

Table of Contents

Disclaimer 2

Acknowledgements 3

Preface..... 4

Table of Contents 5

List of Figures..... 8

List of Tables 10

List of Appendices..... 11

Chapter 1: Introduction 14

1.1 Nitrogen Pollution..... 14

1.2 Case Studies on Watershed-Based Permitting: Massachusetts Roadmap for Regulatory Change 16

 1.2.1 Selection of Coastal Watersheds..... 16

 1.2.2 Watershed and Embayment Characteristics..... 18

 1.2.3 Pilot Study Team Recruitment..... 18

 1.2.4 Pilot Project Team Guiding Principles..... 18

 1.2.5 Team Meetings..... 19

1.3 The Massachusetts Estuaries Project (MEP) 20

 1.3.1 MEP History 20

 1.3.2 MEP Linked Watershed Embayment Model 20

 1.3.3 Sentinel Stations..... 21

 1.3.4 MEP Technical Reports 22

 1.3.5 MEP Estuarine Restoration Process..... 22

 1.3.6 Natural Attenuation of Nitrogen 25

 1.3.7 The MEP Community Partnership..... 26

 1.3.8 MEP Resources 27

1.4 Applicable Federal, State, County, and Local Roles..... 27

 1.4.1 Federal Role 27

 1.4.2 State Role..... 28

 1.4.3 County Role 33

 1.4.4 Local Role..... 35

1.5 Wastewater Treatment and Effluent Discharge Siting..... 37

1.6 Watershed-Based Permitting and Nutrient Trading in this Project 37

 1.6.1 What is Watershed-based Permitting? 37

 1.6.2 What is Nutrient Trading?..... 38

Chapter 2: Popponesset Bay Pilot Project 40

2.1 Popponesset Bay Watershed Facts 41

2.2 The Popponesset Watershed 42

 2.2.1 General Description 42

 2.2.2 Geology and Hydrogeology..... 43

 2.2.3 Water Quality 44

 2.2.4 Eelgrass Habitat 47

 2.2.5 Sentinel Station 49

 2.2.6 Watershed Land use 49

2.3 Sources of Nitrogen..... 49

 2.3.1 Wastewater Treatment Plants and Onsite Systems 51

 2.3.2 Treatment Plant Discharge Locations 52

 2.3.3 Stormwater..... 53

 2.3.4 Fertilizer Use 53

2.4 Demographics	54
2.4.1 Land Use Change.....	54
2.4.2 Population Growth.....	56
2.4.3 Population Density.....	58
2.5 Building the Popponeset Bay Watershed Team	58
2.5.1 Team Meetings.....	59
2.6 Assessing and Characterizing the Problem	60
2.6.1 Enhanced Natural Attenuation: Potential of the Santuit Pond Preserve	61
2.6.2 Aquaculture: Shellfish Growing and Harvesting on the Lower Mashpee River.....	65
2.6.3 Harvesting Aquatic Vegetation on the Mashpee River.....	65
2.6.4 Dredging and Flushing Improvements.....	65
2.7 Exploring Implementation Options	66
2.7.1 Comprehensive Water Resources Management Plans.....	66
2.7.2 Inter-Municipal Collaboration	66
2.8 Allocating Wastewater Nitrogen Loads	67
2.8.1 Unattenuated and Attenuated Loads	67
2.8.2 Controllable and Uncontrollable Loads	68
2.8.3 Putting It All Together	69
2.8.4 Allocating Loads a Watershed Scale	74
2.8.5 Calculating the Town Nitrogen Load.....	76
2.8.6 Follow-Up.....	77
2.9 Final Thought	79
Chapter 3: Three Bays Pilot Project	81
3.1 Three Bays Watershed Facts	83
3.2 The Three Bays Watershed	84
3.2.1 General Description	84
3.2.2 Geology and Hydrogeology.....	88
3.2.3 Water Quality.....	88
3.2.4 Eelgrass Habitat	90
3.2.5 Sentinel Station	91
3.2.6 Watershed Land use.....	93
3.3 Sources of Nitrogen	94
3.3.1 Wastewater Treatment Plants and Onsite Systems	94
3.3.2 Treatment Plant Discharge Locations	96
3.3.3 Stormwater.....	96
3.3.4 Fertilizer Use.....	97
3.4 Demographics	97
3.4.1 Land Use Change.....	97
3.4.2 Population Growth.....	100
3.4.3 Population Density.....	101
3.5 Three Bays Pilot Project	102
3.5.1 Building a Watershed Team.....	102
3.5.2 Team Meetings.....	103
3.5.3 SMAST Linked Model Runs	105
3.5.4 Proposals for Sewering the Three Bays Watershed	111
3.5.5 Pilot Project Team Issues and Suggestions.....	113
Chapter 4: Pleasant Bay Watershed	116
4.1 Pleasant Bay Watershed Facts	118
4.2 The Pleasant Bay Watershed	119
4.2.1 General Description	119

4.2.2	Geology and Hydrogeology	122
4.2.3	Water Quality	122
4.2.4	Eelgrass Habitat	124
4.2.5	Watershed Land Use	127
4.3	Sources of Nitrogen	127
4.3.1	Wastewater Treatment	129
4.3.2	Fertilizer Use	129
4.3.3	Stormwater	130
4.4	Demographics	130
4.4.1	Land Use Change	130
4.4.2	Population Growth	133
4.4.3	Population Density	134
4.5	The Pleasant Bay Alliance Team	135
4.5.1	Alliance Team Meetings	136
4.6	Water Quality Modeling Parameters	138
4.6.1	Biologically Active Nitrogen	138
4.6.2	Sentinel Stations	139
4.6.3	Establishing the Sentinel Threshold Concentration for Habitat Restoration	140
4.6.4	Impact of Inlet Formation on Embayment Water Quality	141
4.7	Pilot Project Scenario Runs	143
4.7.1	Limits on Performing these Scenario Runs	144
4.7.2	Scenario Runs	145
4.8	Inter-municipal Wastewater Management Planning	146
4.8.1	Utilizing MEP Septic Load Reductions for Restoring Water Quality	146
4.8.2	MEP Technical Report Septic Load Percent Reductions	146
4.8.3	Town by Town Attenuated and Unattenuated Loads	146
4.9	Inter-municipal Planning and Implementation	148
4.9.1	Regional Implications of the Orleans CWMP	150
4.9.2	Regional Significance of the Economies of Scale Study	150
4.10	Lessons Learned for MassDEP's Future Planning	152
4.10.1	Possible Management and Permitting Mechanisms	152
4.10.2	Monitoring and Permitting Compliance	152
4.10.3	Local or Regional Obstacles for watershed-based TMDL implementation	153
4.10.4	Role of community-based outreach and planning in wastewater mitigation	153
4.11	Final Thoughts	154
Chapter 5:	Municipal, Regional, and State Accomplishments -Public and Private	155
5.1	Inter-Municipal CWMP Coordination and Planning	155
5.2	Municipal Accomplishments	155
5.2.1	Town of Mashpee	155
5.2.2	Town of Barnstable	157
5.2.3	Town of Sandwich	160
5.2.4	Town of Brewster	160
5.2.5	Town of Chatham	161
5.2.6	Town of Harwich	164
5.2.7	Town of Orleans	165
5.3	Regional Accomplishments: Barnstable County	166
5.3.1	Cape Cod Commission's Regional Policy Plan: No-Net Nitrogen	166
5.3.2	Cape Cod Commission's 2008 Draft Regional Policy Plan	166
5.3.3	Cape Cod Commission Wastewater Planning Conferences and Publications	168
5.3.4	Cape Cod Water Protection Collaborative	168
5.3.5	Coastal Zone Management National Estuarine Programs	169

5.4 Massachusetts DEP Accomplishments	170
5.4.1 Inter-Municipal Wastewater Management Planning	170
5.4.2 Nitrogen Trading.....	170
5.4.3 Natural Attenuation of Nitrogen in Wetlands and Surface Waters.....	171
Chapter 6: Regulations, Policies, and Guidance: Stakeholder Recommendations for Future Planning	174
6.1 Inter-Municipal TMDL Planning and Implementation	174
6.1.1 Existing Capacity	174
6.1.2 Defining Future Needs	175
6.1.3 Considerations.....	176
6.1.4 Key Elements of a Watershed-Based Wastewater Management Plan	176
6.2 State Revolving Loan Funding (SRF)	176
6.2.1 Existing Capacity	176
6.2.2 Defining Future Needs (includes MassDEP comment to these recommendations).....	177
6.3 State Permitting	178
6.3.1 Existing Capacity	178
6.3.2 Defining Future Needs	178
6.4 Environmental Planning Requirements	179
6.4.1 Existing Capacity	179
6.4.2 Future Needs Defined	180
6.5 Wastewater Management Planning and Reporting	180
6.5.1 The Problem Defined	180
6.5.2 Challenges to Watershed-Wide Planning and TMDL Implementation	180
6.5.3 Suggested Elements of a Watershed Based CWMP	181
Chapter 7: MassDEP Action Plan to Facilitate CWRMP Planning and Implementation by Coastal Communities	182
7.1. Outreach and Technical Assistance	182
7.2. Nitrogen Planning and Implementation	183
7.2.1 Nitrogen Management Planning	183
7.2.2 Permitting.....	183
7.2.3 CWRMP Implementation	184
7.2.4 TMDL Compliance Monitoring and Reporting	184
7.2.5 TMDL Compliance Monitoring and Reporting	184
Chapter 8: Inter-municipal, Watershed-Wide Comprehensive Wastewater Management Planning Process	186
Literature Citations	190
Appendices	193

List of Figures

Figure 1.2 A view of Shoestring Bay from the Santuit River with algal mats throughout much of the surface waters of the Bay (Photo by Ed Baker)	15
Figure 1.3 Diagram Defining the Pilot Project Case Studies Role in the Implementation of a TMDL	17
Figure 1.4: The Massachusetts Estuary Project Restoration Process	22
Figure 2.1 Aerial view of Popponesset Bay showing the sand spit that impedes tidal exchange with Nantucket Sound	40

Figure 2.2 Delineation of the Popponeset Bay Watershed	42
Figure 2.3 The Popponeset Bay Watershed and its Groundwatersheds	45
Figure 2.4 Pleasant North Orthophoto of Past (1951) and Present (2001) Distribution of Eelgrass Beds - 1951 historical imagery not field checked (Source: MassDEP, Charles Costello)	48
Figure 2.5 Popponeset Watershed Land Uses	49
Figure 2.6a-c. Popponeset Bays Estuary and Watershed Nitrogen Sources of (a) Combined Unattenuated Nitrogen Loads, (b) Watershed Sources of Unattenuated Loads and (c) Combined Watershed Loads that are Controllable. Source: SMAST Popponeset Bays Technical Report by Howes, B. et. al, 2004, Chapter 4, Table IV-4.	50
Figure 2.7 Graph of acreage of developed and undeveloped land in the Popponeset Watershed from 1951 to 1999 (MassDEP GIS)	54
Figure 2.8 Map of acreage of developed and undeveloped land in the Popponeset Watershed from 1951 to 1999 (MassDEP GIS)	55
Figure 2.9 Percent population increase since 1950 for Popponeset Watershed Towns	56
Figure 2.10 Population growth since 1950 for the Popponeset Watershed Towns	57
Figure 2.11 Change in population density in the Popponeset Watershed from 1990 to 2000	58
Figure 2.12 Santuit Pond Preserve - Map and aerial view of monitoring sites	63
Figure 2.13 Unattenuated nitrogen load deposited in the watershed and attenuated nitrogen load reaching the Bay from each watershed town	68
Figure 2.14 MEP Technical Report scenario with the percent reduction in septic loads needed in each subwatershed to restore water quality at the sentinel station	70
Figure 2.15 Equal Percentage for each town of Nitrogen Reduction Deposited as an Unattenuated Load to the Popponeset Watershed*	75
Figure 3.1 Aerial photo of the Three Bays Embayment System showing the two outlets that impede tidal exchange with Nantucket Sound	81
Figure 3.2 Floating Algal Mats at Warren's Cove	82
Figure 3.3 Three Bays Sub-embayments: Cotuit Bay, West Bay, North Bay, Prince's Cove, Warren's Cove and Little River, Marstons Mills River and Seapuit River	85
Figure 3.4 Three Bays Embayment System: Tidal waters enter the Bay through two inlets from Nantucket Sound. Freshwaters enter from the watershed primarily through 2 surface water discharges (Marstons Mills River and Little River) and direct groundwater discharge.	86
Figure 3.5 Three Bays Sub-watersheds: Cotuit Bay, West Bay, North Bay, Prince/Warren's Cove, Little River, and Marstons Mills River	87
Figure 3.6 Floating Algal Mat and Phytoplankton Bloom at South Prince Cove displaying limited light transparency Photo courtesy of Three Bays Preservation, Inc	91
Figure 3.7 Eelgrass Beds past and present distribution in the Three Bays embayment system	92
Figure 3.8 Three Bays Watershed Land Uses	93
Figure 3.9 a-c Combined Three Bays Estuary and Watershed Sources of (a) Unattenuated Nitrogen Loads (top), (b) Watershed Sources of Unattenuated Loads (bottom Left) and (c) Percentage of the Combined Watershed Loads that are Controllable (stormwater, fertilizers (agriculture, lawns/turf), treatment plants) (bottom right).	95
Figure 3.10 Graph showing land use change (1951, 1971, 1985, 1999) in the Three Bays Watershed represented as developed and undeveloped (Source: MassDEP GIS)	98
Figure 3.11 Maps showing land use change (1951, 1971, 1985, and 1999) in the Three Bays Watershed represented as developed and undeveloped (MassDEP GIS)	99
Figure 3.12 Percent Population Increase since 1950 for Three Bays Watershed Towns	100
Figure 3.13 Population Growth since 1950 for the Three Bays Watershed Towns	101
Figure 3.14 Changes in Population Density for the Three Bays Watershed from 1990 to 2000.	102
Figure 3.15 Sewershed Locations Proposed for Septic Load Reductions in the Three Bays Watershed	106

Figure 3.16 Nitrogen Loads from the three towns under existing conditions described as (a) the unattenuated loads deposited to the watershed, (b) the attenuated load that reaches the Bay and (c) a pie chart that defines the percent of attenuated load contributed by each town.	109
Figure 4.1 Aerial views Pleasant Bay displaying its single inlet (top) and the breach to its barrier beach that resulted in a second inlet on April 19, 2007.	116
Figure 4.2 Aerial photo of the Pleasant Bay Watershed and its embayments showing the southern inlet that impeded tidal exchange with the Atlantic Ocean, prior to April 19, 2007 when a new second inlet was formed on the barrier beach.	117
Figure 4.3 The Watersheds and Sub-Watersheds of Pleasant Bay	120
Figure 4.4 Contributing Sub-Embayment of Pleasant Bay	121
Figure 4.5 Past and present distribution of eelgrass beds in the Pleasant Bay system	126
Figure 4.6 Land Use by percent within Pleasant Bay watershed	127
Figure 4.7 a-c Pleasant Bay Estuary and Watershed Sources of Nitrogen Loading - (a) Overall unattenuated nitrogen loads, (b) Unattenuated nitrogen loads affecting the Watershed, and (c) Percentage of controllable nitrogen loads (stormwater, fertilizers, and treatment plants)	128
Figure 4.8. View of Pleasant Bay from the Eastward Ho Golf Course in Chatham	130
Figure 4.9 Chart showing change in developed and undeveloped land between 1951 and 1999 in the Pleasant Bay Watershed (source: MassDEP GIS)	131
Figure 4.10 Map showing landuse change (1951, 1971, 1985, 1999) in the Pleasant Bay Watershed represented as developed and undeveloped (source: MassDEP GIS)	132
Figure 4.11 Percent Population Increase since 1950 for Pleasant Bay Watershed Towns of Chatham, Orleans, Brewster, and Harwich	133
Figure 4.12 Population Growth since 1950 for the Pleasant Bay Watershed Towns	134
Figure 4.13 Changes in Population Density within the Pleasant Bay Watershed from 1990 to 2000	135
Figure 4.14 Phases of new inlet development pre and post January 2, 1987	1422
Figure 4.15 Oblique view of Pleasant Bay and Nauset Beach prior to the 1987 inlet	144
Figure 4.16 Sequence of Inlet Developments since April 2007 breach of Nauset Beach	145
Figure 4.17 Bar graph of the unattenuated nitrogen load deposited to the watershed and the attenuated nitrogen load that reach the Bay from each of the four towns under existing conditions. Pie Chart of the percentage of the attenuated load that reaches the Bay from each town under existing conditions	148
Figure 4.18 Percent reduction in septic load recommended for each of the designated Pleasant Bay embayments as defined by the MEP Technical Report	149
Figure 4.19 Town of Orleans CWMP Proposal for Public Discussion identifying locations within the Pleasant Bay Watershed for sewers	151

List of Tables

Table 2.1 Popponesset Watershed Land Area by Town	43
Table 2.2 Embayment Waters within the Popponesset Bay Watershed on the 2006 Integrated List	46
Table 2.3 Popponesset Bay's Eelgrass Acreage (Past and Present)	47
Table 2.4 Sources of Nitrogen Loads to the Popponesset Bay Embayment and Watershed	51
Table 2.5 List of acreage of developed and undeveloped land in the Popponesset Watershed from 1951 to 1999 (MassDEP GIS)	54
Table 2.6. Percent Population Growth from 1950 to 1990, for the Popponesset Watershed Towns	56
Table 2.7 Popponesset Bay Watershed Pilot Team	59
Table 2.8 Unattenuated and Attenuated Nitrogen Loads by Town	71
Table 2.9 Nitrogen loading rates from present controllable watershed sources, loading rates necessary to achieve target nitrogen concentrations, and the percent reduction needed to achieve the target.	72

Table 2.10 The Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDL) for the Popponesset Bay System, represented as the sum of the calculated target thresholds loads (from controllable watershed sources), atmospheric deposition, and sediment sources (benthic flux)	73.
Table 2.11 Observed nitrogen concentrations at present and calculated target threshold nitrogen concentrations derived for the Popponesset Bay Sub-embayments	73
Table 3.1 Three Bays Watershed Land Area by Town	84
Table 3.2 Embayment Waters within the Three Bays System on the 2006 Integrated List	89
Table 3.3 Major water quality indicators of habitat impairment observed in the Three Bays System	90
Table 3.4 Three Bays Eel Grass Acreage (Past and Present)	91
Table 3.5 Sources of Nitrogen Loads to the Three Bays Embayment and Watershed System	94
Table 3.6 Developed and Undeveloped Land (1951, 1971, 1985, 1999) in the Three Bays Watershed (Source: MassDEP GIS)	97
Table 3.7 Percent Population Growth, since 1950 and again from 1990 for the Three Bays Watershed Towns of Barnstable, Sandwich, and Mashpee	100
Table 3.8 Three Bays Watershed Pilot Team	103
Table 3.9 Three SMAST Scenarios for reducing nitrogen in the Three Bays Watershed	107
Table 3.10 Unattenuated load deposited to watershed and attenuated nitrogen load that reaches the Bay from each of the three towns sharing the Three Bays Watershed (Source: Cape Cod Commission Technical Memo, see Appendix O).	109
Table 3.11 Percent reductions of controllable watershed loads that are required to restore water quality to the threshold concentration at the sentinel station	110
Table 3.12 Attenuated and unattenuated load by sub-watershed, under existing and build-out conditions, for the Towns of Barnstable, Sandwich, and Mashpee	110
Table 4.1 Pleasant Bay Watershed - Area by Town	119
Table 4.2 Pleasant Bay Waters in Category 5 of the Massachusetts 2002 and 2004 Integrated List	123
Table 4.3 Comparison of parameters for the impairment of waterbodies within the Pleasant Bay System	124
Table 4.4 Pleasant Bay's Eelgrass Acreage (Past and Present)	125
Table 4.5 Sources of Unattenuated Nitrogen Loads to the Pleasant Bay Embayment and Watershed	128
Table 4.6 Developed and undeveloped land (1951, 1971, 1985, 1999) in the Pleasant Bay Watershed (MassGIS)	131
Table 4.7 Percent Population Growth, since 1950, for the Pleasant Bay Watershed Towns	133
Table 4.8 Pleasant Bay Watershed Pilot Team	136
Table 4.9 Unattenuated and Attenuated Loads to Pleasant from Brewster, Chatham, Harwich, and Orleans under existing and build-out conditions (Source: Howes, B. al., 2006)	147

List of Appendices

Appendix A	Glossary	195
Appendix B	MEP Linked Watershed/Estuary Model Approach to Calculating Nitrogen Thresholds	201
Appendix C	Massachusetts Surface Water Quality Standards	208
Appendix D	Massachusetts Groundwater Quality Standards	212
Appendix E	Nitrogen Load Allocations	215
Appendix F	Fact Sheet: Implementing Total Nitrogen TMDLs	217
Appendix G	TMDL Implementation and SRF Funding Questions and Answers	221
Appendix H	MassDEP Town Recruitment Letter	223
Appendix I	MEP Technical Report Executive Summary - Popponesset Bay	225
Appendix J	Executive Summary of Literature Review of Enhanced Natural Attenuation by the Woods Hole Group	235

Nitrogen TMDL Planning: Three Case Studies of Towns Sharing a Coastal Watershed

Appendix K	MEP Technical Memo: Howes, Brian. May 2, 2006. Popponeset Bay: Results, Pilots Modeling Scenarios, Final Revision June 15, 2006.	238
Appendix L	MEP Technical Memo: Howes, Brian et al. April 6, 2007. Scenario Run of Popponeset Bay MEP Linked Model	264
Appendix M	MEP Technical Report Executive Summary – Three Bays	267
Appendix N	MEP Technical Memo: Howes, Brian et al. December 26, 2007. Scenario Runs of Three Bays MEP Linked Model. Cape Cod Commission Memo: Eichner, Eduard. December 7, 2007 Three Bays Watershed Town Areas and Share of TMDL Nitrogen Loads	277
Appendix O	MEP Technical Report Executive Summary – Pleasant Bay	292
Appendix P	MEP Technical Memo: September 22, 2008. Sean Kelley, P.E. and John Ramsey, P.E. Pleasant Bay Water Quality Model Update and Scenarios.	307
Appendix Q	Nitrogen Removal Potential from Shellfish Aquaculture. Richard York.	320
Appendix R	Town of Mashpee Bylaw: Stormwater Management	322
Appendix S	Town of Mashpee Board of Health Regulation - Regulation to Protect Water Quality	324
Appendix T	Town of Barnstable Board of Health Regulation Protection of Saltwater Estuaries	326
Appendix U	Town of Barnstable Ordinance: Resource Protection Overlay District	331
Appendix V	Chatham Board of Health Regulation: Nitrogen Loading	334
Appendix W	MassDEP Guidelines on Inter-Municipal Collaboration Financing	342
Appendix X	2008 Environmental Bond Bill (Clean Water) Legislation	346