

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: s.sobek@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 1:51 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Sandra Sobek
013410017

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: s_querze@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Susan Querze
018432727

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: s456steve@verizon.net
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2019 12:36 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Steve Faber
020531031

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sa_joyce@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 10:30 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

S J

02446

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sagarzazu@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:00 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
marina sagardua
02135

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sally Lukez (slukez@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:17 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sally Lukez
19 Mason St
Lexington, MA 02421
slukez@hotmail.com
(781) 643-3402

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sally Mavroides (sallymavroides@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 4:41 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sally Mavroides
55 Pollock Rip Rd
South Yarmouth, MA 02664
sallymavroides@gmail.com
(508) 38-7279

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sallywallace37@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:24 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Sally Frank
021481510

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Salvatore Raciti (salvy@memorycollective.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 4:29 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

I would assume anyone advocating the burning of wood to create electricity will be profiting heavily. The very idea is barbaric over-reach, injurious to life, and threatening to the future of our forests. I urge the Governor to enact a moratorium and to consult with scientists, biologists, climate experts, and health providers. This matter should not even be a consideration in the State of Massachusetts.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Salvatore Raciti
67 Brier Road
Savoy, MA 01256
salvy@memorycollective.com
(413) 743-1743

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: samasinsam@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:36 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Judi Weiner
019071775

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Samuel Smith (sam@caretakerfarm.org) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 7:00 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

In addition to above, burning of wood including whole trees from 20 to 30 years, will significantly reduce the capacity of forests to sequester carbon.

And to repeat, there's no time anymore for 20 to 30 year-old trees to regrow.

For the survival of life on Earth, we need to nurture every standing tree...especially those 20 years old and much older.

Sincerely, SamuelWSmith

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Samuel Smith
1216 Hancock Rd
Wiamstown, MA 01267
sam@caretakerfarm.org
(413) 458-4309

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sandra Carriker (scarrike@northshore.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:14 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

We need to make progress dealing with climate change - not go backwards!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sandra Carriker
9 Laurelwood Circle
Haverhill, MA 01832
scarrike@northshore.edu
(978) 81-3247

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sandra Diener (sandydiener@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 11:31 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sandra Diener
31 Wood St
Cambridge, MA 02140
sandydiener@verizon.net
(617) 868-9235

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sandra Lee (sandymaelee@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:15 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

SandraLee
25 Pleasant St
Rockport, MA 01966
sandymaelee@comcast.net
(978) 314-5955

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sandra Nayak (sandy.nayak@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:14 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

large scale wood burning for electricity is very polluting. A little wood burning in stoves and gardens is quite enough if clean air is a genuine goal.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sandra Nayak
12 Orchard St
Belmont, MA 02478
sandy.nayak@verizon.net
(617) 489-0056

This message was sent by KnowWho, a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sandra Papush (spapush@external.umass.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:56 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

This administration has been supportive of climate change legislation but allowing wood burning is not clean energy. Please rethink this and stop this harmful change.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sandra Papush
PO Box 606
Goshen, MA 01032
spapush@external.umass.edu
(413) 884-6429

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sandy Kosterman (ckosterman57@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, July 15, 2019 11:43 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I do not believe that burning trees belongs in the RPS. There are too many health issues related to doing so, and it affects the young and elderly alike.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

SandyKosterman
141 Barton Rd
Greenfield, MA 01301
ckosterman57@comcast.net
(413) 774-2757

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sandyraelee@comcast.net
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 7:57 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
SandraLee
019662151

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sankocherry@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 2:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

We are quite alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

We urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Paul and Cynthia Phillips
01945

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sara Molyneaux (meauxmo1@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 4:03 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Massachusetts has made consistent substantial progress growing its renewable energy portfolio annually without the need to compromise it with a polluting source such as woody biomass. Let's keep our aim true for the sake of our health and because we should be and can set the highest standard for all to see.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sara Molyneaux
7 Winsdale Street
Sara, MA 02030
meauxmo1@comcast.net
(508) 785-1504

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sara Sezun (sara.sezun@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:51 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sara Sezun
119 Brainerd Rd Apt 12
Aston, MA 02134
sara.sezun@gmail.com
(617) 277-9483

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sara Zielinski (sfzfran@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:04 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sara Zielinski
101 Chestnut St
Boston, MA 02108
sfzfran@gmail.com
(617) 921-2004

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sarah Gardner (sgardner@williams.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 10:09 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sarah Gardner
27 school st
Wiamstown, MA 01267
sgardner@williams.edu
(413) 281-0478

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sarah Heath (sarahbhma@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:44 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

My husband and son both suffer from asthma. This change would worsen their symptoms. ~~BAD~~ idea!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sarah Heath
54 Lawton Rd
Needham, MA 02462
sarahbhma@yahoo.com
(781) 449-8196

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sarah Hubbell (dshubbell@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:13 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I disagree with the proposed change and respectfully request wood burning be excluded.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sarah Hubbell
5 Hollow Oak Dr
Sudbury, MA 01776
dshubbell@verizon.net
(978) 443-4756

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sarah Mckee (smckee57@earthlink.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 5:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

We need the trees to clean the air we breathe! Not to be burned, to make the air dirtier.
What's in the air goes into our lungs. It does not come out. This is not good.
NEVER burn wood for electricity! Solar and hydro are the way to go.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sarah Mckee
9 Chadwick Ct
Amherst, MA 01002
smckee57@earthlink.net
(413) 256-6129

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sarah Stewart (sarahbstewart@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 16, 2019 10:19 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Please Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Please put the protection of our environment and the plants and animals on it over fossil fuels and profit.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sarah Stewart
85 Garfield St
Wentworth, MA 02472
sarahbstewart@yahoo.com
(617) 876-6735

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sarah Suzman (ssyixuan13@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:17 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sarah Suzman
23 winterberry lane
Rehoboth, MA 02769
ssyixuan13@gmail.com
(508) 252-5729

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sarahbstewart@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:33 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Sarah Stewart
02472-014

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: saringuette@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 10:48 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Sally Ringuette
023331339

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sartemieff@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 4:39 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
SuzanneArtemieff
013419627

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Satu Carlsten (carlsten86@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 1:53 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Satu Carlsten
683 Adams Street, 2
Dorchester, MA 02122
carlsten86@gmail.com
(617) 436-4399

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: saucemops@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 10:48 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
b coniglio
022150005

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sb299871@telenet.be
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:30 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Maria Borremans
2060

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sbattis@tmlp.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:27 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Stephen Battis
023460221

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sbclaymore@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 9:18 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Stephen Brown
027193009

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sbelinsky@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 3:48 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Susan Belinsky
020722043

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sbraoudakis@hotmail.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 4:24 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Spyros Braoudakis
021844018

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: schemeofthings@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:21 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Cynthia Markevich
1473140

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: schlangeme@rcn.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:21 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Larry Stoodt
01701283

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sclarkenterprises@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 4:54 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Stephanie Clark
015061806

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: scollins.sean@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:06 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Sean Scollins
021362756

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Scott Payette (scottcpayette@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2019 7:01 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Keep providing incentives for solar.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Scott Payette
7 Hubbard Street
Jamaica Plain, MA 02130
scottcpayette@gmail.com
(617) 524-4972

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Scott Vayo (svayo@nbbj.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:05 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Scott Vayo
1 Beacon St
Boston, MA 02108
svayo@nbbj.com
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Scout Perry (scout.perry@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 11:37 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Scout Perry
86 Corey Rd
Boston, MA 02135
scout.perry@gmail.com
(617) 276-2912

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: scuddles77@outlook.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
pamela mello
027201329

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: seaglas123@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Norma Blaisdell
019301253

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: seamaiden@me.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:24 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Katherine Levin
020664831

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Seeta Badrinath (seeta.badrinath@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 3:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

As a pediatrician, I am very alarmed that the Baker administration would make these changes - they will directly increase health risks, especially to children! In addition, they will worsen greenhouse gas emissions that cause climate change - directly endangering our children's future.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Seeta Badrinath
278 Lamartine Street, Unit 2
Jamaica Plain, MA 02130
seeta.badrinath@gmail.com
(617) 971-887

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sejoslin@mac.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:27 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Susan Joslin
02536

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Seth Read (sethread@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2019 2:26 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Seth Read
197 Cedar St
Somerville, MA 02145
sethread@hotmail.com
(617) 666-1478

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: setkarobb@msn.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 4:33 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Robert Setka
010403524

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: shadley@bu.edu
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:33 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Shela Hadley
021384629

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: shakatam@hotmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:21 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Judy Johnson
021391103

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: shakes9999@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Abby Bender
025570158

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Shamika Naidu (sdnaidu11@outlook.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 11:23 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Please make a difference.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Shamika Naidu
26 Hamilton St
Medford, MA 02155
sdnaidu11@outlook.com
(631) 357-1042

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sharon Grace (sgrace130@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 4:21 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sharon Grace
45 Huckleberry Ln
Easthampton, MA 01027
sgrace130@gmail.com
(413) 203-5086

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sharon Sullivan (slas1841@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:25 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sharon Sullivan
52 High St
Stoneham, MA 02180
slas1841@gmail.com
(781) 438-6726

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sharon Wong (thephuture@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 12:02 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sharon Wong
12 Myrtle St
Newton, MA 02465
thephuture@hotmail.com
(617) 767-8203

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sharonalongshore@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:06 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Sharon Bender
026732626

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sharoncarson@me.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 6:48 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Sharon Carson
012473564

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Shaun Montana (beeks5150@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 2:03 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Don't take us backwards. Burning wood is not the answer to clean energy.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Shaun Montana
13 Slocumb Pl
Medway, MA 02053
beeks5150@yahoo.com
(508) 533-1269

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sheehanbrooklawn@aol.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
David Sheehan
01052044

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sheila Kojm (boston_kojm@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 9:38 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Why are we considering a step back to increasing air pollution when we can use water, wind and solar without those concerns? Please keep Massachusetts moving rapidly toward our clean energy goals without collateral problems.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sheila Kojm
7 Orchard Rd
Bedford, MA 01730
boston_kojm@yahoo.com
(781) 555-1212

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sheila Piacentini (sheilapiacentini@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:05 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

It is imperative that we find environmental safe and clean ways to reduce our impact.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sheila Piacentini
41 Little Island Rd
Falmouth, MA 02540
sheilapiacentini@aol.com
(508) 540 9230

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sheilamannmil@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:42 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Sheila Miller
017606074

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Shela Hadley (shadley@bu.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:51 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Shela Hadley
244 Brattle St
Cambridge, MA 02138
shadley@bu.edu
(617) 497-8090

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Shelley Hartz (shelleyhartz@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 16, 2019 3:08 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Shelley Hartz
7 Baron Way
Shelley, MA 01460
shelleyhartz@gmail.com
(978) 580-1342

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: shelleyhartz@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Shelley Hartz
014601122

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sheriredwine@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 5:06 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Sheri Carl
017211449

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sherri Long (sherridl2004@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:01 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sherri Long
100 Hinckley St
Florence, MA 01062
sherridl2004@aol.com
(413) 65-503

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sherry Weiland (sherrylw9@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 11:01 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sherry Weiland
144 Brook St
Hudson, MA 01749
sherrylw9@verizon.net
(978) 579-0564

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sherrylw9@verizon.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
SherryWeland
01743204

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sheryl Becker (sher1earth69@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:08 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sheryl Becker
142 Beekman Dr
Agawam, MA 01001
sher1earth@gmail.com
(413) 374-1921

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: shola1@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:21 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Shola Charles
024601434

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Shoshana Brown (cantorshoshana@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:30 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Shoshana Brown
537 Walnut St
Fall River, MA 02720
cantorshoshana@gmail.com
(508) 567-5397

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sid Pomper (sspomper@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:18 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sid Pomper
65 Moonpenny Ln
East Falmouth, MA 02536
sspomper@aol.com
(508) 457-015

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Silas Belvin (silas.belvin@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:48 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Silas Belvin
10 Denver St
Lexington, MA 02421
silas.belvin@verizon.net
(781) 325-6617

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: silvamis@aol.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
MELISSA
02144

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sinje@asachs.de
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Andreas Sachs
38723

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sinrulesok@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:24 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
SineadLowrie
020661202

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sjoel3@verizon.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:21 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
JoelAnd Deborah Slocum
024826404

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: skennie@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:24 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
StevenKennie
026702123

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sksupple@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:42 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Shannon Supple
01060373

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sky1953@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:09 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
StuartKelso
012620241

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: skyfarmweaver@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 2:27 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Dorothy Weaver
01257978

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: slas1841@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Stephen La Serra
021801170

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: slimjim.roberts@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 10:03 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Jim Roberts
0213366

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sloaniak@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 3:45 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Michael Sloan
017522520

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: slstarr2@juno.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 2:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Sandra Starr
018331323

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: smb35@att.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Sharon Bramhall
021522780

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: smcfadd@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:27 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Susan McFadd
020434524

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: smckee57@earthlink.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

'Biomass' is a fancy term for a highly polluting energy source.

It has no place in the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules.

In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years.

That is good.

Nevertheless, these proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to our health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

I developed asthma in my late 60s, after moving within breathing distance of the Mount Tom electricity plant that burned enormous numbers of tons of coal per day. Ah, that plant did not pay my medical bills.

It also gave children in Holyoke the highest instance of childhood asthma in the state. It didn't pay for their medical bills or their inhalers, either.

Thank goodness, it's no longer operating.

So, keep the gain!

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack.

Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions.

Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you in advance for doing the right thing.

Sarah McKee
010022825

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: smfasten@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 5:06 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Susan Fasten
024825816

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: smgriffin77@verizon.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:27 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Shannon Griffin
012259622

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: smichel2020@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:21 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
S Michel
02119

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: smimb@aol.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:27 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Marjorie Smith
017735201

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: smm2250@aol.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 10:21 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Sharon Moulton
01053722

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: smusella@berklee.edu
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 8:39 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
sandra musella
018014534

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: smyth.rb@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:00 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Robert Smyth
02144-2312

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: smz53@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:15 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
sara zwicker
021845100

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: solidfurniture@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:18 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Thomas Dorsey
024783801

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sonja Baris (sonja_baris@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 11:28 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sonja Baris
86 Grove St Apt 2
Clinton, MA 01510
sonja_baris@hotmail.com
(508) 864-0868

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sonja_baris@hotmail.com
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 10:21 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Sonja Baris
015103606

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sonya Suter (snsuter@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 23, 2019 3:55 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sonya Suter
11 Wendell St.
Cambridge, MA 02138
snsuter@gmail.com
(607) 227-7151

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sosi Toomajanian (vfc9495@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 8:30 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sosi Toomajanian
746 Mount Auburn St
Woburn, MA 02472
vfc9495@gmail.com
(617) 924-6636

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: southrockannie@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:42 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Annie Hale
017463401

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: spaceweasel@hotmail.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 2:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
chris mccully
010604017

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: spyderman544@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 11:12 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
JamesKoger
02133114

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Spyros Braoudakis (sbraoudakis@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 1:37 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Spyros Braoudakis
110 Storrs Ave
Braintree, MA 02184
sbraoudakis@hotmail.com
(781) 848-3887

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: srk2012@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 3:36 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
SharonKanarian
02701503

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: srubinow@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:48 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Stuart Rubinow
024463576

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ssoltzberg@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:42 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Sharon Soltzberg
02441609

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: stagethane@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Isaiah Plovnick
024456729

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: starlightllama@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2019 5:18 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Dee L Boyle-Clapp

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

As a resident of the Pioneer Valley and as an instructor at UMass, I ask you to protect our air and to lead on the climate crisis.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Dee Boyle-Clapp
01062

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: starlightllama@gmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2019 9:18 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Dee Boyle-Clapp

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am opposed to adding the burning of bio mass as any claim of renewable energy. We must not add one more bit of carbon to the atmosphere and we must plant and support all existing trees if we are to save the planet.

I live in the Pioneer Valley, which traps pollution and can attest to the fact that we cannot add one or more biomass plants here or anywhere.

Be a leader on climate preparation, stand up for those are being forced to bear the burden of climate change. Do not allow any biomass plants in the state.

As for what to do with the slash, it must be used as the vital resource that it is, and used for hugelkultur gardening and to build soils and hold water. We cannot burn our way out of the climate crisis.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Dee Boyle-Clapp
01062

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: starrie1017@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:15 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
June Sciola
152635

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Stefanie Alberto (stefaniergrace@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2019 11:33 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stefanie Alberto
137 Laurel St, #137A
Malden, MA 02148
stefaniergrace@hotmail.com
(857) 264-7478

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: steph.lehmann@gmx.de
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 6:18 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
StephanLehmann
021442645

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Stephanie Blumenthal (steffieab@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:35 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Blumenthal
120 KILLOGG RD
SHEFFIELD, MA 01257
steffieab@gmail.com
(413) 229-8500

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Stephanie Clark (sclarkenterprises@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:22 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Clark
135 Rice Corner Rd
Brookfield, MA 01506
sclarkenterprises@gmail.com
(413) 338-6014

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Stephen Blundell (slblundell@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:51 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stephen Blundell
341 Finch Rd
Raynham, MA 02767
slblundell@yahoo.com
(810) 338-1114

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Stephen Brody (stbbrody@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 10:57 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Biomass and wood burning harm our Atmosphere. It is not renewable energy. Taking down more trees will harm our environment even more.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stephen Brody
102 Devon Rd
Norwood, MA 02062
stbbrody@aol.com
(781) 769-6154

This message was sent by KnowWho, a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Stephen Dipesa (nocturnalchemistry@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 11:19 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stephen Dipesa
24 Whittemore Ave
Cambridge, MA 02140
nocturnalchemistry@gmail.com
(617) 994-4770

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Stephen Donnelly (tvc15ma@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:30 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stephen Donnelly
6 Pinebrook Dr
Easthampton, MA 01027
tvc15ma@gmail.com
(413) 222-6083

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Stephen Hofferty (bekindness@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:51 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stephen Hofferty
26 Veterans Way
Merrimac, MA 01860
bekindness@comcast.net
~~978 729 978~~

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Steve Adler (steve.adler35@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:28 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

To put this in plain language, I think that burning wood for electricity is a both dumb and counterproductive. Renewable is the way to go....

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Steve Adler
88 Masonic Home Rd Apt H107
Charlton, MA 01507
steve.adler35@yahoo.com
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Steve Daunais (stevedaunais@yahoo.con) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:12 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Steve Daunais
278 Whitney St
Northborough, MA 01532
stevedaunais@yahoo.con
(617) 840-5053

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Steve Davidson (davidson_family@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:06 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Reverse plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Wood may be "renewable" in one sense, it is decidedly not in its effects if its combustion causes. The proposal is a step in the wrong direction.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Steve Davidson
2Ashley Cir
Aston, MA 01720
davidson_family@comcast.net
(978) 263-0742

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Steve Ollove (sbuff22@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:15 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Steve Ollove
105 Gregory Island Rd
South Hamilton, MA 01982
sbuff22@aol.com
(978) 468-7601

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Steve Vail (psvail@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2019 3:10 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Steve Vail
28 Seale
Georgetown, MA 01833
psvail@verizon.net
(877) 352-5571

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: steve.adler35@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
StephenAdler
015073311

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: stevefree123@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, July 28, 2019 6:27 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Steven Freiman
013419661

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Steven Branson (steven@stevebransonbands.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:17 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Steven Branson
76 Ethyl Way
Stoughton, MA 02072
steven@stevebransonbands.com
(781) 326-1009

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Steven Kennie (skennie@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:36 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Steven Kennie
10 Wheatfield Ln
West Dennis, MA 02670
skennie@comcast.net
(508) 247-0028

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Steven Syverson (steve@vangoghsgear.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:02 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Steven Syverson
20 Dutton St.
Lowell, MA 01852
steve@vangoghsgear.com
978-973-1144

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: stevenkeleti@cummingspark.org
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 10:09 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
StevenKeleti
021487614

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: stolecki@ll.mit.edu
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:21 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Ralph Stolecki
018642710

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: stone2016goal@gmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2019 1:18 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Michael Stone
025402034

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: stonejacinda@yahoo.com
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 6:06 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Jacinda Stone
013519565

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: stpel@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:51 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Sara Hyson
021556746

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: stringham2000@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 10:12 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Deb Stringham
020671419

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: strongwhitepine@verizon.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:51 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Louise Berliner
017422654

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Stuart Besnoff (stu@alpinesolarheat.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:10 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

John Wassam electronically to DOER.RPS@mass.gov or via mail to

June 4, 2019

John Wassam
Department of Energy Resources
100 Cambridge Street, Suite 1020
Boston, MA 02114

As a Windsor, Massachusetts resident I am writing to object to the proposed regulation changes for the state's Renewable Energy Portfolio Standard (RPS Class I and RPS Class II regulations) particularly as they apply to biomass plants. The changes to the RPS as proposed by the Department of Energy Resources would work against the very purpose for which the RPS was developed. These proposed changes would in fact open the door for an increase, not a decrease, in greenhouse gas emissions in the Commonwealth, allow clean energy subsidies to be given to biomass power plants and garbage incinerators that create pollution, and allow large-scale biomass power plants to be eligible for renewable energy credits.

Last year, the state legislature voted to double the minimum percentage growth rate of renewable energy from 1% per year to 2% per year starting in 2020. The task of DOER is to update its RPS regulations to reflect this change. But instead, what is being proposed would work against that commitment.

More specifically, Massachusetts has the only regulations in the nation that consider greenhouse gas emissions as criteria for biomass eligibility, and only highly efficient biomass plants qualify. The proposed regulations would roll back or eliminate all of these science-based standards, expand what types of wood can qualify, and would be a windfall for the biomass industry by subsidizing new and existing polluting biomass power plants in Massachusetts and New England. This proposal would, for example, enable a 35 MW biomass power plant proposed in East Springfield to collect \$10-12 million a year in renewable energy subsidies even as it would emit hazardous air pollution into a community that already suffers from some of the highest asthma rates in the nation. In addition, this proposal would significantly increase renewable energy subsidies for highly polluting garbage incinerators. This is a betrayal of the promise that the 2018 law expanding the RPS would lead to an increased investment of public funds to support clean renewable energy. Finally, our governor, Charlie Baker, has joined an alliance of U.S. governors that pledged to support the Paris Climate Change Agreement. The proposed regulations would take Massachusetts in the opposite direction. The Paris Agreement calls for reducing greenhouse gas emissions and protecting forests as natural carbon sinks. Instead, DOER is proposing to use the Commonwealth's primary clean energy program to incentivize logging and encourage inefficient biomass power plants that release more CO₂ per unit of energy than coal-fired power plants. This proposal would undermine the state's ability to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, as required by the Global Warming Solutions Act, and contradicts the public statements Governor Baker has made in support of the Paris Agreement.

As a resident concerned for the future of my children, I argue that these proposed changes to the biomass provisions in the RPS are absolutely antithetical to the reason the RPS was developed in the first place. Ratepayer subsidies should be used to support truly clean, renewable energy and not for more pollution.

Respectfully submitted,
Stu Besnoff, 18 North Street, Windsor, MA 01270

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stuart Besnoff
18 North St
Windsor, MA 01270
st@alpinesolarheat.com
(413) 684-350

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: stuartlynn352@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:21 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
StuartLynn
016022646

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sue Malone (organurse@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 11:41 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Fireplaces are cozy , warm and friendly, but they are terrible polluters. You can't possibly count them toward clean energy.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sue Malone
45 Adams St
Westborough, MA 01581
organurse@gmail.com
(508) 366-2050

This message was sent by KnowWho, a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sue_jackson@optusnet.com.au
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:24 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Sue Jackson
2125

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sueiemartin2012@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Susan Martin
027263536

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: suesul@gmail.com
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 1:15 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
sue sullivan
010602031

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: suewall@snet.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:09 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Susan Oleyer
026644238

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sugref@yahoo.com
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2019 7:27 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Fergus Marshall
01013

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sunlitmeadow@msn.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 2:27 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
eve grossman
021304313

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: superfarmor2004@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

Nancy Wolley
020724914

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: surenavan@aol.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:00 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
HovhannesKarapetyan
024723520

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: survivor7812@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 5:51 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Scott Gorn
0105

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Susan Brown (skbrown15@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:17 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Brown
500 Colonial Dr Unit 214
Ipswich, MA 01938
skbrown15@verizon.net
(978) 356-7391

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Susan Brownell (sooscrat@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 3:16 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Brownell
9 Water St
Sandwich, MA 02563
sooscrat@gmail.com
(772) 877-4404

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Susan Caloggero (npsc45@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:21 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Caloggero
567 South St
Roslindale, MA 02131
npsc45@yahoo.com
(617) 953-5210

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Susan Clark (susan.a.clark@mac.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:09 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning wood not only pollutes the air, it reduces the forests we need that actually do the cleaning! No forests leads to more problems. Leave the trees. No wood burning. Let's use solar and wind.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Clark
19 Sandy Meadow Way
Eastham, MA 02642
susan.a.clark@mac.com
(774) 207-0505

This message was sent by KnowWho, a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Susan Doubler (suedoubler@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 12, 2019 5:35 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Dear Governor Baker,

Massachusetts needs to maintain it's standards for high quality renewable energy. Burning wood compromises our state expectations and will further harm the environment and human health. Please maintain Massachusetts high standard for renewable energy by not proceeding with plans to burn wood for electricity.

Sincerely,
Susan J. Doubler

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Doubler
26 Eaton St.
Winchester, MA 01890
suedoubler@gmail.com
(781) 779-411

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Susan Foley (susietwin001@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:55 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

MA can be a leader in the clean energy revolution, producing MA jobs. To continue with outdated practices is to lure business elsewhere. Let's be a model for progressive ideas!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Foley
225 Munger Hill Rd#225
Westfield, MA 01085
susietwin001@aol.com
(413) 562-6424

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Susan Gardos (susangardos@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 3:15 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Gardos
152 Hagen Rd
Newton, MA 02459
susangardos@yahoo.com
(617) 997-720

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Susan JOHNSTON (syjohnston@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 4:11 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan JOHNSTON
35 Cochichewick drive
North Andover, MA 01845
syjohnston@comcast.net
(978) 886-9214

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Susan Laing (vermontlives@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2019 12:56 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

We (and you) have ZERO right to make any decision which shortchanges future generations. There is zero question that this plan financially enriches some now living while simultaneously stealing from everyone's quality of life. There is zero room for error in applying our current resources to our current problems; this policy proposal is an error. Admit it, imagine yourself as a child of the future, wake up, dig deeper and do better. You can do much better. You must. Thank you.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Laing
47 Phillips St
Greenfield, MA 01301
vermontlives@gmail.com
(413) 824-6486

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Susan Martin (sueiemartin2012@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 12:38 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Dear Governor Baker,

I am a Democrat but still always liked you even though you are a Republican. Even though you are a Republican, you are usually a sensible Republican. However, in this case, I don't understand why you would support burning wood for electricity and why you don't seem to care about the harm it causes to peoples' health and the environment. Please withdraw this proposal immediately; if you do not, you will lose my vote for sure! Thank you, Susan Martin, Somerset, MA

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Martin
97 Regan Rd
Somerset, MA 02726
sueiemartin2012@yahoo.com
(508) 567-9829

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Susan Masino (susan.masino@trincoll.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 9:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Masino
15 Lakeview Dr
Peru, MA 01235
susan.masino@trincoll.edu
(860) 748-7746

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Susan Mcallister (slmcallister85@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:56 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Don't take MAbackwards. Please get behind real climate action. Show us a Republican can be clear eyed about the dangers we all face and do the right thing.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Mcallister
43 Chesterfield Road
Wamsburg, MA 01096
slmcallister85@gmail.com
(413) 268-948

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Susan Morris (morris64@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:52 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Morris
PO Box 1684
Stockbridge, MA 01262
morris64@msn.com
(413) 288-7006

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Susan Mudrey (chessie@juno.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 7:21 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Mudrey
178 Highwood Dr
Franklin, MA 02038
chessie@juno.com
(508) 440-5039

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Susan Purser (susanbythesea6696@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 10:14 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Governor Baker recently went to Congress and spoke about the need for action to fight global warming. At the same time though, he contradicts that by advocating the burning of wood and garbage which puts significant CO2 and contaminants into the atmosphere. He even wants to subsidize this instead of using the clean energy money for carbon-free technologies. How are we going to meet our emission reduction goals if the administration pushes the use of biomass? I do not understand this contradiction. Reducing the RPS standards makes no sense. The Baker Administration and DOER need to have a consistent, rational approach to fighting climate change!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Purser
PO Box 622
Manchester, MA 01944
susanbythesea6696@hotmail.com
781-526-4660

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Susan Redlich (sredlich2010@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 3:16 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

This proposed change would be detrimental to good forest practices.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Redlich
19 Sacramento Street, Apt. 1
Cambridge, MA 02138
sredlich2010@hotmail.com
(617) 661-6462

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Susan Ringler (sringler23@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 3:08 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

the PROPOSED changes to the RPS are bad for our future and the future of the planet. We must be responsible in our decisions. Do not mak these changes.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Ringler
82 Kinnaird St
Cambridge, MA 02139
sringler23@hotmail.com
(617) 492-8685

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Susan Seaquist (susanalmono2@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 16, 2019 11:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning wood for electricity both ruins our forests (despite promises of using only dead, diseased or remnants of wood) and does NOT lower our carbon emissions. Please halt the plan to burn wood for electricity! Wind and solar are now so competitive, we really need to advance these truly renewable energy sources. Thank you.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Seaquist
84 Putnam Road
North Andover, MA 01845
susanalmono2@gmail.com
(978) 478-7131

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Susan Stutz (apolfriends@earthlink.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:57 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Anyone who has sat near a campfire can tell you that wood burning is not clean energy! Also, killing trees to be burned is really wasteful.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Stutz
374 Main St
Cherry Valley, MA 01611
apolfriends@earthlink.net
(774) 289-7986

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Susan Thomas (susanlavignethomas@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2019 1:52 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

While wood is renewable, it stores carbon and emits it when it is burned which is the opposite of what we need to do right now and runs counter to the goals of the clean energy standards. Please do not make these changes which increase our exposure to the hazards of climate change.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Thomas
7 Old Homestead Road
Westford, MA 01886
susanlavignethomas@gmail.com
(978) 62-1027

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Susan Wyoral (swyoral@att.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:03 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Wyoral
66 Heritage Ln
Duxbury, MA 02332
swyoral@att.net
(781) 934-9764

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: susan@wenhammusic.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:27 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
susan Burnett-Halling
019841413

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: susanartz@earthlink.net
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 1:15 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
SusanAnderson
02210

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: susanbatson@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 6:54 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
susan batson
2186

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: susanna.deiss@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Susanna Chivian
0213303

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Susannah Otocky (procyon581137@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 1:55 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susannah Otocky
921 Main St
Aushnet, MA 02743
procyon581137@gmail.com
(508) 287-0864

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: susanpettee@me.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 1:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Susan Pettee
01230

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: susans.3@juno.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:18 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Susan Sheinfeld
021Ø

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Susi Westwood (susituson@rcn.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 12:27 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susi Westwood
161 West St
Cummington, MA 01026
susituson@rcn.com
(413) 634-5071

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Susie Mulliken (skmblu@rcn.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:10 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

~~Wood~~ burning is just as bad as coal if not worse. Please listen. ~~Wood~~ burning is far from clean energy!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susie Mulliken
10 Unitas Rd
New Braintree, MA 01532
skmblu@rcn.com
(207) 272-0498

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: susietwin001@aol.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
susan foley
01085491

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: suzan_wolpow@msn.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:09 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Suzan Wolpow
021403232

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Suzanne Daines (suzanne.c.johnston@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 3:26 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Daines
82 Warren Ave
Harvard, MA 01451
suzanne.c.johnston@gmail.com
978-456-0010

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Suzanne McLeod (suzmcl61@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 9:37 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Please amend House Bill 853 to take biomass burning **OUT** of the Renewable Portfolio Standard.

Burning wood is not clean energy, it increases air pollution and hurts our climate. It produces more CO2 emissions than either oil or natural gas furnaces. ~~We~~ need trees and forests now more than ever, they play a huge role in ameliorating the terrible damage we have already done to our environment.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Suzanne McLeod
61 Madison Ave
Suzanne, MA 02474
suzmcl61@gmail.com
(781) 932-8114

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Suzanne Westbrook (suzannewestbrook50@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message <automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I do not support a change in regulations for clean energy to include wood burning. It contributes to greenhouse gasses and may contribute to increases in lung and heart disease.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Westbrook
20 Oak St
Westwood, MA 02090
suzannewestbrook50@gmail.com
(781) 769-0017

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: suzbaker@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 4:54 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
susan baker
021555617

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: suzeblue9@yahoo.com
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 12:00 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
SusanLozoraitis
0160208

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Suzette Abbott (suzetteabbott@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:42 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Suzette Abbott
63 Winthrop Rd
Brookline, MA 02445
suzetteabbott@gmail.com
(617) 835-8639

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: swaltner@smith.edu
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:21 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Susan Swaltner
0106735

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sybil Schlesinger (sybil.sch@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 2:56 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Please act to protect our planet as we do not have any other options.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sybil Schlesinger
22 Rockland St
Natick, MA 01760
sybil.sch@gmail.com
(508) 404-8192

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: sybil.sch@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 11:09 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Sybil Schlesinger
017605852

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Sylvia Tolley (stolley@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 4:47 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sylvia Tolley
9 Cooper St
Taunton, MA 02780
stolley@comcast.net
(508) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: T Stephen Jones (t.stephen.jones@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 12:56 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am a Board-certified public health physician who studies air pollution and its connection to asthma.

The proposal to build a biomass plant in Springfield is totally unacceptable from a public health perspective. Springfield has been rated as the city most affected by asthma for the last two years.

The biomass plant will add more pollutants to the air breathed by the residents of Springfield and of all the towns and cities that are downwind from the proposed biomass plant.

Please cancel the proposal to allow clean air credits to be used by biomass plants. Allowing those credits will greatly increase the chance that the biomass plant will be built in Springfield.

Thank you for considering my requests.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

T Stephen Jones

123 Black Birch Trail
Northampton, MA 01062
t.stephen.jones@gmail.com
(413) 582-0191

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: T Stephen Jones (t.stephen.jones@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 3:09 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

T Stephen Jones
123 Black Birch Trail
Northampton, MA 01062
t.stephen.jones@gmail.com
(413) 582-0191

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: tami.may1@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:12 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Tamar May
024671433

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Tania Castro-Daunais (smilelikeyoumeanit23@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message <automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 10, 2019 6:37 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tania Castro-Daunais
96 Byron St
Boston, MA 02128
smilelikeyoumeanit23@msn.com
(508) 287-1887

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: tanya.mendieta@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:21 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Tatiana Mendieta
021522323

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: tanyaterekhova@alumni.usc.edu
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 2:12 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Tatiana Mandel
02472

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Tara Drake (drake2154@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:32 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tara Drake
3 Summit Dr Apt 29
Reading, MA 01867
drake2154@gmail.com
(617) 823-7433

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: tatig325@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:24 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Tatiana Galli
01063

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Taylor Brown (tdb419@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 4:39 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Taylor Brown
20 Forest Acres Dr Apt H
Haverhill, MA 01835
tdb419@yahoo.com
~~978~~ 476-499

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: tbargowski@verizon.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

~~SAVE~~ OUR FORESTS! There is no need to burn this fuel.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Patricia Bargowski
01801030

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: tburgess@brooksschool.org
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:51 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Thomas Burgess
026353118

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Tela Zasloff (jzasloff@adelphia.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 7:27 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Now Governor Baker's administration is proposing to allow much of this increased clean energy requirement to be met with highly polluting wood burning, that would release huge amounts of CO2 and particulate pollution, leading to increased global heating, asthma, and heart problems.

TakeAction to Defend Clean Energy Policies in Massachusetts!

Advocates and activists across Massachusetts worked hard last session to increase our state's RPS and support local renewable energy. This new proposal would negate much of that work and send our state backwards on climate. We know what truly renewable energy looks like, and it is not woody biomass. We've been battling against biomass woodburning incinerators being built here in Western Massachusetts, for years and continue to worry about public health and the dangerous overloading of carbon that these incinerators create. We have the public will to switch to clean energy sources and Governor Baker needs to be disappeared from office for favoring the carbon-producing sources of energy development.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tela Zasloff
33 McCauley Lane
Brookline, MA 01267
Tela Zasloff
jzasloff@adelphia.net
(413) 458-4846

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Teresa Hill (teresamhill@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 4:52 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Please don't take a major step backwards

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Teresa Hill
10 Range Rd
Nahant, MA 01908
teresamhill@gmail.com
(781) 592-3485

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Teresa Kelly (tmariel@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:28 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Teresa Kelly
977 Furnace Brook Pkwy
Quincy, MA 02169
tmariel@comcast.net
(617) 471-6115

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Teresa Schreitmueller (tmschreit@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 4:58 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Teresa Schreitmueller
31 MountVernon St
Boston, MA 02132
tmschreit@yahoo.com
(617) 852-0215

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Teresia LaFleur (maxismom@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:00 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

TeresiaLaFleur
40 Bigelow Drive
Sudbury, MA 01776
maxismom@hotmail.com
(978) 443-0023

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Teresia LaFleur-Campbell (maxismom@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message <automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 11:41 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

TeresiaLaFleur-Campbell
40 Bigelow Dr
Sudbury, MA 01776
maxismom@hotmail.com
(978) 443-0023

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Terry O'Brien (obrienvn@bc.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 11:22 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

We have to take our energy transition seriously, and the only way to do that is to prioritize the cleanest and most effective sources (wind, solar, hydropower etc). We can discuss which clean energy sources and how much of any given one, but wood doesn't belong in this group of possibilities--to allow it is to undermine the threats of climate change.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Terry O'Brien
3 Chatham Trace
Woburn, MA 01801
obrienvn@bc.edu
(413) 386-3701

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: test@test.test
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 11:45 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Test Tester
55407

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: thedancingmerganser@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Elizabeth Rubenstein
021861516

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: theo.vidianos@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 1:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
THEODORABOUJA
02135-2514

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Theodora Boura (theo.vidianos@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:33 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Theodora Boura
11 Montfern Ave
Boston, MA 02135
theo.vidianos@yahoo.com
(617) 789-2465

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: thesnowghost@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Bruce Russell
016103126

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: tholl.jonathan@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 4:30 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Jonathan Tholl
024023029

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Thomas Dziechciarz (tommydzie@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:15 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Thomas Dziechciarz
15 Perryville Rd
Worcester, MA 01570
tommydzie@gmail.com
(508) 735-3866

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Thomas Wolslegel (tomedwol@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:49 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Thomas Wolslegel
321 Prospect St
East Longmeadow, MA 01028
tomedwol@gmail.com
(413) 224-1029

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Thomas Yelton (thy42.ml@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2019 3:53 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning stored carbon to make more CO2 is daft, simply daft. And it will harm the communities in which it is done and from which these trees are stripped. Better that the wood decays naturally into new, rich soil than this. Whoever has convinced you otherwise is mistaken or lying to you and possibly to themselves. This is not an easy gain. It is a stupid foolish distraction. As Lionel Basney said, "We are not getting something for nothing, we are getting nothing for everything."

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Thomas Yelton
167 Pratts Mill Rd
Sudbury, MA 01776
thy42.ml@gmail.com
(978) 443-5138

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: thorsbolt99@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:15 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Joe Roy

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Joe Roy
018032009

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: thuy625@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:09 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
ThuyNguyen
02122124

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Tien Lum (tnet@hiportals.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:45 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Do not add wood burning to the RPS! It is counterproductive to fighting climate change!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

TienLum
PO Box 857
Natick, MA 01760
tnet@hiportals.com
(508) 653-4611

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Tiffany Haverfield (gabbystf@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 1:04 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tiffany Haverfield
21 Beacon St Apt 3Q
Boston, MA 02108
gabbystf@hotmail.com
(603) 988-7600

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: tiliusv@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 5:12 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
FilValiunas
010021267

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Tim Brainerd (timbrainerd@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 12:50 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

wood burning releases carbon into the air. (also other gases and particles) related: so does burning trash. wood, pellets, trash, and any other combustible do replace fossil fuels....but the purpose of replacing fossil fuels to to get out of carbon and green house gases. please grasp the concept of 'carbon budget' and the need to reduce!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tim Brainerd
64 Harrington Rd
Framingham, MA 01701
timbrainerd@yahoo.com
(508) 861-3375

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Tim Cote (bostonhockey4@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:16 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tim Cote
22 Munroe St
Fitchburg, MA 01420
bostonhockey4@yahoo.com
978 400-1078

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Timothy Havel (tfhavel@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 8:05 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Timothy Havel
100 Rockview St.
Boston, MA 02130
tfhavel@yahoo.com
(617) 555-1212

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Timothy Williams (ktwilliams22@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 2:59 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

This makes absolutely no sense. Don't allow yourselves to look like fools

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Timothy Williams
11 Deerfield Dr
Worcester, MA 01081
ktwilliams22@comcast.net
(508) 660-9857

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: timothybollard@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Timothy Bollard
1081

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: timothyjames333@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:03 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
timothy moynihan
021273220

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: timothyleu@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:42 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
TimLeu
022154786

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Tina Berlad (tbberlad@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 3:56 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tina Berlad
7 Daniel Road
Hopkinton, MA 01748
tbberlad@gmail.com
(508) 435-1948

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Tina McBride (tina_mcbride2@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2019 7:47 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tina McBride
45 Turning Mill Rd, Lexington
Lexington, MA 02420
tina_mcbride2@hotmail.com
(781) 863-1317

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Tina Proffitt (tina.proffitt@umassmemorial.org) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 1:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tina Proffitt
33 Kirkland Dr
Stow, MA 01775
tina.proffitt@umassmemorial.org
(508) 334-010

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: tina.proffitt@umassmemorial.org
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:00 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Tina Proffitt
017751076

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: tjdinv@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:09 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

Timothy Dungan-Levant
02140-2734

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: tkingcello@me.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
terry king
017734701

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: tmaxton6191@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:00 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Tim Maxton
01970

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Todd Steglinski (wsgsd@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 6:28 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Todd Steglinski
180 Chilson Road
West Springfield, MA 01089
wsgsd@comcast.net
(413) 734-1477

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Tom Dignes (tom.dignes@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 1:37 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning more wood simply makes no sense for Massachusetts.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tom Dignes
427 Acapesket Rd
East Falmouth, MA 02536
tom.dignes@gmail.com
(832) 928-494

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Tom Poirier (tpoirier555@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 12:20 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

~~With the~~VERY critical reports from the scientific community including the IPCC we ~~can~~ afford to shortcut our move to renewable energy. ~~Wood~~ burning, although quaint, still releases harmful effluents into our atmosphere. ~~We~~ need clean, untarnished energy and we, including you, ~~can~~ do this!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tom Poirier
53 Rhodora Street
Lowell, MA 01851
tpoirier555@gmail.com
978-935-3610

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Toni Ballard (tonirballard@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:32 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Toni Ballard
13 Warren Avenue
Amesbury, MA 01913
tonirballard@gmail.com
978 834-543

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Tony Caletti (tcaletti23@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:25 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Say no to wood burning!
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Don't allow for wood burning to meet our climate goals! That is undermining the whole program as wood is not a renewable energy and is an incredibly harmful pollutant. This feels like an attempt to say we are hitting MA climate change goals when in reality we changed the definition so it isn't impactful. Please do not continue to pass the buck on stopping climate change, have MA be a leader for the whole country and set a standard for increasing renewable energy usage!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tony Caletti
86 Coolidge St Apt 3
Brookline, MA 02446
tcaletti23@gmail.com
(415) 250-5523

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Tony Moureilles (moureilles@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:50 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tony Moureilles
96 Mon St
Plymouth, MA 02360
moureilles@gmail.com
(617) 223-1117

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: tora.huntington@icloud.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 1:06 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Tora Huntington
012017023

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: tori321@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:03 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Tori thompson
01453

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Tracey Brennan (brennan.tracey@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 6:02 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Mass has enough air quality problems. Please consider what this will do to the Earth and all living creatures.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tracey Brennan
4 Columbian St
South Weymouth, MA 02190
brennan.tracey@yahoo.com
(617) 548-3288

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Tracy Jones (dragonflysky3.tbj@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 1:06 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tracy Jones
6 Pearl St
North Weymouth, MA 02191
dragonflysky3.tbj@gmail.com
(781) 803-3440

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Tracy Winn (t.winn@earthlink.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 12:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Are you nuts? Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

The point of renewable energy is to reduce releasing carbon into the atmosphere. Burning wood releases carbon into the atmosphere.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tracy Winn
404 Monument St
Concord, MA 01742
t.winn@earthlink.net
(978) 505-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: treefairy11@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:24 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
David Renzi
02771

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Trevor Pontbriand (pontbriand@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:30 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Trevor Pontbriand
110 Holbrook Ave
Weymouth, MA 02667
pontbriand@gmail.com
(508) 349-2134

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Tricia Hartley (tricia.hartley.15@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 1:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tricia Hartley
73 Park Drive, Apt 25
Boston, MA 02215
tricia.hartley.15@gmail.com
(617) 229-701

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: tricia.stacy33@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:24 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Patricia Stacy
014681335

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: triciae8@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:54 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Tricia Emerick
023391568

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: trishsoineann@comcast.net
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 12:18 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Trish DeCaprio

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Trish DeCaprio
01922

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: troydenise@hotmail.com
Sent: Friday, August 2, 2019 6:24 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Denise Butler
023602973

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Trudi Slyck (trudivs6@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 3:05 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Trudi Slyck
198 Lexington Ave.
Cambridge, MA 02138
trudivs6@gmail.com
(617) 491-1722

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Trudy and James Harney (tcolesev@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:51 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

~~Wood~~ burning will add to air pollution. ~~Not~~ part of the solution to clean air

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Trudy and James Harney
33 Towne Way
Marshfield, MA 02050
tcolesev@verizon.net
(781) 837-3171

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: truuvsandenbroeke@gmail.com
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 3:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
TruusVan Den Broeke
018214631

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: tsiegrist12@comcast.net
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 2:48 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Toni siegrist
021163128

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ttassina@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:03 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Thomas Tassinari
015191035

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Tucker Respass (respassbooks@cstone.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 4:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Further consultation is needed with air specialists and ecologists and health researchers -- they will clarify the ill-effects of wood fuel for this purpose. Please withdraw this proposal and move ahead.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tucker Respass
6 Moser St
Northampton, MA 01060
respassbooks@cstone.net
(413) 727-3181

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: turbojaws@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 2:00 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

~~BARBARUSSEK~~
027453406

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: tv15ma@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:06 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Stephen Donnelly
010279723

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Tyler Hazard (t.haz08@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:18 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Clean energy must be exactly that: clean. Baker must not go down the slippery slope that this is a loose term that he can define as he pleases. We need massive innovation to stave off climate change, not mass deforestation...

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tyler Hazard
34 Moody Dr
Sandwich, MA 02563
t.haz08@yahoo.com
(508) 364-349

This message was sent by KnowWho, a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: tysontsurutani@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
John Tyson
02134718

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ubklene@aol.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:33 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Lenny Cavallaro
018440837

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: uncllellm@juno.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Lawrence Mitchell

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Lawrence Mitchell
018105827

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: upsidedownbird@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 2:45 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
janet lyman
0100230Ø