The Status of Childhood Weight in Massachusetts, 2009

Preliminary Results from Body Mass Index Screening in 80 Essential School Health Districts, 2008-2009

Essential School Health Services Program School Health Unit Bureau of Community Health Access and Promotion Massachusetts Department of Public Health



September 2010

Massachusetts Department of Public Health

Essential School Health Program School Health Unit Bureau of Community Health Access and Promotion

The Status of Childhood Weight in Massachusetts, 2009

Preliminary Results from the Body Mass Index Screening in 80 Essential School Health Districts, 2008-2009

> Deval L. Patrick, Governor Timothy P. Murray, Lieutenant Governor JudyAnn Bigby, MD, Secretary of Health and Human Services John Auerbach, Commissioner, Department of Public Health

Bureau of Community Health Access and Promotion Jewel M. Mullen, MD, MPH, MPA, Director Anne Sheetz, RN, MPH, Director, School Health Unit

September 2010

Acknowledgements

Staff in the School Health Unit, Bureau of Community Health Access and Prevention and the Commissioner's Office prepared this report:

Robert Leibowitz, PhD, Director of School Health Statistics and Evaluation Paula Dobrow, RN, MSN, School Health Advisor Mary Ann Gapinski, RN, MSN, School Health Advisor Anne Sheetz, RN, MPH, Director, School Health Services Lauren A. Smith, MD, MPH, Medical Director, Department of Public Health

We wish to express our gratitude to the school nurses of Massachusetts who conducted these screenings that collected the data that made this report possible. This report reflects the work of the school nurses in the Essential School Health Service Programs who were the first to implement BMI screenings. We would also like to acknowledge the educational conferences and online training in Body Mass Index screenings provided by the Northeastern University School Health Institute during the past five years.

We also wish to acknowledge the contributions of the staff of the many programs within the Massachusetts Department of Public Health who provided topical overviews and reviewed draft sections of this report relevant to their areas of expertise, including Bruce Cohen, PhD, Director, Division of Research and Epidemiology.

For further information about this report, about the Body Mass Index Screening program, the Essential School Health Programs or the School Health Unit, please contact: Anne Sheetz, School Health Unit, Bureau of Community Health Access and Prevention, Massachusetts Department of Public Health, 250 Washington Street, 6th floor, Boston, MA 02108-4619. Telephone: (617) 624-6018.

Email: Anne.Sheetz@state.ma.us.

Website: http://www.mass.gov/dph/fch/schoolhealth

Table of Contents

Highlights6
Introduction7
Essential School Health Services7
Body Mass Index Screening of Public School Students
BMI Data Collected in 2008-2009 School Year9
Potential Uses of the Data9
Methodology10
Definition of Body Mass Index 10
BMI Screening Weight Categories11
Limitations
Training of School Nurses
Data Collection
Note on Data Inclusion and Interpretation14
Table 1. Overweight or Obese Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Essential SchoolHealth Services Districts, 2008-200915
Table 2. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 1 in EssentialSchool Health Services Districts, 2008-200919
Table 3. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 4 in EssentialSchool Health Services Districts, 2008-200922
Table 4. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 7 in EssentialSchool Health Services Districts, 2008-200925
Table 5. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 10 in EssentialSchool Health Services Districts, 2008-200928
Table 6. Underweight Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Essential School Health Services Districts, 2008-2009
Figures 1 – 4. Body Mass Index (BMI) and Weight Categories for Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 by Gender for Essential School Health Districts, 2008-2009
Figures 5 – 9. Healthy Weight, Overweight, Obese and Underweight Students in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 by Gender in Essential School Health Districts, 2008-2009) 36

Highlights

- Of the 109,674 public school students in grades 1,4, 7 and 10 who were screened in 2008-2009, 63.2% were in the healthy weight category, 16.9% were overweight, and 17.3% were obese. Thus, 34.3% of students were either overweight or obese. Of the male students, 35.9% were overweight or obese compared to 32.5% of the female students screened. More males fell into the obese or overweight category for each grade.
- There was substantial variation among the 80 Essential School Health Services (ESHS) Districts in the proportion of their students who were overweight or obese, ranging from 9.6% in Arlington to 46.6% in Lawrence.
- Similarly, there was also substantial variation among the ESHS Districts in the proportion of their students in the healthy weight category for each of the 4 grades. For example, the percentage of 4th grade male students in the healthy weight category ranged from 40.2% to 82.1%, with an average of 57.7%.
- There was substantial variation by grade and gender in the percentage of students who were in the obese category. The lowest percentage of obesity was among 10th grade females at 11.9 % compared to 16.0 % of 10th grade males. The highest percentage of obesity was among 4th grade males at 21.6% compared to 18.0% for 4th grade females.
- There were no major differences by gender or grade in the percentage of students who fell into the overweight category.
- The percentage of students who were either overweight or obese did not differ substantially by grade: for grade 1, 31.9% fell into these two categories, compared to 37.6% for grade 4, 35.6% for grade 7 and 30.5% for grade 10.
- The overall percentage of students who fell into the underweight category was low, 2.5 %, and did not vary as dramatically among the 80 ESHS districts. The range of percentage of underweight students varied from 1 % to 5.5%.

Introduction

To address the significant public health problem of obesity, the Massachusetts Department of Public Health (DPH) launched its statewide Mass in Motion initiative in January 2009. Mass in Motion aims to promote wellness and to prevent overweight and obesity in Massachusetts – with a particular focus on the importance of healthy eating and physical activity. Mass in Motion uses a multi-faceted approach, including:

- The release of a *Call to Action* that documents the extent of the obesity epidemic in Massachusetts, its consequences, and efforts to combat it;
- Support for regulatory changes to promote healthy diet and exercise, including Body Mass Index (BMI) screening of public school students in grades 1, 4, 7 and 10, and menu labeling for chain restaurants operating in Massachusetts.
- An Executive Order by Governor Patrick requiring state agencies responsible for large-scale food purchasing (e.g., DPH and DMH hospitals) to follow healthy nutritional guidelines in their food service operations. State purchases of food by these agencies run into the tens of millions of dollars per year;
- Municipal Wellness grants to cities and towns to make wellness initiatives a priority at the community level. Funding for these grants comes from five major health-funding foundations and other leading health organizations in the Commonwealth;
- The expansion of a state-sponsored Workplace Wellness program to help employers create work environments that encourage healthy behaviors and reduce absenteeism and health insurance costs;
- The launch of a state-sponsored Mass in Motion web site that promotes eating better and moving more at home, work, and in the community. The objective of the website is to provide simple, practical, cost-effective ways for Massachusetts residents to:
 - Improve eating habits
 - Increase physical activity
 - Ask experts questions about improving their diet and physical exercise routine
 - Get involved in helping to build healthy communities

Essential School Health Services

The Department of Public Health's School Health Unit's mission is the promotion of quality school health services across the Commonwealth through consultation, regulation development, continuing education, and performance improvement initiatives.

The Essential School Health Service (ESHS) Programs were developed in 1993, expanded in 1999-2000 to (a) strengthen the administrative infrastructure of the school health service program (nursing leadership, staffing requirements, health assessments, policies, emergency care, etc.), (b) implement tobacco control and cessation programs, as well as support efforts to prevent substance abuse, (c) link the school health service program with local health agencies, health care providers, community-based activities, and public health insurance programs, and (d) develop management information systems. In 1999-2000 grantees were also required to provide specific health services to community private schools aimed at strengthening the school health services available to private school students. In FY 08, each experienced ESHS program was asked to assume responsibility for mentoring or partnering with two other school districts.

Currently, there are 80 Essential School Health Service Programs, serving 108 cities and towns and approximately 471,096 or 50 % of Massachusetts public school students. In addition, there were 120 partner school districts with 276,025 students. A total of 747,121 students are included in the ESHS districts and their partner school districts. In addition, 159 private schools, with an enrollment of 42,116 students also participate. An overall total of 789,237 students are part of the ESHS programs in the Commonwealth.

Body Mass Index Screening of Public School Students

As a key component of the Mass in Motion initiative, in April 2009, the Public Health Council unanimously approved a regulation requiring school systems to measure the height and weight of public school students in grades 1, 4, 7 and 10 and use those figures to calculate their Body Mass Index (BMI). BMI is a method of determining if a child has a healthy weight compared to other children of the same age and sex. Children with a high BMI are more likely to become overweight or obese adults and be at a higher risk for diabetes, heart disease and some cancers. Helping children attain a healthy weight now, and stay at a healthy weight, may prevent serious illness later in life.

School nurses are responsible for oversight of the screening, including: parent notification, providing privacy during screening, training for any ancillary staff assisting with screening, recording and the confidential reporting of individual results to parents, and submitting aggregate data to MDPH.

Parents and guardians are given the opportunity to waive their child's BMI screening at school by submitting a written request. The results of the screening are directly and confidentially communicated to the parents or guardians of each student. In addition, aggregate data is shared with local school and health officials and with the Department of Public Health. No data on an *individual* student is shared with anyone other than that student's parents or guardians. All BMI screening results completed in 2009 and 2010 were mailed home to students, delivered directly to parents, or available through a

secure internet portal to all students, regardless of BMI for age category. Education materials in multiple languages on healthy eating, portion sizes and physical activity was provided for families.

The BMI screening program is being phased in across Massachusetts. School districts that participate in the ESHS program have been collecting this data since 2004. The data contained in this report include the eighty ESHS school districts for the 2008-2009 school year. This is the first time that data is shown at the city and town level for these districts. During the 2009-2010 school year, 120 school districts reported BMI for the 4 grades and these data will be available in 2011. Beginning this year (2010-2011 school year) all public schools in Massachusetts are required to perform BMI screening for all students in grades 1, 4, 7, 10 (or corresponding age in ungraded schools).

BMI Data Collected in 2008-2009 School Year

The data in this report represents data from 80 school districts in the Essential School Health Services program collected during the 2008-2009 school year on 109,674 students. This sample represents 38% of the 287,107 public school students in all Massachusetts schools in grades 1, 4, 7, and 10.

Category of Enrollment for 2008-2009 School Year	Number of Students	Percent of MA Enrollment in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10
Massachusetts public school enrollment, grades 1,4,7,10	287,107	100 %
Essential School Health Services enrollment in all 80 districts, grades 1,4,7,10	142,537	50 %
Students screened in ESHS districts, grades 1,4,7,10	109,674	38 %

Potential Uses of the Data

The goal of this report is to share this data widely with all community leaders so it can be used for sound community planning, program development and public health monitoring. In addition to providing useful information to individual students, their parents and their health care providers, the aggregated BMI screening data can be useful to many other stakeholders in each local community. The aggregate BMI data is an important indicator of the health of the current school-age population and is related to the health of future adults in that community. These data reflect patterns of healthy nutrition and physical activity which are aspects of a healthy, productive and economically vital community. The Department of Public Health is releasing this report to facilitate school nurses sharing the aggregated school district data with:

- School superintendents, principals and other administrators who make important policy decisions that influence the school environment;
- School committees and school wellness committees;
- Health educators, food service personnel, athletic directors, teachers and others who may impact healthy nutrition and physical activity within the school setting;
- Local boards of health;
- Parent teacher associations and site-based councils of parents;
- Municipal leadership, including mayors, town managers and city or town councils;
- Local primary care providers, community health centers, hospitals and other health care institutions;
- Local and regional health coalitions; and
- Local and regional municipal planners.

In addition, school nurses are encouraged to work with school administrators, school wellness committees, local boards of health and local planners to consider the appropriate sharing of aggregated data, at the school level, to promote individual school action plans. For example, a school may develop a school wellness policy that includes changes to the school's nutrition and physical activity policy, and promotes a walk to school plan facilitated by local planners, among other initiatives.

In addition, some school nurse leaders may choose to share the community's cardiac and stroke mortality and morbidity data along with the BMI screening data to enhance the connection of this BMI data with future health care costs for the community, including health insurance costs for future municipal employees.

Methodology

Definition of Body Mass Index

BMI is a tool that can be useful in early identification of possible health risk factors among children and youth. Body Mass Index (BMI) (weight divided by height squared) is used to judge whether an individual's weight is appropriate for their height. Body Mass Index (BMI) calculation in children considers gender, age, height and weight. All of this information must be recorded accurately to determine BMI.

BMI is a health *screening* tool and not a diagnostic tool. It is used to screen for obesity, overweight, healthy weight, or underweight and is only one of the data points used in a complete health assessment. Many other factors can influence a child's BMI, such as family history and muscularity. The BMI measure is plotted on BMI growth charts to reveal the child's percentile ranking, which indicates the relative position of the child's BMI among children of the same age and sex. The BMI-for-age percentile is used to interpret the BMI result because BMI is both age-and sex-specific for children and

teens. These criteria are different from those used to interpret BMI for adults — which do not take into account age or sex. Age and sex are considered for children and teens for two reasons:

- The amount of body fat changes with age. (BMI for children and teens is often referred to as *BMI-for-age*.)
- The amount of body fat differs between girls and boys.

For example, a child may have a high BMI for age and sex, but to determine if this indicates a health problem, a health care provider would need to perform further assessments. These assessments might include skinfold thickness measurements, evaluations of diet, physical activity, family history, and other appropriate health screenings.

BMI Screening Weight Categories

When the child's BMI percentile for age is less than the 5th percentile, the child is considered *underweight*. When the child's BMI for age percentile is between the 5th percentile and 85th percentile, that is considered a *healthy weight*. BMI for age percentiles greater than or equal to the 85th percentile but less than the 95th percentile are considered *overweight*. When a child's BMI for age percentile is equal to or greater than the 95th percentile, the child is considered *obese*. BMI-for-age weight status categories and the corresponding percentiles are shown in the following table:

Weight Status Category	BMI for Age Percentile Range
Underweight	Less than the 5th percentile
Healthy weight	5th percentile to less than the 85th percentile
Overweight	85th to less than the 95th percentile
Obese	Equal to or greater than the 95th percentile

Being overweight, obese or underweight can put a person at risk for certain health problems. A student who is overweight or obese has an increased risk of developing serious conditions, including type 2 diabetes, heart disease, high blood pressure, and orthopedic problems. A student who is underweight has an increased risk for heart problems, loss of bone mass and anemia. Underweight may also be a sign of an underlying eating or endocrine disorder.

See the following example of how some sample BMI results would be interpreted for a 10-year-old boy, based on the BMI for age percentiles.



Limitations

This report contains the first analysis of BMI data at the city and town level. As cities or towns are shown to have higher or lower percentages than expected, MDPH is researching those differences to determine if they are real differences or reporting errors. These data were collected during a single school year, 2008-2009, so it is not possible to assess for trends. In addition, for some smaller towns, the data presented represent relatively small numbers of students and therefore, should be interpreted with caution. The accuracy of the BMI percentiles submitted to DPH depends on the accuracy of height and weight measurements and on use of proper procedures for calculating BMI percentiles from measured height and weight values.

Measurements were taken in 4 grade levels and not from the entire student enrollment. Students in ESHS districts are not necessarily representative of the all public school students in the Commonwealth. Therefore, the data in this report may not be generalizable to all Massachusetts public school students. However, it does provide information about the weight status, including overweight and obesity for more than 100,000 public school students in the 4 grade levels or more than one-third of the public school enrollment in these grades in Massachusetts.

Training of School Nurses

Regional trainings for school nurses have been offered in workshops held by the School Health Institute at Northeastern University and funded by DPH. Alternatively, the training is available online at the School Health Institute website <u>http://www.cps.neu.edu/shi/index.php</u>. More than 1500 school nurses received this training.

The training includes:

- Massachusetts General Laws and Regulations on screenings
- Essential elements of Body Mass Index (BMI) screening
- Equipment and tools for proper measurement of height and weight
- Protocols for measuring height and weight
- Measuring Non-Ambulatory Students
- Calculating BMI and Recording Measurements
- Parent Communication, Referral and Follow-up

Data Collection

School nurses perform height and weight measurements and record the information in a computer database containing student health records. A number of computerized health records used by school nurses have a BMI calculator embedded in the software

which reduces the chance of calculation errors. The computerized records also have the child's exact age. When the height and weight is entered, BMI is automatically calculated, including the percentile. In schools where computerized health records are not available, a child BMI calculator on the CDC website may be used. <u>http://www.cdc.gov/healthyweight/assessing/bmi/childrens_BMI/tool_for_schools.html</u>. Schools lacking computer access can use a BMI wheel or BMI chart to determine BMI percentile. The BMI percentiles are calculated by each school nurse or by a data analyst employed by the district. This information is then gathered and tabulated into an aggregate district-wide report, and sent to DPH.

In order to ensure the results would be representative of the students in their district, nurses were asked to complete BMI screenings on all students whose parents did not opt out of the screening. For the 2008-2009 school year, in grades 1 and 4, districts reported screening between 55% and 100% of their students, while in grades 7 and 10, the screening rate ranged from 0% to 100%. If a district did not submit BMI screening data for at least 70% of the students in a grade, data for that grade level was not included in this report. School nurses in 76 districts (94% of ESHS districts) met the screening criteria (70% of enrollment) for 1 or more of the designated grade levels. Nurses in 60 districts (75% of ESHS districts) met the screening criteria for all 4 of the designated grade levels. Fewer districts met the screening criteria in grade 10 (60 districts) or grade 7 (69 districts) than in grade 1 (73 districts) or grade 4 (74 districts).

Note on Data Inclusion and Interpretation

Data that did not pass data quality tests or did not meet the reporting criteria described above were excluded from the analysis. In cases where there were a small number of students screened, less than 10, this is noted in the table. The observed rates in these cases should be interpreted cautiously due to the instability of estimates when such small numbers are involved.

			Males			Females	;	Males and Females			
Essential School Health District	Total number of students screened (N)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	
ACTON-BOXBOROUGH	1,666	14.3%	9.9%	24.2%	10.8%	4.8%	15.6%	12.5%	7.4%	19.9%	
AMESBURY	781	16.1%	23.8%	40.0%	12.7%	17.5%	30.2%	14.5%	20.7%	35.2%	
ANDOVER	1,771	14.8%	12.7%	27.5%	14.4%	7.1%	21.4%	14.6%	10.1%	24.6%	
ARLINGTON	1,379	5.5%	6.0%	11.5%	4.4%	3.3%	7.7%	4.9%	4.6%	9.6%	
ASHBURNHAM-WESTMINSTER	705	16.9%	10.2%	27.1%	17.7%	9.3%	27.0%	17.3%	9.8%	27.1%	
ATTLEBORO	825	15.1%	21.8%	37.0%	20.2%	17.8%	38.0%	17.5%	20.0%	37.5%	
BARNSTABLE	1,576	20.9%	20.2%	41.1%	18.6%	16.9%	35.5%	19.8%	18.6%	38.4%	
BELCHERTOWN	816	22.3%	10.7%	33.0%	16.8%	7.9%	24.8%	19.6%	9.3%	28.9%	
BERKSHIRE HILLS	366	18.4%	15.0%	33.5%	11.9%	17.5%	29.4%	15.6%	16.1%	31.7%	
BILLERICA [±]	1,879	18.5%	15.5%	34.0%	21.3%	11.8%	33.1%	19.9%	13.7%	33.6%	
BOSTON [±]	9,841	20.4%	23.7%	44.1%	19.5%	23.5%	43.0%	20.0%	23.6%	43.6%	
BRAINTREE	1,637	13.2%	17.0%	30.2%	15.2%	13.3%	28.5%	14.2%	15.2%	29.4%	
BRIDGEWATER RAYNHAM	1,803	16.6%	21.5%	38.1%	13.7%	11.9%	25.6%	15.2%	16.9%	32.1%	
BROCKTON	4,230	16.9%	22.4%	39.2%	19.4%	21.9%	41.3%	18.1%	22.1%	40.3%	
BROOKLINE	1,685	14.8%	11.2%	26.0%	11.5%	6.0%	17.4%	13.1%	8.5%	21.7%	
CAMBRIDGE	1,236	17.1%	19.0%	36.1%	15.0%	15.4%	30.4%	16.1%	17.2%	33.3%	
CANTON	903	18.2%	14.4%	32.6%	12.9%	9.4%	22.3%	15.5%	11.8%	27.4%	
CENTRAL BERKSHIRE	438	19.3%	15.7%	35.0%	15.3%	16.7%	32.1%	17.4%	16.2%	33.6%	
CHICOPEE	2,139	20.6%	21.5%	42.1%	21.0%	17.4%	38.4%	20.8%	19.6%	40.3%	

Table 1. Overweight or Obese Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Essential School Health Services Districts, 2008-2009

[±] Data for Boston, Billerica, and Marshfield is currently being verified.

Grades 1, 4, 7, 10			Males			Females	;	Males and Females			
Essential School Health District	Total number of students screened (N)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	
DOUGLAS	487	17.1%	17.1%	34.1%	11.9%	8.5%	20.4%	14.2%	12.3%	26.5%	
EAST LONGMEADOW	851	20.0%	17.5%	37.4%	14.8%	14.8%	29.7%	17.3%	16.1%	33.4%	
FALL RIVER	2,957	14.6%	17.4%	31.9%	15.8%	14.0%	29.7%	15.2%	15.7%	30.8%	
FITCHBURG	1,417	19.1%	28.5%	47.5%	18.6%	26.3%	44.9%	18.8%	27.4%	46.2%	
GARDNER	750	13.6%	20.5%	34.0%	16.2%	13.9%	30.1%	14.8%	17.3%	32.1%	
GATEWAY	141	18.8%	18.8%	37.5%	19.5%	14.3%	33.8%	19.1%	16.3%	35.5%	
GEORGETOWN	461	18.1%	10.3%	28.4%	22.0%	7.8%	29.8%	20.0%	9.1%	29.1%	
GILL-MONTAGUE	308	15.8%	19.1%	34.9%	17.3%	21.2%	38.5%	16.6%	20.1%	36.7%	
GLOUCESTER	915	14.1%	19.0%	33.1%	14.1%	16.3%	30.5%	14.1%	17.7%	31.8%	
GRANBY	339	22.1%	21.5%	43.6%	16.5%	17.1%	33.5%	19.5%	19.5%	38.9%	
HADLEY	174	22.9%	15.7%	38.6%	16.5%	14.3%	30.8%	19.5%	14.9%	34.5%	
HAMPDEN WILBRAHAM	1,065	18.2%	15.6%	33.8%	18.0%	8.5%	26.5%	18.1%	12.2%	30.3%	
HAMPSHIRE	406	12.8%	16.7%	29.4%	15.0%	15.5%	30.5%	14.0%	16.0%	30.0%	
HARWICH	376	15.0%	21.5%	36.5%	17.6%	12.5%	30.1%	16.2%	17.3%	33.5%	
HAVERHILL	2,113	18.2%	25.0%	43.2%	17.2%	20.6%	37.8%	17.7%	22.9%	40.7%	
HOLYOKE	1,063	18.5%	27.2%	45.7%	23.1%	21.1%	44.2%	20.7%	24.3%	45.0%	
HUDSON	601	14.8%	21.8%	36.6%	16.7%	17.0%	33.8%	15.8%	19.3%	35.1%	
LAWRENCE	2,564	17.1%	27.9%	45.0%	21.2%	27.1%	48.3%	19.1%	27.5%	46.6%	
LEOMINSTER	1,823	15.7%	29.6%	45.3%	16.9%	21.4%	38.4%	16.3%	25.7%	42.0%	
LEXINGTON	1,862	17.1%	9.5%	26.6%	11.1%	5.8%	16.9%	14.0%	7.6%	21.6%	

Grades 1, 4, 7, 10			Males			Females		Males and Females			
Essential School Health District	Total number of students screened (N)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	
LOWELL	2,995	16.5%	23.8%	40.3%	16.9%	17.9%	34.7%	16.7%	20.9%	37.6%	
LUDLOW	954	16.8%	20.8%	37.6%	20.5%	17.9%	38.3%	18.6%	19.4%	37.9%	
LYNN	2,572	15.3%	22.9%	38.2%	18.8%	22.7%	41.5%	17.1%	22.8%	39.9%	
MANSFIELD	1,484	15.7%	13.3%	28.9%	13.2%	9.8%	23.0%	14.5%	11.7%	26.1%	
MARBLEHEAD	1,029	15.3%	9.6%	24.9%	15.0%	6.6%	21.6%	15.2%	8.2%	23.3%	
MARSHFIELD [±]	1,406	11.6%	12.5%	24.1%	10.7%	11.9%	22.6%	11.2%	12.2%	23.4%	
MEDFORD	967	18.4%	24.1%	42.5%	17.5%	16.1%	33.6%	18.0%	20.5%	38.5%	
MIDDLEBOROUGH	824	19.0%	20.8%	39.7%	18.6%	16.8%	35.4%	18.8%	18.9%	37.7%	
NASHOBA	927	15.0%	12.8%	27.8%	18.6%	6.6%	25.2%	16.7%	9.8%	26.5%	
NATICK	1,265	14.4%	13.5%	27.9%	18.8%	10.1%	28.9%	16.5%	11.9%	28.4%	
NEEDHAM	1,437	11.7%	8.5%	20.2%	12.0%	6.2%	18.1%	11.8%	7.4%	19.2%	
NEW BEDFORD	3,457	16.2%	22.0%	38.2%	15.7%	20.3%	36.0%	15.9%	21.2%	37.2%	
NEWBURYPORT	645	16.5%	8.4%	24.9%	17.1%	7.4%	24.4%	16.7%	7.9%	24.7%	
NEWTON	3,235	16.8%	9.3%	26.1%	11.3%	8.8%	20.0%	14.1%	9.0%	23.1%	
NORTH ANDOVER	1,320	17.4%	12.0%	29.4%	17.9%	8.9%	26.8%	17.7%	10.4%	28.0%	
NORTH ATTLEBOROUGH	973	19.3%	15.4%	34.8%	19.8%	11.4%	31.2%	19.5%	13.5%	33.0%	
NORTH BERKSHIRE UNION	109	20.5%	22.7%	43.2%	27.7%	16.9%	44.6%	24.8%	19.3%	44.0%	
NORTHAMPTON	798	14.2%	16.2%	30.3%	16.4%	11.4%	27.8%	15.3%	13.8%	29.1%	
NORTHBORO SOUTHBORO	1,162	24.0%	8.5%	32.5%	17.5%	8.5%	26.0%	20.9%	8.5%	29.4%	
NORTHBRIDGE	353	15.3%	21.9%	37.2%	13.4%	20.4%	33.8%	14.4%	21.2%	35.7%	

Grades 1, 4, 7, 10			Males			Females	;	Males and Females			
Essential School Health District	Total number of students screened (N)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	
PITTSFIELD	318	14.4%	18.6%	32.9%	11.3%	23.8%	35.1%	12.9%	21.1%	34.0%	
PLYMOUTH	2,610	18.9%	20.5%	39.4%	17.4%	14.2%	31.6%	18.2%	17.4%	35.5%	
PROVINCETOWN	44	8.7%	26.1%	34.8%	19.0%	14.3%	33.3%	13.6%	20.5%	34.1%	
QUINCY	2,432	15.1%	18.0%	33.1%	17.9%	13.4%	31.3%	16.4%	15.8%	32.2%	
ROCKPORT	295	17.5%	13.6%	31.2%	13.5%	9.2%	22.7%	15.6%	11.5%	27.1%	
SANDWICH	1,091	13.8%	11.5%	25.2%	13.4%	8.6%	21.9%	13.6%	10.1%	23.6%	
SCITUATE	694	13.4%	11.1%	24.5%	11.1%	8.7%	19.8%	12.2%	9.9%	22.2%	
SPRINGFIELD	4,964	18.7%	25.4%	44.2%	18.9%	24.1%	43.0%	18.8%	24.8%	43.6%	
STOUGHTON	1,198	17.5%	22.0%	39.5%	18.9%	18.9%	37.9%	18.2%	20.5%	38.7%	
TAUNTON	2,515	19.9%	22.8%	42.7%	18.6%	16.0%	34.6%	19.2%	19.2%	38.5%	
WALPOLE	1,145	19.3%	11.8%	31.1%	17.1%	7.4%	24.5%	18.2%	9.6%	27.8%	
WALTHAM	1,385	18.5%	21.6%	40.1%	18.6%	21.6%	40.2%	18.6%	21.6%	40.1%	
WEST BRIDGEWATER	344	23.2%	18.6%	41.8%	19.8%	10.8%	30.5%	21.5%	14.8%	36.3%	
WESTON	539	8.8%	5.8%	14.6%	8.7%	2.6%	11.3%	8.7%	4.3%	13.0%	
WEYMOUTH	1,860	20.4%	18.6%	38.9%	21.5%	17.2%	38.7%	20.9%	17.9%	38.8%	
WILMINGTON	1,173	12.5%	19.2%	31.8%	17.4%	15.6%	33.0%	15.0%	17.4%	32.4%	
WORCESTER	2,806	17.0%	19.7%	36.7%	17.8%	17.8%	35.7%	17.4%	18.8%	36.2%	
TOTAL	109,674	17.1%	18.9%	35.9%	16.8%	15.7%	32.5%	16.9%	17.3%	34.3%	

Note: Data that did not pass data quality tests or did not meet the reporting criteria (that 70% of students in a grade level should be screened) were excluded from the analysis. Definitions: *Underweight* – BMI for age percentile; *Healthy weight* – BMI for age percentile between 5th and 85th percentile; *Overweight* – BMI for age percentile between 85th and 95th percentile; *Overweight* – BMI for age percentile \geq 95th percentile.

										Males and
			Ma	les			Fem	ales		Females
Essential School Health District	Total number of students screened (N)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over- weight	Obese	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over- weight	Obese	Over- weight or Obese	Over- weight or Obese (%)
ACTON-BOXBOROUGH	392	76.7%	11.0%	10.5%	21.4%	80.2%	11.5%	7.1%	18.7%	20.2%
AMESBURY	191	72.7%	11.4%	6.8%*	18.2%	71.8%	11.7%	10.7%	22.3%	20.4%
ANDOVER	455	72.8%	15.6%	10.7%	26.3%	75.5%	15.1%	6.6%	21.7%	24.2%
ARLINGTON ASHBURNHAM-	387	87.4%	7.9%	2.6%*	10.5%	89.3%	6.6%	2.5%*	9.1%	9.8%
WESTMINSTER	159	68.7%	15.7%	15.7%	31.3%	55.3%	31.6%	10.5%*	42.1%	36.5%
ATTLEBORO	429	65.2%	11.8%	19.5%	31.2%	62.0%	18.8%	15.9%	34.6%	32.9%
BARNSTABLE	413	60.2%	21.4%	17.3%	38.8%	59.9%	18.9%	20.3%	39.2%	39.0%
BELCHERTOWN	194	72.4%	13.3%	13.3%	26.5%	74.0%	13.5%	12.5%	26.0%	26.3%
BERKSHIRE HILLS	54	65.5%	17.2%*	13.8%*	31.0%	56.0%	8.0%*	32.0%*	40.0%	35.2%
BILLERICA [±]	501	70.9%	12.7%	12.7%	25.4%	76.4%	7.7%	12.4%	20.2%	23.0%
BOSTON [±]	3,792	50.3%	18.2%	21.2%	39.4%	48.2%	17.7%	22.3%	40.0%	39.7%
BRAINTREE	436	69.5%	13.3%	14.6%	27.9%	65.7%	19.0%	12.4%	31.4%	29.6%
BRIDGEWATER RAYNHAM	382	62.0%	15.6%	21.0%	36.6%	74.6%	14.1%	10.7%	24.9%	31.2%
BROCKTON	1,087	58.4%	19.7%	19.5%	39.1%	61.3%	17.2%	19.9%	37.1%	38.2%
BROOKLINE	503	69.1%	19.9%	8.1%	27.9%	81.8%	12.1%	3.9%*	16.0%	22.5%
CAMBRIDGE	478	65.5%	13.6%	17.9%	31.5%	70.8%	14.8%	13.2%	28.0%	29.7%
CANTON	257	64.9%	22.1%	12.2%	34.4%	69.8%	17.5%	12.7%	30.2%	32.3%
CENTRAL BERKSHIRE	130	65.7%	22.4%	10.4%*	32.8%	71.4%	15.9%	11.1%*	27.0%	30.0%
CHICOPEE	498	62.0%	17.3%	20.8%	38.0%	63.4%	17.7%	16.5%	34.2%	36.1%
DOUGLAS	110	70.5%	18.2%*	6.8%*	25.0%	83.3%	4.5%*	7.6%*	12.1%	17.3%
EAST LONGMEADOW	199	76.5%	18.4%	5.1%*	23.5%	66.3%	13.9%	18.8%	32.7%	28.1%
FALL RIVER	766	66.0%	14.5%	18.2%	32.8%	66.1%	15.3%	15.8%	31.1%	32.0%
FITCHBURG	370	51.9%	20.6%	23.8%	44.4%	55.8%	16.6%	23.8%	40.3%	42.4%
GARDNER	190	73.9%	10.2%*	10.2%*	20.5%	71.6%	7.8%*	15.7%	23.5%	22.1%

Table 2. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 1 in Essential School Health Services Districts, 2008-2009

										Males and
Grade 1			Mal	es			Fem	ales		Females
Essential School Health District	Total number of students screened	Healthy Weight	Over- weight	Obese	Over- weight or Obese	Healthy Weight	Over- weight	Obese	Over- weight or Obese	Over- weight or Obese
	(N)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
GEORGETOWN	133	69.0%	17.2%	10.3%*	27.6%	80.4%	10.9%*	4.3%*	15.2%	23.3%
GILL-MONTAGUE	77	80.5%	7.3%*	9.8%*	17.1%*	63.9%	16.7%*	19.4%*	36.1%	26.0%
GLOUCESTER	188	71.1%	15.6%	11.1%	26.7%	68.4%	16.3%	13.3%	29.6%	28.2%
GRANBY	64	50.0%	34.4%	12.5%*	46.9%	62.5%	21.9%*	12.5%*	34.4%	40.6%
HADLEY	35	47.1%*	23.5%*	29.4%*	52.9%*	55.6%	27.8%*	16.7%*	44.4%*	48.6%*
HAMPDEN WILBRAHAM	216	63.3%	18.3%	13.8%	32.1%	71.0%	16.8%	8.4%*	25.2%	28.7%
HAMPSHIRE	142	70.3%	7.8%*	20.3%	28.1%	60.3%	15.4%	24.4%	39.7%	34.5%
HARWICH	101	62.7%	18.6%	16.9%	35.6%	71.4%	9.5%*	14.3%*	23.8%	30.7%
HAVERHILL	460	57.9%	17.5%	23.8%	41.3%	66.4%	15.9%	16.8%	32.7%	37.2%
HOLYOKE	297	51.3%	24.4%	21.8%	46.2%	57.4%	17.7%	22.0%	39.7%	43.1%
HUDSON	204	68.3%	17.3%	14.4%	31.7%	69.0%	16.0%	12.0%	28.0%	29.9%
LAWRENCE	863	54.3%	15.7%	27.7%	43.5%	55.8%	14.6%	27.4%	42.0%	42.8%
LEOMINSTER	456	62.9%	15.3%	20.5%	35.8%	61.2%	19.4%	15.9%	35.2%	35.5%
LEXINGTON	382	74.3%	11.5%	6.8%	18.3%	80.1%	9.4%	4.7%*	14.1%	16.2%
LOWELL	1,040	63.1%	14.7%	20.2%	34.9%	65.1%	15.9%	16.1%	32.0%	33.6%
LUDLOW	224	69.8%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	66.3%	19.4%	13.3%	32.7%	30.4%
LYNN	835	59.4%	15.3%	22.5%	37.9%	58.7%	15.3%	23.9%	39.2%	38.6%
MANSFIELD	318	75.7%	10.3%	5.4%	15.7%	75.9%	8.3%	4.5%*	12.8%	14.5%
MARBLEHEAD	254	76.7%	12.8%	7.5%	20.3%	82.6%	13.2%	2.5%*	15.7%	18.1%
$MARSHFIELD^{\pm}$	327	71.3%	19.9%	5.8%	25.7%	75.6%	12.8%	8.3%	21.2%	23.5%
MEDFORD	325	57.9%	15.7%	23.0%	38.8%	63.9%	17.7%	16.3%	34.0%	36.6%
MIDDLEBOROUGH	268	61.0%	19.1%	17.6%	36.8%	62.9%	22.7%	14.4%	37.1%	36.9%
NASHOBA	238	76.2%	13.9%	8.2%	22.1%	72.4%	19.8%	6.9%	26.7%	24.4%
NATICK	410	73.9%	11.9%	11.5%	23.4%	65.1%	20.8%	9.9%	30.7%	26.8%
NEEDHAM	422	81.8%	8.2%	4.1%*	12.3%	83.7%	8.9%	3.0%*	11.9%	12.1%
NEW BEDFORD	1,068	62.3%	16.3%	20.1%	36.5%	63.6%	15.2%	19.3%	34.6%	35.6%
NEWBURYPORT	148	78.3%	18.3%	3.3%*	21.7%	71.6%	20.5%	5.7%*	26.1%	24.3%

										Males and
Grade 1			Ma	es			Fema	ales		Females
Essential School Health District	Total students screened	Healthy Weight	Over- weight	Obese	Over- weight or Obese	Healthy Weight	Over- weight	Obese	Over- weight or Obese	Over- weight or Obese
	(N)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
NEWTON	826	73.4%	16.1%	7.0%	23.2%	75.1%	11.9%	9.3%	21.2%	22.3%
NORTH ANDOVER	341	77.0%	14.0%	7.3%	21.3%	76.7%	16.0%	7.4%	23.3%	22.3%
NORTHATTLEBOROUGH	360	63.7%	18.1%	16.4%	34.5%	69.3%	16.9%	12.2%	29.1%	31.7%
NORTH BERKSHIRE UNION	31	61.5%	15.4%	23.1%	38.5%	55.6%	22.2%	11.1%	33.3%	35.5%
NORTHAMPTON	175	70.0%	17.8%	11.1%	28.9%	71.8%	12.9%	14.1%	27.1%	28.0%
NORTHBORO SOUTHBORO	379	62.3%	29.4%	7.4%	36.8%	73.0%	20.9%	5.4%^	26.4%	32.7%
PLYMOUTH	680	65.9%	14.6%	16.5%	31.1%	72.4%	16.2%	9.9%	26.1%	28.5%
PROVINCETOWN	/	/5.0%*	0.0%*	25.0%*	25.0%*	100.0%*	0.0%*	0.0%*	0.0%*	14.3%*
QUINCY	635	64.1%	14.9%	17.6%	32.5%	65.0%	20.9%	12.4%	33.2%	32.9%
ROCKPORI	73	64.1%	17.9%*	17.9%*	35.9%	85.3%	11.8%*	2.9%*	14.7%*	26.0%
SANDWICH	256	72.1%	18.4%	6.6%*	25.0%	71.7%	16.7%	7.5%*	24.2%	24.6%
SCITUATE	216	80.2%	12.9%	6.0%*	19.0%	79.0%	11.0%	9.0%*	20.0%	19.4%
SPRINGFIELD	1,718	60.9%	19.2%	18.0%	37.2%	61.8%	16.6%	20.2%	36.8%	37.0%
STOUGHTON	298	61.5%	17.9%	19.2%	37.2%	54.9%	24.6%	19.0%	43.7%	40.3%
TAUNTON	879	55.4%	18.7%	24.5%	43.2%	75.4%	13.8%	10.6%	24.4%	32.1%
WALPOLE	304	72.0%	17.2%	9.6%	26.8%	79.6%	11.6%	6.1%*	17.7%	22.4%
WALTHAM	368	61.2%	15.3%	23.0%	38.3%	63.5%	18.2%	18.2%	36.5%	37.5%
WEST BRIDGEWATER	82	63.4%	19.5%*	7.3%*	26.8%	73.2%	12.2%*	14.6%*	26.8%	26.8%
WESTON	155	81.6%	9.2%*	6.9%*	16.1%	85.3%	8.8%*	2.9%*	11.8%	14.2%
WEYMOUTH	482	64.4%	17.4%	16.3%	33.7%	69.3%	17.0%	12.8%	29.8%	32.0%
WILMINGTON	274	66.4%	12.1%	20.8%	32.9%	64.8%	17.6%	16.0%	33.6%	33.2%
WORCESTER	1,435	61.2%	14.9%	18.1%	33.0%	56.8%	18.8%	17.0%	35.8%	34.4%

TOTAL 31,842 63.7% 16.4% 16.5% 32.9% 65.5% 15.9% 15.0% 31.0% 31.0% Note: Data that did not pass data quality tests or did not meet the reporting criteria (that 70% of students in a grade level should be screened) were excluded from the analysis. Definitions: Underweight – BMI for age percentile < 5th percentile; Healthy weight – BMI for age percentile between 5th and 85th percentile; Overweight – BMI for age percentile \geq 95th percentile.

* Rate should be interpreted cautiously due to the small number of students in this category (< 10 students).

 $^{\pm}$ Data for Boston, Billerica, and Marshfield is currently being verified.

										Males and
			Ма	les			Fem	ales		Females
Essential School Health District	Total number of students screened (N)	Healthy Weight	Over- weight	Obese	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight	Over- weight	Obese	Over- weight or Obese	Over- weight or Obese (%)
ACTON-BOXBOROUGH	458	69.2%	16.9%	13.1%	30.0%	77.8%	12.7%	6.8%	19.5%	24.9%
AMESBURY	245	41.4%	24.1%	33.8%	57.9%	53.6%	10.7%	33.0%	43.8%	51.4%
ANDOVER	511	65.5%	21.8%	11.1%	33.0%	74.4%	18.0%	6.4%	24.4%	28.8%
ARLINGTON	358	82.1%	7.6%	8.7%	16.3%	88.5%	5.7%	4.0%*	9.8%	13.1%
ASHBURNHAM-										
WESTMINSTER	185	57.6%	21.7%	20.7%	42.4%	65.6%	12.9%	21.5%	34.4%	38.4%
ATTLEBORO	396	54.8%	18.4%	24.1%	42.5%	56.0%	22.0%	20.2%	42.3%	42.4%
BARNSTABLE	427	56.9%	23.6%	18.2%	41.8%	60.9%	19.3%	18.8%	38.1%	40.0%
BELCHERTOWN	189	57.6%	23.5%	16.5%	40.0%	67.3%	19.2%	12.5%	31.7%	35.4%
BERKSHIRE HILLS	74	65.8%	15.8%*	18.4%*	34.2%	66.7%	13.9%	19.4%*	33.3%*	33.8%
BILLERICA [±]	535	58.8%	15.9%	23.3%	39.2%	64.4%	19.2%	15.1%	34.3%	37.0%
$BOSTON^{\pm}$	3,173	48.2%	19.3%	24.3%	43.7%	45.5%	20.8%	25.5%	46.3%	45.0%
BRAINTREE	420	60.8%	18.1%	20.6%	38.7%	71.0%	12.2%	14.9%	27.1%	32.6%
BRIDGEWATER RAYNHAM	517	59.0%	22.0%	17.5%	39.6%	67.9%	19.3%	10.8%	30.1%	35.0%
BROCKTON	1,126	53.6%	18.0%	25.1%	43.2%	54.2%	17.2%	25.1%	42.3%	42.7%
BROOKLINE	449	68.1%	13.3%	17.1%	30.5%	80.3%	10.9%	6.7%	17.6%	23.6%
CAMBRIDGE	399	61.1%	15.6%	21.8%	37.4%	62.8%	18.1%	17.6%	35.6%	36.6%
CANTON	234	68.5%	13.5%	18.0%	31.5%	76.4%	13.0%	9.8%	22.8%	26.9%
CENTRAL BERKSHIRE	153	67.1%	16.4%	15.1%	31.5%	63.8%	13.8%	22.5%	36.3%	34.0%
CHICOPEE	502	55.2%	20.9%	22.7%	43.7%	60.9%	20.4%	18.2%	38.7%	41.4%
DOUGLAS	134	63.3%	20.0%	15.0%*	35.0%	64.9%	23.0%	8.1%*	31.1%	32.8%
EAST LONGMEADOW	213	61.7%	21.3%	17.0%	38.3%	71.4%	10.1%	16.8%	26.9%	31.9%
FALL RIVER	748	59.7%	18.6%	19.5%	38.1%	60.6%	19.6%	19.8%	39.4%	38.8%
FITCHBURG	415	47.3%	20.3%	29.0%	49.3%	53.4%	18.3%	26.0%	44.2%	46.7%
GARDNER	182	48.6%	12.8%	34.9%	47.7%	61.6%	17.8%	16.4%	34.2%	42.3%
GEORGETOWN	124	73.3%	15.0%*	11.7%*	26.7%	68.8%	25.0%	6.3%*	31.3%	29.0%

Table 3. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 4 in Essential School Health Services Districts, 2008-2009

Grade 4		Males Females									
Essential School Health District	Total number of students screened	Healthy Weight	Over- weight	Obese	Over- weight or Obese	Healthy Weight	Over- weight	Obese	Over- weight or Obese	Over- weight or Obese	
	(N)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	
GILL-MONTAGUE	80	44.7%	28.9%	15.8%	44.7%	61.9%	19.0%*	16.7%*	35.7%	40.0%	
GLOUCESTER	239	67.5%	13.2%	16.7%	29.8%	60.8%	13.6%	19.2%	32.8%	31.4%	
GRANBY	90	66.7%	12.5%*	20.8%	33.3%	73.8%	7.1%*	16.7%*	23.8%	28.9%	
HADLEY	45	56.5%	30.4%*	8.7%*	39.1%*	63.6%	13.6%*	22.7%*	36.4%*	37.8%	
HAMPDEN WILBRAHAM	256	69.2%	16.5%	12.8%	29.3%	72.4%	20.3%	4.1%*	24.4%	27.0%	
HAMPSHIRE	128	66.7%	15.8%*	15.8%*	31.6%	71.8%	9.9%*	15.5%	25.4%	28.1%	
HARWICH	103	56.4%	18.2%	23.6%	41.8%	60.4%	31.3%	8.3%*	39.6%	40.8%	
HAVERHILL	622	52.3%	17.8%	27.2%	45.0%	60.1%	17.2%	20.6%	37.8%	41.6%	
HOLYOKE	344	50.3%	14.1%	32.2%	46.3%	49.7%	25.1%	22.8%	47.9%	47.1%	
HUDSON	190	58.2%	16.5%	24.2%	40.7%	55.6%	18.2%	25.3%	43.4%	42.1%	
LAWRENCE	866	50.1%	18.9%	30.4%	49.2%	47.9%	23.0%	27.9%	50.9%	50.0%	
LEOMINSTER	563	40.2%	15.1%	43.1%	58.2%	46.4%	17.9%	32.9%	50.8%	54.9%	
LEXINGTON	512	74.2%	16.3%	8.3%	24.6%	80.0%	11.2%	7.3%	18.5%	21.5%	
LOWELL	979	52.7%	16.6%	27.7%	44.3%	60.8%	16.3%	20.4%	36.7%	40.6%	
LUDLOW	242	50.0%	20.6%	27.0%	47.6%	59.5%	21.6%	19.0%	40.5%	44.2%	
LYNN	876	54.0%	15.4%	27.0%	42.4%	48.2%	19.5%	24.3%	43.8%	43.2%	
MANSFIELD	378	60.3%	16.9%	18.0%	34.9%	68.3%	16.9%	11.1%	28.0%	31.5%	
MARBLEHEAD	263	65.5%	23.7%	10.1%	33.8%	78.2%	13.7%	7.3%	21.0%	27.8%	
$MARSHFIELD^{\pm}$	372	71.4%	10.6%	12.7%	23.3%	75.4%	13.7%	6.0%	19.7%	21.5%	
MEDFORD	323	45.4%	24.2%	30.4%	54.6%	62.8%	17.1%	17.8%	34.9%	46.7%	
MIDDLEBOROUGH	277	61.3%	17.2%	20.9%	38.0%	69.3%	15.8%	14.0%	29.8%	34.7%	
NASHOBA	243	71.4%	14.3%	12.8%	27.1%	70.0%	21.8%	7.3%	29.1%	28.0%	
NATICK	279	70.1%	17.9%	9.7%	27.6%	69.0%	16.6%	10.3%	26.9%	27.2%	
NEEDHAM	418	75.1%	13.4%	10.0%	23.4%	75.6%	9.2%	8.3%	17.5%	20.3%	
NEW BEDFORD	1,006	56.8%	17.0%	24.5%	41.4%	60.1%	15.8%	22.8%	38.7%	40.1%	
NEWBURYPORT	164	72.4%	14.9%	12.6%	27.6%	72.7%	13.0%	10.4%*	23.4%	25.6%	
NEWTON	851	68.1%	20.9%	9.0%	29.9%	76.2%	10.9%	8.7%	19.6%	24.4%	
NORTH ANDOVER	353	67.1%	19.0%	13.3%	32.3%	67.7%	23.1%	6.7%	29.7%	30.9%	

Grade 4			Ma	les		Females				Males and Females
Essential School Health District	Total number of students screened	Healthy Weight	Over- weight	Obese	Over- weight or Obese	Healthy Weight	Over- weight	Obese	Over- weight or Obese	Over- weight or Obese
	(N)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
NORTH ATTLEBOROUGH	364	59.9%	20.9%	17.6%	38.5%	57.6%	22.6%	14.7%	37.3%	37.9%
NORTH BERKSHIRE UNION	45	52.4%	23.8%	23.8%	47.6%	66.7%	20.8%	12.5%	33.3%^	40.0%
NORTHAMPTON	230	70.3%	12.6%	16.2%	28.8%	60.5%	21.8%	14.3%	36.1%	32.6%
NORTHBORO SOUTHBORO	391	70.3%	17.9%	10.8%	28.7%	73.5%	15.3%	10.2%	25.5%	27.1%
PITISFIELD	318	67.1%	14.4%	18.6%	32.9%	64.9%	11.3%	23.8%	35.1%	34.0%
PLYMOUTH	639	54.1%	19.1%	24.8%	43.9%	64.2%	17.7%	15.3%	33.0%	39.0%
PROVINCETOWN	12	20.0%^	20.0%	60.0%^	80.0%^	57.1%^	28.6%	14.3%^	42.9%^	58.3%^
QUINCY	561	58.9%	19.2%	21.2%	40.4%	65.9%	19.3%	14.4%	33.7%	37.3%
ROCKPORT	68	79.5%	7.7%*	12.8%*	20.5%*	72.4%	10.3%*	13.8%*	24.1%	22.1%
SANDWICH	276	67.5%	15.9%	16.6%	32.5%	75.2%	15.2%	8.8%	24.0%	28.6%
SCITUATE	284	74.3%	11.8%	9.7%	21.5%	75.0%	9.3%	10.7%	20.0%	20.8%
SPRINGFIELD	1,601	49.4%	18.9%	29.2%	48.1%	51.5%	19.8%	25.5%	45.3%	46.7%
STOUGHTON	305	61.9%	13.8%	21.9%	35.6%	60.7%	14.5%	23.4%	37.9%	36.7%
TAUNTON	629	48.9%	25.2%	23.7%	48.9%	54.2%	25.0%	19.6%	44.6%	46.7%
WALPOLE	317	64.6%	23.4%	10.8%	34.2%	65.4%	21.4%	11.3%	32.7%	33.4%
WALTHAM	321	54.3%	14.6%	31.1%	45.7%	55.4%	17.2%	27.4%	44.6%	45.2%
WEST BRIDGEWATER	88	44.0%	26.0%	30.0%	56.0%	76.3%	18.4%*	5.3%*	23.7%*	42.0%
WESTON	180	72.7%	14.3%	9.1%	23.4%	79.6%	13.6%	2.9%*	16.5%	19.4%
WEYMOUTH	453	51.8%	23.7%	21.4%	45.1%	53.7%	18.8%	25.8%	44.5%	44.8%
WILMINGTON	317	70.3%	11.5%	15.2%	26.7%	65.1%	18.4%	15.1%	33.6%	30.0%
WORCESTER	1,371	55.5%	19.2%	21.3%	40.5%	59.7%	16.8%	18.7%	35.5%	38.1%

TOTAL31,99957.7%18.1%21.6%39.7%61.4%17.5%18.0%35.5%37.6%Note: Data that did not pass data quality tests or did not meet the reporting criteria (that 70% of students in a grade level should be screened) were excluded from the analysis.
Definitions: Underweight – BMI for age percentile; Healthy weight – BMI for age percentile between 5^{th} percentile; Overweight – BMI for age percentile $\geq 95^{th}$ percentile.

* Rate should be interpreted cautiously due to the small number of students in this category (< 10 students).

[±] Data for Boston, Billerica, and Marshfield is currently being verified.

		Males				Females				Males and Females
Essential School Health District	Total number of students screened	Healthy Weight	Over- weight	Obese	Over- weight or Obese	Healthy Weight	Over- weight	Obese	Over- weight or Obese	Over- weight or Obese
	(N)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
ACTON-BOXBOROUGH	404	74.0%	17.8%	4.7%*	22.5%	83.8%	10.2%	2.1%*	12.3%	16.6%
AMESBURY	176	68.1%	9.6%*	17.0%	26.6%	70.7%	7.3%*	15.9%	23.2%	25.0%
ANDOVER	492	69.1%	10.4%	18.6%	29.0%	77.1%	11.7%	10.3%	22.0%	25.8%
ARLINGTON	339	88.1%	3.8%*	6.9%	10.6%	88.8%	2.8%*	3.9%*	6.7%	8.6%
ASHBURNHAM-WESTMINSTER	173	75.6%	19.8%	0.0%*	19.8%	77.0%	20.7%	0.0%*	20.7%	20.2%
BARNSTABLE	401	55.2%	18.7%	25.7%	44.3%	62.0%	19.3%	17.0%	36.3%	40.9%
BELCHERTOWN	229	71.8%	25.6%	2.6%*	28.2%	81.3%	15.2%	1.8%*	17.0%	22.7%
BERKSHIRE HILLS	96	66.1%	17.7%	16.1%	33.9%	82.4%	8.8%*	8.8%*	17.6%*	28.1%
BILLERICA [±]	526	45.1%	29.1%	11.1%	40.2%	42.2%	30.5%	9.6%	40.1%	40.1%
BOSTON [±]	2,876	38.6%	24.4%	26.4%	50.7%	44.9%	20.5%	22.8%	43.3%	47.1%
BRAINTREE	408	71.4%	9.1%	12.3%	21.4%	66.0%	12.8%	13.8%	26.6%	23.8%
BRIDGEWATER RAYNHAM	471	59.4%	9.8%	28.9%	38.7%	71.7%	7.3%	18.0%	25.4%	32.9%
BROCKTON	1,094	57.3%	15.5%	26.2%	41.7%	52.7%	23.0%	23.2%	46.1%	43.8%
BROOKLINE	385	71.8%	10.1%	10.1%	20.2%	77.7%	11.7%	5.6%	17.3%	18.7%
CAMBRIDGE	359	58.1%	23.0%	17.3%	40.3%	70.2%	11.9%	16.1%	28.0%	34.5%
CANTON	219	60.0%	20.0%	19.0%	39.0%	70.6%	16.0%	5.9%*	21.8%	29.7%
CHICOPEE	528	61.4%	18.8%	18.8%	37.5%	55.4%	19.5%	23.1%	42.6%	40.0%
DOUGLAS	141	65.7%	14.3%	18.6%	32.9%	80.3%	8.5%*	11.3%*	19.7%	26.2%
EAST LONGMEADOW	215	50.0%	18.9%	28.3%	47.2%	69.7%	16.5%	11.9%	28.4%	37.7%
FALL RIVER	939	72.1%	9.5%	15.8%	25.2%	76.5%	14.5%	8.4%	22.9%	24.1%
FITCHBURG	346	51.8%	17.6%	30.0%	47.6%	46.6%	17.0%	34.1%	51.1%	49.4%
GARDNER	179	63.6%	13.6%	19.3%	33.0%	68.1%	18.7%	12.1%	30.8%	31.8%
GATEWAY	80	55.6%	19.4%*	22.2%*	41.7%	70.5%	18.2%*	11.4%*	29.5%	35.0%
GEORGETOWN	109	63.5%	25.0%	5.8%*	30.8%	52.6%	22.8%	15.8%*	38.6%	34.9%
GILL-MONTAGUE	79	50.0%	19.0%*	28.6%	47.6%	51.4%	18.9%*	29.7%	48.6%	48.1%

 Table 4. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 7 in Essential School Health Services Districts, 2008-2009

Grade 7			Male				Males and Females			
Essential School Health District	Total number of students screened	Healthy Weight	Over- weight	Obese	Over- weight or Obese	Healthy Weight	Over- weight	Obese	Over- weight or Obese	Over- weight or Obese
	(N)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
GLOUCESTER	261	58.0%	16.0%	22.9%	38.9%	75.4%	9.2%	13.1%	22.3%	30.7%
GRANBY	91	48.9%	17.0%*	29.8%	46.8%	56.8%	15.9%*	25.0%	40.9%	44.0%
HADLEY	53	64.0%	24.0%*	12.0%*	36.0%*	71.4%	17.9%*	10.7%*	28.6%*	32.1%
HAMPDEN WILBRAHAM	292	65.1%	16.4%	16.4%	32.9%	65.7%	20.0%	10.7%	30.7%	31.8%
HARWICH	97	65.4%	7.7%*	25.0%	32.7%	68.9%	15.6%*	13.3%*	28.9%	30.9%
HAVERHILL	569	55.1%	18.8%	24.1%	42.9%	59.0%	16.9%	22.9%	39.8%	41.5%
HOLYOKE	422	52.3%	17.8%	27.1%	44.9%	55.3%	25.0%	19.2%	44.2%	44.5%
HUDSON	207	61.8%	10.1%*	28.1%	38.2%	66.9%	16.1%	14.4%	30.5%	33.8%
LAWRENCE	835	56.0%	16.7%	25.4%	42.1%	47.5%	25.9%	26.1%	52.0%	47.1%
LEOMINSTER	456	54.5%	15.8%	28.9%	44.7%	64.5%	16.3%	17.2%	33.5%	39.7%
LEXINGTON	500	67.4%	19.1%	9.7%	28.8%	82.2%	8.7%	6.8%	15.5%	21.8%
LOWELL	976	51.8%	18.5%	23.8%	42.3%	60.7%	18.4%	17.1%	35.5%	38.9%
LUDLOW	265	59.2%	14.6%	25.4%	40.0%	54.1%	18.5%	25.9%	44.4%	42.3%
MANSFIELD	400	64.5%	19.2%	13.3%	32.5%	71.1%	12.7%	12.7%	25.4%	29.0%
MARBLEHEAD	249	78.6%	10.7%	10.0%	20.7%	75.2%	16.5%	8.3%*	24.8%	22.5%
$MARSHFIELD^{\pm}$	373	62.0%	8.2%	17.4%	25.5%	54.0%	9.0%	22.2%	31.2%	28.4%
MEDFORD	319	65.6%	14.4%	17.5%	31.9%	66.7%	17.6%	14.5%	32.1%	32.0%
MIDDLEBOROUGH	279	53.5%	20.8%	23.6%	44.4%	57.8%	17.0%	21.5%	38.5%	41.6%
NASHOBA	245	64.8%	16.8%	17.6%	34.4%	73.3%	17.5%	8.3%	25.8%	30.2%
NATICK	326	64.9%	16.7%	16.1%	32.7%	69.0%	19.0%	10.8%	29.7%	31.3%
NEEDHAM	287	72.8%	13.3%	9.5%	22.8%	77.5%	14.0%	7.0%*	20.9%	22.0%
NEW BEDFORD	870	55.4%	15.6%	27.2%	42.9%	58.3%	17.8%	23.0%	40.8%	41.8%
NEWBURYPORT	142	73.0%	13.5%	10.1%	23.6%	84.9%	11.3%*	0.0%*	11.3%*	19.0%
NEWTON	790	68.3%	16.9%	10.7%	27.6%	71.9%	13.3%	9.8%	23.1%	25.4%
NORTH ANDOVER	317	74.1%	10.8%	14.6%	25.3%	76.7%	14.5%	8.2%	22.6%	24.0%
NORTH BERKSHIRE UNION	33	60.0%*	20.0%*	20.0%*	40.0%*	34.8%*	39.1%*	26.1%*	65.2%	57.6%
NORTHAMPTON	171	59.6%	14.9%	22.3%	37.2%	71.4%	18.2%	9.1%*	27.3%	32.7%

Grade 7				Males and Females						
Essential School Health District	Total number of students screened	Healthy Weight	Over- weight	Obese	Over- weight or Obese	Healthy Weight	Over- weight	Obese	Over- weight or Obese	Over- weight or Obese
	(N)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
NORTHBORO SOUTHBORO	392	67.2%	23.7%	7.5%	31.2%	71.8%	17.0%	9.2%	26.2%	28.6%
NORTHBRIDGE	195	70.2%	10.6%	18.3%	28.8%	70.3%	12.1%	16.5%	28.6%	28.7%
PLYMOUTH	583	53.9%	22.2%	22.2%	44.4%	65.9%	16.9%	16.2%	33.1%	38.8%
PROVINCETOWN	10	87.5%*	0.0%*	12.5%*	12.5%*	100.0%*	0.0%*	0.0%*	0.0%*	10.0%*
QUINCY	521	58.0%	17.2%	21.0%	38.2%	65.6%	19.7%	12.4%	32.0%	35.1%
ROCKPORT	78	60.0%	27.5%	10.0%*	37.5%	73.7%	13.2%*	10.5%*	23.7%	30.8%
SANDWICH	295	70.9%	14.2%	13.5%	27.7%	76.9%	12.9%	8.2%	21.1%	24.4%
SCITUATE	194	58.2%	16.5%	19.8%	36.3%	76.7%	13.6%	5.8%*	19.4%	27.3%
SPRINGFIELD	1,645	50.4%	18.1%	29.2%	47.4%	51.1%	20.4%	27.0%	47.3%	47.4%
STOUGHTON	270	59.4%	20.6%	18.1%	38.7%	61.7%	13.9%	19.1%	33.0%	36.3%
TAUNTON	641	56.4%	16.6%	26.1%	42.7%	55.3%	18.8%	25.0%	43.8%	43.2%
WALPOLE	271	59.1%	22.6%	17.5%	40.1%	70.1%	18.7%	9.0%	27.6%	33.9%
WALTHAM	347	57.1%	23.0%	17.8%	40.8%	61.5%	19.9%	16.0%	35.9%	38.6%
WEST BRIDGEWATER	101	57.1%	22.4%	20.4%	42.9%	67.3%	25.0%	7.7%*	32.7%	37.6%
WESTON	204	90.9%	4.5%*	2.7%*	7.3%*	94.7%	3.2%*	2.1%*	5.3%*	6.4%
WEYMOUTH	437	59.2%	16.1%	22.0%	38.1%	61.2%	19.6%	15.9%	35.5%	36.8%
WILMINGTON	323	61.6%	13.9%	23.2%	37.1%	52.3%	15.7%	18.6%	34.3%	35.6%
TOTAL	27,596	58.7%	17.2%	20.4%	37.7%	62.7%	17.2%	16.3%	33.5%	35.6%

Note: Data that did not pass data quality tests or did not meet the reporting criteria (that 70% of students in a grade level should be screened) were excluded from

the analysis. Definitions: Underweight – BMI for age percentile < 5^{th} percentile; Healthy weight – BMI for age percentile between 5^{th} and 85^{th} percentile; Overweight – BMI for age percentile between 85^{th} and 95^{th} percentile; Obese – BMI for age percentile $\ge 95^{\text{th}}$ percentile. * Rate should be interpreted cautiously due to the small number of students in this category (< 10 students).

[±] Data for Boston, Billerica, and Marshfield is currently being verified

										Males and
		-	Ма	les			Fem	ales		Females
Essential School Health District	Total number of students screened	Healthy Weight	Over- weight	Obese	Over- weight or Obese	Healthy Weight	Over- weight	Obese	Over- weight or Obese	Over- weight or Obese
	(N)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
ACTON-BOXBOROUGH	412	75.9%	12.1%	9.8%	21.9%	85.6%	8.5%	3.7%*	12.2%	17.5%
AMESBURY	169	51.1%	15.9%	33.0%	48.9%	70.4%	22.2%	6.2%*	28.4%	39.1%
ANDOVER	313	81.5%	9.3%	8.6%	17.9%	84.1%	11.3%	4.0%^	15.2%	16.6%
	295	91.0%	1.4%*	6.3%*	7.6%	94.7%	2.0%*	2.6%*	4.6%*	6.1%
WESTMINSTER	188	74 0%	11.0%	5.0%*	16.0%	75.0%	8.0%*	4 5%*	12 5%	14 4%
BARNSTABLE	335	61.0%	19.8%	18.6%	38.4%	73.0%	16.6%	9.8%	26.4%	32.5%
BELCHERTOWN	204	61.6%	25.9%	12.5%	38.4%	73.9%	19.6%	5.4%*	25.0%	32.0%
BERKSHIRE HILLS	142	63.6%	20.8%	13.0%	33.8%	69.2%	13.8%	15.4%	29.2%	31.7%
	317	64.5%	16.9%	12.7%	29.5%	51.7%	28.5%	9.9%	38.4%	33.8%
BRAINTREE	373	62.6%	12.8%	21.5%	34.4%	69.7%	16.9%	11.8%	28.7%	31.6%
BRIDGEWATER RAYNHAM	433	60.7%	19.4%	17.4%	36.8%	77.2%	12.9%	8.6%	21.6%	28.6%
BROCKTON	923	66.5%	13.5%	17.7%	31.2%	59.1%	20.7%	18.7%	39.4%	35.5%
BROOKLINE	348	71.4%	13.7%	9.9%	23.6%	75.9%	11.2%	8.0%	19.3%	21.3%
CANTON	193	72.8%	16.5%	8.7%*	25.2%	86.7%	2.2%*	8.9%*	11.1%	18.7%
CENTRAL BERKSHIRE	155	60.2%	19.3%	20.5%	39.8%	66.7%	16.7%	15.3%	31.9%	36.1%
CHICOPEE	611	51.7%	24.4%	23.5%	47.9%	61.5%	25.3%	12.8%	38.2%	43.2%
DOUGLAS	102	53.5%	16.3%*	27.9%	44.2%	83.1%	10.2%*	6.8%*	16.9%	28.4%
EAST LONGMEADOW	224	55.6%	21.3%	18.5%	39.8%	69.0%	19.0%	12.1%	31.0%	35.3%
FALL RIVER	504	64.6%	18.6%	16.0%	34.6%	71.9%	13.1%	12.7%	25.8%	30.0%
FITCHBURG	286	49.0%	17.0%	32.0%	49.0%	54.0%	23.7%	20.1%	43.9%	46.5%
GARDNER	199	66.0%	17.0%	15.1%	32.1%	66.7%	21.5%	11.8%	33.3%	32.7%
GATEWAY	61	67.9%	17.9%*	14.3%*	32.1%*	60.6%	21.2%*	18.2%*	39.4%	36.1%
GEORGETOWN	95	68.2%	15.9%*	13.6%*	29.5%	64.7%	27.5%	3.9%*	31.4%	30.5%
GILL-MONTAGUE	72	71.0%	6.5%	22.6%	29.0%	63.4%	14.6%	19.5%*	34.1%*	31.9%
GLOUCESTER	227	63.8%	11.8%	22.8%	34.6%	59.0%	19.0%	20.0%	39.0%	36.6%

 Table 5. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 10 in Essential School Health Services Districts, 2008-2009

				_						Males and
Grade 10			Ма	les			Fem	ales		Females
Essential School Health District	Total number of students screened	Healthy Weight	Over- weight	Obese	Over- weight or Obese	Healthy Weight	Over- weight	Obese	Over- weight or Obese	Over- weight or Obese
	(N)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
GRANBY	94	50.0%	27.8%	20.4%	48.1%	65.0%	22.5%*	12.5%*	35.0%	42.6%
HADLEY	41	61.1%	11.1%*	16.7%*	27.8%*	82.6%	8.7%*	8.7%*	17.4%*	22.0%*
HAMPDEN WILBRAHAM	301	58.8%	21.2%	18.2%	39.4%	74.3%	14.7%	10.3%	25.0%	32.9%
HAMPSHIRE	136	67.8%	15.3%*	13.6%*	28.8%	63.6%	19.5%	6.5%*	26.0%	27.2%
HARWICH	75	64.7%	14.7%*	20.6%*	35.3%	73.2%	12.2%	14.6%*	26.8%*	30.7%
HAVERHILL	462	54.8%	18.8%	24.3%	43.1%	57.8%	18.8%	21.5%	40.4%	41.8%
LEOMINSTER	348	64.2%	17.3%	17.9%	35.2%	68.3%	13.4%	17.2%	30.6%	32.8%
LEXINGTON	468	64.3%	20.5%	12.9%	33.5%	79.9%	14.8%	4.1%	18.9%	25.9%
LUDLOW	223	65.5%	17.7%	15.9%	33.6%	64.5%	22.7%	10.9%	33.6%	33.6%
LYNN	861	63.7%	15.1%	19.6%	34.7%	58.0%	21.6%	19.7%	41.3%	37.9%
MANSFIELD	388	63.3%	15.8%	15.8%	31.6%	74.0%	13.3%	9.2%	22.5%	27.6%
MARBLEHEAD	263	75.6%	13.7%	10.7%	24.4%	74.2%	16.7%	8.3%	25.0%	24.7%
$MARSHFIELD^{\pm}$	334	72.0%	8.2%	13.7%	22.0%	78.3%	7.2%	9.9%	17.1%	19.8%
NASHOBA	201	72.6%	15.1%	12.3%	27.4%	82.1%	14.7%	3.2%*	17.9%	22.9%
NATICK	250	69.3%	12.1%	17.1%	29.3%	72.7%	18.2%	9.1%	27.3%	28.4%
NEEDHAM	310	71.4%	12.9%	12.2%	25.2%	74.2%	17.8%	6.7%	24.5%	24.8%
NEW BEDFORD	513	67.4%	15.5%	13.8%	29.3%	75.1%	12.0%	11.5%	23.4%	26.9%
NEWBURYPORT	191	71.8%	19.1%	6.4%*	25.5%	66.7%	21.0%	11.1%*	32.1%	28.3%
NEWTON	768	74.0%	13.1%	10.6%	23.8%	80.8%	9.1%	7.1%	16.2%	20.2%
NORTH ANDOVER	309	57.6%	27.3%	13.7%	41.0%	68.8%	17.1%	13.5%	30.6%	35.3%
NORTH ATTLEBOROUGH	249	65.7%	18.7%	11.2%	29.9%	70.4%	20.0%	5.2%*	25.2%	27.7%
NORTHAMPTON	222	72.0%	12.1%	15.0%	27.1%	75.7%	12.2%	7.8%*	20.0%	23.4%
NORTHBRIDGE	158	52.2%	20.7%	26.1%	46.7%	59.1%	15.2%	25.8%	40.9%	44.3%
PLYMOUTH	708	60.9%	20.0%	18.5%	38.5%	64.9%	18.8%	15.8%	34.5%	36.4%
PROVINCETOWN	15	66.7%*	16.7%*	16.7%*	33.3%*	55.6%*	22.2%*	22.2%*	44.4%*	40.0%*
QUINCY	715	71.9%	10.6%	13.9%	24.5%	71.3%	12.2%	14.7%	26.9%	25.6%
ROCKPORT	76	69.4 <u>%</u>	16.7%*	13.9%*	30.6%	72.5%	17.5 <u>%</u>	10.0%*	27.5%*	28.9%

Grade 10		Males					Males and Females			
Essential School Health District	Total number of students screened	Healthy Weight	Over- weight	Obese	Over- weight or Obese	Healthy Weight	Over- weight	Obese	Over- weight or Obese	Over- weight or Obese
	(N)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
SANDWICH	264	83.3%	6.1%	8.3%	14.4%	78.0%	9.1%	9.8%	18.9%	16.7%
STOUGHTON	325	52.5%	17.9%	28.4%	46.3%	59.5%	21.5%	14.7%	36.2%	41.2%
TAUNTON	366	58.6%	19.4%	12.0%	31.4%	57.1%	21.1%	10.3%	31.4%	31.4%
WALPOLE	253	75.4%	12.3%	9.6%	21.9%	79.1%	16.5%	2.9%*	19.4%	20.6%
WALTHAM	349	63.0%	21.4%	14.3%	35.7%	56.4%	19.0%	24.1%	43.1%	39.8%
WEST BRIDGEWATER	73	59.5%	24.3%*	13.5%*	37.8%	61.1%	22.2%	16.7%*	38.9%*	38.4%
WEYMOUTH	488	58.9%	24.0%	15.6%	39.6%	54.5%	31.0%	13.6%	44.6%	41.8%
WILMINGTON	259	67.5%	12.8%	17.9%	30.8%	69.0%	18.3%	12.0%	30.3%	30.5%
TOTAL	18,237	65.4%	16.3%	16.0%	32.3%	69.3%	16.7%	12.0%	28.6%	30.5%

Note: Data that did not pass data quality tests or did not meet the reporting criteria (that 70% of students in a grade level should be screened) were excluded from the analysis. Definitions: *Underweight* – BMI for age percentile; *Healthy weight* – BMI for age percentile between 5th and 85th percentile; *Overweight* – BMI for age percentile $\ge 95^{th}$ percentile.

* Rate should be interpreted cautiously due to the small number of students in this category (< 10 students).

[±] Data for Boston, Billerica, and Marshfield is currently being verified.

		Percent Underweight					
Essential School Health District	Total number of students screened	Males	Females	Males and Females			
	(N)	(%)	(%)	(%)			
ACTON-BOXBOROUGH	1,666	2.0%	2.5%	2.3%			
AMESBURY	781	3.5%	4.0%	3.7%			
ANDOVER	1,771	1.3%	1.4%	1.4%			
ARLINGTON	1,379	1.6%	2.1%	1.9%			
ASHBURNHAM-WESTMINSTER	705	3.9%	4.4%	4.1%			
ATTLEBORO	825	3.1%	2.7%	2.9%			
BARNSTABLE	1,576	0.9%*	1.1%*	1.0%			
BELCHERTOWN	816	0.7%*	1.0%*	0.9%*			
BERKSHIRE HILLS	366	1.5%	1.3%	1.4%*			
BILLERICA [±]	1,879	±	±	±			
BOSTON [±]	9,841	±	±	±			
BRAINTREE	1,637	3.5%	3.4%	3.4%			
BRIDGEWATER RAYNHAM	1,803	1.8%	1.7%	1.8%			
BROCKTON	4,230	2.2%	2.0%	2.1%			
BROOKLINE	1,685	4.1%	3.4%	3.7%			
CAMBRIDGE	1,236	2.0%	1.5%*	1.8%			
CANTON	903	0.9%*	2.6%	1.8%			
CENTRAL BERKSHIRE	438	0.9%*	0.9%*	0.9%*			
CHICOPEE	2,139	0.6%	1.3%	0.9%			
DOUGLAS	487	2.3%*	2.2%*	2.3%			
EAST LONGMEADOW	851	2.0%*	1.1%*	1.5%			
FALL RIVER	2,957	1.9%	1.3%	1.6%			
FITCHBURG	1,417	2.5%	2.7%	2.6%			
GARDNER	750	3.6%	2.5%*	3.1%			
GATEWAY	141	1.6%*	0.0%*	0.7%*			
GEORGETOWN	461	2.9%*	4.1%*	3.5%			
GILL-MONTAGUE	308	3.9%*	1.3%*	2.6%*			

Table 6. Underweight Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Essential School Health Services Districts, 2008-2009

<u> </u>		Pe	ercent Underwe	eight
Essential School Health District	Total number of students screened	Males	Females	Males and Females
	(1)	(70)	(70)	(70)
GLOUCESTER	915	2.4%	3.3%	2.8%
GRANBY	339	2.2%*	1.9%*	2.1%*
HADLEY	174	3.6%*	0.0%*	1.7%*
HAMPDEN WILBRAHAM	1,065	2.3%	2.8%	2.5%
HAMPSHIRE	406	2.2%*	4.4%	3.4%
HARWICH	376	1.5%*	1.7%*	1.6%*
HAVERHILL	2,113	2.0%	1.5%	1.8%
HOLYOKE	1,063	2.9%	1.7%	2.4%
HUDSON	601	0.4%*	2.2%*	1.3%*
LAWRENCE	2,564	1.6%	1.3%	1.4%
LEOMINSTER	1,823	1.2%	2.4%	1.8%
LEXINGTON	1,862	3.4%	2.5%	3.0%
LOWELL	2,995	3.6%	3.0%	3.3%
LUDLOW	954	1.4%*	1.1%*	1.3%
LYNN	2,572	2.6%	3.8%	3.2%
MANSFIELD	1,484	5.3%	5.1%	5.2%
MARBLEHEAD	1,029	1.1%*	0.8%*	1.0%
MARSHFIELD [±]	1,406	±	±	±
MEDFORD	967	1.9%	1.8%*	1.9%
MIDDLEBOROUGH	824	1.6%*	1.6%*	1.6%
NASHOBA	927	1.0%*	0.7%*	0.9%*
NATICK	1,265	2.3%	2.6%	2.5%
NEEDHAM	1,437	3.9%	3.9%	3.9%
NEW BEDFORD	3,457	1.9%	1.4%	1.6%
NEWBURYPORT	645	1.7%*	2.7%*	2.2%
NEWTON	3,235	3.0%	4.0%	3.5%
NORTH ANDOVER	1,320	1.1%*	1.0%*	1.1%
NORTH ATTLEBOROUGH	973	2.4%	3.5%	3.0%

Underweight

Underweight

		Percent Underweight						
Essential School Health District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males (%)	Females	Males and Females (%)				
NORTH BERKSHIRE UNION	109	0.0%*	3.1%*	1.8%*				
NORTHAMPTON	798	1.5%*	2.8%	2.1%				
NORTHBORO SOUTHBORO	1,162	1.1%*	1.3%*	1.2%				
NORTHBRIDGE	353	1.0%*	0.6%*	0.8%*				
PITTSFIELD	318	0.0%*	0.0%*	0.0%*				
PLYMOUTH	2,610	1.8%	1.4%	1.6%				
PROVINCETOWN	44	0.0%*	0.0%*	0.0%*				
QUINCY	2,432	2.9%	1.6%	2.3%				
ROCKPORT	295	0.6%*	1.4%*	1.0%*				
SANDWICH	1,091	1.6%*	2.5%	2.0%				
SCITUATE	694	3.4%	3.5%	3.5%				
SPRINGFIELD	4,964	2.2%	2.0%	2.1%				
STOUGHTON	1,198	1.7%	3.0%	2.3%				
TAUNTON	2,515	2.8%	2.1%	2.5%				
WALPOLE	1,145	1.4%*	2.1%	1.7%				
WALTHAM	1,385	1.0%*	0.7%*	0.9%				
WEST BRIDGEWATER	344	2.8%*	0.0%*	1.5%*				
WESTON	539	2.6%	2.3%	2.4%				
WEYMOUTH	1,860	2.2%*	1.7%*	2.0%				
WILMINGTON	1,173	1.7%	4.7%	3.2%				
WORCESTER	2,806	4.9%	6.2%	5.5%				
TOTAL	109,674	2.4%	2.5%	2.5%				

Note: Data that did not pass data quality tests or did not meet the reporting criteria (that 70% of students in a grade level should be screened) were excluded from the analysis.

Definitions: Underweight – BMI for age percentile < 5^{th} percentile. Healthy weight – BMI for age percentile between 5^{th} and 85^{th} percentile. Overweight – BMI for age percentile between 85^{th} and 95^{th} percentile. Obese – BMI for age percentile $\geq 95^{th}$ percentile.

* Rate should be interpreted cautiously due to the small number of students in this category (< 10 students).

[±] Data for Boston, Billerica, and Marshfield are currently being verified.

Figures 1 – 4. Body Mass Index (BMI) and Weight Categories for Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 by Gender for Essential School Health Districts, 2008-2009



















