

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** macavity251@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:12 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Paula Flaherty  
2072

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** madcro@verizon.net  
**Sent:** Monday, July 22, 2019 7:54 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Nancy Crosby  
020351517

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Madeleine Souza (spring41262@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 4:04 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Madeleine Souza  
5500 N Main St Apt 118  
Fall River, MA 02720  
spring41262@yahoo.com  
(781) 354-275

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Madeline Blackburn (madelinehblackburn@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:42 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Madeline Blackburn  
1622 Massachusetts Avenue, Apt 14  
Cambridge, MA 02138  
madelinehblackburn@gmail.com  
(617) 83-3223

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Madeline Blackburn (mblackb2@u.rochester.edu) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 3, 2019 11:31 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Madeline Blackburn  
1622 Massachusetts Ave  
Cambridge, MA 02138  
mblackb2@u.rochester.edu  
(617) 83-3223

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** madmann50@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:06 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Mary English  
02139

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Magda Gabor-Hotchkiss (mrhotch@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:29 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Wood burning will lead to cutting wonderful trees that provide OXYGEN for our breathing and very survival, adding more CO2 that is already in record quantity in the air!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Magda Gabor-Hotchkiss  
24 Rolling Hls  
Lenox, MA 01240  
mrhotch@gmail.com  
(413) 637-1093

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Maggie Cunningham (mcunningham6592@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message <automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 1:43 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Maggie Cunningham  
4Rustlewood Rd  
Milton, MA 02166  
mcunningham6592@gmail.com  
(617) 910-9900

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** maggie@capecod.net  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 3:03 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Margaret Cooney  
02360247

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** magistra930@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:42 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Marsha Smith  
01391319

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Maia Miskin (maiamiskin@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, June 7, 2019 3:23 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Maia Miskin  
45 Lake Rd  
Wald, MA 01778  
maiamiskin@gmail.com  
(781) 736-7336

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** majz@comcast.net  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:09 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

Anda Zawierucha

014620

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Malolm Bliss (malcolmdbliss@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 6, 2019 4:14 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Malolm Bliss  
127 Raymond St  
Cambridge, MA 02140  
malcolmdbliss@gmail.com  
(617) 821-0422

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mangua.mrs63@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 3:06 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Marian Scena  
021431515

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Mara Pentlarge (mara@pentlarge.org) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 1:59 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Harvesting wood will not help get us out of the climate emergency.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mara Pentlarge  
2 Teconnett Path  
Worcester, MA 01605  
mara@pentlarge.org  
(508) 838-0383

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Marc Zimmerman (marczman@earthlink.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 1:09 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Wood burning? You've got to be kidding!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marc Zimmerman  
58 Lincoln St  
Hudson, MA 01749  
marczman@earthlink.net  
(978) 528-54

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Marci Linker (linklet@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:51 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marci Linker  
107 Black Birch Trl  
Florence, MA 01062  
linklet@gmail.com  
(413) 581-7881

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Marcia Black (marciablack@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:24 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marcia Black  
7 Valley View Dr  
Amherst, MA 01002  
marciablack@comcast.net  
(413) 67-7559

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Marcia Cooper (marciac@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, June 7, 2019 12:27 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marcia Cooper  
170 Evelyn Rd  
Woban, MA 028  
marcia@aol.com  
(1745-19

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Marcia Gens (gensfamily@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, June 7, 2019 6:53 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

The only way to reduce Co2 in our atmosphere is in the soil and trees. Please don't subsidize burning of our forests!  
Thank you, Marcia Gens

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marcia Gens  
16 Dane Rd  
Lexington, MA 02421  
gensfamily@gmail.com  
(81) 963

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Marcia Miller (m-m-m-miller@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:18 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

As much as I love to sit in front of a wood burning fire in the winter... our planet can no longer sustain our human whims. Unnecessarily burning wood is affecting the health of human beings, animals, and our planet. Please reconsider.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marcia Miller  
34 Nahanton Ave  
Milton, MA 02186  
m-m-m-miller@hotmail.com  
(617) 597-5500

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** marciablack@comcast.net  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:00 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Marcia Black  
010023055

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** marczman@earthlink.net  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:57 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Marc Zimmerman  
0179-1633

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Mardi Moran (mardi.moran@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 3:02 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am very concerned about pollution and worry about those factors that will increase it, like this proposal

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mardi Moran  
6 Deer Run  
Mardi, MA 02557  
mardi.moran@gmail.com  
(617) 21-7711

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Maren Solomon-Wang (marens@knowwho.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, June 7, 2019 3:43 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Maren Solomon-Wang  
8 Fernview Avenue, unit 3  
North Andover, MA 01855  
marens@knowwho.com  
978-32-0720

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** marens@yaho.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:15 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Maren Solomon-Wang  
01354

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** maresaduguay@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Monday, July 22, 2019 10:42 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Maresa Duguay  
0278016

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Margaret Beal (margaretbeal101@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, July 26, 2019 11:26 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Please protect the quality of our air, without which it is impossible to experience quality of life.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margaret Beal  
30 Cumberland Ave  
Brookline, MA 0245  
margaretbeal101@gmail.com  
(617) 479-1179

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Margaret Cooney (maggie@capecod.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 5, 2019 4:43 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margaret Cooney  
10 Elgren Way  
Plymouth, MA 02360  
maggie@capecod.net  
(508) 747-1816

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Margaret Dumais (mcota1977@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 5, 2019 10:14 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margaret Dumais  
5 Durant St  
Lowell, MA 01850  
mcota1977@yahoo.com  
(978) 551-1289

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Margaret McGinnis (margaretmcginnis@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:34 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning wood is not only harmful for the environment - but it is harmful to breathe - I know I am affected by the smell of smoke when someone is burning leaves, for example.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margaret McGinnis  
7 Rockview Rd  
Hull, MA 02045  
margaretmcginnis@verizon.net  
(781) 925-1834

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Margaret Nelson (margaretanelson@me.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:19 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margaret Nelson  
81 Kingston St Unit 7B  
Boston, MA 02111  
margaretanelson@me.com  
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Margaret Powell (powell.m11@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 7:47 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Please treat this as urgent  
My son works with a Massachusetts energy group. He studies this situation daily.  
To hear his facts stated clearly will terrify citizens. Please treat this as urgent!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margaret Powell  
8Emily Ct  
Great Barrington, MA 01230  
powell.m11@gmail.com  
4163

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Margaret Stein (mnstein@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 17, 2019 1:12 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

We can do better than this. How about this?

[https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3Awww.youtube.com\\_watch-3Fv-3DIR0Hv5CP8dDwIFa3eDF7oMaPpKveW-faMwGCCfCDz1Bns\\_v&HromgB7UC1gWKGz2SwmwD1RsvTR7nMDGjoHcnOswslcGy2WV2V5IkH1KwK8s1to-T7IrTTxotB3aMH8nqxq5voznlofM8e](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3Awww.youtube.com_watch-3Fv-3DIR0Hv5CP8dDwIFa3eDF7oMaPpKveW-faMwGCCfCDz1Bns_v&HromgB7UC1gWKGz2SwmwD1RsvTR7nMDGjoHcnOswslcGy2WV2V5IkH1KwK8s1to-T7IrTTxotB3aMH8nqxq5voznlofM8e)

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margaret Stein  
41 Strawberry Ln  
North Reading, MA 01861  
mnstein@gmail.com  
978-263-145

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Margaret Tompsett (metompsett@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 3:58 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Do you have any idea how much carbon dioxide is emitted when wood is burned? I am horrified to think that you can even consider it as clean energy. We need to intensify our efforts with sun and wind and maybe waves

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margaret Tompsett  
17 Lakeshore Dr  
Chatham, MA 02633  
metompsett@gmail.com  
(508) 255-5212

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** margaretanelson@me.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 1, 2019 1:05 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Margaret Nelson  
021112238

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** margaretbj@aol.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:03 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Margaret Bullitt-Jonas  
01060368

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Margaret Burke (mgsburke@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 11:20 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

As a teenager in America I am horrified for what the future holds. Our politicians won't have to deal with the consequences of global warming and their choices, but my peers and I will. Please make the choice to protect the futures of America's youth. Please.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margarett Burke  
8 Dunham Ave  
Vineyard Haven, MA 02538  
mgsburke@icloud.com  
(508) 50-351

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Margarita Milot (margarita1044@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:28 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margarita Milot  
22 Mill Pond  
North Andover, MA 01855  
margarita1044@comcast.net  
~~978-234-0623~~

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Margo Hudson (margohudson@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:02 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Gov. Baker, I applaud your efforts to increase use of renewable energy sources. However, we gain nothing if the renewable source doesn't decrease air pollution and carbon discharge. Please reconsider your support of wood burning to meet our renewable goals.

Sincerely, Margo Hudson

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margo Hudson  
16 Caterina Hts  
Concord, MA 01742  
margohudson@hotmail.com  
9371-2138

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Margot Lenhart (margot.lenhart@tufts.edu) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:56 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Wood burning is okay on a small scale, but should not be considered as a major fuel source. Trees function best for our environment when they are alive. T

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margot Lenhart  
105 Beaconsfield Rd  
Brookline, MA 0245  
margot.lenhart@tufts.edu  
(617) 627-0611

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Margot Moomaw (msmoomaw@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:03 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Margot Moomaw  
870 Henderson Road  
Wiamstown, MA 01567  
msmoomaw@comcast.net  
(413) 488-1142

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** margot.lenhart@tufts.edu  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 10:18 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
MargotLenhart  
02453302

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Maria Celia Hernandez (her84754@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 5, 2019 12:03 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Ms. Maria Celia Hernandez 6/4/2019

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Maria Celia Hernandez

54 Orleans St Apt 317

Boston, MA 02118

hec875@verizon.net

(617) 561-139

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Maria Moyser (mariamoyser@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 5, 2019 8:55 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Burning wood is not clean energy - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning wood contributes to climate change and is not a green form of energy. Please do not include this as a part of the roadmap to meet our clean energy goals. Climate change is an urgent crisis that must be taken seriously and we must encourage polluting industries to change tactics. Thank you

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Maria Moyser  
1003 Massachusetts Ave Apt 1  
Arlington, MA 02475  
mariamoyse@gmail.com  
617-525-7672

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** maria\_sundquist@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Monday, July 22, 2019 11:18 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Maria Sundquist  
021553150

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Marian Comenetz (mcomenetz@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 3, 2019 11:39 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marian Comenetz  
48 Burnham St  
Belmont, MA 02478  
mcomenetz@gmail.com  
(617) 425-1010

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** marian@connectedvisions.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:21 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Marian Mailloux  
01451

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Marianne Robinson (mrobinson517@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:26 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marianne Robinson  
55 Judge St  
Fall River, MA 02721  
mrobinson517@comcast.net  
(508) 212-125

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Marie M Valcy (mvalcy@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 3, 2019 11:43 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marie M Valcy  
61 Court St  
Brockton, MA 02302  
mvalcy@comcast.net  
(781) 857-792

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Marie Rawlings (marie.rawlings@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 11:22 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

You need to save our environment ~~THANK YOU~~ Marie Rawlings

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marie Rawlings  
20 Summer St Apt 103  
Chelmsford, MA 01824  
marie.rawlings@gmail.com  
(978) 555-307

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mariedoloressolano@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:00 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Marie Dolores Solano  
02139

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Marie-Laure Grimaldi-Marvel (mlgrimaldi@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message <automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 6, 2019 3:55 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marie-Laure Grimaldi-Marvel  
3Aveston st  
Marie-Laure, MA 02130  
mlgrimaldi@yahoo.com  
(617) 81-0877

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Mario Troiani (mariotroiani@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, July 26, 2019 1:35 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mario Troiani  
1123 Beacon Street  
Newton Highlands, MA 02461  
mariotroiani@comcast.net  
(617) 728-57

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** marionegordon@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 21, 2019 10:51 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Marion Gordon  
0180



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mariotroiani@comcast.net  
**Sent:** Friday, July 26, 2019 6:42 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mario Troiani

0261

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Marj Tauer (mt72artist@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:33 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

The fact that climate change is progressing so fast means we simply can not add to the air pollution

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marj Tauer  
1 BlueberryLn  
Easthampton, MA 01027  
mt72artist@gmail.com  
(413) 821-1333

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Marjorie Bearse (mb121950@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:34 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marjorie Bearse  
210 Everett St Apt 206  
Aston, MA 02134  
mb121950@comcast.net  
(17) 83-1328

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Mark Depuy (mdelectrical@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, July 26, 2019 7:35 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mark Depuy  
5 Nimitz Cir  
Natick, MA 01760  
mdelectrical@verizon.net  
(508) 50-1634

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Mark Duffy (m\_duffy@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 3, 2019 11:56 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mark Duffy  
Quincy St#1  
Somerville, MA 02143  
m\_duffy@verizon.net  
(617) 555-1212

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Mark Irving (markirving20@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 1:52 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mark Irving  
PO Box 78  
Amesbury, MA 01913  
markirving20@yahoo.com  
978-331-138

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Mark Virgilio (m.virgilio@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 3:25 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mark Virgilio  
101 Forest St  
Needham, MA 02492  
m.virgilio@comcast.net  
(814) 269

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** markohodgson@icloud.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:48 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Mark Hodgson  
0193



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** markrussell22@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:00 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Mark Russell  
0180

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Marlene Schwarz (schwarzmc@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:21 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marlene Schwarz  
161 Islington Rd  
Auburndale, MA 02466  
schwarzmc@yahoo.com  
(617) 582-2305

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Marnie Millington (marniemillington@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:15 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marnie Millington  
53 Martin Rd  
Wesley Hls, MA 0281  
marniemillington@comcast.net  
(781) 772-160

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** marsumac@roadrunner.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 3:15 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Susan Mackle  
012301179

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Martha Birkenshaw (lrhemrev@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 1:51 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Martha Birkenshaw  
22 Beacon Ave Apt 5  
Newburyport, MA 01950  
lrhemrev@aol.com  
978-010

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Martha Dulong (mdulong28@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:34 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Dear Governor Baker,

Please do not go forward with your plan for wood burning. There are so many reasons to find alternate solutions for clean energy, and I personally am impacted by the easing of restrictions for pollution control.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Martha Dulong  
3Kajin Way  
Wilmington, MA 0187  
mdulong28@gmail.com  
(1741) 5277

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Martha Gorman (megorman57@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:40 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Martha Gorman  
PO Box 3156  
Wellesley, MA 02157  
megorman57@comcast.net  
(508) 390-7404

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Martha Nikas (m\_nikas@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 4:38 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Martha Nikas  
3 Congress St  
Ipswich, MA 01938  
m\_nikas@hotmail.com  
508-550-3477

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Martha Person (mulberry@hotmai.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:46 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Martha Person  
80 Old North Rd  
Brewster, MA 02631  
mulberry@hotmai.com  
(773) 323-38

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Martha Sullivan (mmsullivan48@earthlink.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:13 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Martha Sullivan  
41 Presidential Dr  
Amherst, MA 01002  
mmsullivan@earthlink.net  
(413) 871-1208

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** martha.sabin@verizon.net  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:39 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Martha sabin  
01181777

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** marthapotterkim@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:12 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Martha PotterKim  
025402512

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Martin Daignault (martyd99@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:27 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Martin Daignault  
4 Tyng St  
Newburyport, MA 01950  
martyd99@hotmail.com  
978-245-0848

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Martin Plovnick (mplovnick@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:07 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Martin Plovnick  
80 Birch St  
Roslindale, MA 02131  
mplovnick@gmail.com  
(617) 429-3121

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Martin Solomon (solomon.martin@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, June 7, 2019 8:34 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

long term, not short term. Breathable air now!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Martin Solomon  
261 Ston St  
Brighton, MA 02135  
solomon.martin@gmail.com  
(617) 681-1081

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** martymime@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:33 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
MartyLevin  
02139



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Marvin J Ward (marvin.j.ward@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:42 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

We need to put less carbon into the air/atmosphere, not more by burning wood to make electricity. If you vote for this, you deserve to be struck by electricity coming down from the sky!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marvin J Ward  
15 Cottage St Apt 413  
Easthampton, MA 01027  
marvin.j.ward@gmail.com  
(413) 203-5335

This message was sent by KnowWho, a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Marvin Ward (marvin.j.ward@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:34 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Adding CO2 to the atmosphere by burning wood from trees that can absorb it is insane! Calling it renewable energy is moronic; it takes many years for a tree to grow tall and broad enough to be cut down for any use, incl burning!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marvin Ward  
15 Cottage St Apt 413  
Easthampton, MA 01027  
marvin.j.ward@gmail.com  
(413) 203-5335

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Mary Ann Nelson (mary\_ann\_nelson@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 4:33 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

We should be planting trees not burning them! I am very disappointed in this proposed change in direction.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

MaryAnn Nelson  
10 Gore St  
Boston, MA 02120  
mary\_ann\_nelson@hotmail.com  
(617) 42-0123

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Mary Chapman (mollychapman@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 7:38 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Chapman  
4 Perry Ln  
Eastham, MA 02822  
mollychapman@hotmail.com  
(714) 207-0627

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Mary Ellen Maloney Brosnihan (m\_brosnihan@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message <automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 6, 2019 8:50 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Ellen Maloney Brosnihan  
51 Burncoat Lane  
Leicester, MA 01524  
m\_brosnihan@yahoo.com  
(508) 299-5829

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Mary Flibbert (eflibbert4@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 1:32 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Seriously Charlie, at least look out for your own children and their future...there is no future for them if we don't change to carbon neutral by 2030 AND draw down carbon at the same time....do some research!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Flibbert  
24 Mill Street  
Worcester, MA 01602  
eflibbert@gmail.com  
(508) 718-7395

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Mary Hollingsworth (m.holling@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:05 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning wood is not clean energy!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Hollingsworth  
5 Lake Wittermore Dr  
Spencer, MA 01562  
m.holling@verizon.net  
(508) 585-5870

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Mary Mann <hummann@outlook.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 1, 2019 10:07 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** RPS Class I and Class II Comments

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of TheHealth impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

MaryAnne Mann  
Falmouth, Massachusetts



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mary mattei (guidomother@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 6, 2019 6:24 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

mary mattei  
7 Hillview Ave  
Roslindale, MA 02131  
guidomother@gmail.com  
(617) 713-1325

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Mary Peterson (ppeterson1@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 4:10 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

As an asthma sufferer, I am particularly sensitive to the issue of wood smoke emissions. Beyond the negative effects on the world's climate, this has serious health implications for people like me. Burning more wood will decrease my quality of life and increase my health care expenses.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Peterson  
54 Pine Hollow  
Amherst, MA 01002  
ppeterson1@comcast.net  
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Mary Pfeifer (marcybear@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 12:03 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Our federal government is unwilling to protect and defend our world from the climate crisis we face. Now the States must make our climate a priority. I can not believe a coastal state would be so reckless to approve burning wood to produce electricity. Stop the stupidity!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Pfeifer  
88 Floral St  
Shrewsbury, MA 01545  
marcybear@aol.com  
(508) 310-5082

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Mary Pritchard (pritchard.me@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, June 7, 2019 4:49 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

We need to move away from fossil fuels yesterday! Let's be forward thinking! This is a dangerous decision which makes no sense in this time of climate crisis.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Pritchard  
39 River Road  
Andover, MA 01810  
pritchard.me@gmail.com  
07619

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Mary Slayter (mslayter@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 3, 2019 11:19 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Slayter  
3 Pleasant St  
West Dennis, MA 01970  
mslayte@yahoo.com  
(508) 39235

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Mary Stucklen (maryestucklen@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 10:13 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Renewable energy is just that renewable. Created by nature, such as wind and the sun, or by gravity- not through burning.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Stucklen  
9 Robinson Rd  
Hinsdale, MA 01235  
maryestucklen@gmail.com  
(413) 442-1342

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Mary Thomas (wzzw19@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 6, 2019 2:27 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

As a Massachusetts tax payer, I strongly oppose my taxes or any state funds being used to subsidize biomass heat and energy anywhere in the state. Biomass is neither clean nor renewable. None of us, including the residents of Springfield and Amherst, should be forced to breathe the particulates and gasses its burning produces. With the climate crisis already upon us, relying on biomass takes us in exactly the opposite direction we need to be going in order to reduce atmospheric carbon. This issue was resolved years ago. Its resurgence demonstrates that special interests have been working behind the scenes to thwart the will of the people.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Thomas  
PO Box 9  
Andell, MA 01379  
wzzw19@gmail.com  
954-3112

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Mary White (mary.white100@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:07 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary White  
221 Monsen Rd  
Concord, MA 01742  
mary.white100@comcast.net  
939-1181

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Mary Yardley (myardley56@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, June 7, 2019 10:21 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

We cannot avoid serious and irreversible climate related damage unless we increase Carbon Dioxide Removal. Massachusetts forests already store 3x the amount of carbon per acre as do the mismanaged forests of Maine. Our forests are of an age when they are about to grow rapidly and remove a lot more carbon dioxide in the coming decades. Letting a significant portion of these forests continue growing? a management plan known as proforestation, is the most cost-effective and significant option that we have. It is not a substitute for reducing emissions, but burning wood is diminishing their role.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Yardley  
71 Emerson Gardens Road  
Lexington, MA 02420  
myardley56@gmail.com  
(818) 7222

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mary.johnson238@comcast.net  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 4:57 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
MaryJohnson  
02592075

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Marya Axner (maryaaxner@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 1:52 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I think this is really bad idea. Please do NOT support the burning of wood for electricity in Massachusetts.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marya Axner  
29 Conwell Street  
Somerville, MA 02143  
maryaaxner@gmail.com  
(617) 776-7111

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** MaryAnn Arabadjis (amaryann07@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 11:19 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Think about your grandchildren as you support this policy.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

MaryAnn Arabadjis  
35 Chestnut St Apt 206  
Charlestown, MA 02129  
amaryann07@yahoo.com  
(617) 211-920

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Maryanna Foskett (maryanna@foskettco.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 6:57 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Maryanna Foskett  
101 Brantwood Rd  
Arlington, MA 0245  
maryanna@foskettco.com  
(816) 582

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Mary-Beth Santarelli (marybeth@dan-franklin.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 3, 2019 11:42 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Exchanging coal burning pollution for wood burning pollution is not progress. We'd be better off with nuclear power temporarily, then more hydropower, solar, and wind.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary-Beth Santarelli  
20 Garfield Rd  
Melrose, MA 02176  
marybeth@dan-franklin.com  
(816) 62-5335

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** marybeth.smith1@verizon.net  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 24, 2019 7:09 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Mary-Beth Smith  
01248

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** maryclink@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:27 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
MaryLink  
013300305

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** marydanag@verizon.net  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:42 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
MaryDana Gershanoff  
020208

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Matt Eshelman <mattreshelman@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 3, 2019 12:42 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** RPS Class I and Class II Comments

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you

Matt

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Matt Eshelman (mattreshelman@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 6, 2019 3:09 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Matt Eshelman  
8 Glen Rock Ave  
Matt, MA 02148  
mattreshelman@gmail.com  
(215) 886-317

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Matt Wagers (mpwagers@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 6, 2019 4:42 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Matt Wagers  
4 Windsor St  
Cambridge, MA 02141  
mpwagers@gmail.com  
(617) 993-324

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Matthew Blair (mblair68@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 7:21 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Matthew Blair  
72 Crescent St  
Rockland, MA 02370  
mblair@yahoo.com  
(508) 577-1719

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Matthew Genaze (aquarover@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:41 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Future generations' resources, health and prosperity is dependent on us acting immediately, significantly and broadly.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Matthew Genaze  
334 Harvard St  
Cambridge, MA 02139  
aquarove@hotmail.com  
(708) 254-5008

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Matthew Greco (greco.m@husky.neu.edu) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:57 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

The NewYork Times Op-ED article clearly illustrated that burning wood is worse for the environment than coal, so why in the most pressing times of climate change would the Commonwealth of Massachusetts allow these proposed changes.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Matthew Greco  
7 Oak Ridge Road  
Littleton, MA 01460  
greco.m@husky.neu.edu  
95014552

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Matthew Minier (m.minier@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 6, 2019 3:57 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Matthew Minier  
28 Old Winter Street  
Lincoln, MA 01773  
m.minier@gmail.com  
(415) 871-1303

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Matthew Smedal (mattsmedal@mac.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 5, 2019 8:21 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Stop the Baker plan to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

You have got to be kidding me with this. A few months ago Baker went before congress and testified about the dangers of climate change SPECIFICALLY to coastal states, and now his administration wants to burn wood as a means of generating electricity? Is the governor skipping science classes now, or has he just bought into standard Republican/Fox-News ideology that excessive carbon is harmless? You must do away this ridiculous plan.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Matthew Smedal  
62 Patten St Unit 1  
Jamaica Plain, MA 02130  
mattsmedal@mac.com  
(212) 888-0477

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Matthew Soule (soulemg@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:46 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

We need clean sources of energy, not cop outs. Reject wood burning please.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Matthew Soule  
50 Douglas Rd  
Medford, MA 02155  
soulemg@gmail.com  
(301) 233-1345

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Matthew Thompson (thompson.matthew@protonmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message <automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:36 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Matthew Thompson  
23 Adams St  
Belmont, MA 02478  
thompson.matthew@protonmail.com  
(617) 80-562

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Matthew Urdan (msurdan@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 19, 2019 9:55 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

This issue really matters to me because we have so little time to act to prevent the worst of projected climate change impacts. Just last March, I was in Northern California, it was wetter and greener than I have ever seen it. But today, it's bone dry, temperatures in San Francisco are near 100 degrees, and wildfires are again roaming the state. In India, 100 Million people are at Day Zero. They are out of groundwater and the monsoons have not yet come. Floods are destroying crops and the American midwest. All of these extreme weather events are related. Burning wood for electricity is just another contributor to climate change. It's another release of CO2 into the air. It's another accelerator to our rapidly warming planet. This isn't about business or profit or balancing the needs of various constituencies or politics anymore. This is about survival of life on Earth. We probably won't, as a society, make all the urgent changes we need to quickly enough to save our children from having to live in a scorched and unpredictable and violent weather future. But we do this much. We can stop this ill-advised action. Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Matthew Urdan  
51 Cutler Lane  
Brookline, MA 02467

msurda@aol.com

~~(530) 312~~

This message was sent by Know Who, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** matthewfasano@mac.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 1:21 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Matthew Fasano  
0212916



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Matthias Scheutz (mscheutz@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 6, 2019 3:37 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Matthias Scheutz  
151 Tremont Street, Apt 17L  
Boston, MA 02111  
mscheutz@gmail.com  
~~(574) 424~~

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mauerbach@earthlink.net  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 21, 2019 3:00 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
MarthaAuerbach  
0245714

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Maura Dean (mauradean@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 6:08 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

By taking advantage of the wind off of our coast and solar power, it makes no sense to rely on wood burning for electricity!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Maura Dean  
9 Southover  
South Dennis, MA 01960  
mauradean@hotmail.com  
(508) 552-5237

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Maureen Jones (mojones14@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:52 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Maureen Jones  
120 Mountain Ave Apt H13, 02148  
Malden, MA 02148  
mojones14@comcast.net  
(339) 226-2927

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Maurizio Salato (msalato@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:01 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning wood is step backward in the journey to reduce emissions and pollution. Please reconsider.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Maurizio Salato  
195 South Rd  
Bedford, MA 01730  
msalato@hotmail.com  
(978) 222-0124

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** maxismom@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:09 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
TeresiaLaFleur  
01763216

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Maynard Clark (maynard.clark@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 3, 2019 11:56 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Wood burning is NOT properly called 'renewable energy' (because it is combustion).  
Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Wood burning is NOT properly called 'renewable energy' (because it is combustion).

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Maynard Clark  
60 Huntington Ave Apt 11J  
Boston, MA 02115  
maynard.clark@gmail.com  
(617) 615-972

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mblair68@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:36 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Matthew Blair  
023701910



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mbsdyga@msn.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:24 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Michaeline Saladyga  
2780521

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mccoelho@comcast.net  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 10:12 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Mary Coelho  
02132203

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** McKenzie Blair (mckenzie\_b\_blair@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 11:17 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

McKenzie Blair  
20 Orlando Ln  
Attleboro, MA 02703  
mckenzie\_b\_blair@yahoo.com  
(508) 208-8881

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mcomenetz@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:57 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Marian Comenetz  
02481221

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mcunningham6592@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 21, 2019 10:42 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Maggie Cunningham  
021688

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mdarmistead@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:36 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
MelindaAmistead  
019301551

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mdsyverson124@mail.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 23, 2019 8:21 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mark Syverson

6625

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mdulong28@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 11:15 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Martha DuLong  
01872145



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mebm001@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 11:57 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
M Bautista  
1773

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Meg Sheehan (ecolawdefenders@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 12:50 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Clean energy doesn't come out of a smokestack.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Meg Sheehan  
205 Long Pond Road  
Plymouth, MA 02360  
ecolawdefenders@gmail.com  
(508) 259-1314

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Megan Asmar (megan080195@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 5, 2019 10:44 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

MeganAsmar  
158 Pawkannawkut Dr  
South Yarmouth, MA 02886  
megan080195@yahoo.com  
(516) 674-3231

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Megan Hattori (mkhattori@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, June 7, 2019 8:38 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Please, please, climate change is real and this would contribute to it. I fear for how poorly we are treating our planet and the consequences we and our children will face. This cannot be consistent with a thoughtful plan for the future.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Megan Hattori  
4 Forest St  
Lexington, MA 02421  
mkhattori@gmail.com  
(202) 253-1879

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** megan@thelepores.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:39 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
MeganLepore  
01752281

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** meisenberg@writemail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 3:30 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Don't slash our forests under the guise of clean energy.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Murray Eisenberg  
0267-3157

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Melanie Jones (celticmelbri77@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 5, 2019 7:53 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

This is being done in Nova Scotia and it is a fiasco. Clearcutting is occurring to feed the burning. This is having a devastating affect on the wildlife and environment.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Melanie Jones  
53 Webster St  
Marshfield, MA 02050  
celticmelbri77@gmail.com  
(818) 348

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** melaverdiere@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 5:27 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
MarcLaverdiere  
020191039



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** melfim@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 21, 2019 5:24 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Michelle Melfi  
01020

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** melifleming@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 4:00 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Melissa Fleming  
01923328

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Melinda Armistead (mdarmistead@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:37 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Melinda Armistead  
28 Bray St  
Gloucester, MA 01930  
mdarmistead@gmail.com  
978-4155

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Melissa Dorval (msmelissadorval@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:29 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Melissa Dorval  
103 Burrage Ave  
Leominster, MA 01453  
msmelissadorval@gmail.com  
~~978~~210-533

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Melissa Grondin (melissajgrondin@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 1:48 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Melissa Grondin  
8 Fairview Ave Apt 1  
Malden, MA 02148  
melissajgrondin@yahoo.com  
(781) 420-1337

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** melissajgrondin@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:42 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Melissa Grondin  
0214211

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Melvin Rosenblatt (mmro@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:06 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Melvin Rosenblatt  
19 Decatur Street  
Gloucester, MA 01930  
mmro@comcast.net  
978 34716

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** memackenzie02@netzero.com  
**Sent:** Monday, July 22, 2019 12:12 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Elaine Bazarian  
024724122



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Meredith Fields (merfields@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:43 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Meredith Fields  
185 Palfrey St  
Wartown, MA 02472  
merfields@msn.com  
(508) 923-0000

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** meredythe@meredythe.org  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:48 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Meredythe Martinez

**15**

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** metcalfera@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 21, 2019 10:06 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Bob Metcalfe  
019503231

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mggoodick@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 23, 2019 2:15 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Melinda Goodick  
0182436

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mhchisholm@aol.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:48 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Margaret Chisholm  
021552167

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mhcrane01@aol.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 11:21 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Michael Crane  
020213256

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mhh777@verizon.net  
**Sent:** Friday, July 26, 2019 5:18 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Mary Hall  
10751231

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Mia Joiner-Moore (lizandmia@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:03 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mia Joiner-Moore  
9.1.16 Rd  
Sharon, MA 02067  
lizandmia@aol.com  
(781) 78-0000

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mib.tlb3@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:24 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Ingrid Barry  
01923162

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Michael Arel (arelpepe@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:03 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Arel  
40ngfellow Dr  
Dennis, MA 01926  
arelpepe@comcast.net  
(508) 76-1279

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Michael Cushing (michaelcushing1530@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:28 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Cushing  
~~01st~~ St  
Duxbury, MA 02332  
michaelcushing1530@gmail.com  
~~(781)~~ 837-3734

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** michael deangelis (deangelisstudio12@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:51 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Solar and Wind

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

michael deangelis  
35 Lafayette sq, 3rd fl  
Haverhill, MA 01832  
deangelisstudio12@yahoo.com  
(978) 374-5262

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Michael Dosch (doschmj@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:08 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Dosch  
7 Greenwood St  
Worcester, MA 01801  
doschmj@gmail.com  
(508) 263-3205

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Michael Duhigg (duhigg@directnetserv.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:57 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Duhigg  
347 Stow Rd  
Boxborough, MA 01719  
duhigg@directnetserv.com  
978-263-1708

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Michael Lynch (mplynch133@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 2:13 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

No thanks to pollution from wood burning

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Lynch  
80 Fern Ave  
Amesbury, MA 01913  
mplynch133@verizon.net  
(978) 389-7143

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Michael McCarthy <mmccarthy15@verizon.net>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 1, 2019 11:00 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** RPS Class I and Class II Comments

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** MICHAEL MCCARTHY (mmccarthy15@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, July 26, 2019 11:22 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL MCCARTHY  
761 STREET  
WEST ROXBURY, MA 02132  
mmccarthy15@verizon.net  
(617) 327-1811

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Michael Olstein (msomd65@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:47 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Olstein  
140 Beacon St  
Brookline, MA 02445  
msomd65@gmail.com  
(617) 277-0804

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Michael Spooner (mspooner07@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 5, 2019 5:22 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

We don't need any more schemes to justify killing the Earth. We need real, authentic change.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Spooner  
2KrinXing  
Pepperell, MA 01463  
mspooner07@gmail.com  
978-3353

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Michael Stone (stone2016goal@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 6, 2019 3:11 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Stone  
10 Telegraph Hill Rd  
Falmouth, MA 02540  
stone2016goal@gmail.com  
(508) 563-2222

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Michael Stuart (michaelstuart10@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 5, 2019 6:34 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Stuart  
11 Arlington St  
Auburn, MA 01501  
michaelstuart10@gmail.com  
(508) 75-1554

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Michael Wagner (eyeteachum@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:13 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Also, much of the wood that is used to burn has been cut from fallen trees on our own properties. Many of these trees are diseased and contain poison oak. When these toxins are inhaled, they cause tremendous, life threatening problems, not to mention, the before mentioned impact on air quality. Not a good idea. Not a good idea at all.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael Wagner  
8 Thatcher St  
East Bridgewater, MA 02333  
eyeteachum@msn.com  
(508) 221-9503

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** michael@haywood-sullivan.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:36 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Michael Sullivan  
02051

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** michaelannb@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 23, 2019 12:24 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Michaelann Bewsee  
01104



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** michaelannb@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 21, 2019 5:06 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Michaelann Bewsee  
01104

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** michaels.davida@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 10:48 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Davida Michaels  
01030 2725

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** michaelstuart10@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 3:27 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Michael Stuart  
01501~~237~~

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Michelle Bouley (mbouley@poirier-springer.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 1:58 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michelle Bouley  
6 Madley Rd  
Merrimac, MA 01850  
mbouley@poirier-springer.com  
(508) 384-1151

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Michelle Collar (revlon72@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 6, 2019 6:18 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michelle Collar  
127 High St  
North Attleboro, MA 02760  
revlon72@aol.com  
(508) 555-435

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Michelle Malaspino (michelle.m1025@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 4:48 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michelle Malaspino  
14 Bayview Ave  
Fairhaven, MA 02719  
michelle.m1025@yahoo.com  
(508) 518-5186

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Michelle Tola (burrowsmm@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:42 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Please do not allow wood to be considered a clean energy as it is not. We need to move toward real clean energy and this is not it. We do not want a reason to cut more forests, we do not need a reason to create more smokestacks and pollution, we do not need this. We need to withdraw this proposal and instead put our energy toward making real clean energy viable for everyone. Thank you.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michelle Tola  
48 Bridge Rd  
Florence, MA 01062  
burrowsmm@gmail.com  
(413) 582-268

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** michelle-marquis@comcast.net  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:15 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Michelle Garcia  
02072331



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** midnightblue\_22@webtv.net  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 3:27 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Francine Traniello  
02368

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Mikaela Hondros-McCarthy (mikaclamccarthy@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message <automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 6, 2019 3:56 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Emitting resources should not qualify for the RPS, that is counter-productive to what it is trying to achieve and would allow more pollution and carbon emissions which would be a detriment to society.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mikaela Hondros-McCarthy  
~~24~~Amory St  
Boston, MA ~~02115~~ 02151  
mikaclamccarthy@gmail.com  
~~078734~~

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Mike Mccool (mike\_mccool@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:27 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

My household is powered almost exclusively by renewable energy, including rooftop solar. I am extremely happy to promote the use of wind and solar energy but NOT in favor of using wood as an energy source.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mike Mccool  
8 Dorothy Rd  
Millbury, MA 01527  
mike\_mccool@yahoo.com  
(508) 372-3472

This message was sent by KnowWho, a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mike\_mccool@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:06 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I was most alarmed to read of the proposed changes to RPS Class I, particularly the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

Your administration is obviously aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for drastic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Mike McCool  
015273450

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mikedecaprio@comcast.net  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:54 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Michael Decaprio  
019221522

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mikestrassman@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 21, 2019 6:54 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
michael strassman  
02032237

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mikeurbonas@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 10:30 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
MichaelUrbonas  
02115

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** millerhaynes@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:36 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Haynes Miller  
0249



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Millie Gushue (millieg2@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 4:44 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

As a person with breathing issues, I find that wood smoke does not help me breathe.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Millie Gushue  
PO Box 600  
Ware, MA 01083  
millieg2@comcast.net  
(413) 467-125

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** millieg2@comcast.net  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 11:03 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

m g

010830600

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mimbck@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:12 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Miriam and michael Kurland

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Miriam and Mike Kurland  
0106

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Mimi Turchinetz (eveturchinetz@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, June 7, 2019 3:48 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Governor Baker, in a time when your party has no leadership on the climate crisis, we need you to lead in Massachusetts. Halt your actions and do not allow this wood burning scheme.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mimi Turchinetz  
32 Dell Ave  
Hyde Park, MA 02136  
eveturchinetz@gmail.com  
(617) 733-8830

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** minister@northparish.org  
**Sent:** Friday, July 26, 2019 1:06 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Lee Bluemel

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

Please, please, please... do NOT add trees/biomass to the Commonwealth's renewable portfolio. We are already at the highest level of CO<sub>2</sub>--415 ppm- that the earth has sustained in 300,000 to 800,000 years. The movie **BURNED** reveals just how problematic it is when trees are treated as the new coal.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO<sub>2</sub> emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO<sub>2</sub>. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

Lee Bluemel

0185

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** minsliver@msn.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 3:42 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Paul Spencer  
010603197

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mirandablack2@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 24, 2019 10:00 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Miranda Black  
017575122

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Miriam and Mike Kurland (mimbck@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, July 26, 2019 12:14 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Miriam and Mike Kurland  
56 East Street  
Wilmington, MA 01095  
mimbck@yahoo.com  
(413) 287-529

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Miriam and Mike Kurland (mimbck@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 3:24 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Miriam and Mike Kurland  
56 East Street  
Wilmington, MA 01095  
mimbck@yahoo.com  
(413) 287-529

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Miriam and Mike Kurland (mimbck@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 3:20 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Miriam and Mike Kurland  
56 East Street  
Williamsburg, MA 01086  
mimbck@yahoo.com  
(413) 287-529

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Miriam and Mike Kurland (mimbck@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 5, 2019 5:37 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Miriam and Mike Kurland  
56 East Street  
Williamsburg, MA 01086  
mimbck@yahoo.com  
(413) 287-529

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Miriam Kurland (mimbck@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:47 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

wood burning and trash burning are not clean energies and should not receive subsidies.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Miriam Kurland  
56 East St  
Wilmington, MA 01095  
mimbck@yahoo.com  
(413) 287-7529

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Miriam Rubin (mai\_rim79@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 5, 2019 7:22 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

If you claim to believe climate change is real and care about the future, withdraw the proposed changes and protect our climate

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Miriam Rubin  
1Linden Rd  
Sudbury, MA 01776  
mai\_rim79@hotmail.com  
943-871

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mirvinea@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 1:42 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Irvine Sobelman  
01060

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** misha822@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:36 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Susan Machie  
02740020

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mjakuc@smith.edu  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:36 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
MonicaLeverett  
01062109



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mjaltukhaim@comcast.net  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 2:03 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
MaryJoA-Tukhaim  
0147101

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mjp32@comcast.net  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 10:45 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
MyraJean Prella  
0181020

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mkmelson@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 10:39 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Mary Melson  
02732070

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mktheo@comcast.net  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:00 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

Kathryn Theobald

0218625

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mlboy@charter.net  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:18 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Mark BOAN  
0163

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mleibov595@aol.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:39 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
MorrisLeibovitz  
019153750

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mlgrimaldi@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:06 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
ML Grimaldi  
02130204

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mllazenby@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:57 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
MorganLazenby  
02132352



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mlmaxwell73@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 2:15 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
mindy maxwell  
0151232

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mmcurtin@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 2:45 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Margaret Curtin  
0213689

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mmerithew@comcast.net  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:09 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Marcia Merithew  
01062362

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mmmcutler@aol.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:36 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Marjorie Cutler  
017212054

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mmouse8357@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 1:45 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Michaela Kerns  
02053110

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mmro@comcast.net  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:27 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Melvin Rosenblatt  
019303219

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** moabmidwife@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:30 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Olga Ehrlich  
010822

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** moduvall@aol.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 2:51 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Monya Duvall  
01083010



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Moira Ashleigh (moira@solsticesun.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:40 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Without trees we will not be a great place to live.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Moira Ashleigh  
9 Buck St  
Woburn, MA 01801  
moira@solsticesun.com  
(222) 313456

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** moira@solsticesun.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 21, 2019 7:03 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
MoirAshleigh  
018015527

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mokafka@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:12 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
MoKafka  
02657176

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** molellc@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:48 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Timothy Peters  
02341733

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Molly Mott (animek22x@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 3:17 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Molly Mott  
11 Easterly Dr  
Sandwich, MA 02537  
animek22x@gmail.com  
(774) 205-5114

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Molly Rahman (mollycrahman@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, June 7, 2019 6:52 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Molly Rahman  
60 Broadway, Unit 1  
Chelsea, MA 02150  
mollycrahman@gmail.com  
(207) 577-0105

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mommasbunny@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 10:30 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Elizabeth Cumberbatch  
019302324

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mona.s.kotze@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 3:36 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
MonaKotze  
2019



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mona3737y@msn.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 3:27 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
MonaYoung  
012301902

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Monica Grimard (lovesaltyair@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:58 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Monica Grimard  
29 Ivanhoe Ave  
Bradford, MA 01835  
lovesaltyair@gmail.com  
978-228-0888

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Monica Leverett (mjakuc@smith.edu) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 2, 2019 5:47 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Biomass is NOT carbon neutral! It destroys a forest resource that we need right now, and pollutes the air, creating serious health problems.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

MonicaLeverett  
106Morningside Drive  
Florence, MA 01062  
mjaku@smith.edu  
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Monica Leverett (mjakuc@smith.edu) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 5, 2019 11:45 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Wood burning is NOT carbon neutral. Please protect our environment from wood-burning pollutants, and don't add more carbon to our atmosphere!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Monica Leverett  
106 Morningside Drive  
Florence, MA 01062  
mjaku@smith.edu  
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Monica Leverett (mjakuc@smith.edu) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 3:41 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Monica Leverett  
16 Morningside Dr  
Florence, MA 01062  
mjaku@smith.edu  
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Monica Raymond (femmevox@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:01 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Monica Raymond  
59 Brookline St  
Cambridge, MA 02139  
femmevox@hotmail.com  
(617) 95-15

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** moorekjenn@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:57 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

Karen Moore

018245

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** moorekjenn@verizon.net  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 21, 2019 10:15 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

Karen Moore

018245



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Morgan Lazenby (mllazenby@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:40 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Morgan Lazenby  
34 Bigelow St Apt C  
Cambridge, MA 02139  
mllazenby@gmail.com  
(508) 204-1111

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** morris64@msn.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:24 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Susan Morris  
01262

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Mouad Damir (21mddamir@methuen.k12.ma.us) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:30 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mouad Damir  
4 Ponderosa Ave  
Methuen, MA 01844  
21mddamir@methuen.k12.ma.us  
978-30-707

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Mouad Damir (mouaddamir@outlook.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 1:31 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mouad Damir  
4 Ponderosa Ave.  
Methuen, MA 01844  
mouaddamir@outlook.com  
978-374-7311

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mountainwaylane@aol.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 4:21 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Pauline Davis  
015060371

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** moyle\_frances@yahoo.ca  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 6:09 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Frances Moyle  
014511719

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mpaldrovandi@aol.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:48 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
PennyAldrovandi  
23671306

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mpcaswell1971@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 11:54 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Matt Caswell  
0161328



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mpittman@foe.org  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 18, 2019 10:33 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
martin pittman  
20774, MD

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mrasanen@charter.net  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:24 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Matthew Rasanen  
01035472

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mrbtps@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 10:12 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Barry Steen  
0219301

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mrhotch@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:45 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

In addition trees produce much needed ~~O~~XGEN to BRE~~A~~THE with!!

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Magda Gabor-Hotchkiss  
012402127

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mrullman@hughes.net  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:30 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Martha Rullman  
1360

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** ms\_susan@comcast.net  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 3:39 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Susan Tolstrup  
015233231

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** msanter1321@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:36 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Marvin Santer  
020722300

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** msblizzypriess@aol.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:36 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Christine Piekarski  
020212577



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** msbraga213@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:57 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Marie Braga  
027481004

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** msmelissadorval@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:36 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Melissa Dorval  
01453

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** msmurray6@comcast.net  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:57 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Michael Murray  
02347

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** msomd65@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 10:33 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Michael Olstein  
02430

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mtacy@umassd.edu  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 21, 2019 10:15 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Madeleine Tacy  
02743100

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mudgoldsmith@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 10:57 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Melissa Goldsmith  
010603307

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mulberryyme@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 6:36 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Martha Person  
026311316

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** muttinbd@yahoo.com.au  
**Sent:** Monday, July 22, 2019 2:36 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Martin ~~Watts~~  
02760



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mwlbr@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:36 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Margaret Wilbur  
02652108

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** mymooladi@comcast.net  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 11:06 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

June Curley

01/21/15

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** myrvaagnes@verizon.net  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 11:12 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Eric Myrvaagnes  
0251151

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Nancy Burger (bmardigras@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:50 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

We have to act to protect our environment, we are leading in Solar and Wind - this is not needed!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Burger  
35 Lafayette Sq  
Haverhill, MA 01832  
bmardigras@yahoo.com  
(978) 374-5262

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Nancy Krieger (nkrieger@hsph.harvard.edu) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 3, 2019 11:20 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Krieger  
67 Huntington Ave  
Boston, MA 02115  
nkrieger@hsph.harvard.edu  
(617) 432-1571

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Nancy Mcewen (artistacrossamerica@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:07 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Mcewen  
PO Box 1541  
Northampton, MA 01061  
artistacrossamerica@gmail.com  
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Nancy Mellen (nancymellen@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:17 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Mellen  
~~182~~ North St  
Hingham, MA ~~02043~~  
nancymellen@comcast.net  
~~(81) 710-450~~

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Nancy Morgan (morganpianoschool@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:25 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

I am a member of Citizen's ClimateLobby. Massachusetts needs to transition to 100% clean energy. Support the Benson Bill and the FUTURE bill ~~PLEASE~~ Our lives depend on it. Massachusetts can produce 7 to 8 times our energy needs with just offshore wind farms. Then we could sell energy to other states.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Morgan  
73 Moffatt Rd  
Salem, MA 01970  
morganpianoschool@gmail.com  
(508) 361-5085

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Nancy Selvage (nancyselvage@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 6, 2019 5:20 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Selvage  
10 Conant Road  
Lincoln, MA 01773  
nancyselvage@gmail.com  
(781) 259-8000

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Nancy Tenbroeck (tenb@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 7:18 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

~~Why~~ not use the renewable energy from sunlight, wind, and water action instead of burning trees?

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Tenbroeck  
~~7~~ Washington Sq East, Apt 2  
Salem, MA 01970  
tenb@verizon.net  
~~98~~ 71-2742

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Nancy Thomas (thomas1nnn@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:26 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Please DO NOT Approve burning wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning wood does not solve our climate problems. It only contributes to climate change! I am fairly shocked this is even being proposed as part of a climate solution.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Thomas  
10 Atlantic W  
Amesbury, MA 01913  
thomas1nnn@gmail.com  
938-512

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Nancy Warner (nlpwarner@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:05 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Warner  
29 Sherrick Ave  
Holbrook, MA 02343  
nlpwarner@gmail.com  
(415) 767-3532

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Nancy Woodman (nancywoodman77@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 5:03 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Woodman  
19 Fairview St  
East Longmeadow, MA 01028  
nancywoodman77@gmail.com  
(413) 273-2263

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** nancy.fremont@me.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:00 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Nancy Fremont  
01240009

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** nancysalzer13@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 10:33 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Nancy Salzer  
02/7/05

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Nanette Oggiono (nanykat@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:32 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nanette Oggiono  
3 Sadler Rd  
Upton, MA 01588  
nanykat@aol.com  
(508) 272-6510

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** nanykat@aol.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:24 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Nanette Oggiono  
0158424

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Naomi Graham (deeper.powers@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:29 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Please, please don't take the short cut of bio fuels. The research shows that long term there will be little benefit using this approach. Harnessing wind, solar and tidal energy is most efficacious. Reducing the energy demands of our culture is also a long term win. Thank you.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Naomi Graham  
1 Bickford Way  
Gloucester, MA 01930  
deeper.powers@gmail.com  
978.838.1

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Naomi Myrvaagnes (1941naomi@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:47 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Naomi Myrvaagnes  
59 Morseland Ave  
Newton Center, MA 02459  
1941naomi@gmail.com  
(617) 332-2934

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Naomi Sturtevant (naomisturtevant@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 5, 2019 7:33 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Please halt the plans to burn wood for electricity. Clean air is very important.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Naomi Sturtevant  
18 Indian Hollow Road  
Chesterfield, MA 01012  
naomisturtevant@yahoo.com  
(413) 284-2122

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** nasha2428@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 1:06 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

N M

020150

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Nat Kuhn (nk@natkuhn.com) Sent You a Personal Message <automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 6, 2019 9:04 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** I oppose the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

NatKuhn  
35 Oak St  
Belmont, MA 02478  
nk@natkuhn.com  
(617) 490-1749

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Nataliia Dusanovska (ndusanovska@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:49 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nataliia Dusanovska  
~~1622 Worcester Rd~~  
Framingham, MA 01702  
ndusanovska@gmail.com  
~~(417) 35625~~

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Natascha Finnerty (nfinnerty@live.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 11:24 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

We need to encourage countries all over the world from burning wood and other fuels. They are dirty and cause asthma. Please recognize that the spreading of particulate matter in the atmosphere has no benefits. We need clean energy NOW. Your children and grandchildren will blame you.

Natascha Finnerty

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Natascha Finnerty  
PO Box 363, 122 MAIN ST  
Lancaster, MA 01523  
nfinnerty@live.com  
(978) 355-5118

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** nathalie@arthist.umass.edu  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:45 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

And urge you to think boldly about doing all you can to help MA move forward to protect our health, our agriculture, and our economy.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Nathalie Bridegam  
01002

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** neburkert@comcast.net  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:00 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Nancy Burkert  
020308

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** nefarious\_saturnine@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 10:30 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

Victoria G.

01930576

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Neil Dowling (neil.dowling001@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 4:35 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

~~Wood~~ burning is absolutely unacceptable! If this happens my vote will be with you sir! It will be a time for change!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Neil Dowling  
250 Brewer St  
Northborough, MA 01532  
neil.dowling001@gmail.com  
(816) 60-1623

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Nell Seymour (nellseymour48@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:17 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Please, no more pollutants!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nell Seymour  
816 Washington Rd  
Springfield, MA 01108  
nellseymour@gmail.com  
(551) 427-3838

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Nelson Ochoa (n.nelsonochoa@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:18 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nelson Ochoa  
10 Beaufort Rd Apt 5  
Jamaica Plain, MA 02130  
n.nelsonochoa@comcast.net  
(617) 477-310

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** nemasket@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:24 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Douglas Roberson  
020

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** netstuff13@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 2:18 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
D. Chalfin  
01702



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** neva.goodwin@tufts.edu  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:09 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
neva goodwin  
02138725

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** newmoonstudio@aol.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 21, 2019 2:27 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Jacque Harper  
02631193

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** newview05@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 10:03 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Ms. Nancy L. Burbeck

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Nancy Burbeck  
01022

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Nicholas wright (wrightnh@roadrunner.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, June 7, 2019 10:56 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nicholas wright  
80 Old farm Way  
Williamstown, MA 01267  
wrightnh@roadrunner.com  
(413) 48-5811

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** nick.white@ieee.org  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:18 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am a physicist; I have worked in climate science and carbon issues, as well as in industry in Massachusetts since 1981.

Successful control and ultimate reduction of CO2 requires more trees. That is the only viable solution. Beyond that, if we generate electricity through combustion, it should be waste material. (I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

Nick White

07/21/19

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Nicolas Cusi (nicolascusi0@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:56 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Please don't do this... It's 1 step forward, 2 steps back. Massachusetts deserves better.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nicolas Cusi  
~~182~~ Beacon St Apt 16  
Brookline, MA 024  
nicolascusi@gmail.com  
(210)413-0380

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415)977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Nicole Voudren (nikabelle@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, June 7, 2019 12:29 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

The RPS was not increased to be met with dirty resources that contribute to asthma and respiratory illnesses. What is the total resource cost to the communities surrounding these proposed facilities?

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nicole Voudren  
125 Bournehurst Drive  
Plymouth, MA 02360  
nikabelle@gmail.com  
(508) 258-524

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** nileeq@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 10:03 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Sashanaylee Ayala  
01852021



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** nilsbusler@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:03 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Renewable fuels that burn are not the answer. Please rethink this issue.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Niles Busler  
0191357

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Nili Pearlmutter (nilipearlmutter@rcn.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 6, 2019 8:52 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Climate change is the number one threat to my children's future. Please do not weaken the RPS, which is a critical tool for building the renewable energy future that all children need.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nili Pearlmutter  
79 Harlow St.  
Arlington, MA 02474  
nilipearlmutter@rcn.com  
(617) 935-3116

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Nima Rosepiper (nimarosepiper@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:24 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nima Rosepiper  
24 Main St Apt 20  
Great Barrington, MA 01230  
nimarosepiper@yahoo.com  
(413) 65-3123

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** nimarosepiper@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 11:03 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Nima Rosepiper  
012301621

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Nina Aronoff (nlaronoff@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:11 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nina Aronoff  
100 Bourne St  
Jamaica Plain, MA 02130  
nlaronoff@gmail.com  
(617) 522-5335

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Nina Halty (ninahalty@g.harvard.edu) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:18 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nina Halty  
21 College Ave  
Medford, MA 02155  
ninahalty@g.harvard.edu  
(51) 339-606

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** nlaronoff@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:51 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Nina Aronoff  
0213062

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** nocturnalchemistry@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:42 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Stephen DiPesa  
021401621



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** nodbarb@aol.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:54 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Barbara Brown  
01802052

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** noreen.martin@hughes.net  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 21, 2019 11:33 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Noreen Martin  
013701128

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** noreenmichaud@msn.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 3:03 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Noreen Michaud

018125

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** norma.reinhard@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 21, 2019 2:24 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Norma Reinhard  
027475133

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** nowakdux@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:57 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Nancy Nowak  
0203/12

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** ntlevin@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:06 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
NancyLevin  
02475513

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** nwright@tiac.net  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 21, 2019 8:00 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II. Massachusetts has been a leader in tackling tough problems such as climate change. We cannot afford to take step backwards with protecting the environment.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Nicole Wight  
0212222

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Nydia Hernandez (nydiajher@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:37 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

GREEN NYDIA

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nydia Hernandez  
60 Howes St  
Springfield, MA 01118  
nydiajher@gmail.com  
(305) 331-6333

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** o-dog2000@msn.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:39 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Orus Delaney  
02121312

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** ohtheherocomplex@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:36 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Bethany Sherwood  
0106013

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Olivier Resca (oresca@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:54 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

~~Wood~~ burning is not carbon free!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Olivier Resca  
5 Golden Hill Rd  
Lee, MA 01238  
oresca@yahoo.com  
(413) 327-4222

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** onyxpected@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 27, 2019 11:54 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Mary Garcia  
0145019

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** oranjtulip@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 1:21 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Please Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Burning wood is not clean. It is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Jami Trager  
01475

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** orbitfly@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 4:39 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

ThuyVu

019625

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** osugrad124@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 10:09 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
kerenKumar  
015811067

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** p.louie6@comcast.net  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 10:15 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
PongLouie  
021353117



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** p.mccone@verizon.net  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 27, 2019 6:51 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Peter McCone  
028802

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** p\_kahigian@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 1:45 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Peter Kahigian  
01832129

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** paddles57@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 1:57 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Patricia Dadmun  
019023377

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** paddyman1@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 3:42 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
PeterAddyman  
578

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** paffeu@optusnet.com.au  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 2:51 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Pawel Hanasz  
0218

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** pam.marston@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:03 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Pam Marston  
014511306

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Pamela Sinotte (pmsinotte@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 6, 2019 10:05 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I was shocked to learn that the Governor would consider the burning of wood for energy a "renewable" source. I hope this plan will be halted!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pamela Sinotte  
12 Florence St.#3  
Roslindale, MA 02131  
pmsinotte@gmail.com  
(17) 50-239

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** pamelamax1@peoplepc.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 10:42 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Pamela Maxfield  
01923



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** pamelaoerth@aol.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:27 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Pamela Oerth  
018332317

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** panderson@gogtt.net  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:06 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
MargaretAnderson  
010271070

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** parrisjt@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Monday, July 22, 2019 11:45 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Judy Taylor  
02677022

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Pat Conant (art13west2@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:16 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pat Conant  
13 Heritage Ln  
Westfield, MA 01085  
art13west2@comcast.net  
(413) 583-3343

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Pat Rathbone (patrathbone@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, June 7, 2019 12:00 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

The administration's proposal would weaken the RPS and pollute our air substantially by:  
Eliminating efficiency standards for woody biomass.  
Increasing utility and supplier obligations to buy from waste-to-energy sources, such as trash incineration.  
Eliminating the requirement that hydropower facilities be designated as low-impact (meaning, giving preferential financial incentives to facilities that impact the surrounding eco-system as little as possible) when undergoing re-certification.  
Cutting short the SREC-1 solar incentive payment period.

I AM AGAINST, FERVENTLY AGAINST, AOF THIS!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pat Rathbone  
36 Adams Ave  
Woburn, MA 02472  
patrathbone@gmail.com

~~617-953-358~~

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Pat Svetaka (psvetaka@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 6, 2019 12:56 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

I am horrified about this new twist to the use of the RPS to include biomass and wood. The nature of RPS is to help promote development of truly renewable fuel sources with a focus on zero net carbon. Alaw can not change the science that the use of irreplaceable biomass and wood to produce electricity/power is not a sustainable resource without adding more carbon to the atmosphere and negatively effecting our climate with less natural landscapes. Also, while I understand there are generators of biomass waste that are looking for a way to utilize their waste, from any numbers I've heard, their generation would in no way watch the need to power a biomass to electricity/power plant. PLEASE reconsider this for a more environmentally sound approach. Our children depend on it. Thank you, Pat Svetaka

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pat Svetaka  
51 Lake Attitash Road  
Amesbury, MA 01913  
psvetaka@gmail.com  
983-0747

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Patricia Donovan (p-jdonovan@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:42 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Massachusetts should be a leader in setting safe clean energy goals. It is scientifically proven that wood burning contains toxic carbon monoxide and many other chemicals and gases. Let's show the country how to do it right!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Donovan  
19 Valley Rd  
Natick, MA 01760  
p-jdonovan@comcast.net  
(508) 53-0176

This message was sent by KnowWho, a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Patricia Frederick (piano.fred@juno.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 5:48 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Frederick  
15 Water St  
Ashburnham, MA 01430  
piano.fred@juno.com  
978-276-232

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Patricia Grenier (patriciajean2347@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 2:49 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

It is another form of air and environmental pollution. As a building material it is ok, as a mulch that prevents weeds and degrades back to the earth it is good. As a use for fuel in "this" century it is archaic, a sad use of a not easily renewable resource!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Grenier  
PO Box 73  
Roslindale, MA 02131  
patriciajean2347@gmail.com  
(617) 323-2516

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Patricia Holmes (patrish7@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 5:13 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

In case you didn't notice, the climate IS changing! Put in more particulates, something changes. A law in science: for every action there is a reaction!!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Holmes  
8 Cotuit Rd Apt 203  
Bourne, MA 02532  
patrish7@yahoo.com  
(774) 212-1609

This message was sent by KnowWho, a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Patricia Iraheta (piraheta025@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:42 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Help the environment and our future.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Iraheta  
3 Crest Drive Apt 10  
Methuen, MA 01844  
piraheta025@gmail.com  
(978) 574-0911

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Patricia Keoughan (pkeoughan@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:24 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

I live on Cape Cod where we already have several bad air days in the summer due to the winds blowing up through the states west of us. I live off Woods Hole Rd. that has a haze of exhaust ~~27~~ from the car, bus and truck traffic going and coming from the Vineyard. I have chronic sinus problems and emphysema. and just this makes good health here difficult. Burning wood would not only make it hard for me and others, children with asthma and our growing elderly population with pulmonary problems to go outside. Then there's the double problem of CO2 on the atmosphere and climate disruption. Burning wood is exactly what shouldn't be done. We need more trees to take in more CO2 and to not burn them to release more CO2. That's a no-brainer.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Keoughan  
PO Box 538  
Woods Hole, MA 02543  
pkeoughan@comcast.net  
(508) 540-820

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Patricia O'Connell (patriciaeoconnell@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:24 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia O'Connell  
7 Center St  
Kingston, MA 02381  
patriciaeoconnell@gmail.com  
(508) 339-1700

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Patricia Ramsey (pramsey@mtholyoke.edu) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 3, 2019 11:24 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Biomass and woodburning will NOT slow down reduce our carbon emissions -- they will increase pollution and further harm Massachusetts residents who are vulnerable to asthma, heart disease and multiple other health problems related to carbon emissions.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Ramsey  
70Larkspur Dr  
Amherst, MA 01002  
pramsey@mtholyoke.edu  
(413) 259-2002

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Patricia Rogler (rogler@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 2:09 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Rogler  
119 County St  
Rehoboth, MA 0279  
rogler@aol.com  
(508) 51-240

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Patricia Roy (patyiraheta@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, July 26, 2019 11:29 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Help the environment for future generations to enjoy it.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Roy  
3 Crest Drive, 10  
Methuen, MA 01844  
patyiraheta@yahoo.com  
(978) 574-5744

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Patricia Tholl (patriciatholl@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:09 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Tholl  
140 Warren St  
Needham, MA 02492  
patriciatholl@hotmail.com  
(814) 4108

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** patricia.bucephalus@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:57 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Patricia Randazzo  
01815

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** patriciatholl@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:42 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Patricia Tholl  
02023029

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Patrick Beaudry (patrickinmass@charter.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:20 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patrick Beaudry  
41 Harley Dr Apt 10  
Worcester, MA 01606  
patrickinmass@charter.net  
(781) 535-7702

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** patrickinmass@charter.net  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:54 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Patrick Beaudry  
0160179



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Patty McIntyre (patoismc@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 1:19 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

We need more ~~CLEAN~~ energy like wind and solar. Burning wood is not clean - it pollutes our environment and reduces the number of trees, a critical source of carbon dioxide reduction. I won't see the worst of climate change in my lifetime but my children will and I'm distraught about the mess we are leaving them with if we don't take decisive action now. Thank you.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patty McIntyre  
12 Webster Road  
Arlington, MA 02474  
patoismc@gmail.com  
(817) 888-8888

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** pau\_des@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:57 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Paul Deschenes  
014208

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Paul Cameron (soaringhawk2@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:45 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Cameron  
27 Newbury St Lot 51  
Peabody, MA 01960  
soaringhawk2@yahoo.com  
978-512-5125

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Paul Dale (paulbdale@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:38 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** The plans to burn wood for electricity are abhorrent and absurd - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

The climate crisis is real and urgent. These DOER proposals are a huge step backward, we must not allow this.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Dale  
12 Grace Rd  
Wald, MA 01778  
paulbdale@gmail.com  
(517) 740-51

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Paul Darlow (paulwd@outlook.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:44 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Darlow  
10 Trottier St  
Worcester, MA 01606  
paulwd@outlook.com  
(508) 531-3156

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Paul Formisano (formisano@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:31 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Please do all you can to keep Massachusetts air clean! Do not support wood burning for power generation when so many other clean resources such as solar and wind are available.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Formisano  
53 Tallawanda Rd  
Swansea, MA 02777  
formisano@comcast.net  
(508) 12-0330

This message was sent by KnowWho, a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Paul Formisano (formisano@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:05 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Dear Governor Baker,

I met you when you visited Sensata Technologies. During your visit, you were able to answer one question submitted by an employee, and it was my question. I asked you if Massachusetts would be able to maintain our clean air standards in spite of EPA regulation rollbacks of the Trump Administration. You assured us that we would indeed be able to do so. Clean air and clean water are our most important resources as they are vital to our health. Please stay true to your commitment to protecting the health of the citizens of Massachusetts, and do not allow the burning of solid fuels such as wood for energy production because they are very polluting. Resources should be directed to expanding clean energy, especially solar. Look for example at California and Germany for solar energy policies that are working to preserve our environment and create a sustainable future. I believe community solar and utility scale solar are the way to go. Please do the right thing.

Sincerely,

Paul Formisano

Registered Professional Engineer

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Formisano  
53 Tallawanda Rd  
Swansea, MA 02777  
formisano@comcast.net  
(508) 12-0330

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Paul Green (pauljgreen@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 6, 2019 10:17 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Please preserve the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Green  
18 Fairview Ave  
Arlington, MA 02474  
pauljgreen@yahoo.com  
(813) 43-1318

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Paul Harrington (paulharrington@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:18 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Don't we have enough smog and children with asthma?  
How about something novel? Home based green technology, wind, solar, universal net metering?

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Harrington  
108 Greenwood St  
Worcester, MA 01801  
paulharrington@hotmail.com  
(508) 245-0000

This message was sent by KnowWho, a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Paul Lind (pabasquito@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:14 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Lind  
14 Seekonk Cross Rd  
Great Barrington, MA 01230  
pabasquito@yahoo.com  
(415) 977-5500

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Paul Moyer (pauldmoyer@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 6, 2019 8:11 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

There are much better ways to reduce greenhouse gases. I don't see why we would choose this ineffective, counterproductive means to that end. We are smarter than this!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Moyer  
45 Doten Road  
Plymouth, MA 02360  
pauldmoyer@gmail.com  
(617) 588-5855

This message was sent by KnowWho, a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Paul Phillips (sankocherry@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 5, 2019 7:30 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Wood, now we are really going backwards. I thought Trump was terribly stupid to do what he proposed..!we're not going to leave that money in the ground."Like money means everything in America. No, wood burning is not at all a good idea.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Phillips  
PO Box 257  
Marblehead, MA 0195  
sankocherry@gmail.com  
(781) 631-1303

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Paul Shorb (paul.shorb@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, July 26, 2019 2:13 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Reducing the rate of climate change should be our top priority; burning wood is not the way to do it. We need to build more solar, wind, and storage instead, to drive emissions down faster.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Shorb  
16 Lincoln Road  
Lincoln, MA 01773  
paul.shorb@gmail.com  
(415) 977-5500

This message was sent by KnowWho, a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Paul Spencer (minsliver@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 1:56 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Just how much floating, airborne particulate pollution can any person inhale without having one's life cut short?

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Spencer  
3 Main St  
Northampton, MA 01060  
minslive@msn.com  
(413) 210-6578

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Paul Zendzian (zman3737@charter.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:38 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Zendzian  
14 Hanna Rd  
Worcester, MA 01602  
zman3737@charter.net  
(508) 755-5078

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Paula Barrett (peepsl@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 12:00 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paula Barrett  
28 Arlington Road  
Plymouth, MA 02360  
peepsl@aol.com  
(508) 22-7755

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Paula Barrett (peeps@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:41 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paula Barrett  
28 Arlington Rd  
Plymouth, MA 02360  
peeps@aol.com  
(508) 22-7755

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Paula Phipps (paula.c.phipps@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:32 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

A new report from MIT Sloan professor John Sterman supports the growing argument that burning wood pellets for power is worse for the climate than burning coal, because of the short-term effects and the potentially irreversible impacts that may arise before the long-run benefits are realized?

Because combustion and processing efficiencies for wood are less than coal, the immediate impact of substituting wood for coal is an increase in atmospheric (carbon dioxide) relative to coal, the report stated. The payback time for this carbon debt ranges from 4-10 years after clear-cut, depending on forest type assuming the land remains forest?

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paula Phipps  
227 Hurley St  
Cambridge, MA 02141  
paula.c.phipps@gmail.com  
(617) 413-162

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Paula Wells (pawells63@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 16, 2019 11:40 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Governor Baker, I have been very impressed with your clean energy policies to date. Please do not allow our forests, our scenic mountains, our biodiversity to be compromised. Massachusetts is a gorgeous state with clean water and air. We need these forests intact and not logged for the short term monetary gain. Please continue your clean air and water policies and withdraw the changes to the RPS Class I and Class II.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paula Wells  
120 Gates Avenue  
Clarksburg, MA 01247  
pawells63@yahoo.com  
(413) 683-0101

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** paula.phipps@bio4climate.org  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 5:54 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Paula Phipps

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Paula Phipps  
02141

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** paulharrington@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:36 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Paul Harrington  
~~18203~~

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** pawneegirl.cd@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 10:36 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Carol Davis  
0247811



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** pawprint1311@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:33 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Michele Costa  
02536

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** pbenjamin@igc.org  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:42 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Patricia Benjamin  
01531 1614

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** pcris4@aol.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:15 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Patricia Criste  
01801230

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** pdmaloneybrown@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:18 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Patricia Brown  
019301135

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** peacefulpeople@live.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:15 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Kathleen Byrnes  
01612

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** peg.wheelley@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Monday, July 22, 2019 5:09 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Peg Wheelley  
01831

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Peggy Duesenberry (pduesenb@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 6, 2019 3:24 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

This seems like a cynical plot to destroy our climate by calling greenhouse gas emissions?renewable? Industrial wood burning not only causes particulate pollution and climate damage, it means a large reduction in the ultimate carbon sink local forests. Please stop this nonsense and get behind clean Class 1 renewables. Don't you want Boston to survive?

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peggy Duesenberry  
23 Washington St.  
Peggy, MA 01730  
pduesenb@gmail.com  
(617) 933-0808

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Peggy Lynch (mlynch4@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, July 26, 2019 1:01 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I have researched wood burning and I know that it is a dirty way to produce electricity. Eliminates all the benefit of growing the trees.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peggy Lynch  
9 Brookline St  
Cambridge, MA 02139  
mlynch4@icloud.com  
(617) 597-74

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** pegmackey@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:15 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Margaret Mackey  
021431124

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** pengler100@outlook.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:42 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Gerald Giguere  
010621422

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Penny Altman (pfa7345@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 11:17 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Penny Altman  
4 Orchard Hill Dr  
Sharon, MA 02067  
pfa7345@gmail.com  
(818) 505-5045

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** pennyhimlan@aol.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:42 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Pauline Himlan  
01453102

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Peter and Helen Mason (htmwyben@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:21 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peter and Helen Mason  
56 West Rd  
Westfield, MA 01085  
htmwyben@comcast.net  
(413) 562-3075

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Peter Cutting (pcutting67@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 11, 2019 11:38 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peter Cutting  
15 N Main  
Charlton, MA 01507  
pcutting67@gmail.com  
(508) 278-1552

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Peter Haroutian (anush1@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:36 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peter Haroutian  
66 Pleasant St  
Worcester, MA 01602  
anush1@msn.com  
(508) 753-1223

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Peter Hofman (pdhofman12@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:05 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peter Hofman  
83 Devon Rd  
Lee, MA 01238  
pdhofman12@gmail.com  
(413) 431-4315

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Peter Kahigian (p\_kahigian@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 3:07 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peter Kahigian  
8 Country Hill Ln  
Haverhill, MA 01832  
p\_kahigian@hotmail.com  
978-3232

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Peter Kahigian (peter\_kahigian@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 4:34 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peter Kahigian  
PO Box 629  
Haverhill, MA 01831  
peter\_kahigian@hotmail.com  
978-3232

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Peter O'Kelly (pbokelly@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:11 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning wood (or biomass) is not clean energy leadership; please reconsider your plan

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peter O'Kelly  
2 Sparta Way  
Andover, MA 01810  
pbokelly@gmail.com  
978-24017

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Peter Rawlings (prawlings60@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 12:58 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peter Rawlings  
5 Burnham Rd  
North Billerica, MA 0182  
prawlings60@comcast.net  
978-8132

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Peter Townsend (k9townsend@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:19 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peter Townsend  
85 Metropolitan Ave  
Ashland, MA 01721  
k9townsend@gmail.com  
(508) 314-0178

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Peter Traub (phtraub@nycap.rr.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 11:37 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peter Traub  
197 Lake Shore Dr  
Cheshire, MA 01225  
phtraub@nycap.rr.com  
(413) 743-3955

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Peter Von Au (pgvonau@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:18 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Note to Baker administration: We are well past the time that that this sort of screwing around can be tolerated. We are now in the five-alarm fire phase of the climate crisis - did you miss that somehow? Cut the monkey business and get serious - NOW

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Peter Von Au  
35 Riverwalk Way Unit 19  
Lowell, MA 01854  
pgvonau@yahoo.com  
(978) 771-7752

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** peter\_kahigian@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 21, 2019 11:54 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
PeterKahigian  
01831629



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** pfa7345@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:06 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
PennyAltman  
020673314

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** pftally@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:18 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Patrick Tally  
02130292

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Philip Angell (angell\_phil@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:56 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Isn't our purpose here to drive the renewable industry while also improving air quality? Does wood burning do this?

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Philip Angell  
161 Roxy Cahoon Rd  
Plymouth, MA 02360  
angell\_phil@yahoo.com  
(815) 977-5500

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Philip Gormley (philgormley@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 3, 2019 11:30 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Philip Gormley  
20 Ackers Ter  
Brookline, MA 0245  
philgormley@aol.com  
(617) 232-7404

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Philip Milgrom (philmilgrom@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 6:03 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

It's now or too late. Let's protect the environment now, and our future. Please.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Philip Milgrom  
PO Box 1210, 26 Bridge Street  
Wren, MA 0183  
philmilgrom@comcast.net  
(1346) 7374

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (1597) 7-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Philip Milgrom (philmilgrom@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 11:42 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Clean air is a must. Pollution is not. Thank you for safeguarding our lungs, our childrens' lungs, and our future.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Philip Milgrom  
PO Box 1210  
Wren, MA 01833  
philmilgrom@comcast.net  
(1345) 7374

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (1597) 7-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Phillip Glenn (philliliana@rcn.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 1:25 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Phillip Glenn  
28 Vernon Rd  
Natick, MA 01760  
philliliana@rcn.com  
(508) 233-5580

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** phipps2000@aol.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 1:51 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
john phipps  
015504005



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** phorestwnd@verizon.net  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:33 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Carol Esler  
01782722

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Phyllis Schmidt (jacq23400@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 4:58 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Phyllis Schmidt  
50 Stackpole St., Lowell, MA 01852  
Lowell, MA 01852  
jacq23400@gmail.com  
(207) 837-3730

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** pilarquintana@comcast.net  
**Sent:** Monday, July 22, 2019 10:30 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Pilar Quintana  
018520

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** pkeoughan@comcast.net  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 10:45 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
PatriciaKeoughan  
025430538

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** pkocoras@clarku.edu  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 21, 2019 1:33 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
PeggyKocoras  
0103399

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** pmkmary43@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:15 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Paula Kellett  
01757-1673

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** poners831@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 28, 2019 10:09 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

Karen Rowe

01910

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** porter.deborah@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 21, 2019 3:54 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Deborah Porter  
02122-1410



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** powell.m11@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 1:48 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Margaret Powell  
0123073

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** ppelletrea@aol.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:15 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Pamela Pelletreau  
02543

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** pravada@earthlink.net  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:54 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
nina spiro  
01027978

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Priscilla Smith (pjs00002000@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 3:16 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Priscilla Smith  
1070 Beacon St Apt 5D  
Brookline, MA 02445  
pjs00002000@yahoo.com  
(617) 739-0112

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** priscilla.white.10@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:39 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Priscilla White  
1002

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** priscillalynch377@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:27 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
PriscillaLynch  
01341

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** procyon581137@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:45 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Susannah Ostocki  
02743

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** profwestaway@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Monday, July 22, 2019 2:18 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Deborah Westaway  
01824



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** proulxmaurice@comcast.net  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 6:48 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Maurice Proulx  
011083527

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** pskirby@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:48 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Peter Kirby  
021402252

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** pspatter@verizon.net  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:57 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Polly Patterson  
01761270

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** psytrance1968@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:09 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Martin Plessis  
0119131

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** publicpanther@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 10:12 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Curtis Schroeder  
02453

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** puppyanna6@comcast.net  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:30 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Anne McGuire  
0218235

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** pvaillancourt85@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 24, 2019 8:36 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
PaulVaillancourt  
01431