COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF ENERGY & ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

ONE WINTER STREET, BOSTON, MA 02108 617-292-5500

THE OFFICE OF APPEALS AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

May 29, 2018

In the Matter of University of Massachusetts – Boston

OADR Docket Nos. WET-2016-033, WET 2016-034
Boston, MA

RECOMMENDED FINAL DECISION

These consolidated appeals were brought by 10 Residents of Boston, the Harbor Point Community Task Force, and Corcoran Jennison Company, Inc. (an abutter) (collectively, "Petitioners"), concerning the real property at 200 Mount Vernon Street, Boston, Massachusetts ("the Property"). The Petitioners challenge the Superseding Order of Conditions ("SOC") that the Department's Northeast Regional Office issued to the Applicant, the University of Massachusetts - Boston. The SOC was issued pursuant to the Wetlands Regulations and Wetlands Act, 310 CMR 10.00 and G.L. c. 131 § 40, respectively. The affected Resource Area at the site is Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage, which is presently impervious. The site is also within the Buffer Zone to Coastal Bank.

In sum, the proposed project consisted of creating temporary surface parking in the same location as the Bayside Exposition Center ("Center") building and installing associated stormwater drainage improvements. The Center was demolished after receiving Emergency Certification from the Boston Conservation Commission because of safety concerns.

The issues that were identified for adjudication in this appeal are the following:

- Do Corcoran and the Task Force have standing as aggrieved parties to bring this appeal?
- 2. As a redevelopment project under 310 CMR 10.05(6)(k)7, does the project comply with Stormwater Management Standards 1, 3, 4, and 6 to the maximum extent practicable?
- 3. Does the project comply with 310 CMR 10.05(6)(o)?

After the Pre-Hearing Conference, the appeal was stayed at the parties' request for a substantial period of time because the Applicant decided to cancel the construction project but desired to leave outstanding permits in place while the demolition component was being completed. Later, the Applicant and the Petitioners represented that a joint agreement to dismiss the appeals had been reached, but the parties had not secured all necessary signatures on the settlement documents. More recently, the Petitioners represented that they were unable to obtain all necessary signatures, and requested that the stay be extended, which I allowed.

Presently pending is the Applicant's motion to dismiss for mootness. The mootness grounds are that the demolition has been completed under the Emergency Certification, the Applicant has permanently canceled the project, and the Notice of Intent has been withdrawn. DEP assented to the motion. The Petitioners informed the Applicant that they would not consent, without providing any rationale, but they failed to oppose the motion to dismiss.

For all the above reasons demonstrating the absence of a justiciable issue and the absence of any opposition to the motion to dismiss, I find that the appeal is moot. See Matter of Wilkinson Excavating, Inc., Docket No. 2010-064, Recommended Final Decision (March 8,

2011), adopted by Final Decision (April 5, 2011). I therefore recommend that the appeal be

dismissed and the SOC vacated.

NOTICE- RECOMMENDED FINAL DECISION

This decision is a Recommended Final Decision of the Presiding Officer. It has been

transmitted to the Commissioner for his Final Decision in this matter. This decision is therefore

not a Final Decision subject to reconsideration under 310 CMR 1.01(14)(d), and may not be

appealed to Superior Court pursuant to M.G.L. c. 30A. The Commissioner's Final Decision is

subject to rights of reconsideration and court appeal and will contain a notice to that effect.

Because this matter has now been transmitted to the Commissioner, no party shall file a

motion to renew or reargue this Recommended Final Decision or any part of it, and no party

shall communicate with the Commissioner's office regarding this decision unless the

Commissioner, in his sole discretion, directs otherwise.

Timothy M. Jones

Presiding Officer

Matters of University of Massachusetts - Boston OADR Docket Nos. WET-2016-033, 034

SERVICE LIST

In The Matters Of:

University of Massachusetts, Boston

Docket Nos. WET-2016-033

File No. 006-1433

WET-2016-034

Boston

Representative

Party

Ralph A. Child

APPLICANT

Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and University of Massachusetts, Boston

Popeo, P.C.

One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111 RChild@mintz.com

Nathaniel Stevens

PETITIONERS

McGregor & Legere

10 Residents, Harbor Point Community Task Force, Inc., & Corcoran Jennison

15 Court Square, Suite 500

Company, Inc.

Boston, MA 02108

nstevens@mcgregorlaw.com

Dana Muldoon DEPARTMENT

Mass DEP Office of General Counsel

One Winter Street Boston, MA 02108

Dana.Muldoon@state.ma.us

Cc:

Rachel Freed DEPARTMENT

MassDEP Northeast Regional Office

205B Lowell Street

Wilmington, MA 01887

Rachel.Freed@state.ma.us

Boston Conservation Commission

Boston City Hall - Room 709

Boston, MA 02207

CONCOM