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THE MODERATOR: Welcome, and thank you for standing by.

All participants will be on a listen-only mode until we open the lines to speak. At that time, if you would like to speak, you may press Star 1.

Today's conference is being recorded. If you have any objections, please disconnect at this time.

I would like to now turn your conference over to Elizabeth Kelley.

Thank you. You may begin.
HEARING OFFICER KELLEY: Thank you, Julie.
Good evening. My name is Elizabeth Kelley, and I am the Director of the Bureau of Healthcare Safety and Quality of the Massachusetts Department of Public Health, and I'm here tonight representing the Determination of Need Program.

Before we begin, we would like to take just a moment to acknowledge the tragedy in Texas today, and we will be proceeding with the hearing but wanted to -- just to pause to acknowledge what's happening -- happening in Texas and take a moment.
(Pause)
For this evening, we are here to take testimony in a Determination of Need application. For clarification, you will hear me refer to Determination of Need Program as the "DoN" program, and the Department of Public Health as the "DPH." Joining me today from the Department, my colleague, Nazmim Bhuiya.

This hearing has been called pursuant to an application submitted by Shields Healthcare of Cambridge, Incorporated, who we will refer to as "the applicant" or "Shields" moving forward.

Upon receipt of the application, DoN staff reviewed the application; and after finding it to be in compliance with the DoN statute and regulation for filing, assigned it a filing date of March 1, 2022. Again, this application is for Shields Healthcare of a Cambridge, Inc. The enabling statute for the DoN program requires that any person or government agency intending to add DoN-required equipment, as defined in DoN regulation and guidance, must apply for DoN before engaging in such a project.

The Shields project:

The DoN application is for a project located at Shields MRI Brighton at 385 Western Avenue in Brighton, Massachusetts, for a 1.5 Tesla magnetic resonance imaging, or MRI unit. The total value of the proposed project based on the maximum capital expenditure is $\$ 2,292,401$.

This public hearing is an effort to gather information and hear the opinions of interested parties about the proposed projects. It is not intended to be a question-and-answer session. No questions will be permitted.

The DoN program will take all relevant information into account in preparing its recommendation to the Massachusetts Public Health Council, whose decision on whether to approve the DoN for the proposed project will be made at one of its upcoming monthly meetings.

We will accept written comments on this application for ten days following this hearing.

As this is a virtual hearing, the logistics are different from in-person hearings. I'll review our process for today.

We are using a moderated conference line, so our moderator, Julie, will manage the queue for
speaking.
This meeting is being recorded and transcribed. As indicated in the notice for the meeting, please press Star 1 if you would like to speak. This will put you in the queue.

You will not be told where you are in the queue, nor will you get much notice that you are about to testify. When it is your turn, you will be told you are now the speaker and will experience a short silence and then will be the speaker. If you've muted your phone, you may need to unmute.

Please begin by stating your name and either affiliation or town of residence. Please speak clearly so that our transcriber can record everything accurately. We ask that you limit testimony to approximately three minutes.

If you have a written copy of your remarks, regardless of length, please feel free to submit it to the Department via e-mail or by the postal service. We can be e-mailed at dph.don@state.ma.us, and that's also available on the mass.gov website. Postal mail will get to us more quickly if it's sent to: Determination of Need, Massachusetts Department of Public Health, 67 Forest Street, Marlborough

Massachusetts 01752. Again, also available on the website.

Be assured that the Department will consider all comments, whether presented orally or in writing. Whether you comment or not, please know that the Department greatly values and appreciates your participation in the DoN process.

Before we open the line to the general public, the applicant will go first, and will be allotted four minutes to present information about the proposed project.

I will now ask Carmel Shields, executive vice-president, Shields Healthcare Group, representing Shields Healthcare Cambridge, Inc., to talk about the project. After she is done, the moderator will unmute the first speaker.

MS. SHIELDS: Shall I begin?
HEARING OFFICER KELLEY: Please do.
Thank you.
MS. SHIELDS: Thank you. Yes.
And our thoughts and prayers are with those in Texas.

Good evening. My name is Carmel Shields, and I am an executive vice-president of Shields

Healthcare Group.
I would like to express my appreciation to the Department of Public Health for providing me the opportunity to briefly highlight our application to add a second licensed MRI unit at the clinic at 385 Western Ave.

By way of background, Shields was founded in Brockton 1972 by Tom and Mary Shields and opened the Commonwealth's first independent regional MRI center in 1986. Over the next 36 years, Shields has come to manage 40 MRI and PET-CT facilities throughout New England. We remain a family-owned and operated healthcare provider focused on high-quality, affordable outpatient care.

Through the proposed project, Shields seeks to support the unique needs of its patient panel by offering enhanced access to high-value, freestanding imaging services.

At present, our Allston-Brighton clinic operates a Hitachi Oasis $1.2 T$ unit which is the first open-sided freestanding MRI unit in Boston and one of only a handful of similar units in the Greater Boston region. The 1.2 unit provides tremendous value for claustrophobic and bariatric
patients and for those who self-identify as having severe anxiety.

It has been our learned experience, and confirmed by national providers, to successfully scan this particular patient population results in longer scan times. Thus, the Hitachi unit is currently operating at approximately 85 percent capacity.

Based on the modeling of current and future demand for high-value imaging services in an aging population, the applicant respectfully contends that the Allston-Brighton clinic will require a second licensed unit to meet the growing needs of its patient panel.

Specifically the University of Massachusetts Donahue Institute projects the Commonwealth's largest population growth will be attributed to residents within the 50 -plus age cohort. The patient panel served by the Allston-Brighton clinic skews older than the general population. For example, the Commonwealth's average age is 39; the MRI patient panel is, on average, 47 years old, and the $60-\mathrm{plus}$ age cohort makes up approximately 31 percent of the total patient panel.

Currently, the most frequently scanned areas of the body at our Alston-Brighton site include the brain, upper and lower extremities, and lumbar. Furthermore, data from the advisory board shows freestanding MRI volume is expected to grow 6. -- 16.6-plus percent within a five-mile radius of the Allston-Brighton clinic over the next five years.

Through the proposed project, Shields seeks to relicense the Siemens Espree 1.5T MRI unit that is currently housed, but not operational, at this location.

The gold standard for MRI imaging in a clinical setting includes a $1.5 T$ high-field MRI scanner. A 1.5 machine produces faster scan times than lower-strength units, and it is ideal for abdomen, prostate, breast and chest scans.

As a result of the proposed project, the total patient panel will have enhanced access to higher quality, low-cost, convenient MRI imaging in their community, and patients in need of breast scans will be able to once again receive them at a clinic.

The proposed project supports both the

Commonwealth's goal of containing the rate of growth of total medical expense and the findings of the HPC variation in imaging spending report, which found that prices can be twice as high when MRIs are performed at hospitals, outpatient, or other facilities.

It is for these reasons $I$ respectfully request the Department of Public Health look favorably -- look favorably upon this application. Thank you for your time and attention.

HEARING OFFICER KELLEY: Thank you.
We will now open the lines for speakers.
As a reminder, please press Star 1 if you would like to speak. And when you begin to speak, please state clearly your name. And please actually spell your name; that will help our transcriber accurately get your -- get your name.

So Julie, I think we're ready for the first speaker.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you.
As a reminder, if you would like to speak, please press Star 1. If you would like to remove your line, it is Star 2. Once again, to open your line to speak it is Star 1.

Our first comment comes from Anthony
Lasala.
Your line is open.
MR. LASALA: Thank you.
My name is Anthony Lasala. That's
L-a-s-a-l-a. I currently serve as a regional business director overseeing five locations for Shields.

I have been with Shields since graduating Mass. College of Pharmacy and Health Sciences in 2012, and I have worked at both outpatient-only and hospital locations. My roles grew from staff tech, lead tech, chief tech to now being a director of operations. I also spent a short time on the sales and marketing team to help strengthen my knowledge of the referring community.

Thank you for the opportunity to show my support for Shields Healthcare and their application for a second MRI in Brighton. The proposed project will provide a better patient experience, reduce wait times, and provide for specialty patient scans through optimizing the use of both machines.

Being so close to Boston, our Brighton location is lucky enough to accommodate the needs of
many different types of patients. These patients range from those who are seeking annual follow-ups, to those -- to those who have recently experienced an injury that may require further treatment, to local college and professional athletes who are seeking time-sensitive care in order to map out their treatment planning to get them back to full competition, and everything in between.

At this point, the community need for care is beginning to encumber the capability of a one-magnet center. Our goal is to be available for all patients without the need of unnecessary triaging that could result in patient care being delayed. Moreover, with the continued influx of claustrophobic patients, it is important for us to be able to continue offering a quick turnaround for the referring community so that each patient has an opportunity to be seen quickly and comfortably, allowing their medical team to provide the necessary treatment as soon as possible.

The addition of the Siemens Espree to this location will allow us to do just that: Offer more availability and more flexibility to accommodate the increased demand of MRI services within the Greater

Boston region.
I respectfully request that the Department of Public Health approve this application as to allow for more patients like myself to receive high-quality care at an affordable price.

Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER KELLEY: Thank you.
I think we're ready for our next speaker.
THE MODERATOR: Our next comment comes from Michael Croke.

Your line is open.
MR. CROKE: Good evening.
My name is Michael Croke -- last name
spelled $C-r-0-k-e--$ and $I$ appreciate the opportunity to speak tonight in support of the Shields Healthcare application for a second MRI unit at the freestanding facility located in Allston-Brighton.

For the past five years, I have served in various healthcare-related roles with patient care, revenue cycle, and most recently as a business development analyst for Shields Healthcare Group.

Before Shields installed the new Hitachi open MRI in 2020, claustrophobic patients in Suffolk
and Middlesex Counties were severely limited with available options to access a true open-bore MRI. By the end of 2021, Shields provided over 570 new claustrophobic patients with the opportunity to access care that was previously unobtainable, preventing significant delays in diagnoses.

Over the next five years, the claustrophobic patient population is anticipated to continue to grow, and Shields will need to relicense the Espree 1.5T unit to accommodate the demand of patients that cannot typically complete scans on a regluar 1.5 or $3 T$ unit.

Claustrophobics -- claustrophobic patients also typically require additional time to complete their scans, with routine scans in 2021 on the Hitachi unit completed on average in 40 minutes and contrast exams completed closer to 55. This has increased lead times for access at the facility. By relicensing the Espree unit, which is the gold standard for MRI imaging in a clinical setting, Shields will be able to shift scans of patients that are more clinically appropriate to be done on a 1.5T magnet away from the Hitachi unit. This will allow for better image quality and increased capacity for
a vulnerable claustrophobic patient population to access the Hitachi unit that is operating near full capacity after completing 4300 scans in 2021.

The addition of a second MRI unit is also in line with the state's cost containment goals. According to the -- to HPC's variation in spending report, the price of a service when performed at a HOPD setting is twice as high as one done at a freestanding location, such as the Allston-Brighton facility. Increasing the accessibility to affordable, lower-price options at a freestanding facility will help patients access care that could be either delayed or forgone due to financial distress from the pandemic and a rising inflation rate. The relicensure of the Espree unit will help patients receive high-quality, affordable care away from a HOPD setting.

For these reasons, I respectfully request the Department of Public Health approve this application.

Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER KELLEY: Thank you.
THE MODERATOR: Our next comment comes from Jonathan Joyner.

Your line is open.
MR. JOYNER: Good evening.
My name is Jonathan Joyner, last name spelled J-o-y-n-e-r. Thank you for the opportunity to share my support for Shields Healthcare's DoN application for a second MRI at its freestanding MRI location in Brighton.

For over ten years, $I$ have served in various marketing intelligence and business planning roles in the Massachusetts healthcare market, most recently as director of corporate development for Shields Healthcare Group.

In the winter of 2020 , Shields identified an unmet need for the claustrophobic population in Greater Boston. Shields invested in the new Hitachi 1.2T open MRI to offer new -- a new point of access for a population that was previously unable to receive critical diagnostic care. Prior to 2020, claustrophobic patients in the Greater Boston area only had one other option within a 50 -mile radius.

By way of context, the Hitachi $1.2 T$ offers advanced imaging technology in a sandwich-style format rather than the traditional cylindrical bore, thus greatly enhancing patient comfort and ability
to complete the scan.
By the end of 2021, the Brighton location saw 570 new patients that had self-identified as claustrophobic, and the demand for this critical service continues to grow. A recent study published by psychological medicine determined that 2.2 percent of any given population experiences claustrophobia, equating to over 28,000 claustrophobic patients living within the Brighton center's primary service area, further demonstrating ample need for the service.

Notably, our -- after our first year of operation, we have observed that scan times for this population are up to 50 percent longer than the non-claustrophobic patients, therefore limiting machine capacity. In FY21, the Hitachi scanned 4300 patients, operating at 85 percent utilization. Following projections for this center suggests 7600 patients within the next five years.

Given current and anticipated demand, a single magnet cannot accommodate this need. A second magnet would offer the ability for the Hitachi to focus primarily on meeting the need of this claustrophobic population.

Lastly, it is important to note that the Shields retains a still technologically viable 1.5 Siemens Espree at this location, thus negating the additional expense to acquire a new machine, a cost which would normally be passed along to patients.

In the same vein, the proposed second MRI would remain on a freestanding rate structure across both government and commercial payers. This is an important point as the Health Policy Commission's report on variation in imaging spending illustrates that prices vary significantly based on site of service, with hospital outpatient departments costing members of the Commonwealth twice as much for the same service offered at a freestanding location.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that the Department of Public Health approve this application.

Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER KELLEY: Thank you.
THE MODERATOR: Our next comment comes from Julie Glassman.

Your line is open.
MS. GLASSMAN: Hello, and good evening.

My name is Julie Glassman, and my last name is spelled G -- as in "goal" -- l-a-s-s-m-a-n. I appreciate the opportunity to share my support for Shields Healthcare's recent application for a second MRI unit located at its freestanding MRI center in Brighton.

I am currently employed as the director of patient care at Shields Healthcare Group and have been with the organization for 14 years.

The proposed project will provide a better patient experience, reduce wait times and provide speciality patient scans through optimizing the use of both machines: One catering to the claustrophobic patient population; and the other a 1.5 unit, which is the gold standard for MR imaging in a clinical setting. This project will also restore and/or increase access to high-quality MR imaging services in the community. As a result, Shields MRI Brighton expects that patients will be more likely to seek and comply with treatment if they do not experience significant delays in diagnosis.

I respectfully request that the Department of Public Health approve this application. Approval
of this request will allow for more patients like myself to receive high-quality care at an affordable price.

Thank you for your time tonight.
HEARING OFFICER KELLEY: Thank you.
THE MODERATOR: Our next comment comes from Nicholas Elia.

Your line is open.
MR. ELIA: Good evening, everyone.
My name is Nicholas Elia, spelled E-l-i-a, and I appreciate the opportunity to share my support for Shields Healthcare's recent application for a second MRI unit located at its freestanding center in the Brighton-Allston area.

I currently serve as a senior business development analyst for Shields Healthcare Group and have been in this role for the past two years and with the organization for the past five years.

In 2020, Shields installed a new Hitachi 1.2T open MRI unit at the center. This is due to an unmet need for claustrophobic patients, primarily in Suffolk and Middlesex Counties, in the limited options of true open-bore units in these markets. In 2021, the location saw 570 new claustrophobic
patients, in addition to the existing claustro patient panel being scanned. These numbers are expected to Grow significantly over the next five years within the center's service area, demonstrating the initial need for the unit.

Since the 1.2T has been operational, scan times have increased, compared to historical numbers, as more time is needed to accommodate these claustrophobic patients. The addition of the Siemens Espree 1.5T unit to the center will free up volume backlog currently seen on the $1.2 T$ due to these longer scan times and will accommodate all patient needs from a scheduling and wait time perspective.

The addition of a second magnet will also help accommodate non-claustrophobic patients who are better suited to be scanned on the 1.5 T , as there is a subset of scans that are clinically more appropriate. These includes once such as abdomen, pelvis, breast, prostate, chest and MR-CT scans.

Finally, the addition of a second magnet at the center will contribute to the state's cost containment goal, as patients being scanned there will be billed on a freestanding rate structure.

This is massive cost savings advantage for patients who reside in the area and resonates with the HPC's report on variation in imaging spending.

For all these reasons, I respectfully request that the Department of Public Health approve this application.

Thank you for your time.
HEARING OFFICER KELLEY: Thank you.
THE MODERATOR: Our next comment comes from Edmond Ehemey. Your line is opened.

Edmond, please hit your mute button. Your line is open. MR. EHEMEY: Sorry. I put Evan. THE MODERATOR: I'm sorry, Evan. I apologize.

MR. EHEMEY: That's all right.
THE MODERATOR: I didn't get your recording very clear. Sorry.

MR. EHEMEY: That's okay.
Hi. My name is Evan Ehemey. The last name is $E-h-e-m-e-y$. I appreciate the opportunity to share my support for Shields Healthcare of Cambridge's DoN application for a second MRI at its freestanding center in Brighton.

I'm here today both as a previous patient and employee of Shields Healthcare Group. As a prior patient, $I$ was cared for at the Brighton MRI center on three separate occasions and was very pleased with the high-quality and high-value care I received.

The proposed project seeks to implement the gold standard of MR images, a 1.5 Tesla high-field MRI scanner, which means that it can produce higher-quality images in less time. The proposed project will also restore and/or increase the access to high-quality MR imaging services in the community and, as a result, the Shields MRI Brighton expects that patients like me will be more likely to comply with treatment if they don't have to experience delays in diagnosis.

Approval of this request will allow more patients like myself to receive high-quality care at an affordable price. I respectfully request that the Department of Public Health approve this application.

Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER KELLEY: Thank you.
THE MODERATOR: Our next comment comes from

Victoria Gomes.
Your line is open.
MS. GOMES: Thank you.
Hi, everyone.
My name is Victora Gomes, G-o-m-e-s. I appreciate the opportunity to share my support for Shields Healthcare's application for a second MRI at its freestanding MRI center in Brighton.

And I am currently working as a Boston territory sales representative for Shields Healthcare Group. I've been in the role for the past year and a half, and I've also held roles in marketing and patient care throughout my six years with Shields.

When Shields installed the new Hitachi 1.2 Tesla open MRI in 2020, I was just starting my role in sales and was able to see the impact it made on the patient community from a unique perspective. This truly made a world of difference for our claustrophobic patients who otherwise would not be able to have their scans performed.

However, because they were seeing an increased volume of anxious and claustrophobic patients, this resulted in our team having to adjust
scan and prep times, therefore decreasing the number of available slots for other routine patients.

Our Brighton facility is conveniently
located right outside of the city and only minutes away from several large colleges and universities. We have built strong relationships with many of them and work together to get their students and faculty the scans they need.

From what we've seen, the majority of the younger population of our patients do not experience the same anxiety and claustrophobia that others coming into Brighton for their scans do; and, therefore, they would benefit from being scanned on the 1.5 Tesla Espree.

The proposed project will provide a better patient experience, reduce wait times, and provide for specialty patient scans through authorizing the use of both machines.

I respectfully request that the Department of Public Health approve this application.

Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER KELLEY: Thank You.
THE MODERATOR: Our next comment comes from Dr. Stephen Sweriduk.

Your line is open.
DR. SWERIDUK: Thank you, and good evening.
My name is Dr. Stephen Sweriduk, S-w-e-r-i-d-u-k. I've served as the medical director of Shields Healthcare for the past 34 years. The following comments are in support of the DoN application for the Shields Brighton location reactivation of the 1.5 Tesla MRI machine.

As stated by the previous speakers, it's clear that routine MRI scans performed on bariatric and claustrophobic patients are best imaged using the 1.2 Tesla Fuji open-sided MRI system.

However, I would like to touch on a few indications that only be imaged on a high-field open 1.5 Tesla system:

First of all, we'll discuss implanted devices. More and more patients who need MRI scans have implants that require special imaging parameters and stringent testing for safety. However, there has been no testing of these implants on the 1.2 Tesla Fuji (sic) MRI machine, so many patients with implanted devices cannot be safely scanned on the 1.2 Tesla Fuji, including the patients with pacemakers, programmable brain shunts
and nerve stimulators, as well as drug delivery devices.

We also perform advanced neurological applications much better on the 1.5 Tesla system. High-field MRI is necessary for 3D imaging for quantitative analysis of multiple sclerosis plaques, for determining brain volume for dementia patients, as well as using diffusion tensor imaging for patients with brain tumors.

MRI has also become a critical tool for treating and diagnosing patients with prostate cancer. High-field MRI in this quality is necessary for prostate cancer detection, staging, and lesion localization for biopsy planning.

Abdominal imaging is also much better performed on the 1.5 Tesla system. When we perform MRI scans of the liver, we use high-field dynamic MRI studies, and we can't do that on the 1.2 Tesla system. We can only -- we can only perform those studies on the 1.5 Tesla system. And we do that basically to determine -- to evaluate and characterize liver lesions which are found on routine CT and ultrasound studies.

So for these reasons, I would respectfully
ask that you consider our application, and I thank you for allowing me to speak.

HEARING OFFICER KELLEY: Thank you.
THE MODERATOR: Our next comment comes from
Brad Field.
Your line is open.
MR. FIELD: Good evening.
My name is Brad Field, F-i-e-l-d. I am the center manager and chief technologist for the Shields MRI Western Ave. Center with Shields Healthcare.

Before joining Shields, I trained in MRI at Mass. General Hospital from 1984 to 1986. I joined Shields in late 1986 and assisted in opening the first DPH-licensed outpatient imaging center. I have greatly valued spending the past 36 years as a career with them. I also serve as a protocol manager for Shields MRI with the responsibility of overseeing the imaging protocols for the network.

I appreciate the opportunity to share my support for this DoN application to add a second MRI at Shields' freestanding MRI center in Brighton.

I would like to outline the differences from a patient perspective between the currently
operational Hitachi Oasis and the conventional 1.5T imager like the Siemens Espreee.

The Hitachi was purchased and installed to provide access to high quality MRI imaging to claustrophobic and bariatric patients who otherwise could not be imaged in conventional bore imagers. Unique to the Hitachi, it is the only high-field scanner which provides a horizontally wide-open patient experience. Several patients who have previously been imaged on our conventional scanners have sought out the Hitachi in hopes of overcoming their claustrophobia.

Though Hitachi has provided an improved patient experience for claustrophobics and bariatrics, there are some scans that are more suitable for the traditional 1.5T Espree.

For example, we are currently unable to image patients with active implants, such as pumps, bone growth and neural stimulators, cardiac pacemakers and other active implants. FDA requires that manufacturers test their devices for MRI safety. They all perform this testing on the horizontal field imagers and develop conditional statements which have to be met to image these
patients safely. We are not aware of any manufacturer who has performed this testing in a vertical magnetic field; therefore, we cannot perform imaging on any of these patients on our Oasis.

Additionally, there are certain scans that are more clinically appropriate on the 1.5T. These include examinations of the pancreas. We do not visualize this organ well enough on the 1.2 Oasis. We are not scanning MR enterography. The diffusion and post-contrast imaging is not diagnostic enough on the Oasis. Certain examinations of the pelvis, such as prostate examinations, require 1.5T to provide adequate imaging for these studies. Furthermore, the coil designs, to work with the vertical fields, are suboptimal compared to designs that have been perfected to work on traditional scanners.

In our service area of Greater Boston, most instances where patients are not a candidate for the Hitachi require them to have more expensive and less convenient hospital-based imaging. We at Shields are committed to providing each patient with a high-quality, affordable examination that best meets
their unique needs. Reopening the Espree 1.5T will allow us to continue to live up to our commitment.

It is for these reasons I respectfully request the Department of Public Health approve this application.

Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER KELLEY: Thank you.
THE MODERATOR: Our next comment comes from
Dr. Tiron Pechet.
Your line is open.
DR. PECHET: Hi. Good evening.
My name is Dr. Tiron Pechet. I'm going to spell that. First name is T-i-r-o-n; last name is P -- as in "Peter" -- e-c-h-e-t. I currently serve as assistant medical director and chief medical information officer at Shields Healthcare. Before joining Shields, I attended Harvard College and Harvard Medical School and completed my training at Newton-Wellesley Hospital and Lahey Clinic. I joined Shields in 1996.

I appreciate the opportunity to share my support for this DoN application to add a second MRI at Shields' freestanding MRI center in Brighton.

I would like to outline the clinical
differences and similarities between the currently operational Hitachi Oasis and the industry-standard 1.5T machines, like the Siemens Espree.

The Hitachi was purchased and installed to give high-quality medical care and access to a class of patients who, for the reason of claustrophobia, were unable to tolerate examination in conventional MRI systems, and whom were, therefore, generally unable to access diagnostic information from MRI. This has been very successful and now we can serve the needs of many more claustrophobic and bariatric patients in an outpatient setting.

However, the physics of allowing the size of a magnet to be open require trade-offs that result in some areas of relative deficit with respect to a machine like the Espree.

Time: Examinations take longer in the Oasis. This is because of a combination of lower field strength and differences in the design related to the vertical field of the magnet as opposed to the horizontal field of a conventional MR system. For example, examinations on the Espree are roughly 50 percent longer -- excuse me, examinations are roughly 50 percent longer on the Hitachi as opposed
to the Espree.
And quality: While many examinations have equivalent diagnostic quality to examinations performed on a conventional machine, albeit while taking longer, there are some that, for technical reasons, are frankly not as good. While we offer these examinations to patients for whom it's their only choice, we do not offer those to non-claustrophobic patients whose needs are better served in a magnet of conventional design, like the Espree. These examinations include many examinations of the chest and abdomen, prostate imaging, breast imaging, examinations of the vessels called angiography, examination on a growing patient population with implants that are not compatible with the unique design of the Oasis.

The Hitachi is a very good machine, but it's a special-purpose magnet meant to expand our ability to serve -- to provide high-quality MRI to an underserved segment of the patient population. By reopening the Espree, we will be able to provide each patient with the examination that best matches their unique needs and to provide the optimum quality images in the lowest amount of time for that
particular patient.
I respectfully request the Department of Public Health to approve this application.

Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER KELLEY: Thank you.
THE MODERATOR: Our next comment comes from Brittany Arseneault.

Your line is open.
MS. ARSENEAULT: Good evening, everyone.
My name is Brittany Arseneault. That's A-r-s -- as in "Samantha" -- e-n-e-a-u-l-t. And I appreciate the opportunity to share my support for Shields Healthcare's recent application for a second MRI unit located at its freestanding MRI center in Brighton.

I currently serve as a PTR manager for the Boston and northern region for Shields Healthcare Group. I have been in this role for the last two years and have held different clinical and administrative roles in the organization for a total of nine years.

The Brighton MRI center has been designated a Diagnostic Imaging Center of Excellence by the American College of Radiology. The Diagnostic

Imaging Center of Excellence program is the pinnacle of medical imaging care and an achievement that goes beyond accreditation to recognize the best quality imaging practices and diagnostic care. This includes a comprehensive assessment of the entire medical imaging enterprise, including structure and outcomes. The Diagnostic Imaging Center of Excellence designated -- excuse me, designation recognizes excellence at multiple levels, including the professional staff, the technology and the policies and procedures the organization follows, and superior patient care.

Patients throughout the Commonwealth travel to our Brighton location where the recently installed Hitachi 1.2 magnet provides exceptional care to our claustrophobic and bariatric patients, giving them the dignity and respect they deserve when they arrive for their appointments and to help complete their exams. This specific patient volume requires additional time for their scans, limiting our capability for one machine. The proposed project will provide a better patient experience, reduce wait times, and provide for specialty patient scans through optimal use of both machines.

Furthermore, due to economic impact of the pandemic and increasing inflation, many Massachusetts families and individuals are just barely making ends meet. The proposed project will ensure that patients have a continuation of access to high-value and high-quality imaging at a freestanding location.

In closing, I respectfully request that the Department of Public Health approve this application in order for families like mine, and patients like me, can receive high-quality care at an affordable price.

Thank you for your time.
HEARING OFFICER KELLEY: Thank you.
THE MODERATOR: As a remainder, if you would like to make a comment, please press Star 1. Once again, that is Star 1 to make a comment.

Our next comment comes from Lisa Campisi.
Your line is open.
MS. CAMPISI: Good evening.
My name is Lisa Campisi, spelled C-a-m-p-i-s-i. I appreciate the opportunity to share my support for Shields Healthcare of Cambridge's DoN application for a second MRI at its
freestanding MRI center in Brighton.
I'm the senior director of marketing at Shields, and I've been with Shields in this field for 12 years.

The proposed project seeks to supplement the recently installed Hitachi 1.2 Tesla strength magnet by reinstating the 1.5 Tesla unit. The 1.5 Tesla is considered the gold standard for MR imaging in a clinical setting. By providing access to, and optimizing the use of, both machines, the proposed project will provide a better patient experience, reduce wait times and provide for specialty scans.

Further, this will ensure that these patients have continued access to high-quality --high-value, high-quality imaging procedures. Many Massachusetts families and individuals are just barely making ends meet due to the economic impact of the pandemic and increasing inflation.

I respectfully request that the Department of Public Health approve this application. Approval of this request will allow for more patients like myself to receive high-quality care at an affordable price.

HEARING OFFICER KELLEY: Thank you.
THE MODERATOR: And as a remainder, once again, to make a comment, please press Star 1.

We're standing by. I'm showing no comments at this time.

HEARING OFFICER KELLEY: Thank you, Julie.
We'll wait just another minute.
(Pause)
Well, thank you to everyone for participating this evening. And as a reminder, written comments will be accepted through June 3 rd. Thank you very much.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you for your participation.

Participants, you may disconnect at this time.
(Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded at 6:43 p.m.)


| 0 | 2022 1:17 4:17 | 6:43 39:18 | additional 15:14 19:4 36:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01752 7:1 | 40:6$21 \quad 2: 8$ | 7 |  |
| 01752 7.1 |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 7 & 2: 3 \end{array}$ | additionally 31:6 adequate 31:14 adjust 25:24 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \quad 3: 74: 166: 4 \\ & \text { 11:13,22,24 } 37: 16 \\ & 37: 1739: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 24 1:17 40:6 |  |  |
|  |  | 8 | administrative |
|  | 27 2:11 | 85 9:7 18:17 | 35: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1.2 \quad 8: 23 \quad 25: 16 \\ & 27: 12,21,23 \quad 28: 18 \\ & 31: 936: 1538: 6 \end{aligned}$ |  | a | advanced 17:2 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 8 6 2 6} \quad 40: 10 \\ & \mathbf{2 9} \quad 2: 12 \end{aligned}$ | abdomen 10:17 22:19 34:12 | 28:3 <br> advantage 23:1 advisory 10:4 |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { 1.2t } 8: 20 \text { 17:16,21 } \\ 21: 2022: 6,11 \end{array}$ | 2 2.12 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1 . 5 \quad 5 : 3 ~ 1 0 : 1 5} \\ & \text { 15:12 19:2 20:15 } \end{aligned}$ | 31 9:24 | abdominal 28:15 <br> ability 17:24 | affordable 8:14 |
| 15:12 19:2 20:15 24:8 26:14 27:8 27:5 | $32 \quad 2: 13$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { able } 10: 22 \text { 13:16 } \\ 15: 2125: 17,21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14:5 16:11,16 } \\ & 21: 2 ~ 24: 19 ~ 31: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| 27:15 28:4,16,20 $38: 7,8$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 21 \\ & 34: 21 \end{aligned}$ | 37:11 38:23 |
| 1.5t 10:7 15:10,14 |  | accept 5:18 <br> accepted 39:11 | age $9: 18,22,23$ <br> agency 4:20 |
| 15:10,22 22:10,17 | $\begin{array}{lrl} \mathbf{3 7} & 2: 15 \\ \mathbf{3 8 5} & 5: 2 & 8: 5 \end{array}$ | access 8:17 10:19 | aging 9:10 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 30: 1,1631: 13 \\ & 32: 133: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $39 \quad 9: 22$ | 15:2,5,18 16:2,12 | albeit 34:4 <br> alexander 1:22 |
| 32.1 1.5t. $31: 7$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { 3d } & 28: 5 \\ \text { 3rd } & 39: 11 \\ \text { 3t } & 15: 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:16 20:17 24:11 } \\ & 30: 433: 5,937: 5 \\ & 38: 915 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $12 \quad 2: 438: 4$ |  |  | allotted 7:10 <br> allow 13:22 14:4 |
| 14 2:5 20:9 |  | $\begin{array}{\|cc\|} \hline 38: 9,15 & \\ \text { accessibility } & 16: 10 \end{array}$ |  |
| 16.6 10:6 | 4 | accommodate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15:23 21:1 24:17 } \\ & 32: 238: 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| 17 2:6 | $\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{4 0} & 8: 11 & 15: 16 \\ \mathbf{4 3 0 0} & 16: 3 & 18: 16 \\ \mathbf{4 7} & 9: 23 & \end{array}$ |  |  |
| 19 2:7 |  | 18:21 22:8,12,16 | allowing 13:19 |
| 1972 8:8 |  | account 5:13 | 29:2 33:13 |
| 1984 29:13 | 5 | accreditation 36:3 <br> accurate 40:4 accurately 6:15 11:17 | allston 8:19 9:1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 19868: 1029: 13 \\ & 29: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|ccc} \hline \mathbf{5 0} & 9: 18 & 17: 20 \\ 18: 14 & 33: 23,24 \\ \mathbf{5 5} & 15: 17 \\ \mathbf{5 7 0} & 15: 3 & 18: 3 \\ 21: 24 & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 9:20 10:7 14:18 |
| 1996 32:20 |  |  | alston 10:2 <br> american 35:24 |
| 2 11:23 |  | acknowledge 3:21 | amount 34:24 |
| 2,292,401 5:6 | 6 | 3:23 | ample 18:11 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}2.2 & 18: 7 \\ 2012 & 12.11\end{array}$ |   <br> $\mathbf{6}$ $10: 6$ <br> $\mathbf{6 0}$ $9: 23$ <br> $\mathbf{6 7}$ $6: 24$ <br> $\mathbf{6 : 0 4}$ $1: 16$ | acquire 19:4 | analysis 28:6 <br> analyst 14:22 |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{2 0 1 2} & 12: 11 \\ \mathbf{2 0 2 0} & 14: 24 \\ 17: 13 \end{array}$ |  | active $30: 18,20$ <br> add 4:20 8:5 29:21 | $\begin{array}{rr} \text { analyst } & 14: 22 \\ 21: 16 \end{array}$ |
| 17:18 21:19 25:16 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { add } 4: 20 \text { 8:5 } 29: 21 \\ & 32: 22 \end{aligned}$ | angiography |
| $\begin{array}{cc} 2021 & 15: 3,15 \\ 18: 3 \\ 18: 2 & 21: 24 \end{array}$ |  | addition 13:21 <br> 16.4 $22 \cdot 1,9,15,21$ | 34:14 |



|  |  | ```compatible 34:15 competition 13:8 complete 15:11,14 18:1 36:19 completed 15:16 15:17 32:18 completing 16:3 compliance 4:15 comply 20:20 24:14 comprehensive 36:5 concluded 39:18 conditional 30:23 conference 1:3,12 3:8,11 5:23 confirmed 9:4 consider 7:4 29:1 considered 38:8 containing 11:1 containment 16:5 22:23 contends 9:11 context 17:21 continuation 37:5 continue 13:16 15:9 32:2 continued 13:14 38:15 continues 18:5 contrast 15:17 31:11 contribute 22:22 convenient 10:20 31:22 conveniently 26:3 conventional 30:1 30:6,10 33:7,21 34:4,10 copy 6:17``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline partment \& dignity 36:17 \& elia 2:8 21:7,9,10 \& minations \\
\hline 3:17 4:6,7 6:19,23 \& diplomate 1:22 \& elizabeth 1:10 \& 31:12,13 33:17,22 \\
\hline 7:3,6 8:3 11:8 \& 40:2,12 \& 3:12,15 \& 33:23 34:2,3,7,11 \\
\hline 14:2 16:19 19:17 \& director 1:10 \& employed 20:7 \& 34:12,13 \\
\hline 20:23 23:5 24:20 \& 2:7,13 17:11 \& employee 24:2 \& example 9:21 \\
\hline :19 32:4 35:2 \& 0:7 27:5 32:15 \& enabling 4:18 \& 30:17 33:22 \\
\hline 37:9 38:20 \& \& nber 13 \&  \\
\hline departments \& disconnect 3:9 \& ends 37:4 38:1 \& excellence 35:23 \\
\hline 12 \& :15 \& engaging 4:22 \& 36:1,8,9 \\
\hline serve 36:17 \& discuss 27:16 \& england 8:12 \& exceptional 36:15 \\
\hline design 33:19 \& distres \& enhanced 8:17 \& excuse 33:23 36:8 \\
\hline 34:10,16 \& don 1:7 4:5,13, \& \& ecutive 7:1 \\
\hline designated 35:22 \& 9,20,21,22 \& enhancing 17 \& existing 22:1 \\
\hline 36:8 \& 1,16 7:7 17:5 \& ensure 37:5 38:14 \& expand 34:18 \\
\hline esignation 36:8 \& :23 27:7 29:2 \& enterography \& expected 10:5 \\
\hline designs 31:15,16 \& 22:22 37:24 \& 31:10 \& 22:3 \\
\hline etection 28:13 \& donahue 9:16 \& enterprise 36:6 \& expects 20:19 \\
\hline mination 1:5 \& dph 4:6 29:15 \& entire 36:5 \& 24:13 \\
\hline 19 4:3,5 6:23 \& dph.don 6:20 \& equating 18:8 \& expenditure 5:6 \\
\hline ine 28:21 \& dr 26:24 27:2 \& equipment 1:7 \& exense 11:2 19:4 \\
\hline -d 18:6 \& 9,11,1 \& 4.21 \& expensive 31:21 \\
\hline ermining 28:7 \& dr \& equivalent 34 \& experience 6:9 9 \\
\hline velop 30:23 \& due 16:132 \& espree 10:10 \& 0 20:11,21 \\
\hline development \& 22:11 37:1 38:18 \& 13:21 15:10,1 \& 24:15 26:10,16 \\
\hline 14:22 17:11 21:16 \& dynamic 28:17 \& 16:15 19:3 22 \& 0:9,14 36:22 \\
\hline evices 27:17,22 \& e \& 6:14 30:16 32:1 \& 38:12 \\
\hline 2 30:21 \& e \& 33:3,16,22 34:1 \& experienced 13:3 \\
\hline gnoses 15:6 \& 14:14 17:4 21:10 \& 4:11,21 \& experiences 18:7 \\
\hline diagnosing 28:11 \& $$
23: 21,21,21 \quad 25: 5
$$ \& espreee 30:2 \& express 8:2 \\
\hline diagnosis 20:22 \& \& evaluate 28:21 \& extremities 10:3 \\
\hline \&  \& evan 2:9 23:13, \& f \\
\hline diagnostic 17:18 \& $$
1,1
$$ \& 23:20
evening

7 \& 40:1 \\
\hline :11 33:9 34:3 \& economic 37:1 \& evening 3:15 4 \& facilities 8:11 \\

\hline $\begin{array}{r}35: 23,24 ~ 36: 4,7 \\ \text { differe } \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& $$
18
$$ \& :23 14:12 17: \& facility $14: 17$ \\

\hline $\begin{array}{ll}\text { difference } & 25: 19 \\ \text { differences } & 29: 23\end{array}$ \& edmond 23:10,1 \& 9:24 21:9 27: \& 15:18 16:10,1 \\

\hline differences 29:23 \& effort 5:7 \& 49:7 32:11 35:1 \& $$
26: 3
$$ \\

\hline 33:1,19 \& ehemey 23:10,13 \& 7:20 39:10 \& faculty $26: 7$ \\

\hline fferent 5:21 \& $$
23: 16,19,20
$$ \& examination \& \[

families \quad 37: 3,10
\] \\

\hline 13:1 35:19 \& ehemy 2:9 \& 31:24 33:7 34:14 \& 38:17 \\

\hline $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { diffusion } 28: 8 \\
& 31: 10
\end{aligned}
$$ \& either 6:13 16:13 \& \& family 8:12 \\

\hline
\end{tabular}

| faster 10:15 | 16:9,11 17:6 19:7 | government 4:20 | healthcare's 17:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| favorably 11:9,9 | 19:14 20:5 21:13 | 19:8 | 20:4 21:12 25:7 |
| fda 30:20 | 22:24 23:24 25:8 | graduating 12:9 | 35:13 |
| feel 6:18 | 29:22 32:23 35:14 | greater 8:23 13:24 | hear 4:4 5:8 |
| field 2:12 10:14 | 37:7 38:1 | 17:15,19 31:19 | hearing 1:3,10 |
| 24:8 27:14 28:5 | frequently 10:1 | greatly 7:6 17:24 | 3:14,22 4:9 5:7,19 |
| 28:12,17 29:5,7,8 | fuji $27: 12,21,23$ | 29:16 | 5:20 7:18 11:11 |
| 30:7,23 31:3 | full $13: 716: 2$ | grew 12:12 | 14:7 16:22 19:20 |
| 33:19,20,21 38:3 | further 13:4 18:10 | group 7:13 8:1 | 21:5 23:8 24:23 |
| fields 31:16 | 38:14 | 14:22 17:12 20:8 | 26:22 29:3 32:7 |
| filed 1:7 | furthermore 10:4 | 21:16 24:2 25:11 | 35:5 37:14 39:1,6 |
| filing 4:16,16 | 31:15 37:1 | 35:18 | hearings 5:21 |
| finally $22: 21$ | future 9:9 | grow 10:5 15:9 | held 25:12 35:19 |
| financial 16:13 | fy21 18:16 | 18:5 22:3 | hello 19:24 |
| finding $4: 14$ | g | growing 9:13 | help 11:16 12:15 |
| findings 11:2 | g 3:1 20:2 $25: 5$ | 34:14 | 16:12,15 22:16 |
| first 7:9,16 8:9,21 | gather 5:7 | growth 9:17 11:1 | 36:18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 11: 18 ~ 12: 1 \quad 18: 12 \\ & 27: 16 ~ 29: 15 ~ 32: 13 \end{aligned}$ | general 7:8 9:20 | guidance $4: 22$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { hi } 23: 2025: 4 \\ 32: 11 \end{gathered}$ |
| five 10:6,7 12:7 |  | h | high 8:14,17 9:10 |
| 19 15:7 18:19 | give 33:5 | h 23:21 32:14 | 10:14 11:4 14:5 |
| 21:18 22:3 | given 18:7,20 | half $25: 12$ | 16:8,16 20:17 |
| flexibility 13:23 | giving $36: 17$ | handful 8:22 | 21:2 24:5,5,8,12 |
| focus 18:23 | glassman | happening $\square$ 3.2 | 24:18 27:14 28:5 |
| focused 8:13 | $22,242$ | $3: 24$ | 28:12,17 30:4,7 |
| follow 13:2 | go 7:9 | harvard 32:17,18 | 31:24 33:5 34:19 |
| following 5:19 | goal 11:1 13:1 | health $1: 1,63: 18$ | 37:6,6,11 38:15 |
| 8:18 27:6 | $20: 222: 23$ | $4: 65: 146: 248: 3$ | 38:16,16,23 |
| follows 36:11 | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { 20.2 } \\ \text { goals } & 16: 5\end{array}$ | 11:8 12:10 14:3 | higher 10:20 |
| foregoing 40:3 | $\text { goes } \quad 36: 2$ | 16:19 19:9,17 | 24:10 |
| forest 6:24 | $\text { going } 32: 12$ | $0: 24 \text { 23:5 24:2 }$ | highlight 8:4 |
| forgone 16:13 | gold 10:13 15:19 | 26:20 32:4 35:3 | historical 22:7 |
| format 17:23 | 20:15 24:8 38:8 | $37: 938: 21$ | hit 23:11 |
| forward 4:12 | gomes 2:10 25:1,3 | healthcare 1:6,11 | hitachi 8:20 9:6 |
| found 11:3 28:22 | 25:5 | $3: 16 \text { 4:10,18 7:1 }$ | 14:23 15:16,23 |
| founded 8:7 | 25.5 | $7 \cdot 148 \cdot 113 \cdot 12 \cdot 18$ | 16:2 17:15,21 |
| four 7:10 | 14:12 17:2 19: | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 148: 1,1312: 18 \\ & 14 \cdot 16 \cdot 20.17 \cdot 10 \end{aligned}$ | 18:16,23 21:19 |
| frankly 34:6 |  |  | 25:15 30:1,3,7,11 |
| free 6:18 $22: 10$ |  |  | 30:13 31:21 33:2 |
| freestanding 8:17 | 35.937. |  | 33:4,24 34:17 |
| 8:21 10:5 14:17 | 35:9 37:20 | $35: 17 \text { 37:23 }$ | 36:15 38:6 |


| hopd 16:8,17 | implants 27:18,20 | ded 5:1 | ed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hopes 30:11 | 30:18,20 34:15 | intending 4:20 | length 6:18 |
| horizontal 30:23 | implement 24:7 | interested 5:8 | lesion 28:13 |
| 33:21 | important 13:15 | invested 17:15 | lesions 28 |
| horizontally 30:8 | 19:1,9 | j | vels 36 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { hospital 12:12 } \\ \text { 19:12 29:13 31:22 } \end{array}$ | improved 30:13 <br> include 10:3 31:8 | $\text { j } \quad 17: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { licensed 8:5 9:13 } \\ & \text { 29:15 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 32:19 | 34:11 | joined 29:13 32:20 | limit 6:15 |
| hospitals 11:5 | includes 10:14 | $32: 17$ | limited 15:1 21:22 |
| housed 10:11 | 22:19 36:5 | jonathan 2:6 | limiting 18:15 |
| hpe 11:2 | including 27:23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nathan } \\ & 16: 24 \\ & 17: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 36:20 |
| hpc's 16:6 23:2 | 3:6,9 | joyner 2:6 16:2 | line 5:23 7:8 11:23 |
| i | incorporated 4:11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { yner } \\ & 17: 2,3 \end{aligned}$ | 1:24 12:3 14:1 |
| ideal 10:16 | increase 20:17 | julie 2:7 3:14 5:24 | 6:5 17:1 19:23 |
| identified 17:13 | 24:11 | 11:18 19:22 20:1 | :8 23:10,12 |
| 18:3 | increased 13:24 | 39:6 | 25:2 27:1 29:6 |
| identify 9:1 | 15:18,24 22:7 | june 39:11 | 32:10 35:8 37:19 |
| illustrates 19:10 |  | k | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lines } \begin{array}{rl} 3: 5 & 11: 12 \\ \text { lics } & \cdot 15 \\ 37 \cdot 1 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { image } 15: 2430: 18 \\ & 30: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $37: 238: 19$ | k 1:22 14:14 27:4 | listen 3:4 |
| imaged 27:11,14 | independent 8:9 | 40:2,11 | live 32:2 |
| 30:6,10 | indicated 6:3 | kelley 1:103:120 | liver 28:17,22 |
| imager 30:2 | indications 27:14 | 3:14,15 7:18 | living 18:9 |
| imagers 30:6,23 | individuals 37:3 | 1114:7 16:22 | local 13:5 |
| images 24:8,10 | 8:17 | 9:20 21:5 23:8 | localization 28:14 |
| 34:24 | industry 33:2 |  | located 5:2 |
| imaging 5:4 8:18 | inflation 16:14 | 32:16 | 20:5 21:13 26:4 |
| 9:10 10:13,20 | 37:2 38:19 | 39:1,6 | 35:14 |
| 11:3 15:20 17:22 | influx 13:14 <br> information 5:8 | know 7:5 <br> knowledge 12:15 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { location 10:12 } \\ \text { 12:24 13:22 16:9 } \end{array}$ |
| 19:10 20:15,18 | information 5:8 |  | 12:24 13:22 16:9 |
| 23:3 24:12 27:18 | 5:13 7:10 | 1 | 17:7 18:2 |
| 28:5,8,15 29:15 | 3:9 | 1 12:6,6 20:2 21:10 | 21:24 27:8 36: <br> 37:7 |
| 29:19 30:4 31:4 | injury 13:4 | 29:8 35:11 | locations 12:7,12 |
| 31:11,14,22 34:13 | installed 14:23 | lahey 32:19 | logistics 5:20 |
| 34:13 35:23 36:1 |  | large 26:5 | longer 9:6 18:14 |
| 36:2,4,6,7 37:6 | 21:19 25:15 30:3 <br> 33:4 36:15 $38: 6$ | largest 9:17 | $\begin{gathered} \text { longer } 9: 6 \text { 18:14 } \\ \text { 22:12 } 33: 17,23,24 \end{gathered}$ |
| 38:9,16 | 33:4 36:15 38:6 | lasala 2:4 12:2,4,5 | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 1 \\ & 34: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| impact 25:17 37:1 | institute $9 \cdot 16$ | lastly 19:1 |  |
| 38:18 | institute 9:16 | late 29:14 |  |
| $\underset{27: 22}{\text { implanted } 27: 16}$ | intelligence 17:9 | lead 12:13 15:18 |  |



| 0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { operating } 9: 716: 2 \\ 18: 17 \end{gathered}$ | p | 20:19 21:1,21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| о 3:1 14:14 17:4 |  | p 3:1 32:14 37:22 | 22:1,9,16,23 23: |
| 25:5 32:13 | operation 18:13 | p.m. 1:16 39:18 | 24:14,18 25:20,24 |
| oasis 8:20 30:1 | operational 10:11 | pacemakers 27:24 | 26:2,10 27:11,17 |
| 31:5,9,12 33:2,18 | 30:1 33:2 | 30:20 | 27:22,24 28:7,9 |
| 34:16 | operations 12:1 |  | 28:11 30:5,9,18 |
| objections 3:9 | opinions 5:8 | pancreas | 31:1,4,20 33:6,12 |
| observed 18:13 | opportunity 8:4 | pandemic 16:14 | 34:7,9 36:13,16 |
| occasions 24:4 | 2:17 13:18 14:15 | 37:2 38:19 | 37:5,10 38:15,22 |
| offer 13:22 17:16 | 15:4 17:4 20:3 | panel 8:16 9:14,19 | pause 3:23 4:1 |
| 18:22 34:6,8 | 11 23:21 25:6 | 9:22,24 10:19 | 39:8 |
| offered 19:14 | 9:20 32:21 35:12 |  | payers 19:8 |
| offering 8:17 | opposed 33:20,24 | parameters 27:19 | pechet $2: 1332$ |
| 13:16 | opposed $33: 20,24$ <br> optimal $36: 24$ | participants 1:12 | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 11,12 \\ & \text { pelvis } 22: 2031: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| offers 17:21 <br> officer 1:10 | optimizing 12:22 | 3:4 39:15 | percent 9:7,24 |
| officer 7:18 11:11 14:14 | 0:12 38:10 | 39:10 | 10:6 18:7,14,17 |
| 16:22 19:20 21:5 | optimum 34:23 | participation 7:7 | 33:23,24 |
| 23:8 24:23 26:22 | option 17:20 | 9:14 | perfected 31:17 |
| 29:3 32:7,16 35:5 | options 15:2 16:11 | particular 9:5 | perform 28:3,16 |
| 37:14 39:1,6 | 21:23 | $35: 1$ | 28:19 30:22 31:4 |
| offs 33:14 | orally 7:4 | parties 5:9 | performed 11:5 |
| okay 23:19 | order 2:1 13:6 | passed 19:5 | 16:7 25:21 27:10 |
| old 9:23 | :10 | patient 8:16 9:5 | 28:16 31:2 34:4 |
| older 9:20 | organ 31:9 | 9:14,19,22,24 | permitted 5:11 |
| once 10:22 11:23 | organization 20:9 | 10:19 12:20,21 | person 4:19 5:21 |
| 22:19 37:17 39:2 | 1:18 35:20 36:11 | 13:13,17 14:20 | perspective 22:14 |
| open 3:5 7:8 8:21 | outcomes 36:7 | 15:8 16:1 17:24 | 25:18 29:24 |
| 11:12,23 12:3 | outline 29:23 | 20:8,11,12,14 | pet 8:11 |
| 14:11,24 15:2 | 32:24 | 22:2,13 24:1,3 | peter 32:14 |
| 17:1,16 19:23 | outpatient 8:14 | 3,18 26:16,17 | pharmacy 12:10 |
| 21:8,20,23 23:12 | 1:5 12:11 19:12 | 29:24 30:9,14 | phone 6:11 |
| 25:2,16 27:1,12 | 153:12 | $31: 23 \text { 34:14,20,22 }$ | physics 33:13 |
| 27:14 29:6 30:8 | outside 26:4 | $35: 136: 12,19,22$ | pinnacle 36:1 |
| 32:10 33:14 35:8 | overcoming 30:11 | 36:23 38:11 | planning 13:7 |
| 37:19 | overseeing 12:7 | patients 9:1 10:21 | 17:9 28:14 |
| opened 8:8 23:10 | 29:19 | 13:1,1,12,15 14:4 | plaques 28:6 |
| opening 29:14 | owned 8:12 | $14: 24 \text { 15:4,11,13 }$ | please 3:9 6:4,12 |
| operated 8:13 |  | 15:21 16:12,16 | 6:13,18 7:5,18 |
| operates 8:20 |  | $17: 19 \quad 18: 3,9,15$ | 11:13,15,15,22 |
|  |  | 18:17,19 19:5 | 23:11 37:16 39:3 |




|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |



