

**Charles D. Baker**  
Governor

**Karyn Polito**  
Lieutenant Governor



**Marylou Sudders**  
Secretary

**Monica Bharel, MD, MPH**  
Commissioner

# **Results from the Body Mass Index Screening in Massachusetts Public School Districts, 2014**

**August 2015**

Massachusetts Department of Public Health



---

# Massachusetts Department of Public Health

---

School Health Unit  
Bureau of Community Health and Prevention

## Results from the Body Mass Index Screening in Massachusetts Public School Districts, 2014

*Charlie Baker, Governor*

*Marylou Sudders, Secretary of Health and Human Services*

*Monica Bharel, MD, MPH, Commissioner, Department of Public Health*

*Carlene Pavlos, MTS, Director, Bureau of Community Health and Prevention*

*Thomas Land, PhD, Director, Office of Data Management and Outcomes Assessment*

*Mary Ann Gapinski, MSN, RN, NCSN, Director of School Health Services*

*August 2015*

## Acknowledgements

Staff in the School Health Unit, Bureau of Community Health and Prevention prepared this report:

Robert Leibowitz, PhD, Director of School Health Statistics and Evaluation  
Maureen Foley, MPH, RN, School Health Advisor  
Mary Ann Gapinski, MSN, RN, NCSN, Director of School Health Services

We wish to express our gratitude to the school nurses of Massachusetts who collected the data that made this report possible. This report reflects the work, both of the school nurses in the Essential School Health Service Programs who were the first to implement BMI screenings, and of the school nurses in the rest of the Commonwealth, who implemented BMI screenings shortly thereafter. We would also like to acknowledge the educational conferences and online training in Body Mass Index screenings provided by the Northeastern University School Health Institute during the past five years.

We also wish to acknowledge the contributions of the staff of the many programs within the Massachusetts Department of Public Health who provided topical overviews and reviewed draft sections of this report relevant to their areas of expertise, including Tom Land, PhD, Director, Office of Data Management and Outcomes Assessment.

For further information about this report, about the Body Mass Index Screening program, the Essential School Health Programs or the School Health Unit, please contact:

Mary Ann Gapinski, School Health Unit  
Bureau of Community Health and Prevention  
Massachusetts Department of Public Health  
250 Washington Street, 5<sup>th</sup> floor  
Boston, MA 02108-4619.  
Telephone: (617) 624-5427.  
Email: [Mary.Gapinski@massmail.state.ma.us](mailto:Mary.Gapinski@massmail.state.ma.us).  
Website: <http://www.mass.gov/dph/fch/schoolhealth>

# Table of Contents

Highlights .....	7
Introduction .....	9
Essential School Health Services.....	10
Body Mass Index Screening of Public School Students .....	11
BMI Data Collected in 2013-2014 School Year .....	12
Potential Uses of the Data.....	13
Methodology.....	15
Definition of Body Mass Index .....	15
BMI Screening Weight Categories.....	15
Data Quality .....	18
Limitations .....	20
Training of School Nurses .....	20
Data Collection.....	21
Note on Data Inclusion and Interpretation.....	21
Tables and Figures.....	23
Table 1. Overweight or Obese Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014.....	24
Table 2. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 1 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014.....	38
Table 3. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 4 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014.....	51
Table 4. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 7 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014.....	64
Table 5. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014 .....	78
Table 6. Underweight Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014.....	93
Figures 1 – 4. Body Mass Index (BMI) and Weight Categories for Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 by Gender for Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014.....	104
Figures 5 – 9. Healthy Weight, Overweight, Obese and Underweight Students in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 by Gender in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014 .....	106
Figure 10. Childhood Obesity and Median Household Income, 2013-2014 .....	109



## Highlights

- This report includes the results of BMI screenings performed during the 2013-2014 school year on 243,240 students, or 84 percent of Massachusetts public school students in grades 1, 4, 7 and 10. Of the students who were screened, 66.0% were in the healthy weight category, 16.0% were overweight, and 15.3% were obese. Thus, 31.3% of students were either overweight or obese. Of the male students, 32.6% were overweight or obese compared to 30.0% of the female students screened. In each of the four grades, more males than females fell into the obese or overweight category. The district-level highlights reported here only pertain to local and regional academic School Districts that reported at least 100 BMI screenings, and that reported results for all four grade levels.
- Among the local and regional public School Districts that reported the results of BMI screenings for all four grade levels, there was substantial variation (a difference greater than 30 percentage points) in the proportion of their students who were overweight or obese, ranging from 14.1% in Hopkinton to 47.4% in Everett.
- Similarly, there was also substantial variation among the School Districts in the proportion of their students in the healthy weight category for each of the four grades. For example, among local and regional School Districts that reported screenings results for 100 or more 4<sup>th</sup> grade male students, the percentage of 4<sup>th</sup> grade male students in the healthy weight category ranged from 39.6% (Everett) to 81.0% (Hopkinton), with the overall Massachusetts 4<sup>th</sup> grade male total being 62.9%.
- There was variation by grade and gender in the percentage of students who were in the obese category. The lowest percentage of obesity was among 1<sup>st</sup> grade females at 12.5% compared to 13.9% of 1<sup>st</sup> grade males. The highest percentage of obesity was among 4<sup>th</sup> grade males at 17.9%, compared to 14.8% for 4<sup>th</sup> grade females.
- There were no major differences by gender in the percentage of students who fell into the overweight category. The percentage of overweight varied somewhat by grade. It was lowest among students in Grade 1 at 14.2%, and highest among students in grade 7, at 16.7%.
- The percentage of students who were either overweight or obese was somewhat lower in grade 1: for grade 1, 27.4% were overweight or obese, compared to 32.8% for grade 4, 32.7% for grade 7 and 32.3% for grade 10.

- The overall percentage of students who fell into the underweight category was low, 2.7%, and was less than 10% in all but a few Massachusetts school districts. Among local and regional School Districts that reported screening results for 100 or more students, the percentage of underweight students ranged from 1.2% (Hudson) to 14.2% (Hopedale).
- The percentage of overweight and obese students is negatively correlated with median household income: among local and regional academic School Districts that reported screening results for 100 or more students and included results for all four grades, the lower the median household income in a town, the higher the percentage of overweight and obese students. This relationship holds until the median household income reaches approximately \$100,000. Above that level, the percentage overweight and obese appears to flatten out at a low level, although it is difficult to draw conclusions from these data because the number of towns in that income range is small.
- BMI statistics at the local level may vary from year to year due to factors that do not reflect long-term trends, and changes in any single year should be interpreted with caution. Trends over longer time periods (5 to 6 years or more) are more meaningful than increases or decreases in a single year.

## Introduction

To address the significant public health problem of obesity, the Massachusetts Department of Public Health (DPH) launched its statewide Mass in Motion initiative in January 2009. Mass in Motion aims to promote wellness and to prevent overweight and obesity in Massachusetts – with a particular focus on the importance of healthy eating and physical activity. Mass in Motion uses a multi-faceted approach, including:

- The release of a *Call to Action* that documents the extent of the obesity epidemic in Massachusetts, its consequences, and efforts to combat it;
- Support for regulatory changes promoting healthy eating and physical activity, including: Body Mass Index (BMI) screening of public school students in grades 1, 4, 7, and 10 and School Nutrition Standards for Competitive Foods and Beverages;
- An Executive Order by Governor Patrick requiring state agencies responsible for large-scale food purchasing (e.g., DPH and DMH hospitals) to follow healthy nutritional guidelines in their food service operations. State purchases of food by these agencies run into the tens of millions of dollars per year;
- Municipal Wellness grants to cities and towns across the Commonwealth to implement community-level strategies to increase opportunities for healthy eating and active living. Funding for the initiative is a public/private partnership (including state and federal funding);
- A Workplace Wellness initiative to help employers create work environments that encourage healthy behaviors and reduce absenteeism and health insurance costs;
- The launch of a state-sponsored Mass in Motion website that promotes eating better and moving more at home, work, and in the community. The objective of the website is to provide simple, practical, cost-effective ways for Massachusetts residents to:
  - Improve eating habits
  - Increase physical activity
  - Ask experts questions about improving their diet and physical exercise routine
  - Get involved in helping to build healthy communities



## Essential School Health Services

The Department of Public Health's School Health Unit's mission is the promotion of quality school health services across the Commonwealth through consultation, regulation development, continuing education, and performance improvement initiatives. The Essential School Health Service (ESHS) Programs were developed in 1993, and expanded in 1999-2000 to (a) strengthen the administrative infrastructure of the school health service program (nursing leadership, staffing requirements, health assessments, policies, emergency care, etc.), (b) implement tobacco control and cessation programs, as well as support efforts to prevent substance abuse, (c) link the school health service program with local health agencies, health care providers, community-based activities, and public health insurance programs, and (d) develop management information systems. In 1999-2000 grantees were also required to provide specific health services to community private schools aimed at strengthening the school health services available to private school students. In FY 08, each experienced ESHS program was asked to assume responsibility for mentoring or partnering with two other school districts in order to spread the high quality standards to additional school districts.

In 2013-2014, there were 70 Essential School Health Service Programs, serving 103 cities and towns and approximately 441,895, or 46%, of Massachusetts public school students. In addition, there were 105 partner school districts with 241,549 students. A total of 683,444 students are included in the ESHS districts and their partner school districts.

Districts in the ESHS program were the first to systematically conduct BMI screenings and report the results to the Commonwealth. The next group to report BMI results were the ESHS partner districts, followed by the remainder of public school districts.

## Body Mass Index Screening of Public School Students

As a key component of the Mass in Motion initiative, in April 2009, the Public Health Council unanimously approved a regulation requiring school systems to measure the height and weight of public school students in grades 1, 4, 7 and 10 and use those figures to calculate their Body Mass Index (BMI). BMI is a method of determining if a child has a healthy weight compared to other children of the same age and sex. Children with a high BMI are more likely to become overweight or obese adults and be at a higher risk for diabetes, heart disease and some cancers. Helping children attain a healthy weight now, and stay at a healthy weight, may prevent serious illness later in life.

School nurses are responsible for oversight of the screening, including: parent notification, providing privacy during screening, training for any ancillary staff assisting with screening, recording and the confidential reporting of individual results to parents, and submitting aggregate data to DPH.

Parents and guardians are given the opportunity to waive their child's BMI screening at school by submitting a written request. In 2012-2013, the results of the screening were directly and confidentially communicated to the parents or guardians of each student. Starting in 2013-2014, notifications to parents were no longer required, although they could be done at the discretion of the local school district. In addition, aggregate data is shared with local school and health officials and the Department of Public Health. No data on an *individual* student is shared with anyone other than that student's parents or guardians.

The BMI screening program has been phased in across Massachusetts. School districts that participate in the ESHS program have been collecting this data since 2004. During the 2009-2010 school year, 120 ESHS school districts reported BMI for the four grades. In the 2010-2011 school year, all public schools in Massachusetts were required to perform BMI screening for all students in grades 1, 4, 7, 10 (or corresponding age in ungraded schools). This report includes data from the public school districts that submitted BMI data for the 2014-2015 school year.

## BMI Data Collected in 2013-2014 School Year

This report includes data from 311 public school districts and organizations. These data were collected during the 2013-2014 school year and includes BMI screening results for 243,536 students. This is 84% of the 288,287 public school students in Massachusetts schools in grades 1, 4, 7, and 10. In addition, 2% of the enrollment was screened but excluded from this report due to errors in generating or reporting the data.<sup>1</sup>

Category of Screening for 2013-2014 School Year	Number of Students	Percent of MA Enrollment in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 <sup>1</sup>
<b>Total Massachusetts public school enrollment, grades 1,4,7,10</b>	<b>288,287</b>	<b>100 %</b>
Students screened in public school districts, grades 1,4,7,10, included in this report	243,536	84 %
Student enrollment in public school districts, grades 1,4,7,10, excluded from this report due to errors in generating or reporting the data	4,259	1 %
Students in public school districts, grades 1,4,7,10, BMI data not reported	40,743	14 %

In the districts that submitted BMI data, 4,037 students' parents opted out of the BMI screening by submitting a request to the school that their child's measurements not be taken at school. These students represent 1.5% of the students that were enrolled in grades 1, 4, 7, and 10 in districts that submitted BMI data.

Although DPH uses the term school "district" throughout this report, some organizations not considered to be school districts are also included. Organizations submitting data included local public school districts, academic regional school districts, school unions, vocational-technical regional school districts, agricultural school districts, charter school districts, and educational collaboratives. Data from private schools were not included in this report.

---

<sup>1</sup> Some data reports were excluded because the percentage of students screened was unacceptably low. The screening percentage for each grade level was reviewed. If a district submitted a report with a low screening percentage in a single grade, then the data from that grade were excluded while the data from the remaining grades were still eligible to be included in the report. Percentages do not add up to 100% due to rounding error.

## Potential Uses of the Data

The goal of this report is to share this data widely with all community leaders so it can be used for sound community planning, program development and public health monitoring. In addition to providing useful information to individual students, their parents and their health care providers, the aggregated BMI screening data can be useful to many other stakeholders in each local community. The aggregate BMI data is an important indicator of the health of the current school-age population and is related to the health of future adults in that community. This data reflects patterns of healthy nutrition and physical activity which are aspects of a healthy, productive and economically vital community. The Department of Public Health is releasing this report to facilitate school nurses sharing the aggregated school district data with:

- School superintendents, principals and other administrators who make important policy decisions that influence the school environment;
- School committees and school wellness committees;
- Health educators, food service personnel, athletic directors, teachers and others who may impact healthy nutrition and physical activity within the school setting;
- Local boards of health;
- Parent teacher associations and site-based councils of parents;
- Municipal leadership, including mayors, town managers and city or town councils;
- Local primary care providers, community health centers, hospitals and other health care institutions;
- Local and regional health coalitions; and
- Local and regional municipal planners.

In addition, school nurses are encouraged to work with school administrators, school wellness committees, local boards of health and local planners to consider the appropriate sharing of aggregated data, at the school level, to promote individual school action plans. For example, a school may develop a school wellness policy that includes changes to the school's nutrition and physical activity policy, and promotes a walk to school plan facilitated by local planners, among other initiatives.

In addition, some school nurse leaders may choose to share the community's cardiac and stroke mortality and morbidity data along with the BMI screening data to enhance the connection of this BMI data with future health care costs for the community, including health insurance costs for future municipal employees.

School nurses are expected to share the BMI data with the superintendents, school committees, wellness advisory committees, parents' organizations and community agencies and providers. Schools have already used this data to assess the impact of after-school nutrition and fitness programs, such as the *Off Our Rockers Program* in the Gardner Public Schools. As schools implement improved nutrition standards, changes

in vending machine offerings, and exercise programs, they are closely watching the BMI results of the first grade cohort who move to fourth grade in order to determine the impact of their interventions on the BMIs. The BMI data is also being shared with local media as a method of increasing public awareness of obesity rates in communities--- and as an impetus for community-wide programs, such as the Springfield Walking School Bus. This is a collaboration of school officials, law enforcement and other agencies. School staff (carrying a black and yellow umbrella) meet children on a one mile "bus" route and walk them to school. In some Springfield elementary schools, more than 100 children join the "bus" and school officials have noted an improvement in attendance.

## Methodology

### *Definition of Body Mass Index*

The Body Mass Index (BMI) is a tool that can be useful in early identification of possible health risk factors among children and youth. BMI (weight divided by height squared) is used to judge whether an individual's weight is appropriate for their height. BMI calculation in children considers gender, age, height and weight. All of this information must be recorded accurately to determine BMI.

BMI is a health *screening* tool and not a diagnostic tool. It is used to screen for obesity, overweight, healthy weight, or underweight and is only one of the data points used in a complete health assessment. Many other factors can influence a child's BMI, such as family history and muscularity. The BMI measure is plotted on BMI growth charts to reveal the child's percentile ranking, which indicates the relative position of the child's BMI among children of the same age and sex. The BMI-for-age percentile is used to interpret the BMI result because BMI is both age-and sex-specific for children and teens. These criteria are different from those used to interpret BMI for adults — which do not take into account age or sex. Age and sex are considered for children and teens for two reasons:

- The amount of body fat changes with age. (BMI for children and teens is often referred to as *BMI-for-age*.)
- The amount of body fat differs between girls and boys.

For example, a child may have a high BMI for age and sex, but to determine if this indicates a health problem, a health care provider would need to perform further assessments. These assessments might include skinfold thickness measurements, evaluations of diet, physical activity, family history, and other appropriate health screenings.


### *BMI Screening Weight Categories*

When the child's BMI percentile for age is less than the 5<sup>th</sup> percentile, the child is considered *underweight*. When the child's BMI for age percentile is between the 5<sup>th</sup> percentile and 85<sup>th</sup> percentile, that is considered a *healthy weight*. BMI for age percentiles greater than or equal to the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile but less than the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile are considered *overweight*. When a child's BMI for age percentile is equal to or greater than the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile, the child is considered *obese*. BMI-for-age weight status categories and the corresponding percentiles are shown in the following table:

<b>Weight Status Category</b>	<b>BMI for Age Percentile Range</b>
Underweight	Less than the 5 <sup>th</sup> percentile
Healthy weight	5 <sup>th</sup> percentile to less than the 85 <sup>th</sup> percentile
Overweight	85 <sup>th</sup> to less than the 95 <sup>th</sup> percentile
Obese	Equal to or greater than the 95 <sup>th</sup> percentile

Being overweight, obese or underweight can put a person at risk for certain health problems. A student who is overweight or obese has an increased risk of developing serious conditions, including type 2 diabetes, heart disease, high blood pressure, and orthopedic problems. A student who is underweight has an increased risk for heart problems, loss of bone mass and anemia. Underweight may also be a sign of an underlying eating or endocrine disorder.

See the following example of how some sample BMI results would be interpreted for a 10-year-old boy, based on the BMI for age percentiles.



CDC BMI-for-age growth chart.

Available at: [http://www.cdc.gov/growthcharts/clinical\\_charts.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/growthcharts/clinical_charts.htm)



## *Data Quality*

The first data quality assessment is performed at the school district level and usually occurs before the data report is submitted to DPH. When the nurse leaders and / or district data analysts assemble the data from each school, they review the results from each school and follow up on any unusual results reported. At DPH, a second level of screening is performed which focuses on identifying results that are outside known or expected ranges. Data reports are screened by identifying districts that submit data for more students than are enrolled in the district, or by identifying districts that submit results that are far outside the typical range for a weight category. The data for each district is also screened for inconsistencies by checking for large variations across years within each grade and checking for large variations across grade levels within the same year.

As a result of the DPH screening process, questions were raised about the quality of some or all of the data submitted by 41 districts. DPH contacted these districts and requested they check their data and either provide corrected data or an explanation for the anomaly. Of these 40 districts, 17 submitted corrected data reports, 10 districts confirmed the accuracy of their original data report, while 14 districts were either unable to find or check their records, unable to provide corrected data in time for it to be included in this report, or did not respond to the request for clarification. The anomalous data from 5 of these districts were excluded from this report, as the size or pattern of the inconsistency was substantial. In addition, some or all of the data from 4 additional districts were excluded because the percentage of students screened was unacceptably low.

<b>Districts Excluded (or Partly Excluded) from Report Listed by Reason for Exclusion</b>	<b>Number of Districts</b>
<b>Data quality problem (Data from all 4 grade levels excluded)</b>	<b>2</b>
CHELSEA PHOENIX CHARTER ACADEMY	
<b>Data quality problem (Data from 1 – 3 grade levels excluded)</b>	<b>8</b>
CARVER LUNENBURG NORTH ADAMS SILVER LAKE REGIONAL TEWKSBURY UXBRIDGE WACHUSETT REGIONAL WEYMOUTH	
<b>Did not submit data</b>	<b>20</b>
ACADEMY OF THE PACIFIC RIM CHARTER AGAWAM ALMA DEL MAR CHARTER SCHOOL AMESBURY BENJAMIN FRANKLIN CLASSICAL CHARTER CONSERVATORY LAB CHARTER DUDLEY STREET NEIGHBORHOOD CHARTER SCHOOL FRANKLIN GLOBAL LEARNING CHARTER GREATER FALL RIVER REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL HILL VIEW MONTESSORI CHARTER PUBLIC LAWRENCE MARTHA'S VINEYARD CHARTER MAYNARD MINUTEMAN REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL MYSTIC VALLEY REGIONAL CHARTER PIONEER VALLEY PERFORMING ARTS CHARTER SEVEN HILLS CHARTER SPIRIT OF KNOWLEDGE ACADEMY CHARTER SUTTON	

## **Limitations**

BMI statistics at the local level may vary from year to year due to factors that do not reflect long term trends. Therefore, in any given city or town, increases or decreases in BMI statistics in any single year should be interpreted with caution. Short-term changes in BMI statistics may reflect a temporary change in the make-up of the student population in that district, screening of only part of the student population, or other short-term factors. Even with three years of data, assessment for trends may be misleading. Trends over longer time periods (5 to 6 years or more) are more meaningful than increases or decreases in any single year.

Within a school district, variations in the number of students screened in different years may indicate that there is missing data for one or more years, with one or more schools in the district not reporting. In years in which there is missing data the BMI statistics may not be representative of the entire student population of the district and should be interpreted with caution. In years in which there is missing data, changes in BMI statistics from year to year may be misleading.

In addition, for some smaller towns, the data presented represent relatively small numbers of students and therefore should be interpreted with caution. The smaller the student enrollment, the more likely that BMI statistics will fluctuate widely from year to year.

The accuracy of the BMI percentiles used in the statistics submitted to DPH depends on the accuracy of height and weight measurements and on use of proper procedures for calculating BMI percentiles from measured height and weight values. In districts using computer-based record-keeping systems, the accuracy of data reports also depends on the accurate retrieval and compilation of BMI statistics from those computer systems.

Measurements were taken in four grade levels and not from the entire student enrollment. Since overweight/obesity levels vary by age, the data in this report may not be generalizable to all Massachusetts public school students. However, it does provide information about the weight status, including overweight and obesity for over 200,000 public school students in four grade levels that are equally spaced through elementary, middle, and high school, and represents fully 84% of the public school enrollment in those grades.

## **Training of School Nurses**

Regional trainings for school nurses, physical education teachers and others involved in screening activities have been offered in workshops held by the School Health Institute at Northeastern University and funded by DPH. Alternatively, the training is available

online at the School Health Institute website <http://www.cps.neu.edu/shi/index.php>. More than 1,500 school nurses received this training.

The training includes:

- Massachusetts General Laws and Regulations on screenings
- Essential elements of Body Mass Index (BMI) screening
- Equipment and tools for proper measurement of height and weight
- Protocols for measuring height and weight
- Measuring Non-Ambulatory Students
- Calculating BMI and Recording Measurements
- Parent Communication, Referral and Follow-up

## Data Collection

School nurses perform height and weight measurements and record the information in a computer database containing student health records. A number of computerized health records used by school nurses have a BMI calculator embedded in the software which reduces the chance of calculation errors. The computerized records also have the child's exact age. When the height and weight is entered, BMI is automatically calculated, including the percentile. For schools where computerized health records are not available, DPH provides an Excel spreadsheet that calculates BMI percentiles and generates summary statistics.<sup>2</sup> Alternatively, schools could use the BMI calculator available as a web application from the CDC or other websites. Schools lacking computer access can use a BMI wheel or BMI chart to determine BMI percentile. The BMI percentiles are calculated by each school nurse or by a data analyst employed by the district. This information is then gathered and tabulated into an aggregate district-wide report, and sent to DPH.

In order to ensure the results would be representative of the students in their district, nurses were asked to complete BMI screenings on all students whose parents did not opt out of the screening. Of the local and regional public school districts whose data is included in this report, 98% submitted screening data that included at least 70% of the student enrollment in grades 1, 4, 7, and 10 combined.

## Note on Data Inclusion and Interpretation

Data that did not pass data quality tests and could not be verified were excluded from the analysis.

In cases in which fewer than 10 students were screened, this is noted in the table. The observed rates in these cases should be interpreted cautiously due to the instability of estimates when such small numbers are involved.

---

<sup>2</sup> See [www.mass.gov/dph/fch/schoolhealth](http://www.mass.gov/dph/fch/schoolhealth) Clicking on the *School Health Screening* link brings up a page with a downloadable Excel spreadsheet BMI calculator.

The school districts in most cities and towns serve elementary, middle, and high school students and the BMI statistics for those districts reflect overweight and obesity levels for students in grades 1, 4, 7 and 10. In some small cities and towns, however, schools are organized into regional school districts, with elementary school students attending schools in one district, middle school students attending schools in a another district, and high school students attending schools in yet a third district. The specific organizational structure of these school districts varies by region. As a result, there may be more than one local or regional school district serving students from the same community or region. In these cases, when separate BMI statistics are reported for each district, it provides a scattered picture of the weight status of students from those towns. In order to provide a more unified view of the weight status of students in those towns and regions, wherever possible DPH combined BMI statistics from all of the districts serving the same geographical area. The following list shows which districts were combined into a single record in the data tables that follow. To find BMI statistics for the district listed in the left-hand column, look up the district shown in the right-hand column.

<b>District report that was combined with regional data</b>	<b>Regional district or School Union containing combined record</b>
Berkley	Somerset Berkley
Berlin	Berlin-Boylston
Brewster	Nauset
Carlisle	Concord-Carlisle
Concord	Concord-Carlisle
Eastham	Nauset
Edgartown	Martha's Vineyard
Kingston	Silver Lake
Lincoln	Lincoln-Sudbury
Marion	Old Rochester
Mattapoisett	Old Rochester
Nahant	Swampscott
Norfolk	King Philip
Oak Bluffs	Martha's Vineyard
Orange	Ralph C Mahar
Plainville	King Philip
Plympton	Silver Lake
Provincetown	Nauset
Rochester	Old Rochester
Somerset	Somerset Berkley
Sudbury	Lincoln-Sudbury
Tisbury	Martha's Vineyard
Tri-Town School Union	Masconomet
Truro	Nauset
Up-Island Regional	Martha's Vineyard
Williamstown-Lanesborough	Mount Greylock
Wrentham	King Philip

## Tables and Figures

**Table 1. Overweight or Obese Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males			Females			Males and Females		
		Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>243,240</b>	<b>15.9</b>	<b>16.7</b>	<b>32.6</b>	<b>16.1</b>	<b>13.9</b>	<b>30.0</b>	<b>16.0</b>	<b>15.3</b>	<b>31.3</b>
ABBY KELLEY FOSTER REG CHARTER	450	17.6	15.4	33.0	10.9	18.3	29.3	14.2	16.9	31.1
ABINGTON	550	16.6	22.6	39.2	18.0	14.2	32.2	17.3	18.5	35.8
ACTON-BOXBOROUGH REGIONAL	1,596	13.6	8.5	22.1	10.5	4.1	14.5	12.1	6.4	18.5
ACUSHNET	112	*	*	27.8	24.1	20.7	44.8	18.8	17.9	36.6
ADAMS-CHESHIRE REGIONAL	401	13.9	27.8	41.6	13.5	27.1	40.6	13.7	27.4	41.1
ADVANCED MATH AND SCIENCE ACADEMY CHARTER	327	13.1	9.2	22.2	16.7	9.2	25.9	15.0	9.2	24.2
AMHERST-PELHAM REGIONAL	826	12.4	14.3	26.6	11.6	9.5	21.1	12.0	12.0	24.0
ANDOVER	1,823	13.7	9.4	23.0	13.5	5.5	19.0	13.6	7.5	21.1
ARLINGTON	1,506	11.2	10.1	21.3	7.2	7.5	14.7	9.1	8.7	17.8
ASHBURNHAM-WESTMINSTER REG	661	16.2	13.9	30.1	18.3	10.6	28.9	17.2	12.3	29.5
ASHLAND	740	8.1	11.7	19.8	14.7	6.3	21.0	11.5	8.9	20.4
ASSABET VALLEY VOC TECH REG	246	17.8	23.0	40.7	10.8	18.0	28.8	14.6	20.7	35.4
ATHOL-ROYALSTON REGIONAL	418	14.9	26.4	41.3	19.1	18.6	37.7	16.7	23.0	39.7
ATLANTIS CHARTER	237	21.7	17.0	38.7	22.1	16.8	38.9	21.9	16.9	38.8
ATTLEBORO	1,769	17.9	18.2	36.0	18.2	16.4	34.6	18.0	17.4	35.4
AUBURN	710	13.2	17.4	30.6	15.0	13.6	28.5	14.1	15.5	29.6
AVON	222	15.5	25.0	40.5	18.9	20.8	39.6	17.1	23.0	40.1
AYER SHIRLEY REGIONAL	515	22.9	13.0	36.0	15.6	14.5	30.2	19.2	13.8	33.0
BARNSTABLE	1,504	16.6	20.5	37.1	19.0	14.4	33.4	17.8	17.4	35.2
BAYSTATE ACADEMY CHARTER	75	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	17.3
BEDFORD	760	12.4	12.4	24.9	13.4	7.8	21.2	12.9	10.3	23.2
BELCHERTOWN	719	15.5	12.6	28.1	19.0	10.0	29.0	17.1	11.4	28.5
BELLINGHAM	591	16.4	11.1	27.5	19.2	12.2	31.5	17.8	11.7	29.4

**Table 1. Overweight or Obese Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males			Females			Males and Females		
		Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)
BELMONT	1,288	15.8	9.0	24.8	12.1	5.2	17.4	13.9	7.1	21.0
BENJAMIN BANNEKER CHARTER	82	23.3	39.5	62.8	*	*	46.2	22.0	32.9	54.9
BERKSHIRE ARTS AND TECHNOLOGY CHARTER	102	*	*	*	*	*	*	18.6	10.8	29.4
BERKSHIRE HILLS REGIONAL	402	9.2	12.2	21.4	12.1	9.7	21.8	10.7	10.9	21.6
BERLIN-BOYLSTON REGIONAL	277	12.3	13.8	26.1	16.5	10.8	27.3	14.4	12.3	26.7
BEVERLY	1,068	14.7	19.8	34.5	20.5	14.7	35.1	17.5	17.3	34.8
BI-COUNTY COLLABORATIVE (BICO)	36	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	22.2
BILLERICA	1,550	17.3	17.8	35.1	18.6	13.2	31.9	17.9	15.6	33.5
BLACKSTONE VALLEY VOC TECH REG	270	15.6	21.1	36.7	18.3	14.8	33.1	17.0	17.8	34.8
BLACKSTONE-MILLVILLE REGIONAL	552	15.4	19.5	34.9	17.5	15.0	32.5	16.5	17.2	33.7
BLUE HILLS VOC TECH REG	191	18.9	12.2	31.1	22.8	13.9	36.6	20.9	13.1	34.0
BOSTON	12,417	16.7	23.1	39.8	17.3	21.6	38.9	17.0	22.4	39.4
BOSTON COLLEGIATE CHARTER	149	23.8	15.9	39.7	17.4	16.3	33.7	20.1	16.1	36.2
BOSTON PREPARATORY CHARTER	102	*	*	33.3	21.7	20.0	41.7	19.6	18.6	38.2
BOSTON RENAISSANCE CHARTER	253	16.4	19.8	36.2	14.6	26.3	40.9	15.4	23.3	38.7
BOURNE	596	16.3	13.1	29.4	21.4	12.1	33.4	18.8	12.6	31.4
BRAINTREE	1,641	15.8	13.7	29.5	12.4	10.5	23.0	14.1	12.2	26.3
BRIDGEWATER-RAYNHAM REGIONAL	1,659	15.7	15.1	30.8	19.1	12.3	31.4	17.4	13.7	31.1
BRISTOL COUNTY AGR	109	*	*	37.1	20.3	17.6	37.8	21.1	16.5	37.6
BRISTOL-PLYMOUTH VOC TECH REG	326	19.1	24.7	43.8	23.0	20.3	43.2	20.9	22.7	43.6
BROCKTON	4,193	16.1	18.9	35.0	18.8	17.7	36.6	17.5	18.3	35.8
BROOKLINE	2,155	12.4	6.2	18.6	11.5	6.1	17.6	11.9	6.2	18.1
BURLINGTON	1,075	18.1	12.0	30.1	16.0	11.3	27.3	17.0	11.6	28.7



**Table 1. Overweight or Obese Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males			Females			Males and Females		
		Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)
CAMBRIDGE	1,686	14.0	16.8	30.8	17.3	13.8	31.0	15.6	15.3	30.9
CANTON	972	17.7	13.8	31.5	17.4	9.9	27.4	17.6	11.8	29.4
CAPE COD COLLABORATIVE	28	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	53.6
CAPE COD LIGHTHOUSE CHARTER	80	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	20.0
CAPE COD REGION VOC TECH REG	171	24.2	17.9	42.1	18.4	27.6	46.1	21.6	22.2	43.9
CAPS EDUCATIONAL COLLABORATIVE	15	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
CARVER	86	*	*	35.4	*	*	39.5	20.9	16.3	37.2
CENTRAL BERKSHIRE REGIONAL	490	13.0	16.2	29.1	14.8	15.6	30.5	13.9	15.9	29.8
CENTRAL MASSACHUSETTS SPED COLLABORATIVE	63	*	*	*	*	*	*	20.6	22.2	42.9
CHELMSFORD	1,521	17.0	11.1	28.1	16.0	10.9	26.9	16.6	11.0	27.5
CHICOPEE	2,188	17.1	21.7	38.8	17.3	21.1	38.4	17.2	21.4	38.6
CHRISTA MCAULIFFE REG CHARTER	117	*	*	38.6	*	*	28.3	10.3	23.1	33.3
CITY ON A HILL CHARTER	29	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
CLINTON	404	18.4	14.2	32.5	11.5	22.4	33.9	15.1	18.1	33.2
CODMAN ACADEMY CHARTER	31	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	48.4
COHASSET	366	*	*	13.2	*	*	14.7	10.9	3.0	13.9
COLLABORATIVE FOR EDUCATIONAL SERVICES	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
COMMUNITY CHARTER SCHOOL OF CAMBRIDGE	124	*	*	37.7	15.5	26.8	42.3	13.7	26.6	40.3
COMMUNITY DAY CHARTER	109	19.0	25.9	44.8	25.5	19.6	45.1	22.0	22.9	45.0
CONCORD-CARLISLE REGIONAL	1,214	13.3	4.2	17.5	8.9	5.0	13.9	11.0	4.6	15.7
DANVERS	1,069	16.5	13.5	29.9	16.3	8.1	24.4	16.4	10.9	27.2
DARTMOUTH	614	15.6	19.3	34.9	23.0	10.2	33.2	19.4	14.7	34.0
DEDHAM	769	17.9	15.8	33.7	18.0	13.8	31.8	17.9	14.8	32.8

**Table 1. Overweight or Obese Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males			Females			Males and Females		
		Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)
DENNIS-YARMOUTH REGIONAL	743	18.4	16.3	34.8	14.6	12.2	26.8	16.6	14.3	30.8
DIGHTON-REHOBOTH REGIONAL	874	12.9	10.6	23.5	9.7	8.4	18.1	11.4	9.6	21.1
DORCHESTER COLLEGIATE ACADEMY CHARTER	79	*	*	23.8	*	*	37.8	*	*	30.4
DOUGLAS	433	16.8	12.8	29.6	15.0	10.6	25.6	15.9	11.8	27.7
DOVER-SHERBORN REGIONAL	596	10.9	5.6	16.5	12.0	4.4	16.4	11.4	5.0	16.4
DRACUT	1,110	15.5	11.4	26.9	19.0	12.8	31.8	17.2	12.1	29.3
DUDLEY-CHARLTON REGIONAL	1,209	14.6	18.7	33.3	15.2	13.1	28.3	14.9	16.0	30.9
DUXBURY	982	14.6	8.5	23.1	11.7	4.5	16.2	13.1	6.5	19.7
EAST BRIDGEWATER	627	19.7	14.9	34.6	19.5	12.3	31.8	19.6	13.6	33.2
EAST LONGMEADOW	818	18.0	18.0	36.0	13.8	13.1	26.9	15.9	15.5	31.4
EASTHAMPTON	481	18.6	20.3	39.0	19.6	17.1	36.7	19.1	18.7	37.8
EASTON	1,127	16.8	12.2	29.0	12.3	12.1	24.5	14.6	12.2	26.7
EDWARD BROOKE CHARTER (ALL CAMPUSES)	309	12.3	16.2	28.6	16.1	21.9	38.1	14.2	19.1	33.3
ESSEX AGR TECH	109	*	*	38.2	*	*	30.7	18.3	14.7	33.0
EVERETT	1,780	21.5	26.0	47.5	20.3	26.9	47.2	20.9	26.5	47.4
EXCEL ACADEMY CHARTER	98	*	*	37.3	34.0	21.3	55.3	24.5	21.4	45.9
FAIRHAVEN	605	21.4	22.9	44.3	20.1	14.7	34.9	20.8	19.2	40.0
FALL RIVER	3,049	20.8	21.0	41.7	19.8	19.1	38.9	20.3	20.0	40.3
FALMOUTH	764	16.5	18.8	35.3	19.5	13.7	33.2	17.9	16.4	34.3
FARMINGTON RIVER REGIONAL	29	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
FITCHBURG	1,337	20.5	23.5	44.0	20.6	19.5	40.2	20.6	21.5	42.1
FOUR RIVERS CHARTER	69	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	23.2
FOXBOROUGH	786	13.9	10.7	24.6	12.0	7.5	19.5	13.0	9.2	22.1

**Table 1. Overweight or Obese Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males			Females			Males and Females		
		Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)
FOXBOROUGH REGIONAL CHARTER	399	23.5	15.3	38.8	19.2	15.3	34.5	21.1	15.3	36.3
FRAMINGHAM	2,250	15.7	20.6	36.3	18.1	18.0	36.0	16.9	19.3	36.2
FRANCIS W PARKER CHARTER	125	*	*	27.7	*	*	16.7	12.8	9.6	22.4
FRANKLIN COUNTY VOC TECH REG	99	23.0	23.0	45.9	*	*	31.6	18.2	22.2	40.4
FREETOWN-LAKEVILLE REGIONAL	855	15.3	9.7	24.9	17.9	11.5	29.4	16.6	10.6	27.3
FRONTIER (REG + SCHOOL UNION 38)	433	11.2	15.1	26.3	16.4	12.9	29.4	13.6	14.1	27.7
GARDNER	668	13.2	15.3	28.5	15.2	14.2	29.4	14.1	14.8	28.9
GATEWAY REGIONAL	281	16.1	12.8	28.9	10.6	12.9	23.5	13.5	12.8	26.3
GEORGETOWN	418	10.9	7.7	18.6	10.6	7.1	17.7	10.8	7.4	18.2
GILL-MONTAGUE REGIONAL	269	19.6	16.7	36.2	19.8	15.3	35.1	19.7	16.0	35.7
GLOUCESTER	838	20.4	17.0	37.4	19.9	16.0	35.9	20.2	16.5	36.6
GRAFTON	980	12.4	12.8	25.2	13.5	7.5	21.0	13.0	10.1	23.1
GRANBY	271	19.4	20.9	40.3	18.2	12.4	30.7	18.8	16.6	35.4
GREATER LAWRENCE VOC TECH REG	324	16.9	24.7	41.6	27.4	26.7	54.1	21.6	25.6	47.2
GREATER LOWELL VOC TECH REG	451	16.9	24.9	41.8	20.3	17.3	37.6	18.4	21.5	39.9
GREATER NEW BEDFORD VOC TECH REG	394	15.5	31.1	46.6	15.9	31.3	47.3	15.7	31.2	47.0
GREENFIELD	216	17.9	16.0	34.0	9.1	15.5	24.5	13.4	15.7	29.2
GROTON-DUNSTABLE REGIONAL	782	15.4	15.2	30.6	13.4	8.3	21.7	14.5	11.9	26.3
HADLEY	178	14.7	18.9	33.7	*	*	20.5	12.4	15.2	27.5
HAMILTON-WENHAM REGIONAL	552	10.3	6.2	16.5	8.6	3.9	12.5	9.4	5.1	14.5
HAMPDEN CHARTER SCHOOL OF SCIENCE	94	18.2	25.5	43.6	*	*	46.2	22.3	22.3	44.7
HAMPDEN-WILBRAHAM REGIONAL	1,010	17.0	14.8	31.8	16.5	10.7	27.2	16.7	12.7	29.4
HAMPSHIRE REGIONAL	519	17.6	15.6	33.2	13.3	11.8	25.1	15.4	13.7	29.1

**Table 1. Overweight or Obese Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males			Females			Males and Females		
		Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)
HANOVER	549	13.2	15.0	28.2	11.6	8.7	20.3	12.4	11.8	24.2
HARVARD	311	12.5	11.3	23.8	*	*	21.9	14.5	8.4	22.8
HATFIELD	130	*	*	29.8	*	*	20.5	10.0	14.6	24.6
HAVERHILL	2,104	13.0	23.4	36.4	17.7	20.1	37.8	15.3	21.8	37.1
HILLTOWN COOPERATIVE CHARTER	57	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
HINGHAM	1,211	13.2	7.6	20.8	11.8	6.4	18.2	12.6	7.0	19.6
HOLBROOK	350	17.2	22.7	39.9	10.2	13.9	24.1	13.4	18.0	31.4
HOLLISTON	844	15.8	9.8	25.5	14.2	6.8	20.9	14.9	8.2	23.1
HOLYOKE	1,497	17.6	20.4	38.0	17.0	19.7	36.6	17.3	20.0	37.3
HOLYOKE COMMUNITY CHARTER	195	13.8	14.9	28.7	12.0	12.0	24.1	12.8	13.3	26.2
HOPEDALE	345	13.4	8.4	21.8	15.1	10.8	25.9	14.2	9.6	23.8
HOPKINTON	989	8.9	8.7	17.6	7.0	3.3	10.3	8.0	6.1	14.1
HUDSON	843	14.5	19.7	34.2	16.4	16.4	32.7	15.4	18.0	33.5
HULL	313	19.8	16.2	35.9	19.2	15.8	34.9	19.5	16.0	35.5
INNOVATION ACADEMY CHARTER	179	*	*	23.9	*	*	25.3	15.6	8.9	24.6
IPSWICH	576	8.7	9.1	17.8	14.1	6.4	20.5	11.6	7.6	19.3
KING PHILIP REGIONAL	1,377	14.4	11.3	25.7	12.3	8.5	20.8	13.4	10.0	23.5
KIPP ACADEMY LYNN CHARTER	171	19.8	22.2	42.0	26.7	17.8	44.4	23.4	19.9	43.3
LAWRENCE FAMILY DEV CHARTER	198	19.2	37.2	56.4	20.8	32.5	53.3	20.2	34.3	54.5
LEE	192	*	*	36.6	10.1	24.2	34.3	9.9	25.5	35.4
LEICESTER	470	17.7	19.4	37.1	23.1	16.4	39.5	20.4	17.9	38.3
LENOX	212	19.1	10.6	29.8	*	*	16.9	14.2	8.5	22.6
LEOMINSTER	1,779	16.8	20.1	36.9	19.1	17.5	36.7	17.9	18.8	36.8

**Table 1. Overweight or Obese Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males			Females			Males and Females		
		Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)
LEXINGTON	2,021	14.4	6.7	21.2	10.4	5.6	16.0	12.4	6.1	18.6
LINCOLN-SUDBURY REGIONAL	1,681	12.0	10.7	22.7	10.8	5.7	16.5	11.4	8.3	19.8
LITTLETON	411	16.4	7.0	23.4	9.5	9.0	18.6	12.9	8.0	20.9
LONGMEADOW	670	12.6	9.4	22.1	16.1	5.8	21.8	14.3	7.6	21.9
LOWELL	3,474	14.6	21.8	36.3	16.6	17.6	34.2	15.5	19.8	35.3
LOWELL COLLEGIATE CHARTER SCHOOL	75	*	*	33.3	*	*	30.6	14.7	17.3	32.0
LOWELL COMMUNITY CHARTER	170	25.8	24.7	50.6	18.5	27.2	45.7	22.4	25.9	48.2
LOWER PIONEER VALLEY EDUCATIONAL COLLABORATIVE	23	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
LUDLOW	818	16.6	18.3	34.9	19.4	17.4	36.8	18.0	17.8	35.8
LUNENBURG	217	18.6	15.7	34.3	17.4	13.9	31.3	18.0	14.7	32.7
LYNN	4,377	18.1	23.5	41.6	18.6	18.3	36.9	18.3	21.0	39.3
LYNNFIELD	690	8.1	16.5	24.7	11.8	10.0	21.8	9.9	13.5	23.3
MALDEN	1,580	16.9	20.5	37.4	16.8	18.2	35.0	16.8	19.4	36.2
MANCHESTER ESSEX REGIONAL	470	14.4	11.4	25.8	9.0	6.4	15.4	11.7	8.9	20.6
MANSFIELD	1,272	16.5	12.4	28.8	16.2	9.0	25.2	16.4	10.7	27.0
MARBLEHEAD	809	12.1	11.4	23.5	11.9	12.1	24.0	12.0	11.7	23.7
MARBLEHEAD COMMUNITY CHARTER	94	*	*	22.2	*	*	32.5	*	*	26.6
MARLBOROUGH	1,227	17.2	24.0	41.2	16.2	18.6	34.8	16.7	21.4	38.1
MARSHFIELD	1,315	12.1	13.6	25.7	11.3	8.6	19.9	11.7	11.2	22.9
MARTHA'S VINEYARD REGIONAL	589	15.4	20.5	35.8	12.5	14.8	27.2	14.1	18.0	32.1
MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. CHARTER SCHOOL OF EXCELLENCE	122	16.7	24.2	40.9	*	*	30.4	14.8	21.3	36.1
MASCONOMET (AND TRI-TOWN SCHOOL UNION)	1,200	15.1	9.8	24.9	11.8	5.1	16.9	13.5	7.6	21.1
MASHPEE	483	16.1	21.8	37.9	18.7	14.5	33.2	17.4	18.2	35.6

**Table 1. Overweight or Obese Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males			Females			Males and Females		
		Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)
MEDFIELD	767	10.1	11.6	21.7	13.2	5.9	19.2	11.6	8.9	20.5
MEDFORD	1,221	18.4	21.4	39.8	18.3	16.6	34.9	18.3	19.1	37.4
MEDWAY	648	15.8	11.9	27.7	15.0	8.8	23.8	15.4	10.3	25.8
MELROSE	1,052	16.5	13.6	30.1	16.0	9.9	25.8	16.3	11.8	28.0
MENDON-UPTON REGIONAL	647	15.7	10.5	26.2	17.1	7.1	24.2	16.4	8.8	25.2
METHUEN	1,755	14.6	22.5	37.1	16.8	13.3	30.1	15.7	17.9	33.6
MIDDLEBOROUGH	982	15.9	19.9	35.8	15.7	17.1	32.8	15.8	18.5	34.3
MILFORD	1,212	19.1	19.4	38.5	19.2	15.8	34.9	19.1	17.7	36.9
MILLBURY	498	16.4	16.4	32.8	16.1	19.6	35.7	16.3	17.9	34.1
MILLIS	396	13.8	13.8	27.6	13.0	8.0	21.0	13.4	10.9	24.2
MILTON	1,099	12.6	11.3	23.9	12.7	6.7	19.4	12.6	9.1	21.7
MOHAWK TRAIL REGIONAL	336	15.3	14.8	30.1	16.3	15.6	31.9	15.8	15.2	31.0
MONOMOY	596	17.7	18.3	36.0	15.8	17.6	33.3	16.8	18.0	34.7
MONSON	361	13.7	13.7	27.4	12.4	9.7	22.0	13.0	11.6	24.7
MONTACHUSETT VOC TECH REG	345	24.3	10.7	35.0	18.5	7.7	26.2	21.4	9.3	30.7
MOUNT GREYLOCK REGIONAL	241	11.1	18.3	29.4	17.4	8.7	26.1	14.1	13.7	27.8
NANTUCKET	440	12.7	15.6	28.3	19.7	13.2	32.9	16.4	14.3	30.7
NARRAGANSETT REGIONAL	425	17.9	17.0	34.9	20.3	12.6	32.9	19.1	14.8	33.9
NASHOBA REGIONAL	819	13.4	13.7	27.1	12.7	3.7	16.3	13.1	8.7	21.7
NASHOBA VALLEY VOC TECH REG	158	19.2	23.1	42.3	*	*	38.9	17.7	23.4	41.1
NATICK	1,470	13.9	9.5	23.4	15.2	7.8	23.0	14.6	8.6	23.2
NAUSET REGIONAL	757	16.4	13.8	30.2	16.4	10.7	27.1	16.4	12.3	28.7
NEEDHAM	1,682	10.4	7.1	17.4	9.4	4.3	13.7	9.9	5.7	15.6

**Table 1. Overweight or Obese Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males			Females			Males and Females		
		Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)
NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSE CHARTER	115	17.2	19.0	36.2	19.3	19.3	38.6	18.3	19.1	37.4
NEW BEDFORD	3,481	20.2	20.2	40.4	21.1	20.3	41.4	20.6	20.2	40.9
NEW BEDFORD GLOBAL HMCS	89	*	*	29.5	22.2	22.2	44.4	16.9	20.2	37.1
NEWBURYPORT	652	17.0	14.0	31.0	15.5	10.6	26.1	16.3	12.4	28.7
NEWTON	3,428	12.0	8.5	20.5	9.7	7.5	17.2	10.9	8.0	18.8
NORFOLK COUNTY AGR	118	*	*	36.1	*	*	34.1	19.5	15.3	34.7
NORTH ADAMS	127	19.3	19.3	38.6	14.3	20.0	34.3	16.5	19.7	36.2
NORTH ANDOVER	1,430	14.3	11.9	26.2	15.8	8.4	24.2	15.0	10.2	25.2
NORTH ATTLEBOROUGH	1,469	17.9	17.9	35.8	14.1	12.5	26.6	15.9	15.1	31.0
NORTH BROOKFIELD	95	*	*	*	*	*	*	16.8	10.5	27.4
NORTH CENTRAL CHARTER ESSENTIAL	109	17.2	27.6	44.8	19.6	19.6	39.2	18.3	23.9	42.2
NORTH MIDDLESEX REGIONAL	543	16.7	12.6	29.3	12.2	13.8	26.0	14.2	13.3	27.4
NORTH READING	748	23.1	15.0	38.1	21.8	11.0	32.8	22.5	13.1	35.6
NORTH RIVER COLLABORATIVE	14	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
NORTH SHORE VOC TECH REG	115	14.9	24.3	39.2	*	*	36.6	16.5	21.7	38.3
NORTHAMPTON	729	18.7	13.8	32.6	15.2	10.2	25.4	16.9	11.9	28.8
NORTHAMPTON-SMITH VOC	110	*	*	30.5	*	*	37.3	16.4	17.3	33.6
NORTHBORO-SOUTHBORO REGIONAL	1,400	15.2	7.2	22.5	12.1	5.8	17.9	13.6	6.5	20.1
NORTHBRIDGE	712	12.2	16.3	28.5	15.7	16.6	32.3	13.9	16.4	30.3
NORTHEAST METRO VOC TECH REG	296	11.0	32.2	43.2	14.0	24.0	38.0	12.5	28.0	40.5
NORTHERN BERKSHIRE (SCHOOL UNION 43)	101	*	*	35.2	*	*	29.8	19.8	12.9	32.7
NORTHERN BERKSHIRE VOC TECH REG	142	17.6	24.7	42.4	26.3	17.5	43.9	21.1	21.8	43.0
NORTHSHORE EDUCATION CONSORTIUM	42	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	47.6

**Table 1. Overweight or Obese Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males			Females			Males and Females		
		Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)
NORTON	741	10.7	14.8	25.5	15.4	11.2	26.6	13.0	13.1	26.0
NORWELL	668	10.2	8.1	18.4	14.3	5.1	19.3	12.3	6.6	18.9
NORWOOD	1,031	16.6	18.5	35.1	14.7	13.9	28.6	15.7	16.3	32.0
OLD COLONY VOC TECH REG	136	16.4	26.9	43.3	*	*	27.5	14.0	21.3	35.3
OLD ROCHESTER REGIONAL	837	16.2	12.8	29.0	12.7	9.6	22.4	14.5	11.2	25.7
OXFORD	528	15.3	18.7	34.0	13.5	17.7	31.2	14.4	18.2	32.6
PALMER	444	10.2	23.8	34.0	21.0	18.9	39.9	16.0	21.2	37.2
PATHFINDER VOC TECH REG	158	18.5	23.9	42.4	19.7	30.3	50.0	19.0	26.6	45.6
PAULO FREIRE SOCIAL JUSTICE CHARTER	49	*	*	43.5	*	*	46.2	20.4	24.5	44.9
PEABODY	1,628	19.9	22.7	42.6	17.3	23.2	40.6	18.6	23.0	41.6
PEMBROKE	987	15.7	15.5	31.3	14.9	13.4	28.3	15.3	14.5	29.8
PENTUCKET REGIONAL	846	13.3	11.6	24.9	13.9	7.4	21.3	13.6	9.5	23.0
PHOENIX CHARTER ACADEMY	11	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
PILGRIM AREA COLLABORATIVE (PAC)	30	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	60.0
PIONEER CHARTER SCHOOL OF SCIENCE I	121	24.1	18.5	42.6	31.3	14.9	46.3	28.1	16.5	44.6
PIONEER CHARTER SCHOOL OF SCIENCE II	73	*	*	51.3	*	*	50.0	26.0	24.7	50.7
PIONEER VALLEY CHINESE IMMERSION CHARTER	106	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	24.5
PIONEER VALLEY REGIONAL	274	16.8	17.4	34.2	13.6	11.2	24.8	15.3	14.6	29.9
PITTSFIELD	1,572	14.4	14.2	28.6	18.5	15.4	33.9	16.5	14.8	31.3
PLYMOUTH	2,270	16.2	17.3	33.5	14.8	13.2	28.1	15.6	15.3	30.9
PROSPECT HILL ACADEMY CHARTER	84	*	*	31.1	*	*	25.6	14.3	14.3	28.6
QUABBIN REGIONAL	717	13.7	21.1	34.9	18.8	12.3	31.1	16.3	16.6	32.9
QUABOAG REGIONAL	423	17.3	19.0	36.3	19.8	15.7	35.5	18.4	17.5	35.9



**Table 1. Overweight or Obese Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males			Females			Males and Females		
		Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)
QUINCY	2,651	18.3	16.6	34.9	15.9	12.4	28.3	17.2	14.6	31.8
RALPH C MAHAR REGIONAL	426	16.7	20.4	37.1	15.6	17.6	33.2	16.2	19.0	35.2
RANDOLPH	838	18.1	25.2	43.3	20.2	21.7	41.8	19.1	23.5	42.6
READING	1,297	12.6	9.4	22.0	11.4	5.9	17.3	12.0	7.7	19.7
READS COLLABORATIVE	27	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
REVERE	1,989	20.7	24.2	44.9	22.3	21.8	44.1	21.5	23.0	44.5
RISING TIDE CHARTER	129	*	*	27.7	*	*	26.6	12.4	14.7	27.1
RIVER VALLEY CHARTER	112	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	24.1
ROCKLAND	628	20.5	13.5	33.9	24.5	11.2	35.7	22.3	12.4	34.7
ROCKPORT	304	17.3	12.7	30.0	19.5	7.8	27.3	18.4	10.2	28.6
ROXBURY PREP CHARTER	149	18.1	29.2	47.2	27.3	20.8	48.1	22.8	24.8	47.7
SABIS INTERNATIONAL	474	18.2	26.2	44.4	18.5	20.1	38.6	18.4	23.0	41.4
SALEM	1,224	19.8	21.8	41.6	20.9	22.7	43.6	20.3	22.2	42.6
SALEM ACADEMY CHARTER	109	28.1	22.8	50.9	*	*	30.8	22.9	18.3	41.3
SANDWICH	849	15.5	11.2	26.7	14.4	11.4	25.7	15.0	11.3	26.3
SAUGUS	832	13.4	19.9	33.3	15.5	14.8	30.3	14.4	17.4	31.9
SCITUATE	706	10.5	9.9	20.4	11.8	5.5	17.4	11.2	7.6	18.8
SEEKONK	616	15.6	14.3	29.9	12.4	10.5	22.9	14.0	12.3	26.3
SHAKER MOUNTAIN (SCHOOL UNION 70)	50	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	20.0
SHARON	726	13.2	9.8	23.0	19.0	6.8	25.7	16.1	8.3	24.4
SHAWSHEEN VALLEY VOC TECH REG	343	20.7	13.5	34.1	22.2	16.3	38.5	21.3	14.6	35.9
SHREWSBURY	1,578	17.8	16.0	33.8	16.5	8.4	24.8	17.1	12.2	29.3

**Table 1. Overweight or Obese Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males			Females			Males and Females		
		Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)
SILVER LAKE REGIONAL	711	18.6	17.1	35.7	14.1	11.3	25.4	16.6	14.5	31.1
SMITH LEADERSHIP ACADEMY CHARTER	73	*	*	*	*	*	*	17.8	13.7	31.5
SO MIDDLESEX VOC TECH REG	181	16.8	27.4	44.2	19.1	20.6	39.7	17.7	24.9	42.5
SOMERSET BERKLEY REGIONAL	979	15.9	16.9	32.8	14.5	13.8	28.3	15.2	15.4	30.6
SOMERVILLE	1,303	18.1	25.2	43.4	17.9	21.4	39.3	18.0	23.3	41.4
SOUTH HADLEY	559	14.9	14.2	29.1	13.1	12.4	25.4	14.0	13.2	27.2
SOUTH SHORE CHARTER	174	*	*	16.9	*	*	11.8	6.9	7.5	14.4
SOUTH SHORE EDUCATIONAL COLLABORATIVE	31	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	51.6
SOUTH SHORE VOC TECH REG	136	16.3	28.8	45.0	*	*	39.3	15.4	27.2	42.6
SOUTHBRIDGE	632	14.6	22.9	37.5	19.3	19.6	38.9	16.8	21.4	38.1
SOUTHEASTERN VOC TECH REG	301	20.1	17.1	37.2	18.2	23.4	41.6	19.3	19.9	39.2
SOUTHERN BERKSHIRE REGIONAL	224	19.8	18.1	37.9	17.6	14.8	32.4	18.8	16.5	35.3
SOUTHERN WORCESTER COUNTY EDUCATIONAL COLLABORATIVE	24	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
SOUTHERN WORCESTER CTY VOC TECH REG	289	15.9	22.5	38.5	21.5	28.0	49.5	18.0	24.6	42.6
SOUTHWICK-TOLLAND-GRANVILLE REGIONAL	526	15.6	24.4	40.0	15.1	14.7	29.9	15.4	19.8	35.2
SPENCER-E BROOKFIELD REGIONAL	503	21.9	22.6	44.5	16.4	16.8	33.2	19.3	19.9	39.2
SPRINGFIELD	6,620	15.9	24.1	39.9	19.2	23.0	42.2	17.5	23.5	41.0
STONEHAM	667	24.9	14.4	39.2	14.7	10.8	25.5	19.8	12.6	32.4
STOUGHTON	1,005	15.7	17.6	33.3	22.1	19.4	41.5	18.8	18.5	37.3
STURGIS CHARTER	207	14.7	14.7	29.4	*	*	31.4	19.3	11.1	30.4
SWAMPSCOTT (INCLUDING NAHANT)	697	9.9	14.5	24.4	14.5	7.2	21.7	12.2	10.9	23.1

**Table 1. Overweight or Obese Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males			Females			Males and Females		
		Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)
SWANSEA	652	17.7	16.2	33.8	19.5	21.1	40.6	18.6	18.6	37.1
TANTASQUA REGIONAL	1,075	13.3	12.7	26.0	15.3	11.0	26.3	14.2	11.9	26.1
TAUNTON	2,254	23.9	18.1	42.0	21.8	18.6	40.5	22.9	18.4	41.3
TEWKSBURY	654	21.4	18.9	40.2	16.9	19.9	36.9	19.1	19.4	38.5
THE EDUCATION COOPERATIVE (TEC)	24	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TRI COUNTY VOC TECH REG	239	18.4	22.1	40.4	17.5	30.1	47.6	18.0	25.5	43.5
TRITON REGIONAL	777	14.7	9.5	24.2	19.3	11.1	30.4	16.9	10.3	27.2
TYNGSBOROUGH	531	12.9	11.5	24.5	14.7	8.6	23.3	13.7	10.2	23.9
UP ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL OF DORCHESTER	153	*	*	25.8	*	*	26.4	*	*	26.1
UPPER CAPE COD VOC TECH REG	200	19.0	28.0	47.0	25.0	21.0	46.0	22.0	24.5	46.5
UXBRIDGE	404	11.4	9.4	20.8	13.9	9.9	23.8	12.6	9.7	22.3
WACHUSETT REGIONAL	912	11.0	10.6	21.7	13.0	9.4	22.5	12.0	10.1	22.0
WAKEFIELD	980	22.5	13.1	35.6	16.4	7.9	24.4	19.4	10.4	29.8
WALPOLE	1,193	14.9	14.1	29.0	11.3	8.0	19.3	13.1	11.0	24.1
WALTHAM	1,471	17.9	23.1	41.0	17.2	18.5	35.7	17.5	20.9	38.4
WARE	361	19.9	21.0	40.9	22.7	19.5	42.2	21.3	20.2	41.6
WAREHAM	744	13.7	21.3	35.0	22.6	22.3	44.9	17.9	21.8	39.7
WATERTOWN	721	21.1	15.2	36.3	20.8	9.5	30.3	20.9	12.5	33.4
WAYLAND	582	12.5	5.4	17.9	10.5	4.2	14.7	11.5	4.8	16.3
WEBSTER	266	10.7	23.6	34.3	14.3	21.4	35.7	12.4	22.6	35.0
WELLESLEY	1,501	12.0	6.1	18.1	9.3	3.6	13.0	10.6	4.8	15.4

**Table 1. Overweight or Obese Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males			Females			Males and Females		
		Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)	Over- weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over- weight or Obese (%)
WEST BOYLSTON	280	15.7	19.4	35.1	19.2	13.7	32.9	17.5	16.4	33.9
WEST BRIDGEWATER	411	17.9	13.9	31.8	14.3	12.9	27.1	16.1	13.4	29.4
WEST SPRINGFIELD	1,034	16.2	18.4	34.6	14.5	17.3	31.8	15.4	17.9	33.3
WESTBOROUGH	1,078	14.0	10.6	24.6	14.2	6.7	20.9	14.1	8.6	22.7
WESTFIELD	1,579	13.7	10.5	24.2	13.1	11.0	24.0	13.4	10.7	24.1
WESTFORD	1,521	11.7	8.4	20.2	11.3	4.9	16.2	11.5	6.8	18.3
WESTON	743	14.4	6.9	21.3	10.2	5.5	15.7	12.2	6.2	18.4
WESTPORT	469	14.0	21.2	35.2	14.1	12.2	26.3	14.1	17.3	31.3
WESTWOOD	941	12.2	6.0	18.2	10.3	6.3	16.7	11.3	6.2	17.4
WEYMOUTH	1,438	14.8	21.2	36.0	17.3	15.6	32.9	16.0	18.5	34.5
WHITMAN-HANSON REGIONAL	1,130	19.0	15.5	34.4	18.7	14.4	33.2	18.8	15.0	33.8
WHITTIER VOC TECH REG	297	17.0	17.6	34.6	23.6	17.4	41.0	20.2	17.5	37.7
WILMINGTON	1,032	16.3	21.1	37.4	13.9	12.4	26.3	15.1	16.8	31.9
WINCHENDON	428	11.8	17.6	29.4	16.4	14.0	30.4	14.0	15.9	29.9
WINCHESTER	1,234	13.3	6.9	20.3	10.4	3.8	14.2	11.8	5.3	17.2
WINTHROP	595	17.4	17.4	34.8	18.9	15.5	34.5	18.2	16.5	34.6
WOBURN	1,493	17.0	21.4	38.4	19.6	15.9	35.5	18.4	18.6	36.9
WORCESTER	3,749	16.4	25.8	42.1	17.2	23.3	40.6	16.8	24.5	41.3

Note: Data that did not pass data quality tests were excluded from the analysis.

Definitions: *Underweight* – BMI for age percentile < 5<sup>th</sup> percentile; *Healthy weight* – BMI for age percentile between 5<sup>th</sup> and 85<sup>th</sup> percentile; *Overweight* – BMI for age percentile between 85<sup>th</sup> and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile; *Obese* – BMI for age percentile ≥ 95<sup>th</sup> percentile.

\* Data is suppressed due to the small number of individuals in this cell.

**Table 2. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 1 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>61,884</b>	<b>69.0</b>	<b>14.1</b>	<b>13.9</b>	<b>28.0</b>	<b>70.2</b>	<b>14.3</b>	<b>12.5</b>	<b>26.8</b>	<b>27.4</b>
ABBY KELLEY FOSTER REG CHARTER	140	67.8	*	*	30.5	70.4	*	*	28.4	29.3
ABINGTON	142	78.2	*	*	21.8	70.3	*	*	25.0	23.2
ACTON-BOXBOROUGH REGIONAL	358	77.1	9.5	8.4	17.9	82.1	*	*	14.5	16.2
ADAMS-CESHIRE REGIONAL	111	62.7	*	*	33.9	57.7	*	*	40.4	36.9
AMHERST-PELHAM REGIONAL	161	74.7	*	*	25.3	85.9	*	*	*	18.6
ANDOVER	413	77.6	14.3	5.4	19.7	77.9	*	*	19.5	19.6
ARLINGTON	440	79.9	7.5	8.5	16.1	85.5	*	*	12.9	14.3
ASHBURNHAM-WESTMINSTER REG	156	69.0	16.1	12.6	28.7	72.5	*	*	26.1	27.6
ASHLAND	186	74.4	*	*	11.6	63.0	*	*	22.0	17.2
ATHOL-ROYALSTON REGIONAL	114	62.7	*	*	33.9	61.8	*	*	36.4	35.1
ATLANTIS CHARTER	70	61.3	*	*	38.7	61.5	*	*	35.9	37.1
ATTLEBORO	459	68.7	16.0	13.6	29.6	66.2	19.9	11.1	31.0	30.3
AUBURN	176	74.2	14.4	11.3	25.8	86.1	*	*	13.9	20.5
AVON	56	72.0	*	*	*	64.5	*	*	32.3	30.4
AYER SHIRLEY REGIONAL	154	64.6	*	*	29.1	73.3	*	*	24.0	26.6
BARNSTABLE	415	63.2	15.4	21.4	36.8	67.8	18.7	12.1	30.8	33.7
BEDFORD	173	77.2	*	*	19.6	77.8	*	*	18.5	19.1

**Table 2. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 1 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
BELCHERTOWN	160	71.9	*	*	25.8	77.5	*	*	22.5	24.4
BELLINGHAM	124	81.3	*	*	15.6	80.0	*	*	*	15.3
BELMONT	334	75.3	14.1	7.6	21.8	85.4	7.3	6.7	14.0	18.0
BENJAMIN BANNEKER CHARTER	38	*	*	*	78.9	63.2	*	*	*	55.3
BERKSHIRE HILLS REGIONAL	74	74.4	*	*	23.3	80.6	*	*	*	21.6
BERLIN-BOYLSTON REGIONAL	73	82.4	*	*	*	74.4	*	*	*	20.5
BEVERLY	356	73.2	11.7	13.4	25.1	71.2	16.4	9.0	25.4	25.3
BI-COUNTY COLLABORATIVE (BICO)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
BILLERICA	430	59.1	13.9	16.5	30.4	66.5	17.0	13.5	30.5	30.5
BLACKSTONE-MILLVILLE REGIONAL	144	78.5	*	*	20.0	70.9	*	*	25.3	22.9
BOSTON	3,880	60.2	15.2	21.2	36.4	61.6	16.7	19.1	35.8	36.1
BOSTON RENAISSANCE CHARTER	109	65.4	*	*	26.9	64.9	*	*	24.6	25.7
BOURNE	141	70.7	*	*	26.7	71.2	*	*	24.2	25.5
BRAINTREE	449	72.3	16.0	8.0	23.9	79.1	10.9	7.6	18.5	21.4
BRIDGEWATER-RAYNHAM REG	419	65.9	15.2	17.0	32.3	61.2	22.4	14.3	36.7	34.4
BROCKTON	1,315	70.5	13.2	14.4	27.6	66.5	17.4	13.6	30.9	29.2
BROOKLINE	660	77.6	12.3	5.7	18.0	81.6	9.3	5.0	14.3	16.1
BURLINGTON	266	72.4	17.3	9.4	26.8	74.1	12.9	12.2	25.2	25.9
CAMBRIDGE	561	71.7	9.7	15.6	25.3	67.5	18.2	11.0	29.1	27.3
CANTON	232	72.3	15.2	11.6	26.8	76.7	*	*	19.2	22.8

**Table 2. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 1 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
CAPE COD COLLABORATIVE	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
CAPS EDUCATIONAL COLLABORATIVE	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
CENTRAL BERKSHIRE REGIONAL	104	76.6	*	*	21.9	67.5	*	*	32.5	26.0
CHELMSFORD	345	76.3	11.6	8.2	19.8	79.0	*	*	16.7	18.6
CHICOPEE	533	65.7	13.1	18.3	31.3	60.8	18.1	20.0	38.1	34.7
CLINTON	130	56.5	20.3	17.4	37.7	62.3	16.4	18.0	34.4	36.2
COHASSET	118	89.1	*	*	*	75.9	*	*	18.5	13.6
COMMUNITY DAY CHARTER	41	60.0	*	*	*	52.4	*	*	*	41.5
CONCORD-CARLISLE REGIONAL	285	84.7	*	*	12.3	82.0	*	*	12.3	12.3
DANVERS	275	73.2	15.5	8.5	23.9	72.2	15.8	10.5	26.3	25.1
DEDHAM	212	61.0	14.3	12.4	26.7	55.1	*	*	27.1	26.9
DENNIS-YARMOUTH REGIONAL	196	63.6	13.1	21.2	34.3	77.3	11.3	10.3	21.6	28.1
DIGHTON-REHOBOTH REGIONAL	201	81.9	*	*	17.1	77.1	*	*	21.9	19.4
DOUGLAS	117	74.5	*	*	23.4	74.3	*	*	22.9	23.1
DOVER-SHERBORN REGIONAL	135	75.0	*	*	*	76.3	*	*	*	10.4
DRACUT	303	68.0	15.7	13.1	28.8	66.7	15.3	15.3	30.7	29.7
DUDLEY-CHARLTON REGIONAL	281	70.1	12.2	14.3	26.5	73.9	11.2	11.2	22.4	24.6
DUXBURY	211	81.0	*	*	17.1	84.0	*	*	14.2	15.6
EAST BRIDGEWATER	165	66.3	12.4	19.1	31.5	75.0	*	*	22.4	27.3
EAST LONGMEADOW	173	69.7	14.6	15.7	30.3	78.6	*	*	20.2	25.4

**Table 2. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 1 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
EASTHAMPTON	129	60.9	21.9	15.6	37.5	67.7	*	*	32.3	34.9
EASTON	254	71.4	16.5	8.3	24.8	66.9	9.1	21.5	30.6	27.6
EDWARD BROOKE CHARTER (ALL CAMPUSES)	188	71.1	*	*	26.8	54.9	20.9	19.8	40.7	33.5
EVERETT	544	50.0	19.3	27.5	46.8	52.7	18.9	25.0	43.9	45.4
FAIRHAVEN	142	60.0	21.4	17.1	38.6	70.8	*	*	27.8	33.1
FALL RIVER	923	52.0	18.9	21.4	40.3	59.3	18.4	17.3	35.7	37.9
FALMOUTH	280	71.1	14.1	14.1	28.2	68.7	17.6	12.2	29.8	28.9
FARMINGTON RIVER REGIONAL	13	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
FITCHBURG	418	56.4	23.7	17.1	40.8	64.7	19.3	12.1	31.4	36.1
FOXBOROUGH	184	71.6	*	*	22.9	81.3	*	*	14.7	19.6
FOXBOROUGH REGIONAL CHARTER	109	56.5	*	*	37.0	69.8	*	*	30.2	33.0
FRAMINGHAM	585	64.7	14.0	17.5	31.5	65.9	16.4	14.7	31.1	31.3
FREETOWN-LAKEVILLE REGIONAL	196	82.5	*	*	16.5	76.8	*	*	20.2	18.4
FRONTIER (REG + SCHOOL UNION 38)	102	78.3	*	*	18.3	66.7	*	*	28.6	22.5
GARDNER	219	71.8	10.3	11.1	21.4	74.5	*	*	19.6	20.5
GATEWAY REGIONAL	74	91.7	*	*	*	71.1	*	*	26.3	16.2
GEORGETOWN	91	84.0	*	*	*	82.9	*	*	*	13.2
GILL-MONTAGUE REGIONAL	75	75.7	*	*	*	63.2	*	*	26.3	25.3
GLOUCESTER	195	58.9	27.8	13.3	41.1	61.0	16.2	14.3	30.5	35.4
GRAFTON	264	79.3	9.6	9.6	19.3	75.2	*	*	24.0	21.6



**Table 2. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 1 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
GRANBY	53	54.8	*	*	41.9	50.0	*	*	50.0	45.3
GREENFIELD	132	66.7	*	*	31.7	77.8	*	*	19.4	25.0
GROTON-DUNSTABLE REGIONAL	155	77.0	*	*	20.3	81.5	*	*	18.5	19.4
HADLEY	33	76.2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
HAMILTON-WENHAM REGIONAL	114	83.1	*	*	16.9	87.3	*	*	*	14.9
HAMPDEN-WILBRAHAM REGIONAL	194	71.9	12.4	13.5	25.8	75.2	14.3	10.5	24.8	25.3
HAMPSHIRE REGIONAL	130	68.6	*	*	28.6	70.0	*	*	28.3	28.5
HANOVER	193	69.3	15.9	12.5	28.4	85.7	*	*	14.3	20.7
HARVARD	68	75.8	*	*	*	68.6	*	*	31.4	27.9
HATFIELD	31	75.0	*	*	*	93.3	*	*	*	*
HAVERHILL	537	67.8	11.7	17.3	29.0	64.6	15.4	18.1	33.5	31.1
HILLTOWN COOPERATIVE CHARTER	18	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
HINGHAM	300	75.7	12.5	10.5	23.0	84.5	*	*	14.9	19.0
HOLBROOK	80	71.0	*	*	*	83.7	*	*	*	18.8
HOLLISTON	201	75.0	*	*	21.2	76.3	*	*	23.7	22.4
HOLYOKE	382	62.6	17.0	16.0	33.0	67.6	16.5	13.6	30.1	31.7
HOLYOKE COMMUNITY CHARTER	75	76.3	*	*	*	75.7	*	*	*	16.0
HOPEDALE	78	75.0	*	*	20.8	66.7	*	*	33.3	25.6
HOPKINTON	230	86.2	*	*	11.2	89.5	*	*	8.8	10.0
HUDSON	238	69.2	12.5	15.8	28.3	72.9	13.6	11.9	25.4	26.9

**Table 2. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 1 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
HULL	71	78.8	*	*	*	76.3	*	*	*	21.1
IPSWICH	126	73.7	*	*	21.1	78.3	*	*	20.3	20.6
KING PHILIP REGIONAL	304	72.0	15.2	10.4	25.6	81.4	10.0	7.1	17.1	21.7
LAWRENCE FAMILY DEV CHARTER	82	39.4	*	*	60.6	55.1	*	*	44.9	51.2
LEE	37	*	*	*	*	75.0	*	*	*	*
LEICESTER	104	55.7	24.6	18.0	42.6	67.4	*	*	30.2	37.5
LENOX	44	69.2	*	*	*	77.8	*	*	*	25.0
LEOMINSTER	440	65.2	12.4	17.1	29.5	64.8	15.2	17.0	32.2	30.9
LEXINGTON	480	79.8	11.3	7.1	18.5	81.8	9.5	5.0	14.5	16.5
LINCOLN-SUDBURY REGIONAL	417	77.9	11.5	9.7	21.2	86.0	*	*	12.0	16.8
LITTLETON	106	71.4	*	*	25.0	76.0	*	*	*	20.8
LONGMEADOW	178	77.9	*	*	19.8	80.4	*	*	18.5	19.1
LOWELL	1,121	67.0	14.4	16.6	31.0	67.0	14.8	16.1	30.9	31.0
LOWELL COLLEGIATE CHARTER SCHOOL	75	66.7	*	*	33.3	66.7	*	*	30.6	32.0
LOWELL COMMUNITY CHARTER	94	51.0	25.5	23.5	49.0	44.2	*	*	53.5	51.1
LUDLOW	177	69.6	15.7	12.7	28.4	74.7	*	*	20.0	24.9
LUNENBURG	108	82.4	*	*	*	66.7	*	*	29.8	23.1
LYNN	1,432	58.8	16.9	22.0	38.9	62.4	17.2	15.7	32.9	36.0
LYNNFIELD	163	79.3	*	*	18.5	81.7	*	*	*	16.0
MALDEN	425	64.3	12.4	15.7	28.1	62.8	11.6	17.7	29.3	28.7

**Table 2. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 1 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
MANCHESTER ESSEX REGIONAL	117	73.8	*	*	24.6	85.7	*	*	*	17.1
MANSFIELD	269	66.2	17.3	15.8	33.1	64.0	22.1	14.0	36.0	34.6
MARBLEHEAD	188	75.5	*	*	19.4	81.1	*	*	15.6	17.6
MARLBOROUGH	370	58.9	15.8	22.1	37.9	59.4	16.1	18.3	34.4	36.2
MARSHFIELD	325	75.6	10.9	11.5	22.4	82.2	8.9	7.7	16.6	19.4
MARTHA'S VINEYARD REGIONAL	173	73.6	11.0	14.3	25.3	75.6	*	*	22.0	23.7
MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. CHARTER SCHOOL OF EXCELLENCE	60	69.7	*	*	30.3	81.5	*	*	*	25.0
MASCONOMET (AND TRI-TOWN SCHOOL UNION)	216	80.3	9.4	9.4	18.8	82.8	*	*	16.2	17.6
MASHPEE	119	72.1	*	*	26.2	67.2	*	*	29.3	27.7
MEDFIELD	155	75.0	*	*	20.0	78.7	*	*	17.3	18.7
MEDFORD	324	62.9	9.4	15.9	25.3	62.3	15.6	9.7	25.3	25.3
MEDWAY	159	75.6	*	*	22.0	81.8	*	*	16.9	19.5
MELROSE	275	77.9	12.8	7.4	20.1	64.3	19.0	12.7	31.7	25.5
MENDON-UPTON REGIONAL	180	74.4	*	*	21.1	81.1	*	*	16.7	18.9
METHUEN	539	65.4	10.4	16.1	26.4	68.3	12.4	10.4	22.8	24.7
MIDDLEBOROUGH	254	68.8	15.2	14.5	29.7	63.8	13.8	21.6	35.3	32.3
MILFORD	347	59.2	18.3	20.4	38.7	64.1	19.9	16.0	35.9	37.5
MILLBURY	147	72.2	*	*	26.6	70.6	*	*	26.5	26.5
MILLIS	91	81.8	*	*	*	89.4	*	*	*	11.0
MILTON	318	75.2	11.5	9.1	20.6	81.0	*	*	14.4	17.6

**Table 2. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 1 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
MOHAWK TRAIL REGIONAL	80	74.4	*	*	*	70.7	*	*	26.8	23.8
MONOMOY	172	76.0	*	*	21.9	77.6	*	*	22.4	22.1
MONSON	62	87.5	*	*	*	73.3	*	*	*	19.4
MOUNT GREYLOCK REGIONAL	22	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
NANTUCKET	104	63.6	*	*	36.4	61.2	*	*	38.8	37.5
NARRAGANSETT REGIONAL	88	73.3	*	*	22.2	83.7	*	*	*	19.3
NASHOBA REGIONAL	138	76.7	*	*	20.5	73.8	*	*	23.1	21.7
NATICK	400	79.0	11.7	6.5	18.2	78.0	14.5	5.9	20.4	19.3
NAUSET REGIONAL	160	67.1	18.6	14.3	32.9	76.7	11.1	12.2	23.3	27.5
NEEDHAM	449	86.2	5.6	4.3	9.9	87.1	*	*	6.9	8.5
NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSE CHARTER	40	57.1	*	*	*	63.2	*	*	*	35.0
NEW BEDFORD	1,155	60.0	18.4	19.7	38.1	59.4	18.9	19.2	38.1	38.1
NEWBURYPORT	136	60.3	*	*	38.2	70.6	*	*	23.5	30.9
NEWTON	879	79.7	10.6	5.5	16.1	81.8	8.1	5.6	13.7	14.9
NORTH ANDOVER	324	74.9	10.5	10.5	21.1	69.9	17.0	9.2	26.1	23.5
NORTH ATTLEBOROUGH	395	70.1	13.6	13.6	27.1	76.1	11.9	10.1	22.0	24.3
NORTH MIDDLESEX REGIONAL	121	78.8	*	*	*	84.1	*	*	*	14.0
NORTH READING	171	52.3	31.8	15.9	47.7	66.3	*	*	32.5	40.4
NORTH RIVER COLLABORATIVE	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
NORTHAMPTON	186	73.4	*	*	22.8	72.0	14.0	11.2	25.2	24.2

**Table 2. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 1 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
NORTHBORO-SOUTHBORO REGIONAL	274	81.6	*	*	13.5	83.5	*	*	12.0	12.8
NORTHBRIDGE	162	77.9	*	*	19.5	68.2	14.1	15.3	29.4	24.7
NORTHERN BERKSHIRE (SCHOOL UNION 43)	33	68.4	*	*	*	71.4	*	*	*	*
NORTHSHORE EDUCATION CONSORTIUM	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
NORTON	194	72.6	*	*	22.1	78.8	*	*	20.2	21.1
NORWELL	159	75.5	*	*	22.3	70.8	*	*	24.6	23.3
NORWOOD	264	65.5	15.1	16.5	31.7	60.8	18.4	13.6	32.0	31.8
OLD ROCHESTER REGIONAL	189	70.8	15.1	10.4	25.5	74.7	*	*	21.7	23.8
OXFORD	153	70.0	12.5	13.8	26.3	72.6	*	*	26.0	26.1
PALMER	114	66.7	*	*	33.3	65.2	16.7	18.2	34.8	34.2
PEABODY	425	56.9	16.1	25.7	41.7	62.8	16.9	16.9	33.8	37.9
PEMBROKE	222	65.3	22.3	9.9	32.2	74.3	9.9	13.9	23.8	28.4
PENTUCKET REGIONAL	203	75.5	*	*	20.2	77.1	*	*	18.3	19.2
PILGRIM AREA COLLABORATIVE (PAC)	*					*	*	*	*	*
PIONEER VALLEY CHINESE IMMERSION CHARTER	40	59.1	*	*	*	83.3	*	*	*	25.0
PIONEER VALLEY REGIONAL	61	74.2	*	*	*	80.0	*	*	*	19.7
PITTSFIELD	471	76.7	10.3	11.2	21.6	66.5	10.5	20.5	31.0	26.3
PLYMOUTH	579	76.0	14.2	7.1	21.3	71.0	16.3	9.5	25.8	23.5
PROSPECT HILL ACADEMY CHARTER	84	68.9	*	*	31.1	74.4	*	*	25.6	28.6
QUABBIN REGIONAL	148	63.9	13.9	18.1	31.9	80.3	*	*	17.1	24.3

**Table 2. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 1 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
QUABOAG REGIONAL	91	68.3	*	*	31.7	72.0	*	*	26.0	28.6
QUINCY	681	69.2	15.9	12.4	28.2	70.7	12.9	14.7	27.5	27.9
RALPH C MAHAR REGIONAL	94	62.5	*	*	35.7	73.7	*	*	*	30.9
RANDOLPH	244	55.5	17.2	24.2	41.4	62.1	22.4	13.8	36.2	38.9
READING	315	79.4	9.4	9.4	18.8	72.9	12.9	7.1	20.0	19.4
READS COLLABORATIVE	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
REVERE	626	58.1	20.0	21.0	41.0	55.1	18.7	23.1	41.8	41.4
RIVER VALLEY CHARTER	36	95.0	*	*	*	81.3	*	*	*	*
ROCKLAND	163	72.3	*	*	27.7	75.4	*	*	20.3	24.5
ROCKPORT	62	84.6	*	*	*	75.0	*	*	*	21.0
SABIS INTERNATIONAL	103	66.7	*	*	29.6	67.3	*	*	28.6	29.1
SALEM	328	63.3	18.7	16.9	35.5	55.6	19.1	23.5	42.6	39.0
SANDWICH	211	84.1	*	*	11.5	74.5	11.2	11.2	22.4	16.6
SAUGUS	200	66.0	13.0	17.0	30.0	72.0	*	*	24.0	27.0
SCITUATE	208	81.9	*	*	14.3	78.6	*	*	18.4	16.3
SEEKONK	149	61.4	15.9	21.6	37.5	73.8	*	*	26.2	32.9
SHAKER MOUNTAIN (SCHOOL UNION 70)	22	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
SHARON	162	83.6	*	*	*	62.4	25.7	10.9	36.6	28.4
SHREWSBURY	357	63.3	15.8	16.8	32.7	70.8	17.4	9.9	27.3	30.3
SILVER LAKE REGIONAL	222	77.3	12.7	10.0	22.7	79.5	8.9	8.9	17.9	20.3

**Table 2. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 1 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight	Over-weight	Obese	Over-weight or Obese	Healthy Weight	Over-weight	Obese	Over-weight or Obese	Over-weight or Obese
		(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
SOMERSET BERKLEY REGIONAL	249	71.5	8.5	16.2	24.6	75.6	11.8	10.1	21.8	23.3
SOMERVILLE	418	61.1	20.2	16.7	36.9	61.4	15.8	20.9	36.7	36.8
SOUTH HADLEY	146	79.4	*	*	19.1	66.7	*	*	28.2	24.0
SOUTH SHORE CHARTER	44	86.4	*	*	*	81.8	*	*	*	*
SOUTH SHORE EDUCATIONAL COLLABORATIVE	*	*	*	*	*					*
SOUTHBRIDGE	174	66.7	*	*	30.1	59.3	19.8	19.8	39.5	34.5
SOUTHERN BERKSHIRE REGIONAL	68	66.7	*	*	33.3	60.0	*	*	37.1	35.3
SOUTHERN WORCESTER COUNTY EDUCATIONAL COLLABORATIVE	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
SOUTHWICK-TOLLAND-GRANVILLE REGIONAL	112	70.2	*	*	22.8	61.8	*	*	30.9	26.8
SPENCER-E BROOKFIELD REGIONAL	122	54.2	16.9	27.1	44.1	73.0	*	*	25.4	34.4
SPRINGFIELD	1,909	61.0	16.2	19.1	35.3	60.6	18.4	19.1	37.6	36.4
STONEHAM	169	65.8	*	*	34.2	74.0	13.5	11.5	25.0	29.0
STOUGHTON	263	65.8	14.0	15.8	29.8	61.1	18.1	16.1	34.2	32.3
SWAMPSCOTT (INCLUDING NAHANT)	170	71.9	10.4	12.5	22.9	78.4	*	*	17.6	20.6
SWANSEA	182	74.8	12.6	10.7	23.3	67.1	12.7	20.3	32.9	27.5
TANTASQUA REGIONAL	241	67.4	20.5	10.6	31.1	60.6	24.8	12.8	37.6	34.0
TAUNTON	670	69.5	14.7	14.4	29.0	69.0	15.8	14.3	30.1	29.6
TRITON REGIONAL	191	86.1	*	*	12.2	76.3	*	*	23.7	16.8
TYNGSBOROUGH	104	90.9	*	*	*	83.7	*	*	*	12.5
UP ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL OF DORCHESTER	88	74.2	*	*	*	78.9	*	*	21.1	22.7

**Table 2. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 1 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
WACHUSETT REGIONAL	278	85.3	*	*	11.8	81.0	7.0	9.2	16.2	14.0
WAKEFIELD	252	66.2	19.5	12.0	31.6	81.5	*	*	18.5	25.4
WALPOLE	277	73.8	10.6	14.2	24.8	76.5	11.8	10.3	22.1	23.5
WALTHAM	439	60.2	19.1	19.9	39.0	64.5	13.8	20.2	34.0	36.7
WARE	119	65.1	*	*	34.9	55.4	*	*	42.9	38.7
WAREHAM	212	67.5	12.3	16.7	28.9	56.1	20.4	21.4	41.8	34.9
WATERTOWN	213	65.6	21.3	12.3	33.6	71.4	*	*	27.5	31.0
WAYLAND	193	89.0	*	*	*	83.3	*	*	11.8	10.4
WEBSTER	141	68.4	*	*	27.8	64.5	*	*	32.3	29.8
WELLESLEY	386	81.7	10.7	5.1	15.7	85.2	*	*	10.6	13.2
WEST BOYLSTON	64	55.2	*	*	44.8	60.0	*	*	37.1	40.6
WEST BRIDGEWATER	90	76.1	*	*	23.9	81.8	*	*	*	21.1
WEST SPRINGFIELD	315	66.0	15.7	14.5	30.2	65.4	14.1	16.7	30.8	30.5
WESTBOROUGH	254	68.3	11.1	11.1	22.2	77.3	*	*	14.1	18.1
WESTFIELD	392	75.4	13.1	10.1	23.1	80.8	10.9	6.2	17.1	20.2
WESTFORD	310	78.7	12.2	7.3	19.5	76.0	*	*	17.8	18.7
WESTON	160	76.8	*	*	18.3	88.5	*	*	*	13.8
WESTPORT	129	68.3	12.2	18.3	30.5	76.6	*	*	*	26.4
WESTWOOD	206	85.7	*	*	11.6	80.9	*	*	17.0	14.1
WEYMOUTH	499	64.9	13.9	17.1	31.0	68.9	14.2	14.2	28.3	29.7
WHITMAN-HANSON REGIONAL	306	61.0	24.0	13.0	37.0	73.8	15.0	10.6	25.6	31.0



**Table 2. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 1 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight	Over-weight	Obese	Over-weight or Obese	Healthy Weight	Over-weight	Obese	Over-weight or Obese	Over-weight or Obese
		(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
WILMINGTON	241	53.7	25.6	20.7	46.3	76.7	*	*	23.3	34.9
WINCHENDON	129	66.1	*	*	30.4	76.7	*	*	21.9	25.6
WINCHESTER	310	88.8	*	*	9.8	86.8	*	*	12.0	11.0
WINTHROP	150	70.8	*	*	23.6	71.8	*	*	24.4	24.0
WOBURN	377	62.4	17.1	19.3	36.5	61.2	20.9	14.3	35.2	35.8
WORCESTER	814	65.6	15.9	15.5	31.4	63.3	16.8	16.0	32.8	32.1

**Notes:**

Data that did not pass data quality tests were excluded from the analysis.

\* Data is suppressed due to the small number of individuals in this cell.

Definitions: *Underweight* – BMI for age percentile < 5<sup>th</sup> percentile; *Healthy weight* – BMI for age percentile between 5<sup>th</sup> and 85<sup>th</sup> percentile; *Overweight* – BMI for age percentile between 85<sup>th</sup> and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile; *Obese* – BMI for age percentile ≥ 95<sup>th</sup> percentile.

**Table 3. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 4 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>61,393</b>	<b>63.0</b>	<b>16.6</b>	<b>17.9</b>	<b>34.5</b>	<b>66.1</b>	<b>16.2</b>	<b>14.8</b>	<b>31.0</b>	<b>32.8</b>
ABBY KELLEY FOSTER REG CHARTER	122	58.9	*	*	41.1	77.3	*	*	22.7	31.1
ABINGTON	155	63.3	15.2	20.3	35.4	64.5	18.4	13.2	31.6	33.5
ACTON-BOXBOROUGH REGIONAL	399	76.8	13.8	6.9	20.7	78.1	*	*	14.3	17.5
ADAMS-CHESHIRE REGIONAL	113	61.7	*	*	36.7	54.7	*	*	45.3	40.7
AMHERST-PELHAM REGIONAL	187	72.0	*	*	25.8	66.0	13.8	14.9	28.7	27.3
ANDOVER	456	74.8	15.1	8.4	23.5	78.4	12.4	7.3	19.7	21.7
ARLINGTON	400	77.1	12.2	9.0	21.3	86.3	7.1	4.7	11.8	16.3
ASHBURNHAM-WESTMINSTER REG	147	66.3	*	*	25.0	62.7	14.9	14.9	29.9	27.2
ASHLAND	205	76.6	*	*	20.2	73.9	*	*	21.6	21.0
ATHOL-ROYALSTON REGIONAL	108	53.4	*	*	46.6	56.0	20.0	24.0	44.0	45.4
ATLANTIS CHARTER	72	75.0	*	*	*	57.5	*	*	40.0	31.9
ATTLEBORO	454	66.2	17.3	13.9	31.2	62.2	14.7	18.9	33.6	32.4
AUBURN	183	70.7	*	*	25.0	68.1	18.7	12.1	30.8	27.9
AVON	54	58.1	*	*	38.7	69.6	*	*	*	33.3
AYER SHIRLEY REGIONAL	123	57.9	*	*	36.8	60.6	21.2	16.7	37.9	37.4
BARNSTABLE	388	61.2	18.1	20.2	38.3	63.0	17.0	18.0	35.0	36.6

**Table 3. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 4 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
BEDFORD	202	77.9	*	*	19.2	79.6	*	*	18.4	18.8
BELCHERTOWN	173	70.3	13.2	14.3	27.5	64.6	18.3	14.6	32.9	30.1
BELLINGHAM	127	83.9	*	*	*	67.7	*	*	29.2	21.3
BELMONT	353	73.4	14.5	9.8	24.3	76.7	*	*	21.1	22.7
BENJAMIN BANNEKER CHARTER	44	50.0	*	*	50.0	*	*	*	60.0	54.5
BERKSHIRE HILLS REGIONAL	90	82.4	*	*	*	76.8	*	*	19.6	17.8
BERLIN-BOYLSTON REGIONAL	89	64.0	*	*	36.0	76.9	*	*	*	29.2
BEVERLY	335	64.0	15.1	18.3	33.3	69.1	20.8	9.4	30.2	31.9
BI-COUNTY COLLABORATIVE (BICO)	10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
BILLERICA	397	58.2	22.1	19.2	41.3	66.7	18.5	12.7	31.2	36.5
BLACKSTONE-MILLVILLE REGIONAL	139	52.2	16.4	29.9	46.3	55.6	22.2	20.8	43.1	44.6
BOSTON	3,406	54.3	18.5	25.0	43.4	56.4	17.6	23.4	41.0	42.2
BOSTON RENAISSANCE CHARTER	144	54.7	17.2	26.6	43.8	45.0	18.8	33.8	52.5	48.6
BOURNE	160	69.6	*	*	29.0	62.6	24.2	12.1	36.3	33.1
BRAINTREE	441	69.8	17.6	10.4	27.9	74.0	12.3	12.3	24.7	26.3
BRIDGEWATER-RAYNHAM REGIONAL	400	70.9	12.7	14.1	26.8	66.3	19.8	11.8	31.6	29.0
BROCKTON	1,026	60.4	15.1	22.9	38.0	60.0	15.7	21.5	37.2	37.6
BROOKLINE	635	78.7	13.4	5.5	18.9	78.2	12.1	5.5	17.6	18.3
BURLINGTON	280	60.0	22.1	13.6	35.7	60.0	22.1	13.6	35.7	35.7

**Table 3. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 4 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
CAMBRIDGE	437	60.1	19.3	18.4	37.7	61.2	19.6	15.0	34.6	36.2
CANTON	268	69.5	18.8	9.4	28.1	74.3	15.7	8.6	24.3	26.1
CAPE COD COLLABORATIVE		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
CAPS EDUCATIONAL COLLABORATIVE		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
CENTRAL BERKSHIRE REGIONAL	120	73.7	*	*	26.3	57.1	23.8	19.0	42.9	35.0
CENTRAL MASSACHUSETTS SPED COLLABORATIVE	10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
CHELMSFORD	389	65.8	21.2	11.9	33.2	72.4	15.3	9.2	24.5	28.8
CHICOPEE	564	60.5	12.1	23.2	35.3	59.7	16.3	19.8	36.0	35.6
CLINTON	97	68.8	*	*	27.1	67.3	*	*	30.6	28.9
COMMUNITY DAY CHARTER	24	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	54.2
CONCORD-CARLISLE REGIONAL	314	76.8	*	*	21.1	82.6	*	*	15.1	17.8
DANVERS	266	65.4	16.2	16.9	33.1	68.5	19.2	7.7	26.9	30.1
DEDHAM	181	55.2	11.5	17.7	29.2	55.3	15.3	17.6	32.9	30.9
DENNIS-YARMOUTH REGIONAL	173	52.9	23.0	17.2	40.2	53.5	20.9	20.9	41.9	41.0
DIGHTON-REHOBOTH REGIONAL	212	68.1	16.8	14.2	31.0	78.8	*	*	18.2	25.0
DORCHESTER COLLEGIATE ACADEMY CHARTER	40	44.0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	27.5
DOUGLAS	115	69.8	*	*	25.4	78.8	*	*	19.2	22.6
DOVER-SHERBORN REGIONAL	160	86.3	*	*	*	76.3	*	*	18.8	14.4
DRACUT	307	64.3	20.3	10.5	30.8	57.9	23.8	13.4	37.2	34.2

**Table 3. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 4 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
DUDLEY-CHARLTON REGIONAL	315	57.7	17.8	22.7	40.5	64.5	19.7	10.5	30.3	35.6
DUXBURY	242	77.9	*	*	20.5	77.5	*	*	18.3	19.4
EAST BRIDGEWATER	178	67.1	*	*	31.8	73.1	*	*	24.7	28.1
EAST LONGMEADOW	203	61.8	15.7	20.6	36.3	66.3	*	*	26.7	31.5
EASTHAMPTON	148	57.4	*	*	38.2	58.8	*	*	40.0	39.2
EASTON	280	65.0	18.2	14.7	32.9	70.8	15.3	13.9	29.2	31.1
EDWARD BROOKE CHARTER (ALL CAMPUSES)	58	66.7	*	*	*	54.8	*	*	41.9	37.9
EVERETT	471	39.5	28.2	28.2	56.4	47.0	22.7	27.5	50.2	53.1
FAIRHAVEN	149	59.5	24.1	13.9	38.0	61.4	21.4	15.7	37.1	37.6
FALL RIVER	768	50.3	21.1	24.4	45.5	49.5	20.3	26.2	46.5	46.0
FALMOUTH	289	60.9	15.4	21.2	36.5	63.9	23.3	12.0	35.3	36.0
FARMINGTON RIVER REGIONAL	16	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
FITCHBURG	351	55.1	14.6	26.4	41.0	56.6	14.5	26.6	41.0	41.0
FOXBOROUGH	186	71.3	18.1	10.6	28.7	78.3	*	*	21.7	25.3
FOXBOROUGH REGIONAL CHARTER	110	51.1	*	*	46.7	66.2	*	*	32.3	38.2
FRAMINGHAM	607	56.2	17.1	23.7	40.8	55.2	19.5	21.4	40.9	40.9
FREETOWN-LAKEVILLE REGIONAL	211	66.4	*	*	33.6	58.7	26.0	13.5	39.4	36.5
FRONTIER (REG + SCHOOL UNION 38)	123	71.2	*	*	27.3	66.7	*	*	28.1	27.6
GARDNER	166	63.7	12.1	20.9	33.0	65.3	16.0	17.3	33.3	33.1

**Table 3. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 4 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
GATEWAY REGIONAL	71	61.9	*	*	33.3	72.4	*	*	*	31.0
GEORGETOWN	113	77.4	*	*	22.6	66.7	*	*	29.4	25.7
GILL-MONTAGUE REGIONAL	69	69.4	*	*	27.8	66.7	*	*	33.3	30.4
GLOUCESTER	216	58.9	27.8	13.3	41.1	54.0	25.4	16.7	42.1	41.7
GRAFTON	259	73.4	15.6	10.2	25.8	79.4	10.7	9.9	20.6	23.2
GRANBY	75	59.4	*	*	40.6	72.1	*	*	27.9	33.3
GROTON-DUNSTABLE REGIONAL	197	61.7	*	*	37.2	77.7	9.7	9.7	19.4	27.9
HADLEY	41	76.0	*	*	*	68.8	*	*	*	26.8
HAMILTON-WENHAM REGIONAL	122	77.8	*	*	22.2	74.0	*	*	24.0	23.0
HAMPDEN-WILBRAHAM REGIONAL	250	61.9	16.8	19.5	36.3	71.5	16.1	10.9	27.0	31.2
HAMPSHIRE REGIONAL	138	51.7	20.7	20.7	41.4	62.5	21.3	15.0	36.3	38.4
HANOVER	177	71.1	16.5	11.3	27.8	77.5	*	*	20.0	24.3
HARVARD	88	65.0	*	*	30.0	79.2	*	*	*	23.9
HATFIELD	35	*	*	*	*	77.3	*	*	*	31.4
HAVERHILL	511	58.2	12.4	26.7	39.0	59.6	18.1	20.8	38.8	38.9
HILLTOWN COOPERATIVE CHARTER	20	*	*	*	*	78.6	*	*	*	*
HINGHAM	356	76.1	*	*	17.4	79.1	*	*	16.3	16.9
HOLBROOK	107	56.0	*	*	36.0	78.9	*	*	*	25.2
HOLLISTON	223	75.8	*	*	23.2	76.6	14.1	8.6	22.7	22.9

**Table 3. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 4 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
HOLYOKE	390	56.3	15.7	22.3	38.1	57.5	18.1	21.8	39.9	39.0
HOLYOKE COMMUNITY CHARTER	57	60.0	*	*	*	75.0	*	*	*	22.8
HOPEDALE	84	61.9	*	*	38.1	61.9	*	*	38.1	38.1
HOPKINTON	226	81.0	*	*	18.1	79.1	*	*	19.1	18.6
HUDSON	211	66.7	16.2	17.2	33.3	64.3	16.1	18.8	34.8	34.1
HULL	72	67.4	*	*	32.6	58.6	*	*	41.4	36.1
IPSWICH	170	61.5	14.1	21.8	35.9	68.5	15.2	10.9	26.1	30.6
KING PHILIP REGIONAL	382	69.9	16.7	11.6	28.2	72.3	16.3	9.0	25.3	27.0
LAWRENCE FAMILY DEV CHARTER	57	45.8	*	*	54.2	51.5	*	*	48.5	50.9
LEE	51	61.5	*	*	38.5	64.0	*	*	*	37.3
LEICESTER	158	60.0	17.3	17.3	34.7	59.0	16.9	21.7	38.6	36.7
LENOX	69	66.7	*	*	*	91.1	*	*	*	15.9
LEOMINSTER	469	59.2	19.6	20.4	40.0	60.7	20.1	18.3	38.4	39.2
LEXINGTON	521	70.4	17.7	8.8	26.5	78.2	13.4	4.2	17.6	22.1
LINCOLN-SUDBURY REGIONAL	434	75.4	13.8	10.0	23.8	78.4	13.9	6.2	20.1	22.1
LITTLETON	102	73.2	*	*	21.4	84.8	*	*	*	18.6
LONGMEADOW	174	71.6	*	*	27.4	81.0	*	*	17.7	23.0
LOWELL	956	56.7	14.9	25.9	40.8	60.3	16.5	19.2	35.8	38.3
LOWELL COMMUNITY CHARTER	76	44.7	26.3	26.3	52.6	63.2	*	*	36.8	44.7

**Table 3. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 4 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
LUDLOW	211	60.6	13.8	21.1	34.9	66.7	19.6	11.8	31.4	33.2
LYNN	1,225	55.4	16.6	26.0	42.6	61.1	16.2	19.1	35.3	39.0
LYNNFIELD	174	68.7	*	*	31.3	65.9	16.5	13.2	29.7	30.5
MALDEN	370	48.4	23.1	25.3	48.4	55.4	17.4	23.9	41.3	44.9
MANCHESTER ESSEX REGIONAL	120	67.8	*	*	28.8	78.7	*	*	*	21.7
MANSFIELD	312	70.3	14.8	10.3	25.2	73.9	13.4	8.3	21.7	23.4
MARBLEHEAD	227	68.4	12.3	16.7	28.9	69.9	8.8	18.6	27.4	28.2
MARBLEHEAD COMMUNITY CHARTER	46	84.6	*	*	*	75.0	*	*	*	*
MARLBOROUGH	326	54.1	15.1	30.2	45.3	64.9	16.9	14.3	31.2	38.7
MARSHFIELD	350	73.7	11.1	12.6	23.7	79.6	11.2	6.6	17.8	21.1
MARTHA'S VINEYARD REGIONAL	138	61.8	16.9	16.9	33.7	67.3	*	*	32.7	33.3
MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. CHARTER SCHOOL OF EXCELLENCE	62	48.5	*	*	51.5	58.6	*	*	41.4	46.8
MASCONOMET (AND TRI-TOWN SCHOOL UNION)	290	75.7	15.5	6.8	22.3	83.1	*	*	14.8	18.6
MASHPEE	131	53.5	15.5	26.8	42.3	60.0	18.3	20.0	38.3	40.5
MEDFIELD	206	76.2	*	*	21.0	80.2	*	*	19.8	20.4
MEDFORD	315	48.8	22.4	28.2	50.6	66.2	16.6	13.8	30.3	41.3
MEDWAY	190	62.9	21.6	12.4	34.0	75.3	10.8	11.8	22.6	28.4
MELROSE	249	62.8	21.2	15.3	36.5	75.0	*	*	21.4	29.7
MENDON-UPTON REGIONAL	189	68.6	13.7	12.7	26.5	71.3	*	*	27.6	27.0



**Table 3. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 4 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
METHUEN	564	53.4	13.2	31.7	44.8	65.4	14.8	15.9	30.7	37.8
MIDDLEBOROUGH	278	61.7	16.5	21.1	37.6	59.3	18.6	16.6	35.2	36.3
MILFORD	300	51.2	21.1	25.3	46.4	61.2	14.2	21.6	35.8	41.7
MILLBURY	135	67.2	*	*	31.3	61.8	14.7	19.1	33.8	32.6
MILLIS	113	62.9	*	*	35.5	68.6	*	*	29.4	32.7
MILTON	328	78.9	8.9	10.6	19.4	76.4	10.8	9.5	20.3	19.8
MOHAWK TRAIL REGIONAL	86	69.0	*	*	23.8	68.2	*	*	27.3	25.6
MONOMOY	153	59.3	21.0	19.8	40.7	70.8	*	*	29.2	35.3
MONSON	106	65.4	*	*	32.7	81.5	*	*	*	24.5
MOUNT GREYLOCK REGIONAL	30	76.9	*	*	*	64.7	*	*	*	*
NANTUCKET	107	68.0	*	*	32.0	70.2	*	*	29.8	30.8
NARRAGANSETT REGIONAL	98	63.5	*	*	36.5	63.0	*	*	37.0	36.7
NASHOBA REGIONAL	245	70.9	*	*	22.3	83.1	*	*	14.1	17.6
NATICK	359	73.5	14.1	10.8	24.9	74.7	11.5	10.9	22.4	23.7
NAUSET REGIONAL	155	58.8	21.2	18.8	40.0	68.6	*	*	28.6	34.8
NEEDHAM	431	77.7	9.0	8.5	17.5	81.8	8.2	4.5	12.7	15.1
NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSE CHARTER	39	64.7	*	*	*	50.0	*	*	50.0	43.6
NEW BEDFORD	991	50.9	20.1	28.0	48.2	52.1	21.3	24.3	45.6	46.9
NEWBURYPORT	157	66.0	16.0	17.0	33.0	65.1	*	*	30.2	31.8

**Table 3. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 4 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
NEWTON	962	70.3	14.3	10.4	24.6	75.8	11.3	6.4	17.6	21.2
NORTH ANDOVER	366	66.8	17.3	12.2	29.6	65.9	21.2	11.2	32.4	30.9
NORTH ATTLEBOROUGH	366	62.8	20.4	16.3	36.7	73.5	13.5	12.4	25.9	31.7
NORTH MIDDLESEX REGIONAL	101	76.5	*	*	23.5	84.0	*	*	*	18.8
NORTH READING	181	52.0	26.5	20.4	46.9	72.3	*	*	24.1	36.5
NORTH RIVER COLLABORATIVE		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
NORTHAMPTON	183	58.6	24.2	12.1	36.4	67.9	16.7	11.9	28.6	32.8
NORTHBORO-SOUTHBORO REGIONAL	366	72.1	14.2	9.3	23.5	72.1	17.5	6.0	23.5	23.5
NORTHBRIDGE	225	63.6	15.3	16.1	31.4	69.2	12.1	17.8	29.9	30.7
NORTHERN BERKSHIRE (SCHOOL UNION 43)	34	55.0	*	*	*	71.4	*	*	*	38.2
NORTHSHORE EDUCATION CONSORTIUM		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
NORTON	187	72.4	13.3	14.3	27.6	71.9	14.6	12.4	27.0	27.3
NORWELL	171	84.9	*	*	13.7	71.4	*	*	27.6	21.6
NORWOOD	252	60.3	19.1	19.1	38.2	71.1	15.7	11.6	27.3	32.9
OLD ROCHESTER REGIONAL	217	68.7	*	*	27.0	70.6	11.8	14.7	26.5	26.7
OXFORD	144	62.3	*	*	37.7	67.5	*	*	32.5	34.7
PALMER	114	64.9	*	*	35.1	54.4	*	*	42.1	38.6
PEABODY	386	53.3	23.6	22.1	45.6	56.5	17.8	22.5	40.3	43.0
PEMBROKE	255	64.7	15.5	18.1	33.6	71.9	12.2	11.5	23.7	28.2

**Table 3. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 4 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
PENTUCKET REGIONAL	229	74.4	13.6	8.8	22.4	79.8	*	*	16.3	19.7
PILGRIM AREA COLLABORATIVE (PAC)		*	*	*	*				*	*
PIONEER VALLEY CHINESE IMMERSION CHARTER	47	60.9	*	*	*	83.3	*	*	*	25.5
PIONEER VALLEY REGIONAL	59	55.9	*	*	41.2	76.0	*	*	*	33.9
PITTSFIELD	427	61.0	15.1	22.0	37.1	61.3	18.5	17.1	35.6	36.3
PLYMOUTH	555	65.4	15.1	18.8	33.9	70.7	10.6	14.4	25.1	29.7
QUABBIN REGIONAL	180	65.6	13.3	18.9	32.2	55.6	22.2	18.9	41.1	36.7
QUABOAG REGIONAL	103	63.3	*	*	34.7	66.7	*	*	31.5	33.0
QUINCY	677	57.5	19.1	20.2	39.2	67.7	17.1	11.9	29.0	34.6
RALPH C MAHAR REGIONAL	97	64.1	*	*	35.9	58.6	*	*	37.9	37.1
RANDOLPH	232	51.3	17.9	29.1	47.0	51.3	16.5	28.7	45.2	46.1
READING	319	74.0	16.6	6.5	23.1	76.0	13.3	8.0	21.3	22.3
READS COLLABORATIVE		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
REVERE	500	48.0	22.8	26.0	48.8	55.1	18.1	23.6	41.7	45.2
RIVER VALLEY CHARTER	45	91.7	*	*	*	45.5	*	*	54.5	42.2
ROCKLAND	182	55.8	31.6	11.6	43.2	54.0	28.7	14.9	43.7	43.4
ROCKPORT	67	71.1	*	*	*	69.0	*	*	*	23.9
SABIS INTERNATIONAL	127	43.1	25.9	27.6	53.4	60.9	14.5	23.2	37.7	44.9
SALEM	352	49.2	21.2	27.4	48.6	56.1	25.4	18.5	43.9	46.3

**Table 3. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 4 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
SANDWICH	237	63.5	22.2	11.9	34.1	67.6	18.9	10.8	29.7	32.1
SAUGUS	232	41.7	15.2	16.7	31.8	68.0	*	*	29.0	30.6
SCITUATE	251	76.2	11.5	9.0	20.5	87.6	*	*	10.9	15.5
SEEKONK	154	62.5	16.7	16.7	33.3	75.6	*	*	23.2	27.9
SHAKER MOUNTAIN (SCHOOL UNION 70)	18	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
SHARON	269	71.5	18.1	7.6	25.7	71.2	*	*	23.2	24.5
SHREWSBURY	340	57.6	19.2	20.3	39.5	73.2	13.7	11.9	25.6	32.6
SILVER LAKE REGIONAL	250	72.7	14.0	10.5	24.5	75.7	9.3	10.3	19.6	22.4
SOMERSET BERKLEY REGIONAL	282	59.5	18.9	20.9	39.9	63.4	17.9	17.2	35.1	37.6
SOMERVILLE	347	41.1	18.9	37.4	56.3	54.1	22.3	22.3	44.6	51.0
SOUTH HADLEY	122	70.5	*	*	26.2	77.0	*	*	21.3	23.8
SOUTH SHORE CHARTER	44	73.1	*	*	*	88.9	*	*	*	*
SOUTH SHORE EDUCATIONAL COLLABORATIVE		*	*	*	*				*	*
SOUTHBRIDGE	169	60.9	11.5	24.1	35.6	67.1	15.9	17.1	32.9	34.3
SOUTHERN BERKSHIRE REGIONAL	52	70.0	*	*	*	68.2	*	*	*	28.8
SOUTHERN WORCESTER COUNTY EDUCATIONAL COLLABORATIVE		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
SOUTHWICK-TOLLAND-GRANVILLE REGIONAL	124	50.0	24.2	21.0	45.2	69.4	*	*	29.0	37.1
SPENCER-E BROOKFIELD REGIONAL	123	46.7	33.3	20.0	53.3	63.5	*	*	31.7	42.3
WATERTOWN	147	54.1	23.0	18.9	41.9	57.5	20.5	19.2	39.7	40.8

**Table 3. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 4 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
WAYLAND	193	77.6	*	*	21.4	83.2	*	*	15.8	18.7
WEBSTER	125	50.8	16.4	26.2	42.6	59.4	17.2	21.9	39.1	40.8
WELLESLEY	371	74.0	17.2	7.1	24.3	82.7	*	*	14.9	19.1
WEST BOYLSTON	74	61.3	*	*	35.5	67.4	*	*	32.6	33.8
WEST BRIDGEWATER	97	70.6	*	*	29.4	60.9	*	*	32.6	30.9
WEST SPRINGFIELD	231	61.4	20.2	18.4	38.6	57.3	20.5	18.8	39.3	39.0
WESTBOROUGH	287	73.8	13.8	11.7	25.5	74.6	12.0	12.0	23.9	24.7
WESTFIELD	420	65.1	15.1	16.8	31.9	63.8	13.3	21.3	34.6	33.1
WESTFORD	367	76.3	13.4	6.5	19.9	78.5	9.4	6.6	16.0	18.0
WESTON	167	76.0	*	*	24.0	84.8	*	*	*	16.2
WESTPORT	127	54.4	16.2	26.5	42.6	62.7	*	*	33.9	38.6
WESTWOOD	259	80.7	*	*	17.6	82.9	*	*	15.0	16.2
WEYMOUTH	498	56.3	17.9	24.0	41.8	57.9	20.9	19.6	40.4	41.2
WHITMAN-HANSON REGIONAL	336	66.1	18.1	13.6	31.6	59.1	23.9	15.7	39.6	35.4
WILMINGTON	310	62.7	14.6	20.9	35.4	68.4	13.2	15.8	28.9	32.3
WINCHENDON	83	67.4	*	*	28.3	62.2	*	*	35.1	31.3
WINCHESTER	332	73.2	*	*	25.0	83.9	*	*	12.5	18.7
WINTHROP	144	64.1	14.1	20.5	34.6	53.0	21.2	16.7	37.9	36.1
WOBURN	373	59.7	18.2	21.0	39.2	60.9	19.8	17.2	37.0	38.1

**Table 3. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 4 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
WORCESTER	680	47.9	23.8	25.8	49.6	55.2	22.3	20.1	42.3	46.2

Note: Data that did not pass data quality tests were excluded from the analysis.

Definitions: *Underweight* – BMI for age percentile < 5<sup>th</sup> percentile; *Healthy weight* – BMI for age percentile between 5<sup>th</sup> and 85<sup>th</sup> percentile; *Overweight* – BMI for age percentile between 85<sup>th</sup> and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile; *Obese* – BMI for age percentile ≥ 95<sup>th</sup> percentile.

\* Data is suppressed due to the small number of individuals in this cell.

**Table 4. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 7 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>60,695</b>	<b>62.8</b>	<b>16.4</b>	<b>17.3</b>	<b>33.7</b>	<b>65.6</b>	<b>17.1</b>	<b>14.6</b>	<b>31.7</b>	<b>32.7</b>
ABBY KELLEY FOSTER REG CHARTER	110	75.0	*	*	23.5	66.7	*	*	33.3	27.3
ABINGTON	148	41.1	28.8	28.8	57.5	61.3	*	*	38.7	48.0
ACTON-BOXBOROUGH REGIONAL	460	71.3	16.6	7.7	24.3	81.2	*	*	14.1	19.6
ACUSHNET	112	72.2	*	*	27.8	51.7	24.1	20.7	44.8	36.6
ADAMS-CHESHIRE REGIONAL	111	42.6	22.2	31.5	53.7	56.1	21.1	21.1	42.1	47.7
ADVANCED MATH AND SCIENCE ACADEMY CHARTER	140	71.4	*	*	21.4	73.8	*	*	25.0	23.6
AMHERST-PELHAM REGIONAL	242	71.4	9.5	17.5	27.0	69.8	18.1	8.6	26.7	26.9
ANDOVER	511	71.9	14.9	8.8	23.7	79.4	13.0	5.0	17.9	20.7
ARLINGTON	400	70.6	13.6	11.9	25.4	81.2	9.4	7.2	16.6	20.5
ASHBURNHAM-WESTMINSTER REG	164	59.8	20.7	18.3	39.0	69.5	*	*	28.0	33.5
ASHLAND	171	78.0	*	*	17.1	78.7	*	*	20.2	18.7
ATHOL-ROYALSTON REGIONAL	95	51.0	*	*	41.2	63.6	*	*	36.4	38.9
ATLANTIS CHARTER	95	46.5	23.3	27.9	51.2	59.6	*	*	40.4	45.3
ATTLEBORO	428	53.9	19.4	22.8	42.2	56.6	20.9	17.3	38.3	40.4
AUBURN	195	61.4	19.8	17.8	37.6	62.8	16.0	16.0	31.9	34.9
AVON	61	42.4	*	*	51.5	53.6	*	*	46.4	49.2
AYER SHIRLEY REGIONAL	139	61.4	20.0	15.7	35.7	66.7	*	*	31.9	33.8
BARNSTABLE	395	59.2	18.4	21.4	39.8	58.8	24.6	14.6	39.2	39.5

**Table 4. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 7 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
BAYSTATE ACADEMY CHARTER	75	82.1	*	*	*	77.8	*	*	*	17.3
BEDFORD	179	58.5	18.1	17.0	35.1	75.3	*	*	21.2	28.5
BELCHERTOWN	205	68.4	17.1	10.3	27.4	73.9	*	*	23.9	25.9
BELLINGHAM	175	61.3	22.5	12.5	35.0	54.7	22.1	20.0	42.1	38.9
BELMONT	294	66.4	17.5	10.2	27.7	79.6	*	*	15.9	21.4
BERKSHIRE ARTS AND TECHNOLOGY CHARTER	69	42.9	*	*	*	41.2	*	*	35.3	27.5
BERKSHIRE HILLS REGIONAL	100	73.6	*	*	18.9	70.2	*	*	27.7	23.0
BERLIN-BOYLSTON REGIONAL	66	69.7	*	*	*	63.6	*	*	36.4	31.8
BEVERLY	213	58.4	15.9	19.5	35.4	54.0	18.0	21.0	39.0	37.1
BI-COUNTY COLLABORATIVE (BICO)		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
BILLERICA	438	63.7	14.8	17.7	32.5	61.7	20.4	14.9	35.3	33.8
BLACKSTONE-MILLVILLE REGIONAL	149	56.8	20.3	21.6	41.9	66.7	18.7	13.3	32.0	36.9
BOSTON	2,858	56.1	17.3	24.5	41.8	56.1	17.3	24.5	41.8	41.8
BOSTON COLLEGIATE CHARTER	92	56.4	*	*	41.0	60.4	*	*	37.7	39.1
BOSTON PREPARATORY CHARTER	57	62.5	*	*	37.5	68.0	*	*	*	35.1
BOURNE	165	64.8	20.5	14.8	35.2	61.0	26.0	13.0	39.0	37.0
BRAINTREE	417	61.9	14.7	21.1	35.8	74.9	12.6	11.1	23.6	30.0
BRIDGEWATER-RAYNHAM REGIONAL	451	60.0	18.2	17.7	35.9	63.6	21.2	12.6	33.8	34.8
BROCKTON	1,152	59.2	18.7	18.9	37.5	60.0	20.3	17.5	37.8	37.7
BROOKLINE	524	79.9	9.0	5.7	14.8	74.3	12.1	8.2	20.4	17.7



**Table 4. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 7 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
BURLINGTON	279	70.5	18.9	8.3	27.3	69.4	18.4	9.5	27.9	27.6
CAMBRIDGE	326	63.2	14.9	17.2	32.2	67.1	11.8	17.1	28.9	30.7
CANTON	253	60.0	24.0	13.6	37.6	61.7	22.7	14.1	36.7	37.2
CAPE COD COLLABORATIVE		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
CAPE COD LIGHTHOUSE CHARTER	80	73.2	*	*	24.4	84.6	*	*	*	20.0
CAPS EDUCATIONAL COLLABORATIVE		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
CARVER	51	66.7	*	*	*	66.7	*	*	*	33.3
CENTRAL BERKSHIRE REGIONAL	154	67.1	16.5	13.9	30.4	70.7	*	*	28.0	29.2
CENTRAL MASSACHUSETTS SPED COLLABORATIVE	26	*	*	*	45.5	*	*	*	*	50.0
CHELMSFORD	377	69.6	16.2	9.8	26.0	67.6	18.5	10.4	28.9	27.3
CHICOPEE	494	52.2	16.4	27.6	44.0	51.5	16.0	25.6	41.6	42.7
CHRISTA MCAULIFFE REG CHARTER	117	56.1	*	*	38.6	70.0	*	*	28.3	33.3
CLINTON	106	62.3	*	*	34.0	62.3	*	*	35.8	34.9
COHASSET	136	77.6	*	*	17.9	82.6	*	*	14.5	16.2
COLLABORATIVE FOR EDUCATIONAL SERVICES			*	*	*					*
COMMUNITY CHARTER SCHOOL OF CAMBRIDGE	65	53.1	*	*	43.8	54.5	*	*	45.5	44.6
COMMUNITY DAY CHARTER	44	53.8	*	*	46.2	61.1	*	*	*	43.2
CONCORD-CARLISLE REGIONAL	330	76.9	*	*	20.6	84.1	*	*	12.9	16.7
DANVERS	294	55.8	17.5	22.7	40.3	69.3	18.6	12.1	30.7	35.7
DARTMOUTH	330	60.3	14.4	21.3	35.6	55.1	25.0	12.2	37.2	36.4

**Table 4. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 7 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
DEDHAM	219	51.4	24.8	11.9	36.7	50.0	22.7	14.5	37.3	37.0
DENNIS-YARMOUTH REGIONAL	215	71.2	*	*	27.9	81.1	9.0	9.9	18.9	23.3
DIGHTON-REHOBOTH REGIONAL	254	65.3	16.0	15.3	31.3	72.7	11.8	10.9	22.7	27.6
DORCHESTER COLLEGIATE ACADEMY CHARTER	39	*	*	*	*	36.4 *	*	*	*	33.3
DOUGLAS	120	53.7	*	*	40.3	66.0	*	*	32.1	36.7
DOVER-SHERBORN REGIONAL	148	73.2	*	*	25.6	71.2	*	*	24.2	25.0
DRACUT	289	83.3	10.1	6.0	16.1	80.2	*	*	19.0	17.3
DUDLEY-CHARLTON REGIONAL	343	66.7	13.6	14.1	27.7	68.1	15.1	15.1	30.1	28.9
DUXBURY	251	71.1	18.2	8.3	26.4	76.9	*	*	20.0	23.1
EAST BRIDGEWATER	151	70.4	*	*	29.6	56.3	20.0	20.0	40.0	35.1
EAST LONGMEADOW	218	57.0	20.2	20.2	40.4	69.2	12.5	16.3	28.8	34.9
EASTHAMPTON	105	54.0	22.0	22.0	44.0	56.4	23.6	20.0	43.6	43.8
EASTON	323	58.2	21.2	17.1	38.4	71.2	15.8	11.3	27.1	32.2
EDWARD BROOKE CHARTER (ALL CAMPUSES)	63	60.0	*	*	*	72.7	*	*	*	28.6
EVERETT	440	45.2	22.1	31.3	53.5	48.0	20.6	29.1	49.8	51.6
EXCEL ACADEMY CHARTER	98	58.8	*	*	37.3	44.7	34.0	21.3	55.3	45.9
FAIRHAVEN	130	52.1	17.8	30.1	47.9	64.9	*	*	35.1	42.3
FALL RIVER	888	56.8	16.0	19.8	35.8	65.4	12.1	16.5	28.6	32.4
FITCHBURG	256	42.7	30.5	20.6	51.1	44.8	29.6	20.0	49.6	50.4
FOUR RIVERS CHARTER	34	81.3	*	*	*	88.9	*	*	*	*

**Table 4. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 7 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
FOXBOROUGH	214	73.5	10.3	11.1	21.4	83.5	*	*	16.5	19.2
FOXBOROUGH REGIONAL CHARTER	110	59.6	*	*	36.5	56.9	20.7	20.7	41.4	39.1
FRAMINGHAM	560	56.2	17.1	23.7	40.8	55.6	20.3	22.6	42.9	41.8
FRANCIS W PARKER CHARTER	65	66.7	*	*	33.3	87.5	*	*	*	23.1
FREETOWN-LAKEVILLE REGIONAL	253	76.1	10.3	11.1	21.4	65.4	19.1	13.2	32.4	27.3
FRONTIER (REG + SCHOOL UNION 38)	105	65.5	*	*	27.6	63.8	*	*	36.2	31.4
GARDNER	160	55.4	24.1	15.7	39.8	55.8	22.1	16.9	39.0	39.4
GATEWAY REGIONAL	83	53.2	*	*	44.7	77.8	*	*	*	34.9
GEORGETOWN	108	72.2	*	*	22.2	75.9	*	*	20.4	21.3
GILL-MONTAGUE REGIONAL	70	55.6	*	*	44.4	50.0	*	*	47.1	45.7
GLOUCESTER	230	63.3	14.1	19.5	33.6	55.9	23.5	17.6	41.2	37.0
GRAFTON	241	75.0	9.2	12.5	21.7	74.4	*	*	22.3	22.0
GRANBY	76	57.5	*	*	42.5	69.4	*	*	27.8	35.5
GROTON-DUNSTABLE REGIONAL	213	71.3	13.9	12.2	26.1	71.4	18.4	10.2	28.6	27.2
HADLEY	55	61.5	*	*	38.5	72.4	*	*	*	30.9
HAMILTON-WENHAM REGIONAL	154	82.9	*	*	15.9	90.3	*	*	*	12.3
HAMPDEN CHARTER SCHOOL OF SCIENCE	55	57.6	*	*	42.4	63.6	*	*	*	40.0
HAMPDEN-WILBRAHAM REGIONAL	265	64.0	17.3	10.8	28.1	67.5	18.3	11.1	29.4	28.7
HAMPSHIRE REGIONAL	119	71.9	*	*	28.1	78.2	*	*	20.0	24.4
HARVARD	96	71.2	*	*	25.0	75.0	*	*	*	21.9

**Table 4. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 7 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
HATFIELD	36	64.7	*	*	*	73.7	*	*	*	30.6
HAVERHILL	608	58.0	15.8	23.0	38.8	56.0	20.6	21.6	42.3	40.5
HILLTOWN COOPERATIVE CHARTER	19	*	*	*	*	91.7	*	*	*	*
HINGHAM	300	85.1	*	*	12.3	78.8	*	*	18.5	15.3
HOLBROOK	85	47.7	*	*	47.7	63.4	*	*	36.6	42.4
HOLLISTON	208	70.2	12.5	13.5	26.0	79.8	*	*	16.3	21.2
HOLYOKE	349	54.4	21.4	22.5	44.0	58.7	15.6	24.0	39.5	41.8
HOLYOKE COMMUNITY CHARTER	63	54.2	*	*	45.8	61.5	*	*	38.5	41.3
HOPEDALE	101	44.4	*	*	*	57.1	*	*	17.9	13.9
HOPKINTON	255	83.3	*	*	14.4	91.1	*	*	*	9.8
HUDSON	208	63.5	15.4	21.2	36.5	61.5	18.3	20.2	38.5	37.5
HULL	89	55.3	*	*	42.6	47.6	*	*	47.6	44.9
INNOVATION ACADEMY CHARTER	98	68.1	*	*	29.8	76.5	*	*	23.5	26.5
IPSWICH	150	82.3	*	*	*	85.9	*	*	*	*
KING PHILIP REGIONAL	373	74.8	10.7	10.7	21.4	76.0	12.0	9.6	21.6	21.4
KIPP ACADEMY LYNN CHARTER	86	60.0	*	*	40.0	48.8	*	*	48.8	44.2
LAWRENCE FAMILY DEV CHARTER	59	47.6	*	*	52.4	31.6	28.9	39.5	68.4	62.7
LEE	49	64.0	*	*	*	70.8	*	*	*	26.5
LEICESTER	105	63.6	*	*	34.1	52.5	31.1	16.4	47.5	41.9
LENOX	54	73.9	*	*	*	67.7	*	*	*	25.9

**Table 4. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 7 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
LEOMINSTER	431	57.5	19.7	20.6	40.3	60.1	17.7	20.7	38.4	39.4
LEXINGTON	539	74.3	15.1	6.6	21.7	80.5	9.4	7.1	16.5	19.1
LINCOLN-SUDBURY REGIONAL	449	69.4	13.4	13.8	27.2	81.1	9.2	7.8	17.1	22.3
LITTLETON	116	79.6	*	*	*	73.1	*	*	25.4	22.4
LONGMEADOW	177	76.4	*	*	23.6	77.3	*	*	21.6	22.6
LOWELL	860	59.8	15.2	22.2	37.4	57.7	17.9	21.4	39.3	38.3
LOWER PIONEER VALLEY EDUCATIONAL COLLABORATIVE		*	*	*	*					*
LUDLOW	220	55.5	21.8	20.0	41.8	56.4	22.7	20.9	43.6	42.7
LYNN	792	48.5	23.7	25.5	49.2	58.7	20.8	18.3	39.1	44.6
LYNNFIELD	196	79.6	*	*	15.9	79.5	*	*	16.9	16.3
MALDEN	426	60.0	16.4	21.8	38.2	60.2	21.4	15.5	36.9	37.6
MANCHESTER ESSEX REGIONAL	117	78.6	*	*	*	83.6	*	*	16.4	16.2
MANSFIELD	368	69.2	16.8	9.2	25.9	76.0	15.8	6.6	22.4	24.2
MARBLEHEAD	135	71.0	*	*	24.6	66.7	*	*	27.3	25.9
MARBLEHEAD COMMUNITY CHARTER	48	64.3	*	*	*	60.0	*	*	*	33.3
MARLBOROUGH	321	55.5	16.8	24.5	41.3	59.6	18.1	21.1	39.2	40.2
MARSHFIELD	332	74.9	9.0	13.2	22.2	77.0	12.7	8.5	21.2	21.7
MARTHA'S VINEYARD REGIONAL	122	54.2	18.1	27.8	45.8	72.0	*	*	28.0	38.5
MASCONOMET (AND TRI-TOWN SCHOOL UNION)	329	72.4	13.8	9.8	23.6	78.7	11.0	7.1	18.1	21.0

**Table 4. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 7 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
MASHPEE	138	63.0	15.1	20.5	35.6	66.2	*	*	29.2	32.6
MEDFIELD	203	70.8	*	*	22.6	78.4	*	*	19.6	21.2
MEDFORD	342	61.4	14.2	23.3	37.5	57.2	13.9	24.7	38.6	38.0
MEDWAY	97	62.0	*	*	36.0	63.8	*	*	34.0	35.1
MELROSE	330	59.1	16.4	21.4	37.7	70.8	13.5	12.3	25.7	31.5
MENDON-UPTON REGIONAL	164	72.8	*	*	25.9	75.9	*	*	24.1	25.0
METHUEN	443	42.6	23.9	20.6	44.5	53.8	20.9	14.5	35.5	39.7
MIDDLEBOROUGH	256	61.2	15.1	23.0	38.1	63.2	17.1	17.9	35.0	36.7
MILFORD	311	64.5	17.5	16.3	33.7	58.6	22.8	17.2	40.0	36.7
MILLBURY	117	63.3	*	*	33.3	49.1	19.3	28.1	47.4	40.2
MILLIS	92	70.0	*	*	*	75.0	*	*	*	19.6
MILTON	249	65.6	16.4	14.8	31.1	74.0	12.6	8.7	21.3	26.1
MOHAWK TRAIL REGIONAL	90	54.9	23.5	21.6	45.1	64.1	*	*	33.3	40.0
MONOMOY	149	56.0	21.4	20.2	41.7	60.0	18.5	21.5	40.0	40.9
MONSON	100	61.5	*	*	34.6	70.8	*	*	29.2	32.0
MOUNT GREYLOCK REGIONAL	103	65.0	*	*	30.0	65.1	*	*	32.6	31.1
NANTUCKET	104	72.5	*	*	23.5	67.9	*	*	32.1	27.9
NARRAGANSETT REGIONAL	137	60.0	20.0	17.1	37.1	47.8	28.4	22.4	50.7	43.8
NASHOBA REGIONAL	164	75.0	10.9	13.0	23.9	77.8	*	*	18.1	21.3
NATICK	362	75.4	11.5	8.9	20.4	72.5	19.3	7.6	26.9	23.5

**Table 4. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 7 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
NAUSET REGIONAL	216	69.6	12.2	16.5	28.7	69.3	15.8	11.9	27.7	28.2
NEEDHAM	428	74.1	12.7	9.5	22.3	78.4	11.5	5.3	16.8	19.6
NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSE CHARTER	36	60.0	*	*	*	68.8	*	*	*	33.3
NEW BEDFORD	901	61.5	21.9	13.3	35.2	56.2	22.5	17.2	39.7	37.3
NEW BEDFORD GLOBAL HMCS	65	56.3	*	*	40.6	60.6	*	*	39.4	40.0
NEWBURYPORT	181	70.0	11.0	16.0	27.0	71.6	*	*	28.4	27.6
NEWTON	795	76.1	10.7	9.4	20.1	71.8	10.7	14.0	24.7	22.4
NORTH ADAMS	28	*	*	*	*	73.3	*	*	*	*
NORTH ANDOVER	410	75.2	12.1	11.2	23.3	79.4	11.8	6.9	18.6	21.0
NORTH ATTLEBOROUGH	424	55.6	20.3	21.3	41.5	65.9	15.2	14.3	29.5	35.4
NORTH BROOKFIELD	50	*	*	*	*	55.6	*	*	*	26.0
NORTH CENTRAL CHARTER ESSENTIAL	54	63.0	*	*	37.0	70.4	*	*	*	33.3
NORTH MIDDLESEX REGIONAL	107	58.0	*	*	36.0	61.4	*	*	36.8	36.4
NORTH READING	204	65.4	17.8	13.1	30.8	56.7	25.8	14.4	40.2	35.3
NORTH RIVER COLLABORATIVE		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
NORTHAMPTON	155	55.7	25.3	15.2	40.5	64.5	18.4	15.8	34.2	37.4
NORTHBORO-SOUTHBORO REGIONAL	380	69.6	17.1	8.8	26.0	75.4	10.6	6.5	17.1	21.3
NORTHBRIDGE	181	68.5	*	*	30.3	62.0	21.7	13.0	34.8	32.6
NORTHERN BERKSHIRE (SCHOOL UNION 43)	34	*	*	*	*	63.2	*	*	*	35.3
NORTHSHORE EDUCATION CONSORTIUM	11	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

**Table 4. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 7 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
NORTON	195	67.6	15.2	13.3	28.6	63.3	17.8	14.4	32.2	30.3
NORWELL	190	70.2	10.6	10.6	21.3	82.3	*	*	12.5	16.8
NORWOOD	235	68.5	9.7	19.4	29.0	69.4	12.6	16.2	28.8	28.9
OLD ROCHESTER REGIONAL	242	71.4	10.1	16.8	26.9	73.2	14.6	8.9	23.6	25.2
OXFORD	124	65.6	*	*	32.8	73.0	*	*	27.0	29.8
PALMER	122	54.4	17.5	22.8	40.4	47.7	26.2	21.5	47.7	44.3
PEABODY	414	56.0	18.5	23.6	42.1	58.1	19.2	19.7	38.9	40.6
PEMBROKE	275	63.6	13.3	18.2	31.5	65.2	17.4	11.4	28.8	30.2
PENTUCKET REGIONAL	240	70.3	12.6	16.2	28.8	71.3	14.0	13.2	27.1	27.9
PILGRIM AREA COLLABORATIVE (PAC)	10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
PIONEER CHARTER SCHOOL OF SCIENCE I	63	58.3	*	*	41.7	53.8	*	*	46.2	44.4
PIONEER CHARTER SCHOOL OF SCIENCE II	73	46.2	*	*	51.3	47.1	*	*	50.0	50.7
PIONEER VALLEY CHINESE IMMERSION CHARTER	19	*	*	*	*	90.0*	*	*	*	*
PIONEER VALLEY REGIONAL	81	55.1	*	*	44.9	68.8	*	*	31.3	39.5
PITTSFIELD	310	65.8	21.7	8.1	29.8	62.4	23.5	7.4	30.9	30.3
PLYMOUTH	536	59.4	18.1	19.9	38.1	62.4	19.2	15.3	34.5	36.4
QUABBIN REGIONAL	185	57.0	15.0	23.0	38.0	60.0	*	*	37.6	37.8
QUABOAG REGIONAL	129	63.4	16.9	19.7	36.6	50.0	27.6	22.4	50.0	42.6
QUINCY	636	64.0	17.6	15.8	33.3	69.7	17.3	8.3	25.7	29.7
RALPH C MAHAR REGIONAL	128	58.2	16.4	22.4	38.8	73.8	*	*	24.6	32.0



**Table 4. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 7 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
RANDOLPH	207	54.8	18.3	26.9	45.2	54.4	23.3	22.3	45.6	45.4
READING	356	69.2	11.9	11.4	23.2	78.9	11.1	6.4	17.5	20.5
READS COLLABORATIVE		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
REVERE	498	50.8	20.8	25.8	46.7	50.0	27.5	20.5	48.1	47.4
RISING TIDE CHARTER	87	61.0	*	*	36.6	71.7	*	*	28.3	32.2
RIVER VALLEY CHARTER	31	86.7	*	*	*	81.3	*	*	*	*
ROCKLAND	171	50.5	16.5	29.7	46.2	62.5	22.5	15.0	37.5	42.1
ROCKPORT	95	62.0	*	*	38.0	66.7	*	*	28.9	33.7
ROXBURY PREP CHARTER	149	50.0	18.1	29.2	47.2	50.6	27.3	20.8	48.1	47.7
SABIS INTERNATIONAL	148	50.7	*	*	46.3	51.9	25.9	21.0	46.9	46.6
SALEM	277	61.2	18.4	17.0	35.4	50.8	17.7	29.2	46.9	40.8
SALEM ACADEMY CHARTER	63	40.5	32.4	27.0	59.5	65.4	*	*	*	49.2
SANDWICH	264	69.8	13.7	12.9	26.6	72.0	11.2	16.0	27.2	26.9
SAUGUS	200	55.0	16.0	29.0	45.0	54.0	*	*	44.0	44.5
SCITUATE	247	68.1	10.3	15.5	25.9	74.8	*	*	22.9	24.3
SEEKONK	178	72.4	*	*	15.8	70.6	13.7	12.7	26.5	21.9
SHAKER MOUNTAIN (SCHOOL UNION 70)	10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
SHREWSBURY	489	63.3	19.3	14.3	33.6	73.9	16.1	7.0	23.0	28.6
SMITH LEADERSHIP ACADEMY CHARTER	73	59.1	*	*	*	51.0	*	*	35.3	31.5
SOMERSET BERKLEY REGIONAL	214	76.4	*	*	23.6	76.9	10.6	12.5	23.1	23.4

**Table 4. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 7 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
SOMERVILLE	235	51.3	13.7	25.6	39.3	51.7	18.6	25.4	44.1	41.7
SOUTH HADLEY	148	59.5	14.9	24.3	39.2	78.4	*	*	21.6	30.4
SOUTH SHORE CHARTER	44	75.0	*	*	*	83.3	*	*	*	*
SOUTH SHORE EDUCATIONAL COLLABORATIVE	11	*	*	*	*					*
SOUTHBRIDGE	162	50.0	18.1	26.6	44.7	57.4	19.1	20.6	39.7	42.6
SOUTHERN BERKSHIRE REGIONAL	53	47.8	*	*	43.5	66.7	*	*	*	35.8
SOUTHERN WORCESTER COUNTY EDUCATIONAL COLLABORATIVE		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
SOUTHWICK-TOLLAND-GRANVILLE REGIONAL	131	54.5	21.2	24.2	45.5	60.0	*	*	38.5	42.0
SPENCER-E BROOKFIELD REGIONAL	169	56.1	18.7	21.5	40.2	58.1	16.1	24.2	40.3	40.2
SPRINGFIELD	1,756	55.5	15.4	26.9	42.3	54.2	18.2	27.0	45.2	43.6
STONEHAM	180	60.6	13.8	20.2	34.0	72.1	15.1	11.6	26.7	30.6
STOUGHTON	293	55.7	18.4	20.3	38.6	56.3	25.2	18.5	43.7	41.0
SWAMPSCOTT (INCLUDING NAHANT)	160	75.6	*	*	22.1	74.3	*	*	21.6	21.9
SWANSEA	173	64.0	16.9	16.9	33.7	59.5	20.2	19.0	39.3	36.4
TANTASQUA REGIONAL	259	81.9	8.1	8.1	16.1	93.6	*	*	*	12.0
TAUNTON	500	30.4	45.9	18.5	64.4	41.3	38.3	19.6	57.8	61.4
TEWKSBURY	277	53.5	24.3	19.4	43.8	56.4	21.8	20.3	42.1	43.0
THE EDUCATION COOPERATIVE (TEC)		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TRITON REGIONAL	220	68.8	14.3	12.5	26.8	53.7	25.0	20.4	45.4	35.9

**Table 4. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 7 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
TYNGSBOROUGH	145	63.2	17.1	14.5	31.6	66.7	*	*	33.3	32.4
UXBRIDGE	150	82.5	*	*	13.8	82.9	*	*	14.3	14.0
WAKEFIELD	230	53.6	28.0	13.6	41.6	76.2	13.3	9.5	22.9	33.0
WALPOLE	327	67.7	18.1	14.2	32.3	80.8	11.6	6.4	18.0	24.8
WALTHAM	344	53.6	20.2	23.8	44.0	58.0	21.0	18.2	39.2	41.6
WARE	98	58.0	*	*	38.0	56.3	20.8	20.8	41.7	39.8
WAREHAM	197	54.6	13.9	26.9	40.7	51.7	23.6	22.5	46.1	43.1
WATERTOWN	180	55.6	15.6	21.1	36.7	66.7	*	*	31.1	33.9
WAYLAND	196	71.0	10.3	12.1	22.4	77.5	*	*	16.9	19.9
WELLESLEY	364	77.4	10.7	8.9	19.6	81.1	*	*	17.9	18.7
WEST BOYLSTON	82	71.8	*	*	25.6	65.1	*	*	32.6	29.3
WEST BRIDGEWATER	115	48.0	*	*	48.0	69.2	*	*	27.7	36.5
WEST SPRINGFIELD	210	57.8	16.5	22.0	38.5	60.4	18.8	17.8	36.6	37.6
WESTBOROUGH	284	69.5	12.1	13.5	25.5	76.9	*	*	20.3	22.9
WESTFIELD	385	74.1	12.9	11.4	24.4	63.0	17.9	15.8	33.7	28.8
WESTFORD	438	76.5	9.4	9.4	18.8	81.9	*	*	17.2	18.0
WESTON	222	73.8	*	*	23.3	72.3	12.6	10.9	23.5	23.4
WESTPORT	128	52.2	20.3	26.1	46.4	67.8	*	*	30.5	39.1
WESTWOOD	270	78.2	*	*	19.5	82.5	*	*	11.7	15.6
WEYMOUTH	441	62.1	12.3	22.2	34.6	67.2	17.2	12.6	29.8	32.4

**Table 4. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 7 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
WHITMAN-HANSON REGIONAL	341	62.9	18.8	16.5	35.3	62.6	18.7	16.4	35.1	35.2
WILMINGTON	276	68.2	10.4	20.1	30.5	67.2	17.2	11.5	28.7	29.7
WINCHENDON	132	66.2	*	*	30.8	62.7	17.9	17.9	35.8	33.3
WINCHESTER	311	76.6	13.6	8.4	22.1	80.9	*	*	16.6	19.3
WINTHROP	151	62.7	14.5	20.5	34.9	67.6	16.2	14.7	30.9	33.1
WOBURN	374	64.6	14.4	20.4	34.8	60.1	16.6	20.7	37.3	36.1
WORCESTER	707	53.9	12.8	31.9	44.6	50.3	16.6	32.0	48.6	46.7

Definitions: *Underweight* – BMI for age percentile < 5<sup>th</sup> percentile; *Healthy weight* – BMI for age percentile between 5<sup>th</sup> and 85<sup>th</sup> percentile; *Overweight* – BMI for age percentile between 85<sup>th</sup> and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile; *Obese* – BMI for age percentile ≥ 95<sup>th</sup> percentile.

Notes: Data that did not pass data quality tests were excluded from the analysis.

\* Data is suppressed due to the small number of individuals in this cell.

**Table 5. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>59,268</b>	<b>63.3</b>	<b>16.5</b>	<b>17.7</b>	<b>34.2</b>	<b>67.9</b>	<b>16.7</b>	<b>13.6</b>	<b>30.4</b>	<b>32.3</b>
ABBY KELLEY FOSTER REG CHARTER	78	57.9	*	*	42.1	62.5	*	*	37.5	39.7
ABINGTON	105	50.9	*	*	45.3	63.5	*	*	32.7	39.0
ACTON-BOXBOROUGH REGIONAL	379	72.1	13.2	11.3	24.5	81.7	*	*	15.4	20.3
ADAMS-CHESHIRE REGIONAL	66	52.8	*	*	44.4	70.0	*	*	*	37.9
ADVANCED MATH AND SCIENCE ACADEMY CHARTER	187	75.3	12.4	10.3	22.7	73.3	*	*	26.7	24.6
AMHERST-PELHAM REGIONAL	236	70.6	15.1	12.7	27.8	80.0	*	*	15.5	22.0
ANDOVER	443	72.3	10.0	15.0	25.0	79.8	14.3	4.9	19.3	22.1
ARLINGTON	266	73.8	12.1	11.3	23.4	77.6	10.4	9.6	20.0	21.8
ASHBURNHAM-WESTMINSTER REG	194	71.1	*	*	27.8	69.2	21.2	9.6	30.8	29.4
ASHLAND	178	69.1	10.3	18.6	28.9	79.0	*	*	19.8	24.7
ASSABET VALLEY VOC TECH REG	246	48.9	17.8	23.0	40.7	62.2	10.8	18.0	28.8	35.4
ATHOL-ROYALSTON REGIONAL	101	56.7	20.9	22.4	43.3	64.7	*	*	32.4	39.6
ATTLEBORO	428	56.0	18.8	22.6	41.5	63.9	17.5	18.6	36.1	39.0
AUBURN	156	65.2	*	*	34.8	64.4	18.9	16.7	35.6	35.3
AVON	51	55.6	*	*	40.7	41.7	*	*	54.2	47.1
AYER SHIRLEY REGIONAL	99	44.7	21.3	25.5	46.8	73.1	*	*	26.9	36.4
BARNSTABLE	306	65.3	14.0	18.7	32.7	71.8	14.7	12.8	27.6	30.1

**Table 5. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
BEDFORD	206	71.4	12.5	13.4	25.9	72.3	12.8	13.8	26.6	26.2
BELCHERTOWN	181	67.0	15.4	16.5	31.9	61.1	24.4	11.1	35.6	33.7
BELLINGHAM	165	58.6	19.2	19.2	38.4	63.6	*	*	33.3	36.4
BELMONT	307	72.3	17.7	8.5	26.2	81.9	10.2	7.8	18.1	21.8
BERKSHIRE ARTS AND TECHNOLOGY CHARTER	33	84.6	*	*	*	55.0	*	*	*	33.3
BERKSHIRE HILLS REGIONAL	138	69.7	*	*	25.8	76.4	*	*	20.8	23.2
BERLIN-BOYLSTON REGIONAL	49	76.2	*	*	*	67.9	*	*	*	24.5
BEVERLY	164	36.1	19.4	40.3	59.7	39.1	30.4	27.2	57.6	58.5
BI-COUNTY COLLABORATIVE (BICO)	13	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		*
BILLERICA	285	61.5	20.0	17.8	37.8	68.0	18.7	11.3	30.0	33.7
BLACKSTONE VALLEY VOC TECH REG	270	60.2	15.6	21.1	36.7	66.9	18.3	14.8	33.1	34.8
BLACKSTONE-MILLVILLE REGIONAL	120	69.7	*	*	30.3	68.5	*	*	29.6	30.0
BLUE HILLS VOC TECH REG	191	68.9	18.9	12.2	31.1	62.4	22.8	13.9	36.6	34.0
BOSTON	2,273	60.3	15.8	21.9	37.7	60.1	18.0	19.5	37.5	37.6
BOSTON COLLEGIATE CHARTER	57	62.5	*	*	*	72.7	*	*	*	31.6
BOSTON PREPARATORY CHARTER	45	*	*	*	*	51.4	*	*	48.6	42.2
BOURNE	130	68.9	*	*	25.7	62.5	*	*	32.1	28.5
BRAINTREE	334	65.7	14.5	16.9	31.3	72.6	14.3	11.3	25.6	28.4
BRIDGEWATER-RAYNHAM REGIONAL	389	70.9	16.7	11.3	28.1	76.9	12.4	10.2	22.6	25.4

**Table 5. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
BRISTOL COUNTY AGR	109	48.6	*	*	37.1	52.7	20.3	17.6	37.8	37.6
BRISTOL-PLYMOUTH VOC TECH REG	326	55.1	19.1	24.7	43.8	55.4	23.0	20.3	43.2	43.6
BROCKTON	700	56.9	19.2	21.8	41.0	55.1	23.3	20.2	43.5	42.3
BROOKLINE	336	72.5	15.2	9.4	24.6	78.8	13.9	6.1	20.0	22.3
BURLINGTON	250	66.7	13.5	16.7	30.2	78.2	9.7	9.7	19.4	24.8
CAMBRIDGE	362	70.8	13.0	16.1	29.2	67.1	17.6	14.1	31.8	30.4
CANTON	219	65.8	12.3	21.1	33.3	69.5	18.1	11.4	29.5	31.5
CAPE COD COLLABORATIVE	12	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
CAPE COD REGION VOC TECH REG	171	56.8	24.2	17.9	42.1	52.6	18.4	27.6	46.1	43.9
CAPS EDUCATIONAL COLLABORATIVE	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
CARVER	35	61.9	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	42.9
CENTRAL BERKSHIRE REGIONAL	112	59.6	*	*	40.4	78.5	*	*	20.0	28.6
CENTRAL MASSACHUSETTS SPED COLLABORATIVE	27	52.6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	48.1
CHELMSFORD	410	62.0	19.5	14.5	34.0	65.2	21.0	13.3	34.3	34.1
CHICOPEE	597	53.7	26.5	18.7	45.2	61.7	18.5	19.5	38.0	41.5
CITY ON A HILL CHARTER	29	90.9	*	*	*	55.6	*	*	*	*
CLINTON	71	69.0	*	*	28.6	65.5	*	*	34.5	31.0
CODMAN ACADEMY CHARTER	31	71.4	*	*	*	*	*	*	64.7	48.4
COHASSET	112	87.9	*	*	*	88.9	*	*	*	11.6

**Table 5. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
COLLABORATIVE FOR EDUCATIONAL SERVICES	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
COMMUNITY CHARTER SCHOOL OF CAMBRIDGE	59	71.4	*	*	*	57.9	*	*	39.5	35.6
CONCORD-CARLISLE REGIONAL	285	80.8	*	*	16.2	84.5	*	*	14.8	15.4
DANVERS	234	80.7	*	*	19.3	86.4	*	*	12.8	15.8
DARTMOUTH	284	63.8	17.3	16.5	33.9	68.8	21.0	8.3	29.3	31.3
DEDHAM	157	48.8	20.7	23.2	43.9	62.7	13.3	16.0	29.3	36.9
DENNIS-YARMOUTH REGIONAL	159	61.9	19.0	19.0	38.1	72.0	*	*	28.0	33.3
DIGHTON-REHOBOTH REGIONAL	207	85.7	*	*	12.6	88.6	*	*	*	10.6
DOUGLAS	81	71.4	*	*	26.5	68.8	*	*	31.3	28.4
DOVER-SHERBORN REGIONAL	153	69.9	*	*	21.7	84.3	*	*	*	15.7
DRACUT	211	61.9	17.1	19.0	36.2	60.4	22.6	17.0	39.6	37.9
DUDLEY-CHARLTON REGIONAL	270	57.0	14.8	25.0	39.8	69.7	14.1	15.5	29.6	34.4
DUXBURY	278	69.7	16.6	10.3	26.9	86.5	*	*	12.0	19.8
EAST BRIDGEWATER	133	50.0	28.1	20.3	48.4	58.0	*	*	42.0	45.1
EAST LONGMEADOW	224	62.4	20.8	14.9	35.6	68.3	14.6	15.4	30.1	32.6
EASTHAMPTON	99	63.0	*	*	37.0	71.1	*	*	28.9	33.3
EASTON	270	81.0	10.9	8.0	19.0	86.5	*	*	10.5	14.8
ESSEX AGR TECH	109	61.8	*	*	38.2	69.3	*	*	30.7	33.0
EVERETT	325	66.3	16.3	15.3	31.6	55.6	17.8	25.9	43.7	36.6



**Table 5. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
FAIRHAVEN	184	48.6	21.9	28.6	50.5	60.8	19.0	20.3	39.2	45.7
FALL RIVER	470	47.2	34.6	16.4	50.9	46.1	34.0	16.4	50.4	50.6
FALMOUTH	195	53.7	22.1	22.1	44.2	64.0	17.0	18.0	35.0	39.5
FITCHBURG	312	53.1	14.8	30.9	45.7	56.7	22.0	21.3	43.3	44.6
FOUR RIVERS CHARTER	35	76.9	*	*	*	54.5	*	*	45.5	31.4
FOXBOROUGH	202	71.4	13.2	13.2	26.4	73.9	13.5	9.9	23.4	24.8
FOXBOROUGH REGIONAL CHARTER	70	63.0	*	*	*	65.1	*	*	34.9	34.3
FRAMINGHAM	498	67.4	14.4	16.5	30.9	68.7	16.0	13.0	29.0	29.9
FRANCIS W PARKER CHARTER	60	75.0	*	*	*	75.0	*	*	*	21.7
FRANKLIN COUNTY VOC TECH REG	99	44.3	23.0	23.0	45.9	65.8	*	*	31.6	40.4
FREETOWN-LAKEVILLE REGIONAL	195	66.3	16.3	12.0	28.3	74.8	*	*	24.3	26.2
FRONTIER (REG + SCHOOL UNION 38)	103	64.6	*	*	33.3	70.9	*	*	25.5	29.1
GARDNER	123	77.0	*	*	21.6	71.4	*	*	28.6	24.4
GATEWAY REGIONAL	53	75.0	*	*	*	82.8	*	*	*	20.8
GEORGETOWN	106	83.3	*	*	*	90.4	*	*	*	11.3
GILL-MONTAGUE REGIONAL	55	48.3	*	*	51.7	65.4	*	*	*	43.6
GLOUCESTER	197	62.2	15.3	20.4	35.7	69.7	13.1	15.2	28.3	32.0
GRAFTON	216	58.4	15.8	20.8	36.6	83.5	*	*	16.5	25.9
GRANBY	67	61.3	*	*	35.5	72.2	*	*	*	29.9

**Table 5. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
GREATER LAWRENCE VOC TECH REG	324	56.7	16.9	24.7	41.6	45.2	27.4	26.7	54.1	47.2
GREATER LOWELL VOC TECH REG	451	55.0	16.9	24.9	41.8	62.4	20.3	17.3	37.6	39.9
GREATER NEW BEDFORD VOC TECH REG	394	52.3	15.5	31.1	46.6	49.8	15.9	31.3	47.3	47.0
GREENFIELD	84	60.9	*	*	37.0	65.8	*	*	34.2	35.7
GROTON-DUNSTABLE REGIONAL	217	57.9	24.6	11.1	35.7	76.9	*	*	19.8	29.0
HADLEY	49	47.8	*	*	47.8	92.3	*	*	*	26.5
HAMILTON-WENHAM REGIONAL	162	86.7	*	*	*	88.2	*	*	9.8	9.9
HAMPDEN CHARTER SCHOOL OF SCIENCE	39	50.0	*	*	45.5	*	*	*	58.8	51.3
HAMPDEN-WILBRAHAM REGIONAL	301	63.9	19.7	15.6	35.4	70.8	16.9	10.4	27.3	31.2
HAMPSHIRE REGIONAL	132	64.1	17.2	18.8	35.9	86.8	*	*	*	24.2
HANOVER	179	64.8	*	*	28.4	71.4	*	*	27.5	27.9
HARVARD	59	80.0	*	*	*	79.2	*	*	*	16.9
HATFIELD	28	*	*	*	*	76.5	*	*	*	*
HAVERHILL	448	52.5	11.6	27.7	39.3	57.3	16.0	19.4	35.4	37.5
HINGHAM	255	65.9	17.1	16.3	33.3	74.6	13.5	11.1	24.6	29.0
HOLBROOK	78	55.3	*	*	44.7	62.5	*	*	37.5	41.0
HOLLISTON	212	64.9	21.6	10.3	32.0	78.3	*	*	20.9	25.9
HOLYOKE	376	62.4	16.6	21.0	37.6	60.2	17.5	19.3	36.8	37.2
HOPEDALE	82	63.6	*	*	*	68.4	*	*	*	19.5

**Table 5. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
HOPKINTON	278	72.3	13.5	12.1	25.5	88.3	*	*	9.5	17.6
HUDSON	186	57.1	14.3	25.5	39.8	65.9	18.2	14.8	33.0	36.6
HULL	81	50.0	*	*	43.2	70.3	*	*	29.7	37.0
INNOVATION ACADEMY CHARTER	81	80.0	*	*	*	72.2	*	*	27.8	22.2
IPSWICH	130	90.0	*	*	*	70.0	*	*	27.5	19.2
KING PHILIP REGIONAL	318	67.9	15.4	12.8	28.2	80.9	10.5	8.0	18.5	23.3
KIPP ACADEMY LYNN CHARTER	85	55.6	*	*	44.4	51.0	*	*	40.8	42.4
LEE	55	48.3	*	*	48.3	50.0	*	*	50.0	49.1
LEICESTER	103	63.5	*	*	36.5	58.8	*	*	39.2	37.9
LENOX	45	57.1	*	*	*	79.2	*	*	*	26.7
LEOMINSTER	439	59.8	15.0	21.8	36.8	61.0	23.9	14.1	38.0	37.4
LEXINGTON	481	80.6	*	*	17.2	83.5	9.4	5.9	15.4	16.2
LINCOLN-SUDBURY REGIONAL	381	81.8	8.6	9.1	17.6	81.4	11.9	5.2	17.0	17.3
LITTLETON	87	70.0	*	*	30.0	85.1	*	*	*	21.8
LONGMEADOW	141	82.9	*	*	15.7	66.2	*	*	31.0	23.4
LOWELL	537	60.2	13.4	24.1	37.5	67.2	18.9	11.8	30.7	34.5
LOWER PIONEER VALLEY EDUCATIONAL COLLABORATIVE	15	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		*
LUDLOW	210	66.0	15.0	19.0	34.0	50.9	20.0	26.4	46.4	40.5
LUNENBURG	109	47.1	33.3	19.6	52.9	67.2	*	*	32.8	42.2

**Table 5. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
LYNN	928	61.1	16.8	20.7	37.5	54.6	22.2	21.3	43.6	40.4
LYNNFIELD	157	60.5	*	*	37.0	72.4	13.2	13.2	26.3	31.8
MALDEN	359	59.6	16.4	19.7	36.1	65.9	17.0	15.9	33.0	34.5
MANCHESTER ESSEX REGIONAL	116	65.0	*	*	33.3	76.8	*	*	21.4	27.6
MANSFIELD	323	63.6	17.0	15.2	32.1	76.6	14.6	8.2	22.8	27.6
MARBLEHEAD	259	78.0	*	*	21.3	73.7	16.1	9.3	25.4	23.2
MARLBOROUGH	210	55.4	23.2	17.0	40.2	64.3	12.2	21.4	33.7	37.1
MARSHFIELD	308	63.9	18.1	17.4	35.5	75.8	12.4	11.8	24.2	29.9
MARTHA'S VINEYARD REGIONAL	156	58.8	16.3	25.0	41.3	71.1	*	*	28.9	35.3
MASCONOMET (AND TRI-TOWN SCHOOL UNION)	365	65.1	19.3	12.5	31.8	78.6	*	*	17.9	25.2
MASHPEE	95	46.5	*	*	51.2	61.5	*	*	36.5	43.2
MEDFIELD	203	70.8	*	*	22.6	78.4	*	*	19.6	21.2
MEDFORD	240	50.8	31.7	16.7	48.3	50.8	30.0	17.5	47.5	47.9
MEDWAY	202	72.0	10.0	12.0	22.0	71.6	*	*	25.5	23.8
MELROSE	198	64.0	*	*	24.0	70.4	*	*	23.5	23.7
MENDON-UPTON REGIONAL	114	57.7	*	*	34.6	67.7	*	*	30.6	32.5
METHUEN	209	65.8	11.7	18.9	30.6	65.3	24.5	10.2	34.7	32.5
MIDDLEBOROUGH	194	54.0	17.2	21.8	39.1	73.8	12.1	12.1	24.3	30.9
MILFORD	254	60.3	19.8	14.5	34.4	72.4	*	*	26.8	30.7

**Table 5. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
MILLBURY	99	57.4	19.1	22.1	41.2	61.3	*	*	38.7	40.4
MILLIS	100	64.0	*	*	34.0	72.0	*	*	28.0	31.0
MILTON	204	68.0	16.5	12.4	28.9	75.7	*	*	23.4	26.0
MOHAWK TRAIL REGIONAL	80	72.7	*	*	27.3	58.3	*	*	41.7	33.8
MONOMOY	122	55.4	*	*	44.6	54.5	16.7	27.3	43.9	44.3
MONSON	93	76.9	*	*	*	81.5	*	*	18.5	20.4
MONTACHUSETT VOC TECH REG	345	62.7	24.3	10.7	35.0	72.0	18.5	7.7	26.2	30.7
MOUNT GREYLOCK REGIONAL	86	65.1	*	*	34.9	81.4	*	*	*	25.6
NANTUCKET	125	78.6	*	*	21.4	63.8	*	*	31.9	27.2
NARRAGANSETT REGIONAL	102	56.9	21.6	19.6	41.2	80.4	*	*	19.6	30.4
NASHOBA REGIONAL	272	63.8	16.3	19.9	36.2	85.5	*	*	14.5	25.7
NASHOBA VALLEY VOC TECH REG	158	57.7	19.2	23.1	42.3	59.3	*	*	38.9	41.1
NATICK	349	66.1	19.3	12.3	31.6	77.5	15.7	6.7	22.5	26.9
NAUSET REGIONAL	226	74.6	*	*	22.8	70.5	18.8	9.8	28.6	25.7
NEEDHAM	374	74.9	15.0	5.9	20.9	79.1	13.4	5.9	19.3	20.1
NEW BEDFORD	434	56.8	21.6	18.0	39.6	54.2	23.6	20.3	43.9	41.7
NEW BEDFORD GLOBAL HMCS	24	100.0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
NEWBURYPORT	178	71.3	*	*	27.5	73.5	*	*	23.5	25.3
NEWTON	792	76.6	11.9	8.5	20.4	85.0	8.7	4.2	12.9	16.8

**Table 5. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
NORFOLK COUNTY AGR	118	61.1	*	*	36.1	65.9	*	*	34.1	34.7
NORTH ADAMS	99	59.1	*	*	38.6	61.8	*	*	36.4	37.4
NORTH ANDOVER	330	64.1	17.4	13.8	31.1	76.7	14.1	6.7	20.9	26.1
NORTH ATTLEBOROUGH	284	61.8	16.2	20.6	36.8	67.6	16.2	13.5	29.7	33.1
NORTH BROOKFIELD	45	56.0	*	*	40.0	85.0	*	*	*	28.9
NORTH CENTRAL CHARTER ESSENTIAL	55	45.2	*	*	51.6	45.8	*	*	50.0	50.9
NORTH MIDDLESEX REGIONAL	214	61.6	18.6	18.6	37.2	66.4	13.3	19.5	32.8	34.6
NORTH READING	192	68.3	17.8	10.9	28.7	67.0	*	*	33.0	30.7
NORTH RIVER COLLABORATIVE	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
NORTH SHORE VOC TECH REG	115	58.1	14.9	24.3	39.2	63.4	*	*	36.6	38.3
NORTHAMPTON	205	65.6	13.3	16.7	30.0	81.7	*	*	17.4	22.9
NORTHAMPTON-SMITH VOC	110	66.1	*	*	30.5	60.8	*	*	37.3	33.6
NORTHBORO-SOUTHBORO REGIONAL	380	73.0	17.3	7.6	24.9	75.4	12.3	5.1	17.4	21.1
NORTHBURIDGE	144	67.9	12.8	17.9	30.8	63.6	15.2	21.2	36.4	33.3
NORTHEAST METRO VOC TECH REG	296	54.1	11.0	32.2	43.2	62.0	14.0	24.0	38.0	40.5
NORTHERN BERKSHIRE VOC TECH REG	142	57.6	17.6	24.7	42.4	56.1	26.3	17.5	43.9	43.0
NORTHSHORE EDUCATION CONSORTIUM	19	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	57.9
NORTON	165	72.1	*	*	23.3	72.2	*	*	27.8	25.5
NORWELL	148	77.5	*	*	14.1	79.2	*	*	13.0	13.5

**Table 5. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
NORWOOD	280	56.1	21.6	18.9	40.5	72.7	12.1	14.4	26.5	33.9
OLD COLONY VOC TECH REG	136	56.7	16.4	26.9	43.3	72.5	*	*	27.5	35.3
OLD ROCHESTER REGIONAL	189	60.5	22.2	17.3	39.5	80.6	*	*	17.6	27.0
OXFORD	107	56.1	16.7	24.2	40.9	53.7	*	*	43.9	42.1
PALMER	94	72.7	*	*	25.0	66.0	*	*	34.0	29.8
PATHFINDER VOC TECH REG	158	54.3	18.5	23.9	42.4	48.5	19.7	30.3	50.0	45.6
PAULO FREIRE SOCIAL JUSTICE CHARTER SCHOOL	49	56.5	*	*	43.5	50.0	*	*	46.2	44.9
PEABODY	403	56.5	22.0	18.8	40.9	50.7	15.7	33.2	48.8	45.2
PEMBROKE	235	69.8	12.1	15.5	27.6	63.0	19.3	17.6	37.0	32.3
PENTUCKET REGIONAL	174	70.2	14.3	14.3	28.6	77.8	*	*	22.2	25.3
PILGRIM AREA COLLABORATIVE (PAC)	16	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	62.5
PIONEER CHARTER SCHOOL OF SCIENCE I	58	56.7	*	*	43.3	53.6	*	*	46.4	44.8
PIONEER VALLEY REGIONAL	73	77.1	*	*	*	65.8	*	*	28.9	24.7
PITTSFIELD	364	66.1	12.1	14.9	27.0	56.8	24.7	13.2	37.9	32.7
PLYMOUTH	600	58.4	17.5	23.4	40.9	71.7	13.5	13.8	27.3	34.2
QUABBIN REGIONAL	204	61.4	12.5	23.9	36.4	70.7	15.5	12.1	27.6	31.4
QUABOAG REGIONAL	100	58.5	21.5	18.5	40.0	68.6	*	*	31.4	37.0
QUINCY	657	58.9	20.8	17.8	38.7	67.5	16.6	14.4	31.0	34.9
RALPH C MAHAR REGIONAL	107	57.6	*	*	37.3	54.2	*	*	45.8	41.1

**Table 5. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
RANDOLPH	155	58.7	19.6	19.6	39.1	58.7	17.5	22.2	39.7	39.4
READING	307	76.3	12.4	10.1	22.5	89.9	*	*	9.4	16.6
READS COLLABORATIVE	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
REVERE	365	54.1	19.1	25.3	44.3	53.2	27.5	18.7	46.2	45.2
RISING TIDE CHARTER	42	83.3	*	*	*	77.8	*	*	*	*
ROCKLAND	112	83.9	*	*	*	58.0	*	*	40.0	24.1
ROCKPORT	80	58.3	*	*	36.1	70.5	*	*	29.5	32.5
SABIS INTERNATIONAL	96	50.0	*	*	47.8	64.0	*	*	36.0	41.7
SALEM	267	51.1	21.1	25.6	46.6	56.7	20.1	20.9	41.0	43.8
SALEM ACADEMY CHARTER	46	65.0	*	*	*	73.1	*	*	*	30.4
SANDWICH	137	59.7	23.9	14.9	38.8	75.7	*	*	21.4	29.9
SAUGUS	200	73.0	*	*	27.0	73.0	*	*	24.0	25.5
SEEKONK	135	64.6	*	*	32.3	82.9	*	*	14.3	23.0
SHARON	295	75.7	11.8	11.8	23.7	79.0	13.3	7.0	20.3	22.0
SHAWSHEEN VALLEY VOC TECH REG	343	58.7	20.7	13.5	34.1	46.7	22.2	16.3	38.5	35.9
SHREWSBURY	392	68.9	16.1	13.0	29.2	73.6	18.2	6.1	24.2	26.3
SILVER LAKE REGIONAL	239	38.1	28.1	29.5	57.6	59.0	25.0	15.0	40.0	50.2
SO MIDDLESEX VOC TECH REG	181	55.8	16.8	27.4	44.2	60.3	19.1	20.6	39.7	42.5
SOMERSET BERKLEY REGIONAL	234	56.3	18.8	21.9	40.6	67.0	17.0	15.1	32.1	36.8



**Table 5. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
SOMERVILLE	303	58.6	17.8	21.1	38.8	62.9	15.9	17.9	33.8	36.3
SOUTH HADLEY	143	66.2	15.4	15.4	30.8	69.2	16.7	12.8	29.5	30.1
SOUTH SHORE CHARTER	42	81.0	*	*	*	90.5	*	*	*	*
SOUTH SHORE EDUCATIONAL COLLABORATIVE	13	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
SOUTH SHORE VOC TECH REG	136	53.8	16.3	28.8	45.0	60.7	*	*	39.3	42.6
SOUTHBRIDGE	127	58.1	21.0	19.4	40.3	55.4	23.1	21.5	44.6	42.5
SOUTHEASTERN VOC TECH REG	301	59.8	20.1	17.1	37.2	56.2	18.2	23.4	41.6	39.2
SOUTHERN BERKSHIRE REGIONAL	51	53.3	*	*	46.7	66.7	*	*	*	41.2
SOUTHERN WORCESTER COUNTY EDUCATIONAL COLLABORATIVE	12	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
SOUTHERN WORCESTER CTY VOC TECH REG	289	54.9	15.9	22.5	38.5	50.5	21.5	28.0	49.5	42.6
SOUTHWICK-TOLLAND-GRANVILLE REGIONAL	159	55.6	*	*	43.3	78.3	*	*	21.7	34.0
SPENCER-E BROOKFIELD REGIONAL	89	51.3	*	*	43.6	64.0	*	*	36.0	39.3
SPRINGFIELD	1,364	57.3	15.4	23.4	38.8	53.4	20.1	25.2	45.3	41.9
STONEHAM	164	38.3	*	*	60.5	77.1	*	*	22.9	41.5
STOUGHTON	205	68.1	17.2	12.9	30.2	56.2	23.6	20.2	43.8	36.1
STURGIS CHARTER	207	70.6	14.7	14.7	29.4	68.6	*	*	31.4	30.4
SWAMPSCOTT (INCLUDING NAHANT)	162	64.3	*	*	32.9	77.2	*	*	21.7	26.5
SWANSEA	134	46.6	25.9	24.1	50.0	55.3	19.7	23.7	43.4	46.3
TANTASQUA REGIONAL	307	66.9	16.0	14.7	30.7	75.0	13.9	10.4	24.3	27.7

**Table 5. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
TAUNTON	411	59.5	19.5	18.0	37.5	58.3	19.0	19.9	38.9	38.2
TEWKSBURY	224	55.3	20.2	20.2	40.4	70.0	10.9	15.5	26.4	33.5
THE EDUCATION COOPERATIVE (TEC)	16	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TRI COUNTY VOC TECH REG	239	58.8	18.4	22.1	40.4	48.5	17.5	30.1	47.6	43.5
TRITON REGIONAL	171	74.4	*	*	25.6	68.5	*	*	30.3	28.1
TYNGSBOROUGH	135	70.9	*	*	25.3	82.1	*	*	*	20.7
UPPER CAPE COD VOC TECH REG	200	49.0	19.0	28.0	47.0	53.0	25.0	21.0	46.0	46.5
UXBRIDGE	125	73.2	*	*	26.8	71.0	*	*	27.5	27.2
WAKEFIELD	214	62.9	19.1	18.0	37.1	76.8	*	*	20.0	27.1
WALPOLE	266	65.5	17.2	14.7	31.9	79.3	11.3	8.7	20.0	25.2
WALTHAM	322	52.8	22.7	23.3	46.0	65.4	20.1	14.5	34.6	40.4
WARE	57	57.1	*	*	*	55.6	*	*	41.7	42.1
WAREHAM	110	65.5	*	*	30.9	56.4	18.2	21.8	40.0	35.5
WATERTOWN	181	60.7	*	*	34.8	72.8	*	*	25.0	29.8
WELLESLEY	380	84.9	*	*	13.4	89.9	*	*	8.7	10.8
WEST BOYLSTON	60	62.9	*	*	37.1	72.0	*	*	*	33.3
WEST BRIDGEWATER	109	68.5	*	*	25.9	70.9	*	*	29.1	27.5
WEST SPRINGFIELD	278	62.6	13.5	20.0	33.5	76.4	*	*	22.0	28.4
WESTBOROUGH	253	73.6	*	*	24.8	75.0	*	*	25.0	24.9

**Table 5. Body Mass Index Screening Results for Children in Grade 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Males				Females				Males and Females
		Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Healthy Weight (%)	Over-weight (%)	Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)	Over-weight or Obese (%)
WESTFIELD	382	84.2	*	*	15.8	87.5	*	*	11.5	13.6
WESTFORD	406	76.1	12.4	10.0	22.5	84.8	*	*	14.2	18.5
WESTON	194	77.2	*	*	19.8	81.7	*	*	17.2	18.6
WESTPORT	85	77.8	*	*	*	75.0	*	*	*	16.5
WESTWOOD	206	72.8	*	*	24.3	71.8	*	*	25.2	24.8
WHITMAN-HANSON REGIONAL	147	64.5	*	*	34.2	69.0	15.5	15.5	31.0	32.7
WHITTIER VOC TECH REG	297	64.1	17.0	17.6	34.6	58.3	23.6	17.4	41.0	37.7
WILMINGTON	205	55.1	16.9	23.6	40.4	74.1	*	*	23.3	30.7
WINCHENDON	84	70.4	*	*	27.8	66.7	*	*	33.3	29.8
WINCHESTER	281	76.0	15.8	7.5	23.3	82.2	*	*	16.3	19.9
WINTHROP	150	51.5	*	*	47.0	54.8	23.8	20.2	44.0	45.3
WOBURN	369	56.3	18.2	25.0	43.2	64.2	21.2	11.4	32.6	37.7
WORCESTER	1,548	54.9	14.6	29.0	43.6	59.4	15.8	24.2	40.0	41.7

Definitions: *Underweight* – BMI for age percentile < 5<sup>th</sup> percentile; *Healthy weight* – BMI for age percentile between 5<sup>th</sup> and 85<sup>th</sup> percentile; *Overweight* – BMI for age percentile between 85<sup>th</sup> and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile; *Obese* – BMI for age percentile ≥ 95<sup>th</sup> percentile.

Notes: Data that did not pass data quality tests were excluded from the analysis.

\* Data is suppressed due to the small number of individuals in this cell.

**Table 6. Underweight Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Percent Underweight		
		Males (%)	Females (%)	Males and Females (%)
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>243,240</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.7</b>
ABBY KELLEY FOSTER REG CHARTER	450	*	*	*
ABINGTON	550	*	*	2.2
ACTON-BOXBOROUGH REGIONAL	1,596	3.8	4.7	4.3
ACUSHNET	112	*	*	*
ADAMS-CHESHIRE REGIONAL	401	*	*	*
ADVANCED MATH AND SCIENCE ACADEMY CHARTER	327	*	*	*
AMHERST-PELHAM (REGIONAL + SCHOOL UNION 26)	826	*	*	2.7
ANDOVER	1,823	2.9	2.0	2.5
ARLINGTON	1,506	3.1	2.0	2.5
ASHBURNHAM-WESTMINSTER REG	661	*	*	2.9
ASHLAND	740	5.8	5.8	5.8
ASSABET VALLEY VOC TECH REG	246	10.4	9.0	9.8
ATHOL-ROYALSTON REGIONAL	418	*	*	*
ATLANTIS CHARTER	237	*	*	*
ATTLEBORO	1,769	2.6	3.0	2.8
AUBURN	710	*	*	1.5
AVON	222	*	*	*
AYER SHIRLEY REGIONAL	515	*	*	3.5
BARNSTABLE	1,504	*	*	1.2
BAYSTATE ACADEMY CHARTER	75	*	*	*
BEDFORD	760	*	*	3.2
BELCHERTOWN	719	*	*	2.4
BELLINGHAM	591	3.3	3.5	3.4
BELMONT	1,288	3.1	1.8	2.4
BENJAMIN BANNEKER CHARTER	82	*	*	*
BERKSHIRE ARTS AND TECHNOLOGY CHARTER	102	*	*	20.6

**Table 6. Underweight Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Percent Underweight		
		Males (%)	Females (%)	Males and Females (%)
BERKSHIRE HILLS REGIONAL	402	*	*	3.5
BERLIN BOYLSTON (REGIONAL + SCHOOL UNION 60)	277	*	*	*
BEVERLY	1,068	3.3	3.3	3.3
BI-COUNTY COLLABORATIVE (BICO)	36	*	*	*
BILLERICA	1,550	4.3	2.6	3.5
BLACKSTONE VALLEY VOC TECH REG	270	*	*	*
BLACKSTONE-MILLVILLE REGIONAL	552	*	*	*
BLUE HILLS VOC TECH REG	191	*	*	*
BOSTON	12,417	2.6	2.4	2.5
BOSTON COLLEGIATE CHARTER	149	*	*	*
BOSTON PREPARATORY CHARTER	102	*	*	*
BOSTON RENAISSANCE CHARTER	253	*	*	5.1
BOURNE	596	*	*	2.3
BRAINTREE	1,641	2.8	1.8	2.3
BRIDGEWATER-RAYNHAM REGIONAL	1,659	2.3	1.9	2.1
BRISTOL COUNTY AGR	109	*	*	11.0
BRISTOL-PLYMOUTH VOC TECH REG	326	*	*	*
BROCKTON	4,193	2.2	2.3	2.2
BROOKLINE	2,155	3.8	4.0	3.9
BURLINGTON	1,075	2.7	2.5	2.6
CAMBRIDGE	1,686	2.4	3.3	2.8
CANTON	972	*	*	1.9
CAPE COD COLLABORATIVE	28	*	*	*
CAPE COD LIGHTHOUSE CHARTER	80	*	*	*
CAPE COD REGION VOC TECH REG	171	*	*	*
CAPS EDUCATIONAL COLLABORATIVE	15	*	*	*
CARVER	86	*	*	*
CENTRAL BERKSHIRE REGIONAL	490	*	*	*
CENTRAL MASSACHUSETTS SPED COLLABORATIVE	63	*	*	17.5
CHELMSFORD	1,521	3.4	2.6	3.0

**Table 6. Underweight Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Percent Underweight		
		Males (%)	Females (%)	Males and Females (%)
CHICOPEE	2,188	3.0	3.0	3.0
CHRISTA MCAULIFFE REG CHARTER	117	*	*	*
CITY ON A HILL CHARTER	29	*	*	*
CLINTON	404	*	*	3.2
CODMAN ACADEMY CHARTER	31	*	*	*
COHASSET	366	*	*	*
COLLABORATIVE FOR EDUCATIONAL SERVICES	-	*	*	*
COMMUNITY CHARTER SCHOOL OF CAMBRIDGE	124	*	*	*
COMMUNITY DAY CHARTER	109	*	*	*
CONCORD-CARLISLE REGIONAL	1,214	2.7	2.7	2.7
DANVERS	1,069	*	*	2.0
DARTMOUTH	614	3.3	4.8	4.1
DEDHAM	769	12.0	13.0	12.5
DENNIS-YARMOUTH REGIONAL	743	*	*	1.9
DIGHTON-REHOBOTH REGIONAL	874	*	*	2.4
DORCHESTER COLLEGIATE ACADEMY CHARTER	79	31.0	27.0	29.1
DOUGLAS	433	*	*	3.0
DOVER-SHERBORN (REGIONAL + SCHOOL UNION 50)	596	7.5	6.5	7.0
DRACUT	1,110	2.6	2.4	2.5
DUDLEY-CHARLTON REGIONAL	1,209	3.6	2.9	3.2
DUXBURY	982	2.4	2.7	2.5
EAST BRIDGEWATER	627	*	*	1.8
EAST LONGMEADOW	818	*	*	2.3
EASTHAMPTON	481	*	*	*
EASTON	1,127	2.3	1.8	2.0
EDWARD BROOKE CHARTER (ALL CAMPUSES)	309	*	*	3.2
ESSEX AGR TECH	109	*	*	*
EVERETT	1,780	2.8	2.5	2.6
EXCEL ACADEMY CHARTER	98	*	*	*
FAIRHAVEN	605	*	*	*

**Table 6. Underweight Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Percent Underweight		
		Males (%)	Females (%)	Males and Females (%)
FALL RIVER	3,049	5.9	4.8	5.3
FALMOUTH	764	*	*	1.4
FARMINGTON RIVER REGIONAL	29	*	*	*
FITCHBURG	1,337	3.4	2.9	3.1
FOUR RIVERS CHARTER	69	*	*	*
FOXBOROUGH	786	*	*	2.5
FOXBOROUGH REGIONAL CHARTER	399	*	*	*
FRAMINGHAM	2,250	2.9	2.8	2.8
FRANCIS W PARKER CHARTER	125	*	*	*
FRANKLIN COUNTY VOC TECH REG	99	*	*	*
FREETOWN-LAKEVILLE REGIONAL	855	*	*	2.1
FRONTIER (REGIONAL + SCHOOL UNION 38)	433	*	*	3.5
GARDNER	668	4.4	3.6	4.0
GATEWAY REGIONAL	281	*	*	*
GEORGETOWN	418	*	*	2.9
GILL-MONTAGUE REGIONAL	269	*	*	*
GLOUCESTER	838	*	*	3.0
GRAFTON	980	*	*	1.7
GRANBY	271	*	*	*
GREATER LAWRENCE VOC TECH REG	324	*	*	*
GREATER LOWELL VOC TECH REG	451	*	*	*
GREATER NEW BEDFORD VOC TECH REG	394	*	*	*
GREENFIELD	216	*	*	*
GROTON-DUNSTABLE REGIONAL	782	*	*	2.6
HADLEY	178	*	*	*
HAMILTON-WENHAM REGIONAL	552	*	*	*
HAMPDEN CHARTER SCHOOL OF SCIENCE	94	*	*	*
HAMPDEN-WILBRAHAM REGIONAL	1,010	*	*	2.5
HAMPSHIRE REGIONAL	519	*	*	*
HANOVER	549	*	*	2.2

**Table 6. Underweight Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Percent Underweight		
		Males (%)	Females (%)	Males and Females (%)
HARVARD	311	*	*	3.2
HATFIELD	130	*	*	*
HAVERHILL	2,104	4.2	2.9	3.6
HILLTOWN COOPERATIVE CHARTER	57	*	*	*
HINGHAM	1,211	3.1	2.4	2.7
HOLBROOK	350	*	*	3.1
HOLLISTON	844	*	*	2.1
HOLYOKE	1,497	2.9	2.4	2.7
HOLYOKE COMMUNITY CHARTER	195	*	*	5.6
HOPEDALE	345	16.8	11.4	14.2
HOPKINTON	989	2.0	2.5	2.2
HUDSON	843	*	*	1.2
HULL	313	*	*	*
INNOVATION ACADEMY CHARTER	179	*	*	*
IPSWICH	576	6.4	4.5	5.4
KING PHILIP REGIONAL	1,377	3.0	1.7	2.4
KIPP ACADEMY LYNN CHARTER	171	*	*	*
LAWRENCE FAMILY DEV CHARTER	198	*	*	*
LEE	192	*	*	*
LEICESTER	470	*	*	2.1
LENOX	212	*	*	*
LEOMINSTER	1,779	2.8	1.6	2.2
LEXINGTON	2,021	2.8	3.0	2.9
LINCOLN-SUDBURY REGIONAL	1,681	1.5	1.7	1.6
LITTLETON	411	*	*	2.7
LONGMEADOW	670	*	*	*
LOWELL	3,474	2.4	2.9	2.6
LOWELL COLLEGIATE CHARTER SCHOOL	75	*	*	*
LOWELL COMMUNITY CHARTER	170	*	*	*
LOWER PIONEER VALLEY EDUCATIONAL COLLABORATIVE	23	*	*	*



**Table 6. Underweight Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Percent Underweight		
		Males (%)	Females (%)	Males and Females (%)
LUDLOW	818	*	*	2.3
LUNENBURG	217	*	*	*
LYNN	4,377	2.0	3.4	2.7
LYNNFIELD	690	*	*	3.0
MALDEN	1,580	4.3	4.0	4.1
MANCHESTER ESSEX REGIONAL	470	*	*	3.2
MANSFIELD	1,272	3.8	1.7	2.8
MARBLEHEAD	809	2.8	2.8	2.8
MARBLEHEAD COMMUNITY CHARTER	94	*	*	*
MARLBOROUGH	1,227	2.7	3.5	3.1
MARSHFIELD	1,315	*	*	1.7
MARTHAS VINYARD (REGIONAL + SCHOOL UNION 19)	589	*	*	*
MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. CHARTER SCHOOL OF EXCELLENCE	122	*	*	*
MASCONOMET (REGIONAL + TRI-TOWN SCHOOL UNION)	1,200	2.7	2.6	2.7
MASHPEE	483	*	*	2.7
MEDFIELD	767	*	*	3.7
MEDFORD	1,221	3.8	5.6	4.7
MEDWAY	648	*	*	2.9
MELROSE	1,052	3.9	4.1	4.0
MENDON-UPTON REGIONAL	647	*	*	2.8
METHUEN	1,755	6.7	6.8	6.7
MIDDLEBOROUGH	982	2.0	2.7	2.3
MILFORD	1,212	*	*	2.1
MILLBURY	498	*	*	2.4
MILLIS	396	*	*	3.3
MILTON	1,099	3.0	3.6	3.3
MOHAWK TRAIL REGIONAL	336	*	*	*
MONOMOY	596	*	*	*
MONSON	361	*	*	*
MONTACHUSETT VOC TECH REG	345	*	*	*

**Table 6. Underweight Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Percent Underweight		
		Males (%)	Females (%)	Males and Females (%)
MOUNT GREYLOCK (REGIONAL + SCHOOL UNION 71)	241	*	*	*
NANTUCKET	440	*	*	*
NARRAGANSETT REGIONAL	425	*	*	*
NASHOBA REGIONAL	819	*	*	2.3
NASHOBA VALLEY VOC TECH REG	158	*	*	*
NATICK	1,470	*	*	2.0
NAUSET (REGIONAL + SCHOOL UNION 54)	757	*	*	1.6
NEEDHAM	1,682	4.1	4.6	4.3
NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSE CHARTER	115	*	*	*
NEW BEDFORD	3,481	2.2	2.8	2.5
NEW BEDFORD GLOBAL HMCS	89	*	*	*
NEWBURYPORT	652	*	*	2.5
NEWTON	3,428	4.0	4.3	4.2
NORFOLK COUNTY AGR	118	*	*	*
NORTH ADAMS	127	*	*	*
NORTH ANDOVER	1,430	3.4	2.5	2.9
NORTH ATTLEBOROUGH	1,469	2.0	2.5	2.2
NORTH BROOKFIELD	95	*	*	14.7
NORTH CENTRAL CHARTER ESSENTIAL	109	*	*	*
NORTH MIDDLESEX REGIONAL	543	*	*	2.2
NORTH READING	748	*	*	2.0
NORTH RIVER COLLABORATIVE	14	*	*	*
NORTH SHORE VOC TECH REG	115	*	*	*
NORTHAMPTON	729	*	*	3.2
NORTHAMPTON-SMITH VOC	110	*	*	*
NORTHBORO-SOUTHBORO (REGIONAL + SCHOOL UNION 3)	1,400	3.9	6.1	5.0
NORTHBRIDGE	712	*	*	2.2
NORTHEAST METRO VOC TECH REG	296	*	*	*
NORTHERN BERKSHIRE (SCHOOL UNION 43)	101	*	*	*
NORTHERN BERKSHIRE VOC TECH REG	142	*	*	*

**Table 6. Underweight Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Percent Underweight		
		Males (%)	Females (%)	Males and Females (%)
NORTHSHORE EDUCATION CONSORTIUM	42	*	*	*
NORTON	741	*	*	2.6
NORWELL	668	5.1	4.5	4.8
NORWOOD	1,031	2.6	2.9	2.7
OLD COLONY VOC TECH REG	136	*	*	*
OLD ROCHESTER (REGIONAL + SCHOOL UNION 55)	837	2.6	2.9	2.7
OXFORD	528	*	*	*
PALMER	444	*	*	*
PATHFINDER VOC TECH REG	158	*	*	*
PAULO FREIRE SOCIAL JUSTICE CHARTER SCHOOL	49	*	*	*
PEABODY	1,628	1.7	2.5	2.1
PEMBROKE	987	3.0	3.3	3.1
PENTUCKET REGIONAL	846	2.4	2.5	2.5
PILGRIM AREA COLLABORATIVE (PAC)	30	*	*	*
PIONEER CHARTER SCHOOL OF SCIENCE I	121	*	*	*
PIONEER CHARTER SCHOOL OF SCIENCE II	73	*	*	*
PIONEER VALLEY CHINESE IMMERSION CHARTER	106	*	*	*
PIONEER VALLEY REGIONAL	274	*	*	*
PITTSFIELD	1,572	3.5	4.1	3.8
PLYMOUTH	2,270	1.6	2.8	2.2
PROSPECT HILL ACADEMY CHARTER	84	*	*	*
QUABBIN REGIONAL	717	*	*	2.9
QUABOAG REGIONAL	423	*	*	*
QUINCY	2,651	2.8	2.8	2.8
RALPH C MAHAR REGIONAL	426	*	*	2.3
RANDOLPH	838	*	*	1.8
READING	1,297	3.5	3.6	3.5
READS COLLABORATIVE	27	*	*	*
REVERE	1,989	2.0	2.4	2.2
RISING TIDE CHARTER	129	*	*	*

**Table 6. Underweight Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Percent Underweight		
		Males (%)	Females (%)	Males and Females (%)
RIVER VALLEY CHARTER	112	*	*	*
ROCKLAND	628	*	*	2.1
ROCKPORT	304	*	*	*
ROXBURY PREP CHARTER	149	*	*	*
SABIS INTERNATIONAL	474	*	*	2.3
SALEM	1,224	*	*	1.9
SALEM ACADEMY CHARTER	109	*	*	*
SANDWICH	849	*	*	2.7
SAUGUS	832	9.0	3.0	6.1
SCITUATE	706	*	*	3.3
SEEKONK	616	*	*	3.4
SHAKER MOUNTAIN (SCHOOL UNION 70)	50	*	*	*
SHARON	726	*	*	2.1
SHAWSHEEN VALLEY VOC TECH REG	343	7.2	14.8	10.2
SHREWSBURY	1,578	3.0	2.2	2.6
SILVER LAKE (REGIONAL + SCHOOL UNION 31)	711	*	*	2.7
SMITH LEADERSHIP ACADEMY CHARTER	73	*	*	15.1
SO MIDDLESEX VOC TECH REG	181	*	*	*
SOMERSET BERKLEY REGIONAL	979	*	*	1.6
SOMERVILLE	1,303	3.6	2.5	3.1
SOUTH HADLEY	559	*	*	2.1
SOUTH SHORE CHARTER	174	*	*	*
SOUTH SHORE EDUCATIONAL COLLABORATIVE	31	*	*	*
SOUTH SHORE VOC TECH REG	136	*	*	*
SOUTHBRIDGE	632	*	*	2.4
SOUTHEASTERN VOC TECH REG	301	*	*	*
SOUTHERN BERKSHIRE REGIONAL	224	*	*	*
SOUTHERN WORCESTER COUNTY ED COLLABORATIVE	24	*	*	*
SOUTHERN WORCESTER CTY VOC TECH REG	289	*	*	4.2
SOUTHWICK-TOLLAND-GRANVILLE REGIONAL	526	*	*	2.7

**Table 6. Underweight Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Percent Underweight		
		Males (%)	Females (%)	Males and Females (%)
SPENCER-E BROOKFIELD REGIONAL	503	*	*	2.4
SPRINGFIELD	6,620	3.1	1.6	2.4
STONEHAM	667	*	*	*
STOUGHTON	1,005	*	*	2.5
STURGIS CHARTER	207	*	*	*
SWAMPSCOTT (INCLUDING NAHANT)	697	3.4	2.9	3.2
SWANSEA	652	*	*	1.7
TANTASQUA (REGIONAL + SCHOOL UNION 61)	1,075	*	*	1.9
TAUNTON	2,254	3.0	2.1	2.6
TEWKSBURY	654	*	*	2.6
THE EDUCATION COOPERATIVE (TEC)	24	*	*	*
TRI COUNTY VOC TECH REG	239	*	*	*
TRITON REGIONAL	777	*	*	1.3
TYNGSBOROUGH	531	*	*	2.4
UP ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL OF DORCHESTER	153	*	*	*
UPPER CAPE COD VOC TECH REG	200	*	*	*
UXBRIDGE	404	*	*	2.5
WACHUSETT REGIONAL	912	*	*	1.8
WAKEFIELD	980	*	*	1.9
WALPOLE	1,193	*	*	1.4
WALTHAM	1,471	1.5	1.8	1.6
WARE	361	*	*	*
WAREHAM	744	3.8	2.9	3.4
WATERTOWN	721	*	*	3.1
WAYLAND	582	3.4	3.8	3.6
WEBSTER	266	*	*	3.8
WELLESLEY	1,501	2.3	2.3	2.3
WEST BOYLSTON	280	*	*	*
WEST BRIDGEWATER	411	*	*	2.4
WEST SPRINGFIELD	1,034	3.0	3.0	3.0



**Table 6. Underweight Children in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**

School District	Total number of students screened (N)	Percent Underweight		
		Males (%)	Females (%)	Males and Females (%)
WESTBOROUGH	1,078	4.1	3.1	3.6
WESTFIELD	1,579	1.6	2.0	1.8
WESTFORD	1,521	3.0	3.2	3.1
WESTON	743	2.8	3.4	3.1
WESTPORT	469	*	*	3.2
WESTWOOD	941	2.4	3.4	2.9
WEYMOUTH	1,438	3.1	2.5	2.8
WHITMAN-HANSON REGIONAL	1,130	*	*	1.6
WHITTIER VOC TECH REG	297	*	*	*
WILMINGTON	1,032	*	*	2.0
WINCHENDON	428	*	*	2.3
WINCHESTER	1,234	*	*	1.8
WINTHROP	595	*	*	3.2
WOBURN	1,493	*	*	1.9
WORCESTER	3,749	2.1	1.7	1.9
WOBURN	1,493	*	*	1.9
WORCESTER	3,749	2.1	1.7	1.9


Definitions: *Underweight* – BMI for age percentile < 5<sup>th</sup> percentile. *Healthy weight* – BMI for age percentile between 5<sup>th</sup> and 85<sup>th</sup> percentile. *Overweight* – BMI for age percentile between 85<sup>th</sup> and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile. *Obese* – BMI for age percentile ≥ 95<sup>th</sup> percentile.

\* Data is suppressed due to the small number of individuals in this cell.


**Figures 1 – 4. Body Mass Index (BMI) and Weight Categories for Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 by Gender for Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**



**Figure 3. Grade 7 BMI for Age Categories in Massachusetts School Districts, by Gender, 2013-2014 School Year**





**Figure 4. Grade 10 BMI for Age Categories in Massachusetts School Districts, by Gender, 2013-2014 School Year**







**Figures 5 – 9. Healthy Weight, Overweight, Obese and Underweight Students in Grades 1, 4, 7, 10 by Gender in Massachusetts School Districts, 2013-2014**




**Figure 7. Percent of Students with BMI for Age Percentile in Obese Category, by Grade and Gender, 2013-2014**



**Figure 8. Percent of Students with BMI for Age Percentile in Overweight or Obese Category, by Grade and Gender, 2013-2014**



**Figure 9. Percent of Students with BMI for Age Percentile in Underweight Category, by Grade and Gender, 2013-2014**



**Figure 10. Childhood Obesity and Median Household Income, 2013-2014**

