# **Massachusetts Department of Fish and Game**

**In-Lieu Fee Program** 

# Marine Habitat Enhancement, Yarmouth MA Artificial Reef

Implemented by the Division of Marine Fisheries

DFG ILF Project Number: ILF4-CSTL-IL05 Army Corp Permit #: NAE - 2012 - 00311 issued May 8, 2014

Prepared by:

M. Rousseau

Submitted to:

The Massachusetts In Lieu Fee Program

Administered by the Department of Fish and Game



Dan McKiernan, Director

Submitted on June 9, 2020

Revised August 6, 2020

### **Project Overview**

The Massachusetts Department of Fish and Game (DFG) In-Lieu Fee Program (ILFP) funded The Division of Marine Fisheries (DMF) to enhance marine subtidal habitat in Nantucket Sound in 2019. The project proposal submitted by DMF to the DFG ILFP was finalized on March 28, 2018. DMF proposed this project to the ILFP as a pilot project to examine structured habitat enhancement projects as a cost-effective option to generate mitigation credits to address subtidal habitat impacts. The marine habitat enhancement/artificial reef site located 2.2 miles off the coast of Yarmouth in Nantucket Sound (Figure 1) was permitted in 2014 under the Corps General Permit number NAE-2012-00311. This project is expected to generate at

least 0.35 acres of marine subtidal artificial reef habitat by deploying a minimum of two thousand (2000) cubic yards of materials in dispersed patches within designated а site. Funding for this project was awarded to DMF in May 2019. This report covers the first year of the project, through calendar year 2019. Due to scheduling conflicts and weather, the deployment of materials was not completed until January 16, 2020 and the follow-



Figure 1. Location of Permitted Artificial Reef.

up side scan survey of the site to document material placement was completed on January 23, 2020. Deployment documentation and side scan survey results are included in this report. Project monitoring will continue for five years and be included in subsequent annual project reports, to end in 2024.

### **Methods**

#### Securing a Qualified Contractor

On August 30, 2019 DMF initiated a sealed bid Request for Response (RFR) through the Commonwealth's COMM Buys procurement system requesting qualified contractors to perform all necessary services to procure and deploy a minimum of 2000 cubic yards of approved artificial materials to a designated area within the licensed Yarmouth reef site (Appendix A). Two bids were received by the September 27 deadline (Appendix B). Robert B. Our Co. produced the lowest bid at \$246,277.00.

#### **Budget Amendment**

The awarded low bid proposal exceeded the proposed project budget by \$66,277. To address this difference, some budget funds were reallocated to allow for more of the total project funding to go towards the deployment cost. Line item budget adjustments are included in red in the Calendar Year (CY)

2019 budget update (Table 1). Additionally, on October 21, 2019, DMF submitted an adaptive management measure request to DFG's ILFP for an additional \$50,000 for the project. Following review of the budget modification by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) and Inter-Agency Review Team (IRT), the additional \$50,000 in ILF funding was approved as reflected in the amended Accounting and Reporting Procedures and Requirements for the project established by DFG on December 19, 2019 (Appendix C).

#### Contract Management

A signed contract from Robert B. Our Co. was received on November 6, 2019 (Appendix D). From November 7 through December 13, Robert B. Our Co. transported thirty-two truckloads of granite block totaling 890 tons (390 cubic yards) and one hundred-sixteen loads of precast concrete structure totaling 280 tons (1700 cubic yards) from Cape Cod over land to the Taunton River in Mount Hope Bay, Fall River for barge loading at a marine terminal. In total, more than 2100 cubic yards of material totaling 1169 tons were procured for reefing (Appendix E). The volume of materials required three full barge deliveries to the reef site.

#### **Material Deployments**

The first material deployment occurred on January 6, 2020 from a single barge. The DMF RV Alosa was on-site prior to deployment to confirm deployment location with the contractor and to ensure the project remained in compliance with all permit conditions. Once on-site, the contractor deployed an anchor and mooring from the barge to maintain position on site during deployment (Figure 2). The mooring remained on-site for use during subsequent deployments. Two additional barge loads were 14, 2020 completing all material deployments for the project.



deployed concurrently on January Figure 2. Material Deployment on January 6, 2020.

#### Side Scan Sonar Surveys

A pre-deployment side scan survey was completed on November 5, 2019. A post-deployment side scan survey was completed on January 23, 2020, nine days after all materials were deployed. Data from pre and post-deployment side scan surveys were analyzed for the project's performance and design and construction metrics (Figure 3). Forty percent of the project's mitigation credits are based on the



Figure 3. Pre (left) and post (right) side scan survey images. Green box outlines proposed ILF reef area.

successful location and placement of materials as described by side scan survey results before and after deployment. An additional ten percent of design and construction credits will be awarded after if a five-year side scan sonar survey demonstrates that materials have remained in place. Additional detail of the methods, results, and findings from side scan surveys conducted for this project can be found in the 2020 Side Scan Sonar Survey Report (Appendix F).

### Monitoring

Monitoring to measure ecological performance standards is scheduled to commence in the spring of 2020 in accordance with the proposed monitoring schedule (Table 2).

### **Results**

Three deployments consisting of 2210 cubic yards of materials were successfully positioned on the Yarmouth artificial reef site on January 6 and January 14, 2020 at a cost of \$246,277.

Digitization of post-deployment survey imagery identified 512 features (greater than 1 ft<sup>2</sup> in size) within the 1.1-acre proposed ILF site totaling 14,426 ft<sup>2</sup> (0.33 acres). The full footprint of materials deployed, including all features within and outside the 1.1-acre proposed site, totaled 1,292 features, covering 30,838 ft<sup>2</sup> (0.71 acres) in area. The size of individual mapped polygons ranged from 1 to 2,009 ft<sup>2</sup>, averaging 28 ft<sup>2</sup>. The quantity of materials identified in the pre-deployment survey within the proposed (27 features totaling 87 ft<sup>2</sup> (0.002 acres) and actual (121 features totaling 484 ft<sup>2</sup> (0.011 acres) deployment areas were subtracted from post-deployment data to calculate the total area of new structure to determine project credits, resulting in adjusted amounts of 14,339 ft<sup>2</sup> (0.33 acres) of new structure area within the ILF proposed footprint and 30,354 ft<sup>2</sup> (0.7 acres) of total actual area covered by deployed materials.

Material distribution was proposed as a 2:1 ratio of undisturbed area to new structure at the project location. Acreage of deployed structure (0.33) to undisturbed area (0.77) within the proposed site achieved a ratio of 2.2:1. Deployments outside the proposed area occurred in all directions surrounding the proposed location and exhibited similar structural patch densities. Additional detail from the side scan survey results is included in Appendix F.

Bottom elevation analysis of track data clearly depict a change in elevation resulting from deployment. Analysis of the post-deployment bottom elevation range across the deployment area exhibited a change of 3.24 feet, closely approximating the proposed 3.5 ft. height off bottom projected for material deployments. This is consistent with previous reef deployments of similar materials into Nantucket Sound (i.e. 2016 Harwich Reef deployment) and is within the preferred habitat elevation range of targeted species such as black sea bass, tautog, and scup.

### CY2019 Budget Update (through January 31, 2020)

In calendar year 2019 and through January 31, 2020, the ILF Yarmouth reef project expended a total of \$252,294 of the \$275,097 total project budget (92% of project funding). Payment for material deployment (\$246,277) represents 98% of all project expenses to date. Table 1 provides a breakdown of the expenses from CY2019 compared to the approved 5-year budget. The total cumulative charges include a final \$55,277 payment to the contractor upon deployment completion in January 2020, under CY2020 expenses. The remaining balance for the project is \$22,804. A line item adjustment category has been added to Table 1 to include estimated adjustments needed over the duration of the project to keep the project within the proposed budget. DMF may need to utilize other internal funding sources to address potential shortfalls in the project budget to ensure that it remains on track through project completion in 2024.

### Table 1. Budget summary table.

Line Item	Approved 5-	Additional Approved funding	CY2019	CY 2020 Expenses	Cumulative Charges	Remaining Balance	Line Item
	Year Budget	Approved funding	Expenses	(through 1/31/20)	Charges	Dalalice	Budget Adjustments
SCUBA air tank fills	\$2,160	\$0	\$800	\$0	\$800	\$1,360	
Field Supplies for monitoring	\$3,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,500	(\$1,000)
Boat fuel and maintenance	\$10,500	\$0	\$961	\$82	\$1,043	\$9 <i>,</i> 457	(\$5,277)
Gear maintenance	\$5,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5 <i>,</i> 000	(\$1,500)
Monitoring supplies	\$11,000	\$0	\$4,173	\$0	\$4,173	\$6,827	(\$4,000)
Vehicle travel and lodging	\$2,750	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,750	(\$1,500)
Material Deployment Contract	\$180,000	\$50,000	\$191,000	\$55,277	\$246,277	(\$16,277)	
Dive pay	\$10,187	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10,187	(\$3,000)
Total	\$225,097	\$50,000	\$196,934	\$55,359	\$252,294	\$22,804	(\$16,277)

### **Requirements/Performance Standards**

The project's objective is to enhance subtidal hard bottom habitat in Nantucket Sound (ILF South Coastal Service Area). DMF proposed to create 0.35 acres of structured bottom habitat on 1.1 acre of ocean bottom using a minimum of 2000 cubic yards (54,000 ft<sup>3</sup>) of material deployed to the permitted Yarmouth reef site. A secondary purpose of this project is to determine if structured habitat enhancement (i.e. artificial reefs) are a viable option for generating mitigation credits for permitted impacts to subtidal habitats.

### Success Criteria (performance standards):

Design and construction performance metrics for this project define success as materials deployed to the site as specified in the design, with 40% of the design and construction credits released upon the completion of a post-construction side scan survey (Table 2). Material deployments enhanced 14,339 ft<sup>2</sup> (0.33 acres) of structured habitat within the proposed area and an additional 16,015 ft<sup>2</sup> (0.37 acres) of habitat outside the proposed project location. In total, the project enhanced 30,354 ft2 (0.70 acres) of structured habitat within the permitted area.

### **Summary and Conclusions**

MA DMF has completed the first year of the ILF Yarmouth Artificial Reef Habitat Enhancement Project. More than 2000 cubic yards of granite and repurposed concrete material were deployed to the permitted reef site, enhancing approximately 2.1 acres of marine subtidal habitat through placement of 0.70 acres of subtidal structured habitat; 0.33 acres of subtidal structured bottom habitat within the proposed footprint and an additional 0.37 acres of habitat outside the proposed footprint. Material deployments within the proposed site enhanced subtidal habitat without exceeding a 2:1 ratio of open space to structure. An additional 0.37 acres of structured habitat outside the proposed site was also enhanced, extending out from the proposed site in all directions and in similar configurations. All materials remained within the permitted artificial reef site. A total of 0.70 acres of structure habitat enhancement is being submitted for consideration for calculating project credits. The goals of the project were to enhance 1.1 acres of subtidal habitat through placement of 0.35 acres of structure with potential credits of 0.366 (using multiplier of 1:3). The actual deployment will enhance a larger area of marine subtidal habitat than originally proposed (see Table 2).

No supplemental deployments are expected for this project. Planned monitoring for ecological performance is expected to begin in the spring of 2020; however, this monitoring may be delayed due to fieldwork restrictions enacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Monitoring will become a priority once restrictions are lifted.

### **Lessons Learned**

Future consideration of artificial reef habitat enhancement projects to mitigate for permitted impacts to subtidal habitats should consider the following lessons learned from this pilot project.

• Over-land transportation of materials represents a considerable project expense.

- Estimating project costs should be based on the weight of the materials and not volume. One cubic yard of granite weighs 10 times more than a cubic yard of repurposed concrete and is considerably more costly to move.
- Future efforts involving the comparison of pre- and post-deployment survey tracks would benefit from using the same survey vessel and using autopilot for navigation to standardize data collection.
- Broadcast dispersal of materials off a barge is an effective and efficient method for enhancing structured habitat in patches as proposed in this project.
- An established buffer zone both around the proposed deployment site and within the permitted site is an effective strategy for ensuring deployed materials remain within the permitted area when utilizing a broadcast dispersal deployment method.
- The size of the equipment to be used for material deployments needs to be factored into the selection of the size of the project area. In this case, one barge (40 ft x 120 ft) and tug (20 ft x 70 ft) occupied a substantial amount of the area over the proposed site. Equipment size was responsible for the dispersion of materials over a larger area than anticipated.
- Delays are inevitable. Equipment availability and weather were the primary factors in delaying the proposed deployment date by over five weeks. Extended delays can potentially impact project authorization timelines or TOY permit restrictions and should be expected when planning the project.
- Site markers placed on the corners of the proposed deployment area can move over time. On the date of deployment one marker was missing from the site and another marker had moved several meters off station. On-site verification of location on deployment day between the proponent and the contractor is critical to ensure appropriate placement of materials on location

## Acknowledgements

We appreciate all those who assisted in the field and reviewed this draft, especially Steven Voss, Alex Boeri, Kathryn Ford, Tay Evans, Kate Frew, Vin Malkoski, Ross Kessler, Forest Schenck, Joe Holbeche, Matt Ayer, of DMF and Iris Seto and Simonetta Harrison of Northeastern University Marine Science Center. Thanks to Aisling O'Shea, Elisabeth Cianciola and Ron Amidon of DFG for edits to drafts, help with the budget and assistance in the field during deployments, and to the Town of Yarmouth Department of Natural Resources and the Cape Cod Salties (C. Randall Sherman, Ken Whiting, Adam Lange, Robert Dyka).

Type of mitigation	Project Area <sup>1</sup>		Enhanced Habitat Area <sup>1</sup>			Potential Credits <sup>1</sup>	
Artificial Reef Habitat	2.1 acres		Reef structure – 0.7 acres			0.70 wetland credits [multiplier 1:3 for 2.1	
			Undisturbed sandy bottom – 1.4 acres acres of enhanced marine s		acres of enhanced marine subtidal habitat]		
				nhanced area = 2.1 acres	5	Proposed 2020 credit release = 0.28 (40%)	
Performance Standards & metrics	% total Credit	Credit amount		Timeline -credit release	Comments		
Design & Construction Parameters:	50%	50% 0.35		Designed to maximize its potential to function effectively as sub-			
					tidal structured habitat		
Materials deployed to site as specified in design	40%	0.28	2019 / Post-construction Upon completion of post-construction (		pletion of post-construction (year 0) side scan sonar		
			2020	0.7 *0.4 = 0.28	survey		
Material remains within proposed site and	10%	0.07	2024	Post 5-year monitoring	Upon completion of 5-year side scan sonar survey		
remains stable in accordance with permit				report			
conditions							
Monitoring: Conducted as per monitoring plan					Submitted annually		
Ecological Performance: Diversity	25%	0.175			Monitoring results show evidence of similarity of species diversity		
Species diversity – mobile species	12.5%	0.0875	2020-	Percent similarity exceeds	s The resident mobile species assemblage on the reef shall have		
			2024	60% in two monitoring	species ric	hness similar to natural reefs within the region	
				periods			
Species diversity – sessile species	12.5%	0.0875	2020-	Percent similarity exceeds	The resident sessile species assemblage on the reef shall have		
			2024	60% in two monitoring	species ric	hness similar to natural reefs within the region	
				periods			
Ecological Performance: Production	25%	0.175			Monitoring	g results show evidence of multiple size classes of	
					predator a	nd prey species	
Size/age class similarity of mobile species –	12.5%	0.0875	2020-	Percent similarity exceeds	Mobile species size class distribution on the artificial reef shall be		
upper-level consumers			2024	60% in two monitoring	similar to r	natural reefs within the region	
				periods			
Size/age class similarity of sessile species –	12.5%	0.0875	2020-	Percent similarity exceeds	The relative abundance of the top 10 sessile species on the		
benthic community/ lower level producers			2024	60% in two monitoring	artificial re	ef shall be <u>similar</u> to the top 10 sessile species on	
				periods	natural ree	efs within the region	
Total Credit Potential	100%	0.70		2020-2024	Wetlands Mitigation Credits		

### Table 2. Goals, Performance Standards, Metrics and Mitigation Credit Release Schedule

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The project proposal and mitigation plan estimated a lower potential credit of 0.366 based on a mitigation multiplier of 1:3 for a 1.1 acre enhanced habitat area (0.35 acres of reef structure, 0.75 acres open sandy bottom). The actual deployment resulted in enhancing a larger habitat area with 0.7 acres of structure placed in a configuration consistent with the project design criteria. Proposed credits account for the footprint of material as it was deployed and a total enhanced habitat area of 2.1 acres.