## MASSACHUSETTS TRIAL COURT PUBLIC ACCESS TO COURT RECORDS COMMITTEE MEETING JUNE 15, 2015

Suffolk County Superior Courthouse
Three Pemberton Square
Boston, MA 02108.

Audio Recording Transcribed by HAYES COURT REPORTING 8200 Twelve Oaks Circle, No. 423, Naples, FL 34113 617.620.1599 www.hayesreporting.com

## Speakers

Name	Title	Agency	Pages
Scott Allen	Journalist	Globe's Spotlight Investigative Team	8-12
Adam Angione	Editor	Courthouse News Service	12-15
Aliana vonRichthofen	Litigant		15-20
Esme Caramello	Lawyer	Harvard Law School Clinical Program	20-25
Peter Caruso	Lawyer	Massachusetts Newspaper Publisher Association	25-29
Robert DeFabrizzio	Law Librarian	Association of Boston Law Librarians	29-31
Peter Elikann	Lawyer	Massachusetts Bar Association	31-35
Francisca Fajana	Lawyer	Massachusetts Law Reform Institute	35-39
George Gialtouridis	Owner	New England Risk Solutions	39-43
Lloyd Godson	Lawyer	Middlesex Bar Association	43-44
Lee Hammel	Reporter	Worcester Telegram and Gazette	44-47
John Hawkinson	Reporter	Cambridge Day	47-53

## Speakers (Continued)

Name	Title	Agency	Pages
Todd Kaplan	Lawyer	Greater Boston Legal Services	53-58
Melinda Kent	Research Manager	Harvard Law School Library	58-61
Patricia Levesh	Lawyer	Greater Boston Legal Services	62-67
Jeffrey Pyle	Lawyer	Prince, Lobel, Tye	67-72
Pauline Quirion	Lawyer	Greater Boston Legal Services	72-76
Matthew Segal	Lawyer	American Civil Liberties Union	76-78
Denise Squilliante	Lawyer	Massachusetts Bar Association	78-83
Horace Small	Executive Director	Union of Minority Neighborhoods	83-86
Gracemarie Tomaselli	Litigant		86-89
Joyce Tomaselli	Litigant		89-92
Norma Wassel	Social Worker	Massachusetts Bail Fund	92-97
Alexander Jones	Law Student	Massachusetts Bail Fund	97-99
Jenifer McKim	Reporter	New England Center for Investigative Reporting	99-101

JUDGE LAURIAT: Okay. Good afternoon, everyone, if everyone can hear me. My name is Peter Lauriat. I'm an associate justice of the superior court, and chair of the Massachusetts Trial Court's Public Access to Court Records Committee. We welcome you all here this afternoon. Let me briefly tell you about the committee. And then I'm going to ask the committee members to introduce themselves so that you know who is who. And then we'll talk a little bit about today's public hearing, to which we have invited everyone to attend.

2.4

The committee was appointed by Chief Justice Paula Carey in the fall of 2013. It is comprised of judges and administrators who are clerks of court from each of the seven trial court departments, along with representatives from the supreme judicial court, the appeals court, the trial court information services, and the public information office of the supreme judicial court, along with senior staff from the executive office of the trial court.

The list of committee members is available for everyone at the front desk, if you'd like. And I'm going to ask the committee members to simply introduce themselves one at a time. We'll pass the microphone along and we'll start down at this end, and if you'll just tell us your name and affiliation.

JUDGE SRAGOW: I'm Judge Roanne Sragow, First Justice of the Cambridge District Court.

MR. HOGAN: My name is Dan Hogan, and I work in the clerk's

office of the Boston Municipal Court. 1 MR. DITKOFF: I'm Joseph Ditkoff. I'm the general counsel of 2 the district court. 3 4 MR. SULLIVAN: Dan Sullivan. I'm the general counsel of the trial court. 5 MR. STANTON: I'm Joe Stanton. I'm clerk of the appeals 6 7 court. JUDGE LAURIAT: I'll step up here first to the back row. 8 9 MS. JOSS: Sarah Joss, Deputy Legal Counsel, Probation. 10 MS. MEDONIS: Good afternoon. Linda Medonis, Deputy Court Administration of the Probate and Family Court. 11 MS. DONAHUE: Jennifer Donahue, Public Information Office, 12 13 SJC. JUDGE LAURIAT: All right. We'll start right here. 14 15 MR. BURLINGAME: I'm Craig Burlingame. I'm the Chief Information Officer for the Judiciary. 16 17 MR. SULLIVAN: Michael Sullivan, Middlesex Superior Court. 18 JUDGE PIERCE: Steven Pierce. I'm chief justice of the 19 housing court department. 20 MR. AMBROSINO: Tom Ambrosino. I'm the executive director of the supreme judicial court. 21 MS. WRIGHT: Hi. I'm Kim Wright. I'm a senior assistant for 22 2.3 Judicial Policy in the Executive Office of the Trial Court. JUDGE LAURIAT: Okay. Thank you, all. A couple of 2.4

housekeeping matters before begin. Although this is technically a

25

courtroom within a courthouse, we will happily allow recording devices and cameras, if anyone would like to use a recording device or a camera during the course of the proceedings, you may do so. Hopefully, it will not interfere or interrupt the proceedings themselves. And we have 23 or 24 registered speakers. And the original plan was 10 minutes per speaker during the hours of 4 to 6 p.m. And just doing the mathematics, that works out more to like eight o'clock or 8:15, given the minutes or two between speakers. So what we'd like to do is, if possible, have you limit your remarks to five minutes. We have a machine up on the podium. And I'll ask each speaker to come to the podium. I'll ask each speaker as well to use the other handheld microphone, if you would, that's on the podium.

And we'll ask you to limit your remarks to five minutes, if you can. And if not, a minute or two over, we certainly understand. But we do -- we would like to give everyone the opportunity to be heard today. And, as well, we certainly are willing to accept -- more than willing to accept -- written remarks or comments, either in expansion of those remarks that you make here, or further remarks you'd like to make and submit to the Committee.

The Committee's proceedings today are being recorded. And we anticipate having a transcript available for the Committee members to review, those who are not here. Not every Committee member could make it. And we do anticipate that one or two Committee

members may come or go during the process. But we are definitely all here, and definitely all here to hear from everyone today.

The charge of the Committee, as you may know, is deceptively simple and straightforward. It is to develop a uniform trial court policy relative to public access to records, both in written and electronic form. The Committee has worked steadily, in both large and small groups, to analyze the issues and understand their complexity, in light of the trial court's migration to a single electronic civil and criminal case management system called

MassCourts that will be integrated across the seven very different trial court departments. It has been, I think as you may know, in process for some time. It continues. It is not complete. And we are working to get it installed in all seven trial court departments.

As I said, MassCourts is a work in progress, just as the full implementation by this Committee of a set of rules is a work in progress. Nothing is final at this point in the process. The drafting process will not be complete until after this public hearing, and a public comment period, which as soon as we get a draft set of rules to present, we will send off to, I believe, Chief Justice Carey. We will post them with a period of comment for everyone, and we'll receive comments during that comment period. Then we will take those comments, along with all of the comments and remarks from today's hearing, and those additional remarks you may wish to file today, and we will prepare a final

set of draft rules or proposed rules. And ultimately, they will have to be considered and approved by the Supreme Judicial Court. That's the ultimate organization for determination of these rules, and for implementation or issuance or adoption of these rules.

As I've said, as part of our work, we would like to hear from those who have an interest in, or who might be affected by the concept of public access to court records, both in the courthouse and remotely via the Internet. Thus the reason for today's hearing.

As I said, we have a number of individuals and organizations who've registered to speak. So we'll try to ask you to limit your remarks. Everyone's remarks will, as I say, be recorded. And we will invite additional written comments as well.

I believe we're going to call on our speakers alphabetically. We've tried to find a way to do that. If, when your name is called, you are not here, you'll certainly have a chance to be heard, but it will probably be at the end of the list. We'll just have to move the list along. But we will ensure that everyone has an opportunity for hearing. And again, we thank you all very much for coming.

So we'll begin with the first speaker, letter A, Scott Allen, from the Globe Spotlight Team.

MR. ALLEN: Thank you.

2.3

2.4

JUDGE LAURIAT: I'll let you be the keeper of the remarks.

MR. ALLEN: Good afternoon. Good afternoon, Judge Lauriat,

members of the Committee, and thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak this afternoon as you develop a  $21^{\rm st}$  Century policy for public access to documents and records.

I'm Scott Allen, the editor of the Globe's Spotlight investigative team. And I speak to you as a journalist today and share with you some of the frustrations that we face trying to cover the Massachusetts Trial Court. At a time that extraordinary information is increasingly available at our court system lags far behind.

Let me say at the outset that the Trial Court has been extraordinarily helpful to the Globe on many occasions when we were investigating the Probation hiring scandal a few years ago. The court was terrific about providing the public documents, explaining what they meant; very, very helpful. And I'd also like to say that your chief spokesperson, Jennifer Donahue, is unfailingly polite and patient, and really good with us in answering our deadline needs. So we have a lot to be happy about.

However, we as journalist sometimes feel that the public is

-- there's a larger mindset in the courts that views the public as
a nuisance or as an afterthought. And the fact that this is your
first public meeting after 19 months speaks to that fact. And so
does the fact that, you know, when the long-awaited Massachusetts
MassCourts computer system started to be rolled out, reporters
found they weren't going to gain public access, they were losing
it in some ways, losing online access because it would now be

available to attorneys and not us.

And in courthouses across the commonwealth, journalists encountered this low regard for public access on a daily basis. District courts with no computer terminals to look up criminal case information, policies that make us a pay a dollar a page for copies, rather than letting us scan the images ourselves; clerks that are understandably busy, but they're too busy to copy our documents promptly.

It's not just an inconvenience for working journalists, it's a disservice to the public. My colleague, Maria Sacchetti, just finished a major report on foreign nationals who commit more crimes when they're released from prison in the United States. It was a project that required her to review 6,000 individual's cases. In many states, she could do that work from her desktop. But here in Massachusetts, she had to go from courthouse to courthouse to get the information, taking days and days and days for things that would have taken hours sitting at her desk, with online access. And she said to me, you know, a Boston reporter has an easier time searching a case in Alaska than in Massachusetts, and that just doesn't make sense. And it doesn't.

We run into similar roadblocks when we ask for data about the courts. In 2012, the Spotlight Team did a series on the high rate of drunk driving acquittals by some members of the judiciary. But the trial court would not provide us with the case data we needed to determine judges' acquittal rates, forcing us to turn instead

to district attorneys to help us out. In the end, the Trial Court agreed that this was an important issue and did their own study of the subject. But the lack of help in the first place added weeks to the project for us.

We in the press understand very well that you have important responsibilities to protect privacy and the integrity of the judicial system. But many court systems around the country have found ways to do all that, and make far more information readily availability to the public. The federal courts Pacer system is one outstanding example. But there are many more. Alameda County in California, for example, has a system very similar to Pacer. Our next door neighbor in Connecticut lets any member of the public search case information online for free.

And as you continue your deliberations, I'd ask you to keep in mind a few guarding principles to make our courts transparent democratic institutions. Err on the side of the public. Take your inspiration from the State Treasurers remarkable Open Checkbook website that allows the public to see the real interworkings of government, how the money's being spent.

Put as much information online as possible, including filings. Treat everyone equally. The general public should be able to search all the court data that you make available online.

Minimize fees. For many journalists, charging even a few hundred dollars for access is really the same thing as telling them no in the first place.

Treat electronic records the same as you would paper ones. Electronic filings should actually make everyone's access to information better, and it should not be a reason to circumvent public scrutiny.

And please make your statistical reports on court operations readily available, and consider making underlying data available to us as well. Journalism today is really going in the direction of greater and greater analysis of data. We're in the age of big data. And we would really appreciate being able to study and learn about the court system from the actual data that you've generated.

I think we'll all be better served if the courts and the press could find ways to work together on these big picture issues. I thank you for your time. And please let me know if I can be of any further assistance. Thank you.

JUDGE LAURIAT: Thank you, Mr. Allen, very much. I guess applause is okay. No one ever applauds judges. If we're indirectly receiving your applause, that's fine.

Adam Angione. I apologize if I mispronounce people's names. Please identify yourself and your affiliation.

MR. ANGIONE: Do I press this thing?

JUDGE LAURIAT: No. We'll take care of that.

MR. ANGIONE: Sorry. Okay. My name's Adam Angione. I'm a Special Projects Editor with Courthouse News Service. Thank you for your time and all of your efforts.

Particularly, I'd like to acknowledge the fact that currently
-- which is kind of a new development -- there are two trial -I'm sorry, two superior courts that now have docket information
available on MassCourts website. We'd be interested to know if
that access is going to be extended to the rest of the state. If
it is, then that's a great step forward.

2.3

JUDGE LAURIAT: We're going to try not to have a discussion. But the answer is yes.

MR. ANGIONE: Okay. Okay, excellent. We commend you for that decision, in fact.

The media and the public has had the same access remotely that attorneys have had for 15 years, until it was taken away a few months ago, at the outset of the new MassCourts website.

Returning that access now returns the tradition of open and equal access to public records that has been a long-standing tradition in Massachusetts courts.

Despite that, because of the preferential treatment that attorneys have had over the past several months, we still have some concerns about -- at Courthouse News, about public access to electronic documents themselves.

Before that, let me just tell you a little bit about

Courthouse News Service. We're a 25-year-old nationwide legal

news service. Among our subscribers are the Boston Globe, Harvard

Law School, Boston College, and Boston University. We have pretty

good presence here in the Massachusetts area. We have 220

reporters covering the courts in every state in the country. So we have a nationwide reach. And that nationwide reach allows us to have dealt with courts transitions from or to electronic records and e-filing, on a pretty regular basis, from the media's perspective.

2.3

2.4

What we see in Massachusetts is a court that's in the midst of its transition to electronic court records, and probably on the cusp of e-filing. When e-filing is put into place in courts, often electronic documents follow.

Our main concern here is that access to the documents themselves be equal to everyone, regardless of the medium they're filed in, whether it's paper or electronic.

Currently, if the media or the press -- sorry -- or the public, or an attorney, or anybody else wants to see an actual document, we all have to go to the courthouse to see it. And that idea of equal access for everybody should be extended to electronic documents as well.

When a court chooses to put its documents online, it should do so for everybody, on the same terms, across the board. No one group should have favored access to any court information, whether it's at the courthouse or online, particularly online, unless we're talking about a court of -- or, I'm sorry, an attorney of record in a case. Then they have certain privileges that the rest of us don't.

As my colleague previously mentioned, Connecticut

information, both document information and documents are available online for free to anybody with an Internet connection. And that's a great model to use.

So while returning the media's and the public's access to online docket information to the state is a great first step forward. It truly is, given the experience over the past several months. We do still have some concerns about the electronic access to documents. So we encourage the next step that this Committee take to ensure open and equal access to those documents so that everybody's treated the same.

Thank you very much.

2.3

2.4

JUDGE LAURIAT: Thank you very much. I, for one, am not used to sitting below the level of the speaker. The idea of looking up is a new perspective for me.

Let's see. Our next speaker, Aliana vonRichthofen, will you come forward. I hope I pronounced your name correctly.

MS. vonRICHTHOFEN: Well enough. Thank you.

JUDGE LAURIAT: And if you would hold the mic in your hand, if you could, and just hold it a little closer to you. Thank you.

MS. vonRICHTHOFEN: Honorable justices, Committee members, I much appreciate being able to comment here today on the important matter of how to best achieve greater transparency and access to court records. And I'm here on behalf of the residents of Massachusetts, and as somebody who's been personally affected by some of what we are talking about today.

However, why is the public only invited to comment two days after behind closed doors meetings? It seems to me it would have been more efficient to listen to us first, and then incorporate what we might bring to the table in terms of incorporating those thoughts and experiences.

2.4

However, it would not surprise me if the secret meetings were a consequence of my complaints to the SJC since 2010, on the gross mishandling of court files by two clerks who were dismissed last year.

To begin with, the SJC, as interested party, cannot possibly be the authority to determine appropriate conduct, when it itself is in severe violation of the proper keeping and disseminating of public records. It's like sending the cat for the milk.

The lack of transparency and access to court files that we're here to discuss seem to me in part are merely secondary symptoms to the scores of favoritism and corruption that permeate the courts all the way through the SJC, where records are routinely falsified to give the appearance that proper process was followed, when, in fact, it was not; hence, in part, maybe, the denial or obstruction of access to these records when they're requested. Otherwise, it's so much easier to just hand out a file. Why? What I've experienced, the laborious exercise of prolonged acts of stonewalling. And the SJC should actually be included, not just the Trial Courts, because no court should stand above the law.

The recent scandal at the Probation Department brought to

light how records were doctored to favor well-connected parties for lucrative jobs. The same process is prevalent throughout the Massachusetts court system to favor well-connected litigants.

Here is how I came to find out. I was told in 2010 by clerks of the SJC that the entire physical file of my appeal had gone inexplicably missing. Inexplicably missing was the exact term that was used. When I asked for it subsequent to discovering the multitude of filing errors and omissions in the electronic docket online, it had disappeared, I was made to believe, into thin air. In fact, security was called on me when I asked. The little, old lady asking for the file on her appeal, security had to call because I was perceived as such a major threat to security of what? Corruption at the SJC?

I had made the major boo-boo of asking how if a file has gone missing, could there possibly be a running record that would show us who last had it and how we could find it? That was the ultimate insult, I guess, threat. And I have to followup and say I did write to security, because I wanted to know what my offense had been. And security wrote back to me that they had no record of being called that day. So that's another can of worms. But let's not talk about the record keeping at security at the SJC.

Only after the threat of an impending investigation was I eventually given what was terms the reconstructed file. Of course, the obvious question, reconstructed from where, from what, if the original file is missing? No one would tell me.

But importantly, this alleged reconstructed file inadvertently released to me that the SJC's lawyer, Attorney Moran [phonetic], I think was his name at the time, actually exposed the evidence for why this file had been declared inexplicably missing. Reviewing it, I discovered that of the 17 documents submitted by both parties, appellants, and appellees in the appeal, in total, and of these only ours, the appellants, nine were missing, more than 50 percent, and only from the appellants side; either missing in total, missing significant attachments, or altered/classified on a crucial date. We all know dates can be very crucial in the filing of documents.

In addition, no assurances could be made to me as to whether any justice of the seven justices had actually ordered a very questionable denial on my appeal. This was signed by one clerk, and later amended, and rendered invalid by hand by another clerk, and ultimately leaving the appeal hanging without an actual decision, in fact, after 15 years of litigation. I don't have to explain to you the stress, financial and emotional stress, of 15 years of litigation when you have been bullied out of your job at this part of the --

JUDGE LAURIAT: Ms. vonRichthofen, you have about a minute left. I'm going to ask you to wrap up.

MS. vonRICHTHOFEN: Yes. I will. I have one more page.

Everything I have tried to do is verified, documented in writing,
and available to anybody who has an interest to see it. But this

is simply one example of the unconscionable level of fraud, coverup, and disregard for the constitutional rights of every Massachusetts resident to equality and due process prevalent in our court system; egregious violations at the SJC, the highest court in the state, that sets the standard for propriety, or lack thereof, in all the lower courts.

The brazenness of the actions of the clerks and the clerks' stoicism in face of the damming of the evidence only indicates that mine isn't the only case that was mishandled by the SJC. In fact, I have come to know the great number more such cases, hardly all, since most litigants or even their lawyers, don't ask to see the content of their files.

Two more short paragraphs. Honorable justices, Committee members, if you're genuinely interested in improving the public trust in government and the judiciary, then clearly the way to reasonable transparency and access to court records must be a two-prong endeavor. We're fighting the metastasized corruption that has permeated our court like a cancer, and become routine practice, as many lawyers have told me, and part of the system.

Any serious effort must begin with the establishment of reliable oversight over the SJC by abundant of citizens from outside the legal community, similar to a grand jury, along with the implementation of enforceable rules and regulations on how documents are to be recorded, stored, both in hard copy, as well as electronically, and disseminated.

JUDGE LAURIAT: Thank you, Ms. Richthofen. We have your written remarks here. We'll put those in the record.

MS. vonRICHTHOFEN: You won't allow me to do one more? Just one more paragraph. I want to be able to say goodbye.

JUDGE LAURIAT: Ms. Richthofen, there are 23 people behind you who would also like to have the opportunity to speak. If you insist, you may ask your -- you may read your last paragraph.

MS. von RICHTHOFEN: Well, I won't insist. But it'd be nice if you would let me.

JUDGE LAURIAT: Okay. We have your written remarks. We thank you very much.

Next, Esme Caramello. Thank you.

2.3

2.4

MS. CARAMELLO: Judge Lauriat and members of the Committee, thank you very much for taking such care with this rollout, and paying so much attention.

I'm here -- I'm a -- I teach in the Clinical program at
Harvard Law School. I'm also a practicing lawyer. I practice in
the Housing Court mostly in Boston, also in the Cambridge and
Somerville District Courts in landlord-tenant cases. So I want to
talk to sort of a narrow issue that comes up in those cases. And
it's really something that's an unintended consequence of the free
online remote access. And that is that there's an over-inclusive
blacklisting of very vulnerable tenants that arises, that has
arisen since the roll out of free online access.

So it's well known that MassCourts is used as a free online

screening tool for tenants. It's well known -- I'm going to be submitting written testimony which has, of course, proof of all these things. But I'll just say them now. It's well known. So it's well known that it's used as an online screening tool. And there are a couple of problems with this. One of them is that the many landlords who are using it as an online screening tool, are just looking to see whether somebody appears or does not appear in the database. That's it. So if somebody appears in the database, they're out. They refuse to rent to them. Again, this has been documented, not just in Massachusetts, but in other -- other places all across the country.

And the problem with this, of course, is that if a tenant comes in, the heat is off, it's Christmas. The tenant comes in needing the court to help get the heat back on in the middle of the winter, the tenant is blacklisted. If a tenant fixes the heat herself, and then exercises her right to repair and deduct under Massachusetts law, she's blacklisted.

If a tenant's living in her apartment for 25 years, suddenly she finds herself being priced out of her gentrifying neighborhood. She's evicted. She's blacklisted.

So clearly, this is an unintended consequence. It's not something that we want to see. Unfortunately, MassCourts facilitates and encourages this. And by doing so it shows the exercise of tenant's rights in the commonwealth, and effectively creates a barrier to access to justice. So I'm concerned about

that, and I just want to make sure that the Committee is aware of that as you consider how to proceed from here.

And I also just want us to remember that the people who are being affected by this are, of course, the people with the most restricted access to housing in the first place, and the primarily low income tenants, and people of color.

A second issue with MassCourts as a free online tenant screening tool, is that the data in MassCourts -- and I want to emphasize that this is not the clerks' fault, but the data is inaccurate in ways, in specific ways that harm tenants. And again, I do want to emphasize and I recognize that the court system is dramatically underfunded. And that's why I'm concerned about this, because I don't think it's an easy fix, unless we're going to pour a lot of resources into this.

So let me just give a couple of examples. Last spring, a student of mine named Nora Mahlberg, took the 47 cases, summary process cases, from the Housing Court that we had closed the previous year. Forty-seven cases, and she looked -- she compared our case files with the MassCourt system, and what the case disposition was in MassCourts. And she found that in four of the 47 cases, MassCourts displayed a judgment of eviction against the tenant, when, in fact, there was no judgment of eviction against the tenant. So that's four of forty-seven cases. And I think the statistic that I heard was that in fiscal year 2014, something like forty -- upwards of 40,000 summary process filings in the

commonwealth. So I recognize it's not a statistically significant, you know, -- statistical sample. But if you were to scale that up, we're not talking about four tenants who were improperly deemed as having been evicted. You know, we're talking about now probably hundreds, if not thousands of people.

Another example, a Legal Services colleague of mine very recently entered her name in to MassCourts -- she's a lawyer -- and pulled up 36 active cases. So she went in to each one of the 36 active cases, and it showed, you know, "This tenant has an active eviction case against her." In every single one of the 36 cases, the case had already been resolved, and the tenant was not in active summary process litigation.

A third issue that we see in Legal Aid is that sometimes I'll generously say in an abundance of caution, landlords, and particularly subsidized landlords, will over include people in the summary process summons and complaint. So they'll add more defendants than they need. They'll add everybody in the household, 'cause they're nervous that if they don't get a judgment against somebody in the household, they won't be able to evict, when, technically that's not true.

So what happens is that people who -- let's say you have a subsidized tenant, and she's got an adult child, an 18-year-old, and a 19-year-old, and a 12-year-old, and all of those people are going to be listed in MassCourts, and all of those people are going to have judgments against them that then bar them from

subsidized housing in the future, that then bar them from shelter in the future, that bar them from access to public apartments, just through the online screening tool function.

2.3

2.4

So what I would ask -- we would ask the Committee to do, please, is to consider putting in place, if this is going to be free to everybody and online for everybody to get to equally, if the Committee would consider putting in place some of the following safeguards. And again, these'll be included in my written testimony.

The first thing we'd like to see is parties' names in housing cases and landlord-tenant cases, entered as initials, or made available as initials, or some other kind of signifier that is not quite so easy at the fingertips of someone to find. Now, of course, if people go into the courthouse if they want more information, of course, all that information is publicly available. But it's just not available, you know, at 11:30 at night at the press of a finger.

Second of all, we ask that there be a protocol for tenants to easily correct inaccurate information, and that that protocol be simple, because a lot of these folks are unrepresented, unsophisticated; and just something that's public, you know, publicized in the courthouse and simple to use, maybe through the AOTC.

And the third is -- and this is the hard one -- that if we're going to be making this information available, that resources be

devoted to making sure it's accurate, and particularly on these kinds of inaccuracies that end up making people look like problem tenants when they're not, and that some resources be devoted to ensuring that the case outcomes are accurately put in the system.

So those are my asks. Thank you very much for hearing me.

JUDGE LAURIAT: Thank you very much. Next, Peter Caruso.

MR. CARUSO: Good afternoon. Boy, it's nice being up here and having the judges a little bit --

JUDGE LAURIAT: I know it is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. CARUSO: My name is Peter Caruso. I'm an attorney, a practicing attorney. I've been representing media since 1977. I'm here today representing the Massachusetts Newspaper Publishers Association, and also several smaller newspapers. Since 1977 when reporters had been seeking access, we actually had an easier process back in the '70s and '80s to get access. And what's happened now has become more difficult to get information and court records from the court system. And that's the irony behind all of this. We're in the electronic age and we're in the revolutionary age where information should be easier for reporters to obtain. It's actually more difficult. And one of the reasons why I'm here is that when a reporter and a reporter's call me and wants to get information from the court system, they need, of all things, my bar card. They need a BBO card in order to get information from the superior court system. That should not be the case. Any citizen, any reporter, the public, should be able

to access electronic information by just accessing the court system.

And maybe there's been a thought -- I know you're going through a revolutionary process, and possible as a computer platform, maybe should run parallel. Maybe you should have access for -- as you're developing your system, that we still can get access to the courts and to records, the way we have been for the past, at least centuries, but for when I've been practicing for the past 40 years. It's easier to walk into a courthouse and get a record than it electronically.

Now, all due respect to the other speakers, there should be absolutely no difference what we can see on the Internet than what we can get at the courthouse; none. If we can walk into a courthouse and see a public record -- because these are public records in Massachusetts -- we should be able to see that same public record on the Internet, without having to say, "Okay, we have a limited version."

And a screening -- we cannot screen public records. You can't. A public record is -- and I say this regularly in court. A public record is a public record. If you're going to put it on the Internet, it needs to be accessible just as the same as if you walk into a courtroom. There can't be any exceptions to that. And if there are, then we're going to run afoul of what are public records law and open meeting laws actually have. So that's one of the chores that you have.

We are, the media and reporters, are really affected by what you're doing. And again, I think one of the issues we need is not a BBO card to get access, but their own identity.

Now, it's been suggested that possibly we need to identify who the person requesting the records are. That's never been the case, especially here in Massachusetts. Now, when you ask for a record, whether it's anonymity or, we should not be able to identify -- we don't have to identify who we are. If we're asking for something, we should just ask for the public record, because we are citizens. It's America. We have that right. We have that First Amendment right to records.

And again, standing here -- and I'll only take one more minute, is that my purpose here is to show for the Mass.

Publishers Association and for reporters, is to get equal access at all times for records, the same access we've had for 30 years, the same access we had a couple of months ago, but that we don't have now that we need a BBO card.

And finally, -- not finally, but the cost factor's been an issue. It's been mentioned that possibly we can scan. We have all these abilities now to scan records. It doesn't cost anything. It doesn't even take any time and effort for the clerks and from the personnel. It actually assists. It helps. The same as we do in the Registry of Deeds. We can go the Registry of Deeds and actually scan records now, or we can scan them and it doesn't cost anything. There shouldn't be a cost to scan. There

shouldn't be a cost to see records.

And the same with if you have a land court and you go to probate court, we can -- and U.S. District Court, you can download. And if we see a record -- at the Registry of Deeds, if we see a record on the screen, we should be able to download that at no cost. There shouldn't be a cost for that.

And again, we applaud what you're doing. It's a tough job.

And for us, we would like to have input, the media and the press.

I recommend also that many of the committees, I noticed that they've had a broad spectrum of committee members. But you don't have anyone from the media. You don't have anyone from the press, who is really affected by your decisions and by your meetings, more than anyone. We are the public. The public can't come to all your meetings. They can't see all your records. So possibly it might be suggested that -- I know we're late in the game, but maybe to have someone from the media on the Committee to give some input; not even a voting member, just to give some input on what we go through to gain public records.

Again, it's been my experience in getting public records from the courts and the clerks, there's been no problem. We've got complete access. We ask for records, we generally get them. This is the controversial case, and then there's the roadblocks that we have to go through.

But other than that -- Judge. Again, thank you for listening to us. And again, we request that there be a member of the press

media as part of the Committee to hear input. Thank you very much.

JUDGE LAURIAT: Thank you very much. Next, Robert DeFabrizio.

2.3

2.4

MR. DeFABRIZIO: Thank you very much. Good afternoon. My name is Robert DeFabrizio. I'm the current president of the Association of Boston Law Librarians, ABLL for short, and I'm pleased to be here to provide comments on behalf of our organization.

ABLL includes over 100 members from Boston area law firms, law schools, federal and state libraries, as well as businesses. As outlined in its mission statement it exists to develop an increase the usefulness of law libraries and other informational resources and services related to the practice of law in the greater Boston area.

My comments will focus on the new system, new docket system, and how it affects private law librarians. I believe the other constituencies are well represented here today. And it is a core segment of our membership.

Until the recent rollout of the portal, private law librarians had access to all court dockets. ABLL contends that access should be reinstated for the following four reasons: one, we are respected team members. Private law librarians are recognized members of the legal profession, possessing a combination of education and experience commensurate with the high

standards of the practice of law. Two, we are managed and supervised by attorneys. As employees, private law librarians are bound by the same rules of professional conduct as the attorneys they work for. Three, we are trusted professionals. Private law librarians are entrusted with client and firm confidentiality on a daily basis. We are adept in balancing the privacy concerns with those of our attorneys' information needs. And we recognize that is a concern of the Committee. Four, our access reduces burden on the courts. Reinstating access will lessen the need for our members to actually have to go to the court to retrieve documents, benefitting an already budget-strained court department.

2.4

As noted in the Mass. Trial Court Strategic Plan of June 2013, the public expects better service and more self service. The retrieval of docket information is part of many private law librarians job descriptions. We access federal and state dockets throughout the country on a daily basis. And although balancing the dual interest of public access and privacy is a challenge, private law librarians have proven to be a group of professionals who have a long history of prudent, responsible practices.

I would like to thank the Committee for holding this hearing, and affording ABLL the opportunity to testify. ABLL welcomes the opportunity to assist the Committee, and encourages further discussion regarding access and enhancements to the docket system.

I believe law librarians are very facile in the workings of the system, and we could provide a lot of good input to the Committee to enhance any further developments for this system.

Thank you.

2.3

2.4

JUDGE LAURIAT: Thank you very much, sir. Peter Elikann.

MR. ELIKANN: Good afternoon. I'm Peter Elikann. I'm representing and speaking on behalf of the Massachusetts Bar Association. I'm also a practitioner in the courts, primarily the criminal courts here in Massachusetts.

At first blush it didn't seem to me to be a very complex issue that this Committee is considering. Obviously, we're all in favor of the Sixth Amendment presumption, particularly in criminal courts, to access, open courts, let's all be transparent, and in this day and age to have it be much less burdensome with electronic benefits that we can get. And it's clearly inevitable in some form that many of us that somehow more and more of this is certainly going to be out on the Internet.

However, there is a reason why it should not be unconditional, unfettered access, in the same way that we all cherish free speech in this country, but we also know free speech is not unconditional. We really need to balance and open the -- there's kind of two competing things here, the openness and accountability of the courts, with privacy interests and privacy rights, particular when it comes to sensitive personal information, because in some cases, this could ultimately result in having acquiring new victims.

There should be stringent safeguards and guidelines to this

access, because there's a plethora of troublesome areas in various areas of the law that could come up with this. And I'll just kind of go through some -- for example, many court files are filled with mental health information. We're not just talking about mental health cases, commitment, that sort of thing. But we're talking about actual -- criminal cases are usually filled with very often mental health evaluation. You wouldn't want that to just be out there on the Internet.

The probate courts have a variety of concerns. When we think about all the custody cases, divorce cases. Wouldn't it be terrible the concept that a child could look up their parents battles there. You could look up the battles of your friends' parents there. And it's just out there for everybody.

There's a lot of financial information, not just in these family court files, but in other files. And there's a concern about identity theft, people's information getting out there.

Certainly we don't want information to be going out about victims, particular victims of violent crimes. A lot of victims I know are concerned even that -- they feel that they're victimized or their abuser could even get more incited if they see the information -- that he suddenly sees the information about him out there in public.

We've made great strides in CORI reform in this -- in the commonwealth, where, unless you're -- until you're really convicted of an offense, the information's not going to be out

there. However, if there's simply open season on criminal files, there are people who are probably -- you know, are truly factually innocent, who either have their cases dismissed, get found not guilty, and it's open season on files, then this could be kind of an end run around all the progress we've made in CORI reform.

There is a concern also that frequently there's errors on docket sheets and in files and that information get out there. And once that's out there, you know, you can't put the toothpaste back in the tube. We have private companies, we're all aware, that are out there trolling for information on people. And they really don't have to answer to anyone. And I think the court, while we want to facilitate public scrutiny of the judicial process, we don't want inform -- the purpose is not just to have information out there being exploited for commercial reasons, but completely unrelated to public oversight of the judicial system.

There's a concern about elderly and mentally disabled people who are undergoing such things -- you know, in conservatorship hearings, for example. It'd be terrible that people with access to that could get information about them, and then could exploit them. They'd be vulnerable to con artists and con artists and criminals. I wouldn't want information to get out about certain adoption cases, guardianship. We heard examples in landlord-tenant system where somebody may truly be an upright citizen, and yet they may find themselves on some sort of a blacklist.

So I know it sounds like I'm just kind of giving you this long laundry list where I'm kind of hopping from area to area. But these are the concerns why it just can't be unconditional, unfettered access.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And someone may ask, "Well, if you have access if you can actually walk into files, why shouldn't the electronic records, the Internet records, be the same?" And there really is a difference to have that out and that easy to get. And the courts have recognized this. And I'll give you -- the best analogy I can think of really is I know everybody is probably familiar with we had some legislation a few years ago, anti-gang legislation where for the first time we criminal practitioners -- very often would be ordered -- we couldn't give copies of police reports and grand jury minutes to our clients. They could come, and we could tell them about it, and they can review it in our office. We can't give them pieces of paper on it, because there were reports about people who were -- they were taking grand jury minutes and actually putting them out in their neighborhoods, putting them on lampposts to try to terrify the people who were, what they considered snitches.

So even though that person, that defendant does have a right to review their information, they couldn't have actual copies of it. So I think the court has recognized that in some areas.

So again we're looking to strike a balance. And it's been done in other courts I know. I practice in the federal courts

also and they're -- even though I can look at a docket, I can't -- you can't look at just anybody's presentence report with all kinds of information on them. Much of the information in court the judges seal. So I think there is precedence to it, but it is -- it is -- this is complex.

I also share the concern as expressed before that I wish and the Mass. Bar Association also wishes that -- I mean, we know this group has been meeting for 19 months, and that it also have an additional composition, where people, practitioners in all these courts, and the media could have also have input early on. And maybe from now, from this point on I can do that. This is not a knock on this panel. I either know you or I probably have at least heard of everybody here. And this is absolutely an all star, certainly, panel. I just wish the composition could have in addition had other people to it.

As myself as a former television news reporter and newspaper reporter, certainly the presumption is always going to be in favor of public access. And that is our belief. Nevertheless, the access just should not be absolute. There really should be safeguards that are absolutely implanted in the system.

And I thank you.

2.4

JUDGE LAURIAT: Thank you, sir, very much. Francisca Fajana. Again, I apologize if I've mispronounced names.

MS. FAJANA: No, that's correct.

JUDGE LAURIAT: And if you could hold the microphone close to

you, that'd be great.

2.4

MS. FAJANA: Good afternoon, Your Honor, members of the Committee. I'm Francisca Fajana, a staff attorney at the Massachusetts Law Reform Institute where I've worked for the last 14 years to help reform the Criminal Offender Record Information system, known by its acronym CORI. I've counseled many clients with CORI, looking to find employment, enter a job training program, access housing, and other opportunities of mainstream living. I've brought litigation where necessary, including against the Criminal History Systems Board, formerly known as the CORI board, or now known as the Department of Criminal Justice Information Services.

I also worked -- also helped to lead legislative advocacy resulting in CORI reform in 2010. It is in this capacity that I come before you to respectfully oppose or argue against wholesale availability of criminal docket sheets on the court's website. There's several reasons for my position. And they're fully fleshed out in my written submission. But I'm going to focus on three reasons here.

The first is the potential of a likelihood for unraveling CORI reform. The second is the possibility of undermining the newly implemented iCORI system. And the third is concerns about a process or mechanism for correcting records, once criminal docket sheets are uploaded onto the court's website.

Let me acknowledge at the outset that the First Amendment

guarantees the public a right to access criminal proceedings. I discuss several cases in my written submission, including the SJC's 2013 decision in *Commonwealth v. Winfield*. Let me also acknowledge that we live in a technologically advanced, fast-paced information age, where information is readily available on our handheld devices, on demand everywhere and any time.

Having said that, in 2010, our legislature struck a balance between the public's right of access and the State's compelling interest in enabling former offenders to reenter society as productive and contributing members.

And in striking that balance, the legislature made significant changes to the CORI system. On the one hand, it gave the vast majority of employers, housing providers, occupational licensing agencies, access to criminal record information. On the other hand, to promote rehabilitation and reentry, it narrowly tailored the types of criminal records that can be available. For example, felony convictions that are 10 years or older, excluding convictions for murder, manslaughter, and sex offenses, are not accessible. Misdemeanor convictions that are five years or older are also not accessible. Non-convictions, including dismissed cases, not guilty cases, are not accessible.

The expectation being that those who've been processed through the criminal justice system have an opportunity to reenter to get employment, to get housing, and pursue other opportunities of mainstream living.

The wholesale availability of criminal docket sheets on the court's website threatens to undermine the State's interest in restricting access to all criminal records, undermines the State's interest in enabling former offenders to reenter society, and could unravel CORI reform.

2.3

2.4

Two, with CORI reform also came online access to CORI. In May 2012, the Department of Criminal Justice Information Services, CJIS, rolled out its iCORI system. Requestors can now request and access CORI online. And according to the Department, records are provided instantaneously. Given that CORI's already readily accessible online and instantaneously making criminal docket sheets available on the court's website could encourage requestors to circumvent the CORI law, thereby undermining the iCORI system.

Third, and lastly, we have concerns about the process or mechanism that a person's alleged inaccuracies on a record will sue to make record corrections. Again, with CORI reform, the department of CJIS is mandated to receive and review complaints and cooperate with entities in the criminal justice system, including OCP, the Office of the Commission of Probation, to make record correction. It is not clear if this mechanism will be retained or replaced and with what.

Because wholesale unregulated availability of docket sheets on the court's website would likely unravel CORI reform, undermine the new Internet iCORI system, and could cause significant harm to those with inaccuracies on their records, I respectfully urge the

Committee not to make criminal docket sheets available online.

Should the Committee determine that dockets sheets will be posted online, we ask that they be uploaded in a manner consistent with CORI reform. Thank you.

JUDGE LAURIAT: Thank you very much. Next, George Gialtouridis. Again, with apologies.

2.4

MR. GIALTOURIDIS: You did very well. Thank you, sir.

JUDGE LAURIAT: I'm getting better.

MR. GIALTOURIDIS: Good afternoon. My name is George Gialtouridis. I am the owner of New England Risk Solutions, LLC, a Massachusetts-based company which provides public records information services for data retrieval. The company contracts certified collectors who document tax lien, small claims, and civil case information, which in turn is made available to data technology and business information solutions providers. We provide information technology and analytics to a wide range of public and private sector industries to help them predict, assess, and manage risk and to prevent fraud, waste, and abuse.

As public records, Massachusetts court cases are integral to the data which we provide to our customers. Our trained and certified associates collect civil and small claim case information from all the courthouse throughout Massachusetts, as well as state and federal tax lien data from the registers of deeds of the commonwealth. Timely and accurate reporting of public records information is a vital part of the credit risk

management cycle. It provides a number of advantages to the general public and to the industries which we serve.

2.3

On a national level, it aids in the battle against fraud, and helps keep credit interest rates low. On the local level, it allows businesses and property managers to adequately assess consumer risks before engaging in business. It keeps the community's overall costs of goods and services down by reducing the amount of loss incurred due to bad debt. It also keeps federal, state, and local taxes down, because of improved recoveries of governmental debts, such as tax liens, court costs, and fees.

Prior to the rollout of MassCourts, the Intranet platform
Civil BasCOT, or Civil Basic Court Operations Tool, was the case
management system used on the public terminals in courthouses
throughout the commonwealth. Complete civil and small claim case
information was available on these terminals for our associates to
collect, including the names and addresses of the defendants in
each case.

Ever since Massachusetts courts gradually transitioned to MassCourts, the new platform does not make complete case information available to view. As a result, in order for us to retrieve complete case information, we must now request from court staff to remove stacks of docket files from storage, and then refile them following our review. We are able to do this only during the limited hours of the week we're allowed to access these

files, and only in the clerks' offices where there's ample space on the counter to place the files for review, along with room for a laptop that each collector uses, all without interfering with the court's normal course of business.

According to the FY2014 Annual Report on the State of the Massachusetts Court System, there are approximately 84,000 civil cases, and 103,000 small claims cases filed throughout the commonwealth in 2014. That's close to 200,000 combined cases annually.

Electronic access to complete case information for these hundreds of thousands of cases will benefit everyone as we can again be totally independent of the office staff to perform our work, just as we were only a few years back before the MassCourts rollout.

While expressing my concerns in conversations with various

Trial Court administration officials during the past few years, I

have noticed that a few officials expressed just one reservation,

and that is regarding the addresses of persons who have obtained

restraining orders in harassment and domestic violence cases, and

that such information should not be revealed. I totally agree. I

am sure that there is software available which can address that

issue by simply filtering out such case information.

In regards to federal tax -- federal and state tax and collection, all of the Commonwealth's Registers of Deeds have scanned images available to the general public to view on their

online websites, all with complete information, including addresses, and even more information.

2.3

2.4

The MassCourts case management platform is supported by the Ohio base provider, Court View Justice Solutions. The same company supports similar case management systems in a growing number of jurisdictions throughout the country. Many of these same jurisdictions have complete case information available to the general public on their respective Internet quotas.

New England Risk Solutions, LLC also conducts business activity in other New England states. For instance, last year in Rhode Island, a new e-filing paperless system was implemented where scanned images of case documents are now available to view on the public terminals inside the various Rhode Island courts with complete case information.

I am also the owner of New York Risk Solutions, LLC., another Massachusetts-based company, which provides public records information for 57 out of the 62 New York State counties. In New York, court records are available through the county clerks' offices. And each individual county contracts its own case management system provider. All of these counties have complete case information available to the general public through the public terminals in their respective clerks' offices, and many of them on their respective Internet portals, including scanned images of case documents. Access to some of these online portals is on a subscription basis, while access to others is free.

And I would like to close with the May 11<sup>th</sup> Boston Globe editorial titled *Technology Shift Should Not Block Access to Court Records*, as I agree with the following statement in the editorial: The advent of new technology for the court record system should promote wider record transparency, not limit it.

I kindly request that the complete case information for civil and small claims cases is made available again -- again to the general public on either the public terminals within the courthouses, just as it was available in BasCOT, or on the MassCourts.org Internet portal.

I would be happy to provide the Committee with any additional information that would assist it in restoring complete electronic access to this public information. Thank you. Thank you very much for your time.

JUDGE LAURIAT: Thank you very much. Next, Lloyd Godson.

MR. GODSON: Good afternoon, Judge Lauriat, members of the Committee. Thank you for allowing me to speak today. My name is Lloyd Godson. I've been a family law attorney for 23 years. I'm the author or editor of two family law practitioners manuals published by LexisNexis. I am the past president of the Middlesex Bar Association, and an active member of the Massachusetts Bar Association.

I am before you today as a private attorney. I'm extremely concerned with the ability of the public to review the sensitive nature of material contained in court filings in family law

matters. Parties involved in a divorce are known throughout the industry as good people at their worst. The Trial Court has done their best to protect sensitive information by impounding financial statements and guardian ad litem reports.

Unfortunately, in some of the most damaging material, whether it's true or not, ends up in motions and affidavits. The information can severely damage lives by damaging businesses, careers,

2.4

reputations, and other relationships. If the parties are of a higher profile, the damage can even be worse, simply by the public display that goes along with being a public figure.

The issue of Internet access creates greater risk for parties in family court matters because it involves the children who are at risk in these matters. Not only will the children have access, but their friends, teachers, and child predators will also have access.

I encourage you to limit the public's access to this material as much as possible, and to make sure that the material is not available on the Internet. Thank you.

JUDGE LAURIAT: Thank you very much. Lee Hammel.

MR. HAMMEL: Hi. My name is Lee Hammel. I was a reporter for 40 years for the Telegram and Gazette in Worcester until taking a buyout in 2012. And I've been a freelancer since then.

I thank the Trial Court Public Access to Court Records
Committee for holding this public hearing.

Justice and good government demand that the public have easy

access to the court's records. While I've covered the state's district and superior courts, most of my court coverage involved the federal system. I want to compliment the state courts for being ahead of the federal courts in allowing access for the most part for tape recorders and cameras to the courtrooms. But sadly, the state courts are far behind the federal courts in electronic access to court records.

2.3

It used to be possible to get at least limited information online about the State's criminal and civil cases through the Trial Court Information Center. However, one had to know in which court the case was filed in. And even then one could only get limited information, such as the caption of documents or the dates of previous and upcoming hearings. Also available were the names of lawyers and charges in a criminal case.

Unfortunately, you could not see or copy the contents of documents online. For that, you had to go physically to the court's office -- to the clerk's office to get copies. I believe the charge could vary from 50 cents to a dollar per page. Now, after 19 years and reportedly more than 75 million dollars, even that minimal amount of online access is no longer available for the majority of counties. If you want any information whatsoever about cases in Worcester and most of the other counties, you either have to prevail over the telephone upon the reduced, busy staff of clerks' offices, or get yourself to the clerk's office whenever that -- whenever the case -- wherever the case may be

from Hampshire to Dukes County.

2.3

Contrast that to the federal court's Pacer system, in addition to court dates, charges, parties, and attorneys, it is possible to view and get copies online of entire motions and other documents, provided they're not impounded. Throughout the Pacer system, the public can be knowledgeable -- through the Pacer system, the public can be knowledgeable about cases even before attending a hearing in court.

The cost of viewing dockets, motions, and other indictments online through Pacer from one's own office is ten cents per page. There's no charge for judicial opinions. Viewing any documents at public access terminals in the clerk's office is free. And the charge for having the clerk print out the documents is 10 cents per page. It's possible to get documents through Pacer from any of the three divisions of the U.S. District Court in Massachusetts, and from the 93 other federal district courts in the country, from the 13<sup>th</sup> Circuit Courts of Appeals and from bankruptcy courts across the country.

In addition, at least in Massachusetts, the federal district court has set up a system called Virtual Press Box in which credentialed journalist are notified by e-mail each time there is a docket entry in cases in which the journalist wishes to be notified.

I'm told that Pacer pays for itself with the per page fees.
With the kind of access to records available in the federal

courts, it is frustrating to deal with the State courts, even with many of the members of the clerks' offices trying to be as helpful as possible under the circumstances. So it's hard to understand why the State can't simply replicate Pacer, which has been online for 14 years.

Thank you very much.

JUDGE LAURIAT: Thank you very much. Next, John Hawkinson. Go ahead, sir.

MR. HAWKINSON: Good afternoon, Justice Lauriat, and members of the Committee. My name is John Hawkinson. I'm a freelance news reporter, usually writing for Cambridge Day. My interaction with the court system generally focuses on the city of Cambridge and its large institutions such as MIT and Harvard. With respect to the superior court, I deal with the Middlesex and Suffolk Counties. I'm concerned both with electronic access and the physical access to paper records. I've had problems with both.

I'm also concerned with the veil of secrecy that rests over your Committee. During March and April of this year, I directed a number of queries regarding this Committee to the Public Information Office. I received no substantive response. That includes straightforward questions like the membership of this committee and the name of the chair. In response I was told, "The Executive Office of the Trial Court doesn't have any information beyond what we already provided," which was merely that the committee existed.

Frustration with that process led me to write to you on April 13<sup>th</sup> of this year. I hope you have my letter. Since that time, I haven't heard from the Committee or the Public Information Office, but last week the mass court system took a substantial, positive step. And that's very much appreciated.

Electronic access is the wave of the future. And it's important to get it right. I appreciate the Committee's care, concern, and deliberate steps in this case. When something is done, it can be hard to undo. Once information is out there on the Internet, it can be impossible to remove. So care is appropriate.

I would suggest two guiding principles for the Committee.

One, do not reduce the level of access from that of the prior system. Any information available in the Trial Court system should remain available in the MassCourts system. It's not accessible to remove -- it's not acceptable to remove access to information from the press, or from the public at large. And second, as you've heard earlier today, look to the federal CM/ECF system, the Pacer system, for guidance. Pacer does not do everything right. But if your system has more restrictive access than Pacer, it should give you substantial pause.

As you know, transitions from any Trial Court to MassCourts stopped access to civil docket information by members of the media. [Unintelligible @ 5:08:08 due to brushing against the microphone] it's my practice to query the system on a daily basis

for updates to cases of interest and parties I care about, like the City of Cambridge, or Harvard, or MIT. When Middlesex transitioned in January, I lost that access and I admit several stories went unreported or delayed, because I was not aware of their information in a timely fashion.

2.4

No explanation has been provided why members of the media cannot have the same access given to members of the bar during the transition phase. I've heard privately that there's a technical limitation on giving access to individuals without bar numbers, as well as giving access to judges and court clerks, all who have been refused. This is an excuse that simply can't withstand scrutiny. If the MassCourt system is so horribly designed that it truly requires a bar number for every user, assign temporary numbers to the media, beginning with 999, or something like that. This is not a technical problem.

But I'm incredibly pleased that last week public access to civil dockets in Middlesex and Barnstable went live. Because Middlesex holds the bulk of my attention, it solves much, but not all of the MassCourt system problems for me.

I'd urge the Committee to recommend similar access for the remaining counties soon. If they can't be immediately accomplished, please credential the media for electronic access to the system, the same access as the bar. Because the court already has a registration process for news media, with 138 organizations and 60 individuals registered, this should not be burdensome.

But beyond criminal dockets, you have hard decisions on the criminal -- sorry. Beyond civil, you have hard decisions on the criminal side. I understand the desire to keep criminal docket information confidential within the confines of the CORI law and others. But ultimately records do need to be available at some level. In the Trial Court -- in the Trial Court system, the superior court criminal cases were available to the press and to the bar over the Internet, as long as you had the docket number. If you didn't, you were stuck. The MassCourts system must provide that level of access, or more. And you'd be able to check the status of a criminal case from my office and recheck it on a daily basis to determine if there'd been filings, rulings, or decisions. A phone call to the courthouse or a trip there is not adequate substitute. It is not practical to call on a daily basis for any but the most critical cases.

In the MA Trial Court system, searches by party name for criminal cases were not available over the Internet, only at the terminal in a courthouse. That was an annoyance, and I imagine was viewed as a compromise between public disclosure and confidentiality. An analogous setup in the MassCourts system would be something we could live with; not the best or most convenient, but an adequate balance between competing factors.

In the Pacer system, all criminal case dockets are available to anyone with a Pacer account, and anyone can sign up for one, though there's a 10 cent per page charge. By requiring accounts

in the system, Pacer effectively places an appropriate limit on the spread of criminal information.

2.4

Because of confidentiality concerns, I'd urge the MassCourts system to allow access to criminal dockets to members of the press and bar by registration, and to also consider public access to registered members of the public. It's hard to balance the public interest and the media interest.

As a journalist, my heart cares about the free act of information by the public, and I want to strongly argue for the public having easy access to all information, including criminal. But by background -- I'm a computer scientist and engineer -- I understand the dangers of public dissemination of some kinds of information. Should it be the case that Googling a person's name, they return a link to the MassCourts system if the person was charged with a crime but not convicted? Yes, if the case rose to the level of being written about in the press. A Google search will surely return press articles. But most cases do not get that level of publicity.

There's also a question of the significance of the case.

Stakes are higher in federal cases in the Pacer system. They're far lower at the county district court level, or the Boston

Municipal Court. They're also higher in the superior court. A compromise that allowed online access to criminal information in the superior court but not the district courts could be quite reasonable. It's also consistent with the principle of not

reducing the level of access.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Media and the bar had access to superior court dockets before. We should have that access again, even while the court considers what to do about access to the general public, also, on physical access.

In the federal Pacer system, all documents are available electronically. Maybe we've been spoiled, but as reporters we have electronic access to briefs, affidavits, and opinions that we can posted electronically and reach and search electronically. My practice is to bring a portable scanner to the courthouse and scan several hundreds of pages of dockets for later review. But this practice has been frustrated by the strict policies of different In the superior court in Middlesex, I can use my scanner. But in Suffolk Superior Court, upstairs, I have to write a letter to the judge presiding over a civil case, requesting permission to use my scanner. Sometimes it's granted, sometimes it's not. Some judges require the written request be live pursuant to Rule 9A, and the parties be given a chance to object. But this makes little sense, since parties don't get to object when I go review the file at the table at the desk upstairs. Otherwise, I can use their photocopier, which charges between 50 cents, 40 cents, 50 cents, a dollar a page. I don't have the budget to make hundreds of pages of copies at those rates. The result is I write a worse story. It's not that I pay money to the Commonwealth or the court system.

On one memorable occasion, having been granted written permission to use my scanner, I was told I couldn't break a binding on the bound brief, so I used a camera to photograph the pages. Then I was told that my letter didn't authorize use of the camera, only to the scanner. I'd have to write another letter to a judge and get another response permitting me to photograph the pages.

In the land court, just two flights up, I can use my scanner. I don't know what the rule is at Suffolk Criminal, but I think it may be an unconditional no.

The system needs to be made more consistent and more permissive. Until electronic downloading of court documents is a reality, the press and public should be permitted to use scanners and cameras on case documents, subject to reasonable time, place, and restrictions.

Thanks very much.

JUDGE LAURIAT: Thank you very much, sir. Next, Todd Kaplan.

MR. KAPLAN: Thank you. Good afternoon. Thank you for the opportunity to speak before you. My name is Todd Kaplan. I'm a senior attorney at Greater Boston Legal Services in the Consumer Rights Unit.

And these comments are intended to assist you in the difficult task of balancing public access to court records with concerns about how Internet access would make it easier to properly use these records. I think it's -- as all of you know,

because you work with the court system, what we're trying to do is move something, use a basically a database court management system and call it something else. We're trying to call a court record system. It is not a court record system as all of you know. It is something that was designed originally to help the courts docket documents; make sure the calendars are accurate; put some basic information in; and allow people to -- and it evolved, and allows people to show how fast cases are moving through the system. It's basically there to assist the court in court management.

Now we're trying to turn that system, which we all recognize has its flaws, because we're all human beings, and this is a strained system. It's becoming more strained every day, because of diminished resources. We're trying to turn that into a record system. It is like fitting a square peg in a round hole. It does not fit. Now what happens is unintended consequences.

So as you've heard several times today from advocates and impacted individuals, court records are often used as a basis for denying credit, housing, employment, insurance, and I'm sure lots of other things. And this is often regardless of the accuracy of the records. Let me give you one example. As Esme Caramello said earlier, I want to reiterate that in housing court summary process cases, often adult members who are not the head of household, who are not the person that will sign the lease, who are not the person that pay the rent, are named in summary process complaints.

Why? Because landlords think they have to do that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, as you heard from New England Risk Management, those people are now labeled evicted if that eviction goes through, even though they had no responsibility for that apartment. They are now effectively -- got a mark against them just because they're listed in the court record database. I think we can all agree that is not fair. And it's probably something that can't be resolved easily. So you are really stuck with a hard, hard problem about balancing these different interests.

But I think I want to talk about a little bit about some of the work that I've done with people who've been evicted or had evictions filed against them. I worked with many, many people who stopped paying rent because of bad conditions in their apartments, elder is one of those people, et cetera. And we often come to agreements, agreements where that's what the court encourages to do. And many, many times these end up with agreements where people can stay in their homes. But there are concessions. Sometimes I pay a little bit less rent, the landlord comes in and makes those repairs. They move forward. Well, guess what? England Risk Management now says that because we filed an agreement for judgment, that they say a judgment was entered against the tenant, even though that very well -- that agreement might very well say, "I'm going to pay \$500, landlord can make these repairs, and after six months we're going to move on and continue that landlord-tenant relationship." New England Risk

Management effectively said that person was evicted, and if they move on to new housing, that's going to be a bad mark against them.

So the real question's whether access at a courthouse fulfills the public's need, and whether you can balance Internet access with the unintended consequences and administrative burden that is necessary, or even makes sense. So the question is really, should we just say people can actually go to the courthouse, verify the accuracy of the information? And I just want to say, where is the accurate information? You all know it. When the court wants to find out what's really going on with a case, they look at the docket. They don't -- if there's a discrepancy between what the computer record says and what the docket says, of course the docket holds.

If there's questions about the accuracy of whether an entry is made correctly or something's scheduled properly, you look at the docket. Well, that's what we can do at the courthouse.

So I'd like you to think about whether, when you're trying to balance these different interests, whether you should just say, "You have to come to the courthouse" for those records that you decide should be available to the public. And there may be some that are restricted; you know, restraining orders, et cetera. But for those that you decide those should be available and continue to be available at the courthouse is where we all know the most accurate information is available.

I want to also suggest that if you decide that public access is important for some functions, like for example someone wants to know when their next court date is, that that might be a reasonable thing to do, that you have scheduling information that is available on the Internet, but not other information as to outcome or even parties' names, as was suggested earlier.

Initials would suffice. If someone knows their docket number, they can look up and they can determine what the next scheduling information date is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I want to just also say about what happens when this inaccurate information gets out there. Well, today, after you're denied employment, after you're denied housing, after you're denied insurance, you might get a notice that there is something bad in your record that said that you had a bad mark. New England Risk Management sent out a report you have a bad mark. It's after the event. It's after you're denied employment if you get that report. So what is available today -- and a lot of people talked about putting the genie back in the bottle. information is out there. It's used against you in a negative And even if you might successfully challenge it later -manner. again, thinking about the burden on the courts, all those people that have to go to the courts to fix their information, repeatedly, "Come on, I need you to fix it, because I'm being denied housing, I'm being denied employment, I'm being denied insurance or what have you." Now we're going to be faced with a

situation of all those people having to go to the court system to ask you to fix it.

So I guess my final statement is that I think with all these measures I've identified do not prevent all abuse in the court's large court system. Once this information's electronically available and widely distributed electronically, we will have no ability whatsoever to ensure that this information is not abused. Now is the time to step back and ensure that the public in-person access is provided to a limited subset of court records, as I've mentioned, in a thoughtful manner that ensures that the abuses of widespread distribution are limited.

Thank you for your time.

2.3

2.4

JUDGE LAURIAT: Thank you very much. Next, Melinda Kent.

MS. KENT: Good afternoon, members of the Committee. My name is Melinda Kent. I'm the manager of the Research Services

Department at the Harvard Law School Library, and president of

LLNE, the Law Librarians of New England. LLNE members work in

college and university libraries, law schools, law firms, and

trial court libraries throughout New England. In each of these

settings, we, as librarians, and the lawyers, paralegals, faculty

students, and members of the public who are our patrons, rely on

the availability of court records for their work, study, and for

access to the justice system.

Public access to court proceedings and records is a fundamental principle of our judicial system. Access to court

records is necessary for both those involved in a specific case and for the general public. In previous guidelines and policy statements, Massachusetts courts have recognized that general public access to court documents allows the public to learn about the operation of the judicial system, and fosters discussion of public matters.

2.3

2.4

General public access to court records supports fairness, accountability, and public confidence in the judicial system.

Court docket access is particularly crucial to members of the public who come to law libraries for help navigating the justice system. Any system for providing public access to court records should be tailored to make access technologically, financially, and physically easier for those whose access to the courts already face significant barriers.

A system which provides open online access to docket sheets and court documents would allow librarians to help these self-represented litigants, and would remove barriers to access created by requiring litigants and researchers to come to the courts during business hours. In turn, this could alleviate the burden on court clerks and other court staff who'd otherwise be needed to provide onsite help.

In law school, college, and university libraries, scholars and students all seize court records in a number of ways. As law schools move towards providing more experiential education, access to court documents becomes even more important. Professors use

real court dockets and filings to provide realistic models for students learning trial practice and the nuts and bolts of lawyering. And in addition, many of our students participate in legal clinics, representing real clients who would otherwise have limited access to legal representation.

As more court dockets and records become available online, scholars are also able to use these records to empirically study trends in justice and court administration. These studies, in turn, may be the catalyst for increases in fairness and efficiency in the courts.

As more material is put online, more is expected to be online. We do our banking via our phones, and check out library books in the middle of the night. Modern research as a lawyer is to expect quick and easy access to documents and dockets. When I started as a librarian 15 years ago, getting court documents involved phone calls, letters, paid researchers, and trips to the court. But increasingly, docket sheets and case documents from other jurisdictions are available online, saving the time and effort of both users and overworked court staff.

Many states currently provide online public access to dockets. And you've heard many people talking about the access available through Pacer. According to the National Center for State Courts, over a dozen states, including our neighbor, Connecticut, also provide online access to some case documents. These systems serve as a model for expanded Massachusetts access.

We ask that any new rules or systems created to provide public and online access to Massachusetts court records, take into account the wide range of librarians, lawyers, and other members of the public, both in and outside of Massachusetts, who have a vital interest in the work of the Massachusetts courts. With carefully drawn exceptions to protect safety and privacy, the guiding principles for any system should be transparency and open access. Systems permit access should include at minimum, online docket access, and ideally should also provide access to court documents as well.

Electronic and remote access should mirror onsite access whenever possible. And systems for remote access should also support accessibility and access to justice for all users, rather than creating separate classes of access to general court information.

I'd like to say thank you to members of the Committee for your work on this very difficult issue, and for giving me the opportunity to express my views and to the views of LLNE. Please also let us know if there's any way that we, as information professionals, can assist your committee. I look forward to the continued opportunities to provide public comments on this issue. Thank you.

JUDGE LAURIAT: Thank you very much. Given our limited resources, there is a collection plate at the door if anyone would like to lose additional money. Maybe I'll swap mics. I'll just

swap mics. See if it's the mic.

2.3

2.4

Okay. We'll give it a shot. We'll keep going. Next, Patricia Levesh, if I've pronounced your name correctly. I apologize.

MS. LEVESH: Good afternoon, Judge.

JUDGE LAURIAT: Afternoon.

MS. LEVESH: Members of the Committee. Sorry.

JUDGE LAURIAT: That's fine.

MS. LEVESH: My name is Patricia Levesh. I manage the Family Law Practice at Greater Boston Legal Services. We represent thousands of victims of domestic violence, elder abuse, and sexual assault in probate and family court and district court and the Boston municipal courts.

I am joined in this testimony by the Victim Rights Law

Center, which provides civil legal services to victims of sexual

assault, the Boston Area Rape Crisis Center; Jane Doe, Inc., the

umbrella organization for domestic violence shelter and -- shelter

and other service providers; the Second Step, a domestic violence

service provider; Northeast Legal Aid; Northeast Justice System;

and others whose constituencies include victims of domestic abuse

and sexual assaults.

I want to briefly make six points. I have -- will be filing written testimony that more fully fleshes out these six points.

The first is that the Federal Violence Against Women Act, also known as VAWA, prohibits Internet publication of key protected

order -- protective order information. 18 USC, Section 2265(d)(3) says a state shall not make available publicly on the Internet, any information regarding the registration or filing of a protection order, a restraining order, or an injunction if such publication would be likely to publicly reveal the identity or location of the party protected under the order. Any information likely to reveal the identity or location of a victim.

2.4

This means that court dockets should not contain the name or address of any person who applies for a protective order from any trial court. The prohibition of information likely to publicly reveal the identity or location of the party protected could include information beyond the name and address of those persons. For example, revealing a defendant's name can flag a victim who is married to or otherwise associated with that defendant. Anyone familiar with the defendant can easily determine the name of the petitioner.

This prohibition could be extended to domestic relations cases, because they're cross-referenced with any prior or existing orders of protection, and are often consolidated with other matters concerning the same parties and families.

Online availability of domestic relations matters therefore, is not just likely to publicly reveal identifying and location information of victims, it is almost guaranteed to do so. As such, to make these files, as well as abuse prevention files available online, would violate the protective provisions of VAWA.

Secondly, if victims and survivors know that their information will be publicly available online, they would be less likely to approach the courts for the protection that they so desperately need. People caught in dangerous and abusive situations or have been sexually assaulted, already find it difficult to approach the courts for protection for a variety of reasons, such as shame, stigma, or fear of retribution by the abuser. Listing the names of victims and defendants on the Internet will further inhibit victims from reporting abuse or seeking help to escape the violence.

Third, the privacy and safety interest of domestic violence and sexual assault victims, survivors, and their children, are jeopardized by public access to restraining order and domestic relations cases. As Attorney Godson mentioned previously, abuse prevention and domestic relations case files contain very sensitive and intimate information that should not be made public to protect the privacy and safety interest of the litigants and their children and other family members. The files can contain sworn statements outlining potentially embarrassing, intimate information, pleadings with allegations of parental deficits, mental illness, substance abuse, child abuse, and Department of Children and Families involvement.

Orders are frequently entered requiring psychological evaluations, drug and alcohol screening, batterers treatment, and other remedies that obviously reveal negative family dynamics.

Care and custody of children is a central issue in these matters. And courts try to take pains to prevent children from being harmed by the proceedings. But none of these documents should be publicly available online.

2.3

2.4

In addition, batterers and stalkers are adept at using technology to further their aims of maintaining control and finding their victims by applying a variety of telephone, surveillance, and computer technologies to harass, terrify, intimidate, coerce, and monitor former and current intimate partners. Examples include sending multiple e-mails or text messages a day, monitoring computer activity with spyware, tracking the location of a victim's car with GPS, watching the victim through hidden cameras, intercepting phone calls and messages, and impersonating the victim.

Permitting unfettered access to court records online in domestic relations and protective order cases, gives these perpetrators more ammunition for their technology arsenal.

Fourth, public access to restraining orders in domestic relations cases, could be to discrimination against victims of domestic abuse and sexual assault. As you heard earlier, landlords often use the information they find in district court and housing court records to discriminate against tenants. Some people still have negative assumptions about the dynamics of family violence, or blame the victims.

Employers may believe that hiring a victim or a survivor

poses a threat to the workplace, or that the employee's family drama will lead to unsatisfactory work performance, or excessive absence. Landlords may believe that the abuser will cause trouble at the property, and that the victim has a financial -- has no financial stability and will be an unreliable rent payer.

Making even more information that is private available online would give employers, property owners, and others, an increased ability to discriminate against victims of abuse and sexual assaults, thereby making it more difficult for them to get employment and housing that they need to escape the violence.

Fifth, incapacitated individuals may be preyed upon if files are made available online. Probate and family court hears cases involving incapacitated persons and minors in guardianships, Rogers cases, and conservatorships. District courts handle civil commitment proceedings. The very nature of these cases flags the existence of mental illness, substance abuse, dementia, cognitive incapacity, and parental unfitness, as well as financial information. The subject of these matters are typically very vulnerable and in need of protective oversight, making them easy prey for unscrupulous scammers and criminals.

Finally, Internet access to domestic relations and abuse prevention cases would increase the use of case-related information for purposes unrelated to the litigation or to public oversight of the judicial process. One is identity theft. Public disclosure of court records online will facilitate identity theft

by providing easy access to personal identifying information to unscrupulous persons. The other is abuses by data brokers.

Technology has enabled data brokers to amass vast amounts of information to aggregate and use for commercial purposes. They compile and sell information gathered from multiple sources, including court records. Once the victim's information is remotely lifted from a court record, there is no way to control the use of that information, or the dissemination of it further. There's no way to correct it, and no way to expunge it. Providing this information to data brokers does not in any way further the court oversight public policy reasons for access to court files.

For these reasons and the reasons more fully stated in my written testimony, GBLS and its cosigners respectfully request that no case file information from the probate and family court or from any protective order or civil commitment proceeding in district court and Boston municipal courts be made publicly available online.

I thank you for your time.

2.4

JUDGE LAURIAT: Thank you very much. Next, Bharani
Padmanabhan. She's not checked in? Okay. Jeffrey Pyle. Thank
you very much.

MR. PYLE: Good afternoon. My name is Jeff Pyle. I'm a partner at the law firm of Prince, Lobel, Tye, here in Boston. And I'm here on behalf of a group of news media and public interest organizations that have a profound interest in the

matters that are before you. They are the New England Newspaper and Press Association, the New England First Amendment Coalition, Courthouse News Services, and Bloomberg BNA.

On May 1<sup>st</sup>, our office sent a letter to this committee, asking it to take steps to restore civil superior court docket information to the public, and to overturn what had been a -- what I understand to be an unintentional restriction of that civil docket information to attorneys only. And we're gratified to hear that there are plans to make those civil dockets once again available to the public. But at present, only Middlesex and Barnstable Counties currently have access to civil superior court docket information, whereas the counties of Essex, Nantucket, Dukes, Bristol, Hampshire, Franklin, Berkshire, and Worcester are all on MassCourts.org, but you need to be an attorney to see those cases. And we'd be interested to know if there's a timetable for flipping the switch to allow those to be accessible without an attorney log-in.

As we explained in our May 1<sup>st</sup> letter, public access to court records is not just a good public benefit in the here and now, it is a part of this commonwealth's proud legal heritage. The Body of Liberties of 1641 provided that every inhabitant of the county shall have free liberties to search and review any rolls and registers or records of any court; 1641.

Docket sheets, in particular, which our letter was about, permit the public to actually assert the essential oversight

function that people have spoken to about today about why we have public access. And just as Oliver Wendell Holmes identified that oversight restriction in a case going -- that oversight purpose in a case going back to 1884.

More recently in the *Pellegrino* case out of the Second Circuit, the court held that docket sheets must be public under the First Amendment because they endow the public and the press with the ability to exercise the access rights that are such an important part of our legal heritage. And you've heard from journalists today about the importance of access to court records.

We understand the Committee's going to continue its work to look at these rules. And as many other speakers have done, I'd like to offer what our coalition considers to be the important guiding principles.

First, as has been said by other speakers, dockets and court documents should be every bit as public online as they are on paper. It is certainly true that putting information online makes it more conveniently available to the public. That serves the longstanding principle of publicity and oversight that I just mentioned. It may also be true that putting stuff online makes it more convenient and means less practical obscurity for those documents, making public dissemination of that information more likely. But that is exactly what our legal system intends.

The Supreme Court in 1975 pointed out that, "The freedom of the press to publish information and public records is of critical

importance to our type of government, in which the citizenry is the final judge of the proper conduct of public business." That's the  $Cox\ v$ . Cohn Broadcasting case.

And the law has been pretty clear for a long time that strictly speaking there is no privacy interest in court records and information that are publicly available in a courthouse.

There is no such privacy interest.

There are legitimate privacy interests that come up in court proceedings all the time. And we have a well-developed body of case law to deal with that. It's an impoundment, and the Massachusetts Uniform Rules of Impoundment Procedure. It may be that putting court documents online might result in attorneys being more keen to the privacy interests of their clients and perhaps more likely to move to impound court documents. But it is — what the Rules of Impoundment Procedure say that the courts have to take impoundment matters on a case by case basis, considering the public's right of access to the particular information being sought to be impounded, and balancing that against the privacy interests involved.

So what this Committee, we respectfully suggest should not do, is go through different types of documents to be filed in court, and which are routinely found in court, and say, "Well, those may be public in the courthouse, but they should not be public online." There is already a well-developed body of case law on impoundment. And it ought to be -- and impoundment ought

to be the solution to the privacy issue, to the extent that there is one.

We've also heard folks talking about inaccurate docket sheets as a reason to withhold docket sheets from the public. That, I respectfully suggest, is an argument for more accurate docket sheets, not an accurate for restricting access to the public.

Certainly also the issue of personally identifying information and identity theft has been raised before you. The answer to that question rests in the court's interim guidelines about personally identifiable information, which put the onus squarely on attorneys to redact such information before it gets filed.

On all of these questions, the Committee, we respectfully suggest, ought to rely on attorneys to do their jobs, keep matters out of the public record, if they can satisfy the good college standard for impoundment, and redact personally identifiable information; not to take wholesale measures to restrict access to documents which may or may not have such information in them.

The second principle, very briefly, we'd like to suggest is that there should be no differing types of access between different classes of people. Access to documents, whether they are put up in full text online, or in dockets, should be equally available to attorneys and non-attorneys, including journalists, pro se litigants, and the general public. And we're pleased to see that MassCourts.org appears to be moving in that direction.

But the court should also take the same approach when it gets to the point of putting full text court documents online. That, of course, is what the Supreme Judicial Court itself said in its 2003 policy statement about online records, where it said attorneys, litigants, and the media should have no greater access than the general public has to information about cases in which they're not directly involved. That is the Supreme Judicial Court's current statement on the issue.

Finally, and I'll wrap up with this, is, documents should be available free of charge, if possible. Court documents, as has been said before, are public documents. And the court should not be creating any barriers to access, if they can be avoided. If any fees are charged for access, they should be reasonable, and should be applied equally among all different people seeking access to those court documents.

So on behalf of NENPA, NEFAC, the Courthouse News, and Bloomberg BNA, I'd like to thank you again for the opportunity to make these remarks today, and to suggest we'd be happy to help the Committee in the future in any way we can. Thank you.

JUDGE LAURIAT: Thank you very much. Pauline Quirion.

Again, if I've pronounced you correctly, I -- thank you very much.

MS. QUIRION: Hello. My name is Pauline Quirion. I'm from Greater Boston Legal Services from the CORI and Re-Entry Project. I'm also a long-time Legal Services attorney. I've been a lawyer for over 30 years.

First I'd like to respond to Attorney Pyle's comment that impoundment would be the solution to the problem of access. It's important for the Committee to recognize that providing Internet access to dockets, particularly in criminal cases, really would be unfair to the poor. You know, the right to counsel in a criminal case doesn't extend to litigating, for example, privacy issues, or even the collateral consequences of a criminal record.

Similarly, in civil cases, nowadays people appear pro se.

There's been an explosion in pro se litigants over the past few decades. Those litigants are not going to be aware of their -- that there's any possibility that their cases would be online, particularly past litigants. They had no idea. Myself, as an attorney, for all the people I've represented over the years, I never had a notion that the information might become public on the Internet.

In a nutshell, it's really unfair to poor people to put the information online, because in terms of the floodgates it may open up and the bad things that'll happen, the disparate impact will be on the poor.

And in terms of Legal Services attorneys, there aren't enough of us to represent all the poor people in the state. So if you have electronic access, Legal Services will basically be the dustpan that follows the electronic access elephant. And we're just not going to be able to handle all of the particular problems.

Internet access also would really cause a lot of damage to people who are trying to seal their criminal records. We recently had a whole overhaul of the criminal record sealing system. And if there's court access on the Internet, and a record ends up in a database of one of these data mining companies, or, for example, there's just some malicious person out there, once on the Internet, you can't take it back. And the Internet operates 24 hours a day. We all know that cyberbullying, malicious tweets, identity theft, harassment, and the commercial sale and exploitation of personal information happens every day on the Internet. It's a routine occurrence. And you really can't unring the bell once the information is released.

So a lot of the people I represent every day -- GBLS sees about 800 people a year -- most people come to our office because they can't get a job because of their criminal record. And the only way for them to get employment is to seal that record. And then once the record's sealed, they can get a job. Then they don't have to be on public assistance. It's not so expensive for the State. But if you start putting records online, basically you're going to get rid of that remedy. And again, you're going to have large scale unemployment.

I won't belabor the point about the background checking companies, because other people have testified about that. I do want to touch upon the issue of errors in court records. You know, our sealing practice, we routinely go and look at docket

sheets. And by the way, if there was electronic access, that would make my life, personally, a lot easier. I wouldn't have to go to Roxbury or Dorchester. But as an officer of the court, and someone who cares about justice, you have to look at who's involved in the justice system. There's the Commonwealth. There are defendants. There are witnesses. There are other people involved in the system. And too much is lost by virtue of the convenience that would enure to me because I'd be able to just go on the Internet. I prefer to keep schlepping to Dorchester and Roxbury, whatever court I might need to go to, and actually get those dockets the old fashioned way.

2.4

And if you have an iPhone I have an app. It's called TurboScan. It takes me about two seconds. You know, I haven't found it to be onerous. And I don't spend a dime getting my copies of the docket sheets in particular.

One final thing I would mention, picking up on the comments of Fran Fajana from Mass. Law Reform, you know, there is the CJIS system out there that's available if somebody wants to get access to criminal records. So that's available in addition to the person going to review files at the courthouse. So there's no need to reinvent the wheel and create a system that it's beyond access to the records just so that newspaper companies and other people who want to make money on the particular records have easier access.

And my final comment would be if the Committee were

considering expanding itself -- though it seems that 22 people, that seems plenty enough members to come up with a policy on these issues -- I would also suggest that you get input from the Legal Services community.

JUDGE LAURIAT: Thank you very much. Matthew -- that's the signal. It's six o'clock. Time for everybody to go. Goodbye.

Okay, there we are. Those few who remain will go forward.

Matthew Segal, sir, if you'd come forward.

MR. SEGAL: Thank you, Your Honor, and members of the Committee. I set that up to make sure everyone would be awake for this.

I want to thank you for the opportunity to speak. Given the great points that have been made before this point in the session, I just want to mention three things, three principles, really, that in the view of the ACLU of Massachusetts, where I'm legal director, can guide this Committee's work going forward.

The first principle is that courts and court records should be as open as possible to as many people as possible. And you've heard today about the equality principles underlying that ideal, and the First Amendment principles underlying that ideal. Of course there should not be undue distinctions between who can — the people who can access records. But also, it's important to be sensitive to the fact that the First Amendment, Article 16 of the Massachusetts Declaration of Rights, and the Common Law, all guarantee access to records. And that guarantee should guide this

Committee's work.

2.4

The second point is that openness should be the default; not just in the Committee's thinking about this issue, but in the mechanics of how access works. So some of the very good ideas that you have heard today about the situations in which access material is sensitive, material might need to be limited in who should see it, they all derive from systems in which it is the responsibility of lawyers and judges at the front end to designate something that is needing to be impounded or redacted, and not at the back end with wholesale impoundment of entire records or entire cases. This is really the virtue of the idea that has been suggested to you again and again today of the Pacer rule. That principle is in play there.

And the third point that I want to make has less to do with the fight that's -- some of the debates that you've heard today, but really the opportunity that this entire process represents. This is an enormous opportunity for the State. And just listening to the testimony here today, or the comments you've heard, I mean we've heard from lawyers, we've heard from journalists, we've heard from librarians, we've heard from people who represent the poor. There are also social scientists, academics, all kinds of people who are lucky enough to be here and who we're lucky enough to have access to in Massachusetts. And so many of them have been stymied by the restrictions on access.

So, you know, we could be the commonwealth or the state in

which we do marvelous things with the data in our court systems. But instead, last week we were the state in which there was a hearing on mandatory minimums. And the only people who could bring data to bear on that hearing were the chief justice and the district attorneys, because everyone else is effectively shut out.

2.3

2.4

And we are also the state in which we had this enormous drug lab scandal which my office was involved in litigating, the Annie Dookhan scandal. And instead of the state that is on the front lines of data, we are the state in which years after Dookhan's misconduct was disclosed, we don't even have a list yet of the cases on which she worked.

So I just want to end there to say that this is an enormous opportunity. Everyone at the ACLU of Massachusetts is grateful for the work that's being done, and is happy to help in any way we can. Thank you.

JUDGE LAURIAT: Thank you. Thank you very much. Denise Squillante. It did it again.

MS. SQUILLANTE: Good afternoon. My name's Denise

Squillante. I know many of you. But for those of you who don't know me, I'm past president of the Massachusetts Bar Association, past president of the New England Bar Association, Fall River Bar Association, and past member of the ABA House of Delegates, past board member of the National Conference of Bar Presidents. I'm a board member of the Bristol County Bar Association, and still on the board of New England Bar, and member of the Court Management

Advisory Board. I'm past chair of Family Law, and a board member of the Inner Courts.

The only reason -- and those of you who know me, the only reason that I would tell you what my past credentials are, is to let you know that I've been around quite a bit. But I'm here today in my individual capacity, and I appreciate the opportunity to speak to you, and to answer any questions that you have.

I've been practicing family law for 32 years this year. This year, walking into the courthouse in the neighborhood on just about a daily basis, sometimes juggling two, three cases, I've seen enormous changes in the law throughout my career. Internet access to probate court records -- and I really want to speak about probate court, because that's really where my expertise is -- is of particular interest to me.

Years ago I was on a committee on this issue for the probate court under the leadership of then Judge Chouteau Merrill. I have profound concerns about the balance between Internet access and privacy, and frankly, the risk of harm. More importantly, I have serious concerns about the potential impact of what I'm hearing about open files having on children, in particular in the probate court. Not only children, but incapacitated individuals, wards, victims of domestic violence, or the defendants in victim -- in domestic violence cases.

The Internet is a wonderful, and a very, very necessary tool.

I'm sure everyone in this room right now would agree with that.

However, I've watched over the decades how the Internet, as useful as it is, has wreaked havoc in families. I can't describe to you how many cases in the course of a week involve what's posted on social media, who's done what on social media, who's posted what from the Internet access. And the list could go on and on.

And it started out kind of as a vanilla thing. When PC computers came into households, the gripe in family law cases was, "My spouse is up all night playing games." And then it went to meeting all kinds of folks on the Internet. And then it goes on to searches. And now we have tons of stories on the news about hacking into records, getting private information. And the list goes on and on.

While I recognize fully that the court system has to move into the 21<sup>st</sup> Century, and that the public litigants and some bar members may be looking for the ease of Internet access, I suggest to you that there are some very serious considerations for the probate court. And I don't profess that I know all the answers about the balancing.

Many years ago it was mentioned to me -- and this has got to be at least 15 years ago -- that a friend of a minor child, both two minor children, asked his friend, who happened to be the son of a judge, how to access his parents' divorce file on the Internet. He wanted to read what was going on in his own parents' divorce. Family law cases have significant sensitive family issues. And I would suggest to you that those issues far outweigh

any public interest that any media source would seek; disclosure of health factors, for example, in pleadings to support a position under 208, 34. For example, to show how a sexually transmitted disease was passed from one spouse to another. Is there really a public right to know that? As a lawyer, you have to do all of your pleadings to support your case before the court. It would be impossible to have redacted and impounded pleadings on all of those circumstances.

2.4

Or how about motion for random drug screening? Employers, people that -- the deans at schools are always searching individual's names. So I would respectfully suggest that having those kinds of things up on the Internet could not only chill employment, cause a lack of employment, or could cause some other serious harm to an individual.

Motions for supervised parenting time usually are accompanied by affidavits, and some very serious allegations. Affidavits and their contents are replete within the probate court. Victims of domestic violence carry shame. And this could very well be exacerbated by having their information out in the public.

Regardless of impoundment under the orders relative to restraining orders, if there is a companion case like a divorce or a separate support action, there frankly would also be other pleadings in that file.

Or how about a meritless restraining order, or information about false allegations contained in a file? Identity theft,

children in particular. Impacted children. What about when their friends read their friends' divorce file? Could it then result in bullying? Much of unintended results from ease of access could be irreparable.

2.4

In discussions with bar leaders from all over the country over the years on this issue, the conclusion is once it goes up, you can't take it back. The courts continue to strain from a lack of financial resources and manpower, although we're moving forward in a renaissance in the court and the commonwealth on many fronts. But this adds a whole potentially new dimension.

For the interest of families whose lives are disclosed in probate court, my request is that there be a very careful and very exhaustive determination of what should and shouldn't be on the Internet, and also having adequate staffing in the courthouse that could deal with some of these issues; how we handle information when there are significant risks; how do we protect family privacy; how is the court going to ensure that records are not cut and pasted and put up on YouTube or on Facebook; will judges, especially in the probate court, get profiled and put them more at risk?

And this is very different, I would suggest, that when you say, okay, federal court, they're all up on the Internet. Well, quite frankly, there you might be dealing with issues where the remedy is money. Here we're talking about serious harms.

So in thinking about this -- and I want to suggest to you

that when the founders of the First Amendment -- and we had our Public Records Statute which is archaic -- was ever passed, the Internet was never a figment of anybody's imagination. And I would suggest that two things really need to be vetted as this Committee moves forward. One is, what is a public record? I think that needs to be determined in each particular court department.

Two, if we're moving forward towards records on the Internet, then I would respectfully suggest that the impoundment rules are really going to need to be looked at.

If, as I've heard somebody suggest, that the lawyers need to be the gatekeepers, then I would suggest that the very stringent Rules on Impoundment would need to be reviewed and changed.

And third, I would suggest that as you're moving forward, that you really consider members of the bar who practice in the different court departments joining your Committee, or being part of a subcommittee. Thank you.

JUDGE LAURIAT: Thank you very much. Horace Smith, who I think we bumped over. I apologize. Small. I'm sorry. I didn't have my glasses on. I apologize.

MR. SMALL: Mr. Chairman, I certainly understand what it's like not to have your glasses on. So apology accepted.

Members of the Committee, good evening. My name is Horace Small. And I am the executive director of an organization called the Union of Minority Neighborhoods. We're based in the Jamaica

Plain section of Boston.

What makes it significant for me to be here today was that it was my organization that for seven years led the fight for criminal justice reform in Massachusetts through the Mass.

Reliance to Reform CORI, getting legislation through. It took seven years for a lot of reasons. It took seven years because of misinformation and bad ideas; misinformation such as -- what's a good one? Well, if we give people second chances, they will go into neighborhoods and terrorize people. Well, I mean, there's not too many black guys from Mattapan are going to go to Wellesley, you know, to commit crime. That didn't happen.

The other line that was told was that if we take people with criminal records, they'll go into companies if they're violent, and kill people and hurt people. Well, that didn't happen either.

So, you know, we also were told that if people with criminal records, if we reform criminal justice reform, you know, prison guards, Union might have to lay off people. Well, all that didn't happen either.

What's clear for all of us is that -- and I speak today on behalf of the hundreds of thousands of people who were impacted and were affected by criminal justice by CORI reform -- by CORI, very simply is that this is an absolutely bad idea. The reason why we fought for CORI reform was because people wanted second chances. They'd made mistakes in their lives. They wanted second chances. They wanted to go forward. They wanted to get educated.

They wanted to raise their family. They wanted to be productive. They wanted to pay taxes. That's why we fought for criminal justice reform.

2.3

And this, putting this online -- one of the things we absolutely do know is that when you put these things online, you can't take those things back. I remember an e-mail I sent to Tom Menino, which I wish I hadn't of sent. You know, I didn't mean to send it. But it got -- it went out anyway, and I couldn't take it back. So, and that's the dilemma with putting things online.

So we learned early on that CORI reform was not just a -- it wasn't a racial issue, because, you know, there's three million people with CORIs, and that's an awful lot of white folks who had criminal records. So it wasn't racial, but it damn sure was a class issue.

And this too, again, is a class issue as well. For those of us who care deeply, who want to continue with their lives, who want to work to make their communities better, who want to be contributing factors in their community, this is absolutely, absolutely a very, very bad idea.

And as my sister who spoke before me said that lots of people could get access to records; children could get access to records; families could get access to records. This is a -- it would be debilitating and destroy the lives of individuals.

We ask you on behalf of hundreds of thousands of people who are affected by CORI re -- by CORI in the commonwealth of

Massachusetts, to really, really think about this, and to -- in the words of Nancy Reagan -- just say no. This is a really bad idea for them, because this would defeat everything that folks fought for for seven years.

And on that note, thank you. And it's Small, not Smith.

JUDGE LAURIAT: Thank you very much. Thank you. Try on my glasses again. Gracemarie Tomaselli.

MS. GRACEMARIE TOMASELLI: Should I wait?

JUDGE LAURIAT: Just pick it up. Just pick it up. Pick it up. There we go.

MS. GRACEMARIE TOMASELLI: See? I solved the problem. My sister and I -- she's the next person on. Would it be all right if we're both here together so that we can save time?

JUDGE LAURIAT: Sure.

2.3

2.4

MS. GRACEMARIE TOMASELLI: Do you want to call her up or ...?

JUDGE LAURIAT: Joyce Tomaselli.

MS. GRACEMARIE TOMASELLI: Thank you.

JUDGE LAURIAT: Uh-huh.

MS. GRACEMARIE TOMASELLI: I'm honored to have an opportunity to be here today. I've seen your names many times. No one wants to be a pro se litigant. And it's not easy being a pro se litigant. And it's not easy being in the court system, even when you have an attorney. The hours are incredible. And you don't go there unless you look for justice. And you come to the court

system for that. And my sister and I, since 1995, 20 years, we have been seeking justice. And the more we sought justice in every court, all we had to do was have the other side, which was the Town of Salisbury, and their attorneys, Kopelman and Paige, and Coppola and Coppola -- all they had to do was lie to every judge. And because they were so powerful, because municipalities are considered credible, and naturally Kopelman and Paige is considered credible. So what does that make us? That we're not credible?

However, I'm grateful that we had the EPA and the State support us, and get documentation. My sister, I have to commend her. She spent months going through EPA and State documents. The Town of Salisbury actually told the court that an 18 million dollar sewer project that was a hundred percent grant funded, they said it was 80 million, under oath, and said they got 90 percent in grant funds, which is 72 million. Thank God I had the EPA who said, "What are they kidding; do they know how much 80 million dollars was in the '80s?" And we lost at the Appellate Tax Board. But we were the ones telling the truth.

So we went to the Appeals Court. Again the lies continued.

And the more they won, the more we lost. We went to the Supreme

Judicial Court, federal court. When we spoke at the meetings they

called us out of the meetings and they put it on the Internet,

"Two Sisters Escorted." We weren't escorted because we were

troublesome. We were trying to get answers.

So what happens now is the more we lost and the more they won, the more credible they became in every court, even in the Federal Bankruptcy Court, Federal Court, First Circuit Court of Appeals. We went as far as the United States Supreme Court.

I don't know how many attorneys have written a petition for writ of certiorari. But my sister wrote two of them. And she's not an attorney, and neither am I. No one does this if you're not looking for justice.

So the reason I'm saying this is it's true you can pick up the Internet and you can look at the findings of fact and report. The facts were not facts at all. I looked at Judge Piper's decision. He used the wrong dates. He used the wrong amounts. He used everything that the Town of Salisbury gave. He didn't use not one document from the EPA, even after we asked for motion for reconsideration to please include the truth, so Your Honor, you can make a decision.

I don't want to be in court. We had a beautiful Italian restaurant. As Kevin Cullen said from the Boston Globe, one day my sister said, "I don't want to be writing motions. I really want to be serving linguini." And you know, it's true, all we wanted was our little Italian restaurant that the Town of Salisbury took away from us. And now we've lost our home, our foreclosure, and then the Land Court, and actually one of your courts now too, we're going to the Appeals Court.

We have to find justice somewhere. So please, when you put

information online for people to access, let's make sure it's the truth. It's the truth that we need out there, not the findings of fact that somebody wrote in that's not the truth.

And there's another thing that's very important. You know, credible is a very scary word. There are many very powerful people in this world who are credible, but they're not truthful. And we did say that to the court.

I know someday I will get court -- I will get justice from one of these courts. I really believe that we will. And I'm looking to you all to please look for the truth. Procedure is great. But why is it that we had 30 days to do something that it took a judge almost three years to make a decision, while we're accruing 16 percent interest? Why was my wrong bill that went into the Land Court, that was under \$50,000, and that was the wrong bill -- why is it over a quarter of a million dollars today? Why, while I've been waiting for the truth?

So please. You're very powerful people. You can do a lot of good, and you can do a lot of harm. Please be careful and think about the people who are coming to you as pro se litigants. They're not coming to you because they're troublesome. They're coming to you for help. So please remember that. Thank you.

JUDGE LAURIAT: Thank you.

2.3

MS. JOYCE TOMASELLI: Hello. I'm Joyce Tomaselli, as

Gracemarie just said. I want to thank you for this opportunity.

I advocate for transparency in government. And I have been

seeking justice for very many years through the court system.

There are some issues I'd like to bring forward. One thing is copies at a dollar a page is excessive, and is prohibitive if you're copying a case file. Many of us just cannot afford this cost.

Another thing, please have the courts record all hearings, including sidebar, and have a CD available at a nominal fee. My experience is the first judge made a decision at sidebar, but I didn't know this. He made a decision regarding an assessment date, making me and my sister liable for an assessment. This decision was wrong, and it was based on the Town of Salisbury's fraudulent misrep -- fraudulent representation.

This has cost us 15 to 20 years of litigation. And I have never been able to correct this error. You see, because once a finding is made, it becomes a fact. Even though it was based on fraudulent information, because -- because this decision, what they spoke about, wasn't recorded, I have no access to the discussion that went on at sidebar. And this should be a public record of the entire court proceeding.

Please allow parties to videotape all hearings, including sidebar. The transcript to create a public hearing -- a public record of the hearing, the cost is prohibitive for many of us. Example, it was \$7,000 for a two-week trial in the year 2000. I could not afford this charge, and never received the transcript. If I would've had a hearing recorded, I would have had access to a

CD. And all I wanted to hear was the truth of what was spoken.

This system of transcribing, only transcribing a court proceeding is archayiv [phonetic] and -- is archaic. Thank you. And what happens is, we have no access to what was truly said at a hearing. Not all transcribers do accurate work. And many of them use dashes to replace the words that were spoken. So even though you do an errata sheet, you never really have a true record of what transpired.

Another thing, pro se litigants need access to dockets and dockets online -- documents online. Example, I didn't know the Appellate Tax Board made a docketing error by not docketing a hearing. Being pro se, I had no access to the dockets online. So on our appeal, I referenced this hearing in my brief. The Salisbury Town Council, who attended the hearing wrote in the Town's red brief that we were not being truthful, because the hearing was not listed on the docket. I was so upset to receive this from the Town, I contacted the Appellate Tax Board, and they quickly corrected the docket and provided it to me with the corrected docket information, so I could submit it with my reply brief to the Appeals Court.

So the importance of having litigants access to docket sheets, I would have known that there was an error. I understand it was -- it was -- I believe it was a true error on the court's side. But because they made this error, and I didn't know, I was written that I was lying to the court, and I wasn't.

Please allow pro se litigants to file motions, et cetera, by fax or e-mail in every court. And lastly, but very important, because of my experience, my very difficult experiences, please, judges, please do not make decisions, written decisions, such as a matter of law, the case is denied, the case is allowed. Every decision should have a reason, and it should have the applicable law or the statute, a written reason that becomes a public record, so that people have an opportunity to appeal it if the decision is in error. When you have a decision saying a matter of law, I spent years going, "What law? What does this mean?" I never was able to find out the law. It's just -- it's just horrible to have a decision rendered in that way.

I'd also have appeal rights written in the decision. So this would give a party enough information to seek review and a remedy.

I thank you so very, very much for your time. Thank you.

JUDGE LAURIAT: Thank you both very much. Norma Wassel.

Oops, I did it again. Speed things up a little bit.

MS. WASSEL: Thank you so much.

JUDGE LAURIAT: Okay.

MS. WASSEL: My name is Norma Wassel. And I'm a licensed social worker. And I also am founder and current Chair of the Steering Committee of the Massachusetts Bail Fund. And I want to talk specifically around the issue of data that needs to be available for a group such as ourselves who are working on public advocacy, and actually impact State public policy.

I want to give you a couple of examples of how the lack of data, access to data, the lack of standardization impacts not only groups like ourselves, but people who are actually working on behalf of the State. Even legislators can't get this information.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So why did we found a Bail Fund? Social workers, defense attorneys, community advocates, were working and seeing clients being held on low bail across the state; fifty dollars, five hundred dollars. We founded a bail fund where we post bail for people on bail under five hundred dollars. This is happening throughout the commonwealth, and it's still continuing. more referrals than we can handle. We post bail. We operate a revolving bail fund. And also, because we were seeing systemic problems throughout the pretrial bail, we also looked at doing advocacy work. And some of this has resulted in actually filing of a bill that people may know about, Representative Sannicandro and Senator Donnelly, who have filed a bill on the act for pretrial process to look at bail reform that other states have implemented, including doing away with a cash bail so that the amount of money you have doesn't impact the justice that you get in this commonwealth.

I specifically want to talk about our advocating for access to court information for the public having open records, particularly in the pretrial bail process, and using this as an example of other groups throughout the state who are working on public advocacy areas, whether it be environmental, whether it be

a relationship to housing, of needing this information to inform us, and in turn help educate our legislators and people in public policy roles. They often look to us, organizations, for this information.

So we were seeing people being held. Currently our average bail for 2013, 2014 is \$364. So we have residents throughout the state of Massachusetts are being held on very low bail that you and I could afford, and have significant collateral consequences. They lose their kids, their housing. Perhaps they're in mental health substance abuse treatment and lose that as a matter of getting into, you know, jail, even for a couple of days.

The other thing I wanted you to be aware of is the lack of data also keeps systemic barriers still existing. So for example, we physically have to bail someone out, go to the jail in the evening. There's no systemic way that you can go to court, unless your client is in court that day, and post the bail. So we would like to even have you within your review of looking at the systemic issues that impact the procedures or acts as to justice in our state.

We have also wanted to recommend standardization. We have had major problems getting information even when the Commission on Criminal Justice members, or for example, my State Senator, who's Senator Pat Jehlen, who's on the Commission for Criminal Justice. They're asking us in our advocacy work to look at -- should I just put this down?

JUDGE LAURIAT: No. You're working out.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. WASSEL: To actually help them get data, because they have also not been successful. So we were wondering, are what we seeing the tip of the iceberg, wherein some counties that what's happening in New Bedford, Fall River, Holyoke, Worcester, Lawrence, Lowell, areas of extreme poverty? So we're hearing these things anecdotically and we're asking for data from the Mass. Trial Court, but we can't get it. Or we get it in bits and pieces, or what we get from one county doesn't match what we're getting from another. This has been documented in a paper that we did, a report with Northeastern Law School, Massachusetts alternatives, alternatives around the bail system, and also recently by the NIC, National Institute of Correction report that they did on Middlesex County on analysis of their pretrial defendants population. As you know, Middlesex had a closing of the Cambridge Jail. They're looking possibly to build a new one. And they're needing to have data; how many people in their current jail at Billerica is housing people on low bail? Nobody could provide that information to them, except the snapshot that they did under Sheriff Koutoujian's current population.

They're estimating as many as 25 percent of the people are held on under a thousand dollars or less. And we want you to analyze it. I live in Middlesex County. Before you're looking at building a new jail, how do we get the data from the court, from the pretrial, to look at do we really need a new jail, or are

there other alternatives that could be implemented?

2.3

2.4

The other thing that we are seeing is barriers for when individuals are trying to get information, so when you have these these lack of data in these reports, that we actually try to show the problems. We, for example, trained a group of 60 volunteers to do a random sample of 30 courts throughout every county in Massachusetts to get information from court dockets; just a two-week random sample from 2012, to see if what we were seeing was also true throughout the state, because we couldn't get this systemically from the Mass. Trial Courts. And we found a total range of response of each courthouse. One court has computer access; others said, "Come back." One said, "We're available at 4:00"; intense labor for the court clerks to give you the information, to get to the actual dockets.

So there's no standardization. There's lack of information. We're constantly trying to get the information; had to hand sort through dockets, hours, and hours, and hours. We don't know if the State, for example, might have this information or it's just not available to us as a public John Q. Citizen or Jane Q. Citizen, or is it kept in any way. We would like to make recommendations it be kept. So if you're looking at open access, please think of the impact this information has on public policy and saving money.

So we now currently have made public access record requests to a range of areas in the court system. And responses vary from,

"Sorry, we're not covered under the Mass. Public Records Law," to,
"Here is some information" which is very, very helpful and is
going to be used to inform our work in terms of the work we're
doing with public officials around reducing the cost of detaining
people on very, very low bail, nonviolent crimes that don't need
to be incarcerated or are largely there due to substance abuse
problems or mental health issues or other areas.

So we wanted to make sure that you look at the systemic changes you could put in place that systematize information, and you look at how the open access would impact and inform us around public policy. Thanks very much.

JUDGE LAURIAT: Thank you very much.

MS. WASSEL: Is there anything that you want to add?

JUDGE LAURIAT: Alexander Jones. Is he --

MS. WASSEL: He's with us.

JUDGE LAURIAT: Yep.

2.4

MR. JONES: Thank you, members of the Committee. I understand I'm not in alphabetical order.

Currently I work for the Massachusetts Bail Fund. I'm also a first-year law student, or a rising second-year law student, at Northeastern University School of Law here in Boston. And I wish to echo what Ms. Wassel was saying, and add a few things, because I've been working on trying to get some of the information on the court system; working to no avail mostly.

Louis D. Brandeis, a great justice of the United States

Supreme Court and actually a petitioner here in the commonwealth, once said that sunshine was said to be the best disinfectant. And the sunshine in government is public access and citizen involvement. Unfortunately, there's not a whole lot of either in the court system. I've found that when I've tried to access data for the court system, I constantly run into the same brick wall, which is Public Records Law. And I understand that the Supreme Judicial Court has ruled that the judiciary is not covered by the Public Records Law. I understand that. I understand the statute does not cover the judiciary. I understand that.

2.3

However, I do not understand why some offices will give me information and other offices in the Department of the Trial Court will not. That doesn't make sense. As Ms. Wassel said, there needs to be standardization, not only of the data that goes into the trial system and comes out of the Department of the Trial Court, but also policies. It's all well and good to have a uniform policy of no access. But it's also problematic to have a disparate set of policies of access to some records and no access for others. This leads to confusion. This leads to frustration. And this also leads to clouding of the sunshine that needs to go into government to make sure that it's running well.

Louis D. Brandeis also said that the most important office in a democracy is that of a citizen; it is not the president; it is not a judge; it's not a senator; it is a citizen. And the citizen cannot perform their duty, and cannot discharge the duties of their office if they have no information.

2.3

2.4

There is no sunshine in the darkness. Jesus of Nazareth said, "You do not put a light under a pillow, you let it shine out in the darkness." So let's have a little bit more light.

I understand that the judiciary is not covered by the Public Records Law. But that does not mean that people can still not get information. You can have your own policies set forth to allow for individual offices to allow people to come in and get records, or to provide small amounts of information so that people can have a taste of what is in the records.

And last but not least, I ask that you urge the Supreme

Judicial Court and the legislature to amend the law as it stands,

whereby the judiciary is not included in the Public Records Law.

Thank you for your time.

JUDGE LAURIAT: Thank you very much. Let's see. Brooke Williams or Williams. Williams, I thought it.

MS. McKIM: Hi. My name is Jenifer McKim. I'm not Brooke Williams.

JUDGE LAURIAT: You don't look like Brooke Williams. Are you speaking for both of you?

MS. McKIM: I am speaking -- yes. She and I are both colleagues at the New England Center for Investigative Reporting. She was not able to come today. But I am also a senior investigative reporter at the New England Center, which is a nonprofit news center based out of WGBH Public Radio and Boston

University. I've been a reporter for more than 25 years before I came to NECIR. I worked at the Boston Globe and also at the Orange County Register in Southern California.

2.3

Court records are a key tool for watchdog reporters to use in telling stories that make a difference; prompt legislative changes for good; and focus public scrutiny on systemic flaws and bad actors. I'm continually surprised at how difficult it is to access public records in the state of Massachusetts, and in particular in the convoluted court system.

Particularly, I was dismayed to find that the court had started to reduce the public for access to superior court records. Indeed, we believe the court should not only not limit them, but expand records to provide full text of these records.

I wonder why attorneys should have easier access to such information that is not available to the rest of the public.

Already we've used the limited access in superior and district court documents to write important stories that affect marginalized people in the commonwealth, including most recently a story I wrote on people who lost their homes to foreclosure and were being pursued by debt collectors for financial losses they'd never be able to cover.

We also use Land Court records to document a growth in foreclosure filings from third-party investors, who increasingly are buying up tax liens from cities and towns, an issue that housing advocates say is affecting a growing number of elderly and

disabled residents.

2.4

Without publicly available court information, our ability to do our work, serving as the eyes and ears of the community, is seriously curtailed. Already I spend countless hours driving to local courthouses to get court information that would be publicly available at our desks in other states.

In addition to time and transportation costs, we generally are asked to pay a dollar a page for these records at courthouses.

And one other thing I was thinking about as I was sitting here is, I've also spent a lot of time and energy getting court transcriptions from the courthouses, which is also a confused process of tracking down court reporters or recorders to tell us where the information is.

We at the New England Center for Investigative Reporting urge you to expand both free and public access to court records.

Thank you.

JUDGE LAURIAT: Thank you very much. I believe that concludes our list of registered speakers for the evening. Let me, on behalf of the Committee, thank everyone who has come today, everyone who has submitted verbal comments and written remarks. We've received a wide variety of views and approaches to the difficult issues that confront us relating to public access to court records.

We intend to read, to review, and to consider all comments, both those made here today and those in writing. And we will

continue to work to develop a set of proposed rules to govern access to public access to court records.

As I indicated at the outset, our plan and hope is to draft or complete drafting a proposed set of rules, which will then be given to the Chief Justice of the Trial Court, to then be posted for public comment. There'll be a period for public comment for everyone. You can send in additional comments at that time.

We'll receive those during the comment period. We'll work toward a final set of proposed rules. And then our work will be sent to the Supreme Judicial Court, who will have the final determination and consideration of what rules will come forward on public access to court records.

Today's proceedings we anticipate will be compiled in some kind of either transcript or electronic form or both. And we do expect to be able to make that public to everyone at some point. If you check the Trial Court website, it'll give you further information as soon as that is all compiled.

So thank you all very much for coming.

20 | [Recording Ends.]



## OFFICE OF COURT MANAGEMENT, Transcription Services

## AUDIO ASSESSMENT FORM

For court transcribers: Complete this assessment form for each volume of transcript produced, and include it at the back of every original and copy transcript with the certificate page, word index, and CD PDF transcript.

<b>TODAY'S DATE:</b> June 17, 2015	TRANSCRIBER NAME: Elizabeth A. Hayes
CASE NAME: Trial Court Public A	access to Court Records Committee Hearing
RECORDING DATE: June 15, 201	15 TRANSCRIPT VOLUME: 1 of 1
(underline one) TYPE: CD TAP	
(circle all that apply) I SSUES (incl background noise	time stamp: 5:08:08
low audio	
low audio at sidebar	
simultaneous speech	
speaking away of microphone	
other:	
OMMENTS:	

1		
L	L	

## 2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Elizabeth Landwer Hayes

8200 Twelve Oaks Circle, No. 423

34113 bhayes@hayesreporting.com

Hayes Court Reporting

Naples, FL

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

## CERTIFICATION

I, Elizabeth Landwer Hayes, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcription of a sound file provided to me by the Office of Transcription Services, transcribed to the best of my skill and ability.

I, Elizabeth Landwer Hayes, further certify that the foregoing is in compliance with the Administrative Office of the Trial Court Directive on Transcript Format.

I, Elizabeth Landwer Hayes, further certify that I neither am counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this hearing was taken, and further that I am not financially nor otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

> Date: June 17, 2015

- 0 -
00 [1] 96:13 02108 [1] 1:12 08 [4] 48:24; 103:16
- 1 -
1 [2] 103:12 10 [4] 6:6; 37:17; 46:13; 50:25 100 [1] 29:10 103,000 [1] 41:7 11 [1] 24:16 11TH [1] 43:1 12-15 [1] 2:9 12-YEAR-OLD [1] 23:23 138 [1] 49:24 13TH [2] 46:17; 48:2 14 [2] 36:5; 47:5 15 [9] 1:9; 6:8; 13:12; 18:17, 18; 60:15; 80:20; 90:13; 103:12 15-20 [1] 2:12 16 [2] 76:23; 89:13 1641 [2] 68:21, 23 17 [3] 18:5; 103:9; 104:15 18 [2] 63:1; 87:13 18-YEAR-OLD [1] 23:22 1884 [1] 69:4
<b>19</b> [3] 9:21;

35:8; 45:19

23:23

13

**19-YEAR-OLD** [1]

**1977** [2] 25:11,

**1975** [1] 69:24

**1995** [1] 87:1

1ST [2] 68:4, 18

- 2 -
20 [2] 97.1.
<b>20</b> [2] 87:1; 90:13
<b>20-25</b> [1] 2:16
200,000 [1] 41:8
<b>2000</b> [1] 90:23
2002 [4] 72.4
<b>2010</b> [4] 16:7; 17:4; 36:14;
17:4; 36:14;
37:7
<b>2012</b> [4] 10:22;
38:7; 44:22;
96:8
2013 [4] 4:11;
30:13; 37:3;
94:6
<b>2014</b> [3] 22:24;
41:8; 94:6
<b>2015</b> [4] 1:9; 103:9, 12;
103:9, 12; 104:15
<b>208</b> [1] 81:3
<b>21ST</b> [2] 9:2;
80:14
<b>22</b> [1] 76:1
<b>220</b> [1] 13:25
<b>2265</b> [1] 63:1
<b>23</b> [3] 6:5; 20:5;
43:18
<b>24</b> [2] 6:5; 74:7
<b>25</b> [3] 21:18;
95:21; 100:1
<b>25-29</b> [1] 2:20
25-YEAR-OLD [1]
13:22
<b>29-31</b> [1] 2:24
- 3 -
<b>3</b> [1] 63:1
<b>30</b> [5] 24:16;
27:15; 72:25;
89:11; 96:6
<b>31-35</b> [1] 2:27
<b>32</b> [1] 79:8
<b>34</b> [1] 81:3

```
34113 [2] 1:22;
                     72 [1] 87:16
104:17
                     72-76 [1] 3:19
35-39 [1] 2:30
                     75 [1] 45:19
36 [3] 23:8, 9, 10
                     76-78 [1] 3:22
                     78-83 [1] 3:25
364 [1] 94:6
39-43 [1] 2:33
                            - 8 -
      - 4 -
                     8 [1] 6:8
                     8-12 [1] 2:6
4 [2] 6:7; 96:13
40,000 [1] 22:25
                     80 [2] 87:15, 17
40 [3]
                     800 [1] 74:14
           26:9;
44:21; 52:21
                     80S [2]
423 [2]
           1:22;
                     87:18
104:16
                     8200 [2]
43-44 [1] 2:36
                     104:16
44-47 [1] 2:40
                     83-86 [1] 3:28
47 [2] 22:16, 21
                     84,000 [1] 41:6
47-53 [1] 2:42
                     86-89 [1] 3:31
                     89-92 [1] 3:34
      - 5 -
                            - 9 -
5 [2]
          48:24;
103:16
                     90 [1] 87:15
50 [4]
                     92-97 [1] 3:39
           18:8;
45:18; 52:21
                     93 [1] 46:16
50,000 [1] 89:14
                     97-99 [1] 3:43
500 [1] 55:23
                     99-101 [1] 3:47
53-58 [1] 3:6
                     999 [1] 49:14
57 [1] 42:17
                     9A [1] 52:17
58-61 [1] 3:10
                            - A -
      - 6 -
                     ABA [1] 78:22
6 [1] 6:7
                     ABILITIES
6,000 [1] 10:13
                     27:20
60 [2]
          49:25;
                     ABILITY
96:5
                     43:24;
617.620.1599 [1]
                     66:8;
1:22
                     101:2; 104:5
62 [1] 42:17
                     ABLE [19] 11:22;
62-67 [1] 3:13
                     12:9;
```

**67-72** [1] 3:16

- 7 -

**7,000** [1] 90:23

**70S** [1] 25:15

25:15;

1:22;

[1]

[6]

58:7;

69:8;

15:21;

23:19;

26:15;

28:5;

50:10;

73:24;

90:14;

20:4;

27:7;

40:24;

60:7;

75:8;

25:25;

92:11; 99:23;	65:20; 66:8, 16,	6;
100:21; 102:15	21; 94:10; 97:6	23, 2
<b>ABLL</b> [5] 29:7,	<b>ABUSED</b> [1] 58:7	7, 9,
10, 21; 30:21	ABUSER [3]	15, 1
<b>ABOUT</b> [85] 4:5,	32:20; 64:8;	14, 2
8; 9:13, 17;	66:3	25;
10:21; 12:10;	ABUSES [2]	11, 1
13:19, 21; 14:22;	58:10; 67:2	64:13
15:7, 25; 17:21;	ABUSIVE [1]	66:21
18:21; 21:25;	64:4	68:11
22:13; 23:3, 5;	ACADEMICS [1]	8, 10
32:4, 6, 10, 16,	77:21	71:6,
17, 21; 33:16,	ACCEPT [2] 6:18	72:5,
19, 21; 34:15,	ACCEPTABLE [1]	13, 1
16; 36:22;	48:16	22, 2
38:14; 45:9, 22;	ACCEPTED [1]	75:1,
46:7; 49:1; 51:8,	83:22	76:22
16; 52:4; 53:24;	ACCESS [213]	5, 23
55:9, 10; 56:15,	1:7; 4:4; 7:5;	17; 8
18; 57:10, 18,	8:7; 9:3, 24, 25;	82:3;
21; 59:4; 60:21;	10:3, 18; 11:24;	89:1;
65:23; 68:24;	12:2; 13:5, 11,	91:4,
69:1, 10; 71:3,	14, 15, 19;	91.4,
		21, 2
10; 72:4, 6;	14:10, 16, 20;	98:3,
74:14, 22, 23; 75:4, 13; 76:19;	15:4, 8, 9, 22; 16:14, 20; 19:16;	100:8
77:3, 5; 79:10,	20:22, 24; 21:25;	100.8
13, 17, 19, 20;	20:22, 24, 21:23, 22:5; 24:2;	101:1
80:10, 18; 81:9,	25:14, 15; 26:1,	103:1
24, 25; 82:1, 24,	5, 7; 27:3, 14,	ACCE
25; 86:1; 89:19;	15, 16; 28:21;	[1] 6
90:17; 93:15, 21;	29:21, 22; 30:8,	ACCE
101:9	9, 15, 17, 23;	
<b>ABOVE</b> [1] 16:24	31:11, 17; 32:1;	
ABSENCE [1]	33:18; 34:4, 5;	
66:3	35:18, 19; 36:8;	
ABSOLUTE [1]	37:1, 8, 14; 38:3,	
35:19	6, 9; 40:25;	ACCO
ABSOLUTELY [7]	41:10; 42:24, 25;	
	43:2, 13; 44:11,	
84:22; 85:5, 18,		
19	45:1, 4, 7, 20;	
ABUNDANCE [1]	46:12, 25; 47:15,	
23:14	16; 48:6, 13, 16,	
ABUNDANT [1]	20, 23; 49:3, 7,	
19:21	9, 10, 16, 20, 22,	
	23; 50:10; 51:4,	
	5, 10, 23; 52:1,	
	2, 3, 4, 5, 8;	_
64:9, 14, 21;	53:23, 24; 56:4,	

57:1; 58:9, 24, 25; 59:4, 9, 11, 12, 13, 17, 24; 60:5, 20, 21, 24, 61:2, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14; 13; 65:15, 18; 21; 67:1, 11; 11, 18; 69:2, 10; 70:17: 6, 17, 20, 21; 5, 12, 15; 73:2, 4, 23; 74:1, 4; 1, 18, 22, 24; 22, 25; 77:4, 23, 24; 79:12, 80:5, 15, 22; 3; 85:21, 22; 1; 90:17, 25; 4, 9, 12, 21; 2, 21; 96:12, 24; 97:10; 3, 5, 17, 18; ):8, 11, 14, 16; :15, 22; 2:2, 11; 3:10 CESSIBILITY 61:13 CESSIBLE [7] 21; 37:19, 20, 38:11; 16; 68:16 CESSING [1] COMPANIED 81:15 COMPLISHED 49:22 CORDING [3] 9; 41:5; 22 COUNT [2] 24; 61:3 COUNTABILIT [2] 31:21;

ACCOUNTS [1] 50:25 ACCRUING [1] 89:13 ACCURACY [3] 54:20; 56:9, 15 ACCURATE [9] 25:1; 39:24; 54:6; 56:10, 25; 71:5, 6; 91:5; 104:3 ACCURATELY [1] 25:4 ACHIEVE [1] 15:22 **ACKNOWLEDGE** [3] 13:1; 36:25; 37:4 **ACLU** [2] 76:15; 78:13 ACQUIRING [1] 31:24 ACQUITTAL [1] 10:25 ACQUITTALS [1] 10:23 ACRONYM [1] 36:6 ACROSS [6] 7:10: 10:2; 14:19; 21:11; 46:18; 93:7 **ACT** [3] 51:8; 62:24; 93:16 ACTION [3] 81:22; 104:11, 13 ACTIONS [1] 19:7 **ACTIVE** [5] 23:8, 9, 10, 12; 43:21 ACTIVITY [2] 42:10; 65:11 ACTORS [1] 100:7 **ACTS** [2] 16:22; 94:18 ACTUAL [6] 12:10; 14:14;

27:22, 24; 30:10; 34:6, 18; 56:8; ADVISORY [1] 43:4 21:9; 22:11; 11:10 34:6, 18; 56:8; ADVISORY [1] 24:8; 27:2, 12; ALASKA [1] 68:25; 75:10; 79:1 28:7, 19, 24, 25; 10:19 87:13; 88:23; ADVOCACY [5] 34:24; 35:23; ALCOHOL [1] 92:25; 93:3, 14; 92:25; 38:16; 39:6; 64:24 ADF1 [1] 44:4 ADVOCATE [1] 52:3; 57:21; 3:40; 97:14 ADAM [3] 2:7; ADVOCATES [3] 74:20; 77:12; 15:15 ADDAM [3] 2:7; ADVOCATES [3] 74:20; 77:12; 15:15 ADDD [4] 23:16, 54:17; 93:6; 78:17; 85:15; ALL [99] 4:5; 17; 97:13, 22 ADDED [1] 11:3 ADVOCATING [1] 92:17 ADDITION [8] 93:21 AGAINST [19] 22; 12:12, 25; 18:12; 35:15; AFFECT [1] 22:21, 22; 23:10, 14:15; 16:17; 46:3, 19; 60:3; 100:17 19, 25; 36:10, 18:10; 19:6, 11; 65:5; 75:19; AFFECTED [7] 15; 40:3; 48:24; 21:2, 11; 23:23, 10:17; 28:12; 56:2; 57:19; 25:18, 22; 26:11; 7:24; 8:13; 35:9; 48:21; 85:25 62:24; 65:19, 22; 27:15, 20; 28:14; 31:17; 63:9, 12 ADDRESS [3] AFFECTN [1] 70:25 ADDRESS [3] AFFECTN [1] 49:3 AFFECTN [2] AGRICAN [2] 29:17 ADDRESS [3] AFFECTN [1] 49:3 AGRICAN [2] 3:3; 8:10; 11:8, 40:17; 41:18; 44:6; 52:8; 37:14 20; 42:1, 42:1	18:16; 32:6; 34:22; 96:14 <b>ACTUALLY</b> [23] 12:2; 16:23; 18:3, 13; 25:14, 20; 26:24;	54:23 ADVANCED [1] 37:4 ADVANTAGES [1]	47:9; 53:18; 58:14; 62:5, 6; 67:22; 78:18 <b>AFTERTHOUGHT</b> [1] 9:20 <b>AGAIN</b> [30] 8:19;	62:19 AIDS [1] 40:3
68:25; 75:10;         79:1         28:7, 19, 24, 25;         10:19           87:13; 88:23;         ADVOCACY [5]         38:123;         36:10;         36:123;         36:142         35:23;         ALCOHOL [1]           95:2; 96:4; 98:1         93:14, 25; 94:24         41:12; 43:7;         ALEXANDER [2]         38:16; 39:6;         64:24           ADM [3] 2:7;         89:25         68:9; 72:17, 21;         ALL [99]         45:10;         97:14           ADD [4] 23:16;         54:17;         93:6;         78:17;         85:15;         ALL [99]         45:           ADDD [4] 23:16;         54:17;         93:6;         78:17;         85:15;         ALL [99]         45:           ADDDD [1] 11:3         ADVOCATING [1]         92:17         21: 22: 12:12;         22: 12: 12:12         22: 12:12;         22: 12:12,         22: 12:12;         22: 12:12,         22: 12:12,			21:9; 22:11;	11:10
92:25; 93:3, 14; 93:14, 25; 94:24 41:12; 43:7; ALEXANDER [2] 95:2; 96:4; 98:11 ADVOCATE [1] 52:3; 57:21; 340; 97:14 ADAM [3] 2:7; ADVOCATES [3] 74:20; 77:12; ALIANA [2] 2:10; 12:19, 23 ADVOCATES [3] 74:20; 77:12; 15:15 ADD [4] 23:16, 54:17; 93:6; 78:17; 85:15; ALL [99] 4:5; 17; 97:13, 22 100:25 86:7; 87:20; 5:14, 24; 7:2, 13, ADDED [1] 11:3 ADVOCATING [1] 92:17 23; 8:19; 11:8, ADDITION [8] 93:21 AGAINST [19] 22; 12:12, 25:18:12; 35:15; AFFECT [1] 22:21, 22; 23:10, 14:15; 16:17; 16:55; 75:19; AFFECTD [7] 15; 40:3; 48:24; 21:2, 11; 23:23, 10:17; 85:25 ADDITIONAL [6] 7:14; 88:13; 35:9; AFFECTING [1] 66:8; 70:19 22:11; 24:15, 18; 10:27 AFFECTING [1] 66:8; 70:19 29:17 37:5 38:3; 39:22; ADDRESS [3] AFFECTS [1] 25:18, 19; 31:12; 9; 35:2, 9, 13; 41:11; 63:9, 12 29:17 37:5 38:3; 39:22; AFFORD [3] AGENCY [2] 2:2; 50:23; 51:10; ADDS [1] 82:10 AFFILIATION [2] 3:3 AFFORD [3] AFFORD [3] AGENCY [2] 2:2; 50:23; 51:10; ADDS [1] 82:10 AFFILIATION [2] 3:3 AFFORD [3] AFFORD [3] AGENCY [2] 2:2; 50:23; 51:10; 40:17; 41:18; 44:6; 52:8; 87:14 20; 49:10, 19; 55:6; 56:23; 57:19; 20; 24:14; 11:12; 42:24; 42:15; 42:24; 42:15; 42:24; 42:15; 42:24; 42:15; 42:24; 42:15; 42:24; 42:15; 42:24; 42:15; 42:24; 42:15; 42:24; 42:15; 42:24; 42:15; 42:24; 42:25; 42:24; 42:15; 42:24; 42:25; 42:24; 42:24; 42:25; 42:24; 42:25; 42:24; 42:25;	68:25; 75:10;		28:7, 19, 24, 25;	10:19
95:2; 96:4; 98:1				
ADAM [3] 2:7; 89:25				
12:19, 23	<b>AD</b> [1] 44:4	ADVOCATE [1]		
ADD         [4]         23:16,         54:17;         93:6;         78:17;         85:15;         ALL         [99]         4:5;           17;         97:13,         22         100:25         86:7;         87:20;         5:14,         24;         7:2,         13,           ADDED [1]         11:13         ADVOCATING [1]         92:17         23;         8:19;         11:8,           ADDITION         [8]         93:21         AGAINST         [19]         22;         12:12,         25;           18:12;         35:15;         AFFECTE [1]         22:21,         22;         23:10,         14:15;         16:17;         46:3,         19;         60:3;         100:17         19,         25;         36:10,         18:10;         19:6,         11;           65:5;         75:19;         AFFECTED [7]         15;         40:3;         48:24;         21:2,         11;         22:21,         22;         24;         24:15,         18;           ADDITIONAL [6]         27:1;         28:12;         56:2;         57:19;         25:18,         22;         26:11,         23:38:11,         21:2,         27:15,         20:21;         31:3         29:11,         31:3         36:16,         3				
17; 97:13, 22				
ADDED [1] 11:3				
ADDITION [8] 93:21				
18:12;       35:15;       AFFECT [1]       22:21, 22; 23:10,       14:15; 16:17;         46:3, 19; 60:3;       100:17       19, 25; 36:10,       18:10; 19:6, 11;         65:5; 75:19;       AFFECTED [7]       15; 40:3; 48:24;       21:2, 11; 23:23,         101:7       8:6; 15:24; 22:4;       55:5, 12, 22;       24; 24:15, 18;         ADDITIONAL [6]       27:1; 28:12;       56:2; 57:19;       25:18, 22; 26:111;         7:24; 8:13; 35:9;       84:21; 85:25       62:24; 65:19, 22;       27:15, 20; 28:14;         43:11; 61:25;       AFFECTING [1]       66:8; 70:19       29:21; 31:9, 11,         102:7       100:25       AGE [5] 12:8;       17; 32:10; 33:5,         ADDRESS [3]       AFFECTS [1]       25:18, 19; 31:12;       9; 35:2, 9, 13;         41:21; 63:9, 12       29:17       37:5       38:3; 39:22;         ADDRESSES [3]       AFFIDAVITS [4]       AGENCIES [1]       41:3, 24; 42:1,         40:17; 41:18;       44:6; 52:8;       37:14       20; 49:10, 19;         42:2       B1:16       AGENCY [2] 2:2;       50:23; 51:10;         ADDS [1] 82:10       AFFILIATION [2]       3:3       52:6; 53:25;         ADEQUATE [3]       90:4, 24; 94:8       AGO [8] 9:12; 57:21; 58:1, 3, 4;         50:13, 22; 82:14				
65:5; 75:19; AFFECTED [7] 15; 40:3; 48:24; 21:2, 11; 23:23, 101:7 8:6; 15:24; 22:4; 55:5, 12, 22; 24; 24:15, 18; ADDITIONAL [6] 27:1; 28:12; 56:2; 57:19; 25:18, 22; 26:11, 43:11; 61:25; AFFECTING [1] 66:8; 70:19 29:21; 31:9, 11, 102:7 100:25 AGE [5] 12:8; 17; 32:10; 33:5, ADDRESS [3] AFFECTS [1] 25:18, 19; 31:12; 9; 35:2, 9, 13; 41:21; 63:9, 12 29:17 37:5 38:3; 39:22; ADDRESSES [3] AFFIDAVITS [4] AGENCIES [1] 41:18; 44:6; 52:8; 37:14 20; 49:10, 19; 42:2 81:16 AGENCY [2] 2:2; 50:23; 51:10; ADDS [1] 82:10 AFFILIATION [2] 3:3 52:6; 53:25; ADEPT [2] 30:6; 4:22; 12:20 AGGREGATE [1] 54:4, 11, 12; 65:5 AFFORD [3] 67:4 50:13, 22; 82:14 AFFORDING [1] 13:13; 27:16; 59:23; 61:13; ADEQUATE [3] 90:4, 24; 94:8 AGO [8] 9:12; 57:21; 58:1, 3, 4; 50:13, 22; 82:14 AFFORDING [1] 13:13; 27:16; 59:23; 61:13; ADEQUATELY [1] 30:21 34:11; 60:15; 68:14; 70:9; 40:5 AFOUL [1] 26:23 79:15; 80:19, 20 71:13; 72:14; ADMINISTRATION AFTER [14] 7:18; AGREE [4] 73:13, 21, 24; 40:15, 70:15; 60:8 17:22; 18:17; 55:6; 79:25 77:7, 21; 80:8, 9, ADMINISTRATIVE 45:19; 55:24; AGREED [1] 11:2 17; 81:5, 7; [2] 56:6; 10:4:7 57:11, 12, 16; AGREEMENT [2] 82:5, 22; 84:17, ADMINISTRATOR 78:9; 88:14 55:21, 22 19; 86:12; 87:3, S [1] 4:11 A9:3 AFTERNOON [19] AGREEMENT [2] 82:5, 22; 84:17, ADMINISTRATOR 78:9; 88:14 55:21, 22 19; 86:12; 87:3, S [1] 4:11 A9:3 AFTERNOON [19] AGREEMENT [2] 45:4; 90:6, 20; ADOPTION [2] 8:25; 9:2; 25:7; AHEAD [2] 45:4; 90:1; 98:16; 80:4; 33:22 29:5; 31:4; 36:2; 47:8		AFFECT [1]		
101:7				
ADDITIONAL         [6]         27:1;         28:12;         56:2;         57:19;         25:18, 22;         26:11;           7:24;         8:13;         35:9;         84:21;         85:25         62:24;         65:19, 22;         27:15, 20;         28:14;           43:11;         61:25;         AFFECTING         [1]         66:8;         70:19         29:21;         31:9, 11,           102:7         100:25         AGE         [5]         12:8;         17;         32:10;         33:5,           ADDRESS         [3]         AFFECTS         [1]         25:18, 19;         31:12;         9;         35:2,         9,         13;           41:21;         63:9, 12         29:17         37:5         38:3;         39:22;           ADDRESSES         [3]         AFFIDAVITS         [4]         AGENCIES         [1]         41:3,         24;         42:1,           40:17;         41:18;         44:6;         52:8;         37:14         20;         49:10,         19;           42:2         81:16         AGENCY         [2]         2:2;         50:23;         51:10;           ADDES         [1]         82:10         AGGREGATE         [1]         54:4,         11				
7:24; 8:13; 35:9;       84:21; 85:25       62:24; 65:19, 22;       27:15, 20; 28:14;         43:11; 61:25;       AFFECTING [1]       66:8; 70:19       29:21; 31:9, 11,         102:7       100:25       AGE [5] 12:8;       17; 32:10; 33:5,         ADDRESS [3]       AFFECTS [1]       25:18, 19; 31:12;       9; 35:2, 9, 13;         41:21; 63:9, 12       29:17       37:5       38:3; 39:22;         ADDRESSES [3]       AFFIDAVITS [4]       AGENCIES [1]       41:3, 24; 42:1,         40:17; 41:18;       44:6; 52:8;       37:14       20; 49:10, 19;         42:2       81:16       AGENCY [2] 2:2; 50:23; 51:10;         ADDS [1] 82:10       AFFILIATION [2]       3:3       52:6; 53:25;         ADEPT [2] 30:6;       4:22; 12:20       AGGREGATE [1]       54:4, 11, 12;         65:5       AFFORD [3]       67:4       55:6; 56:10, 24;         ADEQUATE [3]       90:4, 24; 94:8       AGO [8] 9:12; 57:21; 58:1, 3, 4;         50:13, 22; 82:14       AFFORDING [1]       13:13; 27:16; 59:23; 61:13;         40:5       AFOUL [1] 26:23       79:15; 80:19, 20       71:13; 72:14;         ADMINISTRATION       AFTER [14] 7:18; AGREE [4]       73:13, 21, 24;         60:8       17:22; 18:17; 55:24; AGREED [1] 11:2       17; 81:5, 7; <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>				
43:11;       61:25;       AFFECTING [1]       66:8;       70:19       29:21;       31:9,       11,         102:7       100:25       AGE [5]       12:8;       17;       32:10;       33:5,         ADDRESS [3]       AFFECTS [1]       25:18,       19;       31:12;       9;       35:2,       9,       13;         41:21;       63:9,       12       29:17       37:5       38:3;       39:22;         ADDRESSES [3]       AFFIDAVITS [4]       AGENCIES [1]       41:3,       24;       42:1,         40:17;       41:18;       44:6;       52:8;       37:14       20;       49:10,       19;         42:2       81:16       AGENCY [2]       2:2;       50:23;       51:10;       19;         42:2       81:16       AGENCY [2]       2:2;       50:23;       51:10;       19;         45:5       AFFORD [3]       67:4       55:6;       56:10,       24;         ADEQUATE [3]       90:4,       24;       94:8       AGO [8]       9:12;       57:21;       58:1,       3,         40:5       AFOUL [1]       26:23       79:15;       80:19,       20       71:13;       72:14;         ADMINISTRATION [1]				
ADDRESS [3]				
### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##	102:7		<b>AGE</b> [5] 12:8;	
ADDRESSES [3]       AFFIDAVITS [4]       AGENCIES [1]       41:3, 24; 42:1, 40:17; 41:18; 44:6; 52:8; 37:14       20; 49:10, 19; 42:2         42:2       81:16       AGENCY [2] 2:2; 50:23; 51:10; ADDS [1] 82:10       AFFILIATION [2] 3:3       52:6; 53:25; 53:25; ADEPT [2] 30:6; 4:22; 12:20       AGGREGATE [1] 54:4, 11, 12; 65:5       55:6; 56:10, 24; AGGREGATE [1] 54:4, 11, 12; 65:5       AFFORD [3] 67:4       55:6; 56:10, 24; AGGREGATE [1] 54:4, 11, 12; 60:13, 22; 82:14       AFFORDING [1] 13:13; 27:16; 59:23; 61:13; AGGREGUATELY [1] 30:21       34:11; 60:15; 68:14; 70:9; 68:14; 70:9; 68:14; 70:9; 70:15; 80:19, 20       71:13; 72:14; 70:9; 70:14; 70:15; 80:19, 20       71:13; 72:14; 70:14; 70:14; 70:15; 80:19, 20       71:13; 72:14; 70:14; 70:14; 70:15; 80:19, 20       71:13; 72:14; 70:14; 70:14; 70:15; 80:19, 20       71:13; 72:14; 70:14; 70:14; 70:15; 80:19, 20       71:13; 72:14; 70:		AFFECTS [1]		
40:17;       41:18;       44:6;       52:8;       37:14       20;       49:10,       19;         42:2       81:16       AGENCY [2]       2:2;       50:23;       51:10;         ADDS [1]       82:10       AFFILIATION [2]       3:3       52:6;       53:25;         ADEPT [2]       30:6;       4:22;       12:20       AGGREGATE [1]       54:4,       11,       12;         65:5       AFFORD [3]       67:4       55:6;       56:10,       24;         ADEQUATE [3]       90:4,       24;       94:8       AGO [8]       9:12;       57:21;       58:1,       3,       4;         50:13,       22;       82:14       AFFORDING [1]       13:13;       27:16;       59:23;       61:13;         ADEQUATELY [1]       30:21       34:11;       60:15;       68:14;       70:9;         40:5       AFOUL [1]       26:23       79:15;       80:19,       20       71:13;       72:14;         ADMINISTRATION       AFTER [14]       7:18;       AGREE [4]       73:13,       21,       24;         60:8       17:22;       18:17;       55:6;       79:25       77:7,       21;       80:8,       9,         ADMINISTRATIVE				
42:2       81:16       AGENCY [2] 2:2;       50:23;       51:10;         ADDS [1] 82:10       AFFILIATION [2]       3:3       52:6;       53:25;         ADEPT [2] 30:6;       4:22;       12:20       AGGREGATE [1]       54:4,       11,       12;         65:5       AFFORD [3]       67:4       55:6;       56:10,       24;         ADEQUATE [3]       90:4, 24;       94:8       AGO [8] 9:12;       57:21;       58:1,       3, 4;         50:13, 22;       82:14       AFFORDING [1]       13:13;       27:16;       59:23;       61:13;         ADEQUATELY [1]       30:21       34:11;       60:15;       68:14;       70:9;         40:5       AFOUL [1] 26:23       79:15;       80:19, 20       71:13;       72:14;         ADMINISTRATION       AFTER [14] 7:18;       AGREE [4]       73:13, 21, 24;         60:8       17:22;       18:17;       55:6; 79:25       77:7, 21; 80:8, 9,         ADMINISTRATIVE       45:19; 55:24;       AGREED [1] 11:2       17; 81:5, 7;         [2] 56:6; 104:7       57:11, 12, 16;       AGREEMENT [2]       82:5, 22; 84:17,         ADMINISTRATOR       78:9; 88:14       55:21, 22       19; 86:12; 87:3,         S [1] 4:11       AFTERNOON				
ADDS [1] 82:10       AFFILIATION [2]       3:3       52:6; 53:25;         ADEPT [2] 30:6;       4:22; 12:20       AGGREGATE [1]       54:4, 11, 12;         65:5       AFFORD [3]       67:4       55:6; 56:10, 24;         ADEQUATE [3]       90:4, 24; 94:8       AGO [8] 9:12; 57:21; 58:1, 3, 4;         50:13, 22; 82:14       AFFORDING [1]       13:13; 27:16; 59:23; 61:13;         ADEQUATELY [1]       30:21       34:11; 60:15; 80:19, 20       71:13; 72:14;         ADMINISTRATION       AFTER [14] 7:18; AGREE [4]       73:13, 21, 24;         [3] 5:11; 41:16; 9:21; 16:2; 41:20; 43:3; 74:8; 76:24;       60:8       17:22; 18:17; 55:6; 79:25       77:7, 21; 80:8, 9,         ADMINISTRATIVE       45:19; 55:24; AGREED [1] 11:2       17; 81:5, 7;         [2] 56:6; 104:7       57:11, 12, 16; AGREEMENT [2]       82:5, 22; 84:17,         ADMINISTRATOR       78:9; 88:14       55:21, 22       19; 86:12; 87:3,         S [1] 4:11       AFTERNOON [19]       AGREEMENTS [3]       5; 88:11, 20;         ADMIT [1] 49:3       4:1, 5; 5:10; 55:15, 16       89:10; 90:6, 20;         ADOPTION [2]       8:25; 9:2; 25:7; AHEAD [2] 45:4; 91:1; 98:16;         8:4; 33:22       29:5; 31:4; 36:2; 47:8       101:24; 102:17,				
ADEPT [2] 30:6;       4:22; 12:20       AGGREGATE [1]       54:4, 11, 12;         65:5       AFFORD [3]       67:4       55:6; 56:10, 24;         ADEQUATE [3]       90:4, 24; 94:8       AGO [8] 9:12; 57:21; 58:1, 3, 4;         50:13, 22; 82:14       AFFORDING [1]       13:13; 27:16; 59:23; 61:13;         ADEQUATELY [1]       30:21       34:11; 60:15; 68:14; 70:9;         40:5       AFOUL [1] 26:23       79:15; 80:19, 20       71:13; 72:14;         ADMINISTRATION       AFTER [14] 7:18; AGREE [4]       73:13, 21, 24;         [3] 5:11; 41:16; 9:21; 16:2; 41:20; 43:3; 74:8; 76:24;       60:8       17:22; 18:17; 55:6; 79:25       77:7, 21; 80:8, 9,         ADMINISTRATIVE       45:19; 55:24; AGREED [1] 11:2       17; 81:5, 7;         [2] 56:6; 104:7       57:11, 12, 16; AGREEMENT [2]       82:5, 22; 84:17,         ADMINISTRATOR       78:9; 88:14       55:21, 22       19; 86:12; 87:3,         S [1] 4:11       AFTERNOON [19]       AGREEMENTS [3]       5; 88:11, 20;         ADMIT [1] 49:3       4:1, 5; 5:10; 55:15, 16       89:10; 90:6, 20;         ADOPTION [2]       8:25; 9:2; 25:7; AHEAD [2] 45:4; 91:1; 98:16;         8:4; 33:22       29:5; 31:4; 36:2; 47:8       47:8       101:24; 102:17,				
ADEQUATE       [3]       90:4, 24; 94:8       AGO       [8]       9:12;       57:21; 58:1, 3, 4;         50:13, 22; 82:14       AFFORDING       [1]       13:13; 27:16;       59:23; 61:13;         ADEQUATELY       [1]       30:21       34:11; 60:15; 80:19, 20       71:13; 72:14;         40:5       AFOUL       [1]       26:23       79:15; 80:19, 20       71:13; 72:14;         ADMINISTRATION       AFTER       [14]       7:18; AGREE       [4]       73:13, 21, 24;         60:8       17:22; 18:17; 55:6; 79:25       77:7, 21; 80:8, 9,         ADMINISTRATIVE       45:19; 55:24; AGREED       1] 11:2       17; 81:5, 7;         [2]       56:6; 104:7       57:11, 12, 16; AGREEMENT       2]       82:5, 22; 84:17,         ADMINISTRATOR       78:9; 88:14       55:21, 22       19; 86:12; 87:3,         S       [1]       4:11       AFTERNOON       [19]       AGREEMENTS       [3]       5; 88:11, 20;         ADOPTION       [2]       8:25; 9:2; 25:7; AHEAD       2]       45:4; 91:1; 98:16;         8:4; 33:22       29:5; 31:4; 36:2; 47:8       47:8       101:24; 102:17,				
50:13, 22; 82:14       AFFORDING [1]       13:13; 27:16; 59:23; 61:13;         ADEQUATELY [1]       30:21       34:11; 60:15; 68:14; 70:9;         40:5       AFOUL [1] 26:23       79:15; 80:19, 20       71:13; 72:14;         ADMINISTRATION       AFTER [14] 7:18; AGREE [4]       73:13, 21, 24;         [3] 5:11; 41:16; 9:21; 16:2; 41:20; 43:3; 74:8; 76:24;       60:8       17:22; 18:17; 55:6; 79:25       77:7, 21; 80:8, 9,         ADMINISTRATIVE       45:19; 55:24; AGREED [1] 11:2       17; 81:5, 7;         [2] 56:6; 104:7       57:11, 12, 16; AGREEMENT [2]       82:5, 22; 84:17,         ADMINISTRATOR       78:9; 88:14       55:21, 22       19; 86:12; 87:3,         S [1] 4:11       AFTERNOON [19]       AGREEMENTS [3]       5; 88:11, 20;         ADOPTION [2]       8:25; 9:2; 25:7; AHEAD [2] 45:4; 91:1; 98:16;         8:4; 33:22       29:5; 31:4; 36:2; 47:8       101:24; 102:17,	65:5	AFFORD [3]	67:4	55:6; 56:10, 24;
ADEQUATELY [1]       30:21       34:11; 60:15; 68:14; 70:9;         40:5       AFOUL [1] 26:23       79:15; 80:19, 20       71:13; 72:14;         ADMINISTRATION       AFTER [14] 7:18; AGREE [4]       73:13, 21, 24;         [3] 5:11; 41:16; 9:21; 16:2; 41:20; 43:3; 74:8; 76:24;       60:8       17:22; 18:17; 55:6; 79:25       77:7, 21; 80:8, 9,         ADMINISTRATIVE       45:19; 55:24; AGREED [1] 11:2       17; 81:5, 7;         [2] 56:6; 104:7       57:11, 12, 16; AGREEMENT [2]       82:5, 22; 84:17,         ADMINISTRATOR       78:9; 88:14       55:21, 22       19; 86:12; 87:3,         S [1] 4:11       AFTERNOON [19]       AGREEMENTS [3]       5; 88:11, 20;         ADMIT [1] 49:3       4:1, 5; 5:10; 55:15, 16       89:10; 90:6, 20;         ADOPTION [2]       8:25; 9:2; 25:7; AHEAD [2] 45:4; 91:1; 98:16;         8:4; 33:22       29:5; 31:4; 36:2; 47:8       101:24; 102:17,	• •			
40:5       AFOUL [1] 26:23       79:15; 80:19, 20       71:13; 72:14;         ADMINISTRATION       AFTER [14] 7:18;       AGREE [4]       73:13, 21, 24;         [3] 5:11; 41:16;       9:21; 16:2; 41:20; 43:3; 74:8; 76:24;         60:8       17:22; 18:17; 55:6; 79:25       77:7, 21; 80:8, 9,         ADMINISTRATIVE       45:19; 55:24; AGREED [1] 11:2       17; 81:5, 7;         [2] 56:6; 104:7       57:11, 12, 16; AGREEMENT [2]       82:5, 22; 84:17,         ADMINISTRATOR       78:9; 88:14       55:21, 22       19; 86:12; 87:3,         S [1] 4:11       AFTERNOON [19]       AGREEMENTS [3]       5; 88:11, 20;         ADMIT [1] 49:3       4:1, 5; 5:10; 55:15, 16       89:10; 90:6, 20;         ADOPTION [2]       8:25; 9:2; 25:7; AHEAD [2] 45:4; 91:1; 98:16;         8:4; 33:22       29:5; 31:4; 36:2; 47:8       101:24; 102:17,				
ADMINISTRATION       AFTER [14] 7:18;       AGREE [4]       73:13, 21, 24;         [3] 5:11; 41:16;       9:21; 16:2; 41:20; 43:3; 74:8; 76:24;         60:8       17:22; 18:17; 55:6; 79:25       77:7, 21; 80:8, 9,         ADMINISTRATIVE       45:19; 55:24; AGREED [1] 11:2       17; 81:5, 7;         [2] 56:6; 104:7       57:11, 12, 16; AGREEMENT [2]       82:5, 22; 84:17,         ADMINISTRATOR       78:9; 88:14       55:21, 22       19; 86:12; 87:3,         S [1] 4:11       AFTERNOON [19]       AGREEMENTS [3]       5; 88:11, 20;         ADMIT [1] 49:3       4:1, 5; 5:10; 55:15, 16       89:10; 90:6, 20;         ADOPTION [2]       8:25; 9:2; 25:7; AHEAD [2] 45:4; 91:1; 98:16;         8:4; 33:22       29:5; 31:4; 36:2; 47:8       101:24; 102:17,				
[3] 5:11; 41:16; 9:21; 16:2; 41:20; 43:3; 74:8; 76:24; 60:8 17:22; 18:17; 55:6; 79:25 77:7, 21; 80:8, 9, ADMINISTRATIVE 45:19; 55:24; AGREED [1] 11:2 17; 81:5, 7; [2] 56:6; 104:7 57:11, 12, 16; AGREEMENT [2] 82:5, 22; 84:17, ADMINISTRATOR 78:9; 88:14 55:21, 22 19; 86:12; 87:3, S [1] 4:11 AFTERNOON [19] AGREEMENTS [3] 5; 88:11, 20; ADMIT [1] 49:3 4:1, 5; 5:10; 55:15, 16 89:10; 90:6, 20; ADOPTION [2] 8:25; 9:2; 25:7; AHEAD [2] 45:4; 91:1; 98:16; 8:4; 33:22 29:5; 31:4; 36:2; 47:8				
ADMINISTRATIVE       45:19; 55:24;       AGREED [1] 11:2       17; 81:5, 7;         [2] 56:6; 104:7       57:11, 12, 16;       AGREEMENT [2]       82:5, 22; 84:17,         ADMINISTRATOR       78:9; 88:14       55:21, 22       19; 86:12; 87:3,         S [1] 4:11       AFTERNOON [19]       AGREEMENTS [3]       5; 88:11, 20;         ADMIT [1] 49:3       4:1, 5; 5:10; 55:15, 16       89:10; 90:6, 20;         ADOPTION [2]       8:25; 9:2; 25:7; AHEAD [2] 45:4; 91:1; 98:16;         8:4; 33:22       29:5; 31:4; 36:2; 47:8       101:24; 102:17,				
[2] 56:6; 104:7 57:11, 12, 16; AGREEMENT [2] 82:5, 22; 84:17, ADMINISTRATOR 78:9; 88:14 55:21, 22 19; 86:12; 87:3, S [1] 4:11 AFTERNOON [19] AGREEMENTS [3] 5; 88:11, 20; ADMIT [1] 49:3 4:1, 5; 5:10; 55:15, 16 89:10; 90:6, 20; ADOPTION [2] 8:25; 9:2; 25:7; AHEAD [2] 45:4; 91:1; 98:16; 8:4; 33:22 29:5; 31:4; 36:2; 47:8 101:24; 102:17,	= =	17:22; 18:17;	55:6; 79:25	
ADMINISTRATOR       78:9; 88:14       55:21, 22       19; 86:12; 87:3,         S [1] 4:11       AFTERNOON [19]       AGREEMENTS [3]       5; 88:11, 20;         ADMIT [1] 49:3       4:1, 5; 5:10; 55:15, 16       89:10; 90:6, 20;         ADOPTION [2]       8:25; 9:2; 25:7; AHEAD [2] 45:4; 91:1; 98:16;         8:4; 33:22       29:5; 31:4; 36:2; 47:8       101:24; 102:17,				
S [1] 4:11       AFTERNOON [19]       AGREEMENTS [3]       5; 88:11, 20;         ADMIT [1] 49:3       4:1, 5; 5:10;       55:15, 16       89:10; 90:6, 20;         ADOPTION [2]       8:25; 9:2; 25:7;       AHEAD [2] 45:4;       91:1; 98:16;         8:4; 33:22       29:5; 31:4; 36:2;       47:8       101:24; 102:17,			• •	
ADMIT [1] 49:3       4:1, 5; 5:10;       55:15, 16       89:10; 90:6, 20;         ADOPTION [2]       8:25; 9:2; 25:7;       AHEAD [2] 45:4;       91:1; 98:16;         8:4; 33:22       29:5; 31:4; 36:2;       47:8       101:24; 102:17,				
ADOPTION       [2]       8:25; 9:2; 25:7; AHEAD       [2] 45:4; 91:1; 98:16; 101:24; 102:17,				
8:4; 33:22 29:5; 31:4; 36:2; 47:8 101:24; 102:17,				
39:9; 43:16; 18; 103:15				
		39:9; 43:16;		18; 103:15

	24; 61:9, 12, 19;	AMOUNT [3]	ANYBODY'S [2]
64:20; 81:16, 25		40:8; 45:20;	35:2; 83:3
ALLEGED [2]	71:3, 7; 72:1, 24;	93:19	<b>ANYONE</b> [9] 6:2;
18:1; 38:15	74:1; 76:3, 22;	AMOUNTS [3]	28:11, 13; 33:11;
<b>ALLEN</b> [6] 2:4;	77:21; 78:6;	67:3; 88:12;	50:24; 61:24;
8:21, 23, 25; 9:4;	81:22; 82:14;	99:9	63:14
12:16	84:15; 92:13, 21;	<b>AMPLE</b> [1] 41:1	ANYTHING [3]
ALLEVIATE [1]	93:12, 13; 94:13,	ANALOGOUS [1]	27:21, 25; 97:13
59:19	20; 95:3, 12;	50:20	<b>ANYWAY</b> [1] 85:8
<b>ALLOW</b> [10] 6:1;		ANALOGY [1]	AOTC [1] 24:23
20:3; 51:4; 54:7;		34:9	APARTMENT [2]
59:16; 68:16;		ANALYSIS [2]	21:18; 55:4
90:20; 92:1;	101:10, 11	12:8; 95:14	APARTMENTS [2]
99:7, 8		ANALYTICS [1]	24:2; 55:13
	• •		
ALLOWED [3]	18:9	39:16	APOLOGIES [1]
40:25; 51:23;	ALTERNATIVES	ANALYZE [2]	39:6
92:5	[3] 95:12; 96:1	7:7; 95:23	APOLOGIZE [5]
ALLOWING [2]	ALTHOUGH [3]	ANECDOTICALLY	12:19; 35:23;
43:17; 45:4	5:25; 30:16;	[1] 95:7	62:4; 83:19, 20
ALLOWS [5]	82:8	ANGIONE [6]	APOLOGY [1]
11:18; 14:2;	ALWAYS [2]	2:7; 12:19, 21,	83:22
40:5; 54:8; 59:4		23; 13:9	<b>APP</b> [1] 75:12
ALMOST [2]	<b>AM</b> [14] 15:12;		<b>APPEAL</b> [8] 17:5,
63:23; 89:12	39:10; 41:21;	ANNOYANCE [1]	11; 18:6, 14, 16;
<b>ALONG</b> [8] 4:13,		50:18	91:13; 92:8, 13
16, 21; 7:23;		<b>ANNUAL</b> [1] 41:5	APPEALS [7]
8:18; 19:22;	88:7; 92:21;	ANNUALLY [1]	4:14; 5:6; 46:17;
41:2; 44:10	99:21, 23;	41:9	87:20; 88:4, 24;
ALPHABETICAL	104:10, 11	ANONYMITY [1]	91:20
[1] 97:18	<b>AMASS</b> [1] 67:3	27:7	APPEAR [2]
ALPHABETICALL	AMBROSINO [2]	ANOTHER [11]	21:7; 73:8
<b>Y</b> [1] 8:14	5:20	17:20; 18:15;	APPEARANCE [1]
ALREADY [10]	<b>AMEND</b> [1] 99:12	23:6; 42:15;	16:18
23:11; 30:11;	AMENDED [1]	53:5, 6; 81:4;	APPEARS [3]
38:10; 47:24;	18:15	89:4; 90:6; 91:9;	21:7, 8; 71:25
49:23; 59:13;	AMENDMENT [8]	95:10	APPELLANTS [3]
64:5; 70:24;	07.44	ANSWER [4]	18:6, 7, 8
100:16; 101:4		13:8; 33:11;	APPELLATE [3]
	69:7; 76:20, 23;	71:9; 79:7	87:18; 91:11, 17
20:6, 17, 18;		ANSWERING [1]	APPELLEES [1]
22:3; 25:13;		9:17	18:6
28:9; 31:6, 18;		ANSWERS [2]	APPLAUD [1]
	AMERICAN [1]	80:17; 87:25	28:7
8, 10; 36:13;		ANTI-GANG [1]	
37:3, 20; 38:6;		34:11	<b>APPLAUDS</b> [1] 12:17
40:8; 42:9, 15;		ANTICIPATE [3]	
40.8, 42.9, 15, 44:14; 45:13;			
47:17; 51:5, 19,		6:23, 25; 102:13	
	13.23, 12.14	<b>ANYBODY</b> [3]	APPLICABLE [1]
22, 25; 52:4;		14:14; 15:2;	92:6
57:1, 10; 60:7,		18:25	

= =	42:12, 18; 44:1,		ASSOCIATE [1]
72:14	8, 12; 45:6;		4:2
<b>APPLIES</b> [1] 63:9		ARTICLES [1]	ASSOCIATED [1]
APPLY [1]	51:20; 52:6;	51:17	63:14
103:15	53:22; 54:6, 8,	ARTISTS [2]	ASSOCIATES [2]
APPLYING [1]	18, 23, 24, 25;	33:20	39:21; 40:16
65:7	55:3, 4, 8, 17;	<b>ASK</b> [23] 4:6, 19;	ASSOCIATION
APPOINTED [1]		6:11, 12, 14;	
4:10	60:7, 18; 63:19;	8:11; 10:21;	36; 3:24; 25:13;
APPRECIATE [4]	64:12, 23; 65:5;	11:14; 18:22;	27:14; 29:7;
12:9; 15:21;		19:11; 20:7;	
48:7; 79:6		24:4, 18; 27:6, 9;	
APPRECIATED [1]	70:6. 8. 22:	28:21; 34:5;	78:20, 21, 22, 24
48:5	71:22: 72:11 13:	39:3; 58:2; 61:1;	ASSUMPTIONS
APPROACH [3]		85:24; 99:11	
64:3, 6; 72:1		<b>ASKED</b> [5] 17:7,	
APPROACHES [1]		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
101:21	9; 79:4; 80:16;		ATTACHMENTS
APPROPRIATE [3]			[1] 18:9
16:11; 48:11;		17:11, 14; 27:8;	
51:1	83:9; 84:10;	68:4; 94:24;	
APPROVED [1]	85:25; 86:24;		91:14
8:2		ASKS [1] 25:5	
APPROXIMATELY		ASSAULT [4]	
[1] 41:6		62:12, 16; 64:12;	
<b>APRIL</b> [2] 47:18;			20:15; 49:18
48:1	96:2, 3; 97:6;		ATTORNEY [17]
ARCHAIC [2]	99:19,	64:5	14:14, 22; 18:2;
83:2; 91:3		ASSAULTS [2]	25:10, 11; 36:3;
ARCHAYIV [1]		62:21; 66:9	43:18, 23; 53:20;
91:3	<b>AREA</b> [6] 13:25;	ASSERT [1]	64:14; 68:14, 17;
<b>ARE</b> [153] 4:12;	29:10, 15; 34:2;	68:25	72:24; 73:1, 13;
6:17, 22, 24; 7:1,		ASSESS [2]	86:24; 88:7
13; 8:16; 10:7;	<b>AREAS</b> [7] 32:1,	39:17; 40:5	ATTORNEYS [20]
11:10; 13:2, 23;	2; 34:23; 93:25;	ASSESSMENT [4]	10:1; 11:1;
15:1, 25; 16:15,	95:6; 96:25;	90:9, 10; 103:5,	13:12, 18; 30:2,
17; 19:24; 20:5;	97:7	6	7; 46:3; 68:8;
21:5, 6; 22:3, 4;	<b>AREN'T</b> [1] 73:20	ASSIGN [1]	70:12; 71:11, 14,
23:23, 24; 24:20;		49:13	23; 72:5; 73:20;
25:4, 5; 26:14,	36:15; 51:9	ASSIST [5]	78:5; 87:4; 88:5;
23; 27:1, 5, 8,	ARGUMENT [1]	30:22; 43:12;	93:6; 100:14
10; 28:13;	71:5	53:22; 54:9;	<b>AUDIO</b> [4] 1:21;
29:18, 23; 30:1,	ADIOEN (41 00 04	61:20	103:5, 17, 18
	ARISES [1] 20:23	ASSISTANCE [2]	AUTHOR [1]
32:3, 6, 18; 33:2,		12:15; 74:18	43:19
10, 17; 34:3;	• •	ASSISTANT [1]	AUTHORITY [1]
35:20; 36:24;		5:22	16:11
	97:4, 10		AUTHORIZE [1]
21; 38:9; 39:19;			
		27:22	53:4 AVAIL [4] 07:24
40:24; 41:6;	65:17		<b>AVAIL</b> [1] 97:24

AVAILABILITY [6]	85:6, 9; 96:12;	BASED [4]
11:9; 36:16;	103:7	90:11, 15;
38:1, 22; 58:22;	BACKGROUND [3]	BASIC [2]
63:21	51:11; 74:22;	54:7
AVAILABLE [71]	103:16	BASICALLY
4:18; 6:23; 9:8;	<b>BAD</b> [12] 40:8;	54:2, 9;
10:1; 11:22;	55:13; 56:2;	74:19
12:6; 13:4; 15:1;	57:14, 15; 73:18;	BASIS [11]
	84:7, 22; 85:19;	14:4; 30:
	86:2; 100:6	42:25;
38:12; 39:1, 14;		50:12, 14;
40:16, 21; 41:21,		70:16; 79:
25; 42:7, 12, 18,	7, 8, 9, 11, 12,	BATTERER
21; 43:7, 9;	13, 17, 18, 23;	64:24; 65:
44:18; 45:13, 20;	94:6, 7, 14, 16;	BATTLE [1]
	95:12, 18; 97:5,	
	19	32:12
	BALANCE [9]	
	31:19; 34:24;	
	37:7, 11; 50:22;	
22; 63:2, 25;	51:6; 56:5, 19;	7:10, 18;
64:2; 65:4; 66:6,	79:17	12, 16, 17
12; 67:17;	BALANCING [6]	9:17, 23,
68:10; 69:18;	30:6, 16; 53:23;	
70:6; 71:23;		
		15; 13:4 14:11, 16;
72:10; 75:18, 19;	80:18	23; 17
90:7; 92:24; 96:12, 19;	BANKING [1]	
	60:12	18:10, 12; 24; 20:4
100:15; 101:2, 6	BANKRUPTCY [2]	
AVERAGE [1]	46:18; 88:3	21:1; 23:1
94:5	BAR [27] 2:26,	
AVOIDED [1]		
	24:1, 2; 25:23;	
	31:5; 35:7;	
AWARE [5] 22:1;		28:1, 5, 6,
33:9; 49:4;	13, 23; 50:8;	29:8, 22;
73:10; 94:12	51:5; 52:2;	31:8, 11,
	78:20, 21, 23, 24,	
	25; 80:14; 82:5;	
103:20	83:15	20, 23; 3
<b>AWFUL</b> [1] 85:12	BARNSTABLE [2]	13; 35:17
	49:17; 68:11	36:1; 3
- B -	BARRIER [1]	38:20; 39
	21:25	41:12, 20;
<b>BACK</b> [16] 5:8;	BARRIERS [5]	44:9; 45:
17:19; 21:14;	59:14, 17; 72:12;	46:6, 7, 22
25:15; 33:9;	94:13; 96:2	48:9, 10;
41:13; 57:18;	BASCOT [2]	25; 50:5,
	40:13: 43:9	51:13. 24:

40:13; 43:9

**BASE** [1] 42:4

82:7;

58:8; 69:4; 74:7;

77:10;

83:25; 13; 55:7; 56:2, 99:25 21, 23, 24; 57:3, 40:13; 25; 59:12, 20; 60:9, 11; 61:7; **Y** [4] 62:22; 63:5, 17; 73:22; 64:2, 16; 65:4, 19; 66:5, 11; 67:16; 68:7, 14, ] 10:3; 6, 16; 15, 16; 69:6, 13, 48:25; 16, 20; 70:11, 18, 21, 23, 25; 54:18; 71:1, 20, 22, 25; 10 **S** [2] 72:9, 12, 13, 14, 18; 73:2, 4, 10, 5 11, 18, ] 40:3 22, 24; [2] 74:18; 75:8, 14, 25; 76:10, 18, 21, 22; 25:23; 77:2, 6, 9, 22, 25; 80:15, 20, 21; 6:17; 8:2, 6, 81:6, 18, 22; 82:3, 12, 13, 23; 7, 24; 25; 83:4, 6, 10, 12, 13; 84:2; 85:1, 2:3, 12, 17, 22; 4, 5; 86:12, 16:11, 21, 22; 88:17, 19, 20; 7:15; 89:18; 19:16, 90:18; 92:23; 4, 8; 93:25; 94:12; 19, 24; 96:1, 21; 97:3, 6; 18, 19, 98:2, 14; 100:21; 19, 24, 101:5; 102:4, 5, l1, 15, 6, 9, 13, 15 7:7, 25; **BEAR** [1] 78:4 15, 25; BEAUTIFUL [1] 30:18; 88:17 12, 15, **BECAME** [1] 88:2 2:8, 10, BECAUSE [54] 3:4, 18, 9:25; 13:17; 34:3, 7, 16:24; 17:12, 18; 7, 19; 22:13; 24:20; 37:16; 26:14; 27:9; 9:2, 3; 31:23; 32:1; 34:16; 43:11; 38:22: 8, 25; 40:9; 44:12; 17, 23; ; 47:2; 49:4, 54:1, 12, 49:21, 51:3; 13; 55:1, 5, 13, 25; 50:5, 10, 21; 51:13, 24; 52:17, 57:23; 20; 18; 53:10, 11, 63:18; 69:7;

		<b>BEST</b> [6] 15:22;	
23; 75:8; 78:5;			
79:13; 84:6, 23;	<b>BEGIN</b> [4] 5:25;		
85:11; 86:3;	8:21; 16:10;	104:5	BOO-BOO [1]
87:6, 24; 89:20;	19:20	<b>BETTER</b> [5] 12:3,	17:14
90:14, 16; 91:15,	BEGINNING [1]	12; 30:13; 39:8;	<b>BOOKS</b> [1] 60:13
24; 92:3; 93:12;		85:17	BOSTON [28]
95:2; 96:9;			1:12; 2:23; 3:5,
97:22	15:23; 29:8;		
BECOME [4]			
19:18; 25:16;	72:16; 84:20;	56:13; 71:20;	20:18; 29:7, 10,
60:6; 73:14	85:24; 93:4;	76:21; 79:17	15; 43:1; 51:21;
BECOMES [3]	101:19	BEYOND [5]	53:20; 62:10, 13,
59:25; 90:15;	<b>BEHIND</b> [5] 9:9;	47:24; 50:1, 2;	16; 67:16, 23;
92:7	16:2; 20:5;	63:12; 75:21	72:23; 84:1;
BECOMING [1]	25:17; 45:6	BHARANI [1]	88:18; 97:21;
54:13	<b>BEING</b> [29] 6:22;	67:19	99:25; 100:2
BEDFORD [1]	11:19; 12:9;	BHAYES [1]	<b>BOTH</b> [19] 7:5,
95:5	15:21; 17:20;	104:17	6; 8:7; 15:1;
<b>BEEN</b> [56] 7:11;		<b>BIG</b> [2] 12:8, 13	18:6; 19:24;
9:10; 13:15;		<b>BILL</b> [4] 89:13,	
15:24; 16:3;		15; 93:15, 16	60:19; 61:4;
17:19; 18:4, 19;	45:4; 51:16;	BILLERICA [1]	80:20; 86:13;
21:9; 23:4, 11;			92:16; 99:20, 21;
25:11, 14; 26:3,	70:13, 18; 78:14;	<b>BINDING</b> [1] 53:3	101:15, 25;
7, 8; 27:4, 5, 18,	83:16; 86:22, 23;		102:14
19; 28:19, 20;	91:12, 15; 93:7;	13:21; 25:8;	BOTTLE [1]
34:24; 35:8;			57:18
37:22; 43:18;			<b>BOUND</b> [2] 30:3;
44:22; 47:4;		99:4	53:3
49:6, 11; 50:12;	BELABOR [1]	<b>BITS</b> [1] 95:8	<b>BOX</b> [1] 46:20
52:7, 12; 53:1;	74:22	BLACK [1] 84:10	
	<b>BELIEF</b> [1] 35:18	BLACKLIST [1]	
68:6; 69:15;	BELIEVE [12]	33:25	97:25; 98:22
70:4; 71:8;	7:20; 8:14; 17:9;	BLACKLISTED [3]	BRAZENNESS [1]
72:11, 24; 73:9;	29:17; 30:24;		19:7
76:13; 77:11, 23;	45:17; 65:25;		BREAK [1] 53:2
79:5, 8; 87:2;	66:3; 89:9;	[1] 20:23	BRICK [1] 98:6
89:16, 25; 90:14;	91:23; 100:12;	<b>BLAME</b> [1] 65:24	BRIEF [4] 53:3;
95:3, 10; 97:23;	101:17	BLOCK [1] 43:2	91:13, 15, 20
100:1	BELL [1] 74:12	BLOOMBERG [2]	<b>BRIEFLY</b> [3] 4:5;
BEFORE [19]	BELOW [1] 15:13	68:3; 72:17	62:22; 71:19
5:25; 13:21;	BENEFIT [2]	BLUSH [1] 31:8	BRIEFS [1] 52:8
35:6; 36:15;	41:11; 68:19	BNA [2] 68:3;	<b>BRING</b> [4] 16:4;
40:6; 41:13;	BENEFITS [1]	72:17	52:10; 78:4;
43:23; 46:7;	31:13	BOARD [11]	90:2
52:3; 53:19;	BENEFITTING [1]	14:19; 36:10, 11;	BRISTOL [2]
68:1; 71:8, 11;	30:11	78:23, 24, 25;	68:13; 78:24
72:11; 76:13;	BERKSHIRE [1]	79:1; 87:18;	<b>BROAD</b> [1] 28:10
,,	68:13	91:11, 17	[-] =00
		<b>, · ·</b>	

DDG4DG4GT****	00.4	17.00	OADEED
BROADCASTING	26:1; 27:1;		CAREER [1]
[1] 70:3	28:12; 30:2, 3;		
BROKERS [3]	36:6; 40:7;		• •
67:2, 3, 10	41:22; 42:3;	28:3; 31:13;	44:7
BROOKE [3]	43:20; 44:3, 7, 9;	34:5, 9, 15; 35:1,	CAREFUL [2]
99:15, 17, 19	46:21; 48:23;	11; 37:16; 38:8;	82:12; 89:18
BROUGHT [2]	50:16, 25; 51:5,		CAREFULLY [1]
16:25; 36:9	9, 11; 52:12;		61:6
BRUSHING [1]	59:18; 62:14;	10; 50:24; 52:9,	<b>CARES</b> [2] 51:8;
48:24	64:7, 13; 65:3, 7;		75:4
BUDGET [1]	67:1, 2; 69:15;	55:6, 17, 23;	<b>CAREY</b> [2] 4:10;
52:22	70:16; 75:1, 7;	56:5, 8, 17; 57:8;	7:21
BUDGET-STRAIN	77:24; 81:16, 19;	61:20; 63:13, 15;	<b>CARRY</b> [1] 81:18
<b>ED</b> [1] 30:11	84:21; 85:25;	64:18; 71:15;	CARUSO [5]
<b>BUILD</b> [1] 95:16	91:11; 92:1;	72:12, 19; 74:17;	2:17; 25:6, 7, 10
BUILDING [1]	95:13; 98:8;	76:16, 21, 22;	<b>CASE</b> [66] 7:9;
95:24	99:5; 100:20;	78:15; 86:13;	10:5, 19, 24;
<b>BULK</b> [1] 49:18	104:4, 10	88:9, 10, 16;	11:13; 14:23;
BULLIED [1]		89:17, 18; 93:11;	19:9; 22:19;
18:19	- C -	94:15; 99:6, 7, 9;	23:10, 11; 25:4,
BULLYING [1]		102:7	25; 27:6; 28:22;
82:3	<b>C</b> [2] 104:1	<b>CAN'T</b> [21]	39:14, 21; 40:13,
BUMPED [1]	CALENDARS [1]	26:19, 22; 28:13,	15, 18, 20, 22;
83:19	54:6	14; 33:8; 34:3,	41:10, 22; 42:3,
BURDEN [4]	CALIFORNIA [2]	15; 35:1, 2;	5, 7, 12, 14, 19,
30:8; 56:6;	11:11; 100:3	47:4; 49:11, 21;	21, 24; 43:6;
57:21; 59:20	CALL [8] 8:14;	55:7; 74:7, 11,	45:11, 14, 25;
BURDENSOME [2]		15; 80:2; 82:7;	48:8; 50:11, 23;
31:12; 49:25	17:11; 25:21;	85:6; 93:4; 95:8	51:13, 15, 19;
BURLINGAME [2]	50:13, 14; 54:3;	CANCER [1]	52:15; 53:14;
5:15	86:15	19:18	56:12; 59:1;
BUSINESS [6]	CALLED [8] 7:9;	CANNOT [6]	60:17, 24; 64:15;
39:15; 40:6;	8:16; 17:10, 20;	16:10; 26:18;	67:14; 69:3, 4, 5;
41:4; 42:9;	46:20; 75:12;	49:7; 90:4;	70:3, 10, 16, 24;
59:19; 70:2	83:24; 87:23	98:25	73:6; 81:6, 21;
BUSINESSES [3]	CALLS [2] 60:16;	CAPACITY [2]	90:4; 92:5;
29:11; 40:5;	65:13	36:14; 79:6	103:10
44:7	CAMBRIDGE [7]	CAPTION [1]	CASE-RELATED
BUSY [3] 10:7;	2:42; 4:24;	45:12	[1] 66:22
45:23	20:18; 47:11, 12;	CAR [1] 65:12	<b>CASES</b> [63]
BUYING [1]	49:2; 95:16	CARAMELLO [4]	10:14; 19:10;
100:24	CAME [4] 17:4;	2:14; 20:12, 13;	20:19, 20; 22:16,
BUYOUT [1]	38:6; 80:7;	54:21	17, 18, 21, 23;
44:22	100:2	CARD [4] 25:23;	23:8, 9, 11;
<b>BY</b> [67] 1:21;	CAMERA [3] 6:3;	27:3, 17	24:11; 31:23;
4:10; 7:16; 8:2,	53:3, 5	CARE [7] 12:22;	32:5, 6, 10; 33:3,
6; 10:23; 15:24;	CAMERAS [4]	20:14; 48:7, 10;	22; 37:2, 21;
16:8; 17:4; 18:5,	6:2; 45:5; 53:14;	49:1; 65:1;	39:19; 41:7, 8,
14, 15; 19:9, 21;	65:13	85:16	11, 19; 43:7;
21:23; 22:4;	CAN [67] 4:2;		45:9, 22; 46:7,
-, = <del>-</del> ··,	6:15; 12:15;		,,,

22; 49:1; 50:7,		= =	·
15, 17; 51:17,		32:11; 44:14;	
20; 54:8, 23;	CERTIORARI [1]	64:21; 80:20	CLAIMS [3]
63:18; 64:14;	88:6	CHILDREN [13]	39:13; 41:7;
65:16, 19; 66:12,	CETERA [3]	44:12, 13; 64:12,	43:7
14, 15, 22;	55:14; 56:22;	18, 22; 65:1, 2;	CLASS [2] 85:14,
68:15; 72:6;	92:1	79:20, 21; 80:21;	15
73:4, 8, 11;	CHAIR [4] 4:3;		CLASSES [2]
77:11; 78:11;			
79:10, 23; 80:3,		CHOOSES [1]	CLASSIFIED [1]
7, 24	CHAIRMAN [1]	14:18	18:9
<b>CASH</b> [1] 93:18	83:21	CHORES [1]	CLEAR [3] 38:20;
CAT [1] 16:13	CHALLENGE [2]	26:25	70:4; 84:19
CATALYST [1]	30:17; 57:20	CHOUTEAU [1]	CLEARLY [3]
60:9	CHANCE [2]	79:16	19:15; 21:21;
<b>CAUGHT</b> [1] 64:4	8:16; 52:18	CHRISTMAS [1]	31:13
CAUSE [6] 23:18;	CHANCES [3]	21:13	CLERK [4] 5:6;
38:24; 66:3;	84:8, 24, 25	CIRCLE [3] 1:22;	
74:1; 81:13	CHANGED [1]	103:15; 104:16	CLERK'S [4]
CAUTION [1]	83:13	CIRCUIT [3]	4:25; 45:17, 24;
23:14	CHANGES [4]	46:17; 69:6;	46:12
<b>CD</b> [4] 90:7;			CLERKS [17]
91:1; 103:7, 14	·		
CENT [1] 50:25	CHARGE [7] 7:3;		17:4; 19:7; 22:9;
<b>CENTER</b> [9]	45:18; 46:11, 13;		27:21; 28:20;
3:45; 45:10;			41:1; 42:18, 22;
	50:25; 72:10;	12:3; 38:13	
60:22; 62:15, 16;	90:24	CITIES [1]	45:24; 47:2;
99:22, 24, 25;	CHARGED [2]	100:24	49:10; 59:20;
101:14	51:15; 72:13	CITIZEN [8]	
CENTRAL [1]	CHARGES [3]		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
65:1	45:14; 46:3;	96:19, 20; 98:3,	
CENTS [6] 45:18;		23, 24	CLIENTS [5]
46:10, 13; 52:21,	• •	CITIZENRY [1]	34:14; 36:6;
22	11:23	70:1	60:4; 70:13;
CENTURIES [1]	CHECK [3]		93:6
26:8	50:10; 60:12;	19:21; 27:10	
CENTURY [2]	102:16	CITY [2] 47:12;	
9:2; 80:14	CHECKBOOK [1]	49:2	CLINICS [1] 60:4
CERTAIN [2]	11:18	CIVIL [22] 3:21;	
14:23; 33:21	CHECKED [1]	7:9; 39:14, 21;	41:8; 43:1
CERTAINLY [10]	67:20	40:13, 15; 41:6;	CLOSED [2]
6:15, 17; 8:16;	CHECKING [1]	43:6; 45:9;	16:2; 22:17
31:15; 32:17;	74:22	48:23; 49:17;	
35:14, 17; 69:17;		50:2; 52:15;	
71:7; 83:21	31:18	62:15; 66:14;	
CERTIFICATE [1]	CHIEF [7] 4:10;		95:15
103:7	5:15, 18; 7:21;		CLOUDING [1]
CERTIFIED [2]	9:15; 78:4;	CJIS [3] 38:8,	98:20
39:13, 21	102:5	17; 75:17	<b>CM</b> [1] 48:18

COALITION (0)	COMMENCUEATE	20.44.	COMPLETE (45)
COALITION [2]	COMMENSURATE	69:11; 76:16;	COMPLETE [15]
68:2; 69:13	[1] 29:25	77:1, 3	7:12, 18; 28:21;
COERCE [1] 65:9	COMMENT [10]	COMMITTEES [1]	40:15, 20, 22;
COGNITIVE [1]	7:19, 21, 22;	28:9	41:10; 42:1, 7,
66:16	15:21; 16:1;	COMMON [1]	14, 20; 43:6, 12;
COHN [1] 70:3	73:1; 75:25;	76:24	102:4; 103:6
COLLATERAL [2]	102:6, 8	COMMONWEALTH	COMPLETELY [1]
73:7; 94:8	COMMENTS [15]	[18] 10:2; 21:24;	33:15
COLLEAGUE [3]	6:19; 7:22, 23,	23:1; 32:24;	COMPLEX [2]
10:10; 14:25;	24; 8:13; 29:8,	37:3; 39:24;	31:8; 35:5
23:6	16; 53:22;	40:15; 41:8;	COMPLEXITY [1]
COLLEAGUES [1]	61:21; 75:16;		7:8
99:22	77:18; 101:20,		COMPLIANCE [1]
COLLECT [2]	24; 102:7;	85:25; 93:10, 20;	104:7
39:21; 40:17	103:23	98:1; 100:18;	COMPLIMENT [1]
COLLECTION [2]	COMMERCIAL [3]	103:3	45:3
41:24; 61:24	33:14; 67:4;	COMMONWEALTH	COMPOSITION [2]
COLLECTOR [1]	74:9	<b>'S</b> [2] 41:24;	35:9, 14
41:3	COMMISSION [3]	68:20	COMPRISED [1]
COLLECTORS [2]	38:19; 94:21, 23	COMMUNITIES [1]	4:11
39:13; 100:20	COMMIT [2]	85:17	COMPROMISE [2]
COLLEGE [4]	10:11; 84:11	COMMUNITY [5]	50:19; 51:23
13:24; 58:18;	COMMITMENT [3]	19:22; 76:4;	COMPUTER [8]
59:22; 71:15	32:5; 66:15;	85:18; 93:6;	9:23; 10:4; 26:4;
COLOR [1] 22:6	67:15	101:3	51:11; 56:13;
COMBINATION [1]	COMMITTEE [63]	COMMUNITY'S [1]	65:8, 11; 96:11
29:25	1:8; 4:4, 6, 10,	40:7	COMPUTERS [1]
COMBINED [1]	18, 19; 6:21, 23,	COMPANIES [5]	80:7
41:8	24, 25; 7:3, 6,	33:9; 74:5, 23;	CON [2] 33:20
<b>COME</b> [23] 6:11;	16; 9:1; 15:9,	75:22; 84:13	CONCEPT [2]
7:1; 15:16;	20; 19:13;	COMPANION [1]	8:7; 32:11
19:10; 28:13;	20:13; 22:1;	81:21	CONCERN [7]
32:2; 34:14;	24:4, 7; 28:10,	COMPANY [4]	14:10; 30:8;
36:15; 55:14;	16; 29:1; 30:8,	39:11, 12; 42:5,	32:15; 33:6, 16;
56:20; 57:23;	20, 22; 31:1, 9;	16	35:6; 48:8
59:10, 18; 70:8;	36:3; 39:1, 2;	COMPARED [1]	CONCERNED [6]
74:14; 76:2, 8;	43:11, 17; 44:24;	22:18	21:25; 22:12;
86:25; 96:12;	47:10, 18, 19, 22,	COMPELLING [1]	32:19; 43:24;
99:8, 23; 101:19;			47:15, 17
102:11	49:20; 58:14;		CONCERNING [1]
COMES [6]	61:16, 20; 62:7;		63:20
20:20; 21:13;			CONCERNS [12]
31:22; 55:18;	71:13; 72:19;	67:5	13:19; 15:7;
98:15	73:3; 75:25;	COMPILED [2]	30:6; 32:9; 34:3;
COMING [5]	76:10; 79:15;	102:13, 17	36:22; 38:14;
8:20; 89:19, 20,	83:5, 16, 23;	COMPLAINT [1]	41:15; 51:3;
21; 102:18	92:22; 97:17;		53:24; 79:17, 19
COMMEND [2]	101:19; 103:10		
13:9; 87:11			
			[1] 55:17
·	<b>COMMITTEE'S</b> [6] 6:22; 48:7;		[1] 55:17

CONCLUDES [1]	CONSIDERATION	CONTRACTS [2]	CORRECTED [2]
101:18	<b>S</b> [1] 80:16	39:12; 42:19	91:18, 19
CONCLUSION [1]	CONSIDERED [4]	CONTRAST [1]	CORRECTING [1]
82:6	8:2; 34:20; 87:7,	46:2	36:23
CONDITIONS [1]	8	CONTRIBUTING	CORRECTION [2]
55:13	CONSIDERING [3]	[2] 37:10; 85:18	38:20; 95:13
CONDUCT [3]	31:9; 70:17;	CONTROL [2]	CORRECTIONS
16:11; 30:3;	76:1	65:6; 67:7	[1] 38:16
70:2	CONSIDERS [2]	CONTROVERSIAL	CORRECTLY [4]
CONDUCTS [1]	52:4; 69:13	[1] 28:22	15:16; 56:16;
42:9	CONSISTENT [3]	CONVENIENCE	62:3; 72:21
CONFERENCE [1]	39:3; 51:25;	[1] 75:8	CORRUPTION [3]
78:23	53:11	CONVENIENT [2]	16:16; 17:13;
CONFIDENCE [1]	CONSOLIDATED	50:22; 69:21	19:17
59:8	[1] 63:19	CONVENIENTLY	COSIGNERS [1]
CONFIDENTIAL	CONSTANTLY [2]	[1] 69:18	67:13
[1] 50:4	96:16; 98:6	CONVERSATIONS	COST [12] 27:18,
CONFIDENTIALIT	CONSTITUENCIE	[1] 41:15	20, 25; 28:1, 6;
<b>Y</b> [3] 30:5;	<b>S</b> [2] 29:18;	CONVICTED [2]	46:9; 90:5, 13,
50:20; 51:3	62:20	32:25; 51:15	22; 97:4
CONFINES [1]	CONSTITUTIONA	CONVICTIONS [3]	COSTS [3] 40:7,
50:4	<b>L</b> [1] 19:2	37:17, 18, 19	10; 101:7
CONFRONT [1]	CONSUMER [2]	CONVOLUTED [1]	<b>COULD</b> [51]
101:22	40:6; 53:20	100:9	6:25; 10:14;
CONFUSED [1]	CONTACTED [1]	COOPERATE [1]	12:13; 15:19;
101:11	91:17	38:18	17:15, 16; 18:12;
CONFUSION [1]	CONTAIN [3]	<b>COPIES</b> [8] 10:6;	30:25; 31:23;
98:19	63:8; 64:15, 18	34:13, 22; 45:17;	32:2, 11, 12, 20;
CONNECTICUT	CONTAINED [2]	46:4; 52:23;	33:4, 19; 34:14;
[3] 11:12; 14:25;	43:25; 81:25	75:15; 90:3	35:10, 14, 25;
60:24	CONTENDS [1]	COPPOLA [2]	38:5, 12, 24;
CONNECTION [1]	29:21	87:5	45:11, 15, 18;
15:2	CONTENT [1]	<b>COPY</b> [4] 10:7;	50:21; 51:24;
CONSEQUENCE	19:12	19:24; 45:15;	59:19; 63:11, 17;
[3] 16:7; 20:21;	CONTENTS [2]	103:7	65:19; 77:25;
21:21	45:15; 81:17	COPYING [1]	78:3; 80:5;
CONSEQUENCES			81:12, 13, 18;
[4] 54:16; 56:6;	100:7	CORE [1] 29:18	82:2, 3, 15;
73:7; 94:8	CONTINUE [7]	CORI [25] 32:23;	85:21, 22; 90:24;
CONSERVATORS	11:14; 55:25;	33:5; 36:6, 7, 11,	91:19; 94:8;
HIP [1] 33:17	56:23; 69:11;	14, 21; 37:12;	95:18; 96:1;
CONSERVATORS	82:7; 85:16;	38:5, 6, 9, 13, 16,	97:9
HIPS [1] 66:14	102:1	23; 39:4; 50:4;	
CONSIDER [7]	CONTINUED [3]	72:23; 84:5, 21,	
12:6; 22:2; 24:5,		23; 85:10, 25	85:8; 96:9
7; 51:5; 83:15;	87:20	CORI'S [1] 38:10	COUNCIL [1]
101:24	CONTINUES [1]	CORIS [1] 85:12	91:14
CONSIDERATION	7:12	CORRECT [4]	COUNSEL [5]
[1] 102:11	CONTINUING [1]	24:19; 35:24;	
	93:10	67:9; 90:14	104:10
	<del>-</del>	,··	3 <del>-</del>

COUNSELED [1]	12; 32:3, 15;	10, 11, 12, 17,	23; 61:5; 62:13;
36:6	33:11; 34:23;	22; 101:2, 5, 10,	
COUNTER [1]	35:3; 39:19;	12, 15, 23;	66:14; 67:16;
41:2	40:10, 13, 22;	102:2, 5, 10, 12,	70:15; 76:17;
COUNTIES [9]	41:6, 16; 42:4,	16; 103:4, 6, 10;	79:2; 82:7;
42:17, 20; 45:21,	40 400 4 05	104:8, 16	88:24; 89:9;
22; 47:15;	44:2, 12, 23;	COURT'S [15]	
49:21; 68:11, 12;		4:4; 7:8; 36:16,	
95:4	46:3, 8, 15, 20;	24; 38:2, 12, 23;	98:10; 100:21
COUNTLESS [1]	47:12, 14, 23;	41:4; 45:1, 17;	COVERAGE [1]
101:4	48:4, 14, 22;	46:2; 58:4; 71:9;	45:2
COUNTRY [9]	49:10, 23; 50:6,	72:8; 91:23	COVERED [4]
	7, 16; 51:21, 22,	COURTHOUSE	
	24; 52:2, 3, 13,		
	14, 24; 53:8, 12,	6:1; 8:7; 10:15,	
46:17, 18; 82:5		16; 12:24;	14:1
	9, 18, 22; 55:6,	13:19, 22; 14:15,	COVERUP [1]
1:11; 11:10;	15; 56:11; 57:3;	21; 24:14, 22;	19:2
	58:1, 5, 9, 19, 22,	26:9, 13, 14;	COX [1] 70:3
	24, 25; 59:4, 7,	39:22; 50:13, 18;	CRAIG [1] 5:15
	9, 11, 16, 20, 23,		00-4
	25; 60:1, 6, 8,		
	15, 17, 19; 61:2,	70:6, 23; 72:16;	CREATED [2]
	9, 14; 62:12;	75:20; 79:9;	59:18; 61:1
22:15; 27:16;	63:8, 10; 65:15,	82:14; 96:11	CREATES [2]
93:1; 94:11	21, 22; 66:12,	COURTHOUSES	21:25; 44:11
COURSE [12]	25; 67:6, 7, 11,	[6] 10:2; 40:14;	CREATING [2]
6:3; 17:24; 21:2,	14, 16; 68:5,	43:9; 101:5, 8,	61:14; 72:12
12; 22:4; 24:14,	11, 18, 23; 69:6,	11	CREDENTIAL [1]
15; 41:4; 56:14;	10, 15, 24; 70:5,	COURTROOM [2]	49:22
72:3; 76:21;	8, 12, 14, 22;	6:1; 26:22	CREDENTIALED
80:3	72:1, 3, 10, 11,	COURTROOMS [1]	[1] 46:21
COURT [280]	15; 74:4, 24;	45:5	CREDENTIALS [1]
1:7, 8, 21; 4:3,	75:3, 10; 76:17;	COURTS [66]	79:4
4, 12, 14, 15, 17,	78:1, 25; 79:12,	9:19; 10:4, 22;	CREDIBLE [6]
		11:9, 15; 12:12;	
		13:3, 16; 14:1, 3,	
21, 23; 7:5, 11,	17; 82:9, 12, 17,	8; 16:17, 24;	CREDIT [3]
13; 8:2, 7; 9:7,	19, 22; 83:6, 16;	19:6; 20:19;	39:25; 40:4;
8, 10, 13; 10:24;	86:23, 25; 87:3,	26:7; 28:20;	54:19
		30:9; 31:6, 7, 11,	
		21; 32:9; 34:8,	
		25; 35:10;	
		40:19; 42:13;	
		45:2, 3, 4, 6;	
18; 20:18;	94:15, 16; 95:8,	46:16, 17, 18;	CRIMINAL [53]
		47:1; 51:24;	
		52:13; 54:5;	
		57:21, 22; 59:3,	
29:21; 30:10, 11,	99:12; 100:4, 9,	13, 19; 60:10,	11, 16, 23; 37:1,

14, 16, 23; 38:1,	DAMAGE
3, 7, 11, 18;	
39:1; 45:9, 14;	
50:1, 2, 3, 7, 11,	
17, 23; 51:2, 4,	
10, 23; 53:9;	
73:4, 5, 7; 74:2,	
3, 15; 75:19;	<b>DAN</b> [2]
84:4, 15, 16, 21;	
85:2, 13; 94:22,	DANGERO
23	64:4
CRIMINALS [2]	DANGERS
33:21; 66:20	51:12
CRISIS [1] 62:16	DARKNES
	99:2, 4
50:15; 69:25	DASHES [
CROSS-REFEREN	
<b>CED</b> [1] 63:18	24; 11:22
CRUCIAL [3]	8, 9, 10;
18:10; 59:9	39:12, 14
CULLEN [1]	67:2, 3, 1
	78:1, 4, 9
88:18	
CURRENT [6]	93:2; 95:2, 7, 96:4: 98:
29:6; 65:9; 72:8;	95:2, 7,
92:21; 95:17, 20	,
CURRENTLY [7]	
13:1; 14:13;	
60:20; 68:11;	
94:5; 96:24;	DATE [7]
97:19	57:3, 9;
CURTAILED [1]	103:9,
101:4	104:15
CUSP [1] 14:8	DATES [4
CUSTODY [2]	45:12;
32:10; 65:1	88:12
CUSTOMERS [1]	<b>DAY</b> [11]
39:20	17:20;
<b>CUT</b> [1] 82:17	47:11;
CYBERBULLYING	65:11; 7
[1] 74:8	13; 88:18
<b>CYCLE</b> [1] 40:1	DAYS [6]
	16:1;
	94:11
- D -	DEADLINE
	9:17
<b>D</b> [3] 63:1;	
97:25; 98:22	<b>DEAL</b> [4]
<b>DAILY</b> [7] 10:3;	14; 70:10 <b>DEALING</b>
	DEALING

30:6, 16; 48:25;

50:11, 14; 79:10

82:23

[3] **DEANS** [1] 81:10 74:1 **DEBATES** [1] 77:15 G [2] **DEBILITATING** [1] 85:23 [1] DEBT [2] 40:8; 85:13 100:20 **DEBTS** [1] 40:10 4:25: **DECADES** [2] 73:10; 80:1 OUS [1] **DECEPTIVELY** [1] 7:3 [1] DECIDE [3] SS 56:21, 23; 57:1 [2] **DECISION** [15] 18:17; [1] 91:6 13:10; ] 10:21, 37:3; 88:12, 16; 89:12; 2; 12:6, 90:8, 9, 11, 16; 92:6, 9, 22:8, 9; 12, 13 , 20, 23; 0; 74:5; **DECISIONS** [6] 28:12; ; 92:23; 50:1, 2, 94:13: 12; 92:4 **DECLARATION** 17, 24; 5, 14 [1] 76:24 DECLARED [1] E [5] 2; 55:6; 18:4 **DEDUCT** [1] 18:10; 21:16 90:10; **DEEDS** [5] 27:23, 12; 24; 28:4; 39:24; 41:24 18:10; **DEEMED** [1] 23:4 DEEPLY 46:3; [1] 85:16 2:42; **DEFABRIZIO** [3] 29:4, 5, 6 31:12; 54:13; **DEFABRIZZIO** [1] 74:8, 10, 2:21 8; 94:16 **DEFAULT** [1] 77:2 10:16: 89:11; **DEFEAT** [1] 86:3 **DEFENDANT** [3] 34:21; 63:14, 15 Ε [1] **DEFENDANT'S** [1] 47:1, 63:13 **DEFENDANTS** [6] 0; 82:15 23:17; DEALING [1] 40:17; 64:8; 75:6; **DEALT** [1] 14:3 79:22; 95:15

**DEFENSE** [1] 93:5 **DEFICITS** [1] 64:20 **DEFINITELY** [2] 7:1, 2 DELAYED [1] 49:4 DELEGATES [1] 78:22 DELIBERATE [1] 48:8 **DELIBERATIONS** [1] 11:14 DEMAND [2] 37:6; 44:25 DEMENTIA [1] 66:16 DEMOCRACY [1] 98:23 **DEMOCRATIC** [1] 11:16 DENIAL [2] 16:19; 18:14 DENIED [8] 57:12, 13, 16, 24; 92:5 **DENISE** [3] 3:23; 78:16, 18 DENYING [1] 54:19 **DEPARTMENT** [12] 5:19; 16:25; 30:11; 36:11; 38:7, 9, 17; 58:16; 64:21; 83:7; 98:12, 15 **DEPARTMENTS** [4] 4:13; 7:11, 14; 83:16 **DEPUTY** [2] 5:9, 10 **DERIVE** [1] 77:7 DESCRIBE [1] 80:2 **DESCRIPTIONS** [1] 30:15 DESIGNATE [1] 77:8

DESIGNED (0)	70.04. 74.04.	DISCUSS 101	DITKOFF (2) 5.2
DESIGNED [2]	70:21; 71:21;	DISCUSS [2]	DITKOFF [2] 5:2
49:12; 54:5	72:14; 82:21;	16:15; 37:2	DIVISIONS [1]
<b>DESIRE</b> [1] 50:3	83:16	DISCUSSION [4]	46:15
<b>DESK</b> [3] 4:19;	DIFFERING [1]	13:7; 30:23;	DIVORCE [6]
10:17; 52:20	71:20	59:5; 90:18	32:10; 44:1;
<b>DESKS</b> [1] 101:6	DIFFICULT [9]	DISCUSSIONS [1]	80:22, 24; 81:21;
DESKTOP [1]	25:16, 20; 53:23;	82:5	82:2
10:14	61:17; 64:6;	DISEASE [1]	DOCKET [43]
DESPERATELY	66:9; 92:3;	81:4	13:3; 15:5; 17:8;
[1] 64:4	100:7; 101:22	DISINFECTANT	29:16; 30:14, 23;
DESPITE [1]	DILEMMA [1]	[1] 98:2	33:7; 35:1;
13:17	85:9	DISMAYED [1]	36:16, 23; 38:1,
DESTROY [1]	<b>DIME</b> [1] 75:14	100:10	11, 22; 39:1;
85:23	DIMENSION [1]	DISMISSED [3]	40:23; 46:22;
DETAINING [1]	82:10	16:8; 33:3;	48:23; 50:3, 8;
97:4	DIMINISHED [1]	37:20	54:6; 56:12, 14,
DETERMINATION	54:14	DISPARATE [2]	17; 57:7; 59:9,
[3] 8:3; 82:13;	DIRECTED [1]	73:18; 98:18	15; 60:17; 61:9;
102:10	47:18	DISPLAY [1]	68:5, 8, 12, 24;
DETERMINE [6]	DIRECTION [2]	44:10	69:6; 71:3, 4, 5;
10:25; 16:11;	12:7; 71:25	DISPLAYED [1]	74:25; 75:15;
39:2; 50:12;	DIRECTIVE [1]	22:21	91:16, 18, 19, 21
57:8; 63:15	104:8	DISPOSITION [1]	DOCKETING [2]
DETERMINED [1]	DIRECTLY [1]	22:20	91:11
83:6	72:7	DISREGARD [1]	DOCKETS [26]
DEVELOP [4]	DIRECTOR [4]	19:2	29:21; 30:15;
7:4; 9:2; 29:12;	3:27; 5:20;	DISSEMINATED	39:2; 46:9;
102:1	76:16; 83:24	[1] 19:25	49:17; 50:1, 23;
DEVELOPING [1]	DISABLED [2]	DISSEMINATING	51:4; 52:2, 11;
26:6	33:16; 101:1	[1] 16:12	60:1, 6, 14, 21;
DEVELOPMENT	DISAPPEARED [1]	DISSEMINATION	63:8; 68:9;
[1] 13:2	17:9	[3] 51:12; 67:8;	69:15; 71:22;
DEVELOPMENTS	DISCHARGE [1]	69:22	73:4; 75:11;
[1] 31:1	98:25	DISSERVICE [1]	91:9, 10, 12;
<b>DEVICE</b> [1] 6:3	DISCLOSED [2]	10:10	96:7, 14, 17
<b>DEVICES</b> [2] 6:2;		DISTINCTIONS	DOCTORED [1]
37:6	DISCLOSURE [3]	[1] 76:21	17:1
DEVOTED [2]	50:19; 66:25;	DISTRIBUTED [1]	DOCUMENT [5]
25:1, 3	81:1	58:6	14:15; 15:1;
<b>DIDN'T</b> [12] 31:8;		DISTRIBUTION	39:13; 88:14;
50:9; 53:4;		[1] 58:11	100:22
83:19; 84:11, 14,	DISCOVERING [1]	DISTRICT [18]	DOCUMENTATION
17; 85:7; 88:13;	17:7	4:24; 5:3; 10:4;	[1] 87:11
90:9; 91:10, 24	DISCREPANCY	11:1; 20:19;	DOCUMENTED [3]
DIFFERENCE [3]	[1] 56:13	28:3; 45:2;	18:24; 21:10;
26:12; 34:8;	DISCRIMINATE	46:15, 16, 19;	
100:5	[2] 65:22; 66:8		
DIFFERENT [9]			
7:10; 52:12;	[1] 65:19	67:16; 78:5;	
55:9; 56:19;	[.] 000	100:16	17, 18; 15:1, 8,
55.5, 56.15,		100.10	17, 10, 10.1, 0,

9; 18:5; 19:24;	55:11; 69:12;	DΥ
30:10; 42:12, 24;	78:14; 80:4	64:
45:12, 16; 46:5,		
11, 13, 14; 52:6;	93:16	
53:12, 14; 54:6;	DOOKHAN [1]	
59:4, 16, 25;	78:8	
60:14, 15, 17, 24;	DOOKHAN'S [1]	E [
61:10; 65:3;	78:9	E-F
69:16, 22; 70:12,	DOOR [2] 11:12;	14:
14, 21; 71:18,	61:24	E-N
21; 72:2, 9, 10,	DOORS [1] 16:2	46:
11, 15; 87:12;	DORCHESTER [2]	92:
91:10; 100:17	75:3, 9	E-N
<b>DOE</b> [1] 62:16	<b>DOWN</b> [5] 4:21;	65:
DOES [12] 9:22;	40:7, 9; 94:25;	EΑ
21:7; 34:21;	101:12	6:1
	DOWNLOAD [2]	40:
40:20; 48:19;		42:
54:15; 67:10;	28:4, 5	58:
87:8; 88:7;	DOWNLOADING	96:
92:10; 98:10;	[1] 53:12	EΑ
99:6	DOZEN [1] 60:23	48:
DOESN'T [10]	DRAFT [3] 7:20;	57:
10:20; 27:20, 21,	8:1; 102:3	EΑ
25; 47:23; 73:6;	DRAFTING [2]	85:
93:19; 95:9;	7:18; 102:4	EΑ
98:13	DRAMA [1] 66:2	EA
<b>DOING</b> [7] 6:7;	DRAMATICALLY	82:
21:23; 27:2;	[1] 22:12	EΑ
28:7; 93:13, 18; 97:4	DRAWN [1] 61:6	10:
	<b>DRIVING</b> [2] 10:23; 101:4	25:
<b>DOLLAR</b> [6] 10:5; 45:18;		53:
52:22; 87:14;	78:6; 81:9	75:
90:3; 101:8	DRUNK [1] 10:23	EA
DOLLARS [8]	DUAL [1] 30:17	24:
11:24; 45:19;		63:
	<b>DUE</b> [5] 19:3;	EA
87:18; 89:15;	26:11; 40:8;	24:
93:7, 8, 9; 95:22		44:
<b>DOMESTIC</b> [17] 41:19; 62:11, 17,		60:
		67:
21; 64:11, 13,	<b>DURING</b> [10] 6:3,	EC
15; 65:16, 18,	6; 7:1, 22; 40:25; 41:16;	EC
		ΕD
20; 66:21;	47:18; 49:7;	9:4
79:22, 23; 81:18 <b>DONAHUE</b> [3]		43:
		ΕD
5:12; 9:15	73:23	43:
<b>DONE</b> [7] 34:25;		ΕD
44:2; 48:9;	<b>DUTY</b> [1] 98:25	94:

NAMICS [2] EDUCATED [1] :25: 65:23 84:25 **EDUCATION** [2] 29:25; 59:24 - E -**EFFECTIVELY** [5] 21:24; 51:1; [1] 104:1 55:5; 56:1; 78:5 FILING [4] EFFICIENCY [1] :4, 8; 42:11 60:9 MAIL [3] EFFICIENT [1] :21; 85:6; 16:3 :2 EFFORT [3] MAILS [1] 27:21; 19:20; :10 60:19 CH [12] 4:12; EFFORTS [1] 11, 12; 23:8; 12:25 :18; 41:3; EGREGIOUS [1] :19; 46:21; 19:4 :19; 83:6; **EIGHT** [1] 6:8 :11; 103:6 EITHER [10] RLIER [4] 6:19; 18:8; 33:3; :18; 54:22; 35:12; 43:8; :6; 65:20 45:23; 84:14, 18; RLY [2] 35:10; 98:4; 102:14 :10 **ELDER** [2] 55:14; **RS** [1] 101:3 62:11 **SE** [2] 80:15; ELDERLY [2] :3 33:16; 100:25 SIER [10] **ELECTRONIC** [29] :19; 16:21; 7:6, 9; 12:1, 2; :14, 19; 26:9; 13:20; 14:3, 7, 9, :24; 59:13; 12, 17; 15:7; :2, 24; 100:14 25:18; 17:8; SILY [3] 26:1; 31:13; :19; 55:8; 34:6; 41:10; :15 43:12; 45:6; **SY** [10] 22:13; 47:15; 48:6; :13; 34:8; 49:22; 52:8; :25; 51:10; 53:12; 61:11; :14; 66:19; 73:22, 23; 75:1; :1; 86:22, 23 102:14 **F** [1] 48:18 **ELECTRONICALL HO** [1] 97:22 **Y** [7] 19:25; ITOR [4] 2:8; 26:10; 52:7, 9; 4; 12:24; 58:5, 6 :19 ELEPHANT [1] ITORIAL [2] 73:23 :2, 3 ELIKANN [4] UCATE [1]

2:25; 31:3, 4

94:2

ELIZABETH [5]	ENFORCEABLE	<b>EQUAL</b> [5] 13:14;	93:4; 94:11, 17,
103:9; 104:2, 6,	[1] 19:23	14:11, 16; 15:9;	21
9, 15	ENGAGING [1]	27:14	EVENING [3]
<b>ELSE</b> [3] 14:14;	40:6	EQUALITY [2]	83:23; 94:15;
54:3; 78:5	ENGINEER [1]	19:3; 76:19	101:18
EMBARRASSING	51:11	EQUALLY [4]	<b>EVENT</b> [1] 57:16
[1] 64:19	ENGLAND [18]	11:21; 24:6;	EVENTUALLY [1]
EMOTIONAL [1]	2:32; 3:45;	71:22; 72:14	17:23
	·		
18:18	39:10; 42:9, 10;	ERR [1] 11:16	<b>EVER</b> [3] 12:17;
EMPHASIZE [2]	55:2, 20, 25;	<b>ERRATA</b> [1] 91:7	40:19; 83:2
22:9, 11	57:14; 58:17, 19;	ERROR [6]	<b>EVERY</b> [17] 6:24;
EMPIRICALLY [1]	68:1, 2; 78:21,	90:14; 91:11, 22,	14:1; 19:2;
60:7	25; 99:22, 24;	23, 24; 92:9	23:10; 49:13;
EMPLOYED [1]	101:14	ERRORS [3]	54:13; 68:21;
104:10	ENHANCE [1]	17:8; 33:6;	69:16; 74:10, 13;
EMPLOYEE'S [1]	31:1	74:24	87:3, 5; 88:2;
66:1	ENHANCEMENTS	ESCAPE [2]	92:2, 5; 96:6;
EMPLOYEES [1]	[1] 30:23	64:10; 66:10	103:7
30:2	ENORMOUS [4]	ESCORTED [2]	EVERYBODY [9]
EMPLOYERS [4]	77:17; 78:6, 12;	87:24	14:16, 19; 23:17;
37:13; 65:25;	79:11	<b>ESME</b> [3] 2:14;	24:6; 32:13;
66:7; 81:9	ENOUGH [6]	20:12; 54:21	34:10; 35:13;
EMPLOYMENT	15:17; 73:20;	ESPECIALLY [2]	76:6
[10] 36:7; 37:24;	76:2; 77:22;	27:6; 82:19	EVERYBODY'S [1]
54:19; 57:12, 16,	92:14	ESSENTIAL [1]	15:10
24; 66:10;	ENSURE [5]	68:25	EVERYONE [19]
74:16; 81:13	8:18; 15:9; 58:7,	ESSEX [1] 68:12	4:1, 9, 18; 6:16;
ENABLED [1]	8; 82:17	ESTABLISHMENT	7:2, 22; 8:18;
67:3	ENSURES [1]	[1] 19:20	11:21; 14:11;
ENABLING [2]	58:10	ESTIMATING [1]	41:11; 76:10;
37:9; 38:4	ENSURING [1]	95:21	78:5, 13; 79:25;
ENCOUNTERED	25:4	<b>ET</b> [3] 55:14;	101:19, 20;
[1] 10:3	<b>ENTER</b> [1] 36:7	56:22; 92:1	102:7, 15
ENCOURAGE [3]	ENTERED [4]	<b>EVALUATION</b> [1]	EVERYONE'S [2]
15:8; 38:12;	23:7; 24:11;	32:7	8:12; 12:2
44:16	55:21; 64:23	EVALUATIONS [1]	EVERYTHING [4]
ENCOURAGES [3]			18:24; 48:20;
21:23; 30:22;		<b>EVEN</b> [33] 11:23;	
55:15	16; 90:19	19:11; 27:21;	
<b>END</b> [9] 4:21;		28:17; 32:19, 20;	
8:17; 11:1; 25:2;	• •	34:21; 35:1;	
33:5; 55:16;			
77:8, 10; 78:12		45:11, 19; 46:7;	
ENDEAVOR [1]	ENTRY [2] 46:22;		
19:17	56:15	22; 56:7; 57:6,	
<b>ENDOW</b> [1] 69:7		20; 59:25; 66:6;	
<b>ENDS</b> [3] 44:6;		73:7; 78:10;	
74:4; 102:20	[1] 93:25	86:23; 88:2, 14;	
ENERGY [1]	<b>EPA</b> [4] 87:10,	90:15; 91:6;	55:12
101:10	12, 16; 88:14		

EVIDENCE [2]	<b>EXISTS</b> [1] 29:12	EXPRESS [1]	FACTUALLY [1]
18:4; 19:8	EXPAND [2]	61:18	33:2
EVOLVED [1]	100:13; 101:15	EXPRESSED [2]	FACULTY [1]
54:7	EXPANDED [1]	35:6; 41:17	58:20
EXACERBATED	60:25	EXPRESSING [1]	<b>FAIR</b> [2] 55:7;
[1] 81:19	EXPANDING [1]	41:15	103:14
EXACT [1] 17:6	76:1		
			• •
EXACTLY [1]	EXPANSION [1]	67:9	59:7; 60:9
69:23	6:19	<b>EXTEND</b> [1] 73:6	FAJANA [6] 2:28;
EXAMPLE [21]	EXPECT [2]	EXTENDED [3]	35:22, 24; 36:2,
11:10, 11; 19:1;	60:14; 102:15	13:5; 14:16;	3; 75:17
23:6; 32:3;	EXPECTATION [1]	63:17	<b>FALL</b> [3] 4:11;
33:18; 37:17;	37:22	<b>EXTENT</b> [1] 71:1	78:21; 95:5
54:21; 57:2;	EXPECTED [1]	EXTRAORDINARI	<b>FALSE</b> [1] 81:25
63:13; 73:6;	60:11	<b>LY</b> [1] 9:11	FALSIFIED [1]
74:5; 81:2, 3;	EXPECTS [1]	EXTRAORDINARY	16:18
90:23; 91:10;	30:13	[1] 9:7	FAMILIAR [2]
93:24; 94:13, 22;	EXPENSIVE [1]	EXTREME [1]	34:10; 63:15
96:5, 18	74:18	95:6	FAMILIES [5]
EXAMPLES [4]	EXPERIENCE [5]	EXTREMELY [1]	63:20; 64:22;
22:15; 33:22;	15:6; 28:19;	43:23	80:2; 82:11;
65:10; 93:1	29:25; 90:8;	EYES [1] 101:3	85:22
		E1E3 [1] 101.3	
EXCELLENT [2]	92:3		FAMILY [21]
13:9; 103:14	EXPERIENCED [1]	- F -	5:11; 32:15;
EXCEPT [1]	16:22		43:18, 19, 25;
95:19	EXPERIENCES [2]	<b>F</b> [1] 104:1	44:12; 62:9, 12;
EXCEPTIONS [2]	16:5; 92:3	<b>FACE</b> [3] 9:6;	64:18, 25; 65:24;
26:22; 61:6	EXPERIENTIAL	19:8; 59:14	66:1, 12; 67:14;
EXCESSIVE [2]	[1] 59:24	FACEBOOK [1]	79:1, 8; 80:7, 24;
66:2; 90:3	EXPERTISE [1]	82:18	82:16; 85:1
EXCLUDING [1]	79:13	FACED [1] 57:25	<b>FAR</b> [6] 9:8;
37:17	EXPLAIN [1]	FACILE [1] 30:24	11:8; 45:6;
EXCUSE [1]	18:18		51:21; 80:25;
49:11	EXPLAINED [1]	FACILITATE [2]	88:4
EXECUTIVE [6]	68:18	33:12; 66:25	FASHION [1]
3:26; 4:16; 5:20,	EXPLAINING [1]	FACILITATES [1]	49:5
23; 47:23; 83:24	9:14	21:23	FASHIONED [1]
EXERCISE [3]	EXPLANATION [1]	<b>FACT</b> [14] 9:20,	75:11
16:22; 21:24;	49:6	21, 22; 13:1, 10;	FAST [1] 54:8
		16:19; 17:10;	
69:8	EXPLOIT [1]	18:17; 19:10;	FAST-PACED [1]
EXERCISES [1]	33:19	22:22; 76:23;	37:4
21:16	EXPLOITATION	88:10; 89:3;	FAULT [1] 22:9
EXHAUSTIVE [1]	[1] 74:10	90:15	<b>FAVOR</b> [4] 17:1,
82:13	EXPLOITED [1]	FACTOR'S [1]	3; 31:10; 35:17
EXISTED [1]	33:14	• •	FAVORED [1]
		27:18	
47:25	EXPLOSION [1]		14:20
47:25 EXISTENCE [1]		FACTORS [3]	14:20 <b>FAVORITISM</b> [1]
	EXPLOSION [1]	FACTORS [3] 50:22; 81:2;	
EXISTENCE [1]	EXPLOSION [1] 73:9	FACTORS [3] 50:22; 81:2; 85:18	FAVORITISM [1]
EXISTENCE [1] 66:16	EXPLOSION [1] 73:9 EXPOSED [1]	FACTORS [3] 50:22; 81:2;	FAVORITISM [1] 16:16

FEDERAL [23]		FIRST [26] 4:23;	
11:9; 29:11;	75:20; 79:20	5:8; 8:21; 9:21;	24:8; 29:22;
30:15; 34:25;	<b>FILING</b> [5] 17:8;	11:3, 25; 15:5;	40:24; 43:3
39:23; 40:9;	18:11; 62:22;	16:3; 22:5;	FOLLOWS [1]
41:23; 45:3, 4, 6;	63:3; 93:14	24:10; 27:11;	73:23
46:2, 16, 19, 25;	FILINGS [7]	31:8; 34:12;	FOLLOWUP [1]
48:18; 51:20;	11:21; 12:2;	36:20, 25; 62:24;	17:17
52:6; 62:24;	22:25; 43:25;	68:2; 69:7, 15;	FORCING [1]
82:22; 87:22;		73:1; 76:17, 20,	10:25
88:3	100:23	23; 83:1; 88:3;	FORECLOSURE
FEE [1] 90:7	FILLED [2] 32:3,	90:8	[3] 88:23;
FEEL [2] 9:18;	6	FIRST-YEAR [1]	100:19, 23
32:19	FILTERING [1]	97:20	FOREGOING [2]
<b>FEES</b> [4] 11:23;	41:22	FISCAL [1] 22:24	104:3, 7
40:11; 46:24;		<b>FIT</b> [1] 54:16	FOREIGN [1]
72:13	25; 58:3; 70:2;	FITTING [1]	10:11
FELONY [1]	75:16, 25; 102:9,	54:15	<b>FORM</b> [5] 7:6;
37:17	10	<b>FIVE</b> [5] 6:10,	31:14; 102:14;
<b>FEW</b> [11] 9:12;	FINALLY [4]	14; 37:19; 93:7,	103:5, 6
11:15, 23; 13:13;	27:18; 66:21;	9	FORMAT [1]
34:11; 41:13, 16,	72:9	<b>FIX</b> [4] 22:13;	104:8
17; 73:9; 76:7;	FINANCIAL [8]	57:22, 23; 58:2	FORMER [4]
97:22	18:18; 32:14;		
FIFTH [1] 66:11	44:4; 66:4, 5, 17;	• •	38:4; 65:9
FIFTY [1] 93:7		104:17	FORMERLY [1]
FIGHT [2] 77:15;	FINANCIALLY [2]	FLAG [1] 63:13	36:10
84:3	59:12; 104:12	FLAGS [1] 66:15	
		FLAWS [2]	FORTH [1] 99:7 FORTY [1] 22:25
FIGHTING [1]	<b>FIND</b> [13] 8:15;		
19:17	12:13; 17:4, 16;	54:12; 100:6	FORTY-SEVEN [2]
FIGMENT [1]	24:13; 33:24;		22:18, 23
83:3	36:7; 56:11;		FORWARD [15]
FIGURE [1] 44:10	64:5; 65:21;	• •	13:6; 15:6, 16;
<b>FILE</b> [18] 7:25;		62:23	55:19; 61:20;
16:21; 17:5, 11,	100:10	<b>FLIGHTS</b> [1] 53:8	
14, 23, 25; 18:1,	FINDING [2]	FLIPPING [1]	83:5, 8, 14;
4; 52:20; 67:14;	65:7; 90:15	68:16	84:25; 90:2;
80:22; 81:23, 25;	FINDINGS [2]	FLOODGATES [1]	102:11
82:2; 90:4; 92:1;	88:10; 89:2	73:17	FOSTERS [1]
104:3	<b>FINDS</b> [1] 21:19	FOCUS [3] 29:16;	59:5
<b>FILED</b> [8] 14:12;	<b>FINE</b> [2] 12:18;	36:18; 100:6	FOUGHT [3]
41:7; 45:11;	62:8	FOCUSES [1]	84:23; 85:2;
55:12, 20; 70:21;	FINGER [1] 24:17	47:12	86:4
71:12; 93:16	FINGERTIPS [1]	FOLKS [5] 24:20;	<b>FOUND</b> [9] 9:24;
<b>FILES</b> [22] 16:8,	24:13	71:3; 80:9;	11:8; 22:20;
14; 19:12;	FINISHED [1]	85:12; 86:3	33:3; 70:22;
22:19; 32:3, 15;	10:11	FOLLOW [1] 14:9	75:14; 93:5;
33:1, 4, 7; 34:6;	FIRM [2] 30:5;	FOLLOWED [1]	96:10; 98:5
40:23; 41:1, 2;	67:23	16:18	FOUNDED [1]
63:24; 64:15, 18;		10.10	93:8
00.27, 07.10, 10,	58:18		55.0
	60.10		

FOUNDER [1]	25:17, 22, 24;
92:21	27:22; 28:11, 16,
FOUNDERS [1]	19; 29:10; 34:2;
83:1	35:11; 39:22, 23;
FOUR [5] 22:20,	40:22, 23; 45:18;
23; 23:3; 29:22;	46:1, 10, 14, 16,
30:8	17; 48:3, 13, 17,
FOURTH [1]	22; 50:11;
65:18	54:17; 55:2;
FRAN [1] 75:17	60:17; 63:9;
FRANCISCA [3]	64:9; 65:2; 67:5,
2:28; 35:22;	7, 14, 15; 69:9;
36:3	71:4; 72:22, 23;
FRANKLIN [1]	75:17; 76:3;
68:13	77:7, 19, 20;
FRANKLY [3]	80:5; 81:4; 82:3,
79:18; 81:22;	5, 7; 84:10;
82:23	88:14, 18, 22;
FRAUD [3] 19:1;	89.8. 91.17.
39:18; 40:3	95:7, 9, 10, 24;
FRAUDULENT [3]	96:7, 8, 10, 25;
90:12, 16	100:23, 24;
FREE [15] 11:13;	101:11
15:2; 20:21, 24,	<b>FRONT</b> [3] 4:19;
25; 22:7; 24:6;	77:8; 78:8
31:18; 42:25;	FRONTS [1] 82:9
46:12; 51:8;	FRUSTRATED [1]
68:22; 72:10;	52:12
101:15	FRUSTRATING [1]
FREEDOM [1]	47:1
	FRUSTRATION [2]
	48:1; 98:19
47:10	FRUSTRATIONS
FREELANCER [1]	[1] 9:6
44:22	FULFILLS [1]
FREQUENTLY [2]	
	<b>FULL</b> [4] 7:15;
	71:22; 72:2;
	100:13
	<b>FULLY</b> [4] 36:17;
	62:23; 67:12;
82:2	80:13
	FUNCTION [2]
13, 16; 7:2, 24;	
8:5, 22; 10:12,	
14, 15; 11:17;	
12:10; 14:3, 4;	
	42; 92:22; 93:5,
	12; 97:19
, , , , , , ,	•

23:25; 24:1, 2;

**FUNDAMENTAL** [1] 58:25 FUNDED [1] 87:14 **FUNDS** [1] 87:16 FURTHER [12] 6:20: 12:15; 30:22; 31:1; 64:9; 65:6; 67:8, 10: 102:16; 104:6, 9, 11 **FUTURE** [4] 24:1, 2; 48:6; 72:19 **FY2014** [1] 41:5

- G -

**GAIN** [2] 9:24; 28:18 **GAME** [1] 28:15 **GAMES** [1] 80:8 **GATEKEEPERS** [1] 83:12 GATHERED [1] 67:5 **GAVE** [2] 37:12; 88:13 GAZETTE [2] 2:39; 44:21 **GBLS** [2] 67:13; 74:13 GENERAL [15] 5:2, 4; 11:21; 40:2; 41:25; 42:8, 21; 43:8; 52:4; 59:2, 3, 7; 61:14; 71:24; 72:6 **GENERALLY** [3] 28:21; 47:12; 101:7 GENERATED [1] 12:11 **GENEROUSLY** [1] 23:14 **GENIE** [1] 57:18 **GENTRIFYING** [1] 21:19 GENUINELY [1] 19:14

GEORGE [3] 2:31; 39:5, 9 **GET** [71] 7:13, 10:16; 19; 21:14; 23:18; 24:6; 25:15, 16, 22, 23; 26:6, 9, 13; 27:3, 14; 28:21: 31:13: 32:20; 33:3, 7, 19, 21; 34:8: 37:24; 45:8, 11, 17, 24; 46:4, 14; 48:7; 51:17; 52:19; 53:6; 57:13, 17; 66:9; 74:15, 16, 17, 20; 75:10, 18; 76:3; 82:19; 84:25; 85:21, 22; 87:11, 25; 89:8; 93:4, 10, 19; 95:2, 8, 9, 24; 96:3, 7, 9, 14, 16; 97:23; 99:6, 8; 101:5 **GETS** [3] 57:11; 71:11; 72:1 GETTING [11] 28:19: 32:16; 39:8: 60:15: 75:14; 80:11; 84:5; 94:11, 21; 95:10; 101:10 **GIALTOURIDIS** [5] 2:31; 39:6, 7, 9, 10 **GIVE** [18] 6:16; 16:18: 22:15: 28:16, 17; 34:9, 13, 16; 48:21; 54:21; 62:2; 66:7; 84:8; 92:14; 93:1; 96:13: 98:11: 102:16 **GIVEN** [9] 6:8; 15:6; 17:23: 38:10; 49:7; 52:18; 61:23; 76:12; 102:5

<b>GIVES</b> [1] 65:16	<b>GONE</b> [2] 17:5,	GRATIFIED [1]	GUIDING [3]
<b>GIVING</b> [5] 9:1;	14	68:8	48:12; 61:7;
34:1; 49:9, 10;	<b>GOOD</b> [30] 4:1;	<b>GREAT</b> [9] 13:6;	69:14
61:17	5:10; 8:25; 9:16;		<b>GUILTY</b> [2] 33:4;
GLASSES [3]	13:25; 25:7;		
	·		
83:20, 22; 86:7			GUTS [1] 84:10
<b>GLOBE</b> [6] 8:22;			
9:11; 13:23;	43:16; 44:2, 25;	GREATER [12]	- H -
43:1; 88:18;	47:9; 53:18;	3:5, 12, 18; 12:8;	
100:2	58:14; 62:5;	15:22; 29:15;	HACKING [1]
GLOBE'S [2] 2:5;	67:22; 68:19;	44:11; 53:20;	
9:4	71:15; 77:4;	00 10 70 5 00	80:11
<b>GO</b> [33] 7:1;	78:18; 83:23;		<b>HAD</b> [51] 10:15;
10:15; 14:15;	84:8; 89:18;		13:11, 12, 18;
			17:5, 9, 11, 14,
24:14; 27:23;	98:16; 100:6;	GROUP [6]	16, 19; 18:4, 13;
28:2, 18, 23;	103:14	14:20; 30:18;	22:17; 23:11;
30:10; 32:3;	GOODBYE [2]	35:8; 67:24;	25:14; 27:15, 16;
45:16; 47:8;	20:4; 76:6	92:24; 96:5	28:10; 29:21;
52:19; 56:8;	<b>GOODS</b> [1] 40:7	<b>GROUPS</b> [3] 7:7;	34:11; 35:15;
57:22; 58:1;	GOOGLE [1]	93:3, 24	45:10, 16; 47:16;
70:21; 74:25;	51:16	GROWING [2]	
75:3, 8, 10; 76:6,	GOOGLING [1]	42:5; 100:25	50:8; 52:2; 55:4,
7; 80:5; 84:8,	51:13	GROWTH [1]	11; 57:14; 68:6;
10, 13, 25;	<b>GOT</b> [6] 23:22;	100:22	73:12, 14; 74:3;
86:10, 24; 94:14,	28:20; 55:5;	GUARANTEE [2]	78:6; 83:1;
15; 98:20	80:19; 85:8;	76:25	85:12; 87:3, 5,
			10, 16; 88:17;
GOD [1] 87:16	87:15	GUARANTEED [1]	89:11; 90:25;
GODSON [5]		63:23	91:12; 94:21;
2:34; 43:15, 16,	102:1	GUARANTEES [1]	95:15; 96:16;
18; 64:14	GOVERNMENT [7]		100:10
<b>GOES</b> [6] 44:10;	11:19; 19:15;	GUARDIAN [1]	<b>HADN'T</b> [1] 85:7
55:3; 80:9, 12;	44:25; 70:1;	44:4	HAMMEL [4]
82:6; 98:14	89:25; 98:3, 21	GUARDIANSHIP	2:37; 44:19, 20
<b>GOING</b> [45] 4:6,	GOVERNMENTAL	[1] 33:22	HAMPSHIRE [2]
19; 8:14; 9:24;	[1] 40:10	GUARDIANSHIPS	46:1; 68:13
12:7; 13:5, 7;	<b>GPS</b> [1] 65:12	[1] 66:13	HAND [6] 15:18;
18:22; 21:1;	GRACEMARIE [8]	GUARDING [1]	
22:14; 23:24, 25;	3:29; 86:7, 8, 11,	11:15	16:21; 18:15;
24:5, 25; 26:3,	15, 18, 20; 89:24	GUARDS [1]	37:12, 15; 96:16
20, 23; 31:15;	GRADUALLY [1]	84:17	HANDHELD [2]
32:17, 25; 35:17;	40:19	GUESS [5] 12:16;	6:12; 37:6
36:18; 55:23, 24;			HANDLE [4]
	GRAND [3]	17:17; 55:19;	66:14; 73:24;
56:2, 11; 57:25;	19:22; 34:13, 17	57:15; 58:3	82:15; 93:11
62:2; 69:3, 4, 11;	GRANT [2]	GUIDANCE [1]	HANGING [1]
73:10, 24; 74:20;	87:14, 16	48:19	18:16
75:20; 76:16;	GRANTED [2]	<b>GUIDE</b> [2] 76:16,	HAPPEN [4]
80:23; 82:17;	52:16; 53:1	25	73:18; 84:11, 14,
83:10; 84:10;	GRATEFUL [2]	GUIDELINES [3]	18
87:12; 88:24;	78:13; 87:10	31:25; 59:2;	HAPPENED [2]
92:10; 97:3		71:9	25:16; 80:21
			20.10, 00.21

58:1; 79:20; 81:11, 19; 82:14; 91:21; 93:22 HAVOC [1] 80:2 HAWKINSON [4] 2:41; 47:7, 9, 10 HAYES [7] 1:21; 103:9; 104:2, 6,	93:7; 94:5, 7; 95:22 <b>HELLO</b> [2] 72:22; 89:23 <b>HELP</b> [15] 11:1, 3; 21:14; 36:5; 39:17; 54:5; 59:10, 16, 21;	HERSELF [2] 21:16, 19 HI [3] 5:22; 44:20; 99:17 HIDDEN [1] 65:13 HIGH [2] 10:22; 29:25 HIGHER [3] 44:9; 51:20, 22 HIGHEST [1] 19:4	HOGAN [2] 4:25 HOLD [3] 15:18, 19; 35:25 HOLDING [2] 30:20; 44:24 HOLDS [2] 49:18; 56:14 HOLE [1] 54:15 HOLMES [1] 69:2 HOLYOKE [1] 95:5 HOME [1] 88:22 HOMES [2] 55:17; 100:19 HONOR [3] 36:2; 76:9; 88:15 HONORABLE [2] 15:20; 19:13 HONORED [1] 86:20 HOPE [3] 15:16; 48:2; 102:3 HOPEFULLY [1] 6:4 HOPPING [1] 34:2 HORACE [3] 3:26; 83:18, 23 HORRIBLE [1] 92:11 HORRIBLY [1] 49:12 HOURS [10] 6:6; 10:17; 40:25; 59:19; 74:8; 86:24; 96:17; 101:4 HOUSE [1] 78:22 HOUSEHOLDS [1] 80:7 HOUSEKEEPING
HAWKINSON [4]	<b>HELP</b> [15] 11:1,	HIGHER [3] 44:9;	23:18, 19; 54:23
2:41; 47:7, 9, 10	3; 21:14; 36:5;	51:20, 22	HOUSEHOLDS [1]
HAYES [7] 1:21;	39:17; 54:5;	HIGHEST [1]	80:7

65:22: 66:10:	18:22: 20:16 17:	IDENTIFY [4]	80.4. 02.2.
94:1, 9; 95:18;			98:22; 100:17
100:25	25:10, 12, 21;		
<b>HOW</b> [28] 11:19;	29:6, 7; 31:4, 6;	63:22; 67:1;	[2] 18:1; 79:18
15:22; 17:1, 4,			IMPOSSIBLE [2]
14, 16; 19:23;	39:8; 43:18, 23;	<b>IDENTITY</b> [10]	48:10; 81:7
22:2; 29:17;	46:24; 47:10, 15,	27:3; 32:16;	IMPOUND [1]
53:24; 54:8;	17; 49:16;	63:5, 7, 11;	70:14
77:4; 80:1, 3, 22;	51:11; 53:19;	66:24, 25; 71:8;	IMPOUNDED [4]
81:3, 9, 24;	54:19; 55:23;	74:9; 81:25	46:5; 70:18;
82:15, 16, 17;	57:23, 24; 58:15;	ILLNESS [2]	77:9; 81:7
87:17; 88:5;			IMPOUNDING [1]
93:1; 95:17, 24;		IMAGES [4] 10:6;	
97:10; 100:7		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
HOWEVER [9]			
9:18; 16:1, 6;	86:20; 87:10;		15, 16, 25;
	88:9; 89:9, 23;		
31:16; 33:1;			71:16; 73:2;
45:10; 80:1;	92:20; 97:18, 19;		77:10; 81:20;
87:10; 98:11	99:17; 100:7 I'VE [32] 8:5;	IMMEDIATELY [1]	83:9, 13 IMPROPERLY [1]
HUMAN [1] 54:12			
HUNDRED [4]		IMPACT [7]	
11:24; 87:14;	26:8; 35:23;		
93:8, 9	36:4, 6, 9; 43:18;	92:25; 93:19;	40:9
HUNDREDS [6]	44:22; 45:1;	94:18; 96:22;	
23:5; 41:11;	47:16; 49:8;		19:14
52:11, 22; 84:20;	55:11; 58:4, 9;		IN-PERSON [1]
85:24	62:3; 72:21, 24;		
HURT [1] 84:14	73:13; 79:5, 8,		INACCURACIES
	10; 80:1; 83:11;		[3] 25:2; 38:15,
- 1 -	86:21; 89:16;		25
		IMPENDING [1]	INACCURATE [4]
I'D [14] 9:14;	100:1; 101:10	17:22	22:10; 24:19;
11:14; 13:1;		IMPERSONATING	
49:20; 51:3;	95:4	[1] 65:14	
53:5; 56:18;	ICORI [4] 36:22;	IMPLANTED [1]	[1] 18:2
61:16; 69:12;	38:8, 13, 24	35:20	INC [1] 62:16
72:17; 73:1;		IMPLEMENTATIO	INCAPACITATED
75:8; 90:2;	15:13; 73:12;	<b>N</b> [3] 7:16; 8:4;	[3] 66:11, 13;
92:13	77:11; 84:22;	19:23	79:21
I'LL [12] 5:8;	85:19; 86:3	IMPLEMENTED	INCAPACITY [1]
6:11, 12; 8:24;	IDEAL [2] 76:19,	[4] 36:22; 42:11;	66:17
21:3; 23:13;	20	93:18; 96:1	INCARCERATED
27:12; 32:2;	<b>IDEALLY</b> [1] 61:9	IMPORTANCE [3]	[1] 97:6
	IDEAS [2] 77:4;	69:10; 70:1;	INCITED [1]
34:9; 61:25;	84:7	91:21	32:20
72:9	IDENTIFIABLE [2]	IMPORTANT [14]	
I'M [77] 4:2, 6,	71:10, 16	11:2, 5; 15:21;	
19, 23; 5:2, 4, 6,	IDENTIFIED [2]	48:7; 57:2;	
15, 18, 20, 22;	58:4; 69:2	59:25; 69:9, 13;	
9:4; 12:23; 13:3;	•	73:3; 76:22;	
14:22; 15:23;			<b>, -</b>

INCLUDED [3]	INDIVIDUAL [4]
16:23; 24:8;	42:19; 79:6;
99:13	81:14; 99:8
INCLUDES [2]	INDIVIDUAL'S [2]
29:10; 47:21	10:13; 81:11
INCLUDING [16]	INDIVIDUALS [8]
11:20; 36:9;	8:10; 49:9, 25;
37:2, 20; 38:19;	54:18; 66:11;
40:17; 42:1, 23;	79:21; 85:23;
51:10; 60:23;	96:3
67:6; 71:23;	INDUSTRIES [2]
90:7, 20; 93:18;	
100:18	INDUSTRY [1]
INCOME [1] 22:6	44:2
INCONVENIENCE	INEVITABLE [1]
[1] 10:9	31:13
INCORPORATE	INEXPLICABLY
[1] 16:3	[3] 17:6; 18:4
INCORPORATING	INFORM [4]
[1] 16:4	33:13; 94:1;
INCREASE [2]	97:3, 10
29:13; 66:22	INFORMATION
INCREASED [1]	[173] 4:14, 15;
66:7	5:12, 16; 9:8;
INCREASES [1]	10:5, 16; 11:8,
60:9	13, 20; 12:3;
INCREASINGLY	13:3; 14:20;
[3] 9:8; 60:17;	15:1, 5; 24:15,
100:23	19, 25; 25:16,
INCREDIBLE [1]	19, 22, 24; 26:1;
86:24	30:7, 14; 31:23;
INCREDIBLY [1]	32:4, 14, 16, 17,
49:16	20, 21; 33:7, 10,
INCURRED [1]	14, 19, 21;
40:8	34:22; 35:3;
INDEED [1]	36:5, 12; 37:5,
100:12	14; 38:7; 39:12,
INDEPENDENT [1]	14, 15, 16, 22,
41:12	25; 40:16, 21,
INDEX [1] 103:7	22; 41:10, 20,
INDICATED [1]	22; 42:1, 2, 7,
102:3	14, 17, 21; 43:6,
INDICATES [1]	12, 13; 44:3, 6;
19:8	45:8, 10, 12, 21;
INDICTMENTS [1]	47:20, 23; 48:3,
46:9	9, 14, 17, 23;
INDIRECTLY [1]	49:5; 50:4; 51:2,
12:18	9, 10, 13, 23;
	54:7; 56:9, 10,
	25; 57:4, 5, 9,

11, 19, 22; 58:7; 61:15, 19; 63:1, 3, 6, 10, 12, 23; 64:2, 16, 20; 65:21; 66:6, 18, 23; 67:1, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 14; 68:6, 8, 12; 69:17, 22, 25: 70:6, 18; 71:8, 10, 11, 17, 18; 72:6; 73:14, 17; 74:10, 12; 80:11; 81:19, 24; 82:15; 89:1; 90:16: 91:19; 92:14; 93:4, 22; 94:1, 4, 21; 95:19; 96:3, 7, 14, 15, 16, 18, 22; 97:2, 9, 23; 98:12; 99:1, 7, 9; 100:15; 101:2, 5, 13; 102:17 INFORMATION'S [2] 32:25; 58:5 INFORMATIONAL [1] 29:13 INHABITANT [1] 68:21 **INHIBIT** [1] 64:9 INITIALS [3] 24:11, 12; 57:7 INJUNCTION [1] 63:4 **INNER** [1] 79:2 INNOCENT [1] 33:3 **INPUT** [7] 28:8, 17; 29:1; 30:25; 35:10; 76:3 **INSIDE** [1] 42:13 INSIST [2] 20:7, 8 **INSPIRATION** [1] 11:17 INSTALLED [1] 7:13 INSTANCE [1] 42:10

**INSTANTANEOUS LY** [2] 38:10.11 INSTEAD [3] 10:25; 78:2, 8 INSTITUTE [3] 2:30; 36:4; 95:13 **INSTITUTIONS** [2] 11:16; 47:13 **INSULT** [1] 17:17 INSURANCE [3] 54:19; 57:13, 25 INTEGRAL [1] 39:19 INTEGRATED [1] 7:10 INTEGRITY [1] 11:6 INTEND [1] 101:24 INTENDED [1] 53:22 INTENDS [1] 69:23 INTENSE [1] 96:13 INTERACTION [1] 47:11 INTERCEPTING [1] 65:13 INTEREST [21] 18:25; 8:6; 30:17; 37:9; 38:2, 4; 40:4; 49:1; 51:7; 61:5; 64:11, 17; 67:25; 70:5, 7; 79:14; 81:1: 82:11: 89:13 INTERESTED [5] 16:10; 13:4; 19:14; 68:15; 104:12 **INTERESTS** [6] 31:21; 55:9: 56:19; 70:8, 13, INTERFERE [1] 6:4

INTERFERING [1]		<b>IT'S</b> [76] 10:9;	
41:3	101:14	14:12, 21; 16:13,	•
INTERIM [1] 71:9	INVESTORS [1]	21; 20:21, 25;	• •
INTERNET [47]		21:1, 3, 4, 13, 21;	
8:8; 15:2; 26:12,			
16, 21; 31:15;	<b>INVITED</b> [2] 4:9;	24:16; 25:1, 7,	3:44; 99:17
32:8; 34:7;	16:1	20; 26:9; 27:4,	JENNIFER [2]
38:24; 42:8, 23;	INVOLVE [1]	7, 10, 19; 28:7,	5:12; 9:15
43:10; 44:11, 18;	80:3	19; 31:13;	JEOPARDIZED [1]
48:10; 50:8, 17;	INVOLVED [9]	32:13; 33:4;	64:13
53:24; 56:5;	44:1; 45:2; 59:1;	34:24; 44:5;	<b>JESUS</b> [1] 99:2
57:5; 62:25;	60:16; 70:19;	46:14; 47:3;	<b>JOB</b> [6] 18:19;
63:2; 64:9;	72:7; 75:5, 7;	48:6, 15, 16, 25;	28:7; 30:15;
66:21; 73:3, 15;	78:7	51:6, 25; 52:16,	36:7; 74:15, 17
74:1, 4, 7, 11;	INVOLVEMENT	24; 53:25; 54:9,	JOBS [2] 17:2;
75:9; 79:11, 17,	[2] 64:22; 98:4	13; 55:7; 57:16,	71:14
24; 80:1, 5, 9,	INVOLVES [1]	19; 62:1; 70:10;	<b>JOE</b> [1] 5:6
15, 23; 81:12;	44:12	73:2, 16; 74:11,	JOHN [4] 2:41;
82:14, 22; 83:3,	INVOLVING [1]	18; 75:12, 21;	
8; 87:23; 88:10	66:13	76:6, 22; 83:21;	JOINED [1] 62:14
INTERRUPT [1]	IPHONE [1]	86:5, 22, 23;	JOINING [1]
6:4	75:12	88:9, 20; 89:1, 2;	83:16
INTERWORKINGS	IRONY [1] 25:17		JONES [3] 3:40;
[1] 11:19	IRREPARABLE [1]		97:14, 17
INTIMATE [3]	82:4	21, 24	JOSEPH [1] 5:2
64:16, 19; 65:9		ITALIAN [2]	JOSS [2] 5:9
INTIMIDATE [1]	42:11, 13	88:17, 21	JOURNALISM [1]
65:9	ISN'T [1] 19:9	ITS [11] 14:7,	12:7
INTO [22] 10:21;	ISSUANCE [1]	18; 29:12; 36:6;	JOURNALIST [6]
14:8; 17:9;	8:4	38:8; 42:19;	2:4; 9:5, 18;
22:14; 24:14;		47:13; 54:12;	
	20:20; 22:7;		
	23:13; 27:19;		10:2, 9; 11:23;
61:2; 79:9; 80:7,	· ·	ITSELF [4]	69:10; 71:23;
11, 14; 84:9, 13;	44:11; 61:17, 21;	16:11; 46:24;	77:19
89:14; 94:11;	65:1; 71:1; 72:8;	72:3; 76:1	JOYCE [4] 3:33;
98:6, 14, 21	74:24; 77:3;	72.0, 70.1	86:17; 89:23
INTRANET [1]	79:15; 82:6;		JUDGE [68] 4:1,
40:12	85:11, 14, 15;	- J -	23; 5:8, 14, 18,
INTRODUCE [2]	92:23; 100:24		24; 8:24, 25;
4:7, 20	ISSUES [14] 7:7;	<b>JAIL</b> [6] 94:11,	12:16, 22; 13:7;
INVALID [1]	12:14; 27:2;	14; 95:16, 18,	15:12, 18; 18:21;
18:15	73:6; 76:3;	24, 25	20:1, 5, 10, 13;
INVESTIGATING	80:25; 82:15, 23;	JAMAICA [1]	25:6, 9; 28:24;
[1] 9:12	90:2; 94:18;	83:25	29:3; 31:3;
INVESTIGATION		<b>JANE</b> [2] 62:16;	
[1] 17:22	97:7; 101:22; 103:15	96:19	35:22, 25; 39:5, 8; 43:15, 16;
INVESTIGATIVE	103:15	JANUARY [1]	
	<b>IT'D</b> [2] 20:8; 33:18	49:3	44:19; 47:7; 52:15: 53:6 17:
[6] 2:5; 3:46;		<b>JEFF</b> [1] 67:22	52:15; 53:6, 17;
	<b>IT'LL</b> [1] 102:16		58:13; 61:23;

00.5 0 0. 07.40.
62:5, 6, 8; 67:19;
70:2; 72:20;
76:5: 78:16:
70.0,
79:16; 80:22;
62:5, 6, 8; 67:19; 70:2; 72:20; 76:5; 78:16; 79:16; 80:22; 83:18; 86:6, 9, 14, 17, 19; 87:6;
14, 17, 19; 87:6;
14, 17, 19, 67.0,
88:11; 89:12, 22;
88:11; 89:12, 22; 90:8; 92:16, 19;
95:1; 97:12, 14,
16; 98:24; 99:15, 19;
99:15, 19;
101:17
JUDGES [10]
30DGL3 [10]
4:11; 10:25;
12:17; 25:8;
35.4. 49.10.
50.17
4:11; 10:25; 12:17; 25:8; 35:4; 49:10; 52:17; 77:8;
82:18; 92:4
JUDGMENT [5]
22:21, 22; 23:19;
55:21
JUDGMENTS [1]
23:25
JUDICIAL [19]
4:13, 15; 5:21,
23; 8:2; 11:7;
33:12. 15: 46:11:
33:12. 15: 46:11:
33:12. 15: 46:11:
33:12. 15: 46:11:
33:12, 15; 46:11; 58:25; 59:5, 8; 66:24; 72:3, 7; 87:22; 98:8;
33:12, 15; 46:11; 58:25; 59:5, 8; 66:24; 72:3, 7; 87:22; 98:8; 99:12; 102:10
33:12, 15; 46:11; 58:25; 59:5, 8; 66:24; 72:3, 7; 87:22; 98:8; 99:12; 102:10 JUDICIARY [7]
33:12, 15; 46:11; 58:25; 59:5, 8; 66:24; 72:3, 7; 87:22; 98:8; 99:12; 102:10 JUDICIARY [7]
33:12, 15; 46:11; 58:25; 59:5, 8; 66:24; 72:3, 7; 87:22; 98:8; 99:12; 102:10 JUDICIARY [7] 5:16; 10:23;
33:12, 15; 46:11; 58:25; 59:5, 8; 66:24; 72:3, 7; 87:22; 98:8; 99:12; 102:10 JUDICIARY [7] 5:16; 10:23; 19:15; 98:8, 10;
33:12, 15; 46:11; 58:25; 59:5, 8; 66:24; 72:3, 7; 87:22; 98:8; 99:12; 102:10 JUDICIARY [7] 5:16; 10:23; 19:15; 98:8, 10; 99:5, 13
33:12, 15; 46:11; 58:25; 59:5, 8; 66:24; 72:3, 7; 87:22; 98:8; 99:12; 102:10 JUDICIARY [7] 5:16; 10:23; 19:15; 98:8, 10;
33:12, 15; 46:11; 58:25; 59:5, 8; 66:24; 72:3, 7; 87:22; 98:8; 99:12; 102:10 JUDICIARY [7] 5:16; 10:23; 19:15; 98:8, 10; 99:5, 13 JUGGLING [1]
33:12, 15; 46:11; 58:25; 59:5, 8; 66:24; 72:3, 7; 87:22; 98:8; 99:12; 102:10 JUDICIARY [7] 5:16; 10:23; 19:15; 98:8, 10; 99:5, 13 JUGGLING [1] 79:10
33:12, 15; 46:11; 58:25; 59:5, 8; 66:24; 72:3, 7; 87:22; 98:8; 99:12; 102:10 JUDICIARY [7] 5:16; 10:23; 19:15; 98:8, 10; 99:5, 13 JUGGLING [1] 79:10 JUNE [5] 1:9;
33:12, 15; 46:11; 58:25; 59:5, 8; 66:24; 72:3, 7; 87:22; 98:8; 99:12; 102:10 JUDICIARY [7] 5:16; 10:23; 19:15; 98:8, 10; 99:5, 13 JUGGLING [1] 79:10 JUNE [5] 1:9; 30:12; 103:9, 12;
33:12, 15; 46:11; 58:25; 59:5, 8; 66:24; 72:3, 7; 87:22; 98:8; 99:12; 102:10 JUDICIARY [7] 5:16; 10:23; 19:15; 98:8, 10; 99:5, 13 JUGGLING [1] 79:10 JUNE [5] 1:9; 30:12; 103:9, 12;
33:12, 15; 46:11; 58:25; 59:5, 8; 66:24; 72:3, 7; 87:22; 98:8; 99:12; 102:10 JUDICIARY [7] 5:16; 10:23; 19:15; 98:8, 10; 99:5, 13 JUGGLING [1] 79:10 JUNE [5] 1:9; 30:12; 103:9, 12; 104:15
33:12, 15; 46:11; 58:25; 59:5, 8; 66:24; 72:3, 7; 87:22; 98:8; 99:12; 102:10 JUDICIARY [7] 5:16; 10:23; 19:15; 98:8, 10; 99:5, 13 JUGGLING [1] 79:10 JUNE [5] 1:9; 30:12; 103:9, 12; 104:15 JURISDICTIONS
33:12, 15; 46:11; 58:25; 59:5, 8; 66:24; 72:3, 7; 87:22; 98:8; 99:12; 102:10  JUDICIARY [7] 5:16; 10:23; 19:15; 98:8, 10; 99:5, 13  JUGGLING [1] 79:10  JUNE [5] 1:9; 30:12; 103:9, 12; 104:15  JURISDICTIONS [3] 42:6, 7;
33:12, 15; 46:11; 58:25; 59:5, 8; 66:24; 72:3, 7; 87:22; 98:8; 99:12; 102:10  JUDICIARY [7] 5:16; 10:23; 19:15; 98:8, 10; 99:5, 13  JUGGLING [1] 79:10  JUNE [5] 1:9; 30:12; 103:9, 12; 104:15  JURISDICTIONS [3] 42:6, 7;
33:12, 15; 46:11; 58:25; 59:5, 8; 66:24; 72:3, 7; 87:22; 98:8; 99:12; 102:10  JUDICIARY [7] 5:16; 10:23; 19:15; 98:8, 10; 99:5, 13  JUGGLING [1] 79:10  JUNE [5] 1:9; 30:12; 103:9, 12; 104:15  JURISDICTIONS [3] 42:6, 7; 60:18
33:12, 15; 46:11; 58:25; 59:5, 8; 66:24; 72:3, 7; 87:22; 98:8; 99:12; 102:10  JUDICIARY [7] 5:16; 10:23; 19:15; 98:8, 10; 99:5, 13  JUGGLING [1] 79:10  JUNE [5] 1:9; 30:12; 103:9, 12; 104:15  JURISDICTIONS [3] 42:6, 7; 60:18  JURY [3] 19:22;
33:12, 15; 46:11; 58:25; 59:5, 8; 66:24; 72:3, 7; 87:22; 98:8; 99:12; 102:10  JUDICIARY [7] 5:16; 10:23; 19:15; 98:8, 10; 99:5, 13  JUGGLING [1] 79:10  JUNE [5] 1:9; 30:12; 103:9, 12; 104:15  JURISDICTIONS [3] 42:6, 7; 60:18  JURY [3] 19:22; 34:14, 17
33:12, 15; 46:11; 58:25; 59:5, 8; 66:24; 72:3, 7; 87:22; 98:8; 99:12; 102:10  JUDICIARY [7] 5:16; 10:23; 19:15; 98:8, 10; 99:5, 13  JUGGLING [1] 79:10  JUNE [5] 1:9; 30:12; 103:9, 12; 104:15  JURISDICTIONS [3] 42:6, 7; 60:18  JURY [3] 19:22; 34:14, 17  JUSTICE [39]
33:12, 15; 46:11; 58:25; 59:5, 8; 66:24; 72:3, 7; 87:22; 98:8; 99:12; 102:10  JUDICIARY [7] 5:16; 10:23; 19:15; 98:8, 10; 99:5, 13  JUGGLING [1] 79:10  JUNE [5] 1:9; 30:12; 103:9, 12; 104:15  JURISDICTIONS [3] 42:6, 7; 60:18  JURY [3] 19:22; 34:14, 17  JUSTICE [39] 4:2, 10, 23; 5:18;
33:12, 15; 46:11; 58:25; 59:5, 8; 66:24; 72:3, 7; 87:22; 98:8; 99:12; 102:10  JUDICIARY [7] 5:16; 10:23; 19:15; 98:8, 10; 99:5, 13  JUGGLING [1] 79:10  JUNE [5] 1:9; 30:12; 103:9, 12; 104:15  JURISDICTIONS [3] 42:6, 7; 60:18  JURY [3] 19:22; 34:14, 17  JUSTICE [39] 4:2, 10, 23; 5:18;
33:12, 15; 46:11; 58:25; 59:5, 8; 66:24; 72:3, 7; 87:22; 98:8; 99:12; 102:10  JUDICIARY [7] 5:16; 10:23; 19:15; 98:8, 10; 99:5, 13  JUGGLING [1] 79:10  JUNE [5] 1:9; 30:12; 103:9, 12; 104:15  JURISDICTIONS [3] 42:6, 7; 60:18  JURY [3] 19:22; 34:14, 17  JUSTICE [39] 4:2, 10, 23; 5:18; 7:21; 18:13;
33:12, 15; 46:11; 58:25; 59:5, 8; 66:24; 72:3, 7; 87:22; 98:8; 99:12; 102:10  JUDICIARY [7] 5:16; 10:23; 19:15; 98:8, 10; 99:5, 13  JUGGLING [1] 79:10  JUNE [5] 1:9; 30:12; 103:9, 12; 104:15  JURISDICTIONS [3] 42:6, 7; 60:18  JURY [3] 19:22; 34:14, 17  JUSTICE [39] 4:2, 10, 23; 5:18;

42:4; 44:25: 47:9; 58:23: 59:10: 60:8: 61:13; 62:19; 75:4, 5; 78:4; 84:4, 16, 21; 85:3; 86:25; 87:2; 88:8, 25; 89:8: 90:1: 93:19; 94:18, 22, 23; 97:25; 102:5 JUSTICES [3] 15:20; 18:13; 19:13

#### - K -

**KAPLAN** [4] 3:4; 53:17, 18, 19 **KEEN** [1] 70:13 **KEEP** [6] 11:14; 40:4; 50:3; 62:2; 71:14; 75:9 **KEEPER** [1] 8:24 KEEPING [2] 16:12; 17:21 **KEEPS** [3] 40:6, 8; 94:13 KENT [4] 3:7; 58:13, 14, 15 **KEPT** [2] 96:20, **KEVIN** [1] 88:18 **KEY** [2] 62:25; 100:4 KIDDING [1] 87:17 **KIDS** [1] 94:9 **KILL** [1] 84:14 **KIM** [1] 5:22 **KIND** [10] 13:2; 31:20; 24:12; 32:2; 33:4; 34:1, 2; 46:25; 80:6; 102:14 **KINDLY** [1] 43:6 **KINDS** [6] 25:2; 35:2; 51:12; 77:21; 80:9;

81:12

KNOCK [1] 35:12 **KNOW** [70] 4:7; 7:3, 11; 9:22; 12:14; 10:18; 13:4; 17:18; 18:10; 19:10; 23:2, 4, 9; 24:16, 21; 25:9; 26:3; 28:15: 31:18; 32:18; 33:2, 8, 17; 34:1, 10, 25; 35:7, 12; 45:10; 48:22; 53:9, 25; 54:4; 56:10, 22, 24; 57:3; 61:19; 64:1; 68:15; 73:5: 74:8, 25; 75:13, 17; 77:25; 78:19, 20; 79:3, 5; 80:17; 81:5; 84:11, 15, 16; 85:5, 7, 11; 87:17; 88:5, 20; 89:4, 8; 90:9; 91:10, 24; 93:15; 94:11; 95:15: 96:17 KNOWLEDGEABL **E** [2] 46:6, 7 KNOWN [10] 20:25; 21:1, 3, 4; 36:6, 10, 11; 44:1; 62:25; 91:22 **KNOWS** [1] 57:7 KOPELMAN [2] 87:4, 7 KOUTOUJIAN'S [1] 95:20

- L -

LAB [1] 78:7 LABELED [1] 55:3 LABOR [1] 96:13 LABORIOUS [1] 16:22 LACK [10] 11:3; 16:14; 19:5; 81:13; 82:7; 93:1, 2; 94:12: 96:4, 15 **LADY** [1] 17:11 **LAGS** [1] 9:8 LAMPPOSTS [1] 34:19 **LAND** [5] 28:2; 53:8: 88:23: 89:14; 100:22 LANDLORD [2] 55:18, 23 LANDLORD-TENA **NT** [4] 20:19: 24:11: 33:23: 55:25 LANDLORDS [6] 21:6; 23:14, 15; 55:1; 65:21; 66:3 LANDWER [4] 104:2, 6, 9, 15 **LAPTOP** [1] 41:3 **LARGE** [5] 7:7; 47:13; 48:17; 58:5; 74:21 LARGELY [1] 97:6 **LARGER** [1] 9:19 **LAST** [10] 16:8; 17:16; 20:7; 22:15; 36:4; 42:10; 48:4; 78:2; 49:16; 99:11 LASTLY [2] 38:14; 92:2 **LATE** [1] 28:15 **LATER** [3] 18:15; 52:11; 57:20 LAUNDRY [1] 34:2 LAURIAT [55] 4:1, 2; 5:8, 14, 24; 8:24, 25; 12:16, 22; 13:7; 15:12, 18; 18:21; 20:1, 5, 10, 13; 25:6, 9; 29:3; 31:3; 35:22, 25;

	= =	<b>LET</b> [14] 4:5;	= = =
16; 44:19; 47:7,	66:2	8:24; 9:10;	23
9; 53:17; 58:13;	LEADERS [1]	12:14; 13:21;	<b>LIENS</b> [2] 40:10;
61:23; 62:6, 8;	82:5	20:9; 22:15;	100:24
67:19; 72:20;	LEADERSHIP [1]	36:25; 37:3;	LIES [1] 87:20
76:5; 78:16;	79:16	54:21; 61:19;	LIFE [1] 75:2
83:18; 86:6, 9,	<b>LEADS</b> [3] 98:19,	79:5; 99:3;	<b>LIFTED</b> [1] 67:7
14, 17, 19;		101:18	LIGHT [4] 7:8;
89:22; 92:16, 19;	<b>LEARN</b> [2] 12:10;	<b>LET'S</b> [7] 15:15;	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
95:1; 97:12, 14,	59:4	17:21; 23:21;	
16; 99:15, 19;	LEARNED [1]	31:11; 89:1;	
101:17	85:10	99:4, 15	8:5; 9:14; 13:1;
LAW [67] 2:15,		LETS [1] 11:12	
22, 23, 29; 3:9,		LETTER [8] 8:21;	
41; 13:24;			
16:24; 20:17;	LEAST [6] 26:8;		28:8; 30:20;
21:17; 26:24;	35:13; 45:8;	24	34:1; 43:1;
29:7, 10, 11, 13,		LETTERS [1]	47:21; 49:1, 14;
14, 17, 20, 23;		60:16	54:15; 56:18;
30:1, 2, 4, 14, 18,		<b>LETTING</b> [1] 10:6	
24; 32:2; 36:4;		LEVEL [11]	
38:13; 43:18, 19,		15:13; 19:1;	·
25; 50:4; 58:16,	84:3	40:3, 4; 48:13;	
17, 18; 59:10,			
	LEE [3] 2:37;	50:6, 10; 51:16,	90:2; 93:3;
22, 23; 62:10,	44:19, 20	18, 21; 52:1	94:17; 96:20;
14; 67:23; 70:4,	LEFT [1] 18:22		99:19
10, 25; 75:17;			
76:24; 79:1, 11;		• •	
80:7, 24; 92:5, 7,	13:22; 19:22;		LIKELY [8]
9, 10, 11; 95:11;	23:6, 13; 29:24;		38:23; 63:5, 7,
97:1, 20, 21;	53:20; 60:4, 5;		10, 22; 64:3;
98:7, 9; 99:6, 12,	62:10, 15, 19;		69:23; 70:14
13	68:20; 69:9, 23;		<b>LIMIT</b> [7] 6:10,
	72:23, 24; 73:20,		
95:6	22; 76:3, 15	LIBRARIANS [15]	44:16; 51:1;
<b>LAWS</b> [1] 26:24	LEGISLATION [3]	2:24; 29:7, 17,	
LAWYER [17]	34:11; 84:5	21, 23; 30:2, 5,	LIMITATION [1]
2:14, 18, 26, 29,	LEGISLATIVE [2]	15, 18, 24;	49:9
35; 3:5, 11, 14,	36:13; 100:5	58:17, 20; 59:16;	LIMITED [10]
17, 20, 23; 18:2;	LEGISLATORS [2]	61:3; 77:20	26:17; 40:25;
20:17; 23:7;	93:4; 94:2	LIBRARIES [6]	
60:13; 72:24;	LEGISLATURE [3]	29:11, 13; 58:18,	11; 60:5; 61:23;
81:5	37:7, 11; 99:12	19; 59:10, 22	77:6; 100:16
LAWYERING [1]	LEGITIMATE [1]	<b>LIBRARY</b> [3] 3:9;	<b>LINDA</b> [1] 5:10
60:3	70:8	58:16; 60:12	<b>LINE</b> [1] 84:12
LAWYERS [8]	<b>LESS</b> [6] 31:12;	LICENSED [1]	<b>LINES</b> [1] 78:9
19:11, 19; 45:14;	55:18; 64:2;	92:20	LINGUINI [1]
58:20; 61:3;	69:21; 77:14;	LICENSING [1]	88:20
77:8, 19; 83:11	95:22	37:14	LINK [1] 51:14
<b>LAY</b> [1] 84:17	<b>LESSEN</b> [1] 30:9	<b>LIE</b> [1] 87:5	

<b>LIST</b> [8] 4:18;	LOBEL [2] 3:15;
8:17, 18; 34:2;	67:23
78:10; 80:5, 11;	LOCAL [3] 40:4,
101:18	9; 101:5
LISTED [3]	LOCATION [5]
	63:6, 7, 11, 22;
91:16	65:12
<b>LISTEN</b> [1] 16:3	LOG-IN [1] 68:17
LISTENING [2]	LONG [4] 30:19;
28:24; 77:17	34:2; 50:8; 70:4
LISTING [1] 64:8	
	[1] 9:22
LITIGANT [5]	LONG-STANDING
2:11; 3:30, 33;	[1] 13:15
86:22, 23	LONG-TIME [1]
LITIGANTS [15]	72:24
17:3; 19:11;	LONGER [1]
59:17, 18; 64:17;	
71:24; 72:5;	
	[1] 69:19
	LOOK [24] 10:4;
91:9, 21; 92:1	25:2; 32:11, 12;
LITIGATING [2]	35:1, 2; 48:18;
73:6; 78:7	56:12, 16; 57:8;
	61:20; 69:12;
18:17, 19; 23:12;	74:25; 75:4;
36:9; 66:23;	86:25; 88:10;
90:13	89:10; 93:17;
LITTLE [11] 4:8;	94:3, 24; 95:25;
13:21; 15:19;	97:8, 10; 99:19
	LOOKED [4]
52:19; 55:10, 18;	
88:21; 92:17;	
99:4	LOOKING [11]
	15:13; 21:7;
<b>LIVE</b> [5] 37:4; 49:17; 50:21;	34:24; 36:7;
52:17; 95:23	80:15; 88:8;
LIVES [5] 44:7;	
82:11; 84:24;	
	LOSE [3] 61:25;
	94:9, 10
	LOSING [2] 9:24,
37:25	25
	LOSS [1] 40:8
	LOSSES [1]
LLNE [3] 58:17;	
	LOST [7] 49:3;
LLOYD [3] 2:34;	
43:15, 18	88:1, 22; 100:19
= / =	, , ,

**LOT** [16] 9:17; 22:14; 24:20: 30:25; 32:14, 18; 57:17; 74:1, 13; 75:2; 84:6; 85:12; 89:17, 18; 98:4; 101:10 **LOTS** [2] 54:19; 85:20 **LOUIS** [2] 97:25; 98:22 **LOW** [9] 10:3; 22:6; 40:4; 93:7; 94:7; 95:18; 97:5; 103:17, 18 **LOWELL** [1] 95:6 **LOWER** [2] 19:6; 51:21 **LUCKY** [2] 77:22 LUCRATIVE [1] 17:2 **LYING** [1] 91:25

## - M -

**MA** [2] 1:12; 50:16 MACHINE [1] 6:10 **MADE** [23] 17:9, 14; 18:12; 24:11; 32:23; 33:5; 37:11; 43:7; 39:14; 53:11; 56:16; 64:16: 66:12; 67:16: 76:13: 84:24; 90:8, 15; 91:11, 24; 96:24; 101:25 MAHLBERG [1] 22:16 **MAIN** [1] 14:10 MAINSTREAM [2] 36:8: 37:25 MAINTAINING [1] 65:6 MAJOR [4] 10:11; 17:12, 14;

94:21

MAJORITY [2] 37:13: 45:21 MAKE [42] 6:20, 25; 10:5, 20; 11:8, 15, 22; 12:2, 5; 22:1; 38:16, 19; 39:1; 40:20; 44:17; 52:22: 53:24: 54:6; 55:23; 59:12; 62:22: 63:2, 24; 68:9; 72:18; 75:2, 23; 76:10; 77:14; 85:17; 87:8; 88:16; 89:1, 12; 92:4: 96:20: 97:8; 98:13, 21; 100:5; 102:15 MAKES [6] 52:18; 55:19; 56:7; 69:17, 20; 84:2 MAKING [10] 12:6; 24:25; 25:1, 2; 38:11: 66:6, 9, 19; 69:22; 90:10 MALICIOUS [2] 74:6.8 MANAGE [2] 39:18; 62:9 MANAGED [1] 30:1 MANAGEMENT [14] 7:9; 40:1, 14; 42:3, 5, 20; 54:2, 10; 55:2. 20; 56:1; 57:15; 78:25; 103:4 MANAGER [2] 3:8; 58:15 MANAGERS [1] 40:5 MANDATED [1] 38:17 MANDATORY [1]

78:3

MANNER [3]			
39:3; 57:20;	46:16, 19; 59:3;	56:21; 60:9;	22, 24; 51:7;
58:10	60:25; 61:2, 4, 5;	65:25; 66:3, 11;	52:2; 67:24;
MANPOWER [1]	70:11; 76:15, 24;	68:4, 18; 69:20;	72:5; 80:4; 81:1
82:8	77:23; 78:13, 20;	70:11, 23; 71:18;	MEDIA'S [2]
MANSLAUGHTER	84:4; 86:1;	73:17; 80:15;	
[1] 37:18	92:22; 94:7;	93:15	MEDIUM [1]
MANUALS [1]	95:11; 96:7;	MAYBE [9]	
43:19	97:19; 100:8;	16:19; 24:22;	
MANY [39] 9:11;	103:3	26:3, 5; 28:16;	5:10
10:14; 11:7, 10,	MASSACHUSETT	35:11; 52:7;	<b>MEETING</b> [5] 1:8;
23; 19:19; 21:6;	S-BASED [2]	61:25	9:21; 26:24;
28:9; 30:14;	39:11; 42:16	MCKIM [4] 3:44;	
31:14; 32:3;	• •	99:17, 21	MEETINGS [6]
36:6; 42:6, 22;	22:19; 49:12, 19	<b>ME</b> [44] 4:2, 5;	16:2, 6; 28:12,
47:2; 55:12, 16;	MASSCOURTS	9:1, 10; 10:18;	14; 87:22, 23
60:3, 20, 21;	[23] 7:10, 15;	12:14; 13:21;	MELINDA [3] 3:7;
69:12; 76:18;	9:23; 13:4, 13;	15:14; 16:2, 6,	58:13, 15
77:23; 78:19;	20:25; 21:22;	15; 17:10, 19,	MEMBER [10]
80:3, 19; 82:9;			6:24; 11:12;
84:10; 86:21;		19:19; 20:3, 9;	
88:5; 89:5; 90:1,	20; 41:13; 42:3;	22:15; 25:5, 21;	78:22, 23, 24, 25;
4, 22; 91:5;	48:15, 22; 50:9,	31:8; 36:25;	79:1
95:17, 21	20; 51:3, 14	37:3; 43:17;	MEMBERS [41]
MARCH [1] 47:18	MASSCOURTS.OR	48:1; 49:19;	
MARGINALIZED	<b>G</b> [3] 43:10;	53:6; 54:21;	7:1; 9:1; 10:23;
[1] 100:18	68:14; 71:25	61:17; 75:8, 13;	
MARIA [1] 10:10	MATCH [1] 95:9	78:20; 79:3, 14;	
MARK [4] 55:5;	MATERIAL [7]	80:19; 84:2;	29:10, 23, 24;
56:2; 57:14, 15	43:25; 44:5, 16,	85:20; 90:10;	30:10; 36:2;
MARRIED [1]	17; 60:11; 77:6	91:18; 98:11;	37:10; 43:16;
63:14	MATHEMATICS	101:19; 104:4	47:2, 9; 48:23;
MARVELOUS [1]	[1] 6:7	<b>MEAN</b> [6] 35:7; 77:18; 84:9;	
78:1			
<b>MASS</b> [9] 27:13; 30:12; 35:7;	84:10 MATTER [4]	85:7; 92:10;	21; 59:9; 61:3, 16; 62:7; 64:18;
48:4; 75:17;	<b>.</b> .	99:6 <b>MEANS</b> [2] 63:8;	76:2, 9; 80:15;
84:4; <i>7</i> 5:17, 95:8;	94:10	69:21	83:15, 23; 94:22;
	MATTERS [12]		97:17
96:10; 97:1 MASSACHUSETT		MEANT [1] 9:14 MEASURES [2]	MEMBERSHIP [2]
	13; 59:6; 63:20,		29:19; 47:21
	21; 65:2; 66:18;		MEMORABLE [1]
	68:1; 70:16;	77:4	53:1
10:15, 20; 13:16,		MECHANISM [3]	
25; 14:6; 15:24;	MATTHEW [3]	36:23; 38:15, 20	MENTAL [7]
17:3; 19:3;		MEDIA [21]	32:4, 5, 7; 64:21;
		13:11; 14:13;	
		25:11; 27:1;	
		28:8, 11, 16;	
		29:1; 35:10;	
	,,,	_ 5, 556,	

MENTION (0)	MINDOFT (4)	MIT [0] 47:40:	MOSTLY (a)
MENTION [2]	MINDSET [1]	MIT [2] 47:13;	
75:16; 76:14	9:19	49:2	20:18; 97:24
MENTIONED [6]	MINE [3] 19:9;	MODEL [2] 15:3;	MOTION [2] 81:9;
14:25; 27:19; 58:10; 64:14;	22:16; 23:6	60:25	88:14
	MINIMAL [1]	MODELS [1] 60:1	MOTIONS [6]
69:20; 80:19	45:20	MODERN [1]	44:6; 46:4, 9;
<b>MERELY</b> [2] 16:15; 47:24	<b>MINIMIZE</b> [1] 11:23	60:13 <b>MONEY</b> [6]	81:15; 88:19; 92:1
MERITLESS [1]		52:24; 61:25;	
81:24	MINIMUM [1] 61:8	75:23; 82:24;	
MERRILL [1]	MINIMUMS [1]	93:19; 96:23	56:2; 59:24;
79:16	78:3	MONEY'S [1]	70:14; 80:13
MESSAGES [2]	MINING [1] 74:5	11:19	MOVES [1] 83:5
65:11, 14	MINOR [2] 80:20,	MONITOR [1]	MOVING [5] 54:8;
METASTASIZED	21	65:9	71:25; 82:8;
[1] 19:17	MINORITY [2]	MONITORING [1]	83:8, 14
MIC [2] 15:18;	3:27; 83:25	65:11	MR [28] 4:25;
62:1	MINORS [1]	MONTHS [8]	5:2, 4, 6, 15, 17,
MICHAEL [1]	66:13	9:21; 13:13, 18;	20; 8:23, 25;
5:17	MINUTE [3] 6:15;	15:7; 27:16;	12:16, 21, 23;
MICROPHONE [5]	18:21; 27:13	35:8; 55:24;	13:9; 25:7, 10;
4:21; 6:13;	MINUTES [6] 6:6,	87:12	29:5; 31:4; 39:7,
35:25; 48:25;	8, 10, 14; 34:14,	MORAN [1] 18:2	
103:20	17	MORE [57] 6:8,	
MICS [2] 61:25;	MIRROR [1]	18; 10:11; 11:8,	
62:1	61:11	10; 16:3; 18:7,	83:21; 97:17
MIDDLE [2]	MISCONDUCT [1]	23; 19:10, 13;	<b>MS</b> [36] 5:9, 10,
21:14; 60:13	78:10	20:3, 4; 23:16;	12, 22; 15:17,
MIDDLESEX [12]	MISDEMEANOR	24:14; 25:16, 20;	
2:35; 5:17;		27:12; 28:13;	
43:20; 47:14;	MISHANDLED [1]	30:13; 31:14;	
49:2, 17, 18;	<del>-</del> -	32:20; 42:2;	
52:13; 68:10;	MISHANDLING [1]	45:19; 48:20;	
95:14, 15, 23	16:8	50:10; 53:11;	86:8, 11, 15, 18,
MIDST [1] 14:6	MISINFORMATIO	54:13; 59:24, 25;	20; 89:23;
MIGHT [14] 8:6;	<b>N</b> [2] 84:7	60:6, 11; 62:23;	92:18, 20; 95:2;
16:4; 28:15;	MISPRONOUNCE	65:17; 66:6, 9;	97:13, 15, 22;
55:23; 57:3, 13,	[1] 12:19	67:12; 69:5, 18,	
20; 70:12;	MISPRONOUNCE	21, 22; 70:13,	MUCH [51] 8:19;
73:14; 75:10;	<b>D</b> [1] 35:23	14; 71:5; 79:18;	11:20; 12:16;
77:6; 82:23;	MISREP [1]	82:19; 87:2, 21;	15:11, 12, 21;
84:17; 96:18	90:12	88:1, 2; 93:11;	16:21; 20:11, 14,
MIGRATION [1]	MISSING [8]	99:4; 100:1	15; 25:5, 6;
7:8	17:6, 15, 25;	MOST [13] 19:11;	29:2, 3, 5; 31:3,
MILK [1] 16:13	18:4, 7, 8, 9	22:4; 44:5; 45:2,	12; 35:3, 22;
MILLION [7]	MISSION [1]	4, 22; 50:15, 21;	39:5; 43:14, 15;
45:19; 85:11;	29:12	51:17; 56:24;	44:17, 19; 47:6,
87:13, 15, 16, 17;	MISTAKES [1]	74:14; 98:22;	7; 48:5; 49:18;
89:15	84:24	100:18	53:16, 17; 58:13;
MIND [1] 11:15			61:23; 67:19, 21;

72:20, 21; 75:7; 76:5; 78:16; 82:3; 83:18;	MYSELF [2] 35:16; 73:12	NAVIGATING [1] 59:10 NAZARETH [1]	<b>NEW</b> [38] 2:32; 3:45; 13:2, 13; 15:14; 29:16;
86:6; 87:17;	- N -	99:2	31:24; 38:24;
92:15, 16, 18;		NECESSARY [4]	39:10; 40:20;
97:11, 12; 99:15;	N [4] 404.4	36:9; 56:7; 59:1;	42:9, 11, 15, 17;
101:17; 102:18	N [1] 104:1	79:24	43:4; 55:2, 19,
MULTIPLE [2]	NAME [33] 2:1;	<b>NECIR</b> [1] 100:2	
65:10; 67:5	3:2; 4:2, 22, 25; 8:15; 15:16;	<b>NEED</b> [26] 23:17;	58:17, 19; 61:1;
MULTITUDE [1]	18:3; 23:7;	25:22, 23; 27:2,	68:1, 2; 78:21,
17:8	25:10; 29:6;	17; 30:9; 31:19;	25; 82:10; 95:5,
MUNICIPAL [4]	39:9; 43:17;	50:5; 56:5;	16, 24, 25;
5:1; 51:22;	44:20; 47:10, 22;	57:23; 64:4;	99:22, 24;
62:13; 67:16	50:16; 51:13;	66:10, 19; 68:14;	101:14
MUNICIPALITIES	53:19; 58:14;	75:10, 21; 77:6;	<b>NEWLY</b> [1] 36:22
[1] 87:6	62:3, 9; 63:8, 12,	83:4, 10, 11, 13;	<b>NEWS</b> [13] 2:8;
MURDER [1]	13, 15; 67:22;	89:2; 91:9;	12:24; 13:19, 22,
37:18	72:22; 83:23;	95:25; 97:5	23; 35:16;
MUST [5] 19:16,	92:20; 99:17;	NEEDED [2]	47:11; 49:24;
20; 40:22; 50:9;	103:9, 10	10:24; 59:21	67:24; 68:3;
69:6	NAME'S [2]	NEEDING [4]	72:16; 80:10;
<b>MY</b> [78] 4:2, 25;	12:23; 78:18	21:14; 77:9;	99:25
10:10; 12:23;	NAMED [2]	94:1; 95:17	NEWSPAPER [5]
14:25; 16:7;	22:16; 54:25	<b>NEEDS</b> [8] 9:17;	2:19; 25:12;
17:5, 18; 18:14;	NAMES [9]	26:21; 30:7;	35:16; 68:1;
24:8; 25:5, 10,	12:19; 24:10;	53:11; 83:6;	75:22
23; 27:13;	35:23; 40:17;	92:23; 98:14, 20	NEWSPAPERS [1]
28:19; 29:5, 16;	45:13; 57:6;	NEFAC [1] 72:16	25:13
36:17, 18; 37:2;	64:8; 81:11;	NEGATIVE [3]	<b>NEXT</b> [16] 11:12;
39:9; 41:15;	86:21	57:19; 64:25;	15:8, 15; 20:12;
43:17; 44:20;	<b>NANCY</b> [1] 86:2	65:23	25:6; 29:3; 39:5;
45:2; 47:10, 11;	NANTUCKET [1]	NEIGHBOR [2]	43:15; 47:7;
48:2, 25; 49:18;	68:12	11:12; 60:23	53:17; 57:3, 8;
50:11; 51:8;	NAPLES [2] 1:22;	NEIGHBORHOOD	58:13; 62:2;
52:9, 13, 16;	104:17	[2] 21:20; 79:9	67:19; 86:12
53:2, 4, 8, 19; 58:3, 14; 61:18;	NARROW [1]	NEIGHBORHOOD S [4] 3:28;	NIC [1] 95:13 NICE [2] 20:8;
62:9; 67:12, 22;	20:20	34:18; 83:25;	25:7
72:22; 75:2, 14,	NARROWLY [1]	84:9	NIGHT [3] 24:17;
25; 78:7, 18;	37:15	NEITHER [2]	60:13; 80:8
79:4, 6, 11, 13;	NATIONAL [4]	88:7; 104:9	NINE [1] 18:7
80:8; 82:12;	40:3; 60:22;	NENPA [1] 72:16	NOBODY [1]
83:20, 23; 84:3;	78:23; 95:13	NERVOUS [1]	95:18
85:20; 86:6, 11;	NATIONALS [1]	23:18	NOISE [1] 103:16
87:1, 11; 88:6,	10:11	<b>NEVER</b> [8] 27:5;	NOMINAL [1]
19; 89:13; 90:7,	NATIONWIDE [3]	73:14; 83:3;	90:7
10; 91:13, 19;	13:22; 14:2	90:14, 24; 91:7;	NON-ATTORNEYS
92:3, 20; 94:22;	NATURALLY [1]	92:10; 100:21	[1] 71:23
99:17; 104:5	87:7	NEVERTHELESS	NON-CONVICTIO
	NATURE [2]	[1] 35:18	<b>NS</b> [1] 37:20
	43:25; 66:15	-	

<b>NONE</b> [2] 26:13;	50:8; 57:7;	23; 34:15;	27:2, 12; 29:22;
65:3	59:23; 100:25	38:19; 41:12;	37:12; 41:17;
NONPROFIT [1]	NUMBERS [2]	45:17, 24; 46:10,	45:10, 11; 48:13;
99:25	49:9, 14	12; 47:20, 23;	50:24; 53:1;
NONVIOLENT [1]	NUTS [1] 60:2	48:3; 50:11;	54:21; 55:14;
97:5	NUTSHELL [1]	68:4; 74:14;	66:24; 71:2;
NOR [2] 104:10,	73:16		74:5; 75:16;
12		99:1; 103:4;	81:4; 83:5; 84:8;
NORA [1] 22:16	- 0 -	104:4, 7	85:4; 86:21;
NORMA [3] 3:37;		OFFICER [2]	88:7, 14, 18, 23;
92:16, 20	<b>O</b> [1] 104:1	5:16; 75:3	89:9; 90:2; 95:9,
NORMAL [1] 41:4	O'CLOCK [2] 6:8;	OFFICES [8]	16; 96:11, 12;
NORTHEAST [2]	76:6	41:1; 42:19, 22;	101:9; 103:14
62:19	OAKS [2] 1:22;	45:24; 47:2;	<b>ONE'S</b> [1] 46:10
NORTHEASTERN	104:16	98:11, 12; 99:8	ONEROUS [1]
[2] 95:11; 97:21	OATH [1] 87:15	OFFICIALS [3]	75:14
<b>NOTE</b> [1] 86:5	OBJECT [2]	41:16, 17; 97:4	ONES [2] 12:1;
<b>NOTED</b> [1] 30:12	52:18, 19	<b>OFTEN</b> [10] 14:9;	87:19
NOTHING [1]	OBSCURITY [1]	32:7; 34:12;	ONLINE [69]
7:17		54:18, 20, 23;	
<b>NOTICE</b> [1] 57:13	69:21 OBSTRUCTION	55:14; 63:19;	11:13, 20, 22;
NOTICED [2]	[1] 16:20	65:21; 94:3	14:18, 21; 15:2,
28:9; 41:17		OHIO [1] 42:4	5; 17:9; 20:22,
NOTIFIED [2]	<b>OBTAIN</b> [1] 25:20	<b>OKAY</b> [13] 4:1;	24, 25; 21:4, 6;
46:21, 23	OBTAINED [1]	5:24; 12:17, 23;	22:7; 24:3, 6;
NOTION [1]	41:18	13:9; 20:10;	38:6, 9, 11; 39:1,
73:14		26:16; 62:2;	3; 42:1, 24;
<b>NOW</b> [33] 9:25;	• •	67:20; 76:7;	45:9, 16, 20;
13:3, 14; 21:3;	17:24	82:22; 92:19	46:4, 10; 47:4;
23:5; 24:13;	OBVIOUSLY [2]	<b>OLD</b> [2] 17:10;	51:23; 59:15;
25:16; 26:11;	31:9; 64:25 OCCASION [1]	75:11	60:6, 11, 12, 18,
27:4, 6, 17, 20,		<b>OLDER</b> [2] 37:17,	20, 24; 61:2, 8;
24; 35:11;	53:1	19	63:21, 25; 64:2;
36:11; 38:8;	OCCASIONS [1] 9:11	<b>OLIVER</b> [1] 69:2	
40:22; 42:12;	-	OMISSIONS [1]	12, 25; 67:17;
45:18; 54:11, 16;	OCCUPATIONAL	17:8	69:16, 17, 20;
55:3, 5, 20;	[1] 37:13	ONCE [12] 33:8;	70:12, 24; 71:22;
57:25; 58:8;	OCCURRENCE [1]	36:23; 48:9;	72:2, 4; 73:11,
68:19; 79:25;	74:11	58:5; 67:6; 68:9;	17; 74:19; 85:4,
80:10; 88:1, 22,	OCP [1] 38:19	74:6, 12, 17;	
24; 96:24	OFFENDER [1]	82:6; 90:14;	91:10, 12
NOWADAYS [1]	36:5	98:2	ONLY [26] 16:1;
73:8	OFFENDERS [2]	ONE [51] 4:20;	17:22; 18:7, 8;
NUISANCE [1]	37:9; 38:4	6:25; 11:10;	19:8, 9; 27:12;
9:20	OFFENSE [2]	12:17; 14:19;	40:24; 41:1, 13;
NUMBER [10]	17:18; 32:25	15:12; 17:25;	44:13; 45:11;
8:10; 19:10;	OFFENSES [1]	18:14, 23; 19:1;	50:17; 53:5;
40:1; 42:6;	37:18	20:3, 4; 21:5;	
47:19; 49:13;	OFFER [1] 69:13	23:8, 10; 24:24;	
, ,	OFFICE [25]	25:20; 26:24;	
	4:15, 16; 5:1, 12,		,

93:2; 98:14;	ORDERED [2]	13:23; 14:10;	OVERALL [1]
100:12	18:13; 34:13	15:15; 19:4, 18;	40:7
ONSITE [2]	ORDERS [7]	22:19; 29:8, 19;	OVERHAUL [1]
59:21; 61:11	41:19; 56:22;	30:7, 8, 9; 34:14,	74:3
<b>ONTO</b> [1] 36:24	63:19; 64:23;	15; 35:18; 37:5,	OVERSIGHT [9]
<b>ONUS</b> [1] 71:10	65:18; 81:20, 21	7; 39:20; 40:16,	19:21; 33:15;
OOPS [1] 92:17	ORGANIZATION	24; 41:12;	66:19, 24; 67:11;
<b>OPEN</b> [16] 11:17;	[5] 8:3; 29:9;	58:21, 25; 60:3,	68:25; 69:3, 19
13:14; 15:9;	62:17; 83:24;	12, 23; 61:23;	OVERTURN [1]
26:24; 31:11, 19;	84:3	68:4, 18, 24;	68:6
33:1, 4; 59:15;	ORGANIZATIONS	69:9, 13, 23;	OVERWORKED [1]
61:7; 73:17;	[4] 8:10; 49:24;	70:1; 74:14, 25;	60:19
76:18; 79:20;	67:25; 94:3	78:1; 83:1;	<b>OWN</b> [6] 11:2;
93:22; 96:21;	ORIGINAL [3]	88:21, 22; 91:13;	27:3; 42:19;
97:10	6:6; 17:25;	93:21; 94:2, 5,	46:10; 80:23;
OPENNESS [2]	103:7	19, 24; 97:3;	99:7
31:20; 77:2	ORIGINALLY [1]	101:2, 3, 6, 18;	OWNER [3] 2:32;
OPERATE [1]	54:5	102:3	39:10; 42:15
93:11	<b>OTHER</b> [49] 6:12;	OURS [1] 18:7	<b>OWNERS</b> [1] 66:7
OPERATES [1]	21:10; 24:12;	OURSELVES [3]	1.1
74:7	26:11; 28:24;	10:6; 92:24;	- P -
OPERATION [1]	29:13, 17; 32:15;		
59:5	34:25; 35:15;	OUTCOME [2]	D. H. 141 0 7
OPERATIONS [2]	36:8; 37:15, 24;	57:6; 104:12	P.M. [1] 6:7
12:5; 40:13	42:10; 44:8;	OUTCOMES [1]	PACER [19] 11:9,
OPINIONS [2]	45:22; 46:4, 16;	25:4	11; 46:2, 5, 6,
46:11; 52:8	54:20; 57:5;	OUTLINED [1]	10, 14, 24; 47:4;
OPPORTUNITIES	59:20; 60:18;	29:12	48:19, 21; 50:23,
[3] 36:8; 37:24;	61:3; 62:18;	OUTLINING [1]	24; 51:1, 20;
61:21	63:19; 64:18, 25;		52:6; 60:22;
OPPORTUNITY	67:2; 69:12, 15;	OUTSET [4] 9:10;	77:12
[18] 6:17; 8:19;		13:13; 36:25;	PADMANABHAN
9:2; 20:6; 30:21,		102:3	[1] 67:20
22; 37:23;	87:3; 93:17, 24;	OUTSIDE [2]	PAGE [11] 10:5;
53:19; 61:18;	94:12; 96:1, 2;		18:23; 45:18;
72:17; 76:12;			46:10, 14, 24;
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	101:6, 9; 103:21		50:25; 52:22;
79:6; 86:20;	OTHERS [6]	OUTWEIGH [1]	90:3; 101:8;
89:24; 92:8		80:25	103:7
OPPOSE [1]		OVER [20] 6:15;	<b>PAGES</b> [6] 2:3;
36:15		13:18; 15:6;	3:3; 52:11, 23;
ORANGE [1]	OTHERWISE [6]		53:4, 7
100:3	16:21; 52:20;		<b>PAID</b> [1] 60:16
<b>ORDER</b> [13]	59:20; 60:4;		<b>PAIGE</b> [2] 87:4,
25:23; 40:21;	63:14; 104:12		7
63:1, 4, 6, 9;		72:25; 73:9, 13;	PAINS [1] 65:2
64:13; 65:16;		80:1; 82:5, 6;	PANEL [2] 35:12,
67:15; 81:24;	OUR [62] 8:5,		14
97:18	14; 9:8, 17;		PAPER [6] 12:1;
- · · · •	10:7; 11:12, 15;		14:12; 34:16;
	,	[.] 20.22	

47:16; 69:17;	<b>PARTY</b> [5] 16:10;	71:21; 72:14;	PERSON [10]
95:10	50:16; 63:6, 11;		27:5; 34:21;
PAPERLESS [1]	92:14	74:2, 13, 14, 23;	51:14; 54:24, 25;
42:11	PASS [1] 4:20	75:6, 23; 76:1,	56:1; 63:9; 74:6;
PARAGRAPH [2]	PASSED [2]	18, 22; 77:20,	75:20; 86:12
20:4, 7	81:4; 83:2	22; 78:3; 81:10;	PERSON'S [2]
PARAGRAPHS [1]	PAST [14] 13:18;	84:8, 12, 14, 15,	38:15; 51:13
19:13	15:6; 26:8, 9;	17, 20, 23;	PERSONAL [3]
PARALEGALS [1]	41:16; 43:20;	85:12, 20, 24;	31:22; 67:1;
58:20	73:9, 12; 78:20,	89:1, 6, 17, 19;	74:10
PARALLEL [1]	21, 22; 79:1, 4	92:8; 93:3, 9, 15;	PERSONALLY [5]
26:5	PASTED [1]	94:2, 5; 95:17,	15:24; 71:7, 10,
PARENTAL [2]	82:18	18, 21; 97:5;	16; 75:2
64:20; 66:17	PAT [1] 94:23	99:6, 8, 9;	PERSONNEL [1]
PARENTING [1]	PATIENT [1] 9:16	100:18, 19	27:22
81:15	PATRICIA [3]	PEOPLE'S [2]	PERSONS [4]
PARENTS [4]	3:11; 62:3, 9	12:19; 32:16	41:18; 63:12;
32:11, 13; 80:22,	PATRONS [1]	PER [6] 6:6;	66:13; 67:2
23	58:21	45:18; 46:10, 14,	PERSPECTIVE [2]
PART [12] 8:5;	PAULA [1] 4:10	24; 50:25	14:5; 15:14
16:15, 19; 18:20;	PAULINE [3]	PERCEIVED [1]	PETER [7] 2:17,
19:19; 29:1;	3:17; 72:20, 22	17:12	25; 4:2; 25:6,
30:14; 39:25;	PAUSE [1] 48:21	PERCENT [5]	10; 31:3, 4
45:5; 68:20;	PAY [7] 10:5;	18:8; 87:14, 15;	
69:9; 83:16	52:24; 54:25;		<b>PETITION</b> [1] 88:5
PARTICIPATE [1]		89:13; 95:21 <b>PERFORM</b> [2]	PETITIONER [2]
60:3	55:18, 23; 85:2; 101:8		
		41:12; 98:25 PERFORMANCE	63:16; 98:1
PARTICULAR [12]	PAYER [1] 66:5		PHASE [1] 49:8
31:22; 32:18; 68:24; 70:17;	PAYING [2]	[1] 66:2 <b>PERHAPS</b> [2]	PHONE [3]
	20:15; 55:13	70:14; 94:9	50:13; 60:16;
73:24; 75:15, 23; 79:14, 20; 82:1;	PAYS [1] 46:24	PERIOD [5] 7:19,	65:13
	PC [1] 80:6	• •	<b>PHONES</b> [1] 60:12
83:6; 100:9	PDF [1] 103:7	21, 23; 102:6, 8	
PARTICULARLY	PEG [1] 54:15	PERMEATE [1]	PHONETIC [2]
[10] 13:1; 14:21;	PELLEGRINO [1]	16:16	18:3; 91:3
23:15; 25:1;		PERMEATED [1]	PHOTOCOPIER
31:10; 59:9;			[1] 52:21
73:4, 12; 93:23;		PERMISSION [2]	PHOTOGRAPH [2]
100:10	PEOPLE [86]	52:15; 53:2	53:3, 6
PARTIES [14]		PERMISSIVE [1]	PHYSICAL [3]
17:1; 18:6;	23:5, 15, 21, 23,	53:12	17:5; 47:16;
24:10; 44:1, 8,	24; 24:14; 25:2;	<b>PERMIT</b> [2] 61:8;	52:5
11; 46:3; 49:1;	33:2, 10, 16, 18;		PHYSICALLY [3]
52:18, 19; 57:6;	34:17, 19; 35:9,	• •	45:16; 59:13;
63:20; 90:20;	15; 44:2; 54:7,		94:14
104:10	8; 55:3, 11, 12,		PICK [4] 86:9;
PARTNER [1]	14, 17; 56:8;		88:9
67:23	57:18, 21; 58:1;		PICKING [1]
PARTNERS [1]	60:21; 64:4;	[1] 65:17	75:16
65:10	65:23; 69:1;		

PICTURE [1]	POINTS [3]	POTENTIAL [2]	PRESIDENTS [1]
12:13	62:22, 23; 76:13	36:20; 79:19	78:23
PIECES [2]	<b>POLICE</b> [1] 34:13	POTENTIALLY [2]	PRESIDING [1]
34:16; 95:9	POLICIES [5]	64:19; 82:10	52:15
<b>PIERCE</b> [2] 5:18	10:5; 52:12;	<b>POUR</b> [1] 22:14	PRESS [18] 11:5;
<b>PILLOW</b> [1] 99:3	98:16, 18; 99:7	POVERTY [1]	12:13, 21; 14:13;
PIPER'S [1]	POLICY [12]	95:6	24:17; 28:8, 11,
88:11	5:23; 7:5; 9:3;	POWERFUL [3]	25; 46:20;
<b>PLACE</b> [9] 11:3,	59:2; 67:11;	87:6; 89:5, 17	48:17; 50:7;
25; 14:8; 22:5;	72:4; 76:2;	PRACTICAL [2]	51:4, 16, 17;
24:5, 7; 41:2;	92:25; 94:3;	50:14; 69:21	53:13; 68:2;
53:14; 97:9	96:22; 97:11;	PRACTICE [12]	69:7, 25
PLACES [2]	98:17	19:19; 20:17;	PRESUMPTION
21:11; 51:1	<b>POLITE</b> [1] 9:16	29:14; 30:1;	[2] 31:10; 35:17
<b>PLAIN</b> [1] 84:1	POOR [6] 73:5,	34:25; 48:25;	PRETRIAL [5]
<b>PLAN</b> [3] 6:6;	16, 19, 21;	52:10, 12; 60:2;	93:13, 17, 23;
30:12; 102:3	77:21; 103:14	62:10; 74:25;	95:14, 25
<b>PLANS</b> [1] 68:9	POPULATION [2]	83:15	PRETTY [3]
PLATE [1] 61:24	95:15, 20	PRACTICES [1]	13:24; 14:4;
PLATFORM [4]	PORTABLE [1]	30:19	70:4
26:5; 40:12, 20;	52:10	PRACTICING [4]	PREVAIL [1]
42:3	PORTAL [2]	20:17; 25:11;	45:23
<b>PLAY</b> [1] 77:13	29:20; 43:10	26:8; 79:8	PREVALENT [2]
PLAYING [1]	PORTALS [2]	PRACTITIONER	17:2; 19:3
80:8	42:23, 24	[1] 31:6	PREVENT [3]
PLEADINGS [5]	POSES [1] 66:1	PRACTITIONERS	39:18; 58:4;
64:20; 81:2, 6, 7,	POSITION [2]	[3] 34:12; 35:9;	65:2
23	36:17; 81:2	43:19	PREVENTION [3]
PLEASE [18]	POSITIVE [1]	PRECEDENCE [1]	63:24; 64:15;
12:5, 14, 20;	48:4	35:4	66:22
24:5; 49:22;	POSSESSING [1]	PREDATORS [1]	PREVIOUS [3]
61:18; 88:15, 25;	29:24	44:14	22:18; 45:13;
89:10, 17, 18, 21;	POSSIBILITY [2]	PREDICT [1]	59:2
90:6, 20; 92:1, 3,	36:21; 73:11	39:17	PREVIOUSLY [2]
4; 96:22	POSSIBLE [12]	<b>PREFER</b> [1] 75:9	14:25; 64:14
PLEASED [3]		PREFERENTIAL	PREY [1] 66:20
29:8; 49:16;	44:17; 45:8;		PREYED [1]
71:24	46:4, 14; 47:3;		66:11
PLENTY [1] 76:2	61:12; 72:10;	7:25	PRICED [1] 21:19
PLETHORA [1]	76:18	PRESENCE [1]	PRIMARILY [2]
32:1	POSSIBLY [6]	13:25	22:5; 31:6
<b>PODIUM</b> [3] 6:11,			PRINCE [2] 3:15;
13	27:4, 19; 28:14;		67:23
<b>POINT</b> [8] 7:17;	95:16	PRESENTENCE	PRINCIPLE [6]
35:11; 72:2;	POST [4] 7:21;	[1] 35:2	51:25; 58:25;
74:22; 76:13;		PRESIDENT [6]	69:19; 71:19;
	POSTED [5]		
POINTED [1]		58:16; 78:20, 21;	
69:24	102:5	98:23	11:15; 48:12;

	10.10 70.05	DDGGDAM (a)	DDGTGGGL (G)
61:7; 69:14;	49:19; 73:25;	L - 1	PROTOCOL [2]
76:14, 19, 20	93:13; 94:21;	2:16; 20:16;	24:18, 19
<b>PRINT</b> [1] 46:13		36:8	<b>PROUD</b> [1] 68:20
<b>PRIOR</b> [3] 40:12;	PROCEDURE [3]	PROGRESS [3]	PROVEN [1]
48:13; 63:18	70:11, 15; 89:10	7:15, 17; 33:5	30:18
PRISON [2]	PROCEDURES [1]	PROHIBITION [2]	PROVIDE [17]
10:12; 84:16	94:18	63:10, 17	10:24; 29:8;
PRIVACY [17]	PROCEED [1]	PROHIBITIVE [2]	30:25; 39:16, 20;
11:6; 30:6, 17;	22:2	90:3, 22	43:11; 50:9;
31:21; 61:6;	PROCEEDING [3]	PROHIBITS [1]	59:21; 60:1, 20,
64:11, 17; 70:5,	67:15; 90:19;	62:25	24; 61:1, 9, 21;
7, 8, 13, 19;	91:3	PROJECT [4]	95:19; 99:9;
71:1; 73:6;	PROCEEDINGS	10:13; 11:4;	100:13
79:18; 82:17	[9] 6:3, 22;	72:23; 87:14	PROVIDED [8]
PRIVATE [12]		PROJECTS [1]	38:10; 46:5;
29:17, 20, 23;		12:24	47:24; 49:6;
30:2, 4, 14, 18;	70:9; 102:13	PROLONGED [1]	58:9; 68:21;
33:9; 39:17;	PROCESS [25]	16:22	91:18; 104:4
43:23; 66:6;	7:1, 12, 17, 18;	PROMOTE [2]	PROVIDER [3]
80:11	16:18; 17:2;	37:15; 43:5	42:4, 20; 62:19
PRIVATELY [1]	19:3; 22:17, 25;	PROMPT [1]	PROVIDERS [3]
49:8	23:12, 16; 25:15;	100:5	37:13; 39:15;
PRIVILEGES [1]	26:4; 33:13;	PROMPTLY [1]	62:18
14:23	36:23; 38:14;	10:8	PROVIDES [5]
PRO [9] 71:24;	48:1; 49:24;		39:11; 40:1;
73:8, 9; 86:22;	54:22, 25; 66:24;	15:16; 62:3;	42:16; 59:15;
89:19; 91:9, 12;	77:16; 93:17, 23;	72:21	62:15
92:1	101:12	PROOF [1] 21:2	PROVIDING [6]
PROBABLY [7]	PROCESSED [1]	PROPER [3]	9:13; 59:11, 24;
8:17; 14:7; 23:5;	= = =		
	37:22	16:12, 18; 70:2 PROPERLY [2]	67:1; 73:3
33:2; 34:10; 35:12; 55:7	PRODUCED [1]		PROVISIONS [1]
PROBATE [14]	103:7	53:25; 56:16	63:25 <b>PRUDENT</b> [1]
5:11; 28:3; 32:9;		PROPERTY [3]	30:19
	37:10; 85:1	40:5; 66:4, 7	PSYCHOLOGICAL
	PROFESS [1]	PROPOSED [4]	
67:14; 79:12, 13, 15, 20; 80:17;	80:17	8:1; 102:1, 4, 9	[1] 64:23
81:17; 82:12, 19		PROPRIETY [1]	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		19:5	1:7; 4:4, 8, 15;
<b>PROBATION</b> [4] 5:9; 9:12; 16:25;		PROTECT [5]	5:12; 7:5, 18, 19;
	[1] 30:3 PROFESSIONALS	11:6; 44:3; 61:6;	
38:19		64:17; 82:16	19, 21, 24; 10:3,
PROBLEM [7]	[3] 30:4, 18;	PROTECTED [3]	10; 11:9, 13, 16,
21:12; 25:2;	61:20	62:25; 63:6, 11	18, 21; 12:4;
28:20; 49:15;		PROTECTION [4]	
55:9; 73:2;		63:4, 19; 64:3, 6	
86:11	PROFILE [1] 44:9	PROTECTIVE [6]	
PROBLEMATIC	PROFILED [1]	63:1, 9, 25;	25:25; 26:14, 16,
[1] 98:17	82:19	65:16; 66:19;	18, 19, 20, 23;
PROBLEMS [8]	PROFOUND [2]	67:15	27:9; 28:13, 18,
21:5; 47:16;	67:25; 79:17		19; 30:13, 17;

22.22. 22.42 45.
32:22; 33:12, 15;
35:18; 37:1; 39:11, 17, 19, 25;
40:2, 14; 41:25;
42:8, 13, 16, 21,
22; 43:8, 13, 24;
44:9, 10, 23, 24, 25; 46:6, 7, 12;
20, 40.0, 7, 12, 47,40, 40,2 47,
47:19; 48:3, 17;
49:16; 50:19;
51:5, 6, 9, 10, 12; 52:4; 53:13, 23;
52.4, 55.15, 25,
56:21; 57:1; 58:8, 21, 24; 59:2, 4, 6, 7, 8,
50.0, 21, 24,
10 11: 60:20:
10, 11; 60:20; 61:2, 4, 21;
64:13, 16; 65:18;
66:23, 24; 67:11,
24; 68:6, 10, 18,
19, 25; 69:2, 6,
7, 16, 18, 22, 25;
7, 10, 10, 22, 23,
70:2, 23, 24; 71:4, 6, 15, 24;
71.4, 0, 13, 24,
72:6, 11; 73:14; 74:18; 80:14;
81:1, 5, 19; 83:2,
5: 90:18 21:
5; 90:18, 21; 92:7, 24, 25;
93:22 25: 94:2:
93:22, 25; 94:2; 96:19, 22, 24;
97:1,
4, 11; 98:3, 7, 9;
99:5, 13, 25;
100:6, 8, 11, 15;
101:15, 22;
102:2, 6, 11, 15;
103:10
PUBLIC'S [5]
15:4; 37:8;
44:16; 56:5;
70:17
PUBLICATION [2]
62:25; 63:5
PUBLICITY [2]
51:18; 69:19
PUBLICIZED [1]
24:22
PUBLICLY [11]
24:15; 63:2, 5,

10,	22;	64:2;
65:4	22; ; 6	7:16:
70.6	; 101:	2 5
PIIR	LISH	-, o [1]
69:2	_	ניו
	o Lishei	. [4]
		[ו] י
43:2		
	LISHEF	<b>(</b> [1]
2:19		_
	LISHEF	
	2; 27:	
PULI	LED [1]	23:8
PUR	POSE 3; 3	[3]
27:1	3; 3	33:13;
69:3		
PUR	POSES	[2]
66:2	3; 67:	4
PUR:	SUANT	[1]
52:1	7	
PUR	SUE	[1]
37:2		
PUR	SUED	[1]
	SUED 20	[1]
100:	20	
100:	20	
100:	20	
100:: PUT 14:8 25:4	20 [20] , 18; ; 2	11:20; 20:2; 6:20;
100:: PUT 14:8 25:4 33:8	20 [20] , 18; ; 2	11:20; 20:2; 6:20; 54:6:
100:: PUT 14:8 25:4 33:8 60:1	20 [20] , 18; ; 2 ; 1; 71:	11:20; 20:2; 6:20; 54:6; 10, 22;
100:: PUT 14:8 25:4 33:8 60:1 73:1	20 [20] , 18; ; 2 ; 1; 71: 6; 82:	11:20; 20:2; 6:20; 54:6; 10, 22; 18, 19;
100:: PUT 14:8 25:4 33:8 60:1 73:1	20 [20] , 18; ; 2 ; 1; 71: 6; 82:	11:20; 20:2; 6:20; 54:6; 10, 22; 18, 19;
100:: PUT 14:8 25:4 33:8 60:1 73:1 85:5 88:2	20 [20] , 18; ; 2 ; 1; 71: 6; 82: ; 8	11:20; 20:2; 6:20; 54:6; 10, 22; 18, 19;
100:: PUT 14:8 25:4 33:8 60:1 73:1 85:5 88:2	20 [20] , 18; ; 2 ; 1; 71: 6; 82: ; 8 5; 99:3	11:20; 20:2; 6:20; 54:6; 10, 22; 18, 19; 7:23; 94:25;
100:: PUT 14:8 25:4 33:8 60:1 73:1 85:5 88:2	20 [20] , 18; ; 2 ; 1; 71: 6; 82: ; 8 5; 99:3	11:20; 20:2; 6:20; 54:6; 10, 22; 18, 19; 7:23; 94:25;
100:: PUT 14:8 25:4 33:8 60:1 73:1 85:5 88:2 97:9 PUT 24:5	20 [20] , 18; ; 2 ; 71: 6; 82: ; 8 5; 8 ; 99:3 TING , 7;	11:20; 20:2; 6:20; 54:6; 10, 22; 18, 19; 7:23; 94:25; [12] 34:18;
100:: PUT 14:8 25:4 33:8 60:1 73:1 85:5 88:2 97:9 PUT 24:5 57:1	20 [20] , 18; ; 2 ; 1; 71: 6; 82: ; 8 5; 9 ; 99:3 <b>TING</b> , 7; 8; 69:	11:20; 20:2; 6:20; 54:6; 10, 22; 18, 19; 7:23; 94:25; [12] 34:18; 17, 20;
100:: PUT 14:8 25:4 33:8 60:1 73:1 85:5 88:2 97:9 PUT 24:5 70:1	20 [20] , 18; ; 2; ; 71: 6; 82: ; 85; 8 5; 99:3 TING , 7; 8; 69:	11:20; 20:2; 6:20; 54:6; 10, 22; 18, 19; 7:23; 94:25; [12] 34:18; 17, 20; 72:2;
100:: PUT 14:8 25:4 33:8 60:1 73:1 85:5 88:2 97:9 PUT 24:5 57:1 70:1: 74:1	20 [20] , 18; ; 2; ; 71: 6; 82: ; 85; § 99:3 FING , 7; 8; 69: 2; 9; 85:	11:20; 20:2; 6:20; 54:6; 10, 22; 18, 19; 7:23; 94:25; [12] 34:18; 17, 20; 72:2; 4, 9
100:: PUT 14:8 25:4 33:8 60:1 73:1 85:5 88:2 97:9 PUT 24:5 57:1 70:1 74:1 PYLE	20 [20] , 18; ; 2 ; 71: 6; 82: ; 85; § 99:3 <b>TING</b> , 7; 8; 69: 2; 9; 85:4	11:20; 20:2; 6:20; 54:6; 10, 22; 18, 19; 7:23; 94:25; [12] 34:18; 17, 20; 72:2;
100:: PUT 14:8 25:4 33:8 60:1 73:1 85:5 88:2 97:9 PUT 24:5 57:1 70:1: 74:1 PYLE 67:2	20 [20] , 18; ; 2 ; 71: 6; 82: ; 85; § 99:3 TING , 7; 8; 69: 2; 9; 85: E [4] 0, 22	11:20; 20:2; 6:20; 54:6; 10, 22; 18, 19; 7:23; 94:25; [12] 34:18; 17, 20; 72:2; 4, 9 3:14;
100:: PUT 14:8 25:4 33:8 60:1 73:1 85:5 88:2 97:9 PUT 24:5 57:1 70:1: 74:1 PYLE 67:2	20 [20] , 18; ; 2 ; 71: 6; 82: ; 85; § 99:3 <b>TING</b> , 7; 8; 69: 2; 9; 85:4	11:20; 20:2; 6:20; 54:6; 10, 22; 18, 19; 7:23; 94:25; [12] 34:18; 17, 20; 72:2; 4, 9 3:14;
100:: PUT 14:8 25:4 33:8 60:1 73:1 85:5 88:2 97:9 PUT 24:5 57:1 70:1: 74:1 PYLE 67:2	20 [20] , 18; ; 2 ; 71: 6; 82: ; 85; § 99:3 TING , 7; 8; 69: 2; 9; 85: E [4] 0, 22	11:20; 20:2; 6:20; 54:6; 10, 22; 18, 19; 7:23; 94:25; [12] 34:18; 17, 20; 72:2; 4, 9 3:14;

## - Q -

QUALITY	[1]
103:14	
QUARTER	[1]
89:15	
QUERIES	[1]
47:19	
QUERY [1]	48:25

QUESTION [4] 17:24; 51:19: 56:7; 71:9 QUESTION'S [1] 56:4 **QUESTIONABLE** [1] 18:14 QUESTIONS [4] 47:21; 56:15: 71:13; 79:7 QUICK [1] 60:14 QUICKLY [1] 91:18 QUIRION [4] 3:17; 72:20, 22 **QUITE** [4] 24:13; 51:24: 79:5: 82:23 **QUOTAS** [1] 42:8

### - R -

**R** [1] 104:1 RACIAL [2] 85:11, 13 **RADIO** [1] 99:25 **RAISE** [1] 85:1 **RAISED** [1] 71:8 RANDOM [3] 81:9; 96:6, 8 RANGE [4] 39:16; 61:3; 96:11, 25 **RAPE** [1] 62:16 **RATE** [1] 10:22 **RATES** [3] 10:25; 40:4; 52:23 RATHER [2] 10:6; 61:13 **RE**[1] 85:25 RE-ENTRY [1] 72:23 **REACH** [3] 14:2; 52:9 READ [4] 20:7; 80:23; 82:2; 101:24 READILY [4] 11:8; 12:6; 37:5; 38:10

**REAGAN** [1] 86:2 **REAL** [4] 11:18; 56:4; 60:1, 4 REALISTIC [1] 60:1 REALITY [1] 53:13 REALLY [36] 9:16: 11:24; 12:7, 9; 20:21; 27:1; 28:12; 31:19; 32:24; 33:11; 34:7, 10; 35:19; 55:8; 56:8, 11; 73:4, 16; 74:1, 11; 76:14; 77:11, 16; 79:12, 13; 81:4; 83:4, 10, 15; 86:1, 2; 88:19; 89:9; 91:7; 95:25 REASON [10] 8:8; 12:3; 31:16; 71:4; 79:3, 4; 84:22: 88:9: 92:6, 7 REASONABLE [5] 19:16; 51:25; 53:14: 57:4; 72:13 REASONS [10] 25:20; 29:22; 33:14; 36:17, 19; 64:7; 67:11, 12; 84:6 RECEIVE [4] 7:22: 38:17: 91:16; 102:8 RECEIVED [3] 47:20; 90:24; 101:21 RECEIVING [1] 12:18 RECENT [2] 16:25; 29:20 RECENTLY [5] 23:7; 69:5; 74:2; 95:13; 100:18

RECHECK [1]	25:17; 26:7, 15,	REENTRY [1]	REINSTATED [1]
50:11	18, 24; 27:5, 11,	37:15	29:22
RECOGNIZE [6]	15, 20, 24; 28:1,		REINSTATING [1]
22:11; 23:1;	14, 18, 19, 21;		30:9
30:7; 54:11;			REINVENT [1]
73:3; 80:13	37:16; 38:3, 9,		75:21
RECOGNIZED [4]	25; 39:11, 19,		REITERATE [1]
29:24; 34:9, 23;	25; 42:16, 18;	REFORM [22]	54:22
59:3	43:3; 44:23;	2:30; 32:23;	RELATED [2]
RECOMMEND [3]	45:1, 7; 46:25;	33:5; 36:4, 5, 14,	29:14; 104:10
28:9; 49:20;	47:16; 50:5;	21; 38:5, 6, 16,	RELATING [1]
94:20	53:23, 25; 54:18,	23; 39:4; 75:17;	101:22
RECOMMENDATI	21; 56:20; 58:9,	84:4, 5, 16, 21,	RELATIONS [7]
ONS [1] 96:21	22, 24; 59:1, 7,	23; 85:3, 10;	63:17, 21; 64:14,
RECONSIDERATI	11, 23; 60:6, 7;	93:17	15; 65:16, 19;
<b>ON</b> [1] 88:15	61:2; 65:15, 22;	<b>REFUSE</b> [1] 21:9	66:21
RECONSTRUCTE	66:25; 67:6;	REFUSED [1]	RELATIONSHIP
<b>D</b> [3] 17:23, 24;	68:19, 23; 69:10,	49:11	[2] 55:25; 94:1
18:1	25; 70:5; 72:4;	<b>REGARD</b> [1] 10:3	RELATIONSHIPS
RECORD [42]	74:2, 19, 24;	REGARDING [5]	[1] 44:8
14:23; 17:15, 19,	75:19, 22, 23;	30:23; 41:18;	RELATIVE [2]
21; 20:2; 26:10,	76:17, 22, 25;	47:19; 63:3;	7:5; 81:20
14, 16, 19, 20;	77:10; 79:12;	90:9	RELEASED [3]
27:7, 9; 28:4, 5;	80:11; 82:17;	REGARDLESS [3]	10:12; 18:2;
36:5; 37:14;	83:2, 8; 84:13,	14:11; 54:20;	74:12
38:15, 16, 20;		81:20	RELIABLE [1]
43:4, 5; 54:3, 14;	22; 93:22; 97:1;	REGARDS [1]	19:21
55:6; 56:13;	98:7, 9, 18; 99:6,		RELIANCE [1]
57:14; 67:7;	8, 10, 13; 100:4,	REGISTER [1]	84:5
71:15; 73:7;	8, 11, 13, 22;	100:3	<b>RELY</b> [2] 58:21;
74:3, 4, 15, 16;	101:8, 15, 23;	REGISTERED [5]	71:14
83:5; 90:6, 19,	102:2, 12;	6:5; 8:11; 49:25;	REMAIN [2]
22; 91:7; 92:7;	103:10	51:6; 101:18	48:15; 76:7
96:24	RECOVERIES [1]	REGISTERS [3]	
RECORD'S [1]	40:10	39:23; 41:24;	49:21
74:17	<b>RED</b> [1] 91:15	68:23	REMARKABLE [1]
RECORDED [5]	REDACT [2]	REGISTRATION	11:17
6:22; 8:12;	71:11, 16	[3] 49:24; 51:5;	REMARKS [14]
19:24; 90:17, 25	REDACTED [2]	63:3	6:10, 14, 19, 20;
RECORDERS [2]	77:9; 81:7	REGISTRY [3]	7:24, 25; 8:12,
45:5; 101:12	REDUCE [2]	27:23; 28:4	24; 20:2, 10;
RECORDING [5]	48:13; 100:11	REGULAR [1]	72:18; 101:20
1:21; 6:1, 2;	REDUCED [1]	14:4	REMEDIES [1]
102:20; 103:12	45:23	REGULARLY [1]	64:25
RECORDS [116]	REDUCES [1]	26:19	REMEDY [3]
1:8; 4:4; 7:5;	30:8	REGULATIONS	74:20; 82:24;
8:7; 9:3; 12:1;	REDUCING [3]	[1] 19:23	92:14
13:15; 14:4, 7;	40:7; 52:1; 97:4		REMEMBER [3]
15:23; 16:13, 17,	REENTER [3]	[1] 37:15	22:3; 85:6;
20; 17:1; 19:16;	37:9, 23; 38:4		89:21
-,,,			- <del></del> -

DEMOTE 101			
REMOTE [3]	99:22; 101:14;	RESEARCHERS	63:4; 64:13;
20:22; 61:11, 12	104:16	[2] 59:18; 60:16	65:18; 81:21, 24
REMOTELY [3]	REPORTS [5]	RESERVATION [1]	RESTRICT [1]
8:8; 13:11; 67:7	12:5; 34:13, 16;	41:17	71:17
REMOVE [5]	44:4; 96:4	RESIDENT [1]	RESTRICTED [2]
40:23; 48:10, 16;	REPRESENT [4]	19:3	22:5; 56:22
59:17	62:10; 73:21;	RESIDENTS [3]	RESTRICTING [2]
RENAISSANCE	74:13; 77:20	15:23; 94:6;	38:3; 71:6
[1] 82:9	REPRESENTATIO	101:1	RESTRICTION [2]
RENDERED [2]	N [2] 60:5;	RESOLVED [2]	68:7; 69:3
	90:12		RESTRICTIONS
18:15; 92:12		23:11; 55:8	
<b>RENT</b> [5] 21:9;	REPRESENTATIV	RESOURCES [7]	[2] 53:15; 77:24
54:25; 55:13, 18;	<b>E</b> [1] 93:15	22:14; 24:25;	RESTRICTIVE [1]
66:5	REPRESENTATIV	25:3; 29:14;	48:20
<b>REPAIR</b> [1] 21:16	<b>ES</b> [1] 4:13	54:14; 61:24;	<b>RESTS</b> [2] 47:17;
REPAIRS [2]	REPRESENTED	82:8	71:9
55:19, 24	[2] 29:18; 73:13	RESPECT [2]	RESULT [5]
REPEATEDLY [1]	REPRESENTING	26:11; 47:13	31:23; 40:21;
57:23	[4] 25:11, 12;	RESPECTED [1]	52:23; 70:12;
REPLACE [1]	31:5; 60:4	29:23	82:2
91:6	REPRESENTS [1]	RESPECTFULLY	RESULTED [1]
REPLACED [1]	77:16	[8] 36:15; 38:25;	93:14
38:21	REPUTATIONS [1]	67:13; 70:20;	RESULTING [1]
REPLETE [1]	44:8	71:5, 13; 81:11;	36:14
	REQUEST [7]		
81:17		83:9	
REPLICATE [1]	28:25; 38:8;	RESPECTIVE [3]	82:3
47:4	40:22; 43:6;	42:8, 22, 23	RETAINED [1]
<b>REPLY</b> [1] 91:19	52:17; 67:13;	RESPOND [1]	38:21
REPORT [8]	82:12	73:1	RETRIBUTION [1]
10:11; 35:2;	REQUESTED [1]	RESPONSE [4]	64:7
41:5; 57:15, 17;	16:20	47:20, 22; 53:6;	RETRIEVAL [2]
88:10; 95:11, 13	REQUESTING [2]	96:11	30:14; 39:12
REPORTEDLY [1]	27:5; 52:15	RESPONSES [1]	RETRIEVE [2]
45:19	REQUESTORS [2]	96:25	30:10; 40:22
REPORTER [12]	38:8, 12	RESPONSIBILITI	RETURN [2]
2:38, 41; 3:44;	REQUESTS [1]	<b>ES</b> [1] 11:6	51:14, 17
10:18; 25:21, 25;	96:24	RESPONSIBILITY	RETURNING [2]
35:16, 17; 44:20;	REQUIRE [1]	[2] 55:4; 77:8	13:14; 15:4
47:11; 99:24;	52:17	RESPONSIBLE [1]	RETURNS [1]
100:1	REQUIRED [1]	30:19	13:14
REPORTER'S [1]	10:13	REST [3] 13:5;	<b>REVEAL</b> [5] 63:5,
25:21	REQUIRES [1]	14:23; 100:15	7, 11, 22; 64:25
REPORTERS [9]	49:13	RESTAURANT [2]	REVEALED [1]
9:23; 14:1;	REQUIRING [3]	88:18, 21	41:20
25:14, 19; 27:1,	50:25; 59:18;	RESTORE [1]	REVEALING [1]
14; 52:7; 100:4;	64:23	68:5	63:13
101:12	RESEARCH [3]	RESTORING [1]	REVIEW [15]
REPORTING [7]	3:8; 58:15;	43:12	6:24; 10:13;
1:21; 3:46;	60:13	RESTRAINING [7]	34:15, 22; 38:17;
39:24; 64:9;		41:19; 56:22;	40:24; 41:2;

42.24. 52.44 40.
43:24; 52:11, 19;
68:22; 75:20;
68:22; 75:20; 92:14; 94:17;
101:24
REVIEWED [1]
83:13
REVIEWING [1]
18:5
REVOLUTIONARY
[2] 25:19; 26:4
REVOLVING [1]
93:12
RHODE [2]
42:11, 13
RICHTHOFEN [3]
20:1, 5, 8
RID [1] 74:20
<b>RIGHT</b> [15] 5:14;
21:16; 27:10, 11;
34:21; 37:1, 8;
48.7 20. 70.17.
72.5.
73.5, 79.25,
48:7, 20; 70:17; 73:5; 79:25; 81:5; 86:12
<b>RIGHTS</b> [8] 19:2;
21.24. 31.22.
21:24; 31:22; 53:21; 62:14; 69:8; 76:24;
53.21, 62.14,
92:13
<b>RISING</b> [1] 97:20
PICK [441 2:22:
<b>RISK</b> [14] 2:32; 39:10, 18, 25; 42:9, 15; 44:11,
39:10, 18, 25;
42:9, 15; 44:11,
13; 55:2, 20, 25;
57:15; 79:18;
82:20
<b>RISKS</b> [2] 40:6;
82:16
<b>RIVER</b> [2] 78:21;
95:5
ROADBLOCKS [2]
10:21; 28:22
<b>ROANNE</b> [1] 4:23
ROBERT [3]
2:21; 29:3, 6
ROGERS [1]
66:14
<b>ROLES</b> [1] 94:3
<b>ROLL</b> [1] 20:24

ROLLOUT [4] 20:14: 29:20: 40:12; 41:14 **ROLLS** [1] 68:22 **ROOM** [2] 41:2; 79:25 **ROSE** [1] 51:15 **ROUND** [1] 54:15 ROUTINE [2] 19:18; 74:11 ROUTINELY [3] 16:17; 70:22; 74:25 **ROW** [1] 5:8 ROXBURY [2] 75:3, 10 **RULE** [3] 52:17: 53:9; 77:12 **RULED** [1] 98:8 **RULES** [18] 7:16, 20; 8:1, 3, 4; 19:23; 30:3; 61:1; 69:12; 70:11, 15; 83:9, 13; 102:1, 4, 9, 11 RULINGS [1] 50:12 **RUN** [5] 10:21: 26:5, 23; 33:5: 98:6 RUNNING [2] 17:15; 98:21

- S -

**S**[1] 103:9 SACCHETTI [1] 10:10 **SADLY** [1] 45:5 SAFEGUARDS [3] 24:8: 31:25; 35:20 **SAFETY** [3] 61:6; 64:11, 17 **SAID** [27] 7:15; 8:5, 10; 10:18; 37:7; 54:21; 56:1; 57:14; 69:15; 72:3, 4, 87:15, 17; 88:18, 19; 89:24; 91:4; 96:12; 98:2, 13, 22; 99:3 **SALE** [1] 74:9 SALISBURY [5] 87:4, 13; 88:13, 22: 91:14 SALISBURY'S [1] 90:11 **SAME** [22] 11:24; 12:1; 13:11; 14:19; 15:10; 17:2; 26:15, 21; 27:15, 16, 22; 28:2: 30:3: 31:17; 34:7; 42:4, 7; 49:7, 23; 63:20: 72:1; 98:6 SAMPLE [3] 23:2; 96:6, 8 SANNICANDRO [1] 93:15 **SARAH** [1] 5:9 SATISFY [1] 71:15 **SAVE** [1] 86:13 SAVING [2] 60:18; 96:23 **SAY** [24] 8:12: 9:10, 15; 17:17; 20:4; 21:3; 23:14, 21; 26:16, 19; 55:21, 23; 56:8, 10, 19; 57:10: 61:16: 70:15, 22; 78:12; 82:22; 86:2; 89:7; 100:25 **SAYING** [3] 88:9; 92:9; 97:22 **SAYS** [4] 55:20; 56:13, 14; 63:2 **SCALE** [2] 23:3; 74:21 SCAMMERS [1] 66:20

11;

85:20:

**SCAN** [7] 10:6; 27:19, 20, 24, 25; 52:10 SCANDAL [4] 16:25; 9:12; 78:7, 8 SCANNED [3] 41:25; 42:12, 23 SCANNER [6] 52:10, 13, 16; 53:2, 5, 8 SCANNERS [1] 53:13 **SCARY** [1] 89:5 SCHEDULED [1] 56:16 SCHEDULING [2] 57:4, 8 SCHLEPPING [1] 75:9 SCHOLARS [2] 59:22; 60:7 SCHOOL [8] 2:15; 3:9; 13:24; 20:17; 58:16: 59:22: 95:11: 97:21 SCHOOLS [4] 29:11: 58:18: 59:24: 81:10 SCIENTIST [1] 51:11 SCIENTISTS [1] 77:21 SCORES [1] 16:16 SCOTT [3] 2:4; 8:21: 9:4 SCREEN [2] 26:18; 28:5 SCREENING [8] 21:1, 4, 6; 22:8; 24:3; 26:18; 64:24; 81:9 SCRUTINY [4] 12:4; 33:12; 49:12; 100:6 **SE** [9] 71:24: 73:8, 9; 86:22;

00.10. 01.0 12.	71.75. 77.7.	CEDADATE [2]	SEVEDELY [4]
89:19; 91:9, 12; 92:1	71:25; 77:7; 86:11; 90:14;		<b>SEVERELY</b> [1] 44:7
	96:8; 99:15	SERIES [1] 10:22	<b>SEWER</b> [1] 87:14
<b>SEAL</b> [3] 35:4; 74:2, 16	<b>SEEING</b> [6] 93:6,	SERIOUS [6]	SEX [1] 37:18
	12; 94:5; 95:4;	19:20; 79:19;	SEXUAL [6]
<b>SEALED</b> [1] 74:17	96:2, 8	80:16; 81:14, 16;	62:11, 15, 21;
	SEEK [2] 81:1;	82:24	64:12; 65:20;
<b>SEALING</b> [2] 74:3, 25	92:14	SERIOUSLY [1]	66:8
SEARCH [5]	SEEKING [5]	= =	SEXUALLY [2]
11:13, 22; 51:16;	25:14; 64:10;	<b>SERVE</b> [2] 40:2;	64:5; 81:3
52:9; 68:22	72:14; 87:2;	60:25	SHALL [2] 63:2;
SEARCHES [2]	90:1	SERVED [1]	68:22
50:16; 80:10	<b>SEEM</b> [2] 16:15;	12:12	<b>SHAME</b> [2] 64:7;
SEARCHING [2]	31:8	SERVES [1]	81:18
10:19; 81:10	<b>SEEMS</b> [3] 16:2;	69:18	<b>SHARE</b> [2] 9:6;
SEASON [2]	76:1, 2	<b>SERVICE</b> [8] 2:9;	35:6
33:1, 4	<b>SEEN</b> [2] 79:11;	12:24; 13:22, 23;	<b>SHE</b> [12] 10:14,
SECOND [11]	86:21	30:13; 62:18, 19	15, 18; 21:19;
22:7; 24:18;	<b>SEES</b> [2] 32:21;	SERVICES [22]	22:18, 20; 23:8;
36:21; 48:18;	74:13	3:6, 12, 18; 4:14;	78:11; 87:12;
62:18; 69:5;	<b>SEGAL</b> [3] 3:20;	23:6; 29:14;	99:21, 23
71:19; 77:2;	76:8, 9	36:12; 38:7;	<b>SHE'S</b> [8] 21:17,
84:8, 23, 24	SEGMENT [1]	39:12; 40:7;	20; 23:7, 22;
SECOND-YEAR	29:19	53:20; 58:15;	67:20; 86:12;
[1] 97:20	<b>SEIZE</b> [1] 59:23	62:10, 15; 68:3;	88:6
SECONDARY [1]	<b>SELF</b> [1] 30:13	72:23, 24; 73:20,	<b>SHEET</b> [1] 91:7
16:15	SELF-REPRESEN	22; 76:4; 103:4;	SHEETS [18]
SECONDLY [1]	<b>TED</b> [1] 59:17	104:4	33:7; 36:16, 24;
64:1	<b>SELL</b> [1] 67:5	SERVING [2]	38:1, 12, 22;
SECONDS [1]	SENATOR [4]		39:1, 2; 59:15;
75:13	93:16; 94:22, 23;	• •	60:17; 68:24;
SECRECY [1]	98:24	76:13	69:6; 71:3, 4, 6;
47:17	<b>SEND</b> [3] 7:20;		
<b>SECRET</b> [1] 16:6	85:8; 102:7	20; 8:1; 46:20;	SHELTER [3]
SECTION [2]		76:10; 98:18;	
63:1; 84:1	16:13; 65:10		
SECTOR [1]		<b>SETS</b> [1] 19:5	
39:17	5:22; 53:20;		SHIFT [1] 43:2
SECURITY [6]	99:23	58:20	SHINE [1] 99:3
17:10, 11, 12, 18,	·		SHORT [2] 19:13;
19, 21	52:19; 56:7;		29:7
<b>SEE</b> [28] 11:18;	98:13	7:10, 13; 18:13;	= =
14:6, 14, 15;			SHOULD [70]
15:15; 18:25;			
19:11; 21:7, 22;			
23:13; 24:10; 26:12, 14, 15;		25:13; 36:17; 37:2; 49:3;	16:23, 24; 25:19, 24, 25; 26:5, 11,
	80:24 <b>SENT</b> [5] 57:15:		15; 27:7, 9;
32:20; 45:15;	<b>SENT</b> [5] 57:15; 68:4; 85:6, 7;		28:5; 29:22;
62:1; 68:14;		16:12	31:16, 25; 35:19;
02.1, 00.14,	102.3	10.12	51.10, 23, 35.19,

39:2; 41:20;	SIMILARLY [1]	SMALLER [1]	SOMEHOW [1]
43:2; 48:15, 21;	73:8	25:13	31:14
49:25; 51:13;	<b>SIMPLE</b> [3] 7:4;	<b>SMITH</b> [2] 83:18;	SOMEONE [7]
52:3; 53:13;	24:20, 22	86:5	24:13; 28:16;
56:8, 19, 21, 23;			34:5; 57:2, 7;
	19:1; 33:1;		75:4; 94:14
11, 12; 63:8;		SNITCHES [1]	SOMERVILLE [1]
64:16; 65:4;	47:4; 49:11;	34:20	20:19
69:16; 70:20, 23;	84:22	<b>SOCIAL</b> [6] 3:37;	SOMETHING [15]
71:20, 22; 72:1,	SIMULTANEOUS	77:21; 80:4;	20:21; 21:22;
5, 9, 11, 13, 14;	[1] 103:19	92:21; 93:5	22:24; 24:21;
76:17, 21, 25;	<b>SINCE</b> [10] 16:7;	SOCIETY [2]	27:9; 48:8;
77:2, 7; 82:13;	19:11; 20:24;	37:9; 38:4	49:14; 50:21;
86:8; 90:18;	25:11, 13; 40:19;	SOFTWARE [1]	54:2, 3, 5; 55:7;
92:6; 94:24;	44:22; 48:2;	41:21	57:13; 77:9;
100:12, 14	52:19; 87:1	SOLUTION [2]	89:11
SHOULDN'T [5]	<b>SINGLE</b> [2] 7:8;	71:1; 73:2	SOMETHING'S [1]
27:25; 28:1, 6;	23:10	SOLUTIONS [6]	56:16
34:6; 82:13	<b>SIR</b> [6] 31:3;	2:33; 39:10, 15;	SOMETIMES [6]
<b>SHOW</b> [5] 17:15;	35:22; 39:7;	42:4, 9, 15	9:18; 23:13;
27:13; 54:8;	47:8; 53:17;	SOLVED [1]	52:16; 55:18;
81:3; 96:4		86:11	79:10
<b>SHOWED</b> [1] 23:9	SISTER [7]	SOLVES [1]	SOMEWHERE [1]
<b>SHOWS</b> [1] 21:23	85:20; 86:12;	49:18	88:25
<b>SHUT</b> [1] 78:5	87:1, 11; 88:6,	<b>SOME</b> [46] 7:12;	<b>SON</b> [1] 80:21
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	19; 90:10	9:6, 25; 10:23;	<b>SOON</b> [3] 7:19;
18:8; 50:3; 87:3;	SISTERS [1]	13:19; 15:7, 25;	49:21; 102:17
91:24	87:24	24:7, 12; 25:3;	SORRY [8]
SIDEBAR [5]	SITTING [3]	28:16, 17; 31:14,	12:23; 13:3;
90:7, 8, 18, 21;	10:17; 15:13;	23; 32:3; 33:24;	
103:18	101:9	34:11, 23; 42:24;	62:7; 83:19;
<b>SIGN</b> [2] 50:24;	SITUATION [1]	44:5; 50:5;	97:1
54:24	58:1	51:12; 52:16;	
<b>SIGNAL</b> [1] 76:6	SITUATIONS [2]		
SIGNED [1]	64:5; 77:5	56:21; 57:2;	
18:14	<b>SIX</b> [4] 55:24;		
SIGNIFICANCE	62:22, 23; 76:6		
[1] 51:19	<b>SIXTH</b> [1] 31:10	80:14, 16; 81:13,	
SIGNIFICANT [9]		16; 82:15; 90:2;	
18:9; 23:2;	16:7, 10, 17, 23;		
37:12; 38:24;			
59:14; 80:24;		18; 102:13, 15	
82:16; 84:2;	• •		
94:8	37:3	15:24; 21:7, 8;	
SIGNIFIER [1]	SKILL [1] 104:5	23:19; 33:23;	
24:12			<b>SPEAK</b> [10] 8:11;
SIMILAR [5]	7:7; 39:13, 21;		9:2, 5; 20:6;
10:21; 11:11;			43:17; 53:19;
	43:7; 83:19, 21,	89:8	76:12; 79:7, 12;
49:20	24; 86:5; 99:9		84:19

SPEAKER [6]	SQUARELY [1]	45:3, 6; 47:1, 4;	93:10; 94:13;
6:6, 11, 12; 8:21;	71:11	60:23; 63:2;	99:6
15:13, 15	SQUILLANTE [3]	73:21; 74:19;	STOICISM [1]
SPEAKERS [9]	78:17, 18, 19	77:17, 25; 78:2,	19:8
2:1; 3:1; 6:5, 9;	SQUILLIANTE [1]	8, 9; 87:10, 12;	STONEWALLING
8:14; 26:11;	3:23	92:25; 93:4, 7,	[1] 16:23
69:12, 15;	SRAGOW [2]	24; 94:7, 19, 22;	STOPPED [2]
101:18	4:23	96:9, 18; 100:8	48:23; 55:13
SPEAKING [5]	STABILITY [1]	STATE'S [5]	STORAGE [1]
31:5; 70:5;	66:5	37:8; 38:2, 3;	40:23
99:20, 21;	STACKS [1]	45:1, 9	STORED [1]
103:20	40:23	STATED [1]	19:24
<b>SPEAKS</b> [1] 9:21	<b>STAFF</b> [7] 4:16;		STORIES [4]
SPECIAL [1]	36:3; 40:23;	STATEMENT [5]	49:4; 80:10;
12:24	41:12; 45:24;	29:12; 43:3;	100:5, 17
SPECIFIC [2]	59:20; 60:19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>STORY</b> [2] 52:24;
22:10; 59:1	STAFFING [1]	STATEMENTS [3]	100:19
SPECIFICALLY	82:14	44:4; 59:3;	STRAIGHTFORWA
[2] 92:23; 93:21	STAKES [1]	64:19	<b>RD</b> [2] 7:4;
SPECTRUM [1]	51:20	STATES [9]	47:21
28:10	STALKERS [1]	10:12, 14; 42:10;	<b>STRAIN</b> [1] 82:7
SPEECH [3]	65:5	60:20, 23; 88:4;	STRAINED [2]
31:18; 103:19	STAMP [2]	93:17; 97:25;	54:13
<b>SPEED</b> [1] 92:17		101:6	STRATEGIC [1]
<b>SPEND</b> [2] 75:14;	[.]	STATISTIC [1]	30:12
101:4	STANDARD [2]	22:24	STRESS [2]
<b>SPENT</b> [4] 11:19;	19:5; 71:16	STATISTICAL [2]	18:18
87:12; 92:10;	STANDARDIZATI	12:5; 23:2	<b>STRICT</b> [1] 52:12
101:10	<b>ON</b> [4] 93:2;	STATISTICALLY	STRICTLY [1]
SPOILED [1]	94:20; 96:15;	[1] 23:1	70:5
52:7	98:14	STATUS [1]	STRIDES [1]
<b>SPOKE</b> [3] 85:20;	STANDARDS [1]	50:11	32:23
87:22; 90:17	30:1	STATUTE [3]	<b>STRIKE</b> [1] 34:24
SPOKEN [3]	STANDING [1]	83:2; 92:7; 98:9	STRIKING [1]
69:1; 91:1, 6	27:12	<b>STAY</b> [1] 55:17	37:11
SPOKESPERSON	STANDS [1]	STEADILY [1]	STRINGENT [2]
[1] 9:15	99:12	7:6	31:25; 83:12
SPOTLIGHT [4]	<b>STANTON</b> [2] 5:6	STEERING [1]	STRONGLY [1]
2:5; 8:22; 9:4;	<b>STAR</b> [1] 35:14	92:22	51:9
10:22	<b>START</b> [3] 4:21;	<b>STEP</b> [7] 5:8;	<b>STRUCK</b> [1] 37:7
SPOUSE [2]	5:14; 74:19	13:6; 15:5, 8;	<b>STUCK</b> [2] 50:9;
80:8; 81:4	STARTED [4]	48:5; 58:8;	55:8
<b>SPREAD</b> [1] 51:2	9:23; 60:15;	62:18	STUDENT [4]
SPRING [1]	80:6; 100:11	<b>STEPS</b> [2] 48:8;	3:41; 22:16;
22:15	<b>STATE</b> [38]	68:5	97:20
SPYWARE [1]	11:17; 13:5;		STUDENTS [4]
65:11		<b>STIGMA</b> [1] 64:7	58:21; 59:23;
SQUARE [2]	29:11; 30:15;		60:2, 3
1:11; 54:15	39:23; 40:9;	15:7; 26:6;	<b>STUDIES</b> [1] 60:8
	41:5, 23; 42:17;	65:23; 78:24;	

CTUDY 141 44 0
<b>STUDY</b> [4] 11:2;
12:9; 58:22;
60:7
<b>STUFF</b> [1] 69:20
STYMIED [1]
77:24
SUBCOMMITTEE
[1] 83:17
<b>SUBJECT</b> [3] 11:3; 53:14;
11:3; 53:14;
66:18
SUBMISSION [2]
36:18; 37:2
<b>SUBMIT</b> [2] 6:20;
91:19
SUBMITTED [2]
18:5; 101:20
SUBMITTING [1]
21:2
SUBSCRIBERS
[1] 13:23
SUBSCRIPTION
[1] 42:25
SUBSEQUENT [1]
17:7
SUBSET [1] 58:9
SUBSIDIZED [3]
23:15, 22; 24:1
SUBSTANCE [4]
64:21; 66:16;
94:10; 97:6
SUBSTANTIAL [2]
48:4, 21
SUBSTANTIVE [1]
47:20
SUBSTITUTE [1]
50:14
SUCCESSFUL [1]
95:3
SUCCESSFULLY
[1] 57:20
<b>SUCH</b> [20] 17:12;
19:10; 20:14; 33:17; 40:10;
33:17; 40:10;
41:20, 22; 45:12;
47:13; 63:4, 24; 64:7; 69:8; 70:7; 71:11, 18; 84:7;
64:7; 69:8; 70:7:
71:11. 18: 84:7
02:4 24: 100:14
92:4, 24; 100:14

SUDDENLY [2]
21:18; 32:21
<b>SUE</b> [1] 38:16
<b>SUFFICE</b> [1] 57:7
SUFFOLK [4]
1:11; 47:14; 52:14; 53:9
02.14, 00.9
SUGGEST [18]
48:12; 57:1; 70:20; 71:5, 14,
10.20, 71.5, 14,
19; 72:18; 76:3; 80:15, 25; 81:11;
82:21, 25; 83:4, 9, 11, 12, 14
SUGGESTED [4]
27:4; 28:15;
57:6; 77:12
<b>SULLIVAN</b> [4] 5:4, 17
SUMMARY [6]
22:16, 25; 23:12,
16; 54:22, 25
<b>SUMMONS</b> [1]
23:16
SUNSHINE [4]
98:2, 3, 20; 99:2
CHDEDIOD [47]
1:11; 4:3; 5:17; 13:3; 25:24; 45:2; 47:14;
13.3. 25.24.
15.5, 25.24, 15.2. 17.11.
50:7; 51:22, 24;
50.7, 51.22, 24, 52.2 13 11.
52:2, 13, 14; 68:5, 11; 100:11,
16
SUPERVISED [2]
30:2; 81:15
SUPPORT [5]
61:13; 81:2, 6,
22; 87:11
SUPPORTED [1]
42:3
SUPPORTS [2]
42:5; 59:7
<b>SUPREME</b> [13] 4:13, 15; 5:21;
8:2; 69:24; 72:3,
7. 87.21. 88.4.
98.1 7. 99.11.
7; 87:21; 88:4; 98:1, 7; 99:11; 102:10

```
SURELY
          [1]
51:17
SURPRISE
            [1]
16:6
SURPRISED [1]
100:7
SURVEILLANCE
[1] 65:8
SURVIVOR [1]
65:25
SURVIVORS [2]
64:1, 12
SWAP [2] 61:25;
62:1
SWITCH
          [1]
68:16
SWORN [1] 64:19
SYMPTOMS [1]
16:15
SYSTEM [105]
7:9;
      9:8, 23;
11:7,
       9, 11;
12:10;
          17:3;
19:4, 19; 22:12,
19; 25:4, 17, 22,
24;
      26:2, 6;
29:16; 30:23, 25;
31:1; 33:15, 23;
35:20; 36:6, 22;
37:12, 23; 38:8,
13, 18,
           24:
40:14;
          41:6;
42:11, 20; 43:4;
45:3; 46:2, 6, 7,
20; 47:12; 48:4,
15, 19, 20, 25;
49:12, 19, 23;
50:6, 9, 16, 20,
23; 51:1, 4, 14,
20; 52:6, 25;
53:11; 54:1, 2, 4,
9, 11, 13, 15;
58:1, 5, 23, 25;
```

**SURE** [13] 22:1;

44:17; 54:6, 19;

41:21;

79:25;

86:14;

97:8;

25:1;

76:10;

85:13;

89:1;

98:21

```
59:5, 8, 11, 15;
61:7;
         62:19:
69:23;
          74:3;
75:5, 7, 18, 21;
80:13;
         86:23;
87:1; 90:1; 91:2;
95:12;
         96:25;
97:24;
        98:5, 6,
15: 100:9
SYSTEMATIZE [1]
97:9
SYSTEMIC
           [6]
93:12; 94:13, 15,
18; 97:8; 100:6
SYSTEMICALLY
[1] 96:10
SYSTEMS
            [9]
         36:10;
11:7;
42:5;
         60:25;
61:1, 8, 12; 77:7;
78:1
```

- T -

**T** [2] 104:1 **TABLE** [2] 16:4; 52:20 TAILORED [2] 37:16; 59:12 **TAKE** [17] 7:23; 11:16; 12:22; 15:9; 27:12, 21; 61:2; 65:2; 68:5; 70:16; 71:17; 72:1; 74:7; 82:7; 84:12; 85:6, 8 **TAKEN** [3] 10:17; 13:12; 104:11 **TAKES** [1] 75:13 TAKING [4] 10:16; 20:14; 34:17; 44:22 **TALK** [6] 4:8; 17:21; 20:20; 55:10: 92:23: 93:21 **TALKED** [1] 57:18 **TALKING** [9] 14:22; 15:25;

23:3. 4: 32:4. 6:	22:7, 22, 23;	15:11, 12, 17, 19:	38:25; 41:25;
60:21; 71:3;			42:8, 22, 23;
82:24	55:22	25:5, 6; 28:24;	44:2, 3, 14; 49:5;
<b>TAPE</b> [2] 45:5;	TENANT'S [2]	29:1, 3, 5; 30:20;	52:21; 55:13, 17;
103:14	21:18, 24	31:2, 3; 35:21,	57:3, 7, 22;
TASK [1] 53:23	TENANTS [8]	22; 39:4, 5, 7;	58:22; 64:1, 12,
TASTE [1] 99:10	20:23; 21:1;		18; 65:6, 7, 17;
TAX [9] 39:13,	22:6, 10; 23:3;		70:13; 71:14;
23; 40:10;	24:18; 25:3;	47:6, 7; 53:17,	73:10, 11; 74:2,
41:23; 87:18;	65:22	18; 58:12, 13;	15; 81:17, 19;
91:11, 17;	TERM [1] 17:6	61:16, 22, 23;	82:1, 2; 84:24;
100:24	TERMINAL [1]	67:18, 19, 20;	85:1, 16, 17, 18;
TAXES [2] 40:9;	50:18	72:17, 19, 20, 21;	87:4; 94:9;
85:2	TERMINALS [7]	76:5, 9, 12;	95:14, 17; 98:25;
TEACH [1] 20:16			99:1; 100:19
TEACHERS [1]	42:13, 22; 43:8;	18; 86:5, 6, 18;	<b>THEM</b> [36] 7:21;
44:14	46:12	87:16; 89:21, 22,	11:25; 21:3, 5, 9;
<b>TEAM</b> [5] 2:6;	TERMS [6]	24; 91:3; 92:15,	23:25; 24:1, 2;
8:22; 9:5; 10:22;	14:19; 16:4;	16, 18; 97:12,	27:24; 28:21;
29:23	17:23; 73:17, 20;	17; 99:14, 15;	33:19, 20; 34:15,
TECHNICAL [2]	97:3	101:16, 17, 19;	16, 18; 35:3;
49:8, 15	TERRIBLE [2]	102:18	39:17; 40:24;
TECHNICALLY [2]	32:11; 33:18	THANKS [2]	42:23; 55:5, 12;
5:25; 23:20	TERRIFIC [1]	53:16; 97:11	56:3; 66:9, 19;
TECHNOLOGICAL	9:13	THAT'D [1] 36:1	71:18; 74:16;
<b>LY</b> [2] 37:4;	TERRIFY [2]	THAT'LL [1]	77:23; 82:19;
59:12	34:19; 65:8	73:18	86:3; 88:6; 91:5;
TECHNOLOGIES	TERRORIZE [1]	THAT'S [36]	95:2, 19; 100:12
[1] 65:8	84:9	6:13; 8:3; 12:18;	THEMSELVES [6]
TECHNOLOGY [7]	TESTIFIED [1]	13:6; 14:6; 15:3;	4:7, 20; 6:5;
39:15, 16; 43:2,	74:23	17:20; 20:21;	13:20; 14:11;
4; 65:6, 17; 67:3	TESTIFY [1]	21:8; 22:12, 23;	33:24
TELEGRAM [2]	30:21	23:20; 24:21;	<b>THEN</b> [30] 4:6, 7;
2:39; 44:21	TESTIMONY [6]	25:17; 26:24;	7:23; 13:6;
TELEPHONE [2]	21:2; 24:9;	27:5; 33:8;	14:23; 16:3;
45:23; 65:7	62:14, 23; 67:13;		
TELEVISION [1]	77:18	48:5; 55:15;	23:25; 24:1;
35:16	<b>TEXT</b> [4] 65:10;	56:2, 17; 62:8;	26:23; 28:22;
<b>TELL</b> [7] 4:5, 22;	71:22; 72:2;	70:2; 75:18, 19;	33:4, 19; 40:23;
13:21; 17:25;	100:13		44:22; 45:11;
34:14; 79:4;	<b>THAN</b> [15] 6:18;	78:14; 79:13;	53:4; 74:17;
101:12	10:6, 19; 18:8;	85:2, 9, 12; 89:3,	79:16; 80:8, 9;
TELLING [3]	23:17; 26:10, 12;	4	82:2; 83:9, 12;
11:24; 87:19;	28:13, 24; 45:19;	THEFT [6] 32:16;	88:23; 102:4, 5,
100:5	48:21; 61:14;	66:24, 25; 71:8;	9
TEMPORARY [1]	72:6; 93:11;	74:9; 81:25	<b>THERE</b> [85]
49:13	100:1	<b>THEIR</b> [55] 7:7;	11:10; 13:2;
<b>TEN</b> [1] 46:10	<b>THANK</b> [83] 5:24;	11:2; 19:11, 12;	17:15; 20:5;
TENANT [11]	8:19, 23; 9:1;		21:5; 22:22;
21:12, 13, 15;	12:14, 15, 16, 24;	33:3; 34:18, 22;	24:18; 26:11, 22,

23; 27:25; 28:6,	THESE [54] 8:3,	THEY'D [3]	38:14; 64:11;
	4; 12:13; 16:20;		
	18:7; 21:3;		THIRD-PARTY [1]
21; 33:1, 2, 6, 7,	24:20; 25:1; 26:14; 27:20;	THEY'LL [3]	100:23
8, 10, 14; 34:7,	26:14; 27:20;	23:16, 17; 84:13	THIS [150] 4:5,
16: 35:4. 19:	32:14; 34:3;	THEY'RE [27]	21: 5:25: 7:16.
	35:9; 40:16, 25;		
	41:10; 42:6, 20,		
	24; 44:13;		
•	53:22, 25; 55:9,		
	16, 24; 56:19;		
	58:3, 19; 59:16;		
24: 71:1 20:	60:7, 8, 25;	63:18: 72:7:	22.4 0 13 14.
	62:23; 63:24;		
	65:1, 3, 16;		
	66:15, 18; 67:12;		
	69:12; 71:13;		
80:16; 81:4, 21,	72:18; 74:5;	28:10	12, 14, 18, 23,
22; 82:12, 16,	76:2; 82:15;	THIN [1] 17:9	25; 32:2, 23;
	85:5; 89:9; 95:7;		
	96:3, 4; 100:13;		
	101:8		
	THESE'LL [1]		
99:2		80:6; 89:4; 90:2;	
	<b>THEY</b> [82] 8:1;		
50:12	9:14, 24; 14:23;		48:2, 8; 49:11,
	17:19; 21:9;		
102:6	23:17, 18, 19;	10:17; 21:3;	18; 54:12, 20;
THERE'S [36]	24:14; 25:22, 23;	25:23; 31:20;	57:10; 58:5, 7;
9:19; 20:22;	28:14; 30:4;	33:17; 54:20;	59:19; 61:17, 21;
	32:19, 20; 33:10,		
31:20; 32:1, 14,	24; 34:14, 15,	78:1; 81:12;	67:10; 68:4, 20;
15; 33:1, 6, 16;	17, 19, 22; 39:3;	83:4; 85:4, 5, 6,	70:20; 72:9;
36:17; 41:1;	49:21; 51:14;	9; 92:17; 95:7;	76:11, 13, 16, 25;
46:11; 49:8;	55:1, 4, 19, 21;		77:3, 11, 16, 17;
50:25; 51:19;	56:1, 12; 57:8;	THINK [23] 7:11;	78:6, 12; 79:8,
56:12, 15; 61:19;	64:2, 3; 65:21;	12:12; 18:3;	15, 25; 80:19;
67:9; 68:15;	66:10; 67:4;	22:13, 23; 27:2;	81:18; 82:6, 10,
73:9, 11; 74:4, 6;	68:1; 69:7, 16;	32:9; 33:11;	21, 25; 83:4;
		34:10, 23; 35:4;	
85:11; 89:4;	72:12, 13; 73:12;		
		10; 56:18; 58:3;	
98:4		83:6, 19; 86:1;	
THEREBY [2]	85:1, 2; 87:5, 6,		13, 14, 16, 18,
38:13; 66:9		THINKING [4]	24; 91:2, 13, 17,
THEREFORE [1]	22, 23; 88:1, 2;		24; 92:10, 13;
63:21		82:25; 101:9	93:4, 9, 14, 20,
THEREOF [1]		THIRD [7] 23:13;	
19:6	19; 99:1	24:24; 36:22;	
	•	,,	, -, -,

	32:3; 37:23;		
103:6; 104:11			
<b>THOSE</b> [46] 6:19,	46:6, 10, 14;	103:9	TRADITION [2]
24; 7:23, 24;	54:8; 55:3;	TODAY'S [4] 4:8;	13:14, 15
8:6; 15:9; 16:4;	60:22; 65:13;	7:24; 8:8;	TRAINED [2]
20:2, 20; 23:23,	70:21; 84:4;	102:13	39:20; 96:5
24; 25:5; 30:7;	,		TRAINING [1]
37:22; 38:25;		53:17, 19	36:7
52:23; 55:2, 14,		TOGETHER [2]	TRANSCRIBED
19; 56:20, 23;	= = =		[2] 1:21; 104:5
57:21; 58:1;	39:22; 40:15;		TRANSCRIBER
59:1, 13; 63:12;	41:7; 42:6; 44:1;		[1] 103:9
68:9, 14, 16;	46:5; 58:19;	47:22; 53:2, 4;	TRANSCRIBERS
69:21; 70:23;	79:11; 93:10, 13,	84:12, 15; 87:13	[2] 91:5; 103:6
72:15; 73:10;	24; 94:6; 96:6, 9	<b>TOM</b> [2] 5:20;	TRANSCRIBING
75:11; 76:7;	<b>THUS</b> [1] 8:8	85:6	[2] 91:2
78:19; 79:3;	<b>TIME</b> [30] 4:20;	TOMASELLI [11]	TRANSCRIPT [9]
80:25; 81:8, 12;	7:12; 9:7; 10:19;	3:29, 33; 86:7, 8,	6:23; 90:21, 24;
85:6, 15; 101:25;	12:14, 25; 18:3;	11, 15, 17, 18,	102:14; 103:6, 7,
102:8	27:21; 34:12;	20; 89:23	12; 104:8
THOUGH [8]	37:6; 43:14;	<b>TONS</b> [1] 80:10	TRANSCRIPTION
34:21; 35:1;	46:21; 48:2;	<b>TOO</b> [5] 10:7;	[3] 103:4; 104:3,
50:25; 55:4, 22;	53:14; 58:8, 12;	75:7; 84:10;	4
76:1; 90:15;	60:18; 67:18;	85:15; 88:24	TRANSCRIPTION
91:6		<b>TOOK</b> [6] 22:16;	<b>S</b> [1] 101:11
THOUGHT [2]	81:15; 86:13;	48:4; 84:5, 6;	TRANSITION [2]
26:3; 99:16	92:15; 99:14;	88:22; 89:12	14:7; 49:8
THOUGHTFUL [1]	101:7, 10; 102:7;	<b>TOOL</b> [8] 21:1,	TRANSITIONED
58:10	103:15, 16	4, 6; 22:8; 24:3;	[2] 40:19; 49:3
THOUGHTS [1]	TIMELY [2]	40:13; 79:24;	TRANSITIONS [2]
16:5	39:24; 49:5		14:3; 48:22
THOUSAND [1]	<b>TIMES</b> [4] 27:15;	TOOTHPASTE [1]	TRANSMITTED [1]
95:22	54:17; 55:16;	33:8	81:3
THOUSANDS [5]	86:21	<b>TOTAL</b> [3] 18:6,	TRANSPARENCY
23:5; 41:11;	TIMETABLE [1]	9; 96:10	[6] 15:22; 16:14;
62:11; 84:20;	68:15	TOTALLY [2]	19:16; 43:5;
85:24	<b>TIP</b> [1] 95:4	41:12, 20	61:7; 89:25
THREAT [4]	<b>TITLE</b> [2] 2:2;	<b>TOUCH</b> [1] 74:24	TRANSPARENT
17:12, 17, 22;	3:2	<b>TOUGH</b> [1] 28:7	[2] 11:15; 31:11
66:1	TITLED [1] 43:2	TOWARD [1]	TRANSPIRED [1]
THREATENS [1]	<b>TODAY</b> [33] 6:17,	102:8	91:8
38:2	22; 7:2, 25; 9:5;	TOWARDS [2]	TRANSPORTATIO
<b>THREE</b> [9] 1:11;	12:7; 15:21, 25;	59:24; 83:8	<b>N</b> [1] 101:7
30:4; 36:19;	25:12; 29:18;	<b>TOWN</b> [7] 87:4,	TREASURERS [1]
46:15; 76:14;	43:17, 23; 48:18;	13; 88:13, 21;	11:17
79:10; 85:11;	54:17; 57:11, 17;	90:11; 91:14, 17	TREAT [2] 11:21;
89:12	69:1, 10; 72:18;	TOWN'S [1]	12:1
THROUGH [24]	76:19; 77:5, 12,	91:15	TREATED [1]
16:17; 24:3, 22;	15, 18; 79:6;	TOWNS [1]	15:10
	84:2, 19; 86:21;	100:24	
•	•		

TREATMENT [3]
13:17; 64:24;
94:10
TRENDS [1] 60:8
TRIAL [41] 1:7;
1:1 12 14 16:
4:3, 12, 14, 16; 5:5, 23; 7:4, 11,
5.5, 23, 7.4, 11,
13; 9:7, 10;
10:24; 11:1;
13:2; 16:24;
13; 9:7, 10; 10:24; 11:1; 13:2; 16:24; 30:12; 41:16;
44:2, 23; 45:10;
47:23; 48:14, 22;
50.6 16. 58.10.
60:2; 63:10; 90:23; 95:8; 96:10: 98:12 15:
90:23; 95:8;
90.23, 93.0,
00.10, 00.12, 10,
102:5, 16;
103:10; 104:8
<b>TRIED</b> [3] 8:15;
18:24; 98:5
<b>TRIP</b> [1] 50:13
TRIPS [1] 60:16
TROLLING [1]
33:10
TROUBLE [1]
66:3
TROUBLESOME
[3] 32:1; 87:25;
89:20
<b>TRUE</b> [10] 23:20;
44:6; 69:17, 20;
88:9, 20; 91:7, 23; 96:9; 104:3
23; 96:9; 104:3
TRULY [5] 15:6; 33:2, 23; 49:13;
33:2, 23; 49:13;
91:4
TRUST [1] 19:15
TRUSTED [1]
30:4
TRUTH [8] 87:19;
88:15; 89:2, 3, 10, 16; 91:1
10, 16; 91:1
TRUTHFUL [2]
89:6; 91:15
<b>TRY</b> [6] 8:11;
13:7; 34:19;
65:2; 86:6; 96:4
<b>TRYING</b> [12] 9:6;
47:2; 54:1, 3, 11,
11.2, 07.1, 0, 11,

14; 56:18; 74:2; 87:25; 96:3, 16; 97:23 **TUBE** [1] 33:9 TURBOSCAN [1] 75:13 **TURN** [7] 10:25; 39:14; 54:11, 14; 59:19: 60:9; 94:2 **TWEETS** [1] 74:8 **TWELVE** [2] 1:22; 104:16 TWO [21] 6:8, 15, 25; 13:2, 3; 16:1, 8; 19:13; 30:1; 31:20: 38:6: 43:19: 48:12; 53:8; 75:13: 79:10: 80:21; 83:4, 8; 87:24; 88:6 TWO-PRONG [1] 19:17 TWO-WEEK [2] 90:23: 96:8 **TYE** [2] 3:15; 67:23 **TYPE** [2] 70:1; 103:14 **TYPES** [3] 37:16; 70:21; 71:20 TYPICALLY [1] 66:18

# - U -

**U.S.** [2] 28:3; 46:15 UH-HUH [1] 86:19 ULTIMATE [2] 8:3: 17:17 ULTIMATELY [4] 18:16: 8:1; 31:23; 50:5 UMBRELLA [1] 62:17

UNCONDITIONAL [4] 31:17, 19; 34:3: 53:10 UNCONSCIONABL **E** [1] 19:1 UNDER [14] 21:16; 47:3; 63:6; 69:6; 79:16; 81:3, 20; 87:15; 89:14; 93:9: 95:20, 22; 97:1; 99:3 UNDERFUNDED [1] 22:12 UNDERGOING [1] 33:17 UNDERLINE [1] 103:14 UNDERLYING [3] 12:6; 76:19, 20 UNDERMINE [2] 38:2, 23 UNDERMINES [1] 38:3 **UNDERMINING** [2] 36:21: 38:13 UNDERSTAND [17] 6:16; 7:7; 11:5; 47:3; 50:3; 51:12: 68:7: 69:11; 83:21; 91:22; 97:18; 98:7, 9, 10, 11; 99:5 UNDERSTANDAB **LY** [1] 10:7 **UNDO** [1] 48:9 **UNDUE** [1] 76:21 UNEMPLOYMENT [1] 74:21 **UNFAILINGLY** [1] 9:16 UNFAIR [2] 73:5, 16 UNFETTERED [3] 31:17; 34:4; 65:15 UNFITNESS [1] 66:17

UNFORTUNATELY [4] 21:22; 44:5; 45:15: 98:4 UNIFORM [3] 70:11; 7:4; 98:17 UNINTELLIGIBLE [1] 48:24 UNINTENDED [5] 20:21; 21:21; 54:16: 56:6: 82:3 UNINTENTIONAL [1] 68:7 **UNION** [4] 3:21, 27; 83:25; 84:17 **UNIT** [1] 53:21 UNITED [3] 10:12; 88:4; 97:25 UNIVERSITY [5] 13:24; 58:18; 59:22: 97:21; 100:1 UNLESS [5] 14:21; 22:13: 32:24: 86:25; 94:15 UNRAVEL [2] 38:5, 23 UNRAVELING [1] 36:20 UNREGULATED [1] 38:22 UNRELATED [2] 33:15: 66:23 UNRELIABLE [1] 66:5 UNREPORTED [1] 49:4 UNREPRESENTED [1] 24:20 UNRING [1] 74:11 UNSATISFACTOR **Y** [1] 66:2 UNSCRUPULOUS [2] 66:20; 67:2 UNSOPHISTICAT **ED** [1] 24:21

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	96:19; 97:10, 15;	VERBAL [1]	66:8; 79:22;
13:12; 29:20;	101:12, 22	101:20	81:17
32:24; 44:21;	<b>USC</b> [1] 63:1	VERIFIED [1]	VIDEOTAPE [1]
53:12	<b>USE</b> [23] 6:2, 12;	18:24	90:20
<b>UP</b> [39] 5:8;	15:3; 24:22;	<b>VERIFY</b> [1] 56:9	<b>VIEW</b> [6] 40:21;
6:10; 10:4;	52:13, 16, 20;	VERSION [1]	41:25; 42:4, 12;
15:13; 18:22;	53:2, 4, 8, 13, 25;		46:4; 76:15
20:20; 23:3, 8;	54:2; 59:25;	<b>VERY</b> [86] 7:10;	VIEWED [1]
25:2, 7; 32:2, 11,	60:7; 65:21;	8:19; 9:14; 11:5,	50:19
12; 44:6; 46:20;	66:22; 67:4, 8;	11; 12:16;	VIEWING [2]
50:24; 53:8;	88:13; 91:6;	15:11, 12; 18:10,	46:9, 11
55:16; 57:8;	100:4, 22	13; 20:11, 14,	
70:8; 71:22;	USED [14] 15:12;		
72:9; 73:18;	17:7; 20:25;		
74:4; 75:16;	21:4; 40:14;	31:3, 8; 32:7;	63:25
76:2, 10; 80:8;	45:8; 53:3;	34:12; 35:22;	VIOLATION [1]
81:12; 82:6, 18,	54:18; 57:19;	39:5, 7; 43:13,	16:12
22; 86:9, 10, 16;	88:12, 13; 97:3;	15; 44:19; 47:6,	VIOLATIONS [1]
88:9; 92:17;	100:16	7; 48:5; 53:16,	19:4
100:24	USEFUL [1] 80:1		
UPCOMING [1]	USEFULNESS [1]		
		58:13; 61:17, 23;	
45:13	29:13	64:15; 66:15, 18;	
UPDATES [1]	USER [1] 49:13	67:19, 21; 71:19;	11; 65:24;
49:1	USERS [2] 60:19;	72:20, 21; 76:5;	66:10; 79:22, 23;
UPLOADED [2]	61:13	77:4; 78:16;	81:18
36:24; 39:3	USES [1] 41:3	79:24; 80:16;	
UPON [3] 45:23;	<b>USING</b> [3] 21:6;	81:16, 18; 82:12,	
66:11; 74:24	65:5; 93:23	21; 83:12, 18;	VIRTUAL [1]
UPRIGHT [1]	USUALLY [3]	84:22; 85:19;	46:20
33:23	32:6; 47:11;	86:6; 89:4, 17;	<b>VIRTUE</b> [2] 75:7;
<b>UPSET</b> [1] 91:16	81:15	90:1; 92:2, 3, 15,	77:11
UPSTAIRS [2]		16; 94:7; 97:2,	<b>VITAL</b> [2] 39:25;
52:14, 20	- V -	5, 11, 12; 99:15;	61:5
UPWARDS [1]		101:17; 102:18	
22:25	<b>V</b> [2] 37:3; 70:3	<b>VETTED</b> [1] 83:4	103:6, 12
<b>URGE</b> [5] 38:25;	VANILLA [1] 80:6	<b>VIA</b> [2] 8:8;	VOLUNTEERS [1]
49:20; 51:3;	VARIETY [4]	60:12	96:5
99:11; 101:14	32:9; 64:6; 65:7;	VICTIM [8]	<b>VON</b> [1] 20:8
<b>US</b> [38] 4:22;	101:21	62:14; 63:7, 13;	VONRICHTHOFEN
9:16; 10:1, 5, 6,	VARIOUS [3]	65:13, 14, 25;	[7] 2:10; 15:15,
24, 25; 11:1, 4;	32:1; 41:15;	66:4; 79:22	17, 20; 18:21,
12:7; 14:2, 24;	42:13	VICTIM'S [2]	23; 20:3
16:3; 17:16;	VARY [2] 45:18;	65:12; 67:6	VOTING [1]
22:3; 28:8, 25;	96:25	VICTIMIZED [1]	28:17
31:14; 40:21;		32:19	VULNERABLE [3]
61:19; 73:21;	<b>VAST</b> [2] 37:13; 67:3	VICTIMS [18]	20:23; 33:20;
84:19; 85:16;		31:24; 32:17, 18;	66:19
87:8, 11, 23;	VAWA [2] 62:25;	62:11, 15, 20;	
88:22; 90:4, 13,	63:25	63:23; 64:1, 8, 9,	- W -
22; 94:2, 3, 24;	<b>VEIL</b> [1] 47:17	12; 65:7, 19, 24;	
. , , ,		. , , ,	

<b>WAIT</b> [1] 86:8		72:19; 74:2, 8,	
WAITING [1]	16:17; 19:15;		
89:16	26:7; 31:17;		96:12, 16; 97:1,
<b>WALK</b> [4] 26:9,	61:19; 67:7, 9,	14; 80:10;	3
13, 22; 34:6	10; 72:19;	82:15, 16; 83:1,	<b>WE'VE</b> [14] 8:15;
WALKING [1]	74:16; 75:1, 11;	19; 84:8, 12, 15,	27:15; 28:20;
79:9	78:14; 92:12;	16,	32:23; 33:5;
<b>WALL</b> [1] 98:6	94:15; 96:20	23; 85:2, 4, 10,	52:7; 71:3;
<b>WANT</b> [43] 20:4,	<b>WAYS</b> [6] 9:25;	24; 86:10, 13;	77:19, 20; 88:22;
19; 21:22; 22:1,	11:8; 12:13;	87:1, 2, 3, 10, 18,	100:16; 101:21
3, 8, 11; 24:14;	22:10; 59:23	19, 20, 21, 22,	WEBSITE [9]
32:7, 17; 33:12,		24, 25; 88:1, 4,	11:18; 13:4, 13;
13, 21; 45:3, 21;	6:1, 5, 10, 15, 16,		36:16, 24; 38:2,
51:9; 54:22;		89:2, 7, 9, 11;	
55:10; 56:10;			WEBSITES [1]
57:1, 10; 62:22;			42:1
74:24; 75:23;		94:5, 6, 14, 16,	<b>WEEK</b> [5] 40:25;
76:12, 14; 77:14;	11, 17, 18;	20; 95:3, 8, 9,	48:4; 49:16;
78:12; 79:12;	10:21, 24; 11:5;	10, 22, 24, 25;	78:2; 80:3
82:25; 85:16, 17;	40.0. 40.0 40		<b>WEEKS</b> [1] 11:3
86:15; 88:17, 19,	04 05 440 0		WELCOME [1]
20; 89:24;			4:4
92:22; 93:1, 21;			WELCOMES [1]
95:22; 97:13			30:21
<b>WANTED</b> [14]	21:22; 22:17;		
17:18; 80:23;	23:13; 24:4, 18;	13:4; 24:10;	17; 8:13; 11:5;
84:23, 24, 25;	25:14; 26:6, 7,	68:15; 71:19;	
85:1, 2; 88:21;	40 40 45 40	72:18	15:17; 19:24;
91:1; 94:12, 20;			
97:8	8, 9, 10, 16, 17,		
WANTS [6]	19, 23, 24; 28:3,		
14:14; 25:22;	4, 5, 7, 8, 13, 18,		
56:11; 57:2;		22; 20:2; 62:2;	
75:18; 86:21	29:23; 30:1, 4, 6,	102:8	57:11; 61:10;
	7, 15, 25; 31:13,		
WARDS [1] 79.21 WASN'T [4]		12:8, 17; 13:7,	
85:11, 13; 90:17;		22; 14:22;	
91:25		16:14; 19:17;	
WASSEL [10]		22:13; 23:3, 4;	
3:37; 92:16, 18,			
20; 95:2; 97:13,	39:3 15 20:	24:24; 25:18; 26:23: 27:8:	D [2] 17:1, 3
15, 22; 98:13	40:2, 22, 24;	26:23; 27:8; 28:15; 31:9;	
WASTE [1] 39:18		32:4, 5; 33:9;	
WATCHDOG [1]		34:24; 40:25;	
100:4		54:1, 3, 11, 12,	
WATCHED [1]		14; 55:24;	
80:1		57:25; 68:8;	
WATCHING [1]			
65:12		71:24; 73:23; 77:22; 82:8, 24;	
WAVE [1] 48:6		83:8, 25; 86:13;	
**************************************	10.3, 20, 11.13,	00.0, 20, 00.10,	00.0, 01.20, 21,

88:4; 89:13;	21:7; 27:7; 44:5;	<b>WHO'S</b> [6] 15:24;	<b>WILLING</b> [2] 6:18
90:18	56:4, 5, 15, 18,	75:4; 80:4;	WINFIELD [1]
<b>WERE</b> [36] 9:12, 24; 16:6, 8;	19; 71:21; 93:25	94:22, 23	37:3
	WHICH [43] 4:8;	WHO'VE [3] 8:11;	WINTER [1]
17:1; 18:7; 23:2,	7:19; 13:2; 21:2;	37:22; 55:11	21:15
3; 34:16, 17, 19;	39:11, 14, 20;		WISH [5] 7:25;
41:13; 45:13;	40:2; 41:21;		35:6, 14; 85:7;
50:7, 9, 17;	42:16; 45:10;		97:21
75:25; 78:2, 4;	46:20, 22; 47:4,	36:15; 38:1, 22;	WISHES [2] 35:7;
84:15, 20, 21;	24; 52:21;	71:17; 77:10	46:22
87:6, 19, 24, 25;	54:11; 59:15;		WITHHOLD [1]
88:11; 91:6, 15;	62:15; 68:24;		71:4
93:6, 12; 94:5;	70:1, 22; 71:10,		WITHIN [5] 6:1;
95:3; 96:8;	18; 72:6; 77:5,		43:8; 50:4;
100:20	7; 78:1, 2, 6, 7,		81:17; 94:17
WEREN'T [2]	9, 11; 83:2;	25:21; 31:16;	WITHOUT [6]
9:24; 87:24	85:7; 87:3, 16;	34:3, 6; 47:4;	18:16; 26:16;
WGBH [1] 99:25	97:2; 98:7;	49:6; 55:1; 69:1;	41:3; 49:9;
WHAT'S [6]	99:24; 101:11;		68:16; 101:2
25:15; 56:11;	102:4; 104:11		- 1.1
80:3; 84:7, 19;	<b>WHITE</b> [1] 85:12	·	
95:4	<b>WHO</b> [75] 4:7,		WITNESSES [1]
WHATEVER [1]	12; 6:24; 8:6;	<b>WIDE</b> [3] 39:16;	75:6
75:10	10:11; 16:8;	61:3; 101:21	<b>WOMEN</b> [1] 62:24
WHATSOEVER [2]	17:16; 18:25;		<b>WON</b> [2] 87:21;
45:21; 58:7	20:6; 21:6; 22:3;	<b>WIDER</b> [1] 43:5	88:2
<b>WHEEL</b> [1] 75:21	23:3, 21; 27:5, 8;	WIDESPREAD [1]	<b>WON'T</b> [4] 20:3,
WHENEVER [3]	28:12; 30:19;		8; 23:19; 74:22
45:25; 61:12	33:2, 3, 17;	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	WONDER [1]
WHERE [23]	34:17, 19; 39:13;	7:10, 18, 20, 21,	100:14
16:17; 17:24;	41:18; 44:12;	23, 25; 8:1, 12,	WONDERFUL [1]
25:19; 32:24;	49:10; 54:23, 24;	13, 17, 18;	79:24
33:23; 34:2, 11;		15:15; 18:23;	
35:9; 36:4, 9;	59:10; 60:4;	23:15; 29:16;	95:3
37:5; 41:1;	61:4; 63:9, 13;	30:9; 38:15, 20;	
42:12; 55:15, 16;			
56:10, 24; 72:4;	76:7, 21, 22;		45:22; 68:13;
76:15; 79:13;	77:6, 20, 22;	54:24; 58:6;	95:5
82:23; 93:8;	78:3, 19; 79:3;	62:22; 64:2, 9;	<b>WORD</b> [2] 89:5;
101:13		66:2, 3, 5, 25;	103:7
WHEREAS [1]	84:20; 85:12, 16,	73:18, 22; 76:7;	<b>WORDS</b> [2] 86:2;
68:12	17, 20, 24;	•	
WHEREBY [1]	87:16; 89:6, 19;		
99:13	91:14; 92:24;		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
WHEREIN [1]	93:3, 16, 24;	102:4, 9, 10, 11,	
95:4	100:19, 23;	13	30:4; 41:13;
WHEREVER [1]	101:19, 20;	WILLIAM [1]	54:1; 55:11;
45:25	102:10	99:16	58:17, 22; 61:5,
WHETHER [14]	<b>WHO'D</b> [1] 59:20		17; 66:2; 69:11;
14:12, 20; 18:12;		99:16, 18, 19	76:16; 77:1;

78:14: 85:17:	12
01.5. 03.17.	91
78:14; 85:17; 91:5; 93:14; 94:24; 97:3, 19;	94
101:3; 102:1, 8,	97
9	W
WORKED [6] 7:6;	
36:4, 13; 55:12;	
78:11; 100:2	32
WORKER [2]	75
3:38; 92:21	W
WORKERS [1]	72
93:5	W
WORKING [9]	80
7:13: 10:9:	w
7:13; 10:9; 92:24; 93:3, 6,	w
24; 95:1; 97:23,	w
24	48
WORKINGS [1]	53
30:24	W
WORKPLACE [1]	18
66:1	88
<b>WORKS</b> [2] 6:7;	
77:4	6:
	20
WORMS [1] 17:20	
WORSE [2] 44:9;	37
52:23	52
	62
WOULD [72] 6:2,	
	92
9:25: 10:17. 24:	10
12:1, 9; 15:18;	W
12:1, 9; 15:18; 16:2, 6; 17:15, 25; 20:6, 9;	88
25; 20:6, 9;	90
24:4, 7; 28:8;	W
30:20; 34:12;	17
38:23; 43:1, 11,	89
12; 48:12;	10
50:21; 53:24;	W
57:7; 59:16, 17;	OI
60:4; 61:24;	1:
63:5, 25; 64:2;	
66:7, 22; 73:2, 4,	
11; 74:1; 75:2,	_
8, 16, 25; 76:3,	ΥE
10; 79:4, 25;	
80:25; 81:1, 6,	22
11, 22; 82:21;	47 74
83:4, 9, 12, 13,	74
14. 05.00 00.0	90

14; 85:22; 86:3,

```
90:25;
2;
:22;
        92:14:
4:16;
        96:20;
7:10; 101:5
OULD'VE [1]
0:25
OULDN'T [4]
2:7, 10; 33:21;
5:2
RAP [2] 18:22;
2:9
REAKED
         [1]
0:2
RIGHT [2] 5:22
RIT [1] 88:6
RITE [6] 17:18;
8:1; 52:14, 23;
3:5; 100:17
RITING
          [4]
8:24;
        47:11;
8:19; 101:25
RITTEN
        [20]
18; 7:5; 8:13;
0:2, 10;
          21:2;
4:9;
        36:18;
7:2:
        51:16:
2:17;
        53:1;
2:23;
        67:13;
8:5;
        91:25;
2:4, 7, 13;
01:20
RONG
         [5]
8:12; 89:13, 15;
0:11
ROTE
         [5]
7:19;
         88:6;
9:3;
        91:14;
00:19
WW.HAYESREP
RTING.COM [1]
23
```

## - Y -

YEAR [10] 16:9; 22:18, 24; 42:10; 47:18; 48:2; 74:14; 79:8, 9; 90:23 **YEARS** [36] 9:12; 13:12; 18:17, 19; 21:18; 26:9; 27:15; 34:11; 36:5; 37:17, 19; 41:13, 16; 43:18; 44:21; 45:19; 47:5; 60:15; 72:25: 73:13: 78:9; 79:8, 15; 80:19, 20; 82:6; 84:3, 6; 86:4; 87:1; 89:12; 90:1, 13; 92:10; 100:1 **YEP** [1] 97:16 **YET** [2] 33:24; 78:10 YORK [3] 42:15, 17, 18 **YOU'D** [4] 4:19; 6:20; 50:10; 76:8 YOU'LL [2] 4:22; 8:16 **YOU'RE** [22] 19:14; 26:3, 6, 20; 27:2; 28:7; 32:24; 56:18; 57:11, 12, 16; 74:20; 83:14; 88:7; 89:17; 90:4; 95:1, 23; 96:21 YOU'VE [8] 12:10; 48:18; 54:17; 60:21; 69:9: 76:18: 77:15, 18 **YOUR** [51] 4:22; 6:10, 14; 8:11, 15; 9:15, 20; 11:14, 17; 12:5, 14, 18, 20, 25; 15:16, 18; 18:19; 20:1, 7, 10; 26:6; 28:12, 14; 32:12; 36:2; 43:14; 47:18; 48:20; 57:14: 58:12:

61:17, 20; 62:3; 67:18; 76:9; 81:6; 83:16, 22; 86:21; 88:15, 23; 92:15; 94:16, 17; 99:7, 14 YOURSELF [2] 12:20; 45:24 YOUTUBE [1] 82:18