

Dear Michael Judge and DOER team,

I will not lie I am disappointed I did not help contribute more to such and amazing piece of legislation. I am happy my instincts were correct in seeing that you and your team have things covered on the government side of solar. hopefully I can make some contributions on the private side. As a grad student and an entrepreneur I can say these are fair rules. Your Category one and 2 land use is fair and well done to incentive's growth of developed land. I would like to raise a few questions and or concerns.

Some towns still may not have any decided solar overlay districts. These towns could also have large parcels of land that are in the hope of development but not in a solar overlay with no historical record of one. The developer should not be punished for the town or city refusing to acknowledge changes in society and plan accordingly.

I am also concerned about being able to develop on commercial or industrial land in general. With the language of the overlay district it becomes uncertain if land sighted and zoned for industrial/ commercial use will have the right to refuse the development. If the rules of no unreasonable restriction of solar are still in place then I have far less of an issue. But my concern is a large argument "we do not like it in our back yard" to be used. If the towns people show disagreement and no law exists the town council almost should side with the town. No matter their own beliefs if no one from the town testifies on the behalf of the developer it becomes easy to Justify a no on development.

I will continue the previous point with an assumption; people with higher incomes care greatly about their property value and have resources to fight development they do not want. Given these assumptions and this loophole all lower income towns and cities will have to take the "burden" of ground mounted solar. This will also put a long term burden on the eventual battery interconnected mass-multi generation unit smart grid we are heading to because the generation will be far from the load causing loss. This will lead to an eventual precedent of rejecting ground mounted solar on a piece of land even if it is commercially or industrially zoned. As using a piece of land for energy generation should at least qualify for an industrial use no matter what especially Solar which is non intrusive (comparatively) and clean.

Overall I am very pleased with the way things are progressing on the new program. I look forward to hearing from you about these concerns and confirming the laws of no unreasonable restriction to solar is still in place.

Please let me know if there is anything I can help with while maintaining my independence in the field I am always willing to consult.

Sincerely,

Anthony Agnitti

PS please contact me if anything is unclear all the best Please forgive all the first person, grad school is taking a toll and I just wanted to get my thoughts in before the buzzer goes off.