

## **Proposed Hammond Pond Access Improvements**



#### **DCR Public Meeting #3** 6:30 pm – 8:00 pm October 24, 2011 Newton City Hall Newton, Massachusetts





## **Commonwealth of Massachusetts**

Governor Deval L. Patrick

Lieutenant Governor Timothy Murray

Energy and Environmental Secretary Richard K. Sullivan, Jr.

Department of Conservation and Recreation Commissioner Edward M. Lambert, Jr.



# **DCR Mission Statement**

To protect, promote and enhance our common wealth of natural, cultural and recreational resources.

# **Project History - Public Private Partnership**

- **Early 2010** Michael Rudyak Memorial Fund approached DCR with a vision to improve access to Hammond Pond by funding the installation of a continuous path along the shoreline
- **Spring 2010** DCR recommended that the Memorial Fund retain the services of a design professional to refine concept and commence with outreach to the City of Newton and community stakeholders
- **2010-2011** Building on the foundation of conceptual work done by Bioengineering Group Inc., DCR's Partnership Matching Funds Program joined with the Memorial Fund to support a feasibility study for a final scope



# Goals and Objectives of Pond Access Improvements

- 1. Identify potential solutions to enhance the ecological function and biodiversity of the Pond and shoreline area
- 2. Identify methods for water quality remediation
- 3. Use sound ecological decisions to promote Low Impact Design stormwater management
- 4. Improve and increase access to water to maximize public enjoyment of Hammond Pond for fishing, passive boating, and viewing
- 5. Enhance accessibility on existing trails on DCR land



# **Partnership Matching Funds Project Support**



Michael Rudyak Memorial Fund <u>\$22,500</u>

Feasibility Study Total Funding \$45,000



# **Purpose of Meeting**

- Present results of the feasibility study, including proposals for addressing the short- and long-term requirements for ecological improvements to Hammond Pond and its adjacent DCR parkland
- Present preferred design plan for enhanced public access, based on results of feasibility study and public comments
- Review project schedule
- Obtain public consensus on advanced design and implementation plan







# **DCR Public Process for Hammond Pond Project**

#### **Public Meeting #1 - March 10**

- Discuss and obtain public input on proposal to enhance public access around Hammond Pond and to identify solutions for its improved ecological function
- Present information about public private partnership

#### **Public Meeting # 2 – July 7**

- Present preliminary findings of feasibility Study
- Present conceptual design plan, factoring in comments received
- Obtain comments on conceptual design plans and collaborate on components of preliminary design
- Review permitting process

#### Public Meeting # 3 - October 24

- Present DRAFT feasibility study and preferred design
- Obtain public consensus on advanced design and implementation plan

#### **Public Meeting # 4 - Late Winter 2012**

• Present final design and construction plans



## Michael Rudyak Memorial Fund - Hammond Pond Consultant/Design Team

• Bioengineering Group –

Lead consultant. Award-winning multidisciplinary firm hired by the Fund to ensure design is sensitive to its environmental location.

• Carol R. Johnson Associates –

Nationally-acclaimed landscape architecture firm that has designed many trails adjacent to rivers and ponds.

#### • Bourne Consulting Engineering –

Professional engineering service firm specializing in waterfront engineering projects.



Restored Planting - Fresh Pond



**Overlook** - Upper Charles



New Docks - Charles River



# **Feasibility Study Goals**

- Identify potential solutions to enhance ecological function of the pond and shoreline
- Identify methods for water quality improvement
- Identify low impact design options for stormwater management in partnership with MassDOT studies.
- Identify areas where public access should and should not be encouraged along the shoreline and within the pond

# **Feasibility Study Approach / Process**

### Task 1

- Inventory existing resource conditions
- Define problems and opportunities

## Task 2

- Develop analysis of existing conditions
- Evaluate effects of alternative plans on pond
- Compare alternatives for benefits, constraints and costs

### Task 3

• Select and develop the recommended plan



# der S MASSAGHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND RECREATION Task 1





# der S Analysis of Inventory Findings

- Invasive and nuisance species exist, mostly along southern pond banks requiring removal or containment
- Pond habitat/ecosystem in slow decline
- Sediment flows into Hammond Pond from Route 9, adjacent Chestnut Hill Mall and upslope areas
- Opportunities exist to add access, enhance connections to the pond and surrounding trails system







# **Soils Analysis**

- Soils are classified as Hollis, rock outcrop which originate from glacial till
- They are formed by weathering effects from the existing rock cliffs which create the sediments in the soil
- Soils are well drained and have a variable capacity for transmitting water
- Hollis soil changes from a fine sandy loam texture to a cobbly fine sandy loam texture at lower depths
- Unweathered bedrock usually begins at a depth of around 13 inches



## **100 Year Flood Map**







## Bordering Vegetated Wetland Plan





## **Pond Vegetation Plan**





## **Bathymetry – Water Depth**



Hammond Pond Newton, MA 1954 Bathymetric Map

1954 Water Depth (Ft)

........

້, ຳ ຳ ຳ ຳ ຄຳ ຄຳ ຄຳ 75 100 ■\_\_\_\_\_Meters Hammond Pond Newton, MA 2011 Bathymetric Map

2011

2011 Water Depth (Ft)

5 100 Meters

**1954** 



## **Bathymetry Water Depth and Sediment Accumulation Areas**





Hammond Pond Newton, MA Sediment Accumulation & Sampling Locations





# **Water Quality Testing**

- Maximum water depth has decreased from 9 feet in 1954 to approximately 8.8 feet in 2011 = 2.5 inches
- Sediment accumulation has resulted in a loss of approximately 1 million gallons of capacity since 1954, a 2.75% decrease
- In-lake nutrient concentrations are indicative of eutrophication which can lead to degraded water quality, excessive algal growth, and depleted dissolved oxygen



- Showed expected ranges of pH, turbidity, and dissolved oxygen for an urban pond in Massachusetts
- Wildlife and dog use of the shore/pond or may indicate a potential bacterial source from the stormwater outfall



# Task 2





## Pond Health and Access Benefits/Constraints

Provides shoreline buffer
Provides bird and fish wildlife habitat
Ecosystem education for visitors
Prevents direct water access
Provide bird and fish wildlife habitat
Increased eutrophication due to decaying plant
material
Prevent boating access
Prevent access to deeper water for fishing
Limit direct runoff into pond
Filter and treat captured storm water
Prevent boating access
Prevent access to deeper water for fishing

dct 🚱 MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF

#### Wetlands and Woods Surrounding Pond

#### **Existing Access Points**

## Pond Health and Access Benefits/Constraints

Provide shade and cooler water temps Provide buffer for pond from urban edge Provide high quality habitat area Provide ground water recharge Filter surface runoff Valuable aesthetic and recreational area Prevent views of pond Limit accessible water locations

Provide viewing points for pond Frequently used by visitors Safety and accessibility concerns Sediment and drainage issues Limited views of pond



## **Site Analysis**











# Task 3





## **Preferred Design Plan**





# Preferred Design Landside Walkway

## **Orientation Map**

Floating Walkways The Beach The Path to the Trailhead The Trailhead The Overlooks The Woodland Trail





## **Existing Condition** Material for Woodland Trail



- Firm footing in upland areas
- Generally wide enough for emergency vehicles
- Universally accessible slopes in most areas
- Good views into surrounding forest
- Soils compacted and surface eroded
- Wet footing in lower elevations
- Some areas not accessible by slope and/or surface



## **Preferred Design** Material for Woodland Trail

#### **Stabilized Aggregate**



- ADA Compliant material Provides natural appearance with visually consistent color
- Soft surface
- Pervious and suited to stormwater management goals
- Not appropriate for locations with poor drainage and slopes greater than 3%
- Difficult to maintain for use in winter months



- Pervious bituminous pavement
- Accessible route from the beach
- Reset and/or upgrade all furniture

## Preferred Design Material between Beach and Trailhead





## **Preferred Design Materials**

## **Woodland Trail**

Path Material Woodland Trail	Cost / SF	Pervious	Comments
Stabilized Aggregate (Base material included)	\$10 SF	Open Voids Between Particles	<ul> <li>ADA-Compliant material</li> <li>Provides natural appearance and soft surface for walking</li> <li>Not appropriate for locations with poor drainage and slopes greater than 3%</li> <li>Difficult to maintain for use in winter months</li> </ul>

## **Route from Beach to Trail Head**

Path Material Path to Trailhead	Cost / SF	Pervious	Comments
Pervious Asphalt (Base material included)	\$6.50 SF	Open Voids Between Particles	<ul> <li>Color matches existing parking lot color</li> <li>Rigid walking surface</li> <li>Requires periodic vacuuming</li> <li>Repairs by qualified installer</li> </ul>
ranite Curb ase material included) \$ 42 LF No		No	<ul> <li>Edge to contain pervious asphalt</li> <li>6 inch curb protects trailhead entry area from damage by cars</li> </ul>



## **Preferred Design** Floating Walkway

### **Operation Requirements & Issues**

- Loads:
  - Pedestrian load floats 40 psf
  - Wind/Ice lateral load
  - Mooring point loads
- Free board:  $12" \pm$
- Year round installation
- ADA compliance Universal access
- Railings/curbs Visual impacts
  - Geese control
- Maintenance requirements minimization



## **Preferred Design Floating Walkway**

#### Walkway Access - Typical



- Operating Range (ADA): +/-12 inches
- Concrete Abutment or Concrete Footings
- Timber Decked Aluminum Ramp
- Est. Max Deck Live Load 20 psf

NORTH END	25' ±	60' ±
MID POINT	12' ±	110' ±
PARKING LOT	30' ±	60' ±
SHOPPING CTR.	25' ±	60' ±



#### **Recommended Solution**

#### Framing

- Southern Yellow Pine

- Hot dipped galvanized hardware

#### Decking

- Tropical Hardwood Decking

#### Floatation

- Polyethylene encased foam filled units

#### **Anchor System**

- Helical Anchor



## **Preferred Design** Floating Walkway



## **Preferred Design Floating Walkway**

## **Float System Anchor Options**

## **Helical Anchor**

- Lifespan of chain ~10 yrs
- Machine required to install / remove
- Maintenance of chain required
- Stronger, resistant to movement







## **Preferred Design Floating Walkway**



## **Walkway Openings**

- Provide for Pond Surface Continuity for fish, amphibians and wildlife access
- Determination of Need and Location
- Widths Limited By Float Capacity



## **Existing Conditions Floating Walkway**





## **Preferred Design Floating Walkway**





## **Preferred Design** Floating Walkway

Floating Walkway	Cost	Pervious	Comments Walkway : 6 foot wide floats, Total 9750 SF Phase 1 = 650LF Phase 2 = 975LF 1625 LF of Float System Spacing assumed for cost estimation, actual to be derived in Final design
Timber Float System Timber Framing w/ hardwood deck PP encased foam filled Floats	\$50.00/ SF	Open Footprint	<ul><li>Easier to Repair</li><li>66% coverage</li></ul>
Helical Anchor Mooring System • 2 Per Location • 20' Spacing • 20' in length	\$1000/ Each	Permanent option	<ul> <li>Left in year round</li> <li>Requires mechanical installation</li> <li>Chain requires maintenance</li> <li>Higher capacity / Less bottom impact</li> </ul>

## **Potential Operations and Maintenance Tasks**

## Woodland Walkway

- Leaf or vegetative debris clean up
- Trail/surface material maintenance
- Trash/rubbish clean up
- Landscape maintenance
- Weeding
- Snow removal

## Water Walkway

- Maintaining structures
- Graffiti removal
- Wood structure moisture control
- Surface treatments, stains
- Wash/clean dirt, debris from deck surface
- Structural repair pontoon
- Structural repair wood
- Replace or reinforce materials
- Freezing moving or removing floating walkway



# **Short-Term** Recommendations for Restoration of Hammond Pond Water Quality

- **1.** Stabilize eroding slopes in woods with bioengineered methods.
- 2. Install infiltration trenches between rock climbing cliff and pond to capture sediment before it enters pond.
- 3. Repair the function of existing rain gardens.
- 4. Increase and maintain water depths greater than 4 feet deep, by adding flash boards at the pond outlet to raise the water elevation. Requires additional technical studies for feasibility.

# der Short Term Recommendations



Existing pipe and flashboards



Rain garden restoration example



# **Long – Term** Recommendations for Restoring Hammond Pond Water Quality

- 1. Hydro-raking of water lilies in water walkway location followed by periodic maintenance hydro-raking.
- 2. Dredge in shallow areas to increase water depth to 4 feet to prevent / limit the growth of water lilies.
- 3. Increase surface water flows into and/or out of Hammond by dredging sediments from Hammond Brook.
- 4. Increase surface water flows into and/or out of Hammond by removal of remnants of concrete dam across the brook and north of the pond.



# **Long – Term** Recommendations for Restoring Hammond Pond Water Quality

5. Construct a berm-contained settling basin and treatment wetland at the Route 9 stormwater outfall to function as a biological water filter.



Treatment Wetland Example



Settling Basin Example

# **Public Comments Received to Date**

- First step should be health of pond with emphasis on ecology
- Interest in improved access to pond
- Concerns with walkways affecting natural look of pond
- South side of site is a logical location for walkway
- Increased traffic around pond might upset pond ecosystem
- Concerns with parking lot runoff and storm drains
- Concerns with ecological impacts of dogs



## **Proposed Schedule**

**October 24** 

October 24 - November 7, 2011

Winter 2011

Winter 2011 - 2012

Late Winter 2012

Spring 2012

Public Meeting #3

Public Comment Period

Construction Documents - Phase 1 and 2

Permitting – Phase 1 and 2

Meeting #4 (Final Design and Construction Plans)

Bidding and Construction – Phase 1



## **Questions & Answers**



# **Additional Information**

Web:

http://www.mass.gov/dcr/news/publicmeetings/parklandspast.htm

If you have comments or suggestions: Phone: 617-626-4974 Email: <u>dcr.updates@state.ma.us</u> Write: Department of Conservation and Recreation, Office of Public Outreach, 251 Causeway Street, Suite 600, Boston, MA 02114

Note: Public comments submitted to DCR by email or letter will be posted on the DCR website in their entirety, and no content, including personal information, will be redacted.