

#### A Screening Tool for Evaluation of Need for Impact Study Analysis for Intermittent DER Interconnections

Presented to TSRG Meeting May 16, 2017

- A screening tool that Eversource employs, in addition to other screening criteria in the Tariff and engineering judgement, to determine the Need for Impact Study analyses for intermittent DER applications
- A measure of expected power quality (PQ) impacts for intermittent DG interconnections (e.g. solar and wind). Not needed for dispatchable or loadreducing DER interconnections (e.g. CHP, synchronous machines).
- Evaluates the effects of interconnection of a one (1) DER interconnection at one primary point of common coupling (PCC).
- A pre-existing power flow or protection model of the distribution system (CymDist, Synergee, PSS/Adept, ASPEN, etc.) may be required.
- It is a well-known test. See NREL presentation "High-Penetration Photovoltaic Standards and Codes Workshop" page 25 "Ratios and Their Uses" http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy10osti/48378.pdf
- The other criteria include: Existing DER saturation level of the circuit / substation bus with existing / approved for interconnection applicants, results of last impact study for projects queued just before, fault current contribution, light load voltage rise analysis, concern for islanding, etc. 2

#### Identify Study Criteria – Example: System Stiffness

- A screening criterion to determine whether detail studies are required to assess DG impact on power quality:
  - The ratio between the available utility system fault current  $(I_{sc})$  at point of DG connection and the DG's full load rated output current  $(I_{DG})$

Stiffness Factor = 
$$\frac{I_{sc}}{I_{DG}}$$

Stiffness Factor (SF)	Recommendation
SF >250	Insignificant: Absolutely no concern that flicker or voltage change will be an issue for any type of DG source
100 < SF≤ 250	Nearly Insignificant: Very little concern unless DG is started/stopped frequently or has unusual fluctuations
50 < SF≤ 100	Minor Concern: Moderate concern for fluctuating sources such as wind and PV. Will need to assess rates of fluctuations and start/stop cycles but still probably not an issue in most cases
25 < SF≤ 50	Significant Concern: Any DG source connecting with an SF in this range will need serious analysis of planned start/stop cycles and output fluctuations and may need some mitigation equipment
15 < SF≤ 25	Very Significant Concern: DG in this range can cause serious voltage flicker and fluctuations. Mitigation equipment and/or system changes probably are needed
SF ≤ 15	Extreme Concern: Voltage changes may be so severe that project is not viable without extreme application of mitigation devices or feeder upgrades



© 2010 Quanta Technology LLC

Page 54

**EVERSURCE** 



- Available Fault Duty, I<sub>sc</sub>
- Maximum available fault (short circuit) current at the requested point of common coupling. Includes contributions of existing and previously queued DG applicants.
- Can be a 3-phase fault, 2-phase fault, 2-phase to ground fault, or single line to ground fault (most common). The lowest value is used in the calculation.
- A function of the location and strength of the transmission system where the source substation is located, the impedance of the bulk substation, and the length, topology, and impedance of the distribution circuit from the substation to the requested point of common coupling.

**EVERS** 

# CymDist Model – Example 13.2kV Circuit



# CymDist Model – Example 13.2kV Circuit



**EVERS©URCE** 

ENERG

Safety First and Always



- DG full load primary output current, Ipg
- The maximum primary load Amperes for the DER at the requested point of common coupling with the DER at its full claimed kW(AC) output.
- Example for a 1,000 kW(AC) PV array

$$I_{DG} = \frac{1,000,000}{\sqrt{3}*4160} = 139 \text{ Amps at } 4.16 \text{kV}$$

$$I_{DG} = \frac{1,000,000}{\sqrt{3}*13,800} = 44 \text{ Amps at } 13.8 \text{ kV}$$

$$I_{DG} = \frac{1,000,000}{\sqrt{3}*23,000} = 25 \text{ Amps at } 23.0 \text{ kV}$$

**EVERS** 

### **Example Calculation - #1**

2 MW Solar Interconnection at the End of A Long 13.2kV Feeder No other applicants queued ahead on the feeder, other applicants same bus section Fails stiffness factor test and requires impact study

Example 1.99	MW PV /	Array								
Near the End	of a Lon	g 13.2kV	distribut	tion circ	uit					
SYSTEM STIFFN	ESS FACT	OR CALCL	JLATION (F	ED FROM	FISHER F		UBS	TATION.	#523 CIR	
								,		
						D				
Existing Fault Dut	y at Point c	of Interconn	ection (POI	J <b>)</b>	Short-Cir	cuit Box	\$			×
III-G	1032	Amperes						LG LG	min 729	
	969	Amperee			×1/B1 ×	363   (0/R0   F	81	X1 B	0 X0	
LL-G	729				1.38	1.93 4.5	5665 6	6.2931 8.0	765 15.587	77
LG	729				05.00		10. 🛛	d 🕂 💼	2 🔂	
		1.99 MW F	PV ARRAY							
		1.00	Ν.Λ\Λ/				$\rightarrow$			
		1.55				_				
Max lsc		1032	Amperes			_				
Min Isc		729	•							
l FL @ 13.2kV		87.0	Amperes							
						_				
Stiffness Factor		11.9	(using Ma:	x lsc)	(Extreme	Concer	n)			
(lsc / IFL)		8.4	(using Min	lsc)	(Extreme	Concer	n)		-	
,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,						

#### **Example Calculation - #2**

2 MW Solar Interconnection at the End of A Long 23kV Feeder Stiffness Factor Test Result Borderline BUT in a cluster with 2 other applicants Area Saturated already with prior applicants, requires impact study

EXAMPLE 2	2 MW DE	<b>R INTER</b>	CONNE	CTION					
NEAR TAIL	END OF	23KV D	<b>ISTRIBU</b>		RCUIT				
SYSTEM STIF	FNESS FA	CTOR CAL	CULATION	I (FED FRO	OM WEST F	POND #10	LINE #929	CIRCUIT)	
Evisting Fault I	Duty at Poi	nt of Interco	propertion (E						
	Duty at F On				She	ort-Circuit B	ox		×
LLL-G	2074	Amperes			L	LL LLG	LL LG	LG min	
LLL	1880				2	074 1880	1794 142	2 1422	
LL-G	1794				×1	/R1 X0/R0	<u>B1 ×1</u>	RO	×0
LG	1422					2.54   2.44   2	2.3860 [6.062	27   5.8568   14	4.3011
					0	s 🔍 O L	🛍 🕙 4	› 🛅 🗹 📢	<u>}</u>
	!	2.0 MW P	V ARRAY						
		2	Ν Α\Δ/						
		Z							
Max Isc		2074	Amperes	-					
Min Isc		1422	·						
I FL @ 23k∨		50.2	Amperes						
Stiffness Facto			(using Ma)		(Significan	t Concern)			
(lec / IFL)		28.3	(using Maz		(Significan	t Concern)			
		20.0	(doing kiin		(eigninean				

### **Example Calculation - #3**

334 kW Solar Interconnect near tail end of 23kV distribution feeder; not a saturated area, interconnection small compared to fault duty at PCC, passes stiffness factor test no impact study required

EXAMPLE 3	34 KW F	<b>PV ARRA</b>	Y						
NEAR TAIL	END OF	23KV D	ISTRIBU	TION C	IRCUIT				
SYSTEM STIFI	FNESS FA	CTOR CAL	CULATIO	N (FED F		URY #25 LIN	IE)		
Existing Fault D	Duty at Poi	nt of Interco	onnection (I	20I)					
						Short-Circ	uit Box	1	<u> </u>
LLL-G	3130	Amperes				111 11	G U U	lG lG min	
LLL	2851					3130 2	351 2711 2	195 2195	
LL-G	2711					×1/R1 ×0	/RO		
LG	2195					1.56 1	.50		
						OS OC		പപി 🔊 🕤	
		0.334 MW	PV ARRA	Y					<u>1</u>
		0.334							
Max lsc		3130	Amperes						
Min Isc		2195							
l FL @ 23kV		8.4	Amperes						
Stiffness Facto	r	373.3	(using Ma	x lsc)	(Insignific	ant Concern	)		
(lsc / IFL)		261.8	(using Min	lsc)	(Insignific	ant Concern	)		

### **Example Calculations - #4**

296 kW Solar Interconnect on a 4.16kV stepdown area fed by overhead 23/4.16kV stepdown transformers. Not a saturated area, low stiffness factor test due to high primary amps and low fault duty, impact study would have been required. 23kV system was one conductor span away. Cost of upgrades for 1 span less than the cost of an impact study, so no impact study was required.

Example 29	6 kW PV	Array							
Interconnec	ction to a	a 4.16kV s	stepdow	n area					
SYSTEM STIF	FNESS FA		CULATION	N (FED F	ROM HARWIG	CH #95A L	NE, 4kV S	Stepdown a	area)
Eviating Fault		nt of Intoroc	nnaction /		Short-0	Circuit Box			×
Existing Fault I	July at Poil		nnection (F	-01)		LLG L	LG	LG min	
LLL-G	1371	Amperes			1371	1281 11	87 1094	1094	
LLL	1281	· ·			×1/B1	X0/R0 R	1 ×1	RO XO	
LL-G	1187				2.15	1 2.33 [0.79	900 [1.7004 ]	1.2990 [3.03	30
LG	1904				Os	) o O L 🖞	📕 🔁 🕂 🛉	🛅 🗹 🔂	
		296 KW P	V ARRAY						
		0.296	MW						
Max lsc		1904	Amperes						
Min Isc		1187							
Fl @ 4 16kV		41 1	Amperes						
			7 inporce						
Stiffness Facto	r	46.3	(using Max	k lsc)	(Significant	Concern)			
(lsc / IFL)		28.9	(using Min	lsc)	(Significant	Concern)			