# Advanced Manufacturing, Technology & Hospitality Training Trust Fund Hospitality Sector Pipeline Training Grant Program Issued October 3, 2014 Reviewer Feedback & Scoring Form

Section 1: Applicant Information					
Applicant Name:		Applicant #:			
Section 2: Reviewer Information					
Reviewer Name:		Reviewer			
		Title:			
Reviewer	Commonwealth Corporation	Date of	12/5/14		
Organization:		Review:			

### Instructions

Read each application and record a scaled score (Strong, Medium, Weak) and a numeric score for each category.

For each category, there is a list of indicators that reviewers should consider when providing a scaled score. Reviewers should read the proposal and identify whether the proposal has met each indicator and provide a scaled score for each category as follows:

**Strong:** A "Strong" score should be awarded when all or most of the indicators listed under any given category are met.

**Medium:** A "Medium" score should be awarded when some of the indicators listed under any given category are met.

**Weak:** A "Weak" score should be awarded when none or very few of the indicators listed under any given category are met.

Not all categories are weighted the same. Reviewers must translate their scaled scores to a numeric score for each category. Specific guidance about the points that should be awarded for a scaled score is provided under each category on the reviewer form. Once reviewers have read and provided both a scaled score and numeric score for each category, they should add up the numeric scores to determine the overall numeric score of the application.

Additionally, reviewers should provide comments at the end of the reviewer form, including strengths, weaknesses and questions.

Section 3: Proposal Review & Scoring				
Category	S/M/W	Score		
Track Record: Track record of success and qualifications/capacity of applicant and partners with grant				
management, fiscal coordination, and implementation of proposed program design.				
(Maximum 10 points) Strong=8-10 points, Medium=4-7 points, Weak=0-3 points				
☐ Lead applicant demonstrates operational and fiscal capacity to manage public funds				
☐ Lead applicant or identified partners demonstrate existing capacity to recruit the target population, provide case				
management, deliver appropriate training and services and place participants in jobs				
$\square$ Staffing structure seems appropriate given the proposed services for the proposed target population				

### Advanced Manufacturing, Technology & Hospitality Training Trust Fund Hospitality Sector Pipeline Training Grant Program Issued October 3, 2014

Reviewer Feedback & Scoring Form

Program Design: Clear program design that addresses the needs of hospitality employers and prepares	S/M/W	Score			
trainees for jobs in the target occupations					
(Maximum 30 points) Strong=23-30 points, Medium=14-22 points, Weak=0-13 points					
Proposal identifies an occupation or set of occupations within the hospitality sector to target with thes	_				
☐ Proposal demonstrates that the industry is of critical important to the region and that there is a need	for the pro	oposed			
training program and sufficient demand to employ program graduates					
$\square$ Proposal describes a realistic recruitment strategy that is likely to be successful given the identified tan					
$\square$ Proposal describes a selection and assessment process that is likely to be successful in identifying part	icipant rea	adiness			
and fit for the program					
$\square$ Proposal provides a strong description of the proposed services and describes why these services are a	n appropr	iate			
strategy for the targeted population and targeted occupation(s)					
$\square$ Proposal demonstrates that the proposed services will prepare the target population for employment					
Note: Applicants may propose to fill up to 10% of total enrollments with individuals who would otherwise					
program, but currently work in a company in the hospitality sector and are classified as low wage workers	. Howeve	r,			
applicants should not redesign their program to specifically target this population.		_			
<b>Job Placement:</b> Strong job placement strategy that is likely to result in placement and retention for a	S/M/W	Score			
majority of program completers					
(Maximum 30 points) Strong=23-30 points, Medium=14-22 points, Weak=0-13 points					
☐ Proposal demonstrates that the lead applicant and its partners have a track record of placing individua	ls in				
employment					
☐ Proposal describes a strong job placement strategy that demonstrates the lead applicant's capacity to	place the t	arget			
population in employment					
$\Box$ The number of individuals the applicant is proposing to place in employment seems appropriate and	l realistic 1	or this			
population					
☐ The number of proposed outcomes is consistent with the organization's past placement rate, for a sim	ilar progra	m			
design and serving a similar population	2 /2 2 /2 2				
<b>Partnerships:</b> Strong MOA detailing partner roles, including strong commitments from employers that	S/M/W	Score			
indicate a strong likelihood that employers will hire program completers					
(Maximum 20 points) Strong=17-20 points, Medium=14-16 points, Weak=0-13 points					
MOA includes signatures from at least two business with operations in Massachusetts and that employ	y iviassach	usetts			
residents in the target occupations	1				
☐ MOA includes commitment from businesses to hire program graduates (Will receive favorable scoring)					
MOA includes commitments from businesses to interview program completers and cites the number of vacancies they					
anticipate filling with graduates from the proposed program (Acceptable scoring)					
<b>Budget:</b> Budget is accurate and consistent with the proposed program design and the cost per participant and cost per placement are appropriate based on the proposed program design	S/M/W	Score			
(Maximum 10 points) Strong=8-10 points, Medium=4-7 points, Weak=0-3 points					
☐ Budget amounts are reasonable and consistent with the proposed program design					
<ul><li>☐ Budget aligns with the proposed program design</li><li>☐ The number of proposed enrollments and outcomes are appropriate given the expenses of the program</li></ul>					
	11				
☐ Applicant and partners leverage other regional resources appropriately					
Total Score	NA				
iotal score	INA				

## Advanced Manufacturing, Technology & Hospitality Training Trust Fund Hospitality Sector Pipeline Training Grant Program Issued October 3, 2014

Reviewer Feedback & Scoring Form

Comments				
Strengths				
Weaknesses				
Questions				

# Advanced Manufacturing, Technology & Hospitality Training Trust Fund Hospitality Sector Pipeline Training Grant Program Issued October 3, 2014 Reviewer Feedback & Scoring Form

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT**

#### FOR REVIEW COMMITTEE MEMBERS

I acknowledge that I have been appointed to conduct reviews of proposals received under the solicitation cited on page one.

I do not have any conflict of interest, personal, organizational, real or apparent, in participating in this procurement or in the review of the proposal from the applicant listed on page one.

Further, I will disclose no information obtained in reviewing proposals under this solicitation to anyone not also participating in this review. Specifically, I will not disclose the number of respondents, the name of individuals or organizations that responded, or any information from technical or cost/pricing submissions of these respondents, except to other reviewers officially assigned to this solicitation.

If anyone outside the official review group seeks information about the procurement, I will not supply any information but will refer him/her to the Program Manager.

I acknowledge that I have carried out my responsibility to review this proposal based upon the criteria outlined. I hereby certify to the best of my knowledge that neither I, nor my direct business partner(s) nor any member of my immediate family has any direct or indirect financial or other interest in the outcome of this solicitation for any of the organizations that have submitted proposals, which I have reviewed, evaluated and scored for Commonwealth Corporation.

Name	Signature	
Title		Date