# MATFIELD RIVER SUBWATERSHED

The Matfield River and its tributaries drain 77 square miles of the northeast portion of the Taunton River Basin. This subwatershed contains some of the most densely developed areas of the state. The following segments are included in the Matfield River subwatershed (Figure 9):

Lovett Brook (Segment MA62-46) Salisbury Brook (Segment MA62-08) Trout Brook (Segment MA62-07) Salisbury Plain River (Segment MA62-05) Salisbury Plain River (Segment MA62-06) Beaver Brook (Segment MA62-09) Meadow Brook (Segment MA62-38) Shumatuscacant River (Segment MA62-33) Poor Meadow Brook (Segment MA62-34) Satucket River (Segment MA62-10) Matfield River (Segment MA62-32)

In the northwest section of this subwatershed, Lovett Brook has its headwaters in Brockton and flows south joining Salisbury Brook. Salisbury Brook continues in a southeast direction joining with Trout Brook near downtown Brockton to form the Salisbury Plain River. The Salisbury Plain River flows in a southerly direction through highly urbanized portions of Brockton before heading east to form the Matfield River at its confluence with Beaver Brook in East Bridgewater. Meadow Brook has its origins in Whitman and joins the Matfield River in East Bridgewater.

The northeastern section of the Matfield River subwatershed is drained by the 8.5-mile Shumatuscacant River, which runs through the towns of Abington and Whitman and joins Poor Meadow Brook in Hanson. Poor Meadow Brook then flow south westerly to Robbins Pond. The Satucket River originates in Robbins Pond in Bridgewater and meanders in a generally westerly direction before joining the Matfield River in East Bridgewater.

The land use in the western portion of the Matfield River subwatershed (Lovett, Salisbury, and Trout Brooks and Salisbury Plain River) is primarily residential followed by forest and some commercial and open space areas. This portion of the Matfield River contains some of the highest concentration of impervious area in the Taunton River watershed with impervious cover values all greater than 25.6%. This indicates that there is the potential for water quality to be impacted by impervious surface water runoff. In the central and eastern portions of the Matfield River Subwatershed (Beaver, Meadow and Poor Meadow Brooks and Shumatuscacant, Satucket and Matfield Rivers) the dominant land use is forest followed by residential and some open area. This includes 1008 acres of land which are classified in the Land-Use theme as cranberry bog (UMass Amherst 1999). The impervious area values are all less than 12.8% indicating there is a low to moderate potential for adverse water quality impacts from impervious surface water runoff.

Of the eleven segments in the Matfield subwatershed, five are on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 5 for not meeting Water Quality Criteria. There is one site, the East Bridgewater Murray-Carver Landfill, in the Satucket River segment awaiting a NPL decision.

Of the eleven facilities permitted under the WMA, seven are municipal public water supply sources. Authorized surface and groundwater withdrawals total 10.56 MGD. Water use for the cranberry bog areas in the Matfield and Satucket River segments is considerably more, estimated at 17.74 MGD (UMass Amherst 1999).

There are four minor NPDES permitted facilities in this subwatershed and one major municipal wastewater discharge facility, the Brockton Advanced Water Reclamation Facility which receives wastewater from approximately 20 industrial users. Low dissolved oxygen/saturation and elevated total phosphorus concentrations instream are associated with the Brockton Advanced Water Reclamation Facility discharge. Both acute and chronic toxicity in the effluent are also of concern impacting the

Salisbury Plain and Matfield Rivers. Additionally, numerous Multi-sector General Stormwater Permits have been issued for facilities in this subwatershed. The communities of Bridgewater, East Bridgewater, West Bridgewater, Whitman, Hanson, Abington, Brockton, Avon, Stoughton and Holbrook are Phase II stormwater communities. Each community was issued a stormwater general permit from EPA and MassDEP in 2003/2004 and is authorized to discharge stormwater from their municipal drainage system. Over the five-year permit term, the communities will develop, implement, and enforce a stormwater management program to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the storm sewer system to protect water quality (Domizio 2004).

The Matfield and Salisbury Plain River Watersheds NPS Assessment study, conducted by ESS, Inc., was initiated in 2002 at the request of local and state stakeholders. The primary goals of the project were to identify sources of NPS pollution and recommend actions to protect and improve water quality. Many of the river and stream segments in the NPS study were found to have impaired water and habitat quality due to extensive development, a lack of stream-side vegetation, and minimal stormwater detention or other treatment (ESS 2003). Additionally, DWM conducted water quality sampling at three sites on the Satucket River, USGS sampled one site on the Matfield River and the Bridgewater State WAL also sampled one site on the Matfield River.

Due to the lack of instream biological data, most segments in the Matfield River subwatershed are not assessed for the *Aquatic Life Use*. Nevertheless, this use is identified with an Alert Status in most of these segments because of concerns over habitat degradation, sedimentation, channel alterations, elevated total phosphorus concentrations, and low dissolved oxygen/saturation concentrations. The Matfield River and portions of Salisbury Brook, Salisbury Plain River and the Shumatuscacant River are assessed as impaired for the *Aquatic Life Use* due to habitat degradation and impacted macroinvertebrate communities. Because of elevated bacteria levels, most segments are assessed as impaired for the *Primary and Secondary Contact Recreation* uses. Objectionable conditions in some segments caused the *Aesthetics* use to be assessed as impaired.



# LOVETT BROOK (SEGMENT MA62-46)

Location: Headwaters north of Oak Street, Brockton to inlet Ellis Brett Pond, Brockton.

Segment Length: 1.5 miles Classification: B

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 2.2 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

Residential..... 37.0% Forest ......... 27.5% Commercial ... 12.1%

# The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is 29.3%.

# WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL SUMMARY AND NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY

Based on available information there are no WMA withdrawals or NPDES dischargers in this subwatershed.

# USE ASSESSMENT

#### AQUATIC LIFE Habitat and Flow

Using MassDEP guidelines, ESS conducted a habitat assessment in Lovett Brook near D.W. Field Park Drive, Brockton (Station LB1) during the summer of 2002. The overall habitat assessment score was 136/200. Instream



cover for fish and epifaunal substrate was somewhat limited as was channel flow status and velocity/depth combinations. The riparian zone width on both banks was also impacted (ESS 2003).

### Chemistry - water

Between August and November 2002, ESS conducted water quality monitoring in Lovett Brook near D.W. Field Park Drive, Brockton (Station LB1) as part of their NPS study. Results of these surveys are summarized as follows (ESS 2003).

## Dissolved Oxygen and % Saturation

Dissolved oxygen in Lovett Brook near D.W. Field Park Drive, Brockton (Station LB1) ranged from 6.2 to 7.7 mg/L with saturations between 69.3 and 74.7%. It should be noted that these data do not represent pre-dawn sampling conditions.

## Temperature

The maximum temperature recorded in Lovett Brook was 22.4°C.

## pН

The pH ranged from 6.6 to 7.6 SU.

#### Specific Conductance

Specific conductance ranged from 227.7 to 706.0 µmhos/cm with the highest measurement during the dry weather survey (1 August 2002).

#### Total Suspended Solids (TSS)

The highest concentration of TSS measured in Lovett Brook was 12 mg/L (n=3).

# Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)

The concentration of TKN in Lovett Brook ranged from 0.5 to 1.3 mg/L (n=3).

#### Total Phosphorus

Total phosphorus concentrations ranged from 0.02 to 0.15 mg/L. Two of the three measurements were  $\geq$  0.05 mg/L and were collected during wet weather sampling conditions.

The Aquatic Life Use is not assessed for Lovett Brook as a result of the lack of instream biological data (response type indicators of in-stream water quality conditions). This use in this urbanized subwatershed is identified with an Alert Status because of habitat degradation (result of sedimentation) and slightly elevated total phosphorus concentrations.

#### PRIMARY AND SECONDARY CONTACT RECREATION AND AESTHETICS

Both fecal coliform and *E.coli* bacteria were collected by ESS from Lovett Brook near D.W. Field Park Drive, Brockton (Station LB1) between August and November 2002. The fecal coliform bacteria counts were 180, 900 and 12,000 cfu/100 mL (geometric mean = 1,248 cfu/100 mL) while the *E.coli* bacteria counts ranged from 180 to 10,000 cfu/100 mL (ESS 2003). It should be noted that both elevated bacteria counts were associated with wet weather sampling conditions.

No objectionable oils, odors, or other conditions were identified by ESS at their sampling location in Lovett Brook during their habitat assessment survey (ESS 2003).

Too limited data are available so the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses are not assessed. However, these uses are identified with an Alert Status because of elevated bacteria counts associated with wet weather sampling conditions. The *Aesthetics Use* is assessed as support based on observations reported by ESS.

Designated Uses		Status	
Aquatic Life	B	NOT ASSESSED*	
Fish Consumption	$ \bigcirc $	NOT ASSESSED	
Primary Contact	A.	NOT ASSESSED*	
Secondary Contact		NOT ASSESSED*	
Aesthetics	W	SUPPORT	

# Lovett Brook (MA62-46) Use Summary Table

\* Alert Status issues identified, see details in use assessment

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Review and implement appropriate recommendations from the ESS Nonpoint Source Pollution Assessment Report and Management Plan (ESS 2003).

Conduct biological monitoring in Lovett Brook to evaluate the status of the Aquatic Life Use.

Continue to conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate the effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

# SALISBURY BROOK (SEGMENT MA62-08)

Location: From the outlet of Cross Pond, Brockton to the confluence with Trout Brook forming the Salisbury Plain River, Brockton.

Segment Length: 2.5 miles Classification: Class B

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 8.2 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

Residential..... 36.2% Forest ....... 27.5% Industrial...... 10.5%

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is 30.6%.

The use assessments for Brockton Reservoir (MA62023), Waldo Lake (MA62201), Upper Porter Pond (MA62200), Lower Porter Pond (MA62111), Thirty Acre Pond (MA62190), and Cross Pond (MA62052) are in the Lake Assessment section of this report.

This segment is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 5 for not meeting water quality criteria for siltation and pathogens (MassDEP 2003).

# WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL AND NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY



Based on available information there are no WMA regulated withdrawals and no NPDES dischargers in this subwatershed.

# USE ASSESSMENT

# AQUATIC LIFE

## Habitat and Flow

ESS conducted habitat evaluations at five sites along Salisbury Brook in June/July 2002 (ESS 2003). The stations (upstream to downstream) were located at Elmwood Avenue (Station SB3), near Belmont Avenue (Station SB5), near Montgomery Street (Station SB4), near Chester Street (Station SB2) and near Otis Street (Station SB1). The habitat assessment scores were generally low ranging from 59 to 136/200. Channel flow status and bank stability were the only habitat variables that consistently scored in the suboptimal range at all five sites evaluated. Instream habitat in this brook was limited as a direct result of development (poor instream cover, significant channel alteration, some sediment deposition and little to no riparian zones) (ESS 2003). It should also be noted that the brook is channelized underground between Stations SB4 and SB2.

## Chemistry - water

Between June and November 2002, five stations were sampled by ESS along this segment of Salisbury Brook as part of their NPS study. Results of these surveys are can be summarized as follows (ESS 2003):

SB3 - Elmwood Avenue, Brockton (n=5 sampling events)

SB5 - near Belmont Avenue, Brockton (n=3 sampling events)

SB4 - near Montgomery Street, Brockton (n=3 sampling events)

SB2 - near Chester Street, Brockton (n=5 sampling events), and

SB1 - near Otis Street, Brockton (n=5 sampling events)

## Dissolved Oxygen and % Saturation

The concentration of dissolved oxygen at the five stations monitored ranged from 2.1 to 8.3 mg/L with five of the 18 measurements <5.0 mg/L (all measurements were taken during the day). Percent saturation

ranged from 22.4 to 91.6 and five of the 18 measurements were less than 60% saturation. The lowest DOs were measured during the August survey.

#### Temperature

The highest temperature measured in Salisbury Brook was 23.5°C (Station SB4) on 1 August 2002.

pН

The pH in Salisbury Brook ranged from 6.3 to 7.8 SU at the five stations monitored. Only one of the 21 measurements was less than 6.5 SU.

#### Specific Conductance

Specific conductance ranged from 256.9 to 561.0 µmhos/cm (n=21).

TSS

The TSS concentrations ranged from <1 to 68 mg/L at the five stations sampled in Salisbury Brook. Two of the 21 samples exceeded 25 mg/L (one at SB3 and one at SB1).

## TKN

The concentration of TKN ranged from 0.2 to 3.0 mg/L (n=21).

#### Total Phosphorus

Total phosphorus concentrations ranged from 0.02 to 0.54 mg/L. Six of the 21 measurements were  $\leq$  0.05 mg/L.

A 0.4 mile reach of Salisbury Brook is impaired because of physical alteration (underground and culverted) that results in a reduction of habitat available for aquatic life. The remaining portions of Salisbury Brook are not assessed for the *Aquatic Life Use* due to the lack of instream biological data (response type indicators of in-stream water quality conditions). This use is identified with an Alert Status, however, because of concerns over habitat degradation: poor instream cover, significant channel alteration, sediment deposition, little to no riparian zones, and, elevated total phosphorus and low dissolved oxygen concentrations.

## PRIMARY AND SECONDARY CONTACT RECREATION AND AESTHETICS

ESS collected fecal coliform and *E.coli* samples at four sampling stations in Salisbury Brook between June and November 2002 during both dry and wet weather events. From upstream to downstream these stations can be summarized as follows (ESS 2003):

- SB3 Elmwood Avenue, Brockton
- SB5 near Belmont Avenue, Brockton
- SB4 near Montgomery Street, Brockton
- SB2 near Chester Street, Brockton
- SB1 near Otis Street, Brockton

		2001			
Station	Fecal Coliform data range (cfu/100 mL)	Geometric Mean (cfu/100 mL)	<i>E.coli</i> bacteria data range (cfu/100 mL)	Geometric Mean (cfu/100 mL)	Number of Samples
SB3	70 – 10,000*	933	70 – 10,000	913	5
*60% of	the samples collected	during the prima	ary contact season e	xceeded 400 cfu/100	) mL and 40%
exceede	d 2,000 cfu/100 mL.	0	•		
SB5	1,400 - 20,000*	NA	1,400 - 20,000	NA	3
* Both s	amples collected durin	g the primary co	ntact season exceed	led 2,000 cfu/100 mL	
SB4	310 – 13,000*	NA	<100 – 11,000	NA	3
*One of the two samples collected during the primary contact season exceeded 2,000 cfu/100mL.					
SB2	2,700 - 44,000*	13,035	2,400 - 42,000	11,667	5
All of the samples collected during the primary contact season exceeded 2,000 cfu/100 mL.					
SB1	1,700 - 20,000	5,930	800 – 18,000	3,941	5
*80% of	the samples collected	during the prima	ary contact season e	xceeded 2,000 cfu/1	00 mL.

# ESS 2003 bacteria data

No objectionable oils, odors, or other conditions were identified by ESS at any of the five sampling locations in Salisbury Brook with the exception of trash and debris in Salisbury Brook near Chester Street (Station SB2) (ESS 2003).

The *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses are assessed as impaired because of elevated bacteria counts. The *Aesthetics Use* is assessed as support.

Designated Uses		Status
Aquatic Life		NOT ASSESSED upper 1.0 mile reach* IMPAIRED 0.4 mile reach Causes: Physical substrate alteration and habitat assessment Source: Channelization NOT ASSESSED lower 1.1 mile reach*
Fish Consumption	$\odot$	NOT ASSESSED
Primary Contact	16	IMPAIRED Cause: Fecal coliform bacteria Source: Unknown (Suspected Sources: Discharges from municipal separate storm sewer systems, illicit connections/hookups to storm sewers and municipal (urbanized high density area))
Secondary Contact		IMPAIRED Cause: Fecal coliform bacteria Source: Unknown (Suspected Sources: Discharges from municipal separate storm sewer systems, illicit connections/hookups to storm sewers and municipal (urbanized high density area))
Aesthetics	W	SUPPORT

#### Salisbury Brook (MA62-08) Use Summary Table

\*Alert Status issues identified, see details in use assessment

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Review and implement appropriate recommendations from the ESS Nonpoint Source Pollution Assessment Report and Management Plan (ESS 2003).

Conduct monitoring (biological, habitat and water quality) to evaluate impacts to Salisbury Brook from potential sources of pollution and to better assess the status of the *Aquatic Life Use*.

Continue to conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and other actions (i.e., illicit connection identification/remediation) and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

# **TROUT BROOK (SEGMENT MA62-07)**

Location: Source northeast of Argyle Avenue and west of Conrail Line, Avon to the confluence with the

Salisbury Brook forming the Salisbury Plain River, Brockton. Segment Length: 3.4 miles

Classification: Class B, Warm Water Fishery

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 6.9 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

Residential..... 59.2% Forest .......... 13.9% Open land...... 12.2%

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is 25.6%.

This segment is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 5 for not meeting water quality criteria for siltation, organic enrichment/low DO, and pathogens (MassDEP 2003).



# WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G5)

Facility	WMA Permit Number	WMA Registration Number	Source (G = ground)	Authorized Withdrawal (MGD)
Avon Water Department	9P42501801	42501801	4018000-01G 4018000-02G 4018000-03G 4018000-04G 4018000-05G 4018000-06G	0.45 reg <u>0.16 perm</u> Total – 0.61

# NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G3)

Avon Custom Mixing Services, Inc., a manufacturer of elastometric compounds (rubber products), is authorized to discharge from its facility, Division of Chase and Sons, to Trout Brook. Although the NPDES permit #MA0026883 was issued November 2001, the company appealed the permit. Their permit appeal was denied in August 2002. Under the conditions of their permit, the facility is authorized to discharge 0.0015 MGD of treated sanitary effluent from its wastewater treatment facility and 0.15 MGD of combined non-contact cooling water and stormwater discharge from Outfall 002. Whole effluent toxicity limits are C-NOEC>21% and  $LC_{50}>100\%$  with a monitoring frequency of four times a year using both *Ceriodaphnia dubia* and *Pimephales promelas*. According to MassDEP Northeast Regional Office, the facility has occasional violations of their fecal coliform bacteria and ammonia limits (Ahsan 2005).

The former Hybripac Inc. in Avon was issued an emergency exclusion for their groundwater remediation project in 1997, which is no longer in effect (Pellerin 1997).

#### USE ASSESSMENT AQUATIC LIFE

# Habitat and Flow

#### Habitat and Flow

ESS conducted instream habitat evaluations at four sites along Trout Brook in June/July 2002. The stations (upstream to downstream) were located at Studley Avenue, off of North Montello Street, Brockton (Station TB4); near East Ashland Street, Brockton (Station TB2); near Court Street, Brockton (Station TB3); and near Crescent Street (Route 27), Brockton (Station TB1). The habitat assessment scores were generally low ranging from 86 to 114/200. Channel flow status was the only habitat variable that

consistently scored in the suboptimal range at all four sites evaluated. Instream habitat in this brook was limited as a direct result of development, poor instream cover, significant channel alteration, some sediment deposition, moderately unstable banks and little to no riparian zones (ESS 2003).

#### Toxicity

#### Effluent

One modified acute and chronic whole effluent toxicity test was conducted on the Avon Custom Mixing, Inc. treated sanitary effluent (Outfall #001) using both *Ceriodaphnia dubia* and *Pimephales promelas*. No acute or chronic toxicity to either test organism was detected in the August 2004 test. No other whole effluent toxicity testing reports have been submitted to MassDEP.

#### Chemistry - water

Between June and November 2002, the following four stations were sampled by ESS along this segment of Trout Brook as part of their NPS study (ESS 2003).

TB4 – Studley Avenue, off of North Montello Street, Brockton (n=3 sampling events).

TB2 – East Ashland Street, Brockton (n=5 sampling events).

TB3 – Court Street, Brockton (n=3 sampling events).

TB1 – Crescent Street (Route 27), Brockton (n=5 sampling events).

Results of these surveys are summarized below).

#### Dissolved Oxygen and % Saturation

The concentration of dissolved oxygen at the four stations monitored (day surveys only) ranged from 2.6 to 7.9 mg/L with eight of the fourteen measurements <5.0 mg/L. Percent saturation ranged from 30.8 to 85.9 and 11 of the 14 measurements were less than 60% saturation.

#### Temperature

The highest temperature measured in Trout Brook was 28.8°C (Station TB4) on the 1 August 2002.

#### pН

The pH in Trout Brook ranged from 6.0 to 7.8 SU at the four stations monitored. Only three of the 16 measurements were less than 6.5 SU.

#### Specific Conductance

Specific conductance ranged from 134.4 to 481.0 µmhos/cm (n=16).

## TSS

The TSS concentrations ranged from 2.0 to 27 mg/L at the four stations sampled in Trout Brook. It should be noted that the highest concentrations (23 to 27 mg/L) were measured in the lower reach of the brook near Court Street and Crescent Street (Stations TB3 and TB1).

## TKN

The concentration of TKN ranged from 0.3 to 2.6 mg/L (n=16).

## Total Phosphorus

Total phosphorus concentrations ranged from 0.04 to 0.20 mg/L, however, it should be noted that the highest concentrations were consistently measured in the lower reach of the brook near Court Street and Crescent Street (stations TB3 and TB1). Only one of the 16 measurements was <0.05 mg/L.

The Aquatic Life Use is not assessed for Trout Brook as a result of the lack of instream biological data (response type indicators of in-stream water quality conditions). This use in this urbanized subwatershed is identified with an Alert Status because of habitat degradation, low dissolved oxygen/saturation and elevated total phosphorus concentrations.

### PRIMARY AND SECONDARY CONTACT RECREATION AND AESTHETICS

Fecal coliform and *E.coli* samples were collected at four sampling stations in Trout Brook between June and November 2002 during both dry and wet weather events. From upstream to downstream these stations are summarized as follows (ESS 2003):

TB4 – Studley Avenue, off of North Montello Street, Brockton

TB2 – East Ashland Street, Brockton

TB3 – Court Street, Brockton

TB1 – Crescent Street (Route 27), Brockton

Samples were also collected from three tributaries to Trout Brook (Stations SEB1 and SEB2 on Searles Brook, Station MAB1 on Malfardar Brook, and Stations CB1 and CB2 on Cary Brook).

ECO 0000 hastaria data

		ESS 2003	bacteria data			
Station	Fecal Coliform data range (cfu/100 mL)	Geometric Mean (cfu/100 mL)	<i>E.coli</i> bacteria data range (cfu/100 mL)	Geometric Mean (cfu/100 mL)	Number of Samples	
TB4	1,100 - 9,600*	NA	1,000 - 8,400	NA	3	
*Both sa	*Both samples collected during the primary contact season exceeded 2,000 cfu/100 mL.					
TB2	120 and 16,000*	1,829	70 and 10,000	1,344	5	
*60% of	*60% of the samples collected during the primary contact season exceeded 2,000 cfu/100 mL.					
TB3	4,200 - 48,000*	NA	4,000 - 22,000	NA	3	
*All of the samples collected during the primary contact season exceeded 2,000 cfu/100mL.						
TB1	1,200 - 64,000*	8,020	1,200 - 55,000	6,643	5	
					_	

\*80% of the samples collected during the primary contact season exceeded 2,000 cfu/100 mL.

NB: Elevated bacteria counts in Trout Brook are representative of both dry and wet weather sampling conditions. Elevated bacteria counts were also documented in the three tributaries (ESS 2003).

No objectionable oils, odors, or other objectionable conditions were identified by ESS at the two most upstream sampling locations in Trout Brook (Station TB4) near Studley Avenue, off of North Montello Street, and near East Ashland Street, Brockton (Station TB2). Further downstream, however, near Court Street, Brockton (Station TB3), sewage and chemical odors were noted and the water column was described as opaque. No objectionable conditions (odors, oils, other deposits) were noted by ESS at the most downstream sampling location in Trout Brook near Crescent Street (Route 27), Brockton (Station TB1) (ESS 2003).

The *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses are assessed as impaired because of elevated bacteria counts. The *Aesthetics Use* is assessed as support upstream from East Ashland Street (upper 2.1 mile reach) but is assessed as impaired downstream from East Ashland Street (lower 1.3 mile reach) because of objectionable conditions reported by ESS.

### Trout Brook (MA62-07) Summary Table

Designated Uses		Status
Aquatic Life	C.	NOT ASSESSED*
Fish Consumption	$\odot$	NOT ASSESSED
Primary Contact	16	IMPAIRED Cause: Fecal coliform bacteria Source: Unknown (Suspected Sources: Discharges from municipal separate storm sewer systems, illicit connections/hookups to storm sewers and municipal (urbanized high density area))
Secondary Contact	$\mathbb{A}$	IMPAIRED Cause: Fecal coliform bacteria Source: Unknown (Suspected Sources: Discharges from municipal separate storm sewer systems, illicit connections/hookups to storm sewers and municipal (urbanized high density area))
Aesthetics	W	SUPPORT upper 2.1 mile reach IMPAIRED lower 1.3 mile reach Causes: Visual turbidity and total suspended solids Sources: Unknown (Suspected Source: Illicit connections/hookups to storm sewers)

\* Alert Status issues identified, see details in use assessment

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Review and implement appropriate recommendations from the ESS Nonpoint Source Pollution Assessment Report and Management Plan (ESS 2003).

Conduct monitoring (biological, habitat and water quality) to evaluate impacts to Trout Brook from potential sources of pollution and to better assess the status of the *Aquatic Life Use*.

Continue to conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and other actions (i.e., illicit connection identification/remediation) and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

# SALISBURY PLAIN RIVER (SEGMENT MA62-05)

Location: From the confluence of Trout and Salisbury brooks, Brockton to the Brockton AWRF discharge, Brockton. Segment Length: 2.4 miles Classification: Class B

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 16.8 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

Residential..... 47.9% Forest ........... 20.1% Open land....... 8.9%

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is 29.6%.

This segment is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 5 for not meeting water quality criteria for siltation, pathogens, suspended solids, and other habitat alterations (MassDEP 2003).

#### WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G5) AND NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY



Facility	WMA Permit Number	WMA Registration Number	Source (G = ground)	Authorized Withdrawal (MGD)
Brockton DPW Water Division	9P42504401	42504402	01G	0.04 reg <u>0.83 perm</u> 0.87 total
Churchill Linen Service	V42504401	NA	01G	0.09 reg

Based on available information there are no NPDES dischargers in this subwatershed.

# USE ASSESSMENT

# AQUATIC LIFE

# Habitat and Flow

ESS conducted habitat evaluations at two sites along this segment of the Salisbury Plain River near Plain Street, Brockton (Station SPR2) and near #1690 Main Street, Brockton (Station SPR3) in June/July 2002. The overall habitat assessment scores were 113 and 98/200, respectively. The instream habitat near Plain Street was limited by lack of velocity/depth combinations and limited riffle areas. Channel alteration was evident and the riparian vegetative width and bank stability were also somewhat limited.

Embeddedness/sediment deposition, limited riffle areas, and lack of velocity/depth combinations and bank stability contributed to the lower habitat assessment score of the river near #1690 Main Street (ESS 2003).

#### **Biology**

In July 1996 DWM conducted a Rapid Bioassessment Protocol (RBP) II benthic macroinvertebrate survey in this segment of the Salisbury Plain River (Station TR02) upstream from the Brockton WWTP, Brockton. The results of this survey can be found in Appendix E.

#### Chemistry - water

Between June and November 2002 water quality sampling was conducted by ESS at two sites in this segment of the Salisbury Plain River as part of the ESS NPS study. The most upstream station was

located near Plain Street, Brockton (Station SPR2) while the downstream station was located behind #1690 Main Street (Station SPR3) (ESS 2003). The results of this survey are summarized below.

#### Dissolved Oxygen and % Saturation

DO measurements ranged from 4.0 to 7.9 mg/L at Station SPR2 with percent saturations ranging from 45 to 86.9%. Of the three measurements taken (day surveys only) in the river at Station SPR3 DOs ranged from 3.3 to 7.0 mg/L with saturations ranging from 42.2 to 68.2%. Of the seven measurements taken at these two stations, three were below 5.0 mg/L and 60% saturation.

#### Temperature

The maximum temperature recorded in the Salisbury Plain River was 28.5°C (station SPR3 in August 2002).

# pН

The pH ranged from 6.5 to 7.6 SU in this segment of the Salisbury Plain River.

#### Specific Conductance

Specific conductance ranged from 199.9 to 470.0 µmhos/cm in this segment of the Salisbury Plain River.

## TSS

TSS concentrations measured in this segment of the Salisbury Plain River ranged from 1.0 to 12.0 mg/L at both sampling stations (n=8).

#### TKN

TKN ranged from 0.3 to 1.4 mg/L (n=8).

#### Total Phosphorus

Total phosphorus concentrations ranged from 0.04 to 0.17 mg/L and two of the eight measurements were <0.05 mg/L.

The Aquatic Life Use is not assessed for this segment of the Salisbury Plain River because of the lack of instream biological data (response type indicators of in-stream water quality conditions). This use is identified with an Alert Status, however, because of habitat degradation, low dissolved oxygen/saturation and slightly elevated total phosphorus concentrations in this urbanized subwatershed.

## PRIMARY AND SECONDARY CONTACT RECREATION AND AESTHETICS

As part of the ESS NPS study, both fecal coliform and *E.coli* bacteria were collected between June and November 2002. The most upstream station was located near Plain Street, Brockton (Station SPR2) while the downstream station was located behind #1690 Main Street (Station SPR3) (ESS 2003). These data are summarized below.

	ESS 2003 bacteria data					
Station	Fecal Coliform data range (cfu/100 mL)	Geometric Mean (cfu/100 mL)*	<i>E.coli</i> bacteria data range (cfu/100 mL)	Geometric Mean (cfu/100 mL)	Number of Samples	
SPR2	2,000 - 20,000*	5,168	900 - 13,000	3,572	5	
*100% samples collected during the primary contact season exceeded 2,000 cfu/100mL						
SPR3	2,300 - 5,800*	NA	2,000 - 5,000	NA	3	
*Both samples collected during the primary contact season exceeded 2 000 cfu/100ml						

NB: It should be noted that these results represent both wet and dry weather sampling conditions.

With the exception of turbidity being noted by ESS in the Salisbury Plain River near #1690 Main Street (Station SPR3), no other objectionable conditions (i.e., odors, colors, deposits) were documented (ESS 2003). No information was provided on objectionable conditions such as trash and debris in this urbanized subwatershed.

This segment of the Salisbury Plain River is assessed as impaired for both the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses because of elevated levels of bacteria during both wet and dry weather sampling conditions. The *Aesthetics Use* is not assessed.

#### Salisbury Plain River (MA62-05) Use Summary Table

Designate	d Uses	Status
Aquatic Life	S.	NOT ASSESSED*
Fish Consumption	$\bigcirc$	NOT ASSESSED
Primary Contact	A.	IMPAIRED Cause: Fecal coliform bacteria Source: Unknown (Suspected Sources: Discharges from municipal separate storm sewer systems, illicit connections/hookups to storm sewers and municipal (urbanized high density area))
Secondary Contact		IMPAIRED Cause: Fecal coliform bacteria Source: Unknown (Suspected Sources: Discharges from municipal separate storm sewer s ystems, illicit connections/hookups to storm sewers and municipal (urbanized high density area))
Aesthetics	W	NOT ASSESSED

\*Alert Status issues identified, see details in use assessment

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Review and implement appropriate recommendations from the ESS Nonpoint Source Pollution Assessment Report and Management Plan (ESS 2003).

Conduct monitoring (biological, habitat and water quality) to evaluate impacts to the Salisbury Plain River from potential sources of pollution and to better assess the status of the *Aquatic Life Use*.

Continue to conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and other actions (i.e., illicit connection identification/remediation) and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

# SALISBURY PLAIN RIVER (SEGMENT MA62-06)

Location: From the Brockton ARWF discharge, Brockton to the confluence with Beaver Brook forming the

Matfield River, East Bridgewater. Segment Length: 2.3 miles Classification: Class B, Warm Water Fishery

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 21.3 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

Residential..... 45.7% Forest ........... 24.5% Open land........ 9.3%

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is 25.7%.

This segment is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 5 for not meeting water quality criteria for pathogens and causes unknown (MassDEP 2003).



# WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G5)

Facility	WMA Permit Number	WMA Registration Number	Source (G = ground)	Authorized Withdrawal (MGD)
West Bridgewater Water Department*	9P42532201	42532201	4322000-01G 4322000-02G 4322000-04G 4322000-05G	0.73 reg <u>0.08 perm</u> Total – 0.81

\* Indicates system -wide withdrawal

# NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G1)

The City of Brockton is authorized to discharge treated sanitary and industrial wastewater (no flow limit in permit) via Outfall #001 from the Brockton Advanced Water Reclamation Facility into the Salisbury Plain River (NPDES permit# MA0101010 issued May 2005). This advanced activated sludge facility incorporates nitrification for ammonia-nitrogen reduction (1 mg/l NH<sub>3</sub>-N average monthly June 1 to October 31). The ammonia-nitrogen concentrations listed in the facility's whole effluent toxicity test reports between November 1999 and August 2004 ranged from <0.10 to 16.33 mg/L (n=29). Total phosphorus (TP) reduction is accomplished by chemical addition (1 mg/l TP average monthly May 1 to October 31). The pH measurements listed in the facility's whole effluent toxicity test reports between November 1999 and August 2004 ranged from 6.92 to 7.62 SU (n=30). The facility utilizes sodium hypochlorite for seasonal disinfection and sulfur dioxide for dechlorination (TRC limit = 0.011 mg/L average monthly April 1 to October 31, 0.019 mg/L maximum daily) (Norton 2004). The TRC measurements listed in the facility's whole effluent toxicity limits are LC<sub>50</sub>≥100% and C-NOEC ≥98% with a monitoring frequency of six times/year using *Ceriodaphnia dubia*.

The City of Brockton has received funding through the 2003 SRF program to rehabilitate its aging collection system and its treatment facility. The project objective is to eliminate the environmental and public health issues associated with the Sewer System overflows and discharge violations at the treatment facility. Contract #1 will implement the recommended improvements in the July 2000 WWTF Project Evaluation Report, while Contract #2 will implement improvements in the August 2000 city wide sewer system evaluation report. The Brockton WWTP in 2004 has begun a 3-phase facility-wide upgrade

that is projected to take 6 years. Proposed in the upgrade are additional advanced treatment processes such as biological nutrient reduction (BNR) for total nitrogen reduction and multipoint chemical addition for total phosphorus reduction. A new draft permit is under review and does contain significant lower limits for nitrogen and phosphorus (Norton 2004).

# USE ASSESSMENT

# AQUATIC LIFE

# Habitat and Flow

In August 2001 DWM evaluated habitat conditions in this segment of the Salisbury Plain River near Belmont Street, West Bridgewater (station TR03). The habitat assessment score was 168/200. Riparian zone disruption and erosion along the right bank affected the score negatively. Filamentous green algae covered approximately 50% of the reach (Appendix D). DWM also sampled this site in the Salisbury Plain River in July 1996 (Appendix E).

ESS documented similar conditions during their habitat evaluation of the Salisbury Plain River near Belmont Street, West Bridgewater (Station SPR1) in June 2002. Their overall score was 160/200 (ESS 2003).

#### **Biology**

The results of DWM's RBP III analysis of the benthic community in the Salisbury Plain River (Station TR03) was "moderately impacted" compared to the Canoe River (TR01) reference station (Appendix D). DWM biologists concluded that water quality degradation was related to organic enrichment and low dissolved oxygen. RBP II results from the 1996 survey can be found in Appendix E.

#### Toxicity

#### Effluent

A total of 30 toxicity tests were conducted on the Brockton WWTP effluent (Outfall #001) between November 1999 and August 2004 using *Ceriodaphnia dubia*. The effluent did not exhibit acute toxicity in 24 of the 30 tests. The  $LC_{50}$ s of the six acutely toxic tests ranged from 35.4 to 99.9% effluent. Several (n=3) of the chronic tests were invalid (did not meet test acceptability criterion). Of the 27 valid tests, the C-NOEC results ranged from <6.25 to 100% and 12 of the 27 tests (44%) were less than 98% effluent.

#### Chemistry - water

Between June and August 2002 ESS conducted water quality sampling on five occasions at one station in this segment of the Salisbury Plain River near Belmont Street, West Bridgewater (Station SPR1) as part of the ESS NPS study. These results are presented below.

#### Dissolved Oxygen and % Saturation

All four measurements of DO were <5.0 mg/L and 60% ranging from 1.9 to 4.4 mg/L and 23.5 to 50.1%, respectively.

*Temperature* The maximum temperature was 22.8°C (n=5).

#### pН

The pH ranged from 6.7 to 7.4 SU.

#### Specific Conductance

Specific conductance ranged from 323.5 to 652.0 µmhos/cm.

TSS

The concentration of TSS ranged from 1.0 to 9.0 mg/L (n=5).

TKN

TKN ranged from 0.9 to 4.4 mg/L.

#### Total Phosphorus

Total phosphorus concentrations ranged from 0.16 to 0.37 mg/L (n=5).

The Aquatic Life Use is assessed as impaired for this segment of the Salisbury Plain River based primarily on the results of the benthic macroinvertebrate community analysis and the limited water quality data. Low dissolved oxygen/saturation and elevated total phosphorus concentrations were both documented and are associated with the Brockton Advanced Water Reclamation Facility discharge and nonpoint source pollution in this urbanized subwatershed. Acute and chronic toxicity in the Brockton Advanced Water Reclamation Facility effluent are also of concern.

### PRIMARY AND SECONDARY CONTACT RECREATION AND AESTHETICS

Both fecal coliform and *E.coli* bacteria samples were collected by ESS from the Salisbury Plain River in this segment of the Salisbury Plain River near Belmont Street, West Bridgewater (Station SPR1) between June and August 2002 (ESS 2003). These data are summarized below.

Station	Fecal Coliform data	Geometric Mean	E.coli bacteria data	Geometric Mean
Station	range (cfu/100mL)	(cfu/100mL)	range (cfu/100mL)	(cfu/100mL)
SPR1	65 – 14,000*	632	62 - 14,000	626
*80% of the samples collected were < 400 cfu/100mL but only one of the five samples exceeded 2,000				
cfu/100m	L.			

#### ESS 2003 bacteria data

It should be noted that these results represent both wet and dry weather sampling conditions.

Sewage odors, turbidity, filamentous green algae and trash/construction materials were observed in the Salisbury Plain River near Belmont Street, West Bridgewater by both DWM and ESS staff in 2001 and 2002 (MassDEP 2001a and ESS 2003).

The *Primary Contact Recreational Use* is assessed as impaired because of elevated bacteria counts. The *Secondary Contact Recreational* and *Aesthetics* uses are also assessed as impaired because of the objectionable conditions (odors, turbidity, filamentous green algae and trash and debris). These uses are impaired as a result of the Brockton Advanced Water Reclamation Facility discharge and nonpoint source pollution in this urbanized subwatershed.

#### Salisbury Plain River (MA62-06) Use Summary Table

Designated Uses		Status
Aquatic Life	A	IMPAIRED Causes: Degraded benthic macroinvertebrate bioassessment, low dissolved oxygen/saturation, excess algal growth, and total phosphorus Source: Municipal point source discharge (Suspected Sources: Discharges from municipal separate storm sewer systems and municipal (urbanized high density area))
Fish Consumption	$\bigcirc$	NOT ASSESSED
Primary Contact	L.	IMPAIRED Causes: Fecal coliform bacteria, excess algal growth, turbidity, odor, and trash/debris Sources: Municipal point source discharge (Suspected Sources: Discharges from municipal separate storm sewer systems and municipal (urbanized high density area))
Secondary Contact		IMPAIRED Causes: Fecal coliform bacteria, excess algal growth, turbidity, odor, and trash/debris Sources: Municipal point source discharge (Suspected Sources: Discharges from municipal separate storm sewer systems and municipal (urbanized high density area))
Aesthetics	W	IMPAIRED Causes: Excess algal growth, turbidity, odor, and trash/debris Sources: Municipal point source discharge (Suspected Sources: Discharges from municipal separate storm sewer systems and municipal (urbanized high density area))

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Review and implement appropriate recommendations from the ESS Nonpoint Source Pollution Assessment Report and Management Plan (ESS 2003).

Continue to conduct monitoring (biological, habitat and water quality) to evaluate conditions in the Salisbury Plain River resulting from the upgrade of the Brockton Advanced Water Reclamation Facility.

Water quality monitoring is also recommended to evaluate other potential sources of pollution to this segment of the Salisbury Plain River and to better assess the status of the *Aquatic Life Use*.

Continue to conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and other actions (i.e., illicit connection identification/remediation) and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

Continue to evaluate the Brockton Advanced Water Reclamation Facility NPDES discharge permit and update with appropriate limits and monitoring requirements. A toxicity identification/toxicity reduction evaluation should also be required if acute/chronic toxicity continues to be problematic.

# **BEAVER BROOK (SEGMENT MA62-09)**

Location: Outlet Cleveland Pond, Abington to the confluence with the Salisbury Plain River (forming Matfield

River), East Bridgewater. Segment Length: 6.8 miles Classification: Class B

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 9.4 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

Forest ...... 49.6% Residential..... 28.4% Open land..... 10.2%

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is less than 10%.

This segment is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 3 (MassDEP 2003).

The use assessments for Cushing Pond (MA62056) and Cleveland Pond (MA62042) are in the Lake Assessment section of this report.

# WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL SUMMARY AND NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY

Based on available information there are no WMA withdrawals or NPDES dischargers in this subwatershed.

# USE ASSESSMENT

#### AQUATIC LIFE Habitat and Flow

Using MassDEP guidelines, ESS conducted habitat assessments on two reaches along Beaver Brook: East Ashland/Groveland Street, Brockton/Abington (Station BB2) and near Crescent Street (Route 27), Brockton (Station BB1) during the summer of 2002. At the upstream location (Station BB2) the overall assessment score was 136/200. Instream cover for fish was somewhat limited. Embeddedness, marginal epifaunal substrate, and limited velocity/depth combinations were also noted (ESS 2003).

Further downstream near Crescent Street (Route 27), Brockton (Station BB1) the instream habitat was even more degraded (score 98/200). Here, in addition to the problems noted at the upstream sampling reach, channel alteration and sediment deposition problems were also identified. It should also be noted that one reach in the upper portion of Beaver Brook (upstream from Cleveland Pond) was also sampled by ESS (ESS 2003).

## Chemistry - water

Between June and September 2002, two stations were sampled (five surveys per station) by ESS along this segment of Beaver Brook as part of their NPS study. Results of these surveys on the following stations are summarized below (ESS 2003):

BB2 - East Ashland/Groveland Street, Brockton/Abington.

BB1 - Crescent Street (Route 27), Brockton.

Although not discussed here ESS also sampled a station in Beaver Brook upstream from Cleveland Pond near Plymouth Street, Holbrook (Station BB3).

#### Dissolved Oxygen and % Saturation

Dissolved oxygen in Beaver Brook near Groveland Street (Station BB2) ranged from 3.6 to 5.8 mg/L with two measurements < 5.0 mg/L (day surveys only). The saturation ranged from 37.5% to 64% (2 of 5 measurements were less than 60% saturation. It should be noted that these data do not represent predawn sampling conditions. Further downstream the dissolved oxygen concentrations ranged from 2.0 to 6.9 mg/L with saturations between 21.9 and 77.8%. Two of four measurements were <5.0 mg/L and three of four saturations were <60%.



### Temperature

The maximum temperature recorded in this segment of Beaver Brook was 21.9°C.

#### pН

The pH ranged from 6.4 to 7.4 SU in this segment of Beaver Brook and only one of 10 measurements was <6.5 SU.

#### Specific Conductance

Specific conductance ranged from 163.0 to 400.0 µmhos/cm at the two sampling stations monitored in this segment of Beaver Brook.

#### TSS

The highest concentration of TSS measured in this segment of Beaver Brook was 10 mg/L (n=10).

#### TKN

The concentration of TKN in Beaver Brook at both ESS sampling locations ranged from 0.3 to 1.4 mg/L (n=10). Slightly higher concentrations were found in the river near East Ashland/Groveland Street, Brockton/Abington (station BB2).

#### Total Phosphorus

Total phosphorus concentrations ranged from 0.04 to 0.08 mg/L (n=10). Half of the measurements were  $\geq$  0.05 mg/L.

The Aquatic Life Use is not assessed for this segment of Beaver Brook because of the lack of instream biological data (response type indicators of in-stream water quality conditions). This use is identified with an Alert Status, however, because of concerns over habitat degradation resulting from sedimentation and slightly elevated total phosphorus concentrations in this urbanized subwatershed. Whether or not low dissolved oxygen/saturation results from anthropogenic influences or from natural conditions (wetland influences) is unknown.

#### PRIMARY AND SECONDARY CONTACT RECREATION AND AESTHETICS

Both fecal coliform and *E.coli* bacteria were collected by ESS at two stations in this segment of Beaver Brook between June and September 2002. The sampling locations were near East Ashland/Groveland Street, Brockton/Abington (Station BB2) and near Crescent Street (Route 27), Brockton (Station BB1). ESS also sampled a station in Beaver Brook upstream from Cleveland Pond near Plymouth Street, Holbrook (Station BB3) in the upper part of this subwatershed. The data can be summarized as follows: ESS 2003 bacteria data

Station	Fecal Coliform data range (cfu/100 mL)	Geometric Mean (cfu/100 mL)	<i>E.coli</i> bacteria data range (cfu/100 mL)	Geometric Mean (cfu/100 mL)	Number of Samples	
BB2	12 – 9,600*	823	10 – 9,400	756	5	
*60% samples exceeded 2,000 cfu/100mL (counts ranging from 4,800 to 9,600 cfu/100mL)						
BB1	120 – 2,300*	539	80 - 2,200	358	5	
*60% samples exceeded 400 cfu/100mL and one sample exceeded 2,000 cfu/100mL						
BB3	140 – 16,000*	2,027	140 – 13,000	1,772	5	
*60% samples exceeded 2 000 cfu/100ml						

It should be noted that all elevated bacteria counts were associated with wet weather sampling

It should be noted that all elevated bacteria counts were associated with wet weather sample conditions.

No objectionable oils, odors, or other objectionable conditions were identified by ESS at the two sampling locations in Beaver Brook during their habitat assessment surveys (ESS 2003).

The *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses are assessed as impaired because of elevated bacteria counts associated with wet weather sampling conditions. The *Aesthetics Use* is assessed as support based on observations reported by ESS.

#### Beaver Brook (MA62-09) Use Summary Table

Designated Uses		Status
Aquatic Life	()	NOT ASSESSED*
Fish Consumption	sh onsumption NOT ASSESSED	
Primary Contact	A.	IMPAIRED Cause: Fecal coliform bacteria Source: Unknown (Suspected Sources: Discharges from municipal separate storm sewer systems and municipal (urbanized high density area))
Secondary Contact		IMPAIRED Cause: Fe cal coliform bacteria Source: Unknown (Suspected Sources: Discharges from municipal separate storm sewer systems and municipal (urbanized high density area))
Aesthetics	W	SUPPORT

\* Alert Status issues identified, see details in use assessment

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Review and implement appropriate recommendations from the ESS Nonpoint Source Pollution Assessment Report and Management Plan (ESS 2003).

Conduct biological monitoring in Beaver Brook to evaluate the status of the Aquatic Life Use.

Continue to conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

Conduct instream water quality monitoring to determine if low DO is naturally occurring (influence from wetlands).

# **MEADOW BROOK (SEGMENT MA62-38)**

Location: Headwaters north of Pine Street, Whitman (through Forge Pond, East Bridgewater) to the confluence with the Matfield River, East Bridgewater.

Segment Length: 6.0 Classification: Class B

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 7.5 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

Forest ...... 46.1%

- Residential..... 32.7%
- Open land......7.3%

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is 11.5%.



#### WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G5)

Facility	, WMA Permit Number	WMA Registration Number	Source (S = surface)	Authorized Withdrawal (MGD)
Cameron Woodward Sod Farm*	NA	42508301	C-3S	0.24 reg

\* Indicates system -wide withdrawal

## NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G3)

The Foxborough Company (Highland Plant) located in East Bridgewater, a manufacturer of printed circuit boards, formally held a NPDES permit (MA0004103 issued in September 1990) and was authorized to discharge (via Outfall #001) a flow of 0.12 MGD (average monthly) of process wastewater and treated sanitary waste to Meadow Brook. In February 1993 EPA withdrew the 1990 permit that was under appeal and held The Foxborough Company to all the requirements of the permit issued in March 1985 (Stein 1993). In June 2003 a transfer of ownership (NPDES permit MA0004103) originally issued to Invensys Systems (formerly known as The Foxborough Company) to Equity Industrial GHEB Limited Partnership (Equity Industrial) took place (Janson 2003). The facility's whole effluent toxicity limits were  $LC_{50} \ge 100\%$  and a C-NOEC $\ge 100\%$  with a monitoring frequency of four times/year using *Ceriodaphnia dubia\_and Pimephales promelas*. The pH of the effluent between March 1997 and March 1999 ranged from 6.2 to 7.5 SU (n=14) with two test events (June and September 1998) below 6.5 SU (TOXTD database). The TRC concentrations were all <0.05 mg/L (n=14). The maximum ammonia-nitrogen concentration of the effluent between March 1997 and March 1997 and March 1997 and March 1997 and March 1999 an existing 18,000-gallon tank is pumped by a commercial hauler and sent off-site to be treated (Janson 2003).

# USE ASSESSMENT

# AQUATIC LIFE

## Habitat and Flow

Using MassDEP guidelines, ESS conducted a habitat assessment on Meadow Brook at West Union Street (Station MB1) during the summer of 2002. The overall assessment score was 159/200. Habitat was limited by limited velocity/depth combinations and limited riffle areas (ESS 2003).

### Toxicity

#### Ambient

Water from Meadow Brook was collected upstream from Highland Street for use as dilution water in the Foxborough Company's whole effluent toxicity test between March 1997 and March 1999. Survival of *Daphnia pulex* exposed (48-hour) to the river water ranged from 5 to 100%. Survival was less than 75% during two of the eight test events (June and July 1998) (TOXTD database). When too little water was in the brook, laboratory water was used as dilution water (six test events between March 1997 and March 1999).

#### Effluent

The Foxborough Company's effluent exhibited acute toxicity to *Daphnia pulex* in 10 of the 14 tests conducted between March 1997 and March 1999 with LC50s ranging from <6.25 to 70.7% effluent. The LC50's of the other four tests were >100% effluent (TOXTD database).

#### Chemistry - water

Water from Meadow Brook was collected upstream from Highland Street for use as dilution water in the Foxborough Company's whole effluent toxicity test between March 1997 and July 1998. Data from these toxicity test reports are maintained in the TOXTD database by DWM and are also summarized below.

Between June and August 2002 ESS sampled near the mouth of Meadow Brook (n=5) near West Union Street, East Bridgewater (Station MB1) as part of the ESS NPS study. Results of the water quality testing are presented below.

#### Dissolved Oxygen and % Saturation

The DO near the mouth of Meadow Brook (Station MB1) ranged from 5.1 to 7.0 mg/L with saturations ranging from 55.9 to 79.1%. These data do not represent pre-dawn conditions.

#### Temperature

Temperatures near the mouth of the brook (Station MB1) ranged from 16.9 and 24.1°C.

#### Hardness:

Hardness of Meadow Brook ranged from 20 to 46 mg/L with only 1 (June 1998) of the 8 test results <25 mg/L (TOXTD database).

#### pН

The pH of Meadow Brook ranged from 6 to 6.7SU with 5 of the 8 measurements <6.5 SU. Alkalinity ranged from 7.5 to 18 mg/L (n=8) (TOXTD database). The pH near the mouth of the brook (Station MB1) ranged from 6.9 to 7.5 SU.

#### Specific Conductance:

The specific conductivity of Meadow Brook ranged from 161 to 693 µmhos/cm (n=8) (TOXTD database). Near the mouth of the brook (Station MB1), specific conductivity ranged from 345.9 to 605.0 µmhos/cm.

#### TRC

The TRC measurements (n=8) of Meadow Brook were all  $\leq$  0.05 mg/L (TOXTD database).

#### TSS

TSS concentrations near the mouth of the brook (Station MB1) ranged from <1 to 5.0 mg/L.

#### TKN

Concentrations of TKN near the mouth of the brook (Station MB1) ranged from 0.3 to 1.1 mg/L.

#### Total Phosphorus

The total phosphorus concentrations near the mouth of Meadow Brook (Station MB1) ranged from 0.07 to 0.09 mg/L.

The *Aquatic Life Use* is not assessed for this segment of Meadow Brook because no instream biological data were collected (response type indicators of in-stream water quality conditions).

# PRIMARY AND SECONDARY CONTACT RECREATION AND AESTHETICS

As part of the ESS NPS study, both fecal coliform and *E.coli* bacteria were collected (n=5) near the mouth of Meadow Brook near West Union Street, East Bridgewater (Station MB1) between June and August 2002. The fecal coliform bacteria counts ranged from 190 to 1,600 cfu/100mL (geometric mean = 552 cfu/100 mL) and *E.coli* bacteria counts were similar. Three of five samples exceeded 400 cfu/100 mL. The highest bacteria counts were representative of wet weather conditions (ESS 2003).

No objectionable odors, oils, deposits or other conditions were noted in Meadow Brook near West Union Street (Station MB1) during the ESS habitat assessment survey (ESS 2003).

Meadow Brook is assessed as impaired for the *Primary Contact Recreation Usebecause* of elevated bacteria counts and as support for the *Secondary Contact Recreational* and *Aesthetics* uses.

Designated Uses		Status
Aquatic Life	()	NOT ASSESSED
Fish Consumption	$\odot$	NOT ASSESSED
Primary Contact	<u>_</u>	IMPAIRED Cause: Fecal coliform bacteria Source: Unknown (Suspected Sources: Discharges from municipal separate storm sewer systems, municipal (urbanized high density area), waterfowl and waste from pets)
Secondary Contact	$\mathbb{A}$	SUPPORT
Aesthetics	W	SUPPORT

#### Meadow Brook (MA62-38) Use Summary Table

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Review and implement appropriate recommendations from the ESS Nonpoint Source Pollution Assessment Report and Management Plan (ESS 2003).

Continue to conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

Ensure that all NPDES permits are current and in compliance.

Conduct monitoring (biological, habitat, instream toxicity and/or water quality sampling) to evaluate conditions in Meadow Brook and to assess the status of the *Aquatic Life Use*.

# SHUMATUSCACANT RIVER (SEGMENT MA62-33)

Location: From a wetland just west of Vineyard Road, Abington to the confluence with Poor Meadow

Brook, Hanson. Segment Length: 8.5 miles Classification: Class B

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 10.4 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is 11.4%.

This segment is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 3 (MassDEP 2003).

The use assessments for Island Grove Pond (MA62094) and Hobart Pond (MA62090) are in the Lake Assessment section of this report.



# WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G5)

Facility	WMA Permit Number	WMA Registration Number	Source (G = ground, S = surface)	Authorized Withdrawal (MGD)
Abington/Rockland Joint Water Works (Myers Avenue Water Treatment Plant)	NA	42525101	4001000-01G 4001000-02G 4001000-03G 4001000-04G	0.46 reg
Ridder Farm Incorporated	NA	42533801	01S 02S	0.09 reg

## NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G3)

The Abington/Rockland Joint Water Works (Myers Avenue Water Treatment Plant) was issued an NPDES permit (#MAG640009) in April 2001 to discharge treated filter backwash water into a wetland adjacent to the Shumatuscacant River.

Whitman Metal Products Division, which formerly discharged to this segment under their NPDES permit (MA0036919), was connected to the Brockton municipal sewerage system in 1991.

# USE ASSESSMENT

# AQUATIC LIFE

<u>Habitat and Flow</u> Using MassDEP guidelines, an ESS NPS study performed habitat assessments at three sites along the Shumatuscacant River during the summer of 2002 (ESS 2003). From upstream to downstream these stations can be summarized as follows.

Station SHR2 - near Summer Street, Abington: At the most upstream, sampling station, the habitat assessment score was 123/200. This sampling station was located downstream from the Island Grove Impoundment of the Shumatuscacant River and was also downstream from an urbanized section of Abington. Marginal fish cover and epifaunal substrate, sediment deposition and embeddedness, poor bank stability, and limited velocity/depth combinations limited instream habitat most.

Station SHR4 - near South Avenue, Whitman: Instream habitat in the river scored only 89/200. Sediment deposition/embedness was problematic (sand and silt comprised the majority of the substrates--90%) and fish cover was also marginal. The lack of velocity/depth combinations also affected the overall habitat assessment score. This sampling station was located downstream from the Hobart Pond Impoundment, urbanized areas of Whitman and the Myers Avenue Water Treatment Plant discharge.

Instream habitat in an unnamed tributary to the Shumatuscacant River (Station SHR3) at South Avenue, Whitman, was also evaluated by ESS. The habitat assessment score was 85/200. The same conditions as the Shumatuscacant River near South Avenue (SHR4) were found.

Station SHR1 - Franklin Street, Whitman/Hanson: At this most downstream sampling station the habitat score was 143/200 and was limited by channel alteration and the velocity/depth combinations.

#### Chemistry - water

During the months of June through September 2002, the three sampling stations described above were monitored along the Shumatuscacant River as part of the ESS NPS study (ESS 2003). The water quality data are presented below.

#### Temperature

With the exception of one elevated temperature in the river near South Avenue, Whitman (30.1°C in August 2002), none of the other 12 measurements exceeded 24.4°C. The highest temperature measurement taken in the unnamed tributary (Station SHR3) was 23.9°C.

#### Dissolved Oxygen and % Saturation

DO and saturation in the Shumatuscacant River at the most upstream sampling location (SHR2) were all >5.0 mg/L and 60% saturation ranging from 6.2 to 7.8 mg/L and 66.1 to 91.5%, respectively (n=5). Further downstream (Station SHR4), however, DO measured between 4.9 mg/L and 7.3 mg/L with saturations between 62.0 and 65.2%. At the most downstream station (SHR1) four of the five measurements were below 5.0 mg/L (data ranged from 4.0 to 6.7 mg/). Saturation was also below 60% on four of the five sampling dates (range from 47.0 to 78.4%). These data do not represent worse-case (pre-dawn) sampling conditions.

Of the three sampling events in the unnamed tributary (Station SHR3), the DO was below 5.0 mg/L twice (2.8 and 4.9 mg/L) and was 5.9 mg/L in September. Saturation was below 60% (43.6 and 33.8%) on two occasions and was 65.0% in September.

#### pН

Instream pH measurements ranged between 7.1 to 7.8 SU (n=13). One of the three pH measurements in the unnamed tributary was less than 6.5 SU (6.1 SU).

#### Specific Conductance

The specific conductance in the Shumatuscacant River ranged between 331.6 and 501.0  $\mu$ mhos/cm (n=13). Specific conductance in the unnamed tributary ranged from 109.0 to 693.0  $\mu$ mhos/cm (n=3).

#### TSS

The maximum TSS concentration reported by ESS for the Shumatuscacant River was 9.0 mg/L (n=13). The highest TSS concentration in the unnamed tributary was 6.7 mg/L.

#### TKN

Concentrations of TKN in the Shumatuscacant River ranged between 0.3 and 1.8 mg/L (n=13). Concentrations were lowest at the most upstream sampling station (SRH2). The concentrations of TKN in the unnamed tributary ranged from 0.1 and 1.9 mg/L (n=3).

#### Total Phosphorus

Total phosphorus concentrations in the Shumatuscacant River ranged between 0.04 and 0.11 mg/L (n=13). A total of seven of the 13 samples exceeded 0.05 mg/L. The higher concentrations were measured at the most downstream sampling station (SHR1). The TP concentrations in the unnamed tributary ranged from 0.03 to 0.12 mg/L (n=3).

The *Aquatic Life Use* is assessed as support for the upper 3.6 mile reach of the Shumatuscacant River based primarily on optimal instream habitat conditions and the water quality. Downstream from the Abington/Rockland Water Works discharge (the lower 4.9 mile reach), the *Aquatic Life Use* is assessed as impaired based on instream habitat quality degradation and low dissolved oxygen concentrations.

### PRIMARY AND SECONDARY CONTACT RECREATION AND AESTHETICS

Fecal coliform and *E.coli* samples were collected at three sampling stations in the Shumatuscacant River between June and November 2002 during both dry and wet weather events. From upstream to downstream these stations are summarized below (ESS 2003).

Station SHR2 - near Summer Street, Abington,

Station SHR4 - near South Avenue, Whitman and

Station SHR1 - Franklin Street, Whitman/Hanson.

Samples were also collected from an unnamed tributary (Station SHR3) at South Avenue, Whitman.

		E33 /	2003 bacteria data				
Station	Fecal Coliform data range (cfu/100 mL)	Geometric Mean (cfu/100 mL)	<i>E.coli</i> bacteria data range (cfu/100 mL)	Geometric Mean (cfu/100 mL)	Number of Samples		
SHR2	200 - 3,000	563	180 – 1,400	464	5		
40% of t	he samples excee	ded 400 cfu/100 mL	and one of the five same	oles exceeded 2,000	cfu/100mL		
SHR4	100 and 4,000	NA	100 and 3,900	NA	3		
One of t	wo samples collec	ted during the prima	ry contact season exceed	ded 400 cfu/100 mL.	One of		
three sa	mples exceeded 2	2,000 cfu/100mL					
SHR1	6 - 6,500	163	6-5,000	85	5		
40% of t	40% of the samples exceeded 400 cfu/100 mL and one of the five samples exceeded 2,000 cfu/100mL						
SHR3	150 and 60,000	NA	150 and 21,000	NA	3		
One of two samples collected during the primary contact season exceeded 400 cfu/100 mL. Two of three samples exceeded 2,000 cfu/100 mL.							

# ESS 2003 bacteria data

The highest counts at all three Shumatuscacant River stations were representative of wet weather sampling conditions.

In 2001 DWM conducted bacteria sampling in the Shumatuscacant River at West Washington Street, Hanson (SA09T). On 24 July 2001 the fecal coliform count was 32 cfu/100mL and the *E.coli* bacteria count was 37cfu/100 mL (Appendix A).

No objectionable odors, deposits, oils or other objectionable conditions were noted by ESS at any of the three sampling stations in the Shumatuscacant River during the habitat assessment surveys in July 2002. A small tributary near station SHR4 was noted to have metal and other debris and the bottom of the streambed was covered with a bright orange coating (ESS 2003).

The *Primary Contact Recreational Use* is assessed as impaired because of elevated fecal coliform bacteria counts. The *Secondary Contact Recreational Use* is assessed as support but is identified with an Alert Status. The *Aesthetics Use* is assessed as support based on field observations reported by ESS.

#### Shumatuscacant River (MA62-33) Use Summary Table

Designated Uses		Status		
Aquatic Life	A	SUPPORT upper 3.6 mile reach IMPAIRED lower 4.9 mile reach Cause: Low dissolved oxygen, anthropogenic substrate alterations and sedimentation/siltation Source: Unknown (Suspected Sources: Discharges from municipal separate storm sewer systems, highway/road/bridge runoff, loss of riparian habitat and municipal (urbanized high density area))		
Fish Consumption	$\bigcirc$	NOT ASSESSED		
Primary Contact	A.	IMPAIRED Cause: Fecal coliform bacteria Source: Unknown (Suspected Sources: Discharges from municipal separate storm sewer systems, municipal (urbanized high density area), waterfowl and waste from pets)		
Secondary Contact	$\mathbb{A}$	SUPPORT*		
Aesthetics	WAr	SUPPORT		

\*Alert status issues identified, see details in use assessment section

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Review and implement appropriate recommendations from the ESS Nonpoint Source Pollution Assessment Report and Management Plan (ESS 2003).

Continue to monitor compliance with WMA registration/permit limits and other special conditions of the permits.

An investigation of the Abington/Rockland Joint Water Works (Myers Avenue Water Treatment Plant) should be conducted to monitor the facility's discharge and to determine if the habitat in the Shumatuscacant River is being impacted by the discharge.

Monitoring (biological, habitat quality, and water chemistry) should be conducted to better assess the status of the *Aquatic Life Use*.

Ensure that all NPDES permits are current and in compliance.

# POOR MEADOW BROOK (SEGMENT MA62-34)

Location: From a wetland near County Street, Hanson to the confluence with the Satucket River, East Bridgewater. Segment Length: 6.9 miles

Classification: Class B

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 16.5 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

Forest ...... 47.5% Residential..... 30.7% Open land...... 8.1%

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is less than 10%.

This segment is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 3 (MassDEP 2003).



# WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL SUMMARY

Facility	WMA Permit Number	WMA Registration Number	Source (G = ground, S = surface)	Authorized Withdrawal (MGD)
Hanson Water Department	9P42512301	42512301	4123000-01G 4123000-03G 4123000-04G 4123000-05G	0.51 reg <u>0.27 perm</u> Total – 0.78
East Bridgewater Water Department* <sup>1</sup>	9P42508301	42508304	4083000-02G 4083000-03G	0.85 reg <u>0.36 perm</u> Total – 1.21
Cameron Woodard Sod Farm*	NA	42508301	C-2S	0.24 reg

\* Indicates system -wide withdrawal

<sup>1</sup> The East Bridgewater Water Department received a notice of noncompliance from MassDEP in April 2003 due to failure to file for 5 -year review of their permit. The issues were resolved in 2004 and their permit was renewed in May 2004 (Drake 2004).

Based on available information there are no NPDES dischargers in this subwatershed.

## USE ASSESSMENT

## PRIMARY CONTACT AND SECONDARY CONTACT RECREATION AND AESTHETICS

DWM collected a bacteria sample from Poor Meadow Brook at Main Street, Hanson (Station SA07T). On 24 July 2001 the fecal coliform count was 65 cfu/100mL and the *E.coli* bacteria count was 40 cfu/100 mL (Appendix A). No objectionable conditions were observed by DWM sampling crews (MassDEP 2001).

Too limited data are available, so the *Recreational* and *Aesthetic* uses for Poor Meadow Brook are not assessed.



#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Conduct additional bacteria sampling to evaluate the status of the Recreational uses.

Continue to monitor compliance with WMA registration/permit limits and other special conditions of the permits.

# **SATUCKET RIVER (SEGMENT MA62-10)**

Location: From the outlet of Robbins Pond, East Bridgewater to the confluence with the Matfield River, East Bridgewater.

Segment Length: 5.6 miles Classification: Class B

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 34.9 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is less than 10%.

This segment is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 3 (MassDEP 2003).

The use assessments for Stetson Pond (MA62182), Muddy Pond (MA62126), Chaffin Reservoir (MA62035), Reservoir (MA62157), Monponsett Pond East basin (MA62218), Monponsett Pond West Basin (MA62119), Elm Street Pond (MA62066), Plymouth Street Pond (MA62141), Cross Street Pond (MA62053) and Robbins Pond (MA62162) are in the Lake Assessment section of this report.

There is one site awaiting a NPL decision located in this subwatershed. The site description was excerpted from the EPA website (EPA 2005c):

East Bridgewater Murray-Carver Landfill



From 1842-1992 the property was used by several businesses that manufactured cotton gins and cottonseed processing equipment. The former landfill on the property was allegedly used to dispose of wastes generated on the property, including potentially hazardous waste. Soil samples collected from the landfill and leaching fields in 1990 indicated the presence of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs) and inorganic elements. Samples taken from on-site groundwater monitoring wells in 1993 indicated the presence of VOCs and inorganic elements. The landfill could be contributing to the contamination of the Satucket River, as sediment samples collected from the river in 1995 indicated the presence of several SVOCs, pesticides and inorganic elements, some of which were also detected in the landfill. However, there are additional sites that may be the source(s) of contamination of the Satucket River. The property has no status under the Massachusetts Contingency plan, and is not an active site under MassDEP.

# WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G5) AND NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY

Based on available information there are no NPDES dischargers in this subwatershed. There are 1,008 acres of land which are classified in the Land-Use theme as cranberry bog in this subwatershed (UMass Amherst 1999). For the purpose of this report, a conservative estimate of water use for this bog area is 9.0 MGD.

Facility	WMA Permit Number	WMA Registration Number	Source (G = ground, S = surface)	Authorized Withdrawal (MGD)
East Bridgewater Water Department*, <sup>1</sup>	9P42508301	42508304	4083000-01G 4083000-04G	0.85 reg <u>0.36 perm</u> Total – 1.21
Cameron Woodward Sod Farm*	NA	42508301	C-1S	0.24 reg

\* Indicates system -wide withdrawal

<sup>1</sup> The East Bridgewater Water Department received a notice of noncompliance in April 2003 due to failure to file for 5-year review of their permit. The issues were resolved in 2004 and their permit was renewed in May 2004 (Drake 2004).

#### USE ASSESSMENT AQUATIC LIFE

# Habitat and Flow

In 2001 extremely low water levels were observed by DWM sampling crews in the Satucket River near the outlet of Robbins Pond (MassDEP 2001b).

In August 2001 DWM conducted a qualitative assessment of habitat in the Satucket River, upstream from Washington Street, East Bridgewater (Station SR00). DWM conducted only a qualitative assessment of habitat and biological integrity at SR00 because soft substrates and imperceptible current velocity made comparisons to the more lotic Canoe River reference station inappropriate. While the soft mud substrates that comprised most of the stream bottom provided only marginal epifaunal habitat, a variety of snags, submerged logs, and deep pool areas provided excellent fish habitat. Velocity/depth combinations were also limited. Both stream banks were well vegetated and stabilized with shrubs and grasses. The habitat assessment score was 155/200 (Appendix D).

Using MassDEP guidelines, an ESS NPS study performed a habitat assessment on the Satucket River at Plymouth Street (Route 106), East Bridgewater (Station SR1) during the summer of 2002. The overall assessment score was 103/200. Instream cover for fish was marginal. Embeddedness and sediment deposition were also problematic. In addition bank stability and bank vegetative protection were especially poor (ESS 2003).

#### **Biology**

In August 2001 a benthic survey conducted by DWM in the Satucket River, upstream from Washington Street, East Bridgewater (Station SR00) documented that the macroinvertebrate assemblage displayed good trophic structure with almost every feeding guild represented. Due to the qualitative nature of the biosurvey conducted at SR00, an assessment of biological condition based on the RBP III criteria could not be made. However, the macroinvertebrate community did not appear to suggest the presence of gross organic pollution in this portion of the Satucket River (Appendix D).

MDFW conducted fish population sampling at one location along this segment - at the Route 106 Bridge, East Bridgewater (Station 711) - using a backpack shocker in July 2002. A total of 87 fish, representing ten species, were collected. The sample was dominated by bluegill. Other species present, in order of abundance, included American eel; pumpkinseed; white sucker; brown bullhead; chain pickerel; golden shiner; and an individual each of redfin pickerel, tessellated darter, and yellow perch (Richards 2003a). The sample was comprised primarily of tolerant and moderately-tolerant macrohabitat generalists, except for the white sucker and an individual tessellated darter (both fluvial dependant/specialists).

MDFW also sampled fish at one unnamed tributary to this segment in July 2002. Fish were collected from one station (712) along Elias Latham Way, Bridgewater - using a backpack shocker. Five redfin pickerel were collected (Richards 2003a).

#### Chemistry - water

DWM conducted water quality sampling at three sites on the Satucket River between July and September 2001 (Appendix A):

SA02 - Outlet of Robbins Pond at Pond Street, Bridgewater (n=5 *in-situ* measurements, 3 water quality sampling events).

SA03 - Upstream at Washington Street, East Bridgewater (n=5 *in-situ* measurements, 3 water quality sampling events).

SA04 - Bridge Street, East Bridgewater (n=6 *in-situ* measurements, 3 water quality sampling events).

Between June and September 2002 ESS monitored one station (SR1) in the Satucket River at Plymouth Street, East Bridgewater on five occasions as part of the ESS NPS study (ESS 2003).

The sampling results for the above sites are summarized below.

#### Dissolved Oxygen and % Saturation

In 2001 both predawn and daytime DO measurements in the Satucket River at SA02 ranged from 7.0 to 9.6 mg/L with saturations from 83 to 117%. DOs in the river at SA03 and SA04 were much lower in July and August (all  $\leq$  5 mg/L and saturations were  $\leq$  60%) but were higher in September. At Station SR1 dissolved oxygen concentrations reported by ESS in the summer of 2002 ranged from 6.7 to 8.3 mg/L with saturations ranging from 82.2 to 104.2%.

#### Temperature

In the summer of 2001, temperature measurements in the river at SA02 exceeded 28°C on two of five occasions (30.0 and 33.0°C were recorded in July and August 2001, respectively). A maximum temperature of 28.8°C was recorded at SA03 in August 2001 and a maximum of 28.5°C was recorded at SA04 in July. In the summer of 2002, temperature measurements at Station SR1 ranged from 21.5 to 26.7°C.

#### pH and Alkalinity

Of the five pH measurements taken at SA02 during 2001, two (40%) were  $\leq 6.5$  SU while all of the pH measurements at SA03 and SA04  $\leq 6.5$  SU (ranging from 6.2 to 6.5). At Station SR1 the pH of the river in the summer of 2002 ranged from 7.1 to 7.8 SU. The alkalinities were all <14 mg/L although the lowest measurement were at SA02.

#### Hardness

Hardness consistently measured 14 mg/L at SA02 but was slightly higher downstream (ranging from 23 to 30 mg/L at SA03 and SA04).

#### Specific Conductance

Specific conductance ranged from 98.5 to 107 µmhos/cm in the river at SA02. Higher levels were recorded at SA03 ranging from 168 to 176 µmhos/cm and at SA04 with a range of 176 to 210 µmhos/cm. The range of specific conductance reported by ESS at Station SR1 was 196.7 to 279.0 µmhos/cm.

#### Chloride

Values ranged from 22 to 43 mg/L at Stations SA02, SA03, and SA04.

## TSS

With the exception of two measurements (16.0 and 21.0 mg/L which were both measured during wet weather conditions by ESS at Station SR1), the maximum TSS concentration in the river was 4.0 mg/L (n=14).

## ΤΚΝ

At Station SR1 TKN ranged from 0.4 to 0.6 mg/L during wet weather sampling and was 0.5 and 0.8 mg/L during dry weather sampling.

#### Nitrate and Nitrite-Nitrogen

All results at SA02 were <0.06 mg/L. Higher concentrations were found at SA03 varying between 0.16 and 0.22 mg/L. The highest concentrations were at SA04 ranging from 0.28 to 0.81 mg/L.

#### Ammonia-Nitrogen

At SA02, SA03 and SA04 levels of ammonia-nitrogen were all <0.02 mg/L. All of these measurements were below the conservative criterion of 1.09 mg/L  $NH_3$ -N (chronic instream criterion for ammonia at pH of 8.0 and temperature of 30° C) (EPA 1999).

#### Total Phosphorus

The concentration of total phosphorus at all stations sampled in the Satucket River ranged from 0.06 to 0.17 mg/L.

The Aquatic Life Use is assessed as support for the Satucket River based primarily on best professional judgment of the biological sampling information. Although the fish community is comprised of macrohabitat generalists, it is consistent with those normally found in low gradient, wetland-dominated streams. This use is identified with an Alert Status, however, because of high instream temperatures, the low water levels noted near the outlet of Robbins Pond, habitat degradation in the river near Plymouth Street, East Bridgewater and the somewhat elevated total phosphorus concentrations.

#### PRIMARY AND SECONDARY CONTACT RECREATION AND AESTHETICS

Bacteria samples were collected by DWM at three sites on the Satucket River in July and September 2001 (Appendix A):

- SA02 Outlet of Robbins Pond at Pond Street, Bridgewater (n=2).
- SA03 Upstream at Washington Street, East Bridgewater (n=2).
- SA04 Bridge Street, Bridgewater (n=2).

DWM also collected a bacteria sample in July 2001 in Black Brook, a tributary to the Satucket River at Crescent Street, East Bridgewater (Station SA10T). It should be mentioned that cows were identified as potential nonpoint sources of pollution in this subwatershed (ESS 2003).

Station	Fecal Coliform data (cfu/100mL)	<i>E.coli</i> bacteria data (cfu/100mL)	<i>Enterococci</i> data (cfu/100mL)		
SA02	<2 and 5	<2 and 5	<5 and 5		
SA03	50 and 130	24 and 40	65 and 81		
SA04	29 and 95	<5 and 65	43 and 60		
SA10T	4,000	1,000	1,000		

#### DWM 2001 bacteria data

As part of the ESS NPS study, both fecal coliform and *E.coli* bacteria were collected from the Satucket River near Plymouth Street, East Bridgewater (Station SR1). Samples were collected on five occasions between June and September 2002. Both fecal coliform and *E.coli* bacteria counts ranged from 2 to 2,000 (geometric mean of 65 and 63 cfu/100 mL, respectively). The highest counts were representative of wet weather sampling conditions (ESS 2003). Only one of the five counts was > 400 cfu/100 mL.

With the exception of some trash/debris near road crossings, no objectionable deposits, odors, and oils were noted by DWM biologists and/or DWM and ESS survey crews in the Satucket River (MassDEP 2001b and ESS 2003).

The *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* and *Aesthetics* uses are assessed as support for the Satucket River based on low fecal coliform bacteria counts and observations by field sampling crews.

Designate	d Uses	Status
Aquatic Life	C.	SUPPORT
Fish Consumption	lacksquare	NOT ASSESSED
Primary Contact	A	SUPPORT*
Secondary Contact	$\mathbb{A}$	SUPPORT
Aesthetics	W	SUPPORT

#### Satucket River (MA62-10) Use Summary Table

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Review and implement appropriate recommendations from the ESS Nonpoint Source Pollution Assessment Report and Management Plan (ESS 2003).

Conduct monitoring (biological, habitat and water quality) to evaluate impacts to the Satucket River from potential sources of pollution to better assess the status of the *Aquatic Life Use*.

Continue to conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

Continue to monitor compliance with WMA registration/permit limits and other special conditions of the permits.
# MATFIELD RIVER (SEGMENT MA62-32)

Location: Confluence of Beaver Brook and the Salisbury Plain River, East Bridgewater to the confluence with the Town River and the Taunton River,

Bridgewater. Segment Length: 6.7 miles Classification: Class B, Warm Water Fishery

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 76.8 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

Forest ...... 39.4% Residential..... 33.2%

Open land...... 9.8%

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is 12.8%.

This segment is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters - Category 5 for not meeting water quality criteria for pathogens (MassDEP 2003).

# WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G5)

There are 1,008 acres of land which are classified in the Land-Use theme as cranberry bog in this subwatershed (UMass Amherst 1999). For the purpose of this report, a conservative estimate of water use for this bog area is 9.0 MGD. This



cranberry acreage is entirely within the subwatershed for the Satucket River (Segment MA62-10), which is the upper portion of this larger subwatershed.

Facility	WMA Permit Number	WMA Registration Number	Source (G = ground)	Authorized Withdrawal (MGD)
C.N. Smith Farm, Inc.	NA	42508306	01S	0.1 reg
Bridgewater Water Department*	9P42504201	42504201	4042000-02G 4042000-05G 4042000-09G 4042000-10G	1.66 reg <u>0.74 perm</u> Total – 2.40
East Bridgewater Water Department * '**	9P42508301	42508304	4083000-05G	0.85 reg <u>0.36 perm</u> Total – 1.21

\* Indicates system -wide withdrawal

\*\* The East Bridgewater Water Department received a notice of noncompliance from the MassDEP in April 2003 due to failure to file for 5-year review of their permit. The issues were resolved in 2004 and their permit was renewed in May 2004 (Drake 2004).

# NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G3)

The East Bridgewater Public Schools are authorized (NPDES permit #MA0022446) to discharge 0.012 MGD of treated effluent via Outfall #001 to an unnamed tributary to the Matfield River. The permit was recently reissued (June 2004). The facility is required to conduct modified acute (first 48-hour results of chronic test) and chronic whole effluent toxicity tests using both *Ceriodaphnia dubia* and *Pimephales promelas*. The facility's whole effluent toxicity limits are  $LC_{50} \ge 100\%$  and C-NOEC  $\ge 100\%$  with a monitoring frequency of six times/year using *Ceriodaphnia dubia*. Their TRC limits are 0.011mg/L (average monthly) and 0.019 mg/L (maximum daily). Their total phosphorus limit is 1 mg/l (average monthly).

## USE ASSESSMENT AQUATIC LIFE

#### Habitat and Flow

ESS conducted instream habitat evaluations at three sites along the Matfield River in June 2002. The conditions at each of the three sites (upstream to downstream) are as follows (ESS 2003):

- Matfield River near West Union Street, East Bridgewater (Station MR3), had an overall assessment score of 170/200. Here velocity/depth combinations limited the overall score. The waterbody was wide, deep and slow moving so riffles and runs were virtually nonexistent.

- Matfield River near Route 18/Route 106 intersections, East Bridgewater (Station MR2). The overall habitat assessment score was 149/200. The section of the river was limited by the lack of riffle areas, velocity/depth combinations and bank stability.

- Matfield River near High Street, Bridgewater (Station MR1). The overall habitat assessment score was 118/200. The section of the river was limited most by the lack of velocity/depth combinations, limited riffle areas, embeddedness and bank stability.

#### Chemistry - water

USGS conducted water quality sampling (n=8) in the Matfield River near North Central Street, East Bridgewater as a part of its NECB mercury study between April 2000 and September 2001 (Socolow *et al.* 2001 and 2002).

Between June and September 2002, ESS conducted water quality monitoring on five occasions at three sites along the Matfield River as part of the ESS NPS study – near West Union Street in East Bridgewater (Station MR3), near Route 18/Route 106 intersections in East Bridgewater (Station MR2) and near High Street in Bridgewater (Station MR1) (ESS 2003).

The Bridgewater State WAL collected water quality samples in the Matfield River near High Street, Bridgewater (Curry 2004). Between June and September 2004 the Matfield River was sampled six times using automatic samplers to collect data on temperature, pH and DO through a 22-hour period. Additionally, nutrient samples (total phosphorus, soluble reactive phosphorus and nitrate-nitrogen) were taken every hour using a Sigma® 900 automated sampler with samples for every other hour used for analysis. A QAPP for the WAL has not been approved by MassDEP and their data are not qualityassured. For the purpose of this report data reported by WAL for 2004 were reviewed for consistency with other quality-assured data sources.

These data are summarized below.

#### Dissolved Oxygen and % Saturation

DO in the Matfield River reported by USGS ranged from 3.5 to 10.2 mg/L with only one of the eight measurements <5.0 mg/L (9 August 2000). It should be noted that these data do not represent worse-case (pre-dawn) conditions.

The concentration of dissolved oxygen at the three stations monitored by ESS ranged from 3.0 to 5.7 mg/L with eight of the fourteen measurements <5.0 mg/L. Percent saturation ranged from 34.6 to 63.8 with ten of the 14 measurements were less than 60% saturation.

During its hourly Hydrolab® sampling in 2004 WAL did not find any DO measurements <5.0 mg/L.

## Temperature

The maximum temperature of the Matfield River reported by USGS was 23.3°C. The highest temperature of the river recorded by ESS was 24.9°C. Hourly Hydrolab® measurements for temperature by WAL did not exceed 28.3°C during its 2004 sampling.

# pН

The pH of the Matfield River reported by USGS ranged from 6.5 to 7.0 SU. The pH of the river reported by ESS ranged from 6.6 to 7.5 SU. A very similar range for pH was reported by WAL in their 2004 hourly Hydrolab® sampling.

# Specific Conductance

Specific conductance of the Matfield River reported by USGS ranged from 113 to 593 µmhos/cm. ESS reported a range of specific conductance between 255.0 to 649.0 µmhos/cm. *Turbidity* 

Turbidity in the Matfield River reported by USGS ranged from 0.7 to 4.9 NTU.

# TSS

The concentration of TSS in the Matfield River ranged from <1 to 30 mg/L (n=15) at the three locations sampled by ESS. Only one of the 15 results exceeded 25 mg/L.

## Ammonia-nitrogen

The concentration of ammonia-nitrogen in the Matfield River reported by USGS ranged from 0.191 to 2.54 mg/L (n=7). All but the highest measurement were below chronic instream criterion for ammonia of 2.53 mg/L NH<sub>3</sub>-N at pH of 7.5 SU and temperature of 24.9°C (EPA 1999).

# TKN

TKN results reported by ESS for the Matfield River ranged from 0.6 to 7.0 mg/L (n=15).

# Total Phosphorus

The total phosphorus concentrations in the Matfield River reported by USGS ranged from 0.109 to 0.905 mg/L (n=7). Total phosphorus concentrations reported by ESS for the Matfield River ranged from 0.13 to 0.35 mg/L. Concentrations were noted to decrease from upstream to downstream. The concentration range for total phosphorus reported by WAL was similar.

## Mercury

The concentrations of total and methyl mercury samples from the water column of the Matfield River reported by USGS (samples collected on 17 April and 9 August 2000) ranged between 2.99 and 7.92 and 0.056 and 3.232 ng/L, respectively (USGS 2003).

#### Chemistry - sediment

USGS collected sediment from the Matfield River near North Central Street in East Bridgewater in August 2000 as part of their Toxics Substances Hydrology Program (an extension of the National Mercury Pilot Study) and the Urban Land Use Gradient Study (part of the NAWQA Program). The sediment was analyzed for total and methyl mercury with concentrations of 431.3 and 4.89 ng/g dry weight, respectively. The total mercury concentration exceeded the L-EL guideline but did not exceed the severe effect level (S-EL) guideline (USGS 2003).

The Aquatic Life Use is assessed as impaired for the Matfield River based on water quality data and best professional judgment. Although instream biological data (response type indicators of in-stream water quality conditions) were not available, conditions (i.e., low dissolved oxygen/saturation and elevated total phosphorus concentrations) were similar to those documented in the Salisbury Plain River which was found to be impacted by the Brockton Advanced Water Reclamation Facility discharge and nonpoint source pollution.

## **FISH CONSUMPTION**

Fish toxics monitoring for PCB and selected metals was conducted in the Matfield River from the Bridge Street section as part of the MassDEP Matfield River Survey in 1989 (MassDEP 1992). No site-specific advisory was issued based on the results of this sampling so the *Fish Consumption Use* is not assessed.

# PRIMARY AND SECONDARY CONTACT RECREATION AND AESTHETICS

Both fecal coliform and *E.coli* bacteria were collected by ESS between June and September 2002 at three sites along the Matfield River as part of the ESS NPS study – near West Union Street in East Bridgewater (Station MR3), near Route 18/Route 106 intersections in East Bridgewater (Station MR2) and near High Street in Bridgewater (Station MR1) (ESS 2003). These data are presented as follows.

ESS 2003 bacteria data						
Station	Fecal Coliform data range (cfu/100 mL)	Geometric Mean (cfu/100 mL)	<i>E.coli</i> bacteria data range (cfu/100 mL)	Geometric Mean (cfu/100 mL)	Number of Samples	
MR3	55 - 3,900*	490	51 – 3,700	465	5	
* 80% o	* 80% of the samples exceeded 400 cfu/100mL and one sample exceeded 2000 cfu/100mL					
MR2 110 - 18,000 1,287 110 - 5,000 967 5						
* 60% of the samples exceeded 400 cfu/100mL and two samples exceeded 2000 cfu/100mL						
MR1 43 - 2,300 383 40 - 2,200 292 5						
* 40% o	f the samples exce	eded 400 cfu/100ml	and two samples excee	eded 2000 cfu/100ml	_	

Sewage odors and large amounts of macrophytes and algae were noted by ESS (2003) at all three stations sampled along the Matfield River.

The *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* and *Aesthetics* uses are all assessed as impaired. The recreational uses are impaired because of elevated bacteria counts and the objectionable odors and large amounts of macrophytes and algae. The degraded conditions in the Matfield River likely result from the Brockton Advanced Water Reclamation Facility discharge and other sources of pollution.

Designated Uses Status		Status
Aquatic Life	A	IMPAIRED Cause: Degraded benthic macroinvertebrate bioassessment, low dissolved oxygen/saturation, total phosphorus Source: Municipal point source discharge (Suspected Sources: Discharges from municipal separate storm sewer systems and municipal (urbanized high density area))
Fish Consumption	$\bigcirc$	NOT ASSESSED
Primary Contact	A.	IMPAIRED Cause: Fecal coliform bacteria, excess algal growth and odor Source: Municipal point source discharge (Suspected Sources: Discharges from municipal separate storm sewer systems)
Secondary Contact	$\mathbb{A}$	IMPAIRED Cause: Fecal coliform bacteria, excess algal growth, odor Source: Municipal point source discharge (Suspected Sources: Discharges from municipal separate storm sewer systems)
Aesthetics	W	IMPAIRED Cause: Excess algal growth and odor Source: Municipal point source discharge (Suspected Sources: Discharges from municipal separate storm sewer systems)

# Matfield River (MA62-32) Use Summary Table

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Review and implement appropriate recommendations from the ESS Nonpoint Source Pollution Assessment Report and Management Plan (ESS 2003).

Continue to monitor compliance with WMA registration/permit limits and other special conditions of the permits.

Evaluate results of the East Bridgewater Public Schools (NPDES permit #MA0022446) whole effluent toxicity tests.

Continue to conduct monitoring (biological, habitat and water quality) to evaluate conditions from point and nonpoint source pollution in the Matfield River and to better assess the status of the *Aquatic Life Use*.

Continue to conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and other actions and to assess the status of the *Recreational* uses.

The WAL should continue to conduct water quality monitoring at its established sampling site in the Matfield River to meet its sampling objectives. In order for the MassDEP to utilize the WAL data for water quality assessment reporting purposes, the WAL should work with MassDEP to meet its Quality Assurance /Quality Control requirements.

# TOWN RIVER SUBWATERSHED

The northwest section of the Town River subwatershed is drained by Queset Brook beginning at the outlet of Ames Long Pond in Easton to the confluence with Coweeset Brook in West Bridgewater. Coweeset Brook then flows south and joins the Hockomock River in West Bridgewater. The Hockomock River continues flowing south and joins the Town River near the Bridgewater/West Bridgewater town line. The Town River is formed from the outflow of Lake Nippenicket, which flows north through the Hockomock Swamp Wildlife Management Area. After leaving this extensive wetland area it continues to the northeast and then takes a southerly direction. Shortly after Route 18 the Town River meanders north then to the east widening out at its confluence with the Matfield and Taunton Rivers in Bridgewater. The Town River subwatershed includes the following segments (Figure 10):

Queset Brook (Segment MA62-21) Coweeset Brook (Segment MA62-22) Hockomock River (Segment MA62-35) Town River (Segment MA62-11) Town River (Segment MA62-12) Town River (Segment MA62-13)

This subwatershed contains Hockomock Swamp which along with its associated wetlands and water bodies comprises one of the largest vegetated freshwater wetland systems in Massachusetts. The Hockomock Swamp was designated as an ACEC in 1990 and includes the Hockomock River, Town River, Lake Nippenicket, Coweeset Brook and Little Cedar Swamp Pond (MA DCR 2005).

The land use in the Town River subwatershed is primarily forest followed by residential, open space and agricultural areas. This includes approximately 59 acres of cranberry bogs located in the Hockomock River watershed and its tributaries, Coweeset and Queset Brooks. Several golf and country clubs are sited in the Town and Hockomock River watersheds. The impervious area is generally less than 10% indicating there is a low potential for adverse water quality impacts from impervious surface water runoff. The exceptions to this are the lower portion of the Town River (Segment MA62-13) and the Coweeset River with 11 and 12.9% impervious cover, respectively. These values suggest that water quality may be impacted.

Of the nine facilities permitted under the WMA, five are municipal public water supply sources. Authorized surface and groundwater withdrawals total 8.08 MGD. Additionally, it is estimated that water use for the cranberry bog areas is 0.54 MGD.

There are only three NPDES discharges in this subwatershed; all within the Town River segments. The Bridgewater Wastewater Treatment Facility contributes the most flow as a major NPDES wastewater discharge facility while the other two are schools which are classified as minor NPDES wastewater facilities. Additionally, there are numerous Multi-sector General Stormwater Permits particularly for facilities in the communities of Bridgewater and Brockton. The communities of Bridgewater, West Bridgewater, Brockton, Easton and Stoughton are Phase II stormwater communities. Each community was issued a stormwater general permit from EPA and MassDEP in 2003/2004 and is authorized to discharge stormwater from their municipal drainage system. Over the five-year permit term, the communities will develop, implement, and enforce a stormwater management program to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the storm sewer system to protect water quality (Domizio 2004).

Some water quality data were collected at two sites on the Town River during the ENSR Copper study and the Bridgewater State WAL conducts water quality sampling at one site on the Town River. Due to the fact that the data was either limited or not quality-assured, none of the designated uses in the Town River subwatershed are assessed.



# **QUESET BROOK (SEGMENT MA62-21)**

Location: From the outlet of Ames Long Pond, Easton to the confluence with Coweeset Brook, West Bridgewater. Segment Length: 5.1 miles Classification: Class B

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 10.2 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

Forest ...... 47.3% Residential.... 33.2% Agriculture ..... 5.7%

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is less than 10%.

This segment is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 3 (MassDEP 2003).

The use assessments for Ames Long Pond (MA62001), Shovelshop Pond (MA62172), and Longwater Pond (MA62109) are in the Lake Assessment section of this report.

# WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G5) AND NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY

There are 4 acres of land which are classified in the Land-Use theme as cranberry bog in this subwatershed (UMass Amherst 1999). For the purpose of this report, a conservative estimate of water use for this bog area is 0.04 MGD.

Facility	WMA Permit Number	WMA Registration Number	Source (G = ground)	Authorized Withdrawal (MGD)
Easton Water Department*	9P42508801	42508801	4088000-01G 4088000-02G 4088000-04G	1.44 reg <u>1.01 perm</u> Total – 2.45
Stoughton DPW Water Division	9P42528501	42528502	4285000-02G 4285000-03G 4285000-04G	1.14 reg <u>0.13 perm</u> Total – 1.27

\* Indicates system -wide withdrawal

Based on available information there are no NPDES dischargers in this subwatershed.

## USE ASSESSMENT

No recent water quality data are available for Queset Brook and therefore, all uses are not assessed.

Aquatic Life	Fish Consumption	Primary Contact	Secondary Contact	Aesthetics		
()	$\odot$	AS.		WAT		
NOT ASSESSED						

Queset Brook (MA62-21) Use Summary Table

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Conduct monitoring (biological, habitat and water quality) to evaluate the status of the *Aquatic Life Use* in Queset Brook bracketing potential sources of pollution (e.g., cranberry bog operations, developments).

Conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and to assess the status of the Primary and Secondary Contact Recreational uses.

Continue to monitor compliance with WMA registration/permit limits and other special conditions of the permits.

Conduct a hydrologic evaluation/assessment to determine if there are any impacts to Queset Brook from the numerous groundwater withdrawals.

# **COWEESET BROOK (SEGMENT MA62-22)**

Location: Source, southwest of Route 24/Belmont Street interchange, Brockton to confluence with the Hockomock River, West Bridgewater. Segment Length: 3.9 miles Classification: Class B

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 19.1 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is 12.9%.

This segment is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 3 (MassDEP 2003).

The Hockomock Swamp was designated an ACEC in 1990 and includes Coweeset Brook and Little Cedar Swamp Pond (MA DCR 2005).

# WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G5) AND NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY

There are 4 acres of land which are classified in the Land-Use theme as cranberry bog in this subwatershed (UMass Amherst 1999). For the purpose of this report, a conservative estimate of water use for this bog area is 0.04 MGD. This cranberry acreage is entirely within the subwatershed for Queset Brook (Segment MA62-21), which is the upper portion of this larger subwatershed.

Facility	WMA Permit Number	WMA Registration Number	Source (G = ground)	Authorized Withdrawal (MGD)
Stoughton DPW Water Division	9P42528501	42528502	4285000-07G	1.14 reg <u>0.13 perm</u> Total – 1.27

\* Indicates system -wide withdrawal

Based on available information there are no NPDES dischargers in this subwatershed.

# USE ASSESSMENT

No recent water quality data are available for Coweeset River and therefore, all uses are not assessed.

Aquatic Life	Fish Consumption	Primary Contact	Secondary Contact	Aesthetics		
()	$\odot$	10		W		
		NOT ASSESSED				

Coweeset River (MA62-22) Use Summary Table

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Conduct monitoring (biological, habitat and water quality) to evaluate the status of the *Aquatic Life Use* in Coweeset River bracketing potential sources of pollution (e.g., cranberry bog operations, developments).

Conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

Continue to monitor compliance with WMA registration/permit limits and other special conditions of the permit (9P42528501).

# HOCKOMOCK RIVER (SEGMENT MA62-35)

Location: Source, west of Route 24 and north of the Old Railroad Grade, West Bridgewater to confluence with the Town River, Bridgewater. Segment Length: 5.1 miles Classification: Class B

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 34.4 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

Forest ...... 51.2% Residential..... 23.8% Open land...... 7.7%

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is less than 10%.

This segment is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 3 (MassDEP 2003).

The use assessment for Little Cedar Swamp Pond (MA62106) is in the Lake Assessment section of this report.

The Hockomock Swamp was designated an ACEC in 1990 and includes the Hockomock River (MA DCR 2005).

# WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G5) AND NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY

There are 55 acres of land which are classified in the Land-Use theme as cranberry bog in this subwatershed (UMass Amherst 1999). For the purpose of this report, a conservative estimate of water use for this bog area is 0.5 MGD.

Facility	WMA Permit Number	WMA Registration Number	Source (G = ground, S = surface)	Authorized Withdrawal (MGD)
West Bridgewater Water Department*	9P42532201	42532201	4322000-03G	0.73 reg <u>0.08 perm</u> Total – 0.81
Easton Country Club	NA	42508802	01S	0.07 reg
Pine Oaks Golf Course	NA	V42508803	01S	0.02 reg
Raynham Center Water District*	9P42524501	42524502	4245000-02G 4245000-03G 4245000-04G 4245000-05G 4245000-06G 4245000-08G	0.40 reg <u>0.42 perm</u> Total – 0.82

\* Indicates system -wide withdrawal

Based on available information there are no NPDES dischargers in this subwatershed.

## USE ASSESSMENT AQUATIC LIFE

<u>Biology</u>

In July 2002 MDFW conducted fish population sampling using a backpack shocker in Hockomock River upstream from Maple Street, West Bridgewater (Station 716). A total of 21 fish, representing two species, were collected from this site. Redfin pickerel dominated the sample but three American eel were also collected (Richards 2003a). Although the fish community included only two macrohabitat generalist species, both redfin pickerel and American eel are common in slow-moving wetland dominated streams. The American eel migrates through streams at both the juvenile (upstream) and adult (downstream) stages,

however, they are found in a number of different habitat types (lotic and lentic). Therefore, they are classified as macrohabitat generalists.

Too limited data are available, so all uses for the Hockomock River are not assessed.

Aquatic Life	Fish Consumption	Primary Contact	Secondary Contact	Aesthetics		
()	$\odot$	A.	$\mathbb{A}$	WAY		
NOT ASSESSED						

Hockomock River (MA62-35) Use Summary Table

# RECOMMENDATIONS

Conduct monitoring (biological, habitat and water quality) to evaluate the status of the Aquatic Life Use in

Hockomock River bracketing potential sources of pollution (e.g., golf course operations, developments). Conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

Continue to monitor compliance with WMA registration/permit limits and other special conditions of the permits.

# TOWN RIVER (SEGMENT MA62-11)

Location: Outlet of Lake Nippenicket, Bridgewater to Route 28 bridge, West Bridgewater. Classification: Class B Segment Length: 4.5 miles

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 51.7 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is less than 10%.

This segment is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 3 (MassDEP 2003).

The use assessment for Nippenicket Lake (MA62131) is in the Lake Assessment section of this report.

The Hockomock Swamp was designated an ACEC in 1990 and includes this segment of the Town River and Lake Nippenicket (MA DCR 2005).

There is one NPL site located in this subwatershed. The site description was excerpted from the EPA New England NPL website (EPA 2005d):

The Čannon Engineering Čorporation (ĆEC) site, located in Bridgewater, MA, was originally developed to transport, store and incinerate hazardous wastes. In 1980 their license for operation was revoked due to alleged waste mishandling and violations. Operations at the CEC ceased in 1980, leaving behind approximately 700 drums and 155,000 gallons of liquid waste and sludge in bulk storage. The on-site soils, sediments, buildings, groundwater and surface waters are contaminated with volatile organic compounds (VOCs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, pesticides, and metals to varying degrees. The cleanup process involved fencing the entire Site to res trict access, on-site thermal aeration of upland area and wet soils contaminated with VOCs, and off-site incineration of PCB contaminated soils in excess of 9 PPM. Currently only the site groundwater remains contaminated above established safety levels.

## WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G5)

There are 55 acres of land which are classified in the Land-Use theme as cranberry land in this subwatershed (UMass Amherst 1999). For the purpose of this report, a conservative estimate of water use for this bog area is 0.5 MGD. This cranberry acreage is entirely within the subwatershed for the Hockomock River (Segment MA62-35), which is the upper portion of this larger subwatershed.

Facility	WMA Permit Number	WMA Registration Number	Source (G = ground, S = surface)	Authorized Withdrawal (MGD)
Thorny Lea Golf Club	NA	42504404	01S 02S	0.15 reg
Brockton Country Club	NA	42504403	01G	0.09 reg

# NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G3)

The Town of West Bridgewater is authorized (NP DES permit # MA0102061 issued in November 2003) to seasonally (September through June) discharge 0.003 MGD (average monthly) of treated wastewater from the Rose L. MacDonald School Wastewater Facility (WWF) via Outfall 001 to West Meadow Brook, a tributary to this segment of the Town River. The facility has a septic tank, sand filtration beds with an underdrain system, and a chlorination chamber that utilizes sodium hypochlorite for disinfection. Effluent sampling is conducted at Manhole #3. The facility is required to conduct an acute whole effluent toxicity test using *Ceriodaphnia dubia* with an LC<sub>50</sub> limit of  $\geq$ 100% and a monitoring frequency of one time/year. Their seasonal (1 April to 31 October) TRC limits are 0.4 mg/L (average monthly) and 0.7 mg/L (maximum daily). Their average monthly total phosphorus limit is 1.0 mg/L. The permit also has limits for BOD<sub>5</sub>, TSS, fecal coliform bacteria, and requires reporting of total phosphorus and oil and grease. According to the facility's November 2004 whole effluent toxicity testing report, the pH of the effluent was

3.8 SU, the ammonia-nitrogen concentration was 5.4 mg/L and specific conductivity was 1026 µmhos/cm (TOXTD database). The TRC measurement in the effluent was <0.02 mg/L (TOXTD database).

The Town of West Bridgewater is authorized (NPDES permit # MA0101753 issued in November 2003) to discharge a flow of 0.005 MGD (average monthly) of treated effluent from the Howard School Wastewater Facility (WWF) via Outfall 001 to this segment of the Town River. This WWF treats wastewater from the Police Station, Fire Station, Town Library, and the Howard School (Hamblin 2005). This facility has a septic tank, sand filtration beds with an underdrain system, and a chlorination chamber that utilizes sodium hypochlorite for disinfection. A Parshall flume, located at the effluent common manhole, is used for flow measurement (Hamblin 2005). Wastewater from several other municipal facilities (Senior Center, Spring Street School and West Bridgewater High School) is also collected into the common manhole. The Howard School permit limits apply to all of these wastewater streams, which are then discharged via the common manhole to the Town River. The permit has limits for BOD<sub>5</sub>, TSS, fecal coliform bacteria, temperature, and requires reporting of total phosphorus and oil and grease. The facility is required to conduct an acute whole effluent toxicity test using Ceriodaphnia dubia with a LC<sub>50</sub> limit of  $\geq$ 50% effluent and a monitoring frequency of one time/year. The pH of the effluent was 4.2 SU, the ammonia-nitrogen concentration was 8.7 mg/L and specific conductivity was 990 µmhos/cm (TOXTD database). This facility has seasonal TRC limits (1.0 mg/L average monthly and maximum daily between 1 April to 15 October). The TRC measurement in the effluent was <0.02 mg/L (TOXTD database).

# USE ASSESSMENT AQUATIC LIFE

# <u>Toxicity</u>

# Ambient

The Howard School WWF staff collected water from the Town River approximately 20 feet upstream from outfall 001 for use as dilution water in their whole effluent toxicity tests (Hamblin 2005). In November 2004 survival of *Ceriodaphnia dubia* exposed (48 hours) to river water was 100%.

## Effluent

The Rose L. MacDonald School WWF effluent exhibited acute toxicity to *Ceriodaphnia dubia* ( $LC_{50} = 17.7\%$  effluent) in the November 2004 test event.

The Howard School WWF effluent exhibited acute toxicity to *Ceriodaphnia dubia* ( $LC_{50} = 66\%$  effluent) in their November 2004 test event although the result did not violate the whole effluent toxicity permit limit.

## Chemistry-water

The Howard School WWF staff collected water from the Town River approximately 20 feet upstream from Outfall 001 for use as dilution water in their whole effluent toxicity tests in November 2004. Data from the facility's report are summarized below.

*pH* The pH of the river was 6.0 SU.

#### Ammonia-nitrogen

The ammonia-nitrogen concentration was 0.22 mg/L. This measurement was below the conservative criterion of 1.09 mg/L  $NH_3$ -N (chronic instream criterion for ammonia at pH of 8.0 and temperature of 30° C) (EPA 1999).

## TRC

The TRC measurement was below the minimum quantification level of 0.05 mg/L.

#### Specific Conductance

Specific conductance was 249 µmhos/cm.

Too limited data are available so the *Aquatic Life Use* is not assessed for this segment of the Town River. This use is identified with an Alert Status because of low pH and acute toxicity in the discharges.



#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Continue to review results of the NPDES facilities DMRs and toxicity tests to evaluate compliance with their permit limits. If acute toxicity continues to be problematic, determine the need to require a toxicity identification/toxicity reduction evaluation. Separate waste stream and flow monitoring should be required/implemented as part of the Howard School WWF permit (various waste streams discharge into a common manhole). The need to separately permit these discharges can then be properly evaluated.

Conduct monitoring (biological, habitat and water quality) to evaluate the status of the *Aquatic Life Use* in this segment of the Town River bracketing potential sources of pollution (e.g., discharges, golf courses, developments).

Conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

Continue to monitor compliance with WMA registration/permit limits and other special conditions of the permits.

# **TOWN RIVER (SEGMENT MA62-12)**

Location: Route 28 Bridge, West Bridgewater to the Bridgewater WWTP discharge, Bridgewater. Segment Length: 3.8 miles Classification: Class B

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 56.2 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is less than 10%.

This segment is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 3 (MassDEP 2003).

# WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G5) AND NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY

There are 55 acres of land which are classified in the Land-Use theme as cranberry bog in this subwatershed (UMass Amherst 1999). For the purpose of this report, a conservative estimate of water use for this bog area is 0.5 MGD. This cranberry acreage is entirely within the subwatershed for the Hockomock River (Segment MA62-35), which is the upper portion of this larger subwatershed.

Facility	WMA Permit Number	WMA Registration Number	Source (G = ground)	Authorized Withdrawal (MGD)
Bridgewater Water Department*	9P42504201	42504201	4042000-03G 4042000-04G 4042000-06G 4042000-07G 4042000-08G	1.66 reg <u>0.74 perm</u> Total – 2.40

\* Indicates system -wide withdrawal

Based on available information there are no NPDES dischargers in this subwatershed.

## USE ASSESSMENT AQUATIC LIFE

## Chemistry - water

As part of their site-specific copper criteria development study, ENSR conducted sampling at one station (Site 10) in this segment of the Town River off Broad Street (Route 18), Bridgewater, upstream from the Bridgewater WWTP (ENSR 2002).

## Dissolved Oxygen and % Saturation

All of the DO measurements (day surveys only) were above 5.0 mg/L ranging from 7.16 to 13.53 mg/L and saturation was >60%.

*Temperature* Temperature did not exceed 23.39°C.

Specific Conductance Specific conductance ranged from 204 to 236  $\mu$ S/cm.

*Turbidity* Turbidity ranged from 1.98 to 4.20 NTU.

Copper

Between 15 March and 19 September 2001, dissolved copper concentrations reported by ENSR ranged from 0.65 to 3.00  $\mu$ g/L (n=5) (ENSR 2002). None of these data exceeded the current EPA water quality criterion of 3  $\mu$ g/L at a hardness of 25 mg/L. A site-specific copper criterion is currently being developed.

Although the limited water quality data that are available for this segment of the Town River do not indicate water quality impairment, these data do not represent worse-case conditions so the *Aquatic Life Use* is not assessed.

Aquatic Life	Fish Consumption	Primary Contact	Secondary Contact	Aesthetics
()	$\overline{0}$	3	$\mathbb{A}$	W
		NOT ASSESSED		

Town River (MA62-12) Use Summary Table

# RECOMMENDATIONS

Conduct monitoring (biological, habitat and water quality) to evaluate the status of the *Aquatic Life Use* in this segment of the Town River bracketing potential sources of pollution (e.g., golf course, developments).

Conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

Continue to monitor compliance with WMA registration/permit limits and other special conditions of the permit (9P42504201).

# **TOWN RIVER (SEGMENT MA62-13)**

Location: Bridgewater WWTP discharge, Bridgewater to confluence with Matfield River forming the Taunton River, Bridgewater. Segment Length: 2.4 miles Classification: Class B, Warm Water Fishery

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 60.5 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is 11%.

This segment is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 3 (MassDEP 2003).

The use assessment for Carver Pond (MA62033) is in the Lake Assessment section of this report.

# WMA WATER WITHDRAWALS AND NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G1)

There are 55 acres of land which are classified in the Land-Use theme as cranberry bog in this subwatershed (UMass Amherst 1999). For the purpose of this report, a conservative estimate of water use for this bog area is 0.5 MGD. This cranberry acreage is entirely within the subwatershed for the Hockomock River (Segment MA62-35), which is the upper portion of this larger subwatershed.

The Town of Bridgewater is authorized to discharge (MA0100641 issued December 2003) an average monthly flow of 1.44 MGD from the Bridgewater Wastewater Treatment Facility (WWTF) of treated sanitary wastewater vial Outfall #001 to the Town River. This tertiary treatment facility incorporates rotating biological contactors to treat municipal and industrial wastewater and to perform nitrification for ammonia reduction (3 mg/l NH<sub>3</sub>-N average monthly from April 1 to October 31). The highest concentration of NH<sub>3</sub>-N in the effluent between October 1998 and August 2004 was 5.6 mg/L (n=24) (TOXTD database). The pH measurements of the effluent between October 1998 and August 2004 ranged from 6.7 to 7.7 SU (n=25) (TOXTD database). Total phosphorus (TP) reduction is accomplished by chemical addition (1 mg/l TP average monthly April 1 to October 31). The facility utilizes gaseous chlorine for seasonal disinfection and sulfur dioxide for dechlorination (TRC limit = 0.024 mg/L average monthly from April 1 to October 1998 and August 2004). The TRC concentrations between October 1998 and August 2004 were all <0.05 mg/L (n=24) (TOXTD database). The facility is whole effluent toxicity limits are LC<sub>50</sub>≥100% and C-NOEC ≥45% with a monitoring frequency of four times/year using *Ceriodaphnia dubia*.

#### USE ASSESSMENT AQUATIC LIFE

# Toxicity

Effluent

A total of 25 toxicity tests using *Ceriodaphnia dubia* were conducted on the Bridgewater WWTP effluent (Outfall #001) between October 1998 and August 2004. The  $LC_{50}$ s were all  $\geq$ 100% except one test event ( $LC_{50}$  = 89%, January 2003). The C-NOECs ranged from 50 to 100% in the 24 tests conducted with all meeting the C-NOEC limit.

## Chemistry - water

As part of their site-specific copper criteria development study, ENSR conducted sampling at one station (Site 9) in this segment of the Town River at Hayward Street, Bridgewater downstream from the Bridgewater Publicly Owned Treatment Works (ENSR 2002).

The Bridgewater State WAL collected water quality samples in the Town River at Hayward Street, Bridgewater (Curry 2004). Between June and September 2004 the Town River was sampled six times using automatic samplers to collect data on temperature, pH and DO through a 24-hour period. Additionally, nutrient samples (total phosphorus, soluble reactive phosphorus and nitrate-nitrogen) were taken every hour using a Sigma 900® automated sampler with samples for every other hour used for analysis. A QAPP for the WAL has not been approved by MassDEP and their data are not quality-assured. For the purpose of this report data reported by WAL for 2004 were reviewed for consistency with other quality-assured data sources.

## Dissolved Oxygen and % Saturation

Measurements for DO at Site 9 ranged from 6.94 to 13.16 mg/L with saturations between 76.4 and 100.2%. It should be noted that these measurements do not represent worst-case (pre-dawn) conditions. During its 2004 hourly Hydrolab® sampling WAL reported one DO concentration below 5.0 mg/L.

# Temperature

The maximum water temperature at Site 9 was 23.71°C. Hourly Hydrolab® temperature measurements by WAL did not exceed 28.3°C during its 2004 sampling.

# pН

With the exception of one measurement (6.07 SU), all of the pH measurements at Site 9 were greater than 6.5 SU ranging from 6.51 to 6.85 SU. Hourly Hydrolab® pH measurements taken by WAL were within this range but indicated a couple more measurements slightly below 6.5 SU.

# Specific Conductance

Specific conductance at Site 9 ranged from 217 to 329  $\mu$ S/cm.

# Turbidity

Turbidity at Site 9 ranged from 3.20 to 4.80 NTU.

# Copper

Between 15 March and 19 September 2001, dissolved copper concentrations reported ENSR ranged from 2.40 to  $4.00\mu g/L$  (n=5) (ENSR 2002). Four of the five measurements exceeded the current EPA water quality criterion of 3  $\mu g/L$  at a hardness of 25 mg/L. A site-specific copper criterion is currently being developed.

Too limited water quality data (particularly the lack of instream biological data – response type indicators of in-stream water quality conditions) are available for this segment of the Town River so the *Aquatic Life Use* is not assessed.

Aquatic Life	Fish Consumption	Primary Contact	Secondary Contact	Aesthetics
C.	$\odot$			W
		NOT ASSESSED		

#### Town River (MA62-13) Use Summary Table

# RECOMMENDATIONS

Conduct monitoring (biological, habitat and water quality) to evaluate the status of the *Aquatic Life Use* in this segment of the Town River bracketing potential sources of pollution (e.g., discharge, developments).

Conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

Continue to monitor compliance of the Bridgewater WWTF NPDES permit limits and other special conditions of the permit. Samples should also be collected upstream from the discharge for use as either dilution water or a control in the facility's whole effluent toxicity tests.

The WAL should continue to conduct water quality monitoring at its established sampling site in the Town River to meet its sampling objectives. In order for the MassDEP to utilize the WAL data for water quality assessment reporting purposes, the WAL should work with MassDEP to meet its Quality Assurance /Quality Control requirements.

# MILL RIVER SUBWATERSHED

Tributaries draining the eastern portion of the Mill River subwatershed (Figure 11) are Beaver and Mulberry Meadow Brooks. Beaver Brook has its source just west of Bay Road in Easton and flows into Old Pond, Easton. Mulberry Meadow Brook starts at the outlet of New Pond in Easton and flows to Winnecunnet Pond in Norton. The northern portion of the Mill River subwatershed is drained by the Canoe River, which originates near Cow Hill in Sharon. It flows southeasterly through the communities of Sharon, Foxborough, Mansfield and Norton before terminating in Winnecunnet Pond. With the exception of parts of Mansfield center, the Canoe River drains relatively undeveloped areas of wetland, ponds, forest and light residential land use. The outlet of Winnecunnet Pond becomes the Snake River flowing into Lake Sabbatia in Taunton. The outflow from Lake Sabbatia in turn becomes the Mill River, which flows in a southeasterly direction to the confluence with the Taunton River in Taunton. The Mill River subwatershed includes the following five segments:

> Beaver Brook (Segment MA62-30) Mulberry Meadow Brook (Segment MA62-31) Canoe River (Segment MA62-27) Snake River (Segment MA62-28) Mill River (Segment MA62-29)

The Canoe River Aquifer ACEC and a portion of the Hockomock Swamp are located in this subwatershed. The Canoe River Aquifer ACEC is generally defined by the Canoe River watershed basin and underlying aquifer, which also connects to surface and ground waters in the Mulberry Brook and Snake River basins. The Canoe River Aquifer ACEC is characterized by an extensive system of surface waters, wetlands, floodplains and high-yield aquifers. The aquifers provide drinking water to four communities within the ACEC. This ACEC is located adjacent to the Hockomock Swamp ACEC (discussed under the Town River Subwatershed).

The land use in the Mill River subwatershed is primarily forest followed by residential and some open space areas. This includes approximately 388 acres of land which are classified in the Land-Use theme as cranberry bogs and are located in the eastern portion of this subwatershed. The impervious area is all less than 10% indicating there is a low potential for adverse water quality impacts from impervious surface water runoff.

Five of the six facilities permitted under the WMA are municipal public water supply sources, the majority of which are located in the Canoe River segment. Authorized surface and groundwater withdrawals total 7.746 MGD. Additionally, it is estimated that water use for the cranberry bog areas is 3.5 MGD.

There is only one facility, Morton Hospital, which requires an NPDES permit to discharge to the Mill River. However, numerous Multi-sector General Stormwater Permits have been issued for facilities in this subwatershed. The communities of Taunton, Norton, Easton, Foxborough, Mansfield and Sharon are Phase II stormwater communities. Each community was issued a stormwater general permit from EPA and MassDEP in 2003/2004 and is authorized to discharge stormwater from their municipal drainage system. Over the five-year permit term, the communities will develop, implement, and enforce a stormwater management program to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the storm sewer system to protect water quality (Domizio 2004).

DWM conducted water quality sampling in the Canoe River, which was selected as a regional reference station for biological integrity. The *Aquatic Life Use* is assessed as support for the Canoe River since the macroinvertebrate assemblage indicated a healthy aquatic community. The TRWA conducts water quality sampling at three sites on the Mill River and the Bridgewater State WAL also collects water quality samples at one site on the Mill River. Because of concerns regarding bacteria the recreational and aesthetics uses were identified with an Alert Status. No current data were available for the Snake River, Mulberry Meadow Brook or Beaver Brook so the designated uses for these waterbodies were not assessed.



# **BEAVER BROOK (SEGMENT MA62-30)**

Location: Source just west of Bay Road, Easton to the inlet Old Pond, Easton. Segment Length: 1.4 miles Classification: Class B

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 2.1 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

Residential..... 44.9% Forest ....... 43.6% Open land ...... 6.7%

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is less than 10%.

This segment is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 3 (MassDEP 2003).

The Canoe River Aquifer was designated an ACEC in 1991 and includes a portion of Beaver Brook (MA DCR 2005).

# WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL AND NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY

Based on available information there are no WMA withdrawals or NPDES dischargers in this subwatershed.

# USE ASSESSMENT

No recent water quality data are available for Beaver Brook so all uses are not assessed.

Deaver brook (WA02-30) Use Summary Table					
Aquatic Life	uatic Life Fish Consumption Primary Contact Secondary Contact				
A	$\odot$	15		Ver	
NOT ASSESSED					

Beaver Brook (MA62-30) Use Summary Table

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Conduct monitoring (biological, habitat and water quality) to evaluate the status of the *Aquatic Life Use* in Beaver Brook bracketing potential sources of pollution (e.g., developments).

Conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

# MULBERRY MEADOW BROOK (SEGMENT MA62-31)

Location: Outlet New Pond, Easton to inlet of Winnecunnet Pond, Norton. Segment Length: 4.5 miles Classification: Class B

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 12.1 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

Forest ...... 60.5% Residential..... 24.0% Open land...... 5.3%

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is less than 10%.

This segment is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 3 (MassDEP 2003).

The use assessments for Briggs Pond (MA62021), Puds Pond (MA62151), Upper Leach Pond (MA62123), Leach Pond (MA62103), New Pond (MA62130), and Reservoir (MA62158) are in the Lake Assessment section of this report.

The Canoe River Aquifer was designated an ACEC in 1991 and includes Mulberry Meadow Brook, New Pond, Ward Pond, and Fuller Hammond Reservoir (MA DCR 2005).

# WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G5) AND NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY

There are 186 acres of land which are classified in the Land-Use theme as cranberry bog in this subwatershed (UMass Amherst 1999). For the purpose of this report, a conservative estimate of water use for this bog area is 1.7 MGD.

Facility	WMA Permit	WMA Registration	Source	Authorized
	Number	Number	(G = ground)	Withdrawal (MGD)
Easton Water Department*	9P42508801	42508801	4088000-06G	1.44 reg <u>1.01 perm</u> Total – 2.45

\* Indicates system -wide withdrawal

Based on available information there are no NPDES dischargers in this subwatershed.

## **USE ASSESSMENT**

No recent water quality data are available for Mulberry Meadow Brook so all uses are not assessed.

Maberry Meadow Brook (M/Xoz o r) ese Sammary Table						
Aquatic Life	Fish Consumption	Secondary Contact	Aesthetics			
			W			
NOT ASSESSED						

Mulberry Meadow Brook (MA62-31) Use Summary Table

## **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

Conduct monitoring (biological, habitat and water quality) to evaluate the status of the *Aquatic Life Use* in Mulberry Meadow Brook bracketing potential sources of pollution (e.g., cranberry bogs, developments).

Conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

Continue to monitor compliance with WMA registration/permit limits and other special conditions of the permits.

Conduct a hydrologic evaluation/assessment to determine if there are any impacts to Mulberry Meadow Brook from groundwater withdrawals.

# **CANOE RIVER (SEGMENT MA62-27)**

Location: Headwaters in wetland east of Cow Hill, Sharon to inlet of Winnecunnet Pond, Norton. Segment Length: 14.3 miles Classification: Class B

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 18.8 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

Forest ..... 51.8% Residential..... 31.8%

Open land...... 5.7%

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is less than 10%.

This segment is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 2 (MassDEP 2003).

The use assessments for Beaumont Pond (MA62009) and Whiteville Pond (MA62211) are in the Lake Assessment section of this report.

The Canoe River Aquifer was designated an ACEC in 1991 and includes the entire length of the Canoe River, Beaumont, Whiteville, and Winnecunnet Ponds (MA DCR 2005).

# WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G5) AND NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY

Facility	WMA Permit Number	WMA Registration Number	Source (G = ground, S = surface)	Authorized Withdrawal (MGD)
Mansfield Water Department*	9P42516701	42516701	4167000-01G 4167000-08G 4167000-09G 4167000-10G	1.59 reg <u>0.40 perm</u> Total – 1.99
Benjamin W. Flint – Flintland Farm	NA	V42516701	01S	0.02 reg
Easton Water Department*	9P42508801	42508801	4088000-03G 4088000-05G	1.44 reg <u>1.01 perm</u> Total – 2.45
Norton Water Department*	9P342521801	42521801	4218000-03G 4218000-04G 4218000-05G 4218000-06G	1.21 reg <u>0.64 perm</u> Total – 1.85
Sharon Water Department*	9P42526601	42526601	4266000-05G	0.55 reg <u>0.31 perm</u> Total – 0.86

\* Indicates system -wide withdrawal

Based on available information there are no NPDES dischargers in this subwatershed.

# USE ASSESSMENT AQUATIC LIFE

Habitat and Flow

In July 2001 DWM evaluated the habitat quality of the Canoe River downstream from Willow Street, Foxborough (Station TR01). Due to low baseflow conditions (biological station is located upstream from the above mentioned water withdrawals), TR01 received a composite habitat score of 153 out of 200 (Appendix D). This site was also sampled by DWM biologists in August 1996 (Appendix E).

## **Biology**

In July 2001 the benthic community of the Canoe River (Station TR01) was characterized by a macroinvertebrate assemblage indicating a healthy aquatic community, with metric values indicative of good water quality and "least impacted" conditions (Appendix D). This site was used as the regional

reference station for the Taunton River Watershed. This site was also sampled by DWM biologists in August 1996 (Appendix E).

#### Chemistry - water

DWM conducted water quality sampling of the Canoe River near East Street, Foxborough (Station CA01B) in August and September 2001 (Appendix A). Results are indicated below.

#### Dissolved Oxygen and % Saturation

The DO measured 8.3 and 10.4 mg/L with saturations of 92 and 95%.

#### Temperature

The maximum temperature recorded was 21.4°C in August 2001.

#### pH and Alkalinity

The pH of the river was 6.6 and 6.7 SU with alkalinities of 8 and 10 mg/L.

#### Specific Conductance

Specific conductance measured 111 and 120 µS/cm.

Hardness

Hardness measurements were 19 and 23 mg/L.

Chloride

Values of 20 and 29 mg/L were recorded for chloride.

TSS

The concentration of total suspended solids were both <1.0 mg/L.

*Nitrate and Nitrite-nitrogen* The concentrations were 0.48 and 0.91 mg/L.

## Ammonia-nitrogen

No detectable concentrations of ammonia-nitrogen were measured in either of the samples (<0.02 mg/L). Neither of these measurements exceeded the conservative criterion of 1.09 mg/L NH<sub>3</sub>-N (chronic instream criterion for ammonia at pH of 8.0 and temperature of 30° C) (EPA 1999a).

*Total Phosphorus* The concentration of total phosphorus was 0.011 and 0.033 mg/L.

The *Aquatic Life Use* is assessed as support for the Canoe River. The macroinvertebrate assemblage indicating a healthy aquatic community and the sampling site was utilized as a regional reference condition for biological integrity.

## PRIMARY AND SECONDARY CONTACT RECREATION AND AESTHETICS

DWM survey crews did not note any objectionable deposits or odors in the Canoe River near Willow Street or East Street, Foxborough during the 2001 surveys (MassDEP 2001b).

In August and September 2001, DWM collected fecal coliform, *E.coli*, and *Enterococci* bacteria from the Canoe River at East Street in Foxborough (Station CA01B) (Appendix A). The fecal coliform bacteria counts were 19 and 230 cfu/100mL. Additionally samples were collected on August 7, 2001 for Fluorescent Whitening Agents and Optical Brighteners. Results for all samples indicated recovery as below the detection limits. This would indicate that on this sampling date waste from septic systems or industrial applications that might include paper whiteners or laundry products were not likely to be entering the Canoe River.

Too limited bacteria data are available so the *Recreational* uses are not assessed for the Canoe River. The *Aesthetics Use* is assessed as support.

Canoe River (MA62-27) Use Summary Table				
Designate	d Uses	Status		
Aquatic Life	St.	SUPPORT		
Fish Consumption	$\bigcirc$	NOT ASSESSED		
Primary Contact	A.	NOT ASSESSED		
Secondary Contact		NOT ASSESSED		
Aesthetics		SUPPORT		

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Continue to conduct monitoring (biological, habitat and water quality) to evaluate the status of the *Aquatic Life Use* in the Canoe River.

Continue to conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

Continue to monitor compliance with WMA registration/permit limits and other special conditions of the permits.

# **SNAKE RIVER (SEGMENT MA62-28)**

Location: Outlet of Winnecunnet Pond, Norton to inlet of Lake Sabbatia, Taunton. Segment Length: 3.3 miles Classification: Class B

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 37.8 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

Forest ...... 56.8% Residential..... 25.7% Open land...... 4.9%

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is less than 10%.

This segment is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 3 (MassDEP 2003).

The use assessment for Winnecunnet Pond (MA62213) is in the Lake Assessment section of this report.

The Snake River is included and runs through two ACECs, the Canoe River Aquifer and the Hockomock Swamp (MA DCR 2005).

## WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL AND NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY

There are 202 acres of land which are classified in the Land-Use theme as cranberry bog in this subwatershed (UMass Amherst 1999). For the purpose of this report, a conservative estimate of water use for this bog area 1.8 MGD.

Based on available information there are no NPDES dischargers in this subwatershed.

# USE ASSESSMENT

Sampling of the Snake River (DO, temperature, pH, TSS, nitrate-nitrogen, total phosphorus, and bacteria) is conducted on a monthly basis by TRWA near Bay Street, Norton (Sampling Station SNK-005). During June, July and August 2002, the TRWA reported that DO at the Snake River sample site was below the 5.0 mg/L critical level (Domingos 2003a). Although a draft Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) was reviewed in 2001, a final QAPP for the TRWA has not been approved and their data are not quality-assured. Therefore, the designated uses for the Snake River are not assessed.

Aquatic Life	Fish Consumption	Primary Contact	Secondary Contact	Aesthetics	
()	$\odot$	AG .		W	
NOT ASSESSED					

# Snake River (MA62-28) Use Summary Table

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Conduct monitoring (biological, habitat and water quality) to evaluate the status of the *Aquatic Life Use* in the Snake River bracketing potential sources of pollution (e.g., developments, highway).

Conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

The TRWA should continue to conduct water quality monitoring at its established sampling site on the Snake River to meet its sampling objectives. In order for the MassDEP to utilize the TRWA data for water quality assessment reporting purposes, the TRWA should work with MassDEP to meet its Quality Assurance /Quality Control requirements.

# MILL RIVER (SEGMENT MA62-29)

Location: Outlet Whittenton Impoundment, Taunton to the confluence with Taunton River, Taunton. Segment Length: 3.4 miles Classification: Class B, Warm Water Fishery

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 43.8 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

Forest ...... 53.2% Residential..... 27.1% Open land...... 5.4%

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is less than 10%.

This segment is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 3 (MassDEP 2003).

The use assessments for Sabbatia Lake (MA62166), Watson Pond (MA62205), and Whittenton Impoundment (MA62228) are in the Lake Assessment section of this report.

There are two ponds, Watson Pond and Lake Sabbatia, within this subwatershed that are also within the Canoe River Aquifer ACEC (MA DCR 2005).

# WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G5)

There are 202 acres of land which are classified in the Land-Use theme as cranberry bog in this subwatershed (UMass Amherst 1999). For the purpose of this report, a conservative estimate of water use for this bog area 1.8 MGD. This cranberry acreage is entirely within the subwatershed for Segment MA62-28, which is the upper portion of this subwatershed.

Facility	WMA Permit Number	WMA Registration Number	Source (S = surface)	Authorized Withdrawal (MGD)
Infinity Holding LLC	9P442529306	NA	01S	0.576 perm

# NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G3)

Reed & Barton in Taunton is reusing some of their non-contact cooling water and has tied the rest of their discharges into the Taunton WWTP. The facility no longer discharges non-contact cooling water to the Mill River from any outfalls (NPDES permit MA0001422 was terminated in November 2004) (EPA 2004b).

# USE ASSESSMENT

Sampling of the Mill River (DO, temperature, pH, TSS, nitrate-nitrogen, total phosphorus, and bacteria) is conducted on a monthly basis by TRWA at three locations as described below.

MIL-030 - Whittenton Street, Taunton approximately 3.0 miles from the confluence with the Taunton River.

MIL-014 - Washington Street, Taunton approximately 1.4 miles from the confluence with the Taunton River.

MIL-000 - Ingell Street, Taunton before the confluence with the Taunton River.

The TRWA reported that DO at the mouth of the Taunton River (Station MIL-000) was below 5.00 mg/L in August and September of 2002. Additionally, high phosphorus and fecal coliform levels were reported at this sampling station in May 2002. Stations MIL-000 and MIL-014 consistently showed high fecal coliform levels (Domingos 2003a).

The Bridgewater State WAL collected water quality samples (DO, temperature, pH, specific conductivity, phosphorus and nitrate) in the Mill River off of Court Street, Taunton once a month in June, July and August 2004 (Curry 2005). The WAL indicated that water quality standards were generally met for pH, DO and temperature at this site.

Although a draft Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) was reviewed in 2001, a final QAPP for the TRWA has not been approved and their data are not quality-assured. A QAPP for the Bridgewater State WAL has not been approved by MassDEP and their data are not quality-assured. Therefore, the designated uses for the Mill River are not assessed.

It should be noted that illicit sewer connections were discovered in 2003 along the Mill River in the Weir Street area. Several homes and businesses have their waste water systems hooked up to stormwater drain pipes instead of sewer pipes. An engineering firm was contracted by the city of Taunton to characterize the sewage leak to the Mill River. Twenty-five illicit connections were verified along Weir, High, and Winthrop streets. A rehabilitation project in underway to correct the illicit connections by the spring of 2005 (Hyman 2004). Because of these illicit connects and the high coliform counts reported by TRWA, the *Recreational* and *Aesthetics* uses of the Mill River are identified with an Alert Status.

Aquatic Life	Fish Consumption	Aesthetics*		
()	$\odot$	A.	$\mathbb{A}$	W
		NOT ASSESSED		

Mill River (MA62-29) Use Summary Table

\*Alert Status issues identified see details in use assessment

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Conduct monitoring (biological, habitat and water quality) to evaluate the status of the *Aquatic Life Use* in the Mill River bracketing potential sources of pollution (e.g., discharges, developments).

Conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

The TRWA and WAL should continue to conduct water quality monitoring at their established sampling sites on the Mill River to meet their sampling objectives. In order for the MassDEP to utilize the TRWA and WAL data for water quality assessment reporting purposes, the TRWA and WAL should work with MassDEP to meet its Quality Assurance /Quality Control requirements.

# THREEMILE RIVER SUBWATERSHED

The Threemile River with a drainage area of 84.5 square miles is formed at the confluence of the Wading and Rumford Rivers in the northwest section of the Taunton River Basin (Figure 12). The Rumford River forms at the outlet of Gavins Pond in Sharon. As it flows southward through Mansfield, it is joined by Robinson Brook before emptying into Norton Reservoir. The Rumford River then flows southeasterly from the outlet of Norton Reservoir until it converges with the Wading River. From its headwaters also in Foxborough, the Wading River flows in a southeasterly direction through Foxborough and Mansfield and converges with the Rumford River in Norton to from the Threemile River. The Threemile River subwatershed includes the following segments:

Robinson Brook (Segment MA62-14) Rumford River (Segment MA62-39) Rumford River (Segment MA62-40) Wading River (Segment MA62-47) Wading River (Segment MA62-49) Threemile River (Segment MA62-56) Threemile River (Segment MA62-57)

The land use in the Threemile River subwatershed is primarily forested particularly in the Wading and Threemile Rivers. Residential is the next largest land use category followed by a much lower percentage of open space (6.6 to 9.6%). Approximately 100 acres of land which are classified in the Land-Use theme as cranberry bogs are located throughout the subwatershed. The impervious area in the eastern portion of the Threemile River subwatershed (Robinson Brook and Rumford River) ranges from 13.6 to 23.7%. This is some of the highest impervious area in the Taunton watershed and indicates the potential for water quality to be impacted by impervious surface water runoff. The impervious area in the Wading River and Threemile River is 10.5% or less indicating there is a much lower potential for adverse water quality impacts from impervious surface water runoff.

With the exception of Robinson Brook, all three rivers have been placed on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 5 for not meeting Water Quality Standards. There are several NPL sites in the Wading River and the Rumford River. Because of elevated dioxin and pesticides levels in fish tissue, MA DPH issued a fish consumption advisory on the Rumford River.

The majority of the facilities (eight out of thirteen) permitted under the WMA are municipal public water supply sources. Authorized surface and groundwater withdrawals total 12.27 MGD. Water use for the cranberry bog areas is estimated at 0.9 MGD.

There are seven permitted NPDES discharges in this subwatershed. They include one municipal major, one industrial major and 5 minor permits. Although some of the discharges were problematic in the past, progress has been made through the NPDES permit program to correct these problems. Additionally, there are numerous Multi-sector General Stormwater Permits particularly for facilities in the City of Taunton. The communities of Taunton, Norton, Sharon, Dighton, Foxborough and Mansfield are Phase II stormwater communities. Each community was issued a stormwater general permit from EPA and MassDEP in 2003/2004 and is authorized to discharge stormwater from their municipal drainage system. Over the five-year permit term, the communities will develop, implement, and enforce a stormwater management program to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the storm sewer system to protect water quality (Domizio 2004).

As part of its 2001 sampling program, DWM sampled all segments in this subwatershed with the exception of the lower portion of the Threemile River (Segment MA62-57). Water quality in the Threemile River was sampled during the ENSR International study and as part of their NAQWA project the USGS conducted water quality sampling in the Wading River. The TRWA also conducts water quality sampling at two sites on the Threemile River and the Bridgewater State WAL does water quality monitoring at one site on the Threemile River. Due to benthic community impacts the *Aquatic Life Use* was assessed as impaired in Robinson Brook and a portion of the Rumford River. In all other segments that had sufficient information/data to be evaluated the Aquatic Life and Aesthetics Use was assessed as support. The Shellfish Use is impaired in the lower portion of the Threemile River due to elevated bacteria counts.



# **ROBINSON BROOK (SEGMENT MA62-14)**

Location: Outlet Hersey Pond, Foxborough to confluence with Rumford River, Mansfield. Segment Length: 1.9 miles Classification: Class B

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 2.7 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is 23.7%.

This segment is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 3 (MassDEP 2003).

# WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G5) AND NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY

Facility	WMA Permit Number	WMA Registration Number	Source (G = ground)	Authorized Withdrawal (MGD)
Foxborough Country Club Inc.	NA	42509901	01S 01G 02G 03G	0.07 reg

Based on available information there are no current NPDES dischargers in this subwatershed.

# USE ASSESSMENT

## AQUATIC LIFE

#### Habitat and Flow

In July 2001 DWM evaluated the instream habitat along one reach in Robinson Brook upstream from Route 140, Mansfield (Station RB03). The total habitat assessment score was 162 out of 200. Instream sedimentation, and limited velocity/depth combinations and channel flow status compromised instream habitat quality most negatively (Appendix D).

#### Biology

The RBP III analysis of the benthic community in Robinson Brook upstream from Route 140, Mansfield (Station RB03) indicated "moderately impacted" conditions compared to the Canoe River reference station (TR01). Organic enrichment and habitat quality degradation from sediment inputs were thought to compromise biological potential in the brook (Appendix D).

#### Chemistry - water

Between July and September 2001 DWM collected water quality samples in Robinson Brook at Central Street, Mansfield (Station RB03) (Appendix A). Following is a summary of the sampling results.

## Dissolved Oxygen and % Saturation

Measurements for DO were 8.4 and 9.9 mg/L with saturations of 96 and 94%, respectively in August and September. It should be noted that these measurements do not represent worst-case (pre-dawn) conditions.

## Temperature

The maximum temperature in Robinson Brook was 23.2°C in August of 2001.

#### pH and Alkalinity

pH was 6.7 and 6.8 SU and alkalinity was 18 and 21 mg/L (n=2).

#### Specific Conductance

Specific conductance was very high with values of 806 and 857µS/cm.

*Chloride* Values of 210 and 230 mg/L were recorded for chloride.

Hardness Hardness measurements were 67 and 71 mg/L.

*T*SS Total suspended solids were low with values of <1.0 and 1.4 mg/L.

NO<sub>3</sub>-NO<sub>2</sub>-nitrogen Values were 0.90 and 1.2 mg/L.

Ammonia-nitrogen

Ammonia nitrogen was consistently <0.02 mg/L (n=2). Both of these measurements were below the conservative criterion of 1.09 mg/L NH<sub>3</sub>-N (chronic instream criterion for ammonia at pH of 8.0 and temperature of  $30^{\circ}$  C) (EPA 1999).

Total Phosphorus Total phosphorus measured 0.011 and 0.021 mg/L.

The *Aquatic Life Use* is assessed as impaired for Robinson Brook based primarily on the RPBIII analysis that indicated moderate impacts to the benthic community. Organic enrichment and habitat quality degradation from sediment inputs were thought to compromise biological potential in the brook.

# PRIMARY CONTACT AND SECONDARY CONTACT RECREATION AND AESTHETICS

In July, August, and September 2001, DWM collected fecal coliform, *E.coli*, and *Enterococci* bacteria from Robinson Brook near Central Street, Mansfield (Station RB03). The fecal coliform bacteria counts ranged from 150 - 300 cfu/100 mL, while the *E.coli* and *Enterococci* counts ranged from 24 – 150 cfu/100mL and 260 – 560 cfu/100mL, respectively (Appendix A). Additionally, samples were collected on August 7, 2001 for Fluorescent Whitening Agents and Optical Brighteners. Results for all samples indicated recovery as below the detection limits. This would indicate that on this sampling date waste from septic systems or industrial applications that might include paper whiteners or laundry products were not likely to be entering Robinson Brook.

No objectionable conditions (i.e., odors, colors, turbidity, oils) other than some trash and debris were observed by DWM biologists in Robinson Brook upstream from Route 140, Mansfield or by DWM field sampling crews near Central Street (MassDEP 2001a and MassDEP 2001b).

Too limited bacteria data are available so the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* Uses are not assessed for Robinson Brook. The *Aesthetics Use* is assessed as support.

## Robinson Brook (MA62-14) Use Summary Table

Designated Uses		Status
Aquatic Life	()	IMPAIRED Cause: Combined biota/habitat degradation Source: Unknown (Suspected Source: Highway/road/bridge runoff)
Fish Consumption	$\bigcirc$	NOT ASSESSED
Primary Contact	AS .	NOT ASSESSED
Secondary Contact		NOT ASSESSED
Aesthetics	W	SUPPORT

# RECOMMENDATIONS

Investigate the need to implement BMPs to minimize/reduce the effects of sediment inputs and other NPS pollutants associated with road runoff from Routes 95 and 140 to Robinson Brook.

Continue to conduct monitoring (biological, habitat and water quality) to evaluate impacts to Robinson Brook from potential sources of pollution (e.g., highway runoff, developments) and to better assess the status of the *Aquatic Life Use*.

Continue to conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

# **RUMFORD RIVER (SEGMENT MA62-39)**

Location: Outlet Gavins Pond, Sharon to inlet Norton Reservoir, Mansfield. Segment Length: 8.0 miles Classification: Class B (Note this segment was formerly part of MA62-15).

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 13.2 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is 16.3%.

The use assessments for Wolomolopoag Pond (MA62216), Gavins Pond (MA62077), Vandys Pond (MA62112), Fulton Pond (MA62075), Hodges Pond (MA62091), and Cabot Pond (MA62029) are in the Lake Assessment section of this report.

This segment (formerly part of MA62-15) is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 5 for not meeting water quality standards for pesticides, pathogens and organic enrichment/low DO (MassDEP 2003).

MDFW has proposed that Henkes Brook, a tributary to this segment, be listed in the next revision of the SWQS as a cold water fishery (Richards 2003b).

There is one NPL site located in this subwatershed. The site description was excerpted from the EPA New England NPL website (EPA 2005e):

Hatheway and Patterson Company (HPC) is a former wood preserving facility located in a mixed residential and industrial area in Mansfield, MA. The property is roughly divided in half by the Rumford River, which runs north to south, and by a railroad track right-of-way, which runs east and west. The HPC was listed on the NPL because releases of dioxins, furans, and phenols from the facility to the Rumford River have impacted fisheries and wetlands, and releases of arsenic, chromium, copper, phenols (including pentachlorophenol (PCP)), and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) to ground water pose a threat to nearby municipal and private drinking water wells. HPC began wood treating operations at the property in 1953, although it operated at the facility since 1927. Operations between 1927 and 1953 are unknown. At the end of 1972, a citizen complained of "oily water" and dead waterfowl in the Rumford River downstream of the HPC facility. Subsequently, MassDEP and the town of Mansfield requested that HPC contain the seepage. By 1973, HPC developed a contaminated ground water recovery trench located along the east bank of the Rumford River just upstream from its confluence with the Rumford River backwash channel. A prospective buyer of the site again detected oily seepage in the Rumford River in 1981. In 1987, HPC was issued a Notice of Noncompliance by the MassDEP, which ordered that HPC conduct a soils and hydrology assessment of the site. In 1988, MassDEP issued HPC a Notice of Responsibility, and in 1990. MassDEP issued a Request for Short-Term Measure to address the imminent contamination of the Rumford River emanating from the site. In 1992, EPA's RCRA program personnel conducted inspections of the HPC facility and determined that the drip pads were not in compliance. In 1993, HPC filed for bankruptcy and ceased operations in April 1993. In 1999, the state of Massachusetts, at the recommendation of the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, closed the Rumford River from below Glue Factory Pond dam to the Norton Reservoir to all fishing due to dioxin contamination attributed to HPC. Releases of dioxins and phenols also have impacted approximately 1.25 miles of wetland frontage along the Rumford River, and the releases pose a threat to several other wetland areas, fisheries, and habitats used by State designated threatened species downstream of the facility. In addition, over 44,000 people receive drinking water from private and municipal wells within four miles of the HPC facility. EPA conducted a preliminary environmental investigation in the fall of 2001 and issued a Final Data Report on June 4, 2002. The preliminary environmental investigation focused on sampling existing ground water monitoring wells at the site and collecting water and sediment samples from the Rumford River. The Final Data Report summarizes all historical soil data collected at the site and data collected during the preliminary environmental investigation. Based on the information presented in the Final Data Report, EPA was able to identify where additional information is needed to complete a Remedial Investigation.

There are two sites awaiting a NPL decision located in this subwatershed. The site descriptions were excerpted from the EPA website (EPA 2005f and 2005g):

Mansfield Bleachery (MB) in Foxborough, MA operated a textile manufacturing business on the property from approximately 1890 until 1966. MB reportedly engaged in the uncontrolled disposal of process wastes in the northern portion of the property. Analysis of soil samples collected in 1990 revealed elevated levels of semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs). Two oil spills had occurred in the past and impacted the Rumford River. In 1987, Summit Casting (owner and occupant of one of the parcels of land occupied by MB) removed two 20,000-gallon fuel oil underground storage tanks and contaminated soil from their property after discovering oil seeping through a basement wall of their building. Groundwater samples collected on site have revealed the presence of petroleum related compounds. Surface water runoff drains into Glue Factory Pond and then the Rumford River. Surface water samples taken from the Glue Factory Pond and the Rumford River indicated the presence of trans-1,2-dichloroethene. Currently the site has no status under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan and is not an active site under the MassDEP.

In 1986, the Town of Sharon Board of Appeals requested that a hydrogeologic study be conducted to assess the potential impact of the on-site septic system at Shaw's Plaza (SP) property to Sharon Well No. 5, a public drinking water supply, located about 0.3 miles southeast and down gradient from the property. Five chlorinated and non-chlorinated volatile organic compounds (VOCs) were detected in soil samples. Analytical results of groundwater and drinking water sampling done in 1995 have documented the release of benzene and seven chlorinated VOCs to groundwater beneath and down gradient from the SP property and extending to the southeast. Groundwater sampling results of the testing done in 1995 indicated that a groundwater contamination plume of tetrachloroethylene (PCE) originating at the SP property was discovered, and the presence of PCE in samples collected from Sharon Well No. 5 at the concentration of 3.6 PPB. A groundwater treatment sys tem was installed in 1992 to treat the contaminated groundwater. Surface water runoff from the SP property flows south towards Billings Brook. Analytical results of surface water pathway sampling have documented a release of semivolatile organic compounds to surface water in Billings Brook and associated wetlands at concentrations near 1 part per million. The property is in Phase V (Operation, Maintenance, and/or Monitoring) of the five phase Massachusetts Contingency Plan.

# WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G5)

There are 23 acres of land which are classified in the Land-Use theme as cranberry bog in this subwatershed (UMass Amherst 1999). For the purpose of this report, a conservative estimate of water use for this bog area 0.2 MGD.

Facility	WMA Permit Number	WMA Registration Number	Source (G = ground)	Authorized Withdrawal (MGD)
Sharon Water Department*	9P42526601	42526601	4266000-04G 4266000-06G	0.55 reg <u>0.31 perm</u> Total – 0.86
Foxborough Water Department*	9P42509901	42509902	4099000-07G 4099000-08G 4099000-09G 4099000-10G	1.6 reg <u>0.22 perm</u> Total – 1.82

\* Indicates system -wide withdrawal

# NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G3)

The former Gorham Silver Company, Mansfield has submitted an application (NPDES MA0035700) to discharge to a wetland near this segment of the Rumford River.

## **USE ASSESSMENT**

## AQUATIC LIFE

# Habitat and Flow

In July 2001 DWM evaluated instream habitat along two reaches in this segment of the Rumford River (Appendix D). The most upstream station was located 200 meters downstream from Coccasset Street, Foxborough (Station TR06). The overall habitat score here was 142 out of 200. Habitat was limited most by low flow conditions (limited velocity depth combinations and fish cover) and sediment deposition (sediment deposition was not noted during the survey conducted by DWM in 1996). The overall habitat score of the second station located downstream from Willow Street, Mansfield (Station TR06B) was 159 out of 200. Instream habitat quality was primarily compromised by sediment deposition, embeddedness and limited instream cover for fish (MassDEP 2001b). DWM biologists also evaluated habitat in the Rumford River downstream from Coccasset St., Foxborough (Station TR06) in July 1996 (Appendix E).
#### **Biology**

The RBP III analysis of the benthic macroinvertebrate sample collected by DWM in July 2001 from the Rumford River downstream from Coccasset Street, Foxborough (Station TR06) indicated slightly impacted conditions compared to the Canoe River reference station (Appendix D).

The benthic community in the river downstream from Willow Street, Mansfield (Station TR06B), however, was found to be moderately impacted (RBP III analysis indicated only 33% comparability to the Canoe River reference station). In September 2001 DWM conducted fish population sampling downstream from Willow Street, Mansfield using a backpack shocker. A total of 36 fish were collected and six species were represented. The fish community was dominated by pumpkinseed. Other fish present included black crappie, yellow perch, redfin pickerel, bluegill, and American eel (Mitchell 2001). The fish community was comprised entirely of macrohabitat generalists. Redfin pickerel and American eel are common in slow-moving wetland dominated streams. The remaining species are indicative of lentic habitats and may be coming from the large impoundment (Cabot Pond) located upstream.

The results of the July 1996 DWM RBP II analysis of the benthic macroinvertebrate community in the Rumford River downstream from Coccasset St., Foxborough (StationTR06) can be found in Appendix E.

#### Chemistry - water

DWM conducted water quality sampling in the Rumford River near Spring Street, Mansfield (Station RR04) between July and September 2001 (Appendix A). A summary of these data are presented below.

#### Dissolved Oxygen and % Saturation

All measurements for DO at RR04 were greater than 5.0 mg/L during both the pre-dawn and daytime surveys. Saturation exceeded 60% ranging from 70 to 96%.

#### Temperature

The maximum temperature recorded was 26.7°C in July 2001.

Chloride

Chloride measurements ranged from 110 to 120mg/L.

#### pH and Alkalinity

pH ranged from 6.5 to 7.8 SU while alkalinity ranged was 17 to 25 mg/L.

Hardness The range for hardness was 49 to 52 mg/L.

#### Specific Conductance

Specific conductance ranged from 457 to 479 µmhos/cm.

TSS

Concentrations of suspended solids were low ranging from 1.3 to 2.9 mg/L.

#### Ammonia-nitrogen

The concentration of ammonia-nitrogen was <0.02 mg/L. This measurement was below the conservative criterion of 1.09 mg/L  $NH_3$ -N (chronic instream criterion for ammonia at pH of 8.0 and temperature of 30° C) (EPA 1999).

#### Nitrate and Nitrite-nitrogen

Concentrations were 0.18, 0.33 and 0.38 mg/L.

#### Total Phosphorus

Total phosphorus concentrations were all less than 0.05 mg/L with a range of 0.022 to 0.032 mg/L.

The Aquatic Life Use is assessed as support for the upper 3.0 mile reach of the Rumford River (upstream from the Glue Factory Pond dam in Foxborough) based primarily on the RPB III analysis. Downstream from the Glue Factory Pond dam, the Aquatic Life Use for the Rumford River is assessed as impaired (the lower 5.0 mile reach of this segment). This assessment is based in part on best professional judgment (unknown impacts associated with the HPC site) and the RPB III analysis that indicated moderate impacts to the benthic community in the river near Willow Street, Mansfield and the fish community analysis which documented the absence of fluvial dependant/specialists. Organic enrichment and habitat quality degradation from sediment inputs likely compromise biological integrity.

#### FISH CONSUMPTION

In 1998/1999 fish toxics monitoring was conducted by EPA in the Rumford River in Mansfield. In 1999 the state of Massachusetts, at the recommendation of the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, closed the Rumford River from below Glue Factory Pond dam to the Norton Reservoir to all fishing due to dioxin contamination attributed to HPC (EPA 2005e). The dioxin and pesticides data triggered a site-specific advisory against the consumption of fish from this waterbody and the MA DPH issued the following fish consumption advisory (MA DPH 2004).

"The general public should not consume any fish from this water body".

The upper 3.0 mile reach of this segment of the Rumford River is not assessed for the *Fish Consumption Use*. The lower 5.0 mile reach (portion of the Rumford River between Glue Factory Pond dam in Foxborough and the inlet of Norton Reservoir in Mansfield which includes Fulton, Kingman and Cabot ponds) is assessed as impaired for this use due to elevated dioxin and pesticides levels in fish tissue as a result of contamination from the HPC site.

## PRIMARY CONTACT AND SECONDARY CONTACT RECREATION AND AESTHETICS

In July, August, and September 2001, DWM collected fecal coliform, *E.coli*, and *Enterococci* bacteria from the Rumford River near Spring Street, Mansfield (Station RR04). Fecal coliform bacteria counts were low (n=3) ranging from 25 – 190 cfu/100mL (Appendix A). *E.coli* and *Enterococci* counts ranged from 15 – 100 and from 30 - 710 cfu/100mL, respectively. Additionally samples were collected on August 7, 2001 for Fluorescent Whitening Agents and Optical Brighteners at this sampling site. Results for all samples indicated recovery as below the detection limits. This would indicate that on this sampling date waste from septic systems or industrial applications that might include paper whiteners or laundry products were not likely to be entering the Rumford River.

Although the water was described as slightly turbid, no other objectionable conditions (e.g., odors, oils, deposits) were noted by DWM biologists in the Rumford River near either Cocassett Street, Foxborough or Willow Street, Mansfield in July 2001 (MassDEP 2001b). Some trash and debris and a small oil sheen was noted in the river near Spring Street in Mansfield during the July 2001 water quality survey.

Too limited bacteria data are available so the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses are not assessed. The *Aesthetics Use* is assessed as support but is identified with an Alert Status because of trash and debris in the river downstream from Mansfield center.

#### Rumford River (MA62-39) Use Summary Table

Designated Uses		Status
Aquatic Life		SUPPORT upper 3.0 mile reach IMPAIRED lower 5.0 mile Reach Cause: Combined biota/habitat degradation Source: Unknown (Suspected Sources: Highway/road/bridge runoff, and municipal separate storm sewers)
Fish Consumption	$ \mathbf{\Theta} $	NOT ASSESSED upper 3.0 mile reach IMPAIRED lower 5.0 mile reach Cause: Dioxin and pesticides Source: NPL superfund site
Primary Contact	15	NOT ASSESSED
Secondary Contact	$\mathbb{A}$	NOT ASSESSED
Aesthetics	W	SUPPORT*

\* Alert Status issues identified, see details in use assessment

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Continue to conduct monitoring (biological, habitat and water quality) to evaluate impacts to the Rumford River from potential sources of pollution (e.g., hazardous waste site, Robinson Brook, developments) to better assess the status of the *Aquatic Life Use*.

Continue to conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

A stream cleanup should be conducted to remove trash and debris.

Review data and evaluate status of hazardous waste site cleanups along this segment of the Rumford River to monitor progress of improvements and to determine needs, if any, to collect additional data.

MDFW has proposed that Henkes Brook, a tributary to the Rumford River, be protected as cold water fishery habitat. Additional monitoring of the fish population, dissolved oxygen, and temperature is needed to evaluate MDFW's proposal to list this stream as a cold water fishery in the next revision of the Surface Water Quality Standards.

# **RUMFORD RIVER (SEGMENT MA62-40)**

Location: Outlet Norton Reservoir, Norton to confluence with Wading and Threemile rivers, Norton. Segment Length: 4.5 miles Classification: Class B (Note this segment was formerly part of MA62-15.)

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 22.3 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is 13.6%.

The use assessment for Norton Reservoir (MA62134) is in the Lake Assessment section of this report.

This segment (formerly part of MA62-15) is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 5 for not meeting water quality standards for pesticides, pathogens and organic enrichment/low DO (MassDEP 2003).

### WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G5)

There are 23 acres of land which are classified in the Land-Use theme as cranberry bog in this subwatershed (UMass Amherst 1999). For the purpose of this report, a conservative estimate of water use for this bog area 0.2 MGD. This cranberry acreage is entirely within the subwatershed for Segment MA62-39, which is the upper portion of this subwatershed.

Facility	WMA Permit Number	WMA Registration Number	Source (G = ground)	Authorized Withdrawal (MGD)
Tournament Players Club	9P442521802	NA	RW-3 RW-4 RW-5 RW-6 RW-9 RW-11 RW-13	0.23 perm

\* Indicates system -wide withdrawal

#### NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G3)

Wheaton College is authorized to discharge a monthly average of 0.12 MGD and a daily maximum of 0.16 MGD of sanitary wastewater and cooling water via outfall 001 (NPDES permit # MA0026182 reissued in August 2004). MassDEP issued an Administrative Consent Order to Wheaton College in December 2004 establishing timelines to develop, permit, and construct enhancements to its wastewater treatment facility. The improved facility will be designed to attain compliance with Wheaton's reissued NPDES permit.

# USE ASSESSMENT

# AQUATIC LIFE

#### **Biology**

As part of the MassDEP biocriteria development project the fish population in the Rumford River was sampled (electrofishing) in October 1996 (Appendix I). The fish population in the river (Station NB16RUM) was comprised, in order of abundance, of tessellated darter; American eel; and two each of pumpkinseed, largemouth bass, and redfin pickerel (MassDEP 1996b). The fish community was heavily dominated (74%) by tessellated darter, a fluvial specialist. The next most dominant species, American eel (a macrohabitat generalist) is usually more common in ponds and low gradient streams. However, they also inhabit faster moving streams especially areas close to dams, which may obstruct their upstream movement.

#### Chemistry - water

DWM conducted water quality sampling in the Rumford River near Reservoir Street, Norton between July and September 2001 (Station RR05). These data are summarized below. It should also be noted that DWM collected *in-situ* measurements of the Rumford River (DO, %saturation pH, temperature and conductivity) approximately 25 meters southwest (downstream) of Pine Street (Station #NB16RUM) on October 7, 1996 (Appendix B).

#### Dissolved Oxygen and % Saturation

All measurements for DO were greater than 5.0 mg/L during both the pre-dawn and daytime surveys. Saturation was greater than 60% ranging from 69 to 96%.

#### Temperature

The maximum temperature was 28.9°C in August 2001. All other readings at this site were  $\leq$  28.3°C.

Chloride Chloride ranged from 88 to 100 mg/L.

*pH* and Alkalinity pH ranged from 6.8 to 7.2 SU while the alkalinity ranged from 18 to 21 mg/L.

Hardness Hardness measured between 38 and 39 mg/L.

Specific Conductance

Specific conductance ranged from 362 to 390 µmhos/cm.

TSS

Concentrations of TSS were low ranging from 2.4 to 3.6 mg/L.

Ammonia-nitrogen

Ammonia nitrogen concentrations were all <0.02 mg/L. These measurements were below the conservative criterion of 1.09 mg/L  $NH_3$ -N (chronic instream criterion for ammonia at pH of 8.0 and temperature of 30° C) (EPA 1999).

 $NO_3$ - $NO_2$ -nitrogen All measurements were =0.06 mg/L.

Total Phosphorus

Total phosphorus concentrations ranged from 0.032 to 0.041 mg/L.

There are too limited data available and a lack of instream biological data (response type indicators of instream water quality conditions) so the *Aquatic Life Use* is not assessed for this segment of the Rumford River.

#### PRIMARY CONTACT AND SECONDARY CONTACT RECREATION AND AESTHETICS

In July, August, and September 2001, DWM collected fecal coliform, *E.coli*, and *Enterococci* bacteria from the Rumford River near Reservoir Street, Norton (Station RR05) and near Route 123, Norton (Station RR06). Fecal coliform bacteria counts were low ranging from 35 – 300 cfu/100mL (only one of five counts was > 200 cfu/100 mL) (Appendix A). *E.coli* and *Enterococci* counts ranged from 10 – 60 and from 60 - 500 cfu/100mL, respectively. Additionally samples were collected on September 17, 2001 for Fluorescent Whitening Agents and Optical Brighteners. Results for all samples indicated recovery as below the detection limits. This would indicate that on this sampling date waste from septic systems or industrial applications that might include paper whiteners or laundry products were not likely to be entering the Rumford River.

No objectionable conditions (oils, odors, deposits) other than some trash and debris near Reservoir Street, Norton were noted by DWM field sampling crews during the water quality surveys in the summer 2001 (MassDEP 2001a).

Too limited bacteria data are available so the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses are not assessed. The *Aesthetics Use* is assessed as support.

Designate	d Uses	Status
Aquatic Life	C.	NOT ASSESSED
Fish Consumption	$\odot$	NOT ASSESSED
Primary Contact		NOT ASSESSED
Secondary Contact	$\mathbb{A}$	NOT ASSESSED
Aesthetics	War	SUPPORT

#### Rumford River (MA62-40) Use Summary Table

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Continue to conduct monitoring (biological, habitat and water quality) to evaluate impacts to the Rumford River from potential sources of pollution (e.g., discharges) to better assess the status of the *Aquatic Life Use*.

Continue to conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

# WADING RIVER (SEGMENT MA62-47)

Location: Source in wetland north of West Street, Foxborough to Balcolm Street, Mansfield. Segment Length: 4.2 miles Classification: Proposed Class A

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 19.6 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

Forest ...... 54.4% Residential..... 23.7% Open land...... 6.6%

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is less than 10%.

The use assessments for Crocker Pond (MA62051), Route One Pond (MA62165), Thurston Street Pond (MA62192), Carpenter Pond (MA62032), Sunset Lake (MA62184), Cocasset Lake (MA62043), Furnace Lake (MA62076), Turnpike Lake (MA62198), Mirimichi Lake (MA62118), Robinson Pond (MA62163), and Blakes Pond (MA62221) are in the Lake Assessment section of this report.

This segment (formerly part of Segment MA62-17) is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 5 for organic enrichment/low DO, pathogens and causes unknown (MassDEP 2003).

# WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G5) AND NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY

There are 15 acres of land which are classified in the Land-Use theme as cranberry bog in this subwatershed (UMass Amherst 1999). For the purpose of this report, a conservative estimate of water use for this bog area 0.1 MGD.

Facility	WMA Permit Number	WMA Registration Number	Source (G = ground, S = surface)	Authorized Withdrawal (MGD)
Wrentham Water Division	9P42535001	42535001	4350000-02G	0.38 reg <u>0.23 perm</u> Total - 0.61
Plainville Water Department	9P42523801	42523801	4238000-01G 4238000-02G 4238000-05G	0.39 reg <u>0.0 perm</u> Total – 0.39
Law Greenhouses & Gardens	NA	V42509903	01S	0.01 reg
Foxborough Water Department*	9P42509901	42509902	4099000-04G 4099000-05G 4099000-06G 4099000-12G	1.60 reg <u>0.22 perm</u> Total – 1.82
Attleboro Department of Public Works	9P42501601	42501602	4016000-05S	1.62 reg <u>0.47 perm</u> Total – 2.09

\* Indicates system -wide withdrawal

Based on the available information there are no NPDES discharges to this segment of the Wading River.

## USE ASSESSMENT AQUATIC LIFE

#### Chemistry - water

DWM conducted water quality sampling of the Wading River near West Street, Mansfield (Station WR08) between July and September 2001 (Appendix A). These data are summarized below.

#### Dissolved Oxygen and % Saturation

DO measurements ranged from 4.1 to 8.0 mg/L with saturations between 50 and 79%. Of the six measurements taken, two (both pre-dawn) were below 5.0 mg/L and 60% saturation. *Temperature* 

The maximum temperature recorded was 26.2°C in August 2001.

Chloride Chloride measurements ranged from 97 to 110 mg/L.

#### pH and Alkalinity

The pH ranged between 6.6 and 6.7 while alkalinity ranged from 22 to 24 mg/L.

#### Specific Conductance

Specific conductance ranged from 391 to 451 µS/cm.

Hardness

Hardness ranged from 49 to 56 mg/L.

TSS

TSS were low ranging between <1.0 and 4.5 mg/L.

#### Ammonia-nitrogen

Ammonia-nitrogen concentrations were all <0.02 mg/L. These measurements were below the conservative criterion of 1.09 mg/L NH<sub>3</sub>-N (chronic instream criterion for ammonia at pH of 8.0 SU and temperature of  $30^{\circ}$ C) (EPA 1999).

 $NO_3-NO_2-N$ 

The concentration ranged between 0.18 and 0.21 mg/L.

#### Total Phosphorus

Total phosphorus concentrations were all less than 0.05 mg/L ranging from 0.020 to 0.037 mg/L.

Due to the lack of instream biological data (response type indicators of in-stream water quality conditions), the *Aquatic Life Use* for this segment of the Wading River is not assessed. Whether or not low dissolved oxygen/saturation results from anthropogenic influences or from natural conditions (wetland influences) is unknown.

#### PRIMARY CONTACT AND SECONDARY CONTACT RECREATION

In August 2001, DWM collected fecal coliform (590 cfu/100 mL), *E.coli* (300 cfu/100 mL), and *Enterococci* (450 cfu/100 mL) bacteria from the Wading River near West Street, Mansfield (Station WR08) (Appendix A).

Field observations were made by DWM field sampling staff during the surveys conducted in this segment of the Wading River between June and September 2001. No objectionable conditions (odors, oils, deposits, trash or debris) were noted during any of the surveys (MassDEP 2001a).

Too limited bacteria data are available so the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses are not assessed. The *Aesthetics Use* is assessed as support.

Wading River (MA62-47) Use Summary Table				
Designate	d Uses	Status		
Aquatic Life	St.	NOT ASSESSED		
Fish Consumption	$\bigcirc$	NOT ASSESSED		
Primary Contact		NOT ASSESSED		
Secondary Contact		NOT ASSESSED		
Aesthetics	W	SUPPORT		

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Conduct monitoring (biological, habitat and water quality) to evaluate the status of the *Aquatic Life Use* in this segment of the Wading River bracketing potential sources of pollution (e.g., developments, water withdrawals).

Conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

Continue to monitor compliance with WMA registration/permit limits and other special conditions of the permits.

Consideration should be given to conducting a hydrologic evaluation/assessment to determine if there are any impacts to the Wading River from the numerous groundwater withdrawals.

## WADING RIVER (SEGMENT MA62-49)

Location: Balcom Street, Mansfield to confluence with Threemile River, Norton. Segment Length: 9.7 miles Classification: Class B, Warm Water Fishery

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 29.3 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

Forest ...... 53.3% Residential..... 24.0% Open land...... 7.2%

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is less than 10%.

The use assessments for Sweets Pond (MA62185), Chartley Pond (MA62038), and Barrowsville Pond (MA62007) are in the Lake Assessment section of this report.

This segment (formerly part of Segment MA62-17) is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 5 for organic enrichment/low DO, pathogens and causes unknown (MassDEP 2003).

A USGS gaging station (01109000) on the Wading River in Norton has been in operation since June 1925. The drainage area at the gage is 43.3 square miles. The highest daily mean flow at the gage was recorded at 1,460 cfs on 19 March 1968 and the lowest daily mean flow was 0.3 cfs on 10 September 1926 (Socolow *et al.* 1999, Socolow *et al.* 2000, Socolow *et al.* 2001, Socolow *et al.* 2002, and Socolow *et al.* 2003).

There is one NPL site located in this subwatershed. The site description was excerpted from the EPA New England NPL website (EPA 2005a):

The Shpack Landfill covers 8 acres, 5 acres of which are within the Town of Norton, and the remaining 2 acres are in the City of Attleboro. The landfill was operated from 1946 until 1965, when a court order forced its closing. This landfill received domestic and industrial waste, including inorganic and organic chemicals, and radioactive waste. The groundwater contains volatile organic compounds (VOCs) including vinyl chloride and trichloroethylene (TCE), and heavy metals including chromium, barium, copper, nickel, manganese, arsenic, cadmium, and lead. Sediments on the edge of the swamp and soils contain radionuclides including radium and uranium. Surface water in the swampy area is contaminated with radium and alpha and beta particles, and organic compounds. An investigation into the nature and extent of the contamination at the site was begun by the potentially responsible parties in 1990. Through sampling and characterization of soil, sediments, surface water, and groundwater, the investigation will define the contaminants of concern and will recommend alternatives for the final cleanup. The results of the first phase of study were released in 1993. The scheduled field work was completed in the Spring of 2003. The Record of Decision (ROD) for the site was signed on 30 September 2004. Cleanup costs are estimated to be about \$43 million. The Army Corps of Engineers will be conducting the cleanup of the radiological contamination of the Shpack site and the remaining cleanup will be led by EPA. The ROD includes the following major components:

Excavation and off-site disposal of approximately 34,000 cubic yards of soil and 1,000 cubic yards of sediment from Chartley Swamp which exceed the radiological and chemical cleanup levels for the site.

Following excavation, impacted wetlands will be restored or replicated and clean fill will be used to backfill and bring open areas up to grade, as necessary.

Extension of the public water supply line to two residences adjacent to the site that currently have private wells .

Implementation of institutional controls to restrict future use of the property and groundwater.

A traffic control plan will be designed to manage the increased volume of truck traffic associated with transporting contaminated material off-site. EPA will closely coordinate these activities with local, state and federal partners prior to beginning the cleanup.

#### WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G5)

There are 18 acres of land which are classified in the Land-Use theme as cranberry bog in this subwatershed (UMass Amherst 1999). For the purpose of this report, a conservative estimate of water use for this bog area 0.2 MGD. However, 15 acres of this cranberry acreage are located in the subwatershed for Segment MA62-47which is the upper portion of this subwatershed.

Facility	WMA Permit Number	WMA Registration Number	Source (G = ground, S = surface)	Authorized Withdrawal (MGD)
Mansfield Water Department*	9P42516701	42516701	4167000-11G	1.59 reg <u>0.4 perm</u> Total – 1.99
Texas Instruments	NA	42501601	Well #1 Well #3 Well #7 MW No. 11	0.37 reg

\* Indicates system -wide withdrawal

## NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLES G2 AND G3)

C. A. Richardson, Inc. located in Mansfield (NPDES permit #MA0001805) discontinued discharging to the Wading River in 2001. The facility, located near the Wading River and Sweets Pond impoundment, West Mansfield, Massachusetts, is a manufacturer of metal stampings. It was authorized (MA0001805 issued in May 2000) to discharge a flow of 0.003 MGD (average monthly) via outfall #001 of treated effluent to the Wading River. Zero discharge resulted from reduced water consumption and use of an evaporator (800 gpd capacity) to eliminate their wastewater (Richardson 2001). The facility had conducted whole effluent toxicity tests as part of their NPDES permit.

The Sun Chemical Corporation/GPI Division located in Mansfield was issued an NPDES permit (#MAG250244) on 1 December 2000 to discharge non-contact cooling water through a ditch to Hodges Brook, a tributary to the Wading River. This permit expired on April 25, 2005.

Since early in 2000, Texas Instruments, Inc., (TI) located in Attleboro, has directed all of its wastewater to the City of Attleboro's Water Pollution Control Facility (Elliot 2004). Therefore, the facility no longer discharges treated industrial wastewater via outfall #003 to the Wading River (Elliott 2004). The facility had conducted acute and chronic whole effluent toxicity tests as part of their NPDES permit.

Sinclair Manufacturing Company in Norton, which manufactures electrons components and screw machine products, is authorized (NPDES permit #MAG250030 issued in October 2004) to discharge an average flow of 0.0075 MGD and a maximum flow of 0.0125 MGD of non-contact cooling water into Chartley Brook, a tributary to the Wading River.

The NPDES permit (#MA0030724) for Kilburn Glass Industries Incorporated (now Isotronics), Norton was terminated in June 2004.

Tweave, Inc., located in Norton, is permitted (MA0005355 in August 2000) to discharge from Outfall #001 a flow of 0.008 MGD (average monthly) or 0.01 MGD (daily maximum) of treated process wastewater to this segment of the Wading River. Tweave, Inc. manufactures fabric and dye stretch woven fabrics as finished goods. Tweave, Inc. has an industrial waste treatment facility (WWTF) that consists of screening (removal of solids), aerated lagoons (aerobic treatment), clarification (removal of solids), and sand filtration (Frasher 2004). Total phosphorus reduction (7.5 mg/L maximum daily) is accomplished by source reduction. The pH of the effluent between September 2000 and August 2004 ranged from 5.4 to 8.6 SU with 7 out of 16 measurements <6.5 SU and one measurement >8.3 SU (TOXTD database). The low pH may be attributed to the use of acidic dyes in the manufacturing process (DuBois 2005). The ammonia-nitrogen concentrations in the effluent between September 2000 and August 2004 ranged from 1.70 to 40.30 mg/L (n=16) with a notable increase in the concentrations reported between August 2002 and May 2004 (TOXTD database). The increase in ammonia-nitrogen concentrations reported between August 2002 and May 2004 may be attributed to the increase use of colored dyes in the manufacturing process (DuBois 2004). The facility's acute whole effluent toxicity limit is  $LC_{50}\geq100\%$  with a monitoring

frequency of four times/year using *Ceriodaphnia dubia*. The permit also requires that the facility perform a Toxics Identification and Reduction Evaluation to reduce the toxicity of its discharge.

#### USE ASSESSMENT AQUATIC LIFE

#### Habitat and Flow

In August 2001 DWM conducted a RBP III benthic macroinvertebrate survey at one station (TR05B) on the Wading River downstream from Barrows St, Norton. The overall habitat assessment score was 173 out of 200 (Appendix D).

It should also be noted that in July 1996 DWM conducted a RBP II benthic macroinvertebrate survey at two stations (TR05A and TR05B) on the Wading River. TR05A was located 200 meters downstream from Barrows St., Norton (upstream from the Tweave Inc. discharge). These data are located in Appendix E.

#### **Biology**

The 2001 RBP III analysis indicated a "slightly impacted" community in the Wading River downstream from Barrows Street, Norton (TR05B) compared to the Canoe River reference station (TR01). The results of the 1996 RBP II analysis can be found in Appendix E.

As part of the MassDEP biocriteria development project the fish population in the Wading River near Route 140, Norton was sampled (electrofishing) in October 1996 (Appendix I). The fish population in the river (Station NB06WAD) was comprised of five species including, in order of abundance: tessellated darter, American eel, redfin pickerel, bluegill and a white sucker (MassDEP 1996b).

#### <u>Toxicity</u>

#### Ambient

Between March 1995 and February 2000, survival of *Ceriodaphnia dubia* exposed (48 hours) to river water collected from the Sweets Pond impoundment of the Wading River for use as dilution water for the C. A. Richardson whole effluent toxicity tests was not less than 95% (n=19 tests) and survival of *Pimephales promelas* (48-hour exposure) was not less than 90% (n=19) (TOXTD database).

Water from the Wading River at the Sweets Pond impoundment was also collected for use as dilution water in Texas Instruments' whole effluent toxicity tests. Between October 1996 and October 1999, survival of *Ceriodaphnia dubia* exposed (7 days) to the river water was  $\geq$  80% (n=16). Survival of *Daphnia pulex* exposed (48 hours) to river water was  $\geq$  85 % (n=48).

The Tweave WWTF staff collected water from the Wading River at the Barrows Street overpass for use as dilution water in their whole effluent toxicity tests (Fraser 2004). Between September 2000 and August 2004, survival of *Ceriodaphnia dubia* exposed (48 hours) to river water ranged from 90 to 100% (n=16 test events) (TOXTD database).

#### Effluent

Acute toxicity to both *Ceriodaphnia dubia* and *Pimephales promelas* was detected in all (n=18 and 20 valid tests, respectively) of the Charles A. Richardson, Inc.'s whole effluent toxicity tests with  $LC_{50}$ 's ranging from <6.25 to 81% effluent (TOXTD database).

No acute whole effluent toxicity was detected in Texas Instruments' treated effluent with either *Ceriodaphnia dubia* (n=16 tests conducted between October 1996 and October 1999) or *D. pulex* (n=48 tests conducted between October 1996 and December 1999). The C-NOEC results using *Ceriodaphnia dubia* (n=15 valid test results) ranged from 10 to 100%.

The Tweave WWTF staff collected treated process water at their outfall #001 prior to entering an unnamed creek that flows (approximately 0.25 mile) into the Wading River for use in their whole effluent toxicity tests (DuBois 2004). A total of 16 acute whole effluent toxicity tests using *Ceriodaphnia dubia* were conducted between September 2000 and August 2004. The LC<sub>50</sub>s ranged from 8.84 to >100% effluent. Half of the tests exhibited acute toxicity although it should be noted that since May 2003 all tests results were >100% effluent (TOXTD database).

#### Chemistry - water

Water from the Sweets Pond impoundment of the Wading River was collected for use as dilution water in the Charles A. Richardson, Inc. facility's whole effluent toxicity tests between October 1995 and February 2000.

Between October 1996 and December 1999, dilution water used in TI's whole effluent toxicity tests was taken from the Wading River. Data from these toxicity test reports are maintained in the TOXTD database by DWM.

DWM conducted water quality sampling in the Wading River near Route 123, Norton (Station WR03) between July and September 2001 (Appendix A). It should also be noted that DWM took *in-situ* measurements of the Wading River (DO, %saturation pH, temperature and conductivity) on October 7, 1996 approximately 300 meters northeast (downstream) of Route 140 (Station #NB06WAD) (Appendix B).

The Tweave WWTF staff collected river water from the Wading River at the Barrows Street overpass for use as dilution water in their whole effluent toxicity tests. Data from the facility's whole effluent toxicity test reports, between September 2000 and August 2004, are maintained in the TOXTD database by DWM and are summarized below.

The USGS as part of their NAQWA project conducted water quality sampling of the river between October 1999 and September 2001 near their USGS gaging station in Norton (n=21 unless otherwise noted) (Socolow *et al.* 2001 and 2002). As part of their mercury studies total and methyl mercury samples from the water column were also collected from the Wading River at Norton by USGS on 21 April and 9 August 2000 (USGS 2003). These data are also summarized below.

Data collected by DWM (*in-situ* measurements of the Wading River including DO, %saturation pH, temperature and conductivity) taken on 7 October 1996 approximately 300 meters northeast (downstream) of Route 140 (Station #NB06WAD) can be found in Appendix B.

Data from these sources are summarized below:

#### Dissolved Oxygen and % Saturation

DO measurements ranged from 5.3 to 8.7 mg/L with saturations between 60 and 80% in the river near Route 123 (Station WR03) including pre-dawn measurements. DO ranged from 5.7 to 14.7 mg/L in the river at the USGS gaging station (day surveys only).

#### Temperature

The maximum temperature recorded in the river near Route 123 (Station WR03) was 25.9°C in July 2001. The maximum temperature of the river was 24.6°C at the USGS gaging station (July 2001).

#### Hardness

Hardness of the Wading River samples collected between October 1995 and February 2000 ranged from 24 to 80 mg/L with only 1 of the 18 measurements <25 mg/L (TOXTD database – C.A. Richardson). Hardness of the Wading River (Sweets Pond) between October 1996 and December 1999 ranged from 23 to 56 mg/L (n=57) (TOXTD database - TI). Only 3 measurements were <25 mg/L. Hardness of the river near Route 123 (WR03) ranged from 41 to 47 mg/L. Hardness of the Wading River collected at the Barrows Street overpass between September 2000 and August 2004 ranged from 21 to 44 mg/L (TOXTD database - Tweave). One of the 16 measurements was <25 mg/L.

#### pH and Alkalinity

The pH of the Wading River samples collected between October 1995 and February 2000 ranged from 6.1 to 8.0 SU with 5 of the 18 measurements <6.5 SU. Alkalinity ranged from 4 to 37 mg/L (n=18) (TOXTD database - C.A. Richardson). The pH of the Wading River (Sweets Pond) between October 1996 and December 1999 ranged from 6.2 to 7.5SU with two of the 58 measurements <6.5 SU. Alkalinity ranged from <10 to 86 mg/L (n=53) (TOXTD database - TI). pH of the river near Route 123 ranged

between 6.5 and 6.9 while alkalinity ranged from 15 to 22 mg/L (Station WR03). The pH of the Wading River collected at the Barrows Street overpass between September 2000 and August 2004 ranged from 5.6 to 7.2 SU with 8 of the 16 measurements <6.5 SU. Alkalinity ranged from 10.9 to 96 mg/L (n=16) (TOXTD database - Tweave). At the USGS gaging station *in situ* measurements for pH ranged from 6.4 to 6.9 SU (only one measurement <6.5 SU) (n=19) and alkalinity ranged from 6 to 22 mg/L (n=14).

#### Specific Conductance

The specific conductance of the Wading River samples collected between October 1995 and February 2000 ranged from 150 to 320 µmhos/cm (n=18) (TOXTD database - C.A. Richardson). The specific conductivity of the Wading River (Sweets Pond) between October 1996 and December 1999 ranged from 150 to 370 µmhos/cm (n=58) (TOXTD database - TI). Specific conductance of the river near Route 123 ranged from 273 to 365  $\mu$ S/cm (Station WR03). Specific conductance of the river near the Barrows Street overpass between September 2000 and August 2004 ranged from 237 to 818 µmhos/cm (TOXTD database – Tweave).

#### Chloride

Chloride ranged from 56 to 88 mg/L (station WR03).

#### Ammonia-nitrogen

The ammonia-nitrogen concentrations of the Wading River samples collected between October 1995 and February 2000 were all  $\leq$ 0.50 mg/L (n=18) (TOXTD database - C.A. Richardson). Concentrations of ammonia-nitrogen in the river near Route 123 were all <0.02 mg/L (Station WR03). The ammonia-nitrogen concentrations of the Wading River collected at the Barrows Street overpass between September 2000 and August 2004 were all  $\leq$ 0.10 mg/L (n=15) (TOXTD database). The concentration of ammonia-nitrogen ranged from <0.02 to 0.145 mg/L in the river at the USGS gage. All of these measurements were below the conservative criterion of 1.09 mg/L NH<sub>3</sub>-N (chronic instream criterion for ammonia at pH of 8.0 SU and temperature of 30°C) (EPA 1999).

#### NO<sub>3</sub>-NO<sub>2</sub>-Nitrogen

The concentration (Station WR03) ranged from 0.23 to 0.30 mg/L.

#### TRC

No detectable concentrations of TRC (n=18) were measured in the Wading River samples collected in the Sweets Pond impoundment between October 1995 and February 2000 (TOXTD database- C.A. Richardson). The TRC measurements of the of the Wading River (Sweets Pond) between October 1996 and December 1999 ranged from <0.01 to 0.36 mg/L (n=58) (TOXTD database - TI). With the exception of four measurements (all in July and August 1997) TRC was < 0.05 mg/L. The TRC measurements (n=16) of the Wading River collected at the Barrows Street overpass between September 2000 and August 2004 were all <0.05 mg/L with the exception of two results (0.16 mg/l in September 2000 and 0.08 mg/L in June 2001) (TOXTD database).

## TSS

Concentrations ranged from <1.0 to 1.4 mg/L in the river near Route 123 (Station WR03).

#### Total Phosphorus

Total phosphorus concentrations ranged from 0.011 to 0.020 mg/L in the river near Route 123 (Station WR03). The concentration of total phosphorus ranged from 0.012 to 0.083 mg/L in the river at the USGS gage. Three of the 21 measurements were >0.05 mg/L.

#### Mercury

The concentrations of total and methyl mercury samples from the water column of the Wading River reported by USGS (samples collected on 21 April and 9 August 2000) were 2.04 and 3.22 and 0.226 and 0.369 ng/L, respectively (USGS 2003).

#### Chemistry - sediment

USGS collected sediment from the Wading River near the USGS gaging station in Norton in August 2000, as part of their Toxics Substances Hydrology Program (an extension of the National Mercury Pilot Study),

and the Urban Land Use Gradient Study (part of the NAWQA program). The sediment was analyzed for trace elements and organic compounds. Cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, mercury, nickel and zinc concentrations all exceeded the L-EL guidelines while arsenic, iron and manganese exceeded the S-EL guidelines (Chalmers 2002 and USGS 2003).

The *Aquatic Life Use* is assessed as support for this segment of the Wading River based on the benthic macroinvertebrate community analysis, good survival of test organisms exposed to the river water and the water quality data. Although the C.A. Richardson discharge was acutely toxic, the facility eliminated their discharge to the Wading River in 2001.

#### FISH CONSUMPTION

An edible fillet composite sample (scales off, skin on) from five bluegill collected by USGS from this segment of the Wading River near the USGS gage in Norton in August 2000 was analyzed for total mercury (Chalmers 2002). The concentration of mercury in the edible fillet sample was 0.097 ppm wet weight (USGS 2003).

No site-specific fish consumption advisory is in place for this segment of the Wading River and therefore, the *Fish Consumption Use* is not assessed.

## PRIMARY CONTACT AND SECONDARY CONTACT RECREATION AND AESTHETICS

Between June and September 2001, DWM collected fecal coliform, *E.coli*, and *Enterococci* bacteria from this segment of the Wading River and from one tributary (Hodges Brook) (Appendix A). The stations and data are summarized below.

- WR04 Wading River at Walker Street, Norton, (n=1)
- WR03 Wading River at Route 123, Norton, (n=3)
- HB01 Hodges Brook (a tributary to the Wading River) at the road crossing upstream from the confluence with the Wading River in Mansfield, (n=2)

	200	i Divini baotona aata	
Station	Fecal Coliform	E.coli (cfu/100 mL)	Enterococci sp.
W/R04	460	190	(010/100 mL)
W/P03	50 67 and 860	20_43 and 85	50, 100 and 5,000
HB01	130 and 740	38 and 290	230 and 1 000
TIDUT	150 anu 740	50 anu 230	200 anu 1,000

#### 2001 DWM bacteria data

Between June and September 2001, DWM collected fecal coliform, *E.coli*, and *Enterococci* bacteria from the Wading River near Route 140, Norton (Station WR01). The fecal coliform counts ranged from 55 – 110 cfu/100 mL, *E.coli* counts ranged from 25 – 50 cfu/100 mL, and *Enterococci* counts ranged from 33 – 190 cfu/100 mL (Appendix A). One tributary (the outlet of Chartley Pond, Norton – Station CB01) was sampled in August and September 2001. Bacteria counts for this tributary were low (fecal coliform 22 and 90 cfu/100 mL, *E.coli* <5 and 17 cfu/100 mL, and *Enterococci* <5 and 370 cfu/100 mL). Additionally samples were collected in August and September 2001 for Fluorescent Whitening Agents and Optical Brighteners at all these stations. Results for all samples indicated recovery as below the detection limits. This would indicate that on these sampling dates waste from septic systems or industrial applications that might include paper whiteners or laundry products were not likely to be entering the Wading River or Hodges Brook.

DWM staff made field observations during the surveys conducted in this segment of the Wading River between June and September 2001. With the exception of isolated areas of trash/debris and some slight turbidity, no objectionable conditions (odors, oils) were noted during any of the surveys (MassDEP 2001a and 2001b).

Too limited bacteria data are available, so the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses are not assessed. The *Aesthetics Use* is assessed as support.

		9) Use Summary Table
Designate	d Uses	Status
Aquatic Life	()	SUPPORT
Fish Consumption	$\bigcirc$	NOT ASSESSED
Primary Contact	C.	NOT ASSESSED
Secondary Contact		NOT ASSESSED
Aesthetics	W	SUPPORT

Wading River (MA62-49) Use Summary Table

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Continue to conduct monitoring (biological, habitat and water quality) to evaluate the status of the *Aquatic Life Use* in this segment of the Wading River bracketing potential sources of pollution (e.g., developments, golf course, water withdrawals, point discharges).

Continue to conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

Continue to monitor compliance with WMA registration/permit limits and other special conditions of the permits.

Continue to evaluate Tweave's whole effluent toxicity testing results.

# **THREEMILE RIVER (SEGMENT MA62-56)**

Location: Confluence of Wading and Rumford rivers, Norton to impoundment spillway behind 66 South Street (Harodite Finishing), Taunton. Segment Length: 12.8 miles Classification: Class B, Warm Water Fishery

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 84.4 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

Forest ...... 53.7% Residential..... 24.1% Open land.......7.2%

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is 10.5%.

The use assessment for Meadow Brook Pond (MA62113), Oakland Pond (MA62136), and Mount Hope Mill Pond (MA62122) are in the Lake Assessment section of this report.

This segment (formerly part of segment MA62-16) is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 5 for not meeting water quality standards for pathogens (MassDEP 2003).

A USGS gaging station (01109060) on the Threemile River in North Dighton, MA, has been in operation since July 1966. The drainage area at the gage is 84.3 square miles. The highest daily mean flow at the gage was recorded at 2,870 cfs on 16 June 1998 and the lowest daily mean flow was 1.9 cfs on 12 September 1995 (Socolow *et al.* 1999, Socolow *et al.* 2000, Socolow *et al.* 2001, Socolow *et al.* 2002, and Socolow *et al.* 2003).

The Town of Dighton has received funding in 2003 from the Clean Water SRF to identify areas of the community where existing on-site sewage disposal systems are inadequate for wastewater disposal and to develop recommendations for wastewater management to protect groundwater and surface waters including the Threemile River.

## WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G5)

There are 100 acres of land which are classified in the Land-Use theme as cranberry bog in this subwatershed (UMass Amherst 1999). For the purpose of this report, a conservative estimate of water use for this bog area 0.9 MGD. However, 23 acres of this cranberry acreage are located in Segments MA62-39, MA62-47, and MA62-49, which are the upper portion of this subwatershed.

Facility	WMA Permit Number	WMA Registration Number	Source (G = ground, S = surface)	Authorized Withdrawal (MGD)
Norton Water Department*	9P342521801	42521801	4218000-01G 4218000-02G	1.21 reg <u>0.64 perm</u> Total – 1.85
Harodite Finishing Company	NA	42507602	01S 01G 02G 03G	0.16 reg

\* Indicates system -wide withdrawal

## NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLES G1 AND G3)

The Town of Mansfield is authorized to discharge from the Mansfield Water Pollution Abatement Facility (WPAF) (MA0101702 issued in April 2004) 3.14 MGD (average monthly) of treated effluent (municipal and industrial wastewater) via outfall #001 to the Threemile River. This conventional activated-sludge facility employs advanced waste treatment methods such as nitrification for ammonia-nitrogen reduction (1 mg/l NH<sub>3</sub>-N average monthly June 1 to October 31) and total phosphorus reduction by multi-point chemical addition (0.2 mg/L average monthly April 1 to October 31). The NH<sub>3</sub>-N concentrations of the effluent between February 2001 and August 2004 were all <1.0 mg/L (n=15) (TOXTD database). The pH of the effluent between February 2001 and August 2004 ranged from 6.92 to 7.34 SU (n=15) (TOXTD database). This facility is equipped with effluent sand filtration that utilizes a mechanical traveling bridge.

The facility now utilizes sodium hypochlorite for disinfection (changed in April 2004 from gaseous chlorine) and sodium bisulfite for dechlorination [TRC limit = 0.024 mg/L average monthly, 0.042 mg/L maximum daily]. The TRC concentrations between February 2001 and August 2004 were all <0.05 mg/L (n=15) (TOXTD database). The facility's whole effluent toxicity limits are  $LC_{50} \ge 100\%$  and C-NOEC  $\ge 45\%$  with a monitoring frequency of four times/year using *Ceriodaphnia dubia* and *Pimephales promelas*.

Waters Associates, Inc. was authorized to discharge 0.31 MGD of non-contact cooling water not to exceed 28.3°C via outfall 001 (NPDES permit # MA0026867 issued in September 1978). The permit was terminated by EPA in January 2004 because the facility implemented a closed-loop system.

BIW Cable Systems is authorized to discharge 0.0017 MGD average monthly and 0.0027 MGD maximum daily of processed wastewater via outfall 001a, and a maximum daily of 0.006 MGD of wastewater from the electrical test tank via outfall 001b (NPDES permit # MA0028649 issued May 1986). Both outfalls have a maximum temperature allowance of 28.3°C as both a monthly average and daily maximum.

The Harodite Finishing Co. - a textile finishing facility where cotton rayon and polyester fabrics are bleached, dyed, finished and coated to produce interlinings - had a NPDES permit that authorized them to discharge process and sanitary wastewater into this segment of the Threemile River (NPDES permit # MA0000761 issued in September 1983). This individual permit was terminated and the facility was issued an NPDES permit (#MAG250032) on October 28, 2004 to discharge noncontact cooling water from outfalls #004 and 005 for 0.036 MGD with a maximum temperature of 83°F.

## USE ASSESSMENT

#### AQUATIC LIFE

#### Habitat and Flow

In July 2001 DWM conducted a RBP III benthic macroinvertebrate survey at one station (TH09) on the Threemile River, 300 meters downstream from Harvey Street, Taunton. TH09 received a total habitat assessment score of 180 out of 200. This was the best habitat evaluation for a biomonitoring station in the Taunton River Watershed during the 2001 survey (Appendix D).

A project to install fish ladders on the two dams (Harodite and Raytheon) located on this segment of the Three Mile River has recently been funded (Appendix I, Watershed Initiative Project MASS – 1).

#### **Biology**

The RBP III analysis of the benthic macroinvertebrate community in the Threemile River near Harvey Street, Taunton (Station TH09) indicated "slightly impacted" conditions compared to the Canoe River reference station. The productive nature of the river is reflected in the abundant filter-feeders present, dense macrophyte and algae cover, and instream turbidity (Appendix D).

#### Toxicity

#### Ambient

The Mansfield WPAF staff collected water from the Threemile River at the Crane Street bridge for use as a site-water control in their whole effluent toxicity tests (approximately 200 yards upstream from their discharge) (O'Neill 2004). Between December 2000 and August 2004, survival of *Ceriodaphnia dubia* exposed (7-day) to river water (n=15 test events) was  $\geq$  80% with the exception of one test (February 2002 survival = 50%) (TOXTD database). Survival of *Pimephales promelas* (7-day exposure) ranged from 18 to 100% and survival was less than 75% in three of the 15 test events (40% in December 2000, 18% in February 2002 and 70% in November 2002).

#### Effluent

A total of 15 whole modified acute and chronic whole effluent toxicity tests were conducted on the Mansfield WPAF effluent between December 2000 and August 2004 using both *Ceriodaphnia dubia* and *Pimephales promelas*. The LC<sub>50</sub> and C-NOEC results for both species were  $\geq$  100 and 100% effluent, respectively, with the exception of one *Ceriodaphnia dubia* test (LC<sub>50</sub> =76.10 and C-NOEC = 50% effluent in November 2003).

#### Chemistry - water

The Mansfield WPAF staff collected water from the Threemile River at the Crane Street bridge for use as a site-water control in their whole effluent toxicity tests (approximately 200 yards upstream from their discharge) (O'Neill 2004). Data from these reports, which are maintained in the TOXTD database by DWM, are also summarized below.

During 2001 DWM collected water quality samples on the Threemile River near Harvey Street, Taunton (Station TM01) (Appendix A).

ENSR International conducted an investigation to evaluate instream site-specific copper criteria for streams in the Taunton River watershed and for three POTWs discharging to the Taunton River and its tributaries. The discharges included the Mansfield WPAF, the Middleborough POTW and the Bridgewater POTW. Water quality sampling was conducted during March, May, July, August and September of 2001 (ENSR 2002). Three sampling stations were located in the Threemile River as follows:

Site 1 – Crane Street, Norton (upstream from the Mansfield WPAF). Site 2 – Harvey Street, Taunton (downstream of the Mansfield WPAF). Site 3 – South Street, Taunton (downstream of the Mansfield WPAF and upstream from the confluence with the Taunton River).

Sampling of the Threemile River (DO, temperature, pH, TSS, nitrate-nitrogen, total phosphorus, and bacteria) is also conducted on a monthly basis by TRWA near Route 44/Cohannet Street, Taunton (Station TMR 034). Although a draft Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) was reviewed in 2001, a final QAPP for the TRWA has not been approved and their data are not quality-assured. For the purpose of this report data reported by TRWA for 2002/2003 were reviewed for consistency with other quality-assured data sources.

The Bridgewater State WAL collected water quality samples in the Threemile River near Route 140, Taunton once a month in June, July and August 2004 (Curry 2004). Grab samples were collected for nutrients (total phosphorus, soluble reactive phosphorus and nitrate-nitrogen). A Hydrolab® minisonde was used to obtain instantaneous measurement of pH, dissolved oxygen, temperature and specific conductance. A QAPP for the WAL has not been approved by MassDEP and their data are not quality-assured. For the purpose of this report data reported by WAL were reviewed for consistency with other quality-assured data sources.

The following is a summary of the sampling results for the above sites.

#### Dissolved Oxygen and % Saturation

At TM01 pre-dawn and daytime measurements for DO were similar ranging from 6.3 to 8.5 with saturations between 76 and 83%. With the exception of the March sampling date, the range for DO at ENSR Sites 1, 2 and 3 was 6.92 to 9.30 mg/L with saturations of 80.4 to 99.2%. During the March sampling event DO measurements ranged from 12.97 to 14.52 mg/L with saturations between 91.8 and 103.3%. It should be noted, however, that the ENSR measurements do not represent worst-case (pre-dawn) conditions. DO measurements taken by TRWA at Station TMR-034 were consistently above 5.0 mg/L. The WAL indicated that water quality standards were generally met for DO at its sampling site near Route 140, Taunton.

#### Temperature

The maximum temperature recorded at TM01 was 25.4°C in July. The maximum temperature reported by ENSR at Sites 1, 2 and 3 was 23.75°C. TRWA recorded a similar maximum temperature at TMR-034 consistent with these values. The WAL indicated that water quality standards were generally met for temperature at its sampling site near Route 140, Taunton.

#### pH and Alkalinity

The pH of the Threemile River collected at the Crane Street bridge ranged from 6.56 to 7.37 SU while alkalinity ranged from 6 to 24 mg/L (n=16) (TOXTD database).

At TM01 pH varied between 7.0 and 7.1 SU and alkalinity ranged from 23 to 36 mg/L.

At Sites 1, 2 and 3 pH ranged from 6.41 to 7.30 SU. At Site 3 alkalinity ranged from 16 to 37 mg/L as CaCO3.

A slightly lower pH range than the above were recorded by TRWA at Station TMR-034. The WAL indicated that water quality standards were generally met for pH at its sampling site near Route 140, Taunton.

#### Specific Conductance

Specific conductance of the river near the Crane Street bridge ranged from 214 to 412 µmhos/cm (n=16) (TOXTD database).

At TM01 specific conductance ranged from 313 to 460  $\mu$ S/cm.

Specific conductance ranged from 236 to 380  $\mu$ S/cm at Sites 1, 2 and 3.

#### Chloride

Values ranged from 67 to 80 mg/L at TM01.

#### Turbidity

Turbidity ranged from 0.21 to 17.92 NTU at Sites 1, 2 and 3 but only two measurements (n=14) were > 5.13 NTU.

#### Hardness

The hardness of the Threemile River near the Crane Street bridge ranged from 29 to 180 mg/L (n=16) (TOXTD database).

At TM01 measurements for hardness ranged from 45 to 75 mg/L.

Site 3 exhibited hardness values between 40 and 62 mg/L as CaCO<sub>3</sub>.

#### TSS

TSS were low ≤1.2 mg/L at TM01. Slightly higher values for TSS were recorded by TRWA at TMR-034.

NO<sub>3</sub>-NO<sub>2</sub>-Nitrogen At TM01 values were 1.7, 2.0 and 7.3 mg/L.

#### Ammonia-nitrogen

The ammonia-nitrogen concentrations of the Threemile River near the Crane Street bridge were all less than the reported detection limits (0.1 and 0.4 mg/L) (n=16) (TOXTD database).

At TM01 ammonia nitrogen concentration were all <0.02 mg/L.

At Site 3 ENSR reported concentrations <1.0 mg/L on all three sampling dates.

All of these measurements were below the conservative criterion of 1.09 mg/L  $NH_3-N$  (chronic instream criterion for ammonia at pH of 8.0 SU and temperature of 30°C) (EPA 1999).

#### Total Phosphorus

At TM01 total phosphorus concentrations ranged from 0.098 to 0.12 mg/L. Similar values were recorded by TRWA at TMR-034.

#### TRC

TRC measurements of the Threemile River near the Crane Street bridge were all below the minimum quantification level of 0.05 mg/L (n=16) (TOXTD database).

TRC at Site 3 was 0.06 mg/L on one occasion and <0.05 mg/L on the other two sampling dates.

#### Copper

Between 15 March and 19 September 2001, dissolved copper concentrations reported by ENSR ranged from 0.65 to 5.90  $\mu$ g/L (n=15) (ENSR 2002). Seven of the 15 measurements exceeded the current EPA water quality criterion of 3  $\mu$ g/L at a hardness of 25 mg/L. A site-specific copper criterion is currently being developed.

The *Aquatic Life Use* is assessed as support for this segment of the Threemile River based on the results of the benthic macroinvertebrate community analysis, the generally good survival of test organisms exposed to the river, and the water quality data. The use is identified with an Alert Status, however,

because of the occasionally low survival of test organisms (minnows) exposed to the river and the somewhat elevated levels of total phosphorus.

## PRIMARY CONTACT AND SECONDARY CONTACT RECREATION AND AESTHETICS

In July, August, and September 2001, DWM collected fecal coliform, *E.coli*, and *Enterococci* bacteria from the Threemile River at Harvey Street in Taunton (Station TM01) (Appendix A). The bacteria counts were generally low; fecal coliform 130 - 220 cfu/100 ml, *E.coli* 24-110 cfu/100 ml, and *Enterococci* 76 – 350 cfu/100mL. With the exception of one date, relatively lower counts of fecal coliform were found at TRWA's sampling stationTMR-034.

With the exception of a sewage odor, no other objectionable deposits or other objectionable conditions (oils, trash and debris) were noted by DWM field sampling crews in the Threemile River near the Harvey Street Bridge (MassDEP 2001a).

Although the bacteria counts were low in samples collected from this segment of the Threemile River, too limited quality-assured data are available, so the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses are not assessed. The *Aesthetics Use* is assessed as support but is identified with an Alert Status because of the sewage odor.

Designate	d Uses	Status		
Aquatic Life	C.	SUPPORT*		
Fish Consumption	$\odot$	NOT ASSESSED		
Primary Contact	18	NOT ASSESSED		
Secondary Contact	$\mathbb{A}$	NOT ASSESSED		
Aesthetics	W	SUPPORT*		

Threemile	River	(MA62-56)	Use	Summarv	Table
111100111110	1 (1 / 0)		000	Currintary	i ubio

\* Alert Status issues identified, see details in use assessment

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Evaluate the effectiveness of the anadromous fish restoration project (shad and herring passage/data). Continue to conduct monitoring (biological, habitat and water quality) to evaluate the status of the *Aquatic Life Use* in this segment of the Threemile River bracketing potential sources of pollution (e.g., developments, discharges).

Continue to conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

Continue to monitor compliance with WMA registration/permit limits and other special conditions of the permits.

The TRWA and WAL should continue to conduct water quality monitoring at their established sampling sites on the Threemile River to meet their sampling objectives. In order for the MassDEP to utilize the TRWA and WAL data for water quality assessment reporting purposes, the TRWA and WAL should work with MassDEP to meet its Quality Assurance/Quality Control requirements.

# **THREEMILE RIVER (SEGMENT MA62-57)**

Location: Impoundment spillway behind 66 South Street (Harodite Finishing), Taunton to confluence with Taunton River, Taunton/Dighton. Segment Length: 0.02 square miles Classification: Class B (proposed SB, Shellfishing Restricted)

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 85.1 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

Forest ...... 53.6% Residential..... 24.2% Open land...... 7.2%

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is less than 10%.

This segment (formerly part of segment MA62-16) is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 5 for not meeting water quality standards for pathogens (MassDEP 2003).

The Town of Dighton has received funding in 2003 from the Clean Water SRF to identify areas of the community where existing on-site sewage disposal systems are inadequate for wastewater disposal and to develop recommendations for wastewater management to protect groundwater and surface waters including the Threemile River.

#### WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL AND NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY

There are 100 acres of land which are classified in the Land-Use theme as cranberry bog in this subwatershed (UMass Amherst 1999). For the purpose of this report, a conservative estimate of water use for this bog area 0.9 MGD. This cranberry acreage is entirely within the subwatershed for Segment MA62-56, which is the upper portion of this subwatershed

Based on the available information there are no NPDES discharges in this segment of the Threemile River.

#### USE ASSESSMENT

Sampling in this segment of the Threemile River (DO, temperature, pH, TSS, nitrate-nitrogen, total phosphorus, and bacteria) is conducted on a monthly basis by TRWA near Somerset Avenue (Route 138), Dighton (Station TMR 004). Although a draft Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) was reviewed in 2001, a final QAPP for the TRWA has not been approved and their data are not quality-assured. No unusual or adverse water quality conditions were highlighted by TRWA for this station in their 2002 annual report (Domingos 2003a).

#### SHELLFISHING

The DMF Shellfish Status Report of 2003 indicates that area MHB2.2 is prohibited (Sawyer 2003).

Based on the DMF shellfish growing area status, the *Shellfish Harvesting Use* is assessed as impaired for this segment of the Threemile River because of elevated bacteria counts.

#### Threemile River (MA62-57) Use Summary Table

Designated Uses		Status
Aquatic Life	C.	NOT ASSESSED
Fish Consumption	$\odot$	NOT ASSESSED
Shellfish Harvesting	(III)	IMPAIRED Cause: Fecal coliform bacteria Source: Unknown (Suspected Source: Discharges from municipal separate storm sewer systems)
Primary Contact	15	NOT ASSESSED
Secondary Contact	$\mathbb{A}$	NOT ASSESSED
Aesthetics	W	NOT ASSESSED

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Review and implement recommendations in the DMF shellfish sanitary survey reports and the triennial reviews for growing area MHB2.2.

Conduct monitoring (biological, habitat and water quality) to evaluate impacts to the Threemile River from potential sources of pollution (e.g., developments) to better assess the status of the *Aquatic Life Use*.

Conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

The TRWA should continue to conduct water quality monitoring at its established sampling site on the Threemile River to meet its sampling objectives. In order for the MassDEP to utilize the TRWA data for water quality assessment reporting purposes, the TRWA should work with MassDEP to meet its Quality Assurance /Quality Control requirements.

# NEMASKET RIVER SUBWATERSHED

The Nemasket River originates at the outlet of Assawompsettt Pond, which, in turn is fed by Long Pond. The ponds act as an emergency water supply for the New Bedford area. Flow is regulated at the dam located between Assawompsettt Pond and Great Quittacas Pond. The Nemasket flows northward from its source before joining the Taunton River near the Bridgewater/Middleborough border. There is one flow regulation dam in Middleborough, below which the river flow becomes sluggish through the remainder of its course to the Taunton River. In addition to urbanized portions of Middleborough, the Nemasket River subwatershed (Figure 13) drains vast areas of forest, wetland, and cranberry bog. There are two segments as follows:

Nemasket River (Segment MA62-25) Nemasket River (Segment MA62-26)

Over half of the land use in the Nemasket River subwatershed is forested followed by residential (approximately 14%) and some open space areas. This includes approximately 1133.44 acres of land which are classified in the Land-Use theme as cranberry bogs. The impervious area is all less than 10% indicating there is a low potential for adverse water quality impacts from impervious surface water runoff.

Six facilities (which includes two municipal public water supply sources) have WMA permits with authorized surface and groundwater withdrawals totaling 10.92 MGD. An almost equal amount of water, estimated at 10.12 MGD, is utilized in cranberry bog areas.

The Middleborough Wastewater Treatment Plant is the only NPDES permitted facility that discharges to the Nemasket River. Several Multi-sector General Stormwater Permits have been issued for facilities in Lakeville and Middleborough. The communities of Lakeville and Middleborough are Phase II stormwater communities. Each community was issued a stormwater general permit from EPA and MassDEP in 2003/2004 and is authorized to discharge stormwater from their municipal drainage system. Over the five-year permit term, the communities will develop, implement, and enforce a stormwater management program to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the storm sewer system to protect water quality (Domizio 2004).

As part of its 1996 sampling program, DWM collected water quality samples from eight sites on the Nemasket River. Water quality data were also collected at two sites established for the ENSR Copper study. The TRWA conducts water quality sampling at two sites on the Nemasket River and the Bridgewater State WAL also collects water quality samples at one site on the Nemasket River. Additionally, the Nemasket River Stream Team performed a shoreline survey on the river. The *Aquatic Life Use* for Segment 62-25 was assessed as support but identified with an Alert Status. The Aesthetics Use is assessed as support for both segments, however, all the other designated uses are not assessed.



# **NEMASKET RIVER (SEGMENT MA62-25)**

Location: From the outlet of Assawompsett Pond, Lakeville/Middleborough to the Middleborough WWTP, Middleborough. Segment Length: 6.1 miles Classification: Class B

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 66.8 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

Forest ...... 52.9% Residential..... 14.1% Open Land...... 6.4%

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is less than 10%.

This segment is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 2 (MassDEP 2003).

The use assessments for Sassaquin Pond (MA62232), East Freetown Pond (MA62063), Mullein Hill Chapel Pond (MA62127), The Reservoir (MA62189), Long Pond (MA62108), Little Quittacas Pond (MA62107), Pocksha Pond (MA62145), Assawompsettt Pond (MA62003), Tispaquin Pond (MA62195), and Woods Pond (MA62220) are in the Lake Assessment section of this report.

# WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G5) AND NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY

There are 1,116 acres of land which are classified in the Land-Use theme as cranberry bog in this subwatershed (UMass Amherst 1999). For the purpose of this report, a conservative estimate of water use for this bog area 10.0 MGD.

Facility	WMA Permit Number	WMA Registration Number	Source (G = ground, S = surface)	Authorized Withdrawal (MGD)
Middleborough Water Department*	9P42518201	42518203	4182000-01G 4182000-02G 4182000-03G 4182000-04G 4182000-05G 4182000-06G 4182000-07G 4182000-10G 4182000-11G	1.53 reg <u>1.50 perm</u> Total – 3.03
Thurston Burns	NA	42518233	01S	0.01reg
Byrne Sand and Gravel	NA	42518226	01S	0.25 reg
Lakeville Country Club	NA	42529304	01S	0.17 reg
Lebaron Hills Golf Course	9P442514603	NA	01G	0.17 perm
Taunton DPW – Water Division*	9P42529304	42529304	4293000-02S (Elders Pond)	5.87 reg <u>1.42 perm</u> Total – 7.29

\* Indicates system -wide withdrawal

Based on available information there are no NPDES dischargers in this subwatershed.

## USE ASSESSMENT AQUATIC LIFE

#### Habitat and Flow

In August 2001 DWM evaluated the habitat at one station (NR01) on this segment of the Nemasket River, approximately 200 meters upstream from Route 44, Middleborough. The sampling reach provided macroinvertebrates with excellent epifaunal habitat. However, the lack of stream sinuosity, homogenous flow regimes, and an absence of stable cover, resulted in poor fish habitat. Rooted macrophytes covered the majority (>90%) of the streambed and filamentous green algae were observed attached to cobble substrate. NR01 received a total habitat score of 119 out of 200, which was the poorest evaluation of instream and riparian habitat for a biomonitoring station in the 2001 survey. Greatly modified channel morphology coupled with near-complete removal of a riparian buffer affected the scoring significantly (Appendix D).

Three dams form obstructions to fish passage on the Nemasket River. Each is equipped with a fishway. The first, at Oliver Mills, was incorporated into an historic site restoration project and is essentially a highly efficient set of stream baffles. The second, at Wareham Street, is a large weir-pool ladder that was designed and constructed by DMF in 1996. The last fishway is at the outlet of Lake Assawompsett and is a relatively small Denil-type fishway (Reback, *et al.* 2004).

#### **Biology**

The RBP III analysis indicated a "slightly impacted" benthic community at Station NR01 on the Nemasket River, approximately 200 meters upstream from Route 44, Middleborough compared to the Canoe River reference station (TR01) (Appendix D).

The Nemasket River supports what is probably the largest coastal river herring population in Massachusetts. Estimates based on visual counts by volunteers have consistently exceeded one million fish per year. The primary reason for this run's large size is the total lacustrine and impoundment spawning and nursery habitat, which exceeds 5000 acres (Reback, *et al.* 2004).

#### Toxicity

#### Ambient

The Middleborough WWTP staff collected water from the Nemasket River near Oliver Mills Park on Route 44 (approximately 2000' upstream from their outfall) for use as dilution water in their whole effluent toxicity tests (Ciaglo 2004). Between October 2000 and August 2004, survival of *Ceriodaphnia dubia* exposed (7-day) to river water (n=16 test events) was  $\geq$  90% (TOXTD database). Survival of *Pimephales promelas* (7-day exposure) ranged from 63 to 100% and survival was less than 75% in three of the 17 test events.

#### Chemistry - water

As part of their site-specific copper criteria development study, ENSR conducted sampling at one station (Site 6) in this segment of the Nemasket River off Nemasket Street/Plymouth Street, Middleborough upstream from the Middleborough WWTP (ENSR 2002).

The Middleborough WWTP staff collected water from the Nemasket River near Oliver Mills Park on Route 44 (approximately 2,000 feet upstream from their outfall) for use as dilution water in their whole effluent toxicity tests (Ciaglo 2004). Reports between October 2000 and August 2004, which are maintained in the TOXTD database by DWM, are also summarized below.

Sampling (DO, temperature, pH, TSS, nitrate-nitrogen and total phosphorus) is also conducted on a monthly basis by TRWA at Oliver Mill Parkway, Route 44, Middleborough (Station NMK-041). Although a draft Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) was reviewed in 2001, a final QAPP for the TRWA has not been approved and their data are not quality-assured. For the purpose of this report, data reported by TRWA for 2002/2003 were reviewed for consistency with other quality-assured data sources.

The following is a summary of the sampling results for the above sites.

#### Dissolved Oxygen and % Saturation

At Site 6 all of the DO measurements were above than 5.0 mg/L ranging from 6.90 to 13.18 mg/L and saturation values were all greater than 60%.

A similar range for DO was reported at the TRWA sampling site (NMK-041) with all measurements greater than 5.0 mg/L.

#### Temperature

At Site 6 the water temperatures did not exceed 25.6°C. The maximum temperature recorded at the TRWA sampling site (NKM-041) did not exceed this value either.

#### pH and Alkalinity

At Site 6 the pH range was 6.19 to 7.00 SU. Of the five measurements taken at this site, four were below 6.5 SU.

The pH of the Nemasket River measured near Oliver Mills Park on Route 44 ranged from 5.70 to 7.20 SU with seven of the 16 measurements less than 6.5 SU. Alkalinity ranged from 20 to 40 mg/L (n=17) (TOXTD database).

The TRWA reported numerous pH values less than 6.5 SU at Sampling Site NKM-041.

#### Specific Conductance

At Site 6 the range for 95 to 128  $\mu$ S/cm. The specific conductance of the Nemasket River collected near Oliver Mills Park on Route 44 ranged from 107.0 to 240.0  $\mu$ mhos/cm (n=17) (TOXTD database).

#### Turbidity

At Site 6 the range for turbidity was 0.30 to 1.85 NTU.

#### Ammonia-nitrogen

The ammonia-nitrogen concentrations of the Nemasket River collected near Oliver Mills Park on Route 44 ranged from <0.01 to 0.35 mg/L (n=17) (TOXTD database). All of these measurements were below the conservative criterion of 1.09 mg/L NH<sub>3</sub>-N (chronic instream criterion for ammonia at pH of 8.0 SU and temperature of  $30^{\circ}$ C) (EPA 1999).

#### Total phosphorus

Total phosphorus concentrations reported by TRWA were above 0.05 mg/L in 50% of their samples collected at Station NMK-041.

#### Copper

Between 15 March and 19 September 2001, dissolved copper concentrations reported ENSR ranged from 0.65 to 3.00  $\mu$ g/L (n=5) (ENSR 2002). None of these data exceeded the current EPA water quality criterion of 3  $\mu$ g/L at a hardness of 25 mg/L. A site-specific copper criterion is currently being developed.

#### Hardness

Hardness of the Nemasket River collected near Oliver Mills Park on Route 44 ranged from 14 to 44 mg/L with nine of the 17 measurements less than 25 mg/L (TOXTD database).

#### TRC

TRC measurements (n=17) of the Nemasket River collected near Oliver Mills Park on Route 44 were all below the minimum quantification level of 0.05 mg/L with the exception of one measurement (0.24 mg/L in the July 2003 test event (TOXTD database).

It should also be noted that DWM collected water quality samples from six stations along this segment of the Nemasket River (June through October 1996). These data can be found in Appendix B.

The Aquatic Life Use is assessed as support for this segment of the Nemasket River based primarily on the results of the benthic macroinvertebrate community evaluation, and the good survival of *C.dubia* exposed to river water. This use is identified with an Alert Status because of the low pH and alkalinities, the occasionally low survival of *Pimephales promelas*, and the instream and riparian zone habitat quality.

#### PRIMARY CONTACT AND SECONDARY CONTACT RECREATION AND AESTHETICS

Fecal coliform bacteria samples were collected by TRWA from this segment of the Nemasket River at Oliver Mill Parkway (Route 44) in Middleborough. No adverse or unusual conditions were highlighted for this site in the TRWA 2002 water quality report (Domingos 2003a). Although a draft Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) was reviewed in 2001, a final QAPP for the TRWA has not been approved and their data are not quality-assured.

DWM biologists did not note any objectionable deposits or odors in the river upstream from Route 44, Middleborough (Station NR01) (MassDEP 2001b).

The Nemasket River Shoreline Survey Report (Nemasket River Stream Team 2003) noted one area of trash that included several tires in this segment of the river.

Unfortunately no quality-assured bacteria data are available, so the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses are not assessed. The *Aesthetics Use* is assessed as support since, with the exception of one isolated area of trash and debris no objectionable aesthetic conditions were identified in this segment of the Nemasket River.

		, ,
Designated Uses		Status
Aquatic Life	C.	SUPPORT*
Fish Consumption	$\odot$	NOT ASSESSED
Primary Contact		NOT ASSESSED
Secondary Contact	$\mathbb{A}$	NOT ASSESSED
Aesthetics	WAr	SUPPORT

#### Nemasket River (MA62-25) Use Summary Table

\* Alert Status issues identified, see details in use assessment section

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Continue to monitor compliance with WMA registration/permit limits and other special conditions of the permits.

The TRWA should continue to conduct water quality monitoring at its established sampling site on the Nemasket River to meet its sampling objectives. In order for the MassDEP to utilize the TRWA data for water quality assessment reporting purposes, the TRWA should work with MassDEP to meet its Quality Assurance /Quality Control requirements.

The Nemasket River Stream Team should continue in its efforts to preserve the Nemasket River. Recommendations made in the Shoreline Survey Report should be reviewed and implemented as appropriate.

# **NEMASKET RIVER (SEGMENT MA62-26)**

Location: From the Middleborough WWTP, Middleborough to the confluence with the Taunton River, Middleborough. Segment Length: 5.2 miles Classification: Class B, Warm Water Fishery

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 70.2 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

Forest ...... 53.1% Residential..... 14.2% Open Land...... 6.4%

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is less than 10%.

This segment is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 3 (MassDEP 2003).

#### WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL SUMMARY

There are 1,135 acres of land which are classified in the Land-Use theme as cranberry bog in this subwatershed (UMass Amherst 1999). For the purpose of this report, a conservative estimate of water use for this bog area 10.1 MGD. However, 1,116 acres of this cranberry acreage are located in the subwatershed for Segment MA62-26 which is the upper portion of this subwatershed.

See Segment MA62-25 for information on water withdrawals in this subwatershed.

#### NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G1)

The Town of Middleborough is authorized to discharge an average monthly flow of 2.16 MGD of treated effluent (municipal and industrial wastewater) from the Middleborough Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) into the Nemasket River (MA0101591 issued September 2003). This conventional activatedsludge facility utilizes advanced waste treatment methods, such as nitrification for ammonia-nitrogen reduction (1 mg/l NH<sub>3</sub>-N average monthly June 1 to October 31) and total phosphorus reduction by multipoint chemical addition (0.2 mg/l TP average monthly April 1 to October 31). The highest concentration of NH<sub>3</sub>-N in the effluent between October 2000 and August 2004 was 0.06 mg/L (TOXTD database). Total phosphorus (TP) reduction is accomplished by chemical addition (0.2 mg/l TP average monthly April 1 to October 31). The pH of the effluent between October 2000 and August 2004 ranged from 7.0 to 8.2 SU (n=16) (TOXTD database). This facility is equipped with effluent sand filtration that utilizes a mechanical traveling bridge. The facility utilizes sodium hypochlorite for seasonal disinfection and sodium bisulfite for dechlorination (TRC limit = 0.021 mg/L average monthly April 1 to October 31, 0.036 mg/L maximum daily) (Ciaglo 2004). The TRC concentrations of the effluent between October 2000 and August 2004 were all <0.05 mg/L (n=17) with the exception of one test event (0.07mg/L, February 2004) (TOXTD database). The facility's whole effluent toxicity limits are  $LC_{50} \ge 100\%$  and C-NOEC  $\ge 53\%$  with a monitoring frequency of four times/year using both Ceriodaphnia dubia and Pimephales promelas.

# USE ASSESSMENT

AQUATIC LIFE

<u>Toxicity</u>

## Effluent

A total of 16 and 17 modified acute and chronic whole effluent toxicity tests were conducted on the Middleborough WWTP effluent between October 2000 and August 2004 using *Ceriodaphnia dubia* and *Pimephales promelas*, respectively. The effluent did not exhibit any acute toxicity ( $LC_{50}$ s were all >100% effluent) to either test organism. With the exception of one test event (C-NOEC =25% in July 2002), the C-NOECs for *Ceriodaphnia dubia* ranged from 55 to 100% effluent. Of the 14 valid *Pimephales promelas* test events, the C-NOEC results were all 100% effluent with the exception of one test event (C-NOEC = 50% in April 2002).

#### Chemistry - water

Sampling of the Nemasket River (DO, temperature, pH, TSS, nitrate-nitrogen, total phosphorus, and bacteria) is conducted on a monthly basis by TRWA near Murdock Street, Middleborough (Sampling Station NMK-023). Although a draft Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) was reviewed in 2001, a final QAPP for the TRWA has not been approved and their data are not quality-assured. For the purpose of this report data reported by TRWA for 2002/2003 were reviewed for consistency with other quality-assured data sources.

The Bridgewater State WAL conducts water quality sampling in the Nemasket River at Murdock Street, Middleborough (Curry 2004). Between June and September 2004, the Matfield River was sampled six times by WAL using automatic samplers to collect data on temperature, pH and DO through a 22-hour period. Additionally, nutrient samples (total phosphorus, soluble reactive phosphorus and nitratenitrogen) were taken every hour using a Sigma 900 automated sampler with samples for every other hour used for analysis.

A QAPP for the WAL has not been approved by MassDEP and their data are not quality-assured. For the purpose of this report data reported by WAL for 2004 were reviewed for consistency with other quality-assured data sources.

As part of their site-specific copper criteria development study, ENSR conducted sampling at one station (Site 7) in this segment of the Nemasket River near Murdock Street, Middleborough downstream from the Middleborough WWTP (ENSR 2002).

#### Dissolved Oxygen and % Saturation

The DOs at Site 7 ranged from 5.61 to 13.37 mg/L (n=5) with saturations ranging from 66.0 to 110.0%. A similar range for DO was reported by the TRWA at Sampling Station NMK-023. Hourly Hydrolab® measurements taken by WAL at its Murdock Street sampling site were also within this range.

#### Temperature

The maximum temperature at Site 7 was 23.56 °C. A slightly higher maximum temperature was reported at NMK-023 by TRWA. Hourly Hydrolab® temperature measurements taken by WAL did not exceed 28.3 °C during its 2004 sampling.

#### pH and Alkalinity

The pH measurements at Site 7 were all above 6.5 SU with the exception of one value of 6.19 SU. Numerous measurements for pH at the TRWA Sampling Site NMK-023 were below 6.5 SU. Hourly Hydrolab® sampling for pH by WAL also indicated numerous measurements slightly below 6.5 SU during 2004.

#### Specific Conductance

Conductance at Site 7 ranged from 102 to 161  $\mu$ S/cm.

#### Turbidity

Turbidity at Site 7 ranged from 0.25 to 2.70 NTU.

#### Total Phosphorus

Numerous values above 0.05 mg/L for total phosphorus were measured by TRWA at sampling site NMK-023. Somewhat lower values of total phosphorus were reported by WAL.

#### Copper

Between 15 March and 19 September 2001, dissolved copper concentrations reported ENSR ranged from 0.65 to 3.00  $\mu$ g/L (n=5) (ENSR 2002). None of these data exceeded the current EPA water quality criterion of 3  $\mu$ g/L at a hardness of 25 mg/L. A site-specific copper criterion is currently being developed.

In June through October 1996 DWM collected water quality samples from two stations (NK04 and NK05) along this segment of the Nemasket River (Appendix B).

Too limited quality-assured water quality data are available to evaluate the effects, if any, of the

Middleborough WWTP on this segment of the Nemasket River, so the Aquatic Life Use is not assessed.

#### PRIMARY CONTACT AND SECONDARY CONTACT RECREATION AND AESTHETICS

The TRWA found that high coliform counts occurred intermittently at Station NMK-023 throughout 2002 (Domingos 2003a).

With the exception of several areas with trash and debris, the Nemasket River Shoreline Survey Report (2003) noted almost pristine conditions in this segment of the Nemasket River.

Unfortunately, the available bacteria data are not quality-assured, so the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses are not assessed. They are identified with an Alert Status because of the intermittent high levels measured by TRWA. Since no objectionable deposits, odors, or oils were identified in this segment of the Nemasket River, the *Aesthetics Use* is assessed as support but is identified with an "Alert Status" because of the isolated areas of trash and debris.

Designated Uses		Status		
Aquatic Life	T	NOT ASSESSED		
Fish Consumption	$\odot$	NOT ASSESSED		
Primary Contact		NOT ASSESSED*		
Secondary Contact	$\mathbb{A}$	NOT ASSESSED*		
Aesthetics	W	SUPPORT*		

Nemasket River (MA62-26) Use Summary Table

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Biological monitoring should be conducted to evaluate the impacts, if any, of the Middleborough WWTP discharge and to assess the status of the *Aquatic Life Use* 

The TRWA and WAL should continue to conduct water quality monitoring at their established sampling sites on the Nemasket River to meet their sampling objectives. In order for the MassDEP to utilize the TRWA and WAL data for water quality assessment reporting purposes, the TRWA and WAL should work with MassDEP to meet its Quality Assurance /Quality Control requirements.

The Nemasket River Stream Team should continue in its efforts to preserve the Nemasket River. Recommendations made in the Shoreline Survey Report should be reviewed and implemented as appropriate.

<sup>\*&</sup>quot;Alert Status" issues identified, see details in the use assessment section.

# ASSONET RIVER SUBWATERSHED

The Cedar Swamp River originates in Cedar Swamp, Lakeville and flows through an extensive wetland area. After it is joined by an unnamed tributary that also originates in Cedar Swamp, Cedar Swamp River flows to the west becoming the inlet to Forge Pond where it becomes the Assonet River. The freshwater portion of the Assonet River flows through Lakeville and Freetown. The lower Assonet forms a broad estuarine finger of the Taunton River. Rattlesnake Brook originates in the Freetown-Fall River State Forest and flows in a northerly direction to Paynes Cove, part of Assonet Bay in the estuarine portion of the Assonet River. The Assonet River is the last major tributary to empty into the Taunton Estuary and includes the following segments (Figure 14):

Unnamed tributary to the Cedar Swamp River (Segment MA62-42) Cedar Swamp River (Segment MA62-44) Assonet River (Segment MA62-19) Rattlesnake Brook (Segment MA62-45) Assonet River (Segment MA62-20)

The land use is dominated by forest with over 70% in that category. Residential land use is less than 15% followed by open space. Approximately 413 acres of land which are classified in the Land-Use theme as cranberry bogs are present in the Assonet River Subwatershed. The impervious area is all less than 10% indicating there is a low potential for adverse water quality impacts from impervious surface water runoff.

The lower portion of the Assonet River (Segment 62-20) has been placed on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 5 as not meeting Water Quality Standards for pathogens. The DMF Shellfish Status Report of 2003 indicates that shellfish harvesting is prohibited in all growing areas of the lower Assonet River (Segment 62-20).

One facility, the Town Line Farm, is authorized under the WMA for a surface water withdrawal of 0.03 MGD. Additionally, it is estimated that water use for the cranberry bog area (inclusive but not limited to WMA registered growers) is 3.6 MGD.

There are no NPDES permitted discharges in this subwatershed. However, Multi-sector General Stormwater Permits have been issued for facilities in several communities. Additionally, the communities of Lakeville, Freetown, Berkley and Fall River are Phase II stormwater communities. Each community was issued a stormwater general permit from EPA and MassDEP in 2003/2004 and is authorized to discharge stormwater from their municipal drainage system. Over the five-year permit term, the communities will develop, implement, and enforce a stormwater management program to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the storm sewer system to protect water quality (Domizio 2004).

Water quality sampling was conducted at three sites on the Assonet River by DWM in 2001. Sampling was also conducted at one site in each of the tributaries to the Assonet River (Rattlesnake Brook, Cedar Swamp River and an unnamed tributary to the Cedar Swamp River). The *Aquatic Life Use* is assessed as support in most segments with the exception of the lower portion of the unnamed tributary to Cedar Swamp River which was assessed as impaired. The Shellfish Harvesting use is also assessed as impaired. All other uses were either not assessed or assessed as support.



## UNNAMED TRIBUTARY TO CEDAR SWAMP RIVER (SEGMENT MA62-42)

Location: Headwaters, south of Slab Bridge Road (in Cedar Swamp portion of Freetown-Fall River State Forest), Freetown to confluence with the Cedar Swamp River, Lakeville. Segment Length: 4.0 miles Classification: B

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 66.2 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is less than 10%.

#### WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL AND NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY

There are 191 acres of land which are classified in the Land-Use theme as cranberry bog in this subwatershed (UMass Amherst 1999). For the purpose of this report, a conservative estimate of water use for this bog area 1.7 MGD.

Based on available information there are no NPDES dischargers in this subwatershed.

## USE ASSESSMENT

#### AQUATIC LIFE

#### Habitat and Flow

In August 2004 DWM biologists conducted an upstream/downstream evaluation of the cranberry bog operation on this unnamed tributary to Cedar Swamp River (a follow-up qualitative evaluation to further investigated the impacted conditions in the brook found in 2001). At their sampling location near Slab Bridge Road in Freetown (Station CB01) located upstream from the cranberry bog the habitat was good (limited most by the low flow conditions) (Fiorentino 2005).

In July 2001 DWM conducted a RBP III benthic macroinvertebrate survey at one station downstream from the cranberry bog and 300 meters downstream from Howland Road, Freetown (Station CB00). The combination of swift current velocity and deep pools, coupled with a substrate dominated by large cobble and boulders, provided both fish and macroinvertebrates with excellent habitat throughout this reach. Instream algal growth was minimal and macrophytes were absent, although mosses covered nearly half the instream substrates. Channel flow status was slightly less than optimal. Although NPS inputs were not observed, localized road runoff (sand deposits) was noted downstream from the Howland Road crossing. CB00 received a total habitat score of 171 out of 200 (Appendix D).

#### **Biology**

A qualitative benthic survey was conducted in August 2004 in this unnamed tributary near Slab Bridge Road in Freetown (Station CB01) upstream from the cranberry bog operation. A diverse assemblage of benthic macroinvertebrates was found (Fiorentino 2005).

Downstream from the cranberry bog operation, the benthic community in the brook in 2001 (Station CB00) was found to be severely impacted comparable to the Canoe River (Station TR01) reference station despite the excellent habitat that was available. The absence of Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera (EPT) taxa and significant reductions in other insect taxa suggest the possibility of a toxic effect in this portion of the river. Additionally, several dead bullheads were observed. Backpack electrofishing in one reach of this tributary was conducted by DWM staff in September 2001. Only one fish, a chain pickerel, was found. While the cranberry bog located immediately upstream from CB00 may provide a significant source of organic loadings, other potential pollutants (e.g., organo-phosphates and other pesticides known to be toxic to aquatic life) that may originate from cranberry farming should be considered as well (Appendix D). Similar conditions were found by DWM biologists during their qualitative survey conducted in August 2004 (Fiorentino 2005).

In July 2002 MDFW conducted fish population sampling using a backpack shocker. Samples were collected from one station along this segment, above Mill Street, Lakeville (Station 714). Only two fish, a brown bullhead and an American eel, were collected (Richards 2003a).

#### Chemistry - water

DWM conducted water quality sampling in August and September 2001 at Station AS05T, the outlet of the cranberry bog at Howland Road, Freetown (Appendix A). Following is a summary of the sampling results for this site.

#### Dissolved Oxygen and % Saturation

DO was measured at 7.8 and 9.8 mg/L with saturations of 100 and 103%, respectively. It should be noted that these data do not represent worst case (pre-dawn) conditions.

#### Temperature

The maximum water temperature was 29.7°C in August while the temperature in September measured 18.9°C.

Chloride Values of 10 mg/L were recorded for chloride.

#### pH and Alkalinity

Instream pH measurements were low at 4.7 and 5.9 SU as were alkalinity values at <2 and 4 mg/L.

#### Conductance

Conductance was recorded at 45.6 and 47.5  $\mu\text{S/cm}.$ 

Hardness

Measurements for hardness were 6.4 and 8 mg/L as CaCO3.

TSS

Total suspended solids values were low at 2.9 and 4.6 mg/L

#### NO<sub>3</sub>-NO<sub>2</sub>-nitrogen

Values for nitrate-nitrite-nitrogen were <0.06 mg/L on both sampling occasions.

#### Ammonia-nitrogen

Ammonia-nitrogen was <0.02 mg/L on both sampling occasions. These measurements were both below the conservative criterion of 1.09 mg/L NH<sub>3</sub>-N (chronic instream criterion for ammonia at pH of 8.0 SU and temperature of 30 °C) (EPA 1999).

#### Total Phosphorus

Elevated total phosphorus concentrations were measured at 0.15 and 0.16 mg/L.

The Aquatic Life Use is assessed as support in the upper 1.2 mile reach of this unnamed tributary (upstream from the cranberry bog) but is as impaired for the 2.8 mile reach through and downstream from the cranberry bog based on the severely impacted benthic macroinvertebrate community and the lack of fish. The source of impairment is a result of cranberry bog operations (organic enrichment, pesticide applications, flow issues).

#### PRIMARY CONTACT AND SECONDARY CONTACT RECREATION AND AESTHETICS

In July, August, and September 2001, DWM collected fecal coliform, *E.coli*, and *Enterococci* bacteria from the unnamed tributary to Cedar Swamp River at the following stations (Appendix A):

AS05T - Howland Road, Freetown (outlet of cranberry bog), (n=3)

CS01T - Mill Street, Lakeville, (n=1)

It should be noted that attempts to sample this location on 23 July 2001 were unsuccessful due to lack of flow.
2001 DWM bacteria data						
Station	Fecal Coliform (cfu/100mL)	<i>E.coli</i> (cfu/100mL)	Enterococcisp. (cfu/100mL)			
AS05T	<5, 15 and 25	<5, 5 and 5	10, 24 and 60			
CS01T	30	10	70			

No objectionable deposits or other conditions other than a sulfide odor (assumed to be natural) were noted by DWM survey crews on this unnamed tributary (MassDEP 2001a).

The *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* and *Aesthetic* uses are assessed as support based on the low fecal coliform bacteria counts and the lack of objectionable deposits, odors or other conditions.

#### Unnamed tributary to Cedar Swamp River (MA62-42) Summary Table

Designated Uses		Status
Aquatic Life	()	SUPPORT upper 1.2 mile reach IMPAIRED lower 2.8 mile reach Causes: Combined benthic and macroinvertebrate bioassessment impairment and impairment unknown Source: Specialty crop production
Fish Consumption	$\odot$	NOT ASSESSED
Primary Contact	15	SUPPORT
Secondary Contact	$\mathbb{A}$	SUPPORT
Aesthetics	W	SUPPORT

# RECOMMENDATIONS

Continue to conduct monitoring (biological, habitat and water quality) to evaluate the impacts from cranberry bog operations and to assess the status of *Aquatic Life Use*.

Continue to conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

# **CEDAR SWAMP RIVER (SEGMENT MA62-44)**

Location: Headwaters south of Freetown Street, Lakeville to the inlet Forge Pond, Freetown (stream name changes to Assonet River at Lakeville/Freetown corporate boundary). Segment Length: 5.3 miles Classification: B

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 16.5 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is less than 10%.

#### WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL AND NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY

There are 366 acres of land which are classified in the Land-Use theme as cranberry bog in this subwatershed (UMass Amherst 1999). For the purpose of this report, a conservative estimate of water use for this bog area 3.3 MGD. However, 191 acres of this cranberry acreage is located in the subwatershed for Segment MA62-42 that is the upper portion of this subwatershed.

Based on available information there are no NPDES dischargers in this subwatershed.

#### USE ASSESSMENT AQUATIC LIFE

# Biology

In August 2002 MDFW conducted fish population sampling using a backpack shocker at two locations along this segment - in the wetland reach upstream from the Conrail line (Station 719) and near the Conrail line north of Howland Road (Station 722), both of which were in Lakeville. A total of 72 fish, representing six species, were collected from these two sites. The upstream sample was dominated by American eel and the downstream sample was dominated by creek chubsucker and redfin pickerel. Other species in order of abundance included swamp darter and two each of banded sunfish and brook trout (Richards 2003a). Although there is no current record of trout stocking in Cedar Swamp Brook, both trout were of stockable length. No other age/size classes were collected. Both fish samples were comprised primarily of tolerant and moderately tolerant macrohabitat generalists, however, the presence of creek chubsucker, an intolerant fluvial specialist (occasionally found in ponds), is indicative of excellent habitat quality. Most fish present are known to thrive in naturally acidic waters.

It should also be noted that MDFW conducted fish population sampling at two tributaries to Cedar Swamp River in July 2002. Samples were collected in Pierce Brook - below Pierce Street, Lakeville – using a backpack shocker. A total of 24 fish, representing four species were collected. The samples were dominated by golden shiners. Other species included brown bullhead, bluegill, and redfin pickerel. Samples were also collected from Holloway Brook – off Pickens Street, Lakeville – using a backpack shocker. A total of 34 fish, representing five species were collected. The samples were dominated by largemouth bass. Other species included redfin pickerel, pumpkinseed, swamp darter, and golden shiner (Richards 2003a). Both samples were comprised entirely of macrohabitat generalists, which are either moderately tolerant or tolerant to pollution.

#### Chemistry – water

DWM conducted water quality sampling in July, August and September 2001 at two stations on this segment of Cedar Swamp River - at Malbone Street in Lakeville (Station AS04T) and Route 79 (Richmond Road), Freetown (Station AS03). (NOTE: *The data for Station AS03 is labeled as the Assonet River in Appendix A (name changes from Cedar Swamp River to Assonet River at Lakeville/Freetown municipal boundary).* The results are summarized below.

#### Dissolved Oxygen and % Saturation

Measurements for DO in Cedar Swamp River at Station AS04T ranged from 1.3 to 6.0 mg/L (three of six measurements < 5.0 mg/L) and saturation ranged from 15 to 61% (five of six values recorded less than 60%). At the downstream station (AS03) DOs ranged from 3.0 to 7.8 mg/L (three of five measurements< 5.0 mg/L)

and saturation ranged from 34 to 74% (three of five measurements less than 60%). The lowest DOs were measured during the August survey (both day and pre-dawn surveys).

#### Temperature

The maximum temperature recorded at AS04T and AS03 was 23.4 and 23.1°C, respectively.

#### Chloride

Chloride did not exceed 19 mg/L at either sampling station.

#### pH and Alkalinity

Instream pH measurements at both AS04T and AS03 were low ranging from 4.6 to 6.0 SU as were alkalinities (ranged from <2 to 4 mg/L).

#### Specific Conductance

Conductance was similar at both sampling locations ranging from 77.5 to 90.9  $\mu$ S/cm.

#### Hardness

Measurements for hardness ranged between 11 and 14 mg/L as  $CaCO_3$  at both stations.

#### TSS

Total suspended solids were low at both sampling locations (all measurements  $\leq$  1.5 mg/L).

#### NO<sub>3</sub>-NO<sub>2</sub>-nitrogen

Values for nitrate-nitrite-nitrogen at both AS04T and AS03 were similar ranging from <0.06 to 0.29 mg/L.

#### Ammonia-nitrogen

On one occasion the concentration of ammonia-nitrogen was 0.06 mg/L (Station AS04T) although all other values were less than detection (0.02 mg/L). All of these measurements were below the conservative criterion of 1.09 mg/L NH<sub>3</sub>-N (chronic instream criterion for ammonia at pH of 8.0 SU and temperature of 30°C) (EPA 1999).

#### Total phosphorus

Total phosphorus concentrations at AS04T and AS03 ranged from 0.051 to 0.093 mg/L.

The Aquatic Life Use is assessed as support based primarily on the water quality data. And although the fish community is comprised of macrohabitat generalists, it is consistent with those normally found in wetland dominated streams. This use is identified with an Alert Status, however, because of the low DO, pH and alkalinity (all associated with the extensive wetlands).

# PRIMARY CONTACT AND SECONDARY CONTACT RECREATION AND AESTHETICS

In July, August, and September 2001, DWM collected fecal coliform, *E.coli*, and *Enterococci* bacteria from the Cedar Swamp River at the following stations (Appendix A):

AS04T - Malbone Street, Lakeville, (n=3)

AS03 - Route 79, (Richmond Road) Freetown, (n=3) [NOTE: The data for station AS03 is labeled as the Assonet River in Appendix A (name changes from Cedar Swamp River to Assonet River at Lakeville/Freetown municipal boundary)].

Station	Fecal Coliform data range (cfu/100mL)	<i>E.coli</i> bacteria data range (cfu/100mL)	<i>Enterococci</i> bacteria data range (cfu/100mL)			
AS04T	25 - 120	5 - 130	15 - 50			
AS03	38 - 130	5 - 55	30 - 110			

#### 2001 DWM bacteria data

Additionally, samples were collected on September 18, 2001 for Fluorescent Whitening Agents and Optical Brighteners. Results for all samples indicated recovery as below the detection limits. This would

indicate that on this sampling date waste from septic systems or industrial applications that might include paper whiteners or laundry products were not likely to be entering Cedar Swamp River.

With the exception of some trash and debris in the Cedar Swamp River and a sulfide odor at the upstream sampling location during one of the surveys, no other objectionable conditions were noted by the DWM survey crews (MassDEP 2001a).

The *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses are assessed as support based on the low fecal coliform bacteria counts. The *Aesthetics Use* is also assessed as support but is identified with an Alert Status because of the trash and debris in the river near Malbone Street.

Designated Uses		Status	
Aquatic Life	()	SUPPORT*	
Fish Consumption	$\odot$	NOT ASSESSED	
Primary Contact	10	SUPPORT	
Secondary Contact	$\square$	SUPPORT	
Aesthetics	WAr	SUPPORT*	

#### Cedar Swamp River (MA62-44) Summary Table

\* Alert Status issues identified, see details in use assessment section

# RECOMMENDATIONS

Continue to conduct monitoring (biological, habitat and water quality) to evaluate the status of *Aquatic Life Use* in Cedar Swamp River.

Continue to conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

# **ASSONET RIVER (SEGMENT MA62-19)**

Location: Outlet Forge Pond, Freetown to Tisdale Dam (north of Route 79/Elm Street intersection) Freetown. Segment Length: 0.9 miles Classification: Class B

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 21.8 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

Forest ...... 71.3% Residential..... 14.3% Open land...... 5.9%

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is less than 10%.

This segment is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 3 (MassDEP 2003).

The use assessment for Forge Pond (MA62072) is in the Lake Assessment section of this report.

#### WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL AND NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY

There are 403 acres of land which are classified in the Land-Use theme as cranberry bog in this subwatershed (UMass Amherst 1999). For the purpose of this report, a conservative estimate of water use for this bog area 3.6 MGD. However, 366 acres of this cranberry acreage are located in the subwatershed for segment MA62-42 and MA62-44, which are the upper portion of this subwatershed.

See Segment MA62-20 for information on water withdrawals in this subwatershed.

Based on available information there are no NPDES dischargers in this subwatershed.

# USE ASSESSMENT

# AQUATIC LIFE

## Habitat and Flow

In August 2001 DWM conducted a RBP III benthic macroinvertebrate survey at one station (AR00) on this segment of the Assonet River, 100 meters downstream from Locust Street, Freetown. Macroinvertebrates had ample productive epifaunal habitat, which was riffle and run (0.20 - 0.30 m depth) dominated and with an abundance of cobble substrates. AR00 received a total habitat score of 173 out of 200. This was the second highest habitat evaluation received by a biomonitoring station in the 2001 survey. Despite the lack of deep pools, fish habitat was optimal (Appendix D).

#### **Biology**

The RBP III analysis indicated the macroinvertebrate community at Station AR00 was "slightly impacted" (52% comparability to the reference community in the Canoe River - Station TR01).

In September 2001 DWM conducted fish population sampling in this segment of the Assonet River at Locust Street using a backpack shocker. The dominant species collected was American eel. Other species present included brown bullhead and bluegill. This reach appears to be populated by highly tolerant, warm water species (Mitchell 2001).

In August 2002 MDFW conducted fish population sampling at one location along this segment of the Assonet River – upstream from Route 79, Freetown (Station 721) - using a backpack shocker. A total of 24 fish, representing five species, were collected. The sample was dominated by American eel and pumpkinseed. Other species included brown bullhead, largemouth bass, and redfin pickerel (Richards 2003a). Both fish samples were comprised entirely of tolerant and moderately tolerant macrohabitat generalists. Sampling stations were situated in a small stream reach located between two impoundments. Chemistry - water

DWM conducted water quality sampling at Locust Street, Freetown (Station AS01) on the Assonet River in 2001 (Appendix A).

Results are summarized below.

Dissolved Oxygen and % Saturation

Pre-dawn and daytime measurements for DO were similar ranging from 7.3 to 9.1 with saturations between 82 and 97%.

Temperature

The maximum water temperature recorded was 25.0°C.

Chloride Values ranged from 17 and 20 mg/L

*pH and Alkalinity* Instream pH measurements ranged from 5.3 to 6.4 SU (n=6) and alkalinity values ranged from <2 to 4 mg/L.

Specific Conductance Conductance ranged from 85.7 to 95.2 µS/cm.

Hardness Measurements for hardness were consistently 14 mg/L as  $CaCO_3$ .

TSS

TSS measurements were low ranging from 2.7 to 7.4 mg/L.

 $NO_3$ - $NO_2$ -NitrogenValues were all less than 0.06 mg/L.

Ammonia-nitrogen

Values for ammonia-nitrogen were all <0.02 mg/L. These measurements were below the conservative criterion of 1.09 mg/L NH<sub>3</sub>-N (chronic instream criterion for ammonia at pH of 8.0 SU and temperature of 30°C) (EPA 1999).

*Total Phosphorus* Total phosphorus concentrations ranged from 0.051 to 0.067 mg/L (n=6).

The *Aquatic Life Use* is assessed as support based primarily on the benthic macroinvertebrate community analysis and the water quality data. This use is identified with an alert status because of the low pH and low alkalinity.

# PRIMARY CONTACT AND SECONDARY CONTACT RECREATION AND AESTHETICS

In July, August, and September 2001, DWM collected fecal coliform, *E.coli*, and *Enterococci* bacteria from the Assonet River at the following stations (Appendix A):

AS02 - Outlet of Forge Pond, Forge Road, Freetown, (n=2) AS01 - Locust Street, Freetown, (n=3)

Station	Fecal Coliform data range (cfu/100mL)	<i>E.coli</i> bacteria data range (cfu/100mL)	Enterococci bacteria data range (cfu/100mL)			
AS02	15 and 45	<5 and 5	<5 and 40			
AS01	7 - 90	5 - 25	20 - 1,100			

# 2001 DWM bacteria data

DWM survey crews did not note any objectionable deposits, odors, or other conditions in the river at the outlet of Forge Pond but did observe some trash and debris and some foam in the river near Locust Street (MassDEP 2001a).

The *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses are assessed as support based on the low fecal coliform bacteria counts. The *Aesthetics Use* is also assessed as support but is identified with an Alert Status because of the trash and debris in the river near Locust Street.

Designated Uses		Status	
Aquatic Life	()	SUPPORT*	
Fish Consumption	$ \bigcirc $	NOT ASSESSED	
Primary Contact	C.	SUPPORT	
Secondary Contact	$\mathbb{A}$	SUPPORT	
Aesthetics		SUPPORT*	

Assonet River (MA62-19) Use Summary Table

\* Alert Status issues identified, see details in use assessment section

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Continue to conduct monitoring (biological, habitat and water quality) to evaluate the status of *Aquatic Life Use* in this segment of the Assonet River.

Continue to conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

A river cleanup should be conducted to remove trash and debris.

# **RATTLESNAKE BROOK (SEGMENT MA62-45)**

Location: Headwaters east of Riggenbach Road, Fall River to confluence with Assonet River, Freetown. Segment Length: 3.2 miles Classification: B

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 6.8 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is less than 10%.

MDFW has proposed that Terry Brook, a tributary to Rattlesnake Brook, be listed in the next revision of the SWQS as a cold water fishery (Richards 2003b).

#### WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL AND NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY

Based on available information there are no WMA regulated withdrawals and NPDES dischargers in this subwatershed.

# USE ASSESSMENT

# AQUATIC LIFE

#### Habitat and Flow

In July 2001 DWM conducted a RBP III benthic macroinvertebrate survey at one station (RA00) on Rattlesnake Brook, 400 meters upstream from Route 24, Freetown. The large instream substrates, submerged woody materials, overhanging bank vegetation, and occasional pools (0.2 m depth) provided fish with stable cover and excellent overall habitat. Channel flow status was optimal, with water reaching the base of both banks and leaving minimal amounts of substrates exposed. Instream vegetation and algal cover were absent. RA00 received a total habitat assessment score of 172 out of 200, one of the higher habitat evaluations in the 2001 biomonitoring survey (Appendix D).

#### **Biology**

The RBP III analysis indicated that the benthic community at Station RA00 represented 71% comparability to the Canoe River reference Station (TR01) and resulted in an assessment of "slightly impacted" for biological condition (Appendix D).

Using a backpack shocker DWM conducted fish population sampling in Rattlesnake Brook at the Freetown State Forest near the Wampanoag Native American Reservation in September 2001. The population was represented by three species with American eel (*Anguilla rostrata*) dominating the sample. Chain pickerel (*Esox niger*) and redfin pickerel (*Esox americanus americanus*) were the other species present (Mitchell 2001).

MDFW conducted fish population sampling at two locations along this segment - above and below Upper Ledge Road (Station 709), Freetown, and below Ledge Road (Station 710), Freetown - using a backpack shocker in July 2002. A total of 29 fish, representing three species, were collected. The samples were dominated by American eel and redfin pickerel although banded sunfish were also collected (Richards 2003a). Both fish samples were comprised entirely of tolerant and moderately tolerant macrohabitat generalists. Both pickerel and banded sunfish are known to thrive in naturally acidic waters.

#### Chemistry - water

In August 2001 *in-situ* measurements of Rattlesnake Brook were taken by DWM at the footbridge in the Freetown-Fall River Forest (Station ASRB2), Freetown. A summary of the data follows (Appendix A).

Dissolved Oxygen and % Saturation DO was 8.4 mg/L and saturation was 90%. *Temperature* Temperature was recorded at 20.1°C.

*pH* The pH was 4.4 SU.

Specific Conductance Specific conductance was 45.1 µmhos/cm.

The Aquatic Life Use is assessed as support for Rattlesnake Brook based primarily on the benthic macroinvertebrate community evaluation. Although the fish community is comprised of macrohabitat generalists, it is consistent with those normally found in streams with contributing wetlands. This use is identified with an Alert Status because of the low pH and low alkalinity.

# PRIMARY CONTACT AND SECONDARY CONTACT RECREATION AND AESTHETICS

In July and September 2001, DWM collected fecal coliform, *E.coli*, and *Enterococci* bacteria from Rattlesnake Brook at the following stations:

- -ASRB1 Rattlesnake Brook at South Main Street, Freetown, (n=1)
- -ASB08T Rattlesnake Brook at Narrows Road, Freetown, (n=1)
- -AS09T Terry Brook (tributary to Rattlesnake Brook) at South Main Street, Freetown, (n=1)

All three fecal coliform samples were  $\leq$  45 cfu/100mL. Additionally, samples were collected on September 18, 2001 at Stations ASRB1 and AS09T for Fluorescent Whitening Agents and Optical Brighteners. Results for all samples indicated recovery as below the detection limits. This would indicate that on this sampling date waste from septic systems or industrial applications that might include paper whiteners or laundry products were not likely to be entering either Rattlesnake Brook or Terry Brook.

With the exception of some yard waste noted at Station ASB08T, no other objectionable deposits, odors or other conditions were noted by DWM biologists or survey crews in Rattlesnake Brook (MassDEP 2001a and 2001b).

Too limited bacteria data are available, so the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses are not assessed. The *Aesthetics Use* is assessed as support.

Designated Uses		Status	
Aquatic Life	()	SUPPORT*	
Fish Consumption	$\odot$	NOT ASSESSED	
Primary Contact	18	NOT ASSESSED	
Secondary Contact	$\mathbb{A}$	NOT ASSESSED	
Aesthetics	W	SUPPORT	

#### Rattlesnake Brook (MA62-45) Summary Table

\*Alert Status issues identified, see details in use assessment section.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

MDFW has proposed that Terry Brook, a tributary to Rattlesnake Brook, be protected as cold water fishery habitat. Additional monitoring of the fish population, dissolved oxygen, and temperature is needed to evaluate MDFW's proposal to list this stream as a cold water fishery in the next revision of the Surface Water Quality Standards.

Continue to conduct monitoring (biological, habitat and water quality) to evaluate the status of *Aquatic Life Use* in Rattlesnake Brook.

Continue to conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

# **ASSONET RIVER (SEGMENT MA62-20)**

Location: From Tisdale Dam north of Route 79/Elm Street intersection), Freetown to the confluence with the Taunton River, Freetown. Segment Size: 0.82 square miles Classification: Class SA

The drainage area of this segment is approximately 34.2 square miles. Land-use estimates (top three) for the subwatershed:

The impervious cover area for this subwatershed is less than 10%.

The segment is on the Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters – Category 5 for not meeting water quality for pathogens (MassDEP 2003).

# WMA WATER WITHDRAWAL SUMMARY (APPENDIX G, TABLE G5) AND NPDES WASTEWATER DISCHARGE SUMMARY

There are 413 acres of land which are classified in the Land-Use theme as cranberry bog in this subwatershed (UMass Amherst 1999). For the purpose of this report, a conservative estimate of water use for this bog area is 3.7 MGD. However, 403 acres of this cranberry acreage are located in the subwatershed for segment MA62-42, MA62-44, and NA62-19 which are the upper portion of this subwatershed.

Facility	WMA	WMA	WMA	
	Permit	Registration	Registration	
	Number	Number	Number (G = ground)	
Town Line Farm	NA	V42510204	01S	0.03

Based on available information there are no NPDES dischargers in this subwatershed.

#### USE ASSESSMENT SHELLFISH HARVESTING

The DMF Shellfish Status Report of 2003 indicates that area MHB 2.5, which includes this entire segment, is prohibited (Sawyer 2003).

Based on the DMF shellfish growing area status, the *Shellfish Harvesting Use* is assessed as impaired for this segment of the Assonet River because of elevated bacteria counts.

# PRIMARY CONTACT AND SECONDARY CONTACT RECREATION AND AESTHETICS

One bacteria sample was collected by DWM from an unnamed tributary (Station AS10T) to this segment of the Assonet River in September 2001 – the fecal coliform count was 5 cfu/100mL (Appendix A).

No data are readily available, so the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* and *Aesthetics* uses are not assessed for this segment of the Assonet River.

#### Assonet River (MA62-20) Use Summary Table

Designated Uses		Status
Aquatic Life	C.	NOT ASSESSED
Fish Consumption	$\bigcirc$	NOT ASSESSED
Shellfish Harvesting		IMPAIRED Cause: Fecal coliform bacteria Source: Unknown (Suspected Sources: Discharges from municipal separate storm sewer systems, septic systems, and marina/boating pumpout releases)
Primary Contact	A.	NOT ASSESSED
Secondary Contact		NOT ASSESSED
Aesthetics	W	NOT ASSESSED

# RECOMMENDATIONS

Review and implement recommendations in the DMF shellfish sanitary survey reports and the triennial reviews for growing area MHB2.5.

Conduct monitoring (biological, habitat and water quality) to evaluate the status of *Aquatic Life Use* in this segment of the Assonet River.

Conduct bacteria sampling to evaluate effectiveness of nonpoint source pollution control activities and to assess the status of the *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses.

Continue to monitor compliance with WMA registration/permit limits and any other special conditions of the permit.

# TAUNTON RIVER WATERSHED LAKE ASSESSMENTS

A total of 208 lakes, ponds or impoundments (the term "lakes" will hereafter be used to include all) have been identified and assigned PALIS code numbers in the Taunton River Watershed (Ackerman 1989 and MassDEP 2001a). The total surface area of the Taunton River Watershed lakes is 12,517 acres. They range in size from <1 to 2,034 acres; 173 lakes are less than 50 acres, 21 are greater than 100 acres, and, of these, 11 are greater than 200 acres. This report presents information on 98 of these lakes that are in the WBS database. One hundred ten (110) lakes, which total 1,458 acres, are unassessed (i.e., they are not currently included as segments in the WBS database).

The 98 lakes assessed in this report represent 11,059 of the 12,517, or 88% of the acreage, in the Taunton River Watershed (Figure 15). Fourteen lakes are designated water supplies (i.e., Class A), which accounts for 54% (or 6,715 acres) of the assessed acreage. Additionally, another nine lakes are proposed Class A waterbodies, since they are upstream from public water supplies.



#### LAKE USE ASSESSMENTS

Lake assessments are based on information gathered during DWM surveys (recent and historic) and pertinent information from other reliable sources (e.g., abutters, herbicide applicators, diagnostic/feasibility studies, MA DPH, etc.). The 1996 DWM synoptic surveys focused on visual observations of water quality and quantity (e.g., water level, sedimentation, etc.), the presence of native and non-native aquatic plants (both distribution and aerial cover), and presence/severity of algal blooms (Appendix C, Table C1). During 2001 more intensive in-lake sampling was conducted by DWM in five lakes in the Taunton River Watershed for nutrient related issues. This sampling included: in-lake measurements of DO, pH, temperature, and Secchi disk transparency; sampling for nutrients and chlorophyll *a*; and detailed macrophyte mapping (Appendix C, tables C2 and C3). While these surveys provided additional information to assess the status of the designated uses, fecal coliform bacteria data were unavailable and, so the *Primary Contact Recreational Use* was usually not assessed. In the case of the *Fish Consumption Use*, fish consumption advisory information was obtained from the MA DPH (MA DPH 2004). Although the *Drinking Water Use* was not assessed in this water quality assessment report, the Class A waters were identified. Information on drinking water source protection and finish water quality is available at

http://www.mass.gov/dep/brp/dws/dwshome.htm and from the Taunton River Watershed's public water suppliers.

The use assessments and supporting information were entered into the EPA Waterbody System database. Data on the presence of non-native plants were entered into the MassDEP DWM informal non-native plant tracking database.

#### AQUATIC LIFE

#### <u>Biology</u>

Non-native aquatic macrophytes were observed in 43 of the 98 lakes surveyed by DWM in 1996 (Appendix C, Table C1). The four non-native aquatic species documented in the Taunton River Watershed lakes were *Myriophyllum heterophyllum* (variable water milfoil), *M. spicatum* (Eurasian water milfoil), *Cabomba caroliniana* (fanwort), and *Potomogetan crispus* (curlyleaf pondweed). The mere presence of these species is considered an imbalance to the native biotic community, so these lakes are listed as impaired (4,228 acres). Additionally, these species have a high potential for spreading and are likely to have established themselves in downstream lake and river segments in the Taunton River Watershed that may not have been surveyed.

Two non-native wetland species, *Lythrum salicaria* (purple loosestrife) and *Phragmites australis* (reed grass), were identified at 46 of the lakes surveyed by DWM in 1996 (Appendix C, Table C1). Although the presence of these species is not generally a cause of impairment to lakes, their invasive growth habit can result in the impairment of wetland habitat associated with lakes. Because of unconfirmed reports of the non-native species presence (*Myriophyllum heterophyllum*) in Muddy Pond (Kingston), North Center Street Pond (Carver), and Robbins Pond (East Bridgewater) the *Aquatic Life Use* in these waterbodies is identified with an Alert Status .

As part of its fish toxics monitoring effort, DWM sampled Ames Long Pond (Stoughton) and Monponsett Pond [East Basin] (Halifax) in August of 2001. Species found in Ames Long Pond included: largemouth bass, yellow perch, bluegill, pumpkinseed, black crappie, chain pickerel, American eel, brown bullhead and golden shiner. In Monponsett Pond [East Basin] the following species were observed: largemouth bass, yellow perch, bluegill, pumpkinseed, chain pickerel, golden shiner and white perch (Maietta *et al.* 2002).

MDFW conducted fish population assessments in Ames Long Pond in Stoughton/Easton and West Meadow Pond in West Bridgewater as part of the Lakes Survey for TMDL Development (Appendix I, Project 99-06/104). Collection methods included electrofishing at night, gillnetting and shoreline seining in Ames Long Pond and electrofishing at night in West Meadow Pond. The species list and counts for fish collected in 2000 are provided in Table 4 below. A watershed based fisheries management plan will be produced by MDFW at a later date.

Table 4. Species-level taxa list and counts for fish collected by MDFW between April and October 2001 in ponds in the Taunton River Watershed (Richards 2003).

Spacios	Location			
Species	Ames Long Pond	West Meadow Pond		
American eel	10	1		
Bluegill	281	144		
Brown bullhead	5	10		
Black crappie	2	5		
Chain pickerel	18	6		
Golden shiner	1	7		
Largemouth bass	107	12		
Pumpkinseed	346	53		
Yellow perch	62	0		

#### Chemistry-water

Six lakes were surveyed by DWM and/or MDFW in the Taunton River Watershed to provide data in support of the DWM TMDL Program. Lake monitoring included: the preparation of a bathymetric map (if not already available), mapping of aquatic vegetation, Secchi disc readings, *in situ* water quality profile measurements (i.e., temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, conductance) at one or more stations, water quality sampling for phosphorus analysis, and chlorophyll *a* determinations. Each of the following lakes was sampled on three separate occasions. (Ponds marked with an asterisk were sampled by MDFW.) Data from these surveys are presented in Appendix C, Tables C2 and C3.

LAKE	MUNICIPALITY
Ames Long Pond*	Stoughton/Easton
Lake Sabbatia	Taunton
Monponsett Pond (west basin)	Halifax/Hanson
Monponsett Pond (east basin)	Halifax
Watson Pond	Taunton
West Meadow Pond*	West Bridgewater

Forty lakes statewide were sampled by DWM on one occasion in 2003 to provide data in support of the DWM nutrient criteria derivation effort. Lake monitoring included: *in situ* water quality profile measurements (i.e., temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, specific conductance), Secchi disk readings, water quality sampling for phosphorus analysis, aquatic vegetation mapping, chlorophyll *a* determinations, and the analysis of apparent color. In the Taunton River Watershed, Ames Long Pond and Stetson Pond were sampled. However, these data are still provisional and not used in this assessment report.

The Aquatic Life Use is assessed as impaired in a total of 43 lakes, representing 5,247 acres, based on the presence of non-native macrophytes. Monponsett Pond (west basin) and Watson Pond are also impaired because of elevated phosphorus levels and Sabbatia Lake is also impaired because of low dissolved oxygen/saturation encompassing a large portion of the lake area. The remaining 55 lakes, representing 5,812 acres, in the Taunton River Watershed were not assessed for the Aquatic Life Use because of the cursory nature of the 1996 synoptic surveys and/or the lack of dissolved oxygen data and other more recent observations. It should be noted that the Aquatic Life Use for three lakes was identified with an Alert Status because of the unconfirmed report of a non-native aquatic plant species (Myriophyllum heterophyllum).

#### **FISH CONSUMPTION**

In July 2001 MA DPH issued new consumer advisories on fish consumption and mercury contamination. The MA DPH "...is advising pregnant women, women of childbearing age who may become pregnant, nursing mothers and children under 12 years of age to refrain from eating the following marine fish; shark, swordfish, king mackerel, tuna steak and tilefish. In addition, MA DPH is expanding its previously issued statewide fish consumption advisory which cautioned pregnant women to avoid eating fish from all freshwater bodies due to concerns about mercury contamination, to now include women of childbearing age who may become pregnant, nursing mothers and children under 12 years of age (MA DPH 2001)." Additionally, MA DPH "...is recommending that pregnant women, women of childbearing age who may become pregnant, nursing mothers and children under 12 years of age limit their consumption of fish not

covered by existing advisories to no more than 12 ounces (or about 2 meals) of cooked or uncooked fish per week. This recommendation includes canned tuna, the consumption of which should be limited to two (2) cans per week. Very small children, including toddlers, should eat less. Consumers may wish to choose to eat light tuna rather than white or chunk white tuna, the latter of which may have higher levels of mercury (MA DPH 2001)." MA DPH's statewide advisory does not include fish stocked by the state Division of Fisheries and Wildlife or farm-raised fish sold commercially. The advisory encompasses all freshwaters in Massachusetts, so the *Fish Consumption Use* for lakes in the Taunton River Watershed cannot be assessed as support.

In August 2001 fish toxics monitoring (metals, PCB, and organochlorine pesticide in edible fillets) was conducted by DWM in Monponsett Pond (east basin), Halifax, and Ames Long Pond, Easton, respectively, at the request of the Taunton Watershed Team for human consumption considerations (Maietta *et al.* 2002). In Monponsett Pond (east basin) mercury exceeded the MA DPH trigger level of 0.5 mg/kg in largemouth bass and a fish consumption advisory was issued (see below). Arsenic, lead, cadmium and selenium were either below the MDL or at concentrations that do not appear to be of concern. PCB and most organochlorine pesticides were below the MDL. In Ames Long Pond mercury concentrations were below the MA DPH trigger level of 0.5 mg/kg. Lead levels of 0.94 mg/kg were found in the largemouth bass sample. All remaining metals were either below the MDL or at concentrations that do not appear to be of concern. PCB and organolchlorine pesticides were below the MDL or at concentrations that do not appear to be of concern. PCB and most organochlorine trigger level of 0.5 mg/kg. Lead levels of 0.94 mg/kg were found in the largemouth bass sample. All

The most recent MA DPH Fish Consumption List recommends the following for lakes in the Taunton River Watershed (MA DPH 2004).

East Monponsett Pond (Halifax) because of mercury.

- 1. Children younger than 12 years, pregnant women, and nursing mothers should not eat largemouth bass from this waterbody.
- 2. The general public should limit consumption of largemouth bass to two meals per month.

Somerset Reservoir (Somerset) because of mercury.

- 1. Children younger than 12 years, pregnant women, and nursing mothers should not eat largemouth bass from this waterbody.
- 2. The general public should limit consumption of largemouth bass to two meals per month.

Cabot Pond (Mansfield), Fulton Pond (Mansfield), Hodges Pond [Kingman Pond] (Mansfield), and Norton Reservoir (Norton/Mansfield) because of dioxin and pesticides.

1. The general public should not consume any fish from these waterbodies.

[NOTE: The MA DPH fish consumption advisory list contains the status of each water body for which an advisory has been issued. If a water body is not on the list, it may be because either an advisory was not warranted or the water body has not been sampled. MA DPH's most current Fish Consumption Advisory list is available online at <a href="http://db.state.ma.us/dph/fishadvisory/">http://db.state.ma.us/dph/fishadvisory/</a>.

Six lakes representing a total of 983 acres are assessed as impaired for the *Fish Consumption Us*ebecause of either mercury contamination or dioxin/pesticide contamination. The source of mercury is unknown although atmospheric deposition is suspected. The dioxin/pesticide contamination is associated with the Hatheway & Patterson Company site. The remaining 92 lakes representing 10,076 acres are not assessed for the *Fish Consumption Use*. However, it should be noted that since there is no barrier to fish migration between the east and west basins of Monponsett Pond, the west basin is identified with an Alert Status for the *Fish Consumption Use*. [NOTE: The MA DPH fish consumption advisory list contains the status of each water body for which an advisory has been issued. If a water body is not on the list, it may be because either an advisory was not warranted or the water body has not been sampled. MA DPH's most current Fish Consumption Advisory list is available online at <a href="http://db.state.ma.us/dph/fishadvisory/">http://db.state.ma.us/dph/fishadvisory/</a>.

# PRIMARY AND SECONDARY CONTACT RECREATION AND AESTHETICS

In 1996 DWM conducted synoptic surveys of 87 lakes in the Taunton River Watershed. These surveys included: general observations of water quality and quantity, the presence of native and non-native aquatic plants, and presence/severity of algal blooms (Appendix C, Table C1). Additional data were collected in six of these lakes by DWM in 2001 for the purpose of TMDL development. Two lakes (Ames Long Pond and

Stetson Pond) were also sampled by DWM in 2003 as part of a nutrient criteria development project. These data, Massachusetts Department of Conservation and Recreation (MA DCR) and public bathing beach bacteria data, MA DPH beach posting data, and diagnostic/feasibility studies were used to assess the recreational and aesthetics uses.

Bacteria samples were collected at the following MA DCR beaches: Watson Pond State Park in Taunton and Campers Beach at Middle Pond in Massasoit State Park, Taunton. There were elevated bacteria counts on four occasions at Watson Pond and once at Middle Pond. Neither of these beaches was reported closed or posted during the 2002 swimming season (MA DPH 2002b).

Bacteria samples were collected from the following bathing beaches during the summer of 2001 and 2002: Clear Pond (Lakeville), Cooper Pond (Carver), East Monponsett Pond (Halifax), Island Grove Pond (Abington), John's Pond (Carver), Sassaquin Pond (New Bedford), Tispaquin Pond (Middleborough), and Monponsett Pond (west basin). The Lake Street beach at Monponsett Pond (east basin) was closed three times during the 2002 swimming season due to elevated bacteria counts. The closure dates were from June 13 to June 20, July 10 to July 25 and August 15 to August 29. The beach at Clark Shores 1 on Long Pond was closed once from August 21 to August 22, 2002 due to elevated bacteria counts. The Freetown Public beach on Long Pond was also closed in 2001 due to elevated bacteria counts. Closure dates were June 18 to June 26 and August 21 to August 24. Halifax Beach on West Monponsett Pond was closed once in 2002 from August 21 to August 29 due to elevated bacteria counts. The beach at Sassaquin Pond was closed for swimming the entire season in 2002 due to elevated bacteria counts (MA DPH 2002b).

The *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreational* uses were assessed as support in eight lakes, representing a total of 2,299 acres (Table 5). The *Recreational* and *Aesthetic* uses were assessed as impaired in six lakes (854 acres) because of elevated bacteria counts/swimming beach closures, excess algal growth, poor Secchi disk transparency, and/or the dense infestation of non-native aquatic plants. The *Recreational* uses for the remaining 84 lakes in the Taunton River Watershed, representing 7,906 acres, were not assessed because of a lack of bacteria, transparency and in-lake survey data. The *Aesthetics Use* for the 92 remaining lakes representing 10,205 acres were also not assessed because of a lack of transparency and in-lake survey data.

#### SUMMARY

Almost half (48 of 98, or 49%) of the lakes in the Taunton River Watershed assessed in this report were impaired for one or more uses (Table 5). Causes of impairment included: non-native plant infestation, low dissolved oxygen/saturation, elevated total phosphorus, mercury contamination, dioxin/pesticide contamination, elevated bacteria counts/swimming beach closures, excess algal growth, and poor Secchi disk transparency. Similarly almost half (46 of 98) representing 5,338 acres were not assessed for any uses.

# Table 5. Taunton River Watershed Lake Use Assessments.

			Aquatic Life	Fish Consumption	Primary Contact	Secondary Contact	Aesthetics
Lake, Location	WBID	Size (Acres)	()	$\odot$	6		WAR
			(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)
Ames Long Pond, Stoughton/Easton	MA62001	88	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	(N	IMPAIRED Ion-native aquatic plan	ts)
This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 5 for noxious aquatic plants, turbidity and exotic species. The 1996 MassDEP lake synoptic survey noted moderate turbidity (Secchi disc depth was 0.9 m in the south basin at the culvert) and a very dense cover of floating leaf and submergent plants in the north basin and upper end of the south basin. Two non-native aquatic species, <i>Myriophyllum heterophyllum</i> and <i>Cabomba caroliniana</i> , and a non-native wetland species, <i>Lythrum salicaria</i> , were also noted (Appendix C, Table C1). Monitoring was conducted in Ames Long Pond by MDFW in the summer of 2001 to support the DWM TMDL Program. Results indicated that biovolume density was estimated as 71.1% with a very dense coverage of macrophytes. <i>Cabomba caroliniana</i> was the most dominant macrophyte covering approximately 90% of the pond bottom (Hartley 2002). No DO/saturation problems were found during the surveys conducted in 2001 (Appendix C, Table C2). In-lake total phosphorus concentrations were fairly low. None of the Secchi disk depth measurements violated the bathing beach guidance of four feet (Appendix C, Table C3). The fish population sampled was dominated by pumpkinseed, bluegill and largemouth bass. Data were also collected from Ames Long Pond in 2003 in support of the DWM nutrient criteria derivation effort. Because of the presence of two non-native aquatic macrophytes, the <i>Aquatic Life Use</i> is assessed as impaired. In August 2001 fish toxics monitoring (metals, PCB, and organochlorine pesticide in edible fillets) was conducted by DWM at the request of the Taunton Watershed Team for human consumption considerations (Appendix F, Table F2). No site-specific advisory was issued, so the <i>Fish Consumption Use</i> is not assessed. Because of the high percentage of biovolume occupied by aquatic macrophytes including a non-native aquatic plant in Ames Long Pond, the <i>Primary</i> and <i>Secondary Contact Recreational</i> and <i>Aesthetic</i> uses are also assessed as impaired. In Proven of Stoughton received on							
Assawompsettt Pond, Lakeville/ Middleborough	MA62003	2034	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED
Assawompsett Pond is a Class A, Public Water Supply. New Bedford Water Department (Permit 9P4250101 – Appendix G, Table G5) and Taunton DPW – Water Division (Permit 9P42529304 – Appendix G, Table G5) have registered and permitted surface water intakes on this pond. The Cities of New Bedford and Taunton have received funding through the SRF program to purchase land in the watershed of the Assawompsett Pond complex. Purchases will prevent development of the land and as a consequence protect the pond from the introduction of pollutants. This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 2. The 1996 MassDEP lake synoptic survey noted slight turbidity with a sparse coverage of emergent aquatic plants (Appendix C, Table C1). The non-native wetland species <i>Lythrum salicaria</i> was observed during this survey.							
Barrowsville Pond, Norton	MA62007	47	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED
This waterbody is on	This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 3. No recent data are available, so all uses are not assessed.						
Beaumont Pond, Foxborough	MA62009	24	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED
Beaumont Pond is in the Canoe River Aquifer ACEC. This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 3. In 1996 DWM conducted a synoptic survey of Beaumont Pond. No non-native aquatic plants were observed, but, <i>Lythrum salicaria</i> , a wetland species, was noted (Appendix C, Table C1). No recent data are available, so all uses are not assessed.							

Table 5 (contir	nued). Taunton Rive	er Watershed Lake L	Jse Assessments.

			Aquatic Life	Fish Consumption	Primary Contact	Secondary Contact	Aesthetics				
Lake, Location	WBID	Size (Acres)	()	$\odot$	18ª		WAr				
			(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)				
Big Bearhole Pond, Taunton	MA62011	38	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED				
This waterbody is on	the 2002 Inte	grated List of V	Vaters in Category 5 fo	or organic enrichment/le	ow DO, noxious aquat	ic plants and exotic spe	ecies. The 1996				
MassDEP lake synoptic survey noted moderate turbidity with the southern perimeter of the pond almost entirely banded by very dense floating leaf plants. Two non-native aquatic species, <i>Cabomba caroliniana</i> and <i>Myriophyllum spicatum</i> , were also noted (Appendix C, Table C1). Because of the presence of two non-native aquatic macrophytes, the <i>Aquatic Life Use</i> is assessed as impaired.											
Blakes Pond, Mansfield (Jewel Street Pond)	MA62221	6	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED				
Blakes Pond is upstr all uses are not asse	Blakes Pond is upstream from a Public Water Supply. This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 3. No recent data are available; so all uses are not assessed.										
Briggs Pond, Sharon	MA62021	19	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED				
This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 2. No objectionable conditions were noted by DWM during the 1996 synoptic survey (Appendix C, Table C1). However, no recent data are available and, so all uses are not assessed.											
Brockton Reservoir, Avon (Salisbury Brook Reservoir)	MA62023	89	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED				
Brockton Reservoir is 9P42504401 – Appe non-native aquatic sp	s a Class A, P ndix G, Table pecies, <i>Cabon</i>	ublic Water Su G5). This wate nba caroliniana	pply. Brockton DPW V prody is on the 2002 I , and a non-native wet	Vater Division has a re ntegrated List of Water land species, <i>Lythrum</i>	gistered surface water is in Category 4c for th salicaria, were noted (	intake on this reservo e presence of exotic s Appendix C, Table C1)	ir (WMA registration pecies of plants. A b. Because of the				
presence of a non-na	ative aquatic m	hacrophyte, the	Aquatic Life Use is as	sessed as impaired.							
Cabot Pond, Mansfield	MA62029	2	NOT ASSESSED	IMPAIRED (Dioxin and pesticides)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED				
This waterbody is on a "Provisional Adviso	the 2002 Inte ory" was issued	grated List of V d in October 19	Vaters in Category 5 fo 98. MassDEP, USEP	or pesticides. Sedimen A, and MA DFW collec	t and water quality dat ted fish samples in Ca	ta were collected by Ma bot Pond as part of the	assDEP in 1998 and Hatheway &				
Patterson Company site investigation. As a result of the fish sampling the provisional status of the advisory was lifted in June 1999 and a fish consumption advisory was issued by MA DPH due to elevated levels of dioxin and pesticides (MA DPH 2004). Because of the fish consumption advisory the <i>Fish</i>											
Consumption Use is assessed as impaired. No other data are available, so all other uses are not assessed.											
Cain Pond, Taunton	MA62030	3	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED				
This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 5 for organic enrichment/low DO and turbidity. No recent data are available, so all uses are not assessed.											

			Aquatic Life	Fish Consumption	Primary Contact	Secondary Contact	Aesthetics			
Lake, Location	WBID	Size (Acres)	Cor	$\odot$	6		WAr			
			(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)			
Carpenter Pond, Foxborough (Lakeview Pond)	MA62032	29	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED			
Carpenter Pond is upstream from a Public Water Supply. This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 3. In 1996 DWM conducted a synoptic survey of Carpenter Pond. No non-native aquatic plants were observed, but, <i>Lythrum salicaria</i> , a wetland species, was noted (Appendix C, Table C1). No recent data are available and, so all uses are not assessed.										
Carver Pond, Bridgewater	MA62033	29	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED			
This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 4c for the presence of exotic species of plants. A non-native aquatic species, <i>Myriophyllum heterophyllum</i> , was identified (Appendix C, Table C1) during the DWM 1996 synoptic survey. Because of the presence of a non-native aquatic macrophyte, the <i>Aquatic Life Use</i> is assessed as impaired. The Town of Bridgewater received one MA DCR Lake and Pond Grant in 2000 to provide a public education program.										
Chaffin Reservoir, Pembroke	MA62035	13	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED			
Chaffin Reservoir is a Category 3. In 1996 completely covered v	a tributary to th DWM conduc with floating ar	ne Class A, Pul cted a synoptic nd submerged	blic Water Supply, Mor survey of Chaffin Res plants (Appendix C, Ta	hponsett Pond (east ba ervoir. No non-native a ble C1). No recent da	isin). This waterbody i aquatic or wetland plar ta are available and, s	s on the 2002 Integrat nts were observed, but o all uses are not asse	ed List of Waters in , the lake was ssed.			
Chartley Pond, Norton/Attleboro	MA62038	68	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED			
Chartley Pond is a C survey of Chartley Po data are available an	lass A, Public ond. No non-r id, so all uses	Water Supply. native aquatic p are not assess	This waterbody is on plants were observed, l ed.	the 2002 Integrated Lisbut, <i>Lythrum salicaria,</i>	st of Waters in Catego a wetland species, wa	ry 3. In 1996 DWM cc s noted (Appendix C, T	nducted a synoptic able C1). No recent			
Clear Pond, Lakeville	MA62041	18	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	SUPPORT	SUPPORT	NOT ASSESSED			
Weekly testing is conducted for <i>Enterococci</i> bacteria at the beach area during the swimming season. A total of twelve tests were performed In 2001 and 2002 with no exceedences reported (MA DPH 2003). Since there were no closures/postings during the 2001 or 2002 bathing beach seasons, the <i>Recreational</i> uses are assessed as support										
Cleveland Pond, Abington	MA62042	96	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED			
This waterbody is on survey of Cushing Po identified (Appendix	This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 4c for the presence of exotic species of plants. During the 1996 MassDEP lake synoptic survey of Cushing Pond one non-native aquatic macrophyte species, <i>Cabomba caroliniana</i> , and one non-native wetland species, <i>Lythrum salicaria</i> , were identified (Appendix C. Table C1). Because of the presence of a non-native aquatic macrophyte, the <i>Aquatic Life Use</i> is assessed as impaired									

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			Aquatic Life	Fish Consumption	Primary Contact	Secondary Contact	Aesthetics				
Lake, Location	WBID	Size (Acres)	()	$\odot$	6	$\square$	WAr				
			(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)				
Cocasset Lake, Foxborough	MA62043	32	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED				
Cocasset Lake is ups	stream from a	Public Water S	Supply. This waterbody	y is on the 2002 Integra	ated List of Waters in C	Category 5 for turbidity.	In 1996 DWM				
conducted a synoptic	conducted a synoptic survey of Cocasset Lake. No non-native aquatic plants were observed, but, Lythrum salicaria, a non-native wetland species, was noted										
(Appendix C, Table C	1). No recen	t data are avail	able and, so all uses a	re not assessed.							
Cooper Pond, Carver	MA62046	22	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	SUPPORT	SUPPORT	NOT ASSESSED				
This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 2. In 1996 DWM conducted a synoptic survey of Cooper Pond. No non-native aquatic or wetland plants were observed (Appendix C, Table C1). At the beach area, monthly testing is conducted for <i>E.coli</i> . In 2001 and 2002, five and six tests, respectively, were performed with no exceedences (MA DPH 2003). Since there were no closures/postings during the 2001 or 2002 bathing beach seasons, the <i>Recreational</i> uses are assessed as support.											
Crocker Pond, Wrentham	MA62051	17	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED				
This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 4c for the presence of exotic species of plants. During the 1996 MassDEP lake synoptic survey of Crocker Pond one non-native aquatic macrophyte species, <i>Potamogeton crispus</i> , and one non-native wetland species, <i>Lythrum salicaria</i> , were identified (Appendix C, Table C1). Because of the presence of a non-native aquatic macrophyte, the <i>Aquatic Life Use</i> is assessed as impaired.											
Cross Pond, Brockton	MA62052	2	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED				
This waterbody is on	the 2002 Integ	grated List of W	Aters in Category 3. N	lo recent data are ava	ilable and, so all uses	are not assessed.					
Cross St. Pond, Bridgewater	MA62053	27	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED				
This waterbody is on wetland plants were	the 2002 Inter observed (App	grated List of V endix C, Table	Vaters in Category 3.	In 1996 DWM conducte are available and, so al	ed a synoptic survey o Il uses are not assesse	f Cross St. Pond. No r ed.	ion-native aquatic or				
Cushing Pond, Hanson	MA62056	6	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED				
This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 4c for the presence of exotic species of plants. During the 1996 MassDEP lake synoptic survey of Cushing Pond one non-native aquatic macrophyte species, <i>Cabomba caroliniana</i> , and one non-native wetland species, <i>Lythrum salicaria</i> , were identified (Appendix C, Table C1). Because of the presence of a non-native aquatic macrophyte, the <i>Aquatic Life Use</i> is assessed as impaired.											
East Freetown Pond, Freetown	MA62063	11	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED				
This waterbody is on survey of East Freeto the presence of a no	This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 4c for the presence of exotic species of plants. During the 1996 MassDEP lake synoptic survey of East Freetown Pond one non-native aquatic macrophyte species, <i>Myriophyllum heterophyllum</i> , was identified (Appendix C, Table C1). Because of the presence of a non-native aquatic macrophyte, the <i>Aquatic Life Use</i> is assessed as impaired.										

			Aquatic Life	Fish Consumption	Primary Contact	Secondary Contact	Aesthetics				
Lake, Location	WBID	Size (Acres)	Cor	$\odot$	6		WAr				
			(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)				
Elm Street Pond, Halifax/Hanson	MA62066	19	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED				
This waterbody is on th or wetland plants were	This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters as a Category 3. In 1996 DWM conducted a synoptic survey of Elm Street Pond, no non-native aquatic or wetland plants were observed (Appendix C, Table C1). No recent data are available and, so all uses are not assessed.										
Forge Pond, Freetown	MA62072	56	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED				
This waterbody is on the wetland plants were obs	This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 3. In 1996 DWM conducted a synoptic survey of Forge Pond. No non-native aquatic or wetland plants were observed (Appendix C, Table C1). No recent data are available and, so all uses are not assessed.										
Fulton Pond, Mansfield	MA62075	9	NOT ASSESSED	IMPAIRED (Dioxin and pesticides)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED				
This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 5 for pesticides. Sediment and water quality data were collected by MassDEP in 1998 and a "Provisional Advisory" was issued in October of 1998. MassDEP, USEPA and MA DFW collected fish samples in Fulton Pond as part of the Hatheway and Patterson Company site investigation. As a result of the fish sampling the "provisional" status was lifted in June of 1999 and MA DPH issued a fish consumption advisory due to elevated levels of dioxin and pesticides (MA DPH 2004). The fish consumption advisory recommends that "The general public should not consume any fish from this waterbody." Because of the site-specific advisory the <i>Fish Consumption Use</i> is assessed as impaired. No other data are available so all other uses are not assessed.											
Fuller Street Pond, Carver/Middleborough	MA62234	20	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED				
This waterbody (former During the 1996 MassE (Appendix C, Table C1)	ly identified as DEP lake syno ). Because of	Segment MAS ptic survey of F the presence of	95058) is on the 2002 Fuller Street Pond one of a non-native aquatic	Integrated List of Wate non-native aquatic ma macrophyte the Aqua	ers in Category 4c for acrophyte species, <i>M</i> y atic Life Use is assess	the presence of exotic vriophyllum heterophyli ed as impaired.	species of plants. lum, was identified				
Furnace Lake, Foxborough	MA62076	15	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED				
Furnace Lake is upstreasing synoptic survey of Furnuses are not assessed.	am from a Put ace Lake. No	blic Water Supp non-native aq	oly. This waterbody is uatic or wetland plants	s on the 2002 Integrate s were observed (Appe	ed List of Waters in Ca endix C, Table C1). N	ategory 3. In 1996 DW o recent data are avai	M conducted a lable and, so all				
Gavins Pond, Sharon/ Foxborough	MA62077	18	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED				
This waterbody is on th survey of Gavins Pond identified (Appendix C,	e 2002 Integra one non-nativ Table C1). B	ated List of Wate e aquatic macr ecause of the	ters in Category 4c for ophyte species, <i>Myrio</i> presence of a non-nat	the presence of exoti phyllum heterophyllun ive aquatic macrophyt	c species of plants. D n, and one non-native e, the Aquatic Life Us	uring the 1996 MassD wetland species, <i>Lyth</i> e is assessed as impa	EP lake synoptic <i>rum salicaria,</i> were ired.				

			Aquatic Life	Fish Consumption	Primary Contact	Secondary Contact	Aesthetics			
Lake, Location	WBID	Size (Acres)	()	$\odot$	5	$\square$	Ver			
			(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)			
Great Quittacas Pond, Lakeville/ Middleborough/ Rochester	MA62083	1124	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED			
Great Quittacas Pond is a Class A, Public Water Supply. New Bedford Water Department has a registered and permitted surface water intake on this pond (Permit 9P4250101 – Appendix G, Table G5). This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters as a Category 2. In 1996 DWM conducted a synoptic survey of Great Quittacas Pond. No non-native aquatic or wetland plants were observed (Appendix C, Table C1). No recent data are available, so all uses are not assessed.										
Gushee Pond, Raynham	MA62084	27	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED			
Gushee Pond is located in the Hockomock Swamp ACEC. This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 4c for the presence of exotic species of plants. During the 1996 MassDEP lake synoptic survey of Gushee Pond two non-native aquatic macrophyte species, <i>Myriophyllum heterophyllum</i> and <i>Cabomba caroliniana</i> , were identified (Appendix C, Table C1). Because of the presence of two non-native aquatic macrophytes, the <i>Aquatic Life Use</i> is assessed as impaired. The Forge River Stream Team in its shoreline survey report observed a fair amount of trash at the boat launch area, includes bottles, paper precuts, and a discarded carpet.										
Hewitt Pond, Raynham	MA62088	14	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED			
Hewitt Pond is locate synoptic survey of He uses are not assessed	d in the Hocko ewitt Pond. No ed.	omock Swam p o non-native ac	ACEC. This waterboo puatic or wetland plants	ly is on the 2002 Integr s were observed (Appe	rated List of Waters in endix C, Table C1). No	Category 3. In 1996 D o other recent data are	WM conducted a available, so all			
Hobart Pond, Whitman	MA62090	9	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED			
This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 5 for turbidity and exotic species. During the 1996 MassDEP lake synoptic survey of Hobart Pond one non-native aquatic macrophyte species, <i>Myriophyllum heterophyllum</i> , and one non-native wetland species, <i>Lythrum salicaria</i> , were identified (Appendix C, Table C1). Because of the presence of a non-native aquatic macrophyte, the <i>Aquatic Life Use</i> is assessed as impaired. During a 2002 NPS study, the ESS Group, Inc. observed that there are numerous catch basins in a large parking lot area on Colebrook Boulevard that drain to Hobart Pond (ESS 2003)										
Hodges Pond (Kingman Pond), Mansfield	MA62091	7	NOT ASSESSED	IMPAIRED (Dioxin and pesticides)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED			
This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 5 for pesticides. Sediment and water quality data were collected by MassDEP in 1998 and a "Provisional Advisory" was issued in October of 1998. MassDEP, USEPA and MA DFW collected fish samples in Hodges Pond as part of the Hatheway and Patterson Company site investigation. As a result of the fish sampling the "provisional" status was lifted in June of 1999 and MA DPH issued a fish consumption advisory due to elevated levels of dioxin and pesticides (MA DPH 2004). The fish consumption advisory recommends that "The general public should not consume any fish from this waterbody." Because of the site-specific advisory the <i>Fish Consumption Use</i> is assessed as impaired. No other data are available, so all other uses are not assessed.										

Table 5 (	continued).	Taunton	River	Watershed	Lake	Use	Assessments.
	continucu).	raunton	11100	valorsnou	Lunc	000	/ 0000001101110.

			Aquatic Life	Fish Consumption	Primary Contact	Secondary Contact	Aesthetics			
Lake, Location	WBID	Size (Acres)	()	$\odot$	6	$\square$	WAr			
			(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)			
Island Grove Pond, Abington	MA62094	31	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	SUPPORT*	SUPPORT	NOT ASSESSED			
This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 5 for noxious aquatic plants, turbidity and exotic species. During the 1996 MassDEP lake synoptic survey of Island Grove Pond one non-native aquatic macrophyte species, <i>Cabomba caroliniana</i> , and one non-native wetland species, <i>Lythrum salicaria</i> , were identified (Appendix C, Table C1). Because of the presence of a non-native aquatic macrophyte the <i>Aquatic Life Use</i> is assessed as impaired. The Island Grove Beach is tested weekly during the swimming season for <i>Enterococci</i> . In 2001 seven tests were perform and in 2002 nine tests were performed with no exceedences (MA DPH 2003). Since there were no closures/postings during the 2001 or 2002 bathing beach seasons, the <i>Recreational</i> uses are assessed as support. However, the <i>Primary Contact Recreational Use</i> is identified with an Alert Status since the ESS Group, Inc. study (ESS 2003) indicated that wildlife waste impacts might be problematic (known goose populations on the lake and off of Lake Street). The Town of Abington received a Lake and Pond Grant in 1999 to prepare a lake and watershed management plan.										
Johns Pond, Carver	MA62096	21	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	SUPPORT	SUPPORT	NOT ASSESSED			
This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 2. In 1996 DWM conducted a synoptic survey of Johns Pond. No non-native aquatic or wetland plants were observed (Appendix C, Table C1). Monthly testing for <i>E.coli</i> at the beach area is performed during the swimming season. Six tests were performed in 2001 and five tests were performed in 2002 with no exceedences reported (MA DPH 2003). Since there were no closures/postings during the 2001 or 2002 bathing beach seasons, the <i>Recreational</i> uses are assessed as support.										
Johnson Pond, Raynham	MA62097	14	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED			
This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters as a Category 4c for the presence of exotic species of plants. During the 1996 MassDEP lake synoptic survey of Johnson Pond one non-native aquatic macrophyte species, <i>Cabomba caroliniana</i> , and one non-native wetland species, <i>Lythrum salicaria</i> , were identified (Appendix C, Table C1). Because of the presence of a non-native aquatic macrophyte, the <i>Aquatic Life Use</i> is assessed as impaired. The 1996 MassDEP lake synoptic survey noted moderate turbidity with a Secchi depth reading of 0.2 meters at the boat ramp. No recent data are available, so all uses are not assessed										
Kings Pond, Raynham	MA62101	13	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED			
This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 3. In 1996 DWM conducted a synoptic survey of Kings Pond. No non-native aquatic plants were observed, but, <i>Lythrum salicaria</i> , a non-native wetland species, was noted (Appendix C, Table C1). No recent data are available, so all uses are not assessed.										
Leach Pond, Easton/Sharon	MA62103	111	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED			
This waterbody is on wetland plants were data are available, so	This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 3. In 1996 DWM conducted a synoptic survey of Leach Pond. No non-native aquatic or wetland plants were observed (Appendix C, Table C1). There were dense, floating leaf and submergent plants covering 100% of the surface area. No recent data are available, so all uses are not assessed.									

			Aquatic Life	Fish Consumption	Primary Contact	Secondary Contact	Aesthetics			
Lake, Location	WBID	Size (Acres)	()	$\odot$	6	$\square$	WAr			
			(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)			
Little Cedar										
Swamp Pond,	MA62106	91	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED			
Easton										
This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 3. In 1996 DWM conducted a synoptic survey of Little Cedar Swamp Pond. No non-native										
aquatic or wetland pl	ants were obs	erved (Append	lix C, Table C1). The	1996 MassDEP lake sy	noptic survey noted n	o open water on this po	ond, which is			
comprised of marsh a	and floating lea	af plants. No r	ecent data are availabl	le, so all uses are not a	assessed.					
Little Quittacas	MACOAOZ	205								
Pond, Lakeville/	MA62107	295	NUT ASSESSED	NUT ASSESSED	NUT ASSESSED	NUT ASSESSED	NUT ASSESSED			
Rochester										
Little Quittacas Pond	IS a Class A,	Public Water S	upply. New Bedford W	ater Department has a	a registered and permit	tted surface water intal	ke on this pond			
(Permit 9P4250101).	I his waterbo	dy is on the 20	02 Integrated List of W	aters in Category 2. I	n 1996 DWW conducte	ed a synoptic survey of	Little Quittacas			
Pond. No non-hative	e aquatic plant	s were observe	a, but, Phragmites au	strails, a non-native we	etiand species, was no	ted (Appendix C, Table	e CT). FISH toxics			
MonoDED Office of B	organochiorine	e pesticides an	a selected metals, Incl	uding Hg, As, Se, Pb, a	and Cd, was conducted	d in Little Quittacas Po	nd as part of a			
Consumption Line in	esearch and a	No other dete	5) R&D Sludy In 1994	(Appendix F). No sile-	specific advisory was	issued and, inerefore,	ine rish			
Consumption use is										
Long Pond,	MA62108	17/1	(Non-native aquatic		SUPPORT*	SUPPORT				
Lakeville	101702100	1741		NOT AGGEGGED	00110101		NOT ADDEDDED			
Long Pond is a Class	A Public Wa	ter Supply Ne	w Bedford Water Dep	artmont (0P/250101	Appendix G. Table GR	S and Taunton DPW –	Water Division			
(0P/252030/ - Anno	ndiv G Tahla	G5) have regis	tered and permitted su	urface water intakes or	this nond This water	body is on the $2002 \ln $	tearated List of			
Waters in Category 4	c for the prese	ence of exotic s	species of plants Duri	ng the 1996 MassDEP	Plake synoptic survey (	of Long Pond two non-	native aquatic			
macrophyte species	Mvrionhvllum	heterophyllum	and Cabomba carolin	iana and one non-nati	ve wetland species / v	<i>ithrum salicaria</i> were i	dentified (Appendix			
C. Table C1). Beca	use of the pres	sence of two no	on-native aquatic macr	ophytes, the Aquatic I	ife Use is assessed as	impaired. Weekly tes	ting during the			
summer season is co	onducted for F	<i>interococci</i> at th	ne following three locat	tions in Lakeville: Clar	k Shores 1. Clark Shor	es 2 and Clark Shores	3. In 2002 twenty			
tests were performed	with two exce	edences of 11	2 and 142 cfu/100 ml.	The beach at Clark S	hores 1 was closed on	ce from August 21 to A	August 22, 2002 due			
to elevated bacteria	counts. The F	reetown Public	beach on Long Pond	was tested weekly dur	ing 2001 for <i>E.coli</i> . Th	ree exceedences out o	of 14 tests were			
reported. This beach	n was closed t	wice in 2001 du	ue to elevated bacteria	counts. Closure date	s were June 18 to June	e 26 and August 21 to	August 24 (MA DPH			
2003). Because th	e beaches we	re open for the	majority of the 2001 a	nd 2002 bathing seaso	ons, the <i>Recreational</i> u	ises are assessed as s	upport. However,			
the Primary Contact	Recreational l	Jse is identified	d with an Alert Status b	ecause of the beach c	losures.					
Longwater Pond			IMPAIRED							
Eorigwaler Forlu,	MA62109	8	(Non-native aquatic	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED			
Easiun			plants)							
This waterbody is on	the 2002 Inte	grated List of V	Vaters in Category 4c f	or the presence of exo	tic species of plants.	During the 1996 Mass	DEP lake synoptic			
survey of Longwater	Pond one non	-native aquation	macrophyte species.	Mvriophvllum heteroph	<i>vllum</i> and one non-na	ative wetland species.	Lvthrum salicaria.			

were identified (Appendix C, Table C1). Because of the presence of a non-native aquatic macrophyte the Aquatic Life Use is assessed as impaired.

Table 5	(continued)	. Taunton	River \	Watershed	Lake	Use A	Assessments.

			Aquatic Life	Fish Consumption	Primary Contact	Secondary Contact	Aesthetics	
Lake, Location	WBID	Size (Acres)	()	$\odot$	6		WAr	
			(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	
Lower Porter Pond, Brockton	MA62111	8	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	
This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 4c for the presence of exotic species of plants. During the 1996 MassDEP lake synoptic								
survey of Longwater	Pond one non	-native aquatic	macrophyte species,	Cabomba caroliniana	was identified (Append	dix C, Table C1). Beca	ause of the presence	
of a non-native aqua	tic macrophyte	e, the Aquatic L	ife Use is assessed as	s impaired. A species of	of <i>Myriophyllum</i> was p	resent but needs to be	identified when	
flowering neads are p	present.	Г	<b></b>	Γ	[			
Pond, Norton	MA62113	13	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	
This waterbody is on	the 2002 Inte	grated List of V	Vaters in Category 3.	n 1996 DWM conducte	ed a synoptic survey o	f Meadow Brook Pond.	No non-native	
aquatic plants were c	bserved, but,	Lythrum salica	<i>ria,</i> a non-native wetla	nd species, was noted	(Appendix C, Table C	<ol> <li>No recent data are</li> </ol>	available, so all	
uses are not assesse	ed.							
Middle Pond,		20	IMPAIRED			CURRORT		
Taunton	MAGZIIIS	20	(Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	SUPPORT	SUPPORT	NUT ASSESSED	
This waterbody is on	the 2002 Inte	grated List of W	laters in Category 4c f	or the presence of exo	tic species of plants. D	ouring the 1996 MassD	EP lake synoptic	
survey of Middle Por	id two non-nat	ive aquatic ma	crophyte species, Myri	iophyllum spicatum and	d Cabomba Carolinian	a, and one non-native	wetland species,	
Lythrum salicaria, we	ere identified (/	Appendix C, Ta	ble C1). Because of	the presence of two no	on-native aquatic macr	ophytes, the Aquatic Li	fe Use is assessed	
as impaired. Fish to	Cics monitoring	g for PCB, orga	nochlorine pesticides	and selected metals, in	ncluding Hg, As, Se, Pl	b, and Cd, was conduc	ted in Middle Pond	
testing for Enterococ	CRS R&D S	tudy in 1994 (A	ppendix F). No site-sp leach at Middle Pond i	Decific advisory was is	Sued and, so the Fish	Consumption Use is no	a the 2002 bathing	
beach season (MA D	PH 2003) B	ecause the bea	ich was open for the 2	002 bathing season th	ne Recreational uses a	re assessed as suppor	t tite 2002 batiling	
Mirimichi Lake.	1112000). D							
Plainville/	MA62118	175	(Non-native aquatic	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	
Foxborough			) plants)					
Mirimichi Lake is ups	tream from a l	Public Water S	upply. This waterbody	is on the 2002 Integra	ted List of Waters in C	ategory 4c for the pres	ence of exotic	
species of plants. Du	uring the 1996	MassDEP lake	e synoptic survey of Mi	rimichi Lake one non-r	native aquatic macroph	nyte species, Cabomba	caroliniana, and	
one non-native wetla	one non-native wetland species, Lythrum salicaria, were identified (Appendix C, Table C1). Because of the presence of a non-native aquatic macrophyte the							
Aquatic Life Use is a	ssessed as im	paired. Fish to	xics monitoring for PC	B, organochlorine pes	ticides and selected m	etals, including Hg, As	, Cu, Se, Pb, and	
Cd, was conducted in	n Mirimichi Lal	ke by DWM in 1	1995 (Appendix F, Tab	le ⊢1). No site-specifi	c advisory was issued	and, therefore, the Fish	h Consumption Use	
is not assessed. No	other data are	e available, so a	all other uses are not a	ssessed.				

Table 5 (	(continued).	Taunton	River	Watershed	Lake	Use	Assessments.
1 4010 0 1		raanton	111101	valoronou	Lano	000	/ 10000011101110.

			Aquatic Life	Fish Consumption	Primary Contact	Secondary Contact	Aesthetics		
Lake, Location	WBID	Size (Acres)	St.	$\odot$	15	$\square$	W		
			(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)		
Monponsett Pond, Halifax [east basin]	MA62218	245	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)	IMPAIRED (Mercury)	SUPPORT*	SUPPORT	NOT ASSESSED		
Monponsett Pond (east basin) is a Class A, Public Water Supply. The Brockton DPW Water Commission is registered and permitted to withdraw a total of 0.87 MGD from a surface water intake (9P42504401 – Appendix G, Table G5). This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 5 for metals.									
identified (Appendix	C, Table C1).	In 2001 Mass	DEP surveyed the lake	for the purpose of TM	IDL development. Low	DO/saturation occurr	ed at depths greater		
than 2.5 m during two	o of the three s	surveys during	the summer of 2001 (/	Appendix C, Table C2)	. Since Monponsett P	ond is a shallow water	body surrounded by		
release from potentic	allions are con	limonte Nono	of the Seechi dick dept	th moosurements viola	tod the bething beech	w with some evidence	Appondix C. Tablo		
C3) Because of the	nresence of a	non-native ad	uatic macronhyte the 4	Anuatic Life Llee is ass	essed as impaired In	August 2001 fish toxid	cs monitoring		
(metals, PCB, and or	rganochlorine	pesticide in ed	ible fillets) was conduc	ted by DWM in Monpo	nsett Pond (east basin	). Halifax. at the reque	est of the Taunton		
Watershed Team for	human consu	imption conside	erations (Appendix F, T	able F2). Because of	elevated mercury MA I	DPH issued a fish cons	sumption advisory		
recommending that "	Children youn	ger than 12 ye	ars, pregnant women,	and nursing mothers s	hould not eat largemo	uth bass from this wate	erbody and the		
general public should	d limit consum	ption of largem	outh bass to two meal	s per month" (MA DPH	H 2004). Because of th	ne site-specific advisor	y the Fish		
Consumption Use is	assessed as i	mpaired. Wee	ekly testing during the s	summer season is con	ducted for <i>E.coli</i> at the	following locations in I	Halifax: Lake Street		
(#17, #19 and #93), <i>I</i>	Annawon Stre	et, Holmes Stre	eet, Wamsutta Beach.	In 2001 there were no	exceedences at these	e sites, with the except	ion of Lake Street		
where there was one	exceedence	with a count 45	50 cfu/100 ml. In 2002	there were no exceed	ences at the Annawon	Street, Holmes Street	and Wamsutta		
Beach sites. Howev	er, there were	five exceeden	ces out of 12 tests at 1	7 Lake Street, ranging	from 244 to 3600 cfu/	100 ml, one exceeden	ce (360 cfu/100 ml)		
out of thirteen tests a	at 19 Lake Stre	et, and one ex	ceedence (2400 cfu/1)	00 ml) out of 12 tests a	t 93 Lake Street. The	Lake Street beach wa	s closed three times		
during the 2002 swin	nming season	due to these e	levated bacteria count	s. The closure dates w	vere from June 13 to June	une 20, July 10 to July	25 and August 15 to		
August 29 (MA DPH	2003). Becau	ise most of the	beaches were open to	or the majority of the 20	Jul and 2002 bathing s	seasons, the <i>Recreation</i>	onal uses are		
assessed as support	the Leke Street	e Primary Con	2002 bothing accord	A Diagnostia/Eassibili	ty Study is evailable for	re frequency and durat			
closures/postings at	the Lake Stree	et beach in the	2002 batning season.	A Diagnostic/reasibili	ty Study is available to	in the pond (Lycott 198	7).		

			Aquatic Life	Fish Consumption	Primary Contact	Secondary Contact	Aesthetics			
Lake, Location	WBID	Size (Acres)	Cor	$\odot$	6		WAr			
			(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)			
Monnonsett Pond			IMPAIRED							
Halifay/Hanson	MA62119	283	(Non-native aquatic	NOT ASSESSED*		IMPAIRED				
[west basin]			plants, Phosphorus)		(Secchi disk transparency, Excess algal growth)					
Monponsett Pond (w	est basin) is a	Class A, Publi	c Water Supply. This	waterbody is on the 20	02 Integrated List of W	aters in Category 5 for	turbidity and exotic			
species. During the	1996 MassDE	P lake synoptic	survey of Monponset	t Pond (west basin) on	e non-native aquatic n	nacrophyte species, Ca	abomba caroliniana,			
was identified (Apper	was identified (Appendix C, TableC1). In 2001 MassDEP surveyed the lake for the purpose of TMDL development. Low DO/saturation and evidence of									
primary productivity (e.g., supersaturation, high pH, and elevated chlorophyll) occurred in the pond during the summer of 2001 (Appendix C, Table C2). A										
qualitative analysis o	qualitative analysis of the phytoplankton population taken in August indicated multiple bluegreen species, including <i>Microcystis</i> sp. In-lake total phosphorus									
the bathing beach gu	the bething beech guidenee of four feet (Appendix C. Table C2). The Diagneetic/Eegsibility Study for the pend identified high pheepherus leading as problematic									
(Lycott Environmenta	al Research 19	987). The curr	ent phosphorus data o	continue to support those	se findings. Because	of the presence of a no	on-native aquatic			
macrophyte and the	elevated phos	phorus levels.	the Aquatic Life Use is	assessed as impaired	l. Because DPH has n	ot issued a Fish Consu	Imption Advisory for			
the west basin of Mo	nponsett Pond	the Fish Cons	sumption Use is not as	sessed. However, sind	ce there is no barrier to	o fish migration betwee	n the two basins			
and the east basin do	Des have an a	dvisory (elevate	ed mercury), the <i>Fish</i> (	Consumption Use is ide	entified with an Alert S	tatus. Weekly testing f	or <i>E.coli</i> is			
conducted at Halifax	Beach, the Li	ngan Street be	ach and the Ocean Av	enue beach. In 2001 a	a total of 33 tests were	performed with one ex	ceedence of 4,800			
cfu/100 ml. In 2002 a	a total of 33 te	sts were perfor	med with one exceede	ence of 2,400 cfu/ml. H	lalifax Beach was clos	ed once in 2002 from <i>i</i>	August 21 to August			
29 due to elevated ba	acteria counts	(MA DPH 2003	3). While the beaches	were open for the maje	ority of the 2001 and 2	2002 bathing seasons,	the Recreational and			
Aesthetic uses are as	ssessed as im	paired becaus	e of low Secchi disk tra	ansparency and the alg	al bloom. Based on th	ne loading calculation (	of the			
Diagnostic/Feasibility	/ Study, the m	ajor source of t		The systems (Lycott En	Vironmental Research	1987).				
Pond Taunton/	MA62122	45	(Non-native aquatic	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED			
Dighton	101/102122	10	plants)				NOT NOOLOOLD			
This waterbody is on	the 2002 Inte	arated List of W	aters in Category 4c f	or the presence of exo	tic species of plants (in	ncorrectly identified as	Threemile River			
Impoundment –MA6	2231). During	the 1996 Mass	SDEP lake synoptic su	rvey Mount Hope Mill F	Pond was infested with	one non-native aquati	c macrophyte			
species, Cabomba c	aroliniana (Ap	pendix C, Tabl	e C1). Because of the	e presence of a non-na	tive aquatic macrophy	te, the Aquatic Life Use	e is assessed as			
impaired.	•••	•				· ·				
Muddy Cove Brook	MA62124	23	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED*	NOT ASSESSED*	NOT ASSESSED*			
Pond, Dighton										
This waterbody is on	the 2002 Inte	grated List of V	Vaters in Category 5 fc	or the presence of noxi	ous aquatic plants and	turbidity. In 1996 DW	M conducted a			
synoptic survey of M	uddy Cove Br	OOK POND. NO	non-native aquatic plai	nts were observed, but	, Lythrum salicaria, a i	non-native wetland spe	cies, was noted, as			
well as evidence of a	bluegreen blo	Dom (Appendix	C, Table CT). No rece	ent data are available,	so, all uses are not as	sessed. However, bec	ause of			
				uses are identified with	an Alert Status.					
Muddy Pond,	MA62125	61	(Non-native aquatic	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED			
Carver	11/1 102 120	01	plants)				NOT NOOLOOLD			
This waterbody is on	This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 4c for the presence of exotic species of plants. During the 1996 MassDEP lake synoptic									
survey of Muddy Por	survey of Muddy Pond one non-native aguatic macrophyte species, Cabomba caroliniana, was identified (Appendix C, Table C1). Because of the presence of									
a non-native aquatic	macrophyte, t	he Aquatic Life	Use is assessed as in	npaired.	( II	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				

			Aquatic Life	Fish Consumption	Primary Contact	Secondary Contact	Aesthetics		
Lake, Location	WBID	Size (Acres)		Ð			Aestinetics		
			(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)		
Muddy Pond, Halifax	MA62126	13	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED		
This waterbody is on wetland plants were of	the 2002 Inte observed (App	grated List of V pendix C, Table	Vaters in Category 2. C1). No recent data a	In 1996 DWM conducte are available, so, all us	ed a synoptic survey o ses are not assessed.	f Muddy Pond. No nor	1-native aquatic or		
Muddy Pond, Kingston	MA62233	41	NOT ASSESSED*	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED		
This waterbody (form identified when flowe	erly identified ring heads are	as MA94104) e present (App	is on the 2002 Integrat endix C, Table C1). Bo	ed List of Waters in Ca ecause of the <i>M</i> . sp. th	ategory 3. A species o e Aquatic Life Use is id	f <i>Myriophyllum</i> was pre dentified with an Alert S	esent but needs to be Status.		
Mullein Hill Chapel Pond, Lakeville	MA62127	23	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED		
This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 3. In 1996 DWM conducted a synoptic survey of Mullein Hill Chapel Pond. No non-native aquatic or wetland plants were observed (Appendix C, Table C1). No recent data are available, so, all us es are not assessed.									
New Pond, Easton	MA62130	18	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED		
New Pond is located species of plants. Du non-native wetland s Aquatic Life Use is as	in the Canoe uring the 1996 pecies, <i>Lythru</i> ssessed as im	River Aquifer A MassDEP lake <i>m salicaria,</i> we paired.	CEC. This waterbody e synoptic survey of No ere identified (Appendix	is on the 2002 Integra ew Pond one non-nativ ( C, Table C1). Becau	ted List of Waters in C re aquatic macrophyte use of the presence of	ategory 4c for the pres species, Cabomba can a non-native aquatic m	ence of exotic roliniana, and one nacrophyte the		
Nippenicket Lake, Bridgewater	MA62131	375	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED		
Nippenicket Lake is located in the Hockomock Swamp ACEC. This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 4c for the presence of exotic species of plants. During the 1996 MassDEP lake synoptic survey of Nippenicket Lake one non-native aquatic macrophyte species, <i>Cabomba caroliniana</i> , and one non-native wetland species, <i>Lythrum salicaria</i> , were identified (Appendix C, Table C1). Because of the presence of a non-native aquatic macrophyte the <i>Aquatic Life Use</i> is assessed as impaired.									
North Center Street Pond, Carver	MA62132	12	NOT ASSESSED*	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED		
This waterbody is on non-native aquatic sp Because of the <i>M</i> . sp	the 2002 Inte becies <i>Myriopl</i> . The <i>Aquatic</i>	grated List of V hyllum heteropl Life Use is ide	Vaters in Category 3. <i>hyllum</i> ) was present bu ntified with an alert sta	During the 1996 Mass at needs to be identified tus.	DEP lake synoptic sur d when flowering head	vey a species of <i>Myrio</i> s are present (Append	<i>phyllum</i> (suspect the ix C, Table C1).		

			Aquatic Life	Fish Consumption	Primary Contact	Secondary Contact	Aesthetics				
Lake, Location	WBID	Size (Acres)	()	$\odot$	6		WAr				
			(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)				
Norton Reservoir.			IMPAIRED	IMPAIRED							
Norton/ Mansfield	MA62134	556	(Non-native aquatic	(Dioxin and	NOT ASSESSED*	NOT ASSESSED*	NOT ASSESSED*				
This was to share have a	th a 0000 lists		plants)	pesticides)							
I his waterbody is on	This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 5 for pesticides, nutlients, noticous aqualic plants, turbidity and exolic species. During the										
and one non native	1996 MassDEP lake synoptic survey of Norton Reservoir two non-native aquatic macrophyte species, <i>mynophyticim neterophyticim</i> and Cabonina caroninana,										
macrophytos, the Ac	wettand specie	is accorded as	cana, were identified (	monitoring was condu	tod by DWM in 1088	for motols and PCB	t that time no fich				
consumption advisor	walic Life Use	More recently	MaccDED MDEW an	d USEDA as part of th	o Hathoway and Patto	rean Company site inv	At that time, no non				
November 1998 cor	y was issued.	nal fish toxics	monitoring Recause (	of elevated levels of dic	vin and nesticides MA	DPH issued a fish cor	sumption advisory				
recommending that	"The general r	public should no	ot consume any fish fro	om this waterbody" (M	A DPH 2004). Becaus	se of the site-specific a	dvisorv the <i>Fish</i>				
Consumption Use is	assessed as i	mpaired. The	Town of Norton has re	ceived one Lakes and	Ponds Grant in 1994 f	or education of town of	fficials and residents				
regarding watershed	management	. The Recreat	ional and Aesthetic us	es are not assessed bu	ut are identified with ar	n alert status because o	of a history of				
bluegreen blooms.	0						,				
Oakland Pond,	MA62136	38									
Taunton	WIA02130		NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED				
This waterbody is on	the 2002 Inte	grated List of V	Vaters in Category 3.	No recent data are ava	ailable, so, all uses are	e not assessed.					
Plymouth Street											
Pond, Halifax/ E.	MA62141	164	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED				
Bridgewater											
This waterbody is on	the 2002 Integ	grated List of W	/aters in Category 3. □	The 1996 MassDEP la	ke synoptic survey not	ed that the pond was d	rained with only				
isolated pools remain	ning (Appendix	x C, Table C1).	No recent data are a	vailable, so, all uses a	re not assessed. It sho	ould be noted that in Ju	Ily 2001 DWM				
collected fecal colifor	rm, <i>E.coli</i> , and	Enterococci ba	acteria upstream from	this pond (see station s	SA02T). The counts a	and the duplicate samp	le were all very low				
( <u>&lt;</u> 45 cfu/100 mls) (A	ppendix A).										
Pocksha Pond	MA00445	500									
Lakeville/	MA62145	592	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED				
Middleborougn		Mater Over	Navy Davidand Matan		1050101 Armonistic O						
Pocksna Pond Is a C	ass A, Public	vvater Supply.	New Bedford Water D	epartment (Permit 9P2	4250101 – Appendix G	, Table G5) and Taunt	on DPVV – vvater				
Division (Permit 9P4	2529304 – Ap		e G5) nave registered	and permitted surface	water intakes on this p o Dond No non notive	bond. This waterbody	IS ON THE 2002				
	ners in Calego	lly 2. III 1996 L	was noted (Appondix C	Table C1) No recon	a Pono. No non-halivi t data are available, si	e aqualic plants were o	ioserved, but,				
Poquoy Pond	Ion-native wet	lianu species, v	as noted (Appendix C	, Table CT). NO TECEN	i uala ale avaliable, so	, all uses are not asse	55CU.				
l akeville	MA62147	10	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED				
This waterbody is on	the 2002 Inter	u grated List of W	Aters in Category 3	n 1996 DWM conducte	ed a synoptic survey o	f Poquov Pond No.no	n-native aquatic or				
wetland plants were	observed (Apr	pendix C, Table	C1). No recent data	are available, so, all us	ses are not assessed.						

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			Aquatic Life	Fish Consumption	Primary Contact	Secondary Contact	Aesthetics			
Lake, Location	WBID	Size (Acres)	Car	$\odot$	15	$\square$	War			
			(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)			
Prospect Hill Pond, Taunton/ Raynham	MA62149	42	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED			
This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 3. In 1996 DWM conducted a synoptic survey of Prospect Hill Pond. No non-native aquatic plants were observed, but, <i>Lythrum salicaria</i> , a non-native wetland species, was noted (Appendix C, Table C1). Fish toxics monitoring for PCB, organochlorine pesticides and selected metals including Hg, As, Se, Pb, and Cd, was conducted in Prospect Hill Pond as part of MassDEP ORS R&D study in 1994 (Appendix F). No site-specific advisory was issued, so, the <i>Fish Consumption Use</i> is not assessed.										
Puds Pond, Sharon/ Easton	MA62151	23	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED			
This waterbody is on wetland plants were	the 2002 Integobserved (App	grated List of V endix C, Table	Vaters in Category 2.	In 1996 DWM conducte are available, so, all us	ed a synoptic survey o es are not assessed.	f Puds Pond. No non-i	native aquatic or			
Reservoir, Hanson	MA62157	13	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED			
Reservoir is a tributary to a Class A, Public Water Supply, Monponsett Pond-West. This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 3. In 1996 DWM conducted a synoptic survey of Reservoir. No non-native aquatic or wetland plants were observed (Appendix C, Table C1). During the 1996 survey, almost 100% cover of dense floating leaf and emergent plants were observed. No recent data are available, so, all uses are not assessed.										
Reservoir, Easton	MA62158	27	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED			
This waterbody is on wetland plants were recent data are available.	the 2002 Integ observed (App able, so, all us	grated List of V endix C, Table es are not asse	Vaters in Category 3. C1). During the 1996 essed.	In 1996 DWM conducte S survey, the entire surf	ed a synoptic survey o ace of this waterbody	f Reservoir. No non-na was covered with aqua	ative aquatic or atic plants. No			
Richmond Pond, Taunton	MA62159	6	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED			
This waterbody is on	the 2002 Integ	grated List of V	Vaters in Category 4c f	for the presence of exo	tic species of plants. I	During the 1996 Mass	DEP lake synoptic			
survey of Richmond	Pond one non	-native aquatic	macrophyte species,	Cabomba caroliniana, impoired	was identified (Append	dix C, Table C1). Beca	ause of the presence			
Rico Lake, Taunton (Precinct Street Pond/Furnace Pond)	MA62148	188	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED			
This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 4c for the presence of exotic species of plants. During the 1996 MassDEP lake synoptic survey of Rico Lake two non-native aquatic macrophyte species, <i>Myriophyllum spicatum</i> and <i>Cabomba caroliniana,</i> and one non-native wetland species, <i>Lythrum salicaria,</i> were identified (Appendix C, Table C1). Because of the presence of two non-native aquatic macrophytes, the <i>Aquatic Life Use</i> is assessed as impaired.										
Robbins Pond, East Bridgewater	MA62162	124	NOT ASSESSED*	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED			
This waterbody is on are present (Append	the 2002 Interix C. Table C1	grated List of V ). Because of	Vaters in Category 2. In the M sp. the Aquatic	A species of <i>Myriophyll</i> <i>Life Use</i> is identified w	um was present but n ith an Alert Status.	eeds to be identified w	hen flowering heads			

Table 5	(continued)	Taunton Riv	ver Watershed	l ake Use	Assessments
	(continucu).	Taunton IN			A33033mem3.

			Aquatic Life	Fish Consumption	Primary Contact	Secondary Contact	Aesthetics			
Lake, Location	WBID	Size (Acres)	()	$\odot$	-A	$\square$	WAY			
			(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)			
Robinson Pond, Mansfield	MA62163	9	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED			
Robinson Pond is upstream from a Public Water Supply. This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 3. No recent data are available, so, all uses are not assessed.										
Route One Pond (west), Wrentham	MA62165	10	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED			
This waterbody is on or wetland plants we	the 2002 Inte re observed (A	grated List of V Appendix C, Ta	Vaters in Category 3. I ble C1). No recent dat	n 1996 DWM conducte ta are available, so, all	ed a synoptic survey o uses are not assessed	f Route One Pond. No d.	non-native aquatic			
Sabbatia Lake, Taunton	MA62166	265	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants, Dissolved oxygen saturation)	NOT ASSESSED	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)					
of plants. During the Myriophyllum heterop 2001 MassDEP surv during the summer o potentially anoxic sec of low DO/saturation assessed as impaire Recreational and Ae (Mattson and Haque	Sabbatia Lake is in the Canoe River Aquifer ACEC. This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 4c for the presence of exotic species of plants. During the 1996 and 2001 MassDEP lake surveys of Sabbatia Lake two non-native aquatic macrophyte species, <i>Cabomba Caroliniana</i> and <i>Myriophyllum heterophyllum</i> , and one non-native wetland species, <i>Lythrum salicaria</i> , were identified (Appendix C, Table C1 and Mattson and Haque 2004). In 2001 MassDEP surveyed the lake for the purpose of TMDL development. Low DO/saturation occurred at depths greater than 2.4 m during all three surveys during the summer of 2001 (Appendix C, Table C2). In-lake total phosphorus concentrations were fairly low with evidence of phosphorus release from potentially anoxic sediments. None of the Secchi disk depth measurements violated the bathing beach guidance of four feet (Appendix C, Table C3). Because of low DO/saturation encompassing approximately 40% of the lake area and the infestation with non-native aquatic macrophyte species, the <i>Aquatic Life Use</i> is assessed as impaired. In 2001 the City of Taunton received funds from the Clean Water SRF for Area Collectors around Lake Sabbatia (Appendix I). The <i>Recreational</i> and <i>Aesthetic</i> uses are assessed as impaired because of the density (approximately 50% of the lake area) of the non-native macrophytes									
Sassaquin Pond, New Bedford	MA62232	36	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	( <i>Enterococci</i> and fee Exces	IMPAIRED cal coliform bacteria ( <i>P</i> s algal growth, Sewage	<i>rimary Contact</i> only), e odor)			
This waterbody (form Sassaquin Pond. No (Appendix C, Table C swimming and recrea DPH 2002b). Swimm objectionable conditi aesthetic problems, t systems, stormwater residential districts.	nerly identified o non-native ac C1). There is r ation and has l ning was prohi ons (filamento the <i>Recreation</i> r, on-site treatr	as MA95129) quatic plants we no formal public been frequently bited for all of 2 bus algae, sewa hal and Aesther nent systems (	is on the 2002 Integrat ere observed, but, <i>Lyth</i> c bathing beach, but, tl y closed to swimming b 2002 due to high levels age odors) also noted o tic uses are assessed a septic systems), munic	ed List of Waters in Ca brum salicaria and Phra he shoreline is develop because of high Enterco of contamination, pos on field sheets. Becaus as impaired. Suspecte cipal separate storm se	tegory 2. In 1995 DW agmites australis, non- bed. The pond is used bococci and fecal coliforr sibly from failed septic se of the frequent and d sources of impairme ower systems, municip	M conducted a synopti native wetland species by a neighborhood as n bacteria particularly a and stormwater outfal prolonged beach closu nt include municipal se al (urbanized high den	c survey of s, were noted sociation for after rain events (MA ls. Aesthetically ures/postings and the sparate storm sewer sity area), and			

210

Table 5 (	(continued).	Taunton	River	Watershed	Lake	Use	Assessments.

			Aquatic Life	Fish Consumption	Primary Contact	Secondary Contact	Aesthetics		
Lake, Location	WBID	Size (Acres)	()	$\odot$	18		WAR		
			(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)		
Savery Pond, Middleborough (Waterville Pond)	MA62167	24	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED		
This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 4c for the presence of exotic species of plants. During the 1996 MassDEP lake synoptic survey of Savery Pond one non-native aquatic macrophyte species, <i>Cabomba caroliniana</i> , was identified (Appendix C, Table C1). Because of the presence of a non-native aquatic macrophyte, the <i>Aquatic Life Use</i> is assessed as impaired.									
Segreganset River Pond, Taunton	MA62169	14	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED		
Segreganset River Pond is upstream from a Public Water Supply. This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 5 for noxious aquatic plants and turbidity. In 1996 DWM conducted a synoptic survey of Segreganset River Pond. No non-native aquatic plants were observed, but, <i>Phragmites australis</i> , a non-native wetland species, was noted (Appendix C, Table C1). During the 1996 survey, the entire surface of this waterbody was covered with aquatic plants. No recent data are available, so, all uses are not assessed.									
Shovelshop Pond, Easton	MA62172	7	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED		
This waterbody is on survey of Shovelsho were identified (Appe	the 2002 Inte p Pond one no endix C, Table	grated List of W on-native aquat C1). Because	Vaters in Category 4c f ic macrophyte species e of the presence of a i	for the presence of exo by <i>Myriophyllum heterop</i> non-native aquatic mac	tic species of plants. I <i>hyllum</i> , and one non-r crophyte, the <i>Aquatic L</i>	During the 1996 MassE native wetland species ife Use is assessed as	DEP lake synoptic , <i>Lythrum salicaria,</i> ; impaired.		
Somerset Reservoir, Somerset	MA62174	164	NOT ASSESSED	IMPAIRED (Mercury)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED		
Somerset Reservoir is a Class A, Public Water Supply. Somerset Water Department has a registered surface water intake on this reservoir (Permit 9P42527301). This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 5 for metals. In 1996 DWM conducted a synoptic survey of Somerset Reservoir. No non-native aquatic plants were observed, but, <i>Lythrum salicaria</i> and <i>Phragmites australis</i> , non-native wetland species, were noted (Appendix C, Table C1). Fish toxics monitoring for PCBs, organochlorine pesticides and selected metals including Hg, As, Se, Pb, and Cd, was conducted in Somerset Reservoir as part of MassDEP ORS R&D study in 1994 (Appendix F). Because of elevated mercury MA DPH issued a fish consumption advisory recommending that "Children younger than 12 years, pregnant women, and nursing mothers should not eat largemouth bass from this waterbody and the general public should limit consumption of largemouth bass to two meals per month" (MA DPH 2004). Because of the site-specific advisory, the <i>Fish</i> <i>Consumption Use</i> is assessed as impaired.									
Stetson Pond, Pembroke	MA62182	88	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED		
Stetson Pond is a tril in Category 5 for nut native aquatic or wet Myriophyllum spicatu of Stetson Pond was	outary to a Cla rients, organic land plants we um (Baystate 1 conducted by	enrichment/lov enrichment/lov ere observed (A 993). Because DWM as part (	inking Water Supply, N w DO and exotic specie Appendix C, Table C1) e of the presence of a lof a nutrient criteria de	Monponsett Pond (east es. Although in 1996 E , a previously conducte non-native aquatic mac velopment project in S	basin). This waterbo WM conducted a sync ed Diagnostic/Feasibilit crophyte the <i>Aquatic L</i> entember 2003, but th	dy is on the 2002 Integ optic survey of Stetson ty Study documented th <i>ife Use</i> is assessed as uses data are not yet a	rated List of Waters Pond and no non- ne presence of impaired. Sampling vailable		

Table 5 (	continued).	Taunton	River	Watershed	Lake	Use	Assessments
1 4010 0 1		raanton			Lano	000	/ 100000011101110

			Aquatic Life	Fish Consumption	Primary Contact	Secondary Contact	Aesthetics		
Lake, Location	WBID	Size (Acres)	()	$\odot$	10		WAY		
			(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)		
Sunset Lake, Foxborough	MA62184	14	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED		
Sunset Lake is upstream from a Public Water Supply. This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 2. In 1996 DWM conducted a synoptic survey of Sunset Lake. No non-native aquatic or wetland plants were observed (Appendix C, Table C1). No recent data are available, so, all uses are not assessed.									
Sweets Pond, Mansfield	MA62185	13	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED		
This waterbody is on	the 2002 Inte	grated List of V	Vaters in Category 4c f	or the presence of exo	tic species of plants. I	During the 1996 MassE	DEP lake synoptic		
survey of Sweets Po	nd one non-na	ative aquatic m	acrophyte species, My	riophyllum heterophyllu	<i>um</i> , and one non-nativ	e wetland species, <i>Lytl</i>	hrum salicaria, were		
identified (Appendix	C, Table C1).	Because of th	e presence of a non-n	ative aquatic macrophy	yte, the Aquatic Life U	se is assessed as impa	aired.		
The Reservoir, Lakeville	MA62189	23	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED		
The Reservoir is a tributary to a Class A, Public Drinking Water Supply, Assawompsett Pond. This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in									
Category 2. In 1996	DWM conduc	ted a synoptic	survey of The Reservo	oir. No non-native aqua	atic or wetland plants w	vere observed (Append	dix C, Table C1). No		
recent data are avail	able, so, all us	es are not asse	essed.						
Thirty Acre Pond.			IMPAIRED						
Brockton	MA62190	26	(Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED		
This waterbody is on	the 2002 Inte	grated List of V	Vaters in Category 4c f	or the presence of exo	tic species of plants. I	During the 1996 MassE	DEP lake synoptic		
survey of Thirty Acre	Pond one nor	n-native aquation	c macrophyte species,	Cabomba caroliniana,	and one non-native w	etland species, Phragr	nites australis, were		
identified (Appendix	C, Table C1).	Because of th	e presence of a non-n	ative aquatic macrophy	yte the Aquatic Life Us	e is assessed as impai	ired. A species of		
Myriophyllum was pr	esent but nee	ds to be identifi	ed when flowering hea	ids are present.					
Thurston Street Pond, Wrentham	MA62192	7	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED		
This waterbody is on	the 2002 Inte	grated List of V	Vaters in Category 3. I	In 1996 DWM conducte	ed a synoptic survey o	f Thurston Street Pond	I. No non-native		
aquatic or wetland pl	ants were obs	erved (Append	ix C, Table C1). No re	cent data are available	e, so, all uses are not a	assessed.			
Tispaquin Pond,	MA62195	195	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	SUPPORT	SUPPORT	NOT ASSESSED		
Middleborough									
Three beach areas a	re located on	this pond at Ca	mp Avoda, Camp Yon	nechas, and the Family	Campground. Week	y testing was conducte	ed for <i>E.coli</i> at the		
beaches on Camp Y	beaches on Camp Yomechas and Camp Avoda in 2002. A total of 17 tests were performed with no exceedences. In 2001 the beach at Camp Avoda was								
tested once for <i>E.col</i>	ii, tecal coliforr	n and total coli	form with no exceeden	ces reported. Also in 2	2001, testing for <i>E.coli</i>	was conducted weekly	at Camp Yomechas		
for a total of eight tes	sts and monthl	y at the Family	Campground beach fo	or a total of two tests. I	No exceedences were	reported for either bea	icn area (MA DPH		
2003). Since there v	vere no closur	es/postings dui	ring the 2001 or 2002 b	bathing beach seasons	s, the <i>Recreational</i> use	s are assessed as sup	port.		

Table 5 (	(continued)	Taunton	River	Watershed	Lake	Use	Assessments
	continueu)	. raunton	1/1/01	valersneu	Lake	036	Assessments

			Aquatic Life	Fish Consumption	Primary Contact	Secondary Contact	Aesthetics		
Lake, Location	WBID	Size (Acres)	()	$\odot$	18		W		
			(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)		
Turnpike Lake, Plainville	MA62198	99	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED		
Turnpike Lake is upstream from a Public Water Supply. This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 4c for the presence of exotic species of plants. During the 1996 MassDEP lake synoptic survey of Turnpike Lake two non-native aquatic macrophyte species, <i>Myriophyllum heterophyllum</i> and <i>Cabomba caroliniana</i> , and one non-native wetland species, <i>Lythrum salicaria</i> , were identified (Appendix C, Table C1). Because of the presence of two non-native aquatic macrophytes, the <i>Aquatic Life Use</i> is assessed as impaired.									
Upper Leach Pond, Sharon (Mountain Street Pond)	MA62123	28	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED		
This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 3. In 1996 DWM conducted a synoptic survey of Upper Leach Pond. No non-native aquatic plants were observed, but, Lythrum salicaria, a non-native wetland species, was noted (Appendix C, Table C1). No recent data are available, so, all uses are not assessed.									
Upper Porter Pond, Brockton	MA62200	11	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED		
This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 4c for the presence of exotic species of plants. During the 1996 MassDEP lake s ynoptic survey of Upper Porter Pond one non-native aquatic macrophyte species, <i>Cabomba caroliniana</i> , and one non-native wetland species, <i>Lythrum salicaria</i> , were identified (Appendix C, Table C1). Because of the presence of a non-native aquatic macrophyte the <i>Aquatic Life Use</i> is assessed as impaired. A species of <i>Myriophyllum</i> was present but needs to be identified when flowering heads are present.									
Vandys Pond, Foxborough (Mcavoy Pond)	MA62112	9	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED		
This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 4c for the presence of exotic species of plants. During the 1996 MassDEP lake synoptic survey of Vandys Pond one non-native aquatic macrophyte species, <i>Myriophyllum heterophyllum</i> , was identified (Appendix C, Table C1). Because of the presence of a non-native aquatic macrophyte, the <i>Aquatic Life Use</i> is assessed as impaired.									
Waldo Lake, Avon/Brockton	MA62201	72	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED		
This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 4c for the presence of exotic species of plants. During the 1996 MassDEP lake synoptic survey of Waldo Lake one non-native aquatic macrophyte species, <i>Cabomba caroliniana</i> , and one non-native wetland species, <i>Lythrum salicaria</i> , were identified (Appendix C, Table C1). Because of the presence of a non-native aquatic macrophyte the <i>Aquatic Life Use</i> is assessed as impaired. A species of <i>Myriophyllum</i> was present but needs to be identified when flowering heads are present. Fish toxics monitoring for PCB and selected metals was conducted in Waldo Lake as part of MassDEP Matfield River study in 1989 (MassDEP 1992). No site-specific advisory was issued, so, the <i>Fish Consumption Use</i> is not assessed.									

213

			Aquatic Life	Fish Consumption	Primary Contact	Secondary Contact	Aesthetics		
Lake, Location	WBID	Size (Acres)		Ð		(Impairment Cause)			
				(impairment Cause)					
Ward Pond, Easton	MA62203	6	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED		
Ward Pond is in the Canoe River Aquifer ACEC. This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 3. In 1996 DWM conducted a synoptic survey of Ward Pond. No non-native aquatic plants were observed, but, <i>Lythrum salicaria</i> , a non-native wetland species, was noted (Appendix C, Table C1). No recent data are available, so, all uses are not assessed.									
			IMPAIRED						
Watson Pond, Taunton	MA62205	78	(Non-native aquatic plants, Total phosphorus)	NOT ASSESSED	IMPAIRED (Secchi disk transparency, Excess algal growth)				
DO, noxious aquatic plants, turbidity and exotic species. During the 1996 MassDEP lake synoptic survey of Watson Pond one non-native aquatic macrophyte species, <i>Cabomba caroliniana</i> , and one non-native wetland species, <i>Lythrum salicaria</i> , were identified (Appendix C, Table C1). In 2001 MassDEP surveyed the pond for the purpose of TMDL development. Low DO/saturation occurred only near the bottom of the deep hole, which represents a very small portion of the lake (Appendix C, Table C2). In-lake total phosphorus concentrations were moderately high with evidence of phosphorus release from potentially anoxic sediments. Because of the presence of a non-native aquatic macrophyte and the elevated total phosphorus levels, the <i>Aquatic Life Use</i> is assessed as impaired. Fish toxics monitoring for PCB, organochlorine pesticides and selected metals, including Hg, As, Se, Pb, and Cd, was conducted in Watson Pond as part of MassDEP ORS R&D study in 1994 (Appendix F). No site-specific advisory was issued, so, the <i>Fish Consumption Use</i> is not assessed. Weekly testing for <i>Enterococci</i> is performed at Watson Pond. There were no closures/postings during the 2002 bathing beach season (MA DPH 2003). The Secchi disk depth measurements taken during the MassDEP surveys in 2001 violated the bathing beach guidance of four feet on two of the four dates. Moderate chlorophyll levels and field observations of moderate/dense algal populations were also documented (Appendix C, Table C3 and MassDEP 2001a). The <i>Recreational</i> and <i>Aesthetic</i> uses are assessed as impaired beach guidance of four feet on two of the four dates.									
West Meadow Pond, W. Bridgewater	MA62208	104	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)	NOT ASSESSED	IMPAIRED (Non-native aquatic plants)				
This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 4c for the presence of exotic species of plants. During the 1996 MassDEP lake synoptic survey of West Meadow Pond one non-native aquatic macrophyte species, <i>Myriophyllum heterophyllum</i> , and one non-native wetland species, <i>Lythrum salicaria</i> , were identified (Appendix C, Table C1). In 2001 MDFW surveyed the lake for MassDEP for the purpose of TMDL development (Hartley 2002). Results indicated that biovolume density was estimated as 87.50% with a very dense coverage of macrophytes. Limited unqualified water quality data are available (Appendix C, tables C2 and C3). The fish population sampled was dominated by bluegill and pumpkinseed. Because of the presence of a non-native aquatic macrophyte the <i>Aquatic Life Use</i> is assessed as impaired. Fish toxics monitoring for PCB, organochlorine pesticides and selected metals, including Hg, As, Se, Pb, and Cd, was conducted in West Meadow Pond as part of MassDEP (Appendix F). No site -specific advisory was issued, so, the <i>Fish Consumption Use</i> is not assessed. The <i>Recreational</i> and <i>Aesthetic</i> uses are assessed as impaired because of the high density of non-native macrophytes.NOT ASSESSEDNOT ASSESSED									
synoptic survey of Whiteville Pond. No non-native aquatic or wetland plants were observed (Appendix C, Table C1). No recent data are available, so, all uses are not assessed.									
			Lake Use Assessme	Fich Concurrention	Drimery Contest	Cooperatory Contract			
---	----------------	-----------------	---------------------	--------------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------		
			Aquatic Life	Fish Consumption	Primary Contact	Secondary Contact	Aesthetics		
Lake, Location	WBID	Size (Acres)	()	$\odot$	6		W		
			(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)	(Impairment Cause)		
Whittenton			IMPAIRED						
Impoundment,	MA62228	20	(Non-native aquatic	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED		
Taunton			plants)						
This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 4c for the presence of exotic species of plants. During the 1996 MassDEP lake synoptic									
survey of Whittenton Impoundment one non-native aquatic macrophyte species, Cabomba caroliniana, and one non-native wetland species, Lythrum salicaria,									
were identified (Appendix C, Table C1). Because of the presence of a non-native aquatic macrophyte the <i>Aquatic Life Use</i> is assessed as impaired. A species of <i>Myriophyllum</i> was present but needs to be identified when flowering heads are present.									
Winnoounnot			IMPAIRED						
Pond Norton	MA62213	152	(Non-native aquatic	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED		
Fond, Nonton			plants)						
Winnecunnet Pond is a Class A, Public Water Supply and is a part of the Canoe Rive Aquifer ACEC. This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in									
Category 4c for the presence of exotic species of plants. During the 1996 MassDEP lake synoptic survey of Winnecunnet Pond one non-native aquatic									
macrophyte species, Cabomba caroliniana, and one non-native wetland species, Lythrum salicaria, were identified (Appendix C, Table C1). Because of the									
presence of a non-native aquatic macrophyte, the Aquatic Life Use is assessed as impaired. A species of Myriophyllum was present but needs to be identified									
when flowering head	s are present.					1			
Wolomolopoag Pond, Sharon	MA62216	13	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED		
This waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters as a Category 2. In 1996 DWM conducted a synoptic survey of Wolomolopoag Pond. No non-native									
aquatic or wetland plants were observed (Appendix C, Table C1). No recent data are available, so, all uses are not assessed.									
Woods Pond.			IMPAIRED						
Middleborough	MA62220	51	(Non-native aquatic	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED	NOT ASSESSED		
			plants)						
I his waterbody is on the 2002 Integrated List of Waters in Category 5 for turbidity and exotic species. During the 1996 MassDEP lake synoptic survey of									
voods Pond one non-native aquatic macrophyte species, Cabomba caroliniana, was identified (Appendix C, Table C1). Because of the presence of a non-									
native aquatic macrophyte the Aquatic Life Use is assessed as impaired.									

## Table 5 (continued). Taunton River Watershed Lake Use Assessments.

### LAKE RECOMMENDATIONS

- Coordinate with MA DCR and/or other groups that conduct lake surveys to generate quality-assured lake data. Conduct more intensive lake surveys to better determine the lake trophic and use support status and identify causes and sources of impairment. As sources are identified within lake watersheds they should be eliminated or, at least, minimized through the application of appropriate point or non-point source control techniques.
- Work with MA DPH and local municipalities to collect quality-assured data under the "Beaches Bill," which requires water quality testing (bacteria sampling) at all formal bathing beaches. When available, review data and beach closure information to assess the status of the recreational uses.
- Review the MassDEP Drinking Water Program SWAP evaluations when they are completed to develop and implement recommendations for the protection of Class A lakes in the Taunton River Watershed.
- Work with the MA DCR Weed Watchers Program to monitor ponds in the Taunton River Watershed for the presence of exotic invasive species and to develop a removal plan if an infestation is found. Additional information may be obtained from the MA DEM website: http://www.state.ma.us/dem/programs/lakepond/weedwatch.htm.
- Action is necessary to manage non-native aquatic or wetland plant species that are isolated in one or a few location(s) in order to alleviate the need for costly and potentially fruitless efforts to do so in the future. Two courses of action should be pursued concurrently. More extensive surveys need to be conducted to determine the extent of the infestation. And, "spot" treatments (refer to the Generic Environmental Impact Report (GEIR) for Eutrophication and Aquatic Plant Management in Massachusetts [Mattson *et al.* 2004] for advantages and disadvantages of each) should be undertaken to control populations at these sites. These treatments may include careful hand-pulling of individual plants in small areas. In larger areas, other techniques, such as selective herbicide application, may be necessary. In either case, the treatments should be undertaken prior to fruit formation and with a minimum of fragmentation of the individual plants. These actions will minimize the spreading of the populations. This GEIR (Mattson *et al.* 2004) should be consulted prior to the development of any lake management plan to control non-native aquatic or wetland plant species.
- Where non-native plant infestations are more extensive conduct additional monitoring to determine the extent of the problem. The Generic Environmental Impact Report for Eutrophication and Aquatic Plant Management in Massachusetts (Mattson *et al* 2004) should be consulted prior to the development of any lake management plan to control non-native aquatic plant species. Plant control options can be selected from several techniques (i.e., bottom barriers, drawdown, herbicides, etc.) each of which has advantages and disadvantages that need to be addressed for the specific site. However, methods that result in fragmentation (such as cutting or raking) should be discouraged because of the propensity for some invasive species to reproduce and spread vegetatively (from cuttings).
- Prevent spreading of invasive plants. Once the extent of the problem is determined and control practices
  are exercised vigilant monitoring needs to be practiced to guard against infestations in unaffected areas and
  to ensure that managed areas stay in check. A key portion of the prevention program should be posting of
  boat access points with signs to educate and alert lake-users to the transport mechanisms and their
  ability/responsibility to reduce the spread of these species.
- Implement recommendations identified in TMDLs and lake Diagnostic/Feasibility studies, including lake watershed surveys, to identify sources of impairment. The single draft TMDL report for total phosphorus, which is being developed for the eight lakes sampled by DWM in 2001, has been delayed until the *Cranberry Bog Phosphorus Dynamics TMDL Project* has been completed.

# LITERATURE CITED

Ackerman, M.T. 1989. Compilation of Lakes, Ponds, Reservoirs and Impoundments Relative to the Massachusetts Lake Classification Program. Publication: #15901-171-50-4-89-c.r. Technical Services Branch, Massachusetts Division of Water Pollution Control, Department of Environmental Quality Engineering. Westborough, MA.

Ahsan, M. 2005. Personal communication. Avon Custom Mixing Inc. facility information. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Northeast Regional Office, Boston, MA.

Baystate 1993. *Diagnostic/Feasibility Study of the Pembroke Ponds: Oldham, Furnace, Little Sandy Bottom and Stetson ponds, Pembroke, Massachusetts.* Baystate Environmental Consultants, Inc., East Longmeadow, MA.

Burns, David (David.Burns @state.ma.us) 2005. *Re: Fall River CSO paragraph review.* Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Southeast Regional Office. Lakeville, MA. E-mail to Laurie Kennedy at Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection dated 4 March 2005.

Chalmers, A. 2002. Trace Elements and Organic Compounds in Streambed Sediment and Fish Tissue of Coastal New England Streams, 1998-99. Water Resources Investigation Report 02-4179 United States Geological Survey, Pembroke, NH.

Ciaglo, Joseph. 2005. Personal Communication. *Bridgewater WWTP operational status*. Communication with Richard Alden, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Watershed Management, 4 January 2005. Superintendent, Bridgewater WWTP.

Coles, J.C. 1998. Organochlorine Compounds in Fish Tissue from the Connecticut, Housatonic and Thames River Basins Study Unit, 1992-94. National Water-Quality Assessment Program. U.S. Department of the Interior. U.S. Geological Survey. Marlborough, MA.

Correia, Robert. 2004. Personal Communication. *Bridgewater WWTP operational status*. Communication with Richard Alden, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Watershed Management, 16 December 2004. Assistant Chief Operator, Bridgewater WWTP.

Curry, Kevin. 2005. Bridgewater State College Water Access Laboratory Upper Taunton River Rotating Tributary Study 2004. Bridgewater State College and Riverways Program/MA Department of Fish & Game. Bridgewater, MA.

Czorny, John. 2005. Personal Communication. *Somerset Power toxicity sampling status*. Communication with Richard Alden, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Watershed Management, January 2005. Chemist, Somerset Power LLC and Somerset Operations, Inc.

Domingos, Darlene. 2003a. Annual Water Quality Report for the City of Taunton, Taunton River & Tributaries 2002. US Filter, Taunton, MA.

Domingos, Darlene. 2003b. *Standard Operating Procedures for the TRWA/USFilter Volunteer Monitoring Program*. Taunton River Watershed Alliance and USFilter. Taunton, MA.

Domingos, Darlene. 2005. Personal Communication. *Taunton WWTP operational status*. Communication with Richard Alden, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Watershed Management, 6 January 2005. Project Manager, Veolia Water North America for the Taunton WWTP. Taunton, MA.

Domizio, L. 2004. Personal Communication. *Stormwater permitting information--Phase II Communities*. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Watershed Management, Worcester, MA.

Drake, Janice. 2004. (Janice.drake@state.ma.us). *Re: NON status for the East Bridgewater Water Department* Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection. Communication with Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Watershed Management. E-mail to Stella Tamul, MassDEP dated 7 December 2004.

DuBois, George. 2005. Personal Communication. *Tweave, Inc., WWTF operational status*. Communication with Richard Alden, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Watershed Management, 7 January 2005. Consultant, for Tweave, Inc. WWTF.

DuBois, Leo. 2004. Personal Communication. *Bridgewater MCI WPCF operational status*. Communication with Richard Alden, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Watershed Management, 22 December 2004. Plant Manager, Bridgewater MCI WPCF.

EarthTech 2002. Taunton Municipal Lighting Plant NDPES Permit Application – Supplemental Information Request: 316 (a) and (b) Demonstration Document Study Plan. Earth Tech, Inc. Concord, MA.

EarthTech and Marine Research 2004. *Taunton Municipal Lighting Plant National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NDPES) Permit Renewal Application – Supplemental Information Request: 316 (a) Demonstration Document NPDES Permit No. MA0002241.* Earth Tech, Inc. Concord, MA and Marine Research, Inc. Falmouth, MA.

Elliott, Michael. 2004. Letter dated 8 December to Paul Hogan, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection. *NPDES Permit Renewal MA0001791*. S&C ESH Branch Manager, Texas Instruments, Inc.

ENSR. 2002. Application of the Biotic Ligand Model (BLM): Copper at Selected Locations in the Taunton River Basin in Massachusetts. Prepared for Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. ENSR International, Westford, MA.

Environment Canada. 1999. *Canadian Environmental Quality Guidelines* [Online]. Environment Canada. Retrieved 04 November 1999 from <u>http://www.ec.gc.ca/CEQG-RCQE/English/default.cfm</u> updated 28 September 1998.

Environmental Law Reporter. 1988. Clean Water Deskbook. Environmental Law Institute, Washington, D.C.

EPA. 1997. Guidelines for Preparation of the Comprehensive State Water Quality Assessments (305(b) Reports) and Electronic Updates: Supplement United States Environmental Protection Agency. Office of Water. Oceans and Watersheds. Office of Wetlands. Assessment and Watershed Protection Division (4503F). Washington, DC.

EPA. 1999a. *1999 Update of Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Ammonia*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water and Office of Science and Technology. Washington, D.C. and Office of Research and Development, Duluth, MN.

EPA. 1999b. Federal Register Document [Online]. United States Environmental Protection Agency. http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/EPA-WATER/1998/December/Day-10/w30272.htm

EPA. 2002. Consolidated Assessment and Listing Methodology – toward a compendium of best practices. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Wetlands, Oceans and Watersheds. Washington, DC.

EPA. 2004a. *EPA National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Urbanized Area Maps* [Online]. Last Updated May 28, 2004 <u>http://cfpub.epa.gov/npdes/stormwater/urbanmaps.cfm</u>.

EPA. 2004b. Letter to Mr. Brad Russell, Environmental Manager, Reed & Barton dated 2 December 2004. *NPDES No. MA0001422*. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Boston, MA.

EPA. 2005a Waste Site Cleanup & Reuse in New England. *Shpack Landfill* [Online]. <u>http://yosemite.epa.gov/r1/npl\_pad.nsf/f52fa5c31fa8f5c885256adc0050b631/58E94C43D64B4FF88525691F0063F6</u> <u>F5?OpenDocument</u>. 10 February 2005

EPA. 2005b. Waste Site Cleanup & Reuse in New England. *Rockland Ind Inc (Former)* [Online]. http://yosemite.epa.gov/r1/npl\_pad.nsf/8b160ae5c647980585256bba0066f907/1ad8206745ce845b85256b4200604f21!OpenDocument.\_\_10 March 2005

EPA. 2005c. Waste Site Cleanup & Reuse in New England. *Murray-Carver Landfill* [Online]. http://yosemite.epa.gov/r1/npl\_pad.nsf/701b6886f189ceae85256bd20014e93d/3838736ef10484f185256b4200604cb6!Op enDocument\_11 March 2005

EPA. 2005d. Waste Site Cleanup & Reuse in New England. *Cannon Engineering Corporation* [Online]. http://yosemite.epa.gov/r1/npl\_pad.nsf/701b6886f189ceae85256bd20014e93d/6760e6ca572c82908525690d00449680!OpenDocument\_11 March 2005

EPA. 2005e. Waste Site Cleanup & Reuse in New England. Hatheway and Patterson Company [Online]. <u>http://yosemite.epa.gov/r1/npl\_pad.nsf/701b6886f189ceae85256bd20014e93d/3a9f2ae060d6521b85256aca0055688f!Op</u> <u>enDocument</u>. 11 March 2005.

EPA. 2005f. Waste Site Cleanup & Reuse in New England. Mansfield Bleachery [Online]. http://yosemite.epa.gov/R1/npl\_pad.nsf/31c4fec03a0762d285256bb80076489c/631f2bd7c5bdeab285256b4200604d31! OpenDocument. 11 March 2005 EPA. 2005g. Waste Site Cleanup & Reuse in New England. Shaw's Plaza [Online]. <u>http://yosemite.epa.gov/R1/npl\_pad.nsf/31c4fec03a0762d285256bb80076489c/14df873952d7fbe285256b42006051ac!OpenDocument</u>. 11 March 2005

ESS. 2003. Matfield and Salisbury Plain River Watersheds Nonpoint Source Pollution Assessment Report and Management Plan. Prepared for MassDEP/DWM. Wellesley, MA.

Fiorentino, J. 2005. Memorandum to A. Johnson. Re: *Upstream -Downstream Monitoring of Suspected Cranberry Bog Impacts to a Tributary of Cedar Swamp River, Taunton River Watershed*. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Watershed Management, Worcester, MA.

Forge River Stream Team. 2003. *Forge River Shoreline Survey Report*. Advisors: MA Riverways Program Department of Fisheries, Wildlife and Environmental Law Enforcement – Joan Kimball and Rachel Calabro and SRPEDD– Bill Napolitano. Sponsor and Coordinators: National Park Service – Jamie Fosburgh, Upper Taunton Wild and Scenic River Study – Jim Ross and Shoreline Survey Coordinator -Nancy Durfee.

Frasher, William. 2005. Personal Communication. *Tweave, Inc., WWTF operational status*. Communication with Richard Alden, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Watershed Management, 7 January 2005. Operator, Tweave, Inc., WWTF.

Garcia, Harold. 2005. Personal Communication. *Somerset WPCF operational status*. Communication with Richard Alden, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Watershed Management, 5 January 2005. Chief Operator, Somerset WPCF.

Grubbs, G.H. and R.H. Wayland III. 2000. Letter to Colleague dated 24 October 2000. *EPA recommendations on the use of fish and shellfish consumption advisories and certain shellfish growing area classifications in determining attainment of water quality standards and listing impaired waterbodies under section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Wetlands, Oceans and Watersheds. Washington, DC.* 

Hallisey, Stephen. 2005. Personal Communication. *Oak Point Retirement Community WWTP operational status*. Communication with Richard Alden, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Watershed Management, 12 January 2005. Chief Operator, Saxon for Oak Point Retirement Community WWTP. Middleborough, MA

Hamblin, Julie. 2005. Personal Communication. *West Bridgewater's Howard School WWF and the Rose L. MacDonald School WWF design and layout.* Communication with Richard Alden, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Watershed Management, 4 February 2005. Director of Buildings and Grounds, West Bridgewater School Department. West Bridgewater, MA.

Hartley, Richard A. 2002. *Lakes Survey for TMDL Development*. Prepared for MassDEP as project No. 99-06/104. MA Division of Fisheries and Wildlife (now MA Department of Fish and Game). Boston, MA.

Howes, B. L., D. White, R. Saminy, and M. Rappacz. 2002. *The Massachusetts Estuaries Project Embayment Water Quality Assessment Interim Report Priority Embayments 1-20.* University of Massachusetts -Dartmouth, School of Science and Technology, Dartmouth, MA.

Hyman, Rebecca. 2004. 'Illicit' city sewer connections dumping sewage into Mill River. Taunton Gazette. 9 December 2004.

Isaac, R. 2005. Schedule for river/estuary TMDL development for Taunton River Watershed. Personal communication. MA Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Watershed Management, Worcester, MA.

ISSC. 2000. *National Shellfish Sanitation Program Guide for the Control of Molluscan Shellfish*. U. S. Food and Drug Administration. Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition. Office of Seafood. Division of Programs and Enforcement Policy. Interstate Shellfish Sanitation Conference. Washington, D.C.

Janson, Roger. 2003. Letter dated 8 July to Ms. Maya M. Khuri, Dionne & Gass. *Re: Transfer of Ownership NPDES Permit No. MA0004103.* Associate Director, Surface Water Programs, United States Environmental Protection Agency.

Kaegael 2005. Letter to U.S. EPA dated 22 February 2005. *Discharge monitoring reports, Fall River Marine Terminal LLC (former Shell Fall River Terminal) NPDES permit No. MA0004871.* Kaegael Environmental Inc., Fall River, MA.

Kennedy, J. (jeff.kennedy@state.ma.us). 2001. Sanitary Survey Database Printout. Department of Fisheries, Wildlife, and Environmental Law Enforcement, Division of Marine Fisheries, Shellfish Management Program. Newburyport, MA. E-mail to Stella Kiras, MassDEP dated 23 August 2001.

LeVangie, D. 2002. *Water withdrawal registration and permit information*. Water Management Act Database. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection. Division of Watershed Management. Boston, MA.

Lycott Environmental Research, Inc. 1987. *East and West Monponsett Ponds Diagnostic/Feasibility Study, Halifax and Hanson, Massachusetts, Final Report.* Lycott Environmental Research, Inc., Southbridge, MA..

MA DCR. 2005. Areas of Critical Environmental Concern Listing and Index. [Online] <u>http://www.mass.gov/dem/programs/acec/acecs.htm</u>. Executive Office of Environmental Affairs. Department of Environmental Management. ACEC Program. Boston, MA. 11 March 2005.

MA DEM. 1993. Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC) Program Guide June 1993. Commonwealth of Massachusetts. Executive Office of Environmental Affairs. Department of Conservation and Recreation, Division of State Parks and Recreation. ACEC Program. Boston, MA.

MA DFG. 2000. *Designated Shellfish Growing Areas Datalayer – July 2000.* Published by MassGIS in October 2000 (MA Office of Geographic and Environmental Information). Executive Office of Environmental Affairs for the Department of Fisheries, Wildlife, and Environmental Law Enforcement, Division of Marine Fisheries. Boston, MA.

MA DFG. 2002. *Shellfish Sanitation and Management*. Massachusetts Department of Fisheries, Wildlife and Environmental Law Enforcement. Division of Marine Fisheries. Boston, MA. http://www.state.ma.us/dfwele/dmf/ProgramsAndProjects/Shelsani.htm. 17 September 2002.

MA DFG. 2004. Riverways Adopt-A-Stream Technical Assistance Awards published in Riverways News Notes #9. Boston, MA.

MassDEP. 1992. *Matfield River Survey 1989.* Edited by Robert M. Nuzzo and Laurie E. Kennedy. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Water Pollution Control, Technical Services Section. North Grafton, MA.

MassDEP. 1996a. (Revision of 1995 report). Massachusetts surface water quality standards. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Water Pollution Control, Technical Services Branch. Westborough, MA. 114p. (Revision of 314 CMR 4.00, effective June 23, 1996.)

MassDEP. 1996b. Open File. *Biocriteria Development Project Fieldsheets*. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Watershed Management. Worcester, MA.

MassDEP. 2001a. Open File. *Water quality monitoring fieldsheets*. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Watershed Management. Worcester, MA.

MassDEP. 2001b. Open File. *Habitat assessment fieldsheets*. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Watershed Management. Worcester, MA.

MassDEP. 2003. Massachusetts Year 2002 Integrated List of Waters Part 2 - Final Listing of Individual Categories of Waters CN 125.2 Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Division of Watershed Management, Worcester, MA.

MassDEP. 2005. Open NPDES permit files. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Watershed Management. Worcester, MA.

Maietta, Robert J, J. Colonna-Romano and R.F. Chase. 2002. 2001 Fish Toxic Monitoring Public Request and Year 2 Watershed Surveys. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Divisions of Watershed Management and Environmental Analysis. Worcester, MA.

MassGIS. 2002. *Hydrography - statewide 1:25,000.* MassGIS (MA Office of Geographic and Environmental Information), Executive Office of Environmental Affairs, Boston, MA.

Mattson, M. 2005. Schedule for lake TMDL development for Taunton River Watershed Lakes. Personal communication. MA Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Watershed Management, Worcester, MA.

Mattson, M. and A. Haque. 2004. *Baseline Lake Survey 2001 Technical Memorandum DWM CN 167(TM-S-16)*. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Watershed Management. Worcester, MA

Mattson, M.D., P.J. Godfrey, R.A. Barletta and A. Aiello. 2004. *Eutrophication and Aquatic Plant Management in Massachusetts. Final Generic Environmental Impact Report*. Edited by Kenneth J. Wagner. Department of Environmental Protection and Department of Conservation and Recreation, Executive Office of Environmental Affairs, Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

MA DPH. 1969. Article 7 Regulation 10.2B of the State Sanitary Code. Commonwealth of Massachusetts. Department of Public Health. Boston, MA.

MA DPH. 2001. *Public Health Statewide Fish Consumption Advisory issued July 2001.* The Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Bureau of Environmental Health Assessment, Boston, MA.

MA DPH. 2002a. 105 CMR 445.000: Minimum Standards For Bathing Beaches, State Sanitary Code, Chapter VII. Massachusetts Department of Public Health, Division of Community Sanitation Regulations and Statues {Online} <u>http://www.state.ma.us/dph/dcs/csanregs.htm</u>. 19 September 2002.

MA DPH. 2002b. *Beach Closure/Reopening Information*. Email from Jennifer Murphy (Jennifer.Murphy@dph.state.ma.us) Massachusetts Department of Public Health, Boston, MA. to Laurie Kennedy Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Watershed Management, Worcester, MA dated 13 November 2002.

MA DPH. 2003. *Marine and Freshwater Beach Testing in Massachusetts 2002 Season*. Bureau of Environmental Health Assessment Environmental Toxicology Program in collaboration with Bureau of Health Quality Management Division of Community Sanitation.

MA DPH. 2004. *Freshwater Fish Consumption Advisory List -- April 2004.* Massachusetts Department of Public Health, Bureau of Environmental Health Assessment. Boston, MA.

Mitchell, P. 2001. Memo - Taunton Fish Population Survey and Excel Data File - Taunton Fish Tally. Department of Environmental Protection/Division of Watershed Management. Worcester, MA.

Murphy, L. M., 2001. Letter to Stephen Donovan, Taunton Municipal Lighting Plant dated 6 September 2001. Additional Information Requirements Pursuant tot eh Clean Water Act, Sections 316 (a) and () for NPDES Permit Reissuance– Taunton Municipal Lighting Plant (TMLP)-Cleary-Flood Generating Station [NPDES Permit No. MA0002241]. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Ecosystems Protection. Boston, MA.

Nemasket River Stream Team. 2003. Nemasket River Shoreline Survey Report. Advisors: MA Riverways Program Department of Fisheries, Wildlife and Environmental Law Enforcement – Joan Kimball and Rachel Calabro and SRPEDD – Bill Napolitano. Sponsor and Coordinators: National Park Service – Jamie Fosburgh, Upper Taunton Wild and Scenic River Study – Jim Ross and Shoreline Survey Coordinator -Nancy Durfee.

Normandeau Associates 2003. Thermal Plume Surveys in the Taunton River Near Somerset Station Unit 6 October 2001 -August 2002. Normandeau Associates, Inc. Bedford, NH.

Normandeau Associates 2004. Entrainment and Impingement at Somerset Station Unit 6 and Ichthyoplankton in the Taunton River February 2001 – June 2002 (NPDES Permit MA001856). Normandeau Associates, Inc. Bedford, NH.

Norton, David. 2004. Personal Communication. *Brockton AWRF operational status*. Communication with Richard Alden, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Watershed Management, 16 December 2004. Water and Sewer Contract Administrator, Brockton AWRF.

O'Neill, Frank. 2004. Personal Communication. *Mansfield WWTP operational status*. Communication with Richard Alden, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Watershed Management, 23 December 2004. Industrial Pretreatment Coordinator, Mansfield WWTP.

O'Shea, L. (Leslie.Oshea@state.ma.us). 16 April 2002. *Cape Cod WMA*. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection. Southeast Regional Office. Lakeville, MA. E-mail to Susan Connors at Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Watershed Management dated 16 April 2002.

Persaud, D., R. Jaagumagi, and A. Hayton. 1993. *Guidelines for the protection and management of aquatic sediment quality in Ontario*. Water Resources Branch, Ontario Ministry of the Environment. Queen's Printer for Ontario. Canada.

Pellerin, S. R. 1997. Letter to Mr. Steve Goldfarb dated 12 February 1997. *Re: Permit Exclusion for groundwater remediation at the Former Hybripak Facility at 100 Ladge Drive in Avon, MA. NPDES Permit Exclusion Reference #97-090.* United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region I. Boston, MA.

Reback, K.E., P.D. Brady, K.D. McLaughlin, and C.G. Milliken. 2004. A Survey of Anadromous Fish Passage in Coastal Massachusetts Part 1. Southeastern Massachusetts. Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries Technical Report TR-15. Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries, Department of Fisheries and Game. Pocasset, MA.

Richards, T.(<u>Todd.Richards@state.ma.us</u>). 2003a. *Taunton River Watershed Fish Population Data*. Massachusetts Department of Fisheries, Wildlife, and Environmental Law Enforcement, Division of Fisheries and Wildlife, Westborough, MA. Email to Katie O'Brien, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Watershed Management, Worcester, MA dated 17 March 2003.

Richards, T. 2003b. *Coldwater Fisheries Resources List. 27 May 2003.* Massachusetts Department of Fisheries Wildlife and Environmental Law Enforcement, Division of Fisheries and Wildlife (MDFW), Westborough, MA.

Richardson, Jeffery. 2001. Letter dated 6 August to Water Technical Unit (SEW), USEPA. *Re: Zero Discharge Status.* President, Charles A. Richardson, Inc.

Rojko, A. M., W.A. Kimball, and A.J. Screpetis. 1995. *Designated Outstanding Resource Waters of Massachusetts 1995*. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Office of Watershed Management. Grafton, MA.

Sawyer, G. 2003. Personal Communication. *Shellfish classifications in the Taunton River Watershed*. Department of Fisheries, Wildlife, and Environmental Law Enforcement, Division of Marine Fisheries, Shellfish Management Program. Pocasset, MA.

Scherer, Michael (mscherer@marineresearchinc.com) 2005a. *Taunton River Biological Data from Brayton Point studies – trawl data.* Marine Research Inc., Falmouth, MA. E-mail to Robert Maietta at Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection dated 17 March 2005.

Scherer, Michael (<u>mscherer@marineresearchinc.com</u>) 2005b. *Taunton River Biological Data from Brayton Point studies – dissolved oxygen data*. Marine Research Inc., Falmouth, MA. E-mail to Robert Maietta at Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection dated 18 March 2005.

Shepard, Joseph (Joseph.Shepard@state.ma.us) 2005. *Taunton River (Taunton Municipal WWTP) CSO question.* Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Southeast Regional Office. Lakeville, MA. E-mail to Laurie Kennedy at Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection dated 18 February 2005.

Socolow, R.S., L.Y. Comeau, J.L. Zanca, and L.R. Ramsbey. 1999. Water Resources Data for Massachusetts and Rhode Island, Water Year 1998. U.S. Geological Survey Report MA-RI-98-1. Water Resources Division, Northboro, MA.

Socolow, R.S., J.L. Zanca, D. Murino Jr., and L.R. Ramsbey. 2000. *Water Resources Data for Massachusetts and Rhode Island, Water Year 1999.* U.S. Geological Survey Report MA-RI-99-1. Water Resources Division, Northborough, MA.

Socolow, R.S., J.S. Whitley, D. Murino, Jr., and L.R. Ramsbey. 2001. *Water Resources Data for Massachusetts and Rhode Island, Water Year 2000.* U.S. Geological Survey Report MA-RI-00-1. Water Resources Division, Northborough, MA.

Socolow, R.S., C.R. Leighton, J.F. Whitley, D.J. Ventetuolo. 2002. *Water Resources Data for Massachusetts and Rhode Island, Water Year 2001.* U.S. Geological Survey Report MA-RI-01-1. Water Resources Division, Northborough, MA.

Socolow, R.S., G.G Girouard, and L.R.Ramsbey. 2003. Water Resources Data for Massachusetts and Rhode Island, 2002, Water-Data Report MA-RI-02-1 U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resources Division, Northborough, MA

Somerset BOH. 2005. Personal Communication. *Pierce Beach posting information 2003 and 2004*. Communication with Stella Tamul, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Watershed Management, 11 March 2005. Board of Health. Somerset, MA.

Stein, Mark. 1993. Letter dated 26 February to Anthony R. Franciose, Esq., The Foxborough Company. *Re: 1990 permit withdrawal and maintain existing 1985 permit requirements*. Esq., Sr. Assistant Regional Counsel, United States Environmental Protection Agency.

Taunton 2003. *The Upper Taunton River Wild and Scenic Study*. [Online] http://www.tauntonriver.org/homepage.htm. 5 August 2003.

UMass Amherst. 1999. *Land Use Datalayer – statewide 1:25000*. Published by MassGIS (MA Office of Geographic and Environmental Information). Executive Office of Environmental Affairs for the Resource Mapping Project at the University of Massachusetts. Amherst, MA.

USGenNE 2001. Variance request application and partial demonstration under the Clean Water Act Section 316(a) and (b) in support of renewal of NPDES permit No. MA0003654 for USGen New England, Inc.'s Brayton Point Station. USGen New England, Inc. Brayton Point Station, Somerset, MA.

USGenNE 2004a. Brayton Point Station Hydrological and Biological Monitoring Program 2002 Annual Report. USGen New England, Inc. Brayton Point Station. Somerset, MA.

USGenNE 2004b. Brayton Point Station Hydrological and Biological Monitoring Program 2003 Annual Report. USGen New England, Inc. Brayton Point Station. Somerset, MA.

USGS 2003. *Mercury in Water, Sediment, and Fish.* [Online] http://nh.water.usgs.gov/CurrentProjects/nawqa/sw\_merc.htm. 28 October 2003.

Wayland III, R.H. 2001. Memorandum to EPA Regional Water Management Directors, EPA Regional Science and Technology Directors, and State, Territory and Authorized Tribe Water Quality Program Directors dated 19 November 2001. *Re: 2002 Integrated Water Quality Monitoring and Assessment Report Guidance*. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Wetlands, Oceans and Watersheds. Washington, DC.

Winnetuxet River Stream Team. 2003. *Winnetuxet River Shoreline Survey Report*. Advisors: MA Riverways Program Department of Fisheries, Wildlife and Environmental Law Enforcement – Joan Kimball and Rachel Calabro and SRPEDD – Bill Napolitano. Sponsor and Coordinators: National Park Service – Jamie Fosburgh, Upper Taunton Wild and Scenic River Study – Jim Ross and Shoreline Survey Coordinator -Nancy Durfee.

Zeneca. 2000. Zeneca Permit Application and Letter of Explanation to EPA. Zeneca, Inc. Dighton, MA.

### LIST OF APPENDICES, TABLES AND FIGURES

### APPENDIX A - 2001 WATER QUALITY TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

- Table 1.
   Taunton River Basin Sampling Location Descriptions and Sampling Schedule 2001
- Table 2. WES/DWM Analytical Methods & MDLs for 2001 Water Quality Analytes
- Table 3.Taunton River Basin Precipitation Data Summary
- Table 4.
   Taunton River 2001 USGS Flow Data Summary
- Table 5.
   Taunton River Watershed Water Quality Data (2001) Hydrolab® Multiprobe
- Table 6.
   Taunton River Watershed Water Quality Data (2001) Physico-Chemical Data
- Table 7.
   Taunton River Watershed Water Quality Data (2001) Bacteria and Fluorescent Whitening Agents
- Table 8.
   Taunton River Watershed Quality Control Data-Blanks (2001)
- Table 9.Taunton River Quality Control Data Duplicates (2001)
- Figure 1. Taunton River Watershed 2001 Water Quality Stations
- Figure 1a. Wading River, Rumford River, Canoe River and Three Mile River Subwatersheds 2001 Water Quality Stations
- Figure 1b. Satucket River Subwatershed 2001 Water Quality Stations
- Figure 1c. Assonet River Subwatershed 2001 Water Quality Stations
- Figure 2a. Taunton River Basin Precipitation and Discharge Data July 2001
- Figure 2b. Taunton River Basin Precipitation and Discharge Data August 2001
- Figure 2c. Taunton River Basin Precipitation and Discharge Data September 2001
- Appendix 1. Quality Assurance/Quality Control Data Validation for the Taunton Watershed 2001 Water Quality Survey
- Appendix 2. 2001 Data Symbols and Qualifiers for the Taunton Watershed 2001 Water Quality Survey

# APPENDIX B - OWM/DWM WATER QUALITY MONITORING DATA TAUNTON RIVER WATERSHED 1996

- Table B1.
   Sampling Matrix for the 1996 DWM Taunton River Watershed Water Quality Surveys.
- Table B2.
   1996 DWM data qualifications for the Taunton River Watershed data.
- Table B3.1996 Taunton River Watershed in-situ Hydrolab® data.
- Table B4.
   1996 Taunton River Watershed Water Quality and Bacteria Data.

### APPENDIX C - DWM 1996 AND 2001 LAKE SURVEY DATA IN THE TAUNTON RIVER WATERSHED

- Table C1.
   1996 Taunton River Watershed lake observations and trophic status estimates.
- Table C2. 2001 DEP DWM Taunton River Watershed Baseline Lakes *in-situ* Hydrolab<sup>®</sup> data.
- Table C3. 2001 DEP DWM Taunton River Watershed Baseline Lakes *physico-chemical* data.

#### APPENDIX D - TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM (TM 62-4)- TAUNTON RIVER WATERSHED 2001 BIOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT

- Table 1.Macroinvertebrate biomonitoring station locations.
- Table 2.Perceived problems addressed during the 2000 survey.
- Figure 1. Location of MA DEP/DWM biomonitoring stations.
- Figure 2. DEP biologist conducting macroinvertebrate "kick" sampling.

#### Appendix.

- Table 1. Macroinvertebrate taxa list
- Table 2. RBPIII benthos analyses
- Table 3. Habitat evaluations

# APPENDIX E - TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM (TM-62-2) – TAUNTON RIVER WATERSHED BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE BIOMONITORING

Appendix 1.

Table 1. List of macroinvertebrate taxa collected from stream sites in the Taunton River Watershed between 28 July and 1 August 1996. The sampling sites were in: Canoe River (01), Foxborough; Salisbury Plain River (02 and 03), Brockton; Wading River (05A and 05B), Norton; Rumford River (06), Foxborough--all in Massachusetts.

Appendix 2.

- Table 1. Summary of RBP II data analysis for macroinvertebrate communities sampled at six stream sites in the Taunton River Watershed. Seven biological metrics were calculated for taxa collected at each station, and scored (in parentheses). Scores were totaled and compared to the reference station TR01. The percent comparability to the reference station yields a final impairment score for each station.
- Table 2. Summary of RBP II data analysis for macroinvertebrate communities sampled at four stream sites (TR02, TR03, TR05A, TR05B) in the Taunton River Watershed. Seven biological metrics were calculated for taxa collected at each station, and scored (in parentheses). Scores were totaled and compared to the upstream reference station. The percent comparability to the reference station yields a final impairment score for each station.

# APPENDIX F - MADEP OWM/DEP FISH TOXICS MONITORING IN THE TAUNTON RIVER WATERSHED 1994, 1995, 2001, AND 2003

- Table F1.Analytical Results for 1995 Fish Toxics Monitoring Public Request and Year 2 Watershed<br/>Surveys.
- Table F2.Analytical Results for 2001 Fish Toxics Monitoring Public Request and Year 2 Watershed<br/>Surveys.

# APPENDIX G - SUMMARY OF NPDES AND WMA PERMITTING INFORMATION, TAUNTON RIVER WATERSHED

- Table G1.
   Taunton River Watershed Municipal Major NPDES Wastewater Discharge Facilities.
- Table G2.
   Taunton River Watershed Industrial Major NPDES Wastewater Discharge Facilities.
- Table G3. Taunton River Watershed Minor NPDES Wastewater Facilities.
- Table G4.
   Taunton River Watershed Multi-sector General Stormwater Permits.
- Table G5. Taunton River Watershed User Data.

### APPENDIX H - TAUNTON RIVER WATERSHED - 2001 PERIPHYTON DATA AND RESULTS

# APPENDIX I - MA DEP GRANT AND LOAN PROGRAM PROJECTS IN THE TAUNTON RIVER WATERSHED

There are no tables or figures in this appendix.