SUMMARY OF OIL HAZARDOUS MATERIALS WITH DERIVED PLANT UPTAKE FACTORS

Chemical	Plant Uptake Factor (unitless)
Arsenic	0.05
Cadmium	1.9
Chlordane	11.104
Chrome III	0.095
Chrome VI	0.095
Lead	0.15
Nickel	0.38
PCBs	0.839
Zinc	1.52

ARSENIC PLANT UPTAKE DERIVATION

Form	• • •	pH O.C.	Exposure Comm	Species	Plant	Uptake	Citation Fi	ield or ¡ Analyt	
	(mg/Kg)		name			factor		metho	
mix of mine tailir	n 187 mine spoils	6.4 11 g/Kg	growing seas bean	Phaseolus vulgari	0.304	0.002	2 Cobb '00 gr	reenhou AAS	25% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
mix of mine tailir	n 408 mine spoils	6.4 11 g/Kg	growing seas bean	Phaseolus vulgari	0.7	0.002	Cobb '00 gr	reenhou AAS	100 % treatment of mine tailings
mix of mine tailir	n 196 mine spoils	6.4 11 g/Kg	growing seas bean	Phaseolus vulgari	0.533	0.003	Cobb '00 gr	reenhou AAS	50% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
mix of mine tailir	n 303 mine spoils	6.4 11 g/Kg	growing seas bean	Phaseolus vulgari	1.72	0.006	Cobb '00 gr	reenhou AAS	75% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
									Control but otherwise the treatment are a percetage of
mix of mine tailir	n 23.3 native soils	6.8 12 g/Kg	growing seas bean	Phaseolus vulgari	0.184	0.008	Cobb '00 gr	reenhou AAS	mine tailings
					Median	0.003	3		
					Mean	0.004	ļ		
mix of mine tailir	n 196 mine spoils	6.4 11 g/Kg	growing seas lettuce	Latuca sativa	14.8	0.076	Cobb '00 gr	reenhou AAS	50% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
mix of mine tailir	n 408 mine spoils	6.4 11 g/Kg	growing seas lettuce	Latuca sativa	34.9	0.086	Cobb '00 gr	reenhou AAS	100 % treatment of mine tailings
mix of mine tailir	n 187 mine spoils	6.4 11 g/Kg	growing seas lettuce	Latuca sativa	21.5	0.115	Cobb '00 gr	reenhou AAS	25% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
mix of mine tailir	n 303 mine spoils	6.4 11 g/Kg	growing seas lettuce	Latuca sativa	34.9	0.115	Cobb '00 gr	reenhou AAS	75% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
									Control but otherwise the treatment are a percetage of
mix of mine tailir	n 23.3 native soils	6.8 12 g/Kg	growing seas lettuce	Latuca sativa	5.47	0.235	Cobb '00 gr	reenhou AAS	mine tailings
					Median	0.115	5		
					Mean	0.125	5		
and the office to a faith	000 ! !!-	0.4.44 = /// ==	anno de la colonia d'ob	Dankara a tina	0.07	0.040	0-1-1-100		75% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
mix of mine tailir		0 0	growing seas radish	Raphanaus sativa			•	reenhou AAS	G
mix of mine tailir		0 0		Raphanaus sativa			_	reenhou AAS	25% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
mix of mine tailir	n 196 mine spoils	6.4 11 g/Kg	growing seas radish	Raphanaus sativa	3.91	0.020	Cobb '00 gr	reenhou AAS	50% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
and a factor of 100	00.0	0.0.40 =///		Dente de la constant	0.500	0.005	0-1-1-100		Control but otherwise the treatment are a percetage of
mix of mine tailir		0 0	0	Raphanaus sativa			•	reenhou AAS	mine tailings
mix of mine tailir	1 408 mine spoils	6.4 11 g/Kg	growing seas radish	Raphanaus sativa			J	reenhou AAS	100 % treatment of mine tailings
					Median	0.020			
					Mean	0.020)		

0.050 Mean of means

CADMIUM PLANT UPTAKE DERIVATION

	Soil conc. soil type (mg/Kg)	pH O.C.	Exposure	Common name		tissue	Uptake factor	Citation	Field or pot	method	
mix of mine tailings	6.06 mine spoils	6.4 11 g/Kg	growing season	bean	Phaseolus vulgaris	0.01	0.001650165			AAS	25% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
mix of mine tailings	10.7 mine spoils	6.4 11 g/Kg	growing season	bean	Phaseolus vulgaris	0.176	0.016448598	8 Cobb '00	greenhouse	AAS	50% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
mix of mine tailings	18.1 mine spoils	6.4 11 g/Kg	growing season	bean	Phaseolus vulgaris	1.06	0.058563536	6 Cobb '00	greenhouse	AAS	100% treatment of mine tailings
netal salts	6.66 Orangeburg loamy sand	5.1 18.5g/kg	growing season		Phaseolus vulgaris	0.48	0.072072072	2 Sajwan '96	field	ICP-ES	high
nix of mine tailings	1.38 native soils	6.8 12 g/Kg	growing season		Phaseolus vulgaris	0.145	0.10507246	4 Cobb '00	greenhouse	AAS	Control but otherwise the treatment are a percetage of mine tailings
netal salts	4.52 Orangeburg loamy sand	5.1 18.5g/kg	growing season		Phaseolus vulgaris		0.110619469		field	ICP-ES	med
I-Viro	2.39 biosolids	8.1 Not given	Growing season		not provided		0.163179916		field	Flame AAS	1993 data
nix of mine tailings	14.6 mine spoils	6.4 11 g/Kg	growing season		Phaseolus vulgaris		0.178767123			AAS	75% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
est plot	1.1 146 Mg/ha			beans	Phaseolus vulgaris		0.2181818181		Field	Flame AAS	
N-Viro	0.84 biosolids	8.1 Not given	Growing season		not provided	0.63		5 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	
metal salts			growing season		Phaseolus vulgaris		0.806451613		field		control
	0.62 Orangeburg loamy sand										
control	0.1 silt loam		4 yr application		Phaseolus vulgaris	0.26		6 Dixon "95	Field	Flame AAS	
control plot	0.04 silt loam		Growing season		not provided	0.16		4 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	
control plot	0.04 silt loam	7.1 Not given	Growing season	Bean	not provided	0.66		5 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	1993 data
						Median Mean	0.17097352 1.8272147				
agricultural farms	0.24 clay loam	6.5 13.1 g/Kg	growing season	broccoli	not provided	0.01		7 Jinadasa '97	field		Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low pH
agricultural farms	0.36 loamy sand	4.3 29.8 g/Kg	growing season	bok choy	not provided	0.05	0.138888888	9 Jinadasa '97	field	ICP-OES	Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low pH
agricultural farms	0.34 clay loam	4.3 29.8 g/Kg	growing season	broccoli	not provided	0.12	0.352941176	6 Jinadasa '97	field	ICP-OES	Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low ph
	·					Median Mean	0.138888888 0.17783224				
N-Viro	0.92 biosolids	8.4 Not given	Growing season	Cabbage	not provided	0.02	0.02173913	3 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	1994 data
gricultural farms	2.25 light clay	5 18.8 g/Kg	growing season		Brassica oleracea			7 Jinadasa '97	field		Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low ph
gricultural farms	1.84 clay loam	5.7 30.7 g/Kg	growing season		Brassica oleracea			3 Jinadasa '97	field		Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low ph
gricultural farms	0.11 sand	6.5 13.1 g/Kg	growing season		Brassica oleracea			1 Jinadasa '97	field		Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low pl
I-Viro	0.84 biosolids	8.1 Not given	Growing season		not provided		0.09523809		field	Flame AAS	
gricultural farms	1.12 loamy sand	5.3 23.3 g/Kg	growing season		Brassica oleracea			6 Jinadasa '97	field		Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low p
gricultural larms I-Viro	2.39 biosolids	8.1 Not given	Growing season		not provided		0.098214280		field	Flame AAS	
y ash	0.4 unknown		growing season		not provided	0.57		5 Barman '99	field	AAS	No information on pH, O.C, veg species, but samples are collocated.
gricultural farms	1.12 clay loam	5.7 30.7 g/Kg	growing season		Brassica oleracea	0.56		5 Jinadasa '97	field		Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low p
ontrol plot	0.04 silt loam	6.8 Not given	Growing season		not provided	0.02		5 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	
control plot	0.04 silt loam	6.8 Not given	Growing season		not provided	0.02		5 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	
control plot	0.04 silt loam	7.1 Not given	Growing season	Cabbage	not provided	0.7		5 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	1993 data
						Median Mean	0.168354009 1.670702909				
N-Viro	2.39 biosolids	8.1 Not given	Growing season	Carrot	not provided	0.31	0.129707113	3 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	1993 data
N-Viro	0.84 biosolids	8.1 Not given	Growing season	Carrot	not provided	0.18	0.214285714	4 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	1995 data
N-Viro	0.92 biosolids	8.4 Not given	Growing season	Carrot	not provided	0.4	0.434782609	9 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	1994 data
control plot	0.04 silt loam	6.8 Not given	Growing season		not provided	0.14		5 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	
control plot	0.04 silt loam	6.8 Not given	Growing season		not provided	0.15		5 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	
control plot	0.04 silt loam	7.1 Not given	Growing season		not provided	0.32		B Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	
control plot	0.04 Silt Ioani	7.1 Not given	Growing season	Carrot	not provided	Median	1.96739130		ileiu	I lattle AAS	1990 data
						Mean	2.67146257				
agricultural farms	2.15 sandy loam	4.7 16.2 g/Kg	growing season		Zea mays			3 Jinadasa '97	field		Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low pl
gricultural farms	1.95 clay loam		growing season		not provided			1 Jinadasa '97	field		Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low pl
est plot	1.1 146 Mg/ha		I 4 yr application			0.16			Field	Flame AAS	
ontrol	0.1 silt loam	6.8 Not provided	I 4 yr application	Sweet corn	Zea mays	0.18		8 Dixon "95	Field	Flame AAS	Histogram
						Median Mean	0.082983683 0.492654632				
gricultural farms	0.14 clay loam	4.7 21.6 g/Kg	growing season	lettuce	Latuca sativa	0.05	0.35714285	7 Jinadasa '97	field	ICP-OES	Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low pi
gricultural farms	0.68 loamy sand	4.3 19.6 g/Kg	growing season		Latuca sativa	0.34	0.5	5 Jinadasa '97	field		Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low pl
gricultural farms	0.24 sandy loam	4.7 16.2 g/Kg	growing season		not provided	0.15	0.625	5 Jinadasa '97	field	ICP-OES	Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low p
l-Viro	0.92 biosolids	8.4 Not given	Growing season		not provided		0.652173913		field	Flame AAS	
-Viro	2.39 biosolids		Growing season		not provided		0.661087866		field	Flame AAS	
est plot	0.9 146 Mg/ha		4 yr application		Brassica frimbriata		0.77777777		Field	Flame AAS	
nix of mine tailings	10.7 mine spoils	6.4 11 g/Kg	growing season		Latuca sativa		0.804672897			AAS	50% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
I-Viro	0.84 biosolids	8.1 Not given	Growing season		not provided	0.68			field	Flame AAS	1995 data
nix of mine tailings	6.06 mine spoils	6.4 11 g/Kg	growing season		Latuca sativa	5.37	0.886138614			AAS	25% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
v ash	0.4 unknown		growing season		not provided	0.36		9 Barman '99	field	AAS	No information on pH, O.C, veg species, but samples are collocated.
y asn est plot	0.4 unknown 0.9 146 Mg/ha			lettuce		0.36			Field	Flame AAS	
nix of mine tailings	1.38 native soils		2 -11		Latuca sativa Latuca sativa		1.16666666			AAS	Control but otherwise the treatment are a percetage of mine tailings
		6.8 12 g/Kg	growing season							AAS	
nix of mine tailings	14.6 mine spoils	6.4 11 g/Kg	growing season		Latuca sativa		1.246575342		9		75% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
nix of mine tailings	18.1 mine spoils	6.4 11 g/Kg	growing season		Latuca sativa		1.408839779			AAS	100% treatment of mine tailings
ontrol	0.1 silt loam		4 yr application		Latuca sativa	0.8		8 Dixon "95	Field	Flame AAS	
ontrol	0.1 silt loam		4 yr application		Brassica frimbriata	0.89		9 Dixon "95	Field	Flame AAS	
ontrol plot	0.04 silt loam		Growing season		not provided	0.57		5 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	
control plot	0.04 silt loam	7.1 Not given	Growing season	Lettuce	not provided	0.92		3 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	1993 data
ontrol plot	0.04 silt loam	6.8 Not given	Growing season	Lettuce	not provided	1.03	25.75	5 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	
		-	-			Median Mean	0.9 4.8254993	9 -			
gricultural farms	0.56 loamy sand	4.3 19.6 a/Ka	growing season	parslev	not provided	0.24	0.428571429	9 Jinadasa '97	field	ICP-OES	Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake: low
gricultural farms y ash	0.56 loamy sand 0.8 unknown		growing season		not provided not provided	0.24 0.9			field field		
		4.3 19.6 g/Kg ? not provided				0.9		5 Barman '99		ICP-OES AAS	Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low poinformation on pH, O.C, veg species, but samples are collocated.

CADMIUM PLANT UPTAKE DERIVATION

	Soil conc. soil type mg/Kg)	pH O.C.	Exposure	Common name	Species	Plant tissue	Uptake factor	Citation	Field or pot	Analytical method	
agricultural farms	1.59 loamy sand	5.3 23.3 g/Kg	growing season	potato	Solanum tuberosum			6 Jinadasa '97	field	ICP-OES	Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low pH!!
N-Viro	2.39 biosolids	8.1 Not given	Growing season		not provided		0.07949790		field	Flame AAS	
N-Viro	0.92 biosolids	8.4 Not given	Growing season		not provided		0.14130434		field	Flame AAS	
N-Viro control plot	0.84 biosolids 0.04 silt loam	8.1 Not given 6.8 Not given	Growing season Growing season		not provided	0.17	0.20238095	2 Logan '97 5 Logan '97	field field	Flame AAS Flame AAS	
control plot	0.04 silt loam	6.8 Not given	Growing season		not provided not provided	0.02		5 Logan 97 5 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	
control plot	0.04 silt loam	7.1 Not given	Growing season		not provided	1.3		5 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	
iona di piot	o.o r o.u. loani	7.1 Hot givon	Crowing codeon	· otato	in provided	Median Mean	0.20238095 5.56225168	2		7141107110	
agricultural farms	6.3 clay loam	4.3 29.8 g/Kg	growing season		Raphanus sativas			2 Jinadasa '97	field	ICP-OES	Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low pH!!
mix of mine tailings	1.38 native soils	6.8 12 g/Kg	growing season		Raphanaus sativas		0.00724637		greenhouse	AAS	Control but otherwise the treatment are a percetage of mine tailings
mix of mine tailings mix of mine tailings	18.1 mine spoils 10.7 mine spoils	6.4 11 g/Kg 6.4 11 g/Kg	growing season		Raphanaus sativas Raphanaus sativas	3.38	0.29337016		greenhouse greenhouse	AAS AAS	100% treatment of mine tailings 50% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
mix of mine tailings	14.6 mine spoils	6.4 11 g/Kg 6.4 11 g/Kg	growing season growing season		Raphanaus sativas Raphanaus sativas		0.35479452		greenhouse	AAS	75% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
mix of mine tailings	6.06 mine spoils	6.4 11 g/Kg	growing season		Raphanaus sativas	2.31	0.38118811		greenhouse	AAS	25% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
ly ash	1 unknown	? not provided			not provided	0.54		4 Barman '99	field	AAS	No information on pH, O.C, veg species, but samples are collocated.
,, 45	· dinaionii	. not provided	growing codcon	radion	in provided	Median Mean	0.3158878 0.27058204	5		7.0.0	The information of pri, of our output and confection.
agricultural farms	6.37 clay loam 0.8 unknown	4.6 21.7 g/Kg ? not provided	growing season		Beta vulgaris not provided	0.32		'9 Jinadasa '97 5 Barman '99	field field	ICP-OES AAS	Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low pH!! No information on pH, O.C, veg species, but samples are collocated.
iy asii	0.5 dikilowii	: Not provided	growing season	turrip	not provided	Median Mean	0.08136773 0.08136773	9	lielu	AA3	No information on pri, o.c., veg species, but samples are coincoated.
old mining nearby	8.9 silt loam-verdigris	6.4 13g/kg	growing season	soybeans	Glycine max	10.5	1.17977528	1 Pierzynski '93	greenhouse	AAS	Article gives uptake in terms of mg/pot but this is different than what I calculate
old mining nearby	8.2 silt loam-verdigris	6.4 13g/kg	growing season	soybeans	Glycine max	10	1.21951219	5 Pierzynski '93	greenhouse	AAS	Article gives uptake in terms of mg/pot but this is different than what I calculate
old mining nearby	7.6 silt loam-verdigris	6.4 13g/kg	growing season		Glycine max			7 Pierzynski '93		AAS	Article gives uptake in terms of mg/pot but this is different than what I calculate
old mining nearby	7.7 silt loam-verdigris	6.4 13g/kg	growing season		Glycine max			2 Pierzynski '93	greenhouse	AAS	Article gives uptake in terms of mg/pot but this is different than what I calculate
old mining nearby	7.3 silt loam-verdigris	6.4 13g/kg	growing season		Glycine max			8 Pierzynski '93		AAS	Article gives uptake in terms of mg/pot but this is different than what I calculate
old mining nearby	8.1 silt loam-verdigris	6.4 13g/kg	growing season		Glycine max			7 Pierzynski '93 7 Pierzynski '93		AAS AAS	Article gives uptake in terms of mg/pot but this is different than what I calculate
old mining nearby old mining nearby	6.9 silt loam-verdigris 7.4 silt loam-verdigris	6.4 13g/kg 6.4 13g/kg	growing season growing season		Glycine max Glycine max			5 Pierzynski '93		AAS	Article gives uptake in terms of mg/pot but this is different than what I calculate Article gives uptake in terms of mg/pot but this is different than what I calculate
nd mining nearby	7.4 siit loam-verdigns	0.4 13g/kg	growing season	soybeans	diyane max	Median Mean	1.74212773 1.76160284	5	greermouse	AA3	Attude gives uprake in terms of migroup out this is unifierent than what i calculate
est plot	0.9 146 Mg/ha		4 yr application	Spinach	Bloomsdale long standing spinach		0.78888888		Field	Flame AAS	
ly ash	0.8 unknown	? not provided			not provided	0.76		5 Barman '99	field	AAS	No information on pH, O.C, veg species, but samples are collocated.
control	0.1 silt loam	6.8 Not provided	4 yr application	Spinach	Bloomsdale long standing spinach	0.59 Median Mean	5. 0.9 2.54629629		Field	Flame AAS	Histogram
test plot	1.1 146 Mg/ha	6.9 Not provided	4 yr application	Squash	Cucurbita pepo		0.02272727		Field	Flame AAS	
agricultural farms	0.19 loamy sand		growing season		not provided			7 Jinadasa '97	field		Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low pH!!
control	0.1 silt loam	6.8 Not provided	4 yr application	Squash	Cucurbita pepo	0.24 Median Mean	2. 0.15789473 0.86020733		Field	Flame AAS	Histogram
agricultural farms	3.15 loam	5.8 24.7 g/Kg	growing season	tomato	Lycopersicon esculentum	0.01	0.00317460	3 Jinadasa '97	field	ICP-OES	Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low pH!!
agricultural farms	0.49 loamy sand	4.3 39.2 g/Kg	growing season		Lycopersicon esculentum			3 Jinadasa '97	field	ICP-OES	Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low pH!!
agricultural farms	0.31 loamy sand	4.3 19.6 g/Kg	growing season		Lycopersicon esculentum	0.01	0.03225806	5 Jinadasa '97	field	ICP-OES	Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low pH!!
agricultural larris			growing season	tomato	Lycopersicon esculentum			5 Jinadasa '97	field	ICP-OES	Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low pH!!
agricultural farms	0.22 sand	4.3 13.4 g/Kg				0.02		7 Jinadasa '97	field	ICP-OES	Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low pH!!
agricultural farms agricultural farms	0.35 loamy sand	4.3 31.7 g/Kg	growing season		Lycopersicon esculentum						
agricultural farms agricultural farms mix of mine tailings	0.35 loamy sand 14.6 mine spoils	4.3 31.7 g/Kg 6.4 11 g/Kg	growing season	tomato	Lycopersicon esculenttum	1	0.06849315		greenhouse	AAS	75% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
agricultural farms agricultural farms mix of mine tailings agricultural farms	0.35 loamy sand 14.6 mine spoils 0.14 loamy sand	4.3 31.7 g/Kg 6.4 11 g/Kg 4.3 19.6 g/Kg	growing season growing season	tomato tomato	Lycopersicon esculenttum Lycopersicon esculentum	0.01	0.07142857	1 Jinadasa '97	field	ICP-OES	Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low pH!!
agricultural farms agricultural farms mix of mine tailings agricultural farms mix of mine tailings	0.35 loamy sand 14.6 mine spoils 0.14 loamy sand 10.7 mine spoils	4.3 31.7 g/Kg 6.4 11 g/Kg 4.3 19.6 g/Kg 6.4 11 g/Kg	growing season growing season growing season	tomato tomato tomato	Lycopersicon esculenttum Lycopersicon esculentum Lycopersicon esculenttum	0.01 0.857	0.07142857 0.08009345	1 Jinadasa '97 8 Cobb '00	field greenhouse	ICP-OES AAS	Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low pH!! 50% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
agricultural farms agricultural farms mix of mine tailings agricultural farms mix of mine tailings mix of mine tailings	0.35 loamy sand 14.6 mine spoils 0.14 loamy sand 10.7 mine spoils 6.06 mine spoils	4.3 31.7 g/Kg 6.4 11 g/Kg 4.3 19.6 g/Kg 6.4 11 g/Kg 6.4 11 g/Kg	growing season growing season growing season growing season	tomato tomato tomato tomato	Lycopersicon esculenttum Lycopersicon esculentum Lycopersicon esculenttum Lycopersicon esculenttum	0.01 0.857 0.704	0.07142857 0.08009345 0.11617161	1 Jinadasa '97 8 Cobb '00 7 Cobb '00	field greenhouse greenhouse	ICP-OES AAS AAS	Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low pH!! 50% treatment of mine tailings and native soil 25% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
agricultural farms agricultural farms mix of mine tailings agricultural farms mix of mine tailings mix of mine tailings N-Viro	0.35 loamy sand 14.6 mine spoils 0.14 loamy sand 10.7 mine spoils 6.06 mine spoils 2.39 biosolids	4.3 31.7 g/Kg 6.4 11 g/Kg 4.3 19.6 g/Kg 6.4 11 g/Kg 6.4 11 g/Kg 8.1 Not given	growing season growing season growing season growing season Growing season	tomato tomato tomato tomato Tomato	Lycopersicon esculenttum Lycopersicon esculenttum Lycopersicon esculenttum Lycopersicon esculenttum not provided	0.01 0.857 0.704 0.39	0.07142857 0.08009345 0.11617161 0.16317991	1 Jinadasa '97 8 Cobb '00 7 Cobb '00 6 Logan '97	field greenhouse greenhouse field	ICP-OES AAS AAS Flame AAS	Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low pH!! 50% treatment of mine tailings and native soil 25% treatment of mine tailings and native soil 1993 data
gricultural farms agricultural farms nix of mine tailings agricultural farms nix of mine tailings nix of mine tailings N-Viro	0.35 loamy sand 14.6 mine spoils 0.14 loamy sand 10.7 mine spoils 6.06 mine spoils 2.39 biosolids 0.92 biosolids	4.3 31.7 g/Kg 6.4 11 g/Kg 4.3 19.6 g/Kg 6.4 11 g/Kg 6.4 11 g/Kg 8.1 Not given 8.4 Not given	growing season growing season growing season growing season Growing season Growing season	tomato tomato tomato tomato Tomato Tomato	Lycopersicon esculentum Lycopersicon esculentum Lycopersicon esculentum Lycopersicon esculentum not provided not provided	0.01 0.857 0.704 0.39 0.19	0.07142857 0.08009345 0.11617161 0.16317991 0.20652173	1 Jinadasa '97 8 Cobb '00 7 Cobb '00 6 Logan '97 9 Logan '97	field greenhouse greenhouse field field	ICP-OES AAS AAS Flame AAS Flame AAS	Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low pH!! 50% treatment of mine tailings and native soil 25% treatment of mine tailings and native soil 1993 data 1994 data
agricultural farms agricultural farms nitx of mine tailings agricultural farms nitx of mine tailings nitx of mine tailings N-Viro N-Viro nitx of mine tailings	0.35 loamy sand 14.6 mine spoils 0.14 loamy sand 10.7 mine spoils 6.06 mine spoils 2.39 biosolids	4.3 31.7 g/Kg 6.4 11 g/Kg 4.3 19.6 g/Kg 6.4 11 g/Kg 6.4 11 g/Kg 8.1 Not given	growing season growing season growing season growing season Growing season	tomato tomato tomato tomato Tomato Tomato tomato	Lycopersicon esculenttum Lycopersicon esculenttum Lycopersicon esculenttum Lycopersicon esculenttum not provided	0.01 0.857 0.704 0.39 0.19 0.523	0.07142857 0.08009345 0.11617161 0.16317991	1 Jinadasa '97 8 Cobb '00 7 Cobb '00 6 Logan '97 9 Logan '97 7 Cobb '00	field greenhouse greenhouse field field	ICP-OES AAS AAS Flame AAS	Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low pH!! 50% treatment of mine tailings and native soil 25% treatment of mine tailings and native soil 1993 data 1994 data Control but otherwise the treatment are a percetage of mine tailings
agricultural farms agricultural farms agricultural farms mix of mine tailings agricultural farms mix of mine tailings mix of mine tailings N-Viro N-Viro mix of mine tailings N-Viro N-Viro N-Viro N-Viro	0.35 loamy sand 14.6 mine spoils 0.14 loamy sand 10.7 mine spoils 6.06 mine spoils 2.39 biosolids 0.92 biosolids 1.38 native soils	4.3 31.7 g/Kg 6.4 11 g/Kg 4.3 19.6 g/Kg 6.4 11 g/Kg 6.4 11 g/Kg 8.1 Not given 8.4 Not given 6.8 12 g/Kg	growing season growing season growing season growing season Growing season Growing season growing season	tomato tomato tomato Tomato Tomato tomato Tomato	Lycopersicon esculenttum Lycopersicon esculentum Lycopersicon esculenttum Lycopersicon esculenttum not provided not provided Lycopersicon esculenttum	0.01 0.857 0.704 0.39 0.19 0.523	0.07142857 0.08009345 0.11617161 0.16317991 0.20652173 0.37898550 1.04761904	1 Jinadasa '97 8 Cobb '00 7 Cobb '00 6 Logan '97 9 Logan '97 7 Cobb '00	field greenhouse greenhouse field field greenhouse	ICP-OES AAS AAS Flame AAS Flame AAS AAS	Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low pH!! 50% treatment of mine tailings and native soil 25% treatment of mine tailings and native soil 1993 data 1994 data Control but otherwise the treatment are a percetage of mine tailings 1995 data
agricultural farms agricultural farms mix of mine tailings agricultural farms mix of mine tailings	0.35 loamy sand 14.6 mine spoils 0.14 loamy sand 10.7 mine spoils 6.06 mine spoils 2.39 biosolids 0.92 biosolids 1.38 native soils 0.84 biosolids	4.3 31.7 g/Kg 6.4 11 g/Kg 4.3 19.6 g/Kg 6.4 11 g/Kg 6.4 11 g/Kg 8.1 Not given 8.4 Not given 6.8 12 g/Kg 8.1 Not given	growing season growing season growing season growing season Growing season Growing season growing season Growing season Growing season	tomato tomato tomato Tomato Tomato tomato Tomato Tomato	Lycopersicon esculentum Lycopersicon esculentum Lycopersicon esculentum Lycopersicon esculentum not provided not provided Lycopersicon esculenttum not provided	1 0.01 0.857 0.704 0.39 0.19 0.523 0.88	0.07142857 0.08009345 0.11617161 0.16317991 0.20652173 0.37898550 1.04761904	1 Jinadasa '97 8 Cobb '00 7 Cobb '00 6 Logan '97 9 Logan '97 7 Cobb '00 8 Logan '97	field greenhouse greenhouse field field greenhouse field	ICP-OES AAS AAS Flame AAS Flame AAS AAS Flame AAS	Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low pH!! 50% treatment of mine tailings and native soil 25% treatment of mine tailings and native soil 1993 data 1994 data Control but otherwise the treatment are a percetage of mine tailings 1995 data 1994 data
agricultural farms agricultural farms mix of mine tailings agricultural farms mix of mine tailings mix of mine tailings mix of mine tailings N-Viro N-Viro mix of mine tailings N-Viro control plot	0.35 loamy sand 14.6 mine spoils 0.14 loamy sand 10.7 mine spoils 6.06 mine spoils 2.39 biosolids 0.92 biosolids 1.38 native soils 0.84 biosolids 0.04 silt loam	4.3 31.7 g/Kg 6.4 11 g/Kg 4.3 19.6 g/Kg 6.4 11 g/Kg 6.4 11 g/Kg 8.1 Not given 6.8 12 g/Kg 8.1 Not given 6.8 Not given 6.8 Not given	growing season growing season growing season growing season Growing season Growing season growing season Growing season Growing season Growing season	tomato	Lycopersicon esculentum Lycopersicon esculentum Lycopersicon esculentum Lycopersicon esculenttum not provided not provided Lycopersicon esculenttum not provided not provided not provided	1 0.01 0.857 0.704 0.39 0.19 0.523 0.88 0.36	0.07142857 0.08009345 0.11617161 0.16317991 0.20652173 0.37898550 1.04761904	11 Jinadasa '97 18 Cobb '00 7 Cobb '00 6 Logan '97 19 Logan '97 17 Cobb '00 18 Logan '97 19 Logan '97 10 Logan '97 10 Logan '97 10 Logan '97	field greenhouse greenhouse field field greenhouse field field	ICP-OES AAS AAS Flame AAS Flame AAS AAS Flame AAS Flame AAS	Many commercial farms sampled to get idea of factors affecting CD uptake; low pH!! 50% treatment of mine tailings and native soil 25% treatment of mine tailings and native soil 1993 data 1994 data Control but otherwise the treatment are a percetage of mine tailings 1995 data 1994 data 1994 data

1.9070638 Mean of Means

CHLORDANE PLANT UPTAKE DERIVATION

Chemical	Soil conc. soil type (mg/Kg)	pН	O.C.	Exposure	Common name	Species	Plant tissue	Uptake factor	Citation	Field or pot	Analytical method
	Old application of the										
Chlordane	0 pesticide to site Old application of the	n.p.	n.p.	growing season	eggplant	n.p.	0	0.0	0 Mattina	field	GC-ECD
Chlordane	0.001 pesticide to site Old application of the	n.p.	n.p.	growing season	pepper	n.p.	0	0.0	0 Mattina	field	GC-ECD
Chlordane	0.001 pesticide to site Old application of the	n.p.	n.p.	growing season	tomato	n.p.	0	0.0	0 Mattina	field	GC-ECD
Chlordane	0.008 pesticide to site Old application of the	n.p.	n.p.	growing season	beet	n.p.	0.018	2.2	5 Mattina	field	GC-ECD
Chlordane	0.003 pesticide to site Old application of the	n.p.	n.p.	growing season	zucchini	n.p.	0.054	18.0	0 Mattina	field	GC-ECD
Chlordane	0.008 pesticide to site	n.p.	n.p.	growing season	carrot	n.p.	0.371	46.3	8 Mattina	field	GC-ECD
						Sum Mean		66.6 11.104	_		

n.p.= not provided

Units are in ug/g, conc is "0" for some of the vegs and soil (?), no info presented on the soil

Chromium III PUF Documentation under review

Chromium VI PUF Documentation under review

LEAD PLANT UPTAKE DERIVATION

(soil type	рп	O.C.	Exposure Common	Species	Plant	Uptake Citation	Field or po	o Analytical	Notes
	(mg/Kg)		•		name	•	tissue	factor	•	method	
nix of mine taili	6300	mine spoils	6.4	11 g/Kg	growing seasorbean	Phaseolus vu	3.14	0.00049841 Cobb '00	greenhouse	€AAS	50% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
-Viro		biosolids			Growing seaso Bean	not provided	0.26	0.00142388 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	1993 data
·Viro	43.9	biosolids		-	Growing seaso Bean	not provided		0.00592255 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	1995 data
ontrol		silt loam		•	Growing seaso Bean	not provided		0.00935252 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	
ontrol		silt loam		•	Growing seaso Bean	not provided	0.81	•	field	Flame AAS	
st plot		silt loam		0	4 yr application Green bean			•	Field	Flame AAS	
-				•							-
st plot		•		•	4 yr application Green bean				Field	Flame AAS	•
nix of mine taili		native soils		12 g/Kg	growing seasor bean	Phaseolus vu		0.0908046 Cobb '00	greenhouse		control but otherwise the treatment are a percetage of mine tailings
est plot	63	146 Mg/ha	1	Not provided	4 yr application Green bean	s Phaseolus vi			Field	Flame AAS	Histogram
								0.06532258			
							Mean	0.05542344			
Viro	192.6	biosolids	0 1	Not given	Growing seaso Cabbage	not provided	0.5	0.00273823 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	1002 data
·Viro				o		•		•		Flame AAS	
		biosolids		•	Growing seaso Cabbage	not provided	0.26	•	field		
Viro		biosolids		•	Growing seaso Cabbage	not provided		0.00592255 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	
ntrol		silt loam		•	Growing seaso Cabbage	not provided	0.45	•	field	Flame AAS	
ntrol	12.4	silt loam	6.8	Not given	Growing seaso Cabbage	not provided	0.26	•	field	Flame AAS	
ontrol	10.2	silt loam	6.8	Not given	Growing seaso Cabbage	not provided	0.26	0.0254902 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	1994 data
ash	0.6	unknown	?	not provided	growing seasoi cabbage	not provided	0.36	0.6 Barman '99	field	AAS	No information on pH, O.C, veg species, but samples are collocated
							Median	0.01618705			
							Mean	0.09641314			
Viro		biosolids		o	Growing seaso Carrot	not provided	0.64	•	field	Flame AAS	
Viro	72.5	biosolids	8.4	•	Growing seaso Carrot	not provided	0.26	•	field	Flame AAS	
Viro	43.9	biosolids	8.1	Not given	Growing seaso Carrot	not provided	0.26	0.00592255 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	1995 data
ntrol	12.4	silt loam	6.8	Not given	Growing seaso Carrot	not provided	0.26	0.02096774 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	1995 data
ntrol	10.2	silt loam	6.8	Not given	Growing seaso Carrot	not provided	0.26	0.0254902 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	1994 data
ontrol	27.8	silt loam	7.1	Not given	Growing seaso Carrot	not provided	0.77	0.02769784 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	1993 data
/ ash	0.6	unknown	?	not provided	growing seasor carrot	not provided	0.18	0.3 Barman '99	field	AAS	No information on pH, O.C, veg species, but samples are collocate
•				·		•	Median	0.02096774			7 7 3 7 7
							Mean	0.05530992			
·Viro	72.5	biosolids	8.4	Not given	Growing seaso Lettuce	not provided	0.26	•	field	Flame AAS	
-Viro	43.9	biosolids	8.1	Not given	Growing seaso Lettuce	not provided	0.26	0.00592255 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	1995 data
·Viro	182.6	biosolids	8.1	Not given	Growing seaso Lettuce	not provided	1.27	0.00695509 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	1993 data
ntrol	27.8	silt loam	7.1	Not given	Growing seaso Lettuce	not provided	0.33	0.0118705 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	1993 data
ntrol	12.4	silt loam	6.8	Not given	Growing seaso Lettuce	not provided	0.26	0.02096774 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	1995 data
ntrol	10.2	silt loam	6.8	Not given	Growing seaso Lettuce	not provided	0.34	0.03333333 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	1994 data
x of mine taili		mine spoils		•	growing seasor lettuce	Latuca sativa		•	greenhouse		50% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
x of mine taili		mine spoils			growing seasor lettuce	Latuca sativa			greenhouse		75% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
x of mine taili		mine spoils			growing season lettuce	Latuca sativa			greenhouse		25% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
									Field	Flame AAS	
st plot		_		-	4 yr application Kale	Brassica frim					5
x of mine taili		mine spoils			growing seasor lettuce	Latuca sativa			greenhouse		100% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
st plot		146 Mg/ha		•	4 yr application Kale	Brassica frim			Field	Flame AAS	9
st plot		silt loam			4 yr application Kale	Brassica frim		0.16666667 Dixon "95	Field	Flame AAS	<u> </u>
st plot	21	silt loam	6.8	Not provided	4 yr application Lettuce	Latuca sativa	3.8	0.18095238 Dixon "95	Field	Flame AAS	Histogram
st plot	38	146 Mg/ha	7	Not provided	4 yr application Lettuce	Latuca sativa	9.8	0.25789474 Dixon "95	Field	Flame AAS	Histogram
st plot	36	73 Mg/ha bio	7.3	Not provided	4 yr application Lettuce	Latuca sativa	13	0.36111111 Dixon "95	Field	Flame AAS	Histogram
ix of mine taili	60.9	native soils	6.8	12 g/Kg	growing seasor lettuce	Latuca sativa	29.8	0.48932677 Cobb '00	greenhouse	€ AAS	control but otherwise the treatment are a percetage of mine tailing
ash	0.8	unknown	?	not provided	growing seasor lettuce	not provided	0.54	0.675 Barman '99	field	AAS	No information on pH, O.C, veg species, but samples are collocated
				·		•	Median	0.25789474			
							Mean	0.31945926			
		unknown	?	not provided	growing seasol pea	not provided	0.18	0.03 Barman '99	field	AAS	No information on pH, O.C, veg species, but samples are collocate
ash ash	6	di il			• • •						
				·	Growing sease Potato	not provided	0.26	0.00142388 Logan 107	field	Flame AAS	1003 data
ash Viro Viro	182.6	biosolids	8.1	Not given	Growing seaso Potato Growing seaso Potato	not provided		0.00142388 Logan '97 0.00358621 Logan '97		Flame AAS Flame AAS	

pufderiv.xls6/20/2007

LEAD PLANT UPTAKE DERIVATION

Fa 6	ail aana aail tuma	-U 0.0				UF IAN			
	oil conc. soil type ng/Kg)	pH O.C.	Exposure Common name	Species		Uptake Citation factor	on	Field or po Analytical method	Notes
control	27.8 silt loam	7.1 Not given	Growing seaso Potato	not provided		0.00935252 Logan	ı '97		S 1993 data
ontrol	10.2 silt loam	6.8 Not given	Growing seaso Potato	not provided	0.26	-			S 1994 data
ontrol	12.4 silt loam	6.8 Not given	Growing seaso Potato	not provided	0.39	0.03145161 Logan	ı '97	field Flame AAS	S 1995 data
I-Viro	43.9 biosolids	8.1 Not given	Growing seaso Potato	not provided	5.44	0.123918 Logan	1'97	field Flame AAS	S 1995 data
					Median	0.01742136			
					Mean	0.03253707			
nix of mine taili	7950 mine spoils	6.4 11 g/Kg	growing seasor radish	Raphanaus s	si 77.1	0.00969811 Cobb '	'00	greenhouse AAS	75% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
nix of mine taili	10500 mine spoils		growing seasor radish	Raphanaus s		0.01466667 Cobb '	'00	greenhouse AAS	100% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
ix of mine taili	6300 mine spoils	6.4 11 g/Kg	growing seasor radish	Raphanaus s	si 131	0.02079365 Cobb '	'00	greenhouse AAS	50% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
nix of mine taili	3600 mine spoils	6.4 11 g/Kg	growing seasor radish	Raphanaus s	92.4	0.02566667 Cobb '	'00	greenhouse AAS	25% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
y ash	0.8 unknown	? not provided	growing seasor radish	not provided	0.36	0.45 Barma	an '99	field AAS	No information on pH, O.C, veg species, but samples are collocated.
					Median	0.02079365			
					Mean	0.10416502			
ld mining near	106 silt loam-ver	6.4 13g/kg	growing seasol soybeans	Glycine max	12.3	0.11603774 Pierzy	nski '9	greenhouse AAS	Article gives uptake in terms of mg/pot but this is different than what I calc
d mining near	114 silt loam-ver	1 6.4 13g/kg	growing seasol soybeans	Glycine max	13.8	0.12105263 Pierzy	nski '9	greenhouse AAS	Article gives uptake in terms of mg/pot but this is different than what I cale
d mining near	109 silt loam-ver	1 6.4 13g/kg	growing seasol soybeans	Glycine max		•		•	Article gives uptake in terms of mg/pot but this is different than what I cale
ld mining near	101 silt loam-ver	1 6.4 13g/kg	growing seasol soybeans	Glycine max	16.8	0.16633663 Pierzy	nski '9	greenhouse AAS	Article gives uptake in terms of mg/pot but this is different than what I cale
ld mining near	102 silt loam-ver	6.4 13g/kg	growing seasol soybeans	Glycine max		0.18137255 Pierzy		•	Article gives uptake in terms of mg/pot but this is different than what I cale
ld mining near	95 silt loam-ver		growing seasor soybeans	Glycine max		0.18736842 Pierzy		•	Article gives uptake in terms of mg/pot but this is different than what I calc
ld mining near	98 silt loam-ver		growing seasor soybeans	Glycine max		0.19183673 Pierzy		-	Article gives uptake in terms of mg/pot but this is different than what I cald
ld mining near	102 silt loam-ver	℃ 6.4 13g/kg	growing seasol soybeans	Glycine max		0.20882353 Pierzy	/nski '9	greenhouse AAS	Article gives uptake in terms of mg/pot but this is different than what I cal
					Median Mean	0.17385459 0.16609894			
					Weari	0.10003034			
st plot	36 73 Mg/ha bio	7.3 Not provided	4 yr application Spinach	Bloomsdale l	k 7	0.19444444 Dixon	"95	Field Flame AAS	S Histogram
st plot	38 146 Mg/ha	7 Not provided	4 yr application Spinach	Bloomsdale I	k 8.5	0.22368421 Dixon	"95	Field Flame AAS	S Histogram
/ ash	0.8 unknown	? not provided	growing seasol spinach	not provided	0.18	0.225 Barma	an '99	field AAS	No information on pH, O.C, veg species, but samples are collocated.
st plot	21 silt loam	6.8 Not provided	4 yr application Spinach	Bloomsdale I		0.22857143 Dixon	"95	Field Flame AAS	S Histogram
					Median				
					Mean	0.21792502			
est plot	31 silt loam	6.8 Not provided	4 yr application Squash	Cucurbita pe	μ 0.6	0.01935484 Dixon	"95	Field Flame AA	S Histogram
est plot	63 146 Mg/ha	7 Not provided	4 yr application Squash	Cucurbita pe	μ 3	0.04761905 Dixon	"95	Field Flame AAS	S Histogram
st plot	56 73 Mg/ha bid	7.3 Not provided	4 yr application Squash	Cucurbita pe	μ 6	0.10714286 Dixon	"95	Field Flame AAS	S Histogram
						0.04761905			
					Mean	0.05803891			
st plot	63 146 Mg/ha	•	4 yr application Sweet corn	Zea mays		0.03492063 Dixon			S Histogram
est plot	-	•	4 yr application Sweet corn	Zea mays		0.04464286 Dixon			S Histogram
est plot	31 silt loam	6.8 Not provided	4 yr application Sweet corn	Zea mays		0.40322581 Dixon	"95	Field Flame AAS	S Histogram
						0.04464286			
					Mean	0.16092977			
ix of mine taili	6300 mine spoils		growing seasol tomato	Lycopersicor				greenhous€ AAS	50% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
-Viro	182.6 biosolids	8.1 Not given	Growing seaso Tomato	not provided		0.00142388 Logan			S 1993 data
-Viro	72.5 biosolids	8.4 Not given	Growing seaso Tomato	not provided		0.00358621 Logan			S 1994 data
ix of mine taili	60.9 native soils	6.8 12 g/Kg	growing seasor tomato	Lycopersicor		0.00761905 Cobb '		greenhouse AAS	control but otherwise the treatment are a percetage of mine tailings
ontrol	27.8 silt loam	7.1 Not given	Growing seaso Tomato	not provided		0.00935252 Logan			S 1993 data
l-Viro	43.9 biosolids	8.1 Not given	Growing seaso Tomato	not provided		0.02505695 Logan			S 1995 data
ontrol	10.2 silt loam	6.8 Not given	Growing seaso Tomato	not provided		0.06862745 Logan			S 1994 data
ontrol	12.4 silt loam	6.8 Not given	Growing seaso Tomato	not provided	0.91 Modian	0.0733871 Logan 0.00848578	1 9/	field Flame AAS	S 1995 data
					Mean Mean	0.00848578 0.02363873			
	0.0 !	0 '.' '					100	£-14 AAO	No information on all O.O. company is also as a second sec
ly ash	0.6 unknown	? not provided	growing seasor turnip	not provided	0.36	0.6 Barma	an 99	field AAS	No information on pH, O.C, veg species, but samples are collocated.

LEAD PLANT UPTAKE DERIVATION
Common Species Plant Uptake Citation Field or po Analytical Notes

Soil conc. soil type pH O.C. (mg/Kg)

Form

Exposure

name

method

tissue factor

0.15 mean of means Nickel PUF Documentation under review

PCB PLANT UPTAKE FACTOR DERIVATION

Chemical	Form	Soil conc. (mg/Kg)	soil type	рН	o.c.	Exposure	Common name	Species	Plant tissue	Uptake factor	Citation	Field or pot	Analytical method	Notes
DOD OFO	معمال سامعه					Crawing and				4 5	Cullan IOC	Tiold.	CC FCD	No soil and plant source just LIF
PCB 052	small plots	•	np	np	np	Growing seas.	carrots	np	np	1.5	Cullen '96	Field		No soil and plant concs just UF
PCB 052	small plots	•	np	np	np	Growing seas.	lettuce	np	np	6	Cullen '96	Field		No soil and plant concs just UF
PCB 052	small plots	np	np	np	np	Growing seas.	potatoes	np	np	0.29	Cullen '96	Field	GC-ECD	No soil and plant concs just UF
PCB 052	small plots	np	np	np	np	Growing seas.	tomatoes	np	np	0.64	Cullen '96	Field	GC-ECD	No soil and plant concs just UF
		np												
PCB 101	small plots	np	np	np	np	Growing seas.	carrots	np	np	0.35	Cullen '96	Field	GC-ECD	No soil and plant concs just UF
PCB 101	small plots	np	np	np	np	Growing seas.	lettuce	np	np	1.5	Cullen '96	Field	GC-ECD	No soil and plant concs just UF
PCB 101	small plots	np	np	np	np	Growing seas.	potatoes	np	np	0.01	Cullen '96	Field	GC-ECD	No soil and plant concs just UF
PCB 101	small plots	np	np	np	np	Growing seas.	tomatoes	np	np	0.23	Cullen '96	Field	GC-ECD	No soil and plant concs just UF
PCB 138	small plots	np	np	np	np	Growing seas.	carrots	np	np	0.38	Cullen '96	Field	GC-ECD	No soil and plant concs just UF
PCB 138		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	1.1				No soil and plant cones just UF
	small plots	•	np	np	np	Growing seas.	lettuce	np	np		Cullen '96	Field		
PCB 138	small plots	•	np	np	np	Growing seas.	potatoes	np	np	0.17	Cullen '96	Field		No soil and plant concs just UF
PCB 138	small plots	np	np	np	np	Growing seas.	tomatoes	np	np	0.15	Cullen '96	Field	GC-ECD	No soil and plant concs just UF
PCB 153	small plots	np	np	np	np	Growing seas.	carrots	np	np	0.28	Cullen '96	Field	GC-ECD	No soil and plant concs just UF
PCB 153	small plots	•	np	np	np	Growing seas.	lettuce	np	np	0.74	Cullen '96	Field		No soil and plant concs just UF
PCB 153	small plots	•	np	np	np	Growing seas.	potatoes	np	np	0.08	Cullen '96	Field		No soil and plant concs just UF
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•						
PCB 153	small plots	np	np	np	np	Growing seas.	tomatoes	np	np	0.01	Cullen '96	Field	GC-ECD	No soil and plant concs just UF

Median 0.32 Mean 0.83938

np = not provided

ZINC PLANT UPTAKE DERIVATION

Form	Soil conc. soil type	pH O.C.	Exposure	Common	Species	Plant	Uptake	Citation	Field or not	Analytical	Notes
TOIM	(mg/Kg)	pri 0.0.	Exposure	name	Opecies		factor	Oltation	r leid or pot	method	Notes
N-Viro	242 biosolids	8.1 Not given	Growing season	Bean	not provided	34	0.1404958	7 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	1993 data
mix of mine tai		6.8 12 g/Kg	growing season	bean	Phaseolus vulgaris	14.1			greenhouse	AAS	Control but otherwise the treatment are a percetage of mine tailings
N-Viro	183 biosolids	8.1 Not given	Growing season	Bean	not provided	68		7 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	
control	92 silt loam	7.1 Not given	Growing season	Bean	not provided	43		3 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	
test plot	90 146 Mg/ha	6.9 Not provided	, ,,	Green beans	Phaseolus vulgaris	46			Field	Flame AAS	· ·
control	93 silt loam	6.8 Not given	Growing season	Bean	not provided	74		-	field	Flame AAS	
control	44 silt loam	6.8 Not provided	no sludge	Green beans	Phaseolus vulgaris	53			Field	Flame AAS	Histogram
						Median	0.4673913				
						Mean	0.5265497	3			
N-Viro	227 biosolids	8.4 Not given	Growing season	Cabbage	not provided	26	0.1145374	4 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	1994 data
N-Viro	183 biosolids	8.1 Not given	Growing season	Cabbage	not provided	24		-	field	Flame AAS	1995 data
N-Viro	242 biosolids	8.1 Not given	Growing season	Cabbage	not provided	32	0.1322314	4 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	1993 data
control	93 silt loam	6.8 Not given	Growing season	Cabbage	not provided	19		-	field	Flame AAS	1995 data
control	92 silt loam	7.1 Not given	Growing season	Cabbage	not provided	28	0.30434783	3 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	1993 data
control	81 silt loam	6.8 Not given	Growing season	Cabbage	not provided	25	0.30864198	B Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	1994 data
fly ash	6.2 unknown	? not provided	growing season	cabbage	not provided	11.52	1.85806452	2 Barman '99	field	AAS	No information on pH, O.C, veg species, but samples are collocated.
·		·			,	Median	0.2043010	В			
						Mean	0.4361816	8			
N-Viro	227 biosolids	8.4 Not given	Growing season	Carrot	not provided	17	0.0748898	7 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	1994 data
N-Viro	183 biosolids	8.1 Not given	Growing season	Carrot	not provided	22		-	field	Flame AAS	
N-Viro	242 biosolids	8.1 Not given	Growing season	Carrot	not provided	32		4 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	
control	93 silt loam	6.8 Not given	Growing season	Carrot	not provided	23		-	field	Flame AAS	
control	81 silt loam	6.8 Not given	Growing season	Carrot	not provided	21		-	field	Flame AAS	
control	92 silt loam	7.1 Not given	Growing season	Carrot	not provided	48		3 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	
fly ash	0.4 unknown	? not provided	•	carrot	not provided	13.32		3 Barman '99	field	AAS	No information on pH, O.C, veg species, but samples are collocated.
ny don	O.4 dilidiowii	. Hot provided	growing occoon	odirot	not provided	Median	0.2473118		noid	7010	The information on pri, o.e., veg species, but sumples are consocied.
						Mean	4.9508071				
toot plat	100 110 Ma/ha	C O Not provided		Irala	Brassica frimbriata	49	0.2002	5 Dixon "95	Field.	Flore AAC	Historyes
test plot	160 146 Mg/ha 45 silt loam	6.9 Not provided6.8 Not provided		kale kale	Brassica frimbriata	49 75			Field Field	Flame AAS Flame AAS	•
control mix of mine tai		•	growing season	lettuce	Latuca sativa	14.8			greenhouse	AAS	50% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
mix of mine tai	•		growing season	lettuce	Latuca sativa Latuca sativa	34.6			greenhouse	AAS	100% treatment of mine tailings
mix of mine tai			growing season	lettuce	Latuca sativa Latuca sativa	34.9			greenhouse	AAS	75% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
mix of mine tai			growing season	lettuce	Latuca sativa Latuca sativa	21.5			greenhouse	AAS	25% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
mix of mine tai	•		growing season	lettuce	Latuca sativa	5.47			greenhouse	AAS	Control but otherwise the treatment are a percetage of mine tailings
control	45 silt loam	6.8 Not provided		lettuce	Latuca sativa	7.5			Field	Flame AAS	· · ·
N-Viro	227 biosolids	8.4 Not given	Growing season	Lettuce	not provided	44		6 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	
test plot	160 146 Mg/ha	6.9 Not provided		lettuce	Latuca sativa	48		3 Dixon "95	Field	Flame AAS	
N-Viro	183 biosolids	8.1 Not given	Growing season	Lettuce	not provided	55			field	Flame AAS	•
N-Viro	242 biosolids	8.1 Not given	Growing season	Lettuce	not provided	75		Ü	field	Flame AAS	
control	92 silt loam	7.1 Not given	Growing season	Lettuce	not provided	39		-	field	Flame AAS	
control	93 silt loam	6.8 Not given	Growing season	Lettuce	not provided	45		-	field	Flame AAS	
control	81 silt loam	6.8 Not given	Growing season	Lettuce	not provided	104			field	Flame AAS	1994 data
fly ash	2.8 unknown	? not provided	growing season	lettuce	not provided	16.74	5.97857143	3 Barman '99	field	AAS	No information on pH, O.C, veg species, but samples are collocated.
•		•	-		•	Median	0.3002732	2			
						Mean	0.7200345	1			
fly ash	10.2 unknown	? not provided	growing season	pea	not provided	18.62	1.8254902	2 Barman '99	field	AAS	No information on pH, O.C, veg species, but samples are collocated.
N-Viro	242 biosolids	8.1 Not given	Growing season	Potato	not provided	19	0.078512	4 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	1993 data
N-Viro	227 biosolids	8.4 Not given	Growing season	Potato	not provided	22		3 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	
N-Viro	183 biosolids	8.1 Not given	Growing season	Potato	not provided	21		1 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	
control	92 silt loam	7.1 Not given	Growing season	Potato	not provided	18		-	field	Flame AAS	
control	93 silt loam	6.8 Not given	Growing season	Potato	not provided	20		-	field	Flame AAS	
control	81 silt loam	6.8 Not given	Growing season	Potato	not provided	23		-	field	Flame AAS	
33711101	or sincidani	3.0 140t givon	5.5Wing 5643011	· oldio	providou	Median	0.15520314	-		. Jame AAO	100 i data
						Mean	0.16413989				
						INICALI	0.1041390	•			

ZINC PLANT UPTAKE DERIVATION

Form	Soil conc. soil type	pH O.C.	Exposure	Common	Species	Plant	Uptake	Citation	Field or pot	Analytical	Notes
	(mg/Kg)			name		tissue	factor			method	
mix of mine tai	ilir 5250 mine spoils	6.4 11 g/Kg	growing season	radish	Raphanaus sativas	230	0.0438095	2 Cobb '00	greenhouse	AAS	100% treatment of mine tailings
mix of mine tai		6.4 11 g/Kg	growing season	radish	Raphanaus sativas	214			greenhouse	AAS	50% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
mix of mine tai		6.4 11 g/Kg	growing season	radish	Raphanaus sativas	152			greenhouse	AAS	25% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
mix of mine tai	•		growing season	radish	Raphanaus sativas	660			greenhouse	AAS	75% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
mix of mine tai		6.8 12 g/Kg	growing season	radish	Raphanaus sativas	23.0		7 Cobb '00	areenhouse	AAS	Control but otherwise the treatment are a percetage of mine tailings
fly ash	12.4 unknown	? not provided		turnip	not provided	16.02		8 Barman '99	field	AAS	No information on pH, O.C, veg species, but samples are collocated.
fly ash	5.8 unknown	? not provided	0 0	radish	not provided	35.82		7 Barman '99	field	AAS	No information on pH, O.C, veg species, but samples are collocated.
,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		g g			Median					
						Mean	1.1612280				
											different treatments such as limestone, limestone suspension, cattle manure, etc for
old mining nea		0 0	growing season	soybeans	Glycine max	338		6 Pierzynski '93	•	AAS	each uptake factor.
old mining nea		0 0	growing season	soybeans	Glycine max	584		2 Pierzynski '93	Ü	AAS	Article gives uptake in terms of mg/pot but this is different than what I calculate
old mining nea			growing season	soybeans	Glycine max	649		2 Pierzynski '93	•	AAS	Article gives uptake in terms of mg/pot but this is different than what I calculate
old mining nea			growing season	soybeans	Glycine max	75		4 Pierzynski '93	-	AAS	Article gives uptake in terms of mg/pot but this is different than what I calculate
old mining nea			growing season	soybeans	Glycine max	779		4 Pierzynski '93	-	AAS	Article gives uptake in terms of mg/pot but this is different than what I calculate
old mining nea			growing season	soybeans	Glycine max	872		6 Pierzynski '93	-	AAS	Article gives uptake in terms of mg/pot but this is different than what I calculate
old mining nea			growing season	soybeans	Glycine max	923		9 Pierzynski '93	-	AAS	Article gives uptake in terms of mg/pot but this is different than what I calculate
old mining nea	art 1015 silt loam-ver	℃ 6.4 13g/kg	growing season	soybeans	Glycine max	115		9 Pierzynski '93	greenhouse	AAS	Article gives uptake in terms of mg/pot but this is different than what I calculate
						Median Mean	0.7802225 0.7531245				
						Weari	0.7331243	2			
test plot	160 146 Mg/ha	6.9 Not provided	4 yr application	Spinach	Bloomsdale long stand	liı 7	5 0.4687	5 Dixon "95	Field	Flame AAS	Histogram
control	45 silt loam	6.8 Not provided	no sludge	Spinach	Bloomsdale long stand	lii 12	5 2.7777777	8 Dixon "95	Field	Flame AAS	Histogram
fly ash	5.8 unknown	? not provided	growing season	spinach	not provided	31.4	4 5.4206896	6 Barman '99	field	AAS	No information on pH, O.C, veg species, but samples are collocated.
						Median	2.7777777	8			
						Mean	2.8890724	8			
test plot	90 146 Mg/ha	6.9 Not provided	4 vr application	Squash	Cucurbita pepo	58	8 0 6444444	4 Dixon "95	Field	Flame AAS	Histogram
control	44 silt loam	6.8 Not provided		Squash	Cucurbita pepo	62		1 Dixon "95	Field	Flame AAS	ů
CONTROL	44 5111 104111	0.0 Not provided	no sidage	Squasii	Сисигына реро	Median			i ieiu	I lattle AAS	Histografii
						Mean	1.0267676				
						Wicali	1.0207070	0			
test plot	90 146 Mg/ha	6.9 Not provided	4 yr application	Sweet corn	Zea mays	110	0 1.222222	2 Dixon "95	Field	Flame AAS	Histogram
control	44 silt loam	6.8 Not provided	no sludge	Sweet corn	Zea mays	9	5 2.1590909	1 Dixon "95	Field	Flame AAS	Histogram
						Median	1.6906565	7			
						Mean	1.6906565	7			
mix of mine tai	iliı 1740 mine spoils	6.4 11 g/Kg	growing season	tomato	Lycopersicon esculent	tı 13.4	4 0.0077011	5 Cobb '00	greenhouse	AAS	25% treatment of mine tailings and native soil
mix of mine tai		6.8 12 g/Kg	growing season	tomato	Lycopersicon esculent			4 Cobb '00	greenhouse	AAS	Control but otherwise the treatment are a percetage of mine tailings
N-Viro	242 biosolids	8.1 Not given	Growing season	Tomato	not provided	34.0			field	Flame AAS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
control	92 silt loam	7.1 Not given	Growing season	Tomato	not provided	4:		3 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	
N-Viro	183 biosolids	8.1 Not given	Growing season	Tomato	not provided	90		8 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	
control	93 silt loam	6.8 Not given	Growing season	Tomato	not provided	5		3 Logan 97	field	Flame AAS	
N-Viro	227 biosolids	8.4 Not given	Growing season	Tomato	not provided	279		9 Logan 97	field	Flame AAS	
control	81 silt loam	6.8 Not given	Growing season	Tomato	not provided	1340		9 Logan 97 9 Logan '97	field	Flame AAS	
COLLIGI	o i Siil iUaiii	J.O INOL GIVEII	Citiving Season	TUTTALU	not provided	Median		-	HEIU	i iaiiie AAS	1007 data
						Mean	2.444871				
			1.5	2 Mean of mea	ns	7	£1770/ I	_			
					-	_					

Resident - Soil: Table RS-6 Homegrown Produce Ingestion Rate

Data on mean produce ingestion rates (wet weight, ww) in the Northeast was obtained from the 1994-1996 Continuing Survey of Food Intakes by Individuals (USDA). Data for both genders were used for children under 6, while data for males was used for individuals 6 and older. The mean ingestion rates presented in the survey represent the arithmetic average of all individuals surveyed, regardless of whether or not they had consumed the produce item (e.g., an individual that did not consume the produce item was assigned a rate of 0 g/day). To determine the mean ingestion rate for individuals who ate each produce item, the ingestion rate for all individuals (consumers and nonconsumers) was divided by the percentage of individuals who ate the item (Table RS-6A). These mean ingestion rates for the produce consumers were summed to determine the total produce ingestion rate for each age-group and converted to dry weight assuming the produce items were all 90% water.

To convert mean ingestion rates for the age-groups studied in the survey to age-groups used in risk calculations, each age-group ingestion rate from the survey (i.e., 1 - 2 year olds, 3 - 5 year olds, 6 - 11 year olds, 12 - 19 year olds, and 20 - 39 year olds) was weighted according to the number of years spent in the risk calculation age group (i.e., 1 - 8 year olds, 8 - 15 year olds, and 15 - 31 year olds) (Table RS-6B). It was assumed that 25% of produce ingested was home-grown (Table RS-6C).

Table RS-6A

		White Potatoes			Dark-green vegetab	oles		Deep-yellow vegeta	bles
Age-groups studied	Ingestion Rate for All	% of individuals that consumed	Ingestion Rate for Consumers	Ingestion Rate for All	% of individuals that consumed	Ingestion Rate for Consumers	Ingestion Rate for All	% of individuals that consumed	Ingestion Rate for Consumers
in survey	g/d (ww)	item.	g/d (ww)	g/d (ww)	item.	g/d (ww)	g/d (ww)	item.	g/d (ww)
1-2	28	40.3	69.5	6	10.1	59.4	5	12.7	39.4
3-5	30	37.1	80.9	5	6.5	76.9	7	12.7	55.1
6-11	47	44.2	106.3	6	9.1	65.9	2	8.5	23.5
12-19	59	40.3	146.4	2	2.3	87.0	11	15.8	69.6
20-39	76	45.1	168.5	25	14.7	170.1	4	5.7	70.2

		Tomatoes			Lettuce			Green Beans	
Age-groups studied	Ingestion Rate for All	% of individuals that consumed	Ingestion Rate for Consumers	Ingestion Rate for All	% of individuals that consumed	Ingestion Rate for Consumers	Ingestion Rate for All	% of individuals that consumed	Ingestion Rate for Consumers
in survey	g/d (ww)	item.	g/d (ww)	g/d (ww)	item.	g/d (ww)	g/d (ww)	item.	g/d (ww)
1-2	10	27.9	35.8	1	6	16.7	7	12.1	57.9
3-5	10	37.1	27.0	4	14	28.6	3	5.7	52.6
6-11	20	42	47.6	8	14.9	53.7	1	2	50.0
12-19	29	45.2	64.2	19	28.7	66.2	2	2.4	83.3
20-39	48	50.9	94.3	18	29.6	60.8	4	3.7	108.1

Table RS-6A (continued)

15 of 19 Sheet: Produce assump-1

	Corn, Green peas, Lima beans Melons, berries						Totals	Totals
	Ingestion		Ingestion	Ingestion		Ingestion	Wet Weight	Dry Weight
Age-groups studied	Rate for	% of individuals	Rate for	Rate for	% of individuals	Rate for	WWI	DWI
	All	that consumed	Consumers	All	that consumed	Consumers		
in survey	g/d (ww)	item.	g/d (ww)	g/d (ww)	item.	g/d (ww)	g/day	g/day
1-2	12	15	80.0	7	9	77.8	436.4	43.6
3-5	14	21.7	64.5	14	11.6	120.7	506.3	50.6
6-11	9	13.6	66.2	5	5.9	84.7	498.0	49.8
12-19	14	9.9	141.4	17	5	340.0	998.1	99.8
20-39	12	7.3	164.4	6	4.5	133.3	969.7	97.0

Table RS-6B

	Years spent	Years spent	Years spent
Age-groups studied	in age-group for	in age-group for	in age-group for
in survey	1-8 year old	8-15 year old	15-31 year old
1-2	2		
3-5	3		
6-11	2	4	
12-19		3	4
20-39			12
	7	7	16

Table RS-6C

	Produce Intake, dry weight									
	Child	Adult								
	1-2 years	1-8 years	8-15 years	15-31						
	g/day	g/day	g/day	g/day						
All Produce:	43.6	48.4	71.2	97.7						
Homegrown:	10.9	24.4								

16 of 19 Sheet: Produce assump-1

Homegrown Produce Ingestion Assumptions

Mean quantities of produce consumed per individual for Northeast Region in 1-day Percentages of individuals consuming

Mean quantities of produce consumed for "consumers only"

1994-1996 Continuing Survey of Food Intakes by Individuals, USDA

						White Potat	oes	D	ark-green veg	etables	Deep-	yellow vege	etables
	ı	EP ₁₋₈	EP ₈₋₁₈	EP ₁₈₋₃₁	IR_{ww}	%C		IR_{ww}	%C		IR_{ww}	%C	
AGE					g/d	%	g/d	g/d	%	g/d	g/d	%	g/d
	3	/ears	years	years		consume	consumer only		consume	consumer only		consume	consumer only
Males & Females													
1-2		2			28	40.3	69.5	(3 10.1	59.4	5	12.7	39.4
3-5		3			30	37.1	80.9	į.	6.5	76.9	7	12.7	55.1
Males													
6-11		2	4		47	44.2	106.3	(9.1	65.9	2	8.5	23.5
12-19			6	1	59	40.3	146.4	2	2 2.3	87.0	11	15.8	69.6
20-39				12	76	45.1	168.5	2	5 14.7	170.1	4	5.7	70.2
_	ED:	7	10	13									

	Produce Intake, dry weight					
	Child	Child	Adult			
	1-8 years	8-18 years	18-31			
	g/day	g/day	g/day			
All Produce:	48.4	79.8	97.2			
Homegrown Produce:	12.1	20.0	24.3			

	Percent of Produce							
	Assumed to be Homegrown:							
	%HG =	25 %						
ı	/61 IG =	23 /0						

Percent Moisture of P	roduce:
%M =	90 %

Homegrown Produce Assumptions

Homegrown Produce Assumptions	•	Produce							
	Average Body Weigh	Intake Rate	Epos Frequ		Exposure Period EP	Averaging Period AP	Conversion Constant	Conversion Constant	
	BW	PIR	EF1	EF2			C1	C2	
	kg	g/day	days/week	weeks/year	years	years	days/year	g/kg	
Noncancer Risk									Average Daily
Receptor:									Produce Intake
Resident, Age 1-8									Rate
Age 1-	8 17.0	12.1		7 52	7		7 365	1000	1/day
Receptor Total									7.10E-04
Cancer Risk									Lifetime
Receptor:									Average Daily
Resident, Age 1-31									Produce Intake
Age 1-	8 17.0	12.1		7 52	7				Rate
Age 8-1		20.0		7 52	10				1/day
Age 18-3	58.7	24.3		7 52	13				
Receptor Total					30	7	70 365	1000	2.19E-04

		Toma	atoes		Let	tuce		Green	Beans	Cor	n, Green pe	as, Lima beans		Melons, ber	ries	Totals	Totals	
1	R_{ww}	%C		IR_{ww}	%C		IR_{ww}	%C		IR_{ww}	%C		IR_{ww}	%C		Wet Weight	Ory Weight	
	g/d	%	g/d	g/d	%	g/d	g/d	%	g/d	g/d	%	g/d	g/d	%	g/d	WWI	DWI	AGE
	C	consume	consumer only		consume	consumer only		consume	consumer only		consume	consumer only		consume	consumer only	g/day	g/day	
T																		Males & Females
	10	27.9	35.8	1	6	16.7	7	12.1	57.9	12	15	80.0	7	9	77.8	436.4	43.6	1-2
	10	37.1	27.0	4	14	28.6	3	5.7	52.6	14	21.7	64.5	14	11.6	120.7	506.3	50.6	3-5
																		Males
	20	42	47.6	8	14.9	53.7	1	2	50.0	9	13.6	66.2	5	5.9	84.7	498.0	49.8	6-11
	29	45.2	64.2	19	28.7	66.2	2 2	2.4	83.3	14	9.9	141.4	17	5	340.0	998.1	99.8	12-19
	48	50.9	94.3	18	29.6	60.8	3 4	3.7	108.1	12	7.3	164.4	6	4.5	133.3	969.7	97.0	20-39

Homegrown Produce Ingestion Assumptions

This table presents exposure factors for evaluating residential consumption of homegrown produce containing chemical contaminants.

These factors are receptor-specific and apply regardless of contaminant of concern.

These values are then used in combination with chemical-specific factors to calculate risks from consuming homegrown produce.

Homegrown Produce Ingestion Assumptions

		Averaging Period AP years	Average Body Weight kg	Conversion Constant C1 kg/g
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,	<u></u>	
Chronic Noncancer Risk				
Receptor:				
Child, 1-8	7	7	16.8	1.0E-03
Cancer Risk				
Receptor:				
Child, 1-8	7		16.8	
Older Child, 8-15	7		39.7	
Adult, 15-30	16		54.2	
Receptor Total:	30	70		1.0E-03

Average Daily Intake of Produce from all sources, as consumed (wet weight)

	Child, 1-8 der Child, 8-Adult, 15-30						
	g/day	g/day	g/day				
White Potato	84.89	123.51	161.60				
Dark-green	68.78	74.94	144.10				
Deep-yellow	41.59	43.28	70.00				
Tomatoes	35.40	54.71	84.88				
Lettuce	32.35	59.05	62.50				
Green Beans	53.37	64.29	100.37				
Corn, Green Peas, Lima E	69.41	98.42	157.21				
Melons and Berries	98.16	194.14	197.92				

Average Daily Intake of Homegrown Produce, dry weight

		•	, ,
	Child, 1-8	der Child, 8-A	Adult, 15-30
	g/day	g/day	g/day
White Potato	2.1	3.1	4.0
Dark-green	1.7	1.9	3.6
Deep-yellow	1.0	1.1	1.8
Tomatoes	0.9	1.4	2.1
Lettuce	0.8	1.5	1.6
Green Beans	1.3	1.6	2.5
Corn, Green Peas, Lima E	1.7	2.5	3.9
Melons and Berries	2.5	4.9	4.9
SUM all homegrown produ	12.1	17.8	24.5