

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Valencia Carr (b1tchpuertorico@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:32 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

If we don't learn from the past we are doomed to repeat the same mistakes

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Valencia Carr  
14 Massasoit Street  
Hyde Park, MA 02136  
b1tchpuertorico@gmail.com  
(857) 342-7440

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Valerie Booze (valerie.booze@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:59 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Valerie Booze  
43 Lochmere Ave  
Weymouth, MA 02191  
valerie.booze@gmail.com  
(720) 737-9967

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Valerie Mount (valpq@charter.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 11:56 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Valerie Mount  
12 Winneconnett Rd  
Worcester, MA 01605  
valpq@charter.net  
(508) 983-4443

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Valerie Walton (aallspice@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 18, 2019 3:39 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Please stop wasting time. There are numerous economic benefits to renewable energy. Please get out of the pockets of the big businesses and get with the program to curb emissions.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Valerie Walton  
18 Webb Street  
METHUEN, MA 01844  
aallspice@aol.com  
(978) 689-0716

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Valerie Young (pioneervy@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:48 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Dear Governor Baker,

Those of us outside of the Boston-area bubble know the air quality problems caused by wood burning heat stoves in the Hill Towns of the Pioneer Valley (do you even know where that is?) and in the Berkshires.

Wood is not a renewable energy source.

Please be a leader on this issue

Thank you

ValerieYoung

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

ValerieYoung

140 Woodbridge St  
South Hadley, MA 01075  
pioneervy@yahoo.com  
(413) 535-5107

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** vbarstow@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:03 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

With all the reports out about how planting trees to combat carbon emissions I am surprised and disappointed that you support the changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II. This is too serious to get wrong.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Victoria Barstow  
01721

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** vclark1947@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 3:18 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Valerie Clark  
024941002



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** veecougar@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 11:48 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Lisa Goldwyn  
017481013

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** vernalpoole@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:18 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Susan Lemont  
02476

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Veronica Dearden (vdearden1@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:55 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Veronica Dearden  
73 Bennett St Unit 1  
Waltham, MA 02453  
vdearden1@gmail.com  
(781) 209-0250

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** veronicasawyer17@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 10:06 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Kaitlin Hurley  
020211527

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** vicschmidt@aol.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 4:48 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Victoria Walton  
017781409

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Victoria Guest (pastor@firstchurchnatick.org) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, June 7, 2019 9:48 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Please reconsider plans to burn wood for electricity. Now is the time for Massachusetts to step up to increase non-CO2 emitting renewables to protect the environment, improve health and expand our economy. Carbon pollution is undermining health in our state, especially the health and the future of our children. With the collapse of a national initiative to address carbon pollution it is essential that we do whatever we can on a local and state level. I know your commitment to the environment and I urge you to reject the plan to add wood to the renewable portfolio. You have a vision for the state and now is the time to act on it.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Victoria Guest  
6 Fairbanks Place  
Natick, MA 01760  
pastor@firstchurchnatick.org  
(508) 653-0971

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Victoria Harrington (tori9308@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, June 7, 2019 6:28 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Victoria Harrington  
95 Paul Gore Street#3  
Jamaica Plain, MA 02130  
tori9308@gmail.com  
(617) 285-8533

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Victoria Marcello (drvmarcello@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:06 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Victoria Marcello  
489 Arlington St  
Dracut, MA 01826  
drvmarcello@sbcglobal.net  
(978) 454-0512

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** viega113@bell.net  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:36 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
natasha salgado  
021314619

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** vikenney@comcast.net  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:21 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Veronica Kenney  
017424105

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Virginia Ansbergs (ginny.rose@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 5, 2019 12:34 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

This CLEARLY NOT THE WAY TO GO NMASSACHUSETTS. This is NOT clean energy and will negatively impact poor people and people of color. Springfield, for example, has the highest asthma rate in the nation! Shame on you for pushing this sort of energy!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Virginia Ansbergs  
133 E Main St  
Plainfield, MA 01070  
ginny.rose@verizon.net  
(413) 634-8076

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Virginia Jastromb (vjastromb@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 3, 2019 11:24 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

I am for clean energy!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Virginia Jastromb  
73 Barrett St Apt 1040  
Northampton, MA 01060  
vjastromb@yahoo.com  
(413) 727-3328

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Virginia Talbot (vrtalbot@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 3:29 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Wood burning is not clean energy. The designation of wood burning as "clean energy" in Europe has had disastrous effects. Don't make the same mistake they did!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Virginia Talbot  
4 Cedar Sq Apt 2  
Cambridge, MA 02140  
vrtalbot@yahoo.com  
(617) 775-9730

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** virtualaura@verizon.net  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 10:51 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Laura Opie  
02635

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** vitalatina@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 3:30 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Debbie Caldieri

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Debbie Caldieri  
01301



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Vivian Ecker (vecker@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:22 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Vivian Ecker  
18 Shornecliffe Rd  
Newton, MA 02458  
vecker@verizon.net  
(617) 964-8679

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Vivienne Simon (vivsimon@earthlink.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 7:47 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

It's past time to fully invest in ~~CLEAN~~ RENEWABLE energy.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Vivienne Simon  
24 Mountain Laurel Path  
Northampton, MA 01062  
vivsimon@earthlink.net  
(617) 212-1111

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** vjastromb@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 21, 2019 10:54 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
virginia jastromb  
010601709

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** vmtusa1@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:48 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Anne Brownell  
021433926

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** voice4hire@aol.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:36 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Rachelle Coury  
027671611

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** voski63@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 3:15 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
e Lewis  
020434840

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** vrtalbot@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 3:09 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Virginia Talbot  
021402403

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** vsgac02@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:42 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Vidya Sivan  
021341647



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** vvm1212@aol.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:09 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Holly Gomes  
025321923

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** walkingmancomics@comcast.net  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:39 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Matt Levin  
010389726

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Walt Luerken (waltluerken@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:35 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Walt Luerken  
142 Woodward Ave  
Seekonk, MA 02771  
waltluerken@comcast.net  
(508) 336-3922

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Ward Kirkwood (wardkirkwood@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 2:30 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

This is the right decision Governor.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ward Kirkwood  
243 Fremont St  
Taunton, MA 02780  
wardkirkwood@msn.com  
(508) 822-1685

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Ward Vandewege (buk@earthling.be) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 6, 2019 9:53 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning wood is not a clean energy source. It releases tons of CO2 into the atmosphere, and it decimates our precious forests. Please stop this nonsense and focus on real clean energy like wind, solar, or hydro.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ward Vandewege  
24 Gibbens St  
Somerville, MA 02143  
buk@earthling.be  
(617) 575-9273

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Warren4023@msn.com  
**Sent:** Monday, July 22, 2019 4:38 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Nicholas Warren  
01060

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** wcplvpa@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Monday, July 22, 2019 9:27 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Wayne Cohen  
027622152

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** weave69@verizon.net  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 10:24 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Claude Weaver  
021262817



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** weeguysmom@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:45 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Jane Lewis  
025632349

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** welling\_karen@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 21, 2019 10:03 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Karen Welling  
021452511

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** wendilou@aol.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 23, 2019 1:30 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Wendy Gower  
024641232

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Wendy Hollis (windgale@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 5, 2019 5:34 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

We need CLEAN energy, not more pollution. We also need our trees to help clean the air.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Wendy Hollis  
42 Edgewater Rd  
Agawam, MA 01001  
windgale@verizon.net  
(413) 504-3895

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Wendy Lanchester (wendy.lanchester@umb.edu) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 25, 2019 3:03 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Wendy Lanchester  
25 Glendower St.  
Avon, MA 02322  
wendy.lanchester@umb.edu  
(781) 727-2771

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Wendy Seymour (iamwendylou@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:14 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Wendy Seymour  
34 Pratt St  
Billerica, MA 01821  
iamwendylou@yahoo.com  
(978) 362-2030

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** wendy.gordon@umb.edu  
**Sent:** Monday, July 22, 2019 7:36 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Wendy Gordon  
023221852

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** wendygott@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 2:21 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Wendy Gott  
026370464



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** wenquest@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 10:03 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Wendi Quest  
021554538

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** whilliker@me.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 21, 2019 2:09 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
William Hilliker  
024722444

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** willcorrдин@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:54 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Will Corrdin  
024457621

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** William Cromwick (wcc44.bc@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 4:42 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William Cromwick  
23 Lanark Rd Apt 5  
Brighton, MA 02135  
wcc44.bc@gmail.com  
(857) 523-9931

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** William Leavy (quatermass5@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 3, 2019 11:24 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

We only have a decade left now to save the planet.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William Leavy  
5Fox Hollow Rd Apt 102  
Lenox, MA 01240  
quatermass5@hotmail.com  
(505) 795-9226

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** William R Moomaw (msmoomaw@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:01 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William R Moomaw  
870 Henderson Rd  
Williamstown, MA 01267  
msmoomaw@comcast.net  
(413) 458-4862

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** William Schroeder (wescommercial@myfairpoint.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, June 7, 2019 2:21 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

This proposal is just insane! I'm extremely disappointed that DOER would even consider such a thing!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William Schroeder  
43 Central Street  
Andover, MA 01810  
wescommercial@myfairpoint.net  
(978) 475-5000

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** William Sprows (bsprows@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:55 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William Sprows  
60 HillFarm Rd  
Pembroke, MA 02359  
bsprows@yahoo.com  
(781) 826-2587

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** william\_j\_parr\_iii@comcast.net  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 21, 2019 9:39 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
William Parr  
021882806

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** williams\_deirdre@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 21, 2019 9:39 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Deirdre Riley  
020251917

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** willindam@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:03 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Willinda Powell-Gray  
02540

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** windalewoods@verizon.net  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 23, 2019 12:27 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

we are alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

We urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Robert and Marilyn Meyers  
01270

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** windgale@verizon.net  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 23, 2019 3:18 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
wendy hollis  
010012310

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** witsabouthether@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, August 17, 2019 10:15 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Jennifer Abromowitz  
010969317

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** Wojciech Rowinski (grawojro@charter.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:14 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Wojciech Rowinski  
26 McKinley Ave  
Easthampton, MA 01027  
grawojro@charter.net  
(413) 527-4264

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** wolf\_mage@msn.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 21, 2019 4:30 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Kara Gallant  
021551619



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** wolfgang burger (blackdiamondsband@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<automail@knowwho.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:51 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations  
  
**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

We don't need this!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

wolfgang burger  
35 Lafayette Sq, 3rd fl  
Haverhill, MA 01832  
blackdiamondsband@yahoo.com  
(978) 374-5262

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500 for more information.

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** wolfsheimat@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:00 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Steven Wychorski  
021481711

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** wolo102@aol.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:45 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Patricia Wolongevicz  
023392632

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** woofmeowsit@aol.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 6:54 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Mary Vitro  
01104

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** wzzw19@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:48 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

Please endorse Massachusetts House bill H897.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Mary Thomas  
01379

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** xcrowe@comcast.net  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 2:39 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

The science is clear -- we need to rapidly shift away from dirty energy sources like biomass and fossil fuels and toward truly clean, renewable energy if we want to avert climate chaos.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Linda Crowe  
024681401

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** xharleyguy@aol.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:09 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Rick M Micciulla  
021762416

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** xrdulgarian@aol.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:09 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Robert Dulgarian  
21432401



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** xylemo@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 21, 2019 7:21 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Ken Mckay  
011041424

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** yaltajoslin@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 10:48 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
yaltajoslin  
024455845

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** yameen6@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 8:36 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Sarah Dow  
024457407

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** yellowmon7687@outlook.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 21, 2019 6:09 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Brenda Gaudet-Duffy

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Brenda Gaudet-Duffy  
01331

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** yenpatton@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 10:00 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
GeorgiaYen-Patton  
027390354

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** yourfriendalice@bigpond.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 20, 2019 1:51 AM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Alice Beauchamp  
02066

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** zman210@verizon.net  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 10:15 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
MARK ZALL  
019023226

## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** zquinn@live.com  
**Sent:** Monday, July 29, 2019 2:00 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Zoe Quinn  
019703248



## RPS, DOER (ENE)

---

**From:** zygo@yokeshire.com  
**Sent:** Friday, July 19, 2019 9:45 PM  
**To:** RPS, DOER (ENE)  
**Subject:** Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

**Categories:** Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Brian Herlihy  
018102717