

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: 1941naomi@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Naomi Myrvaagnes
02459-1151

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: 19edwards64@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 7:24 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: John M Edwards

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
John Edwards
013609532

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: 1world4all@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:18 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Governor Baker,

~~We~~ the People of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts have made it abundantly clear both in 2014, and now, and on multiple other occasions, that ~~We~~ do not Consent to the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. As one of the Inhabitants of the Commonwealth, I State this to you ~~YET AGAIN~~, as clear Guidance and Direction to you. You are currently in willful violation of Article 7 of the Massachusetts Constitution, which you swore an oath to uphold and protect. This is NOTICE to you, Sir, to cease and desist your malfeasant practices, in aiding and abetting the usurpation by private interests of our Sovereign Commonwealth Assets, and Our Indefeasible Right to Protect Our Assets of Healthy Living Forests, As Untouched, and Pristine, so as to insure the health and well-being of all future Massachusetts Inhabitants, and the biodiversity and health of the living communities within our forests.

In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

You, Gov. Baker and your administration, are aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the Common Good, contrary to My Health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

You ~~Will~~ withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II. Let this be a reminder to you that Our Guidance and Direction is **MANDATORY** to you, as a public servant, paid by us to adhere to Our Guidance and Direction, as to the protection and disposition of Our Assets. Any and all attempts to undermine, subvert, and overturn our Guidance and Direction in favor of private interests is willful malfeasance against our Massachusetts Constitution, and your sworn Oath to protect it.

Sincerely,
Lisa Hoag
01379

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: 2madema@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 1:42 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Deborah de Gil
01267258

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: 4dearaujo@prodigy.net
Sent: Sunday, July 28, 2019 7:45 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Laura KolbAraujo
11228

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: 4gary4thaler4@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:12 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Gary Thaler
021514112

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: a.benjamin@comcast.net
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 10:51 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
amy benjamin
02481

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Ab? Shuhag (raju.294@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 2:58 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ab? Shuhag
121 Washington St Apt 3
Cambridge, MA 02139
raju.294@gmail.com
(617) 374-6535

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: abbeyroad999@verizon.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:15 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Anemarie Brady
013519555

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Abbie JENKS (gandhi0324@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 3:59 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Abbie JENKS
18 JONES RD
PELHAM, MA 01002
gandhi0324@gmail.com
(413) 256-6389

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: abbymoorelicsw@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Abigail Moore
01062

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: abigail_howes@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Abigail Howes
02792201

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: abilleb@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:09 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Andrew Billeb
02125

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: abork53@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:54 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Anne Borkowski
018792302

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: aclarewahlstrom@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 1:12 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
~~Alexandra Wahlstrom~~
015101443

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: acmuse2@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:15 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Carol Riley
018501015

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: acohen47@charter.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 6:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Ann Cohen
015011115

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Ada Woolston (gardenada@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2019 4:23 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ada Woolston
24 Espie Ave
Maynard, MA 01754
gardenada@gmail.com
(978) 618-703

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: adam Galambos (adam.galambos1@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 18, 2019 10:34 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

adam Galambos
409 Walker st
North Adams, MA 01247
adam.galambos1@gmail.com
(617) 21-9355

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Adam Jones (ultimajones@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:07 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning anything for electricity in the 21st-century is for losers and stupid people and cavemen.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Adam Jones
39 Mansfield St
Gloucester, MA 01930
ultimajones@hotmail.com
(978) 657-638

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Adam Rogoff (adamdrogoff@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 8:19 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Adam Rogoff
28 Ashfield St
Boston, MA 02131
adamdrogoff@gmail.com
(617) 325-6842

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: adamnunist@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:54 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Adam Nunes
02740989

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: adamspellmire@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 1:15 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Adam Spellmire
01602

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: adrienneharvey@hotmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 5:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Adrienne Harvey
023671004

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: aedriscoll@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:21 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Athur Driscoll
026331072

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ainsleyd@aol.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:51 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Ansley Donaldson
021762908

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Al Blake (alvinblake@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:03 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

The trees in western Massachusetts are a valuable source of sequestering carbon and should not be exploited for burning by labeling that as being fossil free by DOER

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Al Blake
352 Warden Rd
Becket, MA 01223
alvinblake@aol.com
(201) 450-1724

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: alahaie.30@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:33 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: ANN MARIE MARIE LAHAIE

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

ANN MARIE LAHAIE
01904

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Alan Field (alanhfield@ymail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 4:29 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

I have not seen any guarantees that mature trees would not be cut down for biomass in MA. This is happening wholesale in the southeastern United States with disastrous results.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alan Field
27 Edward Drive
Winchester, MA 01890
alanhfield@ymail.com
(617) 29-6075

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Alan Papskun (alan@papskun.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2019 12:53 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

We are in a climate crisis and must do everything possible to put a stop to all contributing forms of pollution to our atmosphere.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alan Papskun
40 Glendale Rd
Stockbridge, MA 01262
alan@papskun.com
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Alan Papskun (alan@papskun.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:39 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Massachusetts needs to implement 100%non-polluting energy now!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alan Papskun
PO Box 84
Glendale, MA 01229
alan@papskun.com
(413) 274-0123

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Alan Perkins (aperkins1952@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 1:52 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alan Perkins
584 W Rodney French Blvd
New Bedford, MA 02744
aperkins1952@gmail.com
(508) 207-6057

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Alan Schweitzer (als236@cornell.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 18, 2019 2:51 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alan Schweitzer
4 Glenburn Rd
Arlington, MA 02476
als236@cornell.edu
(81) 648-6739

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Alan Strauss (ajlex@rcn.com) Sent You a Personal Message <automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 10:50 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alan Strauss
67 Freemont St
Lexington, MA 02421
ajlex@rcn.com
(81) 862-7179

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: alan@papsun.com
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2019 12:54 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Alan Papsun
01262

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: alan@papsun.com
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2019 8:42 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Alan Papsun
01262

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: alan@papsun.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:33 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Alan Papsun
01262

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: alanjclayton@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 10:18 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Alan J Clayton
018902510

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: aletheac57@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:54 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Aethea Cozzi
026732523

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Alex Bichuch (gvardia@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 3:52 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alex Bichuch
185 Ames St
Sharon, MA 02067
gvardia@gmail.com
(617) 249-3847

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: alexamajors@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Alexandra Majors
021763641

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Alexander Chanler (alexchanler@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:40 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

We need all the trees we can grow to clean the air. We are not in the middle ages where we need to burn wood. we need to grow wood.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alexander Chanler
40 Gray Rd
Andover, MA 01810
alexchanle@gmail.com
(978) 40-1550

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Alexander Gasik (alex_gasik@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 10:58 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Wood burning is not a solution. It not only releases significant greenhouse emissions, but also could incentivize cutting down trees which are a key ally in combating climate change.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alexander Gasik
28 Warwick Rd
Melrose, MA 02176
alex_gasik@yahoo.com
(617) 662-0824

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Alexander Tight (atight33@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 3:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alexander Tight
13 Dean St
Attleboro, MA 02703
atight33@gmail.com
781-722-2819

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: alexaw99@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 3:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

Alexa Wall

026481500

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: alexbescott@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:33 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

Alex Escott
023325207

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: alexdugan1@usa.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:09 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Alexander Dugan
024526263

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: alexialcoolidge@gmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2019 2:03 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Alexia Coolidge
01751493

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Alexio Silkwolfe (stormcloud1983@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 11:22 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alexio Silkwolfe
81 Conz St Apt 212
Northampton, MA 01060
stormcloud1983@gmail.com
(413) 629-8100

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: alineeuler8@gmail.com
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 4:24 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Aine Euler
01085

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: alisa.nagano@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 4:54 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Alisa nagano
024456955

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Alison Collins (alison@irisgallery.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:05 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alison Collins
10 Davitt St
Boston, MA 02125
alison@irisgallery.net
(617) 429-1010

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Alison Galati (alison_galati@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 10:56 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alison Galati
2 Kathleen Cir
Rowley, MA 01969
alison_galati@hotmail.com
(978) 948-8712

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Alison Righter (alison.righter@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 3:24 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alison Righter
371 Broadway Apt 6
Cambridge, MA 02139
alison.righter@verizon.net
(617) 852-2239

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Alissa Randall (alissa.kortendick@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 3:06 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alissa Randall
1561 Centre St
West Roxbury, MA 02132
alissa.kortendick@gmail.com
(262) 498-2557

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: alkalinelilac@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 3:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Anne Kazlauskas
024742722

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Allen Altman (altman@simons-rock.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:03 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Allen Altman
PO Box 441
Great Barrington, MA 01230
altman@simons-rock.edu
(413) 229-3461

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Allin Frawley (allinfrawley@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:41 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

lets try to move forward with our Green Energy goals, and not backwards.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Allin Frawley
719 Plymouth St
Middleboro, MA 02346
allinfrawley@hotmail.com
(508) 243-7072

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Allison Cocuzzo <cocuzzo@mit.edu>
Sent: Sunday, June 2, 2019 9:42 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: RPS Class I and Class II Comments

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Dept of Energy Resources,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, I understand that the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Allison Cocuzzo
Needham, MA

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Allison Cocuzzo (cocuzzo@mit.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 11:19 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Allison Cocuzzo
24 Castano Ct
Needham, MA 02494
cocuzzo@mit.edu
(617) 449-0505

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Allison Segal (allisonsegal@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 7:26 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Allison Segal
24 Seven Springs Ln Apt 306
Burlington, MA 01803
allisonsegal@gmail.com
(508) 444-2286

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Allison Webster (alliebomba@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:47 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

You can do better than this, Governor Baker! Boston and the Commonwealth is a hub of innovation and clean energy technology. Show me and my two year old how you can think out of the box and we'll continue to live here for the next 15 years!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Allison Webster
92 Myrtle St
Somerville, MA 02145
alliebomba@gmail.com
(617) 331-8629

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: alma_espinosa@comcast.net
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 4:18 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Alma Espinosa
018523630

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: alrosnertt@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 9:27 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Anthony Rosner
024724149

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: altman@simons-rock.edu
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Alan Altman
012300441

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: alvinblake@aol.com
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2019 10:57 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
al blake
01223

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: alvinblake@aol.com
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2019 7:48 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Alvin Blake
01223

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: alvinblake@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:21 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
ABlake
012233275

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Alyssa Lunghi (alyssajoy24@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alyssa Lunghi
74 Massachusetts Ave
Longmeadow, MA 01106
alyssajoy24@gmail.com
(413) 540-6466

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Alyssa Mayes (alysmacaluso@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 20, 2019 9:11 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Protecting trees should be a priority for Massachusetts. Trees have huge environmental benefits; it would be very backwards to include wood burning as a renewable resource as it not renewable. Additionally trees make our state more attractive and provide numerous public health benefits. Thank you for your service!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alyssa Mayes
548 Massachusetts Ave Unit 3
Boston, MA 02118
alysmacaluso@gmail.com
(317) 440-6981

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: alyssa.kennedy@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:06 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Alyssa Kennedy
022154785

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: amallon@keene.edu
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Anne-Marie Mallon
013379413

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Amanda Peters (mandave52@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:23 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amanda Peters
289 Sidney St#1
Cambridge, MA 02139
mandave52@hotmail.com
(617) 520-9569

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Amanda Richter (celtic-enchantress@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:44 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amanda Richter
78 Winter St
Clinton, MA 01510
celtic-enchantress@comcast.net
(978) 365-5298

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ameltzerma@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:18 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Amy Meltzer
021391103

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ams170a@aol.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:54 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Wayne Sullivan
021203340

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Amy Henry (aries4455@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 11:24 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amy Henry
22 Perkins Ave
Northampton, MA 01060
aries4455@gmail.com
(413) 687-5532

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Amy Hibel (ahibel@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:33 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amy Hibel
15 Breakneck Hill Rd
Hopkinton, MA 01748
ahibel@gmail.com
(508) 435-2302

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Amy Hutter (amy.hutter@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:14 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amy Hutter
74 Sudbury Rd
Weston, MA 02493
amy.hutter@comcast.net
(781) 899-1222

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: amy maguire (aehunter3@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:18 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Please help leave a livable planet for our children and their children. The time to act is now for their sakes and ours!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

amy maguire
3 madison ave west
winchester, MA 01890
aehunter3@gmail.com
(617) 29-6322

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: amy maguire (aehunter3@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, July 12, 2019 10:30 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I personally am very concerned about this issue.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

amy maguire
3 madison ave west
winchester, MA 01890
aehunter3@gmail.com
(617) 29-6322

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: amy maguire (aehunter3@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 17, 2019 8:39 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: As a Massachusetts voter, I ask you to halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I want a Governor that cares about the environment and I thought I had one. Now there are more voters than ever wondering if you need the energy companies support and money more than you need the support of environmentalists voters. Please help us continue to support you. Thank you, Amy Hunter Maguire, 350 Mass metro North node

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

amy maguire
3 madison ave west
winchester, MA 01890
aehunter3@gmail.com
(617) 29-6322

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Amy Schneider (amyshome@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:06 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amy Schneider
48 Oxford Rd
Newton, MA 02459
amyshome@yahoo.com
(617) 332-4380

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Amy Sophia Marashinsky (asm@amysophia.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:56 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

We need to go clean. We need to move beyond burning wood for energy. We must find heating sources that do not pollute. To move into a sustainable future we must let go of our past use of wood. I oppose wood burning to meet our clean energy goals.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amy Sophia Marashinsky
89 Pine Grv
Amherst, MA 01002
asm@amysophia.com
(413) 256-1975

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Amy Swanson (amy.swanson.email@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 4:09 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I want a well thought out decision for the future. Adding wood you the renewable portfolio adds particulates and is expected to reduce the carbon capture from trees. Makes little sense to me and diverts resources from more sustainable options.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amy Swanson
10 Belfry Ter
Lexington, MA 02421
amy.swanson.email@gmail.com
(617) 823-0132

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: amybookbinder11@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 4:09 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Amy Bookbinder
01053

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: amyrealmccoy@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:54 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Amy McCoy McCoy
013701321

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: amyshome@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:24 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Amy Schneider
024592405

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: analyticpower@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 4:42 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
david bloomfield
026493630

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Anamaria Camargo (ania.camargo@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2019 5:07 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning of wood for electricity is an inefficient way to produce electricity, will increase our green house gas emissions and pollutants, and work against the global warming solutions act.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anamaria Camargo
28 Temple Street, Apt. 2
BOSTON, MA 02114
ania.camargo@gmail.com
(617) 227-1711

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: andemax@hotmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:36 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Andrea Maxwell
017027240

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Andrea LaFrance (holly@hollygroup.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 3:07 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Andrea LaFrance
106 Thornton Rd
Waltham, MA 02453
holly@hollygroup.com
(617) 433-7141

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Andrea Taylor-Blenis (atayblen@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:40 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Most people know Burningwood less more carbon. That is not the way we are headed! Unless you plan on putting massive filters on those wood burning facilities, which could make costs prohibitive, wood-burning is a bad idea.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Andrea Taylor-Blenis
23 Gloucester St
Arlington, MA 02476
atayblen@gmail.com
(617) 646-8549

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: andreadepaola@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 1:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Andrea DePaola
021091008

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Andrew Boger (andyboger@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:14 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Andrew Boger
65 Middle St
Newburyport, MA 01950
andyboger@gmail.com
(508) 423-5672

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Andrew Estrup (andrew.estrup@outlook.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:23 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Let's focus our energy and producing clean, non-polluting, and sustainable energy! Thanks!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Andrew Estrup
660 Ocean Ave Apt 513
Revere, MA 02151
andrew.estrup@outlook.com
(207) 229-8119

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Andrew Kaplan (andrewkaplan@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 12:58 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

We need to take action now to curb climate change. This does matter for the future of our children. My kids are 17 and 10 and I shudder to think what the world will be like when they come into age if we do not stop the madness now. Renewable energy is paramount. Please no wood burning.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Andrew Kaplan
59 Crescent Ave
Scituate, MA 02066
andrewkaplan@hotmail.com
781-238-6059

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Andrew Lenz (lenz8nick@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:27 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Producing soot is clear?

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Andrew Lenz
PO Box 59
Leverett, MA 01054
lenz8nick@gmail.com
(413) 367-9512

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: andrewcostigan08@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 1:27 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Andrew Costigan
020622413

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: andrewewhiting@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:18 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Andrew Whiting

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Andy Berkvist (berkvist@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:29 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Andy Berkvist
15 Bright Ave
Northampton, MA 01060
berkvist@comcast.net
(413) 585-9973

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Andy Zucker (andyzucker@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 3:05 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I was one of the many people who met with state legislators asking that the RPS be increased from 1% additional each year to 2%. Please don't undermine this important change in law by weakening rules.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Andy Zucker
35 Winslow St
Cambridge, MA 02138
andyzucker@gmail.com
(617) 441-9499

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Angela Hahn (ayhahn@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 5:58 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Angela Hahn
835 Old Post Rd
Cotuit, MA 02635
ayhahn@gmail.com
(508) 428-170

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: angela hondros <angelahondros@hotmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 2, 2019 2:14 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: RPS Class I and Class II Comments

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sent from my iPhone

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: animek22x@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:03 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Molly Mott
025371312

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: animesam@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Samantha Gill
025364234

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: anitajoe@rcn.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 6:09 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Anita DeFelice
01702

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Ann and Dan Green (dhgreen@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 7:30 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ann and Dan Green
83 Dutcher St.
Hopedale, MA 01747
dhgreen@comcast.net
(508) 277-3812

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Ann Asnes (annasnes@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:17 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I have been using organic methods on my land for over 25 years. The state needs to lead on environmental protections as a matter of practical necessity.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

AnnAsnes
185 Bellevue Rd
Wartown, MA 02472
annasne@comcast.net
(617) 926-1248

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Ann Loree (ann_loree@post.harvard.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:19 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Please put effort towards renewable energy sources that do not increase GHG emissions!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ann Loree
39 Dahlia Dr
Littleton, MA 01460
ann_loree@post.harvard.edu
(617) 80-6335

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Ann Van Dyke (aevandyke@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:00 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Renewable energy means just that. Not burning wood.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ann Van Dyke
23 Randall Wood Dr
Montague, MA 01351
aevandyke@comcast.net
(413) 687-9058

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ann_loree@post.harvard.edu
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am a public health practitioner in environmental health. I am extremely alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. Don't do it! In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
AnnLoree
01460

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Anna Stein (annastein28@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 11:25 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Wood burning is not clean energy! It is ultimately detrimental to our eco system and totally unsustainable! It takes so many years for trees to grow! Deforestation is unthinkable, where will our birds go?

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anna Stein
25 Harvard St
Melrose, MA 02176
annastein28@gmail.com
(617) 643-3773

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: annastein28@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:24 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Anna Stein
021764814

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: annberndt@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:03 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Ann Berndt
024783550

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Anne Blackman (rablackman@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 6:11 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anne Blackman
27 possum lane
Sudbury, MA 01761
rablackman@comcast.net
(978) 697-798

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Anne Ewart (ewartac@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 11:22 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anne Ewart
56 Chapin Rd
Newton, MA 02459
ewartac@aol.com
(617) 928-0468

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Anne Griffenberg (agriffenberg@horiuchisolien.com) Sent You a Personal Message <automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 10:48 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anne Griffenberg
17 Little Island Rd
Falmouth, MA 02540
agriffenberg@horiuchisolien.com
(508) 221-4413

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Anne Harris (arharris29@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2019 10:03 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Dear Governor Baker,

I serve as Co-Chair of Weymouth's Energy and Climate Committee, and have been working to reduce our town's emissions for 10 years now.

I and the other members of my committee, which include an MIT professor, energy engineer, solar financier, multifamily housing efficiency consultant and energy company owner feel the way out of this crisis is to move as quickly as possible towards a clean grid made up of ~~TR~~renewable sources like wind, solar, and hydro. Heating and cooling can then be electric like air and ground source heat pumps. ~~Wood~~ burning does not have a place in this.

Climate change is urgent and a crisis. ~~We~~do not have time for adding polluting contributors like wood burning, but can and should be only moving forward to address these issues. Please reconsider your decision to include wood burning in the RPS mix.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anne Harris
38 RichValley Road

Wald, MA 0178
arharris2@yahoo.com
(508) 280-0249

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Anne Hitch (magic-art@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:46 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

We need to protect the well being of the environment and the health of future generations. I suffer with asthma along with many other individuals who suffer with respiratory illnesses and burning wood exacerbates these symptoms. Please reconsider your plans regarding this issue.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anne Hitch
50 Watershed Way
Marstons Mills, MA 02648
magic-art@comcast.net
(508) 420-5654

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Anne Johansen (aajq07@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 12:17 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

We must convert to clean energy as quickly and completely as possible, if we are to save our planet for future generations. Wood burning is not a solution it is a part of the problem.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anne Johansen
2 Elmwood Farm Drive apt. 107
Andover, MA 02339
aajq07@gmail.com
(617) 997-0251

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Anne Legene (alegene@simons-rock.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:53 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

NO. Just NO.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anne Legene
69 Welcome St
Great Barrington, MA 01230
alegene@simons-rock.edu
(413) 528-5311

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Anne O'Connor (anne@lallux.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 12:57 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am very concerned about preserving our forests, which help sequester carbon and build resiliency in the face of climate change. I am also concerned at the health impacts of biomass plants. My town fought back against a proposed biomass plant. Nobody should have a polluting plant like this next door to them.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anne O'Connor
201 Cole Ave Apt 103
Wiamstown, MA 01267
anne@lallux.com
(413) 884-2598

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Anne Pinkerton (aapinkerton@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:53 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anne Pinkerton
95 Pearl St
Holyoke, MA 01040
aapinkerton@gmail.com
(413) 320-1428

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Anne Zasloff (forwardma@az.tapil.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:17 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Living, growing trees are a vital part of the SOLUTION to this human-created climate crisis in our world. Cutting them down for fuel is not only a backward, wrong-headed idea, it also destroys their carbon-sequestering potential, natural habitats for animal species, shade, air quality, beauty of the landscape. Ever since I was old enough to speak, I have spoken about the beauty of trees. Please, please do not take a disastrous backward step on the RPS. Massachusetts must continue to be a leader in mitigating climate change.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anne Zasloff
28 Ashton Ave.
Newton, MA 02459
forwardma@az.tapil.com
(617) 527-8568

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: anne@loulux.com
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2019 10:30 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Anne O'Connor
01267

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: anne02339@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 4:18 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Ane Johansen
023392362

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: annebinghamlaw@gmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2019 6:51 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Anne Bingham
02067

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Annelise Matias (annelise.matias@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 8:01 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Annelise Matias
109 Jewett Street
Newton, MA 02458
annelise.matias@gmail.com
(617) 80-2030

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: annesnash4@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:33 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Anne Nash
024582041

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Annette and David Winther (annette.winther@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message <automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:40 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Annette and David Winther
8 Cove Rd
South Dennis, MA 02660
annette.winther@gmail.com
(908) 399-7400

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Annette Cooley (btebup91@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:22 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

What a moron! Does he not realize what it would do to all that is AMERICAN companies and income of hard honest working people of those in OUR Americans he claims to support.....

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Annette Cooley
93 Grochmal Ave
Indian Orchard, MA 01151
btebup91@gmail.com
(413) 204-1287

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: anngabhart@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 4:54 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Ann Gabhart
02130726

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: anngalt@verizon.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Ann Galt
01201

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Annie Laurie (callingannie@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2019 3:37 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

AnnieLaurie
115 Sicard ave
Dracut, MA 01826
callingannie@verizon.net
(978) 454-1007

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: annie.laurie@wholefoods.com
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 11:21 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
annie laurie
018262568

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Anthony Albert (tdrummer294@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:24 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I don't like the idea of burning wood for electricity for clean energy. Wood burning for clean energy is only polluting the air.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anthony Albert
41 Temple St
Fitchburg, MA 01420
tdrummer294@hotmail.com
(978) 956-4688

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Anthony Buda (anthony.buda@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 6:56 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anthony Buda
225 Everett St
Boston, MA 02128
anthony.buda@gmail.com
(857) 204-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Anthony Cortese (adcortese@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 3:00 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Anthony Cortese
20, Maple Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02139
adcortese@gmail.com
(617) 549-4736

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: anthony.buda@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 1:24 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Anthony Buda
021282270

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: antmarg@hotmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:21 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Margaret McBride
013012822

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: anush1@msn.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Peter Haroutian
016022751

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: anyaklepacki@gmail.com
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 5:11 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Aya Klepacki
01060

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: apneo1@hotmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:33 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Athur Pineo
014402524

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: April Hall (tafhall@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:30 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Massachusetts should be a leader in "real" environmental change, not manipulating numbers by reintroducing a DIRTY energy producer, like wood. Please help lead us to clean energy solutions, including better public transportation, and most of all ~~CLEAN~~ ^{CLEANER}, for our citizens, It is all of our responsibility, and our leaders, need lead.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

April Hall
28 Broad St
Marlborough, MA 01752
tafhall@cloud.com
(617) 617-0007

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Ari Greenberg (arixtreme202@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 3:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ari Greenberg
155 Strathmore Rd apt 12a
Boston, MA 02135
arixtreme202@gmail.com
(857) 231-1076

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Ariane Nick (ariane88@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 11:16 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Aiane Nick
98 Birch St
Roslindale, MA 02131
ariane88@aol.com
(617) 522-9628

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: aries4455@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:24 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Amy Henry
010602223

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: arlenebutters@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 3:03 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Arlene Butters
024764209

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Armin Opitz (awost1@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:08 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

We need to move to green energy! Burning anything cannot be considered a green source of energy due to the carbon dioxide emissions!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amin Opitz
38 Flagg Rd
Southborough, MA 01772
awost1@yahoo.com
(302) 690-2080

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: art13west2@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 2:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Pat Conant
010853404

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Artie Crocker (artie.crocker@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2019 4:31 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning wood is NOT renewable energy and is NOT clean energy!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Artie Crocker
~~1771~~ 71-6651
NEEDHAM, MA 02492
artie.crocker@gmail.com
~~(817)~~ 71-6651

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: artistacrossamerica@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Nancy McEwen
010611541

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: arucinsk@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:15 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Alene Rucinski
01089

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: astaris@verizon.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:00 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Omah Singh
021512643

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: astrid_hiemer@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Astrid Hiemer
012473568

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: atayblen@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:30 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Andrea Taylor-Blenis
02476

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: athomps@fas.harvard.edu
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:06 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Amy Thompson
021393124

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: atrosenthal@verizon.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:30 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Augusta Rosenthal
027381833

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: atrue48@aol.com
Sent: Wednesday, July 24, 2019 5:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Anne True
02761954

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: attorneycraiganderson@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:12 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
CraigAnderson
021711324

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: attycanty@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:24 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Ken Canty
015716309

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: atypfarm@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:06 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Karen Taylor
027662569

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Audrey Bennett (abennett55@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 26, 2019 3:04 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Audrey Bennett
29 Cogswell Ave
Cambridge, MA 02140
abennett55@gmail.com
(617) 620-7939

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: augerjessie@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:15 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Jessie Auger
021302615

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Aurelia Scorgie (waterbug5745@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2019 2:53 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Aurelia Scorgie
53 Donald Tennant Cir
N. Attleboro, MA 02760
waterbug5745@aol.com
(508) 695-5721

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: aurora.grabill@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 5:30 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Aurora Grabill
017013455

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: avanvort@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:06 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules.

Your administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Aison Pasinella
021441220

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: avpeckham@gmail.com
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 4:06 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
APeckham
010021212

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: awost1@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:33 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Amin Opitz
01/21/17

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: babsmancini@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:09 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Barbara Mancini
018431313

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bagnew19@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:24 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,


I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

Brenda  Agnew
018328815

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bancum@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:54 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Mihail Bancu
021764736

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: banks.hannah@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. Please don't include wood in the definition of biomass. In addition to the fact that burning wood creates more pollutants than burning fossil fuel, this would encourage cutting our state forests. Objectionable.

In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Hannah Banks
024591741

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Barb Lambdin (blamviola@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 7:10 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Nothing smells better than a wood campfire and many people have lovely fireplaces used in the winter, but adding wood to a "Clean energy" list is preposterous!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barb Lambdin
6 Great Western Way
South Dennis, MA 02660
blamviola@verizon.net
(508) 264-7798

This message was sent by KnowWho, a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Barbara Keezell (b.keezell@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:12 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara Keezell
41 Hamlin Rd
Newton, MA 02459
b.keezell@comcast.net
(617) 965-0287

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Barbara Mandell (bam0913@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:16 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara Mandell
20 Leah Way
Andover, MA 01810
bam0913@gmail.com
(518) 879-5678

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Barbara Mc Grath (barnmcgrath80@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 2:17 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara Mc Grath
271 School St Apt 3
Waltham, MA 02451
barnmcgrath80@yahoo.com
(617) 392-7893

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Barbara Meyer (bwmp2052@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:29 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning wood for electricity?....only a way to "renew/sustain" our ~~POLLUTION~~ of the air we breathe! NO!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara Meyer
51 Pine St
Medfield, MA 02052
bwmp2052@verizon.net
(508) 359-9613

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Barbara Robinson (bdr501@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:55 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara Robinson
80 Deaconess Rd Unit 435
Concord, MA 01742
bdr501@comcast.net
(978) 254-5382

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Barbara Shade (boshade@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:05 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara Shade
14 Curtis Rd
Boxford, MA 01921
boshade@verizon.net
(978) 887-9852

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Barbara Weinstein (bjweinstein@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:03 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Wood burning is not necessarily efficient, introduces particulate matter into the air and causes deforestation in an era where excessive deforestation is happening. Solar, wind, hydroelectric and other more clean energy processes are safer.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara Weinstein
117 Margery Ln
Westwood, MA 02090
bjweinstein@verizon.net
(617) 835-6138

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: barbara.darthenay@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 10:21 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Barbara Darthenay
01054

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: barbarakdarling@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, July 28, 2019 7:21 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Barbara Darling
021912129

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: barbarashaw1@mindspring.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Barbara Shaw
019211822

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Barry De Jasu (bdejasu@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:47 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

In fact, please help save our forests.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barry De Jasu
PO Box 422
Montague, MA 01351
bdejasu@yahoo.com
(413) 367-3117

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Barry Kosherick (cjbk816@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:45 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barry Kosherick
4 Monterey Ln
Framingham, MA 01701
cjbk816@gmail.com
(610) 513-4978

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Bart Ryan (bartryan44@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:28 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bart Ryan
245 Winter St
Waltham, MA 02451
bartryan44@yahoo.com
(617) 890-2128

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bartryan44@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:30 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Bart Ryan
02451877

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Batya Bauman (batya@crocker.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:09 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Batya Bauman
22 Lessey St
Amherst, MA 01002
batya@crocker.com
(413) 256-8229

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bbolnick@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 10:06 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Bruce BOLNICK
1983

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bboppert@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:39 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Bryan Boppert
019072209

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bdejasu@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:00 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Barry De Jasu
013510422

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: becca4285@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Becca Scherz
021312006

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: beckert@gmx.at
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 2:54 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Bruno Eckert
01230

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bellegeri2007@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:27 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Geri BElle
2138

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Ben Cabral (cabral_ben@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2019 4:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ben Cabral
10 Rogers Ave
Taunton, MA 02780
cabral_ben@hotmail.com
(508) 317-5055

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Ben Carden (deathstar181@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 12, 2019 6:33 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ben Carden
28 Irving St
Norwood, MA 02062
deathstar181@hotmail.com
(505) 629-5248

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bendsinister@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 6:36 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Robert Bender
026732626

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Benjamin Weiner (rabbiweiner@jcamherst.org) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 9:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Weiner
394 Greenfield Road
Deerfield, MA 01342
rabbiweiner@jcamherst.org
(617) 72164

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Benjamin Weiner (rabbiweiner@jcamherst.org) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:02 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Weiner
394 Greenfield Rd
Deerfield, MA 01342
rabbiweiner@jcamherst.org
(617) 72164

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: berkvist@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:54 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Andy Berkvist
010602203

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Bernard Plovnick (bapworks@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:31 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Massachusetts needs to lead the nation in reducing its carbon footprint. The proposed policy would not address the priority of promoting and developing clean renewable energy resources.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bernard Plovnick
379 Pond Ave Unit B
Brookline, MA 02445
bapworks@yahoo.com
(617) 232-6463

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bertforbernie@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 2:54 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

Albert So

03102

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bertiejaxon@me.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Roberta Jackson
017211148

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Beth Garcia (onyxpected@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:46 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

We have better energy options and we need bold solutions to solve the climate crisis.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Beth Garcia
9 Hazel Rd
Groton, MA 01450
onyxpected@yahoo.com
(817) 708-3305

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Betsy Barlow (betsy.barlow@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 5:21 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Living in Western MA I have come to know the air quality needs improvement. Please ensure the action you and we take are real. We can't afford superficial fixes anymore.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Betsy Barlow
68B Hatfield St
Northampton, MA 01060
betsy.barlow@gmail.com
(401) 263-1232

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: betsygmoyer@gmail.com
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 11:06 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Betsy Moyer
01742

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: betts235@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

b w

024510247

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: betty574@comcast.net
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2019 11:06 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Elizabeth Burrage
01001718

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bevali@verizon.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:45 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Michael Zimmermann
023462825

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bevdan@aol.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:54 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

BEVERLY DANIEL

178

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bevdroz@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, August 10, 2019 4:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Beverly Droz
024661005

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Beverly Droz (bevdroz@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 10, 2019 5:37 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Beverly Droz
35 Islington Rd
Auburndale, MA 02466
bevdroz@comcast.net
(978) 654-3210

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Beverly Rich (bev@thekessels.org) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:38 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

This does not affect only our state. We must be among the leaders of the critical movement to save a habitable planet for our children and their children. Climate crisis is already here. Please help us do whatever we can to abate its effects.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Beverly Rich
43 Water St
Natick, MA 01760
bev@thekessels.org
(508) 653-5083

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bhachey@verizon.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 2:30 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Robert Hachey
024534202

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bhavanilorraine@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 6:06 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
BhavaniLorraine Nelson
012402585

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bigdreamslittleman@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
StephenLewis
023821114

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Bill Glatfelter (will.glat@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:40 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

clean and green whenever possible. this plan is neither

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bill Glatfelter
41 Old Colony Dr
Westborough, MA 01581
will.glat@gmail.com
(508) 366-5237

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bill.hollman88@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:12 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

William Hollman
02460

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: billgray@highland-march.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:21 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

W. Gray
018103410

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: billsbutterflynursery@gmail.com
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 11:24 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
bill and laurel howatt
015430700

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: billydona@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Bill Donovan
024462259

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Birgitta McAlevey (birgittamcalevey@me.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 12:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am totally opposed to wood burning to meet clean energy needs. I am also opposed to wood burning qualifying for inclusion in our RPS. Smart, informed, creative leadership on the calamitous climate situation is needed, not the kind of pandering to business we are seeing from the governor's mansion and from the white house. Lives are at risk every day because of climate change and this is the best that our governor can give us. It's an outrage.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Birgitta McAlevey
14 Sentry Hill Rd
Birgitta, MA 02067
birgittamcalevey@me.com
(81) 806-0351

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bjacobskind@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:42 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Barbara Jacobskind
027481300

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: blackdiamondsband@yahoo.com
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 6:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
wolfgang burger
01832

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Blair Johnson (pbjignatz@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 11:00 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

We need decisive, unequivocal clean energy plan. Wood burning is not clean. We need to take decisive measures. There is no time for half measures.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Blair Johnson
186 Westminster Rd
Wareham, MA 02189
pbjignatz@comcast.net
(508) 534-0480

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Blake Cady (bcady123@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 4:16 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning wood for "renewable" energy is not practical or sustainable. The smoke has many components that are bad for health. All you need to do to understand this is to breathe the fumes from your fireplace and see what chimney cleaners get from their scraping the flues.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Blake Cady
24 Walnut Place
Brookline, MA 02445
bcady123@comcast.net
(617) 566-1629

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: blamviola@verizon.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 4:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
BarbLambdin
026603728

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: blboots.betsy@gmail.com
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 11:30 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Betsy Browning

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Betsy Browning
01340

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: blithe.hogan@verizon.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:33 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Blithe Hogan
017205201

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: blleblanc@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:30 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
BrianLeBlanc
014621801

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: blphelps@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Brian Phelps
01581

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bluelightt@sapo.pt
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 5:27 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Eunice Sousa
27450

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: blueskyberg1@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:57 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Jean Bergstrom
010549763

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bmardigras@yahoo.com
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 6:54 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
nancy burger
01832

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bob bowes (b.bowes@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:09 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning wood pollutes!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

bob bowes
10 wyatt street
somerville, MA 02143
b.bowes@verizon.net
(617) 666-1509

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Bob Shatten (bshatten@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 4:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bob Shatten
116 INDIAN SPRING RD
CONCORD, MA 01742
bshatten@comcast.net
(978) 254-5300

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bobbiewebster@msn.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Bobbie Webster
012015615

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bobby robinson (bdr501@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2019 12:37 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

bobby robinson
80 Deaconess Rd.
Concord, MA 01742
bdr501@comcast.net
(978) 254-5382

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bobharris720@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2019 10:18 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Robert G. Harris
02148

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: boeccleston@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:06 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Joan Eccleston
025591972

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Bonner Mcallester (bonnermca@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:10 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Cutting down the trees to make biomass fuel for energy production is a backwards idea, and very harmful. We need those trees to help clean CO2 out of the air and to remain living containers of carbon. Burning them releases particulates and carbon into the air that is dirtier than some of the petroleum courses. Though trees seem renewable, they are dirty fuel. This is a misuse of the woods, on public lands especially. Please do not support this. Bonner Mcallester

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bonner Mcallester
PO Box 332
Monterey, MA 01245
bonnermca@gmail.com
(413) 528-9385

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Bonni Widdoes (bonnij@me.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2019 1:15 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Forests are essential to our efforts to adapt to climate change, as they are a major sink for atmospheric CO2. Trees are ~~not~~ renewable in a short time frame. I want to encourage MA government to encourage truly renewable sources of energy such as solar and wind. Thank you, Bonni Widdoes

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bonni Widdoes
62 So Water Street
Edgartown, MA 02539
bonnij@me.com
(508) 939-9110

This message was sent by Know Who, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Bonnie Miskolczy (gmiskolczy@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 11:06 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Miskolczy
P O Box 6
Carlisle, MA 01741
gmiskolczy@yahoo.com
(978) 369-2647

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bonnieanne17@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:42 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Bonnie Campbell
012405314

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: boocal@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:42 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Clare Ritchie
019706813

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: boston_kojm@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:00 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Sheila Kojm
017301830

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bowlingforwaffles@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:27 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Margaret Eells
017013042

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Brad Fredriksen (bane962@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 11:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

BradFredriksen
26 Daniels St
Salem, MA 01970
bane962@hotmail.com
(351) 201-0832

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Brad Hubbard-Nelson (bradhn@mindspring.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 3:08 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Including biomass as a low-carbon fuel within the RPS would be a very bad move, reversing the previous administration's decision for no good reason. Biomass is not environmentally sound, or anywhere near carbon-free. Biomass was included in the RPS-equivalent in the UK, and now power plants there are importing so much timber from the southeastern US that it is very, very damaging to the environment. Fuel markets and RPS regulations are not concerned about that, but instead about doing profitable business under the pretense of environmental sustainability. The biomass industry considers forest resources not put to productive use as lost income; we consider the value of wilderness and old-growth forests for biodiversity and climate resiliency to be incalculable.

Biodiversity may seem a bit abstract and of competing importance to achieving climate goals. To put it in perspective, consider that the population of vertebrates (excluding humans and livestock) worldwide has declined more than 70 percent from 30 years ago according to peer-reviewed studies. This collapse is happening within our lifetime. A recent UN report estimates a million species will be lost in the next decades from a combination of climate change and habitat loss. The eminent biologist E.O. Wilson has expressed the need for wildlife corridors to be expanded and protected., which doesn't mean farmed woodlots that have very little value as habitat. A growing wood chip market in MA will put pressure on forests throughout New England.

Further, due to loopholes in regulations on power plants, combustion of wood chips emits more particulate emissions (PM2.5) than coal or other fuels, causing health problems and added costs for people with asthma. The emissions issues around biomass is documented in this research paper, and is one reason why such plants tend to be located in economically disadvantaged communities like Palmer. We note that the original intention for Palmer Biomass was to burn construction and demolition debris, in addition to wood chips, which has aggravated the emissions issue in some other power plants.

More importantly, wood chips are not a low-emissions fuel, even if the RPS rules pretend it is. Simply put, due to low efficiency compared to other fuels, the CO2 emissions per unit of energy is higher than coal, oil or natural gas. The argument that harvested wood is a renewable resource ignores the fact that the new growth replacing wood harvested today will take 30-100 years to mature to the age where comparable emissions are sequestered.

Recently I spoke with Thomas Walker from Lincoln who authored the Manomet study on wood pellets for heating, which MassCEC used for their rationale to consider wood pellets renewable. Tom observes that expansion of the biomass electric sector would certainly put more CO2 into the atmosphere over the coming decades, and any benefits from forests growing back would likely take far too long to be realized to help us avoid impacts of warming?

For these reasons, we ask the Governor to not consider wood biomass within the RPS, even if it delays our reaching 100% renewable power. We can get there without it, by purchasing more wind or other carbon-free power.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brad Hubbard-Nelson
221 Nashawtuc Rd
Concord, MA 01742
bradh@mindspring.com
(978) 369-3858

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bramblehillfarm@gmail.com
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 7:51 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
HansLeo
010022550

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Brandon Dwiel (bdon003+ma@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 4:15 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am a software engineering with a wife and young child. As it is, we are not moving towards renewable energy quick enough and this bill is a step backwards.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brandon Dwiel
16 Perkins St
Jamaica Plain, MA 02130
bdon003+ma@gmail.com
(919) 213-1236

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Brandon Hollyer (bkhollyer@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:52 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Invest in wind, solar, hydro. No reason to burn wood, coal, etc. Stop making excuses and follow reason.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brandon Hollyer
5 Leonard Pkwy
Shrewsbury, MA 01545
bkhollyer@yahoo.com
(310) 386-0000

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: brat8311@aol.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
M D
023412036

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Bree Li (wisdomzeeking@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 1:07 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

#boston#green

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

BreeLi
85 Grove St.
Wellesley, MA 02482
wisdomzeeking@gmail.com
(617) 591-1297

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Brenda Agnew (bagnew19@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:01 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brenda Agnew
19 Rolling Meadows Ln
Haverhill, MA 01832
bagnew19@comcast.net
(978) 688-7007

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Brenda Mueller-Lamore (tipandbu@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 5:13 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brenda Mueller-Lamore
5 Whispering Pines Ave
Belchertown, MA 01007
tipandbu@aol.com
(413) 303-1962

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: brendadrewdesigns@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:12 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Brenda Eskie
026533228

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: brendat21@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:30 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Brenda Troup

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Brenda Troup
01740

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Brendon Clover (bclover@itu.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:01 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brendon Clover
640 Boston Avenue
Medford, MA 02155
bclover@itu.edu
(248) 635-7552

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Brenna Gomes (brennagomes123@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 2:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brenna Gomes
37 Tileston St
Boston, MA 02113
brennagomes123@gmail.com
(203) 565-5624

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: brent@crocker.com
Sent: Saturday, July 27, 2019 12:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Brent Pitcher
01376

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Brett Anderson (bca42@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 3:15 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

BrettAnderson
Po box 104
Housatonic, MA 01236
bca42@yahoo.com
(508) 735-7400

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Brian Gingras (briangin54@beld.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

~~Wood~~ burning will cause vast co2 emissions! This is a ridiculous proposal!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brian Gingras
52 Bradford Commons Ln
Braintree, MA 02184
briangin54@beld.net
(781) 356-0402

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Brian Hebeisen (peru_boy@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2019 1:51 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

The Baker Administration needs to make stronger, not weaker, rules to protect our environment - especially around climate crisis issues. These proposed changes are a big step backward at a time when we cannot afford it. We need to accelerate clean energy policies.

The administration's proposal would weaken the RPS and pollute our air substantially by:
Eliminating efficiency standards for woody biomass.
Increasing utility and supplier obligations to buy from waste-to-energy sources, such as trash incineration.
Eliminating the requirement that hydropower facilities be designated as low-impact (meaning, giving preferential financial incentives to facilities that impact the surrounding eco-system as little as possible) when undergoing re-certification.
Cutting short the SREC-1 solar incentive payment period.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brian Hebeisen
170 Worcester St

Wartown, MA 02472
peru_bo@hotmail.com
(617) 642-7478

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Brian Herlihy (zygo@yokeshire.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 12:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning wood doesn't stop carbon emissions and is not a clean energy solution. Don't play games that cater to special interests, go with solar and wind the truly clean options.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brian Herlihy
25 Enmore St
Andover, MA 01810
zygo@yokeshire.com
(978) 809-3456

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Brian Leacu (stonebridgedesigns@rcn.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:55 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brian Leacu
16 Hillcrest Rd
Waltham, MA 02451
stonebridgedesigns@rcn.com
(857) 53-9000

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Brian Mc Gurk (brianmcgurk1@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:20 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brian Mc Gurk
PO Box 505
East Orleans, MA 02643
brianmcgurk1@gmail.com
(650) 995-4155

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Brian Murphy (brmurphy15@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:13 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brian Murphy
19 Applewood Rd
Norfolk, MA 02056
brmurphy15@gmail.com
(401) 829-6646

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Brian Phelps (blphelps@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 5:43 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

This is Incredibly backwards!

Killing a resource that is needed to absorb carbon dioxide and then burning it to create yet even more carbon dioxide.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brian Phelps
66 South St Apt 1
Westborough, MA 01581
blphelps@yahoo.com
(508) 870-5753

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Brian Victurine (brianvicturine34@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:46 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

BrianVicturine
6Vaughan St
Lakeville, MA 02347
brianvicturine34@hotmail.com
(617) 217-5887

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Briana Paradise (brips13@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 10, 2019 9:43 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Briana Paradise
24 Newell St
Pittsfield, MA 01201
brips13@icloud.com
(413) 464-0401

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: brianggnn76@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:27 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Brian Gagnon
020383308

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: briangin54@beld.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:42 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

We need to preserve our forests, not chop them down. Consequently, I am very alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Brian Gingras
021848256

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bridget@caretakerfarm.org
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2019 6:54 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Bridget Spann
01267

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bridget@caretakerfarm.org
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 8:51 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Bridget Spann
01267

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: brnrd_faucher@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 4:36 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Elizabeth Faucher
021552932

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: brock2222@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:06 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Brock Cutting

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Brock Cutting
01370

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: bronmacm@aol.com
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 8:45 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker:

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
joanie b
02445

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Bruce deGraaf (brucedegraaf@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:21 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

The future of the planet is requires that we convert to energy sources that do not release greenhouse gases into the atmosphere.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bruce deGraaf
5 Kemble Drive
Shrewsbury, MA 01545
brucedegraaf@hotmail.com
(508) 845-4321

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Bruce Lancaster (brucel21@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:17 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bruce Lancaster
21 McKinley Dr
Worcester, MA 01536
brucel21@comcast.net
(508) 540-4619

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Bruce Palmer (bdptracker@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2019 3:25 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bruce Palmer
157 Beaconsfield Rd
Worcester, MA 01602
bdptracker@yahoo.com
781-261-0485

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: C. Leanne Cowley (galantecowley@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:45 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Wood burning increases carbon and pollutants in the atmosphere on several levels. This is not the time to form backward policies. Please look to other sources of renewable power!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

C. Leanne Cowley
15 Ingersoll Rd
Wellesley, MA 02481
galantecowley@comcast.net
(617) 239-0035

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: cabral_ben@hotmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Ben Cabral
027805025

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: cadillac82@hotmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:15 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
LJ. Orszulak
01013-4007

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Cailyn Welsh (hockeysax22@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:53 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

The planet and climate, which includes air quality, is crucial and needs to be protected. Don't allow polluting wood burning.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cailyn Welsh
310 Centre Street
Jamaica Plain, MA 02130
hockeysax22@aol.com
(860) 651-1575

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Caitlin Powers-Maher (katypm@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 10:18 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I'm a registered voter and a resident of Somerville, MA. I strongly oppose these rule changes.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Caitlin Powers-Maher
18 Rose Street
Somerville, MA 02143
katypm@gmail.com
(919) 616-6724

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: calielove91@yahoo.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 6, 2019 5:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
EllenLeary
019604363

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Calissa Grady (poppylapin@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 17, 2019 1:22 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Calissa Grady
37 Fox Ln
Concord, MA 01742
poppylapin@gmail.com
(978) 430-0730

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: camel1735@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 4:09 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Paul Ajoue
027641514

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Camille Coffey (fereio@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 4:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

The use of wood burning may bring some pleasure to home owners trying to create a rustic ambiance for their home but since trees increase oxygen in the atmosphere and take in carbon dioxide they are a barricade to climate change and there should be a concerted effort to replant fire damaged forests and convert to solar and wind power.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Camille Coffey
315 Weston Rd Apt C1
Wellesley, MA 02482
fereio@yahoo.com
(617) 489-3257

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Camille M Madden (camillemm@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 2:31 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning wood is going backward. Please consider more progressive, sustainable, environmentally friendly solutions.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Camille M Madden
24 Sycamore Rd
Marshfield, MA 02050
camillemm@comcast.net
(817) 837-1816

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: campbell@brandeis.edu
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:03 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

Although it has not acted responsibly in any conflict between the interests of the fossil fuel industry and those of the citizens of Massachusetts, Baker's administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. Who then is coming up with ideas so destructive? These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to his health and especially to the health of children and older adults like me. On the very face of them they make no sense: we need those trees to soak up carbon and keep the soil stable in flood conditions or heavy rains--of which there are more and more and will be still more in years that are coming at us now at the speed of disaster.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning and we don't just mean asthma (though can and does kill children). The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality and length of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution. Lung cancer is a terrible way to die.

A Governor Baker may not realize, the Earth's atmosphere is losing oxygen, and expected to lose 20% of it by 2050 if we don't stop this kind of thoughtless action now. Very few people are going to be rich enough to live in sealed homes with oxygen pumped in. If the governor cannot think about us, let him think about himself, and his children.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Mary Baine Campbell
021381564

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: candi_catlove@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 2:21 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
C Derderian
019701161

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Candy Dann (cdann681@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 1:15 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am in Southern Italy on vacation and it gives me such joy, hope and envy to see their windmills on the horizon as well as P/on the ground. Please help us get there with wind and solar not our precious trees!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Candy Dann
4 Rock O Dundee Rd
Andover, MA 01810
cdann681@aol.com
(978) 40-279

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: cantorshoshana@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 11:45 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Shoshana Brown
07705213

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: capedewsy@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:27 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Kathy Browne
025581095

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: captainspurr@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 5:03 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Maria Sacco
014631615

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: captwalker_ussdiscovery@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:33 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Lawrence Walker
023643000

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Carey Toran (carey.toran@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2019 2:02 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carey Toran
32 Freeman Ave
West Roxbury, MA 02132
carey.toran@gmail.com
(617) 327-6503

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Carla Kirton (carla_kirton@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:14 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carla Kirton
901 S Main St
Bellingham, MA 02019
carla_kirton@yahoo.com
(508) 555-1611

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: carla_kirton@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:18 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Carla Kirton
020191803

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: carleenjd@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Carleen Duquette
012381106

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Carmen Patti (bikeparis@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:02 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carmen Patti
88 Kingston St Unit 7B
Boston, MA 02111
bikeparis@yahoo.com
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: carmencita_fuentes@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 6:09 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
CarmencitaFuentes
01235

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: carneyfeldman@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:24 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Catherine CarneyFeldman
019381639

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Carol Berker (ctrauner@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2019 7:55 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Berker
25 Charles St.
Lexington, MA 02421
ctrauner@yahoo.com
(617) 862-3209

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Carol Disney (caroldisney1@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2019 2:34 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

It is time to get very serious about climate change. Let's do this and help protect the Commonwealth and our nation.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Disney
92 Prescott St
NORTHANDOVER, MA 01845
caroldisney1@gmail.com
(978) 94-1499

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Carol Esler (phorestwnd@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:01 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Esler
16 Oakhurst Rd
Hopkinton, MA 01748
phorestwnd@verizon.net
(508) 435-9294

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Carol Goslant (clackim@mac.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:25 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Goslant
21 Carver St
Cambridge, MA 02138
clackim@mac.com
(617) 56-3294

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Carol Haagensen (cpobh17@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 10:29 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Haagensen
12 Forbes St
Westborough, MA 01581
cpobh17@gmail.com
(81) 690-0905

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Carol McCluskey (mccluskey.carol@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 12:09 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol McCluskey
145 Perkins St#2
Somerville, MA 02145
mccluskey.carol@yahoo.com
(617) 718-0180

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Carol Nealy (cfnealy@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:32 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Nealy
152 Moulton Hill Rd
Monson, MA 01057
cfnealy@gmail.com
(413) 267-0580

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Carol Saunders (c_s_chamberlain@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 3:31 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: URGENT: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning wood for electricity is NOT a clean, zero carbon way to produce electricity. It's going backwards at a time when we are in a climate emergency and should be doing everything possible to reduce demand for electricity while building up truly clean renewable energy infrastructure: solar, wind and storage. Please think of our children's futures, and our own, because we have very little time to try to preserve a livable climate for all.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carol Saunders
990 Massachusetts Ave Apt 61
Arlington, MA 02476
c_s_chamberlain@yahoo.com
(817) 72205

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: carol.anne.kaminsky@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Carol Kaminsky
010023011

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: carol2011baker@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:27 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Carol Baker
0273615

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: carolberkeley@verizon.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 10:15 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Carol Berkeley
019211841

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Carole DeLaite (cdelaite@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 11:53 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carole DeLaite
505 Tremont St Unit 704
Boston, MA 02116
cdelaite@gmail.com
(53) 424-2170

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Carole Horowitz (horowitz.carole@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 3:53 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carole Horowitz
590 Middle Street
Amherst, MA 01002
horowitz.carole@gmail.com
(413) 256-4740

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Carole Mcauliffe (donloncs@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 7:03 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carole Mcauliffe
40 W. 35 Off Briar
Village, MA 02667
donloncs@aol.com
(508) 214-0577

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Carole Smudin (luvs2compost@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:39 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Please, DO NOT burn wood. Thank you

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carole Smudin
PO Box 123
Bridgewater, MA 02324
luvs2compost@comcast.net
(508) 697-8910

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Caroline Bruno (carolinewbruno@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 10:58 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

The communities in Springfield who would be most affected by expanding biomass in their neighborhood are against this. Organizations like Arise for Social Justice, Pioneer Valley Asthma Coalition, Springfield Climate Justice Coalition and Toxics Action Center have demanded that this proposal be reconsidered - renewable energy subsidies should not be going toward projects that will cause local health impacts on the most vulnerable communities!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Caroline Bruno
498 Water Street
Wiamstown, MA 01267
carolinewbruno@gmail.com
(860) 964-0674

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Caroline Carlson (john.carlson@arris.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2019 11:26 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Caroline Carlson
9 Walker Road
Manchester, MA 01944
john.carlson@arris.com
(98) 314-2987

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Caroline Hale (carolinemhale@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 3:47 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Dear Governor Baker,

I vote in every election and I voted for you.

Please keep our air clean and free from as much CO2 as possible. Furthermore, do not allow more trees to be cut down just for the sake of electricity. Trees provide oxygen while taking in CO2, they allow for a cooler environment, and they support habitat for wildlife.

Thank you.

Caroline Hale

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Caroline Hale
21AzaleaLane

Marlborough, MA 01752
carolinemhale@aol.com
(508) 624-4765

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Caroline Kipling (carolinekipling@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Caroline Kipling
1 Marlboro Rd
Georgetown, MA 01833
carolinekipling@hotmail.com
(339) 337-6908

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Carolyn Ball-Hanson (royandcarolyn@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 2:06 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Ball-Hanson
6 Mill St
Millbury, MA 01527
royandcarolyn@verizon.net
(508) 865-4667

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Carolyn Barthel (carolynbarthel@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2019 12:41 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Biomass is NOT a RENEWABLE RESOURCE. According to George Woodwell, a founder of the nonprofit Woods Hole (Mass.) Research Center, it takes a forest 100 YEARS to recover from a cut and in the meantime, burning biomass is BURNING CARBON and CREATING PARTICULATE MATTER!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Barthel
50 Asylum St
Mendon, MA 01756
carolynbarthel@gmail.com
(508) 473-3305

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Carolyn Barthel (carolynbarthel@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2019 2:32 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Every time biomass is burned, it emits carbon dioxide directly into the atmosphere and the carbon is no longer sequestered in the plant material, making the planet hotter. ~~Who~~ are we kidding--to say that biomass is carbon-neutral? We need our trees! Solar, offshore wind (and wave power, found in other countries) are much better alternatives climate-wise, environmentally and pollution-wise, and use the naturally-occurring resources of sun, wind and water. They are the real Class I RPS.

Reject the inclusion of burning biomass as a Class I resource.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Barthel
50 Asylum Street
Mendon, MA 01756
carolynbarthel@gmail.com
(508) 473-3305

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Carolyn Barthel (carolynbarthel@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 2:14 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning wood is NOT carbon-neutral; when it's burned, carbon dioxide is released DIRECTLY into the atmosphere. Additionally, the particulate matter contributes to serious health matters like asthma, heart disease and dementia. You don't have to burn biomass to achieve the higher RPS. Just deploy more solar and wind and add wave power to the mix NOW.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Barthel
50 Asylum Street
Mendon, MA 01756
carolynbarthel@gmail.com
(508) 335-0848

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Carolyn Perkins (cperki297@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:49 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Perkins
297 Reedy Meadow rd
Carolyn, MA 01450
cperki297@gmail.com
(978) 448-9946

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Carolyn Villanova (cvillanova820@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:10 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

No. No. No. Wood is not a good idea. In fact, all new home wood burning stoves and fireplaces should have to have scrubbers.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Villanova
820 W Housatonic St
Carolyn, MA 01201
cvillanova820@gmail.com
(413) 443-1580

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: carolyn.marie.jin@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:51 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Carolyn Jin
021802749

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: carolyncbarthel@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2019 12:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Carolyn C. Barthel

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Carolyn Barthel
01756

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Carter Merenstein (cmerenstein@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:46 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Charlie Baker is likely the only republican I have ever voted for, and I think I will deeply regret that if this goes forward. Climate change is the one thing on which "moderate" is not good enough. Anything that emits CO2 is not renewable energy, no matter what.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carter Merenstein
38 Cranston St, Unit 3
Jamaica Plain, MA 02130
cmerenstein@gmail.com
(215) 450-7992

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: carter.jahlina@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:45 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Jahlina Carter
011082211

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: carter.osmani@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:54 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Jahlina Carter
011082211

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: carter@brandeis.edu
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:33 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Anne P. Carter
021384851

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: carwalker58@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 2:24 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Carol Walker
02152

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: cassandraroxyieginger7@verizon.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
cathy staniunas
015321906

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: catenena@msn.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 7:09 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

~~SARAH~~ SHACT
018012975

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Catharine May (kofibirdie@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:00 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Catharine May
1 Atkinson Ter
Melrose, MA 02176
kofibirdie@gmail.com
(617) 999-9999

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Catherine Brown (catthera@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 1:51 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Massachusetts is better then this we need to lead the rest of the nation in what true renewable clean energy is

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Catherine Brown
9 clarendon rd
Auburn, MA 01501
catthera@hotmail.com
(508) 864-3675

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Catherine McCabe (cnhmccabe@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:20 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Catherine McCabe
PO Box 1103
Great Barrington, MA 01230
cnhmccabe@gmail.com
(413) 717-1256

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Catherine Mcdonald (k4pell@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:37 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Governor Baker burning is not renewable energy! This matters Sir to future generations. You are representing the state of Massachusetts. I think you'd be better educated than to even consider burning trash and forests a part of renewable energy.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Catherine Mcdonald
215 Amherst St
Granby, MA 01033
k4pell@yahoo.com
(413) 588-8939

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Cathy Twiss (cathytwiss@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 11:17 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cathy Twiss
60 Haskins Rd
Savoy, MA 01256
cathytwiss@yahoo.com
(413) 743-2503

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: cathygrant722@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Cathleen Grant
027801051

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: catknelson@me.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:42 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Caterina Nelson
019071982

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: catloverjl@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:21 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: John Lanzoni

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
JaneLanzoni
023606606

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: cbgaken@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:36 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Caroline Gakenheimer
017424176

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: cbrooksrobards@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:00 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Brooks Robards
010602122

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ccloud3@verizon.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 6:54 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
dawn busias
26014449

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Ceacy Henderson (ceacy.henderson@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:47 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ceacy Henderson
107 Hillman Rd
Colrain, MA 01340
ceacy.henderson@gmail.com
(413) 777-1111

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Celene Lyon (celenelyon@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:46 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Celene Lyon
6 Whale Cove Rd
Rockport, MA 01966
celenelyon@comcast.net
(508) 284-8762

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Celeste Royce (croyce@bidmc.harvard.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:39 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

As a physician working in community health at Bowdoin Street Health Center in Dorchester, I see the effects of air pollution and climate degradation every day- asthma, and lack of exercise due to poor air quality in the summers, contributes directly to obesity and associated disease such as diabetes and heart disease. Please reconsider these changes- the health costs of increased particulate matter alone negate any perceived benefit to the Commonwealth.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Celeste Royce
55 Westchester Rd
Boston, MA 02130
croyce@bidmc.harvard.edu
(978) 64-3221

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: celinberg@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 6:00 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
CatherineLinberg
01075177

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: cernusch@bc.edu
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:51 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Claude Cernuschi
024673800

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: cfnealy@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:42 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Carol Nealy
010579692

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: cfpotash@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:09 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
ChesterF. Potash
012013922

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Charla Mcmillian (fitboot3@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 1:27 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charla Mcmillian
78 Belden St Apt 1
Dorchester, MA 02125
fitboot3@gmail.com
(508) 410-2337

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Charles Kaufmann (cfkaufmann@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 5:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

This is not a step forward. This is a step back and should NOT be considered.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charles Kaufmann
32 Intervale Rd
Sudbury, MA 0176
cfkaufmann@gmail.com
(978) 985-756

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Charlotte Burns (chlburns@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 16, 2019 11:12 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Wood burning furnaces and biomass plants and even cutting trees for biomass shouldn't even be legal in this state. They devastate our forests. Much of the cutting is done for export. We are in dire straights right now. People need to wake up to the emergency we're in and act fast. Governor Baker, I don't know what you're thinking when you allow this. We've been pandering to destructive special interests for too long, special interests who are making our planet uninhabitable at record speed. Look at India. How can anyone live with those temperatures? Look at the farmers world wide whose crops have been destroyed by climate change driven flooding. It's time to be responsible. These changes are not responsible.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charlotte Burns
1021 Chestnut St.
Palmer, MA 01069
chlburns@aol.com
(413) 283-3214

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Charlotte Collins (collins.cha@husky.neu.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:08 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charlotte Collins
41 Cross St.
Topsfield, MA 01983
collins.cha@husky.neu.edu
(978) 566-2272

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Charlotte Harrington (catwoman717@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2019 12:42 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charlotte Harrington
120 Hanian Dr
Weymouth, MA 02189
catwoman717@hotmail.com
(617) 335-1391

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: chasgargiulo@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 8:33 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Charles Gargiulo

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

First of all, know that more and more of us are going to prioritize environmental issues. I am a 67 year old man and I know many more of people my age, who have been silent too long on these issues, are no longer keeping our heads in the sand. I want you as my Governor to listen and need your support on this.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Charles Gargiulo
01854

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: chaskell@ringlerassociates.com
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2019 10:06 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Christine Haskell
018631683

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: chermehl@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:33 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Cheryl Mehlman
017026520

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Cheryl Alison (cheryl.alison22@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:26 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

We can do better in Massachusetts. Let's be leaders in clean, truly clean, energy.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Alison
7 Minut Hill Dr
Worcester, MA 01602
cheryl.alison22@gmail.com
(617) 331-1725

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Cheryl Baker (anniebaker@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 10:32 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Baker
2 Windward Way
Duxbury, MA 02332
anniebake@yahoo.com
(617) 834-1841

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Cheryl Perkins (chezcats6@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:07 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

There will not be any trees or wood left if this goes through.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Perkins
35 Main St
Fairhaven, MA 02719
chezcats@hotmail.com
(508) 441-0476

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: cheryl.alison22@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:21 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: MA

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II. Massachusetts should continue to be a leader in addressing our climate crisis--wood-burning is not the way to do that!

Thank you for your consideration,
CherylAlison
01602

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: chester.brezniak@charter.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 3:18 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Chester Brezniak
015710172

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Chet Delaney (delaney.chet@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 3:21 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Gov. ., let's not go backwards on the effort to move to clean energy. OKing wood burning to generate electricity would be a HUGE step in the wrong direction, so please do not allow it. Thanks for listening.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chet Delaney
28 Lakeside Dr
Egremont, MA 01230
delaney.chet@gmail.com
(413) 528-6957

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: chilefarms@me.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Susaan Straus
024582712

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: china.altman@verizon.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:21 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
ChinaAltman
021165556

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: chinadan23@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
DanielFinn
025403424

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: chirojules@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:54 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Julia French
020623171

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Chloe Grant (chloe.grant95@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 10:55 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chloe Grant
58 Prescott St
Newtonville, MA 02460
chloe.grant95@yahoo.com
(617) 240-9644

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Chloe Mathieu (chloemathieu7@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:29 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chloe Mathieu
20 Briarwood Ave
Reading, MA 01867
chloemathieu@icloud.com
(617) 640-2425

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: chloemathieu7@icloud.com
Sent: Tuesday, July 30, 2019 8:30 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Chloe Mathieu
018671315

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Chris Camelio (chrisaxl2003@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 2:24 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Enough!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chris Camelio
10 Innitou Rd
Woburn, MA 01801
chrisaxl2003@yahoo.com
(617) 316-4821

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Chris Dunn (cvrdunn@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 3:00 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Real progress comes when we move beyond old. Give up wood burning for solar and wind energy.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chris Dunn
65 Lowry Rd
Falmouth, MA 02540
cvrdunn@yahoo.com
(508) 457-1044

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Chris Lavallie (clavallie@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:02 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Wood burning solely for electrical generation is not a clean energy solution. It can be a carbon neutral option for heating or cogeneration (heating and electrical), but then only if the wood is sourced from a managed forest situation like a hardwood copice. While renewable sources of energy such as wind and solar and certain hyrdo-electric options are best, an additional clean source of energy should be waste-annihilating molten salt nuclear reactors. Wood burning generators are as wasteful as coal if slightly less dirty. If a particulate producing fuel must be used, it should be something like garbage or used diapers where at least we reduce the volume of waste our society produces.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

ChrisLavallie
14 Walnut St
Milford, MA 01757
clavallie@hotmail.com
(508) 382-5633

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Chris Matera (christoforest@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2019 3:47 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Dumb as a stump!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chris Matera
71 Washington Ave
Northampton, MA 01060
christoforest@yahoo.com
(413) 341-3878

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Chris Riger (criger2003@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 11:38 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

And Mr Baker, you must certainly already know the bottom line, otherwise stated in this comment, simply put - that living trees take carbon ~~OUT~~ of the atmosphere while burning trees put MORE carbon INTO ~~OUR~~ atmosphere. So this question is a real no-brainer and we all know you have a perfectly good brain! So thank you for working to STOP ~~A~~ wood burning for electricity, ~~AND~~!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chris Riger
57 Hay Path Rd
Vineyard Haven, MA 02568
criger2003@yahoo.com
715-63-9255

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Chris Skevofilax (chris.skevofilax@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:40 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Chris Skevofilax
1980 Commonwealth Avenue, apt. 31
Boston, MA 02135
chris.skevofilax@gmail.com
(508) 54-1487

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: chrislojko@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:51 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
ChristineLojko
018015815

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: chrismariedaniels@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:21 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution. Enough of this corporate fairy tales! We Americans want truly clean alternative energy, not more garbage in our air!

I and many others DEMAND that you withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Christine Daniels
02657

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Christina Knapp (christina_knapp@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 6:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christina Knapp
15 Southbourne Rd
Jamaica Plain, MA 02130
christina_knapp@yahoo.com
(617) 524-3762

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Christina Knapp (christina_knapp@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:32 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christina Knapp
15 Southbourne Rd
Jamaica Plain, MA 02130
christina_knapp@yahoo.com
(617) 524-3762

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Christina Taylor (christinatay5@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 4:56 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christina Taylor
7160 Natick St
Natick, MA 01760
christinatay5@gmail.com
(508) 655-2426

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: christinawertz@att.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:00 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Christina Wertz
016021111

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Christine Coffin (bazeence.c@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:52 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christine Coffin
249 High St
Hanson, MA 02341
bazeence.c@gmail.com
(617) 294-1560

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Christine Foot (cmfoot@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2019 11:13 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

ChristineFoot
96 Blakely Rd
Medford, MA 02155
cmfoot@gmail.com
(857)998-0517

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Christine King (slacker_cricket@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:49 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning wood won't help the environment!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christine King
146 College Hwy Apt 7
Southampton, MA 01073
slacker_cricket@yahoo.com
(413) 527-9149

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Christine Lojko (chrislojko@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 11:34 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christine Lojko
306 Russell St
Woburn, MA 01801
chrislojko@gmail.com
(617) 935-6145

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Christine Piekarski (msblizypriss@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:10 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christine Piekarski
78 Pequit St
Canton, MA 02021
msblizypriss@aol.com
(617) 562-0350

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Christine Seibert (christy.seibert@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:40 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christine Seibert
15 Manning St
Ipswich, MA 01938
christy.seibert@gmail.com
(978) 973-1935

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: christine.roane@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:06 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Christine Roane
011082626

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Christopher Hughes (cjhughes@acm.org) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:42 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christopher Hughes
18 Crestview Dr
Westborough, MA 01581
cjhughes@acm.org
(508) 870-571

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: chromephotos@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, July 28, 2019 6:42 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Gloria Kegeles
01379

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: chromephotos@yahoo.com
Sent: Wednesday, July 24, 2019 3:00 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Gloria Kegeles
01379

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: chromephotos@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 5:30 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Gloria Kegeles
01379

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Cie Simurro (cie@ciesimurro.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:59 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

It's not really clean energy, is it?

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cie Simurro
PO Box 295
Shelburne Falls, MA 01370
cie@ciesimurro.com
(555) 555-1234

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: cindic58@aol.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Cynthia Dickinson
01010976

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: cindywassk@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 3:54 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Cynthia Katsapetses
01950

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: cjbk816@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:18 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Barry Kosherick
017012850

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: clackim@mac.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Carol Goslant
021381969

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: claklee@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:39 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I - most especially the provisions that will allow the harvesting of forests , as well as all the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Constance Adams
010859839

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Clare Goslant (claregoslant@me.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:26 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Clare Goslant
21 Carver St
Cambridge, MA 02138
claregoslant@me.com
(617) 56-3294

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: claregoslant@me.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Clare Goslant
021381969

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Cletha Roney (croney@cocg.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:47 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cletha Roney
418 Federal St
Montague, MA 01351
croney@cocg.com
(413) 367-0394

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: clf_scw@hotmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:03 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
CatherineFarrell
024743333

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: cmann@foe.org
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 12:30 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: carrie mann

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
carrie mann
33157

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: cmlynch51@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:24 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Christine Stahlinski
018012620

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: cmushkin@gmail.com
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 9:00 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Casey Cochran
018641450

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Colby Brown (colby.brown@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 1:09 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Colby Brown
27 Manhan Rd.
Southampton, MA 01073
colby.brown@gmail.com
(413) 50-5056

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Colleen Clark (colleenjclark@earthlink.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 12:27 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning wood for electricity. Very dumb idea.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Colleen Clark
21 William St
Cambridge, MA 02139
colleenjclark@earthlink.net
(617) 319-6422

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Conrad Willeman (cwilleman@mac.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:08 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Conrad Willeman
10 Barton St
Newburyport, MA 01950
cwilleman@mac.com
(978) 462-1308

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: conroyka17@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:36 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: kathleen conroy

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
kathleen conroy
021314013

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: corinne02176@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:42 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Corinne Palmer
021761618

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: corinnehsmith@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 2:48 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Corinne Smith
014404045

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: corinnetortai@hotmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:18 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Corinne Tortai
015601218

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Cory Alperstein (cory.alperstein@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 11:30 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

We have a very small window to get this right before it is too late. Why are you trying to bend the rules to weaken Class 1 renewables when we all know we must stop burning fossil fuels to have a chance to save life as we know it on this planet.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cory Alperstein
19 Hibbard Rd
Newton, MA 02458
cory.alperstein@gmail.com
(609) 937-4784

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: cosman706@email.com
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 1:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
patti mccauley
01151

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: cosman706@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:51 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
patti mccauley
01151

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: cparrishc@gmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2019 7:06 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Carol Parrish
12440190

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: cpcarol40@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:03 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Christine Peters
021882656

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: crawforda013@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:12 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Alan Crawford
021291901

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: crweekes7@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Cheryl Ann Weekes
021261854

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: csadlow@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:51 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Carol Sadlowski
026013435

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: csharpes@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:18 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Cara Sharpes-Smith
013011905

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: cstrelke@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:18 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Charleen Strelke
023562519

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ctjamison@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:21 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Carol Jamison
017302434

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: cummings990@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:33 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Diane Cummings

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Diane Cummings
016041510

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: cunningham.rigney@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 10:24 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Rigney Cunningham
026431564

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: curt.johnson13@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:18 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Curt Johnson
012672943

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: cvillanova820@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 10:09 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Carolyn Villanova
012016624

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: cwilleman@mac.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:15 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Conrad Willeman
019503124

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Cynthia Arens (cindyarens@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2019 7:44 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Arens
7 Kitson Park Dr
LINGTON, MA 02421
cindyarens@hotmail.com
(617) 354-3806

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Cynthia Arnold (carnold@stcc.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:41 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Arnold
164 Leonard St
Agawam, MA 01001
carnold@stcc.edu
(413) 786-5262

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Cynthia Brown (mistybridges022@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 1:33 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Brown
84 Tahattawan Rd
Littleton, MA 01460
mistybridges022@gmail.com
(978) 602-6124

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Cynthia Eggert, Phd (greenegg25@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 12:35 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Eggert, Phd
37 Bliss St
North Adams, MA 01247
greenegg25@verizon.net
(413) 664-2756

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: cynthia fields (willow1950@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 3:36 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

keep air clean

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

cynthia fields
3 elmshade way
Springfield, MA 01119
willow1950@gmail.com
(413) 363-9015

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Cynthia Landau (clandau1@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 12:40 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Please take this seriously, Governor Baker. We have only one planet and it is time that we stop damaging it, which we humans have been doing for eons, and start to care for it as if it were our only home -- which it is!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Landau
1476 Great Plain Avenue
Needham, MA 02492
clandau1@comcast.net
(617) 332-3234

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Cynthia Lawton-Singer (livingartsgarden@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message <automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 7:52 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning ~~ANYTHING~~ is the ~~WRONG~~ direction for our energy policy, our public health and the future of our children. ~~We~~ have the resources and the technology to develop truly renewable no carbon energy production. ~~We~~ owe it to our children and future generations to transition to ~~RE~~ renewable energy and ~~PRESERVE~~ our forests for carbon sequestration, water quality protection and habitat for wildlife. Biological diversity is protected by ~~LMNG~~ trees!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Lawton-Singer
2101 Roaring Brook Rd
Conway, MA 01341
livingartsgarden@icloud.com
(413) 230-7185

This message was sent by Know ~~Who~~, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Cynthia Turnbull (cturnbull@strhlaw.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:43 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

I've seen the devastating impact that increased logging has on local forests and on our overall environment. Encouraging wood burning biomass will be hugely counterproductive to energy efficiency in the long run, and in rural western Massachusetts, the forests are an essential part of the beauty of our area and a huge draw for hikers and outdoor enthusiasts. Instead of encouraging logging and depleting this wonderful resource, let's encourage an awareness of the benefits of leaving forests intact and allowing them to mature and become old growth forests, and do what trees do naturally, sequester CO2. Please, go for a long hike in the forest, and pause and take in your surroundings - and then withdraw the proposed changes. Thank you.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Turnbull
14 N Bears Den Dr
Sunderland, MA 01375
cturnbull@strhlaw.com
(413) 665-2483

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: cynthianolan01@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 2:09 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Cynthia Nolan
01060