In the Supreme Court of the United States

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Petitioner,

υ.

ZACKEY RAHIMI.

Respondent.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

BRIEF OF ILLINOIS, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,
ARIZONA, CALIFORNIA, COLORADO,
CONNECTICUT, DELAWARE, HAWAII, MAINE,
MARYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS, MICHIGAN,
MINNESOTA, NEVADA, NEW JERSEY, NEW MEXICO,
NEW YORK, NORTH CAROLINA, NORTHERN
MARIANA ISLANDS, OREGON, PENNSYLVANIA,
RHODE ISLAND, VERMONT, WASHINGTON, AND
WISCONSIN AS AMICI CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF
PETITIONER

BRIAN L. SCHWALB
Attorney General
District of Columbia
CAROLINE S. VAN ZILE
Solicitor General
ASHWIN P. PHATAK
Principal Deputy
Solicitor General
ALEXANDRA LICHTENSTEIN
Assistant Attorney General
400 6th St. NW, Suite 8100
Washington, D.C. 20001
* Counsel of Record

Attorney General
State of Illinois

JANE ELINOR NOTZ*
Solicitor General

ALEX HEMMER
Deputy Solicitor General

IVAN PARFENOFF
Assistant Attorney General

100 West Randolph St.
Chicago, Illinois 60601
(312) 814-5376
jane.notz@ilag.gov

KWAME RAOUL

QUESTION PRESENTED

Whether 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8), which prohibits the possession of firearms by persons subject to domestic-violence restraining orders, violates the Second Amendment on its face.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page
QUESTION PRESENTED i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIESiii
INTERESTS OF AMICI CURIAE 1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT 2
ARGUMENT 3
The Court Should Review The Decision Below 3
A. The Decision Below Creates Uncertainty Regarding Widespread State Laws That Limit Access To Guns For Those Subject To Protective Orders
B. The Decision Below Undermines A Critical Tool For Protecting The Victims Of Domestic Abuse 9
CONCLUSION
ADDENDUM

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)
Cases
Georgia v. Randolph, 547 U.S. 103 (2006)13
McDonald v. City of Chicago, 561 U.S. 742 (2010)9
New York State Rifle & Pistol Ass'n, Inc. v. Bruen, 142 S. Ct. 2111 (2022)17
United States v. Castleman, 572 U.S. 157 (2014)15
United States v. Morrison, 529 U.S. 598 (2000)1
Statutes
18 U.S.C. § 922
Pub. L. No. 103-322, 108 Stat. 1796 (1994)10
Ala. Code § 13A-11-725
Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 13-36026
Ark. Code Ann. § 9-15-2079
Cal. Fam. Code § 6218

6
6
6
7
7
5
_
5
8
7, 8
7
4
9
5
7
6, 7
_
5
5
7

§ 40-15-204	7
Neb. Rev. Stat. § 42-924	6
N.H. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 173-B:4 § 173-B:5	
N.J. Stat. Ann. § 2C:25-29	5, 7
Nev. Rev. Stat. § 33.033	6
N.D. Cent. Code § 14-07.1-03 § 14-07.1-13 (1995)	
1995 N.D. Laws 483	12
Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 3113.31	8
Or. Rev. Stat. § 166.255	5
23 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 6108	6
11 R.I. Gen. Laws § 11-47-5	5
S.D. Codified Laws § 25-10-5	8
Tenn. Code Ann. § 39-13-113	5
Tex. Fam. Code Ann. § 85.022	8
Utah Code Ann. § 76-10-503	5
Va. Code Ann. § 18.2-308.1:4	6
Wash. Rev. Code § 9.41.040	5

W. Va. Code § 48-27-403
Wis. Stat. § 813.12
Other Authorities
139 Cong. Rec. 28,360
Bruel, Nick & Mike Keith, Deadly Calls and Fatal Encounters: Analysis of U.S. Law Enforcement Line of Duty Deaths When Officers Responded to Dispatched Calls for Service and Conducted Enforcement, 2010-2014 (2016)
Campbell, Jacquelyn C. et al., Risk Factors for Femicide in Abusive Relationships: Results from a Multisite Case Control Study, 93 Am. J. Pub. Health 1089 (2003)
Crooker, Constance Emerson, Gun Control and Gun Rights (2003)1
Díez, Carolina et al., State Intimate Partner Violence-Related Firearm Laws and Intimate Partner Homicide Rates in the United States, 1991 to 2015, 167 Annals Internal Med. 536 (2017)
Hearing on S.B. 2397 Before the H.R. Comm. on Human Servs., 1995 Leg., 54th Sess. 33 (N.D.

H.R. Conf. Rep. No. 103-71111
Jacobs, James B. & Kimberly A. Potter, Keeping Guns out of the Wrong Hands: The Brady Law and the Limits of Regulation, 86 J. Crim. L. & Crim. 93 (1995)
January 29, 2020 – Regular Session, Virginia House of Delegates 2:48:13-2:50:36 PM (Jan. 29, 2020), https://bit.ly/3ZDTKja
Klein, Andrew R., U.S. Dep't of Justice, Practical Implications of Current Domestic Violence Research: For Law Enforcement Prosecutors and Judges (2009), https://bit.ly/40kqAqz14
Majority Staff of S. Comm. On the Judiciary, Violence Against Women: A Week in the Life of America, S. Rep. 102-118 (1992)
Nat'l Inst. Just., Extent, Nature, and Consequences of Intimate Partner Violence: Findings from the National Violence Against Women Survey (2000), https://bit.ly/3FP9ybU
Nguyen, Viet & Mia Bird, Pub. Pol'y Inst. Cal., Tailoring Domestic Violence Programs to Reduce Recidivism (June 12, 2018), https://bit.ly/3ZhbcK6
Osborn, Stacie J., Preventing Intimate Partner Homicide: A Call for Cooperative Federalism for Common Sense Gun Safety Policies, 66 Loy. L. Rev. 235 (2020)

Quinn, Deirdre A. et al., Nat'l Council on Fam. Rels., A Family Health Impact Analysis of Current United States Gun Policy (2021), https://bit.ly/3lNj1cR14, 15
Randall, Terri, Domestic Violence Intervention Calls for More Than Treating Injuries, 264 J. Am. Med. Ass'n 939 (1990)
Seeburger, Emily F., Ortner Ctr. on Violence & Abuse, Univ. of Pa., Firearms and Intimate Partner Violence (IPV): Scope & Policy Implications (Nov. 2020), https://bit.ly/3lNj1cR
S.B. 3, 2015 Gen. Assemb., 121st Sess. (S.C. 2015), https://bit.ly/417KHJ412, 13
S. Judiciary Comm. Hearing, 19th Leg. (Alaska 1996), https://bit.ly/3ZwUJSk12
South Dakota Unified Judicial System, UJS-091C - Domestic Temporary Order, https://bit.ly/3Mj0fVT8
Stockman, Jaqmila K. et al., Intimate Partner Violence and Its Health Impact on Ethnic Minority Women, 24 J. Women's Health 62 (2015)
Supreme Court of Ohio, Form 10.01-H: Domestic Violence Civil Protection Order (Dvcpo) Ex Parte, https://bit.ly/3Md2WYI8

Vittes, K.A. & S.B. Sorenson, Restraining Orders Among Victims of Intimate Partner Homicide, 14 Inj. Prevention 191 (2008)
Zeoli, April M. et al., Analysis of the Strength of Legal Firearms Restrictions for Perpetrators of Domestic Violence and Their Associations with Intimate Partner Homicide, 187 Am. J. Epidemiology 2365 (2018)
Zeoli, April M. & Daniel W. Webster, Effects of Domestic Violence Policies, Alcohol Taxes and Police Staffing Levels on Intimate Partner Homicide in Large U.S. Cities, 16 Inj. Prevention 90 (2010)
Zeoli, April M. & Shannon Frattaroli, Evidence for Optimism: Policies to Limit Batterers' Access to Guns, in Reducing Gun Violence in America: Informing Policy with Evidence and Analysis (2013)

INTERESTS OF AMICI CURIAE

Amici States of Illinois, the District of Columbia, Arizona, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Hawaii, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, the Northern Mariana Islands, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Washington, and Wisconsin (collectively, "amici States") submit this brief in support of the United States' petition for a writ of certiorari. The United States seeks review of an opinion facially invalidating 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8), which prohibits the possession of a firearm by any person subject to a domestic-violence restraining order.¹

Amici States have a substantial interest in the health, safety, and welfare of their communities, which includes protecting their residents from the harmful effects of violent crime and domestic abuse. United States v. Morrison, 529 U.S. 598, 618 (2000) ("[W]e can think of no better example of the police power, which the Founders . . . reposed in the States, than the suppression of violent crime and vindication of its victims."). To serve that interest, States have for decades enacted and enforced laws that—like § 922(g)(8)—prohibit those whom a court has found pose a threat to family members or intimate partners from possessing firearms while under a restraining order.

Although amici States have reached different conclusions about exactly how to regulate in this area, they share an interest in protecting victims of

¹ All counsel of record received timely notice of amici States' intent to file this amicus brief under Rule 37.2.

domestic violence and preventing dangerous persons from possessing firearms. The decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit undermines these public safety objectives, and this Court should grant certiorari and reverse it.

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

The Fifth Circuit's opinion could call into question amici States' longstanding and commonsense efforts to protect public safety. Nearly every jurisdiction in the country has enacted a law limiting access to firearms for those subject to domestic-violence restraining orders. Some, like § 922(g)(8), prohibit possession of a firearm by anyone who is subject to an order issued after notice and a hearing that includes a finding of dangerousness. Others have incorporated different requirements, such as extending the firearm limitation to ex parte orders, vesting the trial judge with discretion on whether to restrict firearm possession, or imposing additional limits on the purchase of firearms. Although the details of the States' laws may differ, they reflect a widespread democratic consensus that those subject to domesticviolence restraining orders should not have access to firearms.

If not reversed, the decision below will interfere with the government's ability to utilize a key tool for protecting the victims of domestic abuse. Section 922(g)(8) and its state analogues were passed to address a significant public safety risk: the danger posed to victims of domestic violence by the dangerous individuals who abused them and who are likely to reoffend in the absence of protective measures. These efforts have succeeded; studies have shown that statutes like § 922(g)(8) reduce homicide of both

intimate partners and law enforcement officers called to intervene, serving the interests of public safety. The Fifth Circuit's opinion undermines commonsense efforts by the federal government and the States alike to protect their communities, and this Court should therefore grant certiorari.

ARGUMENT

The Court Should Review The Decision Below.

As the United States explains, Pet. 6-16, review of the decision below is urgently needed. The court of appeals held that a federal statute prohibiting persons under domestic-violence restraining orders from possessing firearms is facially unconstitutional, breaking with decisions of at least two other federal courts of appeals. Pet. 14-15. It did so based on multiple erroneous premises, including that the long history of English and American laws disarming dangerous individuals could not justify contemporary efforts to do the same. Pet. 11-13, 16-17.

Amici States agree that certiorari should be granted. Measures similar to the federal law at issue here have been enacted by nearly every State, the District of Columbia, and multiple territories. Those statutes are fully consistent with the Second Amendment, but the Fifth Circuit's decision could raise questions about their constitutionality. The decision also needlessly imperils public safety in amici States by removing an important federal safeguard on which domestic violence victims—and, indeed, all members of amici States' communities—rely. The Court should grant review and reverse,

reaffirming the government's ability to preclude dangerous individuals from possessing firearms.

A. The Decision Below Creates Uncertainty Regarding Common State Laws That Limit Those Subject To Domestic-Violence Restraining Orders From Accessing Firearms.

The court of appeals' decision threatens to undermine not only the federal government's efforts to keep firearms out of the hands of dangerous individuals, but the States' as well. Nearly every State either requires or permits courts to impose limits on the ability of individuals subject to a domestic-violence restraining order to purchase, possess, or transport firearms.² This Court should grant certiorari to make clear that these tailored limitations on the possession of firearms by those who pose a risk to public safety remain permissible under the Second Amendment.

1. Many States' laws, like § 922(g)(8), operate as mandatory prohibitions on firearm possession for individuals subject to a domestic-violence restraining order. Several States echo the language of the federal law, prohibiting firearm possession in cases where the respondent received notice and hearing prior to issuance of the restraining order and where they pose a "credible threat" to the victim. See, *e.g.*, Kan. Stat. Ann. § 21-6301(a)(17) (defining criminal use of weapons as knowingly possessing a firearm while subject to a court order with the same criteria as

² The lists below are illustrative, not exhaustive. For a more comprehensive review of state laws, see the Addendum.

§ 922(g)(8)); Me. Stat. tit. 15, § 393(1)(D) (similar); Minn. Stat. § 518B.01, subd. 6(g) (requiring that a final protective order include a bar on possessing firearms if the same criteria as in § 922(g)(8) are met); Or. Rev. Stat. § 166.255(1)(a) (similar); Tenn. Code Ann. § 39-13-113 (similar); Utah Code Ann. § 76-10-503(1)(b)(x) (similar); Wash. Rev. Code § 9.41.040(2)(a)(iv) (similar).³

2. Other States have applied the restriction on firearm possession in situations beyond those addressed by $\S 922(g)(8)$. Alabama, for example, prohibits the possession of a firearm by "anyone who is subject to a valid protection order for domestic abuse," without requiring a specific finding of dangerousness. Ala. Code § 13A-11-72(a); see also, e.g., Fla. Stat. § 790.233(1) (requiring that no person under a final domestic violence injunction have firearms or ammunition in their possession); Haw. Rev. Stat. § 134-7(f) (similar); Md. Code Ann., Fam. Law § 4-506(f) (similar); N.J. Stat. Ann. § 2C:25-29(b) (similar); 11 R.I. Gen. Laws § 11-47-5(b) (similar); Wis. Stat. § 813.12(4m) (similar). And California, like several other States, bars individuals subject to

Some of these laws encompass a wider variety of relationships than does federal law. Compare 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(32) (defining "intimate partner" as a person's spouse or former spouse, the parent of the person's child, or an individual who cohabitates with the person), with Minn. Stat. § 518B.01, subd. 2(b) (defining "family or household members" to mean spouses, former spouses, parents, children, persons related by blood, persons residing together or who have resided together in the past, persons with a child in common, "a man and woman if the woman is pregnant and the man is alleged to be the father," or persons involved in a significant romantic or sexual relationship).

certain protective orders, "whether issued ex parte, after notice and hearing, or in a judgment," from possessing a firearm or ammunition while the protective order is in effect. Cal. Fam. Code §§ 6218, 6389; see also, e.g., Colo. Rev. Stat. §§ 13-14-101(2.4)(b), 13-14-105.5(1)(a)(I) (requiring that any protective order, whether ex parte or issued after a hearing, that addresses the use or threatened use of physical force bar firearm possession); W. Va. Code §§ 48-27-403(a), 48-27-502(b) (requiring that both an ex parte and a final order direct the respondent to relinquish possession of firearms).

Still other States place additional restrictions on those subject to domestic-violence restraining orders. Arizona, Nebraska, New Hampshire, and Virginia, among other States, include prohibitions on the purchase of firearms among the possible restrictions on those subject to such protective orders. See Ariz. § 13-3602(G)(4) Rev. Ann. (permitting restrictions on purchase of firearms by those subject to domestic-violence restraining orders); Neb. Rev. Stat. § 42-924(1)(a)(vii) (similar); N.H. Rev. Stat. Ann. §§ 173-B:4(II), 173-B:5(II) (similar); Va. Code § 18.2-308.1:4 (similar). Maine directs individuals who have been ordered to relinquish their firearms to follow a detailed procedure, requiring that individuals turn them over within 24 hours and authorizing a search warrant if there is probable cause to believe that any weapons have not been relinquished. Me. Stat. tit. 19-A, § 4110(4); see also, Conn. Gen. Stat. § 29-36k(b) (requiring surrender of firearms within 24 hours to either a federally licensed firearms dealer or the police); Nev. Rev. Stat. § 33.033 (similar); 23 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 6108(a)(7) (similar); Wis. Stat. § 813.12(4m)

(similar). And New Jersey sets a minimum length for its firearm restriction, specifying that the restriction on "purchasing, owning, possessing or controlling a firearm" operates "during the period in which the restraining order is in effect or two years, whichever is greater." N.J. Stat. Ann. § 2C:25-29(b).

3. Some States have adopted provisions similar to § 922(g)(8) but leave to the trial court the decision whether to impose restrictions on firearms as part of a domestic-violence restraining order, based on an evaluation of the unique circumstances of each case. North Dakota allows courts to require individuals to surrender their firearms as part of an ex parte temporary protection order "if the court has probable cause to believe that the respondent is likely to use, display, or threaten to use the firearm or other dangerous weapon in any further acts of violence." N.D. Cent. Code § 14-07.1-03. In Delaware, as in several other States, a court may order a respondent to relinquish firearms and to refrain from purchasing any additional firearms, whether or not the proceeding was ex parte. Del. Code Ann. tit. 10, §§ 1043(e), 1045(a)(8); see also, e.g., Me. Stat. tit. 19-A, §§ 4108(3), 4110(3)(B) (listing a bar on firearm possession as a type of relief that may be granted); Mont. Code Ann. §§ 40-15-201(2)(f), 40-15-204(3) (noting that an order of protection may include a prohibition on the respondent possessing the firearm used in the assault). And in Indiana, a court may prohibit a respondent from possessing firearms or ammunition and direct him to surrender those items to a specified law enforcement agency after notice and a hearing. Ind. Code § 34-26-5-9(d)(4); see also, e.g., Iowa Code § 236.5(b)(2) (listing firearm restrictions as possible provisions for a final protective order); Tex. Fam. Code Ann. § 85.022(b)(6) (similar).

Along the same lines, several States have adopted general prohibitions that allow courts issuing domestic-violence restraining orders to grant any "other relief that the court considers equitable and fair." Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 3113.31(E)(1)(h); see also, e.g., Idaho Code § 39-6306 (authorizing other relief "as the court deems necessary for the protection of a family or household member"); Ind. Code § 34-26-5-9(c)(8) (for ex parte orders, authorizing "other relief necessary to provide for the safety and welfare of a petitioner and each designated family or household member"); S.D. Codified Laws § 25-10-5 (authorizing "other relief as the court deems necessary for the protection of the person to whom relief is being granted"). Though these provisions do not specifically mention restrictions on firearms, such limitations fall within the authorized forms of relief. Indeed, Ohio and South Dakota include restrictions on firearm possession among the standard checkboxes on their Order of Protection forms. See Supreme Court of Form 10.01-H: Domestic Violence Protection Order (Dvcpo) Ex Parte⁴; South Dakota Unified Judicial System, UJS-091C - Domestic Temporary Order.⁵

4. Finally, even jurisdictions without state laws prohibiting firearm possession often incorporate federal law to ensure that victims of domestic violence remain safe. Kentucky, for example, provides notice to the individual who obtained the domestic-violence

⁴ https://bit.ly/3Md2WYI.

⁵ https://bit.ly/3Mj0fVT.

restraining order when a respondent who is barred from purchasing a firearm by § 922(g)(8) attempts to do so. Ky. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 237.100(1). Arkansas has similarly crafted its legislation to account for federal law, requiring that any order of protection include a notice to the respondent that "[i]t is unlawful for an individual who is subject to an order of protection or convicted of a misdemeanor of domestic violence to ship, transport, or possess a firearm or ammunition under 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8) and (9) as it existed on January 1, 2019." Ark. Code Ann. § 9-15-207(b)(3).

All told, 46 States, the District of Columbia, and multiple territories have laws that require or permit limitations on the ability of those under a domesticviolence restraining order to access firearms, or that reference the federal law's prohibition. Though these measures vary in their details based on "local needs and values," McDonald v. City of Chicago, 561 U.S. 742, 785 (2010), they reflect a common goal. States have long sought to protect victims of domestic abuse—and the broader community—by limiting the purchase. possession, and use of firearms by dangerous persons subject to domestic-violence The Fifth Circuit's opinion, by restraining orders. holding that the government may not constitutionally disarm individuals who are subject to such orders, calls those commonsense measures into question.

B. The Decision Below Undermines A Critical Tool For Protecting The Victims Of Domestic Abuse.

The decision below also imperils public safety by eliminating an important measure that protects victims of domestic violence and members of the communities in which they live more broadly. There is ample evidence that § 922(g)(8) and its state analogues save lives, aiding States in reducing violence.

1. Section 922(g)(8) is a critical tool for protecting public safety. Congress passed § 922(g)(8) in 1994 as a key component of omnibus public safety legislation aimed at protecting groups vulnerable to firearm violence, including victims of domestic abuse. Pub. L. No. 103-322, tit. XI, § 110401, 108 Stat. 1796, 2014 (1994). At the time the section was enacted, violent crime was a significant concern, and the Department of Justice estimated that three out of every four women would become "the victim of a violent crime sometime during their life." Majority Staff of S. Comm. on the Judiciary, Violence Against Women: A Week in the Life of America, S. Rep. 102-118, at 3 (1992) (emphasis omitted). The legislation was therefore intended to limit access to firearms by potentially dangerous groups of individuals. James B. Jacobs & Kimberly A. Potter, Keeping Guns out of the Wrong Hands: The Brady Law and the Limits of Regulation, 86 J. Crim. L. & Crim. 93, 94-95 (1995).

Among the groups Congress deemed dangerous enough to warrant disarmament was domestic abusers. At the time the legislation was enacted, gun violence in the home was a serious and growing issue. Domestic abuse accounted for as many as 35% of emergency room visits for trauma injuries by women in the United States. Teri Randall, *Domestic Violence Intervention Calls for More Than Treating Injuries*, 264 J. Am. Med. Ass'n 939, 939 (1990). And guns were frequently involved in domestic abuse: More than two thirds "of domestic violence homicides [we]re from

firearms." Constance Emerson Crooker, Gun Control and Gun Rights 2 (2003). In enacting § 922(g)(8), Congress aimed to address the violence by curbing access to firearms by domestic abusers, protecting their victims from potentially deadly attacks.

The legislative history of § 922(g)(8) illustrates the widely held view that the provision was necessary to protect public safety. Legislators from both political parties urged Congress to end the "insanity" of permitting perpetrators of domestic violence to retain firearms even after "a court agrees" that a victim "is in imminent danger of being harmed, attacked or killed." 139 Cong. Rec. 30,579 (1993) (statement of Sen. Chafee). Senator Paul Wellstone, a Democrat who sponsored the measure that became § 922(g)(8), observed that "[o]ver 4,000 women are killed each year at the hands of their spouse or a relative or a friend, and each year an estimated 150,000 incidents of domestic violence involve use of a weapon." 139 28,360 (1993) (statement of Sen. Cong. $\mathrm{Rec}.$ And Senator Lincoln Chafee, the Wellstone). measure's Republican co-sponsor, argued on the Senate floor that there was simply "no rational reason whatsoever" to allow persons with a domesticviolence restraining order access to firearms. Cong. Rec. 30,579 (1993) (statement of Sen. Chafee).

The legislation received bipartisan support. Noting that "domestic violence is the leading cause of injury to women in the United States between the ages of 15 and 44" and that "firearms are used by the abuser in 7 percent of domestic violence incidents," H.R. Conf. Rep. No. 103-711, at 391 (1994), Congress passed § 922(g)(8) with backing from both parties. Since the provision's passage, as the United States

notes, courts have repeatedly upheld § 922(g)(8)'s validity. Pet 14-15 & n.2.

And the many States that have enacted analogues to § 922(g)(8) since its passage, supra pp. 4-9, have echoed Congress's concern about the dangers posed by domestic abusers. For instance, when North Dakota amended its domestic violence laws in 1995, legislators cited data showing that weapons were used in over a quarter of domestic violence incidents. See 1995 N.D. Laws 483-84 (amending N.D. Cent. Code § 14-07.1-13 (1995)); Hearing on S.B. 2397 Before the H.R. Comm. on Human Servs., 1995 Leg., 54th Sess. 33 (N.D. 1995) ("Hearing").6 The Alaska legislature heard similar testimony during the process of amending its law, with a domestic violence expert explaining that "more than 25 percent of Alaskan wom[e]n have been physically or emotionally abused by a spouse or live-in partner" and that more than ten percent of them reported that their abusers "used a gun or knife against them." S. Judiciary Comm. Hearing, 19th Leg. (Alaska 1996) (statement of Jayne Andreen, Executive Director of the Council on Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault). When South Carolina amended its domestic violence laws to permit the disarmament of persons subject to protective orders, one senator explained that the legislation would "alleviate" domestic violence by "tak[ing] guns away from people" who "have shown that they are going to use [them] to hurt people and whose actions have a negative effect on families for the rest of their lives." S.B. 3, 2015 Gen. Assemb.,

⁶ https://bit.ly/40cxI7n.

⁷ https://bit.ly/3ZwUJSk.

121st Sess. (S.C. 2015) (remarks of Sen. Johnson).⁸ That reasoning was reiterated by the chief sponsor of Virginia's bill, who noted that "[i]f you are subject to a permanent protective order, you are a threat to someone else" and made clear that the State's intent was to "protect those who have been the victims of domestic and sexual violence." January 29, 2020 – Regular Session, Virginia House of Delegates 2:48:13-2:50:36 PM (Jan. 29, 2020).⁹

2. The problem addressed by § 922(g)(8) and its state analogues—access to firearms by domestic abusers—remains a critical one. "[D]omestic abuse is a serious problem in the United States." Georgia v. Randolph, 547 U.S. 103, 117 (2006). And studies have shown that domestic violence precipitates gun An abuser is five times more likely to violence. murder his or her intimate partner if a firearm is in the home. See Jacquelyn C. Campbell et al., Risk Factors for Femicide in Abusive Relationships: Results from A Multisite Case Control Study, 93 Am. J. Pub. Health 1089, 1090 (2003). Firearms are the leading cause of intimate partner homicides—more so than all other weapons combined. April M. Zeoli & Shannon Frattaroli, Evidence for Optimism: Policies to Limit Batterers' Access to Guns, in Reducing Gun Violence in America: Informing Policy with Evidence and Analysis 53 (2013). In fact, approximately half of the 1,800 people killed by their partners each year are Stacie J. Osborn, Preventing killed by firearms. Intimate Partner Homicide: A Call for Cooperative

⁸ https://bit.ly/417KHJ4.

⁹ https://bit.ly/3ZDTKja.

Federalism for Common Sense Gun Safety Policies, 66 Loy. L. Rev. 235, 237 (2020).

Domestic violence reports are also among the most dangerous encounters for police officers. Nick Bruel & Mike Keith, Deadly Calls and Fatal Encounters: Analysis of U.S. Law Enforcement Line of Duty Deaths When Officers Responded to Dispatched Calls for Service and Conducted Enforcement, 2010-2014, at 15 (2016). The risks posed by such encounters are almost always due to firearms: Ninety-five percent of officer deaths from domestic violence calls are from fatal firearm wounds. See id. at 15. As a result, the Justice Department has deemed it "crucial" that local law enforcement disarm domestic abusers. Andrew R. Klein, U.S. Dep't of Justice, *Practical Implications* of Current Domestic Violence Research: For Law Enforcement Prosecutors and Judges 27 (2009).¹⁰ Laws like § 922(g)(8) and its state analogues make it possible to keep law enforcement officers safe.

The combination of domestic abuse and firearms puts already-vulnerable individuals at even greater risk. In the United States, 80% of intimate partner firearm homicide victims are women. Emily F. Seeburger, Ortner Ctr. on Violence & Abuse, Univ. of Pa., Firearms and Intimate Partner Violence (IPV): Scope & Policy Implications (Nov. 2020). 11 Every year, "more than 600 women are shot and killed by an intimate partner," which averages to one homicide every 14 hours. Deirdre A. Quinn et al., Nat'l Council on Fam. Rels., A Family Health Impact Analysis of Current United States GunPolicy

¹⁰ https://bit.ly/40kqAqz.

¹¹ https://bit.ly/3lNj1cR.

(2021).¹² Pregnant women and women of color are disproportionately targets of both intimate partner violence and intimate partner homicide by firearm. Jaqmila K. Stockman et al., *Intimate Partner Violence and Its Health Impact on Ethnic Minority Women*, 24 J. Women's Health 62, 62 (2015).

Domestic violence is also correlated with high rates of recidivism, which is why it is important to protect people who have already suffered abuse from future threats. See *United States v. Castleman*, 572 U.S. 157, 160 (2014) ("Domestic violence often escalates in severity over time "). In one study, more than 65% of women who reported being physically assaulted by a partner reported multiple abuses by that partner; indeed, the average respondent reported having been assaulted almost seven times. Nat'l Inst. Just., Extent, Nature, and Consequences of Intimate Partner Violence: Findings from the National Violence Against Women Survey 39 (2000).¹³ Another study found that three-fifths of those convicted of domestic violence are rearrested within two years—and two-thirds of those are rearrested for yet another domestic violence offense. Viet Nguyen & Mia Bird, Pub. Pol'y Inst. Cal., Tailoring Domestic Violence Programs to Reduce Recidivism (June 12, 2018). 4 And the period directly following the issuance of a restraining order is one of the most dangerous for victims. Among those subject to restraining orders, one-third of domestic violence homicides occur within one month of a restraining

¹² https://bit.ly/3TJE8JN.

¹³ https://bit.ly/3FP9ybU.

¹⁴ https://bit.ly/3ZhbcK6.

order being issued, and one-fifth occur within two days. K.A. Vittes & S.B. Sorenson, Restraining Orders Among Victims of Intimate Partner Homicide, 14 Inj. Prevention 191, 191 (2008).

3. Section 922(g)(8) and its state analogues play a key role in reducing these risks for the victims of domestic violence and others who reside in their communities, including law enforcement officers. Multiple studies conducted over the last two decades have established that laws prohibiting individuals who are subject to domestic-violence restraining orders from possessing firearms (or, in some States, expressly requiring relinquishment of firearms) work. See, e.g., April M. Zeoli et al., Analysis of the Strength of Legal Firearms Restrictions for Perpetrators of Domestic Violence and Their Associations with Intimate Partner Homicide, 187 Am. J. Epidemiology 2365, 2365 (2018) (finding 10% reduction in intimate partner homicide in States with relinquishment laws); Carolina Díez et al., State Intimate Partner Violence-Related Firearm Laws and Intimate Partner Homicide Rates in the United States, 1991 to 2015, 167 Annals Internal Med. 536, 541 (2017) (finding 14% reduction in States with such laws); April M. Zeoli & Daniel W. Webster, Effects of Domestic Violence Policies, Alcohol Taxes and Police Staffing Levels on Intimate Partner Homicide in Large U.S. Cities, 16 Inj. Prevention 90, 90 (2010) (finding 19%) reduction in large cities located in States with laws prohibiting possession). In other words, Section state analogues 922(g)(8)and its have measurable success protecting public safety.

The decision below, if left in place, would undermine these important protections. For 30 years,

§ 922(g)(8) has prevented individuals who pose an imminent threat to their family members from perpetrating violence with firearms. Supra p. 10. Similarly, those States that have enacted state-law analogues to § 922(g)(8) have relied on those laws to protect the victims of domestic violence. The court of appeals' decision raises questions about the legality of those statutes. As a result, it puts at risk domestic violence victims who may be harmed or killed by their abusers, and it hamstrings both the federal government and amici States in their efforts to protect their residents' safety.

The court of appeals acknowledged these "salutary policy goals," App. 27a, but reasoned that the Second Amendment left the federal government unable to As this Court has emphasized, effectuate them. however, the Second Amendment—interpreted in light of text and history—does not create a "regulatory straightjacket" for the state and federal governments in attempting to protect their residents. N.Y. State Rifle & Pistol Ass'n, Inc. v. Bruen, 142 S. Ct. 2111, 2133 (2022). Yet the decision below imposed exactly that disruptive result. The Court should grant certiorari to correct the court of appeals' errant reading of Bruen and reaffirm the States' ability to protect some of their most vulnerable residents.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

BRIAN L. SCHWALB

Attorney General

District of Columbia

CAROLINE S. VAN ZILE

Solicitor General

ASHWIN P. PHATAK

Principal Deputy

Solicitor General

ALEXANDRA LICHTENSTEIN

Assistant Attorney

General

400 6th St. NW, Ste. 8100

Washington, DC 20001

KWAME RAOUL

Attorney General
State of Illinois

JANE ELINOR NOTZ*
Solicitor General

ALEX HEMMER
Deputy Solicitor General

IVAN PARFENOFF
Assistant Attorney
General

100 West Randolph St.
Chicago, IL 60601
(312) 814-5376
jane.notz@ilag.gov

* Counsel of Record

Kris Mayes
Attorney General
State of Arizona
2005 N. Central Ave.
Phoenix, AZ 85004

PHILIP J. WEISER
Attorney General
State of Colorado
1300 Broadway
Denver, CO 80203

KATHLEEN JENNINGS
Attorney General
State of Delaware
820 N. French St.
Wilmington, DE 19801

ROB BONTA
Attorney General
State of California
1515 Clay St.
Oakland, CA 94612

WILLIAM TONG
Attorney General
State of Connecticut
165 Capitol Ave.
Hartford, CT 06106

Anne E. Lopez
Attorney General
State of Hawaii
425 Queen St.
Honolulu, HI 96813

AARON M. FREY
Attorney General
State of Maine
6 State House Station
Augusta, ME 04333

Andrea Joy Campbell
Attorney General
Commonwealth of
Massachusetts
One Ashburton Pl.
Boston, MA 02108

KEITH ELLISON
Attorney General
State of Minnesota
102 State Capitol
75 MLK Blvd.
St. Paul, MN 55155

MATTHEW J. PLATKIN

Attorney General

State of New Jersey
25 Market St.

Trenton, NJ 08625

LETITIA JAMES
Attorney General
State of New York
28 Liberty St.
New York, NY 10005

ANTHONY G. BROWN

Attorney General

State of Maryland

200 Saint Paul Pl.

Baltimore, MD 21202

Dana Nessel
Attorney General
State of Michigan
P.O. Box 30212
Lansing, MI 48909

AARON D. FORD
Attorney General
State of Nevada
100 North Carson St.
Carson City, NV 89701

RAÚL TORREZ

Attorney General

State of New Mexico
P.O. Drawer 1508

Santa Fe, NM 87504

JOSHUA H. STEIN

Attorney General

State of North Carolina
114 W. Edenton St.
Raleigh, NC 27603

EDWARD E. MANIBUSAN
Attorney General
Commonwealth of the
Northern Mariana
Islands
Caller Box 10007
Saipan, MP 96950

Attorney General State of Oregon 1162 Court St. N.E. Salem, OR 97301

ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM

MICHELLE A. HENRY
Attorney General
Commonwealth of
Pennsylvania
Strawberry Square
Harrisburg, PA 17120

PETER F. NERONHA

Attorney General

State of Rhode Island
150 South Main St.

Providence, RI 02903

CHARITY R. CLARK

Attorney General

State of Vermont
109 State St.

Montpelier, VT 05609

ROBERT W. FERGUSON
Attorney General
State of Washington
P.O. Box 40100
Olympia, WA 98504

JOSHUA L. KAUL Attorney General State of Wisconsin 17 West Main St. Madison, WI 53703

APRIL 2023



TABLE OF CONTENTS

State Laws Regarding Domestic Violence	
Restraining Orders And FirearmsAdo	d. 1

Add. 1
State Laws Regarding Domestic Violence
Restraining Orders And Firearms

State /	Excerpts
Statute(s)	
Alabama	"No person who is subject
	to a valid protection order for
Ala. Code §§ 13A-	domestic abuse shall own
11-72(a), 30-5-	a firearm or have one in his or
7(b)(9), 38-9F-	her possession or under his or
8(c)(4)	her control."
Alaska	"A protective order under this
	section may
Alaska Stat.	6) prohibit the respondent
§§ 18.66.100(c)(6)-	from using or possessing a
(7), 18.66.110(a)	deadly weapon if the court
	finds the respondent was in
	the actual possession of or
	used a weapon during the
	commission of domestic
	violence;
	(7) direct the respondent to
	surrender any firearm owned
	or possessed by the
	respondent if the court finds
	that the respondent was in
	the actual possession of or
	used a firearm during the
	commission of the domestic
	violence"
American	"A court may grant the
Samoa	following relief without notice
	and hearing in an order for
Am. Samoa Code	protection or a modification
Ann. §§	issued ex parte:

Add. 2

	T 7
47.0204(b)(5), (c)(1)	(5) Prohibit the respondent from using or possessing a firearm or other weapon specified by the court" "A court may grant the following relief in an order for protection or a modification of an order after notice and hearing, whether or not the
	respondent appears:
	(1) Grant the relief [listed above]"
Arizona	"If a court issues an order of
	protection, the court may do
Ariz. Rev. Stat.	any of the following:
Ann. § 13-	any of the following.
3602(G)(4)	4. If the court finds that the
3002(G)(4)	defendant is a credible threat
	to the physical safety of the
	plaintiff or other specifically
	designated persons, prohibit
	the defendant from possessing
	or purchasing a firearm for
	the duration of the order. If
	the court prohibits the
	defendant from possessing a
	firearm, the court shall also
	order the defendant to
	transfer any firearm owned or
	possessed by the defendant
	immediately after service of
	the order to the appropriate
	law enforcement agency for
	1aw emorcement agency for

Add. 3

	the duration of the order. If
	the defendant does not
	immediately transfer the
	firearm, the defendant shall
	transfer the firearm within
	twenty-four hours after
	service of the order"
Arkansas	"An order of protection shall
	include a notice to the
Ark. Code Ann.	respondent or party
§§ 9-15-207(b)(3),	restrained that:
9-15-206(b)(F)(i)	
	(3) It is unlawful for an
	individual who is subject to an
	order of protection or
	convicted of a misdemeanor of
	domestic violence to ship,
	transport, or possess a firearm
	or ammunition under 18
	U.S.C. § 922(g)(8) and (9) as it
	existed on January 1, 2019"
California	"A person subject to a
	protective order, as defined in
Cal. Fam. Code	Section 6218, shall not own,
§§ 6218, 6389(a)	possess, purchase, or receive a
	firearm or ammunition while
	that protective order is in
	effect. A person who owns,
	possesses, purchases, or
	receives, or attempts to
	purchase or receive a firearm
	or ammunition while the
	protective order is in effect is
	punishable pursuant to

Add. 4

	Section 29825 of the Penal
	Code"
0.1.1	
Colorado	In entering a domestic
	violence protection order, the
Colo. Rev. Stat.	court "[s]hall order the
§§ 13-14-	respondent to:
101(2.4)(b), 13-14-	(I) Refrain from possessing or
105.5(1)(a)(I)-(II)	purchasing any firearm or
	ammunition for the duration
	of the order; and
	(II) Relinquish, for the
	duration of the order, any
	firearm or ammunition in the
	respondent's immediate
	possession or control or
	subject to the respondent's
	immediate possession or
	control"
Connecticut	"Immediately, but in no event
	more than twenty-four hours
Conn. Gen. Stat.	after notice has been provided
§§ 29-28(b)(6), 29-	to a person subject to a
36f(b)(6), 29-36k,	restraining or protective order
46b-15, 53a-217,	or a foreign order of
53a-217c, 53a-223	protection, such person shall
	(1) transfer any pistol,
	revolver or other firearm or
	ammunition which such
	person then possesses to a
	federally licensed firearms
	dealer pursuant to the sale of
	the pistol, revolver or other
	firearm or ammunition to the
	federally licensed firearms
	dealer, or (2) deliver or

Add. 5

	<u></u>
	surrender such pistols and
	revolvers and other firearms
	and ammunition to the
	Commissioner of Emergency
	Services and Public
	Protection"
Delaware	"After consideration of a
	petition for a protective order,
Del. Code Ann.	the Court may grant relief as
tit. 10, §§ 1043,	follows:
1045(a)(8)	Tollows.
1010(a)(0)	(8) Order the respondent to
	temporarily relinquish to a
	police officer or a federally-
	licensed firearms dealer
	located in Delaware the
	respondent's firearms and to
	refrain from purchasing or
	receiving additional firearms
	for the duration of the order.
	The Court shall inform the
	respondent that he or she is
	prohibited from receiving,
	transporting, or possessing
	firearms for so long as the
	protective order is in effect"
District of	"If, after a hearing, the
Columbia	judicial officer finds that there
	is good cause to believe the
D.C. Code Ann.	respondent has committed or
§§ 16-1004(h)(2),	threatened to commit a
16-1005(c)(10), 7-	criminal offense against the
2502.03(a)(12), 7-	petitioner or an animal the
2502.09(a)(1), 22-	petitioner owns, possesses, or
4503(a)(5)	controls, or with the consent

of both parties, the judicial officer may issue a civil protection order that:

. . .

(10) Directs the respondent to relinquish possession of any firearms or ammunition and prohibits the respondent from having possession or control of, purchasing, or receiving any firearm or ammunition while the protection order is in effect"

"A temporary protection order issued under this section . . . [s]hall require that the respondent relinquish possession of any firearms or ammunition and prohibit the respondent from having possession or control of, purchasing, or receiving any firearm or ammunition while the protection order is in effect"

Florida

Fla. Stat. §§ 741.30(6)(g), 741.31(4)(b), 790.233(1) "A person may not have in his or her care, custody, possession, or control any firearm or ammunition if the person has been issued a final injunction that is currently in force and effect, restraining that person from committing

Add. 7

	acts of domestic violence, as
	issued under s. 741.30"
Guam	"The court shall be
	empowered to grant protection
MR 2.1.8, 7 Guam	by appropriate order or
Code Ann. §	approve any consent
40105	agreement to bring about a
	cessation of abuse of the
	plaintiff or minor children"
Hawaii	"No person who has been
	restrained pursuant to an
Haw. Rev. Stat.	order of any court from
§ 134-7(f)	contacting, threatening, or
	physically abusing any
	person, shall possess, control,
	or transfer ownership of any
	firearm or ammunition
	therefor, so long as the
	protective order, restraining
	order, or any extension is in
	effect, unless the order, for
	good cause shown, specifically
	permits the possession of a
	firearm and ammunition"
Idaho	A court may enter "[o]ther
	relief be ordered as the court
Idaho Code §§ 39-	deems necessary for the
6306, 39-6308	protection of a family or
	household member, including
	orders or directives to a peace
T114 A	officer"
Illinois	A judge may "[p]rohibit a
	respondent against whom an
750 Ill. Comp.	order of protection was issued
Stat.	from possessing any firearms

Add. 8

60/214(b)(14.5)(a), during the duration of the 720 Ill. Comp. order if the order: Stat. 5/12-3.4, 430 (1) was issued after a hearing Ill. Comp. Stat. of which such person received 65/8.2, 66/70(b) actual notice, and at which such person had an opportunity to participate; (2) restrains such person from harassing, stalking, or threatening an intimate partner of such person or child of such intimate partner or person, or engaging in other conduct that would place an intimate partner in reasonable fear of bodily injury to the partner or child; and (3)(i) includes a finding that such person represents a credible threat to the physical safety of such intimate partner or child; or (ii) by its terms explicitly prohibits the use, attempted use, or threatened use of physical force against such intimate partner or child that would reasonably be expected to cause bodily injury" Indiana "A court may grant the following relief after notice Ind. Code §§ 34and a hearing, whether or not 26-5-9(c)(8), (d)(4) a respondent appears, in an order for protection or in a

Add. 9

	modification of an order for
	protection:
	(4) Prohibit a respondent from
	using or possessing a firearm,
	ammunition, or a deadly
	weapon specified by the court,
	and direct the respondent to
	surrender to a specified law
	enforcement agency the
	firearm, ammunition, or
	deadly weapon for the
	duration of the order for
	protection unless another date
	is ordered by the court"
Iowa	"The court may grant a
Iowa	protective order which may
Iowa Code	contain but is not limited to
§§ 236.4(2),	any of the following
236.5(b)(2),	provisions:
724.26(2)	provisions.
124.20(2)	(2) That the defendant not
	` '
	knowingly possess, ship,
	transport, or receive firearms,
	offensive weapons, and
	ammunition in violation of
T7	section 724.26, subsection 2"
Kansas	"Criminal use of weapons is
TT 01 1	knowingly:
Kan. Stat. Ann.	
§ 21-6301(a)(17)	(17) possessing any firearm by
	a person while such person is
	subject to a court order that:
	(A) Was issued after a
	hearing, of which such person

	received actual notice, and at
	which such person had an
	opportunity to participate;
	(B) restrains such person from
	harassing, stalking or
	threatening an intimate
	partner of such person or a
	child of such person or such
	intimate partner, or engaging
	in other conduct that would
	place an intimate partner in
	reasonable fear of bodily
	injury to the partner or the
	child; and
	(C)(i) includes a finding that
	such person represents a
	credible threat to the physical
	safety of such intimate
	partner or child; or
	(ii) by its terms explicitly
	prohibits the use, attempted
	use or threatened use of
	physical force against such
	intimate partner or child that
	would reasonably be expected
	to cause bodily injury"
Kentucky	"Upon receipt of notice that a
	person barred from
Ky. Rev. Stat.	purchasing a firearm under 18
Ann.	U.S.C. sec. 922(g)(8) has
§§ 237.100(1),	purchased or attempted to
403.740(c)	purchase a firearm, the
	Justice and Public Safety
	Cabinet shall make a
	reasonable effort to provide

Add. 11

	notice to the petitioner who
	obtained the domestic violence
	order issued under KRS
	403.740 that the respondent
	to the order has attempted to
	purchase a firearm. The
	Justice and Public Safety
	Cabinet may contract with a
	private entity in order to
	provide notification"
Louisiana	"Any person against whom the
	court has issued a permanent
La. Stat. Ann.	injunction or a protective
§§ 46:2135,	order shall be prohibited
46:2136.3(A)	from possessing a firearm or
	carrying a concealed weapon
	for the duration of the
	injunction or protective order
	if both of the following occur:
	(1) The permanent injunction
	or protective order includes a
	finding that the person subject
	to the permanent injunction
	or protective order represents
	a credible threat to the
	physical safety of a family
	member, household member,
	or dating partner.
	(2) The permanent injunction
	or protective order informs the
	person subject to the
	permanent injunction or
	protective order that the
	person is prohibited from
	possessing a firearm pursuant

Add. 12

	to the provisions of 18 U.S.C.
	922(g)(8) and this Section"
Maine	"Relief granted under this
	section may include:
Me. Stat. tit. 15, §	
393(1)(D), tit. 19-	B. Directing the defendant not
A, §§ 4108(3),	to possess a firearm, muzzle-
4110(3)(B), (4)	loading firearm, bow,
	crossbow or other dangerous
	weapon for the duration of the
N/F 1 1	order"
Maryland	"The final protective order
	shall order the respondent to
Md. Code Ann.,	surrender to law enforcement
Fam. Law §§ 4-	authorities any firearm in the
505(2)(viii), 4-	respondent's possession, and
506(f), 4-506.1,	to refrain from possession of
Md. Code Ann.,	any firearm, for the duration
Pub. Safety § 5-	of the protective order"
133(12)(i)	
Massachusetts	"Upon issuance of a temporary
	or emergency order under
Mass. Gen. Laws	section four or five of this
ch. 140,	chapter, the court shall, if the
§§ 129B(1)(vii),	plaintiff demonstrates a
131(d)(vi), ch.	substantial likelihood of
209A, §§ 3B, 3C	immediate danger of abuse,
	order the immediate
	suspension and surrender of
	any license to carry firearms
	and or firearms identification
	card which the defendant may
	hold and order the defendant
	to surrender all firearms,
	rifles, shotguns, machine guns

Add. 13

and ammunition which he then controls, owns or possesses"

"Upon the continuation or modification of an order issued pursuant to section 4 or upon petition for review as described in section 3B, the court shall also order or continue to order the immediate suspension and surrender of a defendant's license to carry firearms and firearms identification card and the surrender of all firearms, rifles, shotguns, machine guns or ammunition which such defendant then controls, owns or possesses if the court makes a determination that the return of such license to carry firearms and firearm identification card or firearms, rifles, shotguns, machine guns or ammunition presents a likelihood of abuse to the plaintiff"

Michigan

Mich. Comp. Laws §§ 600.2950(1)(e), 764.15b(b)(vi) "[A]n individual may petition the family division of circuit court to enter a personal protection order to restrain or enjoin a spouse, a former spouse, an individual with

Add. 14

whom he or she has had a child in common, an individual with whom he or she has or has had a dating relationship, or an individual residing or having resided in the same household as the petitioner from doing 1 or more of the following:

. . .

(e) Purchasing or possessing a firearm"

Minnesota

Minn. Stat. §§ 518B.01, subd. 6(g), 7(e), 624.713, subd. 1(13) "An order granting relief shall prohibit the abusing party from possessing firearms for the length the order is in effect if the order (1) restrains the abusing party from harassing, stalking, or threatening the petitioner or restrains the abusing party from engaging in other conduct that would place the petitioner in reasonable fear of bodily injury, and (2) includes a finding that the abusing party represents a credible threat to the physical safety of the petitioner or prohibits the abusing party from using, attempting to use, or threatening to use physical force against the petitioner"

Add. 15

B/F	((D) 1 C
Montana	"The temporary order of
	protection may include any or
Mont. Code Ann.	all of the following orders
§§ 40-15-201(2)(f),	(f) prohibiting the respondent
40-15-204(3)	from possessing or using the
	firearm used in the assault"
	"An order of protection may
	include all of the relief listed
	in 40-15-201, when
	appropriate"
Nebraska	"Upon the filing of such a
robrasha	petition and affidavit in
Neb. Rev. Stat.	support thereof, the court may
§§ 28-	issue a protection order
0.0	
1206(1)(a)(iii), 42-	without bond granting the
924(1)(a)(vii), 42-	following relief:
925(1)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	(vii) Enjoining the respondent
	from possessing or purchasing
	a firearm as defined in section
	28-1201"
Nevada	"If a court issues an extended
	order pursuant to NRS
Nev. Rev. Stat.	33.030, the adverse party
§§ 33.0305,	shall not subsequently
33.031, 33.033	purchase or otherwise acquire
,	any firearm during the period
	that the extended order is in
	effect"
	"A court may include in an
	extended order issued
	pursuant to NRS 33.030:
	parsaant to min 99.000.

New Hampshire

N.H. Rev. Stat. Ann. §§ 173-B:4, 173-B:5, 173-B:9 (a) A requirement that the adverse party surrender, sell or transfer any firearm in the adverse party's possession or under the adverse party's custody or control in the manner set forth in NRS 33.033"

"Upon a showing of abuse of the plaintiff by a preponderance of the evidence, the court shall grant such relief as is necessary to bring about a cessation of abuse. Such relief shall direct the defendant to relinquish to the peace officer any and all firearms and ammunition in the control, ownership, or possession of the defendant, or any other person on behalf of the defendant for the duration of the protective order.

. . .

The defendant shall be prohibited from purchasing, receiving, or possessing any deadly weapons and any and all firearms and ammunition for the duration of the order. The court may subsequently issue a search warrant authorizing a peace officer to seize any deadly weapons specified in the protective

Add. 17

New Jersey N.J. Stat. Ann. §§ 2C:25-28(j), 2C:25-29(b), 2C:58-3(c)(6)	order and any and all firearms and ammunition, if there is probable cause to believe such firearms and ammunition and specified deadly weapons are kept on the premises or curtilage of the defendant" "In addition to any other provisions, any restraining order issued by the court shall bar the defendant from purchasing, owning, possessing or controlling a firearm and from receiving or retaining a firearms purchaser identification card or permit to purchase a handgun pursuant to N.J.S.2C:58-3 during the period in which the restraining order is in effect or two years, whichever is greater. The order shall require the immediate
	greater. The order shall require the immediate surrender of any firearm or other weapon belonging to the
	defendant"
New Mexico	"Upon finding that domestic abuse has occurred or upon
N.M. Stat. Ann.	stipulation of the parties, the
§§ 40-13-5(A)(2),	court shall enter an order of
30-7-16(D)	protection ordering the restrained party to:
	•••

	(2) if the order is issued
	pursuant to this section and if
	the court also determines that
	the restrained party presents
	a credible threat to the
	physical safety of the
	household member after the
	restrained party has received
	notice and had an opportunity
	to be heard or by stipulation of
	the parties, to:
	(a) deliver any firearm in the
	restrained party's possession,
	care, custody or control to a
	law enforcement agency, law
	enforcement officer or federal
	firearms licensee while the
	order of protection is in effect;
	and
	(b) refrain from purchasing,
	receiving, or possessing or
	attempting to purchase,
	receive or possess any firearm
	while the order of protection is
	in effect"
New York	For either a temporary or a
	final order, the court shall
N.Y. Fam. Ct. Act	suspend the respondent's
§ 842-a, N.Y.	existing license, order him
Crim. Proc. Law	ineligible for such a license,
§ 530.14, N.Y.	and order the immediate
Penal Code	surrender of "any or all
§ 400.00	firearms, rifles, and shotguns
	owned or possessed"
	1

North Carolina

N.C. Gen. Stat. §§ 14-269.8, 50B-3(a)(11), 50B-3.1 "A protective order may include any of the following types of relief:

. . .

(11) Prohibit a party from purchasing a firearm for a time fixed in the order"

"Upon issuance of an emergency or ex parte order pursuant to this Chapter, the court shall order the defendant to surrender to the sheriff all firearms, machine guns, ammunition, permits to purchase firearms, and permits to carry concealed firearms that are in the care, custody, possession, ownership, or control of the defendant if the court finds any of the following factors: (1) The use or threatened use of a deadly weapon by the defendant or a pattern of prior conduct involving the use or threatened use of violence with a firearm against persons.

- (2) Threats to seriously injure or kill the aggrieved party or minor child by the defendant.
- (3) Threats to commit suicide by the defendant.

Add. 20

	(4) Serious injuries inflicted
	upon the aggrieved party or
	minor child by the defendant"
North Dakota	"The relief provided by the
	court may include any or all of
N.D. Cent. Code	the following:
§§ 14-07.1-	
02(4)(g), 14-07.1-	(g) Requiring the respondent
03(2)(d), 14-07.1-	to surrender for safekeeping
06	any firearm or other specified
	dangerous weapon, as defined
	in section 12.1-01-04, in the
	respondent's immediate
	possession or control or
	subject to the respondent's
	immediate control, if the court
	has probable cause to believe
	that the respondent is likely
	to use, display, or threaten to
	use the firearm or other
	dangerous weapon in any
	further acts of violence"
Northern	"A court may grant the
Mariana Islands	following relief without notice
	and hearing in an order for
6 N. Mar. I. Code	protection or a modification
§§ 10601(d)(3)(xv),	issued ex parte:
10610(a)(17), 8 N.	issued ex parte.
Mar. I. Code	(5) Prohibit the respondent
§§ 1916(b)(5),	form [sic] using or possessing
(c)(1)	a firearm or other weapon
(0)(1)	specified by the court"
Ohio	"After an ex parte or full
Onio	hearing, the court may grant
	any protection order, with or
	any protection order, with or

Add. 21

Ohio Rev. Code	without bond, or approve any
Ann.	consent agreement to bring
§ 3113.31(E)(1)(h)	about a cessation of domestic
	violence against the family or
	household members or
	persons with whom the
	respondent is or was in a
	dating relationship. The order
	or agreement may:
	or agreement may.
	(h) Grant other relief that the
	court considers equitable and
	fair"
Oklahoma	"[A] person who has been
Oktanoma	convicted of any one of the
Okla. Stat. tit. 21,	following offenses in this state
§ 1272(A)	or a violation of the equivalent
§ 1272(A)	law of another state shall
	be prohibited from carrying a
	firearm
	e. a violation of an order
	issued under the Protection
	from Domestic Violence Abuse
	Act or a domestic abuse
	protection order issued by
	another state"
Oregon	"It is unlawful for a person to
	knowingly possess a firearm
Or. Rev. Stat.	or ammunition if:
§§ 166.255(1)(a),	(a) The person is the subject of
107.718(1)(h)	a court order that:
	(A)(i) Was issued or continued
	after a hearing for which the
	person had actual notice and

during the course of which the person had an opportunity to be heard; or (ii) Was issued, continued or remains in effect, by order or operation of law, after the person received notice of the opportunity to request a hearing in which to be heard on the order, and either requested a hearing but did not attend the hearing or withdrew the request before the hearing occurred, or did not request a hearing during the time period in which the opportunity was available; (B) Restrains the person from stalking, intimidating, molesting or menacing a family or household member of the person, a child of a family or household member of the person or a child of the person; and (C) Includes a finding that the person represents a credible threat to the physical safety of a family or household member of the person, a child of a family or household member of the person or a child of the person"

Pennsylvania

A protection order may include: "Prohibiting the

18 Pa. Cons. Stat.	defendant from acquiring or
§ 6105(a.1)(2), 23	possessing any firearm for the
Pa. Cons. Stat.	duration of the order, ordering
§§ 6107(b)(3),	the defendant to temporarily
6108(a.1)(1),	relinquish to the sheriff or the
6105(a)(2)(iv)	appropriate law enforcement
	agency any firearms under the
	defendant's possession or
	control, and requiring the
	defendant to relinquish to the
	sheriff or the appropriate law
	enforcement agency any
	firearm license"
Puerto Rico	"When the court so deems or
	has issued a restraining or
P.R. Laws	anti-stalking order, the court
Ann. tit. 8, § 621	shall immediately order the
	defendant to surrender to the
	Puerto Rico Police for custody,
	any firearm belonging to the
	defendant for which a license
	to bear or own or carry
	firearms, or for target-
	shooting or hunting or of any
	other kind, as the case may
	be. The order to surrender any
	firearm, as well as the
	suspension of any kind of
	firearm license, shall take
D1 1 7 7 7	effect compulsorily"
Rhode Island	"No person shall purchase,
	carry, transport, or have in his
8 R.I. Gen. Laws	or her possession any firearm
§§ 8-8.1-3(a)(4), 8-	if that person is subject to [a
8.1-4, 15 R.I. Gen.	domestic abuse protective

Add. 24

Laws §§ 15-15-	order], or an equivalent order
3(a)(4), 15-15-4,	in this state or elsewhere,
11 R.I. Gen. Laws	which order was issued after
§ 11-47-5(b)	the person restrained has
8 11-41-9(0)	received notice of the
	proceedings and had an
	-
0 11 0 11	opportunity to be heard"
South Carolina	"Notwithstanding the
	provisions of Section 16-23-30,
S.C. Code Ann.	it is unlawful for a person to
§ 16-25-30(A)(4)	ship, transport, receive, or
	possess a firearm or
	ammunition, if the person:
	(4) is subject to a valid order
	of protection issued by the
	family court pursuant to
	Chapter 4, Title 20, and the
	family court judge at the time
	of the hearing made specific
	findings of physical harm,
	bodily injury, assault, or that
	the person offered or
	attempted to cause physical
	harm or injury to a person's
	own household member with
	apparent and present ability
	under the circumstances
	reasonably creating fear of
	imminent peril and the family
	court judge ordered that the
	person is prohibited from
	shipping, transporting,
	receiving, or possessing a
	firearm or ammunition"
	111 Callin OI allimatilition

Add. 25

South Dakota	In issuing a domestic violence
	protection order, "[t]he court
S.D. Codified	may require the defendant to
Laws §§ 25-10-	surrender any dangerous
5(6), 25-10-24	weapon or any concealed
	pistol permit issued under 23-
	7 in the defendant's
	possession to local law
	enforcement"
Tennessee	"The administrative office of
	the courts shall revise the
Tenn. Code Ann.	petition for an order of
§§ 36-3-604(c), 36-	protection form to fully advise
3-625, 39-13-	the respondent of this part in
113(h)(1)	language substantially similar
	to the following:
	(1) If the order of protection is
	granted in a manner that fully
	complies with 18 U.S.C. §
	922(g)(8), the respondent is
	required to terminate physical
	possession by any lawful
	means, such as transferring
	possession to a third party
	who is not prohibited from
	possessing firearms, of all
	firearms that the respondent
	possesses within forty-eight
	(48) hours of the granting of
	the order;
	(2) It is a criminal offense for
	a person subject to an order of
	protection that fully complies
	with 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8), to

Add. 26

	possess a firearm while that
	order is in effect; and
	(3) The issuance of an order of
	protection may terminate or,
	at least, suspend the
	individual's right to purchase
	or possess a firearm"
Texas	"In a protective order, the
	court may prohibit the person
Tex. Fam. Code	found to have committed
Ann. §§ 83.001(b),	family violence from:
85.022(b)(6), (d),	
Tex. Penal Code	(6) possessing a firearm,
Ann.	unless the person is a peace
§§ 25.07(a)(4)	officer, as defined by Section
46.06(a)(6)	1.07, Penal Code, actively
	engaged in employment as a
	sworn, full-time paid
	employee of a state agency or
	political subdivision"
	"In a protective order, the
	court shall suspend a license
	to carry a handgun issued
	under Subchapter H,1
	Chapter 411, Government
	Code, that is held by a person
	found to have committed
	family violence"
Utah	Identifies as a "Category II
	restricted person" for the
Utah Code Ann.	purposes of firearm
§§ 76-10-	possession, purchase, transfer,
	and ownership "a respondent
	or defendant subject to a

 $503(1)(b)(x)^{1}$, 78B-7-404(5), 78B-7-504(5), 78B-7-603(2)(f)

protective order or child protective order that is issued after a hearing for which the respondent or defendant received actual notice and at which the respondent or defendant has an opportunity to participate, that restrains the respondent or defendant from harassing, stalking, threatening, or engaging in other conduct that would place an intimate partner, as defined in 18 U.S.C. Sec. 921, or a child of the intimate partner, in reasonable fear of bodily injury to the intimate partner or child of the intimate partner, and that: (A) includes a finding that the respondent or defendant represents a credible threat to the physical safety of an individual who meets the definition of an intimate partner in 18 U.S.C. Sec. 921 or the child of the individual: or (B) explicitly prohibits the

use, attempted use, or threatened use of physical

This provision is not affected by the statutory amendment set to go into effect May 3, 2023. 2023 Utah Laws, ch. 389.

Add. 28

	T
	force that would reasonably be
	expected to cause bodily harm
	against an intimate partner or
	the child of an intimate
	partner"
Vermont	"Upon a finding that there is
	an immediate danger of
Vt. Stat. Ann. tit.	further abuse, an order may
15, §§ 1103(c)(1),	be granted requiring the
1104(a)(1)(E), Vt.	defendant:
Stat. Ann. tit. 20,	
§ 2307, Vt. Stat.	(E) to immediately relinquish,
Ann. tit. 13,	until the expiration of the
§ 1030(a)	order, all firearms that are in
	the defendant's possession,
	ownership, or control and to
	refrain from acquiring or
	possessing any firearms while
	the order is in effect"
	"The court shall make such
	orders as it deems necessary
	to protect the plaintiff or the
	children, or both"
Virginia	"It is unlawful for any person
	who is subject to" a domestic
Va. Code Ann.	violence protective order "to
§§ 18.2-308.09(5),	purchase or transport any
18.2-308.1:4, 18.2-	firearm while the order is in
60.4	effect. Any person with a
	concealed handgun permit
	shall be prohibited from
	carrying any concealed
	firearm, and shall surrender
	his permit to the court

Washington

Wash. Rev. Code §§ 7.105.305, 7.105.310(1)(m), 9.41.040(2)(a)(iv), 9.41.800 entering the order, for the duration of any protective order referred to herein"

"During any period of time that the party is subject to [a domestic violence protective order] that: (a) Was issued after a hearing of which the party received actual notice, and at which the party had an opportunity to participate, whether the court then issues a full order or reissues a temporary order. If the court enters an agreed order by the parties without a hearing, such an order meets the requirements of this subsection: (b) Restrains the party from harassing, stalking, or threatening an intimate partner of the party, the protected person, or child of the intimate partner, party, or protected person, or engaging in other conduct that would place an intimate partner or protected person in reasonable fear of bodily injury to the intimate partner, protected person, or child; and (c)(i) Includes a finding that the party represents a credible threat to the physical safety of

the intimate partner,
protected person, or child; or
(ii) By its terms, explicitly
prohibits the use, attempted
use, or threatened use of
physical force against the
intimate partner, protected
person, or child that would
reasonably be expected to
cause bodily injury, the court
shall:
(A) Require that the party
immediately surrender all
firearms and other dangerous
weapons;
(B) Require that the party
immediately surrender a
concealed pistol license issued
under RCW 9.41.070;
(C) Prohibit the party from
accessing, having in his or her
custody or control, possessing,
purchasing, receiving, or
attempting to purchase or
receive, any firearms or other
dangerous weapons; and
(D) Prohibit the party from
obtaining or possessing a
concealed pistol license."
"The protective order must
prohibit the respondent from

West Virginia

W. Va. Code §§ 48-27-403(a), 48-27-502(b), 61-7-4(o), 61-7-7(a)(7) "The protective order must prohibit the respondent from possessing any firearm or ammunition"

Add. 31

Wisconsin

Wis. Stat. §§ 813.12(3), (4m), 941.29(1m)(f) "An injunction issued under sub. (4) shall do all of the following: require in writing the respondent to surrender any firearms that he or she owns or has in his or her possession to the sheriff of the county in which the action under this section was commenced, to the sheriff of the county in which the respondent resides or to another person designated by the respondent and approved by the judge or circuit court commissioner"