



A. JOSEPH DeNUCCI
AUDITOR

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

AUDITOR OF THE COMMONWEALTH

ONE ASHBURTON PLACE, ROOM 1819

BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02108

TEL. (617) 727-6200

NO. 2004-0142-4T

**OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR'S REPORT
ON INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY-RELATED CONTROLS
FOR VIRUS PROTECTION
AT THE MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE**

October 9, 2003 through June 2, 2005

**OFFICIAL AUDIT
REPORT
JUNE 14, 2005**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
---------------------	----------

AUDIT SCOPE, OBJECTIVES, AND METHODOLOGY	2
---	----------

AUDIT CONCLUSION	6
-------------------------	----------

AUDIT RESULTS	7
----------------------	----------

APPENDIX	10
1. Agencies Visited	10
2. Generally Accepted Management and Technical Control Practices	11
3. Date of Virus Infection by Agency per ITD	16
4. ITD's SAS Reported Security Alerts	17
5. Information Technology Architecture and Enterprise Standards	18

INTRODUCTION

The Department of Revenue (DOR) was established through Chapter 14, Section 1, of the Massachusetts General Laws. The Department's core business functions are comprised of tax assessment, revenue collection, depositing revenue, payments to custodial parents for child support, issuing refunds, and customer service. The primary focus of DOR's Tax Administration function is to enforce the Commonwealth's tax laws and to manage tax revenue collection.

The Department of Revenue's main offices are located in Boston and Chelsea, Massachusetts, and DOR maintains an additional thirty six satellite offices throughout the Commonwealth. The Department's business operations are supported by a networked IT configuration consisting of two hundred and fifty file servers and three thousand microcomputer workstations. Security for the Department's systems is supported through its own firewall protection and intrusion detection systems. Access to the Internet is provided through two Internet Service Providers (ISP) rather than through the Commonwealth of Massachusetts' wide area network, referred to as MAGNet. The critical nature, magnitude, and complexity of the Department's IT environment has required skilled and knowledgeable staff to operate and maintain their systems. At the time of our review, the Department had two hundred fourteen individuals in information technology positions responsible for the computer and network operations, security of IT systems, and other IT functions.

AUDIT SCOPE, OBJECTIVES, AND METHODOLOGY

Audit Scope

Our audit, which was conducted from August 5, 2004 through October 19, 2004 and from May 23, 2005 through June 7, 2005, consisted of an examination of virus protection activities at the Department of Revenue for the period covering October 9, 2003 through June 2, 2005. Our examination focused on a review of controls related to policies, procedures and use of software tools to prevent and detect viruses and unauthorized intrusions, assess the level of risk of viruses, report on the occurrence of a potential virus, and to implement corrective measures. The audit was performed in conjunction with similar audits conducted at thirty-two other state agencies for the period covering October 2003 through January 2005 (see Appendix 1).

Audit Objectives

The primary objective of our audit was to determine whether the Department's IT resources were adequately protected against virus attacks and malicious intrusions through appropriate preventive, detective and corrective measures. Specifically, we sought to determine whether adequate policies and procedures were in place to inform and guide personnel in addressing virus protection and to determine whether appropriate software tools, such as anti-virus software, were used to prevent and detect computer viruses. In addition, we sought to determine whether appropriate risk management procedures and tools were in place to limit malicious intrusions and virus entry points and to address vulnerabilities that viruses could exploit. We also sought to determine whether appropriate policies and procedures were in place to respond to detected viruses. Lastly, we determined the extent to which virus protection-related efforts were documented and monitored.

Audit Methodology

Before initiating audit field work, we researched generally accepted management and technical control practices that addressed virus protection. We conducted preliminary research on various anti-virus software programs and their capabilities. We also researched the use of firewalls, intrusion detection systems, anti-adware and anti-spyware programs, patch management, alert notifications, and documentation of incident response and remediation efforts. Research was also performed on IT-related virus activities, including the history, creation, detection, and eradication of computer viruses. Our pre-audit work included identifying standard procedures undertaken by the Commonwealth's Information Technology Division (ITD) to address virus protection and to support agencies in detecting and

eliminating viruses. We developed survey questions and audit procedures based upon recommended control practices, including the use of software controls to identify and eliminate computer viruses. Our survey questionnaire incorporated questions that focused on management and technical control practices used to address virus protection. The survey was developed to serve as a high-level checklist for agencies in reviewing their status with respect to generally accepted virus protection policies and procedures. Our pre-audit work included gaining and recording an initial understanding of the Department's mission and business objectives through Internet-based research.

Our on-site audit work included verifying our initial understanding of the Department's mission and business objectives and identifying the entity's IT environment and how IT resources were configured. To determine whether appropriate policies and procedures were in place to provide direction and guidance on addressing virus protection, we determined whether the Department had identified the level of virus infection risk and established control mechanisms to mitigate the risk. We requested policies and procedures related to virus protection, malicious intrusion, incident response, and other documentation regarding the use of anti-virus software. We reviewed and evaluated the Department's stated policies and procedures regarding virus protection and incident response. We determined whether the Department had access to MAGNet or were users of MassMail services, and the extent to which anti-virus programs had been deployed and kept up to date. We identified the manner in which the Department obtains access to Internet services.

We interviewed the information technology personnel responsible for managing the IT environment to identify specific controls that address virus protection. We assessed the level of understanding of virus risks, use of anti-virus programs, risk management, and incident response procedures. With respect to protective measures, we determined whether the Department's IT environment was subject to firewall protection, intrusion detection, and update and patch management procedures. We ascertained whether the installed anti-virus software had been adequately maintained with the latest software and definition updates.

We reviewed the Department's experience regarding virus protection and virus attacks as well as the steps taken to protect their IT environment. We determined whether the Department had incident handling procedures to investigate, isolate, and eliminate viruses if detected on IT equipment. In addition to determining whether the Department had been effected by viruses, we reviewed the use of software to detect, eradicate, and prevent viruses. We determined whether control practices were in place to support safe recoveries under business continuity procedures should a virus render systems inoperable and recovery procedures needed to be initiated.

Our audit was conducted in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS) and industry auditing practices. The audit criteria used for our examinations were based on applicable control objectives and generally accepted IT control practices. Included in the report's Appendix is a list of generally accepted control practices for virus protection (see Appendix 2). In addition to generally accepted control practices, audit criteria for management control practices were drawn from Control Objectives for Information and Related Technology (CobiT). CobiT is a generally applicable and accepted standard for information technology security and control.

Virus Background And History

A computer virus is man-made software used to infiltrate and attack a computer's operating system, applications, or data files. In most instances, the attack happens without the knowledge of the computer's owner, with the first indication that an attack has occurred when the computer either does not work or starts to perform incorrectly.

The Department of Revenue relies heavily on information technology to help carry out its mission and business objectives. Although the Department has access to MAGNet to be able to use HR/CMS and MMARS, the Department is not designated as a MAGNet user. We note that over the last few years MAGNet has experienced infection from computer viruses from time to time. According to ITD, there have been fifteen successful virus attacks in the fifteen-month period from October 2003 to December 2004 (see Appendix 3). To maintain a record of the viruses, ITD in 2003 created a software program called Security Alert System (SAS) which allows ITD to track and rank the virus threats with a threat level of low, medium, high, and critical. According to ITD's threat table, there were 42 tracked virus incidents between October 9, 2003 and January 5, 2005 (see Appendix 4).

In order to protect the Commonwealth, ITD requires that agencies use anti-virus software; provides a downloadable copy of anti-virus software for agency use; maintains the SAS tracking program, a Help Desk, and firewalls; sends out alerts to IT personnel at state agencies; and monitors MAGNet so that agencies with virus infections are disconnected if necessary until the virus has been removed. ITD has also created policies that agencies are required to follow if they are to use ITD resources (see Appendix 5).

To effectively reduce the risk of computer viruses and worms infiltrating an organization, a comprehensive and dynamic anti-virus program needs to be established. There are two major ways to prevent and detect viruses and worms that infect computers and network systems. The first is by having sound policies and procedures in place, and the second is by technical means, including anti-virus

software. Both administrative controls and technical tools are required to effectively provide virus protection.

AUDIT CONCLUSION

We determined that controls in place at the Department of Revenue (DOR) provided reasonable assurance that information technology resources would be adequately protected against known virus attacks through appropriate preventive, detective, and corrective measures. Our review indicated that administrative policies and control practices were in place to provide an appropriate framework to manage virus protection. We also determined that adequate policies and procedures were in place to inform and guide IT personnel in addressing incident response for a virus event. In addition, the Department deploys anti-virus software, multiple firewalls, access and activity monitoring, and intrusion detection systems to support access security. Although the Department has made a strong effort to inform its employees about security issues, including the risks of viruses, through well-structured system broadcasts to all staff, we suggest that the Department include the assessment of employee understanding in their monitoring procedures and staff training.

We found that the Department periodically assesses the risk of virus attacks and considers the potential impact on operations. Moreover, we found that staff had been assigned the responsibility to benchmark DOR's virus protection policies and procedures against the Commonwealth's Information Technology Division's policies and standards, as well as generally accepted practices, for adequacy and compliance. In addition, while virus protection efforts appear to be monitored, documented status reports should be prepared for management review.

Due to the evolution of virus programs and the nature of virus attacks, the risk of virus infection can not be absolutely eliminated even though entities may have generally-accepted virus protection and security controls in place.

AUDIT RESULTS

Based on management statements and a review of a limited sample of file servers and microcomputer workstations, it appears that all IT equipment, comprising of two hundred and fifty servers and three thousand desktop microcomputers, had up-to-date anti-virus software installed. According to DOR, all IT equipment has anti-virus software installed and is kept up to date with current, vendor-provided definition files. We note that according to the Commonwealth's Information Technology Division (ITD), the Department of Revenue has not been infected by viruses over the audit period from October 2003 to December 2004 (see Appendix 3).

Access security for the Department's information systems is addressed through administrative policies and control practices and technical tools and mechanisms, such as multiple firewalls and intrusion detection. With respect to network security, the Department relies primarily upon its own firewall clusters to filter incoming and outgoing digital traffic. Connections to external or third-party entities accessed by DOR must pass through a firewall. The Department ensures that appropriate email filtering and blocking capabilities are employed at the firewall level. The Department also relies on intrusion detection capabilities and virus and security alerts as well as Microsoft Critical Security updates.

Since anti-virus software is installed on file servers and microcomputer workstations, disks, CD's or unknown files are scanned to detect viruses prior to opening. Because all files are scanned, this would include any files or software downloaded from the Internet. We found that the Department's anti-virus software was configured to automatically obtain vendor-provided definition files. We also found that the DOR used software to perform centralized monitoring and administration of their anti-virus software.

The Department provided evidence of written policies and procedures regarding malicious software, virus protection, incident handling, and intrusion detection. In addition, the Department provided examples of security broadcasts used to alert system users of security or virus risks. Specifically, we found that the policies and procedures regarding virus protection included installing and up-dating anti-virus software, prohibiting connection to a workstation or a server with any portable electronic media, and requiring that access to the Internet be from only approved Internet gateways. According to DOR, an annual or periodic risk assessment is performed to identify and reevaluate virus vulnerabilities.

According to DOR, virus risk assessment is performed on a periodic to ongoing basis. IT risk assessments should include risks and threats associated with virus attacks and infection. The assessments should identify all existing virus access points, determine whether there have been changes to the IT

configuration requiring updates to installed IT resources, and determine whether established procedures and currently-installed anti-virus tools adequately meet virus protection objectives.

The DOR did have documented incident response procedures to follow should IT resources be infected. Following a virus attack, the Department of Revenue, by way of incident response policies, formally logs and evaluates the event and assigns the primary responsibility to a member of the Computer Security Incident Response Team (CSIRT). Virus protection, notification, and remediation measures are in conjunction with ITD and determine whether changes, to their virus protection measures, are required.

Recommendation:

We recommend that business continuity plans include the recovery procedures for virus attacks and that scripts for recovery tests include scenarios pertaining to virus attacks. From a business continuity planning perspective, recovery procedures should require that all backup copies of data files and application and system programs, utilities and tools be scanned by anti-virus software as they are reinstalled. Policies should include that if performing a full restoration of the system to recover from a virus attack, one should ensure that current anti-virus software is installed prior to installing recovery backup files.

Auditee Response:

The Department of Revenue agrees with the finding. The DOR Incident Response Policy contains language requiring up to date anti-virus signatures on a server prior to restoring data which may have been damaged by a virus attack. Additional language also requires that the server being restored is hardened and isolated on the network prior to the restore taking place and will remain isolated until the server has been verified to be virus free after the restore. The DOR will add additional language to existing policies and procedures to emphasize the importance of this step within the recovery process.

Auditor's Reply:

We agree with the strategy to harden and isolate the server and in strengthening existing policies and procedures in this area.

Recommendation:

We recommend that DOR reassess their user training to determine whether virus protection is adequately addressed. While users may be familiar with the risks posed by viruses, user training specifically focused on virus protection would help ensure that virus protection policies and guidelines and incident response procedures are followed.

Auditee Response:

The Department of Revenue agrees with the Finding. DOR users are held to a higher standard regarding the custodianship of federal and state data. Background investigations are performed as a prerequisite to the hiring of an employee. They are required to annually sign a form which requires them to acknowledge the sensitivity of the data they are responsible for safeguarding. These employees undergo routine training to ensure that they understand their role as part of the DOR Trusted Computer Base (TCB). The DOR will conduct an evaluation of Security Awareness methodologies and tools that might be procured to facilitate a more comprehensive Virus education program with department employees and contractors.

Auditor's Reply:

We agree with your strategy regarding the forms to be signed by system users as well as conducting an evaluation of security awareness methodologies and tools.

APPENDIX 1
Agencies Visited

Name

Architectural Access Board
Bureau of State Office Buildings
Commission Against Discrimination
Commission for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing
Department of Fish and Game
Department of Revenue
Department of Social Services
Developmental Disabilities Administration
Disabled Persons Protection Commission
Division of Career Services and Unemployment
George Fingold Library
Group Insurance Commission
Human Resources Division
Information Technology Division
Legislative Information Services
Massachusetts Highway Department
Massachusetts Hospital School
Massachusetts Office of Travel and Tourism
Massachusetts Office on Disability
Massachusetts Rehabilitation Commission
Massachusetts State Lottery Commission
Massachusetts Turnpike Authority
Merit Rating Board
Municipal Police Training Committee
Newton Housing Authority
Office of Child Care Services
Office of Inspector General
Office of Professional Licensure
Registry of Motor Vehicles
State Ethics Commission
Teachers' Retirement Board
University of Massachusetts Boston
Victim and Witness Assistance Board

APPENDIX 2

Generally Accepted Management and Technical Control Practices for Virus Protection

Control	Type of Control	Applies to
<u>Administrative Controls</u> <u>Management Control Practices</u>		
<p>Organizational policies should address virus protection. The virus protection policies should be documented and formally reviewed and approved and should include the following requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To effectively reduce the risk of computer viruses and worms infiltrating an organization, a comprehensive and dynamic antivirus program needs to be established. There are two major ways to prevent and detect viruses and worms that infect computers and network systems. The first is by having sound policies and procedures in place, and the second is by technical means, including antivirus software. Neither is effective without the other. • All IT equipment, such as microcomputer workstations, laptops, and servers, must have up-to-date anti-virus software installed. • All IT-related equipment upon which a virus could execute or propagate should be subject to anti-virus software. Virus scanning software should be installed at the workstation, LAN, WAN, and Mail Server levels. • For all possible Internet gateways, access should be obtained through a firewall. IT equipment that connects to the Internet must be behind a firewall. • Prohibit access to the Internet or external networks through modems or by wireless. • Access to the Internet should only be through approved Internet gateways. • All updates should be reviewed or tested prior to installation. • Appropriate incident response procedures should be in place to guide entity personnel in identifying, quarantining, and eradicating IT viruses. 	Policy Preventive Detective Corrective	All IT environments

Control	Type of Control	Applies to
<p>Organizations should assess the requirements for having anti-virus software installed in IT equipment in addition to workstations, notebooks, servers, and mainframes.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Organizations should assess the need for software tools to scan, enhance access security, and push updates or patches to connected machines. • Organizations should assess whether the installation of an IPS or IDS is warranted to provide enhanced security. <p>Organizations should assess whether the installation of anti-adware and anti-spyware software is warranted to provide enhanced security.</p>	Policy Preventive Detective Corrective	All IT environments
<p>The acquisition of additional software tools should be based upon risk analysis, cost, and resource capabilities to support and use the software.</p>	Policy Procedure Preventive Detective	All IT environments
<p>Removable media, software or files downloaded from the Internet, or unknown files, should be scanned with anti-virus software prior to installing or opening.</p>	Policy Procedure Preventive Detective	All IT environments
<p>All users of computer equipment should be trained regarding the risks of computer viruses, indications of infected machines, and notification and incident response procedures.</p>	Policy Procedure Preventive	All staff
<p>All security-related programs, such as firewall, intrusion prevention, intrusion detection, anti-virus and anti-spyware programs, should be maintained with the most recent vendor updates in a timely manner.</p>	Policy Procedure Preventive	All security programs
<p>Vendor-provided updates, designated or determined to be “critical updates” should be deployed in a timely manner after testing by the IT department or the security administrator.</p>	Procedure Preventive	All Windows OS
<p>Entities having anti-virus software installed on their workstations, notebooks, and servers where IT resources are configured in LANs or WANs should ensure that centralized monitoring and administration of anti-virus software is in effect.</p>	Procedure Preventive Detective	All centralized control monitors
<p>An objective of centralized monitoring and administration of anti-virus software for LAN and WAN environments is to ensure that all IT resources upon which anti-virus software is installed have the most recent versions of the anti-virus software.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Organizations should use software tools to the extent possible to determine whether IT resources have the most recent versions of anti-virus software installed when the resources log on. Organizations should consider implementing centralized capabilities to push software or updates. 	Policy Preventive Detective	All centralized control monitors

Control	Type of Control	Applies to
Security and LAN administrators should determine in a timely manner as to whether notified alerts apply to their entity's IT environment.	Policy Procedure Preventive Detective	If no LAN or administering console, users must update
If applicable, Security and LAN administrators should determine whether established incident response steps should be followed, whether users should be notified and provided with instruction, and whether assistance should be requested.	Policy Procedure Preventive Detective	Security and LAN administrators
Management should ensure that backup copies of security-related software, such as firewall, intrusion prevention, intrusion detection, anti-virus and anti-spyware programs, are included with the backup copies of data files and application and system programs needed for the restoration of IT operations at an alternative processing site.	Policy Procedure Preventive	All recording media
All backup copies of data files, application and system programs, utilities, and tools should be scanned by anti-virus software before use. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • When performing a full restoration of the system to recover from a virus attack, one should ensure that current anti-virus software is installed prior to installing data files and application software to enable appropriate scanning. 	Policy Procedure Preventive Detective	All recording media
Entities should perform periodic risk assessments to identify and re-evaluate gateway vulnerabilities. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The risk assessment should identify any existing virus and intrusion access points, determine whether there have been changes to the enterprise configuration requiring updates to installed IT resources or security-related software, and determine whether currently-installed anti-virus tools and procedures adequately meet virus protection objectives. 	Policy Procedure Preventive	All IT environments
All reasonable steps should be taken to eliminate the sources of viruses. Recipients of emails for which the sender is unknown should consider deleting the emails without opening them.	Policy Procedure Preventive	All users
Only authorized software should be installed on IT systems. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Management should inform the IT user community as to what has been designated as the enterprise's approved or "authorized software." • Installation of software obtained from external, non-agency sources should not be installed onto agency systems unless reviewed and approved by management. All software should be reviewed and tested on an isolated machine or environment before being installed on the entity's system. 	Policy Procedure Preventive Detective Corrective	All users
Incident response policies and procedures should emphasize preventing security breaches through containment and eradication of the infection or problem. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incident response procedures should include: planning and notification, identification and assessment of the problem, containment and quarantining of the problem, eradication of the problem, recovering from the incident, and the follow-up analysis. Incident response should never include retaliation. 	Policy Procedure Preventive Detective Corrective	All IT administrators

Control	Type of Control	Applies to
Entities should have access to alert information to ensure that they are aware of potential or new virus-driven risks and new critical security risks, either directly from an alert provider or by relying on a trusted source external to the entities. (Alerts may be obtained from a Commonwealth source, such as ITD).	Policy Procedure Preventive	All agencies
Infected computers with reported viruses without solutions require keeping the computer off the network until a solution is found.	Policy Procedure Preventive	All staff
Following each virus attack, agencies should formally re-evaluate virus protection, notification, and remediation measures and procedures to promote sufficient understanding of the event and how it was resolved, and to determine whether changes to virus protection should be incorporated into contingency planning, notification, and remediation measures.	Policy Procedure Corrective	All staff
End users should be administratively restricted from disabling or uninstalling anti-virus or security-related software.	Policy Procedure Preventive	All staff
Policies should strictly prohibit the creation, copying, or propagating of computer viruses.	Policy Procedure Preventive	All users
Each user is responsible for the IT resources assigned to, or used by, them (computer and peripherals). When an infection due to malicious code is suspected, the user should immediately stop computing and follow the emergency procedure provided by management and/or the security officer. In addition he/she should inform the appropriate parties (security department, help desk, etc.) about the problem in order to mitigate consequences and probability of malicious code propagation within the organization. If the user is not able to follow the procedure, he/she should immediately power off the computer and call the appropriate party (security department, help desk, etc.) for assistance.	Policy Procedure Preventive	All users
Management should assign responsibility for evaluating, updating, and monitoring compliance with IT policies.	Policy Procedure Preventive	Administrators
Employees are required to acknowledge receipt and understanding of IT policies relating to their responsibilities for the integrity, security, use, and availability of IT resources.	Policy Procedure Preventive	All users
Policies should be reviewed and approved by IT and entity management and be dated with appropriate version or tracking numbers included.	Policy Procedure Preventive	IT and entity management
<u>Technical Controls</u>		
All IT equipment, such as PCs, laptops, and servers must have up-to-date anti-virus software installed.	Policy Procedure Preventive	IT Administrators
There should be a firewall for all possible Internet gateways.	Policy Procedure Preventive	IT Administrators

Control	Type of Control	Applies to
Anti-adware and anti-spyware software should be used in addition to anti-virus software for protection of unauthorized intrusion.	Policy Procedure Preventive	All IT environments
Ensure that insecure protocols are blocked by the firewall from external segments and the Internet.	Policy Procedure Preventive	IT Administrators
The use of Intrusion Prevention Systems (IPS) and Intrusion Detection Systems (IDS) should be in concert with firewalls.	Policy Procedure Preventive	IT Administrators
No portable drive, including floppy disks, CDs, DVDs, or USBs, or any other portable electronic media shall be connected to a workstation or server on the network that is not running an up-to-date version of anti-virus protection.	Policy Procedure Preventive	All workstations, LAN environment
All connections to external or third-party entities should be monitored and should pass through a firewall.	Policy Procedure Preventive	All MAGNet agencies
To access the Internet from LAN or WAN environments, organizations should only use approved Internet gateways, such as those going through firewalls or by VPN.	Policy Procedure Preventive	LAN or WAN environment
Security software should be maintained such that installed software is updated to ensure synchronization with the vendor's most recent versions and updates.	Policy Procedure Preventive	All security programs
Anti-virus and anti-spyware software should be configured to automatically (auto-update) obtain vendor-provided definition files identifying known viruses and spyware.	Procedure Preventive	All anti-virus software
<u>ITD Requirements</u>		
All agency IT equipment that connects to the Internet through MAGNet must be behind ITD's MAGNet-supported firewall protection.	Policy Standard Preventive	All IT environments
Firewalls should have virus-scanning software installed.	Policy Procedure Preventive	All firewalls
All outside connections from vendors, contractors, or other business partners must pass through the ITD-managed firewall.	Policy Procedure Preventive	All MAGNet agencies
Management should ensure that appropriate email filtering and blocking capabilities are employed at the firewall level, including: (a) Blocking all multi-part MIME messages at the gateway; (b) Discarding emails containing files with extensions that are affiliated with a virus; (c) Disallowing private email that is separate and apart from an agency's primary email system.	Policy Procedure Preventive	All mail gateways

**APPENDIX 3
Date of Virus Infection by Agency per ITD**

Virus Infection Date	Agency Name	Virus	12/28/04	12/15/04	11/19/04	11/19/04	10/29/04	7/08/04	6/30/04	5/01/04	3/22/04	2/25/04	1/27/04	1/23/04	12/22/03	10/31/03	10/09/03
			Randex.CCF	Erkez.D@mm	Sober.I@MM	Femot.Worm	Beagle.AV@m	Spybot	korgo.q	Sasser	Netsky.P	Netsky.C	Mydoom	Slammer	Randex	Mimail	Welchia
Architectural Office Board																	
Bureau of State Office Buildings								Y	Y	Y		Y					Y
Commission Against Discrimination										Y							
Commission for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing								Y	Y	Y							
Department of Fish and Game																	
Department of Revenue																	
Department of Social Services								Y		Y		Y					Y
Developmental Disabilities Administration																	Y
Disabled Persons Protection Commission								Y									Y
Division of Career Services & Unemployment Assistance																	
George Fingold State Library																	
Group Insurance Commission																	Y
Human Resources Division								Y									Y
Information Technology Division			Y					Y	Y	Y		Y					Y
Legislative Information Services								Y									
Massachusetts Highway Department								Y		Y					Y		Y
Massachusetts Hospital School																	
Massachusetts Office of Travel and Tourism																	
Massachusetts Office on Disability								Y		Y							
Massachusetts Rehabilitation Commission			Y					Y	Y	Y		Y					
Massachusetts State Lottery Commission												Y					
Massachusetts Turnpike Authority																	
Merit Rating Board																	
Municipal Police Training Committee								Y		Y							Y
Newton Housing Authority																	
Office of Child Care Services								Y		Y		Y					
Office of Inspector General																	
Office of Professional Licensure																	
Registry of Motor Vehicles			Y					Y	Y	Y							
State Ethics Commission																	
Teachers' Retirement Board								Y									
University of Massachusetts Boston																	
Victim and Witness Assistance Board								Y		Y							

The system does not record all instances of virus activity. The viruses recorded on the ITD SAS system are based upon viruses detected through scanning or through notification from individual agencies.

APPENDIX 4
ITD's SAS Reported Security Alerts

Severity	Date	Name
High	01/05/05	W32.Randex.SQ
Medium	12/14/04	W32.Erkez.D@mm
High	12/01/04	Critical Vulnerability in Microsoft Internet Explorer
Medium	11/19/04	W32.Sober.I@mm
Medium	10/29/04	W32.Beagle.AV@mm
Low	10/04/04	W32.Bagz@mm
High	08/16/04	W32.Mydoom.Q@mm
Medium	08/10/04	W32.Beagle.AO@mm
High	07/26/04	W32.Myddom.M@mm
High	07/15/04	W32.Beagle.AB@mm
High	07/08/04	New W32.Sasser.Worm
Low	06/25/04	JS.Scob.Trojan
High	06/02/04	W32.Korgo.R
Medium	05/14/04	Dabber
Medium	05/14/04	Multiple Vulnerabilities in Symantec Client Firewall Products
High	05/01/04	W32.Sasser.Worm
High	04/26/04	W32.Beagle.W@mm
High	04/21/04	W32.Netsky.Y@mm
High	04/16/04	W32.Gaobot.AAY
High	04/16/04	W32.Gaobot.AAY
Medium	03/29/04	W32.Netsky.Q@mm
Medium	03/26/04	W32.Beagle.U@mm
Medium	03/24/04	W32.Netsky.P@mm from 3/22/2004
Medium	03/18/04	W32.Beagle.Q@mm
Medium	03/08/04	W32.Sober.D@mm
Medium	03/03/04	W32.Beagle.J@mm
High	03/01/04	W32.Beagle.E@mm
High	03/01/04	W32.Netsky.D@mm
High	02/25/04	W32.Netsky.C@mm
Medium	02/24/04	W32.Mydoom.F@mm
High	02/19/04	W32.Netsky.B@mm
High	02/17/04	W32.Beagle.B@mm also Known as W32.Alua@mm
Critical	02/11/04	Microsoft Security Bulletin MS04-007 ASN.1 Vulnerability Could Allow Code Execution
Medium	01/15/04	1/27/04 W32/Mydoom@MM, WORM_MIMAIL.R
Medium	12/18/03	YS OCSCIC Cyber Security Advisory Re: Cisco PIX vulnerabilities
Medium	11/18/03	W32.Mimail.J@mm
Medium	11/13/03	New Microsoft Security Bulletin
Medium	11/06/03	Oracle Application Server SQL Injection Vulnerability
Medium	10/31/03	W32.Mimail.C@mm
Medium	10/16/03	Windows New Security Bulletins
Medium	10/09/03	W32.Welchia.Worm
Medium	10/06/03	Cumulative Patch for Internet Explorer (828750)

APPENDIX 5
Information Technology Architecture and Enterprise Standards

Virus detection is identified in ITD's Information Technology Architecture and Enterprise Standards as:

- Virus scanning software must be installed at the Workstation, LAN, WAN, and Mail Server levels. ITD also has virus-scanning software at the firewalls.
- The software must be configured to:
 - Periodically scan all files that are stored on physically and logically connected disk drives attached to the computer;
 - Automatically scan any file that is copied onto a disk drive from an external source including floppy disks and CD ROM disks; and
 - Automatically scan any file that is opened by an application such as a word processing or spreadsheet application.
- Virus scanning software and virus signatures must be kept current by incorporating the vendor's most recent versions. Software with auto-update capabilities is strongly recommended.

Norton Anti-Virus Corporate Edition is recommended.

Virus Detection: <http://www.mass.gov/itd/spg/publications/standards/archstan.htm#Security>