

MassDEP PFAS Comments Received – No affiliation

Bruce Langton
Constance Glore
Dianne Plantamura
Karen Martin
Keith Connors
Kate McHugh
Deborah Pacini
Rebecca Feldman
Renee Scott

From: Bruce Langton <langtonfamily1@icloud.com>

Sent: Tuesday, February 11, 2020 9:35 PM

To: Director-DWP, Program (DEP)

Subject: PFAS regulation Proposal

Dear David Gutterman,

I am extremely concerned that the DEP's proposed regulation of PFAS's (Per-and Polyfluoralkyl Substances) is still too high at 20 Parts Per Trillion . These forever chemicals should have a MCL (Maximum Critical Level) set at 1 Part Per Trillion.

The CDC says, PFAS chemicals cause, "decreased fertility, hormonal changes, increased cholesterol, weakened immune system response, increased cancer risk, and growth and learning delays in infants and children."

The DEP must do it's job and create stronger standards for all hazardous PFAS's.

Sincerely.

Lynn Langton

10 Surrey Drive

North Andover, MA 01845

From: Connie Glore <cpglore@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 11, 2020 6:05 PM
To: Director-DWP, Program (DEP)
Subject: pfas

Dear David Gutterman,

I am extremely concerned that the DEP proposed regulation of PFAS's (Per-and Polyfluoralkyl Substances) is still too high at 20 Parts Per Trillion. These forever chemicals should have a MCL (Maximum Critical Level) set at 1 Part Per Trillion.

The CDC says PFAS chemicals cause, "decreased fertility, hormonal changes, increased cholesterol, weakened immune system response, increased cancer risk, and growth and learning delays in infants and children."

The DEP must do it's job and create stronger standards for all hazardous PFAS's.

Sincerely,

Constance Glore
North Parish Climate Justice
North Andover MA

From: Dianne Plantamura <dplant@comcast.net>

Sent: Tuesday, February 11, 2020 9:17 PM

To: Director-DWP, Program (DEP)

Subject: PFAS regs too high

Dear David Gutterman,

I am extremely concerned that the DEP's proposed regulation of PFAS's (Per-and Polyfluoralkyl Substances) is still too high at 20 Parts Per Trillion . These forever chemicals should have a MCL (Maximum Critical Level) set at 1 Part Per Trillion.

The CDC says, PFAS chemicals cause, "decreased fertility, hormonal changes, increased cholesterol, weakened immune system response, increased cancer risk, and growth and learning delays in infants and children."

The DEP must do its job and create stronger standards for all hazardous PFAS's.

Sincerely,

Dianne Plantamura
Groveland, MA

From: Karen Martin <karen.martin711@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, February 11, 2020 7:09 PM

To: Director-DWP, Program (DEP)

Subject: PFAS

Dear David Gutterman,

I am extremely concerned that the DEP's proposed regulation of PFAS's (Per-and Polyfluoralkyl Substances) is still too high at 20 Parts Per Trillion . These forever chemicals should have a MCL (Maximum Critical Level) set at 1 Part Per Trillion.

The CDC says, PFAS chemicals cause, "decreased fertility, hormonal changes, increased cholesterol, weakened immune system response, increased cancer risk, and growth and learning delays in infants and children."

The DEP must do it's job and create stronger standards for all hazardous PFAS's.

Sincerely,

Karen Martin

Boxford, Ma

From: Keith Connors <keithconnors@outlook.com>

Sent: Wednesday, February 12, 2020 8:02 AM

To: Director-DWP, Program (DEP)

Subject: Action Needed on PSAF

Dear Mr. Gutterman,

I am extremely concerned that the DEP's proposed regulation of PFAS's (Per-and Polyfluoralkyl Substances) is still too high at 20 Parts Per Trillion. These forever chemicals should have a MCL (Maximum Critical Level) set at 1 Part Per Trillion.

The CDC says, PFAS chemicals cause, "decreased fertility, hormonal changes, increased cholesterol, weakened immune system response, increased cancer risk, and growth and learning delays in infants and children."

The DEP must do its job and create stronger standards for all hazardous PFAS's.

Sincerely,

Keith Connors

4 Village Green Drive

N. Andover, Ma 01845

From: Kate McHugh <kate@katemchugh.com>

Sent: Friday, February 14, 2020 5:31 PM

To: Director-DWP, Program (DEP)

Subject: PFAS limit

Dear Mr. Gutterman:

As a survivor of the Merrimack Valley Gas Explosion, I am acutely aware that there's lots of things surrounding us that can actually kill us. I think having a high level of PFASs (Per- and Polyfluoralkyl Substances) in our drinking water is one of them. I am concerned that the DEP's proposed regulation of PFASs is still too high at 20 Parts Per Trillion. These **forever** chemicals should have a MCL (Maximum Critical Level) set at 1 Part Per Trillion.

The CDC says PFAS chemicals cause, "decreased fertility, hormonal changes, increased cholesterol, weakened immune system response, increased cancer risk, and growth and learning delays in infants and children." Really. And we are supposed to stand by and let this happen??

The DEP must do it's job and create stronger standards for all hazardous PFASs.

Good health to you, the state and the planet.

Best,

Kate McHugh

11 Wolcott Avenue

Andover, MA01810

From: Deborah Pacini <debpacini@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, February 28, 2020 9:50 AM

To: Director-DWP, Program (DEP)

Subject: drinking water

Thank you for your efforts to regulate PFAS in drinking water. I support MassDEP's proposal to regulate 6 PFAS with a Maximum Contaminant Level of 20 parts per trillion. However, I am concerned that this proposed regulation does not go far enough in addressing the thousands of PFAS chemicals that are used in industrial processes and products. I respectfully request that you add a provision that allows for MassDEP to review the list of PFAS chemicals again within two or three years; apply test methods to detect total PFAS contamination in water; and regulate additional PFAS compounds in order to protect our drinking water.

Deborah Pacini
20 Pleasant Ave
Somerville

From: Rebecca Feldman <rlfeldman@mac.com>

Sent: Friday, February 28, 2020 9:49 AM

To: Director-DWP, Program (DEP)

Subject: PFAS in drinking water

Thank you for your efforts to regulate PFAS in drinking water. I support MassDEP's proposal to regulate 6 PFAS with a Maximum Contaminant Level of 20 parts per trillion. However, I am concerned that this proposed regulation does not go far enough in addressing the thousands of PFAS chemicals that are used in industrial processes and products. I respectfully request that you add a provision that allows for MassDEP to review the list of PFAS chemicals again within two or three years; apply test methods to detect total PFAS contamination in water; and regulate additional PFAS compounds in order to protect our drinking water.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Feldman
22 Bay State Rd
Natick, MA 01760

From: Renee Scott <reneescott@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, February 28, 2020 9:43 AM

To: Director-DWP, Program (DEP)

Subject: PFAS

Thank you for your efforts to regulate PFAS in drinking water. I support MassDEP's proposal to regulate 6 PFAS with a Maximum Contaminant Level of 20 parts per trillion. However, I am concerned that this proposed regulation does not go far enough in addressing the thousands of PFAS chemicals that are used in industrial processes and products. I respectfully request that you add a provision that allows for MassDEP to review the list of PFAS chemicals again within two or three years; apply test methods to detect total PFAS contamination in water; and regulate additional PFAS compounds in order to protect our drinking water.

Warmly,

Renée Scott
Somerville, MA