23 individuals. 2.4

ATM, INC. Court Reporting Services 339-674-9100

Just for the sake of elected officials, 1 we did receive letters in opposition from Councilor 2 3 Baker, Councilor Annissa Essaibi George, Councilor Ed Flynn, Councilor Kenzie Bok, Councilor Wu, and 4 Councilor Flaherty. 5 I'm not going to go into listing all of 6 7 the other letters either in support or against. There are way too many to attempt to do that. We do have 8 9 all of them. We have been reviewing all of the 10 letters both for and against that we have received. We will continue to do so until we review all of them. 11 12 They will all be made part of the record for this file for this application. And we will continue to receive 13 14 them, you know, up to, you know, probably at least a 15 few days after this. And, as I said, Mr. Strazzula, 16 we received some probably even up to about an hour That was the last time that I checked before the 17 aqo. hearing, and we were still receiving letters at that 18 time. So, we will continue to review all of them. 19 20 So, a special permit, a variance. You 21 have from the City of Boston Board of Appeals, a conditional use permit, Board of Appeals Number 22 23 1035609, as signed off on your application by the 24 appropriate city person at the BPDA. Is that your

1 understanding? 2 PHILLIP STRAZZULA: Yes, it is. 3 JOHN ROMANO: Thank you, sir. And we do have a copy of all of that that you provided with 4 5 your application. With that, I am going to have my 6 7 inspector give his field report. 8 CHRISTOPHER CHAVES: Thanks, John. 9 As you mentioned, this is a proposed 10 conversion of an existing one-side static, one-side 11 tri-vision sign located at Boston Bowl facing I-93. 12 The sign currently sits over 300 feet from Tenean Beach; however, there is a spacing issue to an 13 14 existing static sign just to the south. The 15 measurement is 336 feet, which does not meet the 500-16 foot criteria. Other than that, at this point, it 17 meets the other criteria. 18 JOHN ROMANO: Okay. Great. Thank you, Chris. 19 20 Before I go into any comments, Mr. 21 Strazzula, do you have anything else you would like to 22 add to your application? 23 I'd just PHILLIP STRAZZULA: Sure. 24 like to make a few, you know, brief comments. And I

ATM, INC. Court Reporting Services 339-674-9100

1 thank, you know, you and your entire staff for your time. I know this has taken up a bit of your time. 2 3 You know, our family has been proud members of the Dorchester community for over 68 years. 4 5 In 1952, five years even before the Southeast Expressway was built, my dad and my two uncles opened 6 7 up Phillips Candy House on Morrissey Boulevard. In 1958, Boston Bowl was opened. 8 Ιt 9 was the first ten-pin bowling center in Boston. 10 And our family business, you know, continued to grow. And I'm proud to say that we work 11 with over 200-some people a day, you know, most from 12 13 the local community. In the early 1960s, Cott Beverage, 14 15 along with Donnelly Advertising and our family, erected this board, you know, obtained permits from 16 17 the Outdoor Advertising Bureau I think at the time it was called, you know, and located that board in the 18 same place it is today. That's a long time ago. 19 20 In the 1980s, the board was upgraded to 21 a more modern pole, monopole look. And the upstructure was refurbished at that time, also. 22 We 23 received permits from your office at that time to do 24 that.

1 Again, in the late 1990s, it was upgraded to a tri-vision, a mechanically changing 2 3 message board that shows three messages, one right after another. 4 5 In 2008, your office approved our board to participate in a digital pilot program at that 6 7 And we chose not to at that time, you know, to time. further continue on, but I just want to mention that 8 9 it was approved at that time by your office. 10 Our family is now seeking another 11 upgrade, this time to change to a digital format, 12 which is, as you know, an electronically changing message board. The upgrade to the board is important 13 14 because it will further modernize the sign. It will 15 reduce the overall footprint. It's much safer to work 16 No one has to actually physically go up on the on. 17 board to change the messages. 18 In terms of signage, it is backlit. This will also enable us to remove the eight 400-watt 19 20 light bulbs that shine up to illuminate the board. 21 And this will certainly help reducing potential light 22 trespass. 23 As you know, digital boards are highly regulated by your office. And I think, during this 24

ATM, INC. Court Reporting Services 339-674-9100

1 process, we've seen in some of the comments that there 2 are a lot of misunderstandings about exactly what 3 digital boards can and cannot do. As you know, a static display must last at least ten seconds for the 4 5 message display, cannot move, appear to move, change intensity during the static display period. And in 6 7 some of the comments, people thought that the board would flash, would show movies, or, you know, animate 8 9 itself. And it certainly doesn't do that, and I 10 wanted people to understand that that's not something 11 that it would do.

12 The brightness of the board is also 13 important. Under the MassDOT regulations, a digital billboard cannot exceed .4 -- .3 candles above ambient 14 15 lighting, which is just barely above light. In the 16 daytime, the boards will look brighter; in the 17 nighttime, it dims down. It only has to be slightly 18 lighter than the ambient light. Again, this won't be -- current technology does not allow for that. So, 19 20 that technology will certainly help any potential 21 light trespass, also.

For several years, we've been working with our local civic associations. And, as part of the discussions, the Pope's Hill Neighborhood Civic

Association, we had many discussions with them. And they were instrumental in asking us to have the sign would put the sign facing closer to the highway and away from many of the neighborhoods. And that was something that came out of those meetings.

In addition, as part of those meetings, 6 7 our media partner, Outfront, has agreed to take down five neighborhood signs if this is approved, and two 8 9 at the top of Pope's Hill and three other boards in 10 Mattapan. This was important to the Pope's Hill Civic 11 Association. And when there were two available in 12 Dorchester to be taken down, they were very happy to accommodate the neighboring Mattapan and take those 13 14 boards down in Mattapan.

15 In addition to the 15 hours of required PSAs that the digital regs require, we've agreed to 16 17 supply our community with a matching amount of time. And this will allow for announcements, meeting 18 notices, recognizing individual's achievements, and 19 20 help promote what a wonderful place that Dorchester is to live and work in. This will be all at no cost to 21 22 the public's nonprofit community.

As part of our extensive outreach, we received a number of letters, which you have today.

ATM, INC. Court Reporting Services 339-674-9100

And I'm glad to say that none of our abutters opposed us. And, in fact, most of them wrote letters, which we have.

In addition, we did outreach to 4 5 Dorchester businesses. And we submitted to your office over 100 businesses, you know, from Sullivan & 6 7 McLaughlin, Lambert's Fruit, John's Shell, Ace Auto Body. I won't go on and on, but there are over a 8 9 hundred letters. I mean that's phenomenal community 10 business support, and we're very proud to have 11 received that.

12 In addition, we also did reach out and 13 sought individuals and submitted to your office over 14 500 names, petition names that we received, you know, 15 in and around Dorchester, you know, our local 16 neighborhoods.

So, as a conclusion, I'd just like to say that this upgrade would be a good thing for our neighborhood, provide access to the board to local businesses, to our local community groups, reduce the light trespass. I think it would be a great upgrade and appreciate your consideration.

23 Thank you.

24 KELLY FREY: This is Kelly Frey.

1 As to the spacing issue, I just wanted to add on a few brief comments in response to the 2 3 point on the spacing issue. It's the applicant's position that 4 5 there is no spacing issue with respect to this sign. There's several reasons why that is the case. I won't 6 7 list them all now in the interest of time. But I just wanted to flag for the record that this sign was 8 9 approved for inclusion in the digital advertising 10 pilot program in 2008. So, any determination that there's a spacing issue now would conflict with that 11 12 prior determination. Secondly, the OOA has previously 13 14 interpreted its spacing regulations in other locations 15 in a way that would create no spacing issues with respect to this sign. We've provided at least 13 16 17 examples of other sign locations where this is the 18 Again, in the interest of time, I won't read case. each of those locations into the record, but I believe 19 20 they're in the file, the OOA file. Please let me know 21 if they're not and I can read them. But they should 22 be part of the record here. And those are just 23 examples. There are several other instances as well. 24 So, any interpretation of the regulations that would

1 restrict this sign from going forward for spacing issues would be inconsistent with prior decisions and 2 3 unreasonable and arbitrary. Again, I won't tick through all of the 4 5 many other reasons why there is no spacing issues with respect to this sign as this list is exhaustive. 6 But 7 I just wanted to at least note those matters on the 8 record. 9 JOHN ROMANO: Mr. Frey, could you just 10 acknowledge your connection to the applicant, please, 11 so it's on the record? 12 KELLY FREY: Yes, I am counsel for the 13 applicant's outdoor advertising partner. 14 JOHN ROMANO: I just wanted to have 15 that on the record. And I wanted to just also have on the record that, yes, you did submit those locations. 16 17 And the fact that you submitted that and stated that does not constitute an OOA agreement with those. 18 19 So, with that, Mr. Strazzula, thank you 20 for your comments. 21 With that, I am going to open it up to 22 public comments at this time. We do have Senator 23 Collins that would like to speak. 24 Patrick Forde, do you have the senator

1 on your line? I know you texted me, but I'm not sure 2 how we're going to --3 SENATOR NICK COLLINS: Yes, I'm here, John, on the device. 4 5 JOHN ROMANO: Oh, okay. Great. Senator, go ahead. 6 7 SENATOR NICK COLLINS: Thank vou. 8 Thank you, Director Romano, for the opportunity to 9 speak today. 10 This proposal before us, as has been 11 said, has gone through the municipal review process 12 and extensive community process. During that time, the proponents have made a good faith effort to work 13 14 with the community. The Strazzula family and the 15 Pasquale families have been great partners in the 16 community for over many years. So, I appreciate the 17 efforts made to work with the city and the community throughout the process. 18 I've also heard from many community and 19 20 civic leaders who have shared history about their 21 positions on billboard that, quite frankly, predate my 22 time in office, though, I must note, as was mentioned, 23 the local neighborhood association has more of a 24 neutral position. So, that's some of the context that

ATM, INC. Court Reporting Services 339-674-9100

1 I'm coming to this hearing with. But, in addition, after communicating 2 3 with Transportation officials around the regulations and whether or not the proposal is actually in 4 5 compliance, I'm made aware that the proposed billboard is in violation of the spacing regulations as laid out 6 7 in Regulation 700 CMR 3.17. So, given the aforementioned, I cannot support the proposal. 8 9 Again, a lot of good work done and a 10 good faith effort to, you know, try to respond to some 11 community concerns. But just given, particularly here 12 the violation of the regs, I can't support the current proposal. So, we would like to be on record in 13 14 opposition. 15 JOHN ROMANO: Thank you for your 16 comments, Senator. 17 With that, I am going to do my best to -- there's no particular order. I do not see any 18 other elected officials, I do not think, at this time. 19 20 But I do see some other hands. 21 Gerry, I'm going to just try to go with the top of our list here and I will call them one at a 22 23 time as I see them. I see an Anthony Attride. Is that 24

1 correct? I hope I'm pronouncing your name correct, 2 sir. 3 GERARD KELLEHER: Anthony? 4 JOHN ROMANO: Anthony, please state 5 your name for the record. 6 GERARD KELLEHER: No response. 7 JOHN ROMANO: All right. We'll come back to him. 8 9 Let's see, going through, I see a John 10 Bookston. 11 GERARD KELLEHER: John wrote a question 12 in. He's no longer on the call I think. 13 JOHN ROMANO: All right. Do you want to read it, Gerry? 14 15 GERARD KELLEHER: Okay. "How can the public resist the very profitable electronic billboard 16 17 industry from installing a mass of such that will 18 flash into residences and distract drivers?" That's 19 the question. 20 JOHN ROMANO: Okay. Thank you. Thanks 21 for the comment. We will keep that with the file. 22 Next, I see John Carroll. 23 GERARD KELLEHER: John? 24 JOHN CARROLL: Yes. Yes, Mr. Romano.

1 Thank you. John Carroll.

I'm actually here to support the 2 3 applicant. I have a kind of unique position here not only being a property owner in Dorchester and abutter, 4 not a direct abutter, but I'm just down the street a 5 6 little bit. I'm also familiar with the industry and I 7 know what the applicant is going through. So, my quick take on this voicing of 8 9 support is that you've got an existing billboard 10 that's been there for 50, 60 years. It's been there before a lot of these other ones. So, I think the 11 12 spacing issue, to me, you know, he shouldn't be hurt by that. Again, you've got a tri-vision that's 13 already on the board. So, you could argue that the 14 15 tri-vision could actually be more of a distraction than the digital itself. I think converting it, in 16 17 addition to taking the five other poster panels off the secondary roadways that are already in the 18 neighborhood, that's the benefit to the community 19 20 already as well as the content that the applicant is 21 donating to the community I know will have a good 22 impact.

23 So, overall, I think that the applicant 24 has done an incredibly solid job at going around to

1	each neighborhood group. And being in Dorchester, I
2	know how difficult. And it's a great community, but
3	the very reason that they vet every single project
4	that comes through.
5	So, this was handled very well. And it
6	was very well vetted. There's a lot of support for
7	this. I, personally, don't think that it has any
8	negative impact on the community that I'm also in.
9	And I'd just like to look to the Board to look
10	favorably on this application.
11	JOHN ROMANO: Thank you, Mr. Carroll.
12	Maria Lyons?
13	GERARD KELLEHER: Maria?
14	MARIA LYONS: Yeah. Can you hear me?
15	GERARD KELLEHER: Yes.
16	MARIA LYONS: Okay. My name is Maria
17	Lyons. I'm a resident of Port Norfolk in Dorchester.
18	Before I start, I just want to make the
19	comment that no one has mentioned the Port Norfolk
20	neighborhood. And we believe this is in the Port
21	Norfolk neighborhood.
22	Anyway, this is my statement. I am in
23	strong opposition.
24	For many years, residents of Dorchester

have worked with city and state agencies, especially the DCR, to make the Dorchester waterfront a better place. We now have beautiful parks and trails along the shoreline and a new playground and picnic area at Tenean Beach. We all help in cleanups, plantings, and, together, we run Tenean Beach Day, welcoming visitors to our community.

8 The addition of two digital billboards 9 that would shine their flashing bright lights into the 10 Neponset River Reservation area of critical 11 environmental concern would harm the wildlife and 12 greatly diminish the enjoyment of these public 13 recreational areas that the ACEC designation is meant 14 to protect.

15 The Neponset River, Tenean Beach, 16 Victory Road Park, Squantum Point Park, and Neponset 17 River Greenway Trail, and the Dorchester Harbor Walk 18 will all be subjected to the visual blight of these 19 digital billboards. The planned Neponset Dream Way 20 Trail will go right under these billboards.

21 Climate Ready Dorchester Plans for the 22 area will make it even more natural and beautiful. 23 DOT and DCR are making Morrissey Boulevard a greenbelt 24 roadway.

> ATM, INC. Court Reporting Services 339-674-9100

1 At the Boston ZBA hearing, none of this was spoken of. It was as if they didn't exist, along 2 3 with the entire Port Knox neighborhood who has opposed these billboards for five years. 4 The same thing has 5 happened today. In the filing with the City of Boston 6 7 for this request, the proponent states that they did not contact the owners of the properties abutting the 8 9 proposal that are owned by the state. DOT and DCR 10 abut these billboards. I don't know if they did that 11 for the state application. 12 For many reasons, this request should 13 not have been approved by the ZBA. It should have 14 been forbidden. The support of a hundred businesses 15 doesn't mean -- those people, most likely many of them don't even live in Dorchester. And the 500 signatures 16 17 -- out of the 500 signatures, only about 70 to 100 that I can tell even live in Neponset. So, many of 18 19 them don't live in the Neponset area near the 20 billboard. Many, many don't even live in Dorchester. 21 This request is against multiple 22 MassDOT Outdoor Advertising regulations. It would be 23 within 300 feet of the Neponset River Reservation. 24 And rivers and reservations are on your list of what

ATM, INC. Court Reporting Services 339-674-9100

it should not be within 300 feet. This is shown on 1 the map. You can see it in the picture that you 2 3 showed before submitted by the proponent. It will be highly visible from Tenean Beach parks and trails. I 4 5 believe I sent you a picture of that. It will spoil the vistas of the Neponset River. It would be within 6 7 500 feet of another billboard on Freeman Glass. Tt. will harm the wildlife of the Neponset ACEC. 8 It is 9 well documented that bright lights interfere with bird 10 migration and nesting. They will greatly diminish the 11 enjoyment of the public parks and recreation areas 12 along the shore. The state mandate for ACEC is do no 13 harm.

14 The area is commercially zoned, but it 15 is between neighborhoods in the Neponset River and it 16 is changing. Morrissey Boulevard is becoming a 17 greenbelt roadway. And, recently, 220 units of 18 housing, and Mr. Strazzula can tell you about that, were approved by the City to be built within this 19 20 zone, 500 feet from the billboards and truly in view of the billboards. Two of the businesses in the area 21 are hotels. Visitors to Dorchester shouldn't have to 22 23 look at either of these. Not a good first impression 24 of Dorchester.

1 The company proposing these billboards 2 is a good company. This request goes far beyond 3 acceptable. This will harm and cheapen the Dorchester community. No one wants to look at it. 4 As a teacher, I always think about the 5 children. This is not the future we want for 6 7 Dorchester for the children. The area impacted by these digital 8 9 billboards is a prime example of why the Outdoor 10 Advertising Board has these regulations, to protect sensitive environmental and recreational areas. 11 12 Additionally, it should be noted, the Dorchester shoreline's parks, trails, and Tenean Beach 13 14 are visited by residents from all over Dorchester. 15 This is a place -- one of the places that people go to relax by the shore who can't afford a summer home or a 16 17 vacation. Tenean Beach is known as the poor man's beach. Many of its visitors are minorities. As such, 18 this is an environmental justice issue. 19 20 Please respect the Dorchester community 21 and say no to this request by Bay Colony Associates to construct two digital billboards over our beautiful 22 23 waterfront. Stop this visual pollution. 24 Thank you so much for allowing me to

1 speak. 2 JOHN ROMANO: Thank you. Thank you, 3 Ms. Lyons. Louise Thomas? Louise, I didn't know 4 5 if you wanted to speak. 6 LOUISE THOMAS: No, I do not, John. 7 JOHN ROMANO: All right. Thank you. 8 LOUISE THOMAS: Thank you. 9 JOHN ROMANO: I didn't want to skip 10 over you. 11 Next, I have Martyn Roetter. 12 MARTYN ROETTER: Yes. Thank you very 13 much, Mr. Romano, and members of the OOA for allowing 14 me to speak. 15 My name is Martyn Roetter. So, M-a-rt-y-n, not "i," to distinguish myself from the former 16 17 mayor, and Roetter, R-o-e-t-t-e-r. I'm a resident of 18 the Back Bay in Boston. And you might wonder, why should 19 20 someone from the Back Bay think he has the right or 21 the interest in saying something about an activity in 22 Dorchester. I apologize for my mispronunciation of 23 that community. There are two reasons. One is that, 24 you know, I certainly have a fair amount of contact

with people in Dorchester, so what happens in their
lives is a concern to me as it should be a concern of
all residents in the City.

More importantly, perhaps, the danger 4 5 that I see is that approval of this digital billboard would set a precedent that will allow media companies 6 7 to file similar applications throughout the City of Boston. And I was appalled at the decision of the ZBA 8 9 to approve this billboard as a conditional use. It is 10 not a conditional use in that location. It is a 11 forbidden use according to well-established zoning 12 established in Boston in the year 2007. Digital boards specifically, which are entirely different in 13 14 their impact than the kind of static boards that we've 15 seen predominantly up to now, are restricted for very 16 good reasons to three very small zones within Boston, 17 such as the Theater District, where they are designed to support the activities that are important to the 18 19 economy of those zone. And, somehow, the ZBA agreed 20 to approve this as a conditional use. That is a 21 fundamental error. In fact, it represents a de facto 22 change in policy by the City of Boston by an agency 23 that is not supposed to be in charge of policy. 24 So, the concern I have is that the Back

ATM, INC. Court Reporting Services 339-674-9100

1 Bay and other neighborhoods throughout Boston will be subject to an invasive species of digital billboards, 2 3 applications that will be pushed through by people who see an opportunity to make a lot of money, and the 4 5 harm that will be suffered, the negative externalities as they commonly say, will be by residents in terms of 6 7 illumination of their residences, public safety risks, health, and so on and so forth, as Maria Lyons has 8 9 expressed so clearly in discussing the impacts upon 10 the Dorchester community.

And, furthermore, if the ZBA then denies these applications, these entities will be able to litigate against it and will probably win in Superior Court because the procedures followed by the ZBA are clearly arbitrary and random. And they seem to depend upon, you know, what happens on a particular day.

And one point I think Maria didn't emphasize enough is that, apparently, there were multiple occasions on which this billboard came up before the ZBA and they were deferred. And they were deferred many times. And it was only at the last hearing in September of last year that somehow this particular proposal managed to sneak through.

1 So, for those reasons, I hope that you 2 will, in fact, deny or reject this application because 3 of the very dangerous precedent it will set and the threat it will pose over the long-term to the 4 5 character of Boston. Thank you very much. 6 7 JOHN ROMANO: Thank you for your 8 comments. 9 Next, I have Robert Lynch. GERARD KELLEHER: Robert Lynch? 10 11 ROBERT LYNCH: Yes. 12 GERARD KELLEHER: Go ahead, sir. ROBERT LYNCH: Yes. I don't want to 13 14 speak to the technical aspects of the sign. I think 15 there's been a lot of discussion about the technical 16 aspects and the pros and the cons. I was a former 17 resident of Dorchester in the Neponset area, lived 18 down by Tenean Beach, and I do want to just speak 19 briefly about the character of the organization, Mr. 20 Strazzula and the Sammartino families. I go back as a 21 young kid and I think what Mary was talking about 22 earlier, there were probably some very good stewards 23 of the children growing up back in the '60s, '70s, 24 '80s. And I would say that the company has always

1 looked out for the people of Dorchester and that there was probably always either a member of the Strazzula 2 3 or Sammartino or Pasquale, Lou Pasquale, member was probably on the property every day since the company 4 5 was formed. So, the sign is existing. And I would 6 7 be in favor of approving the sign. 8 JOHN ROMANO: Thank you for your 9 comments, Mr. Lynch. 10 ROBERT LYNCH: Yes. 11 JOHN ROMANO: Next, I have Steve 12 Bickerton. STEVE BICKERTON: Hi, can you hear me? 13 14 GERARD KELLEHER: Yes. 15 STEVE BICKERTON: Thank you. I sent a letter on behalf of the Cedar 16 17 Grove Civic Association of which I'm the president. We're an abutting civic association and we also abut 18 the Southeast Expressway and the Neponset River 19 20 Greenway. We're one of about a dozen Dorchester civic 21 associations who have for decades stood in opposition 22 to the proliferation of billboards in our 23 neighborhood. 24 I am the second-generation Bickerton to

1	be the president of the Cedar Grove Civic Association.
2	Born and raised in Neponset and still live here. I
3	live about 100 yards from the Neponset Greenway.
4	Like I said, I sent a letter on behalf
5	of the association. I'm just going to run through, if
6	you'll indulge me, a few points through my own
7	research I'm not a lawyer, but where I believe this
8	is an illegal conversion. And please excuse me if I
9	don't phrase the quoting of the regulations the right
10	way, but I hope you'll get my point.
11	The first violation that I see is a
12	violation of 700 Mass. Regulation 3.17, that an
13	electronic sign shall not be within 500 feet of any
14	other permitted sign. This sign is within 324 feet of
15	the sign at 60 Tenean Street, the Plumbers Supply
16	Company, which markets national plumbing brands on top
17	of their building. The PowerPoint slide that I sent
18	shows an illustration of that measurement. There's no
19	building or obstacle in between those two signs.
20	The second violation is, again, 700
21	Mass. Reg. 3.17. That's the inspector mentioned this.
22	I think he said (indiscernible audio).
23	It's also in violation of 700 Mass.
24	Reg. 3.07, which states, "No permit shall be granted

ATM, INC. Court Reporting Services 339-674-9100

1 for a sign that's within 300 feet of a public park, playground, cemetery, forest, reservation, or any 2 3 other scenic or recreational area," etc., etc. You guys know what it says. This billboard is less than 4 5 205 feet from (indiscernible audio), a property owned by the Mass. Department of Conservation and 6 7 Recreation. This property is own as (indiscernible), Dorchester Bay - Neponset River Watershed. And it's 8 9 (indiscernible). My PowerPoint contains an image of 10 the Boston zoning map calling out the zoning district 11 and the sub-district, and also an illustration, which I think Maria sent as well, of what it would be like 12 from ten feet. 13

And the fourth violation is 700 Mass. Reg. 3.07, the portion that mentions disharmony and suitability. As you know, the regulations give the OOA significant latitude to determine suitability with the surrounding area.

Many years ago, the Dorchester waterfront was very different. There were -- when this billboard went up, there were companies that were dumping chemicals and PCBs into the Neponset River. (Indiscernible audio). The Neponset River Estuary is home to no less than six waterfront parks and fields

that did not exist when this billboard was erected. 1 An electronic billboard is no longer in harmony with 2 3 this area as the scenic beauty has been and needs to The slides that you'll see in my 4 be restored. 5 presentation will illustrate that. Joseph Finnegan Park, the site of the 6 7 former paper mill and paper factor that's on the Neponset River has been, like I said, changed into a 8 9 park at a cost of about \$6 million. 10 Victory Park, commonly known as (indiscernible) in 1968 when the marshland was filled 11 with construction debris (indiscernible) who was later 12 removed from the bench and disbarred in disgrace. 13 He 14 illegally filled that marshland to build a hotel and 15 marina. It's currently a 23-acre park. Maria mentioned Tenean that was cut off 16 17 from Dorchester by the construction of the Southeast Expressway, formerly known as the poor man's beach. 18 We fought for decades to reactivate, renew, and 19 20 (indiscernible) through community organizations and 21 state investment. 22 We've come a long way since the '50s. 23 We don't want to go backwards. These laws were written since then to protect our waterfront, protect 24

1 our neighborhood. Today's Harbor Park in the Neponset River Estuary is comprised of over 1,300 acres of 2 estuaries, salt marshes, floodplains, and fish and 3 wildlife habitat. In 1995, it was designated an area 4 of critical environmental concern. 5 The central resource features of the Neponset River Estuary ACEC 6 7 are the Neponset River and portions of its 8 tributaries, the estuary, which is 225 away, salt 9 marshes, floodplains, fishery habitat, and diverse 10 wildlife habitat. I'm an amateur birder. We have two 11 pairs of nesting bald eagles this spring in the 12 Neponset River Estuary. That is remarkable. That 13 would have never happened even ten years ago because 14 the environment there would not have supported 15 predators like that. 16 The estuary provides habitat for a

17 tremendous diversity of bird species and is one of the 18 most important wildlife habitats in the urbanized 19 Boston area.

Like I said, our waterfront has been reclaimed by our community. Please consider, as the law allows your office to do, the general welfare of the public, the scenic beauty of the area, and the environmental characteristics of the area. Our

1 waterfront is a work in progress, but we've improved it by leaps and bounds over the years. The current 2 3 generation of civic leaders stands at the shoulders of Dorchester giants of the past who dedicated their 4 5 lives to the reclamation of our scenic waterfront. We cannot let their work have been in vain by allowing a 6 7 massive lighted screen to be installed for decades to come. We're committed to continuing this work to make 8 9 our waterfront better, and we ask that you please 10 support us by denying these permits. 11 Thank you for your consideration. 12 JOHN ROMANO: Thank you, Mr. Bickerton. 13 Next up I have Stewart Rosen. 14 GERARD KELLEHER: Stewart? STEWART ROSEN: Can you hear me? 15 16 GERARD KELLEHER: Yes. 17 STEWART ROSEN: Fantastic. Thank you 18 so much. My name is Stewart Rosen. 19 I own the 20 Norfolk Hardware and Home Center on 981 Morton Street 21 in Mattapan. My family started Norfolk Hardware 22 Company in 1934, 87 years ago, when Gramps bought a 23 little building on the corner of Edson Street and 24 that's where we all began. We employ over 50

1 Dorchester and Mattapan residents. And I'm here today to support the 2 3 Boston Bowl in their desire to upgrade their billboard This is an existing billboard that's been 4 to digital. 5 in place since the early '60s. And I remember it. This isn't a new billboard. Converting this sign to 6 7 digital would result in fewer billboards in my neighborhood since Boston Bowl's proposal includes 8 9 taking down five neighborhood signs as well. 10 Allowing the Strazzula and Sammartino 11 family to upgrade this board to digital would help 12 their family continue to employ over 200 local residents. The sign faces the highway and it has 13 14 minimal impact on local residents. The upgrade is 15 widely supported by many local residents because of the relationship that the Strazzula-Sammartino Boston 16 17 Bowl family has with the community. 18 I look forward to being able to advertise my family business, 87 years and growing, 19 20 four generations, bringing shoppers into Dorchester 21 and Mattapan. It's important for our local economy. 2.2 I can't tell you how support -- how in favor and in 23 great support we are of this digital conversion. Thank you for your time. 24

1 JOHN ROMANO: Thank you, Mr. Rosen. 2 Next, I have Andres Ripley. 3 ANDRES RIPLEY: Can you hear me? 4 GERARD KELLEHER: Yes, sir. 5 ANDRES RIPLEY: Thank you. My name is Andres Ripley. I work for 6 7 the Neponset River Watershed Association. I just want to echo a few points that some folks have already 8 9 mentioned. I'll be brief. As Maria mentioned and some other folks 10 11 mentioned, we are really close to the Neponset River 12 and the ACEC of this area with this new billboard. And we're worried about the effects it will have on 13 14 the wildlife in the ACEC as well as the bird migration through this area. 15 We also want to make sure that 16 17 everyone's aware that this is an environmental justice 18 community and we don't want to further perpetuate what happens in environmental justice communities when 19 20 projects like this go up. 21 And I also just want to echo the negative effects it will have on the folks who are 22 23 going to be using Tenean Beach for many decades to 24 come and want to make sure that everyone is considered

1 throughout this process. 2 So, I just want to echo, again, that 3 the Neponset River Watershed Association is in opposition of this project and we hope that you take 4 all of these comments into consideration. 5 Thank you. 6 7 JOHN ROMANO: Thank you, sir. 8 Next, I have John Mahoney. 9 JOHN MAHONEY: Thank you very much, 10 Director Romano. Thank you for allowing me to speak 11 today. I'm John Mahoney. I work for Outfront 12 Media, and we're partners with Bay Colony. We're also 13 14 -- we also use DC 35 Painters Union located in the 15 area. 16 Can you hear me fine, John? 17 JOHN ROMANO: Mm hum. 18 JOHN MAHONEY: Thank you, sir. In considering the points, the 19 20 viewpoints represented, I respect and understand Senator Collins' point. I read Councilman Baker's 21 22 opposition. And I also appreciate their time in 23 meeting with us and their service to the community. 2.4 This has been a long process. Thank

ATM, INC. Court Reporting Services 339-674-9100

you to all the neighborhood groups who allowed us to speak at their public meetings and provided us with the opportunity to discuss this with the public and for welcoming us into your homes, giving us coffee, pizza. We met a lot of great people. Thank you very much.

7 In listening to the public, the vast majority focused on a few clear points. They like the 8 9 idea of the digital, being able to use it as a 10 community message board, whether it was for Little 11 League tryouts, Boy and Girls Club, notices to 12 neighborhood meetings, whatever. They liked the idea. They didn't want new billboards, but 13 14 viewed the conversion of an existing billboard in a 15 positive light. They also wanted the small billboards 16 17 within their communities on the surface roads removed. This is likely why it was very positively received 18 with these residents that we met with. 19

20 We worked with the Pope's Hill Civic 21 Group. They suggested the removal of the billboards 22 next to St. Ann's Church. We proposed removing those 23 two. They pushed hard that we should remove more in 24 other communities. A credit to them for watching out

1 for the surrounding communities. We settled on five. 2 They wanted us to remove more. They wanted us to 3 remove some of our competitors' billboards. Obviously, we explained that we can't control that and 4 that these are the only five that we own and control 5 and could remove for them. 6 7 Obviously, there's some very select anti-billboard opposition. And, Director Romano, I 8 9 thank you for forwarding the letters, the 24 letters 10 in opposition, and I went through them all last night. 11 In reviewing them, there were several recurring 12 themes. Many identified flashing lights as their concern. I agree. There's no flashing lights on any 13 14 of these. It's against the law. 15 Others focused on no new billboards, which this isn't. It's an existing billboard and it's 16 17 going to remain there regardless of what transpires 18 here. It was very interesting that in those 19 20 letters none of them mentioned removing the five 21 billboards. I found that surprising, which brings me 2.2 to number four. 23 I didn't see any opposition from 24 anybody in Pope's Hill, the people that live right

1 there. And I found that somewhat surprising considering -- and I quess I understood it considering 2 3 these are the people that are walking along to church every Sunday at St. Ann's and they see the billboards 4 5 that they want removed. So, I'm just expressing what I saw as 6 7 we were walking through. And, thank you very much for the time, 8 9 Director Romano. 10 JOHN ROMANO: Thank you for your comments, Mr. Mahoney. 11 12 Next, I have Charlie Tevnan. 13 GERARD KELLEHER: Charlie, go ahead. 14 CHARLIE TEVNAN: Good morning, Mr. 15 Chairman. 16 JOHN ROMANO: Good morning. 17 CHARLIE TEVNAN: I would like to ask that you consider the fact that I, first of all, I'm 18 an attorney with Tevnan and Tevnan, 15 Broad Street, 19 20 Boston. I also reside at 4 Fairfax Street in 21 Dorchester. 22 Last month, I submitted a public 23 records request on behalf of the Port Norfolk Civic 24 Association to the MassDOT Legal Department requesting

1 any and all -- the entire Outdoor Advertising Board 2 file relating to the issuance of the original permits, 3 any extensions, from the first date in 1993 to the present, as well as any more recent extensions. 4 5 I have not received any response to that request. It was -- I note that Mr. Mahoney was 6 7 able to obtain copies of the file informally, but when I went through the formal process, the only records 8 9 that we received so far in response to that request 10 were pertaining to an electronic billboard application 11 in the Town of Weymouth, so clearly not what had been 12 requested. So, I'd just like to bring -- I did 13 14 leave a message for you yesterday, Mr. Romano, to note 15 that this public records request had been submitted on 16 behalf of the Port Norfolk Neighborhood Association. 17 We still haven't received the records. 18 But, part of the reason for this records request is to really examine the circumstances 19 20 not only regarding the more recent approval of the 21 electronic billboard by the City of Boston and those 22 records, but also the original permit in 1993, which 23 if the board -- it appears if there's a spacing issue

ATM, INC. Court Reporting Services 339-674-9100

now that that issue existed in 1993, that perhaps the

1 original permit that was issued for the current 2 billboard and then expanded to the tri-vision was 3 issued incorrectly or erroneously by the Board given the spacing issue, given the fact that there's another 4 5 billboard less than 500 feet. Mr. Bickerton pointed out on behalf of 6 7 the Cedar Grove Civic Association that there is another billboard, which may or may not be subject to 8 9 regulation by the Outdoor Advertising Board, which is 10 on Tenean Street. The Plumbers Supply Company also 11 faces the expressway and it's approximately 324 feet. 12 The other point I'd like to ask if you

could consider is that the -- there are two other 13 14 parks that haven't been mentioned. One of them is the 15 William T. Morrissey Park, which appears to be within 300 feet of the project. I can't tell looking at my 16 17 screen whether the -- whether the plan that was put up at the original, at the beginning of this hearing, is 18 still displayed. But, if it is -- if it isn't, could 19 20 it be displayed again and I can refer you, Mr. Romano, 21 and the Board, to the William T. Morrissey Park. And it is William T. -- it is a DCR-managed park. It has 22 23 a monument to the gentleman for whom Morrissey 24 Boulevard is named after, William T. Morrissey. It is

1 open space. It's clearly green space. Mr. Kelleher, is it possible that that 2 3 could be displayed once again? JOHN ROMANO: Jerry, can you put up the 4 5 thing? So, Mr. Tevnan, let me try to respond 6 7 to some of your things. So, I'm a little surprised at the -- it 8 9 appears -- actually, let me change that. It appears 10 that incorrect information got sent to you if you 11 received information regarding Weymouth. That was 12 certainly not my intention. And it goes, you know, FOIA requests go through several channels before they 13 14 actually get to the person. So, I'm betting that 15 we've had many FOIA requests over the last week or ten days for both this project, the Weymouth project, and 16 17 others. And I'm betting that somehow the wrong 18 information got sent. It was not my intention to have Weymouth sent because I did put information together 19 20 for your request. 21 CHARLIE TEVNAN: Thank you. JOHN ROMANO: We will check that. 22 23 Clearly, I can't solve that in this minute, but we will look at that. 24

1	CHARLIE TEVNAN: I appreciate your
2	response on that, Mr. Romano. And I know this is a
3	highly contested hearing. And maybe it's not usually
4	the case. But the Port Norfolk Civic Association had
5	asked me if I could make this request in order for
6	them to be able to better prepare for this hearing.
7	And I think that maybe the suggestion could be to
8	defer a decision
9	JOHN ROMANO: Well, no decisions are
10	made at this hearing. I'm not sure if you heard that
11	at the beginning.
12	CHARLIE TEVNAN: I did. No, I'm aware.
13	I'm aware that no decision will be made at the
14	hearing. But I mean until the records perhaps the
15	hearing could be kept open, in other words, until
16	those records come to light because I think there's a
17	question about whether the original permit in 1993 was
18	properly issued. And then it becomes a question
19	there's already been questions raised about the recent
20	permit that was issued by the City of Boston that I
21	think I will defer to others to speak about. But in
22	reference to the William T. Morrissey Park, it is that
23	green space to the left in the image which is
24	displayed. And that entire area is DCR property. And

ATM, INC. Court Reporting Services 339-674-9100

1 it appears to be within 300 feet of the site. 2 The other park area is on the other 3 side of the expressway. It has been mentioned that the Tenean Beach, which is outlined there with the 4 5 green line in the right-hand corner of the image, that has already been cited. But the fact is is that the 6 7 entire harbor is a park. The waterfront, the water itself, it's actually described in the City of Boston 8 9 as the Harbor Park. It's a -- it runs the entire 10 shore from the City of Boston city line, the border in 11 Neponset, all the way to East Boston. So, that's 12 considered the Harbor Park. So, those are the two 13 parks that I don't think the Board or the inspector 14 for the Board perhaps, took a look at. And I would 15 ask that they do that. And I appreciate the opportunity to add comments on behalf of the Fort 16 17 Norfolk Civic Association. 18 JOHN ROMANO: Thank you for your 19 comments. 20 Next up, we have Ford Cavallari. 21 FORD CAVALLARI: Thank you very much. 22 I appreciate the opportunity to speak. 23 My name is Ford Cavallari. I'm the 24 Chair of the Alliance of Downtown Civic Associations,

1 which is an umbrella group, which looks at citywide issues on behalf of the ten largest neighborhood 2 associations in downtown Boston. But we have also 3 found ourselves on issues like electronic billboards, 4 5 working hand-in-glove with associations like Fort Neponset -- Fort Norfolk, rather -- and other 6 7 associations as far away as Allston, which have had to wrestle with the issue of electronic billboards. 8 9 The first thing I want to say is that, 10 you know, we have no doubt that the Boston Bowl folks 11 and all of the businesses that are out to support them 12 are good folk. In fact, you know, I think many people of my age remember that it was a rite of passage as a 13 14 20- or 30-something to have a midnight or later bowl 15 at the Boston Bowl at some point during the beginning of your work career in Boston. So, it's -- we have no 16 17 doubt that there are good people involved in making 18 some of these decisions.

But I do have a number of issues with some of the testimony that's been given regarding socalled flashing of electronic billboards, particularly what Mr. Mahoney said. I think that it's really important to understand that digital billboards, electronic billboards, are very different from static

1 billboards. They are very different from a perspective of safety. They are very different from 2 3 the kind of ambient light that goes into apartments The wavelengths and wave shape of the 4 and residences. light have different psychological effects on people. 5 And there are a whole bunch of reports that I would 6 7 point the MOOA folks to that you should read, including a 2015 report that cites a 25 percent 8 9 increase in crashes in a report entitled *Digital* 10 Advertising Billboards and Driver Distraction. There 11 are a bunch of reports that show that the glance 12 distraction is quite a bit higher for digital 13 billboards. So, a carousel where an image changes 14 every ten seconds is what we are calling a flash. 15 Now, you can dismiss that term and say, "Oh, it's not flashing like a video game." That's not what we're 16 17 saying. We're saying the change in pictures have 18 statistically been shown to correlate with increases in motor vehicle crashes. 19 I think it's, you know, for the MassDOT 20

20 I think it's, you know, for the Massbor 21 people, I'm sure you're well aware that, very 22 surprisingly, there was a higher fatality rate with 23 automobile crashes this last year than the previous 24 year. And I don't think with all the literature

1 regarding electronic billboards and how different they are from static billboards, how different a direct 2 3 beamed LED light is from indirect, reflected, conventional light, how different that is. There is 4 5 plenty of evidence that says that there's a problem here. We should at least take a breath and figure out 6 7 what this problem is before we go down a path that's 8 going to potentially cause less safety.

9 So, when folks dismiss flashing, and 10 when folks say, "Well, you know, we're trading off the much more benign static billboards for these very 11 12 heinous digital billboards," it's a big difference, a huge difference. The digital billboards can be seen 13 14 seven miles away at night. There is no static 15 billboard that can be seen that far away. So, just, you know, put that aside. 16

17 The other thing I want to say is that 18 Martin and some others from neighborhood associations away from this area have pointed out that this is a 19 20 citywide issue because just of the numbers. We know 21 why Outfront Media, and Boston Bowl, and all of the order of magnitude higher applicants for electronic 22 23 billboard conversions want to convert. The revenues 24 associated with digital billboards are about eight

times higher than static billboards. So, it's a nobrainer if you're a businessman. Sure, you want to convert them all.

There are over 450 static billboard 4 5 frames in the City of Boston. Only about 30 of them right now are digital. So, there's a huge incentive 6 7 for 420-plus billboard frames to convert. And we want to make sure that we're thinking very carefully about 8 9 this and making sure that that doesn't happen. And we 10 do think this sets a tremendous and negative precedent 11 if, in fact, we see ourselves with a bunch of people 12 saying, "It's just a conversion. It's already an existing billboard." The law states that conversion 13 to electronic billboards, Section 11-7, the electronic 14 15 sign part of Boston zoning, must be treated exactly 16 the same way as a new sign. So, it doesn't get 17 special treatment. It's not a benign conversion. This is, essentially, creation of an electronic 18 billboard that's going to have all of the downsides 19 20 that we're talking about. And if this one goes, we 21 think MOOA is going to hear hundreds more 22 applications.

And, believe me, the residents ofBoston and the interlocked neighborhood associations,

1	we will credit the industry for helping us pull
2	together all our neighborhood associations to speak I
3	a common voice. We'll be there at every hearing, at
4	every renewal, to fight these things because they're
5	bad for our community. They're bad for the wildlife.
6	They're bad for the environment. And they're bad for
7	social justice.
8	So, with that, thank you for letting us
9	make a comment. And I hope you guys deny this.
10	JOHN ROMANO: Thank you for your
11	comments, Mr. Cavallari.
12	Next, I have John Lyons.
13	GERARD KELLEHER: John, go ahead.
14	JOHN LYONS: Thank you. I'll try not
15	to repeat what was said, but fill in some of the
16	blanks and a couple of responses to some of the things
17	that have been said that I'd like to address.
18	My name is John Lyons. I live on
19	Walnut Street in Dorchester, Fort Norfolk. I am the
20	President of the Fort Norfolk Civic Association. And
21	I've been involved in the civic association since I
22	moved to this neighborhood, Pope's Hill, 40 years ago.
23	For the last 20 years, we have been
24	actively involved, together with other Dorchester

1	civic associations, in opposition of billboards in
2	general. And since around 2015 when we issued a joint
3	letter to the mayor, Mayor Walsh, in opposition to
4	electronic billboards, we've worked against any
5	proposals whether in Fort Norfolk or anywhere else.
6	This particular proposal has been under
7	discussion with us I believe since around 2014. I,
8	personally, attended many City of Boston Zoning Board
9	meetings, which were deferred. And it was finally
10	deferred so many times, the application was either
11	withdrawn or dismissed and a new application was then
12	filed. It was then in this new filing that Fort
13	Norfolk somehow dropped out of the discussion.
14	To say that this is in Pope's Hill is
15	ludicrous. Pope's Hill is on the other side of
16	Morrissey Boulevard from Port Norfolk. In fact, this
17	family group, with whom I have a very good
18	relationship and I have patronized their businesses
19	since I attended St. Ann's School many moons ago,
20	they've come to Fort Norfolk on multiple occasions
21	previously in order to discuss proposals and request
22	our support. They came when they were acquiring a
23	liquor license for the bowling alley, which is one of
24	the new licenses issued by the City for economically

1 distressed areas, and they received our support because people wanted to help their business. 2 Two residents of Walnut Street are 3 members of the impact advisory group for a current 4 5 proposal to construct residential units, in excess of 200 units actually approved, at 780 Morrissey, owned 6 7 by affiliates of this same proponent. And that's been going on for two years. And they've come to Fort 8 9 Norfolk and talked about that. And they came and they 10 presented about this billboard and it was voted in 11 opposition. 12 So, it sounds to me like there's a bit of forum shopping here, and I'm very reluctant to get 13 involved in having a dispute with another Dorchester 14 15 civic association. I am a former member of the Pope's 16 Hill Association myself. 17 And to Mr. Mahoney, I would say for the 18 last 24, 25 years, I've been part of the St. Ann's Church choir at Christmas, Easter, and other large 19 20 celebrations. I'm very familiar with that property. 21 I attended the school. There aren't any billboards 22 anywhere the church. They're at least a thousand feet 23 away at the intersection of Pope's Hill Street and 24 Neponset Ave., if those are the boards you're talking

ATM, INC. Court Reporting Services 339-674-9100

1 about taking down.

But that speaks to the issue that 2 3 really bothers me here. This swapping billboards, removal of these long, profitable static boards on the 4 top of 1920 and '30 vintage commercial buildings, 5 you'll get rid of a hundred of those boards to get one 6 7 of these electronic boards. And what you're doing when you cut a deal like that is you're pinning one 8 9 neighborhood against the other. That's part of the 10 whole economic injustice argument about this whole 11 discussion.

Ordinarily, I think you'd be inclined 12 to accept certifications of the municipality about 13 14 whether approval was given. In this instance, there 15 are substantial issues about the proceeding of the 16 City of Boston Zoning Board of Appeal. First and 17 foremost, the exclusion of Fort Norfolk from the discussion when everyone involved knew we've been 18 involved in the discussion for years. And it is, in 19 20 fact, within what we would call -- I don't want to 21 call it our jurisdiction, but it is our area and has been going back to the 1950s. I've spoken with people 22 23 who've lived in this neighborhood that long. I've verified that issue because it's been raised with me. 24

It was Fort Norfolk that had to be in the discussion 1 for the conversion of a gas station to a 7-Eleven 2 3 further down Morrissey Boulevard from this site. We've also been in discussions with both of the auto 4 5 dealers further down Morrissev Boulevard from this site. And not to be claiming turf, but I always say 6 7 any proposal, which has any possibility of affecting the abutting association, such as Clam Point, Pope's 8 9 Hill, Cedar Grove, I welcome participation. I reach out to those associations. And I don't know what 10 11 happened here, how a deal was cut with Pope's Hill for 12 something which is really in Fort Norfolk. It's just 13 not right.

14 Any person who watches the ZBA hearing, 15 which approved this proposal, would have to reach the conclusion there was something seriously wrong with 16 17 that process. It was clear to me watching it, because I had to watch the tape because I wasn't notified of 18 the hearing by, you know, the proponents or the 19 20 Mayor's Office of Neighborhood Services, the Board was 21 not clear about what it was that they were voting on. 22 They actually debated whether it was forbidden or 23 conditional. In fact, as has been pointed out several times here, they're forbidden everywhere in the City 24

1 except for those three designated areas. There is one clause buried in the 2 3 zoning code, which says that under exceptional circumstances -- it's Section 11.9 -- that the 4 5 particular use or location justifies such exceptional treatment, the Board may grant conditional use 6 7 permits. If you were to go back and watch that tape, and I hope you will, there was no discussion 8 9 whatsoever about any standard for relief, whether it 10 be a variance, which would require a hardship, or a conditional use permit, which would require 11 12 exceptional circumstances. They didn't even discuss So, there is absolutely no evidence in justifying 13 it. 14 the conclusion that there are substantial exceptional 15 circumstances justifying the conditional use permit. They just couldn't find a way to decide why they were 16 17 going to make the decision, but it was pretty obvious 18 that there was pressure to vote in favor. It took multiple requests to even get a motion in support. 19 20 There was something wrong with that hearing. And 21 that's why I bring it up. 22 There were multiple letters submitted 23 in support from alleged abutters. They're form 24 letters signed by people primarily with businesses

ATM, INC. Court Reporting Services 339-674-9100

distant from this site, nowhere near this site. 1 Zoning should never be a popularity 2 3 contest. If it was a popularity contest, these proponents obviously would win. They are popular. 4 5 They run a good business. I like them personally. Ι still go to their businesses. This particular 6 7 proposal is not good for the community. It's not good for the City. It needs to be denied. 8 9 But the subject of this discussion, 10 this hearing, as I understand it, is not the underlying zoning decision; it's 700 CMR. And I think 11 12 if you apply that standard, you'll also see that this proposal fails to meet the standards. It is not a 13 14 commercial site anymore; it's rapidly evolving into a 15 residential site. And it's these very proponents who 16 are making that happen by one project that's been 17 approved and other projects that are being discussed 18 and were discussed during the process of the approval of the 780 Morrissey. 19 20 It is in view of multiple public 21 The Victory Road Park, the Squantum Point spaces: Park, which is on the other side of the river that has 22 23 a very clear view of the Dorchester shoreline, and, of 24 course, Tenean Beach. Anywhere on that beach you can

> ATM, INC. Court Reporting Services 339-674-9100

1	see this board. And without having to get into
2	getting out a tape measure, the fact that people use
3	that beach would have to sit there and look at this,
4	to me is just another example of the injustice. And
5	the visual environment is part of the consideration of
6	700 CMR 3.07.
7	The Fort Norfolk neighborhood itself is
8	a primarily residential neighborhood. There are
9	commercial properties scattered throughout. But that,
10	too, is evolving. There is a major residential
11	development presently under review right at the end of
12	the peninsula with a direct view of this site. There
13	are homes on Wallace Street in Dorchester, which can
14	see this site.
15	JOHN ROMANO: Mr. Lyons, you've got to
16	start wrapping it up.
17	JOHN LYONS: I was just about to.
18	JOHN ROMANO: Thank you.
19	JOHN LYONS: And it's not a popularity
20	contest. It doesn't meet the standards. I don't see
21	how anyone can justify a finding that this is going to
22	contribute anything to the community, to the visual
23	environment, that is addressed in the regulations.
24	One last point. Both zoning and the

1	CMR look at electronic boards in a negative way.
2	They're forbidden under the Boston zoning code except
3	for the three business districts. And I counted seven
4	out of the ten paragraphs in 700 3.07 that start with
5	the language, "No board shall be permitted unless,
6	unless, unless." They're not favored by public
7	policy. In this case, I think that variance is the
8	fruit of a poisonous tree. Unfortunately, you can't
9	cure that, but I think it ought to be considered. And
10	I thank you for your time.
11	JOHN ROMANO: Thank you, Mr. Lyons.
12	Next, we have Karen Foley from I
13	believe Councilor Essaibi's office.
14	KAREN FOLEY: Yes, I can you hear
15	me?
16	JOHN ROMANO: Yes.
17	GERARD KELLEHER: Yes.
18	
± 0	KAREN FOLEY: Sorry. I'm just laughing
19	
	KAREN FOLEY: Sorry. I'm just laughing
19	KAREN FOLEY: Sorry. I'm just laughing about everybody saying that.
19 20	KAREN FOLEY: Sorry. I'm just laughing about everybody saying that. But, thank you very much for having
19 20 21	KAREN FOLEY: Sorry. I'm just laughing about everybody saying that. But, thank you very much for having this hearing. And we just want to go on record,

1 Thank you. 2 JOHN ROMANO: Thank you, Karen. 3 And next I have Linda See. GERARD KELLEHER: Go ahead. 4 5 LINDA SEE: Hi. Thank you very much. My name is Linda See. And I'm a 6 7 Chinatown resident and mother of three young children who spent the last year fighting a different billboard 8 9 in my neighborhood. 10 I'm here today in opposition to these 11 because digital billboards hurt communities like 12 Dorchester. We probably wouldn't even be here today at all (inaudible - poor connection) hadn't waged a 13 14 war of attrition against the community with the Boston 15 ZBA (inaudible). There is an unfair burden on the 16 average residents to fight against these sophisticated 17 media companies, like the gentleman who just spoke 18 from Outfront. And there are bigger issues we should be dealing with, especially in the neighborhoods where 19 20 these billboards will be placed. 21 But, that said, as much as I do truly 22 respect and appreciate Boston Bowl and its owners, 23 it's really hard for me to reconcile what they offer 24 to the community with their desire to impose something

ATM, INC. Court Reporting Services 339-674-9100

1	that so negatively impacts the neighbors and the
2	environment. I understand there's been an existing
3	billboard here and some others may be taken away, but
4	an electronic one that projects all day, and distracts
5	drivers, and disrupts residents and visitors to Tenean
6	Beach is a totally different animal.
7	Thank you for giving me the opportunity
8	to speak.
9	JOHN ROMANO: Thank you, Ms. See.
10	I am not seeing any other hands raised.
11	Gerry, do you?
12	GERARD KELLEHER: I'm not sure if
13	they've spoken already John Lyons, Karen Foley.
14	JOHN ROMANO: Yeah, they spoke. John,
15	Karen, they just spoke.
16	GERARD KELLEHER: Okay.
17	JOHN ROMANO: Do you see anyone in the
18	chat or anything, Gerry?
19	GERARD KELLEHER: I do not.
20	JOHN ROMANO: Okay. So, seeing no
21	other comments, as I said at the beginning, you know,
22	as you can see from all of the items on the agenda, we
23	thank everybody's input on this.
24	Mr. Strazzula, we thank you for all

1 your team's input to your application. We will take all of this under 2 3 advisement and we will get back to you, Mr. Strazzula, in some reasonable time. There's a lot for us to 4 5 review. PHILLIP STRAZZULA: Director Romano, I 6 7 really appreciate your time and your staff's time. And I appreciate everyone's comments today. You know, 8 9 both -- certainly we have positive comments and negative comments. And this is one of the reasons 10 11 that Dorchester is such a great place to live and to 12 work. We have great folks and great associations. And people are passionate about issues, and that's 13 14 really good for us today. So, we really appreciate everyone's 15 16 time. Thank you. 17 JOHN ROMANO: Thank you, Mr. Strazzula. 18 So, finally, I will take this under 19 advisement. 20 We do have a couple of other items on 21 the agenda. Under Items E and F, there are 22 applications for renewal for Outdoor Advertising license of JNJ Media, one license, six permits, and 23 also, actually, a 2020 renewal -- I know it's kind of 24

1 old -- but Pan-Mass Challenge. It was a temporary 2 permit. 3 Does anybody have any comments or questions on those? 4 5 (No response.) GERARD KELLEHER: I don't see any. 6 7 JOHN ROMANO: Seeing none. The last item, Item 4. For the Record -8 9 Actions Taken Since the Last Public Hearing. There 10 are several items here. Does anybody have any 11 questions on the items taken since the last public 12 hearing? 13 (No response.) 14 JOHN ROMANO: I don't see any. 15 Gerry, do you see any? GERARD KELLEHER: No. 16 17 JOHN ROMANO: Okay. No comments. 18 The last item on the agenda, any public comments in general about anything related to Outdoor 19 20 Advertising? (No response.) 21 GERARD KELLEHER: No hands are raised. 22 23 JOHN ROMANO: Okay. Hearing or seeing 24 none, we will adjourn the meeting at 12:38.

1	Thank you all very much for coming.
2	And we will see you next month.
3	(Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded
4	on May 13, 2021 at 12:38 p.m.)
5	//
6	//
7	//
8	//
9	//
10	//
11	//
12	//
13	//
14	//
15	//
16	//
17	//
18	//
19	//
20	//
21	//
22	//
23	//
24	//

CERTIFICATE

I, Judith Luciano, do hereby certify that the foregoing record is a true and accurate transcription of the proceedings in the above-captioned matter to the best of my skill and ability.

Judith Luciano

Judith Luciano

******All names not provided were spelled phonetically to the best of my ability.