

Charles D. Baker Governor

Karyn Polito
Lieutenant Governor

Thomas A. Turco III
Secretary

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Public Safety and Security

PAROLE BOARD

12 Mercer Road Natick, Massachusetts 01760

Telephone # (508) 650-4500 Facsimile # (508) 650-4599



Gloriann Moroney
Chair

Kevin Keefe Executive Director

RECORD OF DECISION

IN THE MATTER OF RAMON GELIGA W88950

TYPE OF HEARING:

Initial Hearing

DATE OF HEARING:

February 25, 2020

DATE OF DECISION:

June 2, 2020

PARTICIPATING BOARD MEMBERS: Gloriann Moroney, Dr. Charlene Bonner, Tonomey Coleman, Sheila Dupre, Tina Hurley, Karen McCarthy, Colette Santa

STATEMENT OF THE CASE: On December 27, 2006, in Bristol Superior Court, Ramon Geliga pleaded guilty to the second-degree murder of 61-year-old Thomas Murray. He was sentenced to life imprisonment with the possibility of parole. That same day, he pleaded guilty to possession of a firearm and received a concurrent sentence of 4-5 years. Also, a charge of possession of ammunition was filed. Mr. Geliga was 17-years-old at the time of the offense.

Mr. Geliga appeared before the Parole Board for an initial hearing on February 25, 2020 and was represented by Attorneys Ryan Schiff and Courtney Kenyon. The entire video recording of Mr. Geliga's February 25, 2020 hearing is fully incorporated by reference to the Board's decision.

DECISION OF THE BOARD: After careful consideration of all relevant facts, including the nature of the underlying offense, the age of the inmate at the time of offense, criminal record, institutional record, the inmate's testimony at the hearing, and the views of the public as expressed at the hearing or in written submissions to the Board, we conclude by unanimous vote that the inmate is a suitable candidate for parole. Reserve to an approved home plan. Mr. Geliga has made a level of rehabilitative progress that would not make his release incompatible with the welfare of society. Mr. Geliga has served approximately 15 years for the murder of Mr. Murray. He has completed numerous programs to address his causative factors and has been a model inmate. Mr. Geliga has been in a minimum security facility for approximately three years and has demonstrated he can be successful in a less restrictive security level.

The applicable standard used by the Board to assess a candidate for parole is: "Parole Board Members shall only grant a parole permit if they are of the opinion that there is a reasonable probability that, if such offender is released, the offender will live and remain at liberty without violating the law and that release is not incompatible with the welfare of society." 120 C.M.R. 300.04. In the context of an offender convicted of first or second degree murder, who was a juvenile at the time the offense was committed, the Board takes into consideration the attributes of youth that distinguish juvenile homicide offenders from similarly situated adult offenders. Consideration of these factors ensures that the parole candidate, who was a juvenile at the time they committed murder, has "a real chance to demonstrate maturity and rehabilitation." Diatchenko v. District Attorney for the Suffolk District, 471 Mass. 12, 30 (2015); See also Commonwealth v. Okoro, 471 Mass. 51 (2015). The factors considered by the Board include the offender's "lack of maturity and an underdeveloped sense of responsibility, leading to recklessness, impulsivity, and heedless risk-taking; vulnerability to negative influences and outside pressures, including from their family and peers; limited control over their own environment; lack of the ability to extricate themselves from horrific, crime-producing settings; and unique capacity to change as they grow older." Id.

In forming this opinion, the Board has taken into consideration Mr. Geliga's institutional behavior, as well as his participation in available work, educational, and treatment programs during the period of his incarceration. The Board has also considered a risk and needs assessment and whether risk reduction programs could effectively minimize Mr. Geliga's risk of recidivism. After applying this appropriately high standard to the circumstances of Mr. Geliga's case, the Board is of the unanimous opinion that Mr. Geliga is rehabilitated and merits parole at this time.

Special Conditions: Reserve to approved home plan; Waive work for 2 weeks; Must be at home between 10 p.m. and 6 a.m.; ELMO-electronic monitoring; Supervise for drugs; testing in accordance with agency policy; Supervise for liquor abstinence; testing in accordance with agency policy; Report to assigned MA Parole Office on day of release; No contact with victim's family; Must have substance abuse evaluation and adhere to plan; Must have mental health counseling for adjustment/transition.

IMPORTANT NOTICE: The above decision is an abbreviated administrative decision issued in an effort to render an expedited resolution in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Mr. Geligal through counsel, has waived his right to a full administrative decision.

I certify that this is the decision and reasons of the Massachusetts Parole Board regarding the

Pamela Murphy, General Counsel

Date