

	Page 794
1	COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
2	COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT
3	
4	
	COMPLAINT NUMBER 2019-22
5	
6	
7	
8	
	VOLUME V
9	
	HEARING
10	
	~ BEFORE ~
11	HAD THE CHETCHE
12	HEARING OFFICER
12	DENIS J. McINERNEY, ESQ.
13	DENIS U. MCINERNEI, ESQ.
13	JUNE 13, 2025
14	50NI 13, 2023
	9:00 A.M.
15	
16	
17	
18	
	Boston, Massachusetts
19	
20	
21	
22	
	Lisa L. Crompton, CSR (MA)(RI), RPR
23	
24	
25	

Page 795 1 **APPEARANCES:** For Commission on Judicial Conduct 2 3 Commission on Judicial Conduct former HONORABLE JUDITH FABRICANT AND: HOWARD V. NEFF, III, ESQ. 4 11 Beacon Street Suite 525 5 Boston, Massachusetts 02108 617-725-8050 6 617-248-9938 Fax 7 8 For Honorable Shelley M. Richmond Joseph 9 Libby Hoopes Brooks & Mulvey BY: ELIZABETH N. MULVEY, ESQ. 10 AND: THOMAS M. HOOPES, ESQ. 260 Franklin Street 11 Boston, Massachusetts 02110 617-338-9300 12 617-338-9911 Fax emulvey@lhbmlegal.com 13 thoopes@lhbmlegal.com 14 ~ AND ~ Meehan, Boyle, Black & Bogdanow, P.C. 15 MICHAEL B. KEATING, ESQ. 16 100 Cambridge Street Suite 2101 17 Boston, Massachusetts 02114 617-523-8300 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

		Page 796
1	INDEX	
2	former HONORABLE H. BONNIE MacLEOD	PAGE NO.
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. MULVEY	799
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY JUDGE FABRICANT	815
5	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. MULVEY	827
6	RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY JUDGE FABRICANT	829
7		
8	ELIZABETH BOSTWICK, ESQ.	
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. MULVEY	830
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY JUDGE FABRICANT	849
11		
12	former HONORABLE CAROL S. BALL	
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HOOPES	851
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY JUDGE FABRICANT	864
15		
16	ALLISON KHOURY, ESQ.	
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HOOPES	870
18	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY JUDGE FABRICANT	874
19		
20	former HONORABLE SEVELIN B. SINGLETON, I	II
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HOOPES	878
22		
23	MARK GERRITY	
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HOOPES	881
25	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY JUDGE FABRICANT	887

		Page 797
1	INDEX	
2	DOUGLAS BROOKS, ESQ.	PAGE NO.
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HOOPES	893
4		
5	MICHAEL McPHERSON	
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HOOPES	905
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY JUDGE FABRICANT	909
8	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HOOPES	911
9	RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY JUDGE FABRICANT	912
10		
11		
12	EXHIBITS	
13	EXHIBITS DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
14	EXHIBIT 3-A History Report, 5 pages	883
15	EXHIBIT 6 Photograph, 1 page	914
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	HEADING OFFICED. Good morning
	HEARING OFFICER: Good morning.
2	This is the continuation on the hearing of the
3	Commission on Judicial Conduct's Complaint
4	Number 2019-22.
5	Counsel, would you please
6	identify yourselves for the record.
7	JUDGE FABRICANT: Judith
8	Fabricant, Special Counsel for the Commission on
9	Judicial Conduct.
10	MR. NEFF: Howard Neff,
11	Commission on Judicial Conduct.
12	MS. MULVEY: Elizabeth Mulvey
13	for Judge Joseph.
14	MR. HOOPES: Tom Hoopes for
15	Judge Joseph.
16	MR. HOOPES: Michael Keating
17	for Judge Joseph.
18	HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
19	MR. SULLIVAN: And excuse me.
20	My name is Daniel Sullivan. I'm the general
21	counsel for the Trial Court.
22	And we have two trial court
23	officials testifying this morning. I'm just
24	here in the instance if there's any question you
25	ask as well.

		Page 799
1		Thank you.
2		HEARING OFFICER: Great. Thank
3		you very much.
4		Ms. Mulvey.
5		MS. MULVEY: Judge Joseph calls
6		upon Bonnie MacLeod.
7		HEARING OFFICER: Good morning.
8		HONORABLE BONNIE H. MACLEOD,
9		SWORN
10		HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
11		Please be seated.
12		DIRECT EXAMINATION
13		BY MS. MULVEY:
14	Q.	Good morning. Would you tell us your name,
15		please.
16		A. Good morning. My name is Bonnie Hilly
17		MacLeod.
18	Q.	Are you a retired judge?
19		A. I am.
20	Q.	How long have you been retired?
21		A. I retired from this building in June of 2016.
22	Q.	What courts did you sit on?
23		A. All told, this is the court I left.
24	Q.	The whole thing.
25		A. The whole thing. I was appointed to the
	I	

1	District Court in 1989. I sat in a variety of
2	District Courts, usually long stints, until I
3	was appointed to the Superior Court in November
4	of 2002.

- So you spent about 13 or 14 years on each of Q. them?
 - Exactly. 13 years in Hingham on the District Court, and then I stayed later than that just so that I could stay with -- my longer, my longer presence was on the Superior Court.
- Q. Can I take you back? Can you tell us about your professional background before you were appointed to District Court?

A. Certainly. I was admitted to the bar in For those of you who can't count, it's 52 plus years now, which amazes me. My first legal job -- I left -- I was -- While I was in law school and for about six months thereafter, I was the head law librarian at a law firm called Hill and Barlow. I left Hill and Barlow when I was appointed as assistant district attorney in Middlesex County, and that would have been in September of 2023.

Q. 2023?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. Sorry. 1973. And I was in the appellate division of the district attorney's office and I tried felony cases, and then eventually I was appointed chief of the appeals division in the district attorney's office, and my very first case that I've argued was in this very courtroom before the full bench of the Supreme Judicial Court.

Q. All right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Thereafter, I left the district attorney's office in September of 1976. I had been asked by one of the justices of this court, of the Supreme Judicial Court to consider moving to an office called the Office of Bar Counsel, about which I knew nothing whatsoever. But apparently they were looking for trial lawyers. ended up becoming the first assistant bar counsel in the bar counsel's office. elevated to first assistant bar counsel thereafter. And I was chief of the litigation division, which is, basically, I was the person litigating bar discipline cases and presenting the evidence. And I stayed there until I was appointed to the District Court in May of 1989. When you were on the District Court, did you sit

Q.

in a number of different District Courts?

A. I did. I sat -- Oh, I'm sorry. With my,

with my career. I also taught for 42 years at

four of the Boston law schools, professional

ethics.

- Q. All right.
- A. I stopped that in 2018.
 - Q. Okay.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Because I got too busy at JAMS.

When I sat in -- I was named to the Cambridge District Court, but I never sat there, not one day in 13 and a half years. For some reason they wanted to put me in the so-called higher crime courts. So I ended up in Lynn, and I spent five years with Salem and Lynn, situation where I was in Lynn three days and Salem two days and back and forth, and I did that until July of 1994. And then after that, I went to Chelsea District Court, which for those of you who don't remember where Chelsea was in those days, it was in Cambridge. And it was in Cambridge for seven years, I believe. I kind of ended up in Cambridge. Just across the room -across the yard from where I was supposed to be sitting. I was there for substantial period of

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

time. I think 1994 to at least 1999. And then I went back to Salem and Lynn for a little bit. And then my son was playing football for Whitman High School, and I asked if I could go to Malden. So I sat in Malden District Court until I was appointed to the Superior Court in 2002.

Q. And in the course of your time in the District Court, did you run into different practices and customs in the various courts? A. The District Court is like -- well, in my view, each court has its own culture. And every time you went to a new District Court, you had to figure out kind of who rules the roost, is it probation, is it the clerk's office.

I can remember, one day, people came to the District Court because nobody could figure out whose job it was to carry the restraining order into the courtroom. Because they had to be vetted by probation first, and probation said it wasn't their job to bring -- And this was the culture of the court. It was always this kind of testimony.

So you really had to meet with the chief court officer for that court, the

1-800-727-6396

1	clerk, and the district attorney and whomever to
2	figure out what's the culture of this court.
3	And, you know, basically, I hate to say it, but
4	who do you want me to be, I mean, what control
5	do I have over the gathering. And each court is
6	really like sui generis.

- Q. Did you also run into lots of different judges in the various courts?
- 9 A. Certainly, I did.
- 10 Q. Now, we've heard that, in November of 1989,
 11 Special Rule 211 was implemented in the
 12 District Court.
- A. Yes.

8

21

22

23

24

25

- 14 Q. Is that right?
- 15 A. I do. I'd been on the bench maybe about five

 16 months when it was enacted. Not so much

 17 fanfare --
- Q. I was just going to ask you, would you tell us
 about the fanfare and the training that
 accompanied that rule.
 - A. In terms of training, I really don't remember any. We were told that you had to ensure that the tape was on and that things were being recorded, that proceedings were being recorded.

And then, of course, I remember

sitting in Williamstown with a bunch of my colleagues from different courts and we're arguing about what's a proceeding and what's administrative, what does this mean, and that we kind of all concluded, it meant that it was kind of what we decided it would be. I mean, in other words, given the heft of whatever was going on. Obviously, a hearing was a proceeding, an arraignment was a proceeding.

But things that might have pertained to that may not be part of a proceeding.

So again, that likewise I think was somewhat sui generis in the type that it was

was somewhat sui generis in the type that it was being decided by an individual judge at an individual time as to what was on the record and what was not. And I know I'm going back a little bit historically, maybe, and things certainly felt likely to have changed since I left the court in 2002, but it was really kind of up to --

Oftentimes, we didn't have anybody even to run the machine. And when I would stay after 6:00 to 8:00 doing matters, because we didn't have a Juvenile Court and they're doing juvenile, I was it. I was taking

the record with my I was writing, filling out
the docket sheet, I was running the recorder, I
was I mean, it was all there in front of me.
I mean, again, it was necessity is the mother
of invention.

Please remember, in my courts, we did over 100 cases a day, and that's why, when I came to the Superior Court for a while, I thought it was naptime.

- O. Vacation?
- A. Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

22

23

24

- Q. Both in District Court and in Superior Court,

 did you as a judge go off the record on

 occasion?
- 15 A. I did.
- Q. Did your colleagues do likewise?
- 17 A. Oh, yes.
- 18 Q. If you consider the entirety of your career from
 19 1989 to 2016, did the frequency of
 20 off the record proceedings or discussions
 21 decrease over the years?
 - A. I think it did, only because of the nature of the Superior Court. But the reasons -- I still obviously did them. And I think I had the instincts and the judgment to decide when to do

_	
2	
3	

Q.

4

them and when they made sense. I know, I know in this very courthouse -- thank God the statute of limitations -- I know I probably did it at least once a week.

24

25

And can you just give us a couple of examples of when a judge might go off the record? A. Well, I tried in my mind to categorize them when I understood, you know, the subject matter about which I'd be speaking, like when did I do it, for what reasons. And I think sometimes --I mean, the obvious one I think to a lot of people has to do with safety, the safety of either a litigant or a party or whatever. something some concern. For example, it was not uncommon in any court I ever was, all of the District Courts and here in the Superior Court, for a district attorney, an assistant DA, and defense counsel ask if they could come up and go off the record, and it was always something like, well, judge we're not asking, you can see we're not asking for very high bail and it's because defense counsel's client is a cooperating witness and is going to lead us to X, Y, and Z, and so we don't want you to think we're crackers by not asking for higher bail,

there's good reasons and obviously reasons we do 1 not want to put on the record but would just -that's what we're going to do. So that would be And that was, that was pretty routine -not routine, but would happen. That would be the number one I remember, both in the -- all of 6 7 the District Courts I sat in and certainly a couple of times in the Superior Court.

Okay. Q.

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. And another would be privacy issues, where somebody -- the lawyers would ask to approach the bench. I remember one of my court officers here came to me one day and said, Judge, the juror upstairs, she is just overwrought, she's crying and she won't tell me why, but she's telling me she can't sit, you know. And I remember the jury selection and, ironically, she was one of the people I was kind of thinking about for the eventual foreperson on the jury. Oh, what's that about. And I said why don't you And she was overwrought and she bring her down. And the lawyers came up to the side was crying. bar with me, and I said off the record, and I asked her what was going on. She had just learned the day before that her husband had been diagnosed with a terminal illness and that she couldn't get it out of her head. Now I don't believe that is something that needs to be on the record. I then went on the record and said this juror is excused for good cause shown. And to me, that's enough. I don't need to make a record that she had to make that private disclosure.

That happened lots of little times, people making private disclosures about things that they would rather not see the light of day. And again, it's a matter of judgment as to whether or not you make a record of that kind of thing.

- Q. When lawyers asked -- Were there occasions when lawyers asked you to get off the record?
 A. Oh, yes. Most of -- Yeah. A lot of the time I would do that is, you know, when the lawyers would ask.
- Q. Would you necessarily know what it was they wanted to say?
- 22 A. No.

Q. You just said a minute ago that you could put it on the record after the fact or something like that?

- A. It was something that was a matter of -again, it would not be probably intimate
 details. It would just be, no, thank you,
 everyone, this juror has done whatever. And in
 some cases it would be something I would never
 put on the record. I would just tell the
 lawyers thank you and back off, particularly
 when you have a cooperating witness, a
 confidential informant, somebody who didn't want
 their address known because whatever, those
 types of things I would necessarily go back on
 the record in any way.
- Q. And if something untoward happened at an off the record conversation, did you as the judge have a way to kind of fix that?
 A. Oh, yes. In fact, if somebody came up -- I

think if the lawyers came up and they started talking about something that -- I would say this isn't an off the record conversation, or if it came out, then go back and I would say counsel has explained to me that there are good and whatever reasons, blah, blah, blah, and I would put that on the record. But sometimes -- I don't think I ever got snookered, for want of a better word. I trust lawyers. I think lawyers

trusted me. So I was really careful, you know,
as I said, not to get snookered. And I don't
remember it ever happening. And I know they

trusted me.

One of the other instances, for example, when I would do something with the lawyers is, I mentioned to you all Chelsea was actually in Cambridge. And for someone like me, who doesn't drive, it was really interesting in the morning to take the commuter rail to the Green Line, walk to -- you know, and get off at Lechmere and then trying to find out where the Chelsea District Court was.

But imagine, if you will, how it would be for someone who is arrested overnight in Chelsea, went in a van the next morning and brought to Cambridge where they had never been in their entire lives, and then the Commonwealth doesn't ask for bail, so it's time for them to go home, how do they get home from Cambridge.

So the first thing, I would ask the lawyers to come up and say off the record, and I would say to the DA -- I would say to the defense attorney, does your client have a way to

get home, does he know how to get home, well, is there anyone here for him today to bring him home. And if he would -- if he said no, then what do you do. I said, well, so we'll just have to give him directions. And then I had this drawer in my bench, and it was full of \$10 bills that I had put there, and I would give a \$10 bill to the court officer, and the court officer would then take the guy out, give him directions, how to get to the Green Line, and tell him to get off at Haymarket, then take the bus to Bellingham Square. I mean, this happened every day. And the people were being dumped into Cambridge and never given any thought about what might happen on the other hand.

And so we had -- It became a matter of where DA was sneaking money into my drawer, the court officers in my drawer, I went in the drawer one day and it's full of \$10 bills, I mean, because everybody was now contributing to the transportation for the -- That's the District Court.

Q. In terms of cases and litigants and just the management of a day, is there a difference between the District and the Superior Court?

- 1
- A. Oh, for sure.
- 2
- Q. Tell us about that.

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

A. Well, the management was such that -- Again, in the District Court, you never really know -- I never sat in Newton. Oh, I did. I sat one day in Newton. But that, too, was in Cambridge at the time, for a very short period of time.

Again, you've got a sense of the culture. Some courts are much busier than I tend to, fortunately or the others. unfortunately, end up in the busier ones. So you never knew on a given day how to manage. And I will say, this is probably not the great way to do things, but if you heard there were some 20 changes of plea, they would round up all the people who are going to plead guilty in the back and you would then tell them all their rights and then you do it individually and say did you hear everything I said to all those 12 other people. That's how you did, again, if you had 50 or 19 cases day as a District Court judge, you do not -- you know, you try not to rush that over what's required. But you certainly try to do what Jim Dolan used to say, gets the donuts made, and the donuts is getting

those hundred people's cases done on that given day.

So the culture would depend on the court. I really -- And there was a very strong symbiosis between the court officer, the judge, the probation -- the head of probation or whoever was there, the assistant district attorney, you kind of all had -- you worked the same session, you knew what was, you know, what was going on and what needed to be done on a given day.

- Q. And when you would come into a District Court, would you rely on those people to sort of show you the ropes in that court?
 A. Totally.
- Q. Do you think your experience was different from that of your colleagues?
 - A. I do not.

together.

Q. Do you know Judge Shelley Joseph?

A. I do not. I know of her. I know I've seen her in passing. I want -- I haven't -- I kind of avoided her since you and Attorney Hoopes and I spoke the first time, because I just forgot that we actually were in the Montgomery Summit

I didn't even say hello to her.

1		companion, my friend Andrea Kramer, who was with
2		me, said, oh, I see Judge Joseph, let's go see
3		her. I said, you know what, why don't you go
4		say hi and give her our best. I just didn't
5		want to And likewise, we're on the same Inn
6		of Court and it turned out that she's on my
7		team. And when I learned she was on my team, I
8		haven't been to a meeting since I learned it.
9		Because, again, I didn't want it to appear
10		because you know how rumors and stuff fly, that
11		the two of us were having a, you know, glass of
12		Pinot Grigio together, and God knows I can be
13		had for a glass of Pinot Grigio.
14		MS. MULVEY: Thank you,
15		Judge MacLeod. I have nothing else.
16		CROSS-EXAMINATION
17		BY JUDGE FABRICANT:
18	Q.	Good morning, Judge MacLeod.
19		A. Good morning, Judge Fabricant.
20	Q.	For this purpose, I'm special counsel.
21		A. Sorry, Counsel.
22	Q.	We have an adjudicator on the bench, and that's
23		not me.
24		Judge MacLeod, you said that,

when you were sitting as a judge, you would go

off the record at times and you knew when to do it and when not to do it.

A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- And when not to do it was when you were dealing Q. with something that was a matter of particular public attention or controversy that the public was particularly going to want access to, that was the, no, I'm not going to do it; right? A. I don't necessarily agree with that. say that. Because the public can be a very nosy group of people. And I remember a case where there was a family feud and there was a 19-year-old married to an 18-year-old. It was a mixed race couple. The parties were fighting. And the couple wanted to tell me privately what they wanted to do. And believe me, there was this many people in the courtroom to see what was going to happen with that case. And I let them come over to the side bar and I went off the record.
- Q. There is a right of public access to the courts; right?
 - A. There is. I wish I trusted the public as much as other people.
- Q. We may debate how much any of us trust the

- public and we may debate how much any of us

 trust the media and how fair the media can be.

 A. True.
- 4 Q. But the constitution, --
- 5 A. Yes.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. -- the Federal constitution, the state constitution, case law provides a right of public access to the court.
 - A. Absolutely.
 - Q. And you know that if you go off the record in a case that involves a kind of subject matter that is getting particular public attention, the fact that you go off the record there is going to catch public attention; right? You know that.

 A. I guess. I think that's well said. But, again, the public always has an itch to know, but that doesn't mean that at a particular time they're entitled to know.
 - Q. The SJC has said, --
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. -- the U.S. Supreme Court has said the public is entitled to know what goes on in the courts.
- A. Right. But even the District Court rule talks about the fact that matters might be administrative, and if, as a judge, I deem

something to be an administrative matter, as to, you know, whether they -- for example, the things I used to do in Malden was I would say to the court officer, I would say it was before lunch, the person was about to be let go, and I said, you know, this person probably hasn't eaten since he was arrested at 6:00 yesterday morning, could you, you know, maybe bring him back -- when you bring him back downstairs to get his stuff, would you make sure he gets a sandwich before you put him out the sallyport.

- Q. And that would be a good example of the kinds of things that would be administrative. Doesn't have to do with the substance of the case, doesn't have to do with whether somebody's going to be released or not released, doesn't have to do with whether somebody's going to be detained or not detained. It's a side issue that doesn't involve the substance of the case.
 - A. I would say yes to that.
- Q. Okay. When you started practicing law in 1972, the District Court had no recording at all; correct?
 - A. I believe that's correct.
 - Q. It was referred to, as some courts around the

- country have referred to as, not a court of record; right?
- A. (Witness nods head).
- Q. And anything that was adjudicated in the
 District Court in that period of time was
 subject to trial de novo in Superior Court.
- 7 A. Correct.
- Q. There were no jury trials in District Court.A. Correct.
- 10 Q. Anybody didn't like what happened in
 11 District Court, they could go to the
 12 Superior Court.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. They could have a jury trial or they could have
 15 another bench proceeding and do it all over
 16 again, and maybe that was one of the
 17 justifications for not having a record; right?
 18 A. (Witness nods head).
- Q. And that changed over the years. District Court came to have jury trials, the trial de novo system in a gradual way was eliminated eventually --
- A. Correct.
- Q. Now, you were last in the District Court in 2002; correct?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. And then you moved to Superior Court.
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. And over the years, both when you were in the
 District Court and when you were in the
 Superior Court, you did lobby conferences off
 the record.
 - A. Yes.

10

- Q. Some judges did and some judges didn't. And in the Superior Court at least, it was a topic of some controversy --
- 12 A. It was.
- 13 Q. -- among the judges.
- You were the sort of judge who read the decisions coming out of the SJC.
- 16 A. I sure was.
- Q. And you know that over those years there were a series of comments in SJC decisions about the practice of lobby conferences off the record.
- A. Correct.
- Q. You know that in 1992, when you were on the
 District Court, the SJC issued Commonwealth
 versus Fanelli, that's F-a-n-e-l-l-y, and they
 said, note that if lobby conference is held, the
 better practice is to record it. Do you

1	remember	+ha+9
т	remember	una u s

- A. I do. And that's why I did not do -
 actually, I did not do lobby conferences. I

 would go off the record while I was on the

 bench, but I was -- I didn't do -- I don't

 remember anything about any lobby conferences on

 the Superior Court.
- 8 Q. On the Superior Court.
 - A. On Superior Court.
- 10 O. So from 2002 --
- 11 A. Right.

21

22

23

24

- 12 Q. -- forward, --
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. -- you did not do any conferences off the
 record -- I want to make sure we're clear about
 what -- about the use of the term "lobby
 conference."
- A. Right. Because I don't -- I mean, bringing

 people into my lobby and doing conferences, I

 did not do.
 - Q. But you also didn't go off the record in any conference with the lawyers in Superior Court when you were talking about the substance of the case.
 - A. I did. But I did it when the lawyers would

_	'
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	:
7	
8	:
9	:
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ask to approach. I can give you a quick example. And that is this: I had a civil case where two of the -- two very well-known lawyers, very fine trial lawyers came in front of me involving the death of an individual who had been hit by a car. And the contention was, by the defendant, that the individual who hit the person in the crosswalk was not in the course of his employment. And so I said, well, I guess, looking at the docket, we ought to make some room for a motion for summary judgment, and the two lawyers looked at each other and said can we do a side -- said can we go off the record, They came over and they explained to me Judge. that they were trying to work out a settlement and that -- which they would prefer if the adjuster, who was sitting in the back of the courtroom, was not aware of.

That was a civil case. Q.

> **HEARING OFFICER:** And that was --

A. That was an off the record conference about And it's certainly substantive in the case. nature.

Q. That was a civil case.

- 1 A. That was a civil case.
- Q. And in the Superior Court in those days, a lot of stuff happened off the record in civil cases; right?
- A. (Witness nod head).
- Q. Civil cases were very different from criminalcases.
- A. Right. But this was probably in 2014 this happened. But yes. Still the same.
- Q. So in 2014, in the Superior Court, --
- A. Right.
- 12 Q. -- there were court reporters.
- A. Right.
- 14 Q. It was maybe the beginning of the time --
- A. You could kind of do this. (Demonstrating).
- 16 Q. Well, if the -- More than that --
- 17 | HEARING OFFICER: If I can, can
- the record reflect what the witness just did, --
- THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER: -- when she
- 21 said you could kind of do this, you put your
- 22 right hand to the side.
- THE WITNESS: Right.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER: I just want
- to make sure the record's clear.

- A. That would be -- Yeah. Just gestured to the court reporter that it wasn't on the record.
 - Q. But more than that, in a civil case in the Superior Court in the days when the court had court reporters and not electronic recording, what would often happen in Superior Court was that you'd have a court reporter in the courtroom in the morning when you were doing trials, and then in the afternoon when you were doing non-evidentiary proceeding, such as the kind of case conference that you're referring to, the court reporter would be back in her office making a transcript, and unless there was a pro se, you would do it off the record.
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. But that was in civil cases; right? You
 17 couldn't do that --
 - A. Absolutely.
- Q. -- in criminal cases. Criminal cases were awhole different story.
- 21 A. Exactly.
- Q. When you were on the Superior Court, having in mind what the SJC had said, when you had a conference about the substance of a case, you would not go off the record.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- 1 A. That is correct.
- Q. And the SJC reiterated, in 2002, in

 Commonwealth versus Serino, S-e-r-i-n-o, we

 again recommend that unrecorded lobby
- 5 conferences be avoided. Do you remember that?
- 6 A. I do.
- Q. Then, in 2007, they got more emphatic. Do you remember this? Murphy versus the Boston Herald.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Do you remember the Murphy case?
- 11 A. I remember it well.
- 12 Q. He was a colleague on the Superior Court.
- A. Yes, I do remember.
- 14 Q. And he had done a lobby conference off the
- 15 record in a case involving an allegation of rape
- of a teenager.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Do you remember that?
- 19 And the Boston Herald ran -- and then of course
- 20 it took off on other media. But it started with
- 21 the Boston Herald. They ran a series of
- 22 articles in which they attributed to Judge
- 23 Murphy some language in an off the record lobby
- conference that a judge would not want to have
- 25 attributed to him.

1 A. Exactly.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

- Q. Correct? And he sued the Boston Herald for defamation. Do you remember that?A. I do.
- Much to the amazement of judges in general, he Ο. Do you remember that? He won a judgment. And it went to the SJC, and the SJC affirms the judgment, and they dropped a footnote. footnote said, if you remember, if there ever was a case that demonstrates the need for lobby conferences where cases or other court matters are discussed to be recorded, this is the case. This litigation, with all its unfortunate consequences for those involved, might not have occurred if the critical lobby conference had been transcribed. We trust that the lesson learned here will be applied by trial judges to prevent unnecessary problems that often arise from unrecorded lobby conferences. Do you remember that?
- 21 A. I do.
 - Q. And that got a lot of attention across the courts -- do you remember that -- and across the bar?
 - A. It did.

1	Q.	And then, going back a little bit
2		District Court Rule 11 doesn't apply to
3		Superior Court.

A. Correct.

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Or didn't at the time that you were on the Superior Court. And in the time that you were on Superior Court at least, there was no rule about recording, there was a practice.
 - A. (Witness nods head).
- Q. And as we talked about, over the years there were many discussions amongst Superior Court judges, some of them still did it, until 2015 when the SJC prohibited lobby conferences off the record in the revision of Rule of Criminal Procedure 12(b). Do you remember that?

 A. I do.

JUDGE FABRICANT: That's all I have. Thank you.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. MULVEY:

- Q. I just want to be clear, Judge MacLeod. We heard a lot of talk about a lobby conference.

 A. Right.
- Q. Is that different than a minute or two at the side bar?

1	A.	Totally.	It's	not	the	same	thing
---	----	----------	------	-----	-----	------	-------

Q. Why not?

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Well, usually a lobby conferences, as I remember it when I was an assistant DA, I was in the lobby with the defense attorney and the judge, and we would be kind of hashing around what the recommendation was versus what was and what the Commonwealth wanted to do, and we would, we would have that whole substantive conversation in the lobby with the judge, and then we would go out on the record and do whatever it was as the judge would say something, well, I don't need to hear from the All of that would get hashed out in the victim. lobby conference, which usually was not on the record back in those days, and we'd go out and do whatever we were going to.

But it's very different as opposed to, again, District Court, in District Court, you know, I used to say to people, you know, this is McJustice. We are McDonald's of the justice world, because we do not have the time or the capability to necessarily engage in a perfect courtroom atmosphere, but we do what we do to get the work

- done every single day.
- Q. And do people in the District Court do the best they can to be fair to everybody?
 - A. No question in my mind.

5 MS. MULVEY: Thank you.

JUDGE FABRICANT: Very brief

7 follow up, if I may.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY JUDGE FABRICANT:

- Q. Judge MacLeod, the difference between what you describe as a lobby conference and something off the record at side bar very brief is that it's brief.
- 14 A. Right.

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. And that may or may not be the only difference depending on what it's about; correct?
- 17 A. It may.
- Q. That series of SJC decisions in which they
 commented about lobby conference, the message,
 as you understand it and as it was broadly
 understood, was going off the record is a big
 risk; correct?
- A. That's correct. But they never say don't do it.
 - Q. Until 2015, when they said it's --

please.

24

25

Would you just tell us your name for the record,

- A. Good morning. My name is Elizabeth Bostwick.
 - Q. And we've heard, in 2018, you were a bar advocate in, among others, the Newton District Court?

5 A. Yes.

- Q. Can you tell us a little bit about your professional background up until 2018?

 A. So I became a lawyer in January of 2000. I'm a graduate of Northeastern Law School. I worked for a small firm for a while, and then I went to corporation counsel's office, City of Boston, and then I did private practice for a period of time, and went back to corporation counsel's office for a short while, and then I returned to private practice again, solo practitioner.
 - Q. And at the time we're here about, were you involved in representing criminal defendants?
 A. I was. I was a member of the Middlesex
 Defense Attorneys, which is the agency or non-profit that handles bar advocates who work for Middlesex County.
 - Q. We've heard that term "bar advocate." When you're a bar advocate, what do you do?
 A. You represent people who are determined indigent by the probation department. It's

L	typical that you work in a couple different
2	courts and you make quote-unquote a duty day
3	where you're the attorney helping the court
1	handle any matters that, you know, you have
5	indigent defendants or a variety of things,
6	Section 35 or whatever, helping the court
7	representing those folks.

- Q. You said you might have a duty day. What would you do on a duty day?
 - A. I mean, it really depended on the court's needs. Right? So you were basically making the commitment to being the lawyer of the day and stay, you know, for whatever the court needed, so you could represent a variety of people on a variety of issues.
- Q. So would you essentially spend your day at that court in case somebody needed a court-appointed lawyer?
- A. You would, yes.
- Q. Were you the bar advocate or the duty attorney on April 2nd, 2018, in Newton?
- A. I believe that's accurate. I have no memory of the specific day, but yes.
 - Q. That sounds like something you probably would have been doing at that time?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

- 1 A. In 2018, yes.
- Q. Were you assigned on April 2nd, 2018, to represent a defendant named Jose Medina Perez?

 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you have some memory of the case and the proceedings?
- A. I have some memory, but it was a while. I also was undergoing cancer treatment at the time; so...
- 10 Q. A time to forget.
- A. No. But it was just -- I mean, I was

 physically undergoing cancer treatment; so...
- Q. Was that the only day you had any involvement with Mr. Medina Perez?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Do you remember that it was a Monday morning?

 17 A. No.
- Q. Do you recall that he had been arrested and was being detained when he showed up for his arraignment?
- 21 A. I recall that he was detained.
- Q. Do you recall what the charges were?
- A. I recall that, I recall that what was at issue was the fugitive of justice warrant.
- 25 Right? From Pennsylvania. That's what I

- 1 recall.
- Q. Do you recall that he had been arrested on state misdemeanor drug charges?
- A. I believe so. I don't have specific memory of that but..
- Q. You do remember something about a fugitive warrant?
 - A. I do.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And had you dealt with those before?A. Yes.
- Q. Can you tell us from the perspective of a defense lawyer what you needed to do if they had someone with a fugitive warrant?
 - A. Ideally, I mean, ideally, you can -- you try to figure out if that is the person. And, you know, there's a lot of ways to try to do that. Obviously, as a lawyer on duty, you know, I have, I have somewhat limited access. I don't have a computer, you know. I may have a phone on me. Certainly, the phones are much different now than they used to be. So I have a little -- somewhat of a computer. But you try to
 - Q. When you say "that is in fact the person," can you just explain what you mean by that?

determine if that is in fact the person.

- A. Sure. You try to determine whether the individual that is being held on the fugitive from justice warrant is in fact the person on the warrant from the other state.
 - Q. How does the fugitive of justice warrant get hooked up with the person in the first place when they're arrested?
 - A. I think they match them, I think they match them on name and perhaps date of birth.
 - Q. And are there sometimes questions about whether this is truly the person who's the subject of the warrant?
 - A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 0. Was that true for Mr. Medina Perez?
 - A. I don't have a specific memory of that. I mean, I do recall, I do recall being struck by the photo. Right? So they had a photo of him in the booking process and then there was a photo that came with a warrant, I believe.
 - Q. And when you looked at those, did you have some impression about whether this was the right guy?
 A. My recollection was it was a different looking individual, different looking man.
 - Q. Do you have a general recollection that there was an issue about his identity while you

- 1 represented him?
- A. I believe that I was trying to convey that I did not think it was him.
- 4 Q. To whom were you trying to convey that?
- 5 A. To the court and the Commonwealth.
- 6 Q. Who was representing the Commonwealth?
- 7 A. Shannon Jurgens.
- 8 Q. Was she somebody that you knew?
- A. I knew her from the court.
- 10 Q. And what was your impression of her?
- A. I mean, I also had some good experiences with
- Shannon.
- 13 Q. As a reasonable, fair prosecutor?
- A. I thought that she was a very fair and just
- prosecutor.
- 16 Q. Back in 2018, did you know an attorney named
- 17 David Jellinek?
- 18 A. I did.
- 19 Q. How did you know him?
- 20 A. I knew him -- He was actually employed in
- 21 City of Boston for a while as well.
- 22 Q. When you worked or overlapping?
- A. I think, yeah, I think it was somewhat of an
- overlap. I mean, he worked in the police
- department. I worked in corporation counsel's

- 1 office.
- Q. Did you also know him from the criminal defense work or bar advocate work?
- A. Yes.

9

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Do you remember seeing David Jellinek that morning in the Newton District Court?
- 7 A. I have no memory of that.
 - Q. In the course of representing Mr. Medina Perez, did you ask for second and third call?
- 10 A. I believe I did, yes.
- 11 Is that a common practice in District Court? Ο. I mean, I could be doing multiple 12 A. Yeah. 13 things, you know, getting pulled in different 14 directions, you know. I don't think that was my 15 only case that day. I mean, Newton wasn't a 16 terribly busy court, but I don't think it was 17 the only thing that happened that day.
 - Q. And what was the purpose of asking for a second or a third or more calls?
 - A. I think I was trying to gather additional information. I think I was probably dealing with another issue as well, another client.
 - Q. Was it common to, in District Court to ask a judge for a little more time to do something?
 A. Sure.

- 1 Q. And was that generally granted?
- A. Sure. Absolutely.
- 3 Q. Do you recall who the judge was that day?
- 4 A. I do.
- 5 Q. Who was that?
- A. Judge Joseph.
- 7 Q. Had you had experience with Judge Joseph before?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. She was a relatively new judge?
- A. I don't know that. I don't think I appeared
- 11 before her before.
- 12 Q. In the course of the morning, do you recall an
- 13 ICE agent being in the Newton District Court?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. What do you recall about that?
- 16 A. I just recall that he was in the courtroom
- all morning. I did speak with him. I think,
- 18 you know, he was there for Mr. Pena.
- 19 Q. Mr.?
- A. Perez.
- 21 Q. Right. Okay. So in addition to the fugitive
- 22 warrant, did you know there was an ICE issue as
- 23 well?
- A. I knew there was a detainer.
- Q. And were you representing him with respect to

- that ICE issue as well?
- 2 A. I was representing him in terms of the
- 3 criminal charges in the District Court, and
- 4 certainly, to the extent, you know, that I could
- 5 be helpful on the detainer issue, I would be
- 6 helpful.
- 7 Q. Did you spend time that morning at intervals
- 8 investigating Mr. Medina Perez's case?
- 9 A. I remember -- Yes, yes.
- 10 Q. Do you remember whether he spoke English?
- 11 A. He did not speak English.
- 12 Q. How would you communicate with him?
- A. There was an interpreter.
- 14 Q. Were you able to even have a basic conversation
- with him in English?
- 16 A. I don't remember that. I do know Spanish,
- but I don't remember that.
- 18 Q. Was there anybody there connected with
- 19 Mr. Medina Perez?
- A. He had, I remember he had some friends there
- 21 for a while.
- 22 Q. Do you remember how many friends?
- 23 A. I don't.
- Q. Do you remember speaking with them at all?
- A. I did speak with them.

- 1 Q. And was that in -- don't tell us about it, but
 2 in connection with this situation?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Did you continue to represent Mr. Medina Perez through the lunch hour?
- A. My understanding was I did.
- Q. And do you have a big book of tabbed exhibits in front of you?
- 9 A. I do.
- Q. Can I ask you, if you would, to take a look at Exhibit H, please? H, like Harry.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And if you would, would you turn to Page 147.
- And I'm talking about transcript pages, not
- physical pages.
- A. I believe I'm there.
- 17 Q. Do you have that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. All right. And up at the top the clerk is
- 20 saying, so, ma'am, Ms. Bostwick has been
- 21 appointed to represent you. Was that what you
- 22 meant, there were other clients besides
- 23 Mr. Medina Perez you were being appointed for?
- A. That's what the transcript says.
- 25 Q. Okay. And if we scoot down to Line 16, the

- clerk says, Judge, that leaves us with

 Mr. Perez, and Attorney Bostwick, are we

 still -- and you said I just sent a fax.
- A. That's what it says.
- Q. Do you have a recollection of sending a fax?
 A. I think, I think I did send a fax to his employer.
- Q. Okay. Was that part of your investigation about his identity?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then the clerk says, so that just leaves, and there's a conversation with Judge Joseph and another lawyer named Mr. Harrington?
- A. Yes. That's what the transcript shows.
- Q. And then if we go over to Page 149, at Line 18, are you speaking again?
- A. That's what the transcript indicates, yes.
 - Q. And you said, I think, Your Honor, I have an appointment this afternoon, and I wanted to ask if we could have a -- and I understand that the interpreter has to be somewhere as well, if maybe we could have Mr. Medina's case called at 2:15 or so, so that would just give me time and then we're not just waiting. Do you remember

20

21

22

23

24

- needing a little time for a medical appointment?
- 2 A. I do.

A. Yes.

- Q. And did Judge Joseph give you that time?A. Yes.
- Q. Again, is that something most judges would do ifyou said can I come back at 2:15?
- 8 Q. Did you leave the courthouse anticipating that 9 you would come back and continue to represent 10 Mr. Medina Perez?
- A. That was my understanding.
- 12 Q. Up until that point, had Attorney Jellinek
 13 approached you and told you he had been
 14 retained?
- 15 A. Nobody contacted me telling me that he had secured private counsel.
- Q. Do you remember seeing Mr. Jellinek before you left for lunch?
- 19 A. I don't remember that.
- Q. Do you remember giving him any documents?
- A. I don't remember that.
- Q. Okay. You went off to lunch and your medical appointment?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. While you were away from the courthouse, did

- anybody contact you to tell you that you would no longer be representing Mr. Medina Perez?

 A. No.
- Q. Did you show up thinking you still represented him?
- A. I did.
- Q. What do you remember about coming back in the afternoon?
- A. I remember I came back probably pretty close to 2:00, 2:15. I remember that I ran into

 Attorney Jellinek. He indicated to me that he
- 12 had been retained.
- Q. Was that the first you were hearing of it?

 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you have a conference with him about

 Mr. Medina Perez's case?
- A. I'm sure I must have said something,
 something to him. I don't remember what
- 19 specifically.
- Q. Do you remember giving him any documents or any of your file?
- 22 A. I don't.
- 23 Q. What did you do after that?
- A. I stayed in the courtroom, you know. I didn't know if, you know, I was going to be

- 1 relieved for the afternoon, so I stayed.
- Q. As part of being the duty attorney, would you normally stay until the court proceedings conclude for the day?
- A. I mean, it would be common -- Sometimes.

 Right? If the clerk called me and said we don't

 need you to come back and -- you know, I would

 probably have gone home.
 - Q. Okay. But you were back first to represent

 Mr. Medina Perez and then you continued to stay
 in case anybody needed you?
 - A. I -- My memory is I continued to stay because
 I came back for Mr. Medina. I didn't know if -That's what I remember.
- 15 Q. Where did you sit?
- A. My memory is that I sat to the right side -
 if you're facing the bench, I sat to the right

 side.
- Q. Okay. Is that the side where side bars would be held?
- 21 A. Yes.

10

11

12

13

- Q. Did you see a side bar take place in
 Mr. Medina Perez's case?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Were you sitting in the gallery looking at it?

- A. I wasn't sitting in the gallery. I was sitting behind the bar.
- Q. So, actually, within the bar enclosure?

 A. Yes.
- Q. And were you able to hear the side bar?A. No.
- Q. Was there white noise that went on?A. I'm sure there was. I don't know.
- 9 Q. But even from within the bar you weren't able to 10 hear what they were saying?
- 11 A. No.
- Q. Do you know whether parts of it were on or off the record?
- A. I mean, my memory is that I did know that we went off the record at some point, but I couldn't tell you when that was.
- Q. And could you hear anything at all from either the on or off the record part?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. Did you see Judge Joseph speaking with
 David Jellinek and Shannon Jurgens?
 A. Yes.
- Q. Did anything appear unusual or out of the ordinary to you as you watched it happen?
- A. I have no memory of anything unusual.

- 1 Q. Just sort of another day, another side bar?
- A. I just know that they were at side bar
- 3 addressing the court.
- 4 Q. After that finished, do you recall that
- 5 Mr. Medina Perez was arraigned?
- A. I don't remember when that occurred. I think
- 7 he would have been arraigned earlier.
- 8 Q. In any event, there was some sort of continued
- 9 proceeding and he was then released? Do you
- 10 recall that?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Do you recall some discussion in open court
- about Attorney Jellinek going downstairs to
- speak with Mr. Medina Perez?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And the lockup would be downstairs?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Had you had experience in the Newton District
- 19 Court speaking with clients in the lockup?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Was that unusual?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. If you -- or if the client needed an
- interpreter, would the interpreter go to the
- 25 lockup?

- 1 A. Sure.
- 2 Q. Was that again pretty routine?
- A. No. I mean, it wasn't unusual. No, it was not unusual.
- 5 Q. If you needed it, it happened.
- A. Of course. I'm sorry.
- Q. Once they went downstairs, do you remember what you did next?
- 9 A. I don't.
- 10 Q. Do you remember seeing either Attorney Jurgens
- or Attorney Jellinek later that day?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. What do you recall about that?
- A. I remember leaving the courthouse. I believe
- I ran into them on the outside area of the
- courthouse.
- 17 Q. Physically outside the building?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. What do you recall about that?
- 20 A. I recall being there and Attorney Jurgens is
- 21 there and I think David Jellinek came out.
- 22 Q. Do you recall either having or overhearing any
- conversation about Mr. Medina Perez?
- A. I don't recall specific conversation, no.
- 25 Q. Do you remember saying to Attorney Jellinek, I

- 1 know what you did and you obstructed justice?
- A. I don't have a memory of that.
- Q. Did you at that point know that Mr. Medina Perez had gone out the back door?
- A. He didn't come upstairs with
- 6 Attorney Jellinek.
- Q. Did you expect that that's what would be happening?
- 9 A. That's what I thought would have happened,
 10 yes.
- Q. And did you have an understanding at that point about why that didn't happen?
- A. I didn't know.
- Q. Did you understand what had happened with the Pennsylvania warrant?
- A. In terms of --
- Q. Of why he wasn't being held on the warrant?
- A. My understanding, I think that the DA agreed
- that it wasn't him.
- 20 Q. Did that surprise you?
- A. I had tried to make that argument earlier. I
- 22 thought it wasn't him, and so I think she
- agreed.
- Q. Okay. But not while you were representing him.
- 25 A. No.

- Page 849 1 Q. Do you recall anything else about your 2 interaction with either Attorney Jurgens or 3 David Jellinek? A. No. 4 5 Did you ever get contacted or interviewed by the Ο. 6 Federal U.S. attorney or anybody investigating 7 this case? A. No. 9 Q. Were we the first ones who came looking for you? 10 A. Yes. 11 And was that probably December of this year --Q. 12 last year, 2024? 13 A. I believe that's correct, yes. 14 MS. MULVEY: I have nothing
- 15 else. Thank you, Attorney Bostwick.
- 16 JUDGE FABRICANT: I'll be very
- 17 brief.
- 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 19 BY JUDGE FABRICANT:
- 20 Q. Good morning, Attorney Bostwick.
- 21 A. Good morning.
- 22 You were appointed to represent Q.
- Mr. Medina Perez, and you worked hard for him; 23
- 24 right?
- 25 A. Certainly.

Page 850

- Q. And you worked right up until the lunch break, and then court recessed, and you thought you were going to come back and continue to represent him.
- 5 A. Absolutely.
- 6 Q. And then Mr. Jellinek came in and took over.
- 7 A. Yes. I think -- Yes.
- Q. And that happens sometimes; right?A. Of course.
- 10 Q. It's not an unusual event.
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. And we can all speculate about why it happens.
- Maybe there are some unfair stereotypes out
- 14 there in the world about appointed counsel. But
- in any event, it happens; right?
- 16 A. Sure.
- 17 Q. And when you came back and you sat in the
- courtroom, you said you sat inside the bar. So
- from the perspective of the bench, you'd be
- visible, wouldn't you?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. You were not at side bar.
- 23 A. No.
- Q. And you couldn't hear what was said at side bar.
- 25 A. No.

		Page 851
1		JUDGE FABRICANT: I have
2		nothing further. Thank you.
3		MS. MULVEY: I have nothing
4		else. Thank you.
5		HEARING OFFICER: Thank you
6		very much.
7		MR. HOOPES: Judge Joseph would
8		call judge, retired Judge Carol Ball.
9		HEARING OFFICER: Good morning.
10		former HONORABLE CAROL S. BALL,
11		SWORN
12		DIRECT EXAMINATION
13		BY MR. HOOPES:
14	Q.	Good morning.
15		A. Good morning.
16	Q.	Again, would you state your name and spell your
17		name for the record.
18		A. Yes. My name is Carol Ball. C-a-r-o-l,
19		middle initial S, and last name B-a-1-1.
20	Q.	And I'm stopping but I'm going to call you
21		Judge Ball or Attorney Ball.
22		A. Yeah.
23	Q.	Whatever you want.
24		A. Yeah. When you and I worked at the DA's
25		office only like 80 years ago; so

- 1 Q. 45. So you were a judge, -2 A. Yes.
- Q. -- and now you're retired; is that correct?

 A. Yes.
 - Q. Could you share with the hearing officer your education, training, experience both as a lawyer and as a judge.
 - A. Okay. I went to Northeastern Law School -we have a reunion of Northeastern Law group
 here -- in Boston. I clerked for the
 Superior Court in this building. And then I was
 an assistant DA in Middlesex County across the
 river for eight years. Tried a lot of cases.
 We were all very lucky because the -- there had
 been -- the full-time bill was passed right
 before we started. Up until that point, lawyers
 from the Commonwealth of Massachusetts who were
 DA's would try cases in the morning, criminal
 cases in the morning, and then they all had
 civil practices in the afternoon.

So I can't remember the year was, but as of right after we got out of law school, that law was passed that DA's would be full-time. And therefore, there were a whole bunch of senior DA's who were making their

1	living off their practice in the afternoon. So
2	they had quit and run off and run their
3	practice. And young people like me, Tom, got a
4	chance I tried my first murder a year into
5	the job. So anyway. Eight years, trying
6	murder, rape, the usual felony stuff. Quite
7	fun.

- Q. Then after you left, did you enter private practice?
 - A. Yes. After that, I wanted to learn to try civil cases. I went to an insurance defense firm and was there for four or five years, and then for the next five or six years I was variously on my own or working in sharing expenses in conjunction with another lawyer or two. I had a trial practice, trying civil cases and also defense. And I did a lot of criminal -- not a lot, but a fair amount of criminal defense work.
- Q. And was that criminal defense work in both the District Court and Superior Court?
 A. It was. And Federal. Little bit in Federal Court.
- Q. And at some point were you appointed to the bench?

- A. Yes. 1996. Lightning struck and I was appointed to the Superior Court.
 - Q. And you were in the Superior Court for how long?
 A. 19 and a half years. I retired on May 31st,
 2015.
- 6 Q. Thank you.

4

5

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Now, during the course of your practice, private practice, did you have occasion to experience judges going off the record?
 - A. Left, right, and center. I mean, I -- Yes.
 - Q. In both the District Court and in the Superior Court.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Could you explain that in more detail.
 - A. Yes. Back -- The whole on and off the record thing was a progression. And back when I was a law clerk and assistant DA, we spent hours in judges' lobbies with the lawyers in the lobby.

 Mostly -- I was in Superior Court mostly, as a law clerk entirely. Lobby was the traditional -- you heard it described here, with both sides going, the judge saying what would you like me to do, so defense counsel would take it down to their client. So lobby conferences.

In addition, there was a fair

25

amount of side bar stuff when you were in assignment sessions, like the first session People actually refer -- I'm not going to beat a dead horse about that. People would go on and off the record for various reasons. became, it became -- Popular sounds correct, but I don't mean that. People became aware that this balance of lobby conferencing and doing stuff in the public was a problem for reasons we've all discussed. And the courts pushed us towards going, towards going on the record and definitely not doing stuff in the lobby because it looked like there were accusations -- You didn't want people to think when we're at the lobby laughing and joking and cutting a deal. You know, that was not -- They want people to see we're doing serious business. And so there was definitely -- The move was to go to side bar and do it in full sight of the public even if not in the hearing of the public.

There was, there was some resistance all along the way of this progression. Because it was a very popular practice with lawyers on both sides, with judges, because everybody felt people were, and

they were, it's human nature, were much more -you'd get the real scoop if you're off the
record, that people are much more willing to
give a judge the full information for any, you
know, any number of reasons, privacy reasons,
office politics reasons, witness problems, and
so we all felt that we were --

I mean, the goal here was to do justice in the individual case, you know. And to do that, you, as a judge, you want as much information as you possibly can.

And there is just -- To this day, I -- you know, I notice I retired in 2015. I would have been in tears about the fact that this rule was not probably -- finally passed -- She's laughing. She's right. -- to go on the record, you know, to be all on the record all the time. Because I really meant that it allowed us to do justice to have the opportunity to get the real poop on some things, if you will. And that is -- I understood that that's what it was.

Q. So when you were on the bench as a Superior Court judge, did you go off the record?
A. Yup.

- Q. And could you describe that progression and how you conducted those when you were on the Superior Court?
 - A. Well, when you're saying off the record, you mean in lobby conferences and in addition to all the other stuff.
- Q. Well, if we could separate the two.
 8
 A. Yeah.
 - Q. First describe the actual lobby conference and then what you would do when you're out on the bench.
 - A. Right. Well, the lobby conference increasingly became not in the lobby anymore but out on the record. We're out at side bar, as you've all discussed, and the conversation would be off the record initially when the transition was made out into the courtroom. And then increasingly it became on the record. For lobby conferences. And it was the same -- basically, I have to say, I found it less intrusive than I thought it would be, would still be able to -- in open court you would still be able to, even though side bar off the record even, there still was clearly the appearance to the public that we were doing our job, that it was, you know, a

real, a real -- like you see justice work without having to know how the sausage was actually made, which one judge says, public doesn't need to know how the sausage is made. That's literally an old thought. Words not to live by anymore. But anyway.

Oh, sorry. Other stuff.

And the other was, both the same. I sat in -- As the years go by in the Superior Court, you get seniority, you get a lot more choice of our courts you sit in and what sessions you sit in. And I became, I became someone who sat in this building on the sixth or seventh -- seven, I think, seventh floor. And I did a lot of -- It wasn't just lobbies. It was also -- It was case management session, so you're going out to trial, what motions do need to be filed here, have they been filed, discovery, you know, years of stuff, discovery turned over.

And there were lots of side bar on that. And mostly counsel saying, Judge, can we approach and someone saying can we go off the record. And it would often be things like, listen, I got a doctor's appointment at lunch,

can I come back at 2:15, look, my guy wants to go pro se and it's really not in his interest, Judge, can you give me a second call so I can try to talk with him, his wife, you know. Lord knows judges don't want lawyers -- defendants to go pro se. So you know, there would be that kind of stuff. So there was all kinds of just getting business done. And I didn't -- Well, anyway.

- Q. Did you require counsel to provide the reason before they came up to --
 - A. No. You know, I really like lawyers. And I trusted lawyers. I really felt that -- I always trusted that lawyers were doing the right job, were helping counsel and doing the right job for their clients. So I err -- We all have different attitudes about it. But I err on the side assume that counsel's asking to go off the record or approach or go off the record, they were doing it for reasons that were consistent with their representing their client effectively. And if there was a problem, then you deal with it at the time.
- Q. Were you aware of other judges in the Superior Court --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 A. Oh, yes.
- Q. And when you were in the District Court, private practice, were you aware that it was not just one judge, that it was multiple judges -A. Yes.
- Q. -- going off the record?
 Did Judge Joseph ever appear before you in
 Superior Court?
 - A. She did.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And would that have been occasions when you would have gone off the record?
 - A. Absolutely. She was in the AG's office across the street. They bring all their cases in Suffolk, so she was in front of me all the time at first.
 - Q. Now, you were present yesterday when there was a question about pausing the proceeding; correct?

 A. Uh-huh. Yes.
 - Q. And can you share with us any custom and practice that you had with pausing the proceeding without requiring an analysis mentally about all the justifications and reasons for doing that?
 - A. Well, I'm assuming that we're talking -- What I heard yesterday was the conversation about

1

Judge Joseph's proposal to hold the defendant overnight on the out of state warrant and put it over until people have had a chance to figure out all the identity of Mr. -- blanking on...

5

4

O. Medina Perez.

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

2021

22

23

2425

A. -- Medina Perez. And that -- Yes, I'm aware It is -- It was interesting -of hearing that. Well, anyway. It is true that instead of a bar analysis, bar exam kind of analysis of that, where spot the ethical problem, you could see that since -- I mean, in effect, the Pennsylvania warrant at that point was sort a nullity, whether it was a de jure nullity or what, but it was de facto a nullity, it's kind of like -- But the defense attorney here -- I mean, you would never do that without the defense attorney running along and you're assuming that the defense attorney, by him saying that's a great idea, let's do it, has his client's authority to basically in effect waive his right to a bail hearing that day. So that it didn't -- to be honest, it didn't occur to me that if that's what counsel wanted, I'm trying to get justice on an individual case, for everybody involved, and it just wouldn't occur

- to me -- I mean, I would have to worry about the paper to hold him on, you know, what do we hold him on here. But just wouldn't occur to me that that's not properly done. Probably a good thing I'm not a judge anymore.
- Q. And have you done that in the past --A. Oh, yeah. In the first case.
 - Q. Are you aware of other judges in this courtroom -- in this courthouse who have done that in the past?
 - A. I think, yeah. Yes, some. You know, as my former chief said, there was lots talking about at lobby conference. There was definitely a group of us that thought strongly that going off the recorded assisted in the job and the group that said, hey, the SJC's not happy with this, it's not a good idea anyway. There were definitely people that did it and people that didn't do it.
 - Q. Lastly, in your experience both as a prosecutor and as a private counsel and as a judge, has it been a custom and practice of almost every judge to be concerned at the end of the day about pushing custodies out and getting it done so that the court officers and the court staff

don't have to remain?

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Oh, yeah. There were a few vans here in this court, there's a van that comes by at noon, they drop them all off. Vans from Middlesex, from Essex, from Norfolk. They're all in here dropping the bodies off. They would bring -- We all referred to them as the bodies in the lockup. And drop the defendants off. And then they make circuit at lunchtime. So there's a huge push to get the defendants who are custodies out the door by lunch so that they can Because once the vans start coming back in go. the afternoon, they stop at a particular time. And if you have custodies that aren't ready to go, that van's got to come back, but it's got to come back after stopping in Brockton, Dedham, and you're going to have court officers, like our friend Vance here, sitting on the eighth floor at 7:30 or 8:00 at night before the defendant is picked up and go back. And the defendants don't like it either because they miss dinner. You know what I mean? So the idea is to get the custodies out the door, get the business done properly, but get the custodies out the door as soon as you can. It's only fair

- 1 District Court; correct?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. You became a Superior Court judge in 1996.
- A. Correct.
- 5 Q. You retired you said 2015; is that right?
- A. Yup.
- 7 Q. Somehow I was under the impression it was '16.
- But it was '15.
- 9 A. It was '15.
- 10 Q. You spent a lot of time in your years on the
- 11 Superior Court in this building.
- 12 A. Yup.
- 13 Q. This building is really, really busy; right?
- 14 A. Oh, yes.
- 15 Q. It's the busiest. The Superior Court.
- 16 A. It's great. It's a great place to sit. It's
- moving.
- 18 Q. And the other place where you've spent a lot of
- 19 time was Brockton Superior Court.
- A. Correct.
- 21 Q. And that is also a very busy court.
- A. Yup, yup.
- 23 Q. So the process you described with the vans
- coming and going, and if it's not ready, they
- 25 have to come back later and court officers

- having to stay late, and missed their dinner,

 that happens in this building; right?
- A. Oh, yeah.
- 4 Q. And it probably happens in Brockton, too.
- A. Oh, yes.
- 6 Q. Newton District Court, do you think it happens 7 there?
- 8 A. Well, I think they probably don't stop by as

But it does matter if there's a body --

- 10 Sorry. That sounds horrible. -- if there's a
- defendant there to pick up. It does. They have
- to come back. But you're absolutely right, it's
- not as busy.
- 14 Q. A much less busy court, --
- 15 A. Yes.

- 16 Q. -- as far as you know.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. When you started practicing law in 1977, you
- were assistant district attorney, and you said
- 20 you were very lucky.
- A. Yeah.
- 22 Q. The way the district attorney's office is
- 23 usually started, you start at the
- 24 District Court.
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And then if you do a good job, you move to Superior Court.
- A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And in your case, it happened quickly.
- 5 A. Yeah.
- 6 Q. Because there was a lot of transition.
- 7 When you started practicing at the
- B District Court in 1977, recording was a new
- 9 thing; right? It probably wasn't in all the
- 10 District Courts.
- 11 A. Sure. Absolutely.
- 12 Q. Just being installed. District Court judges
- weren't used to it. District Court
- 14 practitioners weren't used to it.
- 15 A. Yeah. Nobody particularly liked it.
- 16 Q. Nobody liked it. And many of the judges who
- 17 were on the District Court in 1977 were still
- there in 10 or 12 or 15, 20 years later;
- 19 correct?
- A. Yes. Until they hit 70 and they had to...
- 21 Q. In Massachusetts, just to be clear, --
- A. Yeah.
- 23 Q. -- judges have mandatory retirement at age 70;
- 24 correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

Page 868

- 1 Q. By constitutional amendment enacted in 1974,
- 2 maybe?
- A. Good.
- 4 Q. Some judges like that and some don't. Some
- 5 chiefs like it and some don't.
- A. Exactly.
- 7 Q. You were appointed to the Superior Court in 1996.
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. It was actually February of 1996 --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. -- that you joined the Superior Court.
- A. Valentine's Day.
- 14 Q. So the last time you would have appeared at the
- 15 District Court -- Let me just step back a
- 16 minute. You were doing -- You were trying
- 17 | serious cases; correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. As a lawyer.
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. But you still might from time to time appear in
- 22 District Court.
- A. Bread and butter. OUIs.
- 24 O. OUIs?
- A. Definitely bread and butter in private

		Page 869
1		practice.
2	Q.	Because that actually that sort of high
3		volume practice works better for earning a
4		living
5		A. Yes.
6	Q.	than the more serious cases than in
7		Superior Court.
8		A. Yes.
9	Q.	Now, the last time you would have appeared in
10		the District Court would have been late 1995.
11		A. Yes.
12		JUDGE FABRICANT: Okay. That's
13		all I have. Thank you very much.
14		THE WITNESS: Don't you want me
15		to walk me through
16		MR. HOOPES: Thank you very
17		much.
18		HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
19		Judge.
20		MR. HOOPES: Judge Joseph calls
21		Allison Koury. I believe she's right outside.
22		HEARING OFFICER: Good morning.
23		

		Page 870
1		ALLISON KOURY, ESQ., SWORN
2		DIRECT EXAMINATION
3		BY MR. HOOPES:
4	Q.	Ms. Koury, could you just say your name again
5		and spell it, please, for the
6		A. Sure. Allison, A-l-l-i-s-o-n, K-o-u-r-y.
7		HEARING OFFICER: Could I ask
8		if you could stay as close to the microphone as
9		possible.
10		THE WITNESS: Sure.
11		HEARING OFFICER: You can move
12		it closer to you if that would be better.
13		THE WITNESS: Very good.
14		HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
15	Q.	And you're an attorney.
16		A. I am.
17	Q.	And could you share with the hearing officer
18		your education, training, and experience.
19		A. I received my bachelor's from
20		Providence College, my JD from Suffolk, and my
21		LLM from BU.
22	Q.	And you graduated and got your JD. What did you
23		do next?
24		A. I started working as a tax lawyer, and did

that for couple of years, maybe three years,

1	while I got my LLM. And then I realized that is
2	not what I wanted to do, and I was very unhappy
3	at the time. At the time I was young and had
4	nobody to worry about but myself, so I knew I
5	should change fields before I got too used to
6	making a lot of money, so to speak, so I became
7	a bar advocate.

- Q. And what year would that have been? When would that have been?
 - A. That would have been the early 1990's.
- Q. And how long were you a bar advocate before you went to something else?
 - A. So I was a bar advocate until 2001 when I got married, had a baby, and switched to appeals, so that I could stay home and have a more flexible schedule, and I stayed in appeals until my baby got his license, and then I went back to Trial Court.
- Q. And what year would that have been?
 A. 2017.
- Q. And during your first stint as a bar advocate,
 what courts were you bar advocate?
- A. Just Lowell District Court.
- Q. And so, again, that would have been
 Lowell District Court in what years, from when

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

- 1 to when roughly?
- A. Roughly in the 1990's.
 - Q. And so when you became a bar advocate again in 2017, which courts did you act as bar advocate for?
- A. I was assigned to Waltham and Newton and eventually Superior.
 - Q. And so can you tell the hearing officer, how many days a week do you think you were in Newton?
 - A. I was in court four times a week, and it could be Newton, it could be Waltham. It just -- Whenever I had cases. But it was many times a week.
 - Q. So if we look at the transcript, you were actually in court in the Newton District Court on a case on April 2nd of 2018. Do you have a memory of that?
- A. I do not. I have been told I was there.
 - Q. Aside from that, did you have experience with regard to custodies coming in, custody defendants or defendants in custody, coming into the Newton District Court and then being taken out of the Newton District Court?

 A. Yes.

Q.	And did you also have experience with people who
	came in in custody and then being released from
	custody?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And based on your observations and your experience with regard to the Newton District Court, when an individual was being released from custody in the Newton District Court, where were they released to?
 - A. They would go back downstairs. If they had come up in shackles, they would be brought back downstairs to remove the shackles. Even if they weren't in cuffs or shackles, they would go back downstairs to retrieve their property.
- Q. Now, if you had a client who was released, would you see it as being unusual at all to be going downstairs to speak to him before he left? A. Not at all. There are a number of reasons why I might go downstairs. Like --
- Q. What would they be?
 - A. If something maybe confusing had taken place,
 I would want to go downstairs and make sure I
 was able to talk to the defendant before they
 actually left the building, or I might have a
 question for them, and especially when there was

- an interpreter involved, and either of those
 things, I wanted to answer the defendant's
 questions or ask a question, I would want to
 make sure that I did that right away before -while I still had both people in the building.
 - Q. Going back to your time in Lowell. That was in the mid to late '90's, if I understood it correctly?
- 9 A. Uh-huh. Yes.
- 10 Q. Did you observe the practice up there with judges going off the record?
- 12 A. Yes.

7

- 13 Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- A. It was a different era back then.
- Q. Okay. And one particular judge up there went off the record.
- 17 A. Yes.
- MR. HOOPES: Thank you. That's all I have. Thank you.
- JUDGE FABRICANT: Very brief.
- 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 22 BY JUDGE FABRICANT:
- 23 Q. Good morning, Ms. Koury.
- A. Good morning.
- 25 Q. On April 2nd of 2018, you were in the

- 1 Newton District Court because you had been 2 appointed to represent a person by the name of 3 Pineda, if I'm pronouncing that correctly. 4
 - A. That doesn't ring a bell.
- 5 Ο. So let me show you the docket. Let me see if 6 that refreshes your memory.
- 7 JUDGE FABRICANT: If I may 8 approach.
- 9 **HEARING OFFICER:** Yes.
- 10 0. Take your time to read as much of it as you need 11 to.
- 12 (PAUSE)
- 13 A. Okay. Yes.
- And take a look at -- Look at behind Tab H in 14 Ο. 15 that binder you have in front of you. You have 16 a binder in front of you.
- 17 A. Oh, yes.
- Turn to -- Looking at the numbers up in the 18 Q. 19 upper right-hand corner, turn to APP 047, 20 please. And this is a minuscript, so look at 21 Page 5 in the transcript. Do you have that in 22 front of you?
- 23 A. I see that, yes.
- 24 And you can see that the clerk says, going down Ο. 25 about -- to Line 10, the clerk says, calling the

1	matter of Commonwealth versus Pineda, and then
2	the Commonwealth, the assistant district
3	attorney who's not named here, says there is a
4	private counsel that came in on Friday and
5	requested an 11:00 a.m. call because he just
6	filed an appearance Friday and had to be in
7	another court, I believe I do have the request.
8	And then the judge, again, not named here, says
9	second call. And then the assistant district
10	attorney says, okay, you have that. And then
11	the clerk says, I have that. And then
12	defendant's counsel So that would be you at
13	that point; right?

14 A. Yes.

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. It says, I had originally been appointed to represent Mr. Pineda, and I understand there's been a notice of appearance, may I withdraw.

 A. I see that, yes.
- Q. And the judge -- the court says, okay, you may.

 A. (Witness nods head).
 - Q. So that was a situation where you had been appointed, and then the defendant or somebody on his behalf retained counsel, and retained counsel came in and replaced you.

A. Yes.

		Page 877
1	Q.	And that just happens sometimes.
2		A. Yes.
3	Q.	It probably still happens sometimes. And there
4		are lots of reasons why it might happen. But it
5		happens. It's not a surprising thing when it
6		does happen; correct?
7		A. It can still be surprising for me. But yes,
8		I see what you're saying.
9	Q.	But it's not a rarity; correct?
10		A. No.
11		JUDGE FABRICANT: All right.
12		Thank you. That's all I have.
13		MR. HOOPES: Thank you. Thank
14		you very much.
15		HEARING OFFICER: Thank you
16		very much.
17		Judge Joseph calls retired
18		Judge Sevelin Singleton.
19		former HONORABLE
20		SEVELIN B. SINGLETON, III,
21		SWORN
22		HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
23		Please be seated.

		1 agc 0 7 0
1		DIRECT EXAMINATION
2		BY MR. HOOPES:
3		A. Mr. Hoopes.
4	Q.	Good morning, sir.
5		A. Good morning.
6	Q.	Judge, would you state your name again for the
7		record and spell it.
8		A. Sevelin B. Singleton, III. Sevelin is
9		spelled S-e-v-e-l-i-n.
10	Q.	Thank you.
11		So you were a lawyer, then a judge; correct?
12		A. Correct.
13	Q.	Would you please share your education, training,
14		and experience to the hearing officer.
15		A. Undergraduate degree, Bachelor of Science,
16		business administration, Northeastern University
17		Law School, JD. And from there, Mass Commission
18		Against Discrimination. I think I stayed there
19		one year. Then I left there and went to the
20		Middlesex district attorney's office. I believe
21		I was there for two years. I had taken a leave
22		of absence from that office to run for city
23		council in the City of Cambridge. I did not
24		win. Thank goodness. From there, I became
25		legal counsel for the City of Cambridge and

- 1 opened my own private practice.
- Q. And for how long a period of time were you in private practice?
- A. Again, maybe two to three years.
- Q. In what year did you get appointed to the bench?A. 1989.
- 7 Q. And to which court were you appointed?
- A. The court I grew up in, Cambridge District

 Court. The neighborhood.
- Q. And how long a period of time were you at the Cambridge District Court?
- 12 A. Actually sitting?
- 13 Q. When did you retire?
- 14 A. 2014.
- Q. And during the course of time that you were sitting on the bench as a judge of the Cambridge District Court, did you engage -- have experience with the custom of going off the record?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Could you explain that to the court, please.
- A. Even though I frowned upon it when asked for
 a lobby conference or to go off the record, I
 would press the attorney, usually the defense
 attorney, as to its reason, and often, of

	-
	course, they did not want to put that reason on
	the record, I said at least give me a hint. If
	the hint was a good hint which required
	something off the record, a brief off the record
	moment, then I would grant that request if there
	was no objection from the district attorney.
Q.	And did you continue that practice up until the
	time you retired?
	A. I did.
	MR. HOOPES: No other
	questions. Thank you.
	JUDGE FABRICANT: If I may have
	just a minute.
	(PAUSE)
	JUDGE FABRICANT: I have no
	questions for this witness. Thank you.
	HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
	THE WITNESS: At least a good
	morning to you.
	JUDGE FABRICANT: Good morning,
	Judge Singleton.
	MR. HOOPES: Judge Joseph calls
	Mr. Gerrity.
	MARK GERRITY, SWORN

		Page 881
1		seated.
2		DIRECT EXAMINATION
3		BY MR. HOOPES:
4	Q.	Good morning, sir.
5		A. Good morning.
6	Q.	Could you state your name again for the record
7		and spell it for the court reporter.
8		A. Absolutely. It's Mark Gerrity, spelled
9		M-a-r-k G-e-r-r-i-t-y.
10	Q.	And sir, can you tell the hearing officer where
11		you're employed, by whom?
12		A. I'm employed by the Massachusetts Trial Court
13		as a deputy chief information officer in charge
L 4		of security infrastructure.
15		JUDGE FABRICANT: I'm sorry. I
16		couldn't hear all of that answer.
17		A. I'm sorry. Yeah. Deputy chief information
18		officer with the Massachusetts Trial Court and
19		I'm responsible for the security infrastructure
20		in the court.
21		JUDGE FABRICANT: Security
22		infrastructure?
23		A. Correct. Infrastructure.
24		HEARING OFFICER: And if you
25		could just move the microphone as close to you

1		as possible, that would be very helpful.
2		THE WITNESS: Yup.
3		HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
4	Q.	Now, could you just briefly describe what your
5		title means. What do you do?
6		A. So what my title is, I manage a team within
7		the Trial Court that is responsible for the
8		installation, the maintenance, service, and
9		repair of all the electronic security systems
10		within the Trial Court, and that includes CCTV,
11		access control system, meaning card swipes,
12		x-ray machines that you see out the entry into
13		the courts, walk-through metal detectors, and
14		also the two-way radio communications.
15	Q.	And so those are all the courts. That would
16		include the Newton District Court.
17		A. That is correct. Yup.
18	Q.	And so if I were to show you what's been
19		entitled a history report that's in as
20		Exhibit 3, you're familiar with the concept of
21		the exhibit history?
22		A. Absolutely. Yup.
23	Q.	Okay. So if I could show you at this time that
24		exhibit but with several lines in it.
25		MR. HOOPES: And I'd ask to

1		admit this version because it's got a couple of
2		lines to make it a little easier for you to
3		follow. And I don't know how you'd like to mark
4		it. I was going to suggest as 3-A.
5		HEARING OFFICER: 3-A sounds
6		fine.
7		Any objection?
8		JUDGE FABRICANT: No objection.
9		HEARING OFFICER: 3-A is
10		received.
11		EXHIBIT 3-A
12		(DOCUMENT MARKED AS
13		EXHIBIT 3-A)
14	Q.	Looking at that, can you identify that as an
15		electronic access document for the
16		Newton District Court for April 2nd of 2018?
17		A. Yes, I can.
18	Q.	And at my request, did you go back out to the
19		Newton District Court and make observations to
20		confirm that everything seemed to be in order
21		and was in order on this day?
22		A. That is correct, yes.
23	Q.	And so if you could I'm going to point you to
24		Page 3 of 5. And on the third line, there are

categories going across the top. There's a

- location, group, Trial Court, but there's a start and date time, start time, and other information, but there's also a time event, device name, and code; correct?
- 5 A. That is correct. Yup.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And so looking at entry labeled 110 on the left-hand side, that -- if you go under the device, indicates that it was utilized by one Wesley MacGregor, and it indicates that it's 5 and then 228 and then B, capital B, and then lockup, and then arrow sallyport area.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. So does that mean that the access was from the lockup area to the sallyport in the back?

 A. That is correct. So the individual would be standing inside of the lockup with a card reader, presented a card at the reader, and would open the door and allow you access into the sallyport.
- Q. Go down two levels to 113. It's the same entry, again by Wesley MacGregor. That's going out, correct, from the lockup into the sallyport area?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. And going to the next page. Beginning at the

- top, there are entries for 167 at 12:54, and
 that indicates, again, lockup arrow sallyport
 area Wesley MacGregor, then that's Mr. MacGregor
 going out; correct?
- 5 A. That is correct.
- Q. And below that in 168. That's B sallyport areagoing into the lockup.
- A. Correct. Correct. So that would be from the exterior of the building sallyport into the lockup.
- Q. And so the next area entry below that is B lockup arrow sallyport area at 12:56. So he'd be going back out at that point.
 - A. That's correct. Yup.
- Q. So we've got him going out at 12:54, in at

 12:55, and out again at 12:56; is that correct?

 A. Yes.
- Q. And then the next time we see Mr. MacGregor is in 177, we see lockup exit to staff; correct?

 A. That's correct.
- Q. And then the next entry we see is 186, which is 1:51, which is lockup out to the sallyport area; correct?
- 24 A. That's correct. Yup.
- Q. And that's, again, Mr. MacGregor.

- 1 A. That is correct.
 - Q. And then at 188 at 1:53 we see sallyport area lockup. So he's coming back into the courthouse; is that correct?

5 A. Yes.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And then the next entry regarding the lockup with Mr. MacGregor, because there's other entries with regard to him, but with regard to the lockup, is 211, it's an exit at 3:01.03, or seconds, B lockup area, out to the sallyport area, by Mr. MacGregor.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. So again, that entry with him at 3:01 in the afternoon is him opening that back door; correct?
 - A. 3:01 denotes that is from the lockup out to the sallyport area.
- 18 Q. Thank you.
 - Now, when you were out at the Newton District

 Court, did you observe whether or not there were

 keycard access from the dock down to the lockup?

 A. So there is a door in the lockup on the same

 level as the lockup that goes -- leads to a set

 of stairs that goes to the dock in the

 courtroom.

1	Q.	And so if we don't see any keycard access
2		regarding that, which goes down the stairs to
3		the lockup area, being used, what would be the
4		explanation?

A. The general explanation would normally mean that the door's potentially propped.

MR. HOOPES: Okay. Thank you. That's all I have.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY JUDGE FABRICANT:

In 2018, were the doors that went from the Q. dock -- or do you know whether the doors that went from the dock into the courtroom and the other door inside the dock from the stairway to the dock or from the dock to the stairway, do you know whether those used electronic key cards or whether they used physical keys? A. So the door I've been referring to is actually on the ground, the basement level, inside the lockup area into a set of stairs. That system has been in place for I would say quite some time. It was before my tenure with the Trial Court. So I would say, yes, to the best of my knowledge.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE FABRICANT:

So let's

- bring up that photograph so we can show the door of the lockup.
- 3 I want to preface, the question I'm asking about Q. has to do with the door of the dock. If I said 4 5 lockup, I misspoke. So the door that goes from 6 the stairway into the dock or from the dock 7 back to the stairway, and the door that goes from the dock out to the courtroom or from the 8 courtroom back to the dock. 9 Those are the doors 10 I'm asking about.
 - A. So I can preface that by, court was in session, and I wasn't able to get up the stairwell into that area.
- 14 Q. So when you went out to look --
- A. I could not access that area.
- Q. And you went out to look recently; correct?A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. Not in 2018.
- 19 A. No.

12

- 20 Q. Were you in this role in 2018?
- A. I was the security systems administrator in 2018.
- Q. So here's a picture of the dock. We actually have another picture that shows the door a little bit ajar. Let's see if we can find it.

- Yeah. Well... Okay. So we're now looking at Page 513, I think, Page 513. The door that is
- 3 in the foreground there, --
- A. Yup.
- Q. -- does that have an electronic card reader as far as you can tell?
- 7 A. I do not see one.
- 8 Q. What do you see there?
- 9 A. I see the key lock on the door. Yup.
- 10 Q. Okay. And then the other door that's inside the dock, can you tell --
- 12 A. From what I can see sitting here, it is the
- same setup as the door in the foreground. It's
- a key lock, it appears to be.
- Q. And in that door at the bottom of the stairs, --
- A. Correct.
- Q. -- that one would have to go through to get
- 18 | from --
- JUDGE FABRICANT: Thank you.
- Q. So we're now looking at Photograph Number 316, I think.
- 22 MR. NEFF: 515.
- Q. 516. I'm sorry. So that door that you can see there that you have to go through to get from the lockup into the stairway or from the

- stairway into the lockup, is that the door you referred to earlier?
- 3 A. That is correct, yes.
- 4 Q. And that does happen --
- 5 A. It does. And you can actually see it actually sticking off the door slightly.
- Q. And you said that, if there isn't an entry on this document, that might mean that the door was propped.
- 10 A. That's correct. Yes.
- 11 Q. And that sometimes happens; correct?
- 12 A. It does.
- 13 Q. Shouldn't happen, but it sometimes does.
- A. Too much.
- JUDGE FABRICANT: All right.
- 16 Thank you.
- Oh, one more question, if I
- may. I'm sorry.
- 19 Q. As we look through this list, as we look under
- 20 the column that says Device, --
- 21 A. Yup.
- Q. -- in some places you see a name as opposed to a
- 23 location description; correct?
- 24 A. That is correct.
- Q. So, for example, near the bottom on the first

L	page, at the second line from the bottom, you
2	see Judge Klein's office. Do you know that as
3	of Do you know who Judge Klein was?
1	A. I do not.

- Q. Do you understand that to refer to the office or lobby being used by the First Justice?
 A. I wouldn't -- Yes, I would guess without actually knowing. It would be a guess.
- Q. Okay. We don't want you to guess.

 A. Yeah.
 - Q. But from your experience in dealing with these kinds of documents --
 - A. So I can -- If I could. A lot of times space allocations change within a courthouse, and we never know about it. It might just be a local thing within the courthouse. There -- Before my tenure, there was a lot of locations that refer to a location based on maybe the First Justice and they use their names. We, as we get into doing upgrades in buildings, we correct that. So the person may have retired many years ago, and the staff knows the location, but we are not familiar.
 - Q. So if you look at the rest of that line, it says that the person who used the key card to access

1		wasn't Judge Klein, it was Judge Joseph;
2		correct?
3		A. Yes.
4	Q.	So would you take that to mean that that office
5		that this document refers to as Judge Klein's
6		was being used at least that day by
7		Judge Joseph?
8		A. Yes.
9		JUDGE FABRICANT: All right.
10		Thank you.
11		MR. HOOPES: Thank you.
12		HEARING OFFICER: Thank you
13		very much.
14		MR. HOOPES: So in the evidence
15		at this point are two memorandum by ICE
16		officers. We'd ask permission to have those
17		read for the record. I know they're part of the
18		record, but there's a public nature of this. If
19		that's acceptable, we will call a witness to do
20		the reading.
21		HEARING OFFICER: That's
22		acceptable.
23		JUDGE FABRICANT: This witness
24		shouldn't be sworn; right? Or should he be
25		sworn

		Page 893
1		MR. HOOPES: I think he should
2		be sworn the same
3		HEARING OFFICER: I'll take a
4		stab at this.
5		Do you solemnly swear that the
6		reading you will be providing us today shall be
7		fully accurate?
8		THE WITNESS: I do.
9		
10		DOUGLAS BROOKS, ESQ., SWORN
11		DIRECT EXAMINATION
12		BY MR. HOOPES:
13	Q.	Sir, would you state your name again for the
L 4		record, please.
15		A. Douglas Brooks.
16	Q.	And can you spell it, please?
17		A. D-o-u-g-l-a-s, last name B-r-o-o-k-s.
18	Q.	And you're an attorney?
19		A. I am.
20	Q.	And I have here with us in the record as a
21		report a memorandum that was written by an ICE
22		officer that's entitled Appendix O
23		DHS ICE memorandum investigation,
24		Officer Richard Simmons, dated April 18th, 2018.

I'm going to show you this,

2

3

4

5 6

7

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

sir, and I'm going to ask you if you would kindly read it, beginning with the first line, which is April 2nd of 2018.

A. (Reading) On April 2nd, 2018, I, Deportation Officer, DO, Richard Simmons, responded to a Secure Communities, SC, hit based on arrest by Newton police. The subject, A079677654, Oscar Pegeuro, March 2nd, 1979, a/k/a Jose Medina Perez, August 15th, 1981, of the Dominican Republic, was arrested by Newton police and charged with possession of Class B Subject has no other known criminal cocaine. history. I arrived at the District, Newton District Courthouse at approximately 09:30 hours. At security, I identified myself and asked for the chief court officer. The security officer stated he was not around but the other court officers on duty were in the courtroom. entered the courtroom and sat in the designated area of police directly next to a court officer and a Newton police officer. A Newton police officer -- I believe his first name is Jason -greeted me by asking if I was here for his guy, I said, yes, I have a detainer and warrant. He said that he had the paperwork

already.

As I observed the court proceedings throughout the morning, during a brief recess I introduced myself to a court officer situated near me. I asked him if I would be able to take custody of the subject in lockup at the conclusion of his arraignment. He said that I could and that it would be better for him and the other court officer in the court, as they were the only two court officers around. I said that would be great, as I was alone currently, and for officer safety reasons, it would be best.

The subject's arraignment took some time and was not called until after lunchtime. Throughout the day, as circumstances permitted, the court officers, the assistant district attorney, ADA, the clerk magistrate, and the defense attorney were all made aware of my presence and intent to arrest for Peguero upon his release from state custody. In addition, I could see that both the ADA and defense attorney were in physical possession of the immigration detainer.

Just before the lunch break,

approximately 1230 hours, the female defense attorney approached me and asked if I was with ICE. I stated yes, how can I help you. She was explaining to me her reservations about her client being a wanted person out of Pennsylvania and the subject that I was in court for based on the information in the detainer. I assured her that her client was subject of an ICE detainer, confirmed through biometrics. Ultimately, at approximately 1310 hours, the case was held over to the afternoon session.

I re-entered the courtroom at approximately 1400 hours. As I sat in the courtroom waiting for the session to begin, a male attorney, not present in the courtroom earlier in the day, introduced himself as the subject's defense counsel and asked if I was with ICE. I stated yes, how can I help you. He went on to say that he believed there was -there to be a case of mistaken identity with his client. I told him that I knew his client's He proceeded to read and to point out identity. differences in his client's height and weight compared to the subject cited in the detainer. I told him that I was not concerned with those

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

discrepancies. I further told him that I was only concerned with this client's biometric match. I explained that his client had been previously removed from the U.S. and that his presence is evidence of his illegal entrance into the U.S.

After the conversation with the defense attorney, I spoke with the ADA in greater detail about the subject's immigration history. I explained his previous deportations and that he would be presented for prosecution to the U.S. attorney's office for re-entry after deportation when he comes into ICE custody.

At approximately 1410 hours, the clerk had entered the courtroom and asked if I stated yes. He asked if I I was with ICE. had a warrant, and I, again, stated yes, I have it right here. I asked if he wanted it or wanted to see it, and he said no. The clerk went on to say that the judge wants me to tell you to leave the courtroom. I said, leave the courtroom? The clerk said yes. I said, why am I being told to leave the courtroom? He stated that the judge didn't want her courtroom being intimidated by the presence of ICE. It should

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

be noted that I was in plain clothes, with all my branding and gear covered by a shirt and jacket. I asked again if he was telling me to leave the courtroom, and he said the judge

doesn't want anyone being intimidated by ICE.

I left the courtroom, and the clerk followed me out to the front lobby/security area. He explained to me that he would let me know as soon as a decision on the case had been rendered. The clerk returned to the courtroom, and shortly thereafter the clerk returned to speak to me again and stated that if the subject is released, he will be released either through the courtroom or brought back down to the lockup and released into the I asked, so he will come up the courthouse. stairs over there and into the lobby to use the main exit? He stated yes.

At that time I expressed to him the serious matter of officer safety. I pointed out that I was currently alone and there are three males that have waited all day for the subject. The subject's defense attorney has been meeting with them off and on throughout the afternoon. I asked the clerk if it was at all

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

possible if it would be better for officer

safety reasons for me to take the subject into

custody in lockup. He said, no, I'm sorry, and

4 returned to the courtroom.

It should be noted that it is

Massachusetts Trial Court policy to allow ICE

officers into the lockup to take aliens into

custody. However, I was refused this

opportunity.

At this time, I waited outside the courtroom for a decision at approximately 1421 hours and contacted my team to see if there was anyone available for backup. Deportation Officer, DO, Domenico Federico responded and arrived at the court approximately 30 minutes later. I never left the front lobby. The clerk came out of the court at approximately a 1450 and said the subject was being released. The clerk went on to say that subject would be brought back down to lockup and then escorted by a court officer to the lobby/security area where I was waiting.

The ADA came out sometime later, I would estimate no more than 10 minutes, and stated to me that she had a bad feeling that

1-800-727-6396

the subject was released out of the back of the building. She based her feeling on a, quote, look that she got from the subject's defense attorney. We told her that we were just waiting for the court officer to bring the subject upstairs, and she commented on why it was taking The clerk met us in the lobby and so long. stated that the subject should be coming up any minute and went back to the courtroom. asked the victim witness advocate, VWA, to inquire about the subject, then went downstairs. Within a few minutes, the VWA returned and informed that he did not see the subject in lockup and that the only other way to leave the building is from lockup, but he doubted that the court officers would have allowed that to The ADA also made a similar statement happen. that she couldn't believe that would happen.

We increasingly became suspicious that the suspect was not in lockup, and Deportation Officer Federico stated he would go to the sallyport at lockup. He exited the front entrance and went around to the back of the courthouse on foot. Deportation Officer Federico reported that he noticed a court

24

P	a	q	e	9	0	1

officer outside talking to correctional officers that were inside the transport van. DO Federico explained to the court officer that we were waiting for our subject to be brought upstairs in the lobby so we could take him into custody. The officers stated the name of the subject before DO Federico and very sarcastically said that he released him out the back door. DO Federico asked why did he do so, knowing that we were in the building with a detainer and warrant of arrest. The officer responded to DO Federico in an arrogant tone, that he just let him go from the back.

Ultimately, late in the afternoon, the chief cour officer was suddenly available. He advised that the subject was released out the back of the building but couldn't explain why. It was explained to us by multiple court personnel that it required the assistance of someone with a security pass, court personnel.

Q. Thank you.

I'm going to show you next what's been marked as

Appendix P, (DHS ICE Memorandum of

Investigation, Officer Domenico Federico, dated

1	April	18th,	2018,	and	ask	you	to	read	that	as
2	well.									

A. (Reading) On April 2nd, 2018, at about 2:15 p.m., I, Deportation Officer Domenico Federico, received a phone call from Supervisory Detention and Deportation Officer, SDDO, Stephen Wells, requesting that I would assist Deportation Officer, DO, Richard Simmons at the Newton District Court located at 1309 Washington Street, West Newton, Massachusetts. I grabbed my duty gear and proceeded to that address in order to assist DO Simmons.

I arrived at about 2:51 p.m. and parked my government issued vehicle in the front of the building. I contacted my colleague via cell phone about his location in the building and then entered the main entrance. was greeted by a court security officer at the checkpoint and identified myself as deportation officer and showed my credentials. I explained to the officer that I was there on official business and looking for DO Simmons.

Once passed through the security, I noticed DO Simmons was just outside

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 2

4 5

3

6 7

9 10

11

12

13 14

16

15

17 18

20

19

2122

23

24

25

the courtroom. DO Simmons stated that the clerk had informed him just a few minutes ago that the subject was going to be released. The clerk also stated that the subject was going to be brought back down to lockup and escorted by a court officer to the lobby where we were.

Within a few minutes, a female Assistant District Attorney, ADA, greeted us and seemed to be aware about the subject's case. told her that we were just waiting for the court officer to bring the subject upstairs, and she commented on why it was taking so long. clerk met us in the lobby and stated that the subject should be coming up any minute and went back to the courtroom. The ADA asked the victim witness advocate, VWA, to inquire about the subjects, and he went downstairs. Within a few minutes, the VWA returned and informed that he did not see the subject in lockup, and that the only way to leave the building is from lockup, but he doubted that the court officers would have allowed for that to happen. The ADA also made a similar statement that she couldn't believe that would happen.

I became increasingly

1	suspicious that the subject was not in lockup,
2	and I informed DO Simmons that I would go to t
3	sallyport near the lockup and exited the
4	courthouse through the front entrance. I walk
5	to the rear of the building and noticed a cour
6	officer outside talking to correctional office
7	that were inside their transport van. I
8	identified myself as a deportation officer to
9	the court officer and showed him my credential
10	I explained to the court officer that we were
11	waiting for our subject to be brought upstairs
12	in the lobby so that we could take him into
13	custody. The officer stated the name of the
14	subject before I did, Peguero, and very
15	sarcastically said that he released him from t
16	back door. I asked him why has did so, knowin
17	that we were in the building with a detainer a
18	a warrant of arrest. The officer responded wi
19	an arrogant tone, that he just let him go from
20	the back. I informed DO Simmons and returned
21	our office.
22	MR. HOOPES: Thank you very

nank you very much. That's all I have. You can step down. (PAUSE)

> MR. HOOPES: Judge Joseph would

23

24

- call Michael McPherson. He may be outside.
- 2 There he is. Thank you.
- HEARING OFFICER: Good morning.
- MICHAEL McPHERSON, SWORN
- 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- BY MR. HOOPES:
- 7 Q. Good morning, sir.
- A. Good morning.
- 9 Q. I'm going to ask you to keep your voice up and
- 10 tell the hearing officer and court reporter your
- 11 name again. Spell your name.
- 12 A. Michael McPherson, M-c-P-h-e-r-s-o-n.
- 13 Q. Sir, are you employed today?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And by whom?
- 16 A. The Trial Court, Massachusetts Trial Court.
- 17 Q. And what's your position?
- A. Director of security.
- 19 Q. And director of security for the entire
- 20 Trial Court?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. And so we've addressed this a little bit prior
- 23 to your testimony, but can you again describe
- 24 what the Trial Court is made up of, how many
- 25 departments?

- A. Trial Court is made up of seven departments,
 the -- Do you want me to list all seven?
- 3 0. Sure.
- A. Housing, juvenile, land, appeals, probate, and Supreme. Not Supreme. I'm missing one.
- 6 Q. That's okay. BMC?
- 7 A. The BMC.
- 8 Q. Yeah. Thank you.
- 9 So there are courthouse facilities within each one of those departments, I take it?
- 11 A. There is. There's 94 courthouses within the state.
- Q. And do you have -- At this point under your command you have roughly how many court
- 15 officers?
- 16 A. 1,035.
- 17 Q. I'm sorry?
- 18 A. 1,035.
- Q. Now, back on April 2 of 2018, did you have a position within the Trial Court?
- 21 A. I was the regional director of security.
- With 1,035, approximately 955 are court
- officers, the rest are administrative.
- 24 Q. Thank you.
- 25 And so back on April 2, 2018, you were in a

- 1 supervisory capacity?
- A. I was the regional director of Middlesex and
 Essex County.
- Q. And so would that region include the Newton District Court?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And did you become aware of the incident that brings us here today --
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. -- on that day of April 2nd, 2018?
- 11 A. Yes, I believe so.
- 12 Q. And did that cause you to conduct an
- investigation yourself?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And did you come to learn that there was a
- 16 practice in the Newton District Court of
- 17 releasing individuals out the front of the first
- 18 session courtroom?
- A. I was made aware of it through the
- 20 investigation, yes.
- 21 Q. And did you have an opinion as to whether that
- 22 was proper or not?
- A. It was not proper. Our policy is to release
- 24 people from the lockup.
- 25 Q. And is that based on the Court Officer Manual?

- 1 A. Correct.
- Q. And was that based at the time on the Lunn policy as well?
- A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. And when the Lunn policy came out in November of 2017, as the supervisor, did you take any action to disseminate that to your staff?
 - A. Yes. I believe through e-mails and also I have chiefs meetings. So when I have the chiefs meetings, it's brought up at chiefs meetings and again through e-mails and maybe a possible memo.
 - Q. And in evidence is a, is a -- Exhibit 2 is a revised policy for the Newton District Court issued on April 4th, 2018. I'll show you the policy. And have you seen that policy before?

 A. I have.
- Q. When did you first see that policy?
- A. I believe maybe on 4/5. Somewhere around the time it was written by Chief Noe.
- Q. And did you find that policy acceptable?A. Absolutely not. I told him that policy, take
- 22 it down, and that's not the policy we'd be
- 23 following.
- Q. And what policy did you put in place?
- A. The policy that's in the Court Officer's

		Page 909
1		Manual.
2	Q.	Which is all custodies come in, all custodies go
3		back out the back door?
4		A. Everyone's released out the back door.
5	Q.	And ICE individuals Would individuals be
6		swept by ICE with a detainer would be taken from
7		the lockup?
8		A. We allow the ICE to come to the lockup
9		themselves in custody for safety precautions for
10		everybody involved.
11		MR. HOOPES: Thank you. I have
12		no other questions.
13		CROSS-EXAMINATION
L 4		BY JUDGE FABRICANT:
15	Q	Good morning, Director McPherson.
16		A. Good morning.
17	Q.	The last time we spoke you were regional
18		director; correct?
19		A. Yes, I believe so.
20	Q.	Congratulations on your promotion.
21		A. Thank you.
22	Ο.	You learned on April 2nd of 2018 that the

23

24

25

out the front; correct?

practice in the Newton District Court was that

people were released through the courtroom and

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. And that was not proper in your view.
- A. Absolutely not.
- 4 Q. But that was the practice that you learned.
- 5 A. I learned that, yes.
- Q. And there are 94 courthouses and 62
 District Courts, and as much as you try to make things uniform, it doesn't always work.
- A. It doesn't.
- 10 Q. And after this happened, Chief Noe issued this
- policy -- Oh, it is dated -- dated April 4th
- 12 under Chief Noe's signature. And you said, no,
- that's not proper; correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And then you had some back and forth with
- 16 Chief Noe about that; correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. He said, well, if we release people out the
- back, that's the -- that's where the police
- officers park and that's a problem; right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 | Q. So his view was, it wasn't a good idea to
- release people out the back; correct?
- A. Correct.
- 25 Q. And did you end up with some middle ground?

		1490 311
1		A. There was no middle ground. The policy is
2		the policy. And unfortunately, for the
3		Newton police officers' vehicles. The safest
4		way to release someone is through the sallyport.
5	Q.	So your view is, whatever, whatever the local
6		chief might say or do, your view was the policy
7		is the policy.
8		A. Correct.
9		JUDGE FABRICANT: Okay. Thank

Just one.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. HOOPES:

MR. HOOPES:

- Q. So you were aware of what the policy was in Newton. Did that have same application when the individual prisoner had property? In other words, would they go downstairs or would they go out the front?
 - A. We release them through the sallyport because we have to do a check on every individual, see if they have any warrant, see if there's any hold on them, we call the sheriff's department and check with probation and, yeah, to return over their property and sign for their property, and then we release them.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you.

1	Q.	So your understanding at the time of April 2nd,
2		2018, was that, if no property, they went out
3		the front, if they had property, they went
4		downstairs; correct?
5		A. I'm confused. They shouldn't be going out
6		the front.
7	Q.	But they were.
8		A. Yes.
9	Q.	Which you weren't happy about.
10		A. Yes.
11	Q.	But at the same time, if they had property, they
12		went back downstairs.
13		A. Correct.
14		MR. HOOPES: Thank you.
15		JUDGE FABRICANT: Just one
16		more.
17		RECROSS-EXAMINATION
18		BY JUDGE FABRICANT:
19	Q.	In that process of discussion about what was
20		actually happening, which made it different than
21		what should have been happening, did you learn
22		that court officers bring the property up to the
23		courtroom with the person or run down and get it

for the person?

23

24

25

A. I'm not sure if I understood that.

I just

		- ugo 7-3
1		know that the policies weren't being followed.
2	Q.	So you don't know either way whether that was
3		something that would happen.
4		A. At that court, I do not know that.
5		JUDGE FABRICANT: Thank you.
6		MR. HOOPES: Thank you.
7		HEARING OFFICER: Thank you
8		very much.
9		MR. HOOPES: I was going to say
10		Your Honor. Mr. Hearing Officer, there's one
11		photo that we referenced earlier on. It's of
12		the left side of the right side It's of
13		the left side. I don't believe it was Yeah.
14		We didn't I promised that I'd bring it. I'm
15		bringing it. I'm going to offer this as the
16		next and last exhibit.
17		HEARING OFFICER: And what
18		MR. HOOPES: This is a
19		photograph of the left side of the gallery.
20		HEARING OFFICER: And what
21		number is this?
22		MR. HOOPES: This would be
23		Number 6, I believe.
24		JUDGE FABRICANT: Just to be
25		clear, this is what we have here in the blowup;

	Page 914
1	correct?
2	MR. HOOPES: Yeah. We blew it
3	up. This is for the record.
4	HEARING OFFICER: Great.
5	I assume no objection.
6	JUDGE FABRICANT: No objection.
7	HEARING OFFICER: Exhibit 6 is
8	received.
9	EXHIBIT 6
10	(DOCUMENT MARKED AS EXHIBIT 6)
11	MR. HOOPES: That's the case
12	for Judge Joseph.
13	HEARING OFFICER: Thank you
14	very much. Anything further from you, Special
15	Counsel?
16	JUDGE FABRICANT: Nothing
17	further. Thank you.
18	HEARING OFFICER: Terrific.
19	So with that, the evidence is
20	closed at this point. And what remains is the
21	summations on Monday morning and then the post
22	hearing briefing.
23	So I just want to note a couple
24	of matters that I'm hoping counsel will address
25	either in your summations or your post hearing

briefing or both. I expect you're planning
I'm not sure my mic is working anymore, so I
apologize. Just let me know if you can't hear
me. I've got it turned on.

JUDGE FABRICANT: Just a
little -- It may be the batteries.

HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. So it's -- I believe it's on. I'll just speak up.

I expect you're planning to do this in any event, but I just wanted to make sure I flag this for you now so that we're all on the same page in this regard.

The CJC charges that

Judge Joseph engaged in misconduct in violation
of Massachusetts General Law Chapter 211C,

Section 2(5), and a number of rules of the Code
of Judicial Conduct.

Special Counsel, if you could please make clear what specific conduct you allege violates each particular rule or statutory provision, what specific subsections of Massachusetts General Law Chapter 211C, Section 2(5), you allege Judge Joseph violated, and what conduct you allege was willful.

And counsel for Judge Joseph,

Pa	aq	е	9	1	6

I'd like you to note if there are any -- if there's any specific rule or statutory provision that you agree was violated as well as your arguments for why the specific rule and statutory provisions cited in the CJC formal charges were not violated.

I would also ask that you both include in your summation and/or your post hearing briefing what you believe are the relevant mitigating or aggregating factors that you think I should take into consideration.

And finally, I would also like to make sure that you address what sanctions, if any, are appropriate given the evidence presented during this hearing.

JUDGE FABRICANT: May I just ask, do you want us to do that in closing argument or do you want us to do that --

HEARING OFFICER: I leave that to you. I leave that to you. I just wanted to make sure that, before we complete everything, the summations and the post hearing briefing, that I've heard from both sides on all of those issues.

MS. MULVEY: Our issue is, it

Page 917

sounds like you're looking for a degree of specificity from the CJC that isn't in the petition. Could we have -- I understand we had initially contemplated simultaneous filings.

But given that there's going to be that we say these acts violated these rules or sections, could we have even just a couple of days extra to respond to that since right now we don't really know exactly the answers to those questions?

PEARING OFFICER: Well, my perspective was, but I'm willing to be persuaded otherwise, that both sides exchange their briefs, and I would like to confirm what we're all agreeing makes sense in terms of the schedule, but I was hoping that -- We're going to get the final transcripts from this proceeding by Thursday of next week, June 19th, so it would be two weeks after that that I would get from each side their initial post hearing briefing, and then one week after that I would get your responses to the other side's filing.

MS. MULVEY: That's fine. As long as we get a chance to respond to the specifics.

Page 918	Pa	α	е	9	1	8
----------	----	---	---	---	---	---

1	HEARING OFFICER: Yes. You
2	will absolutely get that chance through the
3	response.

MS. MULVEY: That's fine.

why I thought having simultaneous exchange through the first initial period, two weeks after we get the transcripts, and then one week after that I'll get your responses to each other's briefing. And then with that, the hearing will be officially closed, and then that will start my 30-day clock in terms of my issuing my report and my recommendation.

JUDGE FABRICANT: If I may, what I have in mind, although I can certainly change it, is that, in closing, I would spell out very specifically exactly what conduct violates exactly what rule and what provision of the statute. I have not contemplated at this stage, the closing stage making recommendations about sanctions, but I have contemplated putting that in the post hearing briefing, but I'll do it as you prefer.

HEARING OFFICER: I'm fine with you doing it that way, whatever you would prefer

	Page 919
1	in that regard. I just want to know your
2	position on that before the hearing is
3	officially closed and then I start working on my
4	report and recommendation.
5	JUDGE FABRICANT: Thank you.
6	MR. HOOPES: Thank you.
7	HEARING OFFICER: Anything
8	further?
9	MR. HOOPES: Not from
10	respondent.
11	HEARING OFFICER: Okay. With
12	that, we are recessed for today. Thank you very
13	much. 9:00 a.m. on Monday for summations.
14	(The proceeding adjourned
15	at 11:14 a.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 920
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	
5	I, LISA L. CROMPTON, Registered
6	Professional Reporter, hereby certify that the
7	foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my
8	stenographic notes of the proceedings in this
9	matter on the date and time specified in the
10	caption hereof.
11	
12	IN THIS WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto
13	set my hand this 27th day of August, 2025.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	Lisa of Crompton
	asa a crompton
22	LISA L. CROMPTON
23	REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTER
24	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 1/17/2031
25	

[**& - 2:15**] Page 1

	1 4110 ()(1/2+1/1	1989 800:1	856:13 865:5
	1309 902:10 1310 896:10	801:24 804:10	2016 799:21
\(\)	14 800:5	806:19 879:6	806:19
	1400 896:13	1990's 871:10	2017 871:20
00100 705 5	1410 890.13 1410 897:14	872:2	872:4 908:6
00110 707 11	1410 897.14 1421 899:12	1992 820:21	2018 802:7
00444 505 15	1421 899.12 1450 899:17	1992 820.21 1994 802:18	
0.45 0.75 10	1450 899.17 147 840:13	803:1	831:2,7 832:21
			833:1,2 836:16
	149 841:16	1995 869:10	872:17 874:25
1 ///.13	15 865:8,9	1996 854:1	883:16 887:11
1,035 906:16,18	867:18	865:3 868:8,10	888:18,20,22
700.22	15th 894:9	1999 803:1	893:24 894:3,4
1/1//2031	16 840:25 865:7	19th 917:18	902:1,3 906:19
720.24	167 885:1	1:51 885:22	906:25 907:10
10 012.0,0,17	168 885:6	1:53 886:2	908:14 909:22
007.10 075.25	177 885:19	2	912:2
899:24	18 816:13	2 906:19,25	2019-22 794:4
100 795:16	841:16	908:12 915:16	798:4
000.7	186 885:21	915:23	2023 800:24,25
11 175.4 021.2	188 886:2	20 813:15	2024 849:12
110 884:6	18th 893:24	867:18	2025 794:13
113 884:20	902:1	2000 831:8	920:13
11:00 876:5	19 813:21	2001 871:13	2101 795:16
11:14 919:15	816:13 854:4	2002 800:4	211 804:11
12 813:19	1972 800:16	803:7 805:19	886:9
827:15 867:18	818:21	819:25 821:10	211c 915:15,22
1230 896:1	1973 801:1	825:2	228 884:10
12:54 885:1,15	1974 868:1	2007 825:7	260 795:10
12:55 885:16	1976 801:11	830:3	27th 920:13
12:56 885:12	1977 866:18	2014 823:8,10	2:00 843:10
885:16	867:8,17	879:14	2:15 841:24
13 794:13 800:5	1979 894:8	2015 827:12	842:6 843:10
I I	1981 894:9	829:25 854:5	859:1 902:4

2:51 902:14	515 889:22	851 796:13	absence 878:22
2.31 902.14 2nd 832:21	516 889:23	864 796:14	absolutely
833:2 872:17	52 800:17	870 796:17	817:9 824:18
874:25 883:16	52 800:17 525 795:5	874 796:17	838:2 850:5
		878 796:21	
894:3,4,8 902:3	6		860:12 866:12
907:10 909:22	6 797:15 913:23	881 796:24	867:11 881:8
912:1	914:7,9,10	883 797:14	882:22 908:21
3	617-248-9938	887 796:25	910:3 918:2
3 797:14 882:20	795:6	893 797:3	acceptable
883:4,5,9,11,13	617-338-9300	8:00 805:23	892:19,22
883:24	795:11	863:19	908:20
30 899:15	617-338-9911	9	access 816:7,21
918:12	795:12	90's 874:7	817:8 834:18
316 889:20	617-523-8300	905 797:6	882:11 883:15
31st 854:4	795:17	909 797:7	884:13,18
33863 920:21	617-725-8050	911 797:8	886:21 887:1
35 832:6	795:6	912 797:9	888:15 891:25
3:01 886:13,16	62 910:6	914 797:15	accompanied
3:01.03 886:9	6:00 805:23	94 906:11 910:6	804:20
4	818:7	955 906:22	accurate
	7	9:00 794:14	832:22 893:7
4/5 908:18	_	919:13	920:7
42 802:3	70 867:20,23	a	accusations
45 852:1	799 796:3		855:13
4th 908:14	7:30 863:19	a.m. 794:14	act 872:4
910:11	8	876:5 919:13	action 908:6
5	80 851:25	919:15	acts 917:6
5 797:14 875:21	815 796:4	a079677654	actual 857:9
883:24 884:9	827 796:5	894:7	actually 811:8
915:16,23	829 796:6	able 839:14	814:24 821:3
50 813:21	830 796:9	845:5,9 857:21	836:20 845:3
513 889:2,2	849 796:10	857:22 873:23	855:3 858:3
007.2,2	012 770.10	888:12 895:6	868:10 869:2
			872:16 873:24

879:12 887:19	admit 883:1	agreeing	839:18 843:1
888:23 890:5,6	admitted	917:15	844:11 849:6
891:8 912:20	800:15	ahead 874:13	anymore
ada 895:18,22	advised 901:16	ajar 888:25	857:13 858:6
897:8 899:23	advocate 831:3	aliens 899:7	862:5 915:2
900:9,17 903:8	831:22,23	allegation	anyway 853:5
903:15,22	832:20 837:3	825:15	858:6 859:9
addition 838:21	871:7,11,13,21	allege 915:20	861:8 862:17
854:25 857:5	871:22 872:3,4	915:23,24	apologize 915:3
895:22	900:10 903:16	allison 796:16	app 875:19
additional	advocates	869:21 870:1,6	apparently
837:20	831:20	allocations	801:15
address 810:10	affirms 826:7	891:14	appeals 801:5
902:12 914:24	afternoon	allow 884:18	871:14,16
916:13	824:9 841:20	899:6 909:8	906:4
addressed	843:8 844:1	allowed 856:19	appear 815:9
905:22	852:20 853:1	900:16 903:22	845:23 860:7
addressing	863:13 886:14	amazement	868:21
846:3	896:11 898:25	826:5	appearance
adjourned	901:15	amazes 800:17	857:24 876:6
919:14	ag's 860:12	amendment	876:17
adjudicated	age 867:23	868:1	appearances
819:4	agency 831:19	amount 853:18	795:1
adjudicator	agent 838:13	855:1	appeared
815:22	aggregating	analysis 860:21	838:10 868:14
adjuster 822:17	916:10	861:9,9	869:9
administration	ago 809:23	andrea 815:1	appears 889:14
878:16	851:25 891:21	answer 874:2	appellate 801:2
administrative	903:2	881:16	appendix
805:4 817:25	agree 816:9	answers 917:9	893:22 901:24
818:1,13	916:3	anticipating	application
906:23	agreed 848:18	842:8	911:15
administrator	848:23	anybody	applied 826:17
888:21		805:22 819:10	

apply 827:2	908:14 909:22	arrow 884:11	assume 859:18
appointed	910:11 912:1	885:2,12	914:5
799:25 800:3	area 847:15	articles 825:22	assuming
800:14,22	884:11,14,23	aside 872:20	860:24 861:18
801:4,24 803:6	885:3,6,11,12	asked 801:11	assured 896:7
832:17 840:21	885:22 886:2	803:4 808:24	atmosphere
840:23 849:22	886:10,11,17	809:15,16	828:25
850:14 853:24	887:3,20	879:22 894:16	attention 816:6
854:2 868:7	888:13,15	895:5 896:2,17	817:12,14
875:2 876:15	894:20 898:8	897:15,16,18	826:22
876:22 879:5,7	899:21	898:3,16,25	attitudes
appointment	argued 801:6	900:10 901:9	859:17
841:20 842:1	arguing 805:3	903:15 904:16	attorney
842:23 858:25	argument	asking 807:20	800:23 804:1
approach	848:21 916:18	807:21,25	807:17 811:25
808:11 822:1	arguments	837:18 859:18	814:8,22 828:5
858:23 859:19	916:4	888:3,10	830:23 832:3
875:8	arraigned	894:23	832:20 836:16
approached	846:5,7	assigned 833:2	841:2 842:12
842:13 896:2	arraignment	872:6	843:11 844:2
appropriate	805:9 833:20	assignment	846:13 847:10
916:14	895:7,14	855:2	847:11,20,25
approximately	arrest 894:6	assist 902:8,12	848:6 849:2,6
894:14 896:1	895:20 901:11	assistance	849:15,20
896:10,13	904:18	901:20	851:21 861:15
897:14 899:11	arrested 811:15	assistant	861:17,18
899:15,17	818:7 833:18	800:22 801:17	866:19 870:15
906:22	834:2 835:7	801:19 807:17	876:3,10
april 832:21	894:10	814:7 828:4	879:24,25
833:2 872:17	arrived 894:13	852:12 854:17	880:6 893:18
874:25 883:16	899:15 902:14	866:19 876:2,9	895:18,19,23
893:24 894:3,4	arrogant	895:17 903:8	896:2,15 897:8
902:1,3 906:19	901:12 904:19	assisted 862:15	898:23 900:4
906:25 907:10			903:8

attorney's	bachelor	background	basement
801:2,5,10	878:15	800:13 831:7	887:19
866:22 878:20	bachelor's	backup 899:13	basic 839:14
897:12	870:19	bad 899:25	basically
attorneys	back 800:12	bail 807:21,25	801:21 804:3
831:19	802:17 803:2	811:19 861:21	832:11 857:19
attributed	805:16 810:7	balance 855:8	861:20
825:22,25	810:11,20	ball 796:12	batteries 915:6
august 894:9	813:17 818:9,9	851:8,10,18,21	beacon 795:4
920:13	822:17 824:12	851:21 864:6	beat 855:4
authority	827:1 828:16	bar 800:15	becoming
861:20	831:13 836:16	801:14,17,18	801:17
available	842:6,9 843:7,9	801:19,22	beginning
899:13 901:16	844:7,9,13	808:23 816:19	823:14 884:25
avoided 814:22	848:4 850:3,17	826:24 827:25	894:2
825:5 830:4	854:15,16	829:12 831:2	behalf 876:23
aware 822:18	859:1 863:12	831:20,22,23	believe 802:22
855:7 859:24	863:15,16,20	832:20 837:3	809:3 816:16
860:3 861:6	865:25 866:12	844:22 845:2,3	818:24 832:22
862:8 895:19	868:15 871:17	845:5,9 846:1,2	834:4 835:19
903:9 907:7,19	873:10,11,13	850:18,22,24	836:2 837:10
911:14	874:6,14	855:1,18	840:16 847:14
b	883:18 884:14	857:14,23	849:13 869:21
b 795:15	885:13 886:3	858:21 861:8,9	876:7 878:20
796:20 797:12	886:14 888:7,9	871:7,11,13,21	894:22 900:18
827:15 851:19	898:14 899:20	871:22 872:3,4	903:24 907:11
877:20 878:8	900:1,9,23	barlow 800:21	908:8,18
884:10,10	901:8,13,17	800:21	909:19 913:13
885:6,11	903:5,15	bars 844:19	913:23 915:8
886:10 893:17	904:16,20	based 873:5	916:9
894:11	906:19,25	891:18 894:6	believed 896:19
baby 871:14,16	909:3,3,4	896:6 900:2	bell 875:4
<i>baby</i> 0/1.17,10	910:15,19,23	907:25 908:2	bellingham
	912:12		812:12

bench 801:7	blanking 861:4	895:4	885:9 900:2,15
804:15 808:12	blew 914:2	briefing 914:22	901:10,17
812:6 815:22	blowup 913:25	915:1 916:9,22	902:16,18
819:15 821:5	bmc 906:6,7	917:21 918:10	903:20 904:5
844:17 850:19	bodies 863:6,7	918:22	904:17
853:25 856:23	body 866:9	briefly 882:4	buildings
857:11 879:5	bogdanow	briefs 917:14	891:20
879:16	795:15	bring 803:21	bunch 805:1
best 815:4	bonnie 796:2	808:21 812:2	852:25
829:2 887:24	799:6,8,16	818:8,9 860:13	bus 812:12
895:13	book 840:7	863:6 888:1	busier 813:9,11
better 810:25	booking 835:18	900:5 903:11	busiest 865:15
820:25 869:3	boston 794:18	912:22 913:14	business 855:17
870:12 895:8	795:5,11,17	bringing	859:8 863:24
899:1	802:4 825:8,19	821:18 913:15	878:16 902:23
big 829:21	825:21 826:2	brings 907:8	busy 802:9
840:7	831:11 836:21	broadly 829:20	837:16 865:13
bill 812:8	852:10	brockton	865:21 866:13
852:15	bostwick 796:8	863:16 865:19	866:14
bills 812:7,20	830:18,19,23	866:4	butter 868:23
binder 875:15	831:1 840:20	brooks 795:9	868:25
875:16	841:2 849:15	797:2 893:10	c
biometric	849:20	893:15	c 851:18 905:12
897:2	bottom 889:15	brought 811:17	920:1,1
biometrics	890:25 891:1	873:11 898:14	call 837:9 851:8
896:9	boyle 795:15	899:20 901:4	851:20 859:3
birth 835:9	branding 898:2	903:5 904:11	876:5,9 892:19
bit 803:2	bread 868:23	908:10	902:5 905:1
805:17 827:1	868:25	bu 870:21	911:22
831:6 853:22	break 850:1	building 799:21	called 800:21
888:25 905:22	895:25	847:17 852:11	801:14 802:13
black 795:15	brief 829:6,12	858:13 865:11	841:23 844:6
blah 810:22,22	829:13 849:17	865:13 866:2	864:13 895:15
810:22	874:20 880:4	873:24 874:5	33.112.070.13

calling 875:25	821:24 822:2	cctv 882:10	881:13,17
calls 799:5	822:19,23,25	cell 902:17	894:16 901:15
830:17 837:19	823:1 824:3,11	center 854:10	908:19 910:10
869:20 877:17	824:24 825:10	certainly	910:12,16
880:22	825:15 826:10	800:15 804:9	911:6
cambridge	826:12 830:8	805:18 808:7	chiefs 868:5
795:16 802:11	832:17 833:5	813:24 822:23	908:9,9,10
802:21,22,23	837:15 839:8	834:20 839:4	choice 858:11
811:8,17,21	841:23 843:16	849:25 918:15	circuit 863:9
812:14 813:6	844:11,23	certify 920:6	circumstances
878:23,25	849:7 856:9	chance 853:4	895:16
879:8,11,17	858:16 861:24	861:3 917:24	cited 896:24
cancer 833:8	862:7 867:4	918:2	916:5
833:12	872:17 896:10	change 871:5	city 831:11
capability	896:20 898:10	891:14 918:16	836:21 878:22
828:23	903:9 914:11	changed	878:23,25
capacity 907:1	cases 801:3,22	805:18 819:19	civil 822:2,19
capital 884:10	806:7 810:5	changes 813:15	822:25 823:1,3
caption 920:10	812:23 813:21	chapter 915:15	823:6 824:3,16
car 822:6	814:1 823:3,6,7	915:22	852:20 853:11
card 882:11	824:16,19,19	charge 881:13	853:16
884:16,17	826:11 852:13	charged 894:11	cjc 915:13
889:5 891:25	852:18,19	charges 833:22	916:5 917:2
cards 887:16	853:11,16	834:3 839:3	class 894:11
career 802:3	860:13 868:17	915:13 916:6	clear 821:15
806:18	869:6 872:13	check 911:20	823:25 827:21
careful 811:1	catch 817:14	911:23	867:21 913:25
carol 796:12	categories	checkpoint	915:19
851:8,10,18	883:25	902:20	clearly 857:24
carry 803:18	categorize	chelsea 802:19	clerk 804:1
case 801:6	807:7	802:20 811:7	840:19 841:1
816:11,18	cause 809:5	811:13,16	841:11 844:6
817:7,11	907:12	chief 801:4,20	854:17,20
818:14,19		803:25 862:12	875:24,25

876:11 895:18	colloggies	commitment	conclude 844:4
	colleagues 805:2 806:16	832:12	concluded
897:15,19,22	814:17		
898:7,10,11,25		common	805:5
899:16,19	college 870:20	837:11,23	conclusion
900:7 903:1,3	column 890:20	844:5	895:7
903:13	come 807:18	commonwealth	conduct 794:2
clerk's 803:15	811:23 814:12	794:1 811:19	795:2,3 798:9
clerked 852:10	816:19 842:6,9	820:22 825:3	798:11 907:12
client 807:22	844:7 848:5	828:8 836:5,6	915:17,19,24
811:25 837:22	850:3 859:1	852:17 876:1,2	918:17
846:23 854:24	863:15,16	communicate	conduct's 798:3
859:21 873:15	864:22 865:25	839:12	conducted
896:5,8,21	866:12 873:11	communicati	857:2
897:3	898:16 907:15	882:14	conference
client's 861:20	909:2,8	communities	820:24 821:17
896:21,23	comes 863:3	894:6	821:22 822:22
897:2	897:13	commuter	824:11,24
clients 840:22	coming 820:15	811:10	825:14,24
846:19 859:16	843:7 863:12	companion	826:15 827:22
clock 918:12	865:24 872:21	815:1	828:15 829:11
close 843:9	872:22 886:3	compared	829:19 830:2
870:8 881:25	900:8 903:14	896:24	843:15 857:9
closed 914:20	command	complaint	857:12 862:13
918:11 919:3	906:14	794:4 798:3	879:23
closer 870:12	commented	complete	conferences
closing 916:17	829:19 900:6	916:21	820:6,19 821:3
918:16,20	903:12	computer	821:6,14,19
clothes 898:1	comments	834:19,22	825:5 826:11
cocaine 894:12	820:18	concept 882:20	826:19 827:13
code 884:4	commission	concern 807:14	828:3 854:24
915:16	794:2 795:2,3	concerned	857:5,19
colleague	798:3,8,11	862:23 896:25	conferencing
825:12 902:16	878:17 920:24	897:2	855:8

60 7 40 7	4 1 4 1	010 22 25	
confidential	contemplated	819:23,25	council 878:23
810:9	917:4 918:19	820:1,20	counsel 798:5,8
confirm 883:20	918:21	824:15 825:1	798:21 801:14
917:14	contention	826:2 827:4	801:18,19
confirmed	822:6	829:16,22,23	807:18 810:20
896:9	continuation	849:13 852:3	815:20,21
confused 912:5	798:2	855:6 860:17	842:16 850:14
confusing	continue 840:4	865:1,4,20	854:23 858:22
873:21	842:9 850:3	867:19,24	859:10,15
congratulations	880:7	868:9,17 877:6	861:23 862:21
909:20	continued	877:9 878:11	876:4,12,23,24
conjunction	844:10,12	878:12 881:23	878:25 896:17
853:15	846:8	882:17 883:22	914:15,24
connected	contributing	884:4,5,12,15	915:18,25
839:18	812:21	884:22,24	counsel's
connection	control 804:4	885:4,5,8,8,14	801:18 807:22
840:2	882:11	885:16,19,20	831:11,13
consequences	controversy	885:23,24	836:25 859:18
826:14 830:8	816:6 820:11	886:1,4,12,15	count 800:16
consider	conversation	888:16,17	country 819:1
801:13 806:18	810:14,19	889:16 890:3	county 800:23
consideration	828:10 839:14	890:10,11,23	831:21 852:12
916:11	841:12 847:23	890:24 891:20	907:3
consistent	847:24 857:15	892:2 908:1	couple 807:5
859:20	860:25 897:7	909:18,25	808:8 816:14
constitution	convey 836:2,4	910:1,13,14,16	816:15 832:1
817:4,6,7	cooperating	910:17,21,23	870:25 883:1
constitutional	807:23 810:8	910:24 911:8	914:23 917:7
868:1	corner 875:19	912:4,13 914:1	cour 901:15
contact 843:1	corporation	correctional	course 803:8
contacted	831:11,13	901:1 904:6	804:25 822:8
842:15 849:5	836:25	correctly 874:8	825:19 837:8
899:12 902:16	correct 818:23	875:3	838:12 847:6
	818:24 819:7,9		850:9 854:7

879:15 880:1	832:17 836:5,9	894:16,18,20	887:13 888:8,9
court 798:21,22	837:6,11,16,23	895:2,4,9,10,10	894:18,19
799:23 800:1,3	838:13 839:3	895:17 896:6	896:12,14,15
800:8,11,14	844:3 846:3,12	899:6,15,17,21	897:15,21,22
801:8,12,13,24	846:19 850:2	900:5,16,25	897:23,24
801:25 802:11	852:11 853:21	901:3,19,21	898:4,6,11,14
802:19 803:5,6	853:21,23	902:9,19 903:6	899:4,11 900:9
803:9,11,12,13	854:2,3,11,12	903:10,21	903:1,15
803:17,22,25	854:19 856:24	904:5,9,10	907:18 909:24
803:25 804:2,5	857:3,22	905:10,16,16	912:23
804:12 805:19	858:10 859:25	905:20,24	courts 799:22
805:24 806:8	860:2,8 862:25	906:1,14,20,22	800:2 802:1,14
806:12,12,23	862:25 863:3	907:5,16,25	803:10 804:8
807:15,16	863:17 864:16	908:13,25	805:2 806:6
808:8,12	864:17 865:1,3	909:23 912:22	807:16 808:7
811:13 812:8,8	865:11,15,19	913:4	813:9 816:21
812:18,22,25	865:21,25	court's 832:10	817:22 818:25
813:4,21 814:4	866:6,14,24	courthouse	826:23 832:2
814:5,12,14	867:2,8,12,13	807:2 842:8,25	855:10 858:11
815:6 817:8,21	867:17 868:7	847:14,16	867:10 871:22
817:23 818:4	868:12,15,22	862:9 864:1	872:4 882:13
818:22 819:1,5	869:7,10	886:4 891:14	882:15 910:7
819:6,8,11,12	871:18,23,25	891:16 894:14	covered 898:2
819:19,24	872:11,16,16	898:16 900:24	crackers
820:2,5,6,10,22	872:23,24	904:4 906:9	807:25
821:7,8,9,22	873:7,8 875:1	courthouses	credentials
823:2,10,12	876:7,19 879:7	906:11 910:6	902:21 904:9
824:2,4,4,5,6,7	879:8,9,11,17	courtroom	crime 802:14
824:12,22	879:21 881:7	801:7 803:19	criminal 823:6
825:12 826:11	881:12,18,20	816:17 822:18	824:19,19
827:2,3,6,7,11	882:7,10,16	824:8 828:24	827:14 831:17
828:19,20	883:16,19	838:16 843:24	837:2 839:3
829:2 831:4	884:1 886:20	850:18 857:17	852:18 853:18
832:3,6,13,17	887:23 888:11	862:9 886:25	853:19,20
		•	

	T		
894:12	custom 860:19	846:1 847:11	dedham 863:16
critical 826:15	862:22 879:18	856:13 861:21	deem 817:25
crompton	customs 803:10	862:23 868:13	defamation
794:22 920:5	cutting 855:15	883:21 892:6	826:3
920:22	d	895:16 896:16	defendant
cross 796:4,10	d 796:1 797:1	898:22 907:10	822:7 833:3
796:14,18,25	893:17	918:12 920:13	861:1 863:20
797:7 815:16	da 807:17	days 802:16,17	866:11 873:23
849:18 864:4	811:24 812:17	802:21 823:2	876:22
874:21 887:9	828:4 848:18	824:4 828:16	defendant's
909:13	852:12 854:17	872:9 917:7	874:2 876:12
crosswalk	da's 851:24	de 819:6,20	defendants
822:8	852:18,23,25	861:13,14	831:17 832:5
crying 808:15	daniel 798:20	dead 855:4	859:5 863:8,10
808:22	date 835:9	deal 855:15	863:21 872:22
csr 794:22	884:2 920:9	859:23	872:22
cuffs 873:13	dated 893:24	dealing 816:4	defense 807:18
culture 803:12	901:25 910:11	837:21 891:11	807:22 811:25
803:22 804:2	910:11	dealt 834:9	828:5 831:19
813:9 814:3	david 836:17	death 822:5	834:12 837:2
currently	837:5 845:21	debate 816:25	853:11,17,19
895:12 898:21	847:21 849:3	817:1	853:20 854:23
custodian	day 802:12	december	861:15,17,18
864:17	803:16 806:7	849:11	879:24 895:19
custodies	808:13,25	decide 806:25	895:23 896:1
862:24 863:11	809:12 812:13	decided 805:6	896:17 897:8
863:14,23,24	812:19,24	805:14	898:23 900:3
872:21 909:2,2	813:6,12,21	decision 898:9	definitely
custody 864:16	814:2,11 829:1	899:11	855:12,18
872:21,22	832:2,8,9,12,16	decisions	862:13,18
873:2,3,8 895:6	832:23 833:13	820:15,18	868:25
895:21 897:13		829:18	degree 878:15
899:3,8 901:5	837:15,17	decrease	917:1
904:13 909:9	838:3 844:4	806:21	
	l .		

demonstrates	designated	922.6 924.20	discrimination
826:10	designated 894:19	823:6 824:20 827:24 828:18	878:18
demonstrating	detail 854:14	832:1 834:20	discussed
823:15	897:9	835:22,23	826:12 855:10
denis 794:12	details 810:3	837:13 859:17	857:15
denotes 886:16	detained	874:14 912:20	discussion
department	818:17,18	dinner 863:22	846:12 912:19
831:25 836:25	833:19,21	866:1	discussions
911:22	detainer 838:24	direct 796:3,9	806:20 827:11
departments	839:5 894:24	796:13,17,21	disseminate
905:25 906:1	895:24 896:7,8	796:24 797:3,6	908:7
906:10	896:24 901:10	799:12 830:21	district 800:1,2
depend 814:3	904:17 909:6	851:12 870:2	800:8,14,22
depended	detectors	878:1 881:2	801:2,5,10,24
832:10	882:13	893:11 905:5	801:25 802:1
depending	detention 902:6	directions	802:11,19
829:16	determine	812:5,10	803:5,9,11,13
deportation	834:23 835:1	837:14	803:17 804:1
894:4 897:13	determined	directly 894:20	804:12 806:12
899:13 900:21	831:24	director 905:18	807:16,17
900:24 902:4,6	device 884:4,8	905:19 906:21	808:7 811:13
902:8,20 904:8	890:20	907:2 909:15	812:22,25
deportations	dhs 893:23	909:18	813:4,21 814:7
897:10	901:24	discipline	814:12 817:23
deputy 881:13	diagnosed	801:22	818:22 819:5,8
881:17	809:1	disclosure	819:11,19,24
describe 829:11	difference	809:8	820:5,22 827:2
857:1,9 882:4	812:24 829:10	disclosures	828:19,20
905:23	829:15	809:10	829:2 831:4
described	differences	discovery	837:6,11,23
854:21 865:23	896:23	858:19,19	838:13 839:3
description	different 802:1	discrepancies	846:18 853:21
797:13 890:23	803:9 804:7	897:1	854:11 860:2
	805:2 814:16		865:1 866:6,19
	000.2 010		000.1 000.0,19

	1		1
866:22,24	doing 805:23	downstairs	easier 883:2
867:8,10,12,13	805:25 821:19	818:9 846:13	eaten 818:7
867:17 868:15	824:8,10	846:16 847:7	education
868:22 869:10	832:25 837:12	873:10,12,14	852:6 870:18
871:23,25	855:8,12,17	873:17,19,22	878:13
872:16,23,24	857:25 859:14	900:11 903:17	effect 861:11
873:6,8 875:1	859:15,20	911:17 912:4	861:20
876:2,9 878:20	860:23 868:16	912:12	effectively
879:8,11,17	891:20 918:25	drawer 812:6	859:22
880:6 882:16	dolan 813:24	812:18,18,19	eight 852:13
883:16,19	domenico	drive 811:9	853:5
886:19 894:13	899:14 901:25	drop 863:4,8	eighth 863:18
894:14 895:18	902:4	dropped 826:8	either 807:13
902:9 903:8	dominican	dropping 863:6	845:17 847:10
907:5,16	894:10	drug 834:3	847:22 849:2
908:13 909:23	donuts 813:25	dumped 812:13	863:21 874:1
910:7	813:25	duty 832:2,8,9	898:14 913:2
division 801:2	door 848:4	832:20 834:17	914:25
801:5,21	863:11,23,25	844:2 894:18	electronic
dock 886:21,24	884:18 886:14	902:11	824:5 882:9
887:12,13,14	886:22 887:14	e	883:15 887:16
887:15,15	887:18 888:1,4	e 796:1 797:1	889:5
888:4,6,6,8,9	888:5,7,24	797:12 820:23	elevated 801:19
888:23 889:11	889:2,9,10,13	825:3 878:9.9	eliminated
docket 806:2	889:15,23	881:9 905:12	819:21
822:10 875:5	890:1,6,8 901:8	908:8,11 920:1	elizabeth 795:9
doctor's 858:25	904:16 909:3,4	920:1	796:8 798:12
document	door's 887:6	earlier 846:7	830:18,19
883:12,15	doors 887:11	848:21 890:2	831:1
890:8 892:5	887:12 888:9	896:16 913:11	emphatic 825:7
914:10	doubted 900:15	early 871:10	employed
documents	903:21	earning 869:3	836:20 881:11
842:20 843:20	douglas 797:2	007.3	881:12 905:13
891:12	893:10,15		

0.41.7	0066120007	• 1	700.10
employer 841:7	886:6,13 890:7	evidence	excuse 798:19
employment	897:12	801:23 892:14	excused 809:5
822:9	era 874:14	897:5 908:12	exhibit 797:14
emulvey 795:12	err 859:16,17	914:19 916:14	797:15 840:11
enacted 804:16	escorted 899:20	evidentiary	882:20,21,24
868:1	903:5	824:10	883:11,13
enclosure 845:3	especially	exactly 800:7	908:12 913:16
ended 801:17	873:25	824:21 826:1	914:7,9,10
802:14,23	esq 794:12	830:1,10,11	exhibits 797:13
engage 828:24	795:4,9,10,15	868:6 917:9	840:7
879:17	796:8,16 797:2	918:17,18	exit 885:19
engaged 915:14	870:1 893:10	exam 861:9	886:9 898:18
english 839:10	essentially	examination	exited 900:22
839:11,15	832:16	796:3,4,5,6,9	904:3
ensure 804:22	essex 863:5	796:10,13,14	expect 848:7
enter 853:8	907:3	796:17,18,21	915:1,9
entered 894:19	estimate 899:24	796:24,25	expenses
896:12 897:15	ethical 861:10	797:3,6,7,8,9	853:15
902:18	ethics 802:5	799:12 815:16	experience
entire 811:18	event 846:8	827:19 829:8	814:16 838:7
905:19	850:10,15	830:21 849:18	846:18 852:6
entirely 854:20	884:3 915:10	851:12 864:4	854:8 862:20
entirety 806:18	eventual	870:2 874:21	870:18 872:20
entitled 817:18	808:19	878:1 881:2	873:1,6 878:14
817:22 882:19	eventually	887:9 893:11	879:18 891:11
893:22	801:4 819:22	905:5 909:13	experiences
entrance 897:5	872:7	911:12 912:17	836:11
900:23 902:18	everybody	example 807:14	expires 920:24
904:4	812:20 829:3	811:6 818:2,12	explain 834:25
entries 885:1	855:25 861:25	822:2 890:25	854:14 879:21
886:8	909:10	examples 807:5	901:18
entry 882:12	everyone's	exchange	explained
884:6,20	909:4	917:13 918:6	810:21 822:14
885:11,21			897:3,10 898:8

001.010		000 7	a
901:3,18	914:16 915:5	902:5	first 800:17
902:21 904:10	916:16 918:14	feeling 899:25	801:6,17,19
explaining	919:5	900:2	803:20 811:22
896:4	facilities 906:9	felony 801:3	814:23 835:6
explanation	facing 844:17	853:6	843:13 844:9
887:4,5	fact 809:24	felt 805:18	849:9 853:4
expressed	810:16 817:12	855:25 856:7	855:2 857:9
898:19	817:24 834:23	859:13	860:15 862:7
extent 839:4	834:24 835:3	female 896:1	871:21 890:25
exterior 885:9	856:14	903:7	891:6,18 894:2
extra 917:7	facto 861:14	feud 816:12	894:22 907:17
f	factors 916:10	fields 871:5	908:17 918:7
f 820:23 920:1	fair 817:2	fighting 816:14	five 802:15
fabricant 795:3	829:3 836:13	figure 803:14	804:15 853:12
796:4,6,10,14	836:14 853:18	803:18 804:2	853:13
796:18,25	854:25 863:25	834:15 861:3	fix 810:15
797:7,9 798:7,8	familiar 882:20	file 843:21	flag 915:11
815:17,19	891:23	filed 858:18,18	flexible 871:15
827:17 829:6,9	family 816:12	876:6	floor 858:14
830:12 849:16	fanelli 820:23	filing 917:22	863:19
849:19 851:1	fanfare 804:17	filings 917:4	fly 815:10
864:5,7 869:12	804:19	filling 806:1	folks 832:7
874:20,22	far 866:16	final 917:17	follow 829:7
875:7 877:11	889:6	finally 856:15	883:3
880:12,15,20	fax 795:6,12	916:12	followed 898:7
881:15,21	841:3,5,6	find 811:12	913:1
883:8 887:10	february	888:25 908:20	following
887:25 889:19	868:10	fine 822:4	908:23
890:15 892:9	federal 817:6	883:6 917:23	foot 900:24
892:23 909:14	849:6 853:22	918:4,24	football 803:3
911:9 912:15	853:23	finished 846:4	footnote 826:8
912:18 913:5	federico 899:14	firm 800:20	826:9
913:24 914:6	900:21,25	831:10 853:12	foregoing 920:7
713.24 714.0	901:2,7,9,11,25		

_			
foreground	909:25 911:18	gerrity 796:23	863:12,15,20
889:3,13	912:3,6	880:23,24	873:10,13,19
foreperson	frowned	881:8	873:22 874:13
808:19	879:22	gestured 824:1	879:23 883:18
forget 833:10	fugitive 833:24	getting 813:25	884:7,20
forgot 814:23	834:6,13 835:2	817:12 837:13	889:17,24
formal 916:5	835:5 838:21	859:8 862:24	900:22 901:12
former 795:3	full 801:7 812:6	give 807:5	904:2,19 909:2
796:2,12,20	812:19 852:15	812:5,7,9 815:4	911:17,17
851:10 862:12	852:24 855:19	822:1 841:24	goal 856:8
877:19	856:4	842:3 856:4	god 807:2
forth 802:17	fully 893:7	859:3 880:2	815:12
910:15	fun 853:7	given 805:7	goes 817:22
fortunately	further 851:2	812:14 813:12	864:15 886:23
813:10	897:1 914:14	814:1,11	886:24 887:2
forward 821:12	914:17 919:8	916:14 917:5	888:5,7
found 857:20	g	giving 842:20	going 804:18
four 802:4	g 881:9 893:17	843:20	805:8,16
853:12 872:11	gallery 844:25	glass 815:11,13	807:23 808:3
franklin 795:10	845:1 913:19	go 803:4 806:13	808:24 813:16
frequency	gather 837:20	807:6,18	814:10 816:7,8
806:19	gathering	810:11,20	816:18 817:13
friday 876:4,6	804:5	811:20 815:2,3	818:15,17
friend 815:1	gear 898:2	815:25 817:10	827:1 828:17
863:18	902:11	817:13 818:5	829:21 843:25
friends 839:20	general 798:20	819:11 821:4	846:13 850:3
839:22	826:5 835:24	821:21 822:13	851:20 854:9
front 806:3	887:5 915:15	824:25 828:11	854:22 855:3
822:4 840:8	915:22	828:16 841:16	855:11,11
860:14 875:15	generally 838:1	846:24 855:4	858:17 860:6
875:16,22	generally 838.1 generis 804:6	855:18 856:16	862:14 863:17
898:7 899:16	805:13	856:24 858:9	864:17 865:24
900:23 902:16	003.13	858:23 859:2,6	873:16 874:6
904:4 907:17		859:18,19	874:11 875:24

879:18 883:4	gradual 819:21	905:12	harrington
883:23,25	graduate 831:9	half 802:12	841:14
884:21,25	graduated	854:4	harry 840:11
885:4,7,13,15	870:22	hand 812:15	hashed 828:14
893:25 894:1	grant 880:5	823:22 875:19	hashing 828:6
901:23 903:3,4	granted 838:1	884:7 920:13	hate 804:3
905:9 912:5	great 799:2	handle 832:4	haymarket
913:9,15 917:5	813:13 861:19	handles 831:20	812:11
917:16	865:16,16	happen 808:5	head 800:20
good 798:1	895:11 914:4	812:15 816:18	809:2 814:6
799:7,14,16	greater 897:9	824:6 845:24	819:3,18 823:5
808:1 809:5	green 811:11	848:12 877:4,6	827:9 876:20
810:21 815:18	812:10	890:4,13	hear 813:19
815:19 818:12	greeted 894:23	900:17,18	828:13 845:5
830:13,23	902:19 903:8	903:22,24	845:10,17
831:1 836:11	grew 879:8	913:3	850:24 881:16
849:20,21	grigio 815:12	happened	915:3
851:9,14,15	815:13	809:9 810:13	heard 804:10
862:4,17 864:6	ground 887:19	812:12 819:10	813:14 827:22
864:7 867:1	910:25 911:1	823:3,9 830:5,9	831:2,22
868:3 869:22	group 816:11	837:17 847:5	854:21 860:25
870:13 874:23	852:9 862:14	848:9,14 867:4	916:23
874:24 878:4,5	862:15 884:1	910:10	hearing 794:9
880:3,18,20	guess 817:15	happening	794:11 798:1,2
881:4,5 905:3,7	822:9 891:7,8,9	811:3 848:8	798:18 799:2,7
905:8 909:15	guilty 813:16	912:20,21	799:10 805:8
909:16 910:22	guy 812:9	happens 850:8	822:20 823:17
goodness	835:21 859:1	850:12,15	823:20,24
878:24	894:23	866:2,4,6 877:1	830:16,20
government	h	877:3,5 890:11	843:13 851:5,9
902:15	h 796:2 797:12	happy 862:16	852:5 855:20
grabbed	799:8 840:11	912:9	861:7,21
902:11	840:11 875:14	hard 849:23	869:18,22
			870:7,11,14,17

872:8 875:9	hey 862:16	hoopes 795:9	huge 863:10
877:15,22	hi 815:4	795:10 796:13	huh 860:18
878:14 880:17	high 803:4	796:17,21,24	874:9
880:25 881:10	807:21 869:2	797:3,6,8	human 856:1
881:24 882:3	higher 802:14	798:14,14,16	hundred 814:1
883:5,9 892:12	807:25	814:22 851:7	husband
892:21 893:3	hill 800:21,21	851:13 864:2	808:25
905:3,10 913:7	hilly 799:16	869:16,20	i
913:10,17,20	hingham 800:7	870:3 874:18	ice 838:13,22
914:4,7,13,18	hint 880:2,3,3	877:13 878:2,3	839:1 892:15
914:22,25	historically	880:10,22	893:21,23
915:7 916:9,15	805:17	881:3 882:25	896:3,8,18
916:19,22	history 797:14	887:7 892:11	897:13,16,25
917:11,20	882:19,21	892:14 893:1	898:5 899:6
918:1,5,11,22	894:13 897:10	893:12 904:22	901:24 909:5,6
918:24 919:2,7	hit 822:6,7	904:25 905:6	909:8
919:11	867:20 894:6	909:11 911:11	idea 861:19
heft 805:7	hold 861:1	911:13 912:14	862:17 863:22
height 896:23	862:2,2 864:10	913:6,9,18,22	910:22
held 820:24	911:22	914:2,11 919:6	ideally 834:14
835:2 844:20	home 811:20	919:9	834:14
848:17 864:20	811:20 812:1,1	hoping 914:24	identified
864:23 896:10	812:3 844:8	917:16	894:15 902:20
hello 814:25	871:15	horrible 866:10	904:8
help 896:3,18	honest 861:22	horse 855:4	identify 798:6
helpful 839:5,6	honor 841:19	hour 840:5	883:14
882:1	913:10	hours 854:17	identity 835:25
helping 832:3,6	honorable	894:15 896:1	841:9 861:4
859:15	795:3,8 796:2	896:10,13	896:20,22
herald 825:8,19	796:12,20	897:14 899:12	iii 795:4 796:20
825:21 826:2	799:8 851:10	housing 906:4	877:20 878:8
hereof 920:10	877:19	howard 795:4	illegal 897:5
hereunto 920:12	hooked 835:6	798:10	
	•		

illness 809:1	informant	intent 895:20	involves 817:11
imagine 811:14	810:9	interaction	involves 617.11
immigration	information	849:2	825:15
895:24 897:9	837:21 856:4	interest 859:2	ironically
implemented	856:10 881:13	interesting	808:17
804:11	881:17 884:3	811:9 861:7	issue 818:18
impression	896:7	interpreter	833:24 835:25
835:21 836:10	informed	839:13 841:22	837:22 838:22
865:7	900:13 903:2	846:24,24	839:1,5 916:25
incident 907:7	903:18 904:2	874:1	issued 820:22
include 882:16	904:20	intervals 839:7	902:15 908:14
907:4 916:8	infrastructure	interviewed	910:10
includes 882:10	881:14,19,22	849:5	issues 808:10
increasingly	881:23	intimate 810:2	832:15 916:24
857:13,18	initial 851:19	intimidated	issuing 918:13
900:19 903:25	917:20 918:7	897:25 898:5	itch 817:16
indicated	initially 857:16	introduced	\mathbf{j}
843:11	917:4	895:4 896:16	j 794:12
indicates	inn 815:5	intrusive	jacket 898:3
841:18 884:8,9	inquire 900:11	857:20	jails 864:18
885:2	903:16	invention 806:5	jams 802:9
indigent 831:25	inside 850:18	investigating	january 831:8
832:5	884:16 887:14	839:8 849:6	jason 894:22
individual	887:20 889:10	investigation	jd 870:20,22
805:14,15	901:2 904:7	841:8 893:23	878:17
822:5,7 835:2	installation	901:25 907:13	jellinek 836:17
835:23 856:9	882:8	907:20	837:5 842:12
861:24 873:7	installed	involve 818:19	842:17 843:11
884:15 911:16	867:12	involved	845:21 846:13
911:20	instance 798:24	826:14 831:17	847:11,21,25
individually	instances 811:5	861:25 874:1	848:6 849:3
813:18	instincts 806:25	909:10	850:6
individuals	insurance	involvement	
907:17 909:5,5	853:11	833:13	

[jim - kind] Page 20

	017.05.000.14	000 14 011 0	• 000.14
jim 813:24	817:25 820:14	909:14 911:9	juror 808:14
job 800:18	822:14 825:22	912:15,18	809:5 810:4
803:18,21	825:24 827:17	913:5,24 914:6	jury 808:17,19
853:5 857:25	827:21 828:6	914:12,16	819:8,14,20
859:14,15	828:10,12	915:5,14,23,25	justice 828:22
862:15 867:1	829:6,9,10	916:16 918:14	833:24 835:3,5
joined 868:12	830:12,17	919:5	848:1 856:8,19
joking 855:15	837:24 838:3,6	judges 804:7	858:1 861:24
jose 833:3	838:7,9 841:1	820:9,9,13	891:6,18
894:9	841:13 842:3	826:5,17	justices 801:12
joseph 795:8	845:20 849:16	827:12 842:5	justifications
798:13,15,17	849:19 851:1,7	854:9,18	819:17 860:22
799:5 814:19	851:8,8,21	855:25 859:5	juvenile 805:24
815:2 830:17	852:1,7 854:22	859:24 860:4	805:25 906:4
838:6,7 841:13	856:4,10,24	862:8 867:12	k
842:3 845:20	858:3,22 859:3	867:16,23	k 870:6 881:9
851:7 860:7	860:4,7 861:1	868:4 874:11	893:17 894:8
869:20 877:17	862:5,21,22	judgment	
880:22 892:1,7	864:5,6,7 865:3	806:25 809:12	keating 795:15 798:16
904:25 914:12	869:12,19,20	822:11 826:6,8	keep 905:9
915:14,23,25	874:15,20,22	judicial 794:2	_
joseph's 861:1	875:7 876:8,19	795:2,3 798:3,9	key 887:16
judge 796:4,6	877:11,17,18	798:11 801:8	889:9,14
796:10,14,18	878:6,11	801:13 915:17	891:25
796:25 797:7,9	879:16 880:12	judith 795:3	keycard 886:21
798:7,13,15,17	880:15,20,21	798:7	887:1
799:5,18	880:22 881:15	july 802:18	keys 887:17
805:14 806:13	881:21 883:8	june 794:13	khoury 796:16
807:6,20	887:10,25	799:21 917:18	kind 802:22
808:13 810:14	889:19 890:15	jure 861:13	803:14,23
813:22 814:6	891:2,3 892:1,1	jurgens 836:7	805:5,5,19
814:19 815:2	892:5,7,9,23	845:21 847:10	808:18 809:13
		,	VIII+I+ VI/I+V
815:15.17.18		847:20 849:2	810:15 814:8
815:15,17,18 815:19,24,25	897:20,24 898:4 904:25	847:20 849:2	814:21 817:11

[kind - level] Page 21

823:15,21	839:4,16	893:17 920:5	855:24 859:5
824:11 828:6	843:24,25,25	920:22	859:12,13,14
859:7 861:9,14	844:7,13 845:8	labeled 884:6	lead 807:23
kindly 894:2	845:12,14	land 906:4	leads 886:23
kinds 818:12	846:2 848:1,3	language	learn 853:10
859:7 891:12	848:13 855:16	825:23	907:15 912:21
klein 891:3	856:5,9,13,17	lastly 862:20	learned 808:25
892:1	857:25 858:2,4	late 866:1	815:7,8 826:17
klein's 891:2	858:19 859:4,6	869:10 874:7	909:22 910:4,5
892:5	859:12 862:2	901:14	leave 842:8
knew 801:15	862:11 863:22	laughing	878:21 897:21
813:12 814:9	866:16 883:3	855:15 856:16	897:21,23
816:1 830:9	887:12,16	law 800:19,20	898:4 900:14
836:8,9,20	891:2,3,15	800:20 802:4	903:20 916:19
838:24 871:4	892:17 898:9	817:7 818:21	916:20
896:21	913:1,2,4 915:3	831:9 852:8,9	leaves 841:1,12
know 804:3	917:9 919:1	852:22,23	leaving 847:14
805:16 807:1,1	knowing 891:8	854:17,20	lechmere
807:3,8 808:16	901:9 904:16	866:18 878:17	811:12
809:18,20	knowledge	915:15,22	left 799:23
811:1,3,11	887:24	lawyer 831:8	800:18,21
812:1 813:4,22	known 810:10	832:12,18	801:10 805:19
814:9,19,20,20	822:3 894:12	834:12,17	842:18 853:8
815:3,10,11	knows 815:12	841:13 852:6	854:10 873:17
817:10,14,16	859:5 891:22	853:15 868:19	873:24 878:19
817:18,22	koury 869:21	870:24 878:11	884:7 898:6
818:2,6,8	870:1,4 874:23	lawyers 801:16	899:16 913:12
820:17,21	kramer 815:1	808:11,22	913:13,19
828:20,21	l	809:15,16,18	legal 800:18
832:4,13	1 794:22 820:23	810:7,17,25,25	878:25
834:16,17,19	820:23 851:18	811:7,23	lesson 826:16
836:16,19	851:19,19	821:22,25	level 886:23
			1 007 10
837:2,13,14 838:10,18,22	870:6,6 878:9	822:3,4,12 852:16 854:18	887:19

[levels - lunch] Page 22

levels 884:20	litigation	904:12	look 840:10
lhbmlegal.com	801:20 826:13	local 891:15	859:1 872:15
795:12,13	little 803:2	911:5	875:14,14,20
libby 795:9	805:17 809:9	located 902:9	888:14,16
librarian	827:1 831:6	location 884:1	890:19,19
800:20	834:21 837:24	890:23 891:18	891:24 900:3
license 871:17	842:1 853:22	891:22 902:17	looked 822:12
light 809:11	883:2 888:25	locations	835:20 855:13
lightning 854:1	905:22 915:6	891:17	looking 801:16
liked 867:15,16	live 858:6	lock 889:9,14	822:10 835:23
likely 805:18	lives 811:18	lockup 846:16	835:23 844:25
likewise 805:12	living 853:1	846:19,25	849:9 875:18
806:16 815:5	869:4	863:8 884:11	883:14 884:6
limitations	llm 870:21	884:14,16,22	889:1,20
807:3	871:1	885:2,7,10,12	902:23 917:1
limited 834:18	lobbies 854:18	885:19,22	lord 859:4
line 811:11	858:15	886:3,6,9,10,16	lot 807:11
812:10 840:25	lobby 820:6,19	886:21,22,23	809:17 823:2
841:16 875:25	820:24 821:3,6	887:3,20 888:2	826:22 827:22
883:24 891:1	821:16,19	888:5 889:25	834:16 852:13
891:24 894:2	825:4,14,23	890:1 895:7	853:17,18
lines 882:24	826:10,15,19	898:15 899:3,7	858:10,15
883:2	827:13,22	899:20 900:14	865:10,18
lisa 794:22	828:3,5,10,15	900:15,20,22	867:6 871:6
920:5,22	829:11,19	903:5,19,20	891:13,17
list 890:19	854:18,20,24	904:1,3 907:24	lots 804:7 809:9
906:2	855:8,12,15	909:7,8	858:21 862:12
listen 858:25	857:5,9,12,13	long 799:20	877:4
literally 858:5	857:18 862:13	800:2 854:3	lowell 871:23
litigant 807:13	879:23 891:6	871:11 879:2	871:25 874:6
litigants 812:23	898:8,17	879:10 900:7	lucky 852:14
litigating	899:16,21	903:12 917:24	866:20
801:22	900:7 901:5	longer 800:10	lunch 818:5
	903:6,13	800:10 843:2	840:5 842:18

842:22 850:1	mails 908:8,11	march 894:8	mcpherson
858:25 863:11	main 898:18	mark 796:23	797:5 905:1,4
895:25	902:18	880:24 881:8	905:12 909:15
lunchtime	maintenance	883:3	mean 804:4
863:9 895:16	882:8	marked 883:12	805:4,6 806:3,4
lunn 908:2,5	make 809:6,7	901:23 914:10	807:11 812:12
lynn 802:14,15	809:13 818:10	married 816:13	812:20 817:17
802:16 803:2	821:15 822:10	871:14	821:18 832:10
m	823:25 832:2	mass 878:17	833:11 834:14
m 795:8,10	848:21 863:9	massachusetts	834:25 835:16
881:9 905:12	873:22 874:4	794:1,18 795:5	836:11,24
ma 794:22	883:2,19 910:7	795:11,17	837:12,15
ma'am 840:20	915:10,19	852:17 867:21	844:5 845:14
macgregor	916:13,21	881:12,18	847:3 854:10
884:9,21 885:3	makes 917:15	899:6 902:11	855:7 856:8
885:3,18,25	making 809:10	905:16 915:15	857:5 861:11
886:7,11	824:13 832:11	915:22	861:16 862:1
machine	852:25 871:6	match 835:8,8	863:22 884:13
805:22	918:20	897:3	887:5 890:8
machines	malden 803:5,5	matter 807:8	892:4
882:12	818:3	809:12 810:1	meaning
macleod 796:2	male 896:15	812:17 816:5	882:11
799:6,8,17	males 898:22	817:11 818:1	means 882:5
815:15,18,24	man 835:23	866:9 876:1	meant 805:5
827:21 829:10	manage 813:12	898:20 920:9	840:22 856:18
made 807:1	882:6	matters 805:23	media 817:2,2
813:25 857:17	management	817:24 826:11	825:20
858:3,4 895:19	812:24 813:3	832:4 914:24	medical 842:1
900:17 903:23	858:16	mcdonald's	842:22
905:24 906:1	mandatory	828:22	medina 833:3
907:19 912:20	867:23	mcinerney	833:14 835:14
magistrate	manual 907:25	794:12	837:8 839:8,19
895:18	909:1	mcjustice	840:4,23
		828:21	842:10 843:2

[medina - n] Page 24

843:16 844:10	metal 882:13	misspoke 888:5	895:3 905:3,7,8
844:13,23	mic 915:2	mistaken	909:15,16
846:5,14	michael 795:15	896:20	914:21
847:23 848:3	797:5 798:16	mitigating	mother 806:4
849:23 861:5,6	905:1,4,12	916:10	motion 822:11
894:9	microphone	mittimus	motions 858:17
medina's	870:8 881:25	864:13,15	move 855:18
841:23	mid 874:7	mixed 816:14	867:1 870:11
meehan 795:15	middle 851:19	moment 880:5	881:25
meet 803:24	910:25 911:1	monday 833:16	moved 820:2
meeting 815:8	middlesex	914:21 919:13	moving 801:13
898:24	800:23 831:18	money 812:17	865:17
meetings 908:9	831:21 852:12	871:6	multiple 837:12
908:10,10	863:4 878:20	montgomery	860:4 901:19
member 831:18	907:2	814:24	mulvey 795:9,9
memo 908:11	mind 807:7	months 800:19	796:3,5,9
memorandum	824:23 829:4	804:16	798:12,12
892:15 893:21	918:15	morning 798:1	799:4,5,13
893:23 901:24	minuscript	798:23 799:7	815:14 827:20
memory 832:22	875:20	799:14,16	829:5 830:14
833:5,7 834:4	minute 809:23	811:10,17	830:17,22
835:15 837:7	827:24 868:16	815:18,19	849:14 851:3
844:12,16	880:13 900:9	818:8 824:8	916:25 917:23
845:14,25	903:14	830:23 831:1	918:4
848:2 872:18	minutes 899:15	833:16 837:6	murder 853:4,6
875:6	899:24 900:12	838:12,17	murphy 825:8
mentally	903:2,7,18	839:7 849:20	825:10,23
860:22	misconduct	849:21 851:9	n
mentioned	915:14	851:14,15	n 795:9 796:1
811:7	misdemeanor	852:18,19	797:1 820:23
message 829:19	834:3	864:6,7 869:22	825:3 870:6
met 900:7	missed 866:1	874:23,24	878:9 905:12
903:13	missing 906:5	878:4,5 880:19	
		880:20 881:4,5	

[name - occur] Page 25

name 798:20	needing 842:1	nice 864:8	number 794:4
799:14,16	864:10	night 863:19	798:4 802:1
830:24 831:1	needs 809:3	nod 823:5	808:6 856:5
835:9 851:16	832:11 864:20	nods 819:3,18	873:18 889:20
851:17,18,19	neff 795:4	827:9 876:20	913:21,23
870:4 875:2	798:10,10	noe 908:19	915:16
878:6 881:6	889:22	910:10,16	numbers
884:4 890:22	neighborhood	noe's 910:12	875:18
893:13,17	879:9	noise 845:7	0
894:22 901:6	never 802:11	non 824:10	o 825:3 851:18
904:13 905:11	810:5 811:18	831:20	870:6,6 893:17
905:11	812:14 813:4,5	noon 863:3	893:17,17,22
named 802:10	813:12 829:23	norfolk 863:5	905:12
833:3 836:16	861:16 864:25	normally 844:3	objection 880:6
841:13 876:3,8	891:15 899:16	887:5	883:7,8 914:5,6
names 891:19	new 803:13	northeastern	observations
naptime 806:9	838:9 867:8	831:9 852:8,9	873:5 883:19
nature 806:22	newton 813:5,6	878:16	observe 874:10
822:24 856:1	831:3 832:21	nosy 816:10	886:20
892:18	837:6,15	note 820:24	observed 895:2
near 890:25	838:13 846:18	914:23 916:1	obstructed
895:5 904:3	866:6 872:6,10	noted 898:1	848:1
necessarily	872:12,16,23	899:5	obvious 807:11
809:20 810:11	872:24 873:6,8	notes 920:8	obviously 805:8
816:9 828:24	875:1 882:16	notice 856:13	806:24 808:1
necessity 806:4	883:16,19	876:17	834:17
need 809:6	886:19 894:7	noticed 900:25	occasion
826:10 828:13	894:10,13,21	902:25 904:5	806:14 854:8
844:7 858:4,17	894:21 902:9	november	occasions
864:22 875:10	902:10 907:5	800:3 804:10	809:15 860:10
needed 814:10	907:16 908:13	908:5	occur 861:22
832:13,17	909:23 911:3	novo 819:6,20	861:25 862:3
834:12 844:11	911:15	nullity 861:13	
846:23 847:5		861:13,14	

occurred	895:5,9,12	oh 802:2	opinion 907:21
826:15 846:6	898:20 899:1	806:17 808:20	opportunity
offer 913:15	899:14,21	809:17 810:16	856:19 899:9
office 801:3,6	900:5,21,24	813:1,5 815:2	opposed 828:19
801:11,14,14	901:1,3,11,15	858:7 860:1	890:22
801:18 803:15	901:25 902:4,6	862:7 863:2	order 803:19
824:13 831:11	902:8,19,21,22	865:14 866:3,5	883:20,21
831:14 837:1	903:6,11 904:6	875:17 890:17	902:12
851:25 856:6	904:8,9,10,13	910:11	ordinary
860:12 866:22	904:18 905:3	okay 802:8	845:24
878:20,22	905:10 907:25	808:9 818:21	originally
891:2,5 892:4	913:7,10,17,20	838:21 840:25	876:15
897:12 904:21	914:4,7,13,18	841:8,11	oscar 894:8
officer 794:11	915:7 916:19	842:22 844:9	ought 822:10
798:1,18 799:2	917:11 918:1,5	844:19 848:24	ouis 868:23,24
799:7,10	918:24 919:7	852:8 869:12	outside 847:15
803:25 812:8,9	919:11	874:15 875:13	847:17 869:21
814:5 818:4	officer's 908:25	876:10,19	899:10 901:1
822:20 823:17	officers 808:12	882:23 887:7	902:25 904:6
823:20,24	812:18 862:25	889:1,10 891:9	905:1
830:16,20	863:17 865:25	906:6 911:9	overhearing
851:5,9 852:5	892:16 894:18	919:11	847:22
869:18,22	895:10,17	old 816:13,13	overlap 836:24
870:7,11,14,17	899:7 900:16	858:5	overlapping
872:8 875:9	901:1,6 903:21	once 807:4	836:22
877:15,22	904:6 906:15	847:7 863:12	overnight
878:14 880:17	906:23 910:20	902:24	811:16 861:2
880:25 881:10	911:3 912:22	ones 813:11	overwrought
881:13,18,24	official 902:22	849:9	808:14,21
882:3 883:5,9	officially	open 846:12	own 803:12
892:12,21	918:11 919:3	857:22 884:18	853:14 879:1
893:3,22,24	officials 798:23	opened 879:1	
894:5,16,17,20	oftentimes	opening 886:14	
894:21,22	805:21		

[p - planning] Page 27

	noutr 907.12	noonloig 914.1	917:12
p	party 807:13	people's 814:1	
p 901:24	pass 901:20	perez 833:3,14 835:14 837:8	persuaded
905:12	passed 852:15		917:12
p.c. 795:15	852:23 856:15	838:20 839:19	pertained
p.m. 902:4,14	902:24	840:4,23 841:2	805:10
page 796:2	passing 814:21	842:10 843:2	petition 917:3
797:2,13,15	past 862:6,10	844:10 846:5	phone 834:19
840:13 841:16	pause 875:12	846:14 847:23	902:5,17
875:21 883:24	880:14 904:24	848:3 849:23	phones 834:20
884:25 889:2,2	pausing 860:17	861:5,6 894:9	photo 835:17
891:1 915:12	860:20	894:24	835:17,19
pages 797:14	pegeuro 894:8	perez's 839:8	913:11
840:14,15	peguero 895:20	843:16 844:23	photograph
paper 862:2	904:14	perfect 828:24	797:15 888:1
864:10	pena 838:18	period 802:25	889:20 913:19
paperwork	pennsylvania	813:7 819:5	physical 840:15
894:25	833:25 848:15	831:12 879:2	887:17 895:23
park 910:20	861:12 896:5	879:10 918:7	physically
parked 902:15	people 803:16	permission	833:12 847:17
parked 502.13	807:12 808:18	892:16	pick 866:11
830:2 841:8	809:10 812:13	permitted	picked 863:20
844:2 845:18	813:16,20	895:17	picture 888:23
892:17	814:13 816:11	person 801:21	888:24
particular	816:17,24	818:5,6 822:8	pineda 875:3
816:5 817:12	821:19 828:21	834:15,23,24	876:1,16
817:17 863:13	829:2 831:24	835:3,6,11	pinot 815:12,13
874:15 915:20	832:14 853:3	864:15 875:2	place 835:6
	855:3,4,7,14,16	891:21,25	844:22 865:16
particularly	855:25 856:3	896:5 912:23	865:18 873:21
810:7 816:7	861:3 862:18	912:24	887:21 908:24
867:15	862:18 873:1	personnel	places 890:22
parties 816:14	874:5 907:24	901:19,21	plain 898:1
parts 845:12	909:24 910:18	perspective	planning 915:1
	910:23	834:11 850:19	915:9

playing 803:3	politics 856:6	practitioner	private 809:7
plea 813:15	poop 856:20	831:15	809:10 831:12
830:2	popular 855:6	practitioners	831:15 842:16
plead 813:16	855:23	867:14	853:8 854:7
please 798:5	position 905:17	precautions	860:2 862:21
799:11,15	906:20 919:2	909:9	868:25 876:4
806:6 830:25	possession	preface 888:3	879:1,3
840:11 870:5	894:11 895:23	888:11	privately
875:20 877:23	possible 870:9	prefer 822:16	816:15
878:13 879:21	882:1 899:1	918:23,25	pro 824:14
880:25 893:14	908:11	presence	859:2,6
893:16 915:19	possibly 856:11	800:10 895:20	probably 807:3
plus 800:17	post 914:21,25	897:5,25	810:2 813:13
point 842:12	916:8,22	present 860:16	818:6 823:8
845:15 848:3	917:20 918:22	896:15	832:24 837:21
848:11 852:16	potentially	presented	843:9 844:8
853:24 861:12	887:6	884:17 897:11	849:11 856:15
876:13 883:23	practice 820:19	916:15	862:4 866:4,8
885:13 892:15	820:25 827:8	presenting	867:9 877:3
896:22 906:13	831:12,15	801:22	probate 906:4
914:20	837:11 853:1,3	press 879:24	probation
pointed 898:20	853:9,16 854:7	pretty 808:4	803:15,20,21
police 836:24	854:8 855:24	843:9 847:2	814:6,6 831:25
894:7,11,20,21	860:3,20	prevent 826:18	911:23
894:21 910:19	862:22 869:1,3	previous	problem 855:9
911:3	874:10 879:1,3	897:10	859:22 861:10
policies 913:1	880:7 907:16	previously	910:20
policy 899:6	909:23 910:4	897:4	problems
907:23 908:3,5	practices	prior 905:22	826:18 856:6
908:13,15,15	803:10 852:20	prisoner	procedure
908:17,20,21	practicing	911:16	827:15
908:22,24,25	818:21 866:18	privacy 808:10	proceeded
910:11 911:1,2	867:7	856:5	896:22 902:12
911:6,7,14			

proceeding	911:24,24	pushing 862:24	rail 811:10
805:3,9,9,11	912:2,3,11,22	put 802:13	ran 825:19,21
819:15 824:10	proposal 861:1	808:2 809:23	843:10 847:15
846:9 860:17	propped 887:6	810:6,23 812:7	rape 825:15
860:21 917:18	890:9	818:11 823:21	853:6
919:14	prosecution	861:2 880:1	rarity 877:9
proceedings	897:11	908:24	rather 809:11
804:24 806:20	prosecutor	putting 918:21	ray 882:12
833:6 844:3	836:13,15	q	read 820:14
895:3 920:8	862:20	_	875:10 892:17
process 835:18	provide 859:10	question	894:2 896:22
865:23 912:19	providence	798:24 829:4 830:11 860:17	902:1
professional	870:20		reader 884:17
800:13 802:4	provides 817:7	873:25 874:3	884:17 889:5
831:7 920:6,23	providing	888:3 890:17	reading 892:20
profit 831:20	893:6	questions	893:6 894:4
progression	provision	835:10 874:3	902:3
854:16 855:23	915:21 916:2	880:11,16	ready 863:14
857:1	918:18	909:12 917:10	865:24
prohibited	provisions	quick 822:1	real 856:2,20
827:13	916:5	quickly 867:4	858:1,1
promised	public 816:6,6	quit 853:2	realized 871:1
913:14	816:10,21,23	quite 853:6 887:22	really 803:24
promotion	817:1,8,12,14		804:6,21
909:20	817:16,21	quote 832:2 900:2	805:19 811:1,9
pronouncing	855:9,19,20		813:4 814:4
875:3	857:24 858:3	r	832:10 856:18
proper 907:22	892:18	r 825:3 851:18	859:2,12,13
907:23 910:2	pulled 837:13	870:6 881:9,9,9	865:13,13
910:13	purpose 815:20	893:17 905:12	917:9
properly 862:4	837:18	920:1	rear 904:5
863:24	push 863:10	race 816:14	reason 802:13
property	pushed 855:10	radio 882:14	859:10 879:25
873:14 911:16			880:1

reasonable	recommendat	879:23 880:2,4	915:12 919:1
836:13	918:20	880:4 881:6	regarding
reasons 806:23	record 798:6	892:17,18	886:6 887:2
807:10 808:1,1	805:15 806:1	893:14,20	region 907:4
810:22 855:5,9	806:13,20	914:3	regional 906:21
856:5,5,6	807:6,19 808:2	record's 823:25	907:2 909:17
859:20 860:23	808:23 809:4,4	recorded	registered
873:18 877:4	809:7,13,16,24	804:24,24	920:5,23
895:12 899:2	810:6,12,14,19	826:12 862:15	reiterated
recall 833:18	810:23 811:23	recorder 806:2	825:2
833:21,22,23	816:1,20	recording 818:22 824:5	relatively 838:9 release 895:21
833:23 834:1,2	817:10,13 819:2,17 820:7	827:8 867:8	907:23 910:18
835:16,16 838:3,12,15,16	·	recross 796:6	910:23 911:4
, , ,	820:19,25	797:9 829:8	
846:4,10,12	821:4,15,21 822:13,22	912:17	911:19,25 released 818:16
847:13,19,20	,	redirect 796:5	818:16 846:9
847:22,24 849:1	823:3,18 824:2 824:14,25	797:8 827:19	873:2,7,9,15
received 870:19	825:15,23	911:12	898:13,13,15
883:10 902:5	827:14 828:11	refer 855:3	899:18 900:1
914:8	828:16 829:12	891:5,17	901:8,17 903:3
recently 888:16	829:21 830:9	referenced	904:15 909:4
recess 895:4	830:10,24	913:11	904.13 909.4
recessed 850:2	845:13,15,18	referred 818:25	releasing
919:12	851:17 854:9	819:1 863:7	907:17
recollection	854:15 855:5	890:2	relevant 916:10
835:22,24	855:11 856:3	referring	relieved 844:1
841:5	856:17,17,24	824:11 887:18	rely 814:13
recommend	857:4,14,16,18	refers 892:5	remain 863:1
825:4	857:23 858:24	reflect 823:18	remains 914:20
recommendat	859:19,19	refreshes 875:6	remember
828:7 918:13	860:6,11	refused 899:8	802:20 803:16
919:4	874:11,16	regard 872:21	804:21,25
)1). T	878:7 879:19	873:6 886:8,8	806:6 808:6,12
	070.7 077.17	075.0 000.0,0	000.0 000.0,12

			1
808:17 811:3	reporters	respect 838:25	900:12 903:18
816:11 821:1,6	823:12 824:5	respond 917:8	904:20
825:5,8,10,11	represent	917:24	reunion 852:9
825:13,18	831:24 832:14	responded	revised 908:13
826:3,6,9,20,23	833:3 840:4,21	894:5 899:14	revision 827:14
827:15 828:4	842:9 844:9	901:11 904:18	ri 794:22
833:16 834:6	849:22 850:4	respondent	richard 893:24
837:5 839:9,10	875:2 876:16	919:10	894:5 902:8
839:16,17,20	represented	response 918:3	richmond
839:22,24	836:1 843:4	responses	795:8
841:25 842:17	representing	917:22 918:9	right 800:7
842:19,20,21	831:17 832:7	responsible	801:9 802:6
843:7,9,10,18	836:6 837:8	881:19 882:7	804:14 816:8
843:20 844:14	838:25 839:2	rest 891:24	816:21,22
846:6 847:7,10	843:2 848:24	906:23	817:7,14,23
847:14,25	859:21	restraining	819:2,17
852:21	republic 894:10	803:19	821:11,18
remove 873:12	request 876:7	retained 842:14	823:4,8,11,13
removed 897:4	880:5 883:18	843:12 876:23	823:22,23
rendered	requested	876:23	824:16 827:23
898:10	876:5	retire 879:13	829:14 832:11
repair 882:9	requesting	retired 799:18	833:25 835:17
replaced	902:7	799:20,21	835:21 838:21
876:24	require 859:10	851:8 852:3	840:19 844:6
report 797:14	required	854:4 856:13	844:16,17
882:19 893:21	813:23 880:3	865:5 877:17	849:24 850:1,8
918:13 919:4	901:19	880:8 891:21	850:15 852:15
reported	requiring	retirement	852:22 854:10
900:25	860:21	867:23	856:16 857:12
reporter 824:2	reservations	retrieve 873:14	859:14,15
824:7,12 881:7	896:4	return 911:23	861:21 864:13
905:10 920:6	resistance	returned	864:18,22,23
920:23	855:22	831:14 898:10	865:5,13 866:2
		898:12 899:4	866:12 867:9

[right - see] Page 32

869:21 874:4	running 806:2	844:17 850:17	second 837:9
875:19 876:13	861:17	850:18 858:9	837:18 859:3
877:11 890:15	rush 813:23	858:13 864:25	876:9 891:1
892:9,24	S	894:19 896:13	seconds 886:10
897:18 910:20	s 796:12 797:12	sausage 858:2,4	section 832:6
913:12 917:8	825:3 851:10	saying 840:20	915:16,23
rights 813:18	851:19 870:6	845:10 847:25	sections 917:6
ring 875:4	878:9 893:17	854:22 857:4	secure 894:6
risk 829:22	893:17 905:12	858:22,23	secured 842:16
river 852:13	safest 911:3	861:19 877:8	security 864:16
role 888:20	safety 807:12	says 830:11	881:14,19,21
room 802:23	807:12 895:12	840:24 841:1,4	882:9 888:21
822:11	898:20 899:2	841:11 858:3	894:15,16
roost 803:14	909:9	875:24,25	898:8 899:21
ropes 814:14	salem 802:15	876:3,8,10,11	901:20 902:19
roughly 872:1	802:17 803:2	876:15,19	902:25 905:18
872:2 906:14	sallyport	890:20 891:24	905:19 906:21
round 813:15	818:11 884:11	sc 894:6	see 807:20
routine 808:4,5	884:14,19,22	schedule	809:11 815:2,2
847:2	885:2,6,9,12,22	871:16 917:16	816:17 844:22
rpr 794:22	886:2,10,17	school 800:19	845:20 855:17
rule 804:11,20	900:22 904:3	803:4 831:9	858:1 861:10
817:23 827:2,7	911:4,19	852:8,23	864:8 873:16
827:14 856:15	sanctions	878:17	875:5,23,24
915:20 916:2,4	916:13 918:21	schools 802:4	876:18 877:8
918:18	sandwich	science 878:15	882:12 885:18
rules 803:14	818:11	scoop 856:2	885:19,21
915:16 917:6	sarcastically	scoot 840:25	886:2 887:1
rumors 815:10	901:7 904:15	sddo 902:7	888:25 889:7,8
run 803:9	sat 800:1 802:2	se 824:14 859:2	889:9,12,23
804:7 805:22	802:10,11	859:6	890:5,22 891:2
853:2,2 878:22	803:5 808:7	seated 799:11	895:22 897:19
912:23	813:5,5 844:16	877:23 881:1	899:12 900:13
	012.2,2 011.110		903:19 908:17

[see - sjc] Page 33

[sjc's - step] Page 34

sjc's 862:16	sounds 832:24	spend 832:16	state 817:6
slightly 890:6	855:6 866:10	839:7	834:2 835:4
small 831:10	883:5 917:1	spent 800:5	851:16 861:2
sneaking	space 891:13	802:15 854:17	878:6 881:6
812:17	spanish 839:16	865:10,18	893:13 895:21
snookered	speak 838:17	spoke 814:23	906:12
810:24 811:2	839:11,25	839:10 897:8	stated 894:17
solemnly 893:5	846:14 871:6	909:17	896:3,18
solo 831:15	873:17 898:12	spot 861:10	897:16,17,23
somebody	915:8	square 812:12	898:12,18
808:11 810:9	speaking 807:9	stab 893:4	899:25 900:8
810:16 832:17	839:24 841:17	staff 862:25	900:21 901:6
836:8 864:11	845:20 846:19	864:1 885:19	903:1,4,13
876:22	special 798:8	891:22 908:7	904:13
somebody's	804:11 815:20	stage 918:20,20	statement
818:15,17	914:14 915:18	stairs 886:24	900:17 903:23
somewhat	specific 832:23	887:2,20	statute 807:2
805:13 834:18	834:4 835:15	889:15 898:17	918:19
834:22 836:23	847:24 915:19	stairway	statutory
son 803:3	915:21 916:2,4	887:14,15	915:21 916:2,5
soon 863:25	specifically	888:6,7 889:25	stay 800:9
898:9	843:19 918:17	890:1	805:23 832:13
sorry 801:1	specificity	stairwell	844:3,10,12
802:2 815:21	917:2	888:13	866:1 870:8
823:19 847:6	specifics 917:25	standing	871:15
858:7 866:10	specified 920:9	884:16	stayed 800:8
874:13 881:15	speculate	start 863:12	801:23 843:24
881:17 889:23	850:12	866:23 884:2,2	844:1 871:16
890:18 899:3	spell 851:16	918:12 919:3	878:18
906:17	870:5 878:7	started 810:17	stenographic
sort 814:13	881:7 893:16	818:21 825:20	920:8
820:14 846:1,8	905:11 918:16	852:16 866:18	step 868:15
861:12 869:2	spelled 878:9	866:23 867:7	904:23
	881:8	870:24	

[stephen - t] Page 35

stanhan 002:7	903:19 904:1	summit 814:24	845:8 847:1
stephen 902:7			
stereotypes	904:11,14	superior 800:3	850:16 867:11
850:13	subject's	800:11 803:6	870:6,10
sticking 890:6	895:14 896:17	806:8,12,23	873:22 874:4
stint 871:21	897:9 898:23	807:16 808:8	906:3 912:25
stints 800:2	900:3 903:9	812:25 819:6	915:2,11
stop 863:13	subjects 903:17	819:12 820:2,6	916:13,21
866:8	subsections	820:10 821:7,8	surprise 848:20
stopped 802:7	915:21	821:9,22 823:2	surprising
stopping	substance	823:10 824:4,6	877:5,7
851:20 863:16	818:14,19	824:22 825:12	suspect 900:20
story 824:20	821:23 824:24	827:3,6,7,11	suspicious
street 795:4,10	substantial	852:11 853:21	900:20 904:1
795:16 860:13	802:25	854:2,3,12,19	swear 893:5
902:10	substantive	856:24 857:3	swept 909:6
strong 814:5	822:23 828:9	858:10 859:25	swipes 882:11
strongly 862:14	suddenly	860:8 865:3,11	switched
struck 835:16	901:15	865:15,19	871:14
854:1	sued 826:2	867:2 868:7,12	sworn 799:9
stuff 815:10	suffolk 860:14	869:7 872:7	830:19 851:11
818:10 823:3	870:20	supervisor	870:1 877:21
853:6 855:1,9	suggest 883:4	908:6	880:24 892:24
855:12 857:6	sui 804:6	supervisory	892:25 893:2
858:7,19 859:7	805:13	902:6 907:1	893:10 905:4
subject 807:8	suite 795:5,16	supposed	symbiosis
817:11 819:6	sullivan 798:19	802:24	814:5
835:11 894:7	798:20	supreme 801:8	system 819:21
894:12 895:6	summary	801:13 817:21	882:11 887:21
896:6,8,24	822:11	906:5,5	systems 882:9
898:13,23	summation	sure 813:1	888:21
899:2,18,19	916:8	818:10 820:16	t
900:1,5,8,11,13	summations	821:15 823:25	
901:4,6,16	914:21,25	835:1 837:25	t 797:12 881:9
903:3,4,11,14	916:22 919:13	838:2 843:17	920:1,1

[tab - three] Page 36

tab 875:14	808:15 810:6	851:5 854:6	think 803:1
tabbed 840:7	812:11 813:2	864:2 869:13	805:12 806:22
take 800:12	813:17 816:15	869:16,18	806:24 807:10
811:10 812:9	830:24 831:6	870:14 874:18	807:11,24
812:11 840:10	834:11 840:1	874:19 877:12	810:17,24,25
844:22 854:23	843:1 845:16	877:13,13,15	814:16 817:15
875:10,14	872:8 881:10	877:22 878:10	835:8,8 836:3
892:4 893:3	889:6,11	878:24 880:11	836:23,23
895:6 899:2,7	897:20 905:10	880:16,17	837:14,16,20
901:5 904:12	telling 808:16	882:3 886:18	837:21 838:10
906:10 908:6	842:15 898:3	887:7 889:19	838:17 841:6,6
908:21 916:11	tend 813:10	890:16 892:10	841:19 846:6
taken 872:23	tenure 887:22	892:11,12	847:21 848:18
873:21 878:21	891:17	901:22 904:22	848:22 850:7
909:6	term 821:16	905:2 906:8,24	855:14 858:14
talk 827:22	831:22	909:11,21	862:11 866:6,8
859:4 873:23	terminal 809:1	911:9 912:14	872:9 878:18
talked 827:10	terms 804:21	913:5,6,7	889:2,21 893:1
864:10	812:23 839:2	914:13,17	916:11
talking 810:18	848:16 917:15	919:5,6,12	thinking
821:23 840:14	918:12	thing 799:24,25	808:18 843:4
860:24 862:12	terribly 837:16	809:14 811:22	third 837:9,19
901:1 904:6	terrific 914:18	828:1 837:17	883:24
talks 817:24	testifying	854:16 862:4	thomas 795:10
tape 804:23	798:23	867:9 877:5	thoopes 795:13
taught 802:3	testimony	891:16	thought 806:9
tax 870:24	803:23 905:23	things 804:23	812:14 836:14
team 815:7,7	thank 798:18	805:10,17	848:9,22 850:2
882:6 899:12	799:1,2,10	809:11 810:11	857:21 858:5
tears 856:14	807:2 810:3,7	813:14 818:3	862:14 918:6
teenager	815:14 827:18	818:13 832:5	three 802:16
825:16	829:5 830:12	837:13 856:20	870:25 879:4
tell 799:14	830:15,16,20	858:24 874:2	898:22
800:12 804:18	849:15 851:2,4	910:8	

thursday	today 812:2	841:18 872:15	trust 810:25
917:18	893:6 905:13	875:21 920:7	816:25 817:2
time 803:1,8,13	907:8 919:12	transcripts	826:16
805:15 809:17	together 814:25	917:17 918:8	trusted 811:1,4
811:19 813:7,7	815:12	transition	816:23 859:13
814:23 817:17	told 799:23	857:16 867:6	859:14
819:5 823:14	804:22 842:13	transport 901:2	try 813:22,24
827:5,6 828:23	872:19 896:21	904:7	834:14,16,22
831:13,16	896:25 897:1	transportation	835:1 852:18
832:25 833:9	897:23 900:4	812:21	853:10 859:4
833:10 837:24	903:10 908:21	treatment	910:7
839:7 841:24	tom 798:14	833:8,12	trying 811:12
842:1,3 852:15	853:3	trial 798:21,22	822:15 836:2,4
852:24 856:18	tone 901:12	801:16 819:6	837:20 853:5
859:23 860:15	904:19	819:14,20	853:16 861:23
863:13 865:10	took 825:20	822:4 826:17	868:16
865:19 868:14	850:6 895:14	853:16 858:17	turn 840:13
868:21,21	top 840:19	871:18 881:12	875:18,19
869:9 871:3,3	883:25 885:1	881:18 882:7	turned 815:6
874:6 875:10	topic 820:10	882:10 884:1	858:20 915:4
879:2,10,15	totally 814:15	887:23 899:6	two 798:22
880:8 882:23	828:1	905:16,16,20	802:17 815:11
884:2,2,3	towards 855:11	905:24 906:1	822:3,3,12
885:18 887:22	855:11	906:20	827:24 853:16
895:15 898:19	traditional	trials 819:8,20	857:7 878:21
899:10 908:2	854:21	824:9	879:4 882:14
908:19 909:17	training 804:19	tried 801:3	884:20 892:15
912:1,11 920:9	804:21 852:6	807:7 848:21	895:10 917:19
times 808:8	870:18 878:13	852:13 853:4	918:7
809:10 816:1	transcribed	true 817:3	type 805:13
872:11,14	826:16	835:14 861:8	types 810:11
891:13	transcript	920:7	typical 832:1
title 882:5,6	824:13 840:14	truly 835:11	
	840:24 841:15		

[u - want] Page 38

	unfortunataly	nanally 200.2	view 803:12
u	unfortunately 813:11 911:2	usually 800:2 828:3,15	910:2,22 911:5
u 870:6 893:17		866:23 879:24	· ·
u.s. 817:21	unhappy 871:2		911:6
849:6 897:4,6	uniform 910:8	utilized 884:8	violated 915:23
897:12	university	V	916:3,6 917:6
uh 860:18	878:16	v 794:8 795:4	violates 915:20
874:9	unnecessary	878:9	918:18
ultimately	826:18	vacation	violation
896:9 901:14	unquote 832:2	806:10	915:14
uncommon	unrecorded	valentine's	visible 850:20
807:15	825:4 826:19	868:13	voice 905:9
under 865:7	untoward	van 811:16	volume 794:8
884:7 890:19	810:13	863:3 901:2	869:3
906:13 910:12	unusual 845:23	904:7	vwa 900:10,12
undergoing	845:25 846:21	van's 863:15	903:16,18
833:8,12	847:3,4 850:10	vance 863:18	\mathbf{w}
undergraduate	873:16	vans 863:2,4,12	waited 898:22
878:15	upgrades	865:23	899:10
understand	891:20	variety 800:1	waiting 841:25
829:20 841:21	upper 875:19	832:5,14,15	896:14 899:22
848:14 876:16	upstairs 808:14	various 803:10	900:4 901:4
891:5 917:3	848:5 900:6	804:8 855:5	903:10 904:11
understanding	901:4 903:11	variously	waive 861:20
840:6 842:11	904:11	853:14	walk 811:11
848:11,18	use 821:16	vehicle 902:15	869:15 882:13
912:1	891:19 898:17	vehicles 911:3	walked 904:4
understood	used 813:24	version 883:1	waltham 872:6
807:8 829:21	818:3 828:20	versus 820:23	872:12
856:21 874:7	834:21 867:13	825:3,8 828:7	want 804:4
912:25	867:14 871:5	876:1	807:24 808:2
unfair 850:13	887:3,16,17	vetted 803:20	810:9,24
unfortunate	891:6,25 892:6	victim 828:14	814:21 815:5,9
826:13 830:8	usual 853:6	900:10 903:15	816:7 821:15

[want - y] Page 39

823:24 825:24	way 810:12,15	900:11,23	won 826:6,6
827:21 851:23	811:25 813:14	903:14,17	word 810:25
855:14,16	819:21 855:22	912:2,3,12	words 805:7
856:10 859:5	866:22 882:14	wesley 884:9,21	858:5 911:17
869:14 873:22	900:14 903:20	885:3	work 822:15
874:3 880:1	911:4 913:2	west 902:10	828:25 831:20
888:3 891:9	918:25	whatsoever	832:1 837:3,3
897:24 898:5	ways 834:16	801:15	853:19,20
906:2 914:23	we've 804:10	whereof 920:12	858:1 910:8
916:17,18	831:2,22	white 845:7	worked 814:8
919:1	855:10 885:15	whitman 803:4	831:9 836:22
wanted 802:13	905:22	whoever's	836:24,25
809:21 816:15	week 807:4	864:17	849:23 850:1
816:16 828:8	872:9,11,14	wife 859:4	851:24
841:20 853:10	917:18,21	willful 915:24	working
861:23 871:2	918:8	williamstown	853:14 870:24
874:2 896:5	weeks 917:19	805:1	915:2 919:3
897:18,19	918:7	willing 856:3	works 869:3
915:10 916:20	weight 896:23	917:12	world 828:22
wants 859:1	wells 902:7	win 878:24	850:14
897:20	went 802:19	wish 816:23	worry 862:1
warrant 833:24	803:2,13 809:4	withdraw	871:4
834:7,13 835:3	811:16 812:18	876:17	writing 806:1
835:4,5,12,19	816:19 826:7	witness 807:23	written 893:21
838:22 848:15	831:10,13	810:8 819:3,18	908:19
848:17 861:2	842:22 845:7	823:5,18,19,23	X
861:12 894:25	845:15 847:7	827:9 830:13	x 796:1 797:1
897:17 901:10	852:8 853:11	856:6 869:14	797:12 807:24
904:18 911:21	871:12,17	870:10,13	882:12
washington	874:15 878:19	876:20 880:16	
902:10	887:11,13	880:18 882:2	y
watched	888:14,16	892:19,23	y 807:24 820:23
845:24	896:19 897:20	893:8 900:10	870:6 881:9
	899:19 900:9	903:16 920:12	
	•	•	

[yard - z] Page 40

yard 802:24	young 853:3
veah 806:11	871:3
809:17 824:1	yup 856:25
836:23 837:12	864:12,19,24
851:22,24	865:6,12,22,22
857:8 862:7,11	882:2,17,22
863:2 864:14	884:5 885:14
866:3,21 867:5	885:24 889:4,9
867:15,22	890:21
881:17 889:1	Z
891:10 906:8	
911:23 913:13	z 807:24
914:2 915:7	
year 816:13,13	
849:11,12	
852:21 853:4	
871:8,19	
878:19 879:5	
years 800:5,7	
800:17 802:3	
802:12,15,22	
806:21 819:19	
820:4,17	
827:10 851:25	
852:13 853:5	
853:12,13	
854:4 858:9,19	
865:10 867:18	
870:25,25	
871:25 878:21	
879:4 891:21	
yesterday	
818:7 860:16	
860:25	