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#### Abstract

The Sportfish Data Collection Team (SADCT) program began in 2002 to solicit the help of volunteer recreational anglers in collecting biological data on striped bass encountered during fishing trips in Massachusetts waters. On average, 33 anglers have contributed samples each year. Some anglers have participated in all years of the study (2002-2013). Most contributing anglers (>50\%) fished only from a boat or from both boat and shore. Samples came from anglers fishing primarily in the northern region (north of Cape Cod including Cape Cod Bay) of Massachusetts during 2002-2007. However, since then, more samples have come from anglers fishing in the southern region (south of Cape Cod including eastern side of Cape Cod). Since 2002, contributing anglers have collected 21,594 size and age samples. The size and age information collected by participating anglers was used directly in the coast-wide stock assessment for striped bass.


## Introduction

Striped bass (Morone saxatilis) is a popular marine fish species sought by recreational anglers in Massachusetts waters. As a result of over-exploitation of the adult spawning stock, the coast-wide striped bass abundance reached alarmingly low levels in the early 1980s, prompting interstate management regulations that severely restricted fishing (Richards and Rago 1999). After several years of stringent regulations, the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission declared in 1995 that the Atlantic coast striped bass population had recovered (Field 1997; Richards and Rago 1999) as estimated female spawning stock biomass had increased from 5,100 metric tons in 1982 to around 60,000 metric tons by the mid-1990s (ASMFC 2013).

The current stock assessment methods for striped bass require that all sources of recreational fishery removals (number of harvested fish and released fish that die due to handling) are accounted for and that the age composition of these removals is determined. Prior to 2002, the only striped bass data collected in Massachusetts waters was done by the National Marine Fisheries Service's Marine Recreational Information Program (MRIP) (formerly Marine Recreational Finfish Statistical Survey, MRFSS). The MRIP survey estimates the number of striped bass that are harvested and released, and collects some size data on harvested striped bass. The Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries (MarineFisheries) recognized the need to collect more size and age information on harvested and released striped bass. The Sportfish Angler Data Collection Team (SADCT) program was started in 2002 to solicit the help of volunteer recreational anglers to collect data during their fishing trips
in Massachusetts waters.
The objectives of this report are to describe the SADCT program and to summarize the characteristics of contributing anglers and the biological data of striped bass collected during the first twelve years (2002-2013) of the program.

## Description of Program from 2002-2013

The SADCT program was accomplished by volunteer recreational anglers who fished in Massachusetts waters. Volunteer anglers were recruited through presentations given by MarineFisheries staff at local fishing clubs and various hunting/fishing expos. Interested anglers registered by form. Every March, registration forms were mailed to all existing volunteers, asking if they would participate in the upcoming fishing season. In April, supply packets which included coin envelopes for scale storage and directions on how to collect scales and record needed information were mailed to all participating anglers. Each coin envelope was pre-stamped with spaces for: collector name, date, species, total length (TL, inches), location, mode (shore or boat fishing), and disposition (released or harvested) of each fish. Anglers were instructed to collect samples from ten fish per month during May-October, and were asked to pick each randomly from fish caught on a given day. Five to ten scales per fish were collected from the area above the lateral line and below the second dorsal fin. Anglers were asked to return samples monthly. At the end of each fishing season, annual reports summarizing length, age, and geographic data collected were generated for each angler who contributed samples. Anglers were awarded a hat and t-shirt for the first two years of participation, and a bronze, silver, or gold pin

Table 1. Yearly number of registered anglers, number of contributing anglers (those who returned samples), the percent of contributing anglers, and the gender and fishing mode composition of contributing anglers.

| Year | Registered Anglers | Contributing Anglers | Percentage Contributing | Gender (Percent) |  |  | Fishing Mode (Percent) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Female | Male | Both | Boat | Shore | Both | Unknown |
| 2002 | 52 | 37 | 71.2 | 5.4 | 91.9 | 2.7 | 56.8 | 27.0 | 16.2 | 0.0 |
| 2003 | 94 | 70 | 74.5 | 2.9 | 95.7 | 1.4 | 60.0 | 17.1 | 22.9 | 0.0 |
| 2004 | 135 | 70 | 51.9 | 2.9 | 95.7 | 1.4 | 50.0 | 11.4 | 35.7 | 2.9 |
| 2005 | 103 | 61 | 59.2 | 3.3 | 95.1 | 1.6 | 25.5 | 19.7 | 27.9 | 0.0 |
| 2006 | 75 | 36 | 48.0 | 2.9 | 94.3 | 2.9 | 63.9 | 16.7 | 19.4 | 0.0 |
| 2007 | 73 | 38 | 52.1 | 3.3 | 93.3 | 3.3 | 55.3 | 18.4 | 26.3 | 0.0 |
| 2008 | 57 | 37 | 64.9 | 7.1 | 89.3 | 3.6 | 62.2 | 13.5 | 24.3 | 0.0 |
| 2009 | 83 | 35 | 42.2 | 2.8 | 97.2 | 0.0 | 68.6 | 11.4 | 20.0 | 0.0 |
| 2010 | 63 | 29 | 43.6 | 5.3 | 94.7 | 0.0 | 65.5 | 10.3 | 24.1 | 0.0 |
| 2011 | 56 | 35 | 62.5 | 2.7 | 97.3 | 0.0 | 54.3 | 20.0 | 25.7 | 0.0 |
| 2012 | 52 | 30 | 27.7 | 3.4 | 96.6 | 0.0 | 63.3 | 13.3 | 23.3 | 0.0 |
| 2013 | 42 | 28 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 64.3 | 10.7 | 25.0 | 0.0 |

Table 2. Regional fishing locations of contributing anglers. $\mathbf{N}=$ North, $\mathbf{S}=$ South, $\mathbf{C}=$ Cape Cod Canal.

| Year | Contributing <br> Anglers | Region (Percent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | North | South | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}$ | Canal | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{C}$ | All | Unknown |  |
| 2002 | 37 | 81.1 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 2003 | 70 | 71.4 | 7.1 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 1.4 |  |
| 2004 | 70 | 68.6 | 5.7 | 15.7 | 1.4 | 4.3 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 0.0 |  |
| 2005 | 61 | 73.8 | 4.9 | 13.1 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 |  |
| 2006 | 36 | 80.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 2007 | 38 | 73.7 | 7.9 | 10.5 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 2008 | 37 | 67.6 | 8.1 | 10.8 | 2.7 | 5.4 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 0.0 |  |
| 2009 | 35 | 60.0 | 11.4 | 71.1 | 2.9 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 |  |
| 2010 | 29 | 55.2 | 6.9 | 20.7 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 6.9 | 0.0 |  |
| 2011 | 35 | 48.6 | 25.7 | 8.6 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 11.4 | 0.0 |  |
| 2012 | 30 | 43.3 | 20.0 | 23.3 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 |  |
| 2013 | 28 | 57.1 | 10.7 | 17.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 7.1 | 3.6 |  |

Table 3. Percentage of new anglers comprising the contributing anglers, and the mean, minimum, and maximum years of contributions by anglers.

| Year | Contributing Anglers | Percent New Anglers | Number of Years of Contributions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mean | Minimum | Maximum |
| 2002 | 37 | 100 | 1.0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2003 | 70 | 58.6 | 1.4 | 1 | 2 |
| 2004 | 70 | 24.3 | 2.1 | 1 | 3 |
| 2005 | 61 | 6.6 | 2.9 | 1 | 4 |
| 2006 | 36 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 1 | 5 |
| 2007 | 38 | 7.9 | 4.5 | 1 | 6 |
| 2008 | 37 | 8.1 | 5.1 | 1 | 7 |
| 2009 | 35 | 17.1 | 5.3 | 1 | 8 |
| 2010 | 29 | 10.3 | 6.7 | 1 | 9 |
| 2011 | 35 | 17.1 | 5.7 | 1 | 10 |
| 2012 | 30 | 3.3 | 6.5 | 1 | 11 |
| 2013 | 28 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 1 | 12 |

Table 4. The numbers of anglers contributing samples by year and month.

| Year | May | June | July | August | September | October | November |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | 17 | 26 | 28 | 23 | 18 | 9 | 3 |
| 2003 | 28 | 56 | 52 | 40 | 37 | 17 | 0 |
| 2004 | 41 | 56 | 52 | 41 | 35 | 28 | 0 |
| 2005 | 31 | 50 | 43 | 36 | 28 | 16 | 0 |
| 2006 | 20 | 29 | 29 | 25 | 22 | 16 | 0 |
| 2007 | 23 | 31 | 27 | 25 | 30 | 21 | 0 |
| 2008 | 24 | 33 | 26 | 23 | 21 | 16 | 0 |
| 2009 | 22 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 20 | 11 | 0 |
| 2010 | 25 | 25 | 23 | 20 | 17 | 11 | 0 |
| 2011 | 24 | 27 | 27 | 21 | 22 | 11 | 0 |
| 2012 | 23 | 28 | 19 | 15 | 17 | 12 | 0 |
| 2013 | 18 | 21 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 0 |



Figure 1. Percentage of total samples contributed monthly by anglers for each year and all years combined.
for five, seven, or ten years, respectively, of participation. Anglers would also receive bronze, silver, or gold pins for collecting 300,500 , or 1,000 scale samples, respectively.

## Data Processing

All angler and biological data were entered and stored in a

Microsoft Access database and quality control procedures were performed to check for inconsistencies. Any inconsistencies (e.g., unrealistically large fish or missing data) were removed from the database. Scales for aging were sub-sampled using a weighted random design based on the total number of striped bass caught in each wave and mode stratum (boat or shore) (as determined by MRIP) (Nelson 2013). Five scales from each fish were cleaned and pressed into an acetate slide. Age determination was made under a microfiche reader by an experienced striped bass age reader.

## Angler Characteristics

The number of anglers who registered with the program ranged from 42 (2013) to 135 (2004) (Table 1). However, only about $42 \%$ (2009) to $74 \%$ (2003) of those registered contributed samples. Contributing anglers were mostly male ( $>89 \%$ ) and the remaining percentages were comprised of female ( $<6 \%$ ) anglers and those registered as a couple (husband and wife). Over $50 \%$ of the contributing anglers fished only from a boat, about 16\%-36\% fished from both shore and boat, and about 10\%-27\% fished only from shore, depending on year.

Most contributing anglers (>73\%) fished only in the northern region (locations north of Cape Cod including Cape Cod Bay) of Massachusetts during 2002-2007 (Table 2). After 2007, the percentage of contributing anglers fishing only in the northern region declined to $43 \%-68 \%$, as participation of anglers who fished only in the southern region (locations south of Cape Cod including eastern side of Cape Cod) or fished in both the northern and southern regions increased. Between $11 \%$ and $34 \%$ of the contributing anglers fished in multiple regions including Cape Cod Canal proper.

Except for the early years (2002-2004) of the SADCT pro-


Figure 2. The percentage of total samples collected by the top one to seven contributing anglers in each year.

Table 5. The percentage of total samples collected by year and fishing mode; $\mathbf{n}=$ sample size.

| Year | n | Fishing Mode (Percent) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Boat | Shore | Both | Unknown |
| 2002 | 988 | 55.2 | 13.6 | 31.3 | 0.0 |
| 2003 | 2250 | 27.2 | 7.2 | 35.6 | 0.0 |
| 2004 | 2905 | 39.3 | 5.7 | 45.8 | 9.2 |
| 2005 | 2344 | 43.5 | 12.9 | 43.6 | 0.0 |
| 2006 | 1711 | 65.5 | 16.2 | 18.4 | 0.0 |
| 2007 | 1504 | 48.5 | 9.6 | 42.0 | 0.0 |
| 2008 | 1534 | 71.0 | 7.9 | 21.1 | 0.0 |
| 2009 | 1628 | 67.3 | 3.9 | 28.7 | 0.0 |
| 2010 | 1605 | 70.3 | 2.3 | 27.4 | 0.0 |
| 2011 | 2164 | 66.6 | 2.5 | 30.9 | 0.0 |
| 2012 | 1751 | 44.0 | 2.6 | 53.5 | 0.0 |
| 2013 | 1210 | 55.2 | 1.9 | 42.9 | 0.0 |

Table 6. The percentage of total samples collected by year and region; $\mathbf{n}=$ sample size, $\mathbf{N}=$ North, $\mathbf{S}=$ South, $\mathbf{C}=$ Cape Cod Canal.

| Year | n | Region (Percent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | North | South | N/S | Canal | N/C | S/C | All | Unknown |
| 2002 | 988 | 73.1 | 0.0 | 10.2 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2003 | 2250 | 70.7 | 6.4 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 7.1 | <0.1 |
| 2004 | 2905 | 65.0 | 4.0 | 12.4 | 11.0 | 6.4 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| 2005 | 2344 | 55.1 | 16.7 | 13.2 | 0.0 | 14.6 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| 2006 | 1711 | 59.2 | 0.5 | 5.3 | 11.9 | 3.6 | 19.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2007 | 1504 | 67.6 | 12.9 | 11.2 | 2.9 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2008 | 1534 | 49.2 | 3.1 | 12.3 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 25.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| 2009 | 1628 | 35.9 | 16.7 | 37.5 | 0.6 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 0.0 |
| 2010 | 1605 | 37.1 | 18.5 | 32.8 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 8.5 | 0.0 |
| 2011 | 2164 | 31.3 | 39.5 | 17.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 10.7 | 0.0 |
| 2012 | 1751 | 25.2 | 18.8 | 42.7 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.9 | 0.0 |
| 2013 | 1210 | 40.7 | 22.3 | 28.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 7.6 | 0.2 |

Table 7. Percentage of total samples by disposition and year; $\mathbf{n}=$ sample size.

| Year |  |  | Disposition (Percent) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Harvested | Released | Unknown |
| 2002 | 988 | - | - | 100.0 |
| 2003 | 2250 | 17.0 | 81.8 | 1.2 |
| 2004 | 2905 | 13.4 | 85.7 | 0.9 |
| 2005 | 2344 | 14.1 | 83.7 | 2.1 |
| 2006 | 1711 | 8.7 | 91.1 | 0.2 |
| 2007 | 1504 | 11.7 | 88.2 | 0.1 |
| 2008 | 1628 | 15.4 | 84.5 | 0.1 |
| 2009 | 1605 | 23.1 | 76.9 | 0.0 |
| 2010 | 2164 | 24.2 | 75.8 | 0.0 |
| 2011 | 1751 | 32.2 | 67.8 | 0.0 |
| 2012 | 1210 | 30.8 | 68.8 | 0.4 |

gram, the contributing anglers were comprised mostly of individuals who provided samples over multiple years. This is reflected in the low percentage of new anglers contributing samples each year after 2004, and in the increasing mean number of years of angler contributions (Table 3). Those anglers who repeatedly contributed did so in consecutive years. However, in several cases, gaps in participation ranging from one to four years, did occur. Three anglers have participated in all years of this study.

## Sample Contributions

The number of anglers contributing samples varied on a monthly basis. Participation peaked primarily in June and declined thereafter (Table 4). Only in the first year of the program were anglers actively returning samples in November.

A total of 21,594 striped bass samples were collected by anglers during 2002-2013 (Table 5). The number of samples contributed each year ranged from 988 (2002) to 2,905 fish (2004). Most samples came from anglers who fished from a boat only or fished from a boat and shore. After 2008, <4\% of the samples came from anglers who fished solely from shore.


From 2002-2007, most samples (>55\%) came from anglers who fished in the northern region. After 2007, most samples came from anglers who fished only in the southern region, or who fished in both regions (Table 6).

The number of samples collected by anglers varied on a monthly basis. In general, the percentage of samples contributed by anglers peaked generally in June and declined thereafter, reflecting the monthly angler participation trend described previously (Figure 1).

Samples were contributed disproportionately among anglers and the level of contributions changed over time. Prior to 2006, 22\%-27\% of the samples were contributed by three anglers in each year and about 37-44\% were contributed by seven anglers (Figure 2). After 2006, contributions by three and seven anglers increased to an average of $43 \%$ and 64\%, respectively, during 2010-2013, indicating a high percentage of samples came from a minority of anglers (Figure 2).

The majority (68\%-91\%) of samples returned by anglers were from striped bass released after capture (Table 7). However, the percentage of fish released declined over time.


Figure 3. Size frequencies of harvested and released striped bass collected from the North and South regions by year. The vertical dashed line represents the minimum size limit (28 inches TL) in Massachusetts.


Figure 4. Size frequencies of harvested and released striped bass collected from the North and South regions by year. The vertical dashed line represents the minimum size ( 28 inches TL ).

## Biological Characteristics

Size Total lengths of striped bass reported by anglers as summarized in detail by region, mode, disposition and year in Table A1 (in Appendix). Total lengths of striped bass reported by anglers ranged from 8 to 56 inches TL. The size of striped bass harvested in the northern region averaged about 33.8 inches TL and the size of striped bass harvested in the southern region averaged about 34.8 inches TL , indicating slightly larger fish were harvested in the latter region. The size of striped bass released averaged 24.2 inches TL in the northern region and 21.9 inches TL in the southern region, indicating that, in general, smaller striped bass were released by anglers in the latter region (Figure 3).

In general, slightly larger striped bass were harvested by boat-based anglers (grand average size: 34.3 inches TL, range of averages: 32.5-35.3 inches TL ) than by shore-based angers (grand average size: 33.3 inches TL , average size range: 32.3 -inches TL) (Figure 4). Smaller striped bass were released by shore-based anglers (grand average size: 20.7 inches TL, average size range: 17.7-24.1 inches TL ) than by boat-based anglers (grand average size: 23.6 inches TL, average size range: 21.2-25.9 inches TL ).

In general, lengths of striped bass harvested by anglers were
smallest in May (range of average sizes: 31.7-36.2 inches TL ) and were largest in June (average size range: 31.9-35.2 inches TL ) and July (average size range: 32.2-35.6 inches TL ). Lengths of released striped bass reported by anglers were smallest in May (average size range: 18.3-25.1 inches TL) and October (average size range: 18.7-22.6 inches TL), and lengths were largest in June (average size range: 20.8-26.5 inches TL) and July (average size range: 21.9-27.6 inches TL ) (Table 8).

Age Ages of striped bass scales collected by anglers are summarized in detail by region, mode, disposition, and year in Table A2. Unfortunately, not all scale samples could be read, due to ageing demands for other programs. Age was determined for 6,060 scale samples. The percentage of the total samples aged each year averaged 30\% (range: 13\%53\%) (Table 9). Striped bass scale-based ages ranged from 2 to 18 years and averaged 6.3 years-old (average age range: 5.7-6.7 years) (Table 9).

In general, older fish were caught by anglers fishing in the North than by anglers fishing in the South, reflecting the regional size differences discussed earlier. Overall average age of striped bass collected in the northern region was 6.6 years (average age range: 5.7-7.3 years), while overall average age in the southern region was 5.7 years (average age
Table 8a. Sizes of striped bass harvested by anglers and summarized by year and month; $\mathbf{n}=$ sample size, Min = minimum, Max = maximum, SD = stadard deviation.

|  | May |  |  |  |  | June |  |  |  |  | July |  |  |  |  | August |  |  |  |  | September |  |  |  |  | October |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | in | Max | Mean | SD | n | Min | Max | Mean | SD | n | Min | Max | Mean | SD | n | Min | Max | Mean | SD | n | Min | Max | Mean | SD | n | Min | Max | Mean | SD |
| 2003 | 37 | 28 | 41 | 31.8 | 3.57 | 135 | 20 | 46 | 23.0 | 4.40 | 108 | 27 | 48 | 32.3 | 4.86 | 51 | 16 | 45 | 33.7 | 5.71 | 44 | 26 | 50 | 33.1 | 4.80 | 8 | 30 | 42 | 35.9 | 4.22 |
| 2004 | 40 | 29 | 44 | 35.2 | 4.12 | 130 | 28 | 45 | 34.4 | 4.32 | 101 | 28 | 45 | 33.9 | 4.11 | 57 | 28 | 43 | 32.9 | 4.11 | 45 | 28 | 47 | 35.2 | 4.44 | 15 | 28 | 46 | 34.3 | 5.67 |
| 2005 | 38 | 28 | 42 | 32.5 | 3.26 | 123 | 28 | 44 | 33.5 | 4.04 | 70 | 28 | 44 | 32.2 | 3.97 | 49 | 28 | 46 | 34.1 | 5.38 | 35 | 29 | 48 | 35.8 | 5.26 | 16 | 29 | 47 | 37.1 | 5.01 |
| 2006 | 28 | 28 | 47 | 35.3 | 4.82 | 30 | 28 | 43 | 33.8 | 4.22 | 38 | 28 | 45 | 35.6 | 4.98 | 28 | 28 | 46 | 35.9 | 5.40 | 18 | 28 | 45 | 33.0 | 5.76 | 6 | 29 | 39 | 34.7 | 3.50 |
| 2007 | 11 | 29 | 47 | 36.2 | 5.86 | 36 | 29 | 44 | 35.2 | 4.87 | 30 | 28 | 47 | 32.8 | 5.16 | 25 | 28 | 48 | 38.4 | 6.25 | 51 | 28 | 47 | 35.1 | 5.90 | 23 | 28 | 41 | 33.8 | 4.77 |
| 2008 | 25 | 28 | 40 | 33.4 | 3.87 | 61 | 28 | 45 | 34.2 | 5.05 | 50 | 29 | 46 | 34.8 | 4.70 | 30 | 28 | 47 | 34.5 | 4.70 | 54 | 39 | 45 | 36.4 | 4.46 | 16 | 28 | 54 | 38.3 | 8.06 |
| 2009 | 48 | 28 | 43 | 32.8 | 3.33 | 81 | 28 | 44 | 34.4 | 3.37 | 88 | 28 | 42 | 33.6 | 3.36 | 103 | 28 | 44 | 35.1 | 4.50 | 36 | 28 | 43 | 33.9 | 4.44 | 20 | 16 | 45 | 32.8 | 6.69 |
| 2010 | 43 | 26 | 42 | 31.7 | 3.40 | 90 | 28 | 48 | 31.9 | 4.38 | 94 | 28 | 43 | 35.0 | 4.12 | 93 | 28 | 45 | 34.5 | 4.00 | 58 | 28 | 47 | 33.0 | 4.84 | 11 | 28 | 43 | 34.2 | 5.81 |
| 2011 | 41 | 28 | 42 | 31.4 | 2.98 | 206 | 28 | 48 | 33.9 | 4.21 | 240 | 28 | 49 | 34.7 | 3.77 | 107 | 27 | 48 | 34.6 | 4.00 | 77 | 28 | 41 | 32.4 | 3.58 | 26 | 28 | 44 | 32.5 | 4.45 |
| 2012 | 83 | 28 | 41 | 33.0 | 3.07 | 172 | 24 | 48 | 34.9 | 4.22 | 164 | 28 | 42 | 35.4 | 3.45 | 69 | 30 | 47 | 36.5 | 3.76 | 35 | 26 | 44 | 33.1 | 3.94 | 17 | 29 | 41 | 33.9 | 4.16 |
| 2013 | 40 | 28 | 36 | 31.8 | 2.04 | 71 | 28 | 42 | 32.9 | 3.42 | 109 | 28 | 46 | 35.6 | 3.38 | 50 | 28 | 49 | 35.2 | 5.48 | 47 | 28 | 49 | 35.1 | 4.69 | 44 | 28 | 42 | 32.5 | 3.05 |



Table 9. Summary statistics of ages determined from scales by year; $\mathbf{n}=$ sample size, $\mathbf{n}_{\text {aged }}=$ sample size aged, Percent = percentage of total aged, SD = standard deviation.

| Year | n | $\mathrm{n}_{\text {aged }}$ | Percent | Minimum | Mgeximum <br> Man | MD |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | 988 | 450 | 45.5 | 2 | 15 | 5.7 | 2.71 |
| 2003 | 2,250 | 574 | 25.5 | 2 | 15 | 6.2 | 3.12 |
| 2004 | 2,905 | 388 | 13.4 | 2 | 17 | 6.7 | 3.38 |
| 2005 | 2,344 | 483 | 20.6 | 2 | 18 | 6.2 | 3.46 |
| 2006 | 1,711 | 418 | 24.4 | 2 | 18 | 6.5 | 3.23 |
| 2007 | 1,504 | 434 | 28.9 | 2 | 16 | 6.1 | 3.22 |
| 2008 | 1,534 | 398 | 25.9 | 2 | 16 | 6.4 | 3.06 |
| 2009 | 1,628 | 523 | 32.1 | 2 | 15 | 6.4 | 3.04 |
| 2010 | 1,605 | 544 | 33.9 | 2 | 16 | 6.6 | 3.24 |
| 2011 | 2,164 | 566 | 26.2 | 2 | 16 | 6.3 | 3.51 |
| 2012 | 1,751 | 624 | 35.6 | 2 | 17 | 6.0 | 3.43 |
| 2013 | 1,210 | 648 | 53.6 | 2 | 17 | 5.9 | 3.30 |



Figure 5. Age composition of striped bass harvested and released by Massachusetts anglers. The 1993, 1996, 2003, and 2011 year-classes are highlghted in red, dark gray, and black, respectively.

Table 10. Summary statistics of ages determined from scales by year and region; n = sample size, SD = standard deviation.

| Year | North |  |  |  |  | South |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $n$ | Minimum <br> Age | Maximum <br> Age | Mean <br> Age | SD Age | $n$ | Minimum <br> Age | Maximum <br> Age | Magn <br> Age |
| 2002 | 408 | 2 | 15 | 2.7 | 2.76 | 17 | 3 | 9 | SD Age |  |
| 2003 | 460 | 2 | 15 | 6.3 | 3.11 | 73 | 2 | 12 | 5.8 | 3.12 |
| 2004 | 292 | 2 | 17 | 6.8 | 3.38 | 69 | 2 | 15 | 6.2 | 3.47 |
| 2005 | 360 | 2 | 18 | 6.8 | 3.42 | 100 | 2 | 16 | 4.8 | 3.24 |
| 2006 | 318 | 2 | 18 | 6.9 | 3.35 | 57 | 2 | 13 | 5.1 | 2.59 |
| 2007 | 341 | 2 | 15 | 6.3 | 3.24 | 76 | 2 | 14 | 5.1 | 2.68 |
| 2008 | 263 | 2 | 16 | 7.0 | 3.13 | 100 | 2 | 16 | 5.5 | 2.61 |
| 2009 | 292 | 2 | 14 | 6.5 | 3.14 | 206 | 2 | 15 | 6.3 | 2.92 |
| 2010 | 298 | 2 | 16 | 7.3 | 3.41 | 228 | 2 | 14 | 5.6 | 2.66 |
| 2011 | 212 | 2 | 16 | 6.9 | 3.40 | 338 | 2 | 15 | 5.9 | 3.53 |
| 2012 | 233 | 2 | 17 | 5.9 | 3.58 | 363 | 2 | 17 | 6.0 | 3.36 |
| 2013 | 333 | 2 | 17 | 6.4 | 3.40 | 300 | 2 | 15 | 5.3 | 3.12 |

range: 4.8-7.0) (Table 10).
Older fish were caught more often by boat-based anglers than by anglers fishing from shore, reflecting the mode size differences discussed earlier. The overall average age of striped bass collected by boat anglers was 6.4 years (average age range: 5.8-6.8 years), while average age of shorecaught striped bass was 5.5 years (average age range : 4.46.4 years) (Table 11).

Striped bass harvested by anglers were older than striped bass released by anglers reflecting the 28 inch minimum size limit in Massachusetts (Table 12). The overall average age of harvested fish was 9.4 years (average age range: 9.010.0 years), while average age of released striped bass was 5.3 years (average age range: 4.5-5.7 years).

## Application of Size and Age Data

The age and corresponding size data collected by anglers are used to develop annual age-length keys, which are applied to harvest and release size data of the recreational fishery to obtain the age composition of striped bass harvested and released in Massachusetts waters. The age compositions of harvested and released striped bass for each year are shown in Figure 5. Large year-classes (1993, 1996, 2003, and 2011) of Chesapeake Bay origin are readily identifiable in the catches of Massachusetts anglers. All age composition data were used directly in the coast-wide stock assessment for striped bass (ASMFC 2013).

## Future of the SADCT Program

The SADCT program will continue into the future as long as dedicated anglers are willing to collect samples. Since the program had been such a success for striped bass, it was expanded in 2014 to include volunteer anglers who are willing to collect size and age samples from scup (Stenotomus chrysops), black sea bass (Centropristis striata), and summer flounder (Paralichthys dentatus).
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Table 11. Summary statistcs of age determined from scales by year and fishing mode; $\mathbf{n}=$ sample size, $\mathrm{SD}=$ standard deviation.

| Year | Boat |  |  |  |  | Shore |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | Minimum Age | Maximum Age | Mean Age | SD Age | n | Minimum Age | Maximum Age | Mean Age | SD Age |
| 2002 | 366 | 2 | 15 | 5.8 | 2.67 | 84 | 2 | 14 | 5.5 | 2.89 |
| 2003 | 469 | 2 | 15 | 6.5 | 3.17 | 105 | 2 | 13 | 5.2 | 2.69 |
| 2004 | 295 | 2 | 17 | 6.5 | 3.38 | 58 | 3 | 14 | 6.4 | 3.05 |
| 2005 | 401 | 2 | 18 | 6.4 | 3.48 | 82 | 2 | 16 | 5.6 | 3.31 |
| 2006 | 340 | 2 | 18 | 6.8 | 3.32 | 77 | 2 | 13 | 5.0 | 2.22 |
| 2007 | 353 | 2 | 15 | 6.2 | 3.23 | 81 | 2 | 16 | 6.0 | 3.17 |
| 2008 | 310 | 2 | 16 | 6.7 | 3.09 | 88 | 2 | 16 | 5.7 | 2.84 |
| 2009 | 449 | 2 | 14 | 6.5 | 3.09 | 74 | 2 | 15 | 6.0 | 2.70 |
| 2010 | 482 | 2 | 16 | 6.7 | 3.26 | 62 | 2 | 15 | 6.1 | 3.11 |
| 2011 | 489 | 2 | 16 | 6.5 | 3.49 | 77 | 2 | 15 | 5.2 | 3.41 |
| 2012 | 538 | 2 | 17 | 6.3 | 3.46 | 85 | 2 | 13 | 4.4 | 2.72 |
| 2013 | 586 | 2 | 17 | 5.9 | 3.32 | 62 | 2 | 13 | 5.4 | 3.08 |

Table 12. Summary statistcs of age determined from scales by year and disposition; $\mathbf{n}$ = sample size, $\mathrm{SD}=$ standard deviation.

| Year | Harvested |  |  |  |  | Released |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | Minimum Age | Maximum Age | Mean Age | SD Age | n | Minimum Age | Maximum Age | Mean Age | SD Age |
| 2003 | 118 | 4 | 15 | 9.3 | 2.24 | 447 | 2 | 14 | 5.4 | 2.81 |
| 2004 | 101 | 5 | 16 | 10.0 | 2.46 | 279 | 2 | 17 | 5.5 | 2.83 |
| 2005 | 101 | 5 | 18 | 9.9 | 2.62 | 368 | 2 | 15 | 5.2 | 2.99 |
| 2006 | 100 | 5 | 18 | 9.6 | 2.76 | 316 | 2 | 15 | 5.5 | 2.72 |
| 2007 | 89 | 4 | 16 | 9.6 | 2.59 | 345 | 2 | 15 | 5.3 | 2.73 |
| 2008 | 97 | 5 | 16 | 9.3 | 2.51 | 301 | 2 | 16 | 5.5 | 2.63 |
| 2009 | 145 | 2 | 15 | 8.9 | 2.39 | 378 | 2 | 13 | 5.4 | 2.69 |
| 2010 | 146 | 5 | 16 | 9.0 | 2.52 | 398 | 2 | 16 | 5.7 | 3.03 |
| 2011 | 153 | 5 | 16 | 9.2 | 2.39 | 413 | 2 | 15 | 5.2 | 3.25 |
| 2012 | 169 | 3 | 17 | 9.5 | 2.59 | 453 | 2 | 15 | 4.7 | 2.73 |
| 2013 | 194 | 3 | 16 | 9.0 | 2.28 | 453 | 2 | 17 | 4.5 | 2.73 |

Appendix

Table A1. Annual summary statistics of length data collected by SADCT anglers by region, mode, and disposition.

| Region: North |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year | n | Min | Max | Mean | SD | n | Min | Max | Mean | SD | n | Min | Max | Mean | SD |
|  | 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 650 | 8 | 50 | 24.8 | 6.97 |
|  | 2003 | 282 | 20 | 46 | 32.1 | 4.63 | 1250 | 12 | 43 | 24.2 | 5.92 | 17 | 26 | 40 | 30.9 | 4.17 |
|  | 2004 | 191 | 28 | 47 | 34.2 | 4.42 | 1373 | 10 | 48 | 23.1 | 5.73 | 7 | 18 | 41 | 30.7 | 8.62 |
|  | 2005 | 234 | 28 | 47 | 33.7 | 4.54 | 995 | 9 | 46 | 23.8 | 6.44 | 50 | 20 | 40 | 30.0 | 4.81 |
|  | 2006 | 117 | 28 | 47 | 35.4 | 5.02 | 887 | 10 | 44 | 21.9 | 6.18 | 4 | 25 | 34 | 29.2 | 3.77 |
| $\bigcirc$ | 2007 | 125 | 29 | 49 | 35.2 | 5.84 | 938 | 10 | 56 | 22.8 | 6.28 | 1 | 33 | 33 | 33.0 | - |
| \% | 2008 | 183 | 28 | 47 | 35.2 | 4.97 | 687 | 13 | 45 | 24.1 | 6.25 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2009 | 205 | 274 | 45 | 34.5 | 4.24 | 630 | 12 | 45 | 25.8 | 5.97 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2010 | 183 | 26 | 47 | 32.8 | 4.54 | 547 | 13 | 47 | 26.8 | 7.17 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2011 | 249 | 27 | 48 | 31.9 | 3.67 | 541 | 13 | 47 | 27.8 | 7.16 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2012 | 144 | 28 | 48 | 34.2 | 4.30 | 437 | 10 | 45 | 25.0 | 8.06 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2013 | 185 | 28 | 49 | 33.7 | 4.44 | 420 | 12 | 47 | 24.5 | 8.23 | 2 | 36 | 36 | 36.0 | 0 |
|  | 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 215 | 10 | 46 | 23.2 | 6.86 |
|  | 2003 | 26 | 28 | 39 | 31.6 | 3.15 | 244 | 10 | 42 | 22.7 | 6.52 | 1 | 29 | 29 | 29.0 | - |
|  | 2004 | 33 | 28 | 41 | 32.4 | 3.61 | 345 | 10 | 38 | 19.8 | 5.41 | 1 | 36 | 36 | 36.0 | - |
|  | 2005 | 39 | 28 | 47 | 33.2 | 4.81 | 316 | 9 | 45 | 19.5 | 6.43 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2006 | 5 | 28 | 33 | 30.8 | 2.59 | 107 | 10 | 36 | 17.7 | 4.85 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2007 | 15 | 28 | 46 | 35.3 | 6.26 | 106 | 14 | 29 | 20.0 | 3.43 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2008 | 13 | 28 | 43 | 32.5 | 5.22 | 58 | 14 | 29 | 21.4 | 3.62 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2009 | 29 | 28 | 43 | 33.1 | 3.77 | 53 | 11 | 39 | 24.7 | 5.55 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2010 | 23 | 28 | 41 | 30.6 | 3.00 | 50 | 13 | 41 | 24.6 | 5.51 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2011 | 10 | 28 | 38 | 31.4 | 3.66 | 22 | 15 | 36 | 24.3 | 6.77 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2012 | 2 | 33 | 34 | 33.5 | 0.71 | 44 | 10 | 35 | 21.9 | 7.25 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2013 | 5 | 31 | 40 | 36.2 | 3.96 | 16 | 14 | 34 | 20.8 | 6.23 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2003 | 1 | 28 | 28 | 28.0 | - | 3 | 14 | 27 | 22.7 | 7.50 | 3 | 30 | 42 | 35.3 | 6.11 |
|  | 2004 | 63 | 28 | 44 | 33.6 | 4.25 | 199 | 16 | 46 | 26.3 | 5.45 | 17 | 26 | 44 | 31.1 | 4.52 |
|  | 2005 | 2 | 32 | 34 | 33.0 | 1.41 | 5 | 21 | 31 | 24.4 | 4.45 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2006 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2007 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 14 | 14 | 14.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2008 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2009 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2010 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2011 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2012 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2013 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table A1 continued.

| Region: South |  | Harvested |  |  |  |  | Released |  |  |  |  | Unknown |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year | n | Min | Max | Mean | SD | n | Min | Max | Mean | SD | n | Min | Max | Mean | SD |
|  | 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 | 23 | 38 | 30.7 | 4.18 |
|  | 2003 | 29 | 29 | 41 | 35.6 | 3.29 | 135 | 12 | 44 | 24.3 | 7.57 | 4 | 33 | 35 | 34.0 | 0.82 |
|  | 2004 | 68 | 28 | 45 | 35.3 | 3.88 | 200 | 12 | 40 | 21.0 | 6.41 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2005 | 30 | 28 | 44 | 33.8 | 3.86 | 422 | 11 | 40 | 21.2 | 6.14 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2006 | 10 | 28 | 40 | 33.9 | 3.69 | 345 | 11 | 36 | 19.2 | 4.83 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2007 | 23 | 28 | 44 | 33.2 | 3.79 | 198 | 10 | 41 | 19.6 | 6.16 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2008 | 19 | 28 | 54 | 34.7 | 6.41 | 418 | 12 | 49 | 21.6 | 4.24 | 2 | 34 | 37 | 35.5 | 2.12 |
|  | 2009 | 107 | 28 | 43 | 33.8 | 3.34 | 427 | 14 | 42 | 26.0 | 6.12 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2010 | 151 | 28 | 44 | 34.6 | 3.87 | 487 | 15 | 42 | 23.2 | 4.83 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2011 | 376 | 28 | 49 | 35.4 | 3.62 | 747 | 11 | 42 | 24.1 | 6.87 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2012 | 344 | 26 | 45 | 35.2 | 3.67 | 534 | 10 | 43 | 23.1 | 8.33 | 7 | 24 | 39 | 31.4 | 5.38 |
|  | 2013 | 127 | 28 | 43 | 35.4 | 3.22 | 309 | 11 | 40 | 20.9 | 7.76 | 1 | 37 | 37 | 37.0 | - |
|  | 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 21 | 22 | 21.5 | 0.71 |
|  | 2003 | 6 | 30 | 39 | 35.5 | 3.73 | 64 | 13 | 32 | 23.5 | 4.93 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2004 | - | - | - | - | - | 39 | 10 | 33 | 16.9 | 5.06 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2005 | 11 | 30 | 48 | 35.4 | 5.77 | 51 | 10 | 37 | 19.0 | 7.77 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2006 | 2 | 34 | 43 | 38.5 | 6.36 | 12 | 10 | 22 | 11.7 | 3.50 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2007 | 6 | 29 | 40 | 34.8 | 4.17 | 40 | 12 | 35 | 22.9 | 6.34 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2008 | 14 | 30 | 47 | 35.9 | 4.04 | 46 | 10 | 39 | 25.8 | 5.96 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2009 | 15 | 16 | 41 | 31.7 | 5.31 | 65 | 12 | 35 | 23.3 | 6.30 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2010 | 17 | 28 | 43 | 33.3 | 4.03 | 76 | 12 | 32 | 21.6 | 6.17 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2011 | 35 | 29 | 46 | 34.1 | 4.31 | 118 | 10 | 42 | 19.2 | 5.98 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2012 | 7 | 24 | 36 | 31.7 | 3.73 | 155 | 9 | 33 | 16.8 | 5.22 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2013 | 18 | 28 | 42 | 33.4 | 3.70 | 78 | 10 | 35 | 18.4 | 5.46 | 1 | 39 | 39 | 39.0 | - |
|  | 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2003 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2004 | 4 | 37 | 42 | 39.5 | 2.08 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2005 | 1 | 28 | 28 | 28.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2006 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2007 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2008 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2009 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2010 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2011 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2012 | 1 | 36 | 36 | 36.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2013 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table A1 continued.

| Region: Cape Cod Canal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year | n | Min | Max | Mean | SD | n | Min | Max | Mean | SD | n | Min | Max | Mean | SD |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \ddot{0} \\ & \ddot{0} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2003 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2004 | 1 | 35 | 35 | 35.0 | - | 1 | 17 | 17 | 17.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2005 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2006 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 18 | 26 | 23.0 | 2.83 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2007 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2008 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 21 | 21 | 21.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2009 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2010 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 20 | 26 | 23.5 | 2.52 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2011 | 1 | 31 | 31 | 31.0 | - | 1 | 21 | 21 | 21.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2012 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 21 | 24 | 22.5 | 2.12 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2013 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 20 | 20 | 20.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 98 | 11 | 34 | 21.8 | 5.96 |
|  | 2003 | 32 | 16 | 48 | 32.6 | 5.90 | 118 | 13 | 36 | 22.5 | 5.17 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2004 | 28 | 28 | 45 | 34.4 | 4.84 | 332 | 14 | 37 | 20.1 | 4.27 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2005 | 11 | 28 | 40 | 30.9 | 3.83 | 165 | 13 | 34 | 20.4 | 4.15 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2006 | 13 | 28 | 44 | 31.9 | 4.63 | 201 | 11 | 31 | 18.1 | 3.72 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2007 | 7 | 29 | 47 | 38.3 | 6.55 | 43 | 15 | 26 | 19.2 | 2.54 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2008 | 6 | 28 | 42 | 35.3 | 4.80 | 79 | 12 | 40 | 22.2 | 6.21 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2009 | 5 | 29 | 39 | 33.2 | 3.77 | 27 | 16 | 37 | 24.7 | 5.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2010 | 14 | 28 | 48 | 35.1 | 6.85 | 17 | 18 | 37 | 26.8 | 4.38 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2011 | 20 | 29 | 41 | 32.6 | 3.69 | 15 | 17 | 33 | 28.1 | 4.24 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2012 | 18 | 26 | 43 | 35.1 | 4.46 | 9 | 18 | 36 | 29.7 | 4.87 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2013 | 1 | 36 | 36 | 36.0 | - | 2 | 22 | 22 | 22.0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2003 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2004 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 21 | 21 | 21.0 | - |
|  | 2005 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2006 | 1 | 36 | 36 | 36.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2007 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2008 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2009 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2010 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2011 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2012 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2013 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table A1 continued.

| Region: Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year | n | Min | Max | Mean | SD | n | Min | Max | Mean | SD | n | Min | Max | Mean | SD |
|  | 2002 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2003 | 5 | 29 | 50 | 38.6 | 7.57 | 19 | 20 | 40 | 28.3 | 5.61 | 1 | 34 | 34 | 34.0 | - |
|  | 2004 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2005 | 3 | 34 | 35 | 34.3 | 0.58 | 1 | 24 | 24 | 24.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2006 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 15 | 15 | 15.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\bigcirc$ | 2007 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | 2008 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 14 | 26 | 21.8 | 4.76 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2009 | 9 | 28 | 44 | 36.1 | 5.64 | 3 | 19 | 28 | 24.7 | 4.93 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2010 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2011 | 6 | 30 | 34 | 32.3 | 1.37 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2012 | 3 | 31 | 37 | 34.0 | 3.00 | 7 | 17 | 31 | 22.0 | 5.66 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2013 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2002 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2003 | 2 | 30 | 30 | 30.0 | 0 | 8 | 10 | 16 | 12.2 | 2.19 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2004 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 10 | 10 | 10.0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2005 | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 11 | 22 | 17.0 | 4.34 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2006 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2007 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 10 | 10 | 10.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2008 | 1 | 30 | 30 | 30.0 | - | 2 | 17 | 20 | 18.5 | 2.12 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2009 | 6 | 28 | 33 | 30.0 | 2.28 | 47 | 14 | 34 | 24.0 | 3.39 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2010 | 1 | 38 | 38 | 38.0 | - | 35 | 14 | 19 | 17.0 | 1.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2011 | - | - | - | - | - | 23 | 14 | 30 | 20.3 | 4.50 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2012 | 21 | 28 | 41 | 32.9 | 2.94 | 16 | 12 | 32 | 23.9 | 6.86 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2013 | 25 | 28 | 36 | 31.1 | 2.40 | 18 | 11 | 28 | 20.8 | 5.25 | - | - | - | - | - |

Table A2. Annual summary statistics of age data collected by SADCT anglers by region, mode, and disposition.

| Region: North |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year | n | Min | Max | Mean | SD | n | Min | Max | Mean | SD | n | Min | Max | Mean | SD |
|  | 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 351 | 2 | 15 | 5.7 | 2.69 |
|  | 2003 | 85 | 4 | 15 | 9.3 | 2.41 | 307 | 2 | 14 | 5.7 | 2.90 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 8.0 | 1.26 |
|  | 2004 | 51 | 5 | 16 | 10.1 | 2.88 | 175 | 2 | 17 | 5.4 | 2.69 | 5 | 3 | 11 | 8.6 | 3.36 |
|  | 2005 | 74 | 5 | 18 | 10.1 | 2.73 | 226 | 2 | 15 | 5.8 | 3.08 | 14 | 4 | 11 | 7.4 | 2.03 |
|  | 2006 | 81 | 5 | 18 | 9.8 | 2.81 | 203 | 2 | 15 | 6.1 | 2.97 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 6.0 | 1.41 |
|  | 2007 | 63 | 4 | 14 | 9.6 | 2.47 | 239 | 2 | 15 | 5.6 | 2.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2008 | 72 | 5 | 15 | 9.5 | 2.46 | 165 | 2 | 16 | 6.2 | 2.86 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2009 | 74 | 6 | 14 | 9.1 | 2.41 | 196 | 2 | 13 | 5.5 | 2.88 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2010 | 76 | 5 | 16 | 9.0 | 2.71 | 200 | 2 | 16 | 6.8 | 3.52 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2011 | 40 | 5 | 16 | 8.2 | 2.56 | 165 | 2 | 15 | 6.6 | 3.55 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2012 | 44 | 6 | 1 | 10.2 | 2.89 | 177 | 2 | 15 | 5.1 | 2.98 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2013 | 103 | 5 | 16 | 9.0 | 2.45 | 215 | 2 | 17 | 5.2 | 3.12 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2002 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 57 | 2 | 14 | 5.8 | 3.16 |
|  | 2003 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 8.7 | 1.21 | 56 | 2 | 13 | 4.9 | 2.45 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2004 | 5 | 9 | 11 | 10.2 | 0.84 | 22 | 3 | 10 | 5.5 | 2.24 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2005 | 13 | 6 | 12 | 9.1 | 1.93 | 33 | 2 | 10 | 4.5 | 2.45 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2006 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 8.0 | 2.65 | 29 | 2 | 10 | 4.5 | 2.11 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2007 | 9 | 6 | 15 | 10.2 | 2.73 | 30 | 2 | 8 | 4.1 | 1.58 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2008 | 6 | 5 | 11 | 8.2 | 2.32 | 20 | 3 | 7 | 4.2 | 1.18 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2009 | 13 | 6 | 10 | 8.0 | 1.41 | 9 | 2 | 10 | 4.6 | 2.55 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2010 | 7 | 6 | 11 | 8.1 | 1.86 | 15 | 2 | 10 | 5.6 | 2.41 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2011 | 3 | 7 | 11 | 9.0 | 2.00 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 6.0 | 2.71 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2012 | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | 2 | 8 | 3.1 | 1.73 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2013 | 3 | 8 | 12 | 10.3 | 2.08 | 12 | 2 | 7 | 3.7 | 1.72 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2002 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2003 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2004 | 13 | 6 | 14 | 9.3 | 2.25 | 18 | 4 | 15 | 9.6 | 3.42 | 3 | 8 | 11 | 9.0 | 1.73 |
|  | 2005 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2006 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2007 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2008 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2009 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2010 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2011 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2012 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2013 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table A2 continued.

| Region: South |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year | n | Min | Max | Mean | SD | n | Min | Max | Mean | SD | n | Min | Max | Mean | SD |
|  | 2002 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 4 | 9 | 7.5 | 1.46 |
|  | 2003 | 14 | 8 | 12 | 9.8 | 1.31 | 45 | 2 | 12 | 4.6 | 2.54 | 2 | 9 | 10 | 9.5 | 0.71 |
|  | 2004 | 23 | 7 | 15 | 10.1 | 1.98 | 41 | 2 | 9 | 4.3 | 2.08 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2005 | 8 | 6 | 11 | 8.9 | 1.81 | 78 | 2 | 11 | 4.0 | 2.55 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2006 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 9.4 | 1.67 | 47 | 2 | 10 | 4.5 | 1.93 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2007 | 7 | 5 | 14 | 8.4 | 2.99 | 44 | 2 | 10 | 4.0 | 2.19 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2008 | 8 | 5 | 10 | 7.6 | 1.77 | 64 | 2 | 10 | 4.5 | 1.88 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2009 | 38 | 5 | 14 | 9.1 | 2.38 | 135 | 2 | 13 | 5.5 | 2.58 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2010 | 51 | 5 | 14 | 8.9 | 2.16 | 152 | 2 | 12 | 4.7 | 1.94 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2011 | 96 | 6 | 14 | 9.6 | 2.24 | 187 | 2 | 15 | 4.4 | 2.68 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2012 | 111 | 5 | 17 | 9.3 | 2.45 | 197 | 2 | 13 | 4.7 | 2.68 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 9.0 | 0.00 |
|  | 2013 | 68 | 3 | 15 | 9.2 | 2.01 | 199 | 2 | 12 | 4.0 | 2.27 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2002 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3.0 | 0.00 |
|  | 2003 | 2 | 9 | 10 | 9.5 | 0.71 | 10 | 2 | 6 | $3 . .8$ | 1.14 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2004 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3.0 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2005 | 3 | 9 | 16 | 12.7 | 3.51 | 11 | 2 | 11 | 5.4 | 3.47 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2006 | 2 | 8 | 13 | 10.5 | 3.54 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 3.3 | 1.53 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2007 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 8.0 | 1.22 | 20 | 3 | 10 | 5.8 | 2.20 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2008 | 7 | 7 | 16 | 9.9 | 3.24 | 21 | 2 | 12 | 6.1 | 2.57 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2009 | 11 | 2 | 15 | 8.4 | 3.23 | 22 | 2 | 9 | 4.8 | 1.89 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2010 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 7.8 | 0.96 | 21 | 2 | 6 | 3.8 | 1.36 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2011 | 9 | 7 | 12 | 9.4 | 1.88 | 46 | 2 | 14 | 3.7 | 2.69 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2012 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3.0 | - | 51 | 2 | 9 | 3.4 | 1.56 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2013 | 12 | 5 | 13 | 7.9 | 2.19 | 20 | 2 | 6 | 3.0 | 0.97 | 1 | 11 | 11 | 11 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2002 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2003 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2004 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2005 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2006 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2007 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2008 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2009 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2010 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2011 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2012 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2013 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table A2 continued.

| Region: Cape Cod Canal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year | n | Min | Max | Mean | SD | n | Min | Max | Mean | SD | n | Min | Max | Mean | SD |
|  | 2002 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2003 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2004 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2005 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2006 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2007 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2008 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2009 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2010 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | 6 | 4.3 | 1.53 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2011 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2012 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 4 | 6 | 5.0 | 1.41 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2013 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2002 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 25 | 2 | 9 | 4.9 | 2.09 |
|  | 2003 | 8 | 7 | 12 | 9.0 | 2.07 | 20 | 2 | 9 | 4.2 | 2.19 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2004 | 8 | 7 | 14 | 10.5 | 2.14 | 17 | 3 | 11 | 5.6 | 2.32 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2005 | 3 | 7 | 10 | 8.7 | 1.53 | 19 | 2 | 8 | 3.6 | 1.68 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2006 | 8 | 5 | 10 | 7.1 | 1.73 | 32 | 3 | 7 | 4.4 | 1.24 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2007 | 5 | 9 | 16 | 12.2 | 2.86 | 11 | 2 | 7 | 4.5 | 1.44 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2008 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 9.0 | 2.94 | 30 | 2 | 10 | 4.5 | 2.16 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2009 | 1 | 11 | 11 | 11.0 | - | 7 | 3 | 9 | 5.1 | 2.48 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2010 | 7 | 7 | 15 | 11.0 | 3.27 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 6.5 | 0.58 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2011 | 4 | 8 | 15 | 10.8 | 3.10 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 6.6 | 0.55 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2012 | 5 | 8 | 13 | 10.2 | 1.79 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 6.7 | 3.21 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2013 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2002 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2003 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2004 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2005 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2006 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2007 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2008 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2009 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2010 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2011 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2012 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2013 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table A2 continued.

| Region: Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year | n | Min | Max | Mean | SD | n | Min | Max | Mean | SD | n | Min | Max | Mean | SD |
|  | 2002 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2003 | 2 | 9 | 13 | 11.0 | 2.83 | 7 | 4 | 12 | 7.9 | 2.73 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | - |
|  | 2004 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2005 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2006 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2007 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2008 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2009 | 6 | 6 | 13 | 8.7 | 2.50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2010 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2011 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 7.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2012 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 7.5 | 0.71 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 4.3 | 2.31 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2013 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2002 | \% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2003 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 | - | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2.5 | 0.71 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2004 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3.0 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2005 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2006 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2007 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2008 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2009 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 7.0 | 1.41 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 4.2 | 1.39 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2010 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 12.0 | - | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3.3 | 0.58 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2011 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 3 | 4 | 3.3 | 0.52 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2012 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 9.0 | 1.87 | 8 | 2 | 9 | 5.3 | 2.71 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2013 | 8 | 6 | 13 | 8.8 | 2.31 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 4.3 | 0.52 | - | - | - | - | - |

