

Untitled  
COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND ENERGY

D. T. E. No. 99-99

REQUEST: Subpoena Duces Tecum

DATED: February 24, 2000

Question 1: Did the respondent named in this subpoena approach any other chapter 159 carrier with an offer to "sell" or otherwise convey an NXX? If the answer is affirmative, state the reasons why you are currently in possession of an NXX code(s) that you have not activated and/or no longer need for the purpose for which the code (s) was certified and assigned in the 508, 617, 781 and/or 978 NPAs.

REPLY: AT&T has not approached any other chapter 159 carrier with an offer to "sell" or otherwise convey an NXX.

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS  
DEPARTMENT OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND ENERGY

D. T. E. No. 99-99

REQUEST: Subpoena Duces Tecum

DATED: February 24, 2000

Question 2: If the respondent named in this subpoena was approached in the manner described in Question 1, please so state. If the answer is affirmative, state the name of the carrier that made the offer to "sell" or convey an NXX and describe, in detail, the surrounding circumstances of that event.

REPLY: Not applicable. AT&T has not been approached in the manner described in Question 1.

Untitled

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

DEPARTMENT OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND ENERGY

D. T. E. No. 99-99

REQUEST: Subpoena Duces Tecum

DATED: February 24, 2000

Question 3: If the respondent named in this subpoena is currently in possession of an NXX code(s) not activated as of the date of this subpoena and/or no longer needed for the purpose for which the code(s) was certified and assigned in the 617, 508, 781 and/or 978 NPAs, state the number of such codes in your possession.

REPLY: Not applicable. AT&T is not currently in possession of any NXX codes which were not activated as of the date of this subpoena and which are no longer needed for the purpose for which the code(s) was certified and assigned in the 617, 508, 781 and/or 978 NPAs.