

	Page 1
1	COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
2	COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT
3	
4	
	COMPLAINT NUMBER 2019-22
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	VOLUME I
9	HEARING
10	HIBRING
_ •	~ BEFORE ~
11	
	HEARING OFFICER
12	
	DENIS J. McINERNEY, ESQ.
13	
	JUNE 9, 2025
14	
1 -	9:00 A.M.
15 16	
10 17	
18	
	Boston, Massachusetts
19	
20	
21	
22	
	Lisa L. Crompton, CSR (MA)(RI), RPR
23	
24	
25	

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES:
2	For Commission on Judicial Conduct
3	Commission on Judicial Conduct
	BY: former HONORABLE JUDITH FABRICANT
4	AND: HOWARD V. NEFF, III, ESQ.
	11 Beacon Street
5	Suite 525
	Boston, Massachusetts 02108
6	617-725-8050
	617-248-9938 Fax
7	
8	For Honorable Shelley M. Richmond Joseph
9	Libby Hoopes Brooks & Mulvey
	BY: ELIZABETH N. MULVEY, ESQ.
10	AND: THOMAS M. HOOPES, ESQ.
	260 Franklin Street
11	Boston, Massachusetts 02110
	617-338-9300
12	617-338-9911 Fax
10	emulvey@lhbmlegal.com
13	thoopes@lhbmlegal.com
14	~ AND ~
15	Meehan, Boyle, Black & Bogdanow, P.C.
16	BY: MICHAEL B. KEATING, ESQ. 100 Cambridge Street
10	Suite 2101
17	Boston, Massachusetts 02114
Ι,	617-523-8300
18	017 323 0300
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

		Page 3
1	INDEX	
2	DAVID JELLINEK, ESQ.	PAGE NO.
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY JUDGE FABRICANT	43
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HOOPES	92
5	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY JUDGE FABRICANT	187
6	RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HOOPES	196
7		
8		
9		
	EXHIBITS	
10		
	EXHIBITS DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
11		
12		
	(NO EXHIBITS)	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	Page 4
1	HEARING OFFICER: I think you
2	can all hear me. Good morning. We're on the
3	record.
4	This is a hearing conducted in
5	connection with the Commission on Judicial
6	Conduct's Complaint Number 2019-22 concerning
7	Judge Shelley M. Richmond Joseph.
8	My name is Denis McInerney, and
9	I'm the hearing officer in this matter.
10	I'd ask that the attorneys for
11	the parties please introduce themselves and the
12	parties they represent.
13	JUDGE FABRICANT: Good morning.
14	I'm Judith Fabricant, special counsel for the
15	Commission on Judicial Conduct.
16	MR. NEFF: Good morning.
17	Howard Neff for the Commission on Judicial
18	Conduct.
19	MR. HOOPES: Good morning, Your
20	Honor. Tom Hoopes for Judge Joseph.
21	MS. MULVEY: Good Morning.
22	Elizabeth Mulvey also for Judge Joseph.
23	MR. KEATING: Good morning.
24	Michael Keating for Judge Joseph.
25	HEARING OFFICER: Thank you

very much.

Earlier this morning the parties and I conducted a view at the Newton District Courthouse. The hearing will now resume here at the Suffolk County Courthouse.

As the parties are aware, on June 3rd, I issued an order regarding media protocol and coverage. If there is anyone here from the media who has not received a copy of that order, it is available on the CJC's website, on the web page where they have their May 13th press release about this matter.

This hearing is being conducted to establish the facts related to the formal charges issued by the CJC against Judge Joseph on November 19th, 2024. After the hearing has concluded, I will issue a report containing my findings and recommendations. Should I conclude based on the facts established at this hearing that Judge Joseph violated the code of judicial conduct, and that any such violation would call for discipline to be imposed, I will make recommendations as to what that discipline should be. The Commission bears the burden of

_		_
Pа	αe	h

proving the charges by clear and convincing evidence.

With that, unless there are any other matters that the parties would like to raise at this time, I will turn it over to the special counsel first and then to Judge Joseph's counsel to give their opening statements

JUDGE FABRICANT: Thank you,

Mr. McInerney.

I'm Judith Fabricant, special counsel for the Commission.

Before I turn to opening statements, first of all, I want to say good morning to counsel, to Judge Joseph, to Mr. Neff, and to everyone present.

And I would like to request that you formally admit in evidence the stipulated appendices, and those are Appendix A through R and V through Z. And you should have on your bench a binder that has all of these in it.

Appendices S and T are stipulated for identification only. And I request that you receive them for that purpose only.

1-800-727-6396

	Page 7
1	Appendix U consists of
2	photographs at this point stipulated for
3	identification. As they are testified to, I
4	will ask you to receive them in evidence.
5	HEARING OFFICER: That is all
6	fine with me.
7	JUDGE FABRICANT: Thank you.
8	HEARING OFFICER: And those
9	exhibits that you offered, with the stipulation
10	of respondent, are all accepted.
11	JUDGE FABRICANT: All right.
12	And is my volume working with this microphone?
13	HEARING OFFICER: It's perfect.
14	It's perfect.
15	JUDGE FABRICANT: Thank you.
16	This case is about the
17	integrity, impartiality, and independence of the
18	Massachusetts judiciary and the appearance of
19	integrity, impartiality, and independence that
20	every judge is required to uphold. The facts
21	are mostly undisputed. There is one central
22	dispute, what was said during the 52-second off
23	the record discussion at side bar. You will

I want to start with,

23

24

25

hear a lot about those 52 seconds.

Judge Joseph became a judge of the
District Court on November 2nd, 2017. She had
been an attorney for some 25 years, the last 20
primarily as a criminal defense attorney. As a
new judge, Judge Joseph received various
training materials, including a copy of the
Massachusetts Rules of Court, this volume that
I'm holding up. Among the rules in this volume
is District Court Special Rule 211, which
requires that all court proceedings in the
District Court be recorded.

In accordance with the policy of the District Court, Judge Joseph spent four weeks in orientation, sitting with about 20 other judges, one at a time, to observe a wide variety of proceedings. After completing her orientation, she was assigned to sit in various District Court divisions.

Judge Joseph was sitting in the Newton

District Court and was the only judge there that

day. She had sat there as a judge a few times

before and she had practiced there often. She

was familiar with the courthouse and the

practices there. Those practices included the

On April 2nd, 2018,

following, which Judge Joseph stipulated to and agreed that she would not contest as a part of the agreement that she had adjudicated with the United States attorney for dismissal of the criminal charges against her. This is at Paragraph 3 of the agreed statement of facts. It's in Appendix M, Pages 163 to 164, and it's also being displayed on the monitor. And the page numbers that I'm using are the red numbers up in the right-hand corner of each page of the appendix so it's sequentially, sequentially numbered throughout the appendix.

Judge Joseph knew, -- this is what Paragraph 3 says -- Judge Joseph knew that

Judge Joseph knew, -- this is what Paragraph 3 says -- Judge Joseph knew that criminal defendants in Newton District Court custody were kept in the lockup area in the basement of the courthouse and were brought upstairs with a court officer to the courtroom for their court appearance. The normal custom and practice in Newton District Court, subject to certain exceptions, was a defendant would be released from custody into the courtroom.

This courtroom had only one public entry/exit which led to the courthouse lobby. You saw each of those places this

morning. The courtroom, with its one public
exit, the lockup downstairs, and the enclosed
dock in the courtroom with its door facing
toward the exit.

before Judge Joseph on that date was

Commonwealth versus Medina Perez, and there are
various names for him in various documents. I'm
using the name that appeared in the

District Court docket at the time.

Mr. Medina Perez faced three charges, a charge
of being a fugitive from justice based on a
warrant from Pennsylvania and two misdemeanor
drug charges.

One of the cases that came

Judge Joseph was informed of the charges and was also informed that ICE, the Immigration and Custom Enforcement Service, had a detainer and warrant of removal for Mr. Medina Perez and that an ICE officer was present to take Mr. Medina Perez into custody if he was released from state custody.

When the case was first called,

Judge Joseph appointed the duty attorney for the

day, Elizabeth Bostwick, to represent him. A

Spanish interpreter assisted. The case was

called several times through the morning to
allow Attorney Bostwick to investigate whether
Mr. Medina Perez was the person wanted in
Pennsylvania. When court recessed for the
lunch, for the lunch break, Attorney Bostwick
was still working on that.

Mr. Medina Perez's employer and another person associated with him were the present in court. Before court resumed,
Mr. Medina Perez's employer retained
Attorney David Jellinek to represent him in place of Attorney Bostwick. Attorney Jellinek appeared frequently in the Newton District and he had friendly relationships with the court personnel there. Attorney Bostwick did, too.

Attorney Jellinek learned of the charges and of the ICE detainer and of his client's denial that he was the person sought. He set about doing what he could to investigate whether his client was the right person for the Pennsylvania warrant and whether he was the right person for the ICE detainer and to persuade others that his client was not the right person for either.

He spoke with Assistant

1-800-727-6396

1 District Attorney Shannon Jurgens, -- now she's McDermott -- and they came to agreement that the evidence was not sufficient to connect Mr. Medina Perez to the Pennsylvania warrant, so that she would dismiss that charge. She was not seeking bail on the drug charges. That meant that Mr. Medina Perez would be released from state custody that afternoon. The problem that remained for Attorney Jellinek was ICE. goal was to find a way to avoid his client being 10 taken into ICE custody. 12 The ICE officer had been 13

sitting in the courtroom through the morning and was still there at the lunch break. Mr. Jellinek spoke with him, but failed to persuade him that his client was not the right The ICE officer remained convinced that person. Mr. Medina Perez was the right person and planned to take him into custody.

Mr. Jellinek also spoke with Court Officer Wesley MacGregor, alone with no one else present. He expressed to Officer MacGregor his concern, that ICE would take his client into custody and would be taking the wrong person. Officer MacGregor told

2

3

5

6

7

9

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Attorney Jellinek that he would release the

person from the sallyport which was downstairs

in the lockup area. On that basis, Mr. Jellinek

expected that, if he could arrange for his

client to go back downstairs, he could be

released through the back and avoid ICE.

Separately during a lunch break, the clerk informed Judge Joseph that Newton District Court First Justice

Mary Beth Heffernan had a practice or policy that ICE officers should be directed to wait outside the courtroom. He asked if she would follow that policy.

The Trial Court had a policy for all court personnel regarding interactions with ICE, referred to as the Lunn policy after a decision of the Supreme Judicial Court. That policy is in evidence as Appendix B. And we'll bring it up on the monitor, although it's several pages long.

The essence of it is that court personnel should be neutral toward ICE Officers in the courthouses, allow them to perform their duties, and allow them into lockup areas to take custody of a person being released.

Judge Joseph was aware that there was a Trial Court policy, but she didn't know what the policy was and she couldn't put her hands on. She consulted with a staff lawyer of the administrative office of the District Court who read the Lunn policy to her. Judge Joseph advised the clerk that she would follow the practice established by the First Justice.

Following her instruction, the clerk directed the ICE officer to wait outside the courtroom, and he did.

After the lunch break, the case was called 2:48:13 p.m. The clerk advised the judge that Attorney Jellinek filed an appearance and Attorney Jellinek requested to go to side bar. The clerk asked if he wanted to wait for his client, and Attorney Jellinek said no. So the defendant had not yet been brought up into the courtroom when the side bar conference began. That fact will be important when we get to the testimony of Interpreter Eric Mendoza.

The conversation at side bar, the part of it that was on the record, is set forth in a transcript that the parties have

2

3

5

6 7

9

10

11 12

13

15

14

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

stipulated is accurate. The transcript is

Appendix G, and I direct your attention to

Page 40 to 41. Again, these are the red numbers

up in the right-hand corner of each page.

The beginning just seems to be a slight gap. The next thing that appears is Judge Joseph saying that something is dismissed. There's no dispute that what was dismissed was the fugitive from justice charge. Judge Joseph then said so it's my understanding that ICE is Note that she was the first to mention here. ICE. Then David Jellinek said ICE is convinced that this guy, I went over to ICE, they say there's a biometric match, I went through it and did the research, there's 13 FBI numbers connected to this Social, so something's bad with the, inaudible. My client denies that it's him. ICE is going to pick him up if he walks out the front door. But I think the best thing for us to do is to clear the fugitive issue, release him on a personal, and hope that he can avoid ICE. Judge Joseph responded. The other alternative is, if you need more time to figure this out, hold until tomorrow. Attorney Jellinek said there is an ICE detainer, so if

he's bailed out from Billerica -- Middlesex County Jail -- ICE will pick him up. The judge said ICE is going to get him, what if we detain At this point Attorney Jellinek said, are him. we on the record. The judge said to the clerk, can we go off the record for a minute. The clerk, you will hear from his testimony, was busy doing other things and wasn't listening. He said, what's that. The judge then said, are The clerk said, no, we're on we off the record. the record. Attorney Jellinek then said, can we The clerk go off the record for a minute. turned off the recording system, and Judge Joseph conducted an unrecorded discussion with counsel in violation of District Court Rule 211.

undisputed. Now comes the dispute, what happens in the 52 seconds when the recording was off. Part of what happened is included in Paragraph 10 of the Federal agreed statement of facts. Again, this is Appendix M, Page 163, and it's Paragraph 10, which is being displayed on the monitor. Judge Joseph has stipulated that Attorney Jellinek asked that the defendant be allowed to go back downstairs. That was

essentially Mr. Jellinek's plan. The defendant had to go downstairs to be released out the back, rather than being released from the dock into the courtroom to go out the front door, as Judge Joseph has stipulated, was the normal custom and practice in that court.

What else happened in that unrecorded discussion? You will hear from the people who participated, Attorney David Jellinek and Assistant District Attorney Shannon Jurgens McDermott. Clerk Okstein was present, but he was occupied with other tasks and he wasn't listening. Interpreter Eric Mendoza was not at side bar, but he was able to hear some of what was said while he waited for the defendant to be brought to the court, and he formed an impression of the substance of the conversation.

From the discussion on the record, Attorney Jellinek had reason to think that Judge Joseph was open to helping him with the problem he was trying to solve for his client. Like everything else, that impression factored into what he said off the record. He told the judge that if his client could go back downstairs, he thought he could get him released

through the back. The judge said something to the effect of, yes, that's what we'll do. Based on that exchange, Attorney Jellinek believed that he had Judge Joseph's blessing to proceed as he had discussed with Court Officer MacGregor. The defendant would go back downstairs, and Officer MacGregor would release him out the back of the courthouse.

Assistant District Attorney
Shannon Jurgens McDermott recognized that ICE
was outside her role, and she took no position
on whether the defendant was the right person
for the ICE detainer. She was surprised and
disturbed to hear the judge making suggestions
to defense counsel regarding ICE. She was
further surprised when the discussion went off
the record. That was not something that she had
seen before in a courtroom proceeding.

She remembers the conversation off the record was about what would happen to the defendant with ICE. She does not remember precisely what was said. But she remembers the judge saying something to the effect of, what should we do, and David Jellinek saying something to the effect of, he was all set or I

1 have it under control.

The Assistant District Attorney was uncomfortable with the discussion, viewing the judge's statements as an effort to skirt the ICE officer. She did not interpret the conversation to mean that the defendant would leave the courthouse other than through the main public lobby, because she was unaware of any other way a defendant could be released.

Interpreter Eric Mendoza heard some of the conversation at side bar. He did not hear all of it and he had no knowledge of what was on or off the record. From what he did hear, he formed the understanding that the substance of the conversation was that Mr. Medina Perez was to be released. He heard the judge say words to the effect of, then we will let him go. He was surprised, since he was aware that an ICE officer was waiting to take him into custody.

Judge Joseph has denied that the conversation occurred as these witnesses describe. She has said that what was off -- what was said off the record was the same as what was said on the record. There was no

difference and no purpose for going off the record.

This is the dispute that you will be called upon to resolve, based on the credibility of the witnesses and the reasonable inferences from all of the circumstances, particularly from what occurred on the record.

When we get to closing argument, I will suggest the reasons that you should credit David Jellinek's testimony and not Judge Joseph.

What came after the unrecorded conversation is also undisputed. The recording came back on and everyone went back to open court. This is Pages 41 to 43 of Appendix G. Attorney Jellinek announced, after some extensive research into the FBI numbers and something about Social Security numbers, as well as obtaining a photo from Pennsylvania, we don't believe that this gentleman is the same gentleman as on the fugitive from justice warrant. Note that it's the defense attorney who says this first. The assistant district attorney then confirmed, saying with the information I have, I don't think there's enough

tying him to the Pennsylvania warrant, and she announced that she would dismiss the fugitive from justice charge and would not request bail on the drug charges.

So at this point, it was clear to everyone in the courtroom that the defendant would be released on personal recognizance. But as we noted earlier, ICE was not in the courtroom.

Attorney Jellinek then said, I would ask that he I believe he has some property downstairs, I'd like to speak with him downstairs with the interpreter, if I may.

Judge Joseph responded, that's fine, of course.

The clerk and the judge then proceeded with the arraignment process. Mr. Jellinek then said, all set, Mr. Clerk.

At this point the clerk, who is right in front of the judge, said something that the transcript reflects as inaudible. The judge, responding to Mr. Jellinek's question as to whether the matter was all set, said wait just a second and turned her attention to the clerk, and told her, there was a representative from ICE here in the court inaudible to visit

the lockup. Judge Joseph responded, that's fine, I'm not going to allow them to come in here, but he's been released on this. The clerk advised the defendant of the next date and gave the statutory warning.

Then Court Officer MacGregor asked, he's released, Mr. Clerk? The clerk and Judge Joseph both responded, he is. Then Judge Joseph added, Mr. Jellinek asked if the interpreter can accompany him downstairs to further interview him, and I've allowed that to happen. That concluded the proceeding.

court Officer MacGregor escorted the defendant, Mr. Jellinek, and the interpreter through the dock and down the secure stairway, removed the restraints, and opened the sallyport door, allowing the defendant out to the rear of the courthouse while the ICE officer was waiting in the front lobby.

Over the next days and weeks,

Judge Joseph met first with First Justice

Mary Beth Heffernan, then Regional

Administrative Justice Stacey Fortes, and

finally, on May 8th Chief Justice Paul Dawley.

With Judge Heffernan, she did

not volunteer that she had gone off the record, and Judge Heffernan did not ask, not yet having heard anything to that effect. Judge Fortes had heard that part of the proceeding was not recorded and she asked what happened. Judge Joseph did not directly acknowledge that she had told the clerk to turn off the recording.

What she said in these two conversations was not false. She did not lie to Judge Heffernan or Judge Fortes, but she did not tell them all of what happened. She did not volunteer information that she should have volunteered to meet her obligation of candor.

With Chief Justice Dawley, in her sworn interview with me on behalf of the Commission, Judge Joseph acknowledged going off the record, but denied having anything to do with the defendant released out the back.

The Commission will ask you to find that those denials were false. On that basis, the Commission will ask you to find that she failed to be candid and honest with court disciplinary authorities and failed to cooperate with court administration.

I thank you for your attention.

	Page 24
1	HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
2	Special Counsel.
3	With that, I'll turn it over to
4	counsel for Judge Joseph.
5	MS. MULVEY: Thank you.
6	Do you mind if I move around a
7	little?
8	HEARING OFFICER: No. Of
9	course.
10	MS. MULVEY: Kind of crowded
11	back there.
12	If you were to walk down
13	Tremont Street and take a survey of the people
14	you meet, 100 percent of them would tell you
15	that Judge Shelley Joseph let an illegal
16	immigrant out the back door of the Newton
17	District Court, 50 percent of them would tell
18	you that she's a criminal and should go to jail,
19	50 percent would tell you she's a folk hero for
20	what she did, but 100 percent would tell you she
21	did it.
22	It has become such a part of
23	local lore in Boston that the media for the most
24	part have dropped the niceties of alleged or

charged and they report and make references to

1	this incident as if a dozen people had seen
2	Judge Joseph get off the bench, escort the
3	defendant to the door, give him a hug, and wish
4	him God speed. That's what everybody believes,
5	and they believe it without having heard a
6	single word of public testimony and without any
7	witness ever having been cross-examined. They
8	believe it the based on what the U.S. attorney's
9	office put out in the indictment some six years
10	ago, and that has become established fact.
11	HEARING OFFICER: If I can
12	interrupt one second. Are you able to
13	MR. NEFF: Just when you move
14	closer to the speaker, you're getting feedback.
15	(PAUSE)
16	MR. NEFF: I can't hear it now.
17	HEARING OFFICER: Perhaps if
18	you walked over on this side.
19	MS. MULVEY: Sure. Thank you.
20	So today in this courtroom and
21	this week we are going to have the opportunity
22	to hear all of the evidence, to have the
23	witnesses testify publicly, to be

24

25

not just the 10 percent that has formed the

cross-examined, and to have the full story told

basis for all of the media reports.

Judge Joseph, at the end of this proceeding, will be seen in a very different light than the way she's been vilified in the media, and it will turn out that Judge Joseph that day was trying to respect the rights of everybody before her, the defendant, the defense counsel, the prosecutor, the presiding justice of the Newton District Court, and, yes, the ICE agents.

On behalf the Judge Joseph, we thank you for the opportunity to present all of the evidence and to have the public hear what actually happened.

Now, in many ways, the person with the most knowledge of what happened on April 2nd of 2018, is not Judge Joseph, but Attorney David Jellinek. And there are some undisputed facts about David Jellinek's conduct that cannot be ignored.

Before Judge Joseph even knew that David Jellinek was in the courthouse, he had already made a deal with Court Officer Wes MacGregor. He had this deal that if he could get his client back downstairs, the court

7 8

5

6

10

11

9

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

officer would let him out the sallyport door into the parking lot, that we all saw this morning, and he could make a run for it. David Jellinek, after the hearing, took his client downstairs and he made that happen. There's no dispute about that. He was the architect of this plan.

Now, despite David Jellinek's role as the mastermind of this ill-conceived scheme, he received from the Federal government complete immunity for what he did. And there's no question that he did it. There's a big question about whether she did it. There's no question at all that he did it. He planned and executed this scheme. And yet, while Judge Shelley Joseph has been vilified in the media, David Jellinek has received virtually no publicity, hardly anybody knows his name, nobody's ever focused on what he said or did, and he continues to practice really in blissful obscurity.

There's something else important about David Jellinek, and that is the way in which his story has changed over time, from the time when he did not even mention

Judge Shelley Joseph or her alleged approval of his plan, to a time when he told the Federal Government that he had no idea what was in Judge Joseph's mind, to after this proceeding started, when he finally said to the special counsel, not only did I tell Judge Joseph my plan, but she explicitly approved it. He had never said that before.

Now, from Judge Joseph's perspective, on April 2nd of 2018, she was alone in the Newton District Court. She at that point had been a judge for five months to the day. She had sat in the Newton District Court on handful of occasions, but this was the first time that she was ever there with a full docket of matters and without another judge. She had practiced in the court. She was familiar with the layout and the operations. But there was an important policy that will come into play that she had never heard of.

In the afternoon, Judge Joseph again called the Medina Perez matter, and she had the two lawyers in front of her,
David Jellinek and the prosecutor
Shannon Jurgens McDermott, and they were telling

3

5

8

9

7

10 11

1213

14 15

16

18

19

17

20

2122

2324

25

her that they had now developed or the Commonwealth now agreed that Medina Perez was not in fact the subject of the Pennsylvania warrant. And at that point David Jellinek asked, as special counsel read, to go off the record.

Now, from Judge Joseph's perspective, that was actually one of the least remarkable things that had happened over the The morning had proceeded with last few hours. several calls of the Medina Perez case, and Judge Joseph had appointed Elizabeth Bostwick, who was the bar advocate, the public counsel, for Medina Perez, who was indigent. And she had been doing a good job. She had sent some faxes, she had raised questions about Medina Perez's identity. And right before the lunch hour, she said to Judge Joseph, I have a medical appointment, could we come back at 2:15 instead of 2:00. And Judge Joseph said, trying to accommodate her, of course.

But over the lunch hour, a bunch of things changed. The first thing that cropped up was that the clerk came to her and told her that the Presiding justice Mary Beth

25

Heffernan had a policy that ICE agents were not allowed in the courtroom. Now, Judge Joseph had never heard of a policy like that. She had never seen it as a lawyer or in any other And frankly, she knew that courtroom. courtrooms were public places, and she was concerned about whether she even had the power to exclude an ICE agent from the courthouse. She asked the clerk if she could see the policy, and he told her it wasn't written down. she went into the lobby, she looked through her training materials to see if there was anything that would shed light on this, she looked around in some of the reference materials in the lobby to see if she could find anything, and there was no help to be had. And so she picked up the phone, and she called the administrative office of the District Court and she talked to one of the staff counsel there, and said I have this situation, what do I do. She was trying to be fair to everybody.

And the District Court counsel hunted down this Lunn policy, and she read it to Judge Joseph, and later faxed a copy. And they talked about it. It didn't directly apply to

this situation. And so the counsel advised her, well, it doesn't say you can't, you're the judge, you make the decision. And so, again, trying to be fair and respectful to everybody, Judge Joseph decided that, when in Rome, you follow the policies of the presiding judge. She wasn't about to say, no, I'm going to do that.

And so she said to the clerk, yes, I will follow First Justice Heffernan's policy, please ask the ICE agent to wait out in the hallway. And he did. So that was the first unusual event.

Then the clerk advised her that Elizabeth Bostwick, the court-appointed counsel who had been zealously representing
Mr. Medina Perez, was being replaced by a retained private counsel, David Jellinek. She couldn't figure out how that came about, given that judge -- that Attorney Bostwick had expected to come back.

And finally, when the court convened, she was told that

Prosecutor McDermott, who in the morning had been adamant that Medina Perez was the subject of the Pennsylvania warrant, was now agreeing

that he wasn't the right guy, without any
explanation. And so when David Jellinek said

can we go off the record, that seemed to her not
all that out of the ordinary in the context of

everything else that was going on.

You will hear, and there's no question, there is a District Court rule against going off the record. Judge Joseph wasn't aware of the rule. In practice, she had seen judges go off the record. And you will hear from multiple sources that, despite the rule, some people aren't aware of it, and many judges go off the record for one reason or another.

Joseph, given the posture of the case at that point, sort of expected that maybe somebody was going to tell her what was really going on here, maybe she'd get some useful information.

Certainly there was nothing sinister about the request to go off the record as shown by the fact that the clerk, an experienced clerk readily acquiesced. He didn't wait for Judge Joseph to say turn off the recorder.

David Jellinek made the request, and he complied. And that's sometimes something that

happens in the District Court.

Unfortunately, for Judge

Joseph, those 52 seconds really did nothing to
clarify what had become a puzzling inconsistent
mess in front of her. Yes, there was some talk
about ICE. She had offered Attorney Jellinek
time to do to ICE what he had apparently
successfully done to Shannon Jurgens McDermott,
which is to convince them as he had convinced
her that this wasn't the right quy.

Because that's how she figured he could avoid ICE. If you can convince him he's not the guy, they'd go away, and David Jellinek has done his job. That's what she thought he was looking to do, and that's why she said, if you need more time, why don't we hold him overnight. She wasn't going to put him on bail. She was going to bring him right back in the morning, ICE could come back in the morning, and if David Jellinek hadn't worked his magic to convince ICE that this wasn't the guy, she'd release him and ICE would take him. She was, again, trying to respect the rights of the defendant and of ICE.

But after the 52 seconds,

1-800-727-6396

nothing was any clearer. They went back on the record, and Medina Perez was arraigned. And at that point, as you heard, the clerk reminded her, said to her, ICE is here, they want to go to the lockup.

Now, interestingly enough, that was the Lunn policy, that defendants who came in in custody should be taken into ICE custody in the lockup because it's a securer area, it has less risk to the public than risking a scuffle, particularly when he's got friends there in the front lobby. And so for that reason, the Lunn policy says do this in the lockup. And having just heard the Lunn policy, she was aware of that, perhaps the only person in the courthouse at that point who was.

In any event, still trying to respect Justice Heffernan's policy, she said, yes, they can go to the lockup, they can't come in the courtroom, because we're going to keep that rule. And David Jellinek had asked for permission to talk to his client. Given the complicated legal situation in this -- which this defendant found himself, that seemed to Judge Joseph an entirely reasonable request.

She wouldn't deny him an opportunity to consult with counsel.

David Jellinek asked to consult with him down in the lockup. Well, that seemed reasonable to her as well. There was a courtroom full of people. You saw it this morning. There aren't a lot of places for a private conversation there. And since he was going downstairs to get his property, since she knew that's where ICE should be taking him, again, that's seemed like a logical place for a more private conference.

And finally, David Jellinek asked to take the interpreter downstairs as well. Again, a completely reasonable request, given that this defendant spoke virtually no English. And so David Jellinek, the interpreter, and Medina Perez headed down the stairs through the dock to the lockup.

Judge Joseph thought that her responsibilities with respect to this defendant were finished, that the security people would take over, that ICE would do their job, and that there was nothing more that she needed to do.

She handled two more matters

1-800-727-6396

1

3

5

6

4

it.

ago.

7

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

1617

18 19

20 21

23

22

2425

at the time, they are shaped or formed by what has happened in the interim, and sometimes they are shaped or formed by self-interest.

Fortunately, we have a pretty good paper trail of what people involved thought and did back in 2018, before there was a Federal indictment or investigation, before there was a CJC proceeding.

that day, and she left the courthouse at around

gone out the back door. She knew nothing about

Memories fade, memories are shaped or

formed by what people thought was important back

Nobody told her that Medina Perez had

Now, this was all seven years

And the record in that respect shows the following: The day after this all happened, David Jellinek approached

First Justice Mary Beth Heffernan ex parte, under circumstances that had never been fully explained, and he told her, he talked to her about this incident. He did not at that point say that Judge Joseph approved his plan, he did not say that Judge Joseph knew anything about it.

And Judge Heffernan did not see this at that point as a judge problem. She saw it as a court officer problem, and we know that because of what she did. After talking to everybody involved, she asked the chief court officer, Scott Noe, to implement a new policy that required everybody to go out the front door of the courthouse. Now, again, that doesn't square with the Lunn policy, but there you have it. That's what she did. She treated it as a court officer problem, the court officer did something inappropriate.

Judge Fortes, who was the RAJ, the regional administer justice, didn't see this as a judge problem. She talked with Judge Joseph about Rule 211, and in fact Judge Joseph will tell you that's how she first learned there was a rule against going off the record, Judge Fortes took the rule book and showed it to her and said here it is. And Judge Joseph said, I'm sorry, I won't do it again. And they talked, and they left.

Now, neither Judge Heffernan nor Judge Fortes disciplined or reprimanded Judge Joseph in any way, they didn't report her

to the chief judge, Paul Dawley. They treated this as a court officer problem and a going off the record problem that had been resolved. And in fact, Judge Fortes didn't even tell the Chief Judge Dawley about it for a couple of weeks. And when she told him, and you'll see the e-mail, she said, I know you're going to be meeting with ICE agents in a couple of days and I don't want you to be blind-sided if they bring this up. She didn't see it as a judge problem. She just didn't want him to be surprised if ICE said what about this.

And Judge Dawley's response is telling. He wrote, it sounds as if the court personnel were just trying to accommodate the attorney/client relationship through the use of an interpreter, which is precisely what Judge Joseph was trying to do.

And then you heard there was a third meeting with Judge Fortes, Judge Dawley, and Judge Joseph in Judge Dawley's office, and they talked about the incident, and Judge Dawley's focus was, again, going off the record. He was instructing a new judge, and he said the record is your friend, it will save

you, so don't go off the record. And she said,
I understand, I won't. And again, you will see
their contemporaneous e-mail exchange
immediately after the meeting where Judge Dawley
describes this as a learning experience, and
Judge Joseph thanks him and says she looks
forward essentially to doing better in the
future. Nobody treated this as a situation
where Judge Joseph had done anything
inappropriate other than to go off the record.
Now, it would be easy to see

Now, it would be easy to see this situation as a he said she said situation between Judge Joseph and David Jellinek, because I think you'll find that Mr. Mendoza, to the extent that he wasn't moving over to the dock, really didn't hear anything other than he's being released, which everybody agreed, he was being released.

But there was a third person present at that side bar, and I would suggest to you that what she said and what she did back then tells us everything we need to know about what actually happened and whether David Jellinek actually said I'm going to get him out the back door and Judge Joseph said,

1 yes, that's what we'll do.

Because once that hearing was finished, Shannon Jurgens McDermott walked out the front of the courtroom, she met the ICE agent in the hall, and she stood there and she waited with him. They were waiting for Medina Perez to come out. And she said this is the way out, he'll be coming out this way. And I would suggest to you that if the Shannon McDermott had heard David Jellinek say we're going to get him out the back door, she would not have gone out front and she would not have essentially lied to the ICE agent.

She stood there, and they stood there and they chatted. And after a while, it seemed like it was taking too long, and she asked the victim witness advocate to go down and figure out what was going on. And that person came up and said that Medina Perez was no longer there. And it was then that everybody got upset. The ICE agents were embarrassed or furious, probably a little bit of both, and they went running around trying to find him.

And Shannon McDermott was still there when David Jellinek walked up through the

courtroom and out through the doors into the lobby. And at that point, she noticed that in his briefcase he had a plastic defendant's property bag hanging out. And she looked at him and she said, I know what you did and it wasn't the right thing. He smiled and he walked away. And it was then and only then that Shannon McDermott figured out what David Jellinek had done, not because of something he said at side bar, but because of how things happened, it became painfully obvious to all.

Now, the charges about candor essentially stand or fall with the main charge of what happened at side bar. There really wasn't, as special counsel admits, anything untruthful. Judge Joseph was doing the best that she could to answer questions asked by Judge Heffernan and asked by Judge Fortes, each of whom had different concerns at the time, and neither of whom was asking her did you go off the record or did you let the guy out the back door. She did in fact tell Judge Dawley, when he asked, if she did not have anything to do with it. And that statement, it's true, depends

1	on	what	happened	at	side	bar
---	----	------	----------	----	------	-----

I will ask you, and I'm sure you will, to listen very carefully to the testimony of David Jellinek, not just about what happened at side bar and the way in which that has shifted and evolved over the years, but some of the other details that he has explained at various times that are quite simply not true.

And I will suggest to you, at the end of this case, after you've had the opportunity to be the first person to hear everything about this case, we will suggest to you that there is not enough evidence from David Jellinek to support the charges brought by the SJC to any degree, let's alone by clear and convincing evidence.

And so, once again, in closing, I thank you, again, for undertaking this assignment and for your time, and we look forward to having the whole truth be told.

Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much, Counsel.

Special Counsel, I'll leave it to you to call your first witness.

		Page 43
1		JUDGE FABRICANT: All right.
2		David Jellinek.
3		HEARING OFFICER: You may be
4		seated. First, let me swear you in. If you
5		would raise your right hand.
6		DAVID JELLINEK, SWORN
7		HEARING OFFICER: Special
8		counsel.
9		DIRECT EXAMINATION
10		BY JUDGE FABRICANT:
11	Q.	Please state your name.
12		A. David Jellinek.
13		HEARING OFFICER: Just one
14		second. Sorry.
15		(PAUSE)
16		HEARING OFFICER: Do you
17		need People that are not speaking, do you
18		need them to turn off their lapel mics?
19		MR. NEFF: It should not be an
20		issue. I think it is feedback from the
21		speakers.
22		HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
23		(PAUSE)
24		JUDGE FABRICANT: We'll try to
25		again, see if we get that sound. Sounds okay

Q.

25

So Mr. Jellinek, would you please tell us your

1	professional background.
2	A. I'm a lawyer. I
3	HEARING OFFICER: I'm sorry.
4	Judge, I'm not sure that I'm hearing
5	Mr. Jellinek the same as I'm hearing everybody
6	else.
7	JUDGE FABRICANT: Let's make
8	sure that that's
9	A. I'm a lawyer.
10	HEARING OFFICER: Yes. just
11	stay close to the mic.
12	THE WITNESS: Sure.
13	HEARING OFFICER: That would be
14	great. Thank you.
15	THE WITNESS: Trying to avoid
16	the feedback from before.
17	A. I'm a lawyer. I've been practicing since
18	2000. I went to BC Law school. I did a one
19	year clerkship in Arizona, state court of
20	appeals, and I started with CPCS in 2001, worked
21	in the Worcester office and Cambridge office in
22	'06, and I went to the Boston Police Department
23	working in the legal affairs division until '08,
24	and then I left for the Obama campaign for a
25	month, and then I went out on my own.

- Q. And the CPCS, Committee for Public Counsel -A. Yes.
- 3 Q. The state and public --
- A. State public defender. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And in your private practice, did you also serve as a bar advocate?
- A. Yes. I continued to do bar advocate work, probably half to 75 percent of my practice.
- 9 Q. And are you still in private practice?10 A. Yes.
- Q. And still doing some bar advocate work?A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. And I want you to take your mind back to April of 2018. And I know that that's a little more than seven years ago, so it's a bit of a challenge. But I'm going to ask you a series of questions that relate to how things were in April of 2018.

As of that time, what was your experience in the Newton District Court as to how often you were there, what kind of work you did there?

A. Sure. I was a bar advocate there, which meant that I picked up duty days, which are days when you're assigned and you help out anyone who

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2	
3	

was an indigent client. And I took private cases there. And I was on the drug court team, I believe at that time, which meant every Tuesday afternoon I was in recovery court.

Ο.

A. It was every Tuesday afternoon. It was run by Judge Heffernan most of the time. And you were -- essentially anyone who had a lot of difficulty and needed the extra support, it was like an extra level of probation. And the drug court ran most Tuesdays in the afternoon from 2:00 to 4:00, and essentially the same routine worked with these individuals every week. There was a defense lawyer, the prosecutor sat in, the same clerk, the same judge, and the same probation officer.

Q. And did that team, did that operate in a manner that was a little different from how our formal courtroom proceedings operate?

A. Yes. It was less formal. Oftentimes

Judge Heffernan would come down from the bench
and speak to the clients at the same level. And
it was every week. So there were clients you
saw every week that came in every Tuesday
afternoon. You got to know people a little bit

L	better.

3

- Q. Did you feel that you got to know the judge a little bit than --
- 4 A Yes. Absolutely.
- Q. Okay. Were you familiar with the courthouse staff?
- 7 A. Excuse me?
- Q. Were you familiar with the courthouse staff?A. Yes.
- Q. And were you friendly with the courthouse staff?

 A. Not to the extent that we would go out on our own. But friendly in the courthouse, sure.
- 13 Q. A professional friend.
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you sometimes bring them coffee or other things?
- A. Yes. Particularly on a Tuesday, I made it a point, because everyone had to stay and work longer for drug court, and usually on a first break or lunch I'd have coffee and some lunches, because oftentimes clients in the afternoon, they hadn't eaten or they had to travel for an hour or two to get there.
 - Q. And so the clients in the drug court, just so we're clear, these are people who had been

24

1	charged with criminal offenses that at least
2	there was a determination that the charges
3	related in some way to substance use; is that
4	right?

- A. Yes. And usually they were people that needed sort of extra level of support, so they had already been to programs or they were just in recovery.
- Q. Okay. And were you familiar with the physical courthouse?

A. Yes.

- Q. Can you give us just -- You were there this morning, so you know that we've seen it. But give us just a brief overview of the courthouse.

 A. Sure. There's an entryway that's somewhat modern. You walk through that. And there's a metal detector. Clerk's office is off to the right. The main courtroom is ahead of you a little bit to the left. There's a bathroom to the left and elevator to the left as well.

 There's stairs to go down to probation, all the way to the left, and stairs to go up to the second or third for trial session.
- Q. And those stairs that you just referred to, are those public --

- A. Yes. Those are the public stairs.

 On the screen is Page 509 of the appe
 - Q. On the screen is Page 509 of the appendix,

 Appendix U, Page 509. You also have in front of
 you, you have a binder that has all the
 appendices, and they are -- the pages are
 sequentially numbered in the upper right-hand
 corner.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.

A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

- Q. So let me ask you to look at that photograph and tell us what it is.
 - A. That's the main session of the courtroom.

 The judge would sit up front. The clerk would be at the next level down. The DA just sat on the left and defense would sit on the right.

 That glass box to the left was the dock where defendants would be brought up if they were in custody.
- Q. And let's look at the photograph at Page 511.A. Okay. I can pull up the rest.
- JUDGE FABRICANT: Does that
- 22 have a number?

MR. NEFF: Here.

Q. Okay. What do you see in that photograph?

A. That is essentially taken from what would be

L	the witness stand, if you were doing your
2	restraining order or something like that. The
3	probation desk is just to the right. The
1	clerk's chair is that different color, I believe
5	it's blue, black, orange, and then up top would
5	be the desk, and that side area is where the
7	judge would approach through the swinging door.

- Q. So if you have a side bar conversation with the judge, where would it be?
 - A. It would be at that door essentially on those steps.
- Q. Okay. And let's look at the photograph on Page 508. What do you see there?
 - A. That is -- In the front, there's the bar, so you're standing where the audience would be or where a defendant would stand, facing court, and that's the dock where, so if you were in custody, would be brought in, and that to the left there's is the court officer's desk.
- Q. And there's a door --
- 21 A. Yes.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. -- that's visible there. Is that the door to the dock in front of the courtroom?

 A. Yes.
 - Q. And from the dock, the courtroom to the dock?

1		A.	Yes	•

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. And what is the orientation of that door in relation to where the judge's bench is and where the exit to the courtroom is?
 - A. If you were standing looking at that, the judge's bench would be slightly to your right and the exit to the courtroom would be behind you.
- Q. Okay. Do those three photographs fairly and accurately represent what you've been -- what you've described?
- A. Yes, they do.
- JUDGE FABRICANT: All right.
- And I offer those three in evidence, please.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER: No objection?
- 16 MR. HOOPES: No objection.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER: They're
- admitted.
- Q. If your client is going into or out of the dock,
 is it that door that your client goes into or
 out of?
- A. Depends where they're coming from. If
 they're in the audience, if they are in custody,
 then, yes, they would go through that door. If
 they're leaving the dock, and they're released,

- most of the time, then they would come out that door, yes.
 - Q. Okay. And you've anticipated a question I'm going to ask. So if you have a client who comes into court in custody, and he's in the dock, and again, we're talking about the April of 2018, -- A. Yes.
 - Q. -- your client comes into the courthouse in custody, is in the dock, and then is ordered released from custody, what was the usual practice at the time?
 - A. I'm not a court officer. The usual practice would have been to release them out that door, which is facing the courtroom. Unless they for some reason have property or something like that downstairs, in which case they might go downstairs together.
 - Q. So if somebody needed to check into probation, for example.
 - A. Usually you go out that front door and then down the public stairs, but there is a back way down into the lockup and into probation.
 - Q. And if the person is released out that door into the courtroom, does the court officer then remove the restraints?

1	Α.	Yes

3

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. If the person is leaving, how does the person go out?
- A. Well, usually they remove the restraints in the dock. And when the person would be released, they would walk out through that little gap there, behind which is the overhang to the public area, and then out the back door, which would --
- 10 Q. And were there exceptions to that?

 11 A. Yes.
- Q. On April 2nd of 2018, were you in the Newton District Court?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And do you remember the reason that you went there that day?
- A. No, I don't remember the reason I was there initially that day.
 - Q. And over the course of this proceeding and the series of discussions that we've had, have you made some effort to refresh your memory on that point as to what brought you there that day?

 A. Yes. We checked the court docket from that day and we checked my diary, and I think my computer cuts off the calendar after five years

- or something, so I couldn't see what brought me
 there that day. I don't remember.
 - Q. So you don't remember and you haven't been able to refresh your memory.
- 5 A. No.

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Sometime during the day, did someone speak to you about Mr. Medina Perez?
- A. Yes. Approximately 10:30 in the morning, I think.
 - Q. Okay. And we don't necessarily need his name.

 But who in relation to Mr. Medina Perez was

 that?
 - A. There were two or three people in the audience who approached me, one of them claimed to be his employer, and said that he was his employer and asked what I thought about him and if I could work with him.
 - Q. And did you have an understanding of why the defendant's friends wanted you instead of the bar advocate who had already been appointed?

 A. Not initially. I think Ms. Bostwick's a good attorney, and I told him that, I thought they were in good hands. They said they had seen me argue something and thought that I could do a better job getting their friend out.

- Q. Okay. And just to go back a step. Had

 Ms. Bostwick been appointed to represent

 Mr. Medina?
- A. Yes. She was the bar advocate on duty that day.
- Q. Okay. After you had that discussion with them and you told them that Ms. Bostwick was a good attorney, did you agree to take the case?

 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And did they pay you?

 11 A. Yes.
- Q. And do you remember what form of payment you received?
 - A. I believe it was a check, and I believe it was a thousand dollars, with the discussion that, if I continued to represent him beyond that day, we'd meet at the office and sign a longer term fee agreement.
 - Q. Once you were retained, what did you do?

 A. I went downstairs and I told -- I believe almost immediately told Mr. Medina Perez that I'd be his lawyer, and I began to try to understand what was going on with the case.
 - Q. Okay. Did you get some paperwork?

 A. Yes.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	Q.	And what did you learn as to what he faced?
2		A. Well, he was charged, if I remember
3		correctly, with being a fugitive from justice
4		and with possession of an illegal substance. I
5		believe it was Class B or C. I don't remember
6		clearly. In Newton.

- Q. And did he have another problem that you were aware of?
 - A. Well, the fugitive from justice charge was from Pennsylvania, and it was apparently a warrant for operating under the influence of drunk driving in Pennsylvania.
- Q. And was there another problem that he had?
 A. There was another problem that I heard or learned, that ICE, Immigration, was in the area and apparently he had an immigration detainer as well.
- Q. And did you make some effort to investigate his identity with respect to the Pennsylvania warrant and the ICE detainer?
 - A. Yes. And what began to become clear was that the Pennsylvania warrant was not the same gentleman that was in the lockup. I don't -- I'm not going to refer to him by name because I don't know who was who. But the Pennsylvania

1	warrant, which was apparently verified by
2	fingerprint, the photo and the information of
3	that individual did not match the individual of
4	Mr. Medina Perez who was in lockup. So it
5	appeared to me as I began to look at it that
6	there was some problem in the identification.
7	And whether that was in the photos or the FBI
8	fingerprint database, I didn't know, and I began
9	to look into it.

- Q. And what about the ICE detainer; did you make some efforts to determine whether he was the right person for that?
 - A. Yes. There was an ICE officer in the courtroom, and I spoke to him and I tried to understand why they thought that it was Mr. Medina Perez who they were after and what the situation was. They showed me an administrative warrant. I tried to explain to them I didn't think it was the right person. And if I remember correctly, the officer showed me his cell phone which had what he claimed was Mr. Medina Perez on the video in a detention center or walking through a detention center.
- Q. Did he persuade you?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. Did you persuade him? 2 A. No.
- And after your conversation with him, what did 3 Q. you understand he was going to do? 4
- 5 A. My understanding, that he would be detaining 6 Mr. Medina Perez when he was released.
- 7 Did you have a discussion with the assistant Q. district attorney regarding the Pennsylvania warrant?
 - At some point the ADA determined that A. Yes. it was not right gentleman in custody. In other words, the gentleman in custody was not the same person under the Pennsylvania warrant.
 - And did she tell you what she intended to do 0. regarding the fugitive from justice charge? A. She told me that that would be dismissed.
 - So if the fugitive from justice charge was Q. dismissed, that leaves, as state charges, the drug charges.
- 20 A. Correct.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 21 Did she tell you what she intended to do Q. 22 regarding the drug charges?
- 23 A. Yeah. She said, because he had no state 24 record and because it was a minimal charge, that 25 she would not request bail.

- Q. So what did that mean? She was going to dismiss the fugitive charge. She wasn't asking for bail on the drug charges. What did that mean as to what would happen regarding state custody?

 A. It would mean there would be no state custody and you can walk out.
 - Q. Okay. And you had this conversation with the ICE officer. So what was your concern at that point?
 - A. My concern was they had the wrong guy, that ICE was there for the wrong person. And that was essentially because the Pennsylvania warrant was apparently backed by fingerprint data. But again, it wasn't the right person. So I asked for the opportunity to review the Triple I's, which is the interstate FBI record, and at that point we're only allowed to look at them in the courtroom. I believe Mr. Bostwick had already gotten it. So it was provided. It was at counsel table. And I reviewed that to try to figure out what was going on.
 - Q. And did you have some discussion with Ms. Bostwick?
 - A. Very little at that point. I believe she handed me the materials.

- Q. So you told us about your conversation with the ICE officer, you told us that you were unsuccessful with the ICE officer. Did you have a conversation with Court Officer
 Wesley MacGregor?
 - A. Not at that point. It wasn't until over the lunch break that I spoke to Officer MacGregor, and that was after I reviewed the Triple I and tried to piece together what was --
 - Q. So when you reviewed the Triple I, did that change anything about your thinking as to the identity issues?
 - A. Yeah. It helped solidify them and crystallize that it wasn't the right person, because there were, by my count, 12 or 13 individuals tied to the FBI number. The Triple I has an FBI number and apparently ties to fingerprint data, and there were 12 or 13 identities connected to that, all with different physical descriptions. One gentleman didn't have an arm, one had a huge tattoo on his face, that kind of thing. Where you could clearly see that wasn't

Mr. Medina Perez. So I was very concerned that somehow they had the wrong person.

1	
_	
2	

4

5

6

7

I had also been shown -- I spoke to his friends in the audience, his employer, a birth certificate with a raised seal on it, as if it were an official document, with a Puerto Rican place of birth. So I was worried they were going to take a U.S. citizen into custody, and I knew that it's hard to get out of ICE custody and hard to get an attorney once you're there. So I was quite concerned for my client.

9 10

11

12

- Q. During the lunch break, did you have conversation with Court Officer
- Wesley MacGregor?
- A. Yes, I did. I expressed that I was very concerned they had the wrong guy.
- Q. And where were you, where were you and he when you had this conversation?
 - A. We were in the main courtroom, in that courtroom there. I was sitting off to the right, and he was over by the court officer's desk.
- 22

18

19

20

21

- Q. So does this show where you were?
- A. No. I would have been -- Those chairs that are on the left, there's another set on the
- 25

right.

I would have been there.

- 1 Q. So let go back to Page 509.
- 2 A. I would have been sitting to the right.
- 3 Q. Okay. The counsel table chair to the right.
- A. Yes. Or against the bar to the right, where
 I usually left my bag.
- Q. Okay. And so you had this conversation with
 Officer MacGregor in the courtroom. Was anybody
 else there?
 - A. No.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 10 Q. And tell us about the conversation that you had with Mr. MacGregor.
 - A. To the best of my memory, I said something like I think they have the wrong guy and I'm concerned. He said, you know, is there anything I can do. And I said, I think if he goes out the front, they're going to detain him, and there's nothing we can do about that. He said I'm allowed to let him out the basement if I have permission, basically. I said can we do that. He said if you can get him downstairs with a legitimate reason, I can let him out the back door if it's cleared.
 - Q. So after your conversation -- Is that the whole conversation, that you recall?
 - A. Essentially, yes.

- Q. After your conversation with Officer MacGregor,
 did you have a hearing as to how you might solve
 the problem?
 - A. Yes. I was going to ask the judge if there was any way we could get the gentleman downstairs, I knew that ICE generally in Newton is asked to wait outside of the courtroom in the front lobby, and that if I could get him released downstairs, he might have a chance to avoid ICE.
 - Q. And during the lunch break or at some time around then, did you learn that the ICE officer had been requested to leave the courtroom and wait somewhere else?
 - A. Well, I had been in the courtroom on and off, and I knew they were waiting in the front lobby. I don't know if I heard the judge say it. I don't know if I -- I just knew it was the policy of the court or the clerk said it. But I know that the ICE officers were not at that time allowed to be waiting in the courtroom.
 - Q. And did that affect your thinking about how you would proceed?
 - A. Well, sure. Because if they had been waiting outside or downstairs, I would have wanted to go

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 out the front. The whole point was to avoid 2 them.
- 3 Did you think at that point, with the ICE Q. officer waiting out front, did you think that 5 your client could be released from the back? 6 A. I was hopeful.
- 7 And what would be necessary to allow that to Q. 8 happen?
 - A. That would be the judge's permission and I would need the court officer to open the door. I don't have keys or control over them.
- 12 Q. And did he need to go downstairs? 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Now, we're going to play the recording of the Q. 15 conversation that occurred starting at 16 2:48.13 p.m. And there is a transcript of the recording as Appendix G in that book in front of 17 18 you. I'm going to direct your attention to 19 Page 40.

20 So was the case called at that 21 point?

- 22 A. 2:48 p.m.?
- 23 Q. No. 2:48:13, sir.
- 24 A. Yes.

4

9

10

11

25 Two hours and 48 minutes and 13 seconds. Q.

1	A.	Yes,	that's	when	the	case	was	called
		/						

Q. Okay. So let's play the recording, and I'll ask you to follow along in the transcript.

(RECORDING PLAYING)

Q. We'll stop there. And I'm just going to read the transcript. And I'll let you know that the transcript has been stipulated as accurate.

So what we've heard so far is, Clerk Okstein says to the court officer can you bring up the next, Mr. Perez. Officer MacGregor, all right. Clerk Okstein, judge, the next case is Commonwealth versus Jose Medina Perez, Attorney David Jellinek has filed an appearance on behalf of Mr. Perez. Judge Joseph, good afternoon. Attorney David Jellinek, good afternoon, David Jellinek on behalf of Mr. Perez, may we approach briefly. Judge Joseph, yes, please. Attorney Jellinek, thank you. Clerk Okstein, do you want to wait for your client, we're doing it. Attorney Jellinek, no, side bar. Judge Joseph, we're just going to side bar.

Is that what you see there at Page 40 of the transcript?

A. Yes.

1-800-727-6396

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	Q.	And what occurred in the recording. I think we
2		stopped a little bit before the last couple of
3		lines.

A. Yes.

- Q. But up to where we played, is that what you heard on the record?

 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, why did you want to go to side bar?

 A. Because there were so many complex issues in the case. I don't believe the fugitive of justice charges were fully dismissed at that point, and I thought it required a little bit more of an in-depth conversation, and I wanted to alert the judge that we were going to discuss it in a more complicated way.
- 16 Q. Okay. Let's resume the recording.

17 (RECORDING PLAYING)

- Q. Let's pause there.
- Now that is obviously very hard to understand.

 The volume isn't exactly as we might want it to be. It's hard to understand. But we have a transcript that is stipulated as accurate. You have it in front of you. You do have it in front of you. I want to just read what we've just heard.

So the recording -- This clip begins at 2:48:48. Judge Joseph says something inaudible in the transcript and then says it is dismissed, so it's my understanding that ICE is here. Attorney Jellinek says something inaudible and then so there's the fugitive.

Judge Joseph, if there's no warrant.

ADA Jurgens, yes. Attorney Jellinek, there isn't, something inaudible that we can tie this to him.

Attorney Jurgens, oh, I don't think, I don't think it's him. Judge Joseph, okay.

Attorney Jellinek, ICE is convinced that this guy, I went over to ICE, they say that they have a biometric match, yes, we did the research, there's 13 FBI numbers connected to this Social, so something's bad with the inaudible, my client denies that it's him, ICE is going to pick him up if he walks out the front door, but I think the best thing for us to do is to clear the fugitive issue, release him on a personal, and hope that he can avoid ICE. Assistant District Attorney Jurgens, I don't think arguing ICE is really my -- Attorney Jellinek, right.

Assistant District Attorney Jurgens, my, my.

1		Judge Joseph, something inaudible, and then the
2		other alternative is if you need more time to
3		figure this out, hold until tomorrow.
4		Attorney Jellinek, something inaudible.
5		Judge Joseph, then it's a different
6		ADA Jurgens, there's a detainer attached to my
7		paperwork, but I feel that's separate and apart
8		from what my role is. Attorney Jellinek, there
9		is an ICE detainer, so if he's bailed out from
10		Billerica, something inaudible, ICE will pick
11		him up. Judge Joseph, ICE is going to get him.
12		Attorney Jellinek, something inaudible.
13		Judge Joseph, what if we detain him.
14		I want to stop there and ask,
15		have I read that correctly?
16		A. Yes, according to the transcript I have in
17		front of me.
18	Q.	Now, let's hear the rest of the clip, starting
19		with, are we on the record.
20		(RECORDING PLAYING)
21	Q.	All right. And we'll go back to the transcript
22		because it's stipulated it's accurate. And I'm

before.

23

24

25

going to read it starting with where I stopped

Judge Joseph --

1		Attorney Jellinek says, are we on record.
2		Judge Joseph says, Larry, can we go off the
3		record for a moment. Clerk says, what's that.
4		Judge Joseph, are we off the record. The clerk
5		says, no, we're on the record. And then
6		Attorney Jellinek, can with go off the record
7		for a minute.
8		Did I read that correctly?
9		A. Yes.
10	Q.	I want to ask you, before we get to going off
11		the record, her statements in that clip, she
12		said if you need more time to figure this out,
13		hold him until tomorrow, she said ICE is going
L 4		to get him, what if we detain him. Did you get
15		an impression from those statements?
16		A. Yes, I did.
17		MR. HOOPES: Objection.
18		HEARING OFFICER: What's the
19		basis for the objection?
20		MR. HOOPES: What did he say,
21		what did she say. Why is that admissible?
22		JUDGE FABRICANT: Well, I think
23		it's admissible for multiple reasons. One is,

25

the relationship or his impression to what he

did next and said next, but it's also admissible

because the judge has an obligation to maintain the appearance of impartiality. And if somebody gets an impression that what's going on is not impartial, that's pertinent.

MR. HOOPES: That's a reasonable person standard, not a criminal who's admitted that he did it. This does not go to what a reasonable person would say. This is only what he's claiming.

JUDGE FABRICANT: Well, I'm going to suggest to you that we have a lawyer practicing law in the Commonwealth, practicing law in the Newton District Court. He's making judgments about what he's going to do, what he's going to say, how he's going to represent his client, he's listening to what the judge says, and making his determinations based on the impression he gets. I'm going to suggest to you that it's relevant.

HEARING OFFICER: I understand. I'm going to allow it.

- Q. All right. Did you get an impression from what she said?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And what was your impression?

1	A. My impression was that she also did not want
2	ICE necessarily to pick up the wrong person or
3	pick up Mr. Medina Perez and was trying to find
4	a solution.

- Q. And did her statements affect your thinking about how you would have proceeded?
 A. Not necessarily. I was going to proceed however I was going to proceed, but it certainly did give myself some hope that I might be -- I might have an opening to help my client.
- Q. Okay. Did you think that the judge was sympathetic to your argument?

 A. Yes.
- Q. And did you think that the judge was willing to help you solve the problem?A. It certainly felt that way. It sounded that

way. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And why did you want to go off the record?
 - A. I wanted to go off the record because I knew that the next phase of our conversation and what I was going to suggest or ask for as a defense lawyer was perhaps right on the edge of acceptable or appropriate.
- Q. Okay. Did you -- Were you trying to protect

1	somebody?
---	-----------

- A. Well, I was trying to protect essentially everybody, but myself and the judge, most primarily, because if we had -- if she had said, no, you can't do that, fine, I never said this, she never said it off the record. If she wanted to help me, it was better that that's off the record than on the record for her protection as well. So it wouldn't have changed how I spoke.
- Q. And so my question, if she had said, no, we're staying on the record, what would you have done?
 A. I would have said exactly the same thing.
- Q. Okay. And when the judge told the clerk to go off the record, to turn off the record, did that affect your thinking?
 - A. Yeah. Yes. It made me feel like she might be more sympathetic to hearing what I had to say.
- Q. And what did you say off the record?

 A. I said that I was very concerned that ICE, as I said on the record, ICE had the wrong individual, because this was supposedly biometric data that didn't match up, and that I had spoken to the court officer, and they're allowed to let the gentleman out the basement

- sallyport door, if I can get him downstairs, and
 I knew that ICE was out front, so that might be
 a way for him to avoid getting into ICE custody.

 Q. And what did she say?

 A. To the best of my recollection, she said then
 - A. To the best of my recollection, she said then that's what we'll do, we'll proceed that way or something like that.
 - Q. Did the off the record discussion involve any topics other than ICE?
 - A. Not to my memory.

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

22

23

24

- Q. Was there discussion of where the ICE officer was waiting?
 - A. Yes. We both knew he was waiting out in the front lobby, and that's why I said if we can get him downstairs and out the back he won't be caught by ICE.
 - Q. And how did you know that?
- A. How did I know ICE was in front? Because I
 had seen them there and that was the standing
 order of the Newton Court was that ICE had to
 wait outside the courtroom.
 - Q. And you just said that we both knew. What was it that made you believe that she knew that ICE was in front?
 - A. I believe she ordered them out at some point

L	that day, that they were that she or the
2	clerk had said they were not allowed to be in
3	the courtroom. I believe, best of my
1	recollection, that she knew that and ordered it.

- Q. And what would have happened -- Let me step back a minute. Did you believe that you needed the judge's permission for the defendant to go downstairs?
 - A. To go downstairs, generically, no. I can ask to speak to my client downstairs but -- Yeah. I mean, nothing happens in the courtroom without the judge's say so. So if I want to speak to him downstairs, the judge has to allow it. I don't control where he goes.
- Q. And if the judge had just said to the court, he's released, what did you think would happen or might happen?
 - A. Well, he'd be released out that glass door into the courtroom and he'd have to walk out the main lobby and he would be arrested by ICE.
- Q. Did you believe that you had her blessing to have the defendant released out the back?
 A. Yes.
- Q. And what would you have done if you didn't think you had her blessing?

		rage 70
1		A. I would not have gone downstairs with him and
2		he would not have been let out the back. He
3		might have not collected his personal property
4		or to speak more to him with the interpreter to
5		say here's my card, give me a call, we'll meet.
6		That would be the extent of it.
7	Q.	Now, you went back on the record; is that right?
8		A. Yes.
9	Q.	And at that point did everybody go back to open

Q. Okay. And we're going to resume playing the recording. And this is at 2:50:57. And this is -- And this starts at the bottom of Page 41 of Appendix G. So let's resume playing.

(RECORDING PLAYING)

JUDGE FABRICANT: I'm sorry.

We're going to just pause and go back to the beginning of this clip.

(RECORDING PLAYING)

Q. All right. I think this is audible enough that I don't think it's necessary to read it aloud. We all have it in front of us. But I want to draw your attention to certain things.

At one point, and this is about

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

court?

A. Yes.

1	a third of the way down on Page 42, you said, I
2	would ask that he I believe he has some
3	property downstairs, I'd like to speak with him
4	downstairs with the interpreter if I may. What
5	did you mean?

- A. I meant I wanted to go back downstairs with my client so that he could, essentially so he could go out the back door, have a further conversation, and then he could be let out the sallyport door to avoid ICE.
- Then over again onto the top of Page 43, Q. Clerk Okstein says, there is a representative from ICE here in the court and something inaudible and then to visit the lockup. Judge Joseph says, that's fine, I'm not going to allow them to come in here, but he's been released on this.

Did that exchange give you an impression about what was happening? How did you understand that exchange?

- A. I understood it to mean that she understood that I would be going downstairs with him and that the ICE agents will remain out front and that he would be released from downstairs.
- Q. And then further down on Page 43 Judge Joseph

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 says -- After Court Officer MacGregor says he's 2 released, Okstein says he is. You said yup. 3 Judge Joseph says he is. And then Judge Joseph, Mr. Jellinek asked if the interpreter can 4 5 accompany him downstairs to further interview 6 him. Did you intend to further interview him? 7 A. I wanted to speak to him for a moment, yes, but not an extensive interview. 8
 - Q. Was there more information you needed from him at this point?
 - A. I didn't have a cell phone number for him, I don't believe. He didn't have my card. I wanted to at least exchange some basic information to prepare for the prefile and I knew how to get in touch with him.
 - Q. And what happened next?
 - A. I went downstairs, the interpreter followed us in later, and Officer MacGregor opened the sallyport door, and Mr. Medina Perez left.
 - Q. Okay. When you spoke with Mr. Medina Perez, with Perez with the interpreter, I don't want you to tell us what you said. What I'm asking for is, how long did it take?
 - A. It was very short. 15 seconds, 30 seconds.
 - Q. Then after he left, what did you do?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I went back upstairs.
- Q. And were you done for the day then?
- A. I believe, yeah, I was done for the day. If
 my memory is correct, court was pretty much done
- 5 at that time.
- 6 Q. And did you leave the courthouse?
- 7 A. I did.
- Q. On your way out of the courthouse, did you seeAssistant District Attorney Shannon Jurgens
- 10 McDermott?
- A. I actually saw her in the courtroom on my way
- 12 back upstairs. I looked upstairs. I saw her in
- that main area of the courtroom.
- 14 Q. Okay. Did you have any conversation with her?
- A. Very briefly.
- 16 Q. Okay. And do you remember that conversation?
- 17 A. To the best of my memory, I said what's
- wrong, she said ICE is pissed at me, said are
- you pissed at me, she said something like yes
- 20 or...
- Q. Okay. And when you went out to get to your car, did you see Attorney Bostwick?
- A. I did. We were both walking out at the same
- 24 time.
- 25 Q. Did you have a conversation with her?

- A. Briefly. I had said that I had gotten

 Mr. Medina Perez out the back and he avoided ICE

 and I was pleased, I thought it was the right

 result. And she said she thought it was

 obstruction of justice and she was just dismayed

 and not pleased.
- 7 Q. The next day, were you back at Newton District 8 Court?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. And were you there perhaps, were you there for the drug court session?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And did you have some conversation with Judge Heffernan?
- 15 A. I did.
- 16 O. Tell us first how that came about.
- A. I believe, if I remember right, I asked to
 have a moment with her in chambers, and I think
 I went in before the session started. And I
 told her what had happened the day before, not
 in great detail, and she said she already knew
 about it.
- 23 Q. And how did the conversation end?
- A. It was very brief. I believe at the end of it she said don't worry about it, not your

- 1 problem, something to that effect.
- Q. Was anyone else present for that conversation?A. No.
- Q. And why did you have that conversation with her?

 A. Because she's the presiding judge, and I

 wanted her to know that something had occurred

 in the courthouse the day before and that at

 least some people didn't think it was proper and

 that ICE had been angry with the district

 attorney.
- Q. Did you say anything about going off the record?A. No, not to my memory.
- 13 Q. Why not?
- A. It didn't seem relevant at that point. In the earlier part of my practice, in the early 2000's, that was not uncommon.
- 17 Q. This was 2018. Was it common or uncommon at that point?
 - A. I hadn't been off the record in a while at that point. But in the earlier 2000's, with CPCS, we would go off the record frequently or even meet in the judge's lobby, in the chambers for lobby conferences to discuss cases.
 - Q. What's a lobby conference?
- A. Lobby conference would be, usually the

20

21

22

23

L	defense lawyer, the prosecutor, probation	go
2	into the judge's chambers and discuss the	case
3	and frankly and directly without being on	the
1	record as a way to sometimes sort it out.	

- 5 Q. What was the purpose of that?
- A. Often to work out a plea.
 - Q. And did there come a time when that stopped happening off the record?
- 9 A. Yes. I believe it was 2010, 2012, I just
 10 found out that lobby conferences weren't going
 11 to be allowed anymore.
 - Q. Would that kind of discussion then happen on the record?
- 14 A. Yes.

8

12

13

21

22

23

24

- 15 Q. And did you become aware that in 2015 the SJC

 16 revised Rule 12 of the Rules of Criminal

 17 Procedure to require that conferences regarding

 18 potential pleas occur on the record?

 19 A. Not in 2015. Not in 2018. But I have since,

 20 yes.
 - Q. Okay. In your conversation -- Back to your conversation with Judge Heffernan. And by the way, we talked about the judge's lobby. What's the judge's lobby?
 - A. The judge's lobby is the judge's office.

- Q. Back to your conversation with Judge Heffernan.

 Did you say anything about your conversation

 with Officer MacGregor?
 - A. Not to my memory, no.
- 5 Q. Never?

- A. Again, it didn't seem relevant. I was just trying to give her the basics that something had happened and that ADA Jurgens said that ICE was mad at her.
- Q. And did you say anything about the judge and anything you told her or she said or did?

 A. Not to my memory, no.
- 13 Q. And why not?
- A. Again, it didn't seem relevant. It wasn't part of the core facts.
- Q. Okay. Did you say that you and the defendant were going to probation regarding the Pennsylvania warrant?
- A. Not to my knowledge, no.

of state warrant.

- Q. Would probation be able to do anything for you regarding the Pennsylvania warrant?
- A. No. We would have gotten potentially a
 dismissal on the docket from the clerk's office.

 But nothing from probation, no. Not on an out
- 25

- 1 Q. Meaning a dismissal of the fugitive --
- A. Yes. Correct.
- 3 Q. Okay.
- A. Correct.
- Q. At some point did you learn that you would be subpoenaed to the grand jury?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And how did you learn that?
- 9 A. I learned it from a lawyer who called me one
- 10 afternoon and said he was representing
- Mr. Okstein, who had been subpoenaed.
- 12 Q. The clerk.
- A. Yes. And that he thought I would be as well
- and that I should come speak to him.
- 15 Q. And did you hire a lawyer to represent you?
- 16 A. I did, yes.
- 17 O. And who was that?
- A. Bob Peabody.
- 19 Q. And is he present?
- 20 A. He's -- Yes.
- 21 Q. Did you believe that you would have a
- 22 Fifth Amendment right to refuse to speak with
- the U.S. attorney or to refuse to testify to the
- 24 grand jury?
- A. That is a difficult question. I don't think

1		that what was done was Because at the time
2		was really advocating for my client and, as a
3		defense lawyer, simply presenting what I thought
4		was an argument to help him. It is arguable,
5		according to the Federal Government, that that
6		constituted obstruction. So I was likely to be
7		subpoenaed to the grand jury. I don't think I
8		had been already. And I may have had to assert
9		the Fifth. I may have discussed that with my
10		lawyer.
11	Q.	Okay. If you had concluded that you did have

the Fifth Amendment right to refuse, would you have asserted?

> MR. HOOPES: Where are we going, -- Objection -- where are we going with this?

JUDGE FABRICANT: Well, I think we're going to hear a lot about the letter of immunity and motive and we're going to hear a lot of questions on credibility of this witness, and I think it's relevant, what he did and why he did it, with respect to speaking to the U.S. attorney, testifying to the grand jury.

She can ask MR. HOOPES: that -- She's got another shot.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1		HEARING OFFICER: I agree. I
2		would say let's wait and see and you can
3		certainly cover that on redirect if that happens
4		on the cross.
5		JUDGE FABRICANT: Okay. Thank
6		you.
7	Q.	Did you have a proffer session with U.S.
8		attorney on November 8th, 2018?
9		A. Yes.
10	Q.	Did you do that based on a proffer letter on
11		that date
12		A. Yes.
13	Q.	that you received? And what was your
14		understanding of the effect of the proffer
15		letter?
16		A. The proffer letter essentially, to my memory,
17		said that I wouldn't be prosecuted or what I
18		said in that room would not be used against me
19		but that I could still be prosecuted.
20	Q.	Okay. Did you have a further interview with the
21		United States attorney on January 14th of 2019?
22		A. I believe that was the date, yes.
23	Q.	And did you receive an immunity agreement by
24		letter of January 17th of 2019?
25		A. Yes.

- Q. And what was your understanding of the effect of the immunity letter?
 - A. That I was not being prosecuted for what had happened with Mr. Medina Perez.
- A. As I understood it, the condition was that I had to essentially cooperate with them and speak to the grand jury truthfully and tell the truth on any subsequent hearings or anytime they ask me to speak about the case.
 - Q. Did you believe that you would be prosecuted if
 United States attorney thought that you had made
 a material false statement?
- A. Absolutely.

4

11

12

- Q. Did you believe that you would be prosecuted for perjury if they believed you did that?

 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you believe that you would also be prosecuted due to the underlying conduct if they believed that you did that?

 A. Yes.
- Q. And are you aware that a Federal agent took notes at the proffer session and later interviews and typed up reports?
- 25 A. Yes.

1	Q.	At the time of the interviews, were you given an
2		opportunity to review the notes to see if they
3		accurately reflected your statement?
4		A. No.
5	Q.	Have you since recently in connection with this
6		case had a chance to review those notes and
7		reports?
8		A. Yes.
9	Q.	And did you find some things that
10		MR. HOOPES: Your Honor, this
11		is She can pick this up on redirect, too.
12		JUDGE FABRICANT: I can, but I
13		don't know why I can't do it now.
14		MR. HOOPES: So what's her
15		purpose at this point of correcting things
16		before I've had a chance?
17		JUDGE FABRICANT: I think it's
18		fair for the witness to tell us that the first
19		time he's ever had a chance to look at these is
20		recently.
21		HEARING OFFICER: We've already
22		established that. I'm not sure if there's
23		anything more at this point that makes sense.
24		But I think all of this is certainly gain after

the cross.

		·
1		JUDGE FABRICANT: Okay.
2	Q.	In one of those interviews, did you say
3		something to the effect that you thought that
4		the assistant district attorney didn't
5		understand?
6		MR. HOOPES: Same objection.
7		JUDGE FABRICANT: I want to ask
8		this witness what he meant by that.
9		MR. HOOPES: Why don't you ask
10		him now and then skip the notes and then you
11		come back later.
12	Q.	The question is, did you say something to that
13		effect?
14		A. I may have, yes. I don't have a clear memory
15		of it.
16	Q.	What did you mean?
17		A. I meant that she was
18		MR. HOOPES: Objection. Sorry.
19		HEARING OFFICER: He said he
20		doesn't have a clear memory. He has I'll
21		allow it.
22		JUDGE FABRICANT: Allow him to
23		answer or
24		HEARING OFFICER: Yes.
25	Q.	What did you mean?
	i .	

1	A. What I meant was that she was a relatively
2	young district attorney and she didn't work with
3	the Federal system at all and so she was I
4	didn't think she fully understood after the
5	Federal excuse me after the fugitive
6	warrant was dismissed and after no request for
7	bail on the state cases that there was an issue
8	of how to release the gentleman, and that's what
9	I was trying to discuss with the judge.

- Q. Did you testify at the grand jury on January 2nd of 2019?
 - A. I believe that was the date, yes.
- Q. And was your testimony truthful?
- 14 A. Yes.

11

12

24

- Q. At the time of the Federal criminal investigation and prosecution, did you have any views about what the U.S. attorney was doing?

 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. What was your view at the time?
- A. I thought it was ludicrous. I didn't think
 it was an appropriate prosecution.
- Q. In your view, was your conduct on behalf of your client ethical?
 - A. In my opinion, my job is to advocate for my client and in their best interests, and I had

- done that to the best of my ability. We're
 supposed to be zealous advocates, and that's
 what I did. I didn't think it was unethical and
 I didn't think it was illegal.
- Q. Did you think it was on the edge of ethical?A. Absolutely.
- 7 Q. Is that something you have said --
- 8 A. I have said it in the past. Yes.
- Absolutely. It's on the edge of ethical and it's on the edge of legal. And that's one of the reasons that we went off the record and it's one of the reasons that I think this came up.
- It is. It's a little bit in the gray area.
- 14 Q. After these events, did you continue to practice
 15 in Newton?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And do you still to this day?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. And for as long as you continue to work, do you continue to be friendly with
- 21 Court Officer MacGregor?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Did he retire at some point?
- A. To my knowledge, yes.
- 25 JUDGE FABRICANT: I have

		Page 92
1		nothing further at this time. Thank you.
2		MR. HOOPES: I ask if we can
3		have a short break so
4		HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. I
5		think the normal break we'll have for the
6		morning we can take now. 10 minutes?
7		MR. HOOPES: Yes. Thank you.
8		HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So
9		we'll resume at five to 12:00. Thank you.
10		(Recess taken at 11:46 a.m.
11		Proceedings resumed at
12		11:58 a.m.)
13		HEARING OFFICER: We're back on
14		the record.
15		Mr. Hoopes.
16		MR. HOOPES: Thank you,
17		Mr. Hearing Officer.
18		CROSS-EXAMINATION
19		BY MR. HOOPES:
20	Q.	So Mr. Jellinek, my name is Tom Hoopes, and I
21		represent Judge Joseph.
22		You told us this morning about
23		a side bar conference that you had there at the
24		Newton District Court with yourself,
25		Judge Joseph, and the ADA Shannon Jurgens; is
	I .	

Ο.

24

25

wanted it not out in public; correct?

And you wanted to go to side bar because you

- 1 A. Correct.
- Q. And you wanted to speak reasonably softly; is that right? So the public wouldn't be hearing what you had to say exactly; right?
- A. Well, there's also white noise that goes on so the public can't hear.
- Q. Okay. But be that as it may, you were keeping your voice down; correct?
- 9 A. Yes. Correct.
- Q. And I assume that you wanted the judge to be able to hear what you were saying; correct?

 A. Correct.
- Q. Because that's what you were doing, you were there to try to ask for something; correct?

 A. Yes.
- Q. And so when you were speaking, you spoke directly to her so she could see your face; right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. And Ms. Jurgens was there because she was the assistant district attorney; right?
- A. Correct.
- Q. She had an important role in whatever was going to be said in side bar; correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. The question was, was Pennsylvania going to get 2 him, was he going to be out on bail, was ICE 3 Those are important things to going to get him. you and to her; correct? 5
 - A. Yes.
- 6 And you said in the past that Ms. Jurgens was Q. 7 right there next to you; correct? 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And so right there next to you would have been 10 close; right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And not to intrude any of your space, but if the 13 hearing officer will give me permission, why don't you tell me as I slowly walk towards you 14 15 how close Ms. Jurgens was.
- 16 A. Probably about there.
- Okay. A foot and a half, maybe? 17 Ο.
- A. Yeah. 18
- 19 Okay. So you were talking. We can tell from Q. 20 the transcript that counsel read that she is 21 listening, because she responded; correct?
- 22 A. She responded at certain points.
- 23 Q. Yup. Certain points when it was time for her to 24 talk; right?
- 25 A. Depends on your point of view.

- Q. Yeah. Well, I mean, you never had a sense that
 she was hard of hearing; right?
- 3 A. No, no.
- 4 Q. You talked to her before.
- 5 A. Yup.
- 6 Q. And she understood every word you had to say.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. She was watching you and watching the judge and
- 9 keeping track of the whole situation; right?
- 10 A. Can't speak for her.
- 11 Q. Yeah. But she was paying attention; correct?
- 12 A. I can't speak for her.
- Q. Okay. Did you respond when special counsel
- asked you about the comment from Ms. Jurgens
- saying that it wasn't -- ICE wasn't her issue?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And you heard her say that; correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. So the transcript is accurate; right?
- 20 A. Transcript's accurate.
- 21 Q. So she was listening and hearing certain things;
- 22 right?
- A. At least those things, yes.
- Q. Now, at some point your client was sent
- 25 downstairs, right, to the lockup?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And you went with him.
- A. Yes.
- 4 Q. The interpreter went with you.
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. When you left, everybody else was in the courtroom, remained in the courtroom. Nobody came down with you. Correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And when you were down there, you talked to your client, you said for a short period of time.
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And one of the reasons you wanted to talk to him
- was to exchange phone numbers; is that right?
- A. To my memory, yes.
- Q. Okay. So that you could prepare for the next time he came back; right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Let me see if I understand this correctly. You
- 20 have told us and told many people involved with
- 21 the Federal Government and this prosecutor,
- 22 special prosecutor, that your intention was that
- 23 he would escape ICE; right?
- A. That he would avoid being arrested by ICE,
- 25 yes.

- 1 Q. And so you thought he needed your phone number to be in touch with you to come back 2 3 again if he's avoiding ICE, escaping ICE? A. Yes. Just because someone is avoiding ICE doesn't mean they won't return to court. I 5 expected him to come back on the next date. 6 Ι 7 give all my clients my phone number.
- 8 Q. So you and he talked briefly.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. The interpreter was there.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Officer MacGregor did something at that point;
- 13 right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Opened the door?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | O. Released him?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Did you peer out to see if ICE was out back?
- A. Not to my memory.
- Q. So if the doors for that day show that you were downstairs for about six minutes, what you did you do to fill the time?
- A. I believe that I went downstairs, I spoke to

 my client for a little while, he had to be

- unshackled, then he was released out the back
 door. I probably spoke to Wes for 10 to 15
 seconds, said thank you for the assistance, and
 went back upstairs.
- Q. And you went back upstairs with a property bag in your hand; right?
- 7 A. I don't remember that. I may have.
- Q. Well, but he had property downstairs; right?A. Yes.
- Q. And that's what you told the judge; right?A. I believed he had property downstairs, yes.
- Q. And then you walk back up into the courtroom,

 came up from the lockup up through and into the

 courtroom; is that right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And didn't go to the outside through probation.

 You came right back up the way you went down.
- A. To my memory, yes.
- Q. Now, when you came upstairs, you met with

 Mr. Medina Perez's boss and a friend at some

 point; correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And where did you meet with them?
- A. In the courtroom.
- 25 Q. They were waiting in the courtroom.

- A. To my memory, yes. It was in the back of the courtroom.
- 3 Q. And you had a conversation with them.
- A. I believe it was a very brief conversation, but yes.
- Q. And so it was in the back of the courtroom, isyour memory.
- A. To my memory, yes.
- 9 Q. And where were they in the back of the courtroom and where were you?
- A. My memory is that they had spent most of the session -- Can't see it from there. But if you're walking into the courtroom, just to the right, in the back row or the second to last row.
- Q. And what did you say to them and what did they say to you?
- A. I don't remember specifically, but I think they said something like good job, and I said thank you.
- 21 Q. Had you been paid by that point?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And where was the ADA?
- A. Probably near her desk or leaving the room.
- 25 Q. In the same main courtroom.

- 1 A. Probably.
- Q. Now, you told us that the ADA was angry at you,
 pissed off at you; right?
- A. Seemed that way, yes.
- Q. And she said something to you like, I know what you did and that was not the right thing to do; right?
- A. I don't remember if that's what she said or not.
- Q. Well, you just testified that it was -- I didn't like what you did, that wasn't the right thing.

 Correct?
- A. I think she said something along the lines of, ICE is mad at me.
- 15 O. She said ICE is mad at me.
- A. Something like that, yes.
- 17 Q. And was ICE in the courtroom at the time?
- A. Not to my knowledge. I don't know.
- 19 Q. ICE was out in the hallway?
- A. I don't know where they were.
- Q. And when you saw the ADA, and she said that, did you say, what are you upset for, you were there when we had the conversation at side bar?
- A. I don't remember if I said that or not.
- Q. You didn't say, what are you upset for, I didn't

- do anything wrong, the judge gave me her
 blessing. You didn't say that, did you?

 A. It was not that long a conversation. I don't
- 5 O. Well --

remember.

4

- A. But I don't believe I said that, no.
- 7 Q. Yeah. Okay. Because she was accusing you of 8 doing something wrong. And if you hadn't done 9 anything wrong, that was the time to let her 10 know; right?
- 11 A. Not sure I agree with the question.
- Q. Well, let's go back a little earlier. What
 time -- Can you tell the hearing officer what
 time of the morning or the afternoon did you
 first have a conversation with the assistant
 district attorney?
 - A. Probably around 10:30 or 11:00.
- Q. Okay. So just to lock that in. If I can grab... So today you're telling us that this all happened in the morning when you first met her about 10:30; right?
- A. Could have been 11:00, could have been 11:15.
- Q. Sometime in the morning before noon.
- A. Yes. Probably.
- Q. And the discussion was about Pennsylvania having

- the wrong guy; right?
- A. That was probably the initial conversation, yes.
- 4 Q. You wanted him released; right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. You wanted to address the bail issue; correct?
 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And ICE was looking for him; right?
 9 A. Yes.
- Q. And so what time was it of the day on April 2nd,
 2018, that you and Wesley MacGregor spoke and
 agreed that MacGregor was going to let him out
 the back once he came downstairs? What time was
 that conversation?
- A. Again, that's not the conversation we had.

 He and I spoke over the lunch break, but he -
 That was not an agreement that was made.
- 18 Q. Oh. Well, over the lunch break, between 12:00
 19 and 1:00, between 1:00 and 2:00?
- 20 A. Between 1:00 and 2:00.
- Q. And you were in the courtroom?

 A. Yes.
- Q. And he said -- You expressed to him that you
 were upset about the fact that your client was
 potentially going to ICE custody?

- A. I was upset that, yes, that ICE might get the wrong guy.
- Q. And you suggested that they had the wrong guy;
 correct? You just said that; right?
- 5 A. I don't believe --
- Q. And he said, you get him downstairs, I can get him out the back; right?
- A. He said, if I remember right, if you get him downstairs, I'm allowed to let him out the back.
- 10 Q. And I'm sure at that point you said no way;
 11 right?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. Okay. You didn't say you can't do that, did you?
- A. No. Because I've seen other people released out the back. I knew it was possible.
- Q. Okay. You had seen other people go out the back with ICE in the courthouse?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. Okay. So you told us several times now that you knew that ICE was there; right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And ICE was waiting for him; correct?
- A. I assume they were waiting for him. I didn't know if they were doing anything else there.

- Q. And you're telling the hearing officer that you thought going out the back door to avoid ICE was an okay thing to happen.
 - A. Well, as I said earlier, I thought it was right on the edge of what was okay and it was on the edge of what was appropriate, but it was what I advocated for.
 - Q. Well, on the edge. There's on the edge and then there's over the line. You were going to be avoiding Federal agents; right?
 - A. That was the goal.
 - Q. Thank you. That was the goal.
- A. Uh-huh.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. Now, tell us, when you talked to Wes, and Wes
 was saying I'll get him out the back if you get
 him downstairs, you understood that conversation
 clearly, there was no misunderstanding, you do
 X, he's going to do Y; right?
- 19 A. It wasn't that linear.
- Q. Well, which circle was it? How did it go not linear?
- A. It requires the judge's approval for those things to happen.
- Q. Well, we'll get to that. But you know that, you know, nobody's going to deny a lawyer a chance

- to go down and talk to his client; right?

 A. That's true.
- Q. Now -- So, as I understand it, you talked to the
 DA and then you talked to ICE, I think; right?
 A. Correct.
- Q. And then you talked to MacGregor and said I'm striking out on this; correct?
- 8 A. That's not quite what I said.
- Q. Well, you do X -- I'll do X, you do Y; right?A. It was not quite that simple.
 - Q. Well -- So let's get right to the point. Let's see. You're walking over with the ADA, you say can we go to side bar, she comes with you, you're here, you're talking with the judge, she's listening. Before she walked out, had you ever told her about your recent conversation with MacGregor?

18 A. No.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

24

- Q. Did you think you owed it to her to be honest with her and tell her?
- A. I didn't believe that I owed it to her, no.
- I'm always honest with the ADA, but not when it relates to the advocacy of my client.
 - Q. Okay. So there's two sets of rules. You can be always honest with the ADA sometimes but you can

- be dishonest when it relates to the advocacy of your client; is that correct?
 - A. There are rules and ethical rules about being honest and there are rules about what you have to keep to yourself when you represent an individual.
- 7 Q. Well, --

5

6

16

17

18

19

20

- A. Not dishonesty when it's representing your client.
- 10 Q. -- Mr. Jellinek, can you tell us what rule you

 11 would have been violating that you think is an

 12 ethical rule if you had said to her, Shannon,

 13 Ms. Jurgens, he's going downstairs and then he's

 14 going out the back? What rule prevents you from

 15 informing her of that?

A. That was part of an attorney/client

- discussion and it was part of my thinking of the plan for how I was going to handle the case.

 You don't have to reveal to the DA how you are planning to handle the case.
- Q. Well, MacGregor's not a lawyer; right?

 A. No.
- Q. That was not an attorney/client; right?

 A. No.
- Q. So your plan you claim on behalf of your client

- was that you were going to get him out the back,
 and you needed to tell him, you didn't need to
 tell Ms. Jurgens that; right?

 A. No.
 - Q. Now, you've said before that you thought that

 Ms. Jurgens might have been angry at you because
 your suggestion to the judge was subtle; right?

 Do you remember doing that?
 - A. I don't think I said it was subtle. I think
 I said she might not have gotten it.
 - Q. I think "subtle enough" is the words that you said. In fact, I think, when you spoke to special counsel here, in the very first visit with her -- Does this refresh your recollection? When asked whether he said something to Federal prosecutors to the effect of it was subtle enough that ADA Jurgens didn't understand, Jellinek responded he might have said that. That was your conversation right here; right?

 A. Sounds like it, yes.
 - Q. So you're saying that she's a foot and a half away from you, she's listening to the conversation, but whatever you said was so subtle that she didn't understand what you were saying.

- A. No, it's not what I'm saying.
- 2 Q. Well --
- A. I'm saying that, after she had dealt with the
 matters that related to her, I'm not sure if she
 was as focused on the conversation about the
 Federal issues of ICE. And she said -- and I
 don't remember the exact language -- that's not
 my business.
 - Q. Oh, because sometimes people are focused on what's important to them and what they're thinking; right?
- 12 A. Sure. Yeah.
- Q. But you agree that she's right there when you were continuing talking; right? All about ICE; right?
- 16 A. Yes.

10

11

24

- Q. And in fact, she said not my business; right?

 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, I think you've also said very recently that
 you thought she maybe didn't get it because she
 was inexperienced. Or newish, was the word you
 used. Right?
- A. She was a relatively new ADA, yes.
 - Q. So you hadn't met her and talked to her during your two or three months often; right?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. And you knew that she had been in the district attorney's office now for almost three years; right?
- 5 A. Yes.

16

- Q. And that she had supervised in other courts, up to 10 or 11, ADA's; right?
 - A. I didn't know her report history.
- 9 Q. And she handled thousands of cases.
- 10 A. Probably. Most DA's have.
- 11 Q. Maybe thousands more than you.
- 12 A. I don't know.
- Q. Well, that's not newish and inexperienced, is it?
- A. She was not a Superior Court ADA. She was a

supervisor, alone in the Newton District Court.

- 17 And she said, basically, it's not him, so the
- Pennsylvania warrant is dismissed and we're not
- asking for bail. And at that point, I'm not
- 20 sure she was paying too much attention after
- 21 that.
- 22 Q. So your claim today and prior to today is that,
- 23 if you said something, she might not have got
- 24 it. She might have not have. It might have
- been subtle, she might have been inexperienced,

- 1 you might not have been clear. Any of those;
 2 right?
- A. Possible. She certainly had the right to ask for bail, to ask for the gentleman held if she had any concerns.
- Q. Now -- So you may have had that dialogue with her and then said, what, goodbye?
 A. Probably.
- 9 Q. Well --
- A. Sorry you're upset, have a nice day.
- 11 Q. So when you left, did you leave out the front door?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And today you've got a very clear memory of that; right? I went out the front door. I did, just went right out the front door. Right?
- A. That's my memory, yes.
- Q. And when you went out the front door, where were the ICE agents?
- A. I don't know.
- Q. Well, let me see if I can refresh your recollection again. You spoke to
 Counsel Fabricant, correct, at least twice?
 A. Yes.
- Q. And on December 20th, there's a report. There's

- notes. Have you seen those notes?
- A. I believe I have, yes.
- Q. Oh, so then you know that, when asked, Page 5, when asked whether you had any further
- 5 interaction with ADA Jurgens after coming
- 6 upstairs from the lockup following
- 7 Medina Perez's release, Mr. Jellinek responded
- 8 that ADA Jurgens was in the lobby with the ICE
- 9 agents, not in the courtroom. Do you remember
- 10 saying that?
- A. I believe she was -- had been in the lobby
- 12 with the ICE agents. She was in the courtroom
- when I spoke with her. Best of my memory.
- 14 Q. That's not what you said to Special Counsel.
- And she's a lawyer. You're supposed to be
- 16 truthful with her; right?
- 17 A. Did the best I could.
- 18 Q. And so -- let's see -- today is June the 9th;
- 19 right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. So six months ago, you put the ADA in the
- 22 courtroom -- excuse me -- the ADA out of the
- courtroom and in the hallway with the ICE
- 24 agents, and today you put the conversation
- inside the courtroom; right?

- A. That's my memory.
- Q. And you said they were all angry with each other.
- A. Appeared that way.
- Q. Meaning, somebody was angry with -- the ICE agents were angry with Ms. Jurgens; right?
- 7 A. What she said to me, yes.
- Q. And when you saw Ms. Jurgens talking to the ICE agents, did you see any court officer? Did you see Scott Noe? You know who he is; right?
- A. There's multiple questions there. I do know who he is. I believe he's retired.
- Q. No. My question is, did you see Scott Noe outside?
- A. I don't remember.
- Q. Okay. So when you came out, your memory is that you just saw the ICE guys and Ms. Jurgens;
- 18 right? And they were angry at her.
- A. Seemed that way.
- 20 Q. And you just walked away; right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. You didn't say -- walk over to them and say it's not her fault, did you?
- A. No, not to my memory.
- 25 Q. You let her just be on --

- A. To my memory, yes.
 - Q. You didn't walk over to the ICE agents and say, guys, he went out the back, I arranged for him to go out the back. You didn't do that, did you?
- A. Not to my memory, no.
 - Q. You worked with law enforcement for a couple of years, didn't you? You've been on the Boston PD?
- 10 A. Yes.

3

4

5

7

8

9

- 11 Did you think that you owed any duty to any Q. 12 police officer in the Commonwealth of 13 Massachusetts to be open and honest with them? 14 Like the ICE agents there that day? Did that go 15 off in your head? To explain to them that it 16 wasn't the DA who caused this problem, it was 17 you?
 - A. I'm sorry.
- Q. Let me back it up. You've been in law enforcement before; correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. You've helped law enforcement enforce laws;
 right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Your feeling here was, not this time, I'm not

- going to tell ICE anything; right?
- A. Wasn't my job to tell ICE anything.
- 3 Q. Well, --
- A. That's a different role.
- Q. -- whose job was it? She didn't know that you had planned this with MacGregor; right?
- 7 A. She was, as you said, standing next to me.
- If she had been paying attention, she would have heard it.
- 10 Q. Well, would I be over the top by saying you left
 11 her hanging out to dry?
- A. I don't know how she felt about it. She said she was angry with me. And the next time we met, it was okay.
- Q. So there's a lot of people who work in the Newton District Court; right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And you take great pride in knowing these
 people; right? The clerk, Mr. Okstein, other
 people in the clerk's office?
- A. Again, it's a multiple part question. I take pride in knowing people who work in the court, yes.
- Q. Including the clerk's office?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Including the probation department?
 2 A. Yes.
- Q. You never walked up to any one of those people prior to the afternoon call list and said, ICE is here, you know ICE is here, and I'm going to arrange for my client to go out the back door.
 You didn't do that, did you?

A. Nope.

9

10

- Q. So afterwards you never said to any of those people, I had the blessing of Judge Joseph; right?
- A. I didn't speak to any of them after that, no, not that day.
- 14 Q. Well, before that day in court ended, you saw 15 Ms. Bostwick; correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, Ms. Bostwick was the lawyer who was the bar advocate who was there in the morning; right?

 A. Yes.
- Q. And she's the lawyer that you replaced.

 A. Yes.
- Q. And you knew that she was in the back of the courtroom in the afternoon; correct?

 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. What time did you first notice her?

- 1 A. I don't remember.
- Q. But she was certainly there until you walked out.
- A. We walked out at the same time. I don't know where she was before that. But it was her job to be there that day.
- Q. And as you walked out with Ms. Bostwick, you weren't shy about the attorney/client privilege.
 You told her I just got him released out the back; right?
- A. I said the guy just got released out the back.
- 13 Q. Oh.
- A. I might have said I did. I might have said --
- Q. You don't remember whether you were even truthful with her; right?
- A. (No response).
- 19 Q. So tell us, where was this conversation?
- A. That was in the parking lot off to the side of the building.
- MR. HOOPES: So if the hearing officer can allow me.
- Q. So this is a, this is a little bit of a blowup.

 So this is the back of the courthouse.

- A. Would you like me to point it out?
- 2 Q. That'd be great.
 - A. If I'm right --

4 JUDGE FABRICANT: If the

5 witness steps away from the microphone, he won't

6 be amplified.

3

7 THE WITNESS: I understand.

8 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Hoopes.

9 If you could. Thank you.

- 10 A. Looks to me like that is the Newton District
- 11 Court and that is the police station.
- 12 Q. And where was your conversation with
- Ms. Bostwick?
- A. In this area here.
- 15 Q. So facing the courthouse, to the right.
- 16 A. Correct. From Washington Street.
- 17 Q. And she said to you, you've obstructed justice.
- A. I don't think it was that clear. More
- 19 direct.
- 20 Q. Well, you may have obstructed justice.
- 21 A. Something along those lines, yes.
- 22 Q. And if you may have obstructed justice, then you
- 23 may have engaged in a criminal act; right?
- A. If you obstruct justice, that's a crime,
- 25 yes.

- Q. Now, this discussion with Ms. Bostwick in the parking lot right after court -- which would have been at roughly what time?
- 4 A. 3:00 or 2:30 probably.
- 5 Q. That's a conversation that you never shared with
 6 Federal investigators, did you?
- 7 A. I honestly don't remember. I thought I did,
 8 but I don't remember.
- 9 Q. Well, as a matter of fact, did Federal
 10 investigators, to your knowledge, ever interview
 11 Ms. Bostwick?
- 12 A. I have no idea.
- Q. Did Federal investigators ever confront you or ask you about anything with regard to conversations they had with Ms. Bostwick?

 A. Not to my memory.
- Q. Now, when Ms. Bostwick said that you may have obstructed justice, what did you say?
- A. I said -- Again, this was a long time ago.
- I don't remember my specific language. But I think I said I don't believe I did.
- Q. Well, did you say to her, I made a deal with
 MacGregor to let him out the back?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. Did you say to her, I didn't obstruct justice,

- Judge Joseph gave her blessing? That was the time to tell her; right?
 - A. I don't know what you're --
- Q. Well, did you say that to Ms. Bostwick?

 A. Not to my memory.
- 6 Q. So you got in your car; correct?
- 7 A. I would have, yes, most likely.
- Q. You drove home. Went back to your office.

Which was it?

A. Probably home.

- Q. You walked in the door, right, of your house?
- 12 A. Yes.

3

9

- Q. Did you talk to your wife about what happened
- 14 that day? Not what was said. Not what was
- said. Did you speak to your wife about it?
- A. I don't believe she was home at that time.
- 17 Probably later that evening.
- 18 Q. Speak to your parents that evening?
- A. No, probably not.
- 20 Q. Well, that night, thinking about it, did you
- 21 have any fear whatsoever about what might have
- 22 happened next, to you, being prosecuted?
- A. No. I didn't think I'd be prosecuted. I was
- a little -- I was concerned by what Ms. Bostwick
- said, and I thought what I had done, and I

- didn't think it was obstruction.
- 2 Q. Now, you've been in the Newton District Court
- A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And normally you've been there as a bar
- 6 advocate; correct?
- 7 A. As a what?
- 8 Q. As a bar advocate?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And some private cases.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And it's a smaller courtroom; right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And smaller court generally; right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Fewer cases there than say Waltham; --
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. -- correct? Or even Lowell District Court;
- 19 right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And a number of people share the bar advocate
- 22 assignments; correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. But you're one of a very few people who handles
- 25 the drug court; correct?

- 1 A. I was back then. I'm not anymore.
- Q. Okay. But back then, on April 2nd of 2018, it was you and maybe one other person?
- A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. And being on the list or being one of the two people who would do the drug court gave you extra cases, extra assignments; right?
- A. It wasn't necessarily extra assignments. It
 was to continue the case into the drug court and
 you took whatever was there.
- 11 Q. Now, the bar advocate's pay back then was \$53 an hour?
- A. Sounds about right.
- Q. And you had a case load typically of 50 to 60 cases?
- 16 A. Sounds about right.
- Q. But this -- Newton District Court was what you would consider to be a home court for you;
 right?
- A. It's one of two courts on the bar advocate routinely.
- 22 Q. The other one was Waltham; correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And so the person who's the presiding justice at the Newton District Court would be of some

- 1 importance to you; correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And that was Judge Heffernan; correct?
- A. Correct.
- 5 Q. And Judge Heffernan had seen you working as a
- bar advocate now for, by that time, four, five
- 7 years?
- A. Probably, yes.
- 9 Q. Experience with you in regular cases?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Experience with you in the drug court?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And you were concerned about what she might hear
- about what happened on April 2nd, so you wanted
- go see her; correct?
- A. Loosely, yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. And you wanted to talk to her; correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And you went to see her in the morning, I think
- 20 you've said in the past?
- A. I believe it was in the morning, yes.
- 22 Q. And you believe the conversation took place in
- 23 her first floor chambers?
- A. If my memory's correct, yes.
- Q. And there was nobody else at the time in the

- 1 chambers?
- A. That's my memory.
- Q. And the conversation took place around 8:45before she got on the bench.
- 5 A. That's my memory, yes.
- Q. And you wanted to tell her that -- Because you knew the ADA and the ICE officer were upset, you thought it would be prudent to talk to Judge Heffernan; right?
- A. I thought she should know what had happened in her courtroom because there might have been something she should know about, particularly with regards to the ADA.
- Q. So when you're walking into a judge's lobby,
 ex parte, by the way; right? Nobody else is
 there.
- 17 A. (No response).
- Q. She's somebody you see every day that you're in Newton District Court; right?
- A. Whenever she's on the bench.
- 21 Q. Yup. Which is a lot; correct?
- A. Not necessarily. Just a couple of days a week.
- Q. Well, you're in that courtroom twice a week?

 A. Once or twice a week, yes.

- Q. Okay. Which can be a lot for most people;
 right?
 - A. I don't know what other people do.
- Q. Yeah. So, I mean, she's the presiding justice.

 Did you think for a minute that you owed her the duty to tell her the whole truth, nothing but the truth, so help you God, as to what happened the day before?
 - A. I would have answered any questions she asked me truthfully. Absolutely.
 - Q. No. You're the one who knocked on the door.

 A. I asked the clerk to ask her if I could come
 by.
- Q. But you're the one who made the approach.A. Yes.
 - Q. You said to her -- Quoting your comments to the Special Counsel. Mr. Jellinek stated that he advised Judge Heffernan there was an issue with Medina Perez's identity and he was let out the back door. He added he didn't recall saying anything to her about going off the record. He also added that he didn't recall saying anything about going back downstairs with the defendant. Mr. Jellinek stated he didn't recall saying anything to Heffernan, Judge Heffernan about

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- going back downstairs with him to probation.

 Judge Heffernan told him she already knew about
- 3 the incident and not to worry.

That was what you remembered in sum; right?

A. Yes. That sounds right.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

19

20

21

- Q. So, I mean, we're beyond attorney/client privilege. This is being honest with a member of the judiciary. Do you think that you owed it to her to tell her that you had had this conversation with her court officer about letting him out the back? Yes or no?
- A. She said she already knew about it.
- Q. No. She said she knew about the incident. Are you telling us -- Oh. Are you telling the hearing officer that you interpreted that to mean that she already knew that MacGregor had made this deal with you?
 - A. I don't know what she knew. I -- She said I know about it, basically just go away, and I didn't respond.
- Q. Well, I would assume that you valued her opinion of you.
- 24 A. I do, yes.
 - Q. And you valued whether or not she had confidence

- in your honesty and integrity; correct?

 A. Yes.
- Q. And you know under the Board of Bar Overseers
 ethical rules that you're supposed to be honest
 and forthright with members of the judiciary at
 all times; correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you say one word to her about your conversation with MacGregor?
- A. Not to my memory.
- Q. So when you left that lobby, she may have known that MacGregor let him out; right?
- A. She may have.
- Q. But she didn't know that you were the mastermind of the whole thing; right?
- A. I don't follow the question. She knew what she knew. I don't know what else she knew.
- Q. You didn't tell her I had the conversation with

 MacGregor, we agreed my client was going out the

 back. You didn't tell her that.
- A. I don't remember. I don't believe I did.
- Q. I mean, you wouldn't quarrel with me about
 mastermind; right? That's -- I mean, it's easy
 to look online, that somebody controlled it,
 planned it, directed it. That was you; right?

- 1 A. It was my idea.
- 2 Q. Yeah.
- A. And I argued for my client.
- Q. And you got a BA and a JD. And you'd never say that MacGregor was the mastermind, would you?
- A. No, probably not.
- Q. Okay. I mean, certainly, you certainly didn't say, you certainly didn't say to
 Judge Heffernan, oh, by the way, Judge Joseph blessed it. You never said that; right?
- A. Not to my memory, no.
- Q. And in fact, you came back to that same
 courthouse on May the 29th, right, for the next
 date on Medina Perez?
- 15 A. Yeah. Probably had a few other cases on that day, too.
- Q. And Judge Heffernan was in the courtroom?A. I don't remember.
- Q. But you never told any judge who was sitting
 that day, when Medina Perez didn't show up, that
 you knew everything that had happened, you knew
 why he wasn't there, you were involved in it.
 Anything. Right?
- A. Again, it's a bit of a compound question.

 But no. I assumed he was either going to return

- for court or wasn't, and I don't know whether he
 was in ICE custody or whether he was simply not
 coming to court in the fall.
- Q. So you had conversations I assume on that same
 day when you back in court on April 3rd, the
 next day after April 2nd, with other people
 because you were in court on other cases; right?

 A. I believe I did, yes.
 - Q. So you would have talked to people in the clerk's office probably?
- A. Probably spoke to the clerk of the courtroom,

 yes.
- Q. Yeah. Spoke to the people in probationprobably; right?
- A. Probably, yes.
- Q. Nobody talked about this, this disappearance outthe back door with your client.
- 18 A. No.

- 19 Q. And you didn't initiate it; correct?
- A. Not to my memory, no.
- Q. Now, tell us, from the time you left the
 courthouse on April 2nd until
 Wesley MacGregor -- the courthouse -- got a
- grand jury subpoena, did you have conversations
 with Mr. MacGregor about this incident?

- A. Potentially brief ones, yes. I might have thanked him or asked if that was -- if he was okay.
- Q. Well, you knew he had a court appearance for -grand jury appearance; correct?
- A. It was before I found out about the grand jury subpoena, yes.
- Q. No. I said between. Yeah. Well -- And you
 knew he got a subpoena; right?
- 10 A. At some point I found out, yes.
- Q. And how long before the subpoena was returnable?

 A. I have no idea.
 - Q. So if you were to answer how many times you talked to Wesley MacGregor between April 2nd, when you left and when you found out that there was a grand jury subpoena for him, how many times did you talk to him?
 - A. In general or about this?
- 19 Q. About this.

14

15

16

17

- A. Maybe twice.
- Q. And what was your conversation?
- A. What I just said, I probably thanked him at one point. And then when I found out that ICE was upset or there might be things happening, I asked him if he was okay in his job.

- Q. Now, I assume you were sort of tracking this grand jury; right? I mean, you knew MacGregor had gone in.
 - A. I didn't know when he went in.
- 5 Q. But you knew somebody hit him with a subpoena.
- A. Yes.

- Q. And it couldn't have been a mystery to you as to what the subpoena was for.
- 9 A. I was told it was for this case.
- Q. So if they're going to subpoena MacGregor, then it's very possible somebody's going to subpoena you.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. So were you tracking other people in the courthouse who got subpoenaed before you did?
- A. I had no access to any grand jury. I don't what you mean by "tracking."
- Q. Did you know that Scott Noe got a grand jury subpoena?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. Did you know that Mike Walsh got a grand jury subpoena?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Did you know that Clerk Okstein got a grand jury subpoena?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. When was that?
- A. I don't remember.
- 4 Q. Before his attorney had called you.
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. When his attorney called you.
- 7 A. When...
- Q. So you go in to see, you go in to see Federalauthorities on or about November 8th, 2018;
- 10 correct?
- 11 A. Yes. That sounds right.
- 12 Q. And so if this event happened on April 2nd, and
- if MacGregor was in testifying in the middle of
- 14 July --
- A. I don't know when he testified.
- 16 Q. But you knew he got a subpoena to go testify.
- 17 A. I was told he did, but I don't know when it
- was for or when he testified.
- 19 Q. How'd it make you feel?
- A. When he got a subpoena?
- 21 Q. Yeah.
- 22 A. I was upset. I didn't like the idea. I
- 23 liked the guy. Didn't think it was fair.
- Q. Well, as we said, you must have been thinking
- 25 they were coming for you, too; right?

- A. I didn't have a fear for my own subpoena
 until I spoke to the attorney who called me,
 after he had spoken with Mr. Okstein.
- Q. And that was maybe two weeks before
 November 8th; correct?
- A. I don't remember the timeline, but probably two weeks to a month before, yeah.
- 8 Q. And when the attorneys spoke to you, he was 9 calling to tell you that they were looking --10 the Federal authorities were looking to subpoena 11 you; right?
- A. He mentioned that I might be a target and I should get a lawyer.
- Q. And I assume at that point you began to be concerned; right?
- 16 A. Yes. Sure.
- Q. And in fact, you called the lawyer; right?

 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Mr. Peabody, right?
- A. Correct.
- 21 Q. Who was a friend; right?
- 22 A. Good mentor of mine. Yes.
- Q. And based on what you heard from Mr. Okstein's lawyer, you were afraid of potential jail time; right?

- A. Not in that moment, no.
- Q. Well, would it be fair to say that you talked to some people in town? Right? Lawyers who are friends?
- 5 A. I spoke to Mr. Peabody.
- 6 Q. You talked to nobody else.
- A. Not to my memory, no. I did speak to one

 other lawyer who I knew and gave a brief rundown

 of the situation. I didn't talk about my role

 in it. She quoted me her price. And I called

 Mr. Peabody. I was closer with him.
- Q. So you talked to Mr. Peabody. As far as you know, Mr. Peabody contacted Federal authorities;
 correct?
- 15 A. That's my understanding.
- Q. And then arranged for you to go have a conversation with them; correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- Q. And you went in to speak with them pursuant to what's called a proffer letter; right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Or sometimes queen for a day letter; right?
 Which is you --
- A. Never heard it referred to that.
- MR. HOOPES: So pop that up.

- So can we go to the second page? Yeah.
- Q. So it's a two-page letter; right?
- 3 A. One page of substance. Yes.
- Q. Two-page letter. And your signature's on the second page; right?
- A. Yeah.
- 7 Q. And that's your signature?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. That's Mr. Peabody's signature?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And I'm sure that the Federal prosecutors ran
- 12 through the letter with you before they started
- 13 talking; right?
- A. To my memory, yeah.
- 15 Q. So they said that you needed to give an accurate
- and complete proffer; right?
- 17 A. They -- Yeah. That's the goal. As complete
- as I could.
- 19 Q. And then you spoke to them.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And then you went back and you spoke to them a
- 22 second time; is that right?
- A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. And that would have been January 15th, I think
- 25 special counsel referenced, correct?

- A. 14th or the 15th. Yes.
- Q. And that is pursuant to the proffer letter. The same terms; right?
- A. I believe so, yes.
- 5 Q. Have to be accurate, detailed, and complete.
- A. I'm not sure that it says that in the proffer letter, but that was the intent.
- Q. And then your grand jury testimony was nine days later, on January the 24th; right?
- 10 A. Sounds right.
- 11 Q. And before you went in for the grand jury
 12 testimony, you got what we call letter of
 13 immunity; correct?
- 14 A. Say that again.
- Q. You got what's called letter immunity; right?
- A. Yeah. I received an immunity letter. Yes.
- 17 Q. An immunity letter. And that letter is a little
- more detailed. Again, you have to give
- 19 complete, truthful information.
- A. I believe it's to be honest to the best of your ability.
- Q. Well, let's go to Paragraph 1. See that?
- 23 Second sentence. You must provide complete and
- 24 truthful information --
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. -- to all law enforcement personnel. If his testimony is requested, he must testify
- 3 truthfully and completely before any grand jury.
- 4 Correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. The next sentence. You can't withhold any information; correct?
- A. That's what it says in the letter, yes.

 That's what I signed.
- 10 Q. And you must not attempt to protect any person
 11 or entity through false information or
 12 admission. Correct?
- A. Correct.
- 14 Q. Including yourself.
- A. That's the idea of the immunity letter, yes.
- Q. So is it fair to say, sir, that before you went in to speak to them on November 8th, you were
- 18 afraid of doing jail time?
- A. No. It really hadn't -- Jail hadn't occurred
- 20 to me at that point. I was more worried about
- 21 the subpoena and career issues.
- 22 Q. Career issues. Meaning, losing your livelihood.
- A. Possibly. That was my biggest worry at that point, yes.
- Q. Not being able to support your family.

- 1 A. Correct.
- Q. But I'm sure that you knew at some point before you were immunized that they were investigating Federal crimes; right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Federal crimes that were punishable by incarceration in a Federal prison; right?
- A. In the statute, yes, it's punishable by prison.
- Q. Yeah. In fact, one of the indictment counts was punishable by 20 years; right?
- 12 A. To my memory, yes.
- Q. Conspiracy, five years; right?
- A. I'm not an expert in those statutes. But yes, I believe so.
- Q. So for you to get this get out of jail free card, this immunity letter, you needed to have something to offer them; right?
- A. Yes. I'd offer my truthful testimony.
- Q. Okay. You were going to tell them that you were the mastermind; right?
- A. I was going to tell them that it was my idea, yes.
 - Q. In return for something, they were going to give you a complete pass. When I say "complete

1	pass," you understand, before we finish that
2	question, that there were all kinds of ways that
3	they could have rewarded you but for your
4	attorney's efforts, they could have done what's
5	called a 5K, plea, and do a reduced sentence.
6	You understand that; right?

- 7 A. We didn't discuss those things.
- Could have been placed on probation; correct? 8 Q. A. I assume that's an option, yes.
- But you didn't get either of those. 10 Q.
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. You got complete immunity.
- 13 A. Yes.
- Did anybody explain to you how rare it is for 14 Q. 15 the mastermind of any crime Federally to ever be 16 immunized, --
- 17 A. No.
- -- as in testify against somebody else? 18 Q. 19 JUDGE FABRICANT: Can we have 20 one person speaking at a time, please.
- 21 What were you going to say? Q. Go ahead.
- 22 A. I was going to say no, I never had that 23 conversation.
 - So the only thing that you needed to give them Q. really was the judge blessing it in those

52 seconds; right?

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

14

15

16

17

- A. Not sure I follow the question.
 - Q. Well, they had a tape that had before the 52 seconds, they had a tape that had the after the 52 seconds. The only piece of evidence that you could provide them that they didn't have on tape was your version of what happened in those 52 seconds; right?
 - A. There were other things we discussed. But yes. That was the core --
- 11 Q. That's the core. Correct. And so they traded 12 immunity for you for the core, which was those 13 52 seconds; right? Yes?
 - A. If you'd like to phrase it as a trade, sure.
 - Q. Did you tell them or did they tell you that

 Ms. Jurgens was there and didn't know anything

 about that this guy was going to go out the

 back?
- A. Not to my memory, no. We didn't discuss that.
- Q. I mean, she's the only other witness to the 52 seconds; right?
- A. Not the only other witness, no.
- 24 Q. Oh. Well, clerk Okstein?
- 25 A. Correct. And Judge Joseph.

- 1 Q. And Judge Joseph.
- Now, you sort of quickly discussed with us this morning that fee arrangement which you had with the boss of Mr. Medina Perez. Do you remember that? Right? You made a fee arrangement with him; right?
- 7 A. With his employer, yes.
 - Q. Yeah. And in that fee arrangement, you said that you were going to be paid a thousand dollars; right?
- 11 A. Correct.

9

- Q. And you said this morning and you said previously that the employer paid you by check; right?
- A. That's my memory of it, yes.
- 16 Q. Now, did the U.S. attorney's office ever ask you
 17 for your checks to confirm that?
- A. Not to my memory, no.
- Q. Did they ever say what's my bank account -what's your bank account?
- A. Not to my memory, no.
- Q. And you told them about that information pretty
 early on. I mean, you talked to them about that
 in January before you went into the grand jury;
 right? You said the employer paid you by check;

- 1 right?
- A. Again, that's my memory of it and that's my memory of what I told them.
- Q. And then, in fact, you went in to the grand jury and testified a few days later; right?
- A. That's my memory, yes.
- Q. And so when you go in front of a grand jury,
 13 to 23 people in a room; right?
- 9 A. It was -- Yeah. There were a lot of people in the room.
- Q. And it's you along with the prosecutors; right?

 A. Yes.
- Q. Mr. Chow was with you; correct?

 A. Yes.
- Q. And you raised your right hand and you swore to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, just like you did today; right?

 A. Yes.
- Q. And you told them that the boss paid you right there by check.
- A. That was my memory of it, yes.
- Q. Now, on December the 20th of 2024, just six
 months ago, you went and spoke in person with
 Attorney Fabricant, did you not?
- A. No. The judge and I spoke via Zoom.

- Q. Oh, by Zoom. Okay. And was Mr. Neff there?

 A. I believe so, yes.
 - Q. And whether or not it was by Zoom or in person, you understood that she was asking you questions and expecting that you were going to tell her the truth.

A. Yes.

- Q. The whole truth and nothing but the truth.

 A. To the best of my recollection, yes.
 - Q. So on Page 2 of the report, it says Mr. Jellinek stated that they gave him a thousand dollars in cash for his work that day and that he planned to work out the details of his ongoing representation later. In fact, they followed up and they said, when asked whether went before the Federal grand jury, he testified that the people who hired him wanted him to get Medina Perez out of custody, and they paid him a thousand dollars, and he said yes. When asked whether they said person paid by check, he responded yes.

So six months ago you told the former chief justice of the Massachusetts

Trial Court that it was by check.

A. Well, I was -- Yeah.

- 1 Q. And then cash; right?
- A. My memory six months ago was not as clear as

 it was in the grand jury. When she refreshed my

 memory as to what I said in the grand jury, that

 made sense.
 - Q. Well, when she refreshed your memory. You said cash. And in fact, you promised to go back to your bank records to confirm whether the payment you received was check or cash, and you said I'm waiting on the bank for the 4/20/18 statement.
 - A. Correct.
- 12 Q. And so that would have been two months ago.
- 13 A. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

24

- 14 Q. And I take it, you hadn't gotten that statement;
 15 right?
- 16 A. No, I have not.
- Q. That's the same statement that the Federal
 authorities didn't ask you for back then seven
 years ago; right?
- A. They didn't ask me for anything about my banking, no.
- Q. And you're not denying that you said it was cash when you talked to the special prosecutor.
 - A. When I spoke to special prosecutor, I didn't say cash at first, yes.

- Q. So your attorney's here in the courtroom today.

 A. Yes.
 - Q. You know with him or without him that you have an obligation in front of this hearing officer to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; right?
- 7 A. That's the oath I took, yes.
- Q. And if you got cash, that's not what you toldthe grand jury.
 - A. No. I told the grand jury I got a check.
- Q. Because if it was cash, then you would have committed perjury.
- A. I don't believe so, no.
- Q. Well, if it was cash, and you told them it was a check, that's not perjury?
- A. I believe that would have been a mistake.
- And I believe it was by check. My memory was
 not as clear seven years after the fact when I
- 19 first spoke to Judge Fabricant.
- Q. So, I mean, it's kind of an important thing;
 right? You kind of want to be able to say I got
- paid X amount of money, that's all it was worth,
- and you should believe me. Right? I mean, I'm
- assuming you had that check, if you actually had
- one, back in November of 2018 when you first

4

5

6

- talked to Federal authorities; right?
- A. When you say I had the check, what do you
- 3 mean?
- 4 Q. You would have gotten it back from the bank.
- 5 A. No. I --
- Q. You would have bank statements that had the deposit on it; right?
- A. If they asked for it, I'm sure I could have found it.
- 10 Q. So did you deposit the check?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Did you declare the check?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Did the Federal authorities ask you to account
- for that particular check in any documents that
- 16 you had reporting your income?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. So without the check, we have no way of knowing
- if it was a thousand dollars or \$10,000; right?
- A. No, you do not.
- 21 Q. We don't know whether you took all the money or
- you split the money, some of it to somebody
- else; right?
- A. I, I -- You don't -- I don't know what you
- 25 know or don't know. I know what I testified to.

		Page 147
1	Q.	Well
2	_	MR. HOOPES: Want me to keep
3		going?
4		HEARING OFFICER: I think we're
5		to the point where we could take a lunch break,
6		if now's a good point from your perspective?
7		MR. HOOPES: I think, at the
8		risk of holding everybody up, I'd say yes.
9		Thank you. Yeah.
10		HEARING OFFICER: It would be
11		best to take a break now?
12		MR. HOOPES: I think so.
13		HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Is
14		that okay from your perspective, Special
15		Counsel?
16		Okay. So we're going to take
17		our lunch break. I'd ask the witness,
18		Mr. Jellinek, not to speak to anybody about your
19		testimony or about this hearing in general
20		during this break.
21		THE WITNESS: Yes.
22		HEARING OFFICER: And we're
23		going to resume again at 2:00. Thank you All.
24		(Luncheon recess taken at
25		1:03 p.m.

		Page 148
1		Proceedings resumed at
2		2:00 p.m.)
3		HEARING OFFICER: So we're back
4		on the record.
5		Back to you, Mr. Hoopes.
6		MR. HOOPES: Thank you very
7		much, Mr. Hearing Officer.
8	Q.	So at the end of the morning, just before lunch,
9		you told us that it wasn't cash but it was a
10		check; right?
11		A. I told you that was my memory, and that's
12		what I told the grand jury, and that's the best
13		of my recollection, yes.
14	Q.	Well But as we've been over, you spoke to
15		special counsel on December 20th of 2024, just
16		six months ago; correct?
17		A. Yes.
18	Q.	Okay. And you said to the special counsel that,
19		in the first interview meeting with Federal
20		authorities, you didn't have immunity, that it
21		was a proffer session, you explained you did not
22		feel entirely comfortable, and was concerned at
23		the time of the November 28th proffer meeting
24		that you'd be indicted. You said that to them;
25		correct?

- A. That was a long question. But I believe
 you're asking if I said I was worried at the
 proffer meeting that I could be indicted.
 - O. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

- A. And that is correct. Yes. Of course. I

 didn't have immunity. I had no proffer letter.
 - Q. Well, you went on to add that you weren't comfortable and that the only reason you could have hedged on your answers to the prosecutor during that meeting about cash versus check was because you didn't have immunity.
 - A. I don't believe that I said I hedged on that particular point. I may have not remembered exactly what I said to the special counsel.
 - Q. Well, you used the word "hedge"; right?

 A. I just said I don't remember my exact

 language to special counsel.
 - Q. But you wouldn't have used the word "hedging" with Federal prosecutors unless you had something specific in your mind; correct?

 A. No.
- Q. Well, would you share with us what you thought you hedged on?
 - A. I honestly don't know exactly what you're talking about at this point.

- Q. Well, on Page 5 of your interview with
 special counsel, you said the only reason you
 could think that you would have hedged on your
 answers to prosecutor during that meeting, that
 first meeting, was that you didn't have
 immunity.
 - A. Yes. The only reason that I could think that I might have hedged about anything. That doesn't mean I did or that I didn't. The only thing I can think of in speaking to the judge when she asked for the first time, said I might have hedged when I didn't have a proffer letter, but I don't believe I did.
 - Q. Just to make it clear. So you want to go back from having received cash and making, today in front of the hearing officer, you want to make it, it was a check; right?
 - A. To the best of my recollection, that's what I said at the grand jury, which was nearer in time. So yes, I believe it was a check.
 - Q. So what was it that you saw denotes to the prosecutor that Judge Fabricant referred to this morning that you didn't agree with? She asked you a question. She said denotes notes and you didn't agree with them.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I honestly don't remember what she was
 referring to. There may have been a moment when
 the FBI agent wrote something down that was
 different than what I said. I don't remember.
 - Q. So now going back to the afternoon session of April 2nd, 2018. That was the first time that you had contact that day with Judge Joseph; correct?
 - A. I don't remember. I may have had -- I may have appeared before her in the morning. I don't remember.
 - Q. And so you had known Judge Joseph a little bit when you both were in private practice, -A. Yes.
- 15 Q. -- as much?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

24

- 16 A. A little bit.
- 17 Q. You had been out to coffee with her once to get 18 some advice on something?
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. And you had seen her around a handful of times prior as when she was the judge.
- A. That's correct. And the coffee was a follow-up to an MCLE that she and I went to.
 - Q. And so she was somebody that, you know, would smile at you and say hello when she saw you?

- 1 A. As much as I remember, yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, you told us that, this morning that the point of release for people in custody, who are released in custody would be out the front, except if they had property; right?
- A. Not necessarily only if they had property.
- 7 Q. Yes. Only if they had property.
- A. No. There might be other reasons --
- 9 Q. So going down the stairs was not that unusual;
 10 right?
- 11 A. No.
- Q. And you were familiar with the Lunn policy at that point, were you not?
- A. No, I wasn't.
- 15 Q. Okay. So --
- MR. HOOPES: Can we call up...
- Q. Okay. So what you're looking at is the Lunn policy. And it's from stipulations here by counsel. And it's stipulation -- It's Appendix B. And I'm assuming it's been moved into evidence.
- 22 And so you know that there was 23 a case called Lunn back in July of 2017.
- 24 A. Yes.
 - Q. And the Trial Court made efforts to put that

- case into effect by developing standards.
 - A. I -- No, I didn't know. Not a judge.
 - Q. So looking at this, this is the first time that you've seen this thing called the Lunn policy.
 - A. I may have seen it once or twice before, but
 I don't -- I haven't memorized it, no.
 - Q. So if we go to APP007, at B, and we go down to Subsection A where it says, individuals over whom the Trial Court has custody, and then it says, consistent with Chapter 2, Section XIV and XV of the Court Officer Manual, when an individual is brought into court in custody is subject to release after his or her court proceeding, court security personnel shall process that individual out of lockup in the normal course regardless of whether the individual is the subject of a civil immigration detainer or warrant. Did you read that?

 A. That's what it says, yes.
 - Q. So that means that regardless of whatever deviant practice or practice deviating from this was going on in Newton District Court, that under the Lunn policy, your client was supposed to go downstairs no matter what. Did you realize that?

- 1 A. I'm not sure I follow your question.
- Q. So your client was brought in to the
 Newton District Court from the Newton Police
 Department; correct?
- 5 A. To my knowledge, yes.
- Q. And since he was on a -- being detained at least on a warrant, among other things, he would have been brought in in custody; correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And he would have been brought in the back door through the sallyport area; correct?
- 12 A. Yup.
- 13 Q. And placed in lockup.
- 14 A. Yup.
- Q. So technically, he was brought into court in custody; right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Well -- So this policy, which is the Lunn policy, which governs all the courts in Massachusetts as of November 2017, required that defendants who came into custody -- came into the courtroom and courthouse in custody, go out again the way they came in, through the lockup.
- A. Again, I'm not a judge to know about what it is.

- Q. Okay. But if I'm correct, then there was no magic in Judge Joseph sending him downstairs; correct? That's where he was supposed to go.

 A. Not necessarily. That's not where a lot of the releases happened.
- Q. Well, if he was -- Not where they happen. Where he was supposed to go, would have been downstairs; right?
 - A. What I'm telling you is I don't know where he was going to go. I'm not a court officer or a judge.
 - Q. Okay. But in your head, you thought you had to do something, like ask in order for him to go downstairs. Is that right?
 - A. Because I had seen a lot of individuals released directly out of that glass portion in the courtroom. Yes, upstairs. Released out of the glass portion upstairs. And so I felt I needed to arrange for him to be back downstairs.
 - Q. Well, but not when ICE was involved; right? I mean, the Lunn policy is specifically addressing people who come in in ICE.
 - A. Again, I don't know the policy. I had nothing to do with it.
 - Q. And you told us this morning that you didn't

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 156

- think it was unusual for you to be able to go downstairs and to speak to your client before
- 3 being released; correct?
- A. No, no. That happens sometimes.
- Q. As a matter of fact, he'd been given these drug rights; right? Those hadn't been explained to
- 7 him.
- A. Correct.
- 9 Q. As well as the fact that ICE was looking for him; correct?
- A. Correct.
- 12 Q. So you asked to go to side bar, you went to side
- bar, and there's the recording pieces that we
- 14 heard this morning.
- MR. HOOPES: Will you pull that
- up, please.
- 17 Q. And on Page 33 --
- A. Could you tell me --
- 19 Q. APP033. Page 4.
- A. Of which tab?
- 21 Q. Tab G.
- 22 A. You're on 33?
- Q. Yes. At the bottom, it says recording starting
- 24 at 2:48.
- 25 A. Sure.

1	MR.	HOOPES:	Okay.	If I could
2	just have one moment.			

HEARING OFFICER: Do you want APP33 or APP40? I want just to make sure that we're all --

MR. HOOPES: Yup. That's a good point by special counsel. I see. They're double-sided.

HEARING OFFICER: Yup.

MR. HOOPES: Yup. Okay. Thank

you.

- Q. So in Number 40. Page 40. APP040. Page 40.

 A. Okay. Yes.
 - Q. So they ask you whether or not you want your client up and you said no. You said you're going to side bar.

A. Yes.

Q. You say ICE is convinced that this guy and you say again that you've been through this and you think that there's 13 FBI numbers connected to the Social, something's bad with inaudible, my client denies, ICE is going to pick him up if he walks out the door, I think the best thing for us to do is to clear the fugitive issue, release him on personal, and hope that he can avoid ICE.

1		The ADA says I don't think arguing ICE is really
2		my you say right, the ADA says my, my, and
3		the Judge Joseph says the other alternative is,
4		if you need more time to figure this out, hold
5		until tomorrow. Right?
6		A. That's what the transcript says, yes.
7	Q.	Okay. So this comment by you about releasing
8		him on personal met the response or the
9		alternative is to hold him until tomorrow.
10		Correct?
11		A. I don't know if it met that response. There
12		are few overlapping conversations. That's what
13		the transcript reads as.
14	Q.	Okay. So you don't doubt the transcript;
15		correct?
16		A. No. Transcript I don't doubt. Not at all.
17		HEARING OFFICER: Could I ask
18		that you speak a little bit closer to the
19		microphone.
20		THE WITNESS: Oh, I apologize.
21		HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
22		A. I agree, the transcript is as it reads.
23	Q.	And then it goes on. ADA Jurgens says there's a
24		detainer attached to my paperwork but I feel
25		like that's separate and apart from what my role

- 1 Attorney Jellinek says there's an ICE 2 detainer so if he's bailed out from Billerica, 3 ICE will pick him up. And Judge Joseph says ICE is going to get him. You say something. 4 5 she says what if we detain him. Right? 6 she's not responding to any suggestion by you 7 that anything other than detaining him is what she's offering; right? 8
 - A. I don't know what was in her mind. I'll tell you that I didn't hear it that way.
 - Q. Okay. But you, again, you don't know what was in her mind. But that's what's in the transcript; right?
 - A. That is one way to read the transcript, yes.
- Q. But you didn't want that; correct? You didn'twant him detained overnight.
 - A. No. I was advocating for my client.
 - Q. No. That's not what I asked you. I said you didn't want him detained overnight; right?

 A. No. I was advocating for my client. He wanted to be released. That's what I was arguing for.
 - Q. And so if he had been detained and held overnight, then you would have come back the next day; correct?

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. Possibly.

9

- Q. ICE would have come back the next day; right?

 A. Or ICE would have removed him from Billerica that night.
- Q. Well, if ICE didn't have him at that point, right, if ICE didn't have him, then they're coming back to court the next day?
 A. Right.
 - Q. Because the fugitive warrant then would be dismissed; right?
- 11 A. As far as I understand, yes.
- Q. Okay. She could have held him on the fugitive
 warrant, he could have been there on the
 warrant, and then come back the next day; right?

 A. Yes. Unless ICE picked him up in Billerica
 that night.
- Q. Well, they can't pick him up if he's on a fugitive warrant; right?
- 19 A. I don't know.
- Q. You don't know the law. Okay.
- Now, at that point you say can we go off the record.
- A. I believe I asked, as the transcript's stipulated to, are we on the record.
- 25 Q. Are we on the record. And from there, you

- wanted to go off the record; right?
- A. Actually, it was judge Joseph who said can we go off the record.
- 4 Q. Well, you said are we on the record.
- 5 A. Correct.
- Q. And she said can we go off the record. Right?A. Correct.
- 8 O. Now --
- 9 HEARING OFFICER: I'm sorry.
- But you also said can we go off the record;
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. Later on, yes.
- 13 | HEARING OFFICER: Later on.
- 14 A. Yes. Absolutely.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
- 16 Q. So you just said now that you didn't understand
- what she was thinking when she said that;
- 18 correct?
- A. Which part?
- 20 Q. The part about let's detain him.
- A. No. I did have an inkling as to what she was
- 22 meaning.
- 23 Q. Well, I think that your comment was is that --
- 24 And when I asked you, was she agreeing with you,
- you said you didn't know what she was thinking;

ri	gh	t	?
	ri	righ	right

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

23

24

25

- A. I don't know what was in her head. All I know is how I heard what she was saying. I said this is one reading of this transcript and I had a different one in the moment.
- Q. So then we have the off the record conversation;correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And two things get raised on the off the record conversation. Right? The first is, you're, again, advocating for him to be released; is that right?

13 A. Yes.

- Q. And you also raised issue of you going downstairs to see your client; right?

 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Now, as to the part that has to do with what you said when the tape recording was shut off, that you asked that he be able to go downstairs and be released to the lockup area; correct?

 21 A. That was essentially what I was arguing for, yes.
 - Q. And under the Lunn policy, that's what was going to happen anyway; correct?
 - A. I don't know. I was unaware of the policy.

- 1 Q. Now, when you spoke to Federal prosecutor the
 2 first time on November 1st -- November 8th,
 3 2018, you said that you requested Judge Joseph
 4 release him through the lockup area because you
 5 thought it was okay for the defendant to be
 6 released from the lockup area; correct?
 7 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Now, you gave a number of different statements over time; correct? You gave -- You were back for three more interviews and one grand jury appearance; correct?
 - A. Yes, I did. I spoke to them at those times.
- Q. And then you spoke to special counsel again in
 December of 2024; correct?
- A. I believe so, yes.
 - Q. And you told special counsel that there was -your recollection is that essentially that -you said to her that -- said to Judge Joseph,
 that if ICE was waiting out front, you thought
 it would be best to be released out the back
 door. Is that correct?
 - A. Yes. Yes. Essentially what we were discussing.
 - Q. Okay. So in other words, you were asking for essentially what was in the ICE policy; correct?

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Again, I don't -- I didn't know the policy.

 In my reading of that the way you described it

 just now is released in the normal course. And

 I would say the majority of releases in Newton

 occurred through the courtroom and out of that

 glass lockup.
 - Q. Now, just a little bit ago you said that you weren't sure what was in Judge Joseph's mind when you were doing your conversation with her, with Ms. Jurgens present at side bar; correct?
 A. I don't believe you could ever know completely what's in someone else's mind, but I certainly knew what felt like it was she was agreeing to.
 - Q. So when you were interviewed in February of 2012, you said as much as that to Federal authorities -- 2019. I'm sorry. February 12, 2019, Jellinek stated that he had no idea what was in Joseph's mind during and after the side bar conversation in the courtroom. That'd be accurate; right?

 A. Yes.
 - Q. You spoke to special counsel on December 20th, 2024. And when you were asked whether you believed that Judge Joseph understood what was

being said during the side bar, you responded
yes. When asked what Judge Joseph did or said
that caused him to say that she understood the
side bar discussion, you responded, yeah, she
said that's what we'll do.

- A. Essentially, yes.
- Q. And yeah, that's what we'll do is that he'll go down the stairs and be released from downstairs; right?
- A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

24

- Q. Which is exactly conforming with the Lunn policy; correct?
- A. Again, I don't know the policy. I don't know how it conforms or doesn't.
 - Q. Now, at some point right after that, because the matter was only 52 seconds, you went back on the record; correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. And when you were on the record, you asked for certain things to be read into the record. She read certain things into the record. Correct?

 A. What are you saying I asked to be read into the record?
 - Q. Well, did you -- You asked her to be put on the record, sir, that you be allowed to go

- downstairs to speak to your client?

 A. Yes.
- Q. When you were on side bar. Yeah. Okay.

 So we have this back on the recording at

 2:57 p.m. The clerk says, judge, we're back on

 the record. There's a recitation of everything

 that's going to happen; right?
 - A. Yes. That's what the transcript says.
 - Q. And then at the end there's a section where, a little bit farther down, where the clerk says to her, essentially, ICE is here and would like to take custody. And he says that to her. And she responds, he's released on this, that's okay, but they're not coming in here, essentially; right?
 - A. Yes. According to the transcript, yes.
 - Q. And you of anybody is familiar with

 Newton District Court; right? You know the

 places that can be accessed to the lockup;

 right? You can go down the step, come into the

 main courtroom, and go down the stairs; right?

 A. Yes, you can.
 - Q. You can go around the back and come in through the sallyport; right?
 - A. I've never gone that way, but you could.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 That's the way prisoners come in.
- Q. You can go through probation and go in that way;
 right?
- A. No. There's keycards. I can't. But you could.
- 6 Q. But an officer, an officer could.
- 7 A. Yes. Sure.
- Q. Now, you've told us that you came to the
 Newton District Court that morning, but you
 don't know why you were there.
- A. I don't remember, no.
- Q. At one point you told the grand jury that you believed you had other cases there that day.
- A. I believed I do -- I believed I did, yes.
- Q. At another point you said that you were there for your own case that day?
- 17 A. I thought so, yeah. I believe I was.
- Q. And at another point you said that you were there and Medina Perez's friends, the boss, had seen you perform and liked what they saw; correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. But the fact is that you didn't perform anything that day. Right? You never were heard in the morning. On any transcript or any audio.

1	Right?
---	--------

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- A. I was shown a transcript that doesn't include anything from me, but I believe I was there and did argue.
- 5 Q. So are you saying you think that the transcript
 6 is wrong?
 - A. It could be. My memory is that I was in the court that morning.
 - Q. Well, at one point you said that you thought that you were there perhaps on the Pamela Hodgkin case, which was on the list that day.
 - A. Could have been. My understanding is that I didn't inherit that case until a little bit later. And because the way Masscourts work, my name is attached to it now as opposed to then.
 - Q. Well, were you shown the docket entries for the case that day, for the Hodgkin case, that you filed your appearance the next month?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So that you couldn't have been representing her on that day; right?

 A. I don't believe so, no.
- Q. Now, Ms. Bostwick was there as the bar advocate; correct?

- A. That's my understanding, yes.
- Q. Well, did you see her there in the morning?
- A. I believe I did, yeah.
- 4 Q. And where did you have contact with her?
- 5 A. I don't believe we had any substantive
- 6 contact.
- Q. Well, where would you have been sitting in the Newton District Court on that day?
- A. Typically, if you go through the middle bar
- area, I sit off to the right, and she was
- 11 probably sitting over there as well. That's
- where the defense lawyers tend to sit.
- 13 Q. So you would have been somewhere over here.
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 O. And --
- 16 A. Usually, I think. Don't remember that date
- 17 specifically. But yes, that's usually where I
- 18 sit.
- 19 Q. And you would have recognized her and she would
- 20 have recognized you?
- 21 A. Most likely, yes.
- 22 Q. And did you talk about this client Medina Perez?
- A. Not much, to my memory, no.
- 24 Q. And -- Not much. What did you talk about with
- 25 her?

- 1 A. I probably said good morning.
- 2 Q. About Medina Perez.
- A. I probably didn't even ask her about it until

 I was approached by his friends.
- Q. Well, you told us this morning that you thought you were approached by his friends about 10:30?

 A. Yeah, 10:30 probably, yeah.
- Q. And so when you talked to them, where did you talk to them? Is that inside the courtroom or outside the courtroom?
- A. Best recollection I have is it was in the back of the courtroom during a break.
- Q. And the conversation was that we want you as the lawyer and we'll make some financial arrangements with you.
- A. That was to my memory, yes.
- Q. And so you would have completed that discussion by what time?
- 19 A. I really don't remember. 11:00, 11:15.
- Q. And did you tell Ms. Bostwick that you had been retained at 11:00, 11:15?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. You did.
- 24 A. I did.
- 25 Q. And where did you tell her that you had been

1 retained at 11:00, 11:15?

3

4

- A. I don't remember. Probably in the courtroom.
 - Q. And after you told her that you had been retained at 11:00, 11:15, why did she keep on working on the case?
- A. Maybe it was later. I don't remember the exact time.
- 8 Q. So maybe 11:00 isn't correct. Maybe it was 9 later than 11:00.
- A. Might have been closer to 12:00. I don't remember her last appearance when she stood up last on the case.
- Q. If she was still working on the case after

 12:00, and you had been retained, why would she

 do that?
- A. She wouldn't. I don't remember the exact time I was retained.
- Q. So are you sure you were there in the morning,
- A. To the best of my memory, I was there in the morning, yes.
- Q. Okay. Well, to the best of your memory. So you're saying that it's possible that you weren't there in the morning.
- A. I know I was there before the lunch break,

- which qualifies to me as the morning. I don't remember if I got in at 9:00, 9:30, but it was in the morning.
- Q. Well -- So the lunch break was about 12:45 or so.
- A. Correct.
- Q. And so you're saying you were there before 12:45.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And you spoke with Ms. Bostwick before 12:45.
- A. It's entirely possible at the break I'm
 remembering when they hired me was the beginning
 of lunch break and I talked to her during the
 lunch break. Like I said, I don't remember the
- specific timing. They approached me during a
- 16 break in the session.
- 17 Q. So Ms. Bostwick left and went to a doctor's
- appointment at 12:45, and they set the case down
- 19 for her to come back a little bit late. So at
- 20 12:45 she couldn't have known that you were on
- 21 the case; right?
- A. If that's what you say the order of events
- was, sure.
- Q. So in fact, you weren't there before let's say
- 25 12:30; right?

- A. Again, I think I was there, and I think
 that's why his friends approached me, because I
 made an argument. To the best of my memory, I
 was there making argument that morning.
 - Q. So if there's nothing on the transcript that says you were there in the morning, and Ms. Bostwick has no memory of you being there in the morning, why do you remember you were there in the morning?
 - A. That's my memory.
 - Q. Well, if you didn't get to represent

 Medina Perez because they saw your work because
 you're nowhere on the transcript and you don't
 have any cases for that day, how is it that
 these people got to you of all people?

 A. You'd have to ask them. I don't know.
 - Q. Well, no. You've already told us that -- you say they liked the way I dealt with the prior case. There was no prior case for you.
- 20 A. My memory is there was.
- Q. But again, why you, sir? Meaning, you weren't the only lawyer who was standing up on cases that day; right?
 - A. Probably not.
- 25 Q. There were other lawyers, good lawyers.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 1 Arthur Kelly was there; correct?
- A. I don't remember if he was there or not, but he's a good lawyer.
- Q. And so if they had asked around and said who's a good lawyer, we don't like this bar advocate, why you?
- A. I don't know. I would have told them to hire

 Arthur Kelly.
 - Q. Well, they came to you, and you didn't tell them to hire Arthur Kelly, did you?
- A. They had gone by that point, if I remember right. And they asked for me, so I said sure,

 I'll represent him. Said I think you've got a really good lawyer, I wouldn't do anything about it, can't change the situation, but if you want to, you can hire me.
 - Q. Well, you certainly could have given

 Arthur Kelly's number and they could have come back; right?
- 20 A. Sure.

10

17

18

- Q. But you're firm with the hearing officer, that they approached you; right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And are you firm that nobody pointed him in your direction?

- A. Not to my knowledge, no.
- Q. Do you think Mr. MacGregor pointed him in your direction?
- A. I don't believe so, no.
- 5 Q. So tell us, your office is about seven- to 6 nine-minute drive --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- from the courthouse; right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. It's right there in Newton; --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. -- right? Somebody picks up the phone, you're
 13 in your office, you're in court seven minutes
- 14 later.
- A. Yeah. Depending on whether I have to put a
- 16 tie on. Absolutely.
- 17 Q. And so you want to leave it with the hearing
- officer in hearing that they picked you for some
- reason that you don't know, because you weren't
- 20 working that day, you didn't have any cases on
- 21 that day, you weren't on any transcript for that
- day, it's just random that they got to you.
- A. No. That's not -- Everything I said is
- 24 accurate.
- 25 Q. So what's not accurate?

- A. It was multiple things you said there. And I
 was working that morning. I just don't remember
 exactly what case I was on.
- Q. Well -- So you told Federal authorities you carry an iPad; correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And you have a habit of writing on it or doodling on it when you're in court; correct?
 A. I don't doodle on it, but I write on it, yeah.
- Q. And they knew that you had an iPad, right,
 because you told them?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And did any Federal authority ask to see your iPad?
- A. Not that I remember, no.
- Q. To check whether or not you're telling the truth
 about whether you were there in the morning or
 not?
- A. They did not ask, no.
- Q. To tell us whether -- To help tell whether
 you're telling the truth about how you got the
 case?
- A. I didn't hear -- Is -- What's the question?
 - Q. The question is, you didn't offer to show your

- iPad to anybody, nobody checked on it; correct?

 A. Nobody checked on it, no.
 - Q. So when the boss, when the boss of -- How did you know he was the boss of Mr. Medina?

 A. He told me he was his employer.
 - Q. He was his employer. Did he tell you what company that was?
 - A. I don't remember.
 - Q. But when he said he was going to hire you, you demanded that there be some sort of fee arrangement; correct?
 - A. Well, ethically, you have to have some agreement before you represent someone. He had all the documents for Mr. Medina Perez, so I said, yeah, I'll represent him, but we're going to need some kind of agreement going forward.
 - Q. Yup. And as a matter of fact, you wouldn't -you said you wouldn't represent this individual
 unless you got paid; right?
 - A. Generally, that's how private counsel works, yes.
 - Q. Yeah. Well, you weren't doing it because you were in service of some cause; right? You were doing it because you were going to get paid.

 A. Yes.

1-800-727-6396

- Q. And of all the people who were representing

 Mr. Medina Perez that day, which is only two of

 you, Ms. Bostwick was court-appointed, and so

 she was going to get \$53 an hour, but you were

 the guy that got a thousand dollars for three

 hours work. Right?
 - A. I don't remember if it was three. Could have been four.
 - Q. You're the one that got the thousand dollars; right?
- 11 A. Yes.

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

- 12 Q. Now, you told special counsel you couldn't

 13 remember if you signed a fee agreement with them

 14 at the time or left that until another day.

 15 A. Correct.
 - Q. That's kind of ridiculous, isn't it? I mean, were you carrying fee agreements with you in your pocket?
 - A. Generally don't carry them. But I sometimes
 I have one available on my iPad that I could
 have shown them. But I didn't that day.
- Q. Well, if it's available on your iPad, then it's always on your iPad; right?
- A. No. Sometimes I have blank ones that I leave like in a desktop location I could get to

- easily, but I didn't have one with me that day that they could sign.
- Q. Now, if you arrived there at whatever time you arrived, you were hired, you got the documents, you reviewed them, and you spoke again with his employer, did you ever, did you ever meet with Medina Perez that morning?
- A. Yes.
- 9 Q. What time?
- 10 A. I don't remember.
- 11 O. Well --
- A. Probably just before the lunch break or during the lunch break.
- 14 Q. And that would have been -- You don't speak
 15 Spanish; right?
- 16 A. No.
- Q. And that would have been you and the interpreter; right?
- A. To the best of my recollection, yes.
- Q. So you would have met Medina Perez with the interpreter by going downstairs; correct?
- A. It's possible we had a brief conversation in
- the lockup upstairs. I don't remember the
- 24 details of my first conversation with
- 25 Mr. Medina Perez.

- Q. But you would have had the interpreter there
 with you.
 - A. To my memory, yes. It's possible he knew enough English to say minor things to me. It's possible that I understood most of it from his friends at that point and what the assignment was.
- 8 Q. Now, you told various people at various times
 9 that you got the paperwork related to
 10 Medina Perez from different people. From the
 11 ADA.
- 12 A. Yes.

4

5

6

- 13 Q. From the court clerk.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And from the probation officer.
- 16 A. Yes. Probably with Gloria.
- 17 Q. And, also, you got material from Ms. Bostwick.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Now, you knew ICE was in the building.
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And you had heard -- You would have heard

 Judge Joseph say no ICE in the courtroom during

 the morning session; right?
- A. I believe so, yes.
- 25 Q. So would it surprise you to note that the whole

1	transcript has been reviewed and never a word
2	from Judge Joseph about that in the morning?
3	A. As I said, it was my memory. It might have
4	been the clerk. It might have been someone else
5	that told me.

- Q. Instead of putting it on the record, somebody told you.
 - A. Again, I said I don't remember exactly how I found that out that morning.
- Now, when you spoke to MacGregor, that was just 10 0. before -- it was during the lunch break; right? 11 12 A. When I spoke to him substantively about this, 13 yes, that was during the lunch break.
- 14 And was ICE in the courtroom when that happened? Q. 15 A. No, they were not.
 - Now, you know that the ICE agent says he didn't Ο. see you there in the morning at all.
 - A. I don't know that, no.
- Well, either you're correct or the ICE agent is Q. 20 incorrect. Either you were there or you just 21 told us a half a dozen stories. Which is it? 22 A. I don't think I follow your question. 23 don't believe it's answerable.

JUDGE FABRICANT: The witness said he didn't know what the ICE agent said

6

7

9

16

17

18

19

24

- about this, so I have to object to the question.

 HEARING OFFICER: If you could

 rephrase the question, please.
 - Q. You're saying to us that -- So let me phrase it a different way. You've recounted a story about what happened between you and MacGregor that nobody witnessed, just the two of you; right?

 A. Yes.
 - Q. And you're recounted a story about what happened on the off the record conversation for those 52 seconds; correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. So are you as sure about the fact that you were there in the morning as you are about those other two things, what MacGregor said?

 A. Well, let me answer it this way. I believe I was there in the morning. I know the transcript doesn't show that. So I don't know what you're getting at and what your theory is as to how or why I got there. But I know that day I was there, for some reason, whether I was advancing a case or arguing something, and they approached me during a break. That's all I remember.
- Q. Well, no. The point is, is that -- I guess my question is, are you making up the fact that you

- were there in the morning or not?
- A. As I said, the best of my recollection, I was there in the morning.
- Q. So it's possible your recollection is not correct.
- A. Of course.
- 7 Q. Of course.
- A. Yeah, of course. It's eight years ago.
- 9 Q. Well, it's been -- It's eight years ago when you
- 10 first spoke to Federal authorities. And
- 11 memories tend to disintegrate quickly. We know
- that from the most recent research; correct?
- A. I don't know what we know as a community. I
- 14 know that the defense bar knows what memories
- tend to do and become unreliable over time.
- 16 Q. And that may have happened to you.
- 17 A. Can happen to anybody. Of course.
- 18 Q. But that may have happened to you.
- A. As I just said, it could happen to anybody.
- 20 Of course.
- 21 Q. That may have happened to you, too.
- A. Could happen to anyone. Of course. It could
- happen to you. It could happen to you.
- MR. HOOPES: Can I just have a
- 25 moment, Your Honor?

		Page 184
1		(PAUSE)
2	Q.	So Mr. Jellinek, you said I think on questions
3		from special counsel that you were trying to
4		protect the judge; is that right?
5		A. That was part of my motivation to go off the
6		record or to attempt to go off the record, yes.
7	Q.	But the DA was there to protect the judge;
8		correct?
9		A. I don't know No. The DA's there to
10		prosecute.
11	Q.	Well, but the DA was hearing everything that was
12		being said; right?
13		A. Again, I don't know what the DA
14	Q.	And when you went down to talk to
15		Judge Heffernan the next morning, it was the
16		same issue, which is, you weren't trying to
17		protect anybody when you went to see her except
18		yourself. You weren't trying to protect the
19		judge. Correct?
20		A. No, that's not my motivation. That wasn't my
21		motivation to talk to Judge Heffernan. It was
22		to tell her what happened.
23	Q.	But you didn't tell her everything that
24		happened; correct?

A. No.

25

I didn't spend 20 minutes talking to

1 her, no.

8

9

- Q. So let's go back to your first interview with
 Federal authorities on November 8th. You say
 that you requested that the judge release
 Medina Perez through the lockup area because you
 thought it was okay for a defendant to be
 released from the lockup area; correct?
 - A. I thought it had happened and it was okay for it to happen, yes.
- Q. And you didn't give them anything that she said back at that point. She made no statement back to you; correct?
 - A. I'm sorry. I don't follow the question.
- Q. She didn't make any statement one way or another.
- A. Who would --
- 17 Q. Judge Joseph.
- 18 A. At what time?
- Q. At that time. Right? So you're talking to Federal authorities.
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And you said to them, I asked her -specifically, you requested that Judge Joseph release Medina Perez through the lockup area because you thought it was okay for defendant to

- be released from the lockup area. That's what you said; right?
 - A. I believe so, yes.

8

9

13

14

- 4 Q. Yeah. And you gave no response from 5 Judge Joseph; correct?
- A. If it's not in my notes, I probably didn't.

 But I might have said something. I don't know.
 - Q. If it's not in the notes, then you didn't say it.
- A. Well, no, that's not quite what I said. I
 may have said something but it's not in their
 notes. That's a different statement.
 - Q. Oh, so if you said something -- You may have said something but they didn't put it in their notes.
- 16 A. Correct.
- Q. Well, they would be interested, would they not, in whatever Judge Joseph had to say in response?

 Correct?
- A. I don't know what they were interested in at that point.
- Q. So if you had said to them that she responded in some affirmative way that signaled that she was fine with him going out the back door, they would have put that in the notes, don't you

		rage 107
1		think?
2		A. They also would have probably asked me
3		directly, and I don't remember that they asked
4		me directly. I don't think that's in the notes
5		either. I think what is in the notes is parts
6		of a full conversation.
7		MR. HOOPES: That's all I have
8		at this time. Thank you.
9		HEARING OFFICER: Special
10		Counsel, do you have follow up?
11		JUDGE FABRICANT: Yes, please.
12		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
13		BY JUDGE FABRICANT:
L 4	Q.	Mr. Jellinek, I want to turn to the immunity
15		letter.
16		JUDGE FABRICANT: And can you
17		bring back up
18		A. Which tab?
19	Q.	It's not in the appendix, but Mr. Neff will post
20		it on the monitor.
21		A. Sure.
22		JUDGE FABRICANT: And while
23		he's setting that up, Mr. McInerney, I'm going
24		to want to offer this in evidence. I don't have

a clean copy of it right now. I will have a

1	clean copy of it tomorrow. So unless there's
2	objection, I'd like to bring it in tomorrow and
3	offer it in evidence.
4	HEARING OFFICER: That's fine
5	I see there's no objection.
6	MR. HOOPES: None.

JUDGE FABRICANT: And that would be both the immunity letter and the proffer letter.

HEARING OFFICER: That's fine.

- Q. What we have on the screen now is the immunity letter. Mr. Jellinek, can you see it?

 A. Yes.
- Q. So I'd like to call your attention to numbered Paragraph 1. Mr. Hoopes read part of it. I'd like to read all of the sentence that begins:

 He must not attempt to. Do you see that? It starts around the middle of --

A. Yes.

Q. -- the line.

(Reading) He must not attempt to protect any person or entity through false information or omission or, -- and this is the part that Mr. Hoopes did not read -- or to implicate falsely a person or entity. Do you see that?

- 1 A. I do.
- Q. Did you attempt to implicate falsely any person or entity?
- 4 A. No.
- Q. Did you tell the truth to the grand jury?A. Yes.
- Q. And did you tell the truth to the U.S attorney in your interviews with them?
 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And when you spoke with me on December 20th, and
 11 Mr. Neff was there, did you talk a little bit
 12 about -- did you say that the only reason you
 13 could think of that you would have hedged on
 14 your answers to the prosecutor during that
 15 meeting was you did not have immunity?
 16 A. Correct.
 - Q. And did you add that you did not remember ever having a different memory from what was in the transcript of your grand jury testimony?

 A. Correct.
- Q. And did that have anything to do with whether
 you were paid in cash or by check?

 A. No.
- Q. Did you go on to say -- Mr. Dunn explained that the immunity -- Did you go on to say that the

18

19

- immunity made you more comfortable, but you do
 not think it changed anything about what you
 remember?
 - A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. Now, this event happened on April 2nd of 2018;
 6 correct?
- 7 A. Yes.

- Q. So that's now a little more than seven yearsago.
- A. (Witness nods head).
- Q. So in that time, have certain aspects of your memory faded?
- A. Of course. Yes.
- Q. The matters that were the focus of your grand
 jury testimony, that is what happened at side
 bar, what happened off the record, your
 conversation with Mr. MacGregor, and your client
 going out the back, has your memory changed
 about that?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. And let's turn to your role in representing your client there that day. Tell us what you view as your role.
- A. I view my role that day like I do all the time. It's to, as zealously as possible,

- 1
- advocate for my client.
- 2 3
- 4 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- And what in particular were you trying to Q. accomplish?
 - A. On that day, he was adamant that it wasn't him, he was adamant that this person they were attempting to detain through immigration was not him, as I said, his boss or his friend were viably with a birth certificate that appeared authentic, and the ICE paperwork was not a judicial warrant, it was an administrative warrant directed to Newton, that had no biometric data that linked it to the gentleman in custody. So at that time my job was to try to get him out of custody and away from anything that might endanger him.
- So when the judge made suggestions about Ο. detaining him, did that, in your view, serve purposes you were trying to serve?
 - A. It implied that she understood the problem, but not fully, because that did not serve the purpose I was trying to achieve.
- Did you think that you had an obligation to tell Q. anybody other than the judge what your approach was to in trying to achieve your purpose?
 - A. No. In fact, I think it's the opposite.

- 1 think I shouldn't be telling anybody else, like 2 the prosecutor, what my approach was going to 3 be.
- And if you told the prosecutor before this side Q. bar conference, before the off the record 6 conference, what did you think might happen? 7 A. I imagine that ICE would put one person down by the lockup and one person up in front of the building.
 - And if you talked around the courthouse about 0. it, clerk's office or in probation or anywhere else, what do you think would happen? A. The same. That ICE would be more prepared to get him.
 - As a lawyer representing clients, is it your Q. practice to talk about your strategy in general? A. No.
- 18 Q. Did you think that what you were doing that day 19 was a crime?
- 20 A. No.

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 21 Do you think so now? Q.
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. You did say earlier, though, that you thought it 24 was on the edge of ethical.
 - A. Yes. And, you know, Federal indictment makes

- you think that some of the things you've done might be on the edge of ethical and legal.
 - Q. And do you still think it was on the edge of ethical?
 - A. Absolutely.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. You told us about a series of conversations with the ICE officer, the assistant district attorney, court officer MacGregor. Can you try to put those in sequence?
 - A. My initial conversation would have been with the district attorney to get the paperwork together, and at that point she probably said something like I'm investigating whether this is I believe the transcript reflects really him. that she did mention on the record she wasn't sure if she was investigating him. I believe I got the paperwork from Ms. Bostwick. conversation probably would have been with the ADA, then with Ms. Bostwick, and then I would have checked in with the ADA, I would have spoken to probation to get the Triple I, I believe I got permission from the ICE to do that, and review it in the courtroom, and then I would have spoken with Officer MacGregor during the lunch break after I reviewed the Triple I.

- 1 Q. So you think that was the sequence.
- A. Yes.
- Q. Assistant district attorney, Ms. Bostwick, the
 ICE officer, and Officer MacGregor.
- A. Well, yeah. And probation in between to get the documents.
- 7 Q. To get the Triple I.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. You made reference earlier to a CORI. What's a CORI?
- A. A CORI is a Massachusetts, criminal.
- 12 Q. Massachusetts, criminal.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. And you get that from probation.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. When you spoke with Judge Heffernan the next
- day, what did you think she already knew?
- A. I don't know what she already knew and what
- she was thinking. I know that, when I went in,
- 20 it was a very brief conversation, and the
- 21 implication I got was that she understood
- everything that happened, I had given her a full
- briefing, and I was okay and go away.
- Q. Did she seem willing to spend more time with you that morning?

- A. Not that morning, no.
 - Q. On May 29th, so that would have been the next date, the date for pretrial conference in the case, you told us earlier that Mr. Medina Perez didn't show up.
- A. Correct.

3

4

5

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Did you know where he was?A. No.
- 9 Q. Did you know whether he was or wasn't in ICE 10 custody?
- 11 A. I did not.
- Q. And I'm not asking you the substance of any communications you had with him, but had you had communication with him in the interim?

 A. Not to my knowledge, no. And one of the problems that I had to deal with that day was ICE doesn't always tell you where they are, how to get them back to court.
 - Q. When you go to lockup to talk with your client, if you have a client in custody, how do you get there?
 - A. Usually I would say 99 percent of the time you go to the court officer's station next to the glass box and then they open the door and let you go downstairs. Usually they radio down

		rage 190
1		and say attorney coming down.
2	Q.	You said 99 percent of the time. So what would
3		be the other percent?
4		A. I've had some major knee surgeries, and there
5		were times I couldn't get down those stairs. So
6		there is a way to get into the lockup through
7		probation, and occasionally I had to take the
8		elevator and ask to be let in through probation
9		and escorted into lockup.
10	Q.	And would that still require a court officer to
11		let you in?
12		A. Absolutely. Yes. Someone The probation
13		department has to let you in probation and the
14		court officer would have to meet you at the back
15		and unlock that door.
16		JUDGE FABRICANT: All right.
17		That's all I have. Thank you.
18		HEARING OFFICER: Anything
19		further, Mr. Hoopes?
20		MR. HOOPES: Just a little bit,
21		if I may.
22		RE-CROSS EXAMINATION
23		BY MR. HOOPES:
24	Q.	So three things, if I can. So go back, sir, and

24

25

talk a little bit about what was in -- what you

1	thought was in Judge Joseph's mind. Right?
2	When you first spoke to the Federal authorities
3	about that issue, it was the February 12th,
4	2019. And you said, and I'm quoting you,
5	Jellinek stated that no idea what was in
6	Judge Joseph's mind during and after the side
7	bar conversation in the courtroom.
8	A. Again, that's correct. I did not know what
9	was in her mind. I only knew what my reading of

- Q. So that was in February of 2019; right?
 A. Yes.
- Q. So if we advance from 2019 to 2020, '21, '22, '23, when you spoke to special counsel in December of 2024, you said, and I'm quoting, when asked whether he believed Judge Joseph understood what was being said at side bar, Mr. Jellinek responded yes.

statements of the implications were. I had made

A. That's correct.

inferences.

- Q. And you had no idea what was in --
- JUDGE FABRICANT: Can he finish
- 23 his answer, please?
- A. I made an inference, yes, that I understood her intent.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 1 Q. So when asked what Judge Joseph did or said that 2 caused him to say that she understood the side 3 bar discussion, Mr. Jellinek responded, she said, yeah, that's what we'll do.
- A. That's correct. 5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 6 Question by special counsel; right? Q. 7 A. Yes.
 - Okay. And you were asked about that same topic Q. in 2019, six years prior, you never said, yeah, that's what we'll do. You never quoted a single word from her in response; right?
 - A. I don't remember saying -- I don't remember the details of the meeting. If that's what the report from the FBI said, as I said earlier, I believe those are fragments of our The whole thing may have been conversations. slightly different. But if that's what it says there, then you can read that.
 - Now, the second point is what you said to her. Q. So your very first interview, November 8th, 2018, with Federal authorities. You requested that Judge Joseph release Medina Perez through the lockup area because you thought it was okay to be released from the lockup area. correct?

- A. I got a little lost in your question. But
 yes, I believe it was okay to be released from
 the lockup area.
 - Q. And as we've discussed today, being released from the lockup area was the place that they were supposed to take him, into the lockup; right?
 - A. Again, I don't know that policy. My reading of the paragraph you put up is that he should be released in the normal course. In Newton, that would be up through the glass box on the first floor.
 - Q. But you testified in front of the grand jury on January 24th, 2019. You said that you thought that Medina Perez could be released out the back door if he was received from downstairs.
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. You never said that in your first interview in November; correct?
 - A. I don't remember what I did or didn't say. I said that in that interview. I don't remember every detail of the interview, but they may not have written down every single word that I said.
 - Q. You didn't say anything in the second interview on January 14th; correct?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 A. Again, same answer. I don't have a specific 2 memory of what was said every word for word. 3 do think I said it at some point. It may not be in their notes. 4
- You just think that the Federal authorities who Ο. 6 were investigating the case missed something 7 that you said that was reported.
 - A. They may have. I don't know.
 - Q. So you remain practicing in Newton District Court; correct?
- 11 A. Yes.

9

- And you knew that Mr. MacGregor had been called 12 Q. 13 to the grand jury; correct?
- 14 A. I found that out at some point, yes.
- 15 And that he continued working for some period of Ο. 16 time.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And you'd see him almost every time you came 19 over to Newton; correct?
- 20 A. I don't remember exactly when he left Newton, 21 but I saw him a number of times after that day.
- 22 Until he left. Q.
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 And did you tell him that you were in talking to Ο. 25 Federal authorities in November?

- A. I don't believe I told him that, no.
- Q. Did you tell him you were in talking with
 Federal authorities in January?
- A. No, I don't believe I said that.
- 5 Q. Did you tell him you testified in front of the 6 grand jury?
- 7 A. No, I don't believe I told him that.
- Q. Did you tell him that you were interviewed again
 by Federal authorities in February?
- A. Not to my memory, no.
- 11 Q. How about March; did you tell him you were in for the last time in March of 2019?
- A. I don't believe I told him. I don't remember.
- Q. But there was a retirement party for
- Mr. MacGregor in the spring, right after your
- 17 last testimony; correct?
- A. I don't know the timing of it. I know there
 was a retirement, yes.
- 20 Q. And you were there.
- 21 A. I believe I was.
- Q. You were there, shook his hand. Do you remember that?
- A. I might have been. Was it at the courthouse or was it at a different location?

1	Q.	Courthouse.
2		A. I probably was in the courthouse, yes.
3	Q.	And you didn't say anything to him then.
4		A. I don't remember, no.
5		MR. HOOPES: No other
6		questions. Thank you.
7		JUDGE FABRICANT: Nothing
8		further. Thank you.
9		HEARING OFFICER: If I may, I
10		just have a couple clarifying questions.
11		Sir, if you I'll just read
12		it to you. I'm sure you remember it. From the
13		transcript. It APP043. This is after the off
14		the record conversation that Clerk Okstein says,
15		quote, there is a representative from ICE here
16		in the court inaudible to, to visit the lockup.
17		Judge Joseph, that's fine, I'm not going to
18		allow them to come in here but he's been
19		released on this.
20		I believe you testified that
21		your understanding from that was that ICE would
22		remain upstairs.
23		A. Yes.
24		HEARING OFFICER: What's
25		Could you explain that to me.

1	A. By saying that I'm not going to allow them to
2	come in here, I understood that to mean within
3	the courtroom and within the lockup, because
4	that's sort of one space, versus the lobby,
5	which is another space.
6	HEARING OFFICER: But they were
7	specifically being asking to visit the
8	lockup, and she said that's fine.
9	A. I don't believe the "that's fine" refers to
10	them visiting the lockup.
11	HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Just
12	so I'm clear, the language, again, is
13	Clerk Okstein saying that there's a
14	representative from ICE here in the court
15	inaudible to, to visit the lockup. Judge
16	Joseph, that's fine, I'm not going to allow them
17	to come in here, but he's been released on this.
18	A. If that's what the transcript says, that
19	might be what she meant. That's not what I
20	interpreted.
21	HEARING OFFICER: Okay. You
22	interpreted it to mean that the ICE people
23	should stay upstairs in the lobby

25

A. Yes.

HEARING OFFICER:

-- of the

	rage 204
1	courthouse.
2	A. Yes.
3	HEARING OFFICER: Okay. The
4	other thing is, on April 3rd, when you were
5	speaking to Judge Heffernan, I believe you said
6	that you thought that she knew what had
7	happened that you thought that she should
8	know what happened, and I think you said
9	something to the effect particularly with
10	respect to the ADA.
11	A. Yes.
12	HEARING OFFICER: Could you
13	explain that.
14	A. Sure. The ADA has to be in front of the
15	judge every day in that court. And the ADA was
16	upset by the way things had happened the day
17	before, and I thought the judge should know that
18	someone in her courtroom had been aggrieved and
19	she'd have to see that person that day.
20	HEARING OFFICER: The ADA had
21	been aggrieved?
22	A. Yes.
23	HEARING OFFICER: Did you
24	explain how the ADA had been aggrieved?

A. Not in any great detail, no.

1	HEARING OFFICER: In any
2	detail? There was no
3	A. If my memory's right, I said ADA Jurgens was
4	upset yesterday, ICE got mad at her, and she was
5	upset, I thought you should know about it.
6	HEARING OFFICER: And she
7	didn't ask what was she upset about?
8	A. No, not to my memory.
9	HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And by
10	the way, Mr. Medina Perez, he had property in
11	the lockup area.
12	A. Yes, he did. I believe he did.
13	HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And
14	finally, were you aware of the special Rule 211
15	that said you should not be going off the
16	record?
17	A. Not at that time, no, I wasn't aware that we
18	were not allowed to go off the record.
19	HEARING OFFICER: Anything
20	further, Counsel?
21	JUDGE FABRICANT: Nothing
22	further. Thank you.
23	MR. HOOPES: Nothing.
24	JUDGE FABRICANT: May this
25	witness be excused?

	Page 206
1	HEARING OFFICER: Yes. Thank
2	you.
3	JUDGE FABRICANT: And I'm sorry
4	to say, we don't have our next witness present,
5	because Mr. Hoopes was more efficient than we
6	predicted.
7	So we will try very hard to
8	have Ms. McDermott here at 10:00 tomorrow
9	morning. As you know, we are scheduled to have
10	Mr. Mendoza on Zoom at 11:00. We had asked
11	Ms. McDermott to come at 2:00, but we'll try to
12	get that moved up to 10:00.
13	HEARING OFFICER: Okay. That
14	would be very good, if you could.
15	MR. KEATING: 9:00?
16	HEARING OFFICER: No. We're on
17	for 10:00 tomorrow. Normally we'll be starting
18	at 9:00, but tomorrow we're starting at 10:00.
19	So with that, we're adjourned.
20	Thank you All.
21	(The proceedings adjourned
22	at 3:07 p.m.)
23	
24	

	Page 207
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	
5	I, LISA L. CROMPTON, Registered
6	Professional Reporter, hereby certify that the
7	foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my
8	stenographic notes of the proceedings in this
9	matter on the date and time specified in the
10	caption hereof.
11	
12	IN THIS WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto
13	set my hand this 27th day of August, 2025.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	$\rho \cdot \rho +$
	Lisa of Crompton
22	LISA L. CROMPTON
23	REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTER
24	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 1/17/2031
25	

0	11:46 92:10	2000 45:18	164.22 190.10
&			164:23 189:10
& 2:9,15	11:58 92:12	2000's 81:16,20	21 197:14
0	12 61:15,18	2001 45:20	2101 2:16
02108 2:5	82:16 164:17	2010 82:9	211 8:9 16:15
02100 2:3 02110 2:11	12:30 172:25	2012 82:9	37:16 205:14
02110 2:11 02114 2:17	12:45 172:4,8	164:16	22 197:14
06 45:22	172:10,18,20	2015 82:15,19	23 142:8 197:15
08 45:23	12th 197:3	2017 8:2 152:23	24th 136:9
	13 15:15 61:15	154:20	199:14
1	61:18 65:25	2018 8:19 26:17	25 8:3
1 136:22 188:15	68:16 142:8	28:10 36:13	260 2:10
1/17/2031	157:20	46:14,18 53:6	27th 207:13
207:24	13th 5:13	54:12 81:17	28th 148:23
10 16:20,22	14th 86:21	82:19 86:8	29th 128:13
25:25 92:6	136:1 199:25	103:11 122:2	195:2
99:2 110:7	15 78:24 99:2	132:9 145:25	2:00 29:20
10,000 146:19	15th 135:24	151:6 163:3	47:12 103:19
100 2:16 24:14	136:1	190:5 198:21	103:20 147:23
24:20	163 9:7 16:21	2019 86:21,24	148:2 206:11
10:00 206:8,12	164 9:7	90:11 164:17	2:15 29:19
206:17,18	17th 86:24	164:18 197:4	2:30 119:4
10:30 55:8	187 3:5	197:12,14	2:48 65:22
102:17,21	196 3:6	198:9 199:14	156:24
170:6,7	19th 5:17	201:12	2:48.13 65:16
11 2:4 110:7	1:00 103:19,19	2019-22 1:4 4:6	2:48:13 14:14
11:00 102:17	103:20	2020 197:14	65:23
102:22 170:19	1:03 147:25	2024 5:17	2:48:48 68:2
170:21 171:1,4	1st 163:2	142:22 148:15	2:50:57 76:13
171:8,9 206:10	2	163:14 164:24	2:57 166:5
11:15 102:22	2 143:10 153:10	197:16	2nd 8:2,19
170:19,21	20 8:3,14	2025 1:13	26:17 28:10
171:1,4	<i>,</i>	207:13	54:12 90:10
1,1,1,1	138:11 184:25	20th 111:25	103:10 122:2
		142:22 148:15	123:14 129:6

[2nd - ada] Page 2

	I		
129:22 130:14	509 50:2,3 63:1	9	accomplish
132:12 151:6	511 50:19	9 1:13	191:3
190:5	52 7:22,24	92 3:4	accordance
3	16:18 33:3,25	99 195:22 196:2	8:12
3 9:6,14	140:1,4,5,8,13	9:00 1:14 172:2	account 141:19
30 78:24	140:22 165:16	206:15,18	141:20 146:14
33 156:17,22	182:11	9:30 172:2	accurate 15:1
33863 207:21	525 2:5	9th 112:18	66:7 67:22
3:00 119:4	53 122:11 178:4	a	69:22 96:19,20
3:07 206:22	5k 139:5		135:15 136:5
3rd 5:8 129:5	6	a.m. 1:14 92:10	164:21 175:24
204:4	60 122:14	92:12	175:25 207:7
4	617-248-9938	ability 91:1	accurately
-	2:6	136:21	52:10 88:3
4 156:19	617-338-9300	able 17:14	accusing 102:7
4/20/18 144:10	2:11	25:12 55:3	achieve 191:21
40 15:3 65:19	617-338-9911	83:20 94:11	191:24
66:24 157:12	2:12	137:25 145:21	acknowledge
157:12,12	617-523-8300	156:1 162:19	23:6
41 15:3 20:15	2:17	absolutely 48:4	acknowledged
76:14	617-725-8050	87:14 91:6,9	23:16
42 77:1	2:6	125:10 161:14	acquiesced
43 3:3 20:15	7	175:16 193:5	32:22
77:11,25	-	196:12	act 118:23
48 65:25	75 46:8	acceptable	actually 26:14
4:00 47:12	8	72:24	29:8 39:23,24
4:30 36:2	8th 22:24 86:8	accepted 7:10	79:11 145:24
5	132:9 133:5	access 131:16	161:2
5 112:3 150:1	137:17 163:2	accessed	ada 59:10 68:8
50 24:17,19	185:3 198:20	166:19	69:6 83:8
122:14		accommodate	92:25 100:23
508 51:13		29:21 38:15	101:2,21
		accompany	106:12,22,25
		22:10 78:5	108:17 109:23

110:15 112:5,8	admit 6:17	afraid 133:24	39:17 103:12
	admit 0.17 admits 41:16	137:18	127:19
,	admits 41.10 admitted 52:18	afternoon 12:8	agreeing 31:25
158:2,23	71:7	28:21 47:4,6,11	161:24 164:14
· /	advance 197:14	47:25 48:21	agreement 9:3
	advance 197.14	66:15,16 84:10	12:2 56:18
204:14,15,20	182:21	102:14 116:4	86:23 103:17
, ,	advice 151:18	116:23 151:5	
			177:13,16
	advised 14:7,14	agent 30:8	178:13
adamant 31:24	22:4 31:1,13	31:10 40:5,13	agreements
191:4,5	125:18	87:22 151:3	178:17
	advocacy	181:16,19,25	ahead 49:18
189:17	106:23 107:1	agents 26:10	139:21
	advocate 29:13	30:1 38:8	alert 67:14
125:20,22	40:17 46:6,7,11	40:21 77:23	alleged 24:24
address 103:6	46:23 55:20	105:10 111:19	28:1
addressing	56:4 90:24	112:9,12,24	allow 11:2
155:21	116:18 121:6,8	113:6,9 114:2	13:23,24 22:2
adjourned	121:21 122:20	114:14	65:7 71:21
206:19,21	123:6 168:24	aggrieved	75:13 77:16
adjudicated 9:3	174:5 191:1	204:18,21,24	89:21,22
administer	advocate's	ago 25:10 36:6	117:23 202:18
37:14	122:11	46:15 112:21	203:1,16
administration a	advocated	119:19 142:23	allowed 16:25
23:24	105:7	143:22 144:2	22:11 30:2
administrative a	advocates 91:2	144:12,19	60:17 63:18
14:5 22:23	advocating	148:16 164:7	64:21 73:25
30:17 58:18	85:2 159:17,20	183:8,9 190:9	75:2 82:11
191:10	162:11	agree 56:8 86:1	104:9 165:25
admissible	affairs 45:23	102:11 109:13	205:18
70:21,23,25	affect 64:22	150:23,25	allowing 22:17
admission	72:5 73:15	158:22	aloud 76:22
137:12	affirmative	agreed 9:2,6	alternative
	186:23	16:20 29:2	15:23 69:2

		1	1
158:3,9	apart 69:7	50:2,3 65:17	151:6 190:5
amendment	158:25	76:15 152:20	204:4
84:22 85:12	apologize	187:19	architect 27:7
amount 145:22	158:20	apply 30:25	area 9:16 13:3
amplified 118:6	app007 153:7	appointed	34:9 51:6 54:8
angry 81:9	app033 156:19	10:23 29:12	57:15 79:13
101:2 108:6	app040 157:12	31:14 55:20	91:13 118:14
113:2,5,6,18	app043 202:13	56:2 178:3	154:11 162:20
115:13	app33 157:4	appointment	163:4,6 169:10
announced	app40 157:4	29:19 172:18	185:5,7,24
20:16 21:2	apparently	approach 51:7	186:1 198:23
answer 41:18	33:7 57:10,16	66:17 125:14	198:24 199:3,5
89:23 130:13	58:1 60:13	191:23 192:2	205:11
182:16 197:23	61:17	approached	areas 13:24
200:1	appeals 45:20	36:18 55:14	arguable 85:4
answerable	appearance	170:4,6 172:15	argue 55:24
181:23	7:18 9:19	173:2 174:22	168:4
answered	14:15 66:14	182:22	argued 128:3
125:9	71:2 130:4,5	appropriate	arguing 68:23
answers 149:9	163:11 168:19	72:24 90:21	158:1 159:22
150:4 189:14	171:11	105:6	162:21 182:22
anticipated	appearances	approval 28:1	argument 20:9
53:3	2:1	105:22	72:12 85:4
anybody 27:18	appeared 10:9	approved 28:7	173:3,4
63:7 139:14	11:13 58:5	36:23	arizona 45:19
147:18 166:17	113:4 151:10	approximately	arm 61:21
177:1 183:17	191:8	55:8	arraigned 34:2
183:19 184:17	appears 15:6	april 8:19	arraignment
191:23 192:1	appendices	26:17 28:10	21:16
anymore 82:11	6:18,22 50:5	46:14,18 53:6	arrange 13:4
122:1	appendix 6:19	54:12 103:10	116:6 155:19
anytime 87:9	7:1 9:7,11,12	122:2 123:14	arranged 114:3
anyway 162:24	13:18 15:2	129:5,6,22	134:16
	16:21 20:15	130:14 132:12	

arrangement	asking 41:21	attempt 137:10	117:8 126:7
141:3,5,8	60:2 78:22	184:6 188:17	132:4,6 133:2
177:11	110:19 143:4	188:21 189:2	142:24 159:1
arrangements	149:2 163:24	attempting	189:7 193:8,11
170:15	195:12 203:7	191:6	194:3 196:1
arrested 75:20	aspects 190:11	attention 15:2	attorney's 25:8
97:24	assert 85:8	21:23 23:25	110:3 139:4
arrived 179:3,4	asserted 85:13	65:18 76:24	141:16 145:1
arthur 174:1,8	assigned 8:17	96:11 110:20	attorneys 4:10
174:10,18	46:25	115:8 188:14	133:8
asked 13:12	assignment	attorney 8:3,4	audible 76:21
14:17 16:24	42:19 180:6	9:4 10:23 11:2	audience 51:15
22:7,9 23:5	assignments	11:5,11,12,12	52:23 55:14
29:5 30:9	121:22 122:7,8	11:15,16 12:1,9	62:2
34:21 35:3,14	assistance 99:3	13:1 14:15,16	audio 167:25
37:5 40:17	assistant 11:25	14:18 15:24	august 207:13
41:18,19,24	17:10 18:9	16:4,11,24 17:9	authentic 191:9
55:16 60:14	19:2 20:23	17:10,19 18:3,9	authorities
64:7 78:4	59:7 68:22,25	19:2 20:16,22	23:23 132:9
80:17 93:3	79:9 89:4	20:24 21:10	133:10 134:13
96:14 108:15	94:21 102:15	26:18 31:19	144:18 146:1
112:3,4 125:9	193:7 194:3	33:6 38:16	146:14 148:20
125:12 130:2	assisted 10:25	55:22 56:8	164:17 176:4
130:25 143:15	associated 11:8	59:8 62:8	183:10 185:3
143:19 146:8	assume 94:10	66:13,15,18,20	185:20 197:2
150:11,23	104:24 126:22	68:5,8,11,13,23	198:21 200:5
156:12 159:18	129:4 131:1	68:24,25 69:4,8	200:25 201:3,9
160:23 161:24	133:14 139:9	69:12 70:1,6	authority
162:19 164:24	assumed	79:9,22 81:10	176:14
165:2,19,22,24	128:25	84:23 85:23	available 5:11
174:4,12	assuming	86:8,21 87:12	178:20,22
185:22 187:2,3	145:24 152:20	89:4 90:2,17	avoid 12:10
197:17 198:1,8	attached 69:6	94:21 102:16	13:6 15:22
206:10	158:24 168:16	107:16,23	33:12 45:15

64:10 65:1	80:2,7 82:21	196:14,24	122:20 123:6
68:22 74:3	83:1 89:11	199:15	127:3 156:12
77:10 97:24	92:13 97:17	backed 60:13	156:13 157:16
105:2 157:25	98:2,6,19 99:1	background	164:10,20
avoided 80:2	99:4,5,12,17	45:1	165:1,4 166:3
avoiding 98:3,4	100:1,6,9,14	bad 15:16	168:24 169:9
105:10	102:12 103:13	68:17 157:21	174:5 183:14
aware 5:7 14:1	104:7,9,16,17	bag 41:4 63:5	190:16 192:5
19:19 32:8,12	105:2,15	99:5	197:7,18 198:3
34:14 57:8	107:14 108:1	bail 12:6 21:3	based 5:20
82:15 87:22	114:3,4,19	33:18 59:25	10:12 18:2
205:14,17	116:6,22	60:2 90:7 95:2	20:4 25:8
b	117:10,12,25	103:6 110:19	71:17 86:10
b 2:15 3:9	119:23 120:8	111:4	133:23
13:18 57:5	122:1,2,11	bailed 16:1	basement 9:17
152:20 153:7	125:20,23	69:9 159:2	63:18 73:25
ba 128:4	126:1,12	bank 141:19,20	basic 78:13
back 13:5,6	127:20 128:12	144:8,10 146:4	basically 63:19
16:25 17:3,24	129:5,17	146:6	110:17 126:20
18:1,6,8 20:14	135:21 140:18	banking 144:21	basics 83:7
20:14 23:18	144:7,18	bar 7:23 14:17	basis 13:3
24:11,16 26:25	145:25 146:4	14:20,23 17:14	23:21 26:1
29:19 31:20	148:3,5 150:14	19:11 29:13	70:19
33:18,19 34:1	151:5 152:23	39:20 41:10,15	bathroom
36:3,7,12 39:21	154:10 155:19	42:1,5 46:6,7	49:19
39:25 40:11	159:24 160:2,7	46:11,23 51:8	bc 45:18
41:22 46:13	160:14 163:10	51:14 55:20	beacon 2:4
53:21 54:8	163:20 165:16	56:4 63:4	bears 5:25
56:1 63:1,22	166:4,5,23	66:21,22 67:8	began 14:21
65:5 69:21	170:12 172:19	92:23 93:3,8,8	56:22 57:21
74:15 75:5,22	174:19 185:2	93:24 94:24	58:5,8 133:14
76:2,7,9,18	185:11,11	101:23 106:13	beginning 15:5
77:6,8 79:1,12	186:24 187:17	116:17 121:5,8	76:19 172:12
77.0,0 77.1,12	190:18 195:18	121:21 122:11	

begins 68:2	180:24 181:23	better 39:7	blind 38:9
188:16	182:16 186:3	48:1 55:25	blissful 27:20
behalf 23:15	193:14,16,22	73:7	blowup 117:24
26:11 66:14,17	198:15 199:2	beyond 56:16	blue 51:5
90:22 107:25	201:1,4,7,13,21	126:7	board 127:3
believe 20:20	202:20 203:9	big 27:12	bob 84:18
21:11 25:5,8	204:5 205:12	biggest 137:23	bogdanow 2:15
47:3 51:4	believed 18:3	billerica 16:1	book 37:19
56:14,14,20	87:16,20 99:11	69:10 159:2	65:17
57:5 60:18,24	164:25 167:13	160:3,15	boss 99:20
67:10 74:23,25	167:14,14	binder 6:20	141:4 142:19
75:3,6,21 77:2	197:17	50:4	167:19 177:3,3
78:12 79:3	believes 25:4	biometric	177:4 191:7
80:17,24 82:9	bench 6:20	15:14 68:15	boston 1:18 2:5
84:21 86:22	25:2 47:21	73:23 191:12	2:11,17 24:23
87:11,15,18	52:3,6 93:9,17	birth 62:3,5	45:22 114:8
90:12 98:24	124:4,20	191:8	bostwick 10:24
100:4 102:6	best 15:19	bit 40:22 44:19	11:2,5,12,15
104:5 106:21	41:17 63:12	46:15 47:5,25	29:12 31:14,19
112:2,11	68:20 74:5	48:3 49:19	56:2,7 60:18,23
113:12 119:21	75:3 79:17	67:2,12 91:13	79:22 116:15
120:16 122:4	90:25 91:1	117:24 128:24	116:17 117:7
123:21,22	112:13,17	151:12,16	118:13 119:1
127:21 129:8	136:20 143:9	158:18 164:7	119:11,15,17
135:23 136:4	147:11 148:12	166:10 168:14	120:4,24
136:20 138:15	150:18 157:23	172:19 189:11	168:24 170:20
143:2 145:13	163:20 170:11	196:20,25	172:10,17
145:16,17,23	171:20,22	black 2:15 51:5	173:7 178:3
149:1,12	173:3 179:19	blank 178:24	180:17 193:17
150:13,20	183:2	blessed 128:10	193:19 194:3
160:23 163:15	beth 13:10	blessing 18:4	bostwick's
164:11 167:17	22:22 29:25	75:21,25 102:2	55:21
168:3,23 169:3	36:19	116:10 120:1	bottom 76:14
169:5 175:4		139:25	156:23

box 50:16	51:18 54:22	candid 23:22	110:9 121:10
195:24 199:11	55:1 153:12	candor 23:13	121:16 122:7
boyle 2:15	154:2,8,10,15	41:13	122:15 123:9
break 11:5	building 117:21	caption 207:10	128:15 129:7
12:14 13:8	180:19 192:9	car 79:21 120:6	167:13 173:14
14:13 44:23	bunch 29:23	card 76:5 78:12	173:22 175:20
48:20 61:7	burden 5:25	138:17	cash 143:12
62:11 64:11	business 109:8	career 137:21	144:1,7,9,22,25
92:3,5 103:16	109:17	137:22	145:8,11,14
103:18 147:5	busy 16:8	carefully 42:3	148:9 149:10
147:11,17,20	c	carry 176:5	150:15 189:22
170:12 171:25	c 57:5 207:1,1	178:19	caught 74:16
172:4,11,13,14	calendar 54:25	carrying	cause 177:23
172:16 179:12	call 5:22 42:25	178:17	caused 114:16
179:13 181:11	76:5 116:4	case 7:16 10:22	165:3 198:2
181:13 182:23	136:12 152:16	10:25 14:13	cell 58:21 78:11
193:25	188:14	29:11 32:15	center 58:23,23
brief 49:14	called 10:22	42:10,12 53:16	central 7:21
80:24 100:4	11:1 14:14	56:8,23 65:20	certain 9:21
130:1 134:8	20:4 28:22	66:1,12 67:10	76:24 95:22,23
179:22 194:20	30:17 65:20	82:2 87:10	96:21 165:20
briefcase 41:3	66:1 84:9	88:6 107:18,20	165:21 190:11
briefing 194:23	132:4,6 133:2	122:9,14 131:9	certainly 32:19
briefly 66:17	133:17 134:10	152:23 153:1	72:8,16 86:3
79:15 80:1	134:20 136:15	167:16 168:11	88:24 111:3
98:8	139:5 152:23	168:14,18,18	117:2 128:7,7,8
bring 13:19	153:4 200:12	171:5,12,13	164:13 174:17
33:18 38:9	calling 133:9	172:18,21	certificate 62:3
48:15 66:10	calls 29:11	173:19,19	191:8
187:17 188:2	cambridge 2:16	176:3,23	certify 207:6
brooks 2:9	45:21	182:22 195:4	chair 51:4 63:3
brought 9:17	campaign	200:6	chairs 62:23
14:19 17:16	45:24	cases 10:5 47:2	challenge 46:16
42:14 50:17		81:23 90:7	

		10.00.00	.
chambers	145:15,17,24	48:25 57:21	clerkship 45:19
80:18 81:22	146:2,10,12,15	68:20 89:14,20	client 11:20,23
82:2 123:23	146:18 148:10	111:1,14	12:10,16,24
124:1	149:10 150:17	118:18 144:2	13:5 14:18
chance 64:9	150:20 176:17	145:18 150:14	15:17 17:22,24
88:6,16,19	189:22	157:24 203:12	26:25 27:5
105:25	checked 54:23	cleared 63:22	34:22 38:16
change 61:11	54:24 177:1,2	clearer 34:1	47:1 52:19,20
174:15	193:20	clearly 57:6	53:4,8 62:10
changed 27:24	checks 141:17	61:22 105:17	65:5 66:20
29:23 73:9	chief 22:24	clerk 13:8 14:7	68:17 71:16
190:2,18	23:14 37:5	14:11,14,17	72:10 75:10
chapter 153:10	38:1,5 143:23	16:5,7,10,12	77:7 85:2
charge 10:11	chow 142:13	17:11 21:15,17	90:23,25 96:24
12:5 15:9 21:3	circle 105:20	21:18,24 22:3,7	97:11 98:25
41:14 57:9	circumstances	22:7 23:7	103:24 106:1
59:15,17,24	20:6 36:20	29:24 30:9	106:23 107:2,9
60:2	citizen 62:6	31:8,13 32:21	107:16,23,25
charged 24:25	civil 153:17	32:21 34:3	116:6 117:8
49:1 57:2	cjc 5:16 36:14	47:15 50:13	126:7 127:19
charges 5:16	cjc's 5:11	64:19 66:9,11	128:3 129:17
6:1 9:5 10:11	claim 107:25	66:19 70:3,4	153:23 154:2
10:14,16 11:17	110:22	73:13 75:2	156:2 157:15
12:6 21:4	claimed 55:14	77:12 84:12	157:22 159:17
41:13 42:14	58:21	115:19 125:12	159:20 162:15
49:2 59:18,19	claiming 71:9	129:11 131:24	166:1 169:22
59:22 60:3	clarify 33:4	140:24 166:5	190:17,22
67:11	clarifying	166:10 180:13	191:1 195:19
chatted 40:15	202:10	181:4 202:14	195:20
check 53:18	class 57:5	203:13	client's 11:18
56:14 141:13	clean 187:25	clerk's 49:17	clients 47:22,23
141:25 142:20	188:1	51:4 83:23	48:21,24 98:7
143:20,24	clear 6:1 15:20	115:20,24	192:15
144:9 145:10	21:5 42:15	129:10 192:11	

alin (0.1 (0.10	a a 10.0	120.25.25	a a J a 4 1.2 2.2
clip 68:1 69:18	coming 40:8	138:25,25	conduct 1:2 2:2
70:11 76:19	52:22 112:5	139:12	2:3 4:15,18
close 45:11	129:3 132:25	completed	5:22 26:19
95:10,15	160:7 166:14	170:17	87:19 90:22
closer 25:14	196:1	completely	conduct's 4:6
134:11 158:18	comment 96:14	35:15 137:3	conducted 4:4
171:10	158:7 161:23	164:12	5:3,14 16:14
closing 20:8	comments	completing	conference
42:17	125:16	8:16	14:20 35:12
code 5:21	commission 1:2	complex 67:9	81:24,25 92:23
coffee 48:15,20	2:2,3 4:5,15,17	complicated	192:5,6 195:3
151:17,22	5:25 6:11	34:23 67:15	conferences
collected 76:3	23:16,19,21	complied 32:25	81:23 82:10,17
color 51:4	207:24	compound	confidence
come 22:2	committed	128:24	126:25
28:19 29:19	145:12	computer	confirm 141:17
31:20 33:19	committee 46:1	54:25	144:8
34:19 40:7	common 81:17	conceived 27:9	confirmed
47:21 53:1	commonwealth	concern 12:23	20:24
77:16 82:7	1:1 10:7 29:2	60:8,10	conforming
84:14 89:11	66:12 71:12	concerned 30:7	165:11
98:2,6 125:12	114:12	61:24 62:9,15	conforms
155:22 159:24	communication	63:14 73:20	165:14
160:2,14	195:14	120:24 123:13	confront
166:20,23	communicati	133:15 148:22	119:13
167:1 172:19	195:13	concerning 4:6	connect 12:3
174:18 202:18	community	concerns 41:20	connected
203:2,17	183:13	111:5	15:16 61:19
206:11	company 177:7	conclude 5:19	68:16 157:20
comes 16:17	complaint 1:4	concluded 5:18	connection 4:5
	_		88:5
53:4.8 106:13	4:6	22:12 85:11	00.3
53:4,8 106:13 comfortable	4:6 complete 27:11	22:12 85:11 condition 87:5	
comfortable	complete 27:11	condition 87:5	consider
,			

consistent	35:8 51:8 59:3	convince 33:9	121:6,18,22,23
153:10	60:7 61:1,4	33:12,21	121:25 122:22
consists 7:1	62:12,17 63:6	convinced	122:23 123:1,3
conspiracy	63:10,23,24	12:17 15:12	123:4,15,17,24
138:13	64:1 65:15	33:9 68:13	124:21 127:1,6
constituted	67:13 72:21	157:18	129:19 130:5
85:6	77:9 79:14,16	convincing 6:1	132:10 133:5
consult 35:1,3	79:25 80:13,23	42:16	133:20 134:14
consulted 14:4	81:2,4 82:21,22	cooperate	134:17,18
contact 151:7	83:1,2 100:3,4	23:23 87:7	135:25 136:13
169:4,6	101:23 102:3	copy 5:10 8:6	137:4,7,12,13
contacted	102:15 103:2	30:24 187:25	138:1 139:8
134:13	103:14,15	188:1	140:11,25
containing 5:18	105:16 106:16	core 83:15	141:11 142:13
contemporan	108:19,23	140:10,11,12	144:11 148:16
39:3	109:5 112:24	cori 194:9,10	148:25 149:5
contest 9:2	117:19 118:12	194:11	149:20 151:8
context 32:4	119:5 123:22	corner 9:10	151:19,22
continue 91:14	124:3 126:11	15:4 50:7	154:4,8,11
91:19,20 122:9	127:9,18	correct 46:4	155:1,3 156:3,8
continued 46:7	130:21 134:17	59:20 79:4	156:10,11
56:16 200:15	139:23 162:6	84:2,4 93:4,5,6	158:10,15
continues 27:20	162:10 164:9	93:10,11,20,22	159:15,25
continuing	164:20 170:13	93:25 94:1,8,9	161:5,7,11,18
109:14	179:22,24	94:11,12,14,19	162:7,20,24
control 19:1	182:10 187:6	94:22,24 95:4,7	163:6,9,11,14
65:11 75:14	190:17 193:10	95:21,22 96:11	163:21,25
controlled	193:18 194:20	96:17,18 97:8,9	164:10 165:10
127:24	197:7 202:14	99:21 101:12	165:12,17,21
convened 31:22	conversations	103:6 104:4,23	167:21 168:25
conversation	23:9 119:15	106:5,7 107:2	169:14 171:8
14:23 17:17	129:4,24	111:23 114:20	172:6 174:1
18:19 19:6,11	158:12 193:6	116:15,23	176:5,8 177:1
19:15,22 20:13	198:16	118:16 120:6	177:11 178:15

179:21 181:19	150:2 152:19	31:21 32:7	178:3 180:13
182:11 183:5	157:7 163:13	33:1 37:3,5,11	193:8 195:18
183:12 184:8	163:16 164:23	37:11 38:2,14	195:23 196:10
184:19,24	177:20 178:12	45:19 46:20	196:14 200:10
185:7,12 186:5	184:3 187:10	47:2,4,5,11	202:16 203:14
186:16,19	197:15 198:6	48:19,24 51:16	204:15
189:16,20	205:20	51:19 53:5,12	courthouse 5:4
190:4,6 195:6	count 61:15	53:24 54:13,23	5:6 8:24 9:17
197:8,20 198:5	counts 138:10	61:4 62:12,20	9:24 18:8 19:7
198:25 199:17	county 5:5 16:2	64:19 65:10	22:18 26:22
199:19,25	couple 38:5,8	66:9 71:13	30:8 34:15
200:10,13,19	67:2 114:7	73:24 74:20	36:1 37:8 48:5
201:17	124:22 202:10	75:15 76:10	48:8,10,12
correcting	course 21:14	77:13 78:1	49:10,14 53:8
88:15	24:9 29:21	79:4 80:8,11	79:6,8 81:7
correctly 57:3	54:19 149:5	91:21 92:24	104:18 117:25
58:20 69:15	153:16 164:3	98:5 110:15,16	118:15 128:13
70:8 97:19	183:6,7,8,17,20	113:9 115:16	129:22,23
counsel 4:14	183:22 190:13	115:22 116:14	131:15 154:22
6:6,7,11,14	199:10	118:11 119:2	175:8 192:10
16:15 18:15	court 8:2,7,9,10	121:2,14,18,25	201:24 202:1,2
24:2,4 26:8	8:11,13,18,21	122:6,9,17,18	204:1
28:6 29:5,13	9:15,18,19,20	122:25 123:11	courthouses
30:19,22 31:1	10:10 11:4,9,9	124:19 126:11	13:23
31:14,17 35:2	11:14 12:21	129:1,3,5,7	courtroom 9:18
41:16 42:23,24	13:9,14,15,17	130:4 143:24	9:22,23 10:1,3
43:8 46:1	13:21 14:2,6	152:25 153:9	12:13 13:12
60:20 63:3	16:15 17:6,16	153:11,12,13	14:12,20 17:4
95:20 96:13	18:5 20:15	153:14,22	18:18 21:6,9
108:13 111:23	21:25 22:6,13	154:3,15	25:20 30:2,5
112:14 125:17	23:22,24 24:17	155:10 160:7	34:20 35:6
135:25 147:15	26:9,23,25	166:18 167:9	40:4 41:1
148:15,18	28:11,13,17	168:8 169:8	47:19 49:18
149:14,17	30:18,22 31:14	175:13 176:8	50:12 51:23,25

52:4,7 53:14,24	credit 20:10	166:12 191:13	42:4,14 43:2,6
58:14 60:18	crime 118:24	191:14 195:10	43:12 66:13,16
62:18,19 63:7	139:15 192:19	195:20	66:16
64:7,13,15,21	crimes 138:4,6	custom 9:19	dawley 22:24
74:21 75:3,11	criminal 8:4	10:17 17:6	23:14 38:1,5,20
75:19 79:11,13	9:5,15 24:18	cuts 54:25	39:4 41:23
93:14 97:7,7	49:1 71:6	d	dawley's 38:13
99:12,14,24,25	82:16 90:15	d 3:1	38:21,23
100:2,6,9,13,25	118:23 194:11	da 50:14 106:4	day 8:22 10:24
101:17 103:21	194:12	107:19 114:16	26:6 28:12
112:9,12,22,23	crompton 1:22	184:7,11,13	36:1,17 54:16
112:25 116:23	207:5,22	da's 110:10	54:18,22,24
121:12 124:11	cropped 29:24	184:9	55:2,6 56:5,17
124:24 128:17	cross 3:4 25:7	data 60:13	75:1 79:2,3
129:11 145:1	25:24 86:4	61:18 73:23	80:7,20 81:7
154:22 155:17	88:25 92:18	191:12	91:17 98:21
164:5,20	196:22	database 58:8	103:10 111:10
166:21 170:9	crowded 24:10	data 10:6 22:4	114:14 116:13
170:10,12	crystallize	86:11,22 90:12	116:14 117:6
171:2 180:22	61:14	98:6 128:14	120:14 124:18
181:14 193:23	csr 1:22	169:16 195:3,3	125:8 128:16
197:7 203:3	custody 9:16,22	207:9	128:20 129:5,6
204:18	10:20,21 12:8	david 3:2 11:11	134:22 143:12
courtrooms	12:11,19,24	15:12 17:9	151:7 159:25
30:6	13:25 19:20	18:24 20:10	160:2,7,14
courts 110:6	34:8,8 50:18	26:18,19,22	167:13,16,24
122:20 154:19	51:18 52:23	27:4,8,17,23	168:12,18,22
cover 86:3	53:5,9,10 59:11	28:24 29:4	169:8 173:14
coverage 5:9	59:12 60:4,5	31:17 32:2,24	173:23 175:20
cpcs 45:20 46:1	62:7,8 74:3	33:14,20 34:21	175:21,22
81:21	103:25 129:2	35:3,13,17	178:2,14,21
credibility 20:5	143:18 152:3,4	36:18 39:13,24	179:1 182:20
85:20	153:9,12 154:8	40:10,25 41:9	190:22,24
	154:16,21,22	10.10,23 71.7	191:4 192:18
	•		

194:17 195:16	defendant's	deposit 146:7	153:18 158:24
200:21 204:15	41:3 55:19	146:10	159:2
204:16,19	defendants	depth 67:13	detaining 59:5
207:13	9:15 34:7	describe 19:23	159:7 191:17
days 22:20 38:8	50:17 154:21	described	detector 49:17
46:24,24	defender 46:4	52:11 164:2	detention 58:22
124:22 136:8	defense 8:4	describes 39:5	58:23
142:5	18:15 20:22	description	determination
deal 26:23,24	26:8 47:14	3:10	49:2
119:22 126:18	50:15 72:22	descriptions	determinations
195:16	82:1 85:3	61:20	71:17
dealt 109:3	169:12 183:14	desk 51:3,6,19	determine
173:18	degree 42:15	62:21 100:24	58:11
december	demanded	desktop 178:25	determined
111:25 142:22	177:10	despite 27:8	59:10
148:15 163:14	denial 11:18	32:11	developed 29:1
164:23 189:10	denials 23:20	detail 80:21	developing
197:16	denied 19:21	199:22 204:25	153:1
decided 31:5	23:17	205:2	deviant 153:21
decision 13:17	denies 15:17	detailed 136:5	deviating
31:3	68:18 157:22	136:18	153:21
declare 146:12	denis 1:12 4:8	details 42:7	dialogue 111:6
defendant 9:21	denotes 150:21	143:13 179:24	diary 54:24
14:19 16:24	150:24	198:13	difference 20:1
17:1,15 18:6,12		detain 16:3	different 26:4
18:21 19:6,9	105:25	63:16 69:13	41:20 47:18
21:6 22:4,14,17	denying 144:22	70:14 159:5	51:4 61:19
23:18 25:3	department	161:20 191:6	69:5 115:4
26:7 33:24	45:22 116:1	detained 154:6	151:4 162:5
34:24 35:16,21	154:4 196:13	159:16,19,23	163:8 180:10
51:16 75:7,22	depending	detainer 10:18	182:5 186:12
83:16 125:23	175:15	11:17,22 15:25	189:18 198:17
163:5 185:6,25	depends 41:25	18:13 57:16,20	201:25
	52:22 95:25	58:10 69:6,9	

difficult 84:25	56:6,15 59:7	18:9 19:2	document 62:4
difficulty 47:9	60:22 74:8,11	20:23 24:17	documents
direct 3:3 15:2	82:12 102:25	26:9 28:11,13	10:8 146:15
43:9 65:18	107:17 119:1	30:18,22 32:7	177:14 179:4
118:19	165:4 170:17	33:1 46:20	194:6
directed 13:11	198:3	54:13 59:8	doing 11:19
14:11 127:25	discussions	68:22,25 71:13	16:8 29:15
191:11	54:20	79:9 80:7 81:9	39:7 41:17
direction	dishonest 107:1	89:4 90:2	46:11 51:1
174:25 175:3	dishonesty	92:24 94:21	66:20 90:17
directly 23:6	107:8	102:16 110:2	94:13 102:8
30:25 82:3	disintegrate	110:16 115:16	104:25 108:8
94:17 155:16	183:11	118:10 121:2	137:18 164:9
187:3,4	dismayed 80:5	121:18 122:17	177:22,24
disappearance	dismiss 12:5	122:25 124:19	192:18
129:16	21:2 60:1	153:22 154:3	dollars 56:15
disciplinary	dismissal 9:4	166:18 167:9	141:10 143:11
23:23	83:23 84:1	169:8 193:7,11	143:19 146:19
discipline 5:23	dismissed 15:7	194:3 200:9	178:5,9
5:24	15:8 59:16,18	disturbed	doodle 176:9
disciplined	67:11 68:4	18:14	doodling 176:8
37:24	90:6 110:18	division 45:23	door 10:3 15:19
discuss 67:14	160:10	divisions 8:18	17:4 22:17
81:23 82:2	displayed 9:8	dock 10:3 17:3	24:16 25:3
90:9 139:7	16:22	22:15 35:19	27:1 36:3 37:7
140:19	dispute 7:22	39:15 50:16	39:25 40:11
discussed 18:5	15:8 16:17	51:17,23,25,25	41:23 51:7,10
85:9 140:9	20:3 27:6	52:19,25 53:5,9	51:20,22 52:2
141:2 199:4	district 5:4 8:2	54:5	52:20,24 53:2
discussing	8:9,11,13,18,21	docket 10:10	53:13,20,23
163:23	9:15,20 10:10	28:15 54:23	54:8 63:22
discussion 7:23	11:13 12:1	83:23 168:17	65:10 68:19
16:14 17:8,18	13:9 14:6	doctor's 172:17	74:1 75:18
18:16 19:3	16:15 17:10		77:8,10 78:19

[door - esq] Page 16

98:15 99:2	162:19 165:8	early 81:15	employer 11:7
105:2 111:12	166:1 179:21	141:23	11:10 55:15,16
111:15,16,18	195:25 199:16	easily 179:1	62:3 141:7,13
116:6 120:11	dozen 25:1	easy 39:11	141:25 177:5,6
125:11,20	181:21	127:23	179:6
129:17 154:10	draw 76:24	eaten 48:22	emulvey 2:12
157:23 163:21	drive 175:6	edge 72:23 91:5	enclosed 10:2
186:24 195:24	driving 57:12	91:9,10 105:5,6	endanger
196:15 199:16	dropped 24:24	105:8,8 192:24	191:15
doors 41:1	drove 120:8	193:2,3	ended 116:14
98:21	drug 10:14	effect 18:2,23	enforce 114:22
double 157:8	12:6 21:4 47:2	18:25 19:17	enforcement
doubt 158:14	47:5,10 48:19	23:3 81:1	10:17 114:7,20
158:16	48:24 59:19,22	86:14 87:1	114:22 137:1
downstairs	60:3 80:11	89:3,13 108:16	engaged 118:23
10:2 13:2,5	121:25 122:6,9	153:1 204:9	english 35:17
16:25 17:2,25	123:11 156:5	efficient 206:5	180:4
18:7 21:12,13	drunk 57:12	effort 19:4	entirely 34:25
22:10 26:25	dry 115:11	54:21 57:18	148:22 172:11
27:5 35:9,14	due 87:19	efforts 58:11	entity 137:11
53:16,17 56:20	dunn 189:24	139:4 152:25	188:22,25
63:20 64:6,9,25	duties 13:24	eight 183:8,9	189:3
65:12 74:1,15	duty 10:23	either 11:24	entries 168:17
75:8,9,10,13	46:24 56:4	128:25 139:10	entry 9:24
76:1 77:3,4,6	114:11 125:6	181:19,20	entryway 49:15
77:22,24 78:5	e	187:5	eric 14:22
78:17 96:25	e 3:1,9 38:7	elevator 49:20	17:13 19:10
98:22,24 99:8	39:3 207:1,1	196:8	escape 97:23
99:11 103:13	earlier 5:2 21:8	elizabeth 2:9	escaping 98:3
104:6,9 105:16	81:15,20	4:22 10:24	escort 25:2
107:13 125:23	102:12 105:4	29:12 31:14	escorted 22:14
126:1 153:24	192:23 194:9	else's 164:12	196:9
155:2,8,14,19	195:4 198:14	embarrassed	esq 1:12 2:4,9
156:2 162:15	170.1170.11	40:21	2:10,15 3:2
			

[essence - fact] Page 17

	I		
essence 13:21	97:6 147:8	excused 205:25	extra 47:9,10
essentially 17:1	evidence 6:2,17	executed 27:15	49:6 122:7,7,8
39:7 40:12	7:4 12:3 13:18	exhibits 3:10	\mathbf{f}
41:14 47:8,12	25:22 26:13	3:12 7:9	f 207:1
50:25 51:10	42:13,16 52:14	exit 9:24 10:2,4	fabrican 44:13
60:12 63:25	140:5 152:21	52:4,7	fabricant 2:3
73:2 77:7	187:24 188:3	expected 13:4	3:3,5 4:13,14
86:16 87:7	evolved 42:6	31:20 32:16	6:8,10 7:7,11
162:21 163:17	ex 36:19 124:15	98:6	7:15 43:1,10,24
163:22,25	exact 109:7	expecting 143:5	44:7,10 45:7
165:6 166:11	149:16 171:7	experience 39:5	·
166:14	171:16	46:20 123:9,11	50:21 52:13 70:22 71:10
establish 5:15	exactly 67:20	experienced	76:17 85:17
established	73:12 94:4	32:21	86:5 88:12,17
5:20 14:8	149:14,24	expert 138:14	· / /
25:10 88:22	165:11 176:3	expires 207:24	89:1,7,22 91:25
ethical 90:23	181:8 200:20	explain 58:18	111:23 118:4
91:5,9 107:3,12	examination	114:15 139:14	139:19 142:24
127:4 192:24	3:3,4,5,6 43:9	202:25 204:13	145:19 150:22
193:2,4	92:18 187:12	204:24	181:24 187:11
ethically	196:22	explained	187:13,16,22
177:12	examined 25:7	36:21 42:7	188:7 196:16
evening 120:17	25:24	148:21 156:6	197:22 202:7
120:18	example 53:19	189:24	205:21,24
event 31:12	except 152:5	explanation	206:3
34:17 132:12	184:17	32:2	face 61:21
190:5	exceptions 9:21	explicitly 28:7	94:17
events 91:14	54:10	expressed	faced 10:11
172:22	exchange 18:3	12:22 62:14	57:1
everybody 25:4	39:3 77:18,20	103:23	facing 10:3
26:7 30:21	78:13 97:14	extensive 20:17	51:16 53:14
31:4 37:5,7	exclude 30:8	78:8	118:15
39:17 40:20	excuse 48:7	extent 39:15	fact 14:21
45:5 73:3 76:9	90:5 112:22	48:11 76:6	25:10 29:3

[fact - first] Page 18

32:21 37:16	family 137:25	185:20 192:25	financial
38:4 41:23	far 16:16 66:8	197:2 198:21	170:14
103:24 108:12	134:12 160:11	200:5,25 201:3	find 12:10
109:17 119:9	farther 166:10	201:9	23:20,21 30:15
128:12 133:17	fault 113:23	federally	39:14 40:23
138:10 142:4	fax 2:6,12	139:15	72:3 88:9
143:14 144:7	faxed 30:24	fee 56:18 141:3	findings 5:19
145:18 156:5,9	faxes 29:15	141:5,8 177:10	fine 7:6 21:14
167:23 172:24	fbi 15:15 20:17	178:13,17	22:2 73:5
177:17 182:13	58:7 60:16	feedback 25:14	77:15 186:24
182:25 191:25	61:16,17 68:16	43:20 45:16	188:4,10
factored 17:23	151:3 157:20	feel 44:21 48:2	202:17 203:8,9
facts 5:15,20	198:14	69:7 73:16	203:16
7:20 9:6 16:16	fear 120:21	132:19 148:22	fingerprint
16:20 26:19	133:1	158:24	58:2,8 60:13
83:15	february	feeling 114:25	61:18
fade 36:6	164:15,17	felt 72:16	finish 139:1
faded 190:12	197:3,12 201:9	115:12 155:18	197:22
failed 12:15	federal 16:20	164:13	finished 35:22
23:22,23	27:10 28:3	fewer 121:16	40:3
fair 30:21 31:4	36:13 85:5	fifth 84:22 85:9	firm 174:21,24
88:18 132:23	87:22 90:3,5,15	85:12	first 6:6,13
134:2 137:16	97:21 105:10	figure 15:23	10:22 13:9
fairly 52:9	108:15 109:6	31:18 40:18	14:9 15:11
fall 41:14 129:3	119:6,9,13	60:21 69:3	20:23 22:21,21
false 23:9,20	132:8 133:10	70:12 158:4	28:14 29:23
87:13 137:11	134:13 135:11	figured 33:11	31:9,11 36:19
188:22	138:4,6,7	41:8	37:17 42:11,25
falsely 188:25	143:16 144:17	filed 14:15	43:4 48:19
189:2	146:1,14	66:14 168:19	80:16 88:18
familiar 8:24	148:19 149:19	fill 98:23	102:15,20
28:17 48:5,8	163:1 164:16	finally 22:24	108:13 116:25
49:9 152:12	176:4,14	28:5 31:21	123:23 144:25
166:17	183:10 185:3	35:13 205:14	145:19,25

			,
148:19 150:5	formally 6:17	friend 38:25	67:10 68:6,21
150:11 151:6	formed 17:16	48:13 55:25	84:1 90:5
153:3 162:10	19:14 25:25	99:20 133:21	157:24 160:9
163:2 179:24	36:7,8,10	191:7	160:12,18
183:10 185:2	former 2:3	friendly 11:14	full 25:24 28:15
197:2 198:20	143:23	48:10,12 91:20	35:6 187:6
199:11,18	fortes 22:23	friends 34:11	194:22
five 28:12	23:3,10 37:13	55:19 62:2	fully 36:20
54:25 92:9	37:19,24 38:4	134:4 167:19	67:11 90:4
123:6 138:13	38:20 41:19	170:4,6 173:2	191:20
floor 123:23	forth 14:25	180:6	furious 40:22
199:12	forthright	front 15:19	further 18:16
focus 38:23	127:5	17:4 21:19	22:11 77:8,25
190:14	fortunately	22:19 28:23	78:5,6 86:20
focused 27:19	36:11	33:5 34:12	92:1 112:4
109:5,9	forward 39:7	37:7 40:4,12	196:19 202:8
folk 24:19	42:20 177:16	50:3,13 51:14	205:20,22
follow 13:13	found 34:24	51:23 53:20	future 39:8
14:8 31:6,9	82:10 130:6,10	63:16 64:8,16	g
66:3 127:16	130:15,23	65:1,4,17 67:23	g 15:2 20:15
140:2 151:23	146:9 181:9	67:24 68:19	65:17 76:15
154:1 181:22	200:14	69:17 74:2,14	156:21
185:13 187:10	four 8:13 123:6	74:18,24 76:23	gain 88:24
followed 78:17	178:8	77:23 111:11	gap 15:6 54:7
143:14	fragments	111:15,16,18	general 130:18
following 9:1	198:15	142:7 145:4	147:19 192:16
14:10 36:17	franklin 2:10	150:16 152:4	generally 64:6
112:6	frankly 30:5	163:19 192:8	121:14 177:20
foot 95:17	82:3	199:13 201:5	178:19
108:21	free 44:21	204:14	generically
foregoing 207:7	138:16	fugitive 10:12	75:9
form 56:12	frequently	15:9,20 20:21	gentleman
formal 5:15	11:13 81:21	21:2 57:3,9	20:20,21 57:23
47:18,20		59:15,17 60:2	20.20,21 37.23

59:11,12 61:20	49:21,22 52:24	196:24 205:18	107:13,14,18
64:5 73:25	53:16,20 54:2	goal 12:10	108:1 115:1
90:8 111:4	56:1 63:1	105:11,12	116:5 125:21
191:12	64:25 65:12	135:17	125:23 126:1
getting 25:14	67:8 69:21	god 25:4 125:7	127:19 128:25
55:25 74:3	70:2,6 71:7	goes 52:20	131:10,11
182:19	72:18,20 73:13	63:15 75:14	138:20,22,24
give 6:7 25:3	75:7,9 76:9,18	94:5 158:23	139:21,22
49:12,14 72:9	77:6,8 81:21	going 15:18	140:17 141:9
76:5 77:18	82:1 93:3,24	16:3 20:1 22:2	143:5 147:3,16
83:7 95:13	99:16 102:12	23:16 25:21	147:23 151:5
98:7 135:15	104:17 105:20	31:7 32:5,8,17	152:9 153:22
136:18 138:24	106:1,13 114:4	32:17 33:17,18	155:10 157:16
139:24 185:10	114:14 116:6	34:20 35:9	157:22 159:4
given 31:18	123:15 126:20	37:18 38:2,7,23	162:14,23
32:15 34:22	132:8,8,16	39:24 40:10,18	166:7 177:9,15
35:16 88:1	134:16 135:1	46:16 52:19	177:16,24
156:5 174:17	136:22 139:21	53:4 56:23	178:4 179:21
194:22	140:17 142:7	57:24 59:4	186:24 187:23
giving 44:6	144:7 150:14	60:1,21 62:6	190:18 192:2
glass 50:16	153:7,7,24	63:16 64:4	202:17 203:1
75:18 155:16	154:22 155:3,7	65:14,18 66:5	203:16 205:15
155:18 164:6	155:10,13	66:22 67:14	good 4:2,13,16
195:24 199:11	156:1,12	68:18 69:11,23	4:19,21,23 6:13
gloria 180:16	160:21 161:1,3	70:10,13 71:3	29:15 36:11
go 13:5 14:16	161:6,10	71:11,14,15,15	55:21,23 56:7
16:6,12,25 17:2	162:19 165:7	71:18,21 72:7,8	66:15,16
17:4,24 18:6	165:25 166:20	72:22 76:12,18	100:19 133:22
19:18 24:18	166:21,23	77:15,22 81:11	147:6 157:7
29:5 32:3,10,12	167:2,2 169:9	82:10 83:17	170:1 173:25
32:20 33:13	184:5,6 185:2	85:15,15,18,19	174:3,5,14
34:4,19 37:7	189:24,25	94:23 95:1,2,3	206:14
39:1,10 40:17	194:23 195:19	103:12,25	goodbye 111:7
41:21 48:11	195:23,25	105:2,9,18,25	

gotten 60:19	68:14 103:1	75:16,17 82:12	206:7
80:1 83:22	104:2,3 117:11	105:3,23 155:6	he'll 40:8 165:7
108:10 144:14	132:23 140:17	162:24 166:7	head 114:15
146:4	157:18 178:5	183:17,19,22	155:12 162:2
government	guys 113:17	183:23,23	190:10
27:10 28:3	114:3	185:9 192:6,12	headed 35:18
85:5 97:21	h	happened	hear 4:2 7:24
governs 154:19	h 3:9	16:19 17:7	16:7 17:8,14
grab 102:19	habit 176:7	23:5,11 26:14	18:14 19:12,14
grand 84:6,24	half 46:8 95:17	26:16 29:9	25:16,22 26:13
85:7,23 87:8	108:21 181:21	36:9,18 39:23	32:6,10 39:16
90:10 129:24	hall 40:5	41:11,15 42:1,5	42:11 44:5,10
130:5,6,16	hallway 31:11	75:5 78:16	69:18 85:18,19
131:2,16,18,21	101:19 112:23	80:20 83:8	94:6,11 123:13
131:24 136:8	hand 9:10 15:4	87:4 102:20	159:10 176:24
136:11 137:3	43:5 50:6 99:6	120:13,22	heard 19:10,16
141:24 142:4,7	142:15 201:22	123:14 124:10	23:3,4 25:5
143:16 144:3,4	207:13	125:7 128:21	28:20 30:3
145:9,10	handed 60:25	132:12 140:7	34:3,14 38:19
148:12 150:19	handful 28:14	155:5 181:14	40:10 57:14
163:11 167:12	151:20	182:6,9 183:16	64:17 66:8
189:5,19	handle 107:18	183:18,21	67:6,25 96:17
190:14 199:13	107:20	184:22,24	115:9 133:23
200:13 201:6	handled 35:25	185:8 190:5,15	134:24 156:14
gray 91:13	110:9	190:16 194:22	162:3 167:24
great 44:9,13	handles 121:24	204:7,8,16	180:21,21
45:14 80:21	hands 14:4	happening	hearing 1:9,11
115:18 118:2	55:23	77:19 82:8	4:1,4,9,25 5:4
204:25	hanging 41:4	130:24	5:14,17,20 7:5
guess 182:24	115:11	happens 16:17	7:8,13 24:1,8
guy 15:13 32:1	happen 18:20	33:1 75:11	25:11,17 27:4
33:10,13,21	22:12 27:5	86:3 156:4	40:2 42:22
41:22 60:10	60:4 65:8	hard 62:7,8	43:3,7,13,16,22
62:15 63:13	00.7 03.0	67:19,21 96:2	44:3,9,12,14

45:3,4,5,10,13 heffernan 13:10 22:22,25 hit 131:5 156:15 157:1,6 70:18 71:20 23:2,10 30:1 hodgkin 168:11 187:7 188:6,15 73:17 86:1 36:19 37:1,23 hodgkin 168:11 187:7 188:6,15 88:21 89:19,24 41:19 47:7,21 hold 15:24 196:20,23 92:4,8,13,17 80:14 82:22 33:17 69:3 202:5 205:23 93:13 94:3 83:1 123:3,5 70:13 158:4,9 206:5 95:13 96:2,21 125:25,25 holding 8:8 hope 15:21 102:13 105:1 125:25,25 home 120:8,10 157:25 117:22 118:8 126:2 128:9,17 120:16 122:18 hopeful 65:6 147:4,10,13,19 194:16 204:5 honest 23:22 hour 29:17,22 147:22 148:3,7 heffernan's 106:19,22,25 48:23 122:12 150:16 157:3,9 31:9 34:18 126:8 127:4 hours 29:10 155:18 182:2 held 111:4 126:8 127:4 hours 29:10 16:19,13,15 159:23 160:12 honestly 119:7 house 120:11 175:18 182:2 help 30:16 honest
70:18 71:20 23:2,10 30:1 hodgkin 168:11 187:7 188:6,15 73:17 86:1 36:19 37:1,23 168:18 188:24 196:19 88:21 89:19,24 41:19 47:7,21 hold 15:24 196:20,23 92:4,8,13,17 80:14 82:22 33:17 69:3 202:5 205:23 93:13 94:3 83:1 123:3,5 70:13 158:4,9 206:5 95:13 96:2,21 124:9 125:18 holding 8:8 hope 15:21 102:13 105:1 125:25,25 home 120:8,10 157:25 117:22 118:8 126:2 128:9,17 120:16 122:18 hopeful 65:6 147:4,10,13,19 194:16 204:5 honest 23:22 hour 29:17,22 147:22 148:3,7 heffernan's 106:19,22,25 48:23 122:12 178:4 158:17,21 held 111:4 126:8 127:4 hours 29:10 161:9,13,15 159:23 160:12 136:20 65:25 178:6 174:21 175:17 hello 151:25 honestly 119:7 howed 132:19 18
73:17 86:1 36:19 37:1,23 168:18 188:24 196:19 88:21 89:19,24 41:19 47:7,21 hold 15:24 196:20,23 92:4,8,13,17 80:14 82:22 33:17 69:3 202:5 205:23 93:13 94:3 83:1 123:3,5 70:13 158:4,9 206:5 95:13 96:2,21 124:9 125:18 holding 8:8 hope 15:21 102:13 105:1 125:25,25 147:8 68:22 72:9 117:22 118:8 126:2 128:9,17 home 120:8,10 157:25 126:16 145:4 184:15,21 120:16 122:18 hopeful 65:6 147:4,10,13,19 194:16 204:5 honest 23:22 hour 29:17,22 147:22 148:3,7 heffernan's 106:19,22,25 48:23 122:12 150:16 157:3,9 31:9 34:18 107:4 114:13 178:4 158:17,21 held 111:4 126:8 127:4 hours 29:10 161:9,13,15 159:23 160:12 136:20 65:25 178:6 174:21 175:17 hello 151:25 honestly 119:7 how'd 132:19 184:11 187:9 44:18 46:25 honesty 127:1 how'd 132:19 188:4,10 72:10,15 73:7 honor 4:20 4:17 <
88:21 89:19,24 41:19 47:7,21 hold 15:24 196:20,23 92:4,8,13,17 80:14 82:22 33:17 69:3 202:5 205:23 93:13 94:3 83:1 123:3,5 70:13 158:4,9 206:5 95:13 96:2,21 124:9 125:18 holding 8:8 hope 15:21 102:13 105:1 125:25,25 147:8 68:22 72:9 117:22 118:8 126:2 128:9,17 home 120:8,10 157:25 126:16 145:4 184:15,21 120:16 122:18 hopeful 65:6 147:4,10,13,19 194:16 204:5 honest 23:22 hour 29:17,22 147:22 148:3,7 heffernan's 106:19,22,25 48:23 122:12 150:16 157:3,9 31:9 34:18 107:4 114:13 178:4 158:17,21 held 111:4 126:8 127:4 hours 29:10 161:9,13,15 159:23 160:12 136:20 65:25 178:6 174:21 175:17 hello 151:25 honestly 119:7 house 120:11 184:11 187:9 44:18 46:25 honesty 127:1 how'd 132:19 188:4,10 72:10,15 73:7 honor 4:20 4:17
92:4,8,13,17 80:14 82:22 33:17 69:3 202:5 205:23 93:13 94:3 83:1 123:3,5 70:13 158:4,9 206:5 95:13 96:2,21 124:9 125:18 holding 8:8 hope 15:21 102:13 105:1 125:25,25 147:8 68:22 72:9 117:22 118:8 126:2 128:9,17 home 120:8,10 157:25 126:16 145:4 184:15,21 120:16 122:18 hopeful 65:6 147:4,10,13,19 194:16 204:5 honest 23:22 hour 29:17,22 147:22 148:3,7 heffernan's 106:19,22,25 48:23 122:12 150:16 157:3,9 31:9 34:18 107:4 114:13 178:4 158:17,21 held 111:4 126:8 127:4 hours 29:10 161:9,13,15 159:23 160:12 136:20 65:25 178:6 174:21 175:17 hello 151:25 honestly 119:7 house 120:11 175:18 182:2 help 30:16 149:24 151:1 how'd 132:19 184:11 187:9 44:18 46:25 honesty 127:1 howard 2:4 188:4,10 72:10,15 73:7 honor 4:20 4:17
93:13 94:3 83:1 123:3,5 70:13 158:4,9 206:5 95:13 96:2,21 124:9 125:18 holding 8:8 hope 15:21 102:13 105:1 125:25,25 147:8 68:22 72:9 117:22 118:8 126:2 128:9,17 home 120:8,10 157:25 126:16 145:4 184:15,21 120:16 122:18 hopeful 65:6 147:4,10,13,19 194:16 204:5 honest 23:22 hour 29:17,22 147:22 148:3,7 heffernan's 106:19,22,25 48:23 122:12 150:16 157:3,9 31:9 34:18 107:4 114:13 178:4 158:17,21 held 111:4 126:8 127:4 hours 29:10 161:9,13,15 159:23 160:12 136:20 65:25 178:6 174:21 175:17 hello 151:25 honestly 119:7 house 120:11 175:18 182:2 help 30:16 149:24 151:1 how'd 132:19 184:11 187:9 44:18 46:25 honesty 127:1 howard 2:4 188:4,10 72:10,15 73:7 honor 4:20 4:17
95:13 96:2,21 124:9 125:18 holding 8:8 hope 15:21 102:13 105:1 125:25,25 147:8 68:22 72:9 117:22 118:8 126:2 128:9,17 home 120:8,10 157:25 126:16 145:4 184:15,21 120:16 122:18 hopeful 65:6 147:4,10,13,19 194:16 204:5 honest 23:22 hour 29:17,22 147:22 148:3,7 heffernan's 106:19,22,25 48:23 122:12 150:16 157:3,9 31:9 34:18 107:4 114:13 178:4 158:17,21 held 111:4 126:8 127:4 hours 29:10 161:9,13,15 159:23 160:12 136:20 65:25 178:6 174:21 175:17 hello 151:25 honestly 119:7 house 120:11 175:18 182:2 help 30:16 149:24 151:1 how'd 132:19 184:11 187:9 44:18 46:25 72:10,15 73:7 honor 4:20 4:17
102:13 105:1 125:25,25 147:8 68:22 72:9 117:22 118:8 126:2 128:9,17 100:16 120:8,10 157:25 126:16 145:4 184:15,21 120:16 122:18 120:16 122:18 147:4,10,13,19 194:16 204:5 120:16 122:18 120:16 122:18 147:22 148:3,7 120:16 122:18 120:16 122:18 120:16 122:18 147:8 120:16 122:18 120:16 122:18 120:16 122:18 120:16 122:18 120:16 122:18 120:16 122:18 120:16 122:18 120:16 122:18 120:16 122:18 120:16 122:18 120:16 122:18 120:16 122:18 120:16 122:18 120:16 122:18 120:16 122:18 120:17 122 <td< td=""></td<>
117:22 118:8 126:2 128:9,17 home 120:8,10 157:25 126:16 145:4 184:15,21 120:16 122:18 hopeful 65:6 147:4,10,13,19 194:16 204:5 honest 23:22 hour 29:17,22 147:22 148:3,7 heffernan's 106:19,22,25 48:23 122:12 150:16 157:3,9 31:9 34:18 107:4 114:13 178:4 158:17,21 held 111:4 126:8 127:4 hours 29:10 161:9,13,15 159:23 160:12 136:20 65:25 178:6 174:21 175:17 hello 151:25 honestly 119:7 house 120:11 175:18 182:2 help 30:16 149:24 151:1 how'd 132:19 184:11 187:9 44:18 46:25 honesty 127:1 howard 2:4 188:4,10 72:10,15 73:7 honor 4:20 4:17
126:16 145:4 184:15,21 120:16 122:18 hopeful 65:6 147:4,10,13,19 194:16 204:5 honest 23:22 hour 29:17,22 147:22 148:3,7 heffernan's 106:19,22,25 48:23 122:12 150:16 157:3,9 31:9 34:18 107:4 114:13 178:4 158:17,21 held 111:4 126:8 127:4 hours 29:10 161:9,13,15 159:23 160:12 136:20 65:25 178:6 174:21 175:17 hello 151:25 honestly 119:7 house 120:11 175:18 182:2 help 30:16 149:24 151:1 how'd 132:19 184:11 187:9 44:18 46:25 honesty 127:1 howard 2:4 188:4,10 72:10,15 73:7 honor 4:20 4:17
147:4,10,13,19 194:16 204:5 honest 23:22 hour 29:17,22 147:22 148:3,7 heffernan's 106:19,22,25 48:23 122:12 150:16 157:3,9 31:9 34:18 107:4 114:13 178:4 158:17,21 held 111:4 126:8 127:4 hours 29:10 161:9,13,15 159:23 160:12 136:20 65:25 178:6 174:21 175:17 hello 151:25 honestly 119:7 house 120:11 175:18 182:2 help 30:16 149:24 151:1 how'd 132:19 184:11 187:9 44:18 46:25 honesty 127:1 howard 2:4 188:4,10 72:10,15 73:7 honor 4:20 4:17
147:22 148:3,7 heffernan's 106:19,22,25 48:23 122:12 150:16 157:3,9 31:9 34:18 107:4 114:13 178:4 158:17,21 held 111:4 126:8 127:4 hours 29:10 161:9,13,15 159:23 160:12 136:20 65:25 178:6 174:21 175:17 hello 151:25 honestly 119:7 house 120:11 175:18 182:2 help 30:16 149:24 151:1 how'd 132:19 184:11 187:9 44:18 46:25 honesty 127:1 howard 2:4 188:4,10 72:10,15 73:7 honor 4:20 4:17
150:16 157:3,9 31:9 34:18 107:4 114:13 178:4 158:17,21 held 111:4 126:8 127:4 hours 29:10 161:9,13,15 159:23 160:12 136:20 65:25 178:6 174:21 175:17 hello 151:25 honestly 119:7 house 120:11 175:18 182:2 help 30:16 149:24 151:1 how'd 132:19 184:11 187:9 44:18 46:25 honesty 127:1 howard 2:4 188:4,10 72:10,15 73:7 honor 4:20 4:17
158:17,21 held 111:4 126:8 127:4 hours 29:10 161:9,13,15 159:23 160:12 136:20 65:25 178:6 174:21 175:17 hello 151:25 honestly 119:7 house 120:11 175:18 182:2 help 30:16 149:24 151:1 how'd 132:19 184:11 187:9 44:18 46:25 honesty 127:1 howard 2:4 188:4,10 72:10,15 73:7 honor 4:20 4:17
161:9,13,15 159:23 160:12 136:20 65:25 178:6 174:21 175:17 hello 151:25 honestly 119:7 house 120:11 175:18 182:2 help 30:16 149:24 151:1 how'd 132:19 184:11 187:9 44:18 46:25 honesty 127:1 howard 2:4 188:4,10 72:10,15 73:7 honor 4:20 4:17
174:21 175:17 hello 151:25 honestly 119:7 house 120:11 175:18 182:2 help 30:16 149:24 151:1 how'd 132:19 184:11 187:9 44:18 46:25 honesty 127:1 howard 2:4 188:4,10 72:10,15 73:7 honor 4:20 4:17
175:18 182:2 help 30:16 149:24 151:1 how'd 132:19 184:11 187:9 44:18 46:25 honesty 127:1 howard 2:4 188:4,10 72:10,15 73:7 honor 4:20 4:17
184:11 187:9 44:18 46:25 honesty 127:1 howard 2:4 188:4,10 72:10,15 73:7 honor 4:20 4:17
188:4,10 72:10,15 73:7 honor 4:20 4:17
106·18 202·0 85·4 125·7 88·10 182·25 hug 25·2
170.10 202.7 03.4 123.7 06.10 103.23 nug 23.3
202:24 203:6 176:21 honorable 2:3 huge 61:21
203:11,21,25 helped 61:13 2:8 huh 105:13
204:3,12,20,23
205:1,6,9,13,19 helping 17:20 3:4,6 4:19,20 i
206:1,13,16 hereof 207:10 52:16 70:17,20 ice 10:16,19
hearings 87:9 hereunto 71:5 85:14,24 11:17,22 12:9
hedge 149:15 207:12 88:10,14 89:6,9 12:11,12,17,23
hedged 149:9 hero 24:19 89:18 92:2,7,15 13:6,11,16,22
149:12,23 hire 84:15 92:16,19,20 13:0,11,10,22 14:11 15:10,12
150:3,8,12
189:13 177:9 118:8 134:25 15:25 16:2,3
hedging 149:18 hired 143:17 147:2,7,12 18:10 13 15 21
172:12 179:4 148:5,6 152:16

19:5,19 21:8,25	124:7 129:2	immediately	important
22:18 26:10	130:23 155:20	39:4 56:21	14:21 27:23
30:1,8 31:10	155:22 156:9	immigrant	28:19 36:7
33:6,7,12,19,21	157:18,22,25	24:16	94:23 95:3
33:22,24 34:4,8	158:1 159:1,3,3	immigration	109:10 145:20
35:10,23 38:8	160:2,3,5,6,15	10:17 57:15,16	imposed 5:23
38:11 40:4,13	163:19,25	153:17 191:6	impression
40:21 57:15,20	166:11 180:19	immunity	17:17,22 70:15
58:10,13 60:8	180:22 181:14	27:11 85:19	70:24 71:3,18
60:11 61:2,3	181:16,19,25	86:23 87:2	71:22,25 72:1
62:8 64:6,10,12	191:9 192:7,13	136:13,15,16	77:19
64:20 65:3	193:7,22 194:4	136:17 137:15	inappropriate
68:4,13,14,18	195:9,17	138:17 139:12	37:12 39:10
68:22,23 69:9	202:15,21	140:12 148:20	inaudible 15:17
69:10,11 70:13	203:14,22	149:6,11 150:6	21:20,25 68:3,6
72:2 73:20,21	205:4	187:14 188:8	68:9,17 69:1,4
74:2,3,9,11,16	idea 28:3	188:11 189:15	69:10,12 77:14
74:18,20,23	119:12 128:1	189:25 190:1	157:21 202:16
75:20 77:10,13	130:12 132:22	immunized	203:15
77:23 79:18	137:15 138:22	138:3 139:16	incarceration
80:2 81:9 83:8	164:18 197:5	impartial 71:4	138:7
95:2 96:15	197:21	impartiality	incident 25:1
97:23,24 98:3,3	identification	7:17,19 71:2	36:22 38:22
98:4,19 101:14	6:23 7:3 58:6	implement 37:6	126:3,14
101:15,17,19	identities 61:19	implicate	129:25
103:8,25 104:1	identity 29:17	188:24 189:2	include 168:2
104:18,21,23	57:19 61:12	implication	included 8:25
105:2 106:4	125:19	194:21	16:19
109:6,14	ignored 26:20	implications	including 8:6
111:19 112:8	iii 2:4	197:10	115:24 116:1
112:12,23	illegal 24:15	implied 191:19	137:14
113:5,8,17	57:4 91:4	importance	income 146:16
114:2,14 115:1	imagine 192:7	123:1	inconsistent
115:2 116:4,5			33:4

incorrect	informed 10:15	interests 90:25	investigate 11:2
181:20	10:16 13:8	interim 36:9	11:19 57:18
independence	informing	195:14	investigating
7:17,19	107:15	interpret 19:5	138:3 193:13
indicted 148:24	inherit 168:14	interpreted	193:16 200:6
149:3	initial 103:2	126:16 203:20	investigation
indictment	193:10	203:22	36:14 90:16
25:9 36:13	initially 54:18	interpreter	investigators
138:10 192:25	55:21	10:25 14:22	119:6,10,13
indigent 29:14	initiate 129:19	17:13 19:10	involve 74:8
47:1	inkling 161:21	21:13 22:10,15	involved 36:12
individual 58:3	inside 112:25	35:14,18 38:17	37:5 97:20
58:3 73:22	170:9	76:4 77:4 78:4	128:22 155:20
107:6 153:12	instructing	78:17,21 97:4	ipad 176:5,11
153:15,17	38:24	98:10 179:18	176:15 177:1
177:18	instruction	179:21 180:1	178:20,22,23
individuals	14:10	interrupt 25:12	issue 5:18
47:13 61:16	integrity 7:17	interstate 60:16	15:20 43:20
153:8 155:15	7:19 127:1	interview 22:11	68:21 90:7
inexperienced	intend 78:6	23:15 78:5,6,8	96:15 103:6
109:21 110:13	intended 59:14	86:20 119:10	125:18 157:24
110:25	59:21	148:19 150:1	162:14 184:16
inference	intent 136:7	185:2 198:20	197:3
197:24	197:25	199:18,21,22	issued 5:8,16
inferences 20:6		199:24	issues 61:12
197:11	interaction	interviewed	67:9 109:6
influence 57:11	112:5	164:15 201:8	137:21,22
information	interactions	interviews	\mathbf{j}
20:25 23:12	13:15	87:24 88:1	j 1:12
32:18 58:2	interest 36:10	89:2 163:10	jail 16:2 24:18
78:9,14 136:19	interested	189:8	133:24 137:18
136:24 137:7	186:17,20	introduce 4:11	137:19 138:16
137:11 141:22	interestingly	intrude 95:12	
188:22	34:6		

january 86:21	159:1 164:18	39:13,25 41:17	17:20,24 18:1,4
86:24 90:10	184:2 187:14	66:15,18,21	18:14,23 19:17
135:24 136:9	188:12 197:5	68:2,7,12 69:1	19:21 20:11
141:24 199:14	197:19 198:3	69:5,11,13,25	21:14,15,19,21
199:25 201:3	jellinek's 17:1	70:2,4 77:15,25	22:1,8,9,21,25
jd 128:4	20:10 21:21	78:3,3 92:21,25	23:2,3,5,10,10
jellinek 3:2	26:19 27:8	116:10 120:1	23:16 24:4,15
11:11,12,16	job 29:15 33:14	128:9 140:25	25:2 26:2,6,11
12:9,15,20 13:1	35:23 55:25	141:1 151:7,12	26:17,21 27:16
13:3 14:15,16	90:24 100:19	155:2 158:3	28:1,4,6,9,12
14:18 15:12,25	115:2,5 117:5	159:3 161:2	28:16,21 29:7
16:4,11,24 17:9	130:25 191:13	163:3,18	29:12,18,20
17:19 18:3,24	jose 66:13	164:25 165:2	30:2,24 31:3,5
20:16 21:10,16	joseph 2:8 4:7	180:22 181:2	31:6,19 32:8,14
22:9,14 26:18	4:20,22,24 5:16	185:17,23	32:23 33:2
26:22 27:4,17	5:21 6:14 8:1,5	186:5,18	34:25 35:20
27:23 28:24	8:13,20 9:1,13	197:17 198:1	36:23,24 37:1,2
29:4 31:17	9:14 10:6,15,23	198:22 202:17	37:13,15,16,17
32:2,24 33:6,14	13:8 14:1,7	203:16	37:19,21,23,24
33:20 34:21	15:7,9,22 16:14	joseph's 6:6	37:25 38:1,4,5
35:3,13,17	16:23 17:5,20	18:4 28:4,9	38:10,13,18,20
36:18 39:13,24	19:21 20:11	29:7 164:8,19	38:20,21,21,23
40:10,25 41:9	21:14 22:1,8,9	197:1,6	38:24 39:4,6,9
42:4,14 43:2,6	22:21 23:6,16	judge 3:3,5 4:7	39:13,25 41:17
43:12 44:2,25	24:4,15 25:2	4:13,20,22,24	41:19,19,23
45:5 66:13,16	26:2,6,11,17,21	5:16,21 6:6,8	43:1,10,24 44:7
66:16,18,21	27:16 28:1,6,21	6:14 7:7,11,15	44:10,13 45:4,7
68:5,8,13,24	29:12,18,20	7:20 8:1,1,5,5	47:7,15,21 48:2
69:4,8,12 70:1	30:2,24 31:5	8:13,20,21,22	50:13,21 51:7,9
70:6 78:4	32:8,15,23 33:3	9:1,13,14 10:6	52:13 64:4,17
92:20 107:10	34:25 35:20	10:15,23 13:8	66:12,15,18,21
108:18 112:7	36:23,24 37:16	14:1,7,15 15:7	67:14 68:2,7,12
125:17,24	37:17,21,25	15:9,22 16:2,5	69:1,5,11,13,25
143:10 147:18	38:18,21 39:6,9	16:9,13,23 17:5	70:2,4,22 71:1

71:10,16 72:11	187:11,13,16	28:25 33:8	37:14 57:3,9
72:14 73:3,13	187:22 188:7	40:3 68:8,11,23	59:15,17 67:11
75:13,15 76:17	191:16,23	68:25 69:6	80:5 118:17,20
77:15,25 78:3,3	194:16 196:16	79:9 83:8	118:22,24
80:14 81:5	197:1,6,17,22	92:25 93:19	119:18,25
82:22 83:1,10	198:1,22 202:7	94:20 95:6,15	122:24 125:4
85:17 86:5	202:17 203:15	96:14 107:13	143:23
88:12,17 89:1,7	204:5,15,17	108:3,6,17	k
89:22 90:9	205:21,24	112:5,8 113:6,8	keating 2:15
91:25 92:21,25	206:3	113:17 140:16	4:23,24 206:15
93:17 94:10	judge's 19:4	158:23 164:10	, and the second
96:8 99:10	52:3,6 65:9	205:3	keep 34:20 107:5 147:2
102:1 106:14	75:7,12 81:22	jury 84:6,24	171:4
108:7 116:10	82:2,23,24,25	85:7,23 87:8	keeping 94:7
118:4 120:1	82:25 105:22	90:10 129:24	96:9
123:3,5 124:9	124:14	130:5,7,16	kelly 174:1,8
125:18,25	judges 8:15	131:2,16,18,21	174:10
126:2 128:9,9	32:9,12	131:24 136:8	kelly's 174:18
128:17,19	judgments	136:11 137:3	kept 9:16
139:19,25	71:14	141:24 142:4,7	keycards 167:4
140:25 141:1	judicial 1:2 2:2	143:16 144:3,4	keys 65:11
142:25 145:19	2:3 4:5,15,17	145:9,10	kind 24:10
150:10,22	5:21 13:17	148:12 150:19	46:21 61:22
151:7,12,21	191:10	163:11 167:12	82:12 145:20
153:2 154:24	judiciary 7:18	189:5,19	145:21 177:16
155:2,11 158:3	126:9 127:5	190:15 199:13	178:16
159:3 161:2	judith 2:3 4:14	200:13 201:6	
163:3,18 164:8	6:10	justice 10:12	kinds 139:2 knee 196:4
164:25 165:2	july 132:14	13:9 14:9 15:9	knew 9:13,14
166:5 180:22	152:23	20:21 21:3	26:21 30:5
181:2,24 184:4	june 1:13 5:8	22:21,23,24	35:10 36:3,24
184:7,15,19,21	112:18	23:14 26:9	62:7 64:6,16,18
185:4,17,23	jurgens 12:1	29:25 31:9	72:20 74:2,13
186:5,18	17:10 18:10	34:18 36:19	12.20 14.2,13

[knew - left] Page 27

74:22,23 75:4	117:4 120:3	201:18,18	82:1 84:9,15
78:15 80:21	124:10,12	204:8,17 205:5	85:3,10 105:25
104:16,21	125:3 126:19	206:9	107:21 112:15
110:2 116:22	126:20 127:3	knowing	116:17,20
124:7 126:2,13	127:14,17	115:18,22	133:13,17,24
126:14,17,19	129:1 131:4,18	146:18	134:8 170:14
127:16,17,17	131:21,24	knowledge	173:22 174:3,5
128:21,21	132:15,17	19:12 26:16	174:14 192:15
130:4,9 131:2,5	134:13 140:16	83:19 91:24	lawyers 28:23
132:16 134:8	145:3 146:21	101:18 119:10	134:3 169:12
138:2 164:13	146:24,25,25	154:5 175:1	173:25,25
176:11 180:3	146:25 149:24	195:15	layout 28:18
180:19 194:17	151:24 152:22	known 127:11	learn 57:1
194:18 197:9	153:2 154:24	151:12 172:20	64:12 84:5,8
200:12 204:6	155:9,23	knows 27:18	learned 11:16
knocked	158:11 159:9	183:14	37:18 57:15
125:11	159:11 160:19	1	84:9
know 14:3 37:3	160:20 161:25	l 1:22 207:5,22	learning 39:5
38:7 39:22	162:2,3,25		leave 19:7
41:5 44:23	164:1,11	language 109:7	42:24 64:13
41:5 44:23 46:14 47:25	164:1,11 165:13,13	language 109:7 119:20 149:17	42:24 64:13 79:6 111:11
41:5 44:23 46:14 47:25 48:2 49:13	164:1,11 165:13,13 166:18 167:10	language 109:7 119:20 149:17 203:12	42:24 64:13 79:6 111:11 175:17 178:24
41:5 44:23 46:14 47:25 48:2 49:13 57:25 58:8	164:1,11 165:13,13 166:18 167:10 171:25 173:16	language 109:7 119:20 149:17 203:12 lapel 43:18	42:24 64:13 79:6 111:11 175:17 178:24 leaves 59:18
41:5 44:23 46:14 47:25 48:2 49:13 57:25 58:8 63:14 64:17,18	164:1,11 165:13,13 166:18 167:10 171:25 173:16 174:7 175:19	language 109:7 119:20 149:17 203:12 lapel 43:18 larry 70:2	42:24 64:13 79:6 111:11 175:17 178:24 leaves 59:18 leaving 52:25
41:5 44:23 46:14 47:25 48:2 49:13 57:25 58:8 63:14 64:17,18 64:19 66:6	164:1,11 165:13,13 166:18 167:10 171:25 173:16 174:7 175:19 177:4 181:16	language 109:7 119:20 149:17 203:12 lapel 43:18 larry 70:2 late 172:19	42:24 64:13 79:6 111:11 175:17 178:24 leaves 59:18 leaving 52:25 54:2 100:24
41:5 44:23 46:14 47:25 48:2 49:13 57:25 58:8 63:14 64:17,18 64:19 66:6 74:17,18 81:6	164:1,11 165:13,13 166:18 167:10 171:25 173:16 174:7 175:19 177:4 181:16 181:18,25	language 109:7 119:20 149:17 203:12 lapel 43:18 larry 70:2 late 172:19 law 45:18 71:12	42:24 64:13 79:6 111:11 175:17 178:24 leaves 59:18 leaving 52:25 54:2 100:24 led 9:24
41:5 44:23 46:14 47:25 48:2 49:13 57:25 58:8 63:14 64:17,18 64:19 66:6 74:17,18 81:6 88:13 101:5,18	164:1,11 165:13,13 166:18 167:10 171:25 173:16 174:7 175:19 177:4 181:16 181:18,25 182:17,18,20	language 109:7 119:20 149:17 203:12 lapel 43:18 larry 70:2 late 172:19	42:24 64:13 79:6 111:11 175:17 178:24 leaves 59:18 leaving 52:25 54:2 100:24 led 9:24 left 36:1 37:22
41:5 44:23 46:14 47:25 48:2 49:13 57:25 58:8 63:14 64:17,18 64:19 66:6 74:17,18 81:6 88:13 101:5,18 101:20 102:10	164:1,11 165:13,13 166:18 167:10 171:25 173:16 174:7 175:19 177:4 181:16 181:18,25 182:17,18,20 183:11,13,13	language 109:7 119:20 149:17 203:12 lapel 43:18 larry 70:2 late 172:19 law 45:18 71:12 71:13 114:7,19	42:24 64:13 79:6 111:11 175:17 178:24 leaves 59:18 leaving 52:25 54:2 100:24 led 9:24 left 36:1 37:22 45:24 49:19,20
41:5 44:23 46:14 47:25 48:2 49:13 57:25 58:8 63:14 64:17,18 64:19 66:6 74:17,18 81:6 88:13 101:5,18 101:20 102:10 104:25 105:24	164:1,11 165:13,13 166:18 167:10 171:25 173:16 174:7 175:19 177:4 181:16 181:18,25 182:17,18,20 183:11,13,13 183:14 184:9	language 109:7 119:20 149:17 203:12 lapel 43:18 larry 70:2 late 172:19 law 45:18 71:12 71:13 114:7,19 114:22 137:1	42:24 64:13 79:6 111:11 175:17 178:24 leaves 59:18 leaving 52:25 54:2 100:24 led 9:24 left 36:1 37:22 45:24 49:19,20 49:20,22 50:15
41:5 44:23 46:14 47:25 48:2 49:13 57:25 58:8 63:14 64:17,18 64:19 66:6 74:17,18 81:6 88:13 101:5,18 101:20 102:10 104:25 105:24 105:25 110:8	164:1,11 165:13,13 166:18 167:10 171:25 173:16 174:7 175:19 177:4 181:16 181:18,25 182:17,18,20 183:11,13,13 183:14 184:9 184:13 186:7	language 109:7 119:20 149:17 203:12 lapel 43:18 larry 70:2 late 172:19 law 45:18 71:12 71:13 114:7,19 114:22 137:1 160:20	42:24 64:13 79:6 111:11 175:17 178:24 leaves 59:18 leaving 52:25 54:2 100:24 led 9:24 left 36:1 37:22 45:24 49:19,20 49:20,22 50:15 50:16 51:19
41:5 44:23 46:14 47:25 48:2 49:13 57:25 58:8 63:14 64:17,18 64:19 66:6 74:17,18 81:6 88:13 101:5,18 101:20 102:10 104:25 105:24 105:25 110:8 110:12 111:20	164:1,11 165:13,13 166:18 167:10 171:25 173:16 174:7 175:19 177:4 181:16 181:18,25 182:17,18,20 183:11,13,13 183:14 184:9 184:13 186:7 186:20 192:25	language 109:7 119:20 149:17 203:12 lapel 43:18 larry 70:2 late 172:19 law 45:18 71:12 71:13 114:7,19 114:22 137:1 160:20 laws 114:22	42:24 64:13 79:6 111:11 175:17 178:24 leaves 59:18 leaving 52:25 54:2 100:24 led 9:24 left 36:1 37:22 45:24 49:19,20 49:20,22 50:15 50:16 51:19 62:24 63:5
41:5 44:23 46:14 47:25 48:2 49:13 57:25 58:8 63:14 64:17,18 64:19 66:6 74:17,18 81:6 88:13 101:5,18 101:20 102:10 104:25 105:24 105:25 110:8 110:12 111:20 112:3 113:10	164:1,11 165:13,13 166:18 167:10 171:25 173:16 174:7 175:19 177:4 181:16 181:18,25 182:17,18,20 183:11,13,13 183:14 184:9 184:13 186:7 186:20 192:25 194:18,19	language 109:7 119:20 149:17 203:12 lapel 43:18 larry 70:2 late 172:19 law 45:18 71:12 71:13 114:7,19 114:22 137:1 160:20 laws 114:22 lawyer 14:4	42:24 64:13 79:6 111:11 175:17 178:24 leaves 59:18 leaving 52:25 54:2 100:24 led 9:24 left 36:1 37:22 45:24 49:19,20 49:20,22 50:15 50:16 51:19 62:24 63:5 78:19,25 93:15
41:5 44:23 46:14 47:25 48:2 49:13 57:25 58:8 63:14 64:17,18 64:19 66:6 74:17,18 81:6 88:13 101:5,18 101:20 102:10 104:25 105:24 105:25 110:8 110:12 111:20	164:1,11 165:13,13 166:18 167:10 171:25 173:16 174:7 175:19 177:4 181:16 181:18,25 182:17,18,20 183:11,13,13 183:14 184:9 184:13 186:7 186:20 192:25	language 109:7 119:20 149:17 203:12 lapel 43:18 larry 70:2 late 172:19 law 45:18 71:12 71:13 114:7,19 114:22 137:1 160:20 laws 114:22 lawyer 14:4 30:4 45:2,9,17	42:24 64:13 79:6 111:11 175:17 178:24 leaves 59:18 leaving 52:25 54:2 100:24 led 9:24 left 36:1 37:22 45:24 49:19,20 49:20,22 50:15 50:16 51:19 62:24 63:5

[left - lunch] Page 28

129:21 130:15	linear 105:19	64:8,16 74:14	102:3 119:19
172:17 178:14	105:21	75:20 81:22,23	130:11 149:1
200:20,22	lines 67:3	81:24,25 82:10	longer 40:19
legal 34:23	101:13 118:21	82:23,24,25	48:19 56:18
45:23 91:10	linked 191:12	112:8,11	look 42:19
193:2	lisa 1:22 207:5	124:14 127:11	50:10,19 51:12
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
legitimate	207:22	203:4,23	58:5,9 60:17 88:19 127:24
63:21	list 116:4 122:5	local 24:23	
letter 85:18	168:11	location 178:25	looked 30:11
86:10,15,16,24	listen 42:3	201:25	30:13 41:4
87:2 134:20,22	listening 16:8	lock 102:18	79:12
135:2,4,12	17:13 71:16	lockup 9:16	looking 33:15
136:2,7,12,15	95:21 96:21	10:2 13:3,24	52:5 103:8
136:16,17,17	106:15 108:22	22:1 34:5,9,13	133:9,10
137:8,15	little 24:7 40:22	34:19 35:4,19	152:17 153:3
138:17 149:6	44:19 46:14	53:22 57:23	156:9
150:12 187:15	47:5,18,25 48:3	58:4 77:14	looks 39:6
188:8,9,12	49:19 54:7	96:25 99:13	118:10
letting 126:12	60:24 67:2,12	112:6 153:15	loosely 123:16
level 47:10,22	91:13 98:25	154:13,23	lore 24:23
49:6 50:14	102:12 117:24	162:20 163:4,6	losing 137:22
lhbmlegal.com	120:24 136:17	164:6 166:19	lost 199:1
2:12,13	151:12,16	179:23 185:5,7	lot 7:24 27:2
libby 2:9	158:18 164:7	185:24 186:1	35:7 47:8
lie 23:9	166:10 168:14	192:8 195:19	85:18,20
lied 40:12	172:19 189:11	196:6,9 198:23	115:15 117:20
light 26:4 30:13	190:8 196:20	198:24 199:3,5	119:2 124:21
liked 132:23	196:25 199:1	199:6 202:16	125:1 142:9
167:20 173:18	livelihood	203:3,8,10,15	155:4,15
likely 85:6	137:22	205:11	lowell 121:18
120:7 169:21	load 122:14	logical 35:11	ludicrous 90:20
line 105:9	lobby 9:25 19:8	long 13:20	lunch 11:5,5
188:20	22:19 30:11,14	40:16 44:16	12:14 13:7
	34:12 41:2	78:23 91:19	14:13 29:17,22

[lunch - mean] Page 29

48:20 61:7	119:23 126:17	100:25 166:21	128:5 138:21
62:11 64:11	127:9,12,19	maintain 71:1	139:15
103:16,18	128:5 129:23	major 196:4	match 15:14
147:5,17 148:8	129:25 130:14	majority 164:4	58:3 68:15
171:25 172:4	131:2,10	make 5:23	73:23
172:13,14	132:13 175:2	24:25 27:3	material 87:13
179:12,13	181:10 182:6	31:3 45:7	180:17
181:11,13	182:15 190:17	57:18 58:10	materials 8:6
193:25	193:8,24 194:4	132:19 150:14	30:12,14 60:25
luncheon	200:12 201:16	150:16 157:4	matter 4:9 5:13
147:24	macgregor's	170:14 185:14	21:22 28:22
lunches 48:20	107:21	makes 44:16	119:9 153:24
lunn 13:16 14:6	mad 83:9	88:23 192:25	156:5 165:16
30:23 34:7,12	101:14,15	making 18:14	177:17 207:9
34:14 37:9	205:4	71:13,17	matters 6:4
152:12,17,23	made 26:23	150:15 173:4	28:16 35:25
153:4,23	27:5 32:24	182:25	109:4 190:14
154:18 155:21	48:17 54:21	manner 47:17	mcdermott
162:23 165:11	73:16 74:23	manual 153:11	12:2 17:11
	4		
m	87:12 103:17	march 201:11	18:10 28:25
m	87:12 103:17 119:22 125:14	march 201:11 201:12	18:10 28:25 31:23 33:8
m m 2:8,10 4:7	119:22 125:14 126:18 141:5		
m m 2:8,10 4:7 9:7 16:21	119:22 125:14	201:12	31:23 33:8
m 2:8,10 4:7 9:7 16:21 ma 1:22	119:22 125:14 126:18 141:5	201:12 mary 13:10	31:23 33:8 40:3,9,24 41:8 79:10 206:8,11 mcinerney 1:12
m 2:8,10 4:7 9:7 16:21 ma 1:22 macgregor	119:22 125:14 126:18 141:5 144:5 152:25	201:12 mary 13:10 22:22 29:25 36:19 massachusetts	31:23 33:8 40:3,9,24 41:8 79:10 206:8,11 mcinerney 1:12 4:8 6:9 187:23
m 2:8,10 4:7 9:7 16:21 ma 1:22 macgregor 12:21,23,25	119:22 125:14 126:18 141:5 144:5 152:25 173:3 185:11	201:12 mary 13:10 22:22 29:25 36:19 massachusetts 1:1,18 2:5,11	31:23 33:8 40:3,9,24 41:8 79:10 206:8,11 mcinerney 1:12 4:8 6:9 187:23 mcle 151:23
m 2:8,10 4:7 9:7 16:21 ma 1:22 macgregor 12:21,23,25 18:6,7 22:6,13	119:22 125:14 126:18 141:5 144:5 152:25 173:3 185:11 190:1 191:16 194:9 197:10 197:24	201:12 mary 13:10 22:22 29:25 36:19 massachusetts 1:1,18 2:5,11 2:17 7:18 8:7	31:23 33:8 40:3,9,24 41:8 79:10 206:8,11 mcinerney 1:12 4:8 6:9 187:23 mcle 151:23 mean 19:6 60:1
m 2:8,10 4:7 9:7 16:21 ma 1:22 macgregor 12:21,23,25 18:6,7 22:6,13 26:24 61:5,7	119:22 125:14 126:18 141:5 144:5 152:25 173:3 185:11 190:1 191:16 194:9 197:10 197:24 magic 33:21	201:12 mary 13:10 22:22 29:25 36:19 massachusetts 1:1,18 2:5,11 2:17 7:18 8:7 114:13 143:23	31:23 33:8 40:3,9,24 41:8 79:10 206:8,11 mcinerney 1:12 4:8 6:9 187:23 mcle 151:23 mean 19:6 60:1 60:3,5 75:11
m 2:8,10 4:7 9:7 16:21 ma 1:22 macgregor 12:21,23,25 18:6,7 22:6,13 26:24 61:5,7 62:13 63:7,11	119:22 125:14 126:18 141:5 144:5 152:25 173:3 185:11 190:1 191:16 194:9 197:10 197:24 magic 33:21 155:2	201:12 mary 13:10 22:22 29:25 36:19 massachusetts 1:1,18 2:5,11 2:17 7:18 8:7 114:13 143:23 154:20 194:11	31:23 33:8 40:3,9,24 41:8 79:10 206:8,11 mcinerney 1:12 4:8 6:9 187:23 mcle 151:23 mean 19:6 60:1 60:3,5 75:11 77:5,21 89:16
m 2:8,10 4:7 9:7 16:21 ma 1:22 macgregor 12:21,23,25 18:6,7 22:6,13 26:24 61:5,7 62:13 63:7,11 64:1 66:11	119:22 125:14 126:18 141:5 144:5 152:25 173:3 185:11 190:1 191:16 194:9 197:10 197:24 magic 33:21 155:2 mail 38:7 39:3	201:12 mary 13:10 22:22 29:25 36:19 massachusetts 1:1,18 2:5,11 2:17 7:18 8:7 114:13 143:23 154:20 194:11 194:12	31:23 33:8 40:3,9,24 41:8 79:10 206:8,11 mcinerney 1:12 4:8 6:9 187:23 mcle 151:23 mean 19:6 60:1 60:3,5 75:11 77:5,21 89:16 89:25 96:1
m 2:8,10 4:7 9:7 16:21 ma 1:22 macgregor 12:21,23,25 18:6,7 22:6,13 26:24 61:5,7 62:13 63:7,11 64:1 66:11 78:1,18 83:3	119:22 125:14 126:18 141:5 144:5 152:25 173:3 185:11 190:1 191:16 194:9 197:10 197:24 magic 33:21 155:2 mail 38:7 39:3 main 19:7	201:12 mary 13:10 22:22 29:25 36:19 massachusetts 1:1,18 2:5,11 2:17 7:18 8:7 114:13 143:23 154:20 194:11 194:12 masscourts	31:23 33:8 40:3,9,24 41:8 79:10 206:8,11 mcinerney 1:12 4:8 6:9 187:23 mcle 151:23 mean 19:6 60:1 60:3,5 75:11 77:5,21 89:16 89:25 96:1 98:5 125:4
m 2:8,10 4:7 9:7 16:21 ma 1:22 macgregor 12:21,23,25 18:6,7 22:6,13 26:24 61:5,7 62:13 63:7,11 64:1 66:11 78:1,18 83:3 91:21 98:12	119:22 125:14 126:18 141:5 144:5 152:25 173:3 185:11 190:1 191:16 194:9 197:10 197:24 magic 33:21 155:2 mail 38:7 39:3 main 19:7 41:14 49:18	201:12 mary 13:10 22:22 29:25 36:19 massachusetts 1:1,18 2:5,11 2:17 7:18 8:7 114:13 143:23 154:20 194:11 194:12 masscourts 168:15	31:23 33:8 40:3,9,24 41:8 79:10 206:8,11 mcinerney 1:12 4:8 6:9 187:23 mcle 151:23 mean 19:6 60:1 60:3,5 75:11 77:5,21 89:16 89:25 96:1 98:5 125:4 126:7,17
m 2:8,10 4:7 9:7 16:21 ma 1:22 macgregor 12:21,23,25 18:6,7 22:6,13 26:24 61:5,7 62:13 63:7,11 64:1 66:11 78:1,18 83:3	119:22 125:14 126:18 141:5 144:5 152:25 173:3 185:11 190:1 191:16 194:9 197:10 197:24 magic 33:21 155:2 mail 38:7 39:3 main 19:7	201:12 mary 13:10 22:22 29:25 36:19 massachusetts 1:1,18 2:5,11 2:17 7:18 8:7 114:13 143:23 154:20 194:11 194:12 masscourts	31:23 33:8 40:3,9,24 41:8 79:10 206:8,11 mcinerney 1:12 4:8 6:9 187:23 mcle 151:23 mean 19:6 60:1 60:3,5 75:11 77:5,21 89:16 89:25 96:1 98:5 125:4

	T.		
140:21 141:23	143:18 167:19	100:11 111:14	109:24 115:14
145:20,23	169:22 170:2	111:17 112:13	158:8,11
146:3 150:9	173:12 177:4	113:1,16,24	179:20
155:21 178:16	177:14 178:2	114:1,6 119:16	metal 49:17
203:2,22	179:7,20,25	120:5 124:2,5	mic 44:4 45:11
meaning 84:1	180:10 185:5	127:10 128:11	michael 2:15
113:5 137:22	185:24 195:4	129:20 134:7	4:24
161:22 173:21	198:22 199:15	135:14 138:12	microphone
means 153:20	205:10	140:19 141:15	7:12 118:5
meant 12:6	meehan 2:15	141:18,21	158:19
46:24 47:3	meet 23:13	142:2,3,6,21	mics 43:18
77:6 89:8,17	24:14 56:17	144:2,4,6	middle 132:13
90:1 203:19	76:5 81:22	145:17 148:11	169:9 188:18
media 5:8,10	99:23 179:6	168:7 169:23	middlesex 16:1
24:23 26:1,5	196:14	170:16 171:20	mike 131:21
27:17	meeting 38:8	171:22 173:3,7	mild 44:17
medical 29:18	38:20 39:4	173:10,20	mind 24:6 28:4
44:2,15	148:19,23	180:3 181:3	46:13 149:20
medina 10:7,11	149:3,10 150:4	189:18 190:12	159:9,12 164:8
10:19,20 11:3,7	150:5 189:15	190:18 200:2	164:12,19
11:10 12:4,7,18	198:13	201:10 205:8	197:1,6,9
19:16 28:22	member 126:8	memory's	mine 133:22
29:2,11,14,16	members 127:5	123:24 205:3	minimal 59:24
31:16,24 34:2	memories 36:6	mendoza 14:22	minor 180:4
35:18 36:2	36:6 183:11,14	17:13 19:10	minute 16:6,12
40:6,19 55:7,11	memorized	39:14 206:10	70:7 75:6
56:3,21 58:4,16	153:6	mention 15:11	125:5 175:6
58:22 59:6	memory 54:21	27:25 193:15	minutes 65:25
61:24 66:13	55:4 63:12	mentioned	92:6 98:22
72:3 78:19,20	74:10 79:4,17	133:12	175:13 184:25
80:2 87:4	81:12 83:4,12	mentor 133:22	misdemeanor
99:20 112:7	86:16 89:14,20	mess 33:5	10:13
125:19 128:14	97:15 98:20	met 22:21 40:4	missed 200:6
128:20 141:4	99:18 100:1,7,8	99:19 102:20	

istalia 145.16	150.0 155.05	55.10.57.24	mariam 20.0 20
mistake 145:16	152:2 155:25	55:10 57:24	never 28:8,20
misunderstan	156:14 167:9	92:20 168:16	30:3,4 36:20
105:17	167:25 168:8	names 10:8	73:5,6 83:5
modern 49:16	169:2 170:1,5	near 100:24	96:1 116:3,9
moment 44:1	171:18,21,24	nearer 150:19	119:5 128:4,10
70:3 78:7	172:1,3 173:4,6	necessarily	128:19 134:24
80:18 134:1	173:8,9 176:2	55:10 72:2,7	139:22 166:25
151:2 157:2	176:18 179:7	122:8 124:22	167:24 181:1
162:5 183:25	180:23 181:2,9	152:6 155:4	198:9,10
money 145:22	181:17 182:14	necessary 65:7	199:18
146:21,22	182:17 183:1,3	76:22	new 8:5 37:6
monitor 9:8	184:15 194:25	need 15:23	38:24 109:23
13:19 16:23	195:1 206:9	33:16 39:22	newish 109:21
187:20	motivation	43:17,18 44:21	110:13
month 45:25	184:5,20,21	44:23 55:10	newton 5:4
133:7 168:19	motive 85:19	65:10,12 69:2	8:20 9:15,20
months 28:12	move 24:6	70:12 108:2	11:13 13:9
109:25 112:21	25:13	158:4 177:16	24:16 26:9
142:23 143:22	moved 152:20	needed 35:24	28:11,13 46:20
144:2,12	206:12	47:9 49:6	54:13 57:6
148:16	moving 39:15	53:18 75:6	64:6 71:13
morning 4:2,13	44:18	78:9 98:1	74:20 80:7
4:16,19,21,23	multiple 32:11	108:2 135:15	91:15 92:24
5:2 6:14 10:1	70:23 113:11	138:17 139:24	110:16 115:16
11:1 12:13	115:21 176:1	155:19	118:10 121:2
27:3 29:10	mulvey 2:9,9	neff 2:4 4:16,17	122:17,25
31:23 33:19,20	4:21,22 24:5,10	6:15 25:13,16	124:19 153:22
35:7 49:13	25:19	43:19 50:23	154:3,3 164:4
55:8 92:6,22	mystery 131:7	143:1 187:19	166:18 167:9
102:14,20,23	n	189:11	169:8 175:10
116:18 123:19		neither 37:23	191:11 199:10
123:21 141:3	n 2:9 3:1	41:21	200:9,19,20
141:12 148:8	name 4:8 10:9	neutral 13:22	nice 111:10
150:23 151:10	27:18 43:11		

niceties 24:24	154:20 163:2,2	119:18	officer 1:11 4:1
night 120:20	185:3 198:20	obstruction	4:9,25 7:5,8,13
160:4,16	199:19 200:25	80:5 85:6	9:18 10:19
nine 136:8	now's 147:6	121:1	
			12:12,17,21,23
175:6	number 1:4 4:6	obtaining 20:19	12:25 14:11
nobody's 27:19	50:22 61:16,17	obvious 41:11	18:5,7 19:5,19
105:25	78:11 98:2,7	obviously 67:19	22:6,13,18 24:1
nods 190:10	121:3,21	occasionally	24:8 25:11,17
noe 37:6 113:10	157:12 163:8	196:7	26:23 27:1
113:13 131:18	174:18 200:21	occasions 28:14	37:3,6,11,11
noise 94:5	numbered 9:12	occupied 17:12	38:2 42:22
noon 102:23	50:6 188:14	occur 82:18	43:3,7,13,16,22
nope 116:8	numbers 9:9,9	occurred 19:22	44:3,9,12,14
normal 9:19	15:3,15 20:17	20:7 65:15	45:3,10,13
17:5 92:5	20:18 68:16	67:1 81:6	47:16 52:15,17
153:16 164:3	97:14 157:20	137:19 164:5	53:12,24 58:13
199:10	0	offenses 49:1	58:20 60:8
normally 121:5	oath 145:7	offer 52:14	61:2,3,4,7
206:17	obama 45:24	138:18,19	62:12 63:7
note 15:11	object 182:1	176:25 187:24	64:1,12 65:4,10
20:22 180:25	objection 52:15	188:3	66:9,11 70:18
noted 21:8	52:16 70:17,19	offered 7:9	71:20 73:24
notes 87:23	85:15 89:6,18	33:6	74:11 78:1,18
88:2,6 89:10	188:2,5	offering 159:8	83:3 86:1
112:1,1 150:24	obligation	office 14:5 25:9	88:21 89:19,24
186:6,8,12,15	23:13 71:1	30:17 38:21	91:21 92:4,8,13
186:25 187:4,5	145:4 191:22	45:21,21 49:17	92:17 95:13
200:4 207:8		56:17 82:25	98:12 102:13
notice 116:25	obscurity 27:21	83:23 110:3	105:1 113:9
noticed 41:2	observe 8:15	115:20,24	114:12 117:23
november 5:17	obstruct 118:24	120:8 129:10	118:8 124:7
8:2 86:8 132:9	119:25	141:16 175:5	126:11,16
133:5 137:17	obstructed	175:13 192:11	145:4 147:4,10
145:25 148:23	118:17,20,22		147:13,22

148:3,7 150:16	49:9 50:20,24	168:21 171:22	operate 47:17
153:11 155:10	51:12 52:9	185:6,8,25	47:19
157:3,9 158:17	53:3 55:10	194:23 198:8	operating
158:21 161:9	56:1,6,24 60:7	198:23 199:2	57:11
161:13,15	63:3,6 66:2	203:11,21	operations
167:6,6 174:21	67:16 68:12	204:3 205:9,13	28:18
175:18 180:15	72:11,18,25	206:13	opinion 90:24
182:2 187:9	73:13 76:12	okstein 17:11	93:7 126:22
188:4,10 193:7	78:20 79:14,16	66:9,11,19	opportunity
193:8,24 194:4	79:21 82:21	77:12 78:2	25:21 26:12
194:4 196:10	83:16 84:3	84:11 115:19	35:1 42:11
196:14,18	85:11 86:5,20	131:24 133:3	60:15 88:2
202:9,24 203:6	89:1 92:8 94:7	140:24 202:14	opposed 168:16
203:11,21,25	95:17,19 96:13	203:13	opposite 191:25
204:3,12,20,23	97:16 98:1	okstein's	option 139:9
205:1,6,9,13,19	102:7,18	133:23	orange 51:5
206:1,13,16	104:13,17,20	omission	order 5:8,11
officer's 51:19	105:3,5 106:24	188:23	51:2 74:20
62:20 93:13	113:16 115:14	once 40:2 42:17	155:13 172:22
195:23	122:2 123:17	56:19 62:8	ordered 53:9
officers 13:11	125:1 128:7	103:13 124:25	74:25 75:4
13:22 64:20	130:3,25	151:17 153:5	ordinary 32:4
official 62:4	138:20 143:1	ones 130:1	orientation
oftentimes	147:13,14,16	178:24	8:14,17 52:2
47:20 48:21	148:18 152:2	ongoing 143:13	outside 13:12
oh 68:11	152:15,17	online 127:24	14:11 18:11
103:18 109:9	154:18 155:1	open 17:20	64:7,25 74:21
112:3 117:13	155:12 157:1	20:14 65:10	99:16 113:14
126:15 128:9	157:10,13	76:9 114:13	170:10
140:24 143:1	158:7,14	195:24	overhang 54:7
158:20 186:13	159:11 160:12	opened 22:16	overlapping
okay 43:22,25	160:20 161:15	78:18 98:15	158:12
44:9,13,18,21	163:5,8,24	opening 6:7,12	overnight
46:5,13 48:5	166:3,13	44:6 72:10	33:17 159:16
		•	

159:19,24	177:19,24	48:17 124:12	83:18,21 95:1
overseers 127:3	189:22	204:9	102:25 110:18
overview 49:14	painfully 41:11	parties 4:11,12	people 17:9
owed 106:19,21	pamela 168:11	5:3,7 6:4 14:25	24:13 25:1
114:11 125:5	paper 36:11	parts 187:5	32:12 35:6,22
126:9	paperwork	party 201:15	36:7,12 43:17
own 45:25	56:24 69:7	pass 138:25	44:5 47:25
48:12 133:1	158:24 180:9	139:1	48:25 49:5
167:16	191:9 193:11	past 91:8 95:6	55:13 81:8
p	193:17	123:20	97:20 104:15
p.c. 2:15	paragraph 9:6	paul 22:24 38:1	104:17 109:9
p.m. 14:14	9:14 16:19,22	pause 25:15	115:15,19,20
65:16,22	136:22 188:15	43:15,23 67:18	115:22 116:3
147:25 148:2	199:9	76:18 184:1	116:10 121:21
166:5 206:22	parents 120:18	pay 56:10	121:24 122:6
page 3:2,10	parking 27:2	122:11	125:1,3 129:6,9
5:12 9:9,10	117:20 119:2	paying 96:11	129:13 131:14
15:3,4 16:21	part 9:2 14:24	110:20 115:8	134:3 142:8,9
50:2,3,19 51:13	16:19 23:4	payment 56:12	143:17 152:3
63:1 65:19	24:22,24 81:15	144:8	155:22 173:15
66:24 76:14	83:15 107:16	pd 114:9	173:15 178:1
77:1,11,25	107:17 115:21	peabody 84:18	180:8,10
112:3 135:1,2,3	161:19,20	133:19 134:5	203:22
135:4,5 143:10	162:17 184:5	134:11,12,13	percent 24:14
150:1 156:17	188:15,23	peabody's	24:17,19,20
156:19 157:12	parte 36:19	135:9	25:25 46:8
157:12	124:15	peer 98:19	195:22 196:2,3
pages 9:7 13:20	participated	pennsylvania	perez 10:7,11
20:15 50:5	17:9	10:13 11:4,21	10:19,20 11:3
paid 100:21	particular	12:4 20:19	12:4,7,18 19:16
141:9,13,25	146:15 149:13	21:1 29:3	28:22 29:2,11
142:19 143:18	191:2	31:25 57:10,12	29:14 31:16,24
143:20 145:22	particularly	57:19,22,25	34:2 35:18
173.20 173.22	20:7 34:11	59:8,13 60:12	36:2 40:7,19
		1	1

[perez - point] Page 35

55:7,11 56:21	13:2,25 18:12	175:12	places 9:25
58:4,16,22 59:6	26:15 34:15	photo 20:19	30:6 35:7
61:24 66:10,13	39:19 40:18	58:2 93:14	166:19
66:14,17 72:3	42:11 53:23	photograph	plan 17:1 27:7
78:19,20,21	54:2,2,5 58:12	50:10,19,24	28:2,7 36:23
80:2 87:4	58:19 59:13	51:12	107:18,25
128:14,20	60:11,14 61:14	photographs	planned 12:19
141:4 143:18	61:25 71:6,8	7:2 52:9	27:14 115:6
169:22 170:2	72:2 122:3,24	photos 58:7	127:25 143:12
173:12 177:14	137:10 139:20	phrase 140:14	planning
178:2 179:7,20	142:23 143:3	182:4	107:20
179:25 180:10	143:20 188:22	physical 49:9	plastic 41:3
185:5,24 195:4	188:25 189:2	61:20	play 28:19
198:22 199:15	191:5 192:7,8	pick 15:18 16:2	65:14 66:2
205:10	204:19	68:18 69:10	played 67:5
perez's 11:7,10	personal 15:21	72:2,3 88:11	playing 66:4
29:16 99:20	21:7 68:21	157:22 159:3	67:17 69:20
112:7 125:19	76:3 157:25	160:17	76:12,15,16,20
167:19	158:8	picked 30:16	plea 82:6 139:5
perfect 7:13,14	personnel	46:24 160:15	pleas 82:18
perform 13:23	11:15 13:15,22	175:18	please 4:11
167:20,23	38:15 137:1	picks 175:12	31:10 43:11
period 97:11	153:14	piece 61:9	44:23,25 52:14
200:15	perspective	140:5	66:18 139:20
perjury 87:16	28:10 29:8	pieces 156:13	156:16 182:3
145:12,15	147:6,14	pissed 79:18,19	187:11 197:23
permission	persuade 11:23	101:3	pleased 80:3,6
34:22 63:19	12:16 58:24	place 11:12	pocket 178:18
65:9 75:7	59:1	35:11 62:5	point 7:2 16:4
93:13 95:13	pertinent 71:4	123:22 124:3	21:5,18 28:11
193:22	phase 72:21	199:5	29:4 32:16
person 11:3,8	phone 30:17	placed 139:8	34:3,16 36:22
11:18,20,22,24	58:21 78:11	154:13	37:2 41:2
12:17,18,25	97:14 98:1,7		48:18 54:22

59:10 60:9,17	13:18 14:2,3,6	practice 9:20	press 5:13
60:24 61:6	28:19 30:1,3,9	13:10 14:8	pretrial 195:3
65:1,3,21 67:12	30:23 31:10	17:6 27:20	pretty 36:11
74:25 76:9,25	34:7,13,14,18	32:9 46:5,8,9	79:4 141:22
78:10 81:14,18	37:6,9 64:18	53:11,12 81:15	prevents
81:20 84:5	152:12,18	91:14 151:13	107:14
88:15,23 91:23	153:4,23	153:21,21	previously
95:25 96:24	154:18,19	192:16	141:13
98:12 99:21	155:21,23	practiced 8:23	price 134:10
100:21 104:10	162:23,25	28:17	pride 115:18,22
106:11 110:19	163:25 164:1	practices 8:25	primarily 8:4
118:1 130:10	165:12,13	8:25	73:4
130:23 133:14	199:8	practicing	prior 110:22
137:20,24	pop 134:25	45:17 71:12,12	116:4 151:21
138:2 147:5,6	portion 155:16	200:9	173:18,19
149:13,25	155:18	precisely 18:22	198:9
152:3,13 157:7	position 18:11	38:17	prison 138:7,9
160:5,21	possession 57:4	predicted 206:6	prisoners 167:1
165:15 167:12	possible 104:16	prefile 78:14	private 31:17
167:15,18	111:3 131:11	prepare 78:14	35:8,12 46:5,9
168:9 174:11	171:23 172:11	97:16	47:1 121:10
180:6 182:24	179:22 180:3,5	prepared	151:13 177:20
185:11 186:21	183:4 190:25	192:13	privilege 117:8
193:12 198:19	possibly 137:23	present 6:15	126:8
200:3,14	160:1	10:20 11:9	probably 40:22
pointed 174:24	post 187:19	12:22 17:11	46:8 95:16
175:2	posture 32:15	26:12 39:20	99:2 100:24
points 95:22,23	potential 82:18	81:2 84:19	101:1 102:17
police 45:22	133:24	164:10 206:4	102:24 103:2
114:12 118:11	potentially	presenting 85:3	110:10 111:8
154:3	83:22 103:25	presiding 26:9	119:4 120:10
policies 31:6	130:1	29:25 31:6	120:17,19
policy 8:12	power 30:7	81:5 122:24	123:8 128:6,15
13:10,13,14,16		125:4	129:10,11,14

			I
129:15 130:22	72:6	87:11,15,19	publicity 27:18
133:6 169:11	proceeding	120:22,23	publicly 25:23
170:1,3,7 171:2	18:18 22:12	prosecution	puerto 62:5
173:24 179:12	23:4 26:3 28:4	90:16,21	pull 50:20
180:16 186:6	36:15 54:19	prosecutor	156:15
187:2 193:12	153:14	26:8 28:24	punishable
193:18 202:2	proceedings	31:23 47:14	138:6,8,11
probation	8:10,16 47:19	82:1 97:21,22	purpose 6:24
47:10,16 49:21	92:11 148:1	144:23,24	20:1 82:5
51:3 53:18,22	206:21 207:8	149:9 150:4,22	88:15 191:21
82:1 83:17,20	process 21:16	163:1 189:14	191:24
83:24 99:16	153:15	192:2,4	purposes
116:1 126:1	professional	prosecutors	191:18
129:13 139:8	45:1 48:13	108:16 135:11	pursuant
167:2 180:15	207:6,23	142:11 149:19	134:19 136:2
192:11 193:21	proffer 86:7,10	protect 72:25	put 14:3 25:9
194:5,14 196:7	86:14,16 87:23	73:2 137:10	33:17 112:21
196:8,12,13	134:20 135:16	184:4,7,17,18	112:24 152:25
problem 12:8	136:2,6 148:21	188:21	165:24 175:15
17:21 37:2,3,11	148:23 149:3,6	protection 73:8	186:14,25
37:15 38:2,3,10	150:12 188:9	protocol 5:9	192:7 193:9
57:7,13,14 58:6	programs 49:7	provide 136:23	199:9
64:3 72:15	promised 144:7	140:6	putting 181:6
81:1 114:16	proper 81:8	provided 60:19	puzzling 33:4
191:19	property 21:11	proving 6:1	q
problems	35:9 41:4	prudent 124:8	qualifies 172:1
195:16	53:15 76:3	public 9:24	quarrel 127:22
procedure	77:3 99:5,8,11	10:1 19:8 25:6	queen 134:22
44:15 82:17	152:5,6,7	26:13 29:13	question 21:21
proceed 18:4	205:10	30:6 34:10	27:12,13,14
64:23 72:7,8	prosecute	46:1,3,4 49:25	32:7 53:3
74:6	184:10	50:1 53:21	73:10 84:25
proceeded	prosecuted	54:8 93:25	89:12 95:1
21:15 29:10	86:17,19 87:3	94:3,6	07.12 73.1

		T	
102:11 113:13	ran 47:11	182:21 189:12	108:14 111:22
115:21 127:16	135:11	reasonable	143:9 148:13
128:24 139:2	random 175:22	20:5 34:25	150:18 163:17
140:2 149:1	rare 139:14	35:5,15 71:6,8	170:11 179:19
150:24 154:1	rather 17:3	reasonably	183:2,4
176:24,25	read 14:6 29:5	94:2	recommendat
181:22 182:1,3	30:23 66:5	reasons 20:9	5:19,24
182:25 185:13	67:24 69:15,23	70:23 91:11,12	record 4:3 7:23
198:6 199:1	70:8 76:22	97:13 152:8	14:24 16:5,6,10
questions 29:16	95:20 153:18	recall 63:24	16:11,12 17:19
41:18 46:17	159:14 165:20	125:20,22,24	17:23 18:17,20
85:20 113:11	165:21,22	receive 6:24 7:4	19:13,24,25
125:9 143:4	188:15,16,24	86:23	20:2,7 23:1,17
184:2 202:6,10	198:18 202:11	received 5:10	29:6 32:3,8,10
quickly 141:2	readily 32:22	8:5 27:10,17	32:13,20 34:2
183:11	reading 162:4	56:13 86:13	36:16 37:19
quite 42:8 62:9	164:2 188:21	136:16 144:9	38:3,24,25 39:1
106:8,10	197:9 199:8	150:15 199:16	39:10 41:22
186:10	reads 158:13	recent 106:16	59:24 60:16
quote 202:15	158:22	183:12	67:6 69:19
quoted 134:10	realize 153:25	recently 44:15	70:1,3,4,5,6,11
198:10	really 27:20	88:5,20 109:19	72:19,20 73:6,8
quoting 125:16	32:17 33:3	recess 92:10	73:8,11,14,14
197:4,16	39:16 41:15	147:24	73:19,21 74:8
r	68:24 85:2	recessed 11:4	76:7 81:11,19
r 6:19 207:1	137:19 139:25	recitation	81:21 82:4,8,13
radio 195:25	158:1 170:19	166:6	82:18 91:11
raise 6:5 43:5	174:14 193:14	recognizance	92:14 125:21
raised 29:16	rear 22:18	21:7	148:4 160:22
62:3 142:15	reason 17:19	recognized	160:24,25
162:9,14	32:13 34:12	18:10 169:19	161:1,3,4,6,10
raj 37:13	53:15 54:15,17	169:20	162:6,9 165:17
1 aj 37.13	63:21 149:8	recollection	165:19,20,21
	150:2,7 175:19	74:5 75:4	165:23,25
	l .	1	I

166:6 181:6	references	relates 106:23	156:3 159:21
182:10 184:6,6	24:25	107:1	162:11,20
190:16 192:5	referred 13:16	relation 52:3	163:6,20 164:3
193:15 202:14	49:24 134:24	55:11	165:8 166:13
205:16,18	150:22	relationship	185:7 186:1
recorded 8:11	referring 151:2	38:16 70:24	198:24 199:2,4
23:5	refers 203:9	relationships	199:10,15
recorder 32:23	reflected 88:3	11:14	202:19 203:17
recording	reflects 21:20	relatively 90:1	releases 155:5
16:13,18 20:13	193:14	109:23	164:4
23:7 65:14,17	refresh 54:21	release 5:13	releasing 158:7
66:2,4 67:1,16	55:4 108:14	13:1 15:21	relevant 71:19
67:17 68:1	111:21	18:7 33:22	81:14 83:6,14
69:20 76:13,16	refreshed 144:3	53:13 68:21	85:21
76:20 156:13	144:6	90:8 112:7	remain 77:23
156:23 162:18	refuse 84:22,23	152:3 153:13	200:9 202:22
166:4	85:12	157:24 163:4	remained 12:9
records 144:8	regard 119:14	185:4,24	12:17 97:7
recounted	regarding 5:8	198:22	remarkable
182:5,9	13:15 18:15	released 9:22	29:9
recovery 47:4	59:8,15,22 60:4	10:21 12:7	remember
49:8	82:17 83:17,21	13:6,25 17:2,3	18:21 54:15,17
recross 3:6	regardless	17:25 19:9,16	55:2,3 56:12
red 9:9 15:3	153:16,20	21:7 22:3,7	57:2,5 58:20
redirect 3:5	regards 124:13	23:18 39:17,18	79:16 80:17
86:3 88:11	regional 22:22	52:25 53:10,23	99:7 100:18
187:12	37:14	54:6 59:6 64:9	101:8,24 102:4
reduced 139:5	registered	65:5 75:16,18	104:8 108:8
refer 57:24	207:5,23	75:22 77:17,24	109:7 112:9
reference 30:14	regular 123:9	78:2 98:17	113:15 117:1
194:9	relate 46:17	99:1 103:4	117:16 119:7,8
referenced	related 5:15	104:15 117:9	119:20 127:21
135:25	49:3 109:4	117:11 152:4	128:18 132:3
	180:9	155:16,17	133:6 141:4

140 16 171 1 4	110 0 111 07	107.0.160.0	1
149:16 151:1,4	110:8 111:25	137:2 163:3	responds
151:9,11 152:1	143:10 198:14	185:4,23	166:13
167:11 169:16	reported 200:7	198:21	response 38:13
170:19 171:2,6	reporter 207:6	require 82:17	117:18 124:17
171:11,16	207:23	196:10	158:8,11 186:4
172:2,14 173:8	reporting	required 7:20	186:18 198:11
174:2,11 176:2	146:16	37:7 67:12	responsibilities
176:16 177:8	reports 26:1	154:20	35:21
178:7,13	87:24 88:7	requires 8:10	rest 50:20
179:10,23	represent 4:12	105:22	69:18
181:8 182:23	10:24 11:11	research 15:15	restraining
187:3 189:17	52:10 56:2,16	20:17 68:15	51:2
190:3 198:12	71:15 84:15	183:12	restraints
198:12 199:20	92:21 107:5	resolve 20:4	22:16 53:25
199:21 200:20	173:11 174:13	resolved 38:3	54:4
201:14,22	177:13,15,18	respect 26:6	result 80:4
202:4,12	representation	33:23 34:18	resume 5:5
remembered	143:14	35:21 36:16	67:16 76:12,15
126:4 149:13	representative	57:19 85:22	92:9 147:23
remembering	21:24 77:12	204:10	resumed 11:9
172:12	202:15 203:14	respectful 31:4	92:11 148:1
remembers	representing	respond 96:13	retained 11:10
18:19,22	31:15 84:10	126:21	31:17 56:19
reminded 34:3	107:8 168:22	responded	170:21 171:1,4
removal 10:18	178:1 190:21	15:22 21:14	171:14,17
remove 53:25	192:15	22:1,8 95:21,22	retire 91:23
54:4	reprimanded	108:18 112:7	retired 113:12
removed 22:16	37:24	143:21 165:1,4	retirement
160:3	request 6:16,24	186:22 197:19	201:15,19
rephrase 182:3	21:3 32:20,24	198:3	return 98:5
replaced 31:16	34:25 35:15	respondent	128:25 138:24
116:20	59:25 90:6	7:10	returnable
report 5:18	requested	responding	130:11
24:25 37:25	14:16 64:13	21:21 159:6	

reveal 107:19	94:21 95:7,9,10	129:7,14 130:9	168:22 169:10
review 60:15	95:24 96:2,9,19	131:2 132:11	172:21,25
88:2,6 193:23	96:22,25 97:14	132:25 133:11	173:23 174:12
reviewed 60:20	97:17,23 98:13	133:15,17,19	174:19,22
61:8,10 179:5	99:6,8,10,14,17	133:21,25	175:8,10,12
181:1 193:25	100:14 101:3,6	134:3,20,22	176:11 177:19
revised 82:16	101:7,11	135:2,5,13,16	177:23 178:6
rewarded	102:10,21	135:22 136:3,9	178:10,23
139:3	103:1,4,8 104:4	136:10,15	179:15,18
ri 1:22	104:7,8,11,21	138:4,7,11,13	180:23 181:11
rican 62:5	105:5,10,18	138:18,21	182:7 184:4,12
richmond 2:8	106:1,4,9,11	139:6 140:1,8	185:19 186:2
4:7	107:21,23	140:13,22	187:25 196:16
ridiculous	108:3,7,19,19	141:5,6,10,14	197:1,12 198:6
178:16	109:11,13,14	141:25 142:1,5	198:11 199:7
right 7:11 9:10	109:15,17,22	142:8,11,15,17	201:16 205:3
11:20,22,24	109:25 110:4,7	142:19 144:1	rights 26:7
12:16,18 15:4	111:2,3,15,16	144:15,19	33:23 156:6
18:12 21:19	111:16 112:16	145:6,21,23	risk 34:10
29:17 32:1	112:19,25	146:1,7,19,23	147:8
33:10,18 41:6	113:6,10,18,20	148:10 149:15	risking 34:10
43:1,5 49:4,18	114:23 115:1,6	150:17 152:5	role 18:11 27:9
50:6,15 51:3	115:16,19	152:10 154:16	69:8 94:23
52:6,13 58:12	116:11,18	155:8,14,20	115:4 134:9
58:19 59:11	117:10,17	156:6 158:2,5	158:25 190:21
60:14 61:14	118:3,15,23	159:5,8,13,19	190:23,24
62:20,25 63:2,3	119:2 120:2,11	160:2,6,8,10,14	rome 31:5
63:4 66:11	121:3,12,14,19	160:18 161:1,6	room 86:18
68:24 69:21	122:7,13,16,19	162:1,10,12,15	100:24 142:8
71:22 72:23	124:9,15,19	164:21 165:9	142:10
76:7,21 80:3,17	125:2 126:5,6	165:15 166:7	roughly 119:3
84:22 85:12	127:12,15,23	166:15,18,20	routine 47:12
93:1,9,14,19,21	127:25 128:10	166:21,24	routinely
93:22 94:3,4,18	128:13,23	167:3,24 168:1	122:21

[row - sense] Page 42

row 100:14,15	saying 15:7	scott 37:6	94:17 97:19
rpr 1:22	18:23,24 20:24	113:10,13	98:19 100:12
rule 8:9 16:15	94:11 96:15	131:18	106:12 111:21
32:7,9,11 34:21	105:15 108:21	screen 50:2	112:18 113:9
37:16,18,19	108:25 109:1,3	188:11	113:10,13
82:16 107:10	112:10 115:10	scuffle 34:10	123:15,19
107:12,14	125:20,22,24	seal 62:3	124:18 132:8,8
205:14	162:3 165:22	seat 44:18	136:22 157:7
rules 8:7,8	168:5 171:23	seated 43:4	162:15 169:2
82:16 106:24	172:7 182:4	second 7:22	176:14 181:17
107:3,3,4 127:4	198:12 203:1	21:23 25:12	184:17 188:5
run 27:3 47:6	203:13	43:14 49:23	188:12,17,25
rundown 134:8	says 9:14 20:23	100:14 135:1,5	200:18 204:19
running 40:23	34:13 39:6	135:22 136:23	seeking 12:6
S	66:9 68:2,3,5	198:19 199:24	seem 81:14
s 3:9 6:22	70:1,2,3,5	seconds 7:24	83:6,14 194:24
sallyport 13:2	71:16 77:12,15	16:18 33:3,25	seemed 32:3
22:17 27:1	78:1,1,2,3	65:25 78:24,24	34:24 35:4,11
74:1 77:10	136:6 137:8	99:3 140:1,4,5	40:16 101:4
78:19 154:11	143:10 153:8	140:8,13,22	113:19
166:24	153:10,19	165:16 182:11	seems 15:5
sat 8:22 28:13	156:23 158:1,2	section 153:10	seen 18:18 25:1
47:14 50:14	158:3,6,23	166:9	26:3 30:4 32:9
save 38:25	159:1,3,5 166:5	secure 22:15	49:13 55:23
saw 9:25 27:2	166:8,10,12	securer 34:9	74:19 104:15
35:6 37:2	173:6 181:16	security 20:18	104:17 112:1
47:24 79:11,12	198:17 202:14	35:22 153:14	123:5 151:20
101:21 113:8	203:18	see 30:9,12,15	153:4,5 155:15
113:17 116:14	scheduled	37:1,14 38:6,10	167:20
150:21 151:25	206:9	39:2,11 43:25	self 36:10
167:20 173:12	scheme 27:10	50:24 51:13	sending 155:2
200:21	27:15	55:1 61:22	sense 88:23
	school 45:18	66:23 79:8,22	96:1 144:5
		86:2 88:2	

[sent - smile] Page 43

sent 29:15	several 11:1	shows 36:17	single 25:6
96:24	13:20 29:11	shut 162:18	198:10 199:23
sentence	104:20	shy 117:8	sinister 32:19
136:23 137:6	shannon 12:1	side 7:23 14:16	sir 50:8 65:23
139:5 188:16	17:10 18:10	14:20,23 17:14	137:16 165:25
separate 69:7	28:25 33:8	19:11 25:18	171:19 173:21
158:25	40:3,9,24 41:8	39:20 41:10,15	196:24 202:11
separately 13:7	79:9 92:25	42:1,5 51:6,8	sit 8:17 44:16
sequence 193:9	107:12	66:21,22 67:8	50:13,15
194:1	shaped 36:6,8	92:23 93:3,8,8	169:10,12,18
sequentially	36:10	93:9,19,24	sitting 8:14,20
9:11,11 50:6	share 121:21	94:24 101:23	12:13 62:19
series 46:16	149:22	106:13 117:20	63:2 128:19
54:20 193:6	shared 119:5	156:12,12	169:7,11
serve 46:6	she'd 32:18	157:16 164:10	situation 30:20
191:17,18,20	33:22 204:19	164:19 165:1,4	31:1 34:23
service 10:17	shed 30:13	166:3 190:15	39:8,12,12
177:23	shelley 2:8 4:7	192:4 197:6,18	58:17 96:9
session 49:23	24:15 27:16	198:2	134:9 174:15
50:12 80:11,19	28:1	sided 38:9	six 25:9 98:22
86:7 87:23	shifted 42:6	157:8	112:21 142:22
100:12 148:21	shook 201:22	sign 56:17	143:22 144:2
151:5 172:16	short 78:24	179:2	148:16 198:9
180:23	92:3 97:11	signaled 186:23	sjc 42:15 82:15
set 11:19 14:24	shot 85:25	signature 135:7	skip 89:10
18:25 21:17,22	show 62:22	135:9 207:21	skirt 19:4
62:24 172:18	98:21 128:20	signature's	slight 15:6
207:13	176:25 182:18	135:4	slightly 52:6
sets 106:24	195:5	signed 137:9	198:17
setting 187:23	showed 37:20	178:13	slowly 95:14
seven 36:5	58:17,20	simple 106:10	smaller 121:12
46:15 144:18	shown 32:20	simply 42:8	121:14
145:18 175:5	62:1 168:2,17	85:3 129:2	smile 151:25
175:13 190:8	178:21		

smiled 41:6	203:4	special 4:14 6:6	62:2 73:9
social 15:16	sought 11:18	6:10 8:9 24:2	78:20 94:16
20:18 68:16	sound 43:25	28:5 29:5	98:24 99:2
157:21	sounded 72:16	41:16 42:24	103:11,16
softly 94:2	sounds 38:14	43:7 96:13	108:12 111:22
solidify 61:13	43:25 108:20	97:22 108:13	112:13 129:11
solution 72:4	122:13,16	112:14 125:17	129:13 133:2,8
solve 17:21	126:6 132:11	135:25 144:23	134:5 135:19
64:2 72:15	136:10	144:24 147:14	135:21 142:23
somebody	sources 32:11	148:15,18	142:25 144:24
32:16 53:18	space 95:12	149:14,17	145:19 148:14
71:2 73:1	203:4,5	150:2 157:7	163:1,12,13
113:5 124:18	spanish 10:25	163:13,16	164:23 172:10
127:24 131:5	179:15	164:23 178:12	179:5 181:10
139:18 146:22	speak 21:12	184:3 187:9	181:12 183:10
151:24 175:12	47:22 55:6	197:15 198:6	189:10 194:16
181:6	75:10,12 76:4	205:14	197:2,15
somebody's	77:3 78:7	specific 119:20	spoken 73:24
131:11	84:14,22 87:7	149:20 172:15	133:3 193:21
something's	87:10 94:2	200:1	193:24
15:16 68:17	96:10,12	specifically	spring 201:16
157:21	116:12 120:15	100:18 155:21	square 37:9
somewhat	120:18 134:7	169:17 185:23	stacey 22:23
49:15	134:19 137:17	203:7	staff 14:4 30:19
sorry 37:21	147:18 156:2	specified 207:9	48:6,8,10
43:14 44:7	158:18 166:1	speed 25:4	stairs 35:19
45:3 76:17	179:14	spend 184:25	49:21,22,24
89:18 111:10	speaker 25:14	194:24	50:1 53:21
114:18 161:9	speakers 43:21	spent 8:13	93:21 152:9
164:17 185:13	speaking 43:17	100:11	165:8 166:21
206:3	85:22 94:16	split 146:22	196:5
sort 32:16 49:6	139:20 150:10	spoke 11:25	stairway 22:16
82:4 131:1	204:5	12:15,20 35:16	stand 41:14
141:2 177:10		58:14 61:7	51:1,16

		I	
standard 71:6	197:10	story 25:24	subtle 108:7,9
standards	states 9:4 86:21	27:24 182:5,9	108:11,16,24
153:1	87:12	strategy 192:16	110:25
standing 51:15	station 118:11	street 2:4,10,16	successfully
52:5 74:19	195:23	24:13 118:16	33:8
115:7 173:22	statute 138:8	striking 106:7	sufficient 12:3
start 7:25	statutes 138:14	subconsciously	suffolk 5:5
started 28:5	statutory 22:5	32:14	suggest 20:9
45:20 80:19	stay 45:11	subject 9:20	39:20 40:9
135:12	48:18 203:23	29:3 31:24	42:9,12 71:11
starting 65:15	staying 73:11	153:13,17	71:18 72:22
69:18,23	stenographic	subpoena	suggested
156:23 206:17	207:8	129:24 130:7,9	104:3
206:18	step 56:1 75:5	130:11,16	suggestion
starts 76:14	166:20	131:5,8,10,11	108:7 159:6
188:18	steps 51:11	131:19,22,25	suggestions
state 10:21 12:8	118:5	132:16,20	18:14 191:16
43:11 45:19	stipulated 6:18	133:1,10	suite 2:5,16
46:3,4 59:18,23	6:23 7:2 9:1	137:21	sum 126:5
60:4,5 83:25	15:1 16:23	subpoenaed	superior
90:7	17:5 66:7	84:6,11 85:7	110:15
stated 125:17	67:22 69:22	131:15	supervised
125:24 143:11	160:24	subsection	110:6
164:18 197:5	stipulation 7:9	153:8	supervisor
statement 9:6	152:19	subsequent	110:16
16:20 41:25	stipulations	87:9	support 42:14
87:13 88:3	152:18	substance	47:9 49:6
144:10,14,17	stood 40:5,14	17:17 19:15	137:25
185:11,14	40:14 171:11	49:3 57:4	supposed 91:2
186:12	stop 66:5 69:14	135:3 195:12	112:15 127:4
statements 6:7	stopped 67:2	substantive	153:23 155:3,7
6:13 19:4	69:23 82:7	169:5	199:6
70:11,15 72:5	stories 181:21	substantively	supposedly
146:6 163:9		181:12	73:22

	I	I	
supreme 13:17	t	38:22 82:23	95:14,19
sure 25:19 42:2	t 3:9 6:22 207:1	96:4 97:10	102:13 105:14
44:4 45:4,8,12	207:1	98:8 105:14	106:20 107:10
46:23 48:12	tab 156:20,21	106:3,4,6	108:2,3 115:1,2
49:15 64:24	187:18	109:24 129:9	117:19 120:2
88:22 102:11	table 60:20	129:16 130:14	124:6 125:6
104:10 109:4	63:3	134:2,6,12	126:10 127:18
109:12 110:20	take 10:20	141:23 144:23	127:20 129:21
133:16 135:11	12:19,24 13:24	146:1 170:8	133:9 138:20
136:6 138:2	19:19 24:13	172:13 192:10	138:22 140:15
140:2,14 146:8	33:22 35:14,23	talking 37:4	140:15 142:16
154:1 156:25	46:13 56:8	53:6 95:19	143:5 145:5
157:4 164:8	62:6 78:23	106:14 109:14	156:18 159:9
167:7 171:18	92:6 115:18,21	113:8 135:13	170:20,25
172:23 174:12	144:14 147:5	149:25 184:25	174:9 175:5
174:20 182:13	147:11,16	185:19 200:24	176:21,21
187:21 193:16	166:12 196:7	201:2	177:6 184:22
202:12 204:14	199:6	tape 140:3,4,7	184:23 189:5,7
surgeries 196:4	taken 12:11	162:18	190:22 191:22
surprise 180:25	34:8 50:25	target 133:12	195:17 200:24
surprised 18:13	92:10 147:24	tasks 17:12	201:2,5,8,11
18:16 19:18	talk 33:5 34:22	tattoo 61:21	telling 28:25
38:11	95:24 97:13	team 47:2,17	38:14 102:19
survey 24:13	106:1 120:13	technically	105:1 126:15
swear 43:4	123:17 124:8	154:15	126:15 155:9
swinging 51:7	130:17 134:9	tell 23:11 24:14	176:17,22
swore 142:15	169:22,24	24:17,19,20	192:1
sworn 23:15	170:9 184:14	28:6 32:17	tells 39:22
43:6	184:21 189:11	37:17 38:4	tend 169:12
sympathetic	192:16 195:19	41:23 44:25	183:11,15
72:12 73:17	196:25	47:5 50:11	term 56:18
system 16:13	talked 30:18,25	59:14,21 63:10	terms 136:3
90:3	36:21 37:15,22	78:22 80:16	testified 7:3
	30.41 37.13,44	87:8 88:18	101:10 132:15
	I	I	I

132:18 142:5	thanked 130:2	70:22 72:11,14	73:15 107:17
143:16 146:25	130:22	75:16,24 76:21	109:11 120:20
199:13 201:5	thanks 39:6	76:22 80:18	132:24 161:17
202:20	that'd 118:2	81:8 84:25	161:25 194:19
testify 25:23	164:20	85:7,17,21	third 38:20
84:23 90:10	theory 182:19	88:17,24 90:4	39:19 49:23
132:16 137:2	thing 15:6,19	90:20 91:3,4,5	77:1
139:18	29:23 41:6	91:12 92:5	thomas 2:10
testifying 85:23	61:22 68:20	100:18 101:13	thoopes 2:13
132:13	73:12 101:6,11	106:4,19	thought 17:25
testimony	105:3 127:15	107:11 108:9,9	33:15 35:20
14:22 16:7	139:24 145:20	108:11,12	36:7,12 55:16
20:10 25:6	150:10 153:4	109:19 114:11	55:22,24 58:15
42:4 90:13	157:23 198:16	118:18 119:21	67:12 80:3,4
136:8,12 137:2	204:4	120:23 121:1	84:13 85:3
138:19 147:19	things 16:8	123:19 125:5	87:12 89:3
189:19 190:15	29:9,23 41:11	126:9 132:23	90:20 98:1
201:17	46:17 48:16	135:24 147:4,7	105:2,4 108:5
thank 4:25 6:8	76:24 88:9,15	147:12 150:3,7	109:20 119:7
7:7,15 23:25	95:3 96:21,23	150:10 156:1	120:25 124:8
24:1,5 25:19	105:23 130:24	157:20,23	124:10 149:22
26:12 42:18,21	139:7 140:9	158:1 161:23	155:12 163:5
42:22 44:8,14	154:7 162:9	168:5 169:16	163:19 167:17
44:22,24 45:14	165:20,21	173:1,1 174:13	168:9 170:5
50:8 66:19	176:1 180:4	175:2 181:22	185:6,8,25
86:5 92:1,7,9	182:15 193:1	184:2 187:1,4,5	192:23 197:1
92:16 99:3	196:24 204:16	189:13 190:2	198:23 199:14
100:20 105:12	think 4:1 15:19	191:22,25	204:6,7,17
118:9 147:9,23	17:19 20:25	192:1,6,12,18	205:5
148:6 157:10	39:14 43:20	192:21 193:1,3	thousand 56:15
158:21 187:8	54:24 55:9,21	194:1,17 200:3	141:9 143:11
196:17 202:6,8	58:19 63:13,15	200:5 204:8	143:19 146:19
205:22 206:1	65:3,4 67:1	thinking 61:11	178:5,9
206:20	68:11,12,19,23	64:22 72:5	

thousands	148:23 150:11	28:2 29:25	took 18:11 27:4
110:9,11	150:20 151:6	30:10 31:22	37:19 47:1
three 10:11	153:3 158:4	36:2,21 38:6	87:22 122:10
52:9,14 55:13	163:2,9 170:18	42:20 55:22	123:22 124:3
109:25 110:3	171:7,17	56:7,20,21	145:7 146:21
163:10 178:5,7	178:14 179:3,9	59:16 61:1,2	top 51:5 77:11
196:24	183:15 185:18	73:13 80:20	115:10
tie 68:9 175:16	185:19 187:8	83:11 92:22	topic 198:8
tied 61:16	190:11,25	97:20,20 99:10	topics 74:9
ties 61:17	191:13 194:24	101:2 104:20	touch 78:15
time 6:5 8:15	195:22 196:2	106:16 117:9	98:2
10:10 15:23	200:16,18	126:2 128:19	toward 10:4
27:24,25 28:2	201:12 205:17	131:9 132:17	13:22
28:15 33:7,16	207:9	141:22 142:3	towards 95:14
36:8 41:20	timeline 133:6	142:19 143:22	town 134:3
42:19 44:16	times 8:22 11:1	145:8,10,14	track 96:9
46:19 47:3,7	42:8 104:20	148:9,11,12	tracking 131:1
53:1,11 64:11	127:6 130:13	152:2 155:25	131:14,17
64:20 69:2	130:17 151:20	163:16 167:8	trade 140:14
70:12 79:5,24	163:12 180:8	167:12 170:5	traded 140:11
82:7 85:1 88:1	196:5 200:21	171:3 173:17	trail 36:11
88:19 90:15,19	timing 172:15	174:7 176:4,12	training 8:6
92:1 95:23	201:18	177:5 178:12	30:12
97:11,17 98:23	today 25:20	180:8 181:5,7	transcript
101:17 102:9	102:19 110:22	181:21 192:4	14:25 15:1
102:13,14	110:22 111:14	193:6 195:4	21:20 65:16
103:10,13	112:18,24	201:1,7,13	66:3,6,7,24
114:25 115:13	142:17 145:1	tom 4:20 92:20	67:22 68:3
116:25 117:4	150:15 199:4	tomorrow	69:16,21 95:20
119:3,19 120:2	together 53:17	15:24 69:3	96:19 158:6,13
120:16 123:6	61:9 193:12	70:13 158:5,9	158:14,16,22
123:25 129:21	told 12:25	188:1,2 206:8	159:13,14
133:24 135:22	17:24 21:24	206:17,18	162:4 166:8,16
137:18 139:20	23:7 25:24		167:25 168:2,5

173:5,13	try 43:24 56:22	182:7,15	160:11 161:16
175:21 181:1	60:20 94:14	tying 21:1	understanding
182:17 189:19	191:13 193:8	typed 87:24	15:10 19:14
193:14 202:13	206:7,11	typically	55:18 59:5
203:18 207:7	trying 17:21	122:14 169:9	68:4 86:14
transcript's	26:6 29:20	u	87:1 134:15
96:20 160:23	30:20 31:4	u 7:1 50:3	168:13 169:1
travel 48:22	33:23 34:17	u.s 189:7	202:21
treated 37:10	38:15,18 40:23	u.s. 25:8 62:6	understood
38:1 39:8	45:15 72:3,25	84:23 85:22	77:21,21 87:5,6
tremont 24:13	73:2 83:7 90:9	86:7 90:17	90:4 96:6
trial 13:14 14:2	184:3,16,18	141:16	105:16 143:4
49:23 143:24	191:2,18,21,24	uh 105:13	164:25 165:3
152:25 153:9	tuesday 47:4,6	unaware 19:8	180:5 191:19
tried 58:14,18	47:24 48:17	162:25	194:21 197:18
61:9	tuesdays 47:11	uncomfortable	197:24 198:2
triple 60:15	turn 6:5,12	19:3 44:16	203:2
61:8,10,17	23:7 24:3 26:5	uncommon	undertaking
193:21,25	32:23 43:18	81:16,17	42:18
194:7	73:14 187:14	under 19:1	undisputed
true 41:25 42:8	190:21	36:20 57:11	7:21 16:17
106:2 207:7	turned 16:13	59:13 127:3	20:13 26:19
truth 42:20	21:23	153:23 162:23	unethical 91:3
87:8 125:6,7	twice 111:23	underlying	unfortunately
142:16,16,17	124:24,25	87:19	33:2
143:6,8,8 145:5	130:20 153:5	understand	united 9:4
145:5,6 176:17	two 10:13 23:8	39:2 56:23	86:21 87:12
176:22 189:5,7	28:23 35:25	58:15 59:4	unlock 196:15
truthful 90:13	48:23 55:13	67:19,21 71:20	unrecorded
112:16 117:17	65:25 106:24	77:20 89:5	16:14 17:8
136:19,24	109:25 122:5	97:19 106:3	20:12
138:19	122:20 133:4,7	108:17,24	unreliable
truthfully 87:8	135:2,4 144:12	118:7 139:1,6	183:15
125:10 137:3	162:9 178:2	110./ 137.1,0	

unshackled	81:25 169:16	virtually 27:17	60:6 75:19
99:1	169:17 195:22	35:16	95:14 99:12
unsuccessful	195:25	visible 51:22	113:22 114:2
61:3	\mathbf{v}	visit 21:25	walked 25:18
untruthful	v 2:4 6:19	77:14 108:13	40:3,25 41:6
41:17	valued 126:22	202:16 203:7	106:15 113:20
unusual 31:12	126:25	203:15	116:3 117:2,4,7
93:6 152:9	variety 8:16	visiting 203:10	120:11
156:1	various 8:5,17	voice 94:8	walking 58:23
uphold 7:20	10:8,8 42:8	volume 1:8	79:23 100:13
upper 50:6	180:8,8	7:12 8:7,8	106:12 124:14
upset 40:21	verified 58:1	67:20	walks 15:18
101:22,25	version 140:7	volunteer 23:1	68:19 157:23
103:24 104:1	versus 10:7	23:12	walsh 131:21
111:10 124:7	66:12 149:10	volunteered	waltham
130:24 132:22	203:4	23:13	121:16 122:22
204:16 205:4,5	viably 191:8	W	want 6:13 7:25
205:7	victim 40:17	wait 13:11	34:4 38:9,11
upstairs 9:18	video 58:22	14:11,17 21:22	46:13 66:19
79:1,12,12 99:4	view 5:3 90:19	31:10 32:22	67:8,20,24
99:5,19 112:6	90:22 95:25	64:7,14 66:19	69:14 70:10
155:17,18	190:22,24	74:21 86:2	72:1,18 75:12
179:23 202:22	191:17	waited 17:15	76:23 78:21
203:23	viewing 19:3	40:6	89:7 145:21
use 38:16 49:3	views 90:17	waiting 19:19	147:2 150:14
used 86:18	vilified 26:4	22:19 40:6	150:16 157:3,4
109:22 149:15	27:16	64:16,21,24	157:14 159:15
149:18	violated 5:21	65:4 74:12,13	159:16,19
useful 32:18	violating	99:25 104:23	170:13 174:15
using 9:9 10:9	107:11	104:24 144:10	175:17 187:14
usual 53:10,12	violation 5:22	163:19	187:24
usually 48:19	16:15	walk 24:12	wanted 11:3
49:5 53:20		49:16 54:6	14:17 55:19
54:4 63:5			64:25 67:13

72:20 73:6	82:4,23 99:17	91:11 97:2,4	118:5,7 140:21
77:6 78:7,13	101:4 104:10	98:24 99:4,5,17	140:23 147:17
81:6 93:24,25	113:4,19	111:15,16,18	147:21 158:20
94:2,10 97:13	124:15 128:9	114:3 120:8	181:24 190:10
103:4,6 123:14	146:18 154:23	123:19 131:4	205:25 206:4
123:17 124:6	159:10,14	134:19 135:21	207:12
143:17 159:21	164:2 166:25	136:11 137:16	witnessed
161:1	167:1,2 168:15	141:24 142:4	182:7
warning 22:5	173:18 182:5	142:23 143:15	witnesses 19:22
warrant 10:13	182:16 185:14	149:7 151:23	20:5 25:23
10:18 11:21	186:23 196:6	156:12 165:16	worcester
12:4 20:22	204:16 205:10	172:17 184:14	45:21
21:1 29:4	ways 26:15	184:17 194:19	word 25:6 96:6
31:25 57:11,20	139:2	wes 26:24 99:2	109:21 127:8
57:22 58:1,18	we've 49:13	105:14,14	149:15,18
59:9,13 60:12	54:20 66:8	wesley 12:21	181:1 198:11
68:7 83:18,21	67:24 88:21	61:5 62:13	199:23 200:2,2
83:25 90:6	148:14 199:4	103:11 129:23	words 19:17
110:18 153:18	web 5:12	130:14	59:12 108:11
154:7 160:9,13	website 5:12	whatsoever	163:24
160:14,18	week 25:21	120:21	work 46:7,11
191:10,11	47:13,23,24	whereof 207:12	46:21 48:18
washington	124:23,24,25	white 94:5	55:17 82:6
118:16	weeks 8:14	wide 8:15	90:2 91:19
watching 96:8	22:20 38:6	wife 120:13,15	115:15,22
96:8	133:4,7	willing 72:14	143:12,13
way 12:10 19:9	went 15:13,14	194:24	168:15 173:12
26:4 27:24	18:16 20:14	wish 25:3	178:6
37:25 40:7,8	30:11 34:1	withhold 137:6	worked 33:20
42:5 44:5 49:3	40:23 45:18,22	witness 25:7	45:20 47:13
49:22 53:21	45:25 54:15	40:17 42:25	114:7
64:5 67:15	56:20 68:14	45:12,15 50:8	working 7:12
72:16,17 74:3,6	76:7 78:17	51:1 85:20	11:6 45:23
77:1 79:8,11	79:1,21 80:19	88:18 89:8	123:5 171:5,13

175:20 176:2	109:12 125:4	Z
200:15	128:2,15	z 6:19
works 177:20	129:13 130:8	zealous 91:2
worried 62:5	132:21 133:7	zealously 31:15
137:20 149:2	135:1,6,14,17	190:25
worry 80:25	136:16 138:10	zoom 142:25
126:3 137:23	141:8 142:9	143:1,3 206:10
worth 145:22	143:25 147:9	145.1,5 200.10
write 176:9	165:4,7 166:3	
writing 176:7	167:17 169:3	
written 30:10	170:7,7 175:15	
199:23	176:10 177:15	
wrong 12:25	177:22 183:8	
60:10,11 61:25	186:4 194:5	
62:15 63:13	198:4,9	
72:2 73:21	year 45:19	
79:18 102:1,8,9	years 8:3 25:9	
103:1 104:2,3	36:5 42:6	
168:6	46:15 54:25	
wrote 38:14	110:3 114:8	
151:3	121:3 123:7	
X	138:11,13	
x 3:1,9 105:18	144:19 145:18	
106:9,9 145:22	183:8,9 190:8	
xiv 153:10	198:9	
xv 153:10	yesterday	
	205:4	
y	young 90:2	
y 105:18 106:9	yup 78:2 95:23	
yeah 59:23	96:5 124:21	
61:13 73:16	154:12,14	
75:10 79:3	157:6,9,10	
92:4 95:18	177:17	
96:1,11 102:7		