

Summary of Q & A from Feb 1, 2012 CMS meeting

Integrating Medicare and Medicaid for Dual Eligible Individuals CMS Public Meeting February 1, 2012 Boston, MA

Technical Guidance for Organizations Interested in Offering Capitated Financial Alignment Demonstration Plans

Robin Callahan, Massachusetts Deputy Medicaid Director and **Dr. Julian Harris**, Massachusetts Medicaid Director opened the meeting.

Melanie Bella, Director of the CMS Medicare-Medicaid coordination office and **Vanessa Duran**, CMS Senior Technical Advisor, led a PowerPoint presentation.

Question and Answer Period

Question: A specialty provider indicated that the demonstration appeared to be a better fit for traditional carriers than other organizations. He asked how organizations that do not have a pharmacy benefits manager, carrier requirements on solvency, or identified providers fit into the Demonstration.

Answer: Organizations will need to review all the Demonstration requirements to assess where they might fit. It is not the intent to exclude certain types of organizations, but they will need to meet requirements of participation. Organizations that wish to operate as Integrated Care Organizations (ICOs) will need to be prepared to meet Medicaid standards as well as Medicare standards, and provide the full range of primary, acute, behavioral health and LTSS benefits covered under the Demonstration.

Question: Will Part C benefits be risk-adjusted?

Answer: ICOs will receive a global, blended payment that covers all services. That payment will be risk adjusted.

Question: The Demonstration's structure and timeline appears to limit the organizations that can apply to be ICOs to only HMOs. It will not be possible for even the biggest providers that are not already Medicare providers on this timeline.

Answer: In this Demonstration, the bar is high for organizations that are interested in being integrated, accountable organizations because of the need to provide and coordinate the full range of Medicare and Medicaid services and meet the regulatory context at both the state and federal level. There will be other opportunities to participate in additional accountable models of care for organizations that cannot reach this bar due to the timeline.

Question: Will the approach to payment be prospective and patient-centered like PACE and Medicare Advantage? Will the HCCs be used to risk adjust? The risk adjustment approach should be shared and input sought from organizations that have been doing this for a long time.

Answer: A rate will be built based on a person's needs, a prospective rate. The approach may be different for each state. Some are using pharmacy and others are

Summary of Q & A from Feb 1, 2012 CMS meeting

not. Medicare recognizes that HCC plays an important role but may not be entirely sufficient. A lot of work is underway and details will be shared when possible. Those particularly interested in risk adjustment should know that MMPI will be hosting a forum around risk adjustment on Feb. 29.

Question: Can consumers self-direct services as part of the Demonstration?

Answer: The FFS state plan PCA program is self-directed. ICOs will be required to offer self-directed PCA as well. They will contract with Personal Care Management agencies and Fiscal Intermediaries to support this process. Also, the care plan will be self-directed and consumers will have access to peers and community health workers. The structure of the global payment should lead to ICOs providing more person-directed opportunities for care. CMS added that they expect the state to propose person-centered models and self-direction opportunities.

Question: Will hospitals in the state be mandated to participate at some guaranteed fee schedule? Is there an opportunity to adjust payment arrangements or is payment the same as in Medicare?

Answer: There will be a discussion between the organization and provider to determine acceptable rates. In Medicare Advantage, there is no fee schedule; fees are negotiated. They can be higher or lower than traditional Medicare. The same would apply here. ICOs will need to meet network adequacy standards.

Question: Is Massachusetts still considering passive enrollment?

Answer: Yes

Question: Will all states do passive enrollment? Will CMS send a Medicare letter that is separate from the state letter with information about the Demonstration to dual eligibles that are passively enrolled in the Demonstration?

Answer: CMS is not telling all states that they need to use passive enrollment but it is an option. Passive enrollment requires meaningful notification to ensure people understand their choices. The collective intent is to ensure notification is not confusing which is the thinking behind lining up the Demonstration enrollment timeline with the Medicare annual open enrollment period. It is important that there are clear and understandable choices.

Question: Are network adequacy assessment timelines available?

Answer: The next layer of guidance will include network adequacy timelines and requirements.

Question: Will providers be paid Medicaid rates for Medicaid services? How will payment work for services covered by both Medicare and Medicaid? Will Medicaid reimbursement policies be followed?

Answer: Services provided by both Medicaid and Medicare will be managed as a single service for the member. The goal is to shift away from FFS. A capitated payment will be made to the ICO. Payment by ICOs to providers within their organization will not be prescribed, but value-based strategies are encouraged.

Summary of Q & A from Feb 1, 2012 CMS meeting

Question: How do you reconcile establishing a single rate when there may be lop-sided enrollment due to competition?

Answer: Rate development, risk adjustment and looking at membership are part of that. Medicaid agencies do this now by looking at retrospective adjustments and where members end up. Risk adjustment will take into account skewed distribution in the ICOs.

Question: The state has supported development of peer supports for individuals with mental health conditions for the last decade. Why is this group of emerging providers not being integrated into this model?

Answer: Peer supports are currently not available under FFS but will be under this Demonstration. We are rewriting the draft Demonstration proposal to make this clearer. ICOs will have a lot of opportunity and flexibility. Peer supports are included as a service and will be available to individuals enrolled in the ICO.

Question: Rate development is based on current experience and savings are expected to both the state and federal government. How much is expected in terms of savings and how is that weighed against the desire to increase benefits? Will rate development be adjusted for savings?

Answer: A national savings target will not be developed. Each state has a different service package and Medicare penetration rates. Any targets will be state specific and based on savings opportunities in the state. Savings should be a result of improved services that provide value, decreased administrative costs, and decreased duplicative services. Massachusetts is adding services. The statute does require initiatives to achieve savings but CMS also wants to encourage states to add extra benefits where appropriate.

Question: How are cost savings being defined in this program?

Answer: Savings will be calculated off of historical spending in both Medicare and Medicaid.

Question: Will the pending Supreme Court ruling on the Affordable Care Act affect this initiative?

Answer: There isn't a definitive answer to this question since it is in litigation right now but both offices overseeing this initiative have strong bipartisan support.

Question: In areas where different rules exist for Medicare and Medicaid, which program's rules will ICOs be subject to with respect to provider networks adequacy?

Answer: Decisions will be made on a case-by-case, state-specific basis.

Question: Regardless of success, what happens at the end of the demonstration? Is there a mechanism for continuing this initiative?

Answer: This initiative was launched under The Center for Medicare & Medicaid Innovation (CMMI). The Secretary has the authority to make permanent program changes where there is proven success (based on quality and cost). This initiative is

Summary of Q & A from Feb 1, 2012 CMS meeting

expected to be successful and the Secretary could make permanent changes based on that success. Don't want to go backwards here.

Question: Can this Demonstration be done in a geographic pocket instead of statewide?

Answer: The Massachusetts proposal is for statewide coverage because we want to make this new option available to all, not just those living in a certain area.

Question: How will network adequacy be ensured in this timeframe?

Answer: The purpose of this meeting is to let attendees interested in participating know about these deadlines, so that you can be as prepared as possible to meet them. CMS wants interested organizations to know what it will really take to participate. The state and CMS are continuing to discuss the timeframes, but interested organizations cannot wait for the state procurement process to start preparing. The Notice of Intent to apply due April 2 is non-binding and must be submitted in order to participate. Everyone needs to be very clear that this is a requirement. Before submitting a proposal, organizations should have started to develop a network.

Question: Are there expectations about how soon cost-savings must occur?

Answer: Some immediate savings are expected due to improvements in care coordination. Medicaid investments may cause increases that are offset by the Medicare savings. There is a definite expectation to have cost savings over the life of the Demonstration. Cost savings will not always be equal across both programs.

Question: What are the expectations around the number of ICOs and the number of members who will participate?

Answer: We aren't planning to prescribe the number of ICOs. One guiding principle is in order to take the opt-out approach; there must be at least two ICOs per service area.

Question: Define the geographic areas. How many are there in the state?

Answer: Massachusetts will draw from the MCO regions. There are 5 MCO regions.

Note: Subsequent to this meeting, MassHealth determined that the final proposal to CMS would state that ICOs will operate in service areas throughout the state defined by MassHealth and CMS.

Question: Will there be one capitated rate that is not patient-centered but aggregate?

Answer: The rate will be blended and patient-specific. The ICO will receive a blended rate but it will be paid in two checks: one from Medicare and one from MassHealth.

Question: Must ICOs provide services statewide?

Answer: No. Similar to how MCOs and SCOs operate today, ICOs will have the option of participating in one or more service areas; our goal is to have statewide coverage in the Demonstration.

Question: ICOs will get two checks but are expected to pay the providers one single rate?

Summary of Q & A from Feb 1, 2012 CMS meeting

Answer: Payment rates to providers and contracting approaches will be not prescribed but a value-based purchasing strategy is suggested over a fee for service model. Thoughts about how to encourage these strategies are still being considered.

Summary of Q & A from Feb 1, 2012 CMS meeting

Integrating Medicare and Medicaid for Dual Eligible Individuals CMS Public Meeting February 1, 2012 Boston, MA

Technical Guidance for Organizations Interested in Offering Capitated Financial Alignment Demonstration Plans

Robin Callahan, Massachusetts Deputy Medicaid Director and **Dr. Julian Harris**, Massachusetts Medicaid Director opened the meeting.

Melanie Bella, Director of the CMS Medicare-Medicaid coordination office and **Vanessa Duran**, CMS Senior Technical Advisor, led a PowerPoint presentation.

Question and Answer Period

Question: A specialty provider indicated that the demonstration appeared to be a better fit for traditional carriers than other organizations. He asked how organizations that do not have a pharmacy benefits manager, carrier requirements on solvency, or identified providers fit into the Demonstration.

Answer: Organizations will need to review all the Demonstration requirements to assess where they might fit. It is not the intent to exclude certain types of organizations, but they will need to meet requirements of participation. Organizations that wish to operate as Integrated Care Organizations (ICOs) will need to be prepared to meet Medicaid standards as well as Medicare standards, and provide the full range of primary, acute, behavioral health and LTSS benefits covered under the Demonstration.

Question: Will Part C benefits be risk-adjusted?

Answer: ICOs will receive a global, blended payment that covers all services. That payment will be risk adjusted.

Question: The Demonstration's structure and timeline appears to limit the organizations that can apply to be ICOs to only HMOs. It will not be possible for even the biggest providers that are not already Medicare providers on this timeline.

Answer: In this Demonstration, the bar is high for organizations that are interested in being integrated, accountable organizations because of the need to provide and coordinate the full range of Medicare and Medicaid services and meet the regulatory context at both the state and federal level. There will be other opportunities to participate in additional accountable models of care for organizations that cannot reach this bar due to the timeline.

Question: Will the approach to payment be prospective and patient-centered like PACE and Medicare Advantage? Will the HCCs be used to risk adjust? The risk adjustment approach should be shared and input sought from organizations that have been doing this for a long time.

Answer: A rate will be built based on a person's needs, a prospective rate. The approach may be different for each state. Some are using pharmacy and others are

Summary of Q & A from Feb 1, 2012 CMS meeting

not. Medicare recognizes that HCC plays an important role but may not be entirely sufficient. A lot of work is underway and details will be shared when possible. Those particularly interested in risk adjustment should know that MMPI will be hosting a forum around risk adjustment on Feb. 29.

Question: Can consumers self-direct services as part of the Demonstration?

Answer: The FFS state plan PCA program is self-directed. ICOs will be required to offer self-directed PCA as well. They will contract with Personal Care Management agencies and Fiscal Intermediaries to support this process. Also, the care plan will be self-directed and consumers will have access to peers and community health workers. The structure of the global payment should lead to ICOs providing more person-directed opportunities for care. CMS added that they expect the state to propose person-centered models and self-direction opportunities.

Question: Will hospitals in the state be mandated to participate at some guaranteed fee schedule? Is there an opportunity to adjust payment arrangements or is payment the same as in Medicare?

Answer: There will be a discussion between the organization and provider to determine acceptable rates. In Medicare Advantage, there is no fee schedule; fees are negotiated. They can be higher or lower than traditional Medicare. The same would apply here. ICOs will need to meet network adequacy standards.

Question: Is Massachusetts still considering passive enrollment?

Answer: Yes

Question: Will all states do passive enrollment? Will CMS send a Medicare letter that is separate from the state letter with information about the Demonstration to dual eligibles that are passively enrolled in the Demonstration?

Answer: CMS is not telling all states that they need to use passive enrollment but it is an option. Passive enrollment requires meaningful notification to ensure people understand their choices. The collective intent is to ensure notification is not confusing which is the thinking behind lining up the Demonstration enrollment timeline with the Medicare annual open enrollment period. It is important that there are clear and understandable choices.

Question: Are network adequacy assessment timelines available?

Answer: The next layer of guidance will include network adequacy timelines and requirements.

Question: Will providers be paid Medicaid rates for Medicaid services? How will payment work for services covered by both Medicare and Medicaid? Will Medicaid reimbursement policies be followed?

Answer: Services provided by both Medicaid and Medicare will be managed as a single service for the member. The goal is to shift away from FFS. A capitated payment will be made to the ICO. Payment by ICOs to providers within their organization will not be prescribed, but value-based strategies are encouraged.

Summary of Q & A from Feb 1, 2012 CMS meeting

Question: How do you reconcile establishing a single rate when there may be lop-sided enrollment due to competition?

Answer: Rate development, risk adjustment and looking at membership are part of that. Medicaid agencies do this now by looking at retrospective adjustments and where members end up. Risk adjustment will take into account skewed distribution in the ICOs.

Question: The state has supported development of peer supports for individuals with mental health conditions for the last decade. Why is this group of emerging providers not being integrated into this model?

Answer: Peer supports are currently not available under FFS but will be under this Demonstration. We are rewriting the draft Demonstration proposal to make this clearer. ICOs will have a lot of opportunity and flexibility. Peer supports are included as a service and will be available to individuals enrolled in the ICO.

Question: Rate development is based on current experience and savings are expected to both the state and federal government. How much is expected in terms of savings and how is that weighed against the desire to increase benefits? Will rate development be adjusted for savings?

Answer: A national savings target will not be developed. Each state has a different service package and Medicare penetration rates. Any targets will be state specific and based on savings opportunities in the state. Savings should be a result of improved services that provide value, decreased administrative costs, and decreased duplicative services. Massachusetts is adding services. The statute does require initiatives to achieve savings but CMS also wants to encourage states to add extra benefits where appropriate.

Question: How are cost savings being defined in this program?

Answer: Savings will be calculated off of historical spending in both Medicare and Medicaid.

Question: Will the pending Supreme Court ruling on the Affordable Care Act affect this initiative?

Answer: There isn't a definitive answer to this question since it is in litigation right now but both offices overseeing this initiative have strong bipartisan support.

Question: In areas where different rules exist for Medicare and Medicaid, which program's rules will ICOs be subject to with respect to provider networks adequacy?

Answer: Decisions will be made on a case-by-case, state-specific basis.

Question: Regardless of success, what happens at the end of the demonstration? Is there a mechanism for continuing this initiative?

Answer: This initiative was launched under The Center for Medicare & Medicaid Innovation (CMMI). The Secretary has the authority to make permanent program changes where there is proven success (based on quality and cost). This initiative is

Summary of Q & A from Feb 1, 2012 CMS meeting

expected to be successful and the Secretary could make permanent changes based on that success. Don't want to go backwards here.

Question: Can this Demonstration be done in a geographic pocket instead of statewide?

Answer: The Massachusetts proposal is for statewide coverage because we want to make this new option available to all, not just those living in a certain area.

Question: How will network adequacy be ensured in this timeframe?

Answer: The purpose of this meeting is to let attendees interested in participating know about these deadlines, so that you can be as prepared as possible to meet them. CMS wants interested organizations to know what it will really take to participate. The state and CMS are continuing to discuss the timeframes, but interested organizations cannot wait for the state procurement process to start preparing. The Notice of Intent to apply due April 2 is non-binding and must be submitted in order to participate. Everyone needs to be very clear that this is a requirement. Before submitting a proposal, organizations should have started to develop a network.

Question: Are there expectations about how soon cost-savings must occur?

Answer: Some immediate savings are expected due to improvements in care coordination. Medicaid investments may cause increases that are offset by the Medicare savings. There is a definite expectation to have cost savings over the life of the Demonstration. Cost savings will not always be equal across both programs.

Question: What are the expectations around the number of ICOs and the number of members who will participate?

Answer: We aren't planning to prescribe the number of ICOs. One guiding principle is in order to take the opt-out approach; there must be at least two ICOs per service area.

Question: Define the geographic areas. How many are there in the state?

Answer: Massachusetts will draw from the MCO regions. There are 5 MCO regions.

Note: Subsequent to this meeting, MassHealth determined that the final proposal to CMS would state that ICOs will operate in service areas throughout the state defined by MassHealth and CMS.

Question: Will there be one capitated rate that is not patient-centered but aggregate?

Answer: The rate will be blended and patient-specific. The ICO will receive a blended rate but it will be paid in two checks: one from Medicare and one from MassHealth.

Question: Must ICOs provide services statewide?

Answer: No. Similar to how MCOs and SCOs operate today, ICOs will have the option of participating in one or more service areas; our goal is to have statewide coverage in the Demonstration.

Question: ICOs will get two checks but are expected to pay the providers one single rate?

Summary of Q & A from Feb 1, 2012 CMS meeting

Answer: Payment rates to providers and contracting approaches will be not prescribed but a value-based purchasing strategy is suggested over a fee for service model. Thoughts about how to encourage these strategies are still being considered.

Summary of Q & A from Feb 1, 2012 CMS meeting

Integrating Medicare and Medicaid for Dual Eligible Individuals CMS Public Meeting February 1, 2012 Boston, MA

Technical Guidance for Organizations Interested in Offering Capitated Financial Alignment Demonstration Plans

Robin Callahan, Massachusetts Deputy Medicaid Director and **Dr. Julian Harris**, Massachusetts Medicaid Director opened the meeting.

Melanie Bella, Director of the CMS Medicare-Medicaid coordination office and **Vanessa Duran**, CMS Senior Technical Advisor, led a PowerPoint presentation.

Question and Answer Period

Question: A specialty provider indicated that the demonstration appeared to be a better fit for traditional carriers than other organizations. He asked how organizations that do not have a pharmacy benefits manager, carrier requirements on solvency, or identified providers fit into the Demonstration.

Answer: Organizations will need to review all the Demonstration requirements to assess where they might fit. It is not the intent to exclude certain types of organizations, but they will need to meet requirements of participation. Organizations that wish to operate as Integrated Care Organizations (ICOs) will need to be prepared to meet Medicaid standards as well as Medicare standards, and provide the full range of primary, acute, behavioral health and LTSS benefits covered under the Demonstration.

Question: Will Part C benefits be risk-adjusted?

Answer: ICOs will receive a global, blended payment that covers all services. That payment will be risk adjusted.

Question: The Demonstration's structure and timeline appears to limit the organizations that can apply to be ICOs to only HMOs. It will not be possible for even the biggest providers that are not already Medicare providers on this timeline.

Answer: In this Demonstration, the bar is high for organizations that are interested in being integrated, accountable organizations because of the need to provide and coordinate the full range of Medicare and Medicaid services and meet the regulatory context at both the state and federal level. There will be other opportunities to participate in additional accountable models of care for organizations that cannot reach this bar due to the timeline.

Question: Will the approach to payment be prospective and patient-centered like PACE and Medicare Advantage? Will the HCCs be used to risk adjust? The risk adjustment approach should be shared and input sought from organizations that have been doing this for a long time.

Answer: A rate will be built based on a person's needs, a prospective rate. The approach may be different for each state. Some are using pharmacy and others are

Summary of Q & A from Feb 1, 2012 CMS meeting

not. Medicare recognizes that HCC plays an important role but may not be entirely sufficient. A lot of work is underway and details will be shared when possible. Those particularly interested in risk adjustment should know that MMPI will be hosting a forum around risk adjustment on Feb. 29.

Question: Can consumers self-direct services as part of the Demonstration?

Answer: The FFS state plan PCA program is self-directed. ICOs will be required to offer self-directed PCA as well. They will contract with Personal Care Management agencies and Fiscal Intermediaries to support this process. Also, the care plan will be self-directed and consumers will have access to peers and community health workers. The structure of the global payment should lead to ICOs providing more person-directed opportunities for care. CMS added that they expect the state to propose person-centered models and self-direction opportunities.

Question: Will hospitals in the state be mandated to participate at some guaranteed fee schedule? Is there an opportunity to adjust payment arrangements or is payment the same as in Medicare?

Answer: There will be a discussion between the organization and provider to determine acceptable rates. In Medicare Advantage, there is no fee schedule; fees are negotiated. They can be higher or lower than traditional Medicare. The same would apply here. ICOs will need to meet network adequacy standards.

Question: Is Massachusetts still considering passive enrollment?

Answer: Yes

Question: Will all states do passive enrollment? Will CMS send a Medicare letter that is separate from the state letter with information about the Demonstration to dual eligibles that are passively enrolled in the Demonstration?

Answer: CMS is not telling all states that they need to use passive enrollment but it is an option. Passive enrollment requires meaningful notification to ensure people understand their choices. The collective intent is to ensure notification is not confusing which is the thinking behind lining up the Demonstration enrollment timeline with the Medicare annual open enrollment period. It is important that there are clear and understandable choices.

Question: Are network adequacy assessment timelines available?

Answer: The next layer of guidance will include network adequacy timelines and requirements.

Question: Will providers be paid Medicaid rates for Medicaid services? How will payment work for services covered by both Medicare and Medicaid? Will Medicaid reimbursement policies be followed?

Answer: Services provided by both Medicaid and Medicare will be managed as a single service for the member. The goal is to shift away from FFS. A capitated payment will be made to the ICO. Payment by ICOs to providers within their organization will not be prescribed, but value-based strategies are encouraged.

Summary of Q & A from Feb 1, 2012 CMS meeting

Question: How do you reconcile establishing a single rate when there may be lop-sided enrollment due to competition?

Answer: Rate development, risk adjustment and looking at membership are part of that. Medicaid agencies do this now by looking at retrospective adjustments and where members end up. Risk adjustment will take into account skewed distribution in the ICOs.

Question: The state has supported development of peer supports for individuals with mental health conditions for the last decade. Why is this group of emerging providers not being integrated into this model?

Answer: Peer supports are currently not available under FFS but will be under this Demonstration. We are rewriting the draft Demonstration proposal to make this clearer. ICOs will have a lot of opportunity and flexibility. Peer supports are included as a service and will be available to individuals enrolled in the ICO.

Question: Rate development is based on current experience and savings are expected to both the state and federal government. How much is expected in terms of savings and how is that weighed against the desire to increase benefits? Will rate development be adjusted for savings?

Answer: A national savings target will not be developed. Each state has a different service package and Medicare penetration rates. Any targets will be state specific and based on savings opportunities in the state. Savings should be a result of improved services that provide value, decreased administrative costs, and decreased duplicative services. Massachusetts is adding services. The statute does require initiatives to achieve savings but CMS also wants to encourage states to add extra benefits where appropriate.

Question: How are cost savings being defined in this program?

Answer: Savings will be calculated off of historical spending in both Medicare and Medicaid.

Question: Will the pending Supreme Court ruling on the Affordable Care Act affect this initiative?

Answer: There isn't a definitive answer to this question since it is in litigation right now but both offices overseeing this initiative have strong bipartisan support.

Question: In areas where different rules exist for Medicare and Medicaid, which program's rules will ICOs be subject to with respect to provider networks adequacy?

Answer: Decisions will be made on a case-by-case, state-specific basis.

Question: Regardless of success, what happens at the end of the demonstration? Is there a mechanism for continuing this initiative?

Answer: This initiative was launched under The Center for Medicare & Medicaid Innovation (CMMI). The Secretary has the authority to make permanent program changes where there is proven success (based on quality and cost). This initiative is

Summary of Q & A from Feb 1, 2012 CMS meeting

expected to be successful and the Secretary could make permanent changes based on that success. Don't want to go backwards here.

Question: Can this Demonstration be done in a geographic pocket instead of statewide?

Answer: The Massachusetts proposal is for statewide coverage because we want to make this new option available to all, not just those living in a certain area.

Question: How will network adequacy be ensured in this timeframe?

Answer: The purpose of this meeting is to let attendees interested in participating know about these deadlines, so that you can be as prepared as possible to meet them. CMS wants interested organizations to know what it will really take to participate. The state and CMS are continuing to discuss the timeframes, but interested organizations cannot wait for the state procurement process to start preparing. The Notice of Intent to apply due April 2 is non-binding and must be submitted in order to participate. Everyone needs to be very clear that this is a requirement. Before submitting a proposal, organizations should have started to develop a network.

Question: Are there expectations about how soon cost-savings must occur?

Answer: Some immediate savings are expected due to improvements in care coordination. Medicaid investments may cause increases that are offset by the Medicare savings. There is a definite expectation to have cost savings over the life of the Demonstration. Cost savings will not always be equal across both programs.

Question: What are the expectations around the number of ICOs and the number of members who will participate?

Answer: We aren't planning to prescribe the number of ICOs. One guiding principle is in order to take the opt-out approach; there must be at least two ICOs per service area.

Question: Define the geographic areas. How many are there in the state?

Answer: Massachusetts will draw from the MCO regions. There are 5 MCO regions.

Note: Subsequent to this meeting, MassHealth determined that the final proposal to CMS would state that ICOs will operate in service areas throughout the state defined by MassHealth and CMS.

Question: Will there be one capitated rate that is not patient-centered but aggregate?

Answer: The rate will be blended and patient-specific. The ICO will receive a blended rate but it will be paid in two checks: one from Medicare and one from MassHealth.

Question: Must ICOs provide services statewide?

Answer: No. Similar to how MCOs and SCOs operate today, ICOs will have the option of participating in one or more service areas; our goal is to have statewide coverage in the Demonstration.

Question: ICOs will get two checks but are expected to pay the providers one single rate?

Summary of Q & A from Feb 1, 2012 CMS meeting

Answer: Payment rates to providers and contracting approaches will be not prescribed but a value-based purchasing strategy is suggested over a fee for service model. Thoughts about how to encourage these strategies are still being considered.