Administrative Office of the Trial Court Case Flow Metrics Report

> Calendar Year 2011 Quarter 2

Honorable Robert A. Mulligan Chief Justice for Administration and Management

August 23, 2011

## Case Flow Metrics Summary: 2006 Through 2011 (Q2) All Court Departments



 $^{\ast}$  See Probate and Family Court Department note on Metric 3.

# **Case Flow Metric 1 - Clearance Rate**

Case Activity April 1, 2011 to June 30, 2011

Court Department	New Cases	Disposed Cases	Clearance Rate (Goal: 100%)	Progress Towards Goal
oston Municipal Court				
Civil	10,720	10,526	98%	
Criminal	7,169	7,290	102%	Goal Achieved
Sub-Total	17,889	17,816	100%	
District Court				
Civil	17,743	16,630	94%	
Criminal	42,530	41,108	97%	
Sub-Total	60,273	57,738	96%	
Housing	10,114	9,779	97%	
Juvenile				
Civil	3,277	3,737	114%	<b>Goal Achieved</b>
Criminal	5,042	4,304	85%	
Sub-Total	8,319	8,041	97%	
Land	3,558	4,404	124%	<b>Goal Achieved</b>
<b>Probate and Family</b>	18,011	14,063	78%	
Superior				
Civil	5,682	6,207	109%	<b>Goal Achieved</b>
Criminal	1,288	1,453	113%	<b>Goal Achieved</b>
Sub-Total	6,970	7,660	110%	<b>Goal Achieved</b>
Total	125,134	119,501	95%	



The quarterly and annual goal for this metric is 100%.

For the District Court, in the second quarter of 2011 many of the 62 divisions converted from BasCOT to MassCourts. The court metrics were initially developed using the BasCOT system. For the second quarter of 2011, the metrics reports includes only those divisions that are still using the BasCOT system. The Trial Court Information Services division developed extracts to support the metrics reporting from the MassCourts division, but these data were not incorporated into the quarterly report.

For the District Court the number of cases for case flow metric 1 may exceed the number of cases in case flow metric 2 because case flow metric 1 includes all 62 District Courts, whereas case flow metric 2 does not include JMS courts (Brockton, Barnstable).

For the District Court civil clearance rate the statistics for the second quarter of 2011 include information from 25 of the 62 court divisions. The clearance rate includes information on regular civil, restraining order, and mental health cases. The clearance rate does not include information on small claims, summary process, and supplementary process cases.

For the Land Court, the number of cases in case flow metric 1 may exceed the number of cases in case flow metric 2 because: (1) case flow metric 1, unlike case flow metric 2, includes both time standards and non-time standards cases. A large number of Land Court cases, including Mortgage Foreclosure cases and "S-Cases", relating to registered land, are not subject to time standards. The vast majority of these cases are disposed of in less than six months time; and, (2) case flow metric 1, unlike case flow metric 2, includes all cases disposed of during the quarter, including pre-MassCourts cases that had been completed prior to the quarter, but remained open and undisposed on the docket.

For the Superior Court the number of cases in case flow metric 1 may exceed the number of cases in case flow metric 2 because case flow metric 2 does not include cases disposed without a track assignment.

# **Case Flow Metric 2: Time to Disposition**

Court Department	Total Disposed	Disposed Within Time Standard	% Within Time Standard	Time to Disposition Goal	Progress Towards Goal
oston Municipal Court					
Civil	10,526	10,291	98%	98%	<b>Goal Achieved</b>
Criminal	7,290	6,768	93%	94%	
Sub-Total	17,816	17,059	96%	97%	
District Court					
Civil	13,689	13,050	95%	98%	
Criminal	41,106	37,269	91%	92%	
Sub-Total	54,795	50,319	92%	94%	
Housing	9,779	8,858	91%	90%	Goal Achieved
Juvenile					
Civil	3,737	3,045	81%	78%	<b>Goal Achieved</b>
Criminal	4,304	3,054	71%	71%	Goal Achieved
Sub-Total	8,041	6,099	76%	74%	Goal Achieved
Land	731	435	60%	62%	
<b>Probate and Family</b>	14,000	11,484	82%	84%	
Superior					
Civil	6,168	4,474	73%	76%	
Criminal	1,407	507	36%	35%	Goal Achieved
Sub-Total	7,575	4,981	66%	69%	
Total	112,737	99,235	88%	90%	

YTD

CY2011 - % Disposed Within Time Standard



The baseline was established from calendar year 2010. The quarterly and annual goals for this metric (percent disposed within time standards) is to maintain the CY 2010 annual percentage.

For the District Court, the changes in reporting that were outlined in metric 1 with respect to the conversion of several divisions from BasCOT to MassCourts for the purposes of civil cases applies to metric 2 as well.

# Case Flow Metric 3: Pending Cases Beyond Time Standards

### Case Status June 30, 2011

Court Department	Baseline	Pending Beyond Time Standards	Total Number Pending	% Reduction from Baseline	Progress Towards Goal
Boston Municipal Court					
• Civil	180	232	7,337	29%	
Criminal	598	440	11,517	-26%	<b>Goal Achieved</b>
Sub-Total	778	672	18,854	-14%	<b>Goal Achieved</b>
District Court					
Civil	760	524	15,392	-31%	<b>Goal Achieved</b>
Criminal	4,918	5,735	58,541	17%	
Sub-Total	5,678	6,259	73,933	10%	
Housing	1,490	1,688	4,558	13%	
Juvenile					
Civil	4,409	4,501	11,802	2%	
Criminal	5,938	5,308	11,454	-11%	<b>Goal Achieved</b>
Sub-Total	10,347	9,809	23,256	-5%	<b>Goal Achieved</b>
Land	10,521	10,218	12,337	-3%	<b>Goal Achieved</b>
<b>Probate and Family</b>	14,493	18,025	48,764	24%	
Superior					
Civil	5,979	5,858	26,852	-2%	<b>Goal Achieved</b>
Criminal	2,402	2,210	5,158	-8%	<b>Goal Achieved</b>
Sub-Total	8,381	8,068	32,010	-4%	<b>Goal Achieved</b>
Total	51,688	54,739	213,712	6%	

# YTD

### CY2011 - % Reduction in Pending Cases Beyond Time Standards



The baseline was established from the end of calendar year 2010. The annual goal for this metric (number of cases pending beyond time standards) is not to exceed the number of cases pending beyond time standards as of December 31, 2010.

#### District Court:

For the District Court, the changes in reporting that were outlined in metric 1 with respect to the conversion of several divisions from BasCOT to MassCourts for the purposes of civil cases applies to metric 3 as well. BasCOT only provides information for 25 district court divisions on the civil side and is unaable to extract default time in evaluating the age of a case.

### Probate and Family Court :

For Probate and Family Court, the baseline established from the end of calendar year 2010 was revised during the first quarter of 2011. During this quarter, the Probate and Family Court Department worked with the Trial Court Information Services to identify and dispose, for statistical purposes, a large number of cases that had no case activity since December 31, 2008. Most typically, these were cases in which a temporary order was issued and there was no activity on the case for a period of at least 24 months. These cases had no future event scheduled or expected and are not directly comparable to those active cases for which there is a future event scheduled or expected.

With the assistance of the Trial Court Information Services, the Probate and Family Court Department was able to estimate the impact of this reporting change. As outlined in the table below, there was an estimated increase of 1,775 cases pending beyond time standards. This estimate was used to establish a new baseline for Probate and Family Court Department for 2011.

As stated by the National Center for State Courts, "Having a complete and accurate inventory of active pending cases as well as tracking their number and age is important because this pool of cases potentially requires court action . . . the court can focus attention on what is required to ensure cases are brought to completion within reasonable timeframes."

	Cases Pending Beyond Time Standard	Total Pending Cases
2011 Q1 Using New Reporting Method	16,268	44,168
2011 Q1 Using Previous Reporting Method	56,997	87,286
Difference	(40,729)	(43,118)
2010 Q4 Using Previous Reporting Method	55,222	83,832
Change from Prior Quarter Using Previous Reporting Method	1,775	3,454
Revised Baseline Estimate - Probate and Family Court Department	14,493	
Revised Baseline Estimate - AOTC	51,688	

# **Case Flow Metric 4: Trial Date Certainty**

Case Activity April 1, 2011 to June 30, 2011 **Disposed w/i Trial Date Trial Settings** Progress **Two Trial Date** Certainty **Towards Goal** All **Court Department** One Two Three Settings Goal **Boston Municipal Court** Civil 28 10 3 42 90% 90% **Goal Achieved** Criminal 105 58 19 192 85% 90% Sub-Total 133 68 22 234 86% 90% **District Court** Civil 45 31 13 108 70% 90% Criminal 453 256 117 1,072 66% 90% 498 Sub-Total 287 130 1,180 67% 90% Housing 235 107 37 411 83% 90% Juvenile 115 32 19 183 80% 90% Civil 24 Criminal 3 32 84% 90% 4 Sub-Total 139 35 23 215 81% 90% 3 Land 10 2 15 87% 90% **Probate and Family** 248 34 6 288 98% 90% **Goal Achieved Superior** 199 75% Civil 83 37 38 60% Criminal 55 63 23 195 61% 75% Sub-Total 138 100 61 394 60% 75% 1,401 281 2,737 74% 88% Total 634

CY2011 - % Disposed Within Two Trial Date Settings



For case flow metric 4, the annual goal will be to have 90% of the cases that are disposed by trial actually go to trial by the second trial date setting for all departments except for the Superior Court. For the Superior Court, the annual goal will be to have 75% of the cases that are disposed by trial actually go to trial by the second trial date setting. The total trial date certainty goal was weighted by the number of trials in each department and the corresponding trial date certainty goal.