

MassHealth Provider Rate Setting

9/19/14

David Garbarino Director, Purchasing Strategy



MassHealth

Agenda

Rate Development

- a. Purpose & Objective
- b. Types of rates and providers
- c. Process
- d. Data Sources
- e. Methodologies and considerations
- f. Stakeholders
- g. Innovations



Purpose

- MassHealth sets rates of payment for providers that are directly contracted
- Rates are paid to providers for members in the PCC program and FFS
- MassHealth is not a party to contracts and rates of payment paid by MCEs to providers, i.e. MCO, SC, etc.



Objective

- MassHealth's objective is to pay reasonable rates of payment that reflect the cost of providing services by efficient and economically operated facilities and providers, and
- Within the financial capacity of the Commonwealth
- Ensure access to services in the communities we serve
- Focus on high quality care
- Transparency
- Comply with all federal and state regulations

MassHealth

Rate Development

Types of rates/providers

- 1. Institutional
 - a. Acute hospitals
 - b. Sub-acute-CDR hospitals
 - c. Nursing facilities
 - d. Private psychiatric hospitals
- 2. Professional
 - a. Physicians
 - b. NPs/PAs
 - c. MH/SA providers
- 3. Ambulatory Providers, e.g.
 - a. CHCs
 - b. Clinical Labs
 - c. Home Health Services
 - d. Others



Process-Institutional providers

- Most institutional rates set by annual contract
 - Acute hospital, Chronic Disease and Rehab RFA, Private psych hospitals (Nursing facility set through regulatory process)
 - Contract rates reviewed and updated annually by MassHealth with assistance from CHIA staff
 - Process Includes:
 - Data identified and Validated
 - Cost review and analysis
 - Methodology review to asses the need for changes
 - Assessment of any new legislative requirements
 - Assessment of budget requirements
 - Prioritization of other rate and program initiatives



Process – Non-institutional providers

- ➤ 36 Ambulatory and professional rates set in accordance with regulatory promulgation process- M.G.L 118E Sec. 13C, 13D
 - Multi-step process that can take up to a year from start to finish
 - Process Includes:
 - Analysis
 - Proposal
 - Budget assessment
 - Internal/executive review
 - A&F and Governors office sign-off
 - Public Hearing
 - Review of public comment
 - Re-proposal if changes are made
 - Final Approval
 - Adoption



Data Sources

- ➤ Current sources for data
 - Cost Report: (UFRs from the Operational Services Division, CHIA cost reports)
 - MassHealth claims data
 - External benchmarks: Industry studies, salary websites
 - Other Governmental published sources: Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), HUD, Medicare fee schedules, other states' Medicaid
 - All Payer Claims Database (APCD)



Methodology

- ➤ The statute authorizes several standards and methods which include, but are not limited to, the following:
- Peer group cost analyses
- Ceilings on capital and operating costs
- Productivity standards
- The revision of existing historical costs basis where applicable, to reflect norms of efficient service delivery
- Other means to encourage the cost-efficient delivery of services



Methodology

- Methodology varies by provider type
- Current Methods used (examples):
- Cost Report Based Unit Rates
- Relative Value Based
- Inflation Adjusted
- Benchmark to other Payer (e.g. Medicare)
- Model Budget



Cost Report – Based Unit Methodology

- Numerous provider types, including: Nursing Homes, Adult Day Health Programs, Home Health Agencies, Ambulance Companies, Day Habilitation, Community Health Centers, Adult Foster Care, Group Adult Foster Care
- Reports are analyzed
 - Administrative costs
 - Direct care personnel compensation
 - Non- compensation expenses
- Develop several options for consideration
 - Approval of rates by MassHealth
 - MassHealth initiates regulatory process



Relative Value Based Methodology

- > Fee for Service pricing method used primarily for physician services
- A Harvard study for CMS in the 1980s assigned numeric values called Relative Value Units (RVUs) to each service a clinician provides
- The RVUs account for the complexity and expense associated with each service.
- Types of RVUs:
 - Work RVUs measures the time, technical skill and effort, mental effort and judgment, and stress
 - Practice Expense RVUs measures non-physician clinical work, non-clinical work and overhead such as expenses for building space, equipment, and office supplies
 - Malpractice RVUs allocates the cost of malpractice insurance premiums to each service

```
Payment rate = (RVU Work * GPCI Work) +

(RVU Practice Expense * GPCI Practice Expense) + • CF

(RVU Malpractice * GPCI Malpractice)]
```

GPCI: Geographic Pricing Index



Inflation Adjusted Using Cost Adjustment Factor

- Cost Adjustment Factors are used to inflate existing rates
- The CAF is calculated using CPI data (quarterly inflation indices). The formula considers the Rate Period and the Base Period of the regulation.
- <u>Prospective CAF</u>: Considers anticipated inflation throughout the effective period of the regulation
- <u>Retrospective CAF</u>: Considers both anticipated inflation throughout the effective period, and unaccounted inflation since the regulation was last reviewed.

Rate Period: Effective period of the regulation

Base Period: Varies depending on whether the methodology uses a cost report or inflates the current rate



Benchmark to Medicare

- > Some MassHealth rates are benchmarked to an established standard
- An example of this is professional services are set at 75% of Medicare



Model Budget- Program Based Method

- A program based method builds a model budget that includes all the major cost components of a program.
- The example is a service model budget for a specialty program. Rates were calculated using the following data:
 - Uniform financial reports (UFR)
 - Provider cost estimates
 - Purchaser staffing guidelines
 - Market based salary data (salary.com)



Model Budget- Program Based Method

		FTE	Salary
Staffing	Management	1.32	\$66,232
	Medical	1.1	\$101,629
	Nursing	10.08	\$57,885
	Case Mgmt	8.55	\$37,916
	Recovery Sp	10.08	\$26,952
	Support	4.99	\$28,898
	Taxes & Fringe	23.00%	
	Direct Staffing		
	Total		\$1,873,259
) A // - A D	
Other		Wtd Avg Per	
Other	Travel	Unit \$1.58	
Program	Meals	\$1.58	
		\$16.31	
Expenses	Occupancy Other program	\$10.51	
	expenses	\$2.55	
	Subtotal	Ψ2.55	\$2,286,047
	Direct Admin	\$4.34	ΨΣ,ΣΟΟ,ΟΨΙ
Admin	Admin M&G	12.00%	
Aumm	Total	12.0070	\$2,607,890
	- Iotai		42,001,000
	CAF	5.38%	2,748,194.48
Final Rate w	Utilization		
_	Factor	87.5%	2,404,670
CAF &			
Utilization	Utilization	8,384	
Otilization			
Factor	Final Rate		\$286.83

MassHealth

Rate Development

Considerations

- Member Access
- Data availability
- State Budget
- Big picture-priorities



Stakeholders

- Important part of process
- Opportunity for MassHealth to hear from the provider community
- Questions:
- Do you feel you have a forum to discuss questions and make suggestions about particular provider rate developments?
- For those rates set through the regulatory process, do you actively participate in the public hearings?



Innovations

- 1. Introduction of PCPR- Capitated primary care payment combined with a medical home load and quality improvement payments.
- 2. Transition to APR-DRG payments from fixed case rate methodology for acute inpatient hospitals
- 3. Plans to replace the Acute hospital PAPE methodology
- 4. Future ACO expansion to build on PCPR



Inpatient APR-DRG Methodology

- MassHealth is going live on 10/1/14 with its new inpatient PPS methodology
- The Inpatient prospective APR DRG methodology will assign DRGs based on the diagnosis codes on each claim submitted for payment
- 3M APR-DRG v.30 (ICD-10 compliant) will be used for assigning DRGs
- Inpatient DRG base rate will be derived from the current SPAD statewide standard
- New cost weights were developed for each DRG.
- Outliers will be based on costs and not on length of stay and will be covered as part of the prospective payment



Outpatient PPS Methodology

- MassHealth in conjunction with PCG, is working to replace the PAPE for the 2016 rate year
- The Outpatient PAPE replacement methodology is still under development, but may retain elements of the current time-based episode
- New methodology will use the 3M EAPG grouper to determine episode acuity
- New acuity weights will be developed
- New methodologies are being considered for the base rate that will incorporate costs differently then is currently done