From:	Rogelio Beatty
To:	Waterways, DEP (DEP)
Cc:	Chris.osgood@boston.gov; Jonathan.greeley@boston.gov; Strysky, Alexander (EEA); Padien, Daniel (DEP); aaron.michlewitz@mahouse.gov; Joseph Boncore; richard.mcguinness@boston.gov; ed.flynn@boston.gov; Brian.golden@boston.gov; Kim.Janey@boston.gov; michelle.wu@boston.gov; Julia.mejia@boston.gov; michael.flaherty@boston.gov; a.e.george@boston.gov; internet, env (EEA); Engler, Lisa Berry (EEA); Suuberg, Martin (DEP); MAYOR@BOSTON.GOV; Teresa.polhemus@boston.gov; Heather.campisano@boston.gov; Chris.busch@boston.gov; Ebony.DaRosa@Boston.gov
Subject:	Chapter 91 / MHP Regulations
Date:	Tuesday, June 15, 2021 11:22:18 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from a sender outside of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts mail system. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Greetings, the following comments were submitted by a visitor to PinnacleCentralWharf.com.

Anguilla
I recognize and applaud that, for over thirty years, municipal harbor planning has been a critical mechanism for Massachusetts cities and towns to promote public access to – and activation of – the waterfront in a manner consistent with the unique character of the local harbor.

The Downtown Waterfront MHP is no exception. As the product of five years of planning, across more than forty public meetings starting in 2013, the plan is the result of an exceptionally inclusive and comprehensive process and reflects the character of its location at the economic and transit core of the region.

There is urgency to take action on Boston's Downtown Waterfront. To remove the MHP from the proposed regulations would erase eight years of planning and progress, and I urge you to confirm the plan along with the other fifteen Approved Municipal Harbor Plans across the Bay State.

Rogelio Beatty
Hansenside
tgdobie@gmail.com