



THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS  
WATER RESOURCES COMMISSION  
100 CAMBRIDGE STREET, BOSTON MA 02114

---

**Meeting Minutes for September 12, 2024**

Meeting conducted remotely via Zoom meeting platform, 1:00 p.m.

*Minutes approved December 12, 2024*

**Members in Attendance:**

Vandana Rao	Designee, Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs (EEA)
Chris Kluchman	Designee, Executive Office of Housing and Livable Communities (EOHLC)
Kathy Baskin	Designee, Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP)
Tyler Soleau	Designee, Massachusetts Office of Coastal Zone Management (CZM)
Anne Carroll	Designee, Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR)
Todd Richards	Designee, Department of Fish and Game (DFG)
Hotze Wijnja	Designee, Department of Agricultural Resources (DAR)
Christine Hatch	Public Member
Vincent Ragucci	Public Member
Kenneth Weismantel	Public Member
Samantha Woods	Public Member

**Members Absent:**

Thomas Cambareri	Public Member
------------------	---------------

**Others in Attendance:**

Andreae Downs	Wastewater Advisory Committee
Anne Carroll	DCR OWR
Anne Malenfant	CDM Smith
Becca George	EOHLC
Caitlin Spence	EEA Office of Climate Science
Colleen Rizzi	Massachusetts Water Resources Authority
Dan Crocker	DCR-DWSP
Duane LeVangie	MassDEP
Erin Graham	DCR OWR
Jason Duff	DCR OWR
Jennifer Pederson	Massachusetts Water Works Association
Jennifer Durso	MassDEP
Josh Schimmel	Springfield Water and Sewer Commission
Katharine Lange	Massachusetts Rivers Alliance
Lydia Olson	Massachusetts Rivers Alliance
Marcel Belaval	USGS
Maria George	Environmental Partners
Marielle Stone	MassDEP
Matt Silverman	Littleton Water Department
Purvi Patel	EEA
Robert Rafferty	Environmental Partners Group, LLC
Sara Cohen, DCR	DCR OWR

Tara McManus	Weston & Sampson Engineers, Inc.
Thomas Orcutt	Town of Groton
Toni Stewart	DCR OWR
Vanessa Curran	DCR OWR
Viktoria Zoltay	DCR OWR

Rao called the meeting to order at 1:02 pm.

### **Agenda Item #1: Welcome and Introductions**

Rao introduced herself, welcomed attendees, and asked all to put their name and affiliation in the chat for the purposes of the meeting minutes. Rao announced that the meeting was being recorded and all votes would be taken by roll call. She invited those who wish to speak during the meeting to indicate this in the chat window. A roll call of members in attendance was taken; a quorum was present.

### **Agenda Item #2: Executive Director's Report**

Rao announced that the Drought Management Task Force (DMTF) met earlier in the week. Although conditions across the state are normal at a regional scale, the Parker River Basin is experiencing record low streamflow. For July the Parker River was declared to be in a Level 1 drought. This week the DMTF recommended that this Level 1 designation be continued for August, and Secretary Tepper issued this designation. The record low flows in the Parker have been hard to understand, as there has not been a clear persistent deficit in precipitation or low groundwater in the broader region. A group of state technical staff and local stakeholders in the Parker will be exploring the data at a detailed level to see what dynamics are in play leading to these extreme conditions. Rao welcomed any additional data or information related to this area from meeting attendees and will provide the WRC any updates as the situation and analysis unfold.

Rao invited meeting attendees to the launch of the MA Native Plant Palette on September 27, 11:00am-1:00pm, at 272 High St., Ipswich MA 01938. The event was organized by WRC staff and several partners. Rao reminded commissioners that they heard a presentation on the project a few months ago and encouraged them to attend the launch event. The project is intended to help shift people to landscapes that are native, use less water, and are more drought tolerant. Carroll added that the demonstration garden has been watered using a rain barrel due to the very dry recent few weeks. The project team welcomes any users who design a garden with the tool to submit their design as a possible template for others to use. Duff confirmed that while the tool is not yet officially launched, it is usable and both one-off gardens and re-useable templates can currently be designed by users.

Discussion: Richards asked how the Native Plant Palette would be introduced to local garden clubs and environmental groups. He offered that MassWildlife staff could help leverage their existing networks and could help facilitate collaboration with "growing wild for pollinators" and other related programs. Hatch added that Master Gardeners might be an interested audience if these exist in MA. Carroll said they have presented at the MA Nursery and Landscaping Association annual meeting and will continue to coordinate with that group, which may be a way

to reach Master Gardeners. Woods suggested coordinating with the Garden Club Federation of Massachusetts.

**Agenda Item #3: Update: Hydrologic Conditions**

Rao introduced Graham to present the Hydrologic Conditions Report for August 2024.

- *Temperature*: Monthly average temperatures were mostly normal across the state with one area above normal and a few others slightly below. According to the NRCC, Worcester climate site had its 4<sup>th</sup> warmest summer, and the Boston climate site had its 12<sup>th</sup> warmest. This was because of the above-normal temperatures in June and July.
- *Precipitation*: Precipitation was normal to above normal across the state except for a few stations (one in the Western, one in the Central, two in the Southeast Regions) and most of the Cape Cod Region, which is at Index Severity Level (ISL) 2 for August and ISL 1 for the two-month and three-month lookback period. The Southeast Region is at ISL 1 for the two-month lookback period.
- *Evapotranspiration*: The Evaporative Demand Drought Index regional percentiles were normal for both the one-month and two-month lookback periods in all Regions.
- *Keetch-Byram Drought Index (KBDI)*: At the end of August, KBDI values were elevated except for those in the Western Region.
- *Streamflow*: Streamflow was mostly normal to above-normal with two gages below normal- one on Cape Cod and the other in the Parker River basin, which was at the 16<sup>th</sup> percentile. The time series showed streamflow in the normal to above-normal range throughout August except for a few gages. There has been a greater percentage of low flows at the beginning of September.
- *Flooding*: There was no flooding nor flood warnings at river forecast points. There were no areal flood warnings issued, but there were some flash flood warnings and storm reports of urban flooding: August 15<sup>th</sup>-16<sup>th</sup>, flash flood warnings in Barnstable, Norfolk, and Bristol counties, and flash flood storm reports of urban flooding in Westport and Fall River; August 19<sup>th</sup> Flash flood storm report urban flooding in Vineyard Haven; August 26<sup>th</sup> Flash flood warnings issued in Bristol and Barnstable counties, and flash flood storm reports or urban flooding in Fall River, New Bedford, and Falmouth. A mention was made of the catastrophic August 18<sup>th</sup> precipitation and flooding event in parts of Connecticut where up to almost 16" of rain fell in a 6-to 8-hour period.
- *Groundwater*: August groundwater levels ranged from below-normal to much above-normal. Regional medians were normal to above-normal.
- *Lakes & Impoundments*: At the end of August, reported lake and impoundment levels were above their 30<sup>th</sup> percentile and/or were at or near 100% full except for two systems in the Northeast Region.
- *MA Drought status*: All regions are normal except the Parker River Basin is at Level 1- Mild Drought.
- *US Drought Monitor (USDM)*: At the end of August showing D0 Abnormally Dry in northeastern parts of the state. At the beginning of the month this area was D1 Moderate Drought and there was a larger swath of D0 in the Northeast Region as well some in the northern parts of the Western and Connecticut River Valley Drought Regions. The August 15<sup>th</sup> map cleared everything except for what is shown on this

map. At the beginning of September additional D0 area was added in the Northeast Region including Cape Ann, and today’s map added D0 to Nantucket.

- *NOAA Climate Prediction Center outlooks:* The outlook for September shows no strong signal for temperature or precipitation. The 3-month outlook shows chances likely for above-normal temperatures, and chances leaning for above-normal precipitation. Both the monthly and seasonal drought outlooks show no drought development.

**Agenda Item #4: Vote on Meeting Minutes, June 2024**

Rao invited a motion to accept the meeting minutes for June 13, 2024.

V O T E	A motion was made by Weismantel with a second by Ragucci to approve the meeting minutes for June 13, 2024.
	The vote to approve was unanimous among those present.

**Agenda Item #5: Presentation/Vote: Draft Staff Recommendation for the Littleton Electric Light and Water Department’s Interbasin Transfer Act Application/Proposal for a Water Supply Connection with Boxborough**

Rao introduced Curran to present the agenda item. Curran noted that the Littleton Electric Light and Water Department’s (LELWD’s) sources are in the Merrimack River Basin. Areas of Boxborough to be served are in the Merrimack River and Concord (SuAsCo) River Basins. Boxborough has no municipal water supply, and 11 public water supplies (PWS) in the Town of Boxborough are experiencing contamination of PFAS, sodium, and chloride in their individual existing water supplies. Contaminated wells are serving 1,110 residents living in condominiums (approximately 20% of the town). Littleton is proposing to transfer a maximum of 0.065 MGD from the Merrimack River Basin to Boxborough (0.060 subject to ITA). Curran shared a map of Littleton’s water supply sources and the existing contamination water supplies in Boxborough.

Curran summarized the required conditions of the staff recommendation for approval of the ITA application by LELWD:

- Continue implementation of 2024 Water Loss Control Plan and annual audits to reduce unaccounted-for water (UAW)
- Revisions to drought management plan within one year
- Continue efforts to remain below 65 rgpcd
- Reach out annually to top industrial, commercial, and institutional (ICI) water users including those in Boxborough to encourage conservation
- Complete development of and implement a Conservation and Management Permit for Taylor Street Well to protect Blanding’s turtle.

Curran noted that the last public hearing on the August 8, 2024 Staff Recommendation was held on Zoom on August 15, 2024. Comments in favor of the project were received at the hearing from Les Fox, chair of the Boxborough Water Resources Committee. No written comments were received in the week following the public hearing. The Staff Recommendation has been modified by the addition of a timeline for submitting the revised drought plan and updates with

information on public hearings and public comments. Curran shared the timeline by which the application must be voted on in the presentation.

Hatch asked if the source of contamination for these wells has been identified and if attempts have been made to remediate them. Curran noted that the site is very close to Route 495 and the MA Department of Transportation is working to lower salt contamination, and that it is very difficult to treat 11 different small water systems.

The presentation is available online at: <https://www.mass.gov/doc/september-12-2024-wrc-presentation-1/download>

V O T E	<p>A motion was made by Weismantel with a second by Baskin to approve the Draft Staff Recommendation for the Littleton Electric Light and Water Department’s Interbasin Transfer Act Application/Proposal for a Water Supply Connection with Boxborough.</p> <p>The vote to approve was almost unanimous with the exception of Ragucci who abstained.</p>
------------------	---

**Agenda Item #6: Presentation/Vote: Draft Staff Recommendation for the Town of Groton’s Request for an Amendment to the 2012 Lost Lake Determination of Insignificance**

Rao introduced the agenda item and reminded attendees that agenda items 6 and 7 were interconnected. Rao gave a brief overview of the Groton Lost Lake and Four Corners interbasin transfer request that was previously approved in 2012. Groton is requesting to give up some of its previously approved volume under insignificance because a portion of the original construction planned for in 2012 did not happen. The Town is no longer looking to make the transfer out of that area of town where construction did not occur. Rao added that the 1<sup>st</sup> part in the series is the reduction of their previously approved insignificant volume followed by a vote. The second part of the series is a presentation and vote on the draft recommendation for Groton’s 2024 Request for Determination of Insignificance for a water system expansion. Rao introduced Curran to present the Town of Groton’s request for an amendment to the 2012 Lost Lake Determination of Insignificance. The presentation is posted online at: [Town of Groton’s Request for an Amendment to its 2012 Determination of Insignificance Under the Interbasin Transfer Act](#)

Curran began with a review of the project proponent, the Town of Groton, and an overview of the affected major basins. Groton has land area in the Merrimack River and Nashua River Basins. The Lost Lake and Four Corners areas of town are in the Merrimack River Basin, and were plagued by septic system failures, leading to lake eutrophication and potential impacts to private residential water supply wells. Curran then gave a brief description of the 2012 approved interbasin transfer. Sewering was proposed to serve the Lost Lake and Four Corners areas in the Merrimack River Basin. The wastewater collection system was proposed to discharge to the Ayer Wastewater Treatment Plant in the Nashua River Basin. Maximum day sewer needs were 363,000 gallons per day (gpd). WRC determined the proposal met the criteria for insignificance on October 11, 2012.

Curran proceeded with the description of the current amendment request. On March 18, 2024, the Town of Groton requested to relinquish a portion of the volume approved in the 2012 Lost

Lake and Four Corners decision. The Town abandoned plans to construct the Lost Lake sewer and only constructed the Four Corners sewer. The Town is requesting an amendment to nullify the Lost Lake portion of the previously approved interbasin transfer (amendment would relinquish 322,505 gpd (Lost Lake) and retain 40,495 gpd (Four Corners)). Also, the 2012 decision stated that following sewer system construction and connection, Groton had to abide by the Lost Lake Dam Management Plan. Curran notes that because the original decision stated “*following* sewer system construction and connection, Groton will monitor streamflow downstream of Lost Lake and manage releases according to a dam management plan” this means that the dam management plan is no longer required to be implemented because construction was never done. Due to the original decision taking into consideration the loss of septic returns and because the wastewater was going to be sent out of town, there was concerned about potential reduced flow out of Lost Lake. However, since the area was never sewerred, the water is not being lost out of basin.

Curran summarized that staff recommend that the WRC approve Groton’s request to relinquish the Lost Lake portion of its previously approved interbasin transfer volume, which will reduce the approved interbasin transfer volume of 363,000 gpd from the Merrimack River Basin to the Nashua River Basin down to 40,495 gpd.

Rao invited the Town of Groton and Commissioners to provide any questions or comments, and there were none.

V O T E	<p>A motion was made by Weismantel with a second by Ragucci to approve the Draft Staff Recommendation for the Town of Groton’s Request for an Amendment to the 2012 Lost Lake Determination of Insignificance</p> <p>The vote to approve was unanimous among those present.</p>
------------------	---

**Agenda Item #7: Presentation/Vote: Draft Staff Recommendation for the Town of Groton’s 2024 Request for Determination of Insignificance for a Water System Expansion**

Rao introduced Curran to present on the Draft Staff Recommendation for the Town of Groton’s 2024 Request for Determination of Insignificance for a Water System Expansion. Curran reminded attendees that the Lost Lake approval reduced the cumulative amount of water being transferred to 40,495 gpd. She then reviewed the history of the wastewater discharges from Groton starting in the 1980s with a transfer from Groton to Pepperrell that pre-dates the Interbasin Transfer Act.

In 2012, the WRC approved a Request for Determination of Insignificance for the Lost Lake-Four Corners wastewater connection to Ayer. Only the Four Corners portion was constructed, and the vote that took place in the previous agenda item was to reduce the existing volume. Curran also explained that the Dunstable-Groton Regional High School and nearby residential properties are served by private wells that have been impacted by PFAS contamination from a fire on the school property.

The plan is for the Groton Water Department to provide water to both the high school and the surrounding residential properties. The total estimated maximum day wastewater flow is 60,495

gpd, of which 28,555 gpd is subject to ITA review due to a portion of the water being used for irrigation in Groton (and not crossing a municipal boundary, so therefore not subject to ITA review). Curran also noted that the ITA regulations require the WRC to look at cumulative transfers and she explained that the cumulative interbasin transfer in this case would be 69,050 gpd. The cumulative volume of transfers must be less than five percent of the unimpacted 95% exceedance flow in the donor basin, the volume of which in this case is 73,000 gpd, so the cumulative transfer is in compliance with the streamflow criterion.

The difference between the past and the current insignificant volume is due to a different analysis point in the basin and revisions to the ITA regulations. Additionally, the Lost Lake volume was contingent on a dam release plan which was to be implemented after the construction of the wastewater collection system which is no longer required. Curran then explained that the staff recommendation is that the project meets all applicable criteria for insignificance. The deadline for a Decision is September 17, 2024.

Thomas Orcutt from the Town of Groton thanked Curran, the other WRC staff, and MassDEP for helping their consultants work through the details of the project. He reiterated that it was an important project for both Dunstable and Groton. Weismantel thanked Curran for the presentation and noted that it was very clear and easy to understand.

The presentation is available online at: <https://www.mass.gov/doc/september-12-2024-wrc-presentation/download>.

V O T E	<p>A motion was made by Weismantel with a second by Ragucci to approve the Draft Staff Recommendation for the Town of Groton’s 2024 Request for Determination of Insignificance for a Water System Expansion.</p> <p>The vote to approve was unanimous among those present.</p>
------------------	---

**Agenda Item #8: Presentation/Vote: Proposed Revisions to the Interbasin Transfer Act Performance Standards**

Rao introduced the last agenda item which is also related to interbasin transfers. WRC staff had previously presented a draft update to the Performance Standards (PS) about three months prior. The Interbasin Transfer Act (ITA) gives the Commission the authority to look at these transfers and promulgate regulations that help define and describe the evaluation criteria and what the Commission is going to be reviewing. WRC staff are seeking the WRC’s approval of an updated version of the PS that are intended to guide project proponents with specifics on what they should be submitting to satisfy each of the criteria in the ITA regulations. The revised and reorganized PS reflect the updated ITA regulations as well as the most recent MA Water Conservation Standards (WCS), and recent WRC Decisions and any other policies approved. WRC staff are seeking the Commission’s vote today to approve these revised PS. Comments were received, including from Commissioner Weismantel, and have been addressed. Rao invited Carroll to present on the revised PS. The presentation is available online at: <https://www.mass.gov/doc/september-12-2024-wrc-presentation-0/download>.

Carroll reviewed that a full overview of these revised PS was given at the last in-person WRC meeting in Westborough in June. Feedback was received at that time and additional comments have since been received, the responses to which will be reviewed. Weismantel, Mass Water Works Association, and Mass Rivers Alliance were thanked for the comments they submitted.

Favorable comments noted the document is clearer and more organized. The focus on specific evaluation measures makes the process more transparent. Three areas of concerns were reflected in the comments, a concern that applications will be denied when facing contamination or shortages, a concern that applications will be denied if the PS are not met before applying, which may be cost-prohibitive, and a request that nothing in the PS go beyond the WCS.

There shouldn't be any concerns around denials of requests. The MassDEP process for emergency declarations is exempt from ITA review and the ITA process allows for systems with contamination to obtain another source of water. Rao added that two Decisions approved today are testament to that, as both were related to contamination issues. Carroll clarified that all PS are not required to be met prior to applying and can be conditioned through the ITA process. Generally, we seek to have conditions met before the water is turned on which can take some time after the ITA process is complete. Lastly regarding the WCS, Carroll clarified that an interbasin transfer should be a last resort as it is a decision made in perpetuity, and precedent has been to set a higher bar to minimize impacts from interbasin transfers. We are largely using the WCS as our guide but there may be some cases where the high bar may go a little beyond. In those cases we still aim to be transparent and flexible.

One comment was received that the language added to the section on MEPA regarding Environmental Justice populations is an important update. Positive feedback was also received that the updates to Criterion 2 (develop all viable sources in the receiving area) are more thorough, comprehensive, and useful. The most comments were received on Criterion 3, water conservation. Carroll reviewed the key elements of the updates made to this section. Favorable comments were received regarding several of the updated elements.

Critical feedback was received that the conservation standard of 65 gallons residential use per capita per day (rgpcd) is outdated and should be lowered. This will be researched in the context of the next update to the WCS. Critical feedback was received concerning the pricing guidance found in Appendix B. There was concern that the pricing guidance may be overly prescriptive and should not be a one size fits all approach. WRC staff agrees that there should not be a one size fits all approach but disagrees that the guidance is overly prescriptive as the aim was to provide transparency and guidance/details on different ways to meet the intent. There was also concern that benchmarking rates against the 50th percentile of other MA water utilities is arbitrary. WRC staff believe it's reasonable to check that prices are not within the bottom half of prices in the state.

Additional comments around rate structures were received. Carroll noted that the ITA specifically requires the WRC to address rate structures as an important component of efficient system operation and management, and the previous version of the PS was more limited and the update provides more clarity. Regarding billing frequency, a comment was received that monthly billing could be too costly and quarterly should be sufficient. Carroll reviewed the multiple reasons why staff feel that monthly billing is the preferred standard. However, staff do acknowledge the



increased costs associated with monthly billing and the PS offer the option to transition to monthly billing over time or quarterly billing with monthly leak alert systems in place.

For the remaining criteria, no comments were received on updates made to criteria 4, 6, and 7. Positive feedback was received on the updates to criterion 5, maintain reasonable instream flow, which provided more detail on impact evaluation and more information for wastewater transfers. Rao thanked Carroll for her presentation and invited Commissioners' questions and comments.

Weismantel commented that this revised document will improve the process and thanked Cohen for working through the billing frequency with him. He still disagrees with the need for monthly billing and isn't crazy about the language around billing frequency, but overall it is better guidance to provide to applicants and should help make the process easier.

Pederson requested the full set of comments received. Pederson also noted that Mass Waterworks Association (MWWA) submitted extensive comments, the biggest of which she feels is not addressed in the responses presented. Her main comment is that the document goes beyond the intent of the statute, especially as related to billing. She does not believe that the Act speaks to the rates in the way the PS are approaching an evaluation of rates and the PS go beyond the language of the Act. Pederson did not see a response to this comment and would like to see one before any vote is taken on these PS. She also did not see a response to MWWA's comment about the Tighe and Bond 2017 rates survey being outdated and it's not certain that Tighe and Bond will continue to update these surveys. Pederson also noted that she hears a lot from her members that the ITA process is difficult to get through and lengthy, although acknowledged that the WRC staff are helpful. Pederson requested a delay of the vote to have more conversations around rates with stakeholders.

Cohen agreed that while WRC staff share the concern that the Tighe and Bond rate data are getting out of date, in the meantime it's actually easier for communities to meet the benchmark as almost all rates have been going up. It's also just a benchmark. If the point is reached where the necessary data to evaluate the rates is not available, it won't be applied. Additionally, the language in the Act is that rates need to encourage water conservation. That is a broad statement that would be difficult to interpret without a definition. Staff are trying to define what it means for a rate to encourage water conservation, which is exactly what the WRC is mandated to do. There are no particular requirements; applicants can come to WRC staff with any rate they want and staff will review and see if it is incorporating these principles of what sends a conservation signal to reduce wasteful water use. Staff then checks that the volumetric component of the rate is not among the cheapest water in the state. This is the only thing that is specific, and we have no other specific criteria. We ask applicants to show us that they are trying to send a conservation signal.

There were no other comments from Commissioners or the public. Rao feels that the document and the Commission is ready for a vote today. The updated document builds in flexibility while providing clarity. Rao expressed appreciation for the thoughtful comments received from MWWA, Mass Rivers Alliance, and Commissioner Weismantel. Rao invited a motion to approve the updated PS.

V O T E	A motion was made by Baskin with a second by Woods to approve the Proposed Revisions to the Interbasin Transfer Act Performance Standards.  The vote to approve was unanimous of those present.
------------------	---

Rao asked for a motion to adjourn the meeting.

V O T E	A motion was made by Richards with a second by Weismantel to adjourn the meeting.  The vote to approve was unanimous of those present.
------------------	--

Meeting adjourned at 2:46 pm.

**Documents or Exhibits Used at Meeting:**

1. WRC Meeting Minutes: June 13, 2024
2. Draft Revised Inter-basin Transfer Act Performance Standards for WRC Discussion and Vote
3. Draft for WRC Discussion and Vote, dated September 12, 2024: WRC Staff Recommendation on the Interbasin Transfer Application for the Littleton Electric Light and Water Department's Proposal for a Water Supply Connection with Boxborough
4. Draft Staff Recommendations, both dated September 12, 2024, for two requests under the Interbasin Transfer Act from the Town of Groton
  - a. Request for an Amendment to the 2012 Lost Lake Determination of Insignificance
  - b. 2024 Request for Determination of Insignificance for a Water System Expansion
5. Correspondence documents from the WRC to MEPA, including letters with the following dates and content:
  - a. August 15, 2024 from the WRC to MEPA regarding the Expanded Environmental Notification Form (EENF) for Eames Pond Dam Repairs in the Town of Oxford.
  - b. August 23, 2024 from the WRC to MEPA regarding the Expanded Environmental Notification Form (EENF) for Nashawannuck Brook Restoration in the City of Northampton.
  - c. August 23, 2024 from the WRC to MEPA regarding the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (DSEIR) filed by Turquoise Southfield NR LLC (the Proponent) for the South Weymouth Naval Air Station (SWNAS) Redevelopment Project in Abington, Rockland, and Weymouth.
  - d. August 23, 2024 from the WRC to MEPA regarding the Expanded Environmental Notification Form (EENF) for the Town of Weymouth and Southfield Redevelopment Authority (SRA) Admission to the Massachusetts Water Resources Authority (MWRA) Water System.
  - e. May 21, 2024 from the WRC to MEPA regarding the Environmental Notification Form (ENF) for 242 Washington Ave - Proposed Improvements (pool, pool house, tennis, court and driveway) in the Town of Barnstable.
  - f. May 21, 2024 from the WRC to MEPA regarding the Environmental Notification Form (ENF) for Lake Boon Dam Repairs and Improvements Project in the Town of Stow.
  - g. May 10, 2024 from the WRC to MEPA regarding the Expanded Environmental Notification Form (EENF) for Hurd Park Climate Resilient Hub in the City of Woburn.
6. Interbasin Transfer Act project status report, August 23, 2024

*Compiled by: WRC staff*

Agendas, minutes, and other documents are available on the web site of the Water Resources Commission at <https://www.mass.gov/water-resources-commission-meetings>. All other meeting documents are available by request to WRC staff at 10 Park Plaza, Suite 6620, Boston, MA 02116.