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## Components of Plan Design

- Eligibility
- Vesting
- Member Contributions
- Retirement Eligibility
- Disability Provisions
- COLA


## Components of Plan Design

- Amount of Benefit
- Job Group
- Age
- Service
- Average Pay
- Early Retirement


## What is the Goal of Plan Design?

Determining a benefit formula that will meet the retirement needs of a long-term member

## Key Questions

If you can answer these, determining the benefit formula is easy:

- How do you define a long-term member?
- What are you trying to provide for a longterm member?
- How should the cost be split between employee and employer?


## Replacement Ratios

- How much do you need to maintain your standard of living?
- Common rule of thumb:
- 70\% of pay at retirement
- 80\% for low paid


## Retirement Income Sources

- Defined Benefit Plans
- Defined Contribution Plans
- Social Security
- Personal Savings


## Plan Design Considerations

- Job Group
- Service
- Retirement Age
- Replacement Ratio
- Cost Sharing


## Employee's Share

If:

- Group 1 member
- Hired after 7/1/96
- Long-term employee
- Retire under superannuation
- Investment return assumption met

Then:

- Employee paying most, if not all, of benefit


## Example 1

- Job Group: 1
- Age at Hire: 25
- Pay at Hire: \$30,000
- Pay increases 4\% per year
- Employee Contributions accumulate at 8\% per year


## Example 1

| Retirement <br> Age | Benefit | Present <br> Value | Accumulated Value <br> of Employee <br> Contributions | Member <br> Paying for <br> Benefit? |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 55 | 40,500 | 475,000 | 566,000 | Yes |
| 60 | 76,700 | 806,000 | 901,000 | Yes |
| 65 | 106,600 | $1,007,000$ | $1,410,000$ | Yes |

## Example 2

- Job Group: 1
- Age at Hire: 25
- Pay at Hire: \$30,000
- Pay increases 5\% per year
- Employee Contributions accumulate at 8\% per year


## Example 2

| Retirement | Benefit | Present | Accumulated Value <br> of Employee |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Age |  | Value | Member <br> Paying for |
|  |  | Contributions | Benefit? |


| 55 | 53,000 | 611,000 | 647,000 | Yes |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 60 | 105,200 | $1,095,000$ | $1,047,000$ | No |
| 65 | 153,400 | $1,439,000$ | $1,663,000$ | Yes |

## Example 3

- Job Group:1
- Age at Hire: 35
- Pay at Hire: \$50,000
- Pay increases 4\% per year
- Employee Contributions accumulate at 8\% per year


## Example 3

| Retirement <br> Age | Benefit | Present <br> Value | Accumulated Value <br> of Employee <br> Contributions | Member <br> Paying for <br> Benefit? |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 55 | 30,400 | 365,000 | 362,500 | Maybe |
| 60 | 61,600 | 654,000 | 611,000 | No |
| 65 | 112,500 | $1,062,000$ | 995,000 | No |

## Example 4

- Job Group:1
- Age at Hire: 25
- Pay at Hire: \$30,000
- Pay increases 4\% per year
- Employee Contributions accumulate at 7\% per year


## Example 4

| Retirement <br> Age | Benefit | Present <br> Value | Accumulated Value <br> of Employee <br> Contributions | Member <br> Paying for <br> Benefit? |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 55 | 40,500 | 521,000 | 480,000 | No |
| 60 | 76,700 | 875,000 | 741,000 | No |
| 65 | 106,600 | $1,083,000$ | $1,122,000$ | Yes |

## Pension Reform

- Chapter 21 of the Acts of 2009
- Chapter 131 of the Acts of 2010
- Chapter 188 of the Acts of 2010
- Chapter 176 of the Acts of 2011


## Chapter 21 of the Acts of 2009

- Definition of Regular Compensation
- Creditable service for elected officials
- Minimum compensation for creditable service
- Dual member calculations
- Extend funding schedules to 2030


## Chapter 131 of the Acts of 2010

- Cap on pension earnings
- Interest rate on returned retirement reductions


## Chapter 188 of the Acts of 2010

- Funding schedule extension to 2040
- Increase in the COLA base
- Biennial actuarial valuations
- Early Retirement Incentive program


## Chapter 176 of the Acts of 2011

- Purchase of Creditable Service
- Elimination of Section 10 Termination Allowances
- Anti-spiking provisions
- Pro-rating for service in more than one job group


## More Chapter 176 of the Acts of 2011

- Increase in Retirement Age eligibility
- Increase Average Annual Compensation period from 3 to 5 years
- Increase Normal Retirement Age by 2 years
- Increase early retirement reduction (reduce age factors)


## Cost Implications of Major Provisions

- Changes are prospective
- Cost savings will be gradual
- To estimate long-term impact
- Assume entire current population under prospective provisions


## Average Annual Compensation 3 to 5 Years

Assuming pay increases 4\% per year
Year

| 1 | 50,000 | Avg. <br> 56,270 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 52,000 |  |
| 3 | 54,080 |  |
| 4 | 56,240 |  |
| 5 | 58,490 |  |

Benefit reduction 3.9\%

- 3 year average is 3.9\% greater than 5 year average

Using actuarial assumptions and Present Value of Benefits basis
$3.8 \%$ cost reduction

## Increase Normal Retirement Age and Reduce Age Factors

## Group 1 Age Factors

| Age | Prior | C.176 | C.176/Prior |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| $67+$ | $2.50 \%$ | $2.50 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| 66 | $2.50 \%$ | $2.35 \%$ | $94.0 \%$ |
| 65 | $2.50 \%$ | $2.20 \%$ | $88.0 \%$ |
| 64 | $2.40 \%$ | $2.05 \%$ | $85.4 \%$ |
| 63 | $2.30 \%$ | $1.90 \%$ | $82.6 \%$ |
| 62 | $2.20 \%$ | $1.75 \%$ | $79.5 \%$ |
| 61 | $2.10 \%$ | $1.60 \%$ | $76.2 \%$ |
| 60 | $2.00 \%$ | $1.45 \%$ | $72.5 \%$ |
| 59 | $1.90 \%$ | N/A | N/A |

## Increase Normal Retirement Age and Reduce Age Factors

Impact on benefit varies by retirement age

- 12\% reduction at age 65
- $27.5 \%$ reduction at age 60

Retirement rates will be impacted, but there is no current basis to revise.

## Increase Retirement Age by Two Years

Age Prior "Adjusted" Adjusted/Prior

| 67 | $2.50 \%$ | $2.50 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 66 | $2.50 \%$ | $2.40 \%$ | $96.0 \%$ |
| 65 | $2.50 \%$ | $2.30 \%$ | $92.0 \%$ |
| 64 | $2.40 \%$ | $2.20 \%$ | $91.7 \%$ |
| 63 | $2.30 \%$ | $2.10 \%$ | $91.3 \%$ |
| 62 | $2.20 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ | $90.9 \%$ |
| 61 | $2.10 \%$ | $1.90 \%$ | $90.5 \%$ |
| 60 | $2.00 \%$ | $1.80 \%$ | $90.0 \%$ |

## Increase Retirement Age by Two Years

Benefit reduction 0\% - 10\% Ages 60-65 8\%-10\%

Actuarial Determined Basis

$$
4 \%-5 \% \text { cost reduction (estimated) }
$$

## Reduce Age Factors

Prior - 4\% annual reduction (2.4/2.5 = 96\%)
C. $176-6 \%$ annual reduction ( $2.35 / 2.5=94 \%$ )

Actuarial determined basis
4.0\%-5.0\% cost reduction (estimated)

Again, retirement rates will be impacted.

## Overall Cost Reduction

3 to 5 years $3.5 \%-4.0 \%$ Increase Retirement Age 4.0\%-5.0\%
Reduce Age Factors 4.0\%-5.0\%

Combined Impact $11.0 \%-14.0 \%$

