

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

PIPELINE ENGINEERING AND SAFETY DIVISION

INCIDENT REPORT

453 Worthington Street, Springfield, Massachusetts November 23, 2012

PIPELINE ENGINEERING AND SAFETY DIVISION

453 Worthington Street, Springfield, Massachusetts

November 23, 2012

Columbia Gas of Massachusetts

*Estimated Property Damage: \$1,310,000.00

Injuries: 17

Report Issued: September 19, 2014

* Estimated by Columbia Gas of Massachusetts

Table of Contents

I.	IN	TRODUCTION	1
A	۸.	Scope of the Investigation	1
В	.	Overview of Incident	2
II.	TH	IE DEPARTMENT'S INVESTIGATION	3
Α	٠.	Description of the Gas Facilities	3
В		Description of the Scene	3
C	· .	Description of the Incident	4
	1.	CMA Inside Leak Investigation	4
	2.	Outside Leak Investigation	5
	3.	The Location of the Gas Service to 453 Worthington Street	6
	4.	Operator Actions After the Release of Gas	6
D		Pressure Test of the Gas Service Supplying 453 Worthington Street	8
III.	OD	OORIZATION	10
IV.	MA	AINTENANCE ACTIVITIES	10
V.	LE	AK SURVEYS	11
VI.	FIN	NDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS	12
A		Findings	12
	1.	Gas facilities on Worthington Street	12
	2.	The Incident	12
В		Conclusions	13
VII.	OP	ERATOR CORRECTIVE ACTIONS	14

EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit 1: CMA Incident Report to the U.S. Department of Transportation

Exhibit 2: CMA Telephonic Report to Department of Public Utilities

Exhibit 3: Sequence of Events:

3(a) CMA Review and Analysis of Incident

3(b) Timelines and Sequence of Events

3(c) Witness Statements

3(d) CMA Call Center Transcript

Exhibit 4: CMA Records of Gas Service Supplying 453 Worthington Street, Springfield

Exhibit 5: Photographs of Incident Area:

5(a) Aerial View of Incident Site

5(b) Ground View of Incident Site

5(c) The Damaged Gas Service Supplying 453 Worthington Street In Situ

5(d) The Damaged Gas Service with Probe Bar

5(e) The Gas Service Connected to the Gas Main in Worthington Street

5(f) The Faded Yellow Line in Relation to the Damaged Gas Service In Situ

5(g) Gas Service Regulator and Gas Meter

5(h) Gas Service Piping Inside 453 Worthington Street

Exhibit 6: Records of Cast Iron and Steel Main Underlying Worthington Street

Exhibit 7: CMA Facilities' Markout Completion Report - 454 Worthington Street, August 18, 2011

Exhibit 8: CMA Witness Statements

Exhibit 9: Drug and Alcohol Test Results (redacted)

Exhibit 10: CMA First Responder Record of Prior Damages to Facilities

Exhibit 11: Post Incident Odorant Test Results

Exhibit 12: Repairs at 453 Worthington Street, March 12, 2007

Exhibit 13: Leak Survey and Atmospheric Corrosion Inspection 453 Worthington Street

Exhibit 14: Leakage Survey Records - Worthington Street

Exhibit 15: Post Incident Leakage Survey Results

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Scope of the Investigation

The Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities ("Department"), Pipeline Engineering and Safety Division ("Division"), pursuant to G.L. c. 164, § 105A and a Federal Certification Agreement as provided for in 49 U.S.C. § 60105, has investigated a natural gas ("gas") release at 453 Worthington Street, MA, on November 23, 2012 ("Incident"). The release of gas contributed to an explosion, injuries of seventeen (17) persons, and an estimated \$ 1,310,000.00 in property damage as estimated by Columbia Gas of Massachusetts ("CMA" or "Operator") (Exh. 1).

As part of the Department's annual certification process by the United States

Department of Transportation ("U.S. DOT"), the Department must report to the U.S. DOT

each accident or incident . . . involving a fatality, personal injury requiring hospitalization, or property damage or loss of more than an amount the Secretary establishes... and any other accident the [Department] considers significant, and a summary of the investigation by the [Department] of the cause and circumstances surrounding the accident or incident. 49 U.S.C. § 60105(c).

Incident means any of the following events:

⁽¹⁾ An event that involves a release of gas from a pipeline, or of liquefied natural gas, liquefied petroleum gas, refrigerant gas, or gas from an LNG facility, and that results in one or more of the following consequences:

⁽i) A death, or personal injury necessitating in-patient hospitalization;

⁽ii) Estimated property damage of \$50,000 or more, including loss to the operator and others, or both, but excluding cost of gas lost;

⁽iii) Unintentional estimated gas loss of three million cubic feet or more;

⁽²⁾ An event that results in an emergency shutdown of an LNG facility. Activation of an emergency shutdown system for reasons other than an actual emergency does not constitute an incident.

⁽³⁾ An event that is significant in the judgment of the operator, even though it did not meet the criteria of paragraphs (1) or (2) of this definition.

The purpose of this report is to inform the U.S. DOT as to the cause and circumstances surrounding the Incident.

The Department has established procedures for determining the nature and extent of violations of codes and regulations pertaining to the safety of pipeline facilities and the transportation of gas, including but not limited to, 220 C.M.R. §§ 101.00 through 113.00. See 220 C.M.R. § 69.00 et seq. The Division also enforces the U.S. DOT safety standards for gas pipeline systems as set forth in 49 C.F.R. Part 192 ("Part 192") and 49 C.F.R. Part 199 ("Part 199"). G.L. c. 164, § 105A.

B. Overview of Incident

At approximately 5:56 p.m. on November 23, 2012, the Department received notice from CMA of a release of gas at 453 Worthington Street, Springfield (Exh. 2). The caller reported that a CMA Utility Technician ("CMA Technician") punctured the gas service while investigating an odor complaint, resulting in the release of gas into the building that subsequently ignited and exploded (Exh. 3(a)). The Department dispatched two investigators to the scene. The damaged portion of the gas service was constructed of one and a quarter (1¼) inch plastic pipe that was inserted into a two (2) inch bare steel pipe, operating at approximately pounds per square inch gage ("psig") (Exh. 4).²

Pounds per square inch gauge refer to the pressure expressed in pounds exerted on one square inch of surface area. The designation "gauge," indicates the readings are already adjusted to ignore the surrounding atmospheric pressure, which is psi at sea level. If psig gauge were not connected to any pressure source, it would read zero even though it is actually sensing psi at sea level.

II. THE DEPARTMENT'S INVESTIGATION

A. Description of the Gas Facilities

Worthington Street, Springfield, is located in an area comprised of commercial properties and apartment buildings (Exh. 5(a)). The structure at 453 Worthington Street was a two-story building with a basement and a concrete foundation.

CMA operates two gas mains that underlie Worthington Street, a sixteen (16) inch coated steel gas main installed in 1980 and an eight (8) inch low pressure cast iron gas main installed in 1930 (Exh. 6).

The gas service to 453 Worthington Street was connected to the sixteen (16) inch coated steel gas main (Exh. 4). In 1993, the Operator renewed the gas service by inserting one and a quarter (1¼) inch plastic pipe into the original two (2) inch bare steel gas service supplying 453 Worthington Street (Exh. 4). CMA installed the gas service valve in the street at the gas main (Exhs. 4, 5(e)). Downstream of the gas service valve was a ninety (90) degree bend in the gas service, it then extended into 453 Worthington Street (Exhs. 4, 5(e)). The service regulator and gas meter were located inside the basement of the building (Exhs. 4, 5(g)). The operating pressure of the gas main at the time of the Incident was approximately psig (Exh. 4).

B. Description of the Scene

On November 23, 2012, at approximately 8:20 p.m., Division investigators arrived at the scene of the Incident. Representatives from CMA, Springfield Fire and Police Departments, State Police, and the State Fire Marshal's Office were at the scene.

The building had been completely destroyed and debris from the explosion was blown onto Worthington Street, into adjacent properties, and several blocks away (Exhs. 5(a),(b)).

C. Description of the Incident

1. CMA Inside Leak Investigation

At 3:25 p.m., the CMA Call Center received a call from the property manager of 453 Worthington Street, reporting an odor of gas inside the building (Exh. 3(b),(d)). The property manager indicated to CMA that there was a slight odor of gas above the gas meters in the basement of 453 Worthington Street (Exh. 3(d)). CMA informed the property manager that someone would be onsite within an hour (Exh. 3(d)). There is no record that the CMA Call Center provided any further instructions to the caller (Exh. 3(d)).

At 3:29 p.m., CMA dispatched a CMA Technician to 453 Worthington Street (Exh. 3(b)). The CMA Technician arrived onsite at 3:49 p.m. (Exh. 3(b)). When the CMA Technician arrived, he parked in the adjacent lot and called the property manager to 453 Worthington Street (Exh. 3(c)).

When the manager arrived, they proceeded to the front of the building (Exh. 3(b)). At that time, the CMA Technician reported that he smelled a slight odor of gas (Exh. 3(a),(b),(c)).

The property manager took the CMA Technician into the basement of the building to the location of the gas service (Exh. 3(a),(b),(c)). The CMA Technician stated that he detected a slight odor of gas inside the building, but his combustible gas indicator ("CGI") machine did not record any gas readings (Exh. 3(a),(b),(c)). Using his CGI machine, the CMA Technician checked for gas around the meter, connections of the gas meter, gas service and associated gas

piping (Exh. 3(a),(b),(c)). As he checked the gas service entrance inside the building, he noticed a soft clay material around the service entrance (Exh. 3(a),(b),(c)). However, his CGI machine detected no gas readings (Exh. 3(a),(b),(c)). There is no record that the CMA Technician checked any other utilities or appliances in the basement or checked any other areas inside the building (Exh. 3(a),(b),(c)). The CMA Technician then exited the building and commenced a leak investigation outside the building.

2. Outside Leak Investigation

CMA stated that the CMA Technician exited the building and located an electric manhole; he inserted the probe from his CGI machine into the manhole cover and obtained no gas readings. He then observed a faded yellow mark on the sidewalk that appeared to be in line with the gas service in the basement of 453 Worthington Street and the gas service valve in the street (Exhs. 3(a),(b),(c),5(f)). The CMA Technician went to his truck, and got a tool that punches a steel bar into the ground ("probe bar" or "bang bar") that creates a hole ("barhole") to probe for gas readings (Exhs. 3(a),(b),(c),5(d)).

The CMA Technician then placed a barhole in front of the building where the curb meets the sidewalk (Exh. 3(a),(b),(c)). The CMA Technician detected no gas readings with his CGI machine (Exh. 3(a),(b),(c)). The CMA Technician, using the faded yellow line as a reference, stepped off to the side of the yellow line and placed a second barhole at a seam on the concrete sidewalk against the foundation wall of 453 Worthington Street (Exhs. 3(a),(b),(c),5(c)). The CMA Technician could not go down very far before gas began to immediately escape (Exh. 3(a),(b),(c)). At 4:11 P.M., the CMA Technician called dispatch to inform them of this situation (Exh. 3(a),(b),(c)).

3. The Location of the Gas Service to 453 Worthington Street

CMA produced no records of any Dig Safe markout requests that it had received for 453 Worthington Street from January 1, 2007, to November 22, 2012. The most recent Dig Safe markout request received by CMA in the vicinity of 453 Worthington Street was for 454 Worthington Street on August 18, 2011 (Exh. 7). The scope of the 2011 excavation request was limited to the street and the sidewalk area in front of 454 Worthington Street (Exh. 7). CMA's records indicate that the markout technician reported that he marked two mains, and that no active records of a gas service was found for 454 Worthington Street (Exh. 7).

The service card for the gas service supplying 453 Worthington Street demonstrates, and photographs of the exposed gas service in situ confirm, that the gas service did not go in a straight line from the service tee connection at the sixteen (16) inch coated steel gas main and service shutoff valve to the building (Exhs. 4, 5(e)). Instead, the gas service ran on top of, and in line with, the gas main for approximately twenty two (22) inches from the service valve to where the plastic gas service turned ninety (90) degrees towards the building (Exhs. 4, 5(e)). The gas service records for 453 Worthington Street reveal that, in December, 1993, CMA renewed the gas service by inserting thirty (30) feet of one and a quarter (1¼) inch plastic pipe into the original two (2) inch gas steel service supplying 453 Worthington Street (Exh. 4).

4. Operator Actions after the Release of Gas

After puncturing the gas service with his probe bar, the CMA Technician evacuated 453 Worthington Street and returned to the basement to turn the valve on the gas service to the off position (Exhs. 3(a),(b),(c)). After shutting off the valve, the technician took CGI readings

at numerous manholes and made telephone calls to dispatch (Exh. 3(a),(b),(c)). There is no record that the CMA Technician or any other CMA employee checked any other buildings in the area for the presence of gas (Exh. 3(b),(c)).

At 4:14 p.m., CMA dispatched a distribution crew to the Incident site (Exh. 3(b)). CMA notified the fire department at 4:19 p.m. (Exh. 3(b)). At 4:23 p.m., CMA notified Western Massachusetts Electric Company ("WMECo") (Exh. 3(b)).

Upon the arrival of the distribution crew at 4:55 p.m. (Exh. 3(b)), CMA reported that the CMA Technician began to assist the street crew in blowing out debris in the curb box, the curb valve was shut off and he assisted in opening manholes in the area (Exh. 3(b),(c).

The distribution crew located and cleared the service valve box to 453 Worthington Street (Exh. 8). The crew leader observed that the gas service to 453 Worthington Street came off the sixteen (16) inch coated steel gas main at a ninety (90) degree angle, and told a crew member to close the valve (Exhs. 4, 8). At approximately, 5:20 p.m., one hour and nine minutes after the CMA Technician informed CMA dispatch of the gas leak, the CMA distribution crew shut off the gas service valve (Exh. 3(b)).

CMA stated that the distribution crew checked the manholes and bar holes at the foundation wall with a CGI machine and acquired gas readings of ninety (90%) percent and fifty seven (57%) percent gas in air respectively (Exh. 8).

CMA reported that WMECo arrived onsite at 5:14 p.m. and spoke directly with Springfield Fire Department near the intersection of Worthington Street and Chestnut Street (Exhs. 3(b), 8).

After CMA shut off the gas service valve and the manhole covers had been removed, the gas reading in the manhole went to zero (0%) percent, and the fifty seven (57%) percent gas reading at the foundation wall decreased to fifty one (51%) percent (Exh. 8). After noting the drop in the readings the crew leader directed crew members to get behind the CMA truck, shortly after, the building at 453 Worthington Street exploded (Exh.8). At 5:27 p.m., CMA dispatch received a call from a distribution crew member reporting a gas explosion at 453 Worthington Street (Exh. 3(b)).

As a result of the explosion and subsequent fire, CMA reported that seventeen (17) people were injured (Exh. 1). CMA personnel present during the Incident were sent for Post Incident Drug and Alcohol Testing (Exh. 9). The CMA personnel underwent blood tests for drugs and alcohol (Exh. 9). The blood test results showed that all CMA personnel tested negative for drugs and alcohol (Exh. 9).

D. Pressure Test of the Gas Service to Supplying 453 Worthington Street

Following their arrival at the Incident, the Department investigators requested that

CMA pressure test the entire gas service from the connection at the sixteen (16) inch coated

steel gas main to the service cock on the service riser located inside of 453 Worthington Street.

CMA excavated at the gas main to expose the gas service tee, service valve, and the one and a

quarter (1½) inch plastic gas service piping as it entered the two (2) inch steel casing (Exhs. 4,

5(e)).

CMA first soap tested the short, approximately one (1) foot section of the gas service between the service tee and the service valve (Exh. 5(e)). The Department Investigators observed no indication of gas leakage at the service tee and service valve during the soap test.

CMA next isolated the service valve and service tee from the remaining portion of the gas service pipe supplying 453 Worthington Street.

On November 23, 2014, the day of the Incident, CMA stated that the operating pressure at its closest regulator station was psig (Exh. 4). The Department investigators requested that CMA pressure test the remaining 29.5 feet of the gas service at psig from the point of disconnect at the service valve in the street to the gas service cock located inside 453 Worthington Street (Exh. 5(h)).

The Department investigators observed a pressure loss and heard air blowing from the ground at a point close to the foundation wall. CMA excavated at the location of the blowing air, exposed the gas service in the sidewalk, and conducted another pressure test of the gas service at psig. The Department inspectors witnessed another pressure loss.

The source of the leak was determined to be an approximate half (½) inch puncture to the steel service casing which housed the one and a quarter (1¼) inch plastic gas service pipe that was also punctured (Exh. 5(c),(d)). The circumference of the probe bar that the CMA technician used for the leak investigation was the same circumference as the half (½) inch hole in the casing and the plastic gas carrying pipe (Exhs. 1, 4, 5(d)).

The puncture in the gas service was approximately twenty one (21) inches to the right side of the faded yellow mark found on the sidewalk (Exhs. 3(a),(b),(c), 5(f)). The yellow mark was in line with the gas service valve that was located in the street, approximately twenty eight (28) feet away (Exhs. 4, 5(e),(f)).

CMA pressure tested the remaining (undamaged) portion of the plastic gas service pipe from the sidewalk to the service valve separation at the gas main, at a pressure of

psig for fifteen (15) minutes. The Department investigators observed no pressure drop, indicating no leakage in that section of the plastic gas service pipe.

CMA responded that, the Technician who damaged the gas service supplying 453 Worthington Street had no history of damaging other CMA facilities during his employment over the last three years (Exh. 10). All qualification records for the CMA Technician were consistent with federal requirements.

III. ODORIZATION

The state regulation, 220 C.M.R. § 101.06(20), requires operators to odorize gas in their distribution systems. Gas must be "readily perceptible to the normal or average olfactory senses of a person coming from fresh uncontaminated air into a closed room containing [0.15 percent gas in air]." 220 C.M.R. § 101.06(20)(a). Operators are also required to conduct periodic sampling of odorant concentrations throughout their system. 220 C.M.R. § 101.06(20)(f). CMA conducts odorant sampling on a monthly basis.

On November 23, 2012, a qualified CMA employee conducted an odor level test in Springfield after the explosion (Exh. 11). The result of the test is as follows:

1. 352 Worthington Street, Springfield @ 6 p.m. - Odor level @ 0.03 percent gas in air.

The odor detectability level of gas in the air is within the limits prescribed by state regulation. See 220 C.M.R. § 101.06(20)(a).

IV. MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES

A review of the CMA maintenance records for the eight (8) inch cast iron gas main, and sixteen (16) inch steel gas main underlying Worthington Street between Spring and Chestnut Street, and the one and a quarter (11/4) inch plastic gas service indicates CMA

performed maintenance work on these facilities on March 5, 2007; March 12, 2007; and March 17, 2008 (Exhs. 6, 12). On March 5, 2007, CMA reported a 0.30 percent gas reading at the foundation wall of 453 Worthington Street (Exh. 12). On March 12, 2007, CMA repaired an eight (8) inch joint leak on the cast iron gas main in front of 453 Worthington Street (Exh. 12).

On March 17, 2008, the customer at 453 Worthington reported a pulsating pressure regulator (Exh. 13). CMA installed a new regulator and reported no gas readings (Exh. 13).

CMA records indicate that on June 27, 2008, and August 23, 2012, CMA conducted an inspection of the inside gas piping at 453 Worthington Street (Exh. 13). CMA detected no leaks and reported that the pipe was in good condition (Exh. 13).

V. LEAK SURVEYS

In order to determine if other leak sources may have been contributing factors to the Incident, the Department reviewed the leak history of the eight (8) inch cast iron and sixteen (16) inch coated steel gas mains underlying Worthington Street between Spring and Chestnut Streets. Leakage surveys of gas mains and services are required by federal and state regulations. See 49 C.F.R. § 192.723(a) and 220 C.M.R. § 101.06(21).

On July 9, and 20, 2010, CMA performed a walking survey of the mains and services (Exh. 14). On February 23, 2012, CMA conducted a winter patrol survey that was limited to the eight (8) inch and sixteen (16) inch gas mains (Exh. 14). CMA conducted a mobile survey of the mains on April 18, 2012 (Exh. 14).

After the Incident, CMA conducted a leak survey of the surrounding area (Exh. 15).

This survey detected gas readings that ranged from seventy four (74%) percent to fifty two (52%) percent in front of 453 and 454 Worthington Street (Exh. 15).

VI. FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

A. Findings

1. Gas facilities on Worthington Street

- a. Worthington Street is located in a commercial district of Springfield, MA.
- An eight (8) inch low pressure cast iron gas main installed in 1930 and a sixteen (16) inch steel gas main installed in 1980 underlie the area in front of 453 Worthington Street.
- c. In 1993, CMA renewed the gas service supplying 453 Worthington Street by inserting one and a quarter (11/4) inch plastic pipe, into the original two (2) inch steel gas service.
- e. The gas service supplying 453 Worthington Street was connected to the sixteen (16) inch coated steel gas main.
- f. The operating pressure of the steel gas main and gas service at the time of the incident was approximately psig.
- g. The gas service ran on top of, and in line with, the steel gas main for approximately twenty two (22) inches from the service valve to where the plastic gas service turned ninety (90) degrees towards 453 Worthington Street.

2. The Incident

- a. On November 23, 2013, at 3:25 p.m., the CMA Call Center received a call from the property manager reporting an odor of gas in the basement of 453 Worthington Street.
- b. At 3:49 p.m., CMA reported that its technician arrived on the scene. The CMA Technician stated that he smelled a slight odor of gas at the front of the building.
- c. The CMA Technician stated that he detected a slight odor of gas inside the basement of the building.
- d. Using his CGI machine, the CMA Technician checked for gas around the gas meter, connections of the gas meter, gas service, associated gas piping and detected no gas readings.
- e. The CMA technician checked the gas service entrance inside the building, but there is no record that the technician checked any other utilities or appliances inside the basement or checked any other areas inside the building.
- f. The CMA Technician conducted an outside leak investigation, and observed a faded yellow mark on the sidewalk that appeared to be in line with the gas

- service in the basement of 453 Worthington Street and the gas service valve in the street.
- g. The CMA Technician checked for gas readings in an electric manhole and then placed a barhole with his probe bar in front of the building where the curb meets the sidewalk and detected no gas readings at both locations.
- h. The CMA Technician, using the faded yellow line as a reference, stepped off to the side of the yellow line and placed a second barhole at a seam on the concrete sidewalk against the foundation wall of 453 Worthington Street.
- The CMA Technician punctured the gas service with his probe bar when he placed this barhole against the foundation wall. The Technician heard gas escaping immediately.
- j. The CMA Technician evacuated the building and returned to the basement to turn the valve to the off position on the gas service.
- At 4:11 p.m. the CMA Technician contacted CMA dispatch and informed them of the situation.
- 1. The fire department, CMA supervisor, and distribution crew arrived onsite to address the uncontrolled release of gas.
- m. CMA detected gas readings of ninety (90%) percent and fifty seven (57%) percent in an electric manhole and at the foundation wall respectively.
- n. At approximately 5:20 p.m. one hour and nine minutes after the Technician had punctured the gas service, the distribution crew shut off the gas supply to 453 Worthington by shutting off the gas service valve in the street.
- o. After shutting the gas off, CMA reported that the gas reading in the manhole decreased to zero (0%) percent and the gas reading at the foundation wall had decreased to fifty one (51%) percent.
- p. At 5:27 p.m. CMA employee's onsite reported to dispatch that the building at 453 Worthington Street had exploded.

B. Conclusions

The source of the release of gas at 453 Worthington Street, Springfield, was the damaged one and a quarter (1½) inch gas service. The hole in the gas service was caused by an external force applied to the steel casing and plastic gas service, when the CMA Technician inserted a probe bar on the sidewalk, near the foundation wall outside of the building at 453 Worthington Street. The escaping gas entered and accumulated in the building and in the nearby manhole that contained the electric service connection to 453 Worthington Street. Due

to the elevated gas levels in the manhole which created an unsafe condition preventing entrance to shut off the electric service to the building was not shut off by WMECo.

After CMA shut off the supply of gas to the building, gas accumulated within the building and reached an explosive level. The accumulated gas ignited and the building exploded. Inside the building were many potential sources of ignition.

VII. OPERATOR CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

In the course of its investigation into the cause and circumstances of this Incident, the Department concluded two enforcement actions. In Bay State Gas Company d/b/a Columbia Gas of Massachusetts, D.P.U 12-PL-11, the Department investigated CMA's actions specifically related to the release of gas that resulted in the Incident. The other enforcement action in Bay State Gas Company d/b/a Columbia Gas of Massachusetts, D.P.U 12-PL-12, concerned CMA's field activities with respect to CMA's operating and maintenance activities in the Springfield Division after the Incident.

CMA agreed to perform a number of actions with the intent of minimizing the possibility of a recurrence of this Incident. CMA will review and revise its Operating & Maintenance ("O&M") manual procedures concerning the utilization of probe bar tools for locating leaks. This review includes, but is not limited to: (a) the use of current tools CMA uses for leakage pinpointing; (b) employee (and contract employee) training on probe bar safety (i.e., depth of insertion, checking point (sharpness) of probe bars, locating facilities prior to bar-holing); (c) training on when and how to use a probe bar when performing a leak investigation; (d) processes allowing technicians immediate on-site access to service cards.

CMA will review, and modify as appropriate, the effectiveness of its: O&M procedures; emergency response procedures; training program(s) for call center representatives; operator qualification (and requalification) methods, training procedures and processes. CMA will also evaluate its current drug and alcohol testing facilities, including after hours facilities, to ensure that CMA has the necessary coverage to meet federal mandated testing time guidelines.

CMA stated that it will be abandoning 200 feet of cast iron gas main on Fairbank Place, 1,000 feet of eight (8) inch cast iron gas main, and 100 feet of twelve (12) inch cast iron gas main on Worthington Street. In addition, CMA will install 200 feet of two (2) inch plastic on Fairbank Place psig) and 400 feet of two (2) inch plastic pipe on Worthington Street psig).

EXHIBIT 1

CMA Incident Report to the U.S. Department of Transportation

INCIDENT REPORT - GAS DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM

A federal agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, nor shall a person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act unless that collection of information displays a current valid OMB Control Number. The OMB Control Number for this information collection is 2137-0522. Public reporting for this collection of information is estimated to be approximately 10 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. All responses to this collection of information are mandatory. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to: Information Collection Clearance Officer, PHMSA, Office of Pipeline Safety (PHP-30) 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE, Washington, D.C. 20590.

INSTRUCTIONS

Important: Please read the separate instructions for completing this form before you begin. They clarify the information requested and provide specific examples. If you do not have a copy of the instructions, you can obtain one from the PHMSA Pipeline Safety Community Web Page at http://www.phmsa.dot.gov/pipeline.

PART A - KEY REPORT INFORMATION

Report Type: (select all that apply)	Original:	Supplemental:	Final:
	Yes		
Last Revision Date	1000		
Operator's OPS-issued Operator Identification Number (OPID):	1209		
2. Name of Operator	COLUMBIA GAS C	OF MASSACHUSETTS	
3. Address of Operator:			
3a. Street Address	4 TECHNOLOGY I	DRIVE	
3b. City	WESTBOROUGH		
3c. State	Massachusetts		
3d. Zip Code	01581		
4. Local time (24-hr clock) and date of the Incident:	11/23/2012 17:25		
5. Location of Incident:			
5a. Street Address or location description	453 Worthington S	treet	
5b. City	Springfield		
5c. County or Parish	Hampden		
5d. State:	Massachusetts		
5e. Zip Code:	01105		
5f. Latitude:	42.10668		
Longitude:	-72.589386		
National Response Center Report Number:	1031399		
7. Local time (24-hr clock) and date of initial telephonic report to the National Response Center:	11/23/2012 18:23		
8. Incident resulted from:	Unintentional relea	se of gas	
9. Gas released:	Natural Gas		
- Other Gas Released Name:			
10. Estimated volume of gas released - Thousand Cubic Feet (MCF):			
11. Were there fatalities?	No		
- If Yes, specify the number in each category:			
11a. Operator employees			
11b. Contractor employees working for the Operator			
11c. Non-Operator emergency responders			
11d. Workers working on the right-of-way, but NOT associated with this Operator			
11e. General public			
11f. Total fatalities (sum of above)			
12. Were there injuries requiring inpatient hospitalization?	Yes		
- If Yes, specify the number in each category:			
12a. Operator employees	2		
12b. Contractor employees working for the Operator	0		
12c. Non-Operator emergency responders	12		
12d. Workers working on the right-of-way, but NOT associated with this Operator	1		
12e. General public	2		
12f. Total injuries (sum of above)	17		
13. Was the pipeline/facility shut down due to the incident?	No		
- If No, Explain:		was shut-off due to incident	

- If Yes, complete Questions 13a and 13b: (use local time, 24-hr clock)	Page 2 of 10
13a. Local time and date of shutdown:	
13b. Local time pipeline/facility restarted:	
- Still shut down? (* Supplemental Report Required)	
14. Did the gas ignite?	Yes
15. Did the gas explode? 16. Number of general public evacuated:	Yes
17. Time sequence (use local time, 24-hour clock):	20
17. Time sequence (use local time, 24-hour clock). 17a. Local time operator identified Incident:	11/23/2012 17:30
17b. Local time operator resources arrived on site:	11/23/2012 17:30
PART B - ADDITIONAL LOCATION INFORMATION	THEOLOGIC PRIOR
Was the Incident on Federal land?	T No
2. Location of Incident	Private property
3. Area of Incident:	Transition Area
Specify:	Wall sleeve
If Other, Describe:	
Depth of Cover:	
4. Did Incident occur in a crossing?	No
- If Yes, specify type below:	
- If Bridge crossing –	
Cased/ Uncased:	
- If Railroad crossing -	
Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled	
- If Road crossing -	
Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled	
- If Water crossing –	
Cased/ Uncased	
Name of body of water (If commonly known):	
Approx. water depth (ft):	
PART C - ADDITIONAL FACILITY INFORMATION	
Indicate the type of pipeline system:	Natural Gas Distribution, privately owned
- If Other, specify:	Transfer day bioinballon, privately evines
Part of system involved in Incident:	Service
- If Other, specify:	
2a. Year "Part of system involved in Incident" was installed:	1993
Unknown?	
3. When "Main" or "Service" is selected as the "Part of system involved in Incider	nt" (from PART C, Question 2), provide the following:
3a. Nominal diameter of pipe (in):	1.25
3b. Pipe specification (e.g., API 5L, ASTM D2513):	ASTM 02513
Unknown?	
3c. Pipe manufacturer:	Phillips or Plexco
Unknown?	
3d. Year of manufacture:	
Unknown?	Yes
Material involved in Incident:	Plastic
- If Other, specify:	
4a. If Steel, Specify seam type:	
None/Unknown?	
4b. If Steel, Specify wall thickness (inches):	
Unknown?	Polvethylene (PE)
4c. If Plastic, Specify type:	Polyethylene (PE)
- If Other, describe:	
4d. If Plastic, Specify Standard Dimension Ratio (SDR): Or wall thickness:	.121
Unknown?	.121
4e. If Polyethylene (PE) is selected as the type of plastic in Part C, Que	setion 4 c:
- Specify PE Pipe Material Designation Code (i.e. 2406, 3408, etc.)	3408
Unknown?	
5. Type of release involved :	Mechanical Puncture
- If Mechanical Puncture - Specify Approx size:	
Approx. size: in. (axial):	.50
in. (circumferential):	.50
- If Leak - Select Type:	
- If Other, Describe:	

- If Rupture - Select Orientation:	Page 3 of 10
- If Other, Describe:	
Approx. size: (widest opening): (length circumferentially or axially):	
- If Other - Describe:	
PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION	
Class Location of Incident :	Class 2 Location
2. Estimated Property Damage :	T
2a. Estimated cost of public and non-Operator private	\$ 1,000,000
property damage 2b. Estimated cost of Operator's property damage & repairs	\$ 100,000
2c. Estimated cost of Operator's emergency response	\$ 10,000
2d. Estimated other costs	\$ 200,000
- Describe:	
2e. Total estimated property damage (sum of above)	\$ 1,310,000
Cost of Gas Released	
2f. Estimated cost of gas released	\$ 300
Estimated number of customers out of service:	1
3a. Commercial entities_	1
3b. Industrial entities	0
3c. Residences	0
PART E - ADDITIONAL OPERATING INFORMATION	The state of the s
 Estimated pressure at the point and time of the Incident (psig): 	
Normal operating pressure at the point and time of the Incident (psig):	
3. Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure (MAOP) at the point and time of	
the Incident (psig): 4. Describe the pressure on the system relating to the Incident:	Dranaura did not avaged MACD
Was a Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) based system in	Pressure did not exceed MAOP No
place on the pipeline or facility involved in the Incident? - If Yes:	NO .
5a. Was it operating at the time of the Incident?	
5b. Was it fully functional at the time of the Incident?	
5c. Did SCADA-based information (such as alarm(s), alert(s),	
event(s), and/or volume or pack calculations) assist with the	
detection of the Incident?	
5d. Did SCADA-based information (such as alarm(s), alert(s),	
event(s), and/or volume calculations) assist with the confirmation of the Incident?	
6. How was the Incident initially identified for the Operator?	Local Operating Personnel, including contractors
6a. If "Controller", "Local Operating Personnel, including	Operator employee
contractors", "Air Patrol", or "Ground Patrol by Operator or its	Operator employee
contractor" is selected in Question 6, specify the following:	
- If Other, Specify:	
7. Was an investigation initiated into whether or not the controller(s) or control room issues were the cause of or a contributing factor to the Incident?	No, the Operator did not find that an investigation of the controller(s) actions or control room issues was necessary due to: (provide an explanation for why the Operator did not investigate)
- If No, the operator did not find that an investigation of the controller(s)	Incident was human error and isolated to damage on service
actions or control room issues was necessary due to: (provide an	
explanation for why the operator did not investigate)	
If Yes, Specify investigation result(s) (select all that apply):	
- Investigation reviewed work schedule rotations, continuous hours	
of service (while working for the Operator), and other factors associated with fatigue	
Investigation did NOT review work schedule rotations, continuous	
hours of service (while working for the Operator), and other factors	
associated with fatigue	
- Provide an explanation for why not:	
- Investigation identified no control room issues	
Investigation identified no controller issues	
Investigation identified incorrect controller action or controller error	
- Investigation identified that fatigue may have affected the	
controller(s) involved or impacted the involved controller(s) response - Investigation identified incorrect procedures	
Investigation identified incorrect control room equipment operation	

 Investigation identified maintenance activities that affected control 	Page 4 of 10
room operations, procedures, and/or controller response - Investigation identified areas other than those above	
Describe:	
PART F - DRUG & ALCOHOL TESTING INFORMATION	
As a result of this Incident, were any Operator employees tested under the post-accident drug and alcohol testing requirements of DOT's Drug & Alcohol Testing regulations? - If Yes:	Yes
1a. Specify how many were tested:	6
1b. Specify how many failed:	0
As a result of this Incident, were any Operator contractor employees tested under the post-accident drug and alcohol testing requirements of DOT's Drug & Alcohol Testing regulations? - If Yes:	No
2a. Specify how many were tested:	
2b. Specify how many failed:	
PART G - CAUSE INFORMATION	
Select only one box from PART G in shaded column on left representing the App	parent Cause of the Incident, and answer the questions on the
right. Describe secondary, contributing, or root causes of the Incident in the narra	ative (PART H).
Apparent Cause:	G8 - Other Incident Cause
G1 - Corrosion Fallure - only one sub-cause can be picked from shaded le	it-hand column
Corrosion Failure Sub-Cause:	
- If External Corrosion:	
Results of visual examination:	
- If Other, Specify:	
Type of corrosion: Galvanic	T
- Atmospheric	
- Stray Current	
- Microbiological	
- Selective Seam	
- Other	
- If Other, Describe:	
3. The type(s) of corrosion selected in Question 2 is based on the following:	
- Field examination	
- Determined by metallurgical analysis	
- Other	
- If Other, Describe:	
Was the failed item buried under the ground? If Yes:	
4a. Was failed item considered to be under cathodic protection at the	
time of the incident?	
- If Yes, Year protection started:	
4b. Was shielding, tenting, or disbonding of coating evident at the	
point of the incident? 4c. Has one or more Cathodic Protection Survey been conducted at	
the point of the incident?	
If "Yes, CP Annual Survey" - Most recent year conducted:	
If "Yes, Close Interval Survey" - Most recent year conducted:	
If "Yes, Other CP Survey" – Most recent year conducted:	
- If No:	
4d. Was the failed item externally coated or painted? 5. Was there observable damage to the coating or paint in the vicinity of the	
corrosion? 6. Pipeline coating type if steel pipe is involved.	
Pipeline coating type, if steel pipe is involved: If Other, Describe:	
- If Other, Describe:	
7. Results of visual examination:	
- If Other, Describe:	
8. Cause of corrosion (select all that apply):	
- Corresive Commodity	

- Water drop-out/Acid	Page 5 of 10	
- Microbiological		
- Erosion		
- Other		
- If Other, Specify:		
9. The cause(s) of corrosion selected in Question 8 is based on the following: (see	elect all that apply):	
- Field examination		
Determined by metallurgical analysis		
- Other		
- If Other, Describe:		
10. Location of corrosion (select all that apply):		
- Low point in pipe	W.	
- Elbow		
- Drop-out		
- Other		
- If Other, Describe:		
11. Was the gas/fluid treated with corrosion inhibitor or biocides?		
12. Were any liquids found in the distribution system where the Incident		
occurred?		
Complete the following if any Corrosion Failure sub-cause is selected AND the Question 2) is Main, Service, or Service Riser.	e "Part of system involved in incident" (from PART C,	
13. Date of the most recent Leak Survey conducted		
14. Has one or more pressure test been conducted since original construction		
at the point of the Incident?		
- If Yes:		
Most recent year tested:		
Test pressure:		
rest pressure.		
G2 - Natural Force Damage - only one sub-cause can be picked from shad	led left-handed column	
Natural Force Damage – Sub-Cause:		
- If Earth Movement, NOT due to Heavy Rains/Floods:		
Specify: 1. Specify:		
- If Other, Specify:		
- If Heavy Rains/Floods:		
2. Specify:		
- If Other, Specify:		
- If Lightning:		
3. Specify:		
- If Temperature:		
4. Specify:		
- If Other, Specify:		
- If High Winds:		
- Other Natural Force Damage:		
5. Describe:		
Complete the following if any Natural Force Damage sub-cause is selected.		
Were the natural forces causing the Incident generated in conjunction with		
an extreme weather event?		
6.a If Yes, specify (select all that apply):		
- Hurricane		
- Tropical Storm		
- Tornado		
- Other		
- If Other, Specify:		
G3 - Excavation Damage - only one sub-cause can be picked from shaded	left-hand column	
Excavation Damage – Sub-Cause:		
- If Excavation Damage by Operator (First Party):		
 If Excavation Damage by Operator's Contractor (Second Party): 		
- If Excavation Damage by Third Party:		
- If Excavation Damage by Third Party:		

	Page 6 of 10
Complete the following ONLY IF the "Part of system involved in Incident" (fro	om Part C. Question 2) is Main. Service, or Service Riser.
Date of the most recent Leak Survey conducted	Thirt are of adoption 2) is main, between or between theer.
Has one or more pressure test been conducted since original construction	
at the point of the Incident?	
- If Yes:	
Most recent year tested:	
Test pressure:	
Tool process.	
Complete the following if Excavation Damage by Third Party is selected.	
Did the operator get prior notification of the excavation activity?	
3a. If Yes, Notification received from: (select all that apply):	
- One-Call System	
- Excavator	
- Contractor	
- Landowner	
Complete the following mandatory CGA-DIRT Program questions if any Exca	vation Damage sub-cause is selected.
Do you want PHMSA to upload the following information to CGA-DIRT (
www.cga-dirt.com)?	
Right-of-Way where event occurred (select all that apply):	
- Public	
- If Public, Specify:	
- Private	
- If Private, Specify:	
- Pipeline Property/Easement	
- Power/Transmission Line	
- Railroad	
- Dedicated Public Utility Easement	
- Federal Land	
- Data not collected	
- Unknown/Other	
6. Type of excavator :	
7. Type of excavation equipment :	
Type of work performed: Was the One-Call Center notified?	
9a. If Yes, specify ticket number:	
9b. If this is a State where more than a single One-Call Center exists, list	
the name of the One-Call Center notified:	
10. Type of Locator:	10
11. Were facility locate marks visible in the area of excavation?	
12. Were facilities marked correctly?	
13. Did the damage cause an interruption in service?	
13a. If Yes, specify duration of the interruption:	
14. Description of the CGA-DIRT Root Cause (select only the one predominant to	irst level CGA-DIRT Root Cause and then, where available as a
choice, the one predominant second level CGA-DIRT Root Cause as well):	
- Root Cause Description:	
If One-Call Notification Practices Not Sufficient, specify:	
If Locating Practices Not Sufficient, specify:	
- If Excavation Practices Not Sufficient, specify:	
 If Other/None of the Above (explain), specify: 	*
G4 - Other Outside Force Damage - only one sub-cause can be selected to	rom the shaded left-hand column
Other Outside Force Damage – Sub-Cause:	
- If Nearby Industrial, Man-made, or Other Fire/Explosion as Primary Cause	of Incident:
- If Damage by Car, Truck, or Other Motorized Vehicle/Equipment NOT Eng.	aged in Excavation:
Vehicle/Equipment operated by:	aged III Excavation.
	V 1 0 1 1 1 W WILL II - OIL - 1 - 1 Th. 1
- If Damage by Boats, Barges, Drilling Rigs, or Other Maritime Equipment o	r vessels Set Adriit or which have Otherwise Lost Their
Mooring:	
2. Select one or more of the following IF an extreme weather event was a factor:	
- Hurricane	0
- Tropical Storm - Tornado	
- Heavy Rains/Flood	

- Other	Page 7 of 10	
- If Other, Specify:		
- If Routine or Normal Fishing or Other Maritime Activity NOT Engaged in E	reavation:	
The state of the s	and a value of the same of the	
W Floods of Angles Comp Other Fords on the Fords		
If Electrical Arcing from Other Equipment or Facility:		
- If Previous Mechanical Damage NOT Related to Excavation:		
Complete the following ONLY IF the "Part of system involved in Incident" (from Part	t C, Question 2) is Main, Service, or Service Riser.	
Date of the most recent Leak Survey conducted:		
4. Has one or more pressure test been conducted since original construction		
at the point of the Incident?		
- If Yes:		
Most recent year tested:		
Test pressure (psig):		
- If Intentional Damage:		
5. Specify:		
- If Other, Specify:		
- If Other Outside Force Damage:		
6. Describe:		
G5 - Pipe, Weld, or Joint Failure - only one sub-cause can be selected from	n the shaded left-hand column	
and the second s		
Pipe, Weld or Joint Failure – Sub-Cause:		
ripe, weld of John Panule - Sub-Cause.		
- If Body of Pipe:		
1. Specify:		
- If Other, Describe:		
- If Butt Weld:		
2. Specify:		
- If Other, Describe:		
- If Fillet Weld:		
3. Specify:		
- If Other, Describe:		
- If Pipe Seam:		
4. Specify:		
- If Other, Describe:	**************************************	
- If Threaded Metallic Pipe:		
- Il Tilleaded Metallic Fipe.		
- If Mechanical Fitting:		
Specify the mechanical fitting involved:		
- If Other, Describe:		
Specify the type of mechanical fitting:		
- If Other, Describe:		
7. Manufacturer:		
8. Year manufactured:		
9. Year Installed:		
10. Other attributes:		
11. Specify the two materials being joined:		
11a. First material being jointed:		
- Steel		
- Cast/Wrought Iron		
- Ductile Iron		
- Copper		
- Plastic		
- Unknown		
- Other		
- If Other, Specify:		
11b. If Plastic, specify:		
- If Other Plastic, specify:		
11c. Second material being joined:		
- Steel		
- Cast/Wrought Iron		
- Ductile Iron		
- Copper		
- Plastic		

- Unknown	Page 8 of 10
- Other	
- If Other, Specify:	No.
11d. If Plastic, specify:	
- If Other Plastic, Specify:	
12. If used on plastic pipe, did the fitting – as designed by the manufacturer –	
include restraint?	
12a. If Yes, specify:	
- If Compression Fitting:	
13. Fitting type:	
14. Manufacturer:	
15. Year manufactured:	
16. Year installed: 17. Other attributes:	
18. Specify the two materials being joined:	
18a. First material being joined:	
- Steel	
- Cast/Wrought Iron	
- Ductile Iron	
- Copper	
- Plastic	
- Unknown	
- Other	
- If Other, specify:	
18b. If Plastic, specify:	
- If Other Plastic, specify:	
18c. Second material being joined:	r
- Steel	
- Cast/Wrought Iron	
- Ductile Iron - Copper	
- Plastic	
- Unknown	
- Other	
If Other, specify:	
18d. If Plastic, specify:	
- Other Plastic, specify:	
- If Fusion Joint:	
19. Specify:	
- If Other, Specify:	
20. Year installed:	
21. Other attributes:	*
22. Specify the two materials being joined:	
22a. First material being joined:	
- If Other, Specify:	
22b. Second material being joined:	
- If Other, Specify:	
- If Other Pipe, Weld, or Joint Failure:	
23. Describe:	
Complete the following if any Pipe, Weld, or Joint Failure sub-cause is select	ed.
24. Additional Factors (select all that apply):	
- Dent	
- Gouge	
- Pipe Bend	
- Arc Burn	
- Crack	
- Lack of Fusion - Lamination	
- Lammation - Buckle	
- Wrinkle	
- Misalignment	
- Burnt Steel	
- Other	
25. Was the Incident a result of:	
- Construction defect	
Specify:	
- Material defect	

Specify:	Page 9 of 10
- If Other, Specify:	
- Design defect	
- Previous damage	
26. Has one or more pressure test been conducted since original construction at the point of the Incident?	
- If Yes:	
Most recent year tested:	
Test pressure:	
G6 - Equipment Failure - only one sub-cause can be selected from the sha	ided left-hand column
Equipment Failure – Sub-Cause:	
- If Malfunction of Control/Relief Equipment:	
1. Specify:	
- Control Valve	
- Instrumentation	
- SCADA	
- Communications - Block Valve	
- Check Valve	
- Relief Valve	
- Power Failure	
- Stopple/Control Fitting	
- Pressure Regulator	
- Other	
- If Other, Specify:	
- If Threaded Connection Failure:	
2. Specify: - If Other, Specify:	
- If Non-threaded Connection Failure:	
3. Specify:	
- If Other, Specify:	
- If Valve:	
4. Specify:	
- If Other, Specify:	
4a. Valve type:	
4b. Manufactured by:	
4c. Year manufactured:	
- If Other Equipment Failure:	
5. Describe:	
G7 - Incorrect Operation - only one sub-cause can be selected from the sh	aded left-hand column
Incorrect Operation Sub-Cause:	
- If Damage by Operator or Operator's Contractor NOT Related to Excavation	on and NOT due to Motorized Vehicle/Equipment Damage:
- If Valve Left or Placed in Wrong Position, but NOT Resulting in an Overpr	essure:
- If Pipeline or Equipment Overpressured:	
- If Equipment Not Installed Properly:	
- If Wrong Equipment Specified or Installed:	
- If "Other Incorrect Operation:	
1. Describe:	
Complete the following if any Incorrect Operation sub-cause is selected.	
Was this Incident related to: (select all that apply)	
- Inadequate procedure	
- No procedure established	
- Failure to follow procedure	
- Other	
- If Other, Describe:	
What category type was the activity that caused the Incident: Was the task(s) that led to the Incident identified as a covered task in your	
The more thanks the tree includent identified as a covered task iff your	

Operator Qualification Program?	Page 10 of 10
4a. If Yes, were the individuals performing the task(s) qualified for the task(s)?	
G8 - Other Incident Cause - only one sub-cause can be selected from the	e shaded left-hand column
Other Incident Cause – Sub-Cause:	Miscellaneous
- If Miscellaneous:	
1. Describe:	Operator employee punctured service line with probe bar while investigating odor call.
- If Unknown:	
2. Specify:	
PART H - NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF THE INCIDENT	
File Full Name Note: The users have to sign in to view the attachment if the PART I - PREPARER AND AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE	ere is no current user session.
Preparer's Name	Brian P Normoyle
Preparer's Title	Operations Compliance Manager
Preparer's Telephone Number	508-836-7301
Preparer's E-mail Address	
Preparer's Facsimile Number	bnormoyle@nisource.com
Authorized Signature	
Authorize Signature's Name	bnormoyle@nisource.com
	bnormoyle@nisource.com 508-836-7070 Brian P Normoyle
Authorized Signature's Title	bnormoyle@nisource.com 508-836-7070 Brian P Normoyle Operations Compliance Manager
Authorized Signature Telephone Number	bnormoyle@nisource.com 508-836-7070 Brian P Normoyle Operations Compliance Manager 508-836-7301
	bnormoyle@nisource.com 508-836-7070 Brian P Normoyle Operations Compliance Manager

EXHIBIT 2

CMA Telephonic Report to Department of Public Utilities

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

RESPONSE OF COLUMBIA GAS OF MASSACHUSETTS TO THE FIRST SET OF INFORMATION REQUESTS FROM THE D.P.U. PIPELINE ENGINEERING AND SAFETY DIVISION

453 Worthington St., Springfield, MA (11-23-12)

Date: December 10, 2012

Responsible: Danny G. Cote, V.P. Pipeline Safety Compliance

IR-PL-1-4:

Refer to the Operator's Emergency Plan, Section 4.10. Provide the notification time, notification number (the Operator called to the DPU), reporting personnel name, and incident details CMA provided to the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities on November 23, 2012. Include in your response, a copy of the preliminary report, Form C 1540.

Response:

CMA Logistics coordinator Patricia Kurey placed a telephone call to the DPU at 17:56 on November 23, 2012. Ms. Kurey left a voice message because that telephone was not answered. The Company is currently reviewing phone records to identify the number called and will supplement this response upon confirmation of that number.

A Form C 1540 was not completed for this incident.

EXHIBIT 3

Sequence of Events:

- 3(a) CMA Review and Analysis of Incident
- 3(b) Timelines and Sequence of Events
- 3(c) Witness Statements
- 3(d) CMA Call Center Transcript

3 (a) CMA review and analysis of Incident

Columbia Gas of Massachusetts re: 453 Worthington St, Springfield, MA (11-23-12) Attachment IR-PL-1-1 Page 1 of 2

Incident Review

Location of Incident: 453 Worthington St. Springfield, MA

Date of Incident: November 23, 2012

Time of Incident: 17:25

Number of Customers Involved: 1

Did this incident merit review according to 49 CFR 192 Subpart L? NO

Did this incident merit review according to circumstance or performance related issues? YES

Name of Operations Center Manager: Scott Ruble

Name of Facilitator: Brian Normoyle

Was Preliminary Report, 1540C Completed? NO

Description of Incident - What actually happened?

While performing a leak investigation on an odor call at 453 Worthington St Springfield, first responding Utility Technician punctured a 1 ½" IP plastic inserted service line with a bang bar. PSI Gas released through barhole at foundation and into the basement of 453 Worthington. Gas in building eventually ignited, resulting in an explosion.

Scope of Review:

Determine if actions taken by employees prior to and following incident were appropriate and in accordance with CMA policies and procedures. Review lessons learned, current procedures and practices to determine adequacy and prevent reoccurrence.

Name of Employees Attending Review:

0

What could have been done to improve Incident Preparation?

Availability of tap card records to CMA Utility Worker General employees to identify service line information.

What actions were taken?

Prior to explosion, response to odor call.: Technician dispatched to 453 Worthington Street Springfield based on call from that location's business manager Matt. The order was dispatched as odor in basement. Upon arrival, the first responding technician zeroed his CGI in free air and proceeded to the entrance of the business. CMA tech smelled a slight odor of gas outside the entrance way of the business. CMA tech was met by the business' manager Matt, who stated that they had been sensing gas outside and in the front entry way when the door was opened, then proceeded to show the CMA tech to the basement. CGI showed no readings in basement, at meter, piping, or around service line penetration through basement wall. CMA tech also observed a sofe, clay-like material surrounding the service line on the foundation. Seeing zero reads, and the fact that the odor of gas was more pronounced outside the building than that smelled in the basement, he made the decision to investigate outside the business.

CMA Technician checked a manhole located outside the front of business and his CGI registered zero. He retrieved his bang bar probe from his vehicle and returned to the entrance way of the building to pinpoint the origin of the odor. The technician observed a yellow marking on the sidewalk which he interpreted as a dig safe locate for the gas service. He displaced himself laterally, approximately 2 feet away from that yellow marking and put down his first bar-hole using his bang-bar tool, at the curb and street line. A reading of zero gas was obtained at that bar hole. He then went to the seam between the sidewalk and building foundation and made a second bar-hole, again staying approximately 2 feet to the side of that yellow marking. When the bang bar was extracted from the second hole, blowing gas was escaping. The technician had punctured the medium pressure service line and now had a blowing gas situation. The technician notified the logistics center of his situation and requested a distribution crew, and that he had blowing gas at the building and could not stop it. CMA technician proceeded inside the building ordering an evacuation, and went to the basement where he shut off the meter cock. He observed blowing gas around the service entrance in the basement. Tech made additional calls to logistics requesting Fire Department, and electric service shut off. Evacuations were completed and CGI reads of manholes were being performed while waiting for Distribution crew and additional help checking adjacent properties and substructures.

What was done well?

- o Incident response times by all responders
- Hazard recognition, and decision making to evacuate and protect life.
- Thorough survey of area to check for gas migration or damage to underground facilities as a result of the explosion.
- Communications. Excellent teamwork among CMA Leadership and Communications Department to keep the
 public, emergency responders, and incident investigators well informed and assured that the immediate hazard
 was eliminated and ensuring CMA's full cooperation.

What improvements could be implemented?

- o Review / Revise procedures around utilization of bang bar tools for leak pinpointing.
- Review current tools used for leakage pinpointing.
- Training on bang-bar probe tool safety: depth of insertion, checking point (sharpness), locating facilities prior to bar-holing.
- Training on bang bar tool use: When to use a bang bar when performing a leak investigation.
- Review/Revise procedures allowing tech immediate on-site access to tap cards.

What ideas were generated?

• Distribution vehicle proved to withstand enormous force without toppling or moving and injuring those behind it. Columbia should continue to utilize that style / manufacturer of vehicle.

Lessons learned

- o Locate underground facilities prior to bang barring for pinpointing.
- Inability to allow reliance on prior markings as accurately identifying underground structures

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

RESPONSE OF COLUMBIA GAS OF MASSACHUSETTS TO THE FIRST SET OF INFORMATION REQUESTS FROM THE D.P.U. PIPELINE ENGINEERING AND SAFETY DIVISION

453 Worthington St., Springfield, MA (11-23-12)

Date: December 10, 2012

Responsible: Danny G. Cote, V.P. Pipeline Safety Compliance

IR-PL-1-2: Provide a sequence of events from the initial odor call to when the Incident occurred. Include all records that demonstrate: (1) receipt time of the initial odor complaint; (2) the arrival time of first responder; (3) a detailed description of the actions the first responder initiated when he arrived at the scene before the line was damaged - and the length of time it took to perform these actions; (4) the time the first responder damaged the gas service; (5) the time the first responder notified Columbia Gas of the damaged facility (include recordings of this notification); (6) the time 453 Worthington Street was evacuated and the count of persons evacuated; (7) the time Dispatch notified the crew and supervisor(s) to report to the location of the damaged facility; (9) the time the Operator notified the Springfield Fire Department and Western Massachusetts Electric Company.

Response:

Please see Attachment IR-PL-1-2 (a) for CMA's complete timeline related to this incident.

Regarding the specific times requested:

- (1) CMA received the odor call at 15:25.
- (2) Christopher Warren arrived on-site at 15:49.
- (3) Please see Attachment IR-PL-1-2 (b) for the description of the actions taken by Christopher Warren prior to the line damage.
- (4) (6) Christopher Warren contacted Logistics at 16:11 to request a street crew for the gas leak. Attachment IR-PL-1-2 (c) is a transcript of the call. The recording is contained on the enclosed disk.
- (7) Logistics contacted the Springfield Distribution Crew at 16:14.
- (9) [sic] Logistics notified the Springfield Fire Department at 16:19 and the Western Massachusetts Electric Company at 16:23.

To: John Rooney

Fr: Jim Murphy

Re: 453 Worthington Street, Springfield, MA Incident November 23, 2012

December 6, 2012

As requested, here is the November 23, 2012 timeline for the above referenced incident. The times, unless otherwise noted, are time stamped in the Columbia Gas of Massachusetts Logistics office in Brockton, MA.

3:25pm: Received call reporting a "possible gas leak" at 453 Worthington Street, Springfield, MA. Caller's name "Matt."

3:29pm: Order dispatched to Service Technician Christopher Warren.

3:29pm: Christopher Warren goes on route to 453 Worthington Street, Springfield, MA.

3:49pm: Christopher Warren arrives on site at 453 Worthington Street, Springfield, MA.

4:11pm: Christopher Warren calls into Logistics Office requesting a street crew to respond as soon as possible to 453 Worthington Street, advising the service was leaking, he could not shut it off, and he had a blowing gas situation.

4:14pm: Logistics contacts Springfield Distribution Crew (Brent Alexander and Greg DeAngelo) and dispatches them to 453 Worthington Street Springfield, MA.

4:16pm: Logistics notifies Field Operations Leader Justin Carr.

4:18pm: Christopher Warren informs Logistics that he needs the Fire Department to respond as soon as possible.

4:19pm: Logistics notifies the Springfield Fire Department requesting Fire Department response to 453 Worthington Street as soon as possible for a gas leak.

4:23pm: Christopher Warren notifies Logistics to call the electric company.

4:23pm: Logistics notifies Connecticut Light & Power and requests that the electric power be turned off to 453 Worthington Street, Springfield, MA because of a gas leak. Logistics provided the cross street location and a contact telephone number.

4:26pm: Christopher Warren requests from Logistics the name of the FOL, informs Logistics that the Fire Department is on site, and the building is being evacuated. There is high pressure gas blowing in the basement.

4:42pm: Christopher Warren calls Logistics and requests additional technicians be dispatched to check the other buildings in the area. Informs Logistics less than twenty (20) people were evacuated.

4:44pm: Utility Worker Dan Maslowski informs Logistics he is en-route to 453 Worthington Street.

4:55pm: (According to Field Force Manager from the GPS on the Company issued cell telephone) Brent Alexander arrives on site at 453 Worthington Street, Springfield, MA.

5:20pm: (Approximate) Greg DeAngelo shuts off the curb valve to 453 Worthington Street.

5:27pm: Dan Maslowski calls Logistics and advises there has been an explosion. All CMA onsite personnel are accounted for.

5:34pm: Logistics utilized ARCOS and made an "All Hands on Deck" call. 139 calls made simultaneously.

5:42pm: Construction Leader Justin Carr calls Logistics and advises all responding support personnel report to the Springfield Operating Center not the incident location.

5:44pm: (Actual 9 minutes 37 seconds) eighteen (18) technicians accepted calls via ARCOS and eight additional technicians called to accept over the land line in Logistics. Total twenty-six (26).

5:56pm: Logistics called the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities (DPU). Left message on voice mail regarding the incident.

6:00pm: Five (5) additional technicians call Logistics on the land line. Thirty-one (31) CMA Emergency Responders in total available.

6:05pm: (Approximately) Jorge Santi from the DPU called Logistics. Apparently saw the incident on the news.

6:20pm: (Approximately) Jim Murphy called Jorge Santi and reviewed the details as they were known. Jorge indicated he was headed to the incident location and will be joined by Angela Motley and possibly one other member from the DPU.

6:24pm: (Approximately) Brian Normoyle informed Jim Murphy he made a telephonic notification to the Department of Transportation.

7:00pm: (Approximately) Berkshire Gas called Logistics offering Mutual Aid if necessary. Contact them at (413)445-0230, 0231 if Mutual Aid is required.

8:00pm: (Approximately) Insurance carrier ESIS notified. Claim Number:

I did not document a timeline after 8:00pm. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Upon arrival at the scene, CMA employee Christopher Warren parked his vehicle and noted on his laptop his 15:49 arrival at 453 Worthington Street, Springfield, MA. Mr. Warren telephoned his contact, "Matt" and waited for Matt's arrival. Mr. Warren got out of his vehicle and prepared for his leak investigation. Mr. Warren turned on his Combustible Gas Indicator ("CGI") in the outside free air and zeroed the instrument. When Matt arrived, Matt told Mr. Warren that 453 Worthington Street had been experiencing an odor of gas outside the building near the front door, and they experienced the odor in the front hallway when the front door was opened. Mr. Warren detected a slight odor of natural gas outside the front of the building with his nose. Mr. Warren's CGI registered zero. Mr. Warren entered the building with Matt, went through the ladies room, and downstairs into the basement. The basement was dimly lit. Mr. Warren located the gas service line and observed the line surrounded by a soft, clay-like substance. With his CGI probe, Mr. Warren checked around the service line. His CGI registered zero. Mr. Warren tested the connections from the service entrance to the meter. His CGI registered zero. Based upon the odor of natural gas outside the building, information that the property had been experiencing a natural gas odor outside the building, the packing of the service line with a soft, clay-like material, and no readings of natural gas in the basement, Mr. Warren went up the stairs and began his outside leak investigation. Mr. Warren located a Western Massachusetts Electric Company manhole in front of the building and inserted his CGI probe into the vented top. His CGI registered zero. Mr. Warren observed a yellow marking on the sidewalk consistent with, and in line with, the inside meter he just previously observed. Mr. Warren went to his vehicle and got his barhole probe. With the yellow marking as his point of reference, Mr. Warren stepped off to the right of the line approximately two (2) feet and barholed between the curb and the street. A parked car in the area caused difficulty in barholing. Mr. Warren inserted the extended CGI probe into the barhole. His CGI registered zero. Mr. Warren walked to the foundation of the building and, using the yellow mark as his point of reference, stepped approximately two (2) feet to the right of the line and inserted his barhole between two (2) concrete slabs in the sidewalk next to the foundation of the building. Upon removal of his barhole probe, natural gas began to escape from the hole in the ground. Mr. Warren's best estimate is that twenty (20) minutes elapsed from the time of his arrival 453 Worthington Street to the time natural gas came out of the hole in the ground;

Transcript of call made by Chris Warren to Logistics at 16:11.

Ellie: Columbia Gas this is Ellie

Chris: Ellie its Chris Warren how ya doing?

Ellie: Hey Chris how are you?

Chris: Good, I need a Street Crew here as soon as possible.

Ellie: Okay, whatcha got?

Chris: I got blowing gas out of the ground inside this club, where they were smelling gas.

Ellie: Out of the ground

Chris: The service is leaking, right at the edge of the building

Ellie: Out of the ground?

Chris: I can't shut it off

Ellie: K I will send someone out there as soon as possible.

Chris: Thank you

Ellie: yup, thank you.

Chris: Bye

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

RESPONSE OF COLUMBIA GAS OF MASSACHUSETTS TO THE FIRST SET OF INFORMATION REQUESTS FROM THE D.P.U. PIPELINE ENGINEERING AND SAFETY DIVISION

453 Worthington St., Springfield, MA (11-23-12)

Date: December 10, 2012

Responsible: Danny G. Cote, V.P. Pipeline Safety Compliance

IR-PL-1-3: Provide a sequence of events and a description of the Incident. Include all records that demonstrate: (1) the time Columbia Gas crew and supervisor(s) arrived at the Incident; (2) the time Columbia Gas initiated an Emergency Notification to staff; (3) the time Western Massachusetts Electric Company arrived on the scene; (4) the time Western Massachusetts Electric Company turned off the electricity to 453 Worthington Street, Springfield; and (5) the time the Fire Department arrived on the scene.

Response: Please see Attachment IR-PL-1-2 for CMA's complete timeline related to this incident. CMA has requested, but has not as of the time of this filing, received the complete timeline from Western Massachusetts Electric Company regarding their timing. CMA will supplement this response when Western Massachusetts Electric Company's timeline is received.

Please see Attachment IR-PL-1-33 for the Springfield Fire Department's Report.

Regarding the specific times requested:

- (1) Brent Alexander, Lead Operator, arrived on-site at 16:55.
- (2) CMA initiated ARCOS notification at 17:34.
- (3) CMA has been informed that Western Mass Electric arrived on-site at 17:14.
- (4) CMA will provide this information as soon as it is available from Western Mass Electric.
- (5) Based on the Springfield Fire Department Report (see Attachment IR-PL-1-33), the Fire Department arrived at 16:24.

My name is Chris Warren. I am years old. Prior to being hired by Columbia Gas on July 21, 2008 as a Meter Tech, I worked as a locator for On Target.

At approximately 3:30 p.m., I was dispatched to the location on a call for an odor of gas. I parked in the parking lot and telephoned the property's contact person, "Matt," who was to meet me at the site. I waited a few minutes for Matt to arrive, and when he did, Matt said that they had been smelling gas for a while. As I stood in front of the building, I could detect an odor of gas. Matt and I entered the building, walked through the ladies room, and headed down into the basement.

As I entered the basement, my CGI continued to read "zero." I located the service line entering through the foundation and observed that the line was surrounded by a "clay-like material" that felt like soft clay. I detected a slight odor of gas in the basement. I used my CGI probe and checked the area where the service line entered the basement, the associated gas piping, the connections to the gas meter, and the gas meter. My CGI continued to register no readings in the area. I exited the building and located Western Mass. Electric Co. manholes in the street. I inserted my CGI probe into the manhole cover and once again, obtained no reading.

I observed a yellow gas mark on the sidewalk that appeared to be in line with the service I observed in the basement. I went to my truck and got a bang bar and, with the yellow line as my point of reference, stepped off to the side and bar-holed between the curb and the street. I had a difficult time with this first bar hole because of a parked car in the street. I inserted my CGI probe into the bar hole and got no reading.

Again, using the yellow line as his point of reference, I went closer to the building, stepped off to the side of the yellow line, and bar-holed between two sections of concrete

Columbia Gas of Massachusetts re: 453 Worthington St, Springfield, MA (11-23-12) Attachment IR-PL-1-10

age 2 of 3

sidewalk near the edge of the building. I could not go down far at all and gas immediately came

out. I called dispatch to tell them what happened

I entered the building and told the manager to immediately evacuate the building. I went

back into the basement and turned the valve on the service line to the "off" position. I continued

to clear people away from the evacuated building, interacted with the responding fire fighters,

took CGI readings in numerous manholes, and made additional telephone calls to dispatch.

When the street crew arrived, I informed Brent Alexander what had occurred. I helped

them locate the curb box. I assisted the street crew in blowing out debris in the curb box. The

curb valve was shut off. The crew and I opened a number of manholes in the area.

I was directed by Brent Alexander to stand behind the truck. Everyone was behind the

truck including someone from the City Water Department who was sitting on the ground in the

area when the explosion occurred.

Chris Warren

Date: 12-06-2012

2

re: 453 Worthington St, Springfield, MA (11-23-12)
Attachment IR-PL-1-10

Attachment IR-PL-I was the Projecty Manager of Scores Gentlemen's Club, 453 /2 lierthyton Street, Springfield, Alt. At Approximately 3.36 pm I informed Columbia Gas Co. et a potential que teals. At Appreximately 3:55 p.m. I was centacted by a Columbia Gov employee and met him at the Club at approximately 4:00 p.M. I told him that we had been experiencing an oder of gas outside the building and inside when the duck opened went into the basement. He told me he had no gas readings and west outside to further investigate. I was an the

Corner of worthington and Cheskut when the explosion trappened

Mutt Hibney

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

RESPONSE OF COLUMBIA GAS OF MASSACHUSETTS TO THE FIRST SET OF INFORMATION REQUESTS FROM THE D.P.U. PIPELINE ENGINEERING AND SAFETY DIVISION

453 Worthington St., Springfield, MA (11-23-12)

Date: December 10, 2012

Responsible: Danny G. Cote, V.P. Pipeline Safety Compliance

IR-PL-1-5: Provide the time Columbia Gas received the odor complaint call from the

residents of 453 Worthington Street, Springfield, and transcripts of all

conversations between the Columbia Gas representative and the caller(s).

Response: CMA received a call from Matt, the manager of Scores, at 15:23. Attachment IR-

PL-1-5 is a transcript of the call. The recording is contained on the enclosed

disk.

Dispatch: Columbia gas emergency gas leak line this line is recorded

Matt: Yes hi I'm calling uh a possible gas leak at my business

Dispatch: What is the address?

Matt: 453 and a half Worthington street Springfield, Massachusetts

Dispatch: What's the name on the account?

Matt: Uhhhh the corporate name of the company is Sulisants or could be the DBA theatre uhh club 418 Lace or Scores. I don't know if they ever changed the DBA on it but the corporate name is Sulisants.

Dispatch: Okay, I'm only showing one site coming up at 453 Worthington and it's under BSC reality.

Matt: Oh yup that's our, that's the real-estate agent that real-estate it goes through, that's one of our company's. Ya I think I uh at the meters smells like there's a gas leak.

Dispatch: And what is your name?

Matt: My name is Matt, I'm one of the managers, property manager

Dispatch: And a call back phone number?

Matt: 413-626-5094

Dispatch: The odors at the gas meters?

Matt: Wha, uhhh, ya uhhh the gas meters in the basement, seems right above them are near the gas meters and they got a slight sense of gas

Dispatch: And what door are we going to come to for access front, back or side?

Matt: Uh front door on Worthington, if they want they can call me, I'm across the street, and they can call me, I'll be right over there, whenever they are in the neighborhood.

Dispatch: Okay, but so you're saying the address is 453 and a half Worthington?

Matt: Well, sometimes well that's what the postal address is, but sometimes it's posted as 453.

Dispatch: Okay

Matt: That could be the case. There nothing else around this place, nothing on both sides of it

Dispatch: Alright, I'll have someone there within the hour so please watch for them. Okay, they can't

Matt: I appreciate it. I understand.


Dispatch: Alright byebye

Matt: I understand thanks

(Hang up)

EXHIBIT 4

CMA Records of Gas Service Supplying 453 Worthington Street, Springfield



Enter Other Completion Information And Patch Information on Back



	Distribution	on Work Orde	9r	
Identification:				
At House # To House #	Street Name	Location Ph	ione '	Work Order #
453	WORTHINGTON ST	r ! :		173460
Lot •	Town	Work Phone	<u> </u>	Initiated Date
	SPRINGFIELD			12/03/93
At Pule * To Pule *	At Intersection	Customer A	Account #	Initiated Time
				0722
Kit und Grid #	To Intersection	CCS Number	er /	Source
	1	1 1470	64-00-000	CCS SYSTEM
Customer Nume		Entered By		Source Name
MIKES OF SPRING	FIELD	SCUL	LY	1
Work Code Work Descri	ption	Estin	nated Units	Scheduled Date
SNEOCB SER-N	NEW-EXT CNST ON-C	OM-C	1!	
		Lenk	Priority	Employee Assigned
Outgoing Comments		Caus	e of Leak	BSG Crew Assigned
TNSTALL NEW	SERVICE INSER	2		
SPOKE "W	1 1/2 20 1	1	•	
SPOKE "S		Joh F	Priority	Contr. Crew Assigned
SI- A.M	733-4051		MEDIUM	
1 1/0 5	FRUILE UN	ECORD	1504-011	
Pipe Data:		13.13		Other Data:
New Pipe	Expos	ed Pipe	Retired Pipe	
pe 5:7e	Tu and the same of	16		Anode Inst. Y Yes No
or Type	P.	100		Flow Limiter Tyes Y No
PLASTIC		3.		Flow Linuter Tyes X No
essure and	2			Curh Cock X Yes No
INTER-MED ?	2.0	The state of the s		Meter Barrier Yes I No
25			and the second of	Meter Fit Yes X No
pth 4	7	U"		tassle 🔀
4				Outside
Cont 7993	a regulations may the second of the second of the second			SAUP Tes: Y Yes No
g Cond				Pressate Test E Yes No
				Instanted and its and No
Depti:		****	¥//***********************************	Pounds OA
/ Fas				Square Inch 90
5:xe	AUGUS.			Epsa PSI
e Ciass	1. 1. No. 2. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.			Time 15
h Needed E Yes No P	aich Dane 🔀 Yes 🔲 No	Is Work Billable	Yes No	T


Page 2 of 13 Sketch: Materials Used ×28'0" Line of Main Permit Data: **Restoration Data:** Required Date Done Dig Safe: Date Effective Ticket # Sand Notification: Gravel **Base Coat** Cold Patch Permit Required: Hot Patch Date Sent Date Rec'd Permit # Emulsion Town Infra-Red Concrete Loam & Seed Date Called 12 10 Water Notification: Size Sewer Notification: Date Called_ Signature **Completion Data:** Stamps: Peran 2" ser with 14 P.s Comments: **Completed Date** 12/18/93 Signature Completed Units one Micolo



Distribution Work Order

Project LD.

Identification:			
At House To House	Street Name	Location Phone	Work Order #
453 0	WORTHINGTON ST		6497409-1
Bldg. # Unit # Apt. # Suite #	Town	Work Phone	Initiated Date
	SPRINGFIELD		03/06/2007
At Lot To Lot At Pole To Pole	At Intersection	Customer Account #	Iuitiated Time
			11:57
Kit and Grid #	To Intersection	CCS Number	Source
		0-0-0	EMPLOYEE
Customer Name	Entered By	Digging Conditions	Source Name
			ZIEMBA DAVID M
Work: Work Code Work Desc	ription	Estimated Units	Scheduled Date
LR8X S	LEAK REPAIR	1	03/06/2007
TCIX /		Leak Priority	Employee Assigned
		CLASS 1	TROLIO, NICOLA
	Safar takun bahar 1		
Outgoing Comments		Cause of Leak	BSG Crew Assigned
CHECK FOR	910	Missi	TRUCK 386
	\	Dig Safe #	
(4)			Contr. Crew Assigned
	**	Job Priority	
			Child Lange
Pipe Data:			Other Data:
New Pipe	Exposed	Pipe Retired Pipe	
Pipe Size	0	\mathcal{S}''	Flow Limiter
Pipe Type			Flow Limiter
17, 76.75			Tagged Curb Cock
Pressure	77. V 77. US 7. C.S. A. V.		Installed Meter Barrier
Length			Meter Barner Installed Meter Fit Installed
The state of the s	M:5E	S.M.L	(8) (,
Depth		40"	Inside
Yeur		1930	Ourside
Pipe Cond.	F-P-VP	N - F - P - VP ÎN - F - P - VP	Soap Test
Coat Cond.	MD FED	G MD-ED G-MD-ED	Pressure Test
Pit Depth			Pounds/ Square Inch
# of Fits			Elpsd. PSI Time
Fit Size			
Rate Class		#V\$#3773.V9	Patch Size
[] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []	Fraction (Fraction)	- COS	Length
Restoration Needed	Restoration Done	Bill to Customer?	Width See See



Distribution department Daily Crew Sheet

re: 453 Worthington St, Springfield, MA (11-23-12)
Attachment IR-PL-2-25
Page 3 of 4

				Empi #	Name	
			, ž	386	TFLOCIO	
Date	Vehicle #	700		1086	DEFORGE	
3-19 07	200	(9)				
3 12-01	386					

Job	Work Order Number	Street #	Street Name	9	Town Code	Job Time	Pay Rate
	6497409-1	453	WORTHIGTON	37	SP	B)
	6497409-1	453 453	WORTHIGTON	37	SP	1	1-1
					_		
		•					

							*)

Total Hours Worked 9

Daily Work Comments

Job	Arrive	Depart	Work Description	Complete ?
	:	:		
	:	:		
	:	:		
	:	:		
		:		
	:	:		
7	:	:		
/	3	;		
Lunch	:	:		Λ Λ

SUPERVISOR APPROVAL

Distribution department Daily Crew Sheet

re: 453 Worthington St, Springfield, MA (11-23-12) Attachment IR-PL-2-25 Page 4 of 4

Date	Vehicle #
2-6-07	881

Empl#	Name	
386	TILOGIO	
1086	DEFORCE	

Job	Work Order Number	Street#	Street Name	Town Code	Job Time	Pay Rate
	6467118-1	49	LYMAN ST	SH	2	1
	6497166	49	TOLCATT ST	SP		j
	6467118-1	49	LYMAN ST	SH		1
	6400409	453	WORTHINGTON ST	SP	سلمه	1.4
	1 1	453	WORTHINGTON ST	S.P	2	1
	6448595	50	COLLEGE ST	SH.	2	l.
				ļ		

Total Hours Worked

Daily Work Comments

Job	Arrive	Depart	Work Description		Complete ?
	:	:			
	:	:			
	•	;			
	:	:			
	:	:	3		
	:	:		1	
	:	:		1	٢
	:	:			
Lunch	:		1		

SUPERVISOR APPROVAL

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

RESPONSE OF COLUMBIA GAS OF MASSACHUSETTS TO THE SECOND SET OF INFORMATION REQUESTS FROM THE D.P.U. PIPELINE ENGINEERING AND SAFETY DIVISION

453 Worthington St., Springfield, MA (11-23-12)

Date: January 4, 2013

Responsible: Danny G. Cote, V.P. Pipeline Safety Compliance

IR-PL-2-25:

Refer to CMA response to IR PL 1-24. Ticket 175395102 (3/6/2007), comments note: "found .30% gas at wall called for street stan" and "service has been re-run 000.00% LEL." Provide a detailed explanation regarding CMA's activities on this date, including actions taken to address the conditions found and actions taken to eliminate the hazard.

Response:

The work order (WO-175395102) (3/6/2007) submitted in response to IR-PL-1-24, was for a meter change-out on a periodic test interval. The meter technician discovered and reported a gas reading at the basement wall where he was working. The comment "service has been re-run" is not accurate. Attachment IR-PL-2-25 contains the WOMS Distribution order (WO-6497409) which was issued that same day for a distribution crew repair. On March 6, 2007, the distribution crew responded to 453 Worthington and made a temporary repair to make it safe. That same crew returned on March 12, 2007, to seal the leaking joint as noted on the WO-6497409. Attachment IR-PL-2-25, pages 3 and 4 of 4 contain copies of the distribution crew worksheets.

WORK AT THE PRODE STREET NO. 2 SHOUTH IN THE RECO AM FM		6/10000	· -	
WORK AT IN Pron 455 Worthington St. 0 9 10 PRINTER OF TOWN CODE 10 PRINTER OF TOWN CODE	WORK	ORDER	NO.	2
WORK AT TO Proch NIMBER STREET ACCT. NO. BILL ANT. NO. BILL ANT. STATE ACCT. NO. BILL ANT. WORK OR CONDITIONS CUE OFF SETVICE WORK OR CONDITIONS CUE OFF SETVICE WORK TO BE DONE BY: WORK TO BE DONE BY: WORK ODE STATE ACCT. NO. BILL ANT. NO. BILL ANT. NO. BILL ANT. BILL ANT. NO. BILL ANT. NO. BILL ANT. CUE OFF SETVICE GIVEN TO BECTROR CO. SEWER DEPT. BY BY BY BY BY BY BY BY BY B	DATE F 6/21/80 SPRINGS	THE MENTER		r
NAME NAME NAME NAME ACCT. NO. BILL ANT. PREP. BY NORR COCK CONDITIONS CUE OFF SERVICE CUE OFF SERVI	TIME REC'D AM PM	ACCC	DUNT OR AUTH, NO.	254-82
NAME NAME NAME NAME ACCT. NO. BILL TO PREP. BY NORR OR CONDITIONS CUL OFF SERVICE COND. THE INC. C	WORK AT In Front 453 Worthington St.		DEBUT DEGE	SIGNATO
BILL TO PREP. BY IX REPORTED BY A. Smith NOTIFICATIONS NOTIFICATIONS PROPRIED BY A. Smith NOTIFICATIONS RECORD REPORTED BY A. Smith NOTIFICATIONS RECORD	NUMBER STREET	101111 0002		
PREP. BY DK REPORTED BY S A. SMITCH SHILL AND THE SECOND TO SEVER DEPT. GUE OF SERVICE - 2" LIP. SERVICE GIVEN TO ANODE GIVEN TO GIVEN T				
Cut off service 2" I.P. Bervice GIVEN TO GIVEN TO WORK TO BE DONE BY: 1 COMPANY CONTRACTOR WORK CODE DISTRICT PRICORTY SIZE PRICORTY SIZE OR RIT EST. FT. OR UNITS SCHEDULED DATE WORK CODE SIZE FIFE FIFE FIFE SCHEDULED DATE SCHEDULED DATE WORK CODE SIZE FIFE FIFE FIFE SCHEDULED DATE CAUSE FIFE FIFE FIFE FIFE SCHEDULED DATE COATING PIFE FIFE SCHEDULED DATE FIFE FIFE SCHEDULED DATE FIFE FIFE SCHEDULED DATE FIFE FIFE SCHEDULED DATE SCHEDULED DATE FIFE SCHEDULED DATE SCHEDULED DATE FIFE SCHEDULED DATE FIFE SCHEDULED DATE SCHEDULED DA	BILL TO BILL AN	ит		
Cut off service 2" I.P. Service GIVEN TO WORK TO BE DONE BY: COMPANY CONTRACTOR WORK CODE SIZE DISTRICT PRICORTY SEX. FI. OR UNITS SCHEDULED DATE WORK CODE SIZE FIFE FIFE FIFE FIFE FIFE FIFE FIFE F	PREP. BY REPORTED BY A. SMITH	VIA		77
CUE OFF SERVICE OVER TO BE DONE BY: I COMPANY CONTRACTOR WORK CODE STEP PRESSURE DISTRICT PRESSURE DISP. TO	WORK OR CONDITIONS		THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO THE PE	
WORK CODE BY: DOMPANY CONTRACTOR Est. Cost. WORK CODE STRICT PRIORITY SIZE PRESSURE SIZE OF FIT OR UNITS SCHEDULED DATE WORK DONE: WORK CODE SIZE PRESSURE DISP. TO DISP. T			TELEPHONE OO.	SEWER DEPT.
WORK CODE BY: A CONTRACTOR ESIZE DISTRICT PRESSURE SIZE OR FIT EST. MAN HRS. NO. OF MEN WORK DONE: WORK DONE: WORK DONE: WORK DONE: SIZE OR FIT EST. FI. OR UNITS SCHEDULED DATE WORK DONE: WORK DONE: WORK CODE SIZE OF FIFE FEET NORK CODE SIZE OR FIT EST. FI. OR UNITS SCHEDULED DATE WORK DONE: WORK DONE: WORK CODE SIZE OR FIT EST. FI. OR UNITS SCHEDULED DATE PIPE FIFE THE GIANT SIZE CAUSE FIFE FIFE FIFE SERVICE LIGHT THE TO CURB SO. SERVICE LIGHT THE TO CURB SO. SERVICE SERVICE SERVICE FEET NAMAN AMAN TO CONTRACTOR SERVICE THE THE SERVICE SERVIC	Cut off service - 2" L.P. Service			
WORK CODE DISTRICT PRESSURE PRESSURE SIZE OR FIT SIZE		GIVE	N TO	
DISTRICT PRESSURE SIZE OR FIT SET, FIT, OR UNITS SCHEDULED DATE WORK DONE: WORK DONE: WORK CODE SIZE THEE FRES. SOIL FEET OR UNITS SERVICE LETH. MAIN SERVICE LETH. SERVICE LETH. THE TO CUMB S.O. SHUT OF TO END COCK TO ANDDE EQUIPMENT HOURS SERVICE CREW MAIN CREW PATCH CRE	WORK TO BE DONE BY: 1 COMPANY CONTRACTOR		Est. Cos	1.
DISTRICT PRESSURE SIZE OR FIT SET, FIT, OR UNITS SCHEDULED DATE WORK DONE: WORK DONE: WORK CODE SIZE THEE FRES. SOIL FEET OR UNITS SERVICE LETH. MAIN SERVICE LETH. SERVICE LETH. THE TO CUMB S.O. SHUT OF TO END COCK TO ANDDE EQUIPMENT HOURS SERVICE CREW MAIN CREW PATCH CRE				
PRIORITY EST. MAN HRS. NO. OF MEN WORK DONE: WORK DONE: WORK CODE SIZE PIPE TYE RES. SOIL FEET OR UNITS SCHEDULED DATE WORK CODE SIZE PIPE TYE RES. SOIL FEET OR UNITS CAUSE PIPE COATING FRE COND INST. SIZE COND TYE TYE TYE TYE TYE TYE TYE TY	WORK CODE SIZE		GIVEN TO	
SET, FRI, OR UNITS NO, OF MEN SCHEDULED DATE WORK DONE: WORK DONE: WORK CODE SIZE TYPE RES. SOIL FRET OR UNITS SCHEDULED DATE CAUSE FIPE RES. SOIL FRET OR UNITS SIZE COND. TYPE FRE SOIL RES. SOIL FRET OR UNITS SIZE COND. TYPE RES. SOIL FRET OR RES. SOIL FRET IN SERVICE LIGHTH THE TO CURB 8.0 SERVICE LIGHTH THE TO CURB 8.0 SERVICE			DISP. TO	
WORK DONE: WORK CODE SIZE TYPE FRES. SOIL FEET OR UNITS CAUSE FIFE COND. TYPE TYPE FRES. SOIL FEET OR UNITS OF LEAK SIZE COND. TYPE TYPE FRES. SIZE TON SIZE TYPE TYP	PRIORITY SIZE OR FIT		BY	
WORK DONE: WORK CODE SIZE PIPE RES. SOIL FEET OR UNITS OF LEAK SIZE COND. TYPE IPE COATING PIPE PIPE COATING PIPE COATING PIPE COATING PIPE COATING PIPE PIPE PIPE COATING PIPE	EST. MAN HRS. EST. FT. OR UNITS		VIA	to expension that the
NORK CODE SIZE TYPE TYPE TYPE TYPE TYPE TYPE TYPE TYP	NO. OF MEN SCHEDULED DATE		TIME	DATE
CORK CODE SIZE TYPE T	MODE DONE		EVISTING PI	PÉ DATA
MEASUREMENTS SERVICE LIGHT TEE TO CURB S.O. SHUT OFF TO END COCK TO ANODE FOLICE OFFICER TRUCK NO. 5 - //L. POLICE OFFICER TRUCK NO. 5 - //L. PITS LINE OF SERVICE LINE OF MAIN TOO OFFICE VIAN ORITY	PIPE	CAUSE -	PIPE	COATING TR. OF
MEASUREMENTS MEASUREMENTS SERVICE LGTH. TEE TO CURB S.O. SHUT OFF TO END COOK TO ANODE BACKHOE WELDER GCOMPRESSOR FOR TO END TRUCK NO. 5 2 144 POLICE OFFICER TRUCK NO. 5 2 144 T	VORK CODE SIZE TYPE FRES. SOIL FEET OR UNITS			
MEASUREMENTS MEASUREMENTS SERVICE LGTH. TEE TO CURB S.O. SHUT OFF TO END COCK TO ANODE BACKHOE WELDER GCOMPRESSOR PATCH REQUIRED? SERVICE SERVICE SERVICE SERVICE SERVICE SERVICE SERVICE SERVICE ONTRACTOR SERVICE CREW MAIN GREW PATCH CREW PATCH CREW PATCH CREW PATCH REQUIRED? SKETCH: SERVICE S	1208 130 11 34 1 130			된 일 등 등
MEASUREMENTS MEASUREMENTS FEET IN. SERVICE LGTH. TEE TO CURB S.O. SHUT OFF TO END COCK TO ANODE FOR THE OFFICE SERVICE SERVICE CREW MAIN CREW PATCH REQUIRED? SKETCH: SKETCH	1407 14 130	the second of the second		5 4 2 50
MEASUREMENTS SERVICE LGTH. TEE TO CURB S.O. SHUT OFF TO END COCK TO ANODE EQUIPMENT HOURS BACKHOE WELDER COMPRESSOR PATCH REQUIRED? SKETCH: SERVICE SERVIC	╇╃┼┩┝╀═┩┝┼╣┝┨┝╂┥┡┼┼┼┼┩			
SERVICE LGTH. TEE TO CURB S.O. SHUT OFF TO END COOK TO ANODE EQUIPMENT HOURS BACKHOE WELDER COMPRESSOR POLICE OFFICER TRUCK NO. TRUC		لــا نــ لــلـــ		
SERVICE LGTH. TEE TO CURB S.O. SHUT OFF TO END COOK TO ANODE EQUIPMENT HOURS BACKHOE WELDER GCOMPRESSOR POLICE OFFICER TRUCK NO. 5 - 14. ETER FIT REM'D MAIN CREW PATCH CREW PATCH CREW POMMENTS: TRUCK NO. 5 - 14. ETER FIT REM'D METER FI	PAT	TCH REQUIRED?	人U SIZE	·
EQUIPMENT HOURS BACKHOE WELDER GOMPRESSOR POLICE OFFICER TRUCK NO. 5 - 1/4. ETER FIT REM'D OMMENTS: TRUCK NO. 5 - 1/4. ETER FIT REM'D OMMENTS: TRUCK NO. 5 - 1/4. ETER FIT REM'D OMMENTS: TRUCK NO. 5 - 1/4. ETER FIT REM'D OMMENTS: TRUCK NO. 5 - 1/4. ETER FIT REM'D ETER FI				
EQUIPMENT HOURS BACKHOE WELDER GOMPRESSOR FOLICE OFFICER TRUCK NO. 5 = IM. POLICE OFFICER TRUCK NO. 5 = IM. PERM'D REG. YES NO METER REM'D REM'D FIT INS'L CONTRACTOR MAIN CREW PATCH CREW PATCH CREW PATCH CREW PATCH CREW LINE OF SERVICE LINE OF MAIN FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	TEE TO CURB SO. SERVICE TO A SERVICE	101	mank i	RARE
EQUIPMENT HOURS BACKHOE WELDER GOMPRESSOR FOLICE OFFICER TRUCK NO. 5 - IM. WES NO REG. REM'D POLICE OFFICER TRUCK NO. 5 - IM. WES NO REG. REM'D POLICE OFFICER TRUCK NO. 5 - IM. WES NO REG. REM'D POLICE OFFICER TRUCK NO. 5 - IM. WES NO REG. REM'D POLICE OFFICER TRUCK NO. 5 - IM. WES NO REG. REM'D PATCH CREW LINE OF SERVICE LINE OF MAIN FOR OFFICE USER ONLY	SHUT OFF TO END	A CON LING	2 - 7	(/(0
EQUIPMENT HOURS BACKHOE WELDER GOMPRESSOR DOOD MAIN CREW PATCH CR	COCK TO ANODE	will.	MARK	
BACKHOE WELDER GOMPRESSOR BOTO MAIN GREW PATCH CREW PATCH CREW POLICE OFFICER TRUCK NO. 5/2/4/2 ETER FIT REM'D TRUCK NO. 5/2/4 ETER FIT NO. 4/2 ETER FIT		3x:		
BACKHOE WELDER GOMPRESSOR BETTER FIT REM'D TOMMENTS:	TOURDUCKE YOURS CONTRACTOR			
WELDER GOMPRESSOR SERVICE CREW MAIN CREW PATCH CRE	[market all and]		:47	
MAIN CREW PATCH CREW POLICE OFFICER TRUCK NO. 5 - 14 PATCH CREW TRUCK NO. 5 - 14 PATCH CREW POLICE OFFICER TRUCK NO. 5 - 14 PATCH CREW	 			
POLICE OFFICER TRUCK NO. 5/2/4 PETER FIT REM'D REG. YES NO REG. YES NO REG. THI INS'L DIMMENTS: TRUCK NO. 5/2/4 LINE OF SERVICE LINE OF MAIN FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			¥	
POLICE OFFICER TRUCK NO. 5/2/4 YES NO REG. YES NO METER YES NO PIT INS'L DIMMENTS: TRACTOR SERVICE LINE OF MAIN FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				8
TRUCK NO. 5/= /4 YES NO REG. YES NO METER YES NO FIT INS'L DIMMENTS: SEK: TRANSITION OF SERVICE LINE OF MAIN FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				
THE PIT REM'D REG. YES NO METER YES NO PIT INS'L. DOMMENTS: THE PIT REM'D REM'D FIT INS'L. DOMMENTS: THE PIT REM'D REM'D FIT INS'L. DOMMENTS: THE PIT REM'D REM'D FIT INS'L. DOMMETER YES NO PIT INS'L. DOMETER YES NO PIT INS'L.	POLICE OFFICER			
THE PIT REM'D REG. YES NO METER YES NO PIT INS'L. DOMMENTS: THE PIT REM'D REM'D PIT INS'L. DOMMENTS: THE PIT REM'D PIT INS'L. DOMMETER YES NO PIT INS'L. DOMETER YES NO PIT INS'L	TRUCK NO. 5/=1/4-	•		*
THE FIT REM'D REG. METER FIT INS'L. DIMMENTS: DIMMENTS: DIMMENTS: DIMETER FIT REM'D REG. METER FIT INS'L. DIMETER FIT INS'L. LINE OF SERVICE LINE OF MAIN FOR OFFICE USR ONLY				
OMMENTS: Lat of SEK. Omodevial Deald + 2 = 27 I/M = LINE OF SERVICE LINE OF MAIN FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	ETED EIT DEMIN REG. METER			1
maderial depid + 2 = 2 1/Al = LINE OF SERVICE LINE OF MAIN FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	THE THE PLANT OF THE PARTY OF T			
PRO Suffice 15 Cylists LINE OF SERVICE LINE OF MAIN FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	Latol SER.			
LINE OF SERVICE LINE OF MAIN FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	0.11			
PRO Suffice 150 Service LINE OF MAIN (C)	material reped "2= 2" line			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		E OF SERVICE		·····
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	20 Sullin 10000 15	E OF MAIN		
	FOR O	FFICE USE OHLY	#	
REPORT MF RENEWAL STUB BILLING POOR NP	REPORT MF RENE	EWAL STUB_	BILLING	POOR NP
TE 6-27 50 PIPE DUP ABAND. BKWD OTHER	TE 6-27 - 30 PIPE DUP ABAN	D BKWD	OTHER	

......

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

RESPONSE OF COLUMBIA GAS OF MASSACHUSETTS TO THE FIRST SET OF INFORMATION REQUESTS FROM THE D.P.U. PIPELINE ENGINEERING AND SAFETY DIVISION

453 Worthington St., Springfield, MA (11-23-12)

Date: December 10, 2012

Responsible: Danny G. Cote, V.P. Pipeline Safety Compliance

IR-PL-1-38: Provide a copy of the pressure chart of the nearest regulator station servicing

Worthington Street, on November 23, 2012.

Response: Please see Attachment IR-PL-1-38.

SCADA Report

RTU State: MASSACH

RTU Name: BLIS

RTU Owner: BSG

RTU Description: BLS-Blis St. Station From Calendar Date: 2012-11-15

To Calendar Date: 2012-11-25

Current Reading Average Reading Maximum Reading Minimum Reading Calendar Hour Calendar Date 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 2012-11-22 0 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 1 2012-11-22 53.33 2 53.33 53.33 53.33 2012-11-22 53.33 3 53.33 53,33 53.33 2012-11-22 53.33 53.33 4 53.33 53.33 2012-11-22 53.33 53.33 53.33 5 53.33 2012-11-22 53.33 53.33 53.33 2012-11-22 6 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 7 53.33 2012-11-22 53.33 53.33 53.33 8 53.33 2012-11-22 53.33 53.33 53.33 9 53.33 2012-11-22 53.33 53.33 53.33 10 53.33 2012-11-22 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 2012-11-22 11 53.33 53.33 2012-11-22 12 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 2012-11-22 13 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 2012-11-22 14 53.33 53.33 53.33 15 53.33 2012-11-22 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 2012-11-22 16 53.33 53.33 53.33 17 53.33 2012-11-22 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 2012-11-22 18 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 19 2012-11-22 53.33 53.33 20 53.33 53.33 2012-11-22 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 21 2012-11-22 53.33 53.33 22 53.33 53.33 2012-11-22 53.33 53.33 23 53.33 53.33 2012-11-22 53.33 53.33 53.33 0 53.33 2012-11-23 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 2012-11-23 1 53.33 53.33 2 53.33 53.33 2012-11-23 53.33 53.33 53.33 3 53.33 2012-11-23 53.33 53.33 53.33 4 53.33 2012-11-23 53.33 53.33 5 53.33 53.33 2012-11-23 53.33 53.33 53.33 6 53.33 2012-11-23 7 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 2012-11-23 53.33 8 53.33 53.33 53.33 2012-11-23 53.33 53.33 9 53.33 53.33 2012-11-23 53.33 53.33 2012-11-23 10 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 2012-11-23 11 53.33 53.33 2012-11-23 12 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 2012-11-23 13 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 2012-11-23 14 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 2012-11-23 15 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 16 2012-11-23 53.33 53.33 17 53.33 53.33 2012-11-23 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 18 2012-11-23 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 2012-11-23 19 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 2012-11-23 20 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 21 2012-11-23 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 22 2012-11-23 53.33 53.33 53.33 23 53.33 2012-11-23 53.33 53.33 0 53.33 53.33 2012-11-24 53.33 53.33 2012-11-24 1 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 2012-11-24 2 53.33 53.33 53.33 53.33 3 2012-11-24 53.33 53.33 53.33 4 53.33 2012-11-24 53.33 53.33 53.33 5 53.33 2012-11-24

EXHIBIT 5

Photographs of Incident Area:

- 5(a) Aerial View of Incident Site
- 5(b) Ground View of Incident Site
- 5(c) The Damaged Gas Service Supplying 453 Worthington Street In Situ
- 5(d) The Damaged Gas Service with Probe Bar
- 5(e) The Gas Service Connected to the Gas Main in Worthington Street
- 5(f) The Faded Yellow Line in Relation to the Damaged Gas Service In Situ
- 5(g) Gas Service Regulator and Gas Meter
- 5(h) Gas Service Piping Inside 453 Worthington Street

Exhibit 5(a) - Aerial View of Incident Site




Exhibit 5(b) - Ground View of Incident Site




Exhibit 5(c) - The Damaged Gas Service Supplying 453 Washington Street \underline{In} \underline{Situ}



Exhibit 5(d) - The Damaged Gas Service With Probe Bar



Exhibit 5(e) - Gas Service Connected to the Gas Main in Worthington Street




Exhibit 5(f) - The Faded Yellow Line In Relation to the Damaged Service Line In Situ




Exhibit 5(g) - Gas Service Regulator



Exhibit 5(g) - Gas Meter



Exhibit 5(h) - Gas Service Piping Inside 453 Worthington Street




EXHIBIT 6

Records of Cast Iron and Steel Main Underlying Worthington Street

	1, 1980	VVORM	VURUE	<i>,</i> :	NO.14.	UEUUL	3 2 277
TIME REC'D	AM PM		SANNERS SANS	ACCOUNT OR AL		3627-601	
WORK AT NUMBER	Northington St.		0 0 9	IS PERMIT REC		PICK-UP? 整	
NAME			76.6	TOWN 🗶 D	ATE SENT	 9 //100	
PREP BY AND SURVEY	L VIZ	BILL GSIC	AMT		NOTIFICATIO		2000 A A
WORK OR CONDITIONS	Rim a 16 main	I.P. W.S. at	orting at 16"	ELECTRIC (co. W	ATER DEPT.	
90° held bend 8' N line of Worthis	egaary S Dogging bige	2125 Section	TO THE THE	TELEPHON	E CO. SE	WER DEPT.	
0" S of H Line of	Research to the second	TEMPORED STATE	Eus Ave. 18	GIVEN TO H.K		л.	0.00
WORK TO BE DONE BY:	ALL SALES AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	The contract of the second					
Control of the Contro		CONTRACTOR			ESI COSL III		August .
WORK CODE	SIZE?		E 6.0	GIVEN TO			
DISTRICT PRIORITY	PRESSUR SIZE OR F			DISP. TO			
EST MAN HRS	EST, ET. C	- 1300	2 1 2 5	VIA			
NO JOEMEN AND LOS	SCHEDUL	ED DATE		TIME		DATE	Taking Co.
WORK DONE:	PIPE		WAUSE	EX • PIPE	ISTING PIPE D	ATA COATING	YR aOF
WORK CODE SIZE	TYPE PRES SOI	FEET OR UNIT	S OF LEAK	SIZE	OOND. TYPE	TYPE COND.	JNST (
	MEASUREMENTS		PATCH REQUIRED	72	SIZE		<u></u>
SERVICE LGTH.	IN. DEPTH OF MAIN	FEET IN.	SKETCH:				
TEE TO CURB S.O.	SERVICE PITS		RUN a	16 inch M	ain.		
COCK TO ANODE			Starting at	/4inch.S	19-40 D	seel Dee	tinkum.
5/100	HOURS CONT	TRACTOR	26 ft 3	in £of.	E. line of	and Columbia	Land
BACKHOE WELDER	SERVICE CI	REW 2	}				527
COMPRESSOR	MAIN CI	14368 405/8 14600	s	inSof .	ro settiY	and and a second	AN PETUN
	PATCH C	KEW STATE	Running 21.2	4ft. to	90 Ba	acta)	mit mit
POLICE OFFICER		7 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	8n0	in	Line of	alux	Shanoi
- TRUCK NO.		28 68 68		.in			
METER FIT REM'D	REG. YES NO REM'D	METER YES NO FIT INS'L	The state of the state of	، المستشمية	hne ox.	ผมเมาะหากุร	Sept Header of
COMMENTS:	<u>l (v. 1879) a.u.</u> Lumia lateratural	4) election					
2. JA 100 J							
				**************************************	CAMERAGOS NOSA ES ACROSOS		
		elisti sari Andrei.	LINE OF SERVIC	,t			-14
		and the description of the section of	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				
ny Willen C	AZS REPORT	MF	RENEWAL	STUB	BILLING	POOR	_ NP

Page 6 of 31 Distribution Work Order M. Project LD. Identification: To House At House Street Name Work Order # Location Phone 453 WORTHINGTON ST 6497409-1 Bldg.# Unit# Apt.# Work Phone Initiated Date Town 03/06/2007 SPRINGFIELD To Lot At Pole To Pole Initiated Time At Intersection Customer Account # At Lot 11:57 Kit and Grid# To Intersection CCS Number Source 0-0-0 EMPLOYEE Customer Name Entered B Digging Conditions Source Name ZIEMBA DAVID M Work: Work Description Work Code Estimated Units Scheduled Date 03/06/2007 LEAK REPAIR Leak Priority Employee Assigned TROLÍO, NICOLA Outgoing Comments G Crew Assigned CHECK FOR 910 TRUCK 386 Contr. Crew Assigned Job Priority Pipe Data: Other Data: Exposed Pipe Anode Inst. Pipe Size Flow Limiter Installed Pipe Type Flow Limiter Tagged Coat Type Curb Cock Pressure Meter Barrier Length Meter Fit Installed Cut Inside Depth Outside Year Soap Test LN F P VP LN · F · P · VP LN · F · P · VP Pipe Cond. Pressure Test GJMD - ED G ₽ MD = ED Coat Cond. G - MD - ED -Pounds/ Pit Depth Square Inch Elpsd. PS of Fits Fit Size Patch S Rate Class Leng

Bill to Customer?

Restoration Done

Restoration Needed

Sketch: Page 7 of 31 Materials Used B. 453 Line of Main **Restoration Data:** Permit Data: Required Date Done Dig Safe: Date Effective Ticket # Sand Notification: Gravel Base Coat Cold Patch Permit Required: Hot Patch Date Sent Date Rec'd Permit # Flow Fill 14 Town Infra-Red 100 State Concrete

Stamps:

Loam & Seed

Size

Signature

Other

Completion Data:

Comments:	ウルさん・・メリア・ア・ピーブラ ンデア
	ENTRY 29 FT NOTE
	Bright St.
Completed Date	Date Started
3-12-07	3=12-27
Completed Units	Signature

Water Notification:

Sewer Notification:

1

Date Called

Date Called_

			Columbia Gas of Massac	chusetts
		re: 453 Wo	orthington St, Springfield, MA (11 Attachment IR-	1-23-12)
				4 of 31
Columbia Gas:				14 14 N. 14
of Massachusetts	Distribution We	ork Order P	roject I.D.	٧.
Identification:	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON OF T	THE PERSON AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRESS OF THE PERSON AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRESS OF THE PERSON AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON AND ADDRESS OF THE		7.
At House To House	Street Name	Location Phone	Work Order#	
500	WORTHINGTON ST		7927279-1	1 2000
Bldg.# Unit# Apt.# Suite#	Town	Work Phone	Initiated Date	+
	SPRINGFIELD		01/06/2012	外统
At Lot To Lot At Pole To Pele	At Intersection	CMS#	Initiated Time	1
			11:22	
Kit and Grid #	To Intersection	7	Source	
		0-0-0	LEAK SURVEY	
Customer Name	Entered By	Digging Conditions	Source Name	
Work: Work Code Work Description	ription VICE - LEAK REPAIR	Estimated Units	01/06/2012	1
AND BAK	A TOTAL STATE OF THE PARTY OF T	100		
	30MPLEHED	Leak Priority CLASS 1	BAKER, JOHN J	.].
21/2/13/3	JC	11 2000	7.50.50% 10.50.50	
PER JOHN SAPELLI, 85% OVER BAKER, JOHN			BAKER, JOHN CRE	1
SERVICE, A	ND 6% GAS INSIDE AT TH	10 hamatical	* A**	1 1
10055 \		Dig Safe #	Contr. Croy Assigned	. 4.7
+ tile)	with Alext S	Job Priority	Cont. Croft Assigned	\$ 100g
, , , , , , , , , ,	er e e ere da e	you arroad		
Pipe Data:	The state of the s		Other Data:	7
New Pipe	Exposed Pipe Reti	ired Pipe Internal Insp.	Yes No	
Pipe Size		Y' H'	Anode last, Plow Limiter	
Pipe Type	CI	CT.	Installed Installed Installed	. :
Coat Type			Tagged Curb Cock	<u>. </u>
Prossure	4600	Way Law	Installed Meter Barrier	
Length	the state of the s		Installed Meter Fit Installed	1.
Cut \$	NOT CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY.	ML	Inside	₩.
Depth		36"	Location; FBRL Outside Location; FBRL	.] :
Year	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	32 1320	Sonp Test	1 ::
	Company of the state of the sta	E DONE THE EACH NE	Pressure Test	1
Cont Cond. G. S.	ID ED G-MD:ED G:	MD - ED	Pounds/	
Pit Depth	233.000		Square Inch	
# of Fits			Elpsd. PSI Time	1 V 2
Fit Size	ar and a second	Contract transcripted	PLV Yes No	
			PLV Installation]

Employee #

Page 5 of 31 Sketch: CUT OFF Location T-CC: CC-Riser: Restoration Data: Permit Data: Required Done Dig Safe: Ticket # Date Effective Base Coat Notification: Cold Patch Hot Patch Infra-Red Permit Required: Concrete Date Sent Date Rec'd Permit # Loam & Seed 盟 Town Other State Water Notification: Date Called Sewer Notification: Date Called **Completion Data:** Comments: Completed Date Start Date

Signature

Completed Units

1.



(29)		Manual Distr	ibution Work	Order "	oject I.D.	
Identifica	ation:					
At House	To House	Street Name	Location F	hone	Work Order #	
500		Woxthington	81		7930306	
Bldg.# Uni	t# Apt.# Suite#	Spfed	Work Phot	ne	Initiated Date	
At Lot To I	Lot At Pole To Pole	At Intersection ALENT ST	CMS#		Initiated Time	
Kit and Grid#		To Intersection	CCS Numi	ber	Source	
Customer Nam	e	Entered By	Digging Co	onditions	Source Name	_
Work:	Work Code Work Descri	otion	Est	imated Units	Scheduled Date	\neg
/		Can				
\wedge		erte te de le como de la companya d	360 HW (1 - 160)	ak Priority	Employee Assigned	\neg
Ĵ	7.5.28		SP		DARON	
	Outgoing Comments			ise of Leak	BSG Crew Assigned	
5/12 DEC 14 VIII	Clamped	V"Toint	ON	and Staylor and many	2377	, G. S
	Company Control of the Control	Y'Joinst Main Cut &	Dig	Safe #	to the same of the same of the	
	ree At	Main Cot &			Contr. Crew Assigned	_
				Priority	The state of the s	N. 71
					27 (28)	
Pipe Data				Tv. Viante contacts have	Other Data:	
, 1	New Pipe	Exposed Pi	pe Retired Pipe	Internal Insp.	Anode Inst.	
Pipe Size					Plow Limiter Installed	1
Pipe Type		Carriage			Flow Limiter Tagged	1
Coat Type		*==			Curb Cock Installed	7
Pressure .		Low			Meter Barrier Installed	8
Length	1877 319				Meter Fit	and the same
Cut	TO STORY	A : L var	S M L		Installed Inside Location: FBRL	<u>.</u>
Depth		70		Say a demokrativa a	Outside Location: FBRL	
Year				the state of the s	N/11	7
Pipe Cond.	LN+F	CONTRACTOR	Children Control	LN-F-P-VP	Soap Test Pressure Test	EST RESERVE
Coat Cond,	G - MI	D-ED G-MD-ED	G - MD - ED	12:21:22	Pounds/	_
Pit Depth	The second second				Square Inch	4
# of Fits					Elpsd. PSI Time	
Fit Size			parameters are a second		PLV Yes No	
					Installation	

Sketch:	re: 453 Worthington St, Springfield, MA (11-23-12) Attachment IR-PL-1-23
	Materials Used
Clamp hocation:	4" Ken - Ko +
V V	
15' S. OFN Line Worth	ngton It
Al A	. 54
186 w of E Line Alent	
11' E of Electric Manh	ale
La Carla Man	hole
9' S of Electric Man	
	T-CC:
	, , , CC-Riser:
Restoration Data:	Permit Data:
Required Done Size Date Done Base Coat Cold Patch Hot Patch	Dig Safe: Ticket # Date Effective Notification:
Infra-Red Concrete	Permit Required: Date Sent Date Rec'd Permit #
Loam & Seed Other	Town
	Water Notification: Date Called
	Sewer Notification: Date Called
Completion Data:	
Comments: Clanye	dy Sout on teent
the same of the state of the same of the s	leted Date // O / S turge

CMA Facilities' Markout Completion Report 454 Worthington Street, August 18, 2011

Folder: Gary Whalen; Assigned To: Gary Whalen

--==SEE46899949492366===MIX===

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Content-Type: text/plain

(DIG SAFE SYSTEM, INC - MA) 08/18/2011 12:18:50

-AJ -GM -J8 -AB -ML -NE -ON -QC 49-WG CMAGAS -RJ -SV -US

-WM

**** EMERGENCY ****

TIME..12:18 DATE..08/18/2011

REQUEST NO...20113408026

STATE.....MASSACHUSETTS MUNICIPALITY..SPRINGFIELD

ADDRESS..454

STREET...WORTHINGTON ST

NEAREST CROSS STREET 1.. CHESTNUT ST NEAREST CROSS STREET 2.. FAIRBANKS PL

NATURE OF WORK. . EMERG ELEC CABLE FAULT REPAIR

EXTENT OF WORK IN THE ST & SDWALK AREA CREW IS ONSITE

AREA IS PREMARKED..YES

START DATE.....08/18/2011 START TIME..13:00

CALLER.....GENE ROUSSEAU

TITLE.....OWNER RETURN CALL....ANY TIME PHONE #.....413-736-7176 FAX #.....413-739-4569 ALT. PHONE #....413-531-9497

EMAIL ADDRESS...

CONTRACTOR.....ROUSSEAU BROS INC ADDRESS.....32 WISHING WELL WY CITY..... W. SPRINGFIELD

STATE.....MA ZIP.....01089

EXCAVATOR DOING WORK..SAME

--==SEE46899949492366===MIX===--

Audit History:

8/18/2011 12:20:04 PM: Received

DETAILS: Ticket received for registration code WG

8/18/2011 12:20:04 PM: County Assumed

DETAILS: County *HAMPDEN* was assumed because only one place *SPRINGFIELD* was found in the state of

MA.

8/18/2011 12:20:04 PM: Ticket Queued

DETAILS: Ticket queued for delivery

8/18/2011 12:20:07 PM: Ticket Delivered

Columbia Gas of Massachusetts re: 453 Worthington St, Springerior, MA (11-23-12) Attachment IR-PL-1-28 (b) Page 16 of 16

DETAILS: Ticket successfully sent to dsperry@nisource.com

8/18/2011 12:22:12 PM: Put in Folder

DETAILS: Put in Gary Whalen by digsafe digsafe

8/18/2011 12:22:12 PM: Assigned

DETAILS: Assigned to Gary Whalen by digsafe digsafe

NOTE: Assigned on folder placement

8/18/2011 1:47:28 PM: Responded

DETAILS: marked in field: added by Gary Whalen, Locate Time: 8/18/2011 1:20:22 PM, Units of Work: 1.00

NOTE: p 2 mains, no active rec's of svc found, per off. mgr, they use oil

CMA Witness Statements

My name is Brent Alexander. I am years old. I have worked for Columbia Gas for 39 years. My title is "Lead Operator" and my normal work shift is 7:00 a.m – 3:30 p.m. Monday through Friday.

On November 23, 2012 I worked my normal hours and I was also on "stand by." At approximately 4:15 p.m., I was on my way home when I got a call from dispatch and was informed that there was gas blowing into the building at 453 Worthington Street and Chris Warren was at the location. I returned to the Springfield facility, got into my Crew truck, and rode to the scene with Greg DeAngelo. When I arrived on the scene, Chris Warren explained to me that there was blowing gas around the service in the basement of 453 Worthington Street.

I looked for the curb box in the street and located one that I believed serviced the building. My crew and I blew out debris from the curb box with air. When I was able to see the valve, it appeared to be in the "closed" position in relationship to the main. I went to my truck, pulled up the map to the location on my laptop, and discovered that the service line came off the main in a 90 degree angle. I yelled to Greg DeAngelo to turn it off, which Greg did. I also told the crew to pull the manholes in the street as they were getting gas readings of 90%. After the service line was shut down, and the manhole covers had been pulled, the manhole gas readings vented to zero.

The entire crew went to the bar hole area near the foundation and the first CGI reading was 57% which immediately dropped to 51%. Seeing this drop in the gas level prompted me to immediately order everyone to get behind the truck. The explosion then occurred.



Brent Alexander

Columbia Gas of Massachusetts re: 453 Worthington St, Springfield, MA (11-23-12) Attachment IR-PL-1-9

My name is Dan Maslowski. I am 47 years old. I have worked at Columbia Gas for 21

years. I am currently a Utility Worker – General. My normal working hours are noon – 8:30

p.m., Monday through Friday.

On November 23, 2012, I saw Brent Alexander driving in the Springfield yard. He

informed me that "Chris" had a gas leak. I determined that it was Chris Warren so I called Chris

and left a message. Chris called me back and said that he had gas in the building and the Fire

Department was on site.

I headed out to Worthington Street. When I arrived, the Fire Department had the area

blocked off. I spoke to Chris Warren and then began to test gas readings in manholes. Brent

Alexander arrived and I assisted with the air hose used to clear debris out of the valve box. I

continued to check gas readings in manholes toward Chestnut Street. I went back to 453

Worthington Street as gas readings near the building were being taken. Brent Alexander told us

to get behind the truck. The explosion then occurred.

Dan Maslowski

Dated: 12-5-2012

Columbia Gas of Massachusetts re: 453 Worthington St, Springfield, MA (11-23-12) Attachment IR-PL-1-9

Page 3 of 4

My name is Greg DeAngelo. I am years old. I have worked at Columbia Gas for 22

years. I am currently employed by Columbia Gas as a Utility Worker.

My normal working hours are 6:00 a.m. - 2:30 p.m. Monday through Friday. On Friday,

November 23, 2012, I was on vacation but was on "stand by." I received a call at approximately

4:15 p.m. from the Integration Center and was told that gas was blowing into a building and that

Brent Alexander would meet me in the yard.

I immediately left my home and traveled approximately 8 miles to the Springfield yard. I

rode in the truck with Brent to Worthington Street. When we arrived, we located and blew out

debris from the curb box. The valve appeared to be already in a closed position, and Brent went

to a reference map in the truck and he then yelled for me to turn the valve, which I did.

Other Columbia Gas Utility Workers informed us that they were getting approximately

90% gas readings in the manholes, and I told Brent I would begin popping covers, which I did.

Thereafter Brent instructed all of us to get behind the truck, which we did, and the explosion

occurred.

Greg DeAngelo

Dated: 12-4-12

My name is Mike Rokosz. I am 42 years old. I was hired by Columbia Gas Company in 2006 as a Locator Technician and am currently a Utility Worker. My normal working hours are from noon - 8:30 p.m. Tuesday through Friday, and Saturday from 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m.

On November 23, 2012 at approximately 4:30 p.m., I was on duty when I got a call from the Integration Center requesting assistance on Worthington Street for a gas leak. When I arrived, Brent Alexander, Chris Warren, Justin Carr, Greg DeAngelo and Dan Maslowski were already at the scene. I was requested to check gas reading levels in manholes, which I did. Brent Alexander directed all of us to get behind the company truck and thereafter the explosion occurred.


Mike Rokosz Dated: 12/04/12

Columbia Gas of Massachusetts re: 453 Worthington St, Springfield, MA (11-23-12) Attachment IR-PL-1-6 Page 1 of 1

My name is Justin Carr. I am years old. I have been employed by Columbia Gas for approximately five years, and am currently a Construction Supervisor. My normal business hours are 7:00 a.m. – 3:30 p.m. Monday through Friday.

On November 23, 2012, I was the on call supervisor. At approximately 4:20 p.m., I got a call from dispatch informing me Chris Warren had a leak and Crew Chief Brent Alexander and Greg DeAngelo were on their way to the scene. Approximately ten minutes later, I received a call from Chris Warren, advising me he had just evacuated a night club and the Fire Department was on the scene.

I arrived at Worthington Street shortly before 5:00 p.m. Upon arrival, I was briefed on the activities of CMA employees, and was informed about the extent of the evacuation. The Springfield Fire Department was further evacuating the area. I was told the electric company had been called to cut the power. Brent Alexander's crew was blowing out a curb box. It appeared that the valve was in the closed position, so Brent and I reviewed the service maps for the area and determined that the valve was in the "on" position. Greg DeAngelo was instructed to turn the valve off. I observed technicians obtaining gas readings from the manholes and was informed that the gas readings were venting down. When the electric company arrived, they spoke directly with the Fire Department near the intersection of Worthington Street and Chestnut Street. I was with CMA employees and an employee of the City of Springfield Water Department behind the Columbia Gas truck at the time of the explosion.



Drug and Alcohol Test Results (redacted)

 Investigation identified maintenance activities that affected control 	Page 4 of 10
room operations, procedures, and/or controller response - Investigation identified areas other than those above	
Describe:	
PART F - DRUG & ALCOHOL TESTING INFORMATION	
As a result of this Incident, were any Operator employees tested under the post-accident drug and alcohol testing requirements of DOT's Drug & Alcohol Testing regulations? If Yes:	Yes
1a. Specify how many were tested:	6
1b. Specify how many failed:	0
As a result of this Incident, were any Operator contractor employees tested under the post-accident drug and alcohol testing requirements of DOT's Drug & Alcohol Testing regulations? If Yes:	No
2a. Specify how many were tested:	
2b. Specify how many failed:	
PART G - CAUSE INFORMATION	
Select only one box from PART G in shaded column on left representing the Appright. Describe secondary, contributing, or root causes of the Incident in the narra	
Apparent Cause:	G8 - Other Incident Cause
G1 - Corrosion Falture - only one sub-pause can be ploked from shaded let	tatiand column
Corrosion Failure Sub-Cause:	
- If External Corrosion:	
Results of visual examination:	
- If Other, Specify:	
Type of corrosion: Galvanic	
- Atmospheric	
- Stray Current	
- Microbiological	
- Selective Seam	
- Other - If Other, Describe:	
The type(s) of corrosion selected in Question 2 is based on the following:	
- Field examination	
- Determined by metallurgical analysis	
- Other	
- If Other, Describe:	
Was the failed item buried under the ground? If Yes:	
4a. Was failed item considered to be under cathodic protection at the time of the incident?	
- If Yes, Year protection started:	
4b. Was shielding, tenting, or disbonding of coating evident at the	
point of the incident? 4c. Has one or more Cathodic Protection Survey been conducted at the point of the incident?	
If "Yes, CP Annual Survey" - Most recent year conducted:	
If "Yes, Close Interval Survey" - Most recent year conducted:	
If "Yes, Other CP Survey" - Most recent year conducted:	
- If No:	
4d. Was the failed item externally coated or painted?	
5. Was there observable damage to the coating or paint in the vicinity of the corrosion?	,
6. Pipeline coating type, if steel pipe is involved:	
- If Other, Describe:	
7. Results of visual examination:	
- If Other, Describe:	
8. Cause of corrosion (select all that apply):	
- Corrosive Commodity	

CMA First Responder Record of Prior Damages to Facilities

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

RESPONSE OF COLUMBIA GAS OF MASSACHUSETTS TO THE FIRST SET OF INFORMATION REQUESTS FROM THE D.P.U. PIPELINE ENGINEERING AND SAFETY DIVISION

453 Worthington St., Springfield, MA (11-23-12)

Date: December 10, 2012

Responsible: Danny G. Cote, V.P. Pipeline Safety Compliance

IR-PL-1-11: In the last three years, has the first responder, damaged any other company operated facilities (services or mains) as a result of leakage survey investigations? Provide all records for damages that CMA identifies.

Response: CMA has no record of Christopher Warren damaging Company facilities during his employment with CMA.

Post Incident Odorant Test Results

Columbia Gas of Massachusetts re: 453 WorthingtoreSt, Springfield MA /(11/24-12) Atlashment IR-PL-1-35 Page 3 of 108

Columbia Gas of Massachusetts Springfield Division Odorant Test Point

DOT 192.625 Revised 8/5/08	
Employee Signature	_ Employee # _
TownSPEINGFIELU	
Address 352 WORTHINGTON ST	
Time: 6:00 AM PM	
% Gas / Air readily perceptible 08%	
Recheck: YES NO	
Instrument Used:	
Make BACHBRACH	
Model	
Serial #	

CODE OF MASSACHUSETTS REGULATIONS, 101.06 (20), STATES THAT A READING OF .15% OR LESS GAS IN AIR IS READILY PERCEPTIBLE TO THE NORMAL OR AVERAGE OLFACTORY SENSES.

Repairs at 453 Worthington Street, March 12, 2007

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

RESPONSE OF COLUMBIA GAS OF MASSACHUSETTS TO THE SECOND SET OF INFORMATION REQUESTS FROM THE D.P.U. PIPELINE ENGINEERING AND SAFETY DIVISION

453 Worthington St., Springfield, MA (11-23-12)

Date: January 4, 2013

Responsible: Danny G. Cote, V.P. Pipeline Safety Compliance

IR-PL-2-25:

Refer to CMA response to IR PL 1-24. Ticket 175395102 (3/6/2007), comments note: "found .30% gas at wall called for street stan" and "service has been re-run 000.00% LEL." Provide a detailed explanation regarding CMA's activities on this date, including actions taken to address the conditions found and actions taken to eliminate the hazard.

Response:

The work order (WO-175395102) (3/6/2007) submitted in response to IR-PL-1-24, was for a meter change-out on a periodic test interval. The meter technician discovered and reported a gas reading at the basement wall where he was working. The comment "service has been re-run" is not accurate. Attachment IR-PL-2-25 contains the WOMS Distribution order (WO-6497409) which was issued that same day for a distribution crew repair. On March 6, 2007, the distribution crew responded to 453 Worthington and made a temporary repair to make it safe. That same crew returned on March 12, 2007, to seal the leaking joint as noted on the WO-6497409. Attachment IR-PL-2-25, pages 3 and 4 of 4 contain copies of the distribution crew worksheets.

Distribution Work Order (W)

Identification:			
At House To House	Street Name	Location Phone	Work Order#
453 0	WORTHINGTON ST		6497409-1
Bldg.# Unit# Apt.# Suite#	Town	Work Phone	Initiated Date
	SPRINGFIELD		03/06/2007
At Lot To Lot At Pole To Pole	At Intersection	Customer Account #	luitiated Time
			11:57
Kit and Grid #	To Intersection	CCS Number	Source
		0-0-0	EMPLOYEE
Customer Name	Entered By	Digging Conditions	Source Name
			ZIEMBA DAVID M
Work Code Work Descri	ipsion	Estimated Units	Scheduled Date
LRSX S	- LEAK REPAIR	1	03/06/2007
TCIX		Leak Priority	Employee Assigned
	Associated and the control of the co	CLASS 1	TROLIO, NICOLA
		/	
Outgoing Comments		Cause of Leak	DSG Crew Assigned
CHECK FOR 9	10	Mion	TRUCK 386
		Dig Safe #	
			Carryon March 1981
kari da karanta da		Job Priority	
Pipe Data:			Other Data:
New Pipe	Exposed Pip	e Retired Pipe	Per l
Pipe Size	.81		
Pipe Type		I	
Coat Type			Tagged
Pressure		P	Installed
Length			Installed Merce Fir
Cut	MIL	S M L	Installed
Depth	TOTAL STATE OF THE	GOSTAF THE CAMPBELL TO WASHINGTON ASSESSED.	
Year	7/4	190 174	Outside
Pipe Cond.	E-P-VP LN-F	-P-VP LN-F-P-VP	Soap Test
At House To House Work Order # G497409-1 Indep # Unit # Apt. # South # To Fole			
Pit Depth		74. 0,000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.	Pounds/ Square Inch/
# of Fits	2004-41 	9.4. 20 CM/2	
Fit Size			Time //
4.3-14.	2 (1 × 3 × 4 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1	DV#1170.77	and the transfer that the state of the state
	TATE A TOTAL STREET STREET		Length
Restoration Needed	Restoration Done Bil	I to Customer?	

Distribution department Daily Crew Sheet

e: 453 Worthington St, Springfield, M	MA (11-2	3-12)
Attachme	ent IR-PL	2-25
	Page 3	of 4

Date	Vehicle #	
2 19 0	386	

3.80	TFLACIO
1086	DEFORGE

Job	Work Order Number	Street #	Street Name	Town Code	Job Time	Pay Rate
	6497409-1	453	WORTHIGTON ST	50	8	1
	6497409-1	453 453	WORTHIGTON ST WORTHIGTON ST	SP	1	1世
		•		-		
				<u> </u>		

Total Hours Worked 9

Daily Work Comments

Job	Arrive	Depart	Work Description		Complete ?
	:	:		29	
	:	:			
	:	:			
	:	:			
	:	:			
	:	:			
1		:			
1	:	;			
Lunch	:	:		1	۸

SUPERVISOR APPROVAL

Distribution department Daily Crew Sheet

Fmpl#

Columbia Gas of Massachusetts re: 453 Worthington St, Springfield, MA (11-23-12) Attachment IR-PL-2-25 Page 4 of 4

		Empl#	Name
		386	Tilogo
Date	Vehicle #	1086	DEFORCE
3-6-07	386		

Job	Work Order Number	Street #	Street Name	Town Code	Job Time	Pay Rate
	6467118-1	49	LYMAN ST	SH	2	1
	6497166	49	TOLCATT ST	SP	1	ī
	6467118-1	49	LYMAN ST	SH		1
	6400409	453	WORTHINGTON ST	SP	-4	烂
	· · · · ·	453	WORTHINGTON ST	SP	2	1
	6448595	50	COLLEGE ST	SH	2	l
		Barrier.		4		
			Total Hou	ırs Worked	84	

Daily Work Comments

Job	Arrive	Depart	Work Description	The set	Complete ?
	: 1	*:			
	:	· :			
	•	:			
	:	:			
	:	-: [
	:	:			
	:	:		11	٢
	:	:			
Lunch	:	:			

SUPERVISOR APPROVAL

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

RESPONSE OF COLUMBIA GAS OF MASSACHUSETTS TO THE SECOND SET OF INFORMATION REQUESTS FROM THE D.P.U. PIPELINE ENGINEERING AND SAFETY DIVISION

453 Worthington St., Springfield, MA (11-23-12)

Date: January 4, 2013


Responsible: Danny G. Cote, V.P. Pipeline Safety Compliance


IR-PL-2-26:


Refer to CMA response to IR PL 1-25. Provide a summary list noting the purpose of the CMA site visit at 453 Worthington Street, regarding: (a) leak history, (b) atmospheric inspections, (c) maintenance work on customer piping or equipment and Company owned piping. Provide the associated records for the itemized activities on the list.


Response:


- (a) CMA has not had any visits to 453 Worthington Street, Springfield, due to leaks during the period 2007 through November 22, 2012.
- (b) Inside atmospheric inspections were performed on June 27, 2008 and August 23, 2012. See Attachment IR-PL-1-25, pages 11 and 12 of 12.
- (c) Please see the following work orders ("WO") for information regarding maintenance on customer and Company piping and equipment:
 - Attachment IR-PL-2-26 (a) WO for meter change out 3/6/2007.
 - Attachment IR-PL-2-26 (b) WO for service call on poor pressure 3/17/2008.
 - Attachment IR-PL-2-26 (c) WO for fitter to change out service regulator 3/17/2008.























Leak Survey and Atmospheric Corrosion Inspection 453 Worthington Street

CMA Piping Inspection

Last Name

First Name

Middle Init

BSC REALTY INC

Address

453 WORTHINGTON ST

City:

SPRINGFIELD

State:

MA

Zip Code 01105

Phone #

4137882100

Extension:

Site Id :

345323004

Meter Loc CELLAR - FRONT

Inspection Date 8-23-12

Inspection Activity

Meter #: 051548

Comment:

Leak Test:

Pass

■ Fail


Corrosion:

Good

■ Fair

Poor

DUSTOE PEPE DUSTOE PEPE



CMS - TUSTOE PAPE SURVEY

EXHIBIT 14

Leakage Survey Records - Worthington Street

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

RESPONSE OF COLUMBIA GAS OF MASSACHUSETTS TO THE FIRST SET OF INFORMATION REQUESTS FROM THE D.P.U. PIPELINE ENGINEERING AND SAFETY DIVISION

453 Worthington St., Springfield, MA (11-23-12)

Date: December 10, 2012

Responsible: Danny G. Cote, V.P. Pipeline Safety Compliance

IR-PL-1-17: Provide the dates of the main and service leakage surveys the Operator performed on Worthington Street, between Spring and Dwight Streets, from January 1, 2007, to November 22, 2012, and the results of these surveys.

Response: Please see:

- Attachment IR-PL-1-22-Map-Winter Survey
- Attachment IR-PL-1-22-Map-Walking Survey
- Attachment IR-PL-1-22-Map-Mobile Survey.

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

RESPONSE OF COLUMBIA GAS OF MASSACHUSETTS TO THE FIRST SET OF INFORMATION REQUESTS FROM THE D.P.U. PIPELINE ENGINEERING AND SAFETY DIVISION

453 Worthington St., Springfield, MA (11-23-12)

Date: December 10, 2012

Responsible: Danny G. Cote, V.P. Pipeline Safety Compliance


IR-PL-1-22:


Provide records of the main servicing 453 Worthington Street, Springfield, between Spring and Chestnut Streets, including but not limited to, installation date, MAOP, operating pressure, leak history, and maintenance work, from January 1, 2007 to November 22, 2012.

Response:


Please see Attachment IR-PL-1-22 for copies of the requested records. Please also see the following maps:


- Attachment IR-PL-1-22-Map-Winter Survey
- Attachment IR-PL-1-22-Map-Walking Survey
- Attachment IR-PL-1-22-Map-Mobile Survey.
























EXHIBIT 15

Post Incident Leakage Survey Results

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

RESPONSE OF COLUMBIA GAS OF MASSACHUSETTS TO THE FIRST SET OF INFORMATION REQUESTS FROM THE D.P.U. PIPELINE ENGINEERING AND SAFETY DIVISION

453 Worthington St., Springfield, MA (11-23-12)

Date: December 10, 2012

Responsible: Danny G. Cote, V.P. Pipeline Safety Compliance

IR-PL-1-19: Provide the results of the leak investigation the Operator performed after the Incident in the area surrounding Worthington Street. Provide a map of the area

checked, and associated leak investigation documents.

Response: Please see Attachment IR-PL-1-19 (a) for the leak results.

Please see Attachment IR-PL-1-19 (b) for the map indicating the survey area.

Please see Attachment IR-PL-1-19 (c) for the map indicating the leak survey

area and barhole readings.

Columbia Gas of Massachusetts re: 453 Worthington St, Springfield, MA (11-23-12) Attachment IR-PL-1-19 (a) Page 21 of 28

		1 age 21 01 20
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	EricSnepaud
	7 pm - 7am	CV-1-SVI PALLE
	11	
***************************************	1//25 - 11/26	
	11/20 -1/-	
	Worthington / Scot	res
	11/3/3	
	12:45am 52%	
	177	
	112:43am 52%	
_	2130 am 520/	
	2170 am 520/0 4115 am 520/0	TO THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF
	1 -12	
	5130 am 720/0	78.50 m = 10.00 Tel. 1
rank r	is the sum of the sum	
		THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON OF T
		THE PARTY OF THE P
, . I	1	100

