State ROW QA/QC Form

Project File Number	City / Town	Street name / Route Number					
I am submitting the required documents for review to the MassDOT Highway Division ROW Engineering Section: (PE) Phase Revision (if applicable) Pre-25% 25% 25/75% 75% 75% 75% 75/100%							
☐ 75/10 ☐ 100% ☐ Other							
Project Person	nel						
I,, a Massachusetts Professional Engineer, (print name) (PE license no.) employed by, am in direct charge and supervision of the design (company name)							
plans, including the development and preparation of the right of way plans.							
employed by, prequalified by the A&E Review Board in Category (company name) "S3 – Layout Document Preparation", am in direct charge and supervision of assisting the designer in the development and preparation of the right of way plans. The same S3-prequalified PLS participating in the development of the ROW plans will be involved in the preparation of the layout plan/instrument.							
Project Schedule Yes No N/A If No, Explain							
1. I am submitting design schedule the design sche	g the ROW plans in accor e template. If any deviat	rdance with MassDOT's most recent ions occur from the ROW portion of ges have been approved by the ROW					

Review Comments (Not applicable for first submission)	Yes	No	N/A	If No, Explain
 I have addressed all the review comments provided to me by the ROW Engineering Section. (PE and PLS) 				

Tit	le Sheet and Index	Yes	No	N/A	If No, Explain
4.	I have included the base map notes and the content in each note has been updated for this submission. (\Box PE or \Box PLS)				
5.	I have included a revision box and have shown any updated new parcels, altered parcels and deleted parcels that differ from the previous submission. (\Box PE or \Box PLS)				

Please refer to **Appendix B.1** in the Plan Preparation Guidelines for Consultants Preparing ROW Plans for additional information on the Title Sheet and Index.

Legend, Abbreviation and Project Description		Yes	No	N/A	If No, Explain
6.	I have included all symbols and abbreviations that have been used on the property plan sheet. (PE)				

Please refer to **Appendix B.2** in the Plan Preparation Guidelines for Consultants Preparing ROW Plans for additional information on the Legend, Abbreviations and Project Description.

Typical Cross-Sections		Yes	No	N/A	If No, Explain
 I have included the typical cross (□ PE or □ PLS) 	s-section sheet in the ROW plan set.				

Please refer to Appendix B.3 in the Plan Preparation Guidelines for Consultants Preparing ROW Plans for additional information on Typical Cross-Sections.

Cr	itical Profile	Yes	No	N/A	If No, Explain
8.	I have included the critical profile sheet in the ROW plan set. (\Box PE or \Box PLS)				

Please refer to Appendix B.4 in the Plan Preparation Guidelines for Consultants Preparing ROW Plans for additional information on Critical Profiles.

Parcel Summary Sheet	Yes	No	N/A	If No, Explain
 I have provided a space (blank row) to separate all individual property owners, including the properties with the same titleholder but different title reference. (□ PE or □ PLS) 				
 I have grouped together properties with the same titleholder and title reference. (□ PE or □ PLS) 				
11. I have provided a book and page number, per the registry of deeds, as a title reference for all recorded land. (PLS)				
12. I have provided a LCC No. and Cert No., per the registry of deeds, as a title reference for all registered land. (PLS)				
13. No "Owner Unknown" is shown on the ROW plans. (PLS) If this is not the case, please provide justification below as to why you were unable to provide a title reference:				
14. I have verified that the titleholder and title reference are shown as accurately as possible based on a diligent review of records at the registry of deeds, and the information on the parcel summary sheet matches the location plan and the property plan. (□ PE or □ PLS)				
15. I have verified that the "area" column matches the area provided on the property plan, and has been updated with this submission. (PE or PLS)				
16. I have verified the frontage on the ROW plans is calculated as direct access to the public layout and the calculated frontage is consistent between the location plan and property plan for each property. (PLS)				

17. I have verified that the "Frontage on ROW Plan" column matches or is with an acceptable tolerance to the frontage reported in the deed or record plan (PLS)			
18. I have verified that the "remarks" column has been filled out and updated a the design progresses. (PE)	s		
19. I have verified that the "land restriction" column has been filled out as accurately as possible based on a diligent review of records at the registry of deeds and other publicly available records. (PLS)	f		
 20. I have included the projects total table. The count of the affected propertie fee takings parcels, permanent easement parcels and temporary easement parcels are accurate and have been updated for this submission. (□ PE or □ PLS) 	5,		
 I have included a separate parcel summary sheet for State ROW and Municipal ROW. (□ PE or □ PLS) 			
22. I have included a separate project total table for State ROW and Municipal ROW. (□ PE or □ PLS)			

Please refer to Appendix B.5 and Appendix B.6 in the Plan Preparation Guidelines for Consultants Preparing ROW Plans for additional information on the Parcel Summary Sheet.

Location Plan	Yes	No	N/A	If No, Explain
23. I have verified that all properties impacted by a fee taking or permanent easement have their entire perimeter shown and dimensioned, based on the deed or plan of record, at a scale that the entire property is shown clearly on one sheet. (PE or PLS)				
24. I have verified that the current titleholder's name and total property area is shown as accurately as possible based on a diligent review of records at the registry of deeds and other record sources. This information matches with the same as shown on the parcel summary sheet. (□ PE or □ PLS)				

Please refer to Appendix B.7 in the Plan Preparation Guidelines for Consultants Preparing ROW Plans for additional information on the Location Plan.

Property Plan – Survey Baseplan	Yes	No	N/A	If No, Explain
 I have verified highway layout baselines, layout sidelines, and railroad sidelines. (PLS) 				
26. I have verified municipal layouts. (PLS)				
27. I have verified that all public layouts are recorded. (PLS)				
28. I have verified that all streets shown are identified by name, route number and as public or private. (PLS)				
29. I have verified that abutter property lines are based on record deeds and plans. The frontage distances shown on the ROW plans match or are within an acceptable tolerance of the frontage reported in the deed description and/or other record information. (PLS)				
30. No GIS property line data was incorporated into the submitted plan. (PLS)				
31. No property lines from Assessors Maps were incorporated into the submitted plan. (PLS)				
32. I have verified current abutter ownership information per the registry of deeds and the up-to-date property owner information is listed on the parcel summary, location plan and property plan. (PLS)				
33. I have reviewed and updated the ROW plans based on the title examination package, if available. (PLS)				

34. I have verified that all existing conditions shown on the p the best of my knowledge, information and belief, the act the field. (□ PE or □ PLS)			
35. I have verified existing permanent easements based on a records at the registry of deeds. Easements are shown wi info where applicable. (PLS)	~		
 I have plotted all existing drainage in connection with privilation plotted the direction of flow with arrows. (PLS) 	vate property and		
37. Private drainage is being tied into the state drainage syste coordinated with the District office that the tie-in is accep (□ PE or □ PLS)			
 38. I have verified to the best of my knowledge, information a impacts are being made to a property with land restrictio Please list all properties subject to a land restriction that along with the type of restriction: 	ns. (PLS)		
39. Based on a diligent review, I have verified any other elem baseplan that affects dispositions and land acquisitions.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
40. I am submitting research materials required (if any- not p submitted). (PLS)	reviously		

Please refer to **Appendix B.8** in the Plan Preparation Guidelines for Consultants Preparing ROW Plans for additional information on the Property Plan (Survey).

Property Plan – Highway Design	Yes	No	N/A	If No, Explain
41. I have evaluated the highway design to minimize impacts to private property to the greatest extent feasible. (PE)				
 To the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, the proposed design does not impact parking permanently. (PE) 				
 To the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, the proposed design does not impact parking temporarily. (PE) 				
44. To the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, the proposed design does not impact commercial signs. (PE)				
45. To the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, the proposed design does not impact a current or former gas station, nor a site with subsurface hazardous materials. (PE)				
46. To the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, the proposed design does not go through existing buildings, structures, septic systems, or leach fields. (PE)				
47. To the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, the proposed design does not require the taking of a large amount or all of a subject's property. (PE)				
 To the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, the proposed design does not temporarily or permanently eliminate access to and from property. (PE) 				
49. The proposed work is clearly plotted and labeled. (PE)				
50. The proposed work on the ROW Plans matches the work shown on the construction plan. (PE)				
51. The proposed design on the ROW plans represents the most up to date design. (PE)				

Please refer to **Appendix B.9** in the Plan Preparation Guidelines for Consultants Preparing ROW Plans for additional information on the Property Plan (Highway Design).

Property Plan – ROW Information	Yes	No	N/A	If No, Explain
 52. Based on a diligent review, the geometry of the proposed takings and easements has been determined by the proposed work shown on the ROW plans does not exceed the size or nature necessary for the project. (□ PE or □ PLS) 				
 53. The perimeter of proposed parcels is dimensioned (+/-, to the nearest foot), with crow's feet at all changes in direction of the parcel lines. (□ PE or □ PLS) 				
 54. E parcels (highway easements) have been provided in lieu of fee takings on registered land, railroad properties, or gas station properties. (□ PE or □ PLS) 				
55. The beginning or end of any proposed State/City/Town Highway Alteration is at least 5' away from an approximate property line. (□ PE or □ PLS)				
56. All privately owned items including existing infrastructure, landscaping, and ground features, within a proposed easement have the appropriate disposition on the ROW plans and Construction Plans in accordance with the MassDOT ROW Disposition Guidelines for Privately Owned Items. The Disposition Guidelines can be found in Appendix A.2 in the Plan Preparation Guidelines for Consultants Preparing ROW Plans. (□ PE or □ PLS)				
57. All privately owned items encroaching in the layout have the appropriate disposition on the ROW plans and Construction Plans in accordance with the MassDOT ROW Disposition Guidelines for Privately Owned Items. The Disposition Guidelines can be found in Appendix A.2 in the Plan Preparation Guidelines for Consultants Preparing ROW Plans. (PE or PLS)				
58. All privately owned items encroaching in the layout, not being impacted by the proposed design, have been coordinated with the respective District office on to determine if the encroachment has been previously permitted or if it will be allowed to remain as an encroachment. This correspondence has been archived by the designer and sent to the ROW Bureau. (□ PE or □ PLS)				
59. Utility relocations have been coordinated with the DUCE and Utility Company. (PE)				
60. Parcel numbers have not been reassigned. If a parcel is eliminated from the plans, that parcel number has been discarded, and any subsequent new parcels created have been given a new (never previously used) parcel number. (□ PE or □ PLS)				
Please refer to Appendix B.10 in the Plan Preparation Guidelines for Consultants Preparing ROW Plans for additional information on the Property Plan (ROW Information).				
CAD and CAD Standard	Yes	No	N/A	lf No, Explain

	105	 14/14	
61. I am submitting a compressed folder or sharing link containing all the ROW AutoCAD files to the ROW Engineering Section to accompany this submission. (□ PE or □ PLS)			
62. The submitted plan was prepared in accordance with the current MassDOT CAD Standard. (□ PE or □ PLS)			
 63. The submitted plan was prepared in accordance with the current Plan Preparation Guidelines for Consultants preparing ROW Plans. (□ PE or □ PLS) 			
64. All files required for plotting of the plans are included in the package.(□ PE or □ PLS)			
65. Any unused XREFs have been detached from the drawings. (\Box PE or \Box PLS)			
 66. I have created inset blowups as needed to improve readability. (□ PE or □ PLS) 			

 67. I have minimized overlapping text to the greatest extend feasible. (□ PE or □ PLS) 		
68. I understand these plans are to be used by non-engineers and the plans are presented in a way that those who do not have developed plan reading skills can understand what is proposed adjacent to the existing public ROW. (PE or PLS)		

Please refer to **Appendix D.1** and **Appendix D.2** in the Plan Preparation Guidelines for Consultants Preparing ROW Plans for additional information on the CAD Standard.

Accepted ROW Plans	Yes	No	N/A	If No, Explain
69. I understand the geometry, parcel configuration and proposed parcel area needs to be consistent between the taking documents and accepted ROW Plans. (PE and PLS)				
70. I understand that it is critical that the design adjacent to the existing ROW is locked down at the time the ROW plans are accepted. (PE)				
71. I understand that review comments after the ROW plans have been accepted should not change the ROW plans, including comments from DUCE, Complete Streets and Landscape. (PE)				
72. I understand if there is a design changes that has been deemed unavoidable the ROW Engineering Administrator and ROW Engineering Supervisor should be notified immediately. (PE)				
73. I understand if the ROW Plans need to be reaccepted delays in the acquisition process is expected and the project's advertisement date will be jeopardized. (PE)				

Please use the space below to elaborate on any answer that did not fit in the boxes on prior pages. Include the number of the question in your response.