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# ABSTRACT

This report presents information on trending and analysis of the volume and radioactivity of the low level radioactive waste (LLRW) reported to the Massachusetts Department of Public Health, Bureau of Environmental Health, Radiation Control Program (RCP) in the annual survey as generated in calendar years 2011-2015. The LLRW surveys are administered to RCP and Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) licensees located in Massachusetts. The survey also provides information on the potential impact to licensees should access to out-of state LLRW disposal facilities be denied.

The four LLRW classes in this report are: A, B, and C as described in 105 CMR 120.299, and High Volume, Low Activity (HVLA) waste as described in 345 CMR 1.13. As appropriate, the LLRW is further classified into five waste generator categories: (1) Academic, (2) Commercial, (3) Government, (4) Health, and (5) Utility; and six Facility Types: (1) Federal Agency, (2) State Agency, (3) State Education, (4) Municipality, (5) Private, Profit, and (6) Private, Non-Profit.

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report summarizes the data on low‑level radioactive waste (LLRW) generated in the state of Massachusetts for calendar years 2011-2015. This report is compiled from the annual low-level waste survey from radioactive material licensees.

The total volume of LLRW generated in Massachusetts from 2011-2015 was 502,482 cubic feet (ft3), and the total LLRW activity was approximately 49,879 curies (Ci).

**LLRW Volume Generated from 2011-2015 (ft3)**

| **Class** | **2011** | **2012** | **2013** | **2014** | **2015** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| A | 47,396 | 31,039 | 51,717 | 33,028 | 57,908 |
| B | 418 | 586 | 249 | 467 | 230 |
| C | 52 | 37 | 97 | 87 | 53 |
| HVLA | 265,074 | 5,521 | 48 | 4,559 | 3,916 |
| **TOTAL** | **312,940** | **37,183** | **52,111** | **38,141** | **62,107** |

**LLRW Activity Generated from 2011-2015 (Ci)**

| **Class** | **2011** | **2012** | **2013** | **2014** | **2015** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| A | 1,105 | 860 | 718 | 627 | 1,199 |
| B | 9,368 | 10,551 | 16,425 | 8,563 | 157 |
| C | 57 | 48 | 71 | 68 | 40 |
| HVLA | 9.87 | 1.11 | 1.10 | 5.64 | 4.16 |
| **TOTAL** | **10,539.87** | **11,460.11** | **17,215.10** | **9,263.64** | **1,400.16** |

The volume and radioactivity generated from 2011-2015 varied due to one-time-only events such as decommissioning projects, source manufacturing projects or nuclear power plant outages.

Additionally, scheduled plant outages at the Entergy Pilgrim Nuclear Power Plant (PNPS) contributed a larger amount of waste to the total LLRW figures in 2011, 2013 and 2015. These scheduled refueling outages occur every 24 months with some resulting in higher generation volumes. In addition, unplanned shut-down outages, such as those that occurred in 2013, further contribute to the generation increases.

On July 1, 2008, the LLRW facility in Barnwell, SC – the last in the United States that accepted out-of-compact Class B and C waste – closed. Massachusetts is not in a multistate compact. After mid-2008, the generation of Class B and C waste declined. Notably, Class C activity generation declined by more than 100 fold, and volumes by ten-fold. This decrease was likely due to the utilities and commercial facilities altering work and waste processing practices to avoid generation of Class B & C wastes and to avoid storing Class C wastes on site.

Class A radioactivity generation (Ci) from utilities and commercial facilities has tripled due to the increased scope of maintenance and repair activities. Class A volumes (ft3) have remained stable, except from academic facilities, which are generating lower volumes due to their decreasing use of radioactive material in research and development.

**Low Level Radioactive Waste**

**Trend Report: Calendar Years 2011-2015**

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Low-level radioactive waste (LLRW) is radioactive material that (1) is neither high-level radioactive waste, nor spent fuel, nor uranium mill tailings; and, (2) is classified by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) as LLRW. This does not include waste owned or generated by the U.S. Department of Energy, the U.S. Navy (e.g., decommissioning Navy vessels), or by thefederal government as a result of any research, development, testing, or production of any atomic weapon, all of which remain a federal responsibility.

LLRW typically consists of radioactively contaminated trash such as paper, rags, plastic, glassware, syringes, protective clothing (e.g. gloves, coveralls), cardboard, packaging material, organic material, spent pharmaceuticals, used (e.g. decayed) sealed radioactive sources, and water-treatment residues. The radioactivity of LLRW can range from just above background levels found in nature to highly radioactive in certain cases. The maximum concentration for each class of LLRW can be found in 105 CMR 120.299 for Class A, B, and C wastes, and 345 CMR 1.13(B) for high volume low activity (HVLA) waste.

Typical applications of LLRW include:

* The production of contaminated ion-exchange resins and filters, tools, clothing, and irradiated metals and other hardware by a nuclear power plant;
* The production and end-use of radiopharmaceuticals for medical procedures such as cancer and thyroid dysfunction diagnosis and treatment, radioimmunoassay and diagnostic imaging examinations;
* Research and development in the life science and biotechnology industry for the treatment and prevention of various diseases and medical conditions, and in the environmental field to study the effects of chemicals on plant and aquatic life, and for ocean studies;
* Commercial uses such as within instruments that measure level, thickness, and density or that are used in moisture analysis and quality control; sealed sources that are used for industrial radiography of pressure vessels and other structural welds; smoke detectors and exit signs in buildings and commercial aircraft; and,
* University education and research in medicine, material science and biotechnology.

## 1.1. Overview and Objectives

Annually each specific licensee that produces LLRW is surveyed to summarize the amount (e.g. volume and activity) of LLRW generated (e.g. transferred and in-storage) by waste classification, and the radioisotopes generated in each waste class. The LLRW data are evaluated by RCP to identify trends; significant generation and generators; and determine storage, treatment, and disposal solutions. This information supports the formulation of LLRW policy in the Commonwealth.

A database for tracking LLRW was developed by the Massachusetts Department of Public Health, Bureau of Environmental Health, Radiation Control Program (RCP) in 2002. This database contains records of LLRW reported to the RCP by their licensees, as well as from Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) licensees located in Massachusetts. The database is maintained by the RCP and contains almost 6,000 surveys submitted from approximately 2000 to the present.

In Massachusetts, there are four waste classifications from which the Licensees report: Class A, Class B, Class C, and High Volume Low Activity (HVLA) (see Appendix C for further discussion of these waste classes). To better analyze the data in terms of usage and generation trends, each Specific Licensee is further classified into five waste generator categories and six facility types:

**Waste Generator Categories**

Academic

Commercial

Government

Health

Utility

**Facility Types**

Federal Agency

State Agency

State Education

Municipality

Private, Profit

Private, Non-Profit

## 1.2. LLRW Data

The data presented in this report summarizes LLRW generated in the calendar years 2011-2015. There was no attempt to remove LLRW waste data that was either not required to be reported or was mixed with waste that was required to be reported. This report provides a review of the annual trend data for each waste classification, waste generator category, and facility type.

Trends in this report consider only volumes, activities, and waste class reported; the report does not directly account for external issues such as changes to regulatory requirements or changes in the number of licensees.

# 2. ANALYSIS of LLRW SURVEY DATA

## 2.1 LLRW by Radioactivity (Ci)

### 2.1.1 All LLRW by Radioactivity

**Figure 1 – LLRW by Radioactivity from 2011-2015**

The following observations are made regarding the data in Figure 1.

* Entergy PNPS and PerkinElmer, Inc. were the top Class A radioactivity generators from 2011-2015.
* Entergy PNPS (resins), PerkinElmer, Inc. (radiopharmaceutical manufacturer), and QSA Global, Inc. (industrial radioactive source manufacturer) generated large quantities of Class B radioactivity from 2011-2015.
* Entergy PNPS (resin and irradiated metals) and PerkinElmer, Inc. generated the most Class C radioactivity from 2011-2015.
* PerkinElmer, Inc. and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Shpack landfill cleanup) generated the most Class HVLA radioactivity from 2011-2013.

**Figure 2 - Annual Radioactivity of LLRW Generated Excluding Entergy, QSA Global, and PerkinElmer radioactivity waste totals**

* Top Class A radioactivity generators from 2011-2015:

Beverly Microwave Division;

Industrial Nuclear Company; and,

Pet Net Solutions, Inc.

* Top Class B radioactivity generators for years 2011-2015:

 Morpho Detection, LLC; and,

UMass Lowell

* Top Class C radioactivity generators for years 2011-2015:

 Beverly Microwave Division;

 UMass Lowell; and,

 Williams College

### 2.1.2. LLRW Radioactivity by Waste Generator Category

**Table 1. Calendar Year by Radioactivity (Ci)**

|  | **2011** | **2012** | **2013** | **2014** | **2015** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Academic | 0.45 | 14.86 | 0.63 | 0.95 | 3.14 |
| Commercial | 10,185.76 | 10,617.06 | 17,082.94 | 8,533 | 1,128 |
| Government | 9.84 |  |  |  |  |
| Health | 1.64 | 0.30 | 3.01 | 0.33 | 1.47 |
| Utility | 344.00 | 829.70 | 130.60 | 729 | 266 |

* Commercial facilities generated the most radioactivity in any given year.
* Utility radioactivity generation fluctuated over a five year period, as described in Table 1.

### 2.1.3. LLRW Radioactivity by Waste Class

**Table 2. Radioactivity by LLRW Waste Class (Ci)**

|  | **2011** | **2012** | **2013** | **2014** | **2015** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| A | 1,105 | 860.95 | 718 | 627 | 1,198 |
| B | 9,368 | 10,551 | 16,425 | 8,563 | 157 |
| C | 57.86 | 48.80 | 71.14 | 68 | 40 |
| HVLA | 9.87 | 1.11 | 1.10 | 5.64 | 4.16 |

* PerkinElmer, Inc. generated the most Class A radioactivity from 2011-2015.
* PerkinElmer, Inc. and QSA Global, Inc. produced the most Class B radioactivity in any given year.
* Entergy PNPS was the top generator of Class C radioactivity, as described in Table 2.
* PerkinElmer, Inc., Morpho Detection, LLC., and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers reported the most HVLA radioactivity.

### 2.1.4. Top Radioactivity Generators in CY 2011-2015

**Table 3. Top Activity Generators in 2011**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Total Activity (Ci)** |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 9,830 |  |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 344 |  |
| QSA GLOBAL, INC. | 326 |  |

**Table 4. Top Activity Generators in 2012**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Total Activity (Ci)** |
| QSA GLOBAL, INC. | 9,552 |  |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 1,003 |  |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 829 |  |

**Table 5. Top Activity Generators in 2013**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Total Activity (Ci)** |
| QSA GLOBAL, INC. | 10,622 |  |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 6,318 |  |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 130 |  |

**Table 6. Top Activity Generators in 2014**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Total Activity (Ci)** |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 8,470 |  |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 729 |  |
| PETNET SOLUTIONS, INC.  | 19 |  |

**Table 7. Top Activity Generators in 2015**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Total Activity (Ci)** |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 1,094 |  |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 266 |  |
| BEVERLY MICROWAVE DIVISION | 10 |  |

## 2.2. LLRW by Volume

### 2.2.1. All LLRW by Volume (ft3)

**Figure 3 – LLRW by Volume from 2011-2015**

* Consistent large volume generators include Entergy PNPS, PerkinElmer, Inc., and Unitech Services Group.
* Entergy PNPS generated 53% of the total volume in 2012 due to site maintenance activities.
* In 2013, Entergy PNPS generated 79% of the total volume due to scheduled refueling outage.
* In 2014, Entergy PNPS produced 60%, or 22,775 cubic feet of Class A waste.
* Entergy PNPS generated 76% of the total volume in calendar year 2015.

**Figure 4 - Annual Volume of LLRW Generated from 2011-2015, Excluding Shpack Landfill Cleanup**

* Facilities that generated the most volume from 2011-2015:

 Entergy PNPS;

 PerkinElmer, Inc.; and,

 Philotechnics, Ltd

### 2.2.2. LLRW Volume by Waste Generator Category

**Table 8. Volume (ft3) by Waste Generator Category**

|  | **2011** | **2012** | **2013** | **2014** | **2015** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Academic | 468 | 830 | 1,055 | 1,814 | 688 |
| Commercial | 14,121 | 14,573 | 9,459 | 12,328 | 13,683 |
| Government | 264,783 |  | 4 | 29 |  |
| Health | 671 | 2,187 | 562 | 1,051 | 640 |
| Utility | 32,899 | 19,595 | 41,030 | 22,917 | 47,096 |

* Waste volumes are largely influenced by one-time-only decommissioning projects.
* In 2011, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers produced 264,779 cubic feet of HVLA, or approximately 100% of the total volume generated.
* Entergy PNPS (Utility) generated the most volume from 2011-2015.

### 2.2.3. LLRW Volume by Facility Type

 **Table 9. Volume (ft3) by Facility Type**

|  | **2011** | **2012** | **2013** | **2014** | **2015** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Agency | 264,848 | 51 | 9.06 | 320 | 10 |
| Private, Non-Profit | 965 | 2,815 | 1,338 | 2,485 | 889 |
| Private, Profit | 47,020 | 34,159 | 50,489 | 35,245 | 60,779 |
| State Education Facility | 110 | 160 | 274 | 62 | 430 |

* Waste volumes are largely influenced by one-time-only decommissioning projects.
* In 2011, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Federal Agency) generated a significant amount of waste volume due to the Shpack landfill clean-up.
* UMass Amherst, UMass Boston, and UMass Lowell generated small quantities of volume over a five year period, as described in Table 9.
* Private, profit volume generation fluctuated due to Entergy PNPS waste volume generation.

### 2.2.4. LLRW Volume by Waste Class

**Table 10. Volume (ft3) by Waste Class**

| **Class** | **2011** | **2012** | **2013** | **2014** | **2015** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| A | 47,397 | 31,040 | 51,717 | 33,028 | 57,908 |
| B | 419 | 586 | 250 | 467 | 230 |
| C | 53 | 37 | 98 | 86 | 53 |
| HVLA | 265,074 | 5,522 | 48 | 4,559 | 3,917 |

* HVLA waste volumes are largely influenced by one-time-only decommissioning projects (e.g., U.S. Army Corps of Engineers - Shpack landfill cleanup).
* Entergy PNPS, Harvard University, Lantheus Medical Imaging, Inc., PerkinElmer, Inc., and Unitech Services Group were the largest generators of Class A volume from 2011-2014.
* Top HVLA waste volume generators in 2012, 2014 and 2015:

1. Bartlett Nuclear;

2. Boston Heart Diagnostics;

3. Dana-Farber Cancer Institute;

4. Morpho Detection, LLC; and,

5. Philotechnics, Ltd.

* In 2014, Morpho Detection, LLC reported 1,509 ft3 of HVLA. Out of 1,509 ft3, 877 ft3 of HVLA was transferred off site. The remaining 632 ft3 of HVLA was stored on the facility’s premises.

### 2.2.5. Top Generators by Volume from CY 2011-2015

**Table 11. Top Generators by Volume (ft3) in Calendar Year 2011**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Waste Volume (ft3)**  |
| US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, SHPACK SUPERFUND/FUSRAP SITE | 264,779 |  |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 32,899 |  |
| UNITECH SERVICES GROUP, INC. | 4,600 |  |

**Table 12. Top Generators by Volume (ft3) in Calendar Year 2012**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Waste Volume (ft3)**  |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 19,595 |  |
| PHILOTECHNICS, LTD | 4,085 |  |
| UNITECH SERVICES GROUP, INC. | 2,370 |  |

**Table 13. Top Generators by Volume (ft3) in Calendar Year 2013**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Waste Volume (ft3)** |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 41,030 |  |
| UNITECH SERVICES GROUP, INC. | 2,550 |  |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 1,803 |  |

**Table 14. Top Generators by Volume (ft3) in Calendar Year 2014**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Waste Volume (ft3)** |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 22,917 |  |
| BARTLETT NUCLEAR, INC. | 2,560 |  |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 1,895 |  |

**Table 15. Top Generators by Volume (ft3) in Calendar Year 2015**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Waste Volume (ft3)**  |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 47,096 |  |
| PERKINELMER, INC.  | 3,108 |  |
| BARTLETT NUCLEAR, INC.  | 2,375 |  |

## 2.3. Class A LLRW by Radioactivity

### 2.3.1. All Class A Radioactivity (Ci)

**Figure 5 - Class A Radioactivity**

Class A radioactivity generation had trended upwards until 2011. Upward trend likely due to generators altering use handling processes to make less Class B & C radioactivity, resulting in more generation of Class A radioactivity.

Reduction in Class A radioactivity from 2012-2014. Top facilities that generated Class A radioactivity from 2011-2014:

Entergy PNPS;

PerkinElmer, Inc.; and,

QSA Global, Inc.

###

### 2.3.2. Class A Radioactivity by Waste Generator Category

**Table 16. Class A Radioactivity (Ci) by Waste Generator Category**

|  |
| --- |
|  | **2011** | **2012** | **2013** | **2014** | **2015** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Academic | 0.44 | 0.86 | 0.62 | 0.95 | 3.14 |
| Commercial | 860 | 702 | 632.46 | 529 | 1128.27 |
| Health | 1.63 | 0.29 | 3 | 0.33 | 1.47 |
| Utility | 243 | 157 | 82.90 | 96 | 266.29 |

The following observations are made regarding the data in Table 16.

* Commercial facilities generated the most Class A radioactivity from 2011-2015. For example, PerkinElmer was the top generator of Class A radioactivity for five consecutive years.
* Entergy PNPS (Utility) generated the most Class A radioactivity for each year.

### 2.3.3. Class A Radioactivity by Facility Type

**Table 17. Class A Radioactivity (Ci) by Facility Type**

|  |
| --- |
|  | **2011** | **2012** | **2013** | **2014** | **2015** |
| Federal Agency | 1.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000000045 | 8.15 |
| Private, Non-Profit | 0.72 | 1.13 | 3.51 | 1.24 | 4.59 |
| Private, Profit | 1,103 | 859 | 715 | 625 | 1192 |
| State Education Facility | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.112 | 0.03 | 1.85 |

The following observations are made regarding the data in Table 17.

* Private, for-profit facilities dominate Class A radioactivity generation.

### 2.3.4. Top Class A Radioactivity Generators from CY 2011-2015

**Table 18. Top Class A Radioactivity (Ci) in Calendar Year 2011**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class A****(Ci)** |
| PERKINELMER, INC.  | 829 |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 243 |
| PETNET SOLUTIONS, INC. | 10 |

**Table 19. Top Class A Radioactivity (Ci) in Calendar Year 2012**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class A****(Ci)** |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 635 |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 157 |
| BEVERLY MICROWAVE DIVISION OF COMMUNICATIONS AND POWER | 20 |

**Table 20. Top Class A Radioactivity (Ci) in Calendar Year 2013**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class A****(Ci)** |
| PERKINELMER, INC.  | 490 |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 82 |
| PETNET SOLUTIONS, INC. | 80 |

**Table 21. Top Class A Radioactivity (Ci) in Calendar Year 2014**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class A****(Ci)** |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 491 |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 96 |
| INDUSTRIAL NUCLEAR COMPANY, INC. | 19 |

**Table 22. Top Class A Radioactivity (Ci) in Calendar Year 2015**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class A****(Ci)** |
| PERKINELMER, INC.  | 1,008 |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 156 |
| PETNET SOLUTIONS, INC.  | 10 |

## 2.4. Class A LLRW by Volume

### 2.4.1. All Class A Volume

**Figure 6 - Class A Volume**

* Class A volume variability is largely due to planned and unplanned utility outages and other decommissioning projects.
* Entergy Nuclear generated 68% of total Class A volume from 2011-2015.
* Top Class A volume generators from 2011-2015:

1. Charles River Laboratories, Inc.;

2. Entergy PNPS;

3. Harvard University;

4. Lantheus Medical Imaging, Inc.;

5. PerkinElmer, Inc.; and,

6. Unitech Services Group, Inc.

* Scheduled plant outages at the Entergy Pilgrim Nuclear Power Plant (PNPS) contributed a larger amount of waste to the total LLRW figures in 2011 and 2013. These scheduled refueling outages occur every 24 months with some resulting in higher generation volumes. In addition, unplanned shut-down outages, such as those that occurred in 2013, further contribute to the generation increases.

### 2.4.2. Class A Volume by Waste Generator Category

**Table 23. Class A Volume (ft3) by Waste Generator Category**

|  |
| --- |
|  | **2011** | **2012** | **2013** | **2014** | **2015** |
| Academic | 467 | 815 | 1,055 | 1,815 | 688 |
| Commercial | 13,554 | 9,897 | 9,083 | 7,357 | 13,683 |
| Government | 4 |  | 4 |  |  |
| Health | 670 | 826 | 556 | 1,052 | 640 |
| Utility | 32,700 | 19,500 | 41,015 | 41,015 | 47,000 |

* The annual variability in Class A volume from Utilitys is due to outages – e.g., Entergy PNPS. Scheduled plant outages at the Entergy Pilgrim Nuclear Power Plant (PNPS) contributed a larger amount of waste to the total LLRW figures in 2011 and 2013. These scheduled refueling outages occur every 24 months with some resulting in higher generation volumes.
* Commercial facilities generated a significant amount of Class A waste from 2011-2015:

Charles River Laboratories, Inc.;

Lantheus Medical Imaging, Inc.;

PerkinElmer, Inc.; and,

Unitech Services Group, Inc.

* Waste volume generation in the Health category varies from year to year. The top facilities that produced Class A waste volume:

1. Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center;

2. Brigham & Women’s Hospital;

3. Dana-Farber Cancer Institute;

4. Joslin Diabetes Center;

5. Massachusetts General Hospital;

6. Tufts Medical Center; and,

7. UMass Memorial Healthcare.

###

### 2.4.3. Class A Volume by Facility Type

**Table 24. Class A Volume (ft3) by Facility Type**

|  |
| --- |
|  | **2011** | **2012** | **2013** | **2014** | **2015** |
| Federal Agency | 69 | 51 | 4 | 320 | 9 |
| Private, Non-Profit | 964 | 1,455 | 1,337 | 2,485 | 88,732 |
| Private, Profit | 46,253 | 29,388 | 50,098 | 30,132 | 56,581 |
| State Education Facility | 109 | 145 | 274 | 62 | 429 |

* Private, Profit facilities dominated the generation of Class A volumes.
* Private, Profit varies from year to year due to Utility outages and planned and unplanned decommissioning work.
* Private, Non-Profit licensees produced the most Class A volume in any given year (e.g., hospitals and universities).

### 2.4.4. Top Class A Volume Generators from CY 2011-2015

**Table 25. Top Class A Volume Generators in Calendar Year 2011**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class A (ft3)** |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 32,700 |  |
| UNITECH SERVICES GROUP, INC. | 4,600 |  |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 2,329 |  |

**Table 26. Top Class A Volume Generators in Calendar Year 2012**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class A (ft3)** |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 19,500 |  |
| UNITECH SERVICES GROUP, INC. | 2,370 |  |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 1,780 |  |

**Table 27. Top Class A Volume Generators in Calendar Year 2013**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class A (ft3)** |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 41,015 |  |
| UNITECH SERVICES GROUP, INC. | 2,550 |  |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 1,465 |  |

**Table 28. Top Class A Volume Generators in Calendar Year 2014**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class A (ft3)** |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 22,775 |  |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 1,527 |  |
| HARVARD UNIVERSITY | 1,326 |  |

**Table 29. Top Class A Volume Generators in Calendar Year 2015**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class A (ft3)** |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 47,000 |  |
| PERKINELMER, INC.  | 2,928 |  |
| UNITECH SERVICES GROUP, INC. | 1,950 |  |

## 2.5. Class B LLRW by Radioactivity

### 2.5.1. All Class B by Radioactivity

**Figure 7 - All Class B by Radioactivity**

* Class B radioactivity generation appears to have an upward trend (years 2012-2013) likely due to:
1. Commercial facilities ramping up source production
2. Radioactive waste system resins capturing more activity during refueling and spent fuel pool maintenance activities.
* QSA Global, Inc., PerkinElmer, Inc., and Entergy PNPS reported the most Class B radioactivity from 2011-2015.

### 2.5.2. Class B Radioactivity by Waste Generator Category

**Table 30. Class B Radioactivity (Ci) by Waste Generator Category**

|  |
| --- |
|  | **2011** | **2012** | **2013** | **2014** | **2015** |
| Academic |  | 14 |  |  | 1.8 |
| Commercial | 9.314 | 9,912 | 16,425 | 7,977 | 82.4 |
| Utility | 53.2 | 625 |  | 585 | 74.6 |

* Commercial facilities dominate the generation of Class B radioactivity.

### 2.5.3. Class B Radioactivity by Facility Type

**Table 31. Class B Radioactivity (Ci) by Facility Type**

|  |
| --- |
|  | **2011** | **2012** | **2013** | **2014** | **2015** |
| Federal Agency | 11.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
| Private, Non-Profit | 0.73 | 1.14 | 3.52 |  | 1.8 |
| Private, Profit | 10,529,76 | 11,446.76 | 17,213.54 | 8,562 | 157 |
| State Education Facility | 0.06 | 14.02 | 0.11 |  |  |

* Private, For Profit facilities dominate the generation of Class B radioactivity.

### 2.5.4. Top Class B Radioactivity Generators from CY 2011-2015

**Table 32. Top Class B Radioactivity Generators in Calendar Year 2011**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class B****(Ci)** |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 8,991 |
| QSA GLOBAL, INC. | 323 |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 53 |

**Table 33. Top Class B Radioactivity Generators in Calendar Year 2012**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class B****(Ci)** |
| QSA GLOBAL, INC. | 9,546 |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 625 |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 365 |

**Table 34. Top Class B Radioactivity Generators in Calendar Year 2013**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class B****(Ci)** |
| QSA GLOBAL, INC. | 10,619 |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 5,803 |
| MORPHO DETECTION, LLC. | 2.23 |

**Table 35. Top Class B Radioactivity Generators in Calendar Year 2014**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class B****(Ci)** |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 7,976 |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 585 |
| MORPHO DETECTION, LLC. | 1.08 |

**Table 36. Top Class B Radioactivity Generators in Calendar Year 2015**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class B****(Ci)** |
| PERKINELMER, INC.  | 81 |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 75 |
| QSA GLOBAL, INC. | 0.96 |

## 2.6. Class B LLRW by Volume

### 2.6.1. All Class B by Volume

**Figure 8 - All Class B by Volume**

* Entergy PNPS, Morpho Detection, LLC, QSA Global, INC and PerkinElmer, Inc. generated the most Class B volume from 2011-2015.
* In 2014, PerkinElmer, Inc. generated 322 ft3 out of 467 ft3 ofClass B.

### 2.6.2. Class B Volume by Waste Generator Category

**Table 37. Class B Volume (ft3) by Waste Generator Category**

|  |
| --- |
|  | **2011** | **2012** | **2013** | **2014** | **2015** |
| Academic |  | 7.30 |  |  | 1 |
| Commercial | 234 | 499 | 249.75 | 332 | 139 |
| Utility | 184 | 80 |  | 135 | 90 |

* Utility facility’s Class B volume varies due to outages at Entergy PNPS.
* Commercial facilities that generated the most Class B volume from 2011-2015: Mevion Medical Systems, Inc., Morpho Detection, LLC, and PerkinElmer, Inc.

### 2.6.3. Class B Volume by Facility Type

**Table 38. Class B Volume (ft3) by Facility Type**

|  |
| --- |
|  | **2011** | **2012** | **2013** | **2014** | **2015** |
| Private, Non-Profit |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private, Profit | 418 | 579 | 249.75 | 467 | 229 |
| State Education Facility |  | 7.30 |  |  |  |

* Private, Profit facilities (e.g., Entergy PNPS, Morpho Detection, LLC, and PerkinElmer) dominate the volume of Class B generated from 2011-2015.

### 2.6.4. Top Class B Volume Generators in CY 2011-2015

**Table 39. Top Class B Volume Generators in Calendar Year 2011**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class B****(ft3)** |
| PERKINELMER, INC | 184.5 |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 184 |
| MEVION MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC. | 50 |

**Table 40. Top Class B Volume Generators in Calendar Year 2012**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class B****(ft3)** |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 498 |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 80 |
| MASS. -LOWELL, UNIVERSITY OF | 7.30 |

**Table 41. Top Class B Volume Generators in Calendar Year 2013**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class B****(ft3)** |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 247.5 |
| MORPHO DETECTION, LLC. | 1.36 |
| QSA GLOBAL, INC. | 0.88 |

**Table 42. Top Class B Volume Generators in Calendar Year 2014**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class B****(ft3)** |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 322 |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 135 |
| MORPHO DETECTION, LLC. | 5.45 |

**Table 43. Top Class B Volume Generators in Calendar Year 2015**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class B****(ft3)** |
| PERKINELMER, INC.  | 135 |
| ENTERGY PNPS  | 90 |
| QSA GLOBAL, INC. | 4.09 |

## 2.7. Class C LLRW by Radioactivity

### 2.7.1. All Class C Radioactivity

**Figure 9 - All Class C Radioactivity**

* Utility and commercial entities generated the majority of Class C radioactivity.

### 2.7.2. Class C Radioactivity by Waste Generator Category

**Table 44. Class C Radioactivity (Ci) by Waste Generator Category**

|  |
| --- |
|  | **2011** | **2012** | **2013** | **2014** | **2015** |
| Academic |  | 3.00E-03 |  |  | 8.60E-04 |
| Commercial | 10.15 | 1.10 | 23.4 | 20.48 | 4.94 |
| Utility | 47.7 | 47.7 | 47.7 | 47.7 | 47.7 |

* Utility and commercial facilities (e.g., PerkinElmer, Inc. & Entergy PNPS) dominate the radioactivity generation of Class C every year.

### 2.7.3. Class C Radioactivity by Facility Type

**Table 45. Class C Radioactivity (Ci) by Facility Type**

|  |
| --- |
|  | **2011** | **2012** | **2013** | **2014** | **2015** |
| Private, Profit | 57.86 | 48.8 | 71.14 | 68.18 | 40.53 |
| State Education Facility |  | 3.00E-03 |  |  |  |

* Private, Profit facilities (e.g., PerkinElmer, Inc. & Entergy PNPS) dominate the radioactivity generation of Class C every year.

### 2.7.4. Top Class C Radioactivity Generators from CY 2011-2015

**Table 46. Top Class C Radioactivity Generators in 2011**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class C****(Ci)** |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 47.70 |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 10.15 |

**Table 47. Top Class C Radioactivity Generators in 2012**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class C****(Ci)** |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 47.70 |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 1.10 |

**Table 48. Top Class C Radioactivity Generators in 2013**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class C****(Ci)** |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 47.70 |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 23.44 |

**Table 49. Top Class C Radioactivity Generators in 2014**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class C****(Ci)** |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 47.70 |
| BEVERLY MICROWAVE DIVISION | 13.1 |

**Table 50. Top Class C Radioactivity Generators in 2015**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class C****(Ci)** |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 35.6 |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 4.94 |

## 2.8. Class C LLRW by Volume

### 2.8.1. All Class C by Volume

**Figure 10 - All Class C by Volume**

The following observations are made regarding the data in Figure 10.

* The large variability in Class C volumes is due to utility outages, which results in more radionuclide production. Scheduled outages at Entergy PNPS can contribute significantly to total LLRW figures; outages occur approximately every 24 months and some are much more comprehensive than others (e.g. produce more waste).

### 2.8.2. Class C Volume by Waste Generator Category

**Table 51. Class C Volume (ft3) by Waste Generator Category**

|  |
| --- |
|  | **2011** | **2012** | **2013** | **2014** | **2015** |
| Academic |  |  7.30 |  |  | 1 |
| Commercial | 37.5 | 15 | 82.5 | 80.36 | 45 |
| Utility | 15 | 15 | 15 | 6.65 | 6.65 |

* Utility and commercial facilities (e.g., PerkinElmer, Inc. & Entergy PNPS) dominate the volume generation of Class C every year.
* University of Massachusetts Lowell generated 7.30 ft3 of Class C in 2012 due to reactor cleanout activities.

### 2.8.3. Class C Volume by Facility Type

**Table 52. Class C Volume (ft3) by Facility Type**

|  |
| --- |
|  | **2011** | **2012** | **2013** | **2014** | **2015** |
| Private, Profit | 52.5 | 30 | 97.5 | 87 | 41 |
| State Education Facility |  | 7.30 |  |  |  |

* Private, Profit facilities (e.g., PerkinElmer, Inc. & Entergy PNPS) dominate the volume generation of Class C every year.
* University of Massachusetts Lowell generated 7.30 ft3 of Class C in 2012.

### 2.8.4. Top Class C Volume Generators from CY 2011-2015

**Table 53. Top Class C Volume Generators in Calendar Year 2011**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class C****(ft3)** |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 37.5 |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 15 |

**Table 54. Top Class C Volume Generators in Calendar Year 2012**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class C****(ft3)** |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 15 |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 15 |

**Table 55. Top Class C Volume Generators in Calendar Year 2013**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class C****(ft3)** |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 82.5 |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 15 |

**Table 56. Top Class C Volume Generators in Calendar Year 2014**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class C****(ft3)** |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 45 |
| BEVERLY MICROWAVE DIVISION | 34 |

**Table 57. Top Class C Volume Generators in Calendar Year 2015**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **Class C****(ft3)** |
| PERKINELMER, INC.  | 45 |
| ENTERGY PNPS | 6.66 |

## 2.9. HVLA LLRW by Radioactivity

### 2.9.1. All HVLA by Radioactivity

**Figure 11 - All HVLA by Radioactivity**

* HVLA radioactivity levels are highly reliant upon decommissioning projects, which are unpredictable (e.g., U.S. Army Corps of Engineers).
* U.S. Army Corps of Engineers generated the most HVLA from 2011-2015.
* PerkinElmer, Inc. generated the most HVLA from 2012-2013.
* Morpho Detection, LLC generated the most HVLA in 2014.

### 2.9.2. HVLA Radioactivity by Waste Generator Category

**Table 58. HVLA Radioactivity (Ci) by Waste Generator Category**

|  |
| --- |
|  | **2011** | **2012** | **2013** | **2014** | **2015** |
| Academic |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 3.24E-02 | 1.11 | 1.10 | 5.64 | 4.16 |
| Government | 9.84 |  |  |  |  |
| Health | 6.99E-04 | 3.87E-03 | 1.00E-07 |  |  |

* The Government category dominates HVLA radioactivity generation (e.g., U.S. Army Corps of Engineers involvement in the Shpack landfill cleanup).
* The following Commercial facilities reported the most HVLA: Boston Heart Diagnostics, Charm Sciences, Inc., Morpho Detection, LLC, and PerkinElmer, Inc.

### 2.9.3. HVLA Radioactivity by Facility Type

**Table 59. HVLA Radioactivity (Ci) by Facility Type**

|  |
| --- |
|  | **2011** | **2012** | **2013** | **2014** | **2015** |
| Federal Agency | 9.84 |  | 1.00E-07 |  |  |
| Private, Non-Profit | 6.99E-04 | 3.87E-03 |  |  |  |
| Private, Profit | 3.24E-02 | 1.11 | 1.10 | 5.64 | 4.16 |
| State Education Facility |  |  |  |  |  |

* The Federal Agency category dominates HVLA radioactivity generation (e.g., U.S. Army Corps of Engineers involvement in the Shpack landfill cleanup).
* The 2011 Private, Profit HVLA radioactivity generation was largely due to PerkinElmer, Inc., who appeared to be using this decommissioning created class of waste for some of their routine disposals.
* The following Private, Profit facilities reported the most HVLA: Boston Heart Diagnostics, Charm Sciences, Inc., Morpho Detection, LLC, and PerkinElmer, Inc.

### 2.9.4. Top HVLA Radioactivity Generators from CY 2011-2015

**Table 60. Top HVLA Radioactivity Generators in Calendar Year 2011**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **HVLA****(Ci)** |
| US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, SHPACK SUPERFUND/FUSRAP SITE | 9.84 |
| CHARM SCIENCES INC. | 0.01 |
| LONZA BIOLOGICS, INC. | 0.006 |

**Table 61. Top HVLA Radioactivity Generators in Calendar Year 2012**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **HVLA****(Ci)** |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 1.10 |
| PHILOTECHNICS, LTD | 0.006 |
| DANA-FARBER CANCER INSTITUTE | 0.003 |

**Table 62. Top HVLA Radioactivity Generators in Calendar Year 2013**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **HVLA****(Ci)** |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 1.10 |
| MICROTEST LABORATORIES, INC. | 0.003 |
| CHARM SCIENCES INC. | 0.001 |

**Table 63. Top HVLA Radioactivity Generators in Calendar Year 2014**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **HVLA****(Ci)** |
| MORPHO DETECTION, LLC | 4.56 |
| BOSTON HEART DIAGNOSTICS | 0.84 |
| BARTLETT NUCLEAR, INC. | 0.22 |

**Table 64. Top HVLA Radioactivity Generators in Calendar Year 2015**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **HVLA****(Ci)** |
| MORPHO DETECTION, LLC | 3.34 |
| BOSTON HEART DIAGNOSTICS | 0.81 |
| FORUM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.  | 0.003 |

## 2.10. HVLA LLRW by Volume

### 2.10.1. All HVLA by Volume

**Figure 12 - All HVLA by Volume**

* HVLA volumes are highly reliant upon decommissioning projects, hence trending is difficult (e.g., U.S. Army Corps of Engineers).
* In 2014, the following facilities generated the most HVLA volume:

 1. Bartlett Nuclear, Inc – 2,560 ft3;

 2. Morpho Detection, LLC – 1,509 ft3;

 3. Boston Heart Diagnostics – 420 ft3

### 2.10.2. HVLA Volume by Waste Generator Category

**Table 65. HVLA Volume (ft3) by Waste Generator Category**

|  |
| --- |
|  | **2011** | **2012** | **2013** | **2014** | **2015** |
| Academic |  |  |  |  | 688.45 |
| Commercial | 294.86 | 4,161 | 43.34 | 4,559 | 13,683 |
| Government | 264,779 |  |  |  |  |
| Health | 0.51 | 1.360 | 5.06 |  | 640.07 |

* All the Government HVLA volume generation is attributed to the Shpack landfill decommissioning project, which was led by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.
* In 2012, Philotechnics, Ltd. generated 4,085 ft3 out of 4,161 ft3 of HVLA; Dana-Farber Cancer Institute produced 1,360 ft3 of HVLA.
* Commercial facilities generated the majority of HVLA in 2015 –
* Bartlett Nuclear, Inc – 2,375 ft3;
* Morpho Detection, LLC – 1,059 ft3;
* Boston Heart Diagnostics – 452 ft3

### 2.10.3. HVLA Volume by Facility Type

**Table 66. HVLA Volume (ft3) by Facility Type**

|  |
| --- |
|  | **2011** | **2012** | **2013** | **2014** | **2015** |
| Federal Agency | 264,779 |  | 5.06 |  |  |
| Private, Non-Profit | 0.51 | 1,360 |  |  |  |
| Private, Profit | 294.86 | 4,161 | 43.34 | 4,559 | 3,917 |
| State Education Facility |  |  |  |  |  |

* All the Federal Agency HVLA volume generation is due to the Shpack landfill decommissioning project, which ended in 2011.
* Private, Non-Profit and Private, Profit generated the most HVLA volume in 2012 and 2014, respectively.

### 2.10.4. Top HVLA Volume Generators from CY 2011-2015

**Table 67. Top HVLA Volume Generators in Calendar Year 2011**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **HVLA****(ft 3 )** |
| US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, SHPACK SUPERFUND/FUSRAP SITE | 264,779 |
| PLANSEE USA, LLC | 143 |
| LONZA BIOLOGICS, INC. | 45 |

**Table 68. Top HVLA Volume Generators in Calendar Year 2012**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **HVLA****(ft 3 )** |
| PHILOTECHNICS, LTD | 4,085 |
| DANA-FARBER CANCER INSTITUTE | 1,360 |
| LONZA BIOLOGICS, INC. | 35.8 |

**Table 69. Top HVLA Volume Generators in Calendar Year 2013**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name**  | **HVLA****(ft 3 )** |
| MICROTEST LABORATORIES, INC. | 34.77 |
| PERKINELMER, INC. | 7.50 |
| HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES, DEPT. OF | 5.06 |

**Table 70. Top HVLA Volume Generators in Calendar Year 2014**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **HVLA****(ft3)** |
| BARTLETT NUCLEAR, INC. | 2,560 |
| MORPHO DETECTION, LLC | 1,509 |
| BOSTON HEART DIAGNOSTICS | 420 |

**Table 71. Top HVLA Volume Generators in Calendar Year 2015**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Facility Name** | **HVLA****(ft 3 )** |
| BARTLETT NUCLEAR, INC.  | 2,375 |
| MORPHO DETECTION, LLC  | 1,059 |
| BOSTON HEART DIAGNOSTICS | 452 |

# 3. NATIONAL DATA

Commercial disposal of LLRW in the U.S. has been nationally tabulated in the Manifest Information Management System (MIMS) since 1998; the database was developed for and is maintained by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) in response to provisions in 42 U.S.C. 2021g(a). The data in MIMS comes from waste manifests shipments to one closed LLRW disposal facility (i.e. Beatty, Nevada) and three operating commercial LLRW disposal facilities (U.S. Ecology [Richland, Washington], Duratek / Chem Nuclear [Barnwell, South Carolina], and Energy Solutions, formerly Envirocare of Utah [Clive, Utah]).

Reports in MIMS contain information on LLRW volume, radioactivity, and number of shipments to each facility. Waste generators are not specifically identified in MIMS but instead are given a unique code indicating the state of origin. Some shipments include waste from multiple states and or waste generators which are delivered via brokers or waste processors.

The scope of the data in MIMS is limited to LLRW from utilities, industries including waste brokers/processors, academic/research institutions, medical facilities, and government (state and Federal agencies outside DOE). MIMS data can be found at [http://mims.doe.gov](http://mims.doe.gov/).

According to MIMS data, all LLRW generated in Massachusetts from 2011-2015 was received at Envirocare in Clive, Utah due to the closure of Barnwell, SC (2008) and Hanford, WA (1992) sites to non-compact members. However, the MIMS data does not show is where Massachusetts’ Class B & C waste is received, since Envirocare only accepts Class A waste. It is possible that Massachusetts’ Class B & C waste is being treated then disposed as Class A or temporarily stored on site or at a waste broker’s facility.

# 4. FINANCIAL DATA

Funds to manage the requirements of M.G.L. Chapter 111H (Massachusetts Low‑Level Radioactive Waste Management Act), as amended, require the assessment of an annual fee on licensees and registrants. Pursuant to M.G.L. Chapter 111H, section 4A, the Low-Level Radioactive Waste Management Board shall annually assess each person licensed or registered to receive, possess, use, transfer or acquire radioactive materials in the Commonwealth, amounts sufficient to defray the costs annually incurred by the board for such purposes.

Any unpaid assessments are charged interest at 12% per annum on and after the due date, which is 90 days from the invoice date. After 180 days any outstanding fee users are issued a collection letter and subject to intercept of any state payments or tax refunds.

Cities and towns are exempt from the annual LLRW fees per M.G.L. Chapter 29, section 27C, however municipalities must still submit the annual LLRW survey when requested.

Pursuant to 345 CMR 4.03(2), the annual LLRW fee is a function of volume, class, and activity of waste generated per year, as shown in the equation below:

Annual Fee = FF + {[(CRF)\*(CA + 3CB +5CC)]\*(PF)} + [(HVLA\*(PFHVLA)]

Where:

FF - Flat Fee. Currently $100 for XRF only licenses; $150 for all other licenses.

CRF - Classification of Radioactivity Factor. Varies from 1.0 to 1.3 depending on the gross activity generated (excluding HVLA waste) - See Table 72 below.

CA - Class A LLRW volume in ft3.

CB - Class B LLRW volume in ft3.

CC - Class C LLRW volume in ft3.

PF - Proportional Fee for Class A, B, and C Wastes - Currently set at $5.10/ ft3.

PFHVLA - Proportional Fee for HVLA Waste - Currently set at $1.275/ft3.

HVLA- Volume of HVLA waste in ft3.

**Table 72. Classification of Radioactivity Factor (CRF) per 345 CMR 4.03B table**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Radioactivity of Waste Shipped for Disposal Off Site, or Stored for Later Disposal  | Classification of Radioactivity Factor (CRF)  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Less than 1.0 Ci/year | 1.0 |
| 1.0 curie/year or more but less than 10.0 Ci/year | 1.1 |
| 10.0 Ci/year or more but less than 100.0 Ci/year | 1.2 |
| 100.0 Ci/year or more | 1.3 |

U.S. DOE FUNDING

The Massachusetts Department of Public Health, Radiation Control Program, received no federal funding from 2011-2015, pursuant to the federal Low‑Level Radioactive Waste Policy Act, as amended (P.L. 99‑240). The funds were collected by certain LLRW disposal sites as a surcharge to use these disposal sites. The funds are held by DOE, and rebated to various states based upon their success in meeting milestones outlined in federal law. Since Massachusetts ceased its disposal siting activities in 1996 and remains an unaffiliated disposal state, no funds were received during the time frame of this report.

# Appendix A - Glossary of Terms

Broker A person engaged in the business of arranging for the collection, transportation, treatment, storage or disposal of low-level radioactive waste.

High Volume, Low Activity (HVLA)

Soils or demolition rubble waste that have average concentrations of radioactive material less than or equal to the concentrations set forth in 345 CMR 1.13, Table 1.13B and that have been accepted for disposal at a licensed LLRW disposal facility.

Licensee A person holding a license issued pursuant to Part C of 105 CMR 120.000 by DPH or a license issued by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission to transfer, acquire, own, possess or use quantities of, or devices or equipment utilizing, radioactive material.

Low-Level Radioactive Waste (LLRW)

 Radioactive material that (1) is neither high level waste, nor spent nuclear fuel, nor byproduct material as defined in 11(e)(2) of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 2014(e); and (2) is classified by the Federal Government as low-level radioactive waste, but not including waste which remains a Federal responsibility, as designated in 3(b) of the Low-Level Radioactive Waste Policy Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 2021c(b), as in effect as of December 8, 1987.

RCRA Corrective Action (RCRA) sites

 Facilities that treat, store, and/or dispose of hazardous wastes. These facility owners are required to clean up environmental contaminants released into soil, ground water, surface water, and air at their sites under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA).

Shallow Depth Disposal

 A land disposal method that relies on the sites’ natural characteristics as the primary barrier for isolation of the waste.

# Appendix B - Commercial Low Level Radioactive Waste - Recent History

Low Level Radioactive Waste Policy Act (LLRWPA)

By the late 1970s, only three disposal facilities accepted commercially produced LLRW in the United States; these facilities were located in South Carolina, Nevada, and Washington State. In response to advocacy from these states, Congress passed the Low Level Radioactive Waste Policy Act (LLRWPA) in 1980 (P.L. 96‑573). The act established that:

1. Each state is responsible for the LLRW generated within its boundaries;

2. States were encouraged to form multi-state compacts to facilitate managing LLRW generated within the boundaries of the compact states; and,

3. The right of regional compacts to prohibit disposal at their regional facilities of LLRW generated in non-compact states after January 1, 1986.

Low-Level Radioactive Policy Amendments Act (LLRWPAA)

Amendments to the LLRWPA were passed in 1986 in the form of the Low-Level Radioactive Policy Amendments Act (LLRWPAA) (Public Law 99‑240). In short, the LLRWPAA:

1. Extended the original January 1, 1986 deadline to develop new disposal facilities by seven years to January 1, 1993. At which time the existing facilities could decline commercial LLRW from non-compact states;

2. Established new milestones and deadlines. Failure to reach a deadline allowed the states operating disposal facilities (still SC, NV, and WA) authorization to deny disposal access to those states in violation of the milestones;

3. Established financial penalties on waste disposed of at existing disposal facilities if certain milestones were not met;

4. The Department of Energy (DOE) was assigned the task of:

 A. Collection of and disbursal of LLWRPAA-levied surcharges;

 B. Assigned responsibility for disposing GTCC waste;

 C. Provide financial and technical assistance to the states and compacts;

 D. Prepare certain status reports on the management of national LLRW inventories (e.g., Manifest Information Management System (MIMS)); and,

5. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) was required to do the following:

 A. Review all LLRW disposal facility license applications;

 B. Develop standards and procedures for exempting certain LLRW from disposal in licensed facilities;

 C. Provide regulatory and technical assistance to Agreement States; and,

 D. Determine procedures for granting emergency access to LLRW facilities for wastes generated in other regions.

As a non-compact state, Massachusetts was required to develop a regulatory framework compatible with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 61, as well as other NRC guidance. Hence, in 1987, Massachusetts enacted M.G.L. Chapter 111H. One of the requirements was for the establishment of an LLRW Board to oversee the siting of an LLRW facility in Massachusetts. In 2002, M.G.L. Chapter 111H was amended to abolish the LLRW Board and authorize the Department of Public Health, Bureau of Environmental Health, RCP to regulate the management of low‑level radioactive waste. Complete copies of the general law are available at <https://malegislature.gov/Laws/GeneralLaws/PartI/TitleXVI/Chapter111H> .

Federal Government

From 1979 to 2000, the Department of Energy (DOE) sponsored publication of an annual state‑by‑state assessment report that provided information on the types and quantities of commercial LLRW being generated. Additionally, in 1986, DOE developed the Manifest Information Management System (MIMS) to monitor the management of commercial LLRW. The database essentially replaced the annual state‑by‑state assessment report series. In 2000, Congress stopped appropriating funds for DOE’s national LLRW program with the exception of the funds necessary to maintain MIMS.

As part of its regulatory oversight function, the NRC attends regular meetings of the Low-Level Radioactive Waste Forum, monitors Agreement States’ progress implementing LLRWPAA milestones, and has increased transparency in its decision-making.

Since 2001, a site near Grand View, ID (operated by U.S. Ecology) accepts commercial NARM, NORM, certain NRC‑exempt items and devices, radiological‑contaminated waste from NRC or NRC Agreement State licensees to be disposed of if the material has been specifically exempted from regulation according to a clearly described set of waste acceptance criteria established by U.S. Ecology and approved by the state.

From 2011-2015, there were four LLRW disposal sites in the United States: Hanford, WA, Barnwell, SC, Clive, UT, and Andrews, TX. Clive, UT (operated by Energy Solutions) was only accepting Class A LLRW waste from out-of-state sources. Massachusetts LLRW has not been accepted at Hanford, WA since 1992, and Barnwell, SC since July 1, 2008.

Commonwealth of Massachusetts

In 2004, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) determined shortcomings in the quality of the MIMS data and recommended that the NRC take responsibility for generating the required reports. Furthermore, LLRW sent to the new Andrews, TX (operated by Waste Control Specialists) site is not maintained in MIMS. Since the reliability of the MIMS data is in question, Massachusetts continues to survey its LLRW generators and maintain a separate database, to assist in forecasting future disposal needs.

# Appendix C - Massachusetts LLRW Classes

The NRC has defined four classes of LLRW (e.g. Class A, B, C, and Greater Than Class C (GTCC)) each with specified disposal and waste requirements based on its potential hazard. These classes have progressively higher concentrations of radioactive material, with A having the lowest and C having the highest level. Class A waste accounts for more than 95% of the total volume of LLRW in the United States.

The fourth class of LLRW, GTCC, is not generally acceptable for near‑surface, shallow‑depth disposal, hence, the LLRW Policy Act of 1985 assigned the Federal Government (i.e. DOE) responsibility for the disposal of GTCC LLRW that results from NRC and Agreement State licensed activities. Thus, the volume and activity of GTCC generated in Massachusetts is not surveyed or tracked.

Massachusetts uses an additional class of LLRW called High Volume, Low Activity (HVLA) waste. It is anticipated that much of this waste will be produced by decommissioning nuclear licensed sites and will typically include soils & rubble with low concentrations (e.g. 100 pCi/g) of total activity that have the potential to be disposed in non-LLRW landfill sites, such as a Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Subtitle C or D site; else, this waste would be classified as Class A.

Thus, Massachusetts annual LLRW survey has four classes: HVLA, Class A, Class B, and Class C. The determination of the classification of waste is a complex process and has been codified in 345 CMR 1.12 (i.e., 105 CMR 120.299) for Class A, B, and C, and HVLA waste as described in 345 CMR 1.13.

# Appendix D ‑ Waste Generator Category Descriptions‑

Massachusetts uses essentially the same five waste generator categories as the DOE’s MIMS database system: Academic, Commercial, Government, Health, and Utility (MIMS calls these categories: Academic, Industry, Government, Medical, and Utility, respectively). All entities that submit a LLRW survey is assigned just one waste generator category, as described below:

Academic- all institutions of learning (i.e., colleges, universities, etc.) are assigned this category, regardless if the entity is commercial or not.

Commercial- all for-profit entities not designated as a Health, Utility, or Academic generator category.

Government- all entities which are closely affiliated, generally by government ownership or control, with Federal, State and local governments.

Health- all entities supplying medical patient services regardless if it is for-profit or not. Generally this category will include all hospitals, medical clinics, etc.

Utility- all entities which supply electrical power regardless if it is for-profit or not. This includes any private, public, or government-owned nuclear power plant

# Appendix E ‑ Facility Type Descriptions‑

To further analyze the submitted LLRW data, Massachusetts further classifies each entity with a facility type designation, as follows:

Federal Agency - all entities which are closely affiliated, generally by government ownership or control, with the Federal government.

State Agency - all entities which are closely affiliated, generally by government ownership or control, with the State government, excluding State Education facilities.

State Education - all education (i.e., colleges, universities, etc.) entities which are closely affiliated, generally by government ownership or control, with the State government.

Municipality - all entities which are closely affiliated, generally by government ownership or control, with local government (i.e., city, town, board of health, etc.).

Private, Profit - any for-profit entity.

Private, Non-Profit - any non-profit entity that is not government affiliated.

# Appendix F ‑ Acronyms

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

CMR Code of Massachusetts Regulations

DOE U.S. Department of Energy

EPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

GTCC Greater than Class C

HVLA High Volume, Low Activity (radioactive waste)

LLRW Low Level Radioactive Waste

MDPH Massachusetts Department of Public Health

MIMS Manifest Information Management System

RCP Massachusetts Radiation Control Program

NPP Nuclear Power Plant

NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission

RCRA Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

XRF X-ray Fluorescence