FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

## Preamble

Section 2108(a) and Section 2108(e) of the Act provides that the State and Territories<sup>\*</sup> must assess the operation of the State child health plan in each Federal fiscal year, and report to the Secretary, by January 1 following the end of the Federal fiscal year, on the results of the assessment. In addition, this section of the Act provides that the State must assess the progress made in reducing the number of uncovered, low-income children. The State is out of compliance with CHIP statute and regulations if the report is not submitted by January 1. The State is also out of compliance if any section of this report relevant to the State's program is incomplete.

The framework is designed to:

- Recognize the *diversity* of State approaches to CHIP and allow States *flexibility* to highlight key accomplishments and progress of their CHIP programs, AND
- 2. Provide *consistency* across States in the structure, content, and format of the report, **AND**
- 3. Build on data *already collected* by CMS quarterly enrollment and expenditure reports, **AND**
- Enhance *accessibility* of information to stakeholders on the achievements under Title XXI

The CHIP Annual Report Template System (CARTs) is organized as follows:

- a. Section I: Snapshot of CHIP Programs and Changes
- b. Section II; Program's Performance Measurement and Progress
- c. Section III: Assessment of State Plan and Program Operation
- d. Section IV: Program Financing for State Plan
- e. Section V: 1115 Demonstration Waivers (Financed by CHIP)
- f. Section VI: Program Challenges and Accomplishments

\* - When "State" is referenced throughout this template, "State" is defined as either a state or a territory.

<u>\*Disclosure.</u> According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is \_\_\_\_\_\_. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 40 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, write to: CMS, 7500 Security Blvd., Attn: PRA Reports Clearance Officer, Mail Stop C4-26-05, Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850.

## FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

# DO NOT CERTIFY YOUR REPORT UNTIL ALL SECTIONS ARE COMPLETE.

State/Terr	Territory: <u>Massachusetts</u> (Name of State/Territory)									
The following Annual Report is submitted in compliance with Title XXI of the Social Security Act (Section 2108(a) and Section 2108(e)).										
Signature										
CHIP Prog Name(s):	gram	MassHealth								
CHIP Prog	gram T	ype:								
		<ul> <li>CHIP Medicaid Expansion Only</li> <li>Separate Child Health Program Only</li> <li>Combination of the above</li> </ul>								
Reporting		Note: Federal Fiscal Year 2011 starts								
Period: Contact Person/Tit	tle:	2011       10/1/2010 and ends 9/30/2011.         Robin Callahan/Deputy Medicaid Director for Policy and Programs								
Address:	1 Ash	nburton Place								
	11 <sup>th</sup> F	loor								
City:	Bosto	on State: MA Zip: 02108								
Phone:	(617)	<b>573-1745</b> Fax: (617) 573-1894								
Email:	Robir	n.Callahan@state.ma.us								
Submissio	on Date	):								

(Due to your CMS Regional Contact and Central Office Project Officer by January 1<sup>st</sup> of each year)

# SECTION I: SNAPSHOT OF CHIP PROGRAM AND CHANGES

a) To provide a summary at-a-glance of your CHIP program characteristics, please provide the following information. You are encouraged to complete this table for the different CHIP programs within your state, e.g., if you have two types of separate child health programs within your state with different eligibility rules. If you would like to make any comments on your responses, please explain in narrative below this table. Please note that the numbers in brackets, e.g., [500] are character limits in the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) Annual Report Template System (CARTS). You will not be able to enter responses with characters greater than the limit indicated in the brackets.

	СН	IP Medio	caid Expansio	n Prog	gram	Separate Child Health Program						
								to and Including				
			Gros	s or r	vet income:	ALL Age	e Groups	as indicated below				
	ls incom calculate	-	XGross	Inc	come Net of	calcul	come ated as		Gross Income			
	gross or net income?				sregards	U U	or net ome?		Income Net of Disregards			
						From	0	% of FPL conception to birth	200	% of FPL *		
	From	185	% of FPL for infants	200	% of FPL*	From	200	% of FPL for <b>infants</b>	300	% of FPL *		
	From	133	% of FPL for children ages 1 through 5		% of FPL*	From	150	% of FPL for children ages <b>1 through 5</b>	300	% of FPL *		
Eligibility	ibility From 114		% of FPL for children ages 6 through <del>16</del> 17	150	% of FPL*	From	150	% of FPL for children ages <b>6 through <del>16</del> 17</b>	300	% of FPL *		
	From	0	% of FPL for children ages <del>17 and</del> 18	150	% of FPL*	From	150	% of FPL for children ages <del>17 and</del> 18	300	% of FPL *		
						From	0	%of FPL for <b>Pregnant</b> Women age 19 and above.	0	% of FPL		

\*Note: For children between 200-300% FPL, we disregard up to 100% of gross income.

\*Please also note the corrections above.

\*Please note that no income disregards are used for the Medicaid expansion component.

Is presumptive eligibility	No	No

provided for children?		Yes, for whom and how long? For all children at all income levels for 60 days.		Yes – Please describe below [1000] For which populations (include the FPL levels) For all children at all income levels for 60 days. Average number of presumptive eligibility periods granted per individual and average duration of the presumptive eligibility period A child may receive presumptive eligibility only once in a twelve- month period. Brief description of your presumptive eligibility policies A child may be determined presumptively eligible for MassHealth Standard or Family Assistance through a presumptive eligibility process based on the household's self declaration of gross income on the Medical Benefit Request (MBR). A child may only be presumptively eligible for Family Assistance if he or she has no health insurance coverage. Presumptive eligibility begins 10 calendar days prior to the date MassHealth receives the MBR and lasts until MassHealth makes an eligibility determination. If information necessary to make the eligibility determination is not submitted within 60 days of the begin date, the period of presumptive eligibility will end.
				within 60 days of the begin date, the period of presumptive
		N/A		N/A
		No		No
ls retroactive eligibility available?	$\boxtimes$	Yes, for whom and how long? All children, coverage begins 10 days prior to application.	$\boxtimes$	Yes, for whom and how long? All children, coverage begins 10 days prior to application.

N/A

N/A

Does your State Plan contain authority to		$\boxtimes$	No
	Not applicable		Yes
implement a waiting list?			N/A

	$\square$	Mai	-in application	$\boxtimes$	Mai	-in application			
Please check all the		Pho	ned-in application		Pho	Phoned-in application			
	$\boxtimes$	that	gram has a web-based application can be printed, completed, and led in	$\boxtimes$	Program has a web-based application that can be printed, completed, and mailed in				
	$\boxtimes$	App on-l	licant can apply for your program ine	$\boxtimes$	Applicant can apply for your program on-line				
methods of application utilized by your state.			Signature page must be printed and mailed in		$\boxtimes$	Signature page must be printed and mailed in			
		$\boxtimes$	Family documentation must be mailed (i.e., income documentation)		$\boxtimes$	Family documentation must be mailed (i.e., income documentation)			
			Electronic signature is required			Electronic signature is required			
						No Signature is required			

Does your program	$\boxtimes$	No	$\boxtimes$	No
require a face-to-face interview during initial		Yes		Yes
application		N/A		N/A

Does your program require a child to be uninsured for a minimum amount of time prior to enrollment (waiting period)?	$\boxtimes$	No		No		
		Yes	$\boxtimes$	Yes		
	Specify nu	umber of months	Specify number of months 6			
			To which groups (including FPL levels) does the period of uninsurance apply? <b>Children</b> <b>between 200% and 300% FPL.</b>			

		uninsurance (a serious health coverage was including with employer, inv COBRA expira family group of months; (d) th due to domes coverage was employed; or, lifetime benef substantially months, or pr	ions to imposing the period of ) A child has special or in care needs; (b) the prior involuntarily terminated, hdrawal of benefits by an oluntary job loss, or ation; (c) a parent in the died in the previous six he prior coverage was lost tic violence; (e) the prior lost due to becoming self- (f) the existing coverage's its were reduced within the previous six ior employer-sponsored nce was cancelled for this
	N/A		N/A

Does your program		No		No
	$\boxtimes$	Yes	$\boxtimes$	Yes
match prospective enrollees to a database that details private insurance status?		Health Management Systems (HMS) conducts a monthly State and National data match using a system called "Match MAX" which identifies health Insurance for all MassHealth members.	Systems (HM and National called "Match	atabase? Health Management S) conducts a monthly State data match using a system n MAX'' which identifies nce for all MassHealth
		N/A		N/A

	$\square$	No		$\square$	No		
		Yes			Yes		
		Specify number of months		Spec	Specify number of months		
Does your program		circumstances when a child w gibility during the time period i box below		Explain circumstances when a child would lose eligibility during the time period in the box below			
provide period of continuous coverage <u>regardless of income</u> <u>changes?</u>	redeterm eligibility is a chan or discov with the Revenue result in	v for all MassHealth member ined every 12 months. How v is redetermined whenever ge in income that is self-rep vered through a periodic ma Commonwealth's Dept. of (DOR) and such change ca a loss of eligibility to the ex me exceeds 300%FPL.	redetermined eligibility is r is a change i reported or c periodic mat Dept. of Revo can result in	all MassHealth memb d every 12 months. Ho redetermined wheneve n income that is self- liscovered through a ch with the Commonw enue (DOR) and such a loss of eligibility to acome exceeds 300%F	wever, er there realth's change the		
		N/A		N/A			

Does your program		No					No				
require premiums or an enrollment fee?		Yes				$\square$	Yes				
		nent fee ount				Enrollme	nt fee amo	ount	\$0		
	Premium amount					Premi	Premium amount See below				
	by FPL.	s are tiereo	d by	FPL, please	breakout	breakout	ns are tier by FPL.	ed by	r FPL, β	olease	
	Premium Amount					Premium Amount					-
	Range from	Range to	Fro	om	То	Range from	Range to	Fror	n		То
	\$	\$			% of FPL	\$12	\$36 family	150			200. 0
			% 0	of FPL			max	% 0	f FPL		% of FPL
	\$	\$			% of FPL	\$20	\$60 family	200	0.1		250. 0
			% 0	of FPL			<sup>max</sup> % of				% of FPL
	\$	\$			% of FPL	\$28	\$84 family	250	0.1		300. 0
			% 0	of FPL			max	% 0	f FPL		% of FPL
	\$	\$	% o	of FPL	% of FPL	\$	\$	% of	FPL		% of FPL
	If premiums by FPL.	s are tiereo	d by	FPL, please	breakout		If premiums are tiered by FPL, please breakout by FPL.				
	Yearly Maximum Premium Amount per Family			\$		Yearly Maximum Premium Amount per Family			betwee \$720 fc betwee \$1008	\$432 for families between 150-200%FPL; \$720 for families between 200-250% FPL; \$1008 for families between 250-300% FPL	
	Range from	Range	to	From	То	Range from	e Ran	ige to	F	rom	То

\$ \$ % of FPL \$ \$							
y         y         % of FPL         % of FPL         y	of FPL	% of FPL					
\$ \$ % of FPL \$ \$ %	of FPL	% of FPL					
\$ \$ % of FPL \$ \$ %	of FPL	% of FPL					
\$ \$ % of FPL \$ \$ %	of FPL	% of FPL					
If yes, briefly explain fee structure in the box below amounts and include Federal p	If yes, briefly explain fee structure in the box						
[500] [500]							
N/A DVA							
Does your program impose copayments or Yes	1 Yes						
coinsurance?							
	□ N/A						
No No							
Does your program							
	N/A						
No No	No						
Yes Yes							
If Yes, please describe below If Yes, please describe below							
[500] [500]							
Does your program							
require an assets test?	If Yes, do you permit the administrative						
$\square N/A \qquad \square N/A$							

Does your program require income disregards?	$\square$	No		No
		Yes	$\square$	Yes
	If Yes	s, please describe below	If Yes	please describe below

(Note: if you checked off net income in the eligibility question, you	[100	-	For children above 200% FPL, a maximum of 100% FPL is disregarded, down to 200 FPL.				
must complete this question)		N/A		N/A			

	$\square$	Managed Care	$\square$	Managed Care		
	$\boxtimes$	Primary Care Case Management	$\boxtimes$	Primary Care Case Management		
Which delivery system(s)	$\boxtimes$	Fee for Service	$\boxtimes$	Fee for Service		
does your program use?	delive they rece	se describe which groups receive which ery system Individuals receive FFS until enroll with MCO/PCC, and may also ive premium assistance with wrap fits provided on a FFS basis.	Please describe which groups receive w			

	$\square$	No		$\square$	No
			s, we send out form to family with ir information pre-completed and		Yes, we send out form to family with their information pre-completed and
Is a preprinted renewal form sent prior to eligibility expiring?			We send out form to family with their information pre-completed and ask for confirmation		We send out form to family with their information pre- completed and ask for confirmation
Copining :			We send out form but do not require a response unless income or other circumstances have changed		We send out form but do not require a response unless income or other circumstances have changed
		N/A	A		N/A

#### Comments on Responses in Table:

- 1) Is there an assets test for children in your Medicaid program?
- 2) Is it different from the assets test in your separate child health program?
- 3) Are there income disregards for your Medicaid program?
- 4) Are they different from the income disregards in your separate child health program?



5) Is a joint application (i.e., the same, single application) used for your Medicaid and separate child health program?

- 6) If you have a joint application, is the application sufficient to determine eligibility for both Medicaid and CHIP?
  - 8. Indicate what documentation is required at initial application for

	Self-Declaration	Self-Declaration with internal verification	Documentation Required
Income Citizenship Insured Status			
Residency		$\boxtimes$	
Use of Income Disregards			□ N/A

 $\boxtimes$ 

 $\boxtimes$ 

Yes

Yes

No

No

N/A

N/A

9. Have you made changes to any of the following policy or program areas during the reporting period? Please indicate "yes" or "no change" by marking appropriate column.

			icaid Expar HIP Progra		C	Separate Child Healtl Program	h
		Yes	No Change	N/A	Yes	No Change	N/A
a)	Applicant and enrollee protections (e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law)		$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$	
b)	Application				$\boxtimes$		
c)	Application documentation requirements	$\square$			$\boxtimes$		
d)	Benefits		$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$	
e)	Cost sharing (including amounts, populations, & collection process)		$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$	
f)	Crowd out policies		$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$	
g)	Delivery system		$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$	

Yes     No Change     N/A     Yes     No Change       Image     Image     Image     Image     Image	N/A
	$\boxtimes$
i/or cap	
	$\square$
ction	
putreach)	
ions 457.10, 457.350(b)(2), 457.622(c)(5), ctober 2, 2002 Final Rule)	
en 🗌 🖾 🗖 🖾	
ant women	



$\boxtimes$	
$\boxtimes$	
$\boxtimes$	



$\boxtimes$	
$\boxtimes$	

Eligibility determination process h)

- i) Implementing an enrollment freeze and
- Eligibility levels / target population j)
- Assets test k)
- Income disregards I)
- Eligibility redetermination process m)
- Enrollment process for health plan sele n)
- Family coverage o)
- Outreach (e.g., decrease funds, target o p)
- Premium assistance q)
- Prenatal care eligibility expansion (Secti r) and 457.626(a)(3) as described in the O
- Expansion to "Lawfully Residing" childre s)
- Expansion to "Lawfully Residing" pregna t)
- Pregnant Women State Plan Expansion u)
- Waiver populations (funded under title XXI) v)

Parents

Pregnant women

Childless adults\*

- w) Methods and procedures for prevention, investigation, and referral of cases of fraud and abuse
- x) Other – please specify

3.	[50]			
4.	[50]			
5.	[50]			

8. For each topic you responded yes to above, please explain the change and why the change was made, below:

a. Applicant and enrollee protections	
(e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law)	
b. Application	MassHealth has revised the Medical Benefit Request (MBR), the Senior Medical Benefit Request (SMBR), and other related forms to clarify policy and capture applicant information to appropriately determine eligibility for individuals who are visitors to Massachusetts. The MBR has also been revised to include more questions for households with an absent parent.
c. Application documentation requirements	Children who were adopted by a single parent or who have a parent who or unknown are not considered to have absent parents. The new trigger question on the MBR and the additional section on Supplement B of the MBR and the Absent Parent (AP-1) form were added to ensure that children who fall into this category are identified and properly coded. This will prevent MA21 from including these children in requests for verifications about absent parents. Responses for good cause (Part C) and absent parent (Part D) are now required. MA21 has been programmed to send an AP-1 as part of the verification process to households with children in a single-parent household who have not provided the absent parent information.
d. Benefits	
d. Benents	
<ul> <li>e) Cost sharing (including amounts, populations, &amp; collection process)</li> </ul>	
f) Crowd out policies	
	1
g) Delivery system	

h) Eligibility determination process	<ol> <li>Under the Children's Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act (CHIPRA) and state law, in March 2011 MassHealth began to match with the Social Security Administration (SSA) to verify citizenship and identity for United States citizens or nationals. CHIPRA requires that MassHealth provides a temporary benefit to applicants during a 90-day reasonable opportunity period when a Medical Benefit Request (MBR) or an Eligibility Review Form (ERV) is processed and the applicant has not received a temporary benefit in the previous 12 months.</li> <li>The Electronic Document Management (EDM) system allows MassHealth staff to process Applications, Member Reviews and corresponding mail utilizing an electronic image. This system enhances customer service and creates work efficiencies for MassHealth Eligibility Staff. The EDM model begins at the Electronic Document Management Center (EDMC) and the Central Processing Unit (CPU). The EDMC/CPU will receive all member and applicant mail. The documents received will be sorted, prepped, scanned, quality controlled (QC) and indexed. Members are safe-guarded from administrative closing until all received documents are processed. The eligibility workers utilize a new application "myWorkspace" to retrieve the electronic image scanned at the EDMC/CPU. Eligibility workers will simultaneously use MA21/PACES to process the document they are viewing.</li> </ol>
·	
<ul> <li>i) Implementing an enrollment freeze and/or cap</li> </ul>	
j) Eligibility levels / target population	
<ul> <li>Assets test in Medicaid and/or CHIP</li> </ul>	
I) Income disregards in Medicaid and/or CHIP	
m) Eligibility redetermination process	
n) Enrollment process for health plan selection	
o) Family coverage	
p) Outreach	

q) Premium assistance	
<ul> <li>r) Prenatal care eligibility expansion (Sections 457.10, 457.350(b)(2), 457.622(c)(5), and 457.626(a)(3) as described in the October 2, 2002 Final Rule)</li> </ul>	
s) Expansion to "Lawfully Residing" children	
	·
t) Expansion to "Lawfully Residing" pregnant women	
	Ι
u) Pregnant Women State Plan Expansion	
v) Waiver populations (funded under title XXI)	
Parents	
Pregnant women	
Childless adults	
Childless adults	
<u> </u>	1
w) Methods and procedures for prevention, investigation,	
and referral of cases of fraud and abuse	
x) Other – please specify	
a. <b>[50]</b>	
b. <b>[50]</b>	
c. <b>[50]</b>	

Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]

# SECTION II: PROGRAM'S PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT AND PROGRESS

This section consists of three subsections that gather information on the core performance measures for the CHIP and/or Medicaid program as well as your State's progress toward meeting its general program strategic objectives and performance goals. Section IIA captures data on the core performance measures to the extent data are available. Section IIB captures your enrollment progress as well as changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children in your State. Section IIC captures progress towards meeting your State's general strategic objectives and performance goals.

## SECTION IIA: REPORTING OF CORE PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Section 401(a) of the Children's Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2009 (CHIPRA) (Pub.L. 111-3) required the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services to identify an initial core set of child health care quality measures for voluntary use by State programs administered under titles XIX and XXI, health insurance issuers and managed care entities that enter into contract with such programs, and providers of items and services under such programs. Additionally, Section 401(a)(4) required the development of a standardized reporting format for states that volunteer to report on the CHIPRA core set. This section of CARTS will be used for standardized reporting on the core set measures.

States that volunteer are required to report using the standardized methodologies and specifications and report on the populations to which the measures are applied. Below are the measure stewards and general description of the measures. Please reference the CHIPRA Initial Core Set Technical Specifications Manual for detailed information for standardized measure reporting.

The Technical Specifications for the CHIPRA Initial Core Set can be found: http://www.cms.gov/MedicaidCHIPQualPrac/Downloads/CHIPRACoreSetTechManual.pdf

The reporting of the Core Performance Measures 1-23 are voluntary. Title XXI programs are required to report results from the CAHPS Child Medicaid Survey and the Supplemental Items for the Child Questionnaires on dental care, access to specialist care, and coordination of care from other health providers, by December 31, 2013.

	Measure	Measure Steward	Description	Reporting
1	Prenatal and Postpartum Care: Timeliness of Prenatal Care	NCQA/HEDIS	The percentage of deliveries of live births between November 6 of the year prior to the measurement year and November 5 of the measurement year that received a prenatal care visit in the first trimester or within 42 days of enrollment in the organization.	Measure is voluntary.

	Measure	Measure Steward	Description	Reporting
2	Frequency of Ongoing Prenatal Care	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of Medicaid deliveries between November 6 of the year prior to the measurement year and November 5 of the measurement year that received the following number of visits: < 21 percent of expected visits 21 percent – 40 percent of expected visits 41 percent – 60 percent of expected visits 61 percent – 80 percent of expected visits ≥ 81 percent of expected visits	Measure is voluntary.
3	Percent of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams	CDC	The measure assesses the number of resident live births less than 2,500 grams as a percent of the number of resident live births in the State reporting period	Measure is voluntary.
4	Cesarean rate for nulliparous singleton vertex	California Maternal Care Collaborative	Percent of women who had a cesarean section (C-section) among women with first live singleton births (also known as nulliparous term singleton vertex [NTSV] births) at 37 weeks of gestation or later	Measure is voluntary.
5	Childhood Immunization Status	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of patients who turned 2 years old during the measurement year who had four DTaP/DT, three IPV, one MMR, three H influenza type B, three hepatitis B and one chicken pox vaccine (VZV) ), four pneumococcal conjugate (PCV), two hepatitis (HepA), two or three rotavirus (RV); and two influenza vaccines by the child's second birthday. The measure calculates a rate for each vaccine and nine separate combination rates.	Measure is voluntary.

	Measure	Measure Steward	Description	Reporting
6	Immunizations for Adolescents	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of patients who turned 13 years old during the measurement year who had one does on meningococcal vaccine and one tetanus, diphtheria toxoids and a cellular pertussis vaccine (Tdap) or one tetanus, diphtheria toxoids vaccine (Td) by their thirteenth birthday a second dose of MMR and three hepatitis B vaccinations, and one varicella vaccination by their thirteenth birthday. The measure calculates a rate for each vaccine and one combination rate.	Measure is voluntary.
7	Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents: BMI Assessment for Children/Adolescents	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of children, 3 through 17 years of age, whose weight is classified based on BMI percentile for age and gender.	Measure is voluntary.
8	Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life	Child and Adolescent Health Measurement Initiative and NCQA	Assesses the extent to which children at various ages from 0- 36 months were screened for social and emotional development with a standardized, documented tool or set of tools	Measure is voluntary.
9	Chlamydia Screening	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of women 16-20 who were identified as sexually active who had at least one test for Chlamydia during the measurement year	Measure is voluntary.
10	Well Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of members who received zero, one, two, three, four, five, and six or more well child visits with a primary care practitioner during their first 15 months of life	Measure is voluntary.
11	Well Child Visits in the 3 <sup>rd</sup> , 4 <sup>th</sup> , 5 <sup>th</sup> , and 6 <sup>th</sup> Years of Life	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of members age 3 to 6 years old who received one or more well-child visits with a primary care practitioner during the measurement year.	Measure is voluntary.
12	Adolescent Well-Care Visits	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of members age 12 through 21 years who had at least one comprehensive well- care visit with a primary care practitioner or an OB/GYN practitioner during the measurement year.	Measure is voluntary.

	Measure	Measure Steward	Description	Reporting
13	Total Eligibles who Received Preventive Dental Services	CMS	Total Eligible Children Ages 1- 20 who Received Preventive Dental Services	Measure is voluntary.
14	Child and Adolescent Access to Primary Care Practitioners	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of enrollees who members 12 months – 19 years of age who had a visit with a primary care practitioner (PCP). Four separate percentages are reported: i. Children 12- 24 months and 25 months – 6 years who had a visit with a PCP during the measurement year ii. Children 7 – 11 years and adolescents 12 – 19 years who had a visit with a PCP during the measurement year or the year prior to the measurement year	Measure is voluntary.
15	Appropriate Testing for Children with Pharyngitis	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of patients who were diagnosed with pharyngitis, dispensed an antibiotic and who received a group A streptococcus test for the episode	Measure is voluntary.
16	Otitis media with effusion – avoidance of inappropriate use of systemic antimicrobials in children – ages 2-12	American Medical Association/ Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement	Percent of patients aged 2 months through 12 years with a diagnosis of OME who were not prescribed systemic antimicrobials	Measure is voluntary.
17	Total Eligibles who Received Dental Treatment Services	CMS	Total Eligible Children Ages 1- 20 who Received Dental Treatment Services	Measure is voluntary.
18	Ambulatory Care: Emergency Department Visits	NCQA/HEDIS	The number of visits per member per year as a function of all child and adolescent members enrolled and eligible during the measurement year	Measure is voluntary.
19	Pediatric central-line associated blood stream infections – NICU and PICU	CDC	Central line-associated blood stream infections (CLABSI) identified during periods selected for surveillance as a function of the number of central line catheter days selected for surveillance in pediatric and neonatal intensive care units	Measure is voluntary.

	Measure	Measure Steward	Description	Reporting
20	Annual number of asthma patients (2-20 yo) with 1 or more asthma-related emergency room visits	Alabama Medicaid	Asthma emergency department utilization for all children 2-20 years of age diagnosed with asthma or treatment with at least two short-acting beta adrenergic agents during the measurement year with one or more asthma-related ER visits.	Measure is voluntary.
21	Follow-Up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of children newly prescribed ADHD medication who had at least three follow- up care visits within a 10-month period, one of which was within 30 days from the time when the first ADHD medication was dispensed.	Measure is voluntary.
22	Annual Pediatric hemoglobin A1C testing	NCQA	Percentage of pediatric patients with diabetes with an HBA1c test in a 12-month measurement period	Measure is voluntary.

	Measure	Measure	Description	Reporting
		Steward		
23	Follow-up after hospitalization for mental illness	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of discharges for members 6 years of age and older who were hospitalized for treatment of selected mental health disorders and who had an outpatient visit, an intensive outpatient encounter or partial hospitalization with a mental health practitioner	Measure is voluntary.
24	Consumer Assessment Of Healthcare Providers And Systems (CAHPS®) Health Plan Survey 4.0H (Child version including Medicaid and Children with Chronic Conditions supplemental items)	NCQA/HEDIS	Family of surveys on an individual's experiences with care	Reporting Required in 2013 Title XXI programs are required <sup>1</sup> to report results from the CAHPS Child Medicaid Survey and the Supplemental Items for the Child Questionnaires on dental care, access to specialist care, and coordination of care from other health providers, by December 31, 2013. If States are already working with the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) to report CAHPS, they can continue doing so. We ask that States indicate in CARTS that they have submitted CAHPS data to AHRQ and using the CARTS attachment facility, provide a copy of the CAHPS results to CMS (do not submit raw data on CAHPS to CMS).

This section contains templates for reporting performance measurement data for each of the core child health measures.

## If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:

If you cannot provide a specific measure, please check the box that applies to your State for each performance measure as follows:

<sup>1</sup> P.L. 111-3, §402(a)(2)(e)

- <u>Population not covered</u>: Check this box if your program does not cover the population included in the measure.
- <u>Data not available</u>: Check this box if data are not available for a particular measure in your State. Please provide an explanation of why the data are currently not available.
- <u>Small sample size</u>: Check this box if the sample size (i.e., denominator) for a particular measure is less than 30. If the sample size is less than 30, your State is not required to report data on the measure. However, please indicate the exact sample size in the space provided.
- <u>Other</u>: Please specify if there is another reason why your state cannot report the measure.

Although the Core Measures are voluntarily reported, if the State is not reporting data on a specific measure, it is important to complete the reason why the State is not reporting the measure. It is important for CMS to understand why each State and why all States as a group may not be reporting on specific measures. Your selection of a reason for not reporting and/or provision of an "other" reason for not reporting will assist CMS in that understanding.

#### Status of Data Reported:

Please indicate the status of the data you are reporting, as follows:

• <u>Provisional</u>: Check this box if you are reporting data for a measure, but the data are currently being modified, verified, or may change in any other way before you finalize them for the current CARTS reporting period.

**Explanation of Provisional Data** – When the value of the Status of Data Reported field is selected as "Provisional", the State must specify why the data are provisional and when the State expects the data will be final.

- Final: Check this box if the data you are reporting are considered final for the current CARTS reporting period.
- <u>Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report</u>: Check this box if the data you are reporting are the same data that your State reported in another annual report. Indicate in which year's annual report you previously reported the data.

#### **Measurement Specification:**

For each performance measure, please indicate whether the measure is based on HEDIS® technical specifications or another source. If HEDIS® is selected, the HEDIS® Version field must be completed. If "Other" measurement specification is selected, the explanation field must be completed. States should use the technical specifications outlined in the CHIPRA Initial Core Set Technical Specifications Manual

#### **HEDIS®** Version:

Please specify HEDIS® Version (example 2009, 2010). This field must be completed only when a user select the HEDIS® measurement specification.

#### "Other" measurement specification explanation:

If "Other", measurement specification is selected, please complete the explanation of the "Other" measurement specification. The explanation field must be completed when "Other" measurement specification has been selected,

#### Data Source:

For each performance measure, please indicate the source of data – administrative data (claims) (specify the kind of administrative data used), hybrid data (claims and medical records) (specify how the two were used to create the data source), survey data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). If another data source was used, please explain the source.

#### Definition of Population included in the Measure:

**Numerator**: Please indicate the definition of the population included in the numerator for each measure.

**Denominator**: Please indicate the definition of the population included in the denominator for each measure by checking one box to indicate whether the data are for the CHIP population only, the Medicaid population only, or include both CHIP (and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined.

If the denominator reported is not fully representative of the population defined above (the CHIP population only, the Medicaid population only, or the CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) populations combined), please further define the denominator, including those who are excluded from the denominator. For example, please note if the denominator excludes children enrolled in managed care in certain counties or certain plans or if it excludes children in fee-for-service or PCCM. Also, please report the number of children excluded. The provision of this information is important and will provide CMS with a context so that comparability of denominators can be assessed across the States and over time.

#### **Deviation from Measure**

If the data provided for a measure deviates from the measure specification, please select the type(s) of measure specification deviation. The types of deviation parallel the measure specification categories for each measure. Each type of deviation is accompanied by a comment field that States must use to explain in greater detail or further specify the deviation when a deviation(s) from a measure is selected.

The five types (and examples) of deviations are: Year of Data (e.g., partial year), Data Source (e.g., use of different data sources among health plans or delivery systems), Numerator (e.g., coding issues), Denominator (e.g., exclusion of MCOs, different age groups, definition of continuous enrollment), Other.

When one or more of the types are selected, States are required to provide an explanation.

#### Year of Data: not available for the 2011 CARTS reporting period.

Please report the year of data for each performance measure. The year (or months) should correspond to the *period in which utilization took place*. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to utilization of services.

#### Date Range: available for 2011 CARTS reporting period.

Please define the date range for the reporting period based based on the "From" time period as the month and year which corresponds to the beginning period in which utilization took place and please report the "To" time period as the month and year which corresponds to the end period in which utilization took place. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to utilization of services.

#### Performance Measurement Data (HEDIS® or Other):

In this section, please report the numerators and denominators, rates for each measure (or component). The template provides two sections for entering the performance measurement data, depending on whether you are reporting using HEDIS® or other methodologies. The form fields have been set up to facilitate entering numerators and denominators for each measure. If the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on the measure, please use the "additional notes" section.

#### Note: CARTS will calculate the rate when you enter the numerator and denominator.

For CARTS versions prior to 2011 States were able to enter a rate without entering a numerator and denominator

(If you typically calculate separate rates for each health plan, report the aggregate state-level rate for each measure [or component]. The preferred method is to calculate a "weighted rate" by summing the numerators and denominators across plans, and then deriving a single state-level rate based on the ratio of the numerator to the denominator.) Beginning in 2011, CARTS will be requiring States to report numerators and denominators rather than providing them the option of only reporting the rate. If States reported a rate in years prior to 2011, that data will be able to be edited if the need arises.

#### **Explanation of Progress:**

The intent of this section is to allow your State to highlight progress and describe any quality improvement activities that may have contributed to your progress. If improvement has not occurred over time, this section can be used to discuss potential reasons for why progress was not seen and to describe future quality improvement plans. In this section, your State is also asked to set annual performance objectives for FFY 2012, 2013, and 2014. Based on your recent performance on the measure (from FFY 2009 through 2011), use a combination of expert opinion and "best guesses" to set objectives for the next three years. Please explain your rationale for setting these objectives. For example, if your rate has been increasing by 3 or 4 percentage points per year, you might project future increases at a similar rate. On the other hand, if your rate has been stable over time, you might set a target that projects a small increase over time. If the rate has been fluctuating over time, you might look more closely at the data to ensure that the fluctuations are not an artifact of the data or the methods used to construct a rate. You might set an initial target that is an average of the recent rates, with slight increases in subsequent years.

In future annual reports, you will be asked to comment on how your actual performance compares to the objective your State set for the year, as well as any quality improvement activities that have helped or could help your State meet future objectives.

#### Other Comments on Measure:

Please use this section to provide any other comments on the measure, such as data limitations or plans to report on a measure in the future.

NOTE: Please do not reference attachments in this table. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure.

CHIPRA Quality Demonstration States have the option of reporting State developed quality measures through CARTS. Instructions may be found on page 25 in the web based template and <u>after</u> core measure 24 on the Word template.

# EQRO Requirement: States with CHIP managed care that have existing external quality review organization (EQRO) reports are required to submit EQRO reports as an attachment.

Is the State submitting an EQRO report as an attachment to the 2011 CARTS?

🛛 Yes 🗌 No

#### If yes, please provide a further description of the attachment.

Two reports are attached: The MCO comparative report which provides information about the reviews of the capitated managed care plans, and the Excentive Summary report for the PCC Plan which participates in EQRO activities on a voluntary basis.

#### If the State is not submitting an EQRO report as an attachment to the 2011 CARTS, please explain. [7500]

## Category I - PREVENTION AND HEALTH PROMOTION <u>Prenatal/Perinatal</u>

#### **MEASURE 1:** Timeliness of prenatal care

MEASURE 1: Timeliness of prenatal care	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
☐ Yes	☐ Yes	⊠ Yes
x No	x No	
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. Explain:	Data not available. Explain:	Data not available. Explain:
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.	🗌 🗖 Final.	🖾 Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2011
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> : Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> : Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> : Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> : MassHealth
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	claims, MCO encounter and claims data, medical records from
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	hospitals, providers and clinics.
		Survey data. Specify:
		Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator: Per HEDIS 2011 specs.
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator: Per HEDIS 2011 specs.
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
Definition of numerator:	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please
	further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of	further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of
	children excluded:	children excluded:
Year of Data:		
	Date Range:	Date Range of Data:
	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: 1/1/2009 To: 11/5/2010

## **MEASURE 1:** Timeliness of prenatal care (continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011			
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Percent of deliveries that received a prenatal care visit in the first trimester or within 42 days of enrollment	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Percent of deliveries that received a prenatal care visit in the first trimester or within 42 days of enrollment	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Percent of deliveries that received a prenatal care visit in the first trimester or within 42 days of enrollment			
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: 1747 Denominator: 1947 Rate: 90%			
	Deviations from Measure Specifications; Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> Data Source, <i>Explain</i> Numerator, <i>Explain</i> Denominator, <i>Explain</i> Other, <i>Explain</i>	Deviations from Measure Specifications; Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> Data Source, <i>Explain</i> Numerator, <i>Explain</i> Denominator, <i>Explain</i> Other, <i>Explain</i>			
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: Rate is MassHealth weighted mean, thus the raw denominator has been adjusted to properly account for differences in plan size.			
Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:			
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:			
Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? MassHealth did not report an objective to CMS in 2010. What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? The managed care contracts include an explicit maternal and child health quality goal. All contracted MCOs must undertake at least one quality improvement project related to maternal and child health during each contract quality cycle. Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.					
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: To maintain or improve performance at a 90% level.					
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: To maintain	or improve performance at a 90% level.				
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: To maintain	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: To maintain or improve performance at a 90% level.				

Explain how these objectives were set: These objectives were based on the principle of continuous quality improvement . Other Comments on Measure:

# MEASURE 2: Frequency of ongoing prenatal care

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
☐ Yes x No	☐ Yes × No	⊠ Yes □ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  Population not covered. Data not available. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: Population not covered. Data not available. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain: Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Explanation of Provisional Data: Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:         □ Population not covered.         □ Data not available. Explain:         □ Small sample size (less than 30).         Specify sample size:         □ Other. Explain:         Status of Data Reported:         □ Provisional.         Explanation of Provisional Data:         ⊠ Final.         □ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification:         HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:         Other. Explain:         Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	Measurement Specification:         HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:         Other. Explain:         Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	Measurement Specification:         \[\] HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2011         \[] Other. Explain:         Data Source:         \[] Administrative (claims data). Specify:         \[] Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: :         MassHealth claims, MCO encounter and claims data, medical records from hospitals, providers and clinics.         \[] Survey data. Specify:         \[] Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes Medicaid population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of numerator:         Definition of denominator:         Denominator includes CHIP population only.         Denominator includes Medicaid population only.         Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).         If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of numerator: HEDIS 2011 specs         Definition of denominator: HEDIS 2011 specs         Denominator includes CHIP population only.         Denominator includes Medicaid population only.         Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).         If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:
Year of Data:		
	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	Date Range of Data: From: 1/1/2009 To: 11/5/2010

# **MEASURE 2:** Frequency of ongoing prenatal care (continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of Medicaid deliveries between November 6 of the	Percentage of Medicaid deliveries between November 6 of the	Percentage of Medicaid deliveries between November 6 of the
year prior to the measurement year and November 5 of the	year prior to the measurement year and November 5 of the	year prior to the measurement year and November 5 of the
measurement year that received the following number of visits:	measurement year that received the following number of visits:	measurement year that received the following number of visits:
< 21 percent of expected visits	< 21 percent of expected visits	< 21 percent of expected visits
21 percent – 40 percent of expected visits	21 percent – 40 percent of expected visits	21 percent – 40 percent of expected visits
41 percent – 60 percent of expected visits	41 percent – 60 percent of expected visits	41 percent – 60 percent of expected visits
61 percent – 80 percent of expected visits	61 percent – 80 percent of expected visits	61 percent – 80 percent of expected visits
≥ 81 percent of expected visits	≥ 81 percent of expected visits	≥ 81 percent of expected visits
< 21 percent of expected visits	< 21 percent of expected visits	< 21 percent of expected visits
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:           21 percent – 40 percent of expected visits	Rate:           21 percent – 40 percent of expected visits	Rate:           21 percent – 40 percent of expected visits
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
<u>41 percent – 60 percent of expected visits</u>	<u>41 percent – 60 percent of expected visits</u>	<u>41 percent – 60 percent of expected visits</u>
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
61 percent – 80 percent of expected visits	61 percent – 80 percent of expected visits	61 percent – 80 percent of expected visits
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
<ul> <li>≥ 81 percent of expected visits</li> <li>Numerator:</li> <li>Denominator:</li> <li>Rate:</li> </ul>	≥ 81 percent of expected visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	≥ 81 percent of expected visits Numerator: 1355 Denominator: 1964 Rate: 69%
	Deviations from Measure Specifications; Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> Data Source, <i>Explain</i> Numerator, <i>Explain</i> Denominator, <i>Explain</i> Other, <i>Explain</i>	Deviations from Measure Specifications;         Year of Data, Explain         Data Source, Explain         Numerator, Explain         Denominator, Explain         Other, Explain A weighted mean is calculated only for the 81+% of expected visits, thus this is the only rate we present here.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: Rate is MassHealth weighted mean, thus the raw denominator has been adjusted to properly account for differences in plan

	nce Measurement Data: another methodology)	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator:	
Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes	another methodology)	Numerator: Denominator:	Numerator:	
Denominator: Rate: Additional notes		Denominator:		
Rate: Additional notes			Donominator:	
Additional notes				
		Rate:	Rate:	
Explanation of	on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	
	Progress:			
How did y	your performance in 2011 compare with the	Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010	) Annual Report?	
	th did not report an objective to CMS in 2010.	Annual i chomianoc objective accumented in your 2010		
	··· -·· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ···			
What qua	lity improvement activities that involve the	Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and	/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure,	
	our results for this measure, or make prog			
		al and child health quality goal. All contracted MCOs must u	undertake at least one quality improvement project related to maternal and c	
	health during each contract quality cycle.			
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.				
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: Because the HEDIS measures are collected bi-annually, the Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012 is to maintain or improve on 2011				
performance rates				
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Because the HEDIS measures are collected bi-annually, the Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013 is to maintain or improve on 2017				
performance rates				
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Because the HEDIS measures are collected bi-annually, the Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014 is to maintain or improve on 2013				
performance rates				
1				
Evolain h	ow these objectives were set: These objection	ves were based on the principle of continuous quality improve	ement	

# MEASURE 3: Percent of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
□ Yes ⊠ No	□ Yes ⊠ No	□ Yes ⊠ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: Population not covered. Data not available. <i>Explain</i> : Small sample size (less than 30). <i>Specify sample size</i> : Other. <i>Explain</i> :	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ⊠ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> : ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). <i>Specify sample size</i> : ☐ Other. <i>Explain</i> :	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:         □ Population not covered.         ⊠ Data not available. Explain:These data are only available through medical record review or from DPH. MassHealth has historically not used data sources other than MassHealth administrative or hybrid data. The MA CHIPRA Quality Demonstration grant is testing the use of DPH birth record data as a possible data source for reporting on this measure in future years. If MassHealth can calculate this measure from DPH birth records, then it can collect this measure in a more cost-effective and efficient manner than hybrid data collection method.         □ Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size:         ⊠ Other. Explain: This measure is being tested through the Massachusetts CHIPRA grant.
Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Explanation of Provisional Data:         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Explanation of Provisional Data:         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: Other. Explain:
Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:         Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:         Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:         Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes Medicaid population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator: Definition of denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes Medicaid population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:	Definition of numerator: Definition of denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes Medicaid population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Year of Data:		
	Date Range:	Date Range:
	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011		
<b>Performance Measurement Data:</b> The number of resident live births less than 2,500 grams as a percent of the number of resident live births in the State reporting period	<b>Performance Measurement Data:</b> The number of resident live births less than 2,500 grams as a percent of the number of resident live births in the State reporting period	Performance Measurement Data: The number of resident live births less than 2,500 grams as a percent of the number of resident live births in the State reporting period		
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:		
	Deviations from Measure Specifications; Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> Data Source, <i>Explain</i> Numerator, <i>Explain</i> Denominator, <i>Explain</i> Other, <i>Explain</i>	Deviations from Measure Specifications; Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> Data Source, <i>Explain</i> Numerator, <i>Explain</i> Denominator, <i>Explain</i> Other, <i>Explain</i>		
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:		
Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:		
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:		
Explanation of Progress:	Additional notes on measure.	Additional holes on measure.		
	ual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Re icaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP en toward your goal?	-		
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in impro	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.			
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:			
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:				
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:				
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:			
other Comments on Measure:				

# MEASURE- 3: Percent of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams (continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
□ Yes ⊠ No	□ Yes ⊠ No	□ Yes ⊠ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ⊠ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> : ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). <i>Specify sample size</i> : ☐ Other. <i>Explain</i> :	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ☑ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> : ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). <i>Specify sample size</i> : ☐ Other. <i>Explain</i> :	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: □ Population not covered. ☑ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> : Identification of denominator population is challenging. This measure will be collected by the MA CHIPRA Quality Grant team, using data collected from the MA Department of Public Health. □ Small sample size (less than 30). <i>Specify sample size</i> : □ Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Explanation of Provisional Data:         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Explanation of Provisional Data:         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: □HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: □Other. Explain:
Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes Medicaid population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of numerator:         Definition of denominator:         Denominator includes CHIP population only.         Denominator includes Medicaid population only.         Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of numerator:         .Definition of denominator:         Denominator includes CHIP population only.         Denominator includes Medicaid population only.         Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:
Year of Data:		
	Range of Data:         From: (mm/yyyy)         To: (mm/yyyy)	Range of Data: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)

# MEASURE 4: Cesarean Rate for Nulliparous Singleton Vertex Low-risk First Birth Women

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Percent of women who had a cesarean section (C-section)	Percent of women who had a cesarean section (C-section)	Percent of women who had a cesarean section (C-section)
among women with first live singleton births (also known as	among women with first live singleton births (also known as	among women with first live singleton births (also known as
nulliparous term singleton vertex [NTSV] births) at 37 weeks of	nulliparous term singleton vertex [NTSV] births) at 37 weeks of	nulliparous term singleton vertex [NTSV] births) at 37 weeks of
gestation or later	gestation or later	gestation or later
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
	Deviations from Measure Specifications;	Deviations from Measure Specifications;
	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i>	Year of Data, Explain
	Data Source, Explain	Data Source, Explain
	Numerator, Explain	Numerator, <i>Explain</i>
	Denominator, Explain	Denominator, Explain
	Other, <i>Explain</i>	Other, <i>Explain</i>
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:		
How did your performance in 2011 compare with the An	nual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual R	eport?
What quality improvement activities that involve the Me improve your results for this measure, or make progres	dicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP e s toward your goal?	nrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure,
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in imp	roving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the da	ta.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:		
Explain how these objectives were set:		

## MEASURE 4: Cesarean Rate for Nulliparous Singleton Vertex Low-risk First Birth Women (continued)

Explain how these objectives were set: Other Comments on Measure:

# **Immunizations**

# MEASURE 5: Childhood Immunization Status

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
☐ Yes ⊠ No		⊠ Yes
No	No	□ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. Explain:	Data not available. Explain:	Data not available. Explain:
$\Box$ Small sample size (less than 30).	$\Box$ Small sample size (less than 30).	$\Box$ Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Measure not selected for annual HEDIS slate	Other. Measure not selected for annual HEDIS slate	Opecity sample size:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.		Explanation of Provisional Data:
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	$\boxtimes$ Final.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
		Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: HEDIS 2010
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: :
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	MassHealth claims, MCO encounter and claims data, medical
Other. Specify:	Other. <i>Specify</i> :	records from hospitals, providers and clinics.
		Survey data. Specify:
		Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator: HEDIS 2010 specs
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator: HEDIS 2010 specs
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
		If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please
		further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of
		children excluded:
Year of Data:		
	Date Range:	Date Range:
	From:	From: 1/1/2007 To: 12/31/2009
# MEASURE 5: Childhood Immunization Status (continued)

FFY 2009		FFY 2010		FFY 2011	
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:		HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:		HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	
Percentage of patients who turned 2 years old during the measurement year who had four DTaP/DT, three IPV, one MMR, three H influenza type B, three hepatitis B and one chicken pox vaccine (VZV) by the time period specified and by the child's second birthday		Percentage of patients who turned 2 years old during the measurement year who had four DTaP/DT, three IPV, one MMR, three H influenza type B, three hepatitis B and one chicken pox vaccine (VZV) by the time period specified and by the child's second birthday		Percentage of patients who turned 2 years old during the measurement year who had four DTaP/DT, three IPV, one MMR, three H influenza type B, three hepatitis B and one chicken pox vaccine (VZV) by the time period specified and by the child's second birthday	
DTap	Combo 2	DTap	Combo 2	DTap	Combo 2
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator:1684 Denominator: 1940 Rate: 87%	Numerator: 1607 Denominator: 1943 Rate: 83%
IPV	<u>Combo 3</u>	IPV	<u>Combo 3</u>	IPV	<u>Combo 3</u>
Numerator: Denominator:	Numerator: Denominator:	Numerator: Denominator:	Numerator: Denominator:	Numerator: 1807 Denominator: 1943	Numerator: 1544 Denominator: 1949
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate: 93%	Rate: 79%
<u>MMR</u> Numerator: Denominator:	<u>Combo 4</u> Numerator: Denominator:	<u>MMR</u> Numerator: Denominator:	<u>Combo 4</u> Numerator: Denominator:	MMR Numerator: 1795 Denominator: 1938	Combo 4 Numerator: Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate: 93%	Rate:
HiB Numerator:	<u>Combo 5</u> Numerator:	HiB Numerator:	<u>Combo 5</u> Numerator:	<u>HiB</u> Numerator: 1849	Combo 5 Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator: 1936	Denominator:
Rate: Hep B	Rate: Combo 6	Rate: Hep B	Rate: Combo 6	Rate: 96% Hep B	Rate: Combo 6
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator: 1812	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator: 1936	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate: 94%	Rate:
VZV	<u>Combo 7</u>	VZV	<u>Combo 7</u>	VZV	<u>Combo 7</u>
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator: 1777 Denominator: 1925	Numerator:
Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Rate: 93%	Denominator: Rate:
PCV	Combo 8	ndle. <u>PCV</u>	Combo 8	PCV	Combo 8
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator: 1679	Numerator:
Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: 1948 Rate: 87%	Denominator: Rate:
Hep A Numerator:	<u>Combo 9</u> Numerator:	Hep A Numerator:	<u>Combo 9</u> Numerator:	Hep A Numerator:	<u>Combo 9</u> Numerator:
Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:
<u>RV</u> Numerator:	<u>Combo 10</u> Numerator:	<u>RV</u> Numerator:	<u>Combo 10</u> Numerator:	<u>RV</u> Numerator:	<u>Combo 10</u> Numerator:
Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:
<u>Flu</u> Numerator:		<u>Flu</u> Numerator:		<u>Flu</u> Numerator:	
Numerator: Denominator:		Numerator: Denominator:		Denominator:	
Rate:		Rate:		Rate:	

	Deviations from Measure Specifications;	Deviations from Measure Specifications;
	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i>	Year of Data,
	Data Source, Explain	Data Source, Explain
	Numerator, Explain	Numerator, <i>Explain</i>
	Denominator, <i>Explain</i>	Denominator, <i>Explain</i>
	Other, <i>Explain</i>	Other, <i>Explain</i>
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
		1. Rates are the MassHealth weighted mean, thus the raw
		denominator has been adjusted to properly account for
		differences in plan size.
		<ol><li>MassHealth does not collect and report on all HEDIS</li></ol>
		combinations. Only those collected have been reported.
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

#### Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

MassHealth did not report a performance objective for this measure in 2010.

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

MassHealth has strong connections with the MA Department of Public Health's Immunization Program, which manages vaccine distribution and education in the state, as the Commonwealth is a universal distribution state, and supports efforts of the MA DPH to maintain high rates of childhood immunization.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: Because the HEDIS measures are collected bi-annually, the Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012 is to maintain or improve on 2010 performance rates

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Because the HEDIS measures are collected bi-annually, the Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013 is to maintain or improve on 2012 performance rates

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Because the HEDIS measures are collected bi-annually, the Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014 is to maintain or improve on 2012 performance rates

Explain how these objectives were set: MassHealth's goal is to maintain or improve performance.

Other Comments on Measure:

# Measure 6: Immunization for Adolescents

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011	
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	
☐ Yes	Yes	Yes	
No	🖾 No	🖾 No	
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: Population not covered. Data not available. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: Population not covered. Data not available. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other, Evaluate	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: Population not covered. Data not available. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size:	
☐ Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	☑ Other. MassHealth implements a rotational approach to its HEDIS measurement project, to allow time for improvement work between measurement periods. This measure was not selected for annual HEDIS slate. This measure will be collected in 2012.	
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.	
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	
Final.	🔲 Final.	🔲 Final.	
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	
Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	
HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:	
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:	
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	
If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	
further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of	further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	
children excluded:	children excluded:	number of children excluded:	
Year of Data:			
	Date Range:	Date Range:	
	From: (1/2009) To: (12/2009)	From: To:	

# MEASURE 6: Immunizations for Adolescents (continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
The percentage of adolescents 13 years of age who had one	The percentage of adolescents 13 years of age who had one	The percentage of adolescents 13 years of age who had one
dose of meningococcal vaccine and one tetanus, diphtheria	dose of meningococcal vaccine and one tetanus, diphtheria	dose of meningococcal vaccine and one tetanus, diphtheria
toxoids and acellular pertussis vaccine (Tdap) or one tetanus,	toxoids and acellular pertussis vaccine (Tdap) or one tetanus,	toxoids and acellular pertussis vaccine (Tdap) or one tetanus,
diphtheria toxoids vaccine (Td) by their 13th birthday. The	diphtheria toxoids vaccine (Td) by their 13th birthday. The	diphtheria toxoids vaccine (Td) by their 13th birthday. The
measure calculates a rate for each vaccine and one combination	measure calculates a rate for each vaccine and one combination	measure calculates a rate for each vaccine and one
rate.	rate.	combination rate.
Meningococcal	Meningococcal	Meningococcal
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Tdap/Td	Tdap/Td	Tdap/Td
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Combination (Meningococcal, Tdap/Td)	Combination (Meningococcal, Tdap/Td)	Combination (Meningococcal, Tdap/Td)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
	Deviations from Measure Specifications;	Deviations from Measure Specifications;
	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i>	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i>
	Data Source, <i>Explain</i>	Data Source, Explain
	Denominator, <i>Explain</i>	□ Numerator, <i>Explain</i> □ Denominator, <i>Explain</i>
	Other. Explain	Other, Explain
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

## **Explanation of Progress:**

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:

Explain how these objectives were set:

Other Comments on Measure:

# <u>Screening</u>

# MEASURE 7: BMI Assessment for Children/Adolescents

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ⊠ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> : ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). <i>Specify sample size</i> : ☐ Other. <i>Explain</i> :	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ☑ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> : ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). <i>Specify sample size</i> : ☐ Other. <i>Explain</i> :	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:         □ Population not covered.         ☑ Data not available. Explain: MassHealth implements a rotational approach to its HEDIS measurement project, to allow time for improvement work between measurement periods. MassHealth has not yet included this measure in its regular rotation of HEDIS measures.         □ Small sample size (less than 30).         Specify sample size:         ☑ Other. Explain: This measure is being tested as part of the CHIPRA demonstration grant.
Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Explanation of Provisional Data: Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	<ul> <li>Status of Data Reported:</li> <li>Provisional.</li> <li>Explanation of Provisional Data:</li> <li>Final.</li> <li>Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.</li> <li>Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:</li> </ul>
Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: Other. Explain:
Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator:
Definition of denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes Medicaid population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes Medicaid population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:	Definition of denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes Medicaid population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:
Year of Data:		
	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)

<b>MEASURE 7:</b>	BMI Assessment for	Children/Adolescents	(continued)
-------------------	--------------------	----------------------	-------------

FFY 2009		·	FFY 2010		FFY 2011	
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:		HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:		HEDIS Performance	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	
Percent of 3-17 year-olds with a BMI percentile documentation		Percent of 3-17 year-olds w	ith a BMI percentile documentation	Percent of 3-17 year-	Percent of 3-17 year-olds with a BMI percentile documentation	
<u>3-11 years</u> Numerator:	<u>Total</u> Numerator:	<u>3-11 years</u> Numerator:	<u>Total</u> Numerator:	<u>3-11 years</u> Numerator:	<u>Total</u> Numerator:	
Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	
<u>12 – 17 years</u> Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	:	<u>12 – 17 years</u> Numerator: Denominator: Rate:		<u>12 – 17 years</u> Numerator: Denominator: Rate:		
		Deviations from Measure Year of Data, Explain Data Source, Explain Numerator, Explain Denominator, Explain Other, Explain	Specifications;	Deviations from Mea Year of Data, Expl Data Source, Expl Numerator, Explai Denominator, Exp Other, Explain	lain n	
Additional notes on mea	asure:	Additional notes on measure:			Additional notes on measure:	
Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:		Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:		Other Performance I (If reporting with anota Numerator: Denominator: Rate:		
Additional notes on measure:		Additional notes on measure:		Additional notes on m	Additional notes on measure:	

#### Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:

Explain how these objectives were set:

Other Comments on Measure:

# MEASURE 8: Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011	
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	
□ Yes ⊠ No	□ Yes ⊠ No	□ Yes ⊠ No	
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ⊠ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> : ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). <i>Specify sample size</i> : ☐ Other. <i>Explain</i> :	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ⊠ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> : ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). <i>Specify sample size</i> : ☐ Other. <i>Explain</i> :	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:         □ Population not covered.         ☑ Data not available. Explain: MassHealth is testing the feasibility of collecting this measure as part of its CHIPRA demonstration grant         □ Small sample size (less than 30).         Specify sample size:         □ Other. Explain:	
Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:         □ Provisional.         □ Explanation of Provisional Data:         □ Final.         □ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Explanation of Provisional Data:         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	
Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: □HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: □Other. Explain:	
Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes Medicaid population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of numerator:         Definition of denominator:         Denominator includes CHIP population only.         Denominator includes Medicaid population only.         Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of numerator:         Definition of denominator:         Denominator includes CHIP population only.         Denominator includes Medicaid population only.         Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	
Year of Data:	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:	
	Date Range:	Date Range:	
	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	

# MEASURE 8: Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life (continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Performance Measurement Data: Assesses the extent to which children at various ages from 12-36 months were screened for social and emotional development with a standardized, documented tool or set of tools .	Performance Measurement Data: Assesses the extent to which children at various ages from 12-36 months were screened for social and emotional development with a standardized, documented tool or set of tools.	Performance Measurement Data: Assesses the extent to which children at various ages from 12-36 months were screened for social and emotional development with a standardized, documented tool or set of tools.
Children screened by 12 months of age Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Children screened by 12 months of age Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Children screened by 12 months of age Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Children screened by 24 months of age Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Children screened by 24 months of age Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Children screened by 24 months of age Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Children screened by 36 months of age Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Children screened by 36 months of age Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Children screened by 36 months of age Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
	Deviations from Measure Specifications; Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> Data Source, <i>Explain</i> Numerator, <i>Explain</i> Denominator, <i>Explain</i> Other, <i>Explain</i>	Deviations from Measure Specifications;         Year of Data, Explain         Data Source, Explain         Numerator, Explain         Denominator, Explain         Other, Explain
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator: Denominator:	Numerator: Denominator:	Numerator: Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

### Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:

Explain how these objectives were set:

Other Comments on Measure:

# MEASURE 9: Chlamydia screening 16-20 females

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	⊠ Yes □ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:         □ Population not covered.         □ Data not available. Explain:         □ Small sample size (less than 30).         Specify sample size:         ○ Other. Measure not selected for annual HEDIS slate         Status of Data Reported:         □ Provisional.         □ Final.         □ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:         □ Population not covered.         □ Data not available. Explain:         □ Small sample size (less than 30).         Specify sample size:         ○ Other. Measure not selected for annual HEDIS slate         Status of Data Reported:         □ Provisional.         □ Final.         □ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:         Population not covered.         Data not available. Explain:         Small sample size (less than 30).         Specify sample size:         Other. Explain:         Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Explanation of Provisional Data:         Kinal.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification:         HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:         Other. Explain:         Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	Measurement Specification:         HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:         Other. Explain:         Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	Measurement Specification:         ⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: HEDIS 2010 specs         □Other. Explain:         Data Source:         ⊠ Administrative (claims data). Specify: : MassHealth claims, MCO encounter and claims data.         □ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         □ Survey data. Specify:         □ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of denominator:         Denominator includes CHIP population only.         Denominator includes Medicaid population only.         Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).         Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes Medicaid population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of numerator: HEDIS 2010 specs         Definition of denominator: HEDIS 2010 specs         Denominator includes CHIP population only.         Denominator includes Medicaid population only.         Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).         If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:
Year of Data:		
	Date Range: From:	Date Range:           From:         1/1/2009         To:         12/31/2009

## MEASURE 9: Chlamydia screening 16-20 females (continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Percent of 16-20 year old females who were identified as sexually active and who had at least one test for Chlamydia during the measurement year	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Percent of 16-20 year old females who were identified as sexually active and who had at least one test for Chlamydia during the measurement year	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Percent of 16-20 year old females who were identified as sexually active and who had at least one test for Chlamydia during the measurement year
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: 10,497 Denominator: 16,427 Rate: 64%
		Deviations from Measure Specifications; Year of Data,) Data Source, <i>Explain</i> Numerator, <i>Explain</i> Denominator, <i>Explain</i> Other, <i>Explain</i>
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: : Based on HEDIS 2010 data. Rate is MassHealth weighted mean, thus the raw denominator has been adjusted to properly account for differences in plan size.
Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

#### **Explanation of Progress:**

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

MassHealth did not report a performance objective for this measure in 2010.

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

MassHealth managed care plans are contractually required to conduct quality improvement projects related to maternal and child health.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: Because the HEDIS measures are collected bi-annually, the Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012 is to maintain or improve on 2010 performance rates

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Because the HEDIS measures are collected bi-annually, the Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013 is to maintain or improve on 2012 performance rates

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Because the HEDIS measures are collected bi-annually, the Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014 is to maintain or improve on 2012 performance rates

Explain how these objectives were set: MassHealth's goal is to maintain or improve performance

Other Comments on Measure:

# Well-child Care Visits (WCV)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:         Population not covered.         Data not available. Explain:         Small sample size (less than 30).         Specify sample size:         Other. Explain:         Status of Data Reported:         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:         2008	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:         Population not covered.         Data not available. Explain:         Small sample size (less than 30).         Specify sample size:         Other. Explain:         Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Explanation of Provisional Data:         Status are ported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:         Population not covered.         Data not available. Explain:         Small sample size (less than 30).         Specify sample size:         Other. Explain:         Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Explanation of Provisional Data:         Xinal.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported
Measurement Specification:         ☑ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: 2008         ☑ Other. Explain:         Data Source:         ☑ Administrative (claims data). Specify:         ☑ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Members who turned 15 months old during 2007 and who were continuously enrolled with no more than one gap in enrollment of up to 45 days.         ☑ Survey data. Specify:         ☑ Other. Specify:	Measurement Specification:         \[\] HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: HEDIS 2010         \[] Other. Explain:         Data Source:         \[] Administrative (claims data). Specify:         \[] Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         \[] Survey data. Specify:         \[] Other. Specify:	Measurement Specification:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of denominator:         □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.         □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.         □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).         □ Definition of numerator: Members who turned 15 months old during 2007 and who had six or more well-child visits with a primary care practitioner during the first 15 months of life.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of numerator: HEDIS 2010 specs         Definition of denominator: HEDIS 2010 specs         □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.         □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.         □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).         If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of numerator: HEDIS 2010 specs:         □ Definition of denominator: HEDIS 2010 specs:         □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.         □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.         □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).         If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:
Year of Data: 2007		
	Date Range: From: (1/2009) To: (12/2009)	Date Range:           From:         7/1/2008         To:         12/31/2009

	Y 2009	1	FFY 2010		FFY 2011
HEDIS Performance Measure		HEDIS Performance Measu		HEDIS Performance Me	
	eceived zero, one, two, three, four, visits with a primary care		b received zero, one, two, three, four, ild visits with a primary care	Percentage of members	who received zero, one, two, three, four, I child visits with a primary care
<u>0 Visits</u> Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 1.1	<u>4 Visits</u> Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 5.7	<u>0 visits</u> Numerator: 60 Denominator: 14,495 Rate: 0.41%	<u>4 visits</u> Numerator: 618 Denominator: 14,495 Rate: 4.27%	0 Visits Numerator: 5 Denominator: 1250 Rate: 0.4%	<u>4 Visits</u> Numerator: 55 Denominator: 1279 Rate: 4%
<u>1 Visit</u> Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 0.6	<u>5 Visits</u> Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 9.7	<u>1 visit</u> Numerator: 63 Denominator: 14,495 Rate: 0.43%	<u>5 visits</u> Numerator: 1,109 Denominator: 14,495 Rate: 7.65%	<u>1 Visit</u> Numerator: 7 Denominator: 1750 Rate: 0.4%	<u>5 Visits</u> Numerator: 113 Denominator: 1614 Rate: 7%
<u>2 Visits</u> Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 0.3	<u>6+ Visits</u> Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 81.1	2 visits Numerator: 54 Denominator: 14,495 Rate: 0.37%	<u>6+ visits</u> Numerator: 12,398 Denominator: 14,495 Rate: 85.53%	2 Visits Numerator: 6 Denominator: 1500 Rate: 0.4%	<u>6+ Visits</u> Numerator: 1176 Denominator: 1375 Rate: 86%
<u>3 Visits</u> Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 1.6		<u>3 visits</u> Numerator: 193 Denominator: 14,495 Rate: 1.33%		<u>3 Visits</u> Numerator: 18 Denominator: 1385 Rate: 1.3%	
		Deviations from Measure S Year of Data, Explain Data Source, Explain Numerator, Explain Denominator, Explain Other, Explain	Specifications;	Deviations from Measu Year of Data, Data Source, Explain Numerator, Explain Denominator, Explain Other, Explain	1
Additional notes on measure:		Additional notes on measure		been adjusted to proper	lata. ghted mean, thus the raw denominator has y account for differences in plan size.
Other Performance Measurer (If reporting with another metho Numerator: Denominator: Rate:		Other Performance Measu (If reporting with another me Numerator: Denominator: Rate:		Other Performance Me (If reporting with another Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	
Additional notes on measure:		Additional notes on measure	:	Additional notes on mea	sure:

# MEASURE 10: Well Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life (continued)

### Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

MassHealth was unable to report this measure in 2010 due to technical problems. The 2010 performance objective sought to perform above the national Medicaid 75 percentile. This objective was achieved in the 2010 measurement. The measurement will be repeated in 2012.

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

The managed care contracts include an explicit maternal and child health quality goal. All contracted MCOs must undertake at least one quality improvement project related to maternal and child health during each contract quality cycle.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: Because the HEDIS measures are collected bi-annually, the Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012 is to maintain or improve on 2010 performance rates

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Because the HEDIS measures are collected bi-annually, the Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013 is to maintain or improve on 2012 performance rates

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Because the HEDIS measures are collected bi-annually, the Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014 is to maintain or improve on 2012 performance rates

Explain how these objectives were set: These objectives were based on the principle of continuous quality improvement Other Comments on Measure:

# MEASURE 11: Well-Child Visits in Children the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th Years of Life

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:         Population not covered.         Data not available. Explain:         Small sample size (less than 30).         Specify sample size:         Other. Explain:         Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:         2008	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:         Population not covered.         Data not available. Explain:         Small sample size (less than 30).         Specify sample size:         Other. Explain:         Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Explanation of Provisional Data:         Xinal.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:         □ Population not covered.         □ Data not available. Explain:         □ Small sample size (less than 30).         Specify sample size:         □ Other. Explain:         Status of Data Reported:         □ Provisional.         Explanation of Provisional Data:         ⊠ Final.         □ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported
Measurement Specification: ⊠HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: 2008 □Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: MEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: HEDIS 2010 specifications Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: ⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: HEDIS 2010 specifications □Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: :         MassHealth claims, MCO encounter and claims data, medical records from hospitals, providers and clinics.         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of denominator:         □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.         □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.         □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).         Definition of numerator: Members who were 3, 4, 5 or 6 years old during 2007 and who received one or more well-child visits with a primary care practitioner during 2007.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of numerator: HEDIS 2010 specifications         Definition of denominator: HEDIS 2010 specifications         Denominator includes CHIP population only.         Denominator includes Medicaid population only.         Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).         If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of numerator: HEDIS 2010 specifications         Definition of denominator: HEDIS 2010 specifications         Denominator includes CHIP population only.         Denominator includes Medicaid population only.         X         Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).         If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:
Year of Data: 2007	Year of Data: 2009	
	Date Range: From:	Date Range:           From:         1/1/2009         To:         12/31/2009

## MEASURE 11 : Well-Child Visits in Children the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th Years of Life (continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of members age 3 to 6 years old who received one or more well-child visits with a primary care practitioner during the measurement year.	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of members age 3 to 6 years old who received one or more well-child visits with a primary care practitioner during the measurement year.	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of members age 3 to 6 years old who received one or more well-child visits with a primary care practitioner during the measurement year.
<u>1+ visits</u> Numerator: <b>47,305</b> Denominator: <b>55,996</b> Rate: <b>84.5%</b>	Percent with 1+ visits Numerator: 15151 Denominator: 17720 Rate: 86%	Percent with 1+ visits Numerator: 15151 Denominator: 17720 Rate: 86%
	Deviations from Measure Specifications; Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> Data Source, <i>Explain</i> Numerator, <i>Explain</i> Denominator, <i>Explain</i> Other, <i>Explain</i>	Deviations from Measure Specifications; Year of Data, Data Source, <i>Explain</i> Numerator, <i>Explain</i> Denominator, <i>Explain</i> Other, <i>Explain</i>
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: Based on HEDIS 2010 data. Rate is MassHealth weighted mean, thus the raw denominator has been adjusted to properly account for differences in plan size.
Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

#### **Explanation of Progress:**

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

MassHealth was unable to report this measure in 2010 due to technical problems. The 2010 performance objective sought to perform above the national Medicaid 75 percentile. This objective was achieved in the 2010 measurement. The measurement will be repeated in 2012.

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

The managed care contracts include an explicit maternal and child health quality goal. All contracted MCOs must undertake at least one quality improvement project related to maternal and child health during each contract quality cycle.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: Because the HEDIS measures are collected bi-annually, the Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012 is to maintain or improve on 2010 performance rates

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Because the HEDIS measures are collected bi-annually, the Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013 is to maintain or improve on 2012 performance rates

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Because the HEDIS measures are collected bi-annually, the Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014 is to maintain or improve on 2012 performance rates

Explain how these objectives were set: These objectives were based on the principle of continuous quality improvement.

## Other Comments on Measure:

# MEASURE 12: Adolescent Well-Care Visits

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
□ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	⊠ Yes □ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:         □ Population not covered.         □ Data not available. Explain:         □ Small sample size (less than 30).         Specify sample size:         ☑ Other. Measure not selected for the annual HEDIS slate	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:         □ Population not covered.         □ Data not available. Explain:         □ Small sample size (less than 30).         Specify sample size:         ☑ Other. Measure not selected for the annual HEDIS slate	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> : ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). <i>Specify sample size</i> : ☐ Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:         □ Provisional.         Explanation of Provisional Data:         ⊠ Final.         □ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification: □HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: □Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: MEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: : HEDIS 2010 specifications
Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	□Other. Explain:         Data Source:         □ Administrative (claims data). Specify:         ☑ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: :         MassHealth claims, MCO encounter and claims data, medical records from hospitals, providers and clinics.         □ Survey data. Specify:         □ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes Medicaid population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes Medicaid population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of numerator: : HEDIS 2010 specifications         Definition of denominator: : HEDIS 2010 specifications         □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.         □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.         □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).         If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	
		Date Range:           From 1/1/2009         To: 12/31/2009

# MEASURE 12: Adolescent Well-Care Visits (continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of members age 12 through 21 years who had at least one comprehensive well-care visit with a primary care practitioner or an OB/GYN practitioner during the measurement year.	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of members age 12 through 21 years who had at least one comprehensive well-care visit with a primary care practitioner or an OB/GYN practitioner during the measurement year.	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of members age 12 through 21 years who had at least one comprehensive well-care visit with a primary care practitioner or an OB/GYN practitioner during the measurement year.
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: 28,867 Denominator: 43,279 Rate: 67% Deviations from Measure Specifications;
		<ul> <li>Year of Data</li> <li>Data Source, Explain</li> <li>Numerator, Explain</li> <li>Denominator, Explain</li> <li>Other, Explain</li> </ul>
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: Based on HEDIS 2010 data (1/2009-12/2009) Rate is MassHealth weighted mean, thus the raw denominator has been adjusted to properly account for differences in plan size.
Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
MassHealth did not report an objective to CMS in 2010.	nual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Re licaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP en	
The managed care contracts include an explicit maternal and during each contract quality cycle. Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in impr	d child health quality goal. All contracted MCOs must undertake at le oving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the dat	east one quality improvement project related to maternal and child health <b>a.</b> e Objective for FFY 2012 is to maintain or improve on 2010 performance
rates		e Objective for FFY 2013 is to maintain or improve on 2012 performance

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Because the HEDIS measures are collected bi-annually, the Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014 is to maintain or improve on 2012 performance rates

Explain how these objectives were set: These objectives were based on the principle of continuous quality improvement

Other Comments on Measure:

# **Dental**

# MEASURE 13: Total eligible children ages one through twenty years old receiving preventive dental services (CMS Form 416)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
☐ Yes x No	☐ Yes x No	⊠ Yes □ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:         Population not covered.         Data not available. Explain:         Small sample size (less than 30).         Specify sample size:         Other. Explain:         Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:   Population not covered.  Data not available. Explain:  Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size:  Other. Explain:  Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:         □ Population not covered.         □ Data not available. Explain:         □ Small sample size (less than 30).         Specify sample size:         □ Other. Explain:         Status of Data Reported:         □ Provisional.         Explanation of Provisional Data:         ⊠ Final.         □ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: ☑ Other. Explain: CMS 416 specification
Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	Data Source:         ☑ Administrative (claims data). Specify: : MassHealth claims,         MCO encounter and claims data.         ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         ☐ Survey data. Specify:         ☑ Other. EPSDT CMS-416
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes Medicaid population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes Medicaid population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of numerator: EPSDT CMS-416         Definition of denominator: EPSDT CMS-416         Denominator includes CHIP population only.         Denominator includes Medicaid population only.         Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).         If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:
Year of Data:		
	Date Range:	Date Range: From: 10/1/2009 To: 9/30/2010

# MEASURE 13: Total eligible children ages one through twenty years old receiving preventive dental services (CMS Form 416) (continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Total eligible children ages 1-20 who received preventive dental	Total eligible children ages 1-20 who received preventive dental	Total eligible children ages 1-20 who received preventive dental
services	services	services
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator: 258.501
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator: 615.599
Rate:	Rate:	Rate: 41.99%
		Deviations from Measure Specifications;
		☐ Year of Data, <i>Explain</i>
		Data Source, Explain
		Numerator, Explain
		Denominator, Explain
		Other, Explain
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	·	
How did your performance in 2011 compare with the And MassHealth did not report an objective to CMS in 2010.	nual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Re	eport?
	dicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP en	rollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make progress		
	nd child health quality goal. All contracted MCOs must undertake at	least one quality improvement project related to maternal and child
health during each contract quality cycle.		_
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in impl	oving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the dat	a.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: To maintain	or improve FFY2011 performance	
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: To maintain	or improve FFY2011 performance	
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: To maintain	or improve FFY2011 performance	
Explain how these objectives were set: These objectives	were based on the principle of continuous quality improvement	
Other Comments on Measure:		

## <u>Access</u>

# MEASURE 14: Children and Adolescents' Access to Primary Care

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this measure?
⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> : ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). <i>Specify sample size</i> : ☐ Other. <i>Explain</i> :	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: Population not covered. Data not available. <i>Explain</i> : Small sample size (less than 30). <i>Specify sample size</i> : Other. <i>Explain</i> :	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:         □ Population not covered.         □ Data not available. Explain:         □ Small sample size (less than 30).         Specify sample size:         ☑ Other. Explain: This measure is no longer part of the regular         MassHealth HEDIS rotation. The rates are very high for         MassHealth (last measurement in 2007 showed 97%         compliance). MassHealth decided to focus measurement and         reporting on areas where potential opportunities for improvement         would be found. Massachusetts will be testing this measure as         part of its CHIPRA demonstration grant.
Status of Data Reported:         □ Provisional.         ☑ Final.         □ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:         2008	Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Explanation of Provisional Data:         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification: A HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: MEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: 2008 Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:         ☑ Administrative (claims data). Specify: MassHealth claims, eligibility and encounter data. health plans.         ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         ☐ Survey data. Specify:         ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of denominator:         Denominator includes CHIP population only.         Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).         Definition of numerator:         Members ages 12-24 months or 25 months to 6 years who had at least one ambulatory care or preventive care visit with a primary care practitioner in 2007. Members ages 7 to 11 years or 12 to 19 years who had at least one ambulatory care or preventive care or preventive care visit with a primary care practitioner in 2007.         Year of Data: 2007	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of numerator:         Definition of denominator:         Denominator includes CHIP population only.         Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).         If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:
Year of Data: (1/2008-12/2008)		Data Danwai
	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)

HEDIS Performance Measure Percentage of enrollees who practitioner	urement Data: had a visit with a primary care		Percentage of enrollees who had a visit with a primary care		asurement Data: vho had a visit with a primary care
<u>12-24 months</u> Numerator: 18623 Denominator: 19140 Rate: 97%	<u>7-11 years</u> Numerator: 44382 Denominator: 45755 Rate: 97%	<u>12-24 months</u> Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 97.3	<u>7-11 years</u> Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 97.0	<u>12-24 months</u> Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	<u>7-11 years</u> Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
25 months-6 years Numerator: 65902 Denominator: 70109 Rate: 94%	<u>12-19 years</u> Numerator: 64679 Denominator: 68299 Rate: 95%	25 months-6 years Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 93.6	<u>12-19 years</u> Numerator: Denominator: Rate: 94.7	<u>25 months-6 years</u> Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	<u>12-19 years</u> Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
		Deviations from Measur Year of Data, Explain Data Source, Explain Numerator, Explain Denominator, Explain Other, Explain		Deviations from Measur Year of Data, Explain Data Source, Explain Numerator, Explain Denominator, Explain Other, Explain	
Additional notes on meas	ure:		easure: MassHealth reports a ver all the reporting plans.	Additional notes on me	easure:
Other Performance Measu (If reporting with another me Numerator: Denominator: Rate:		Other Performance Mea (If reporting with another Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	surement Data:	Other Performance Mea (If reporting with another Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	
Additional notes on measure	:	Additional notes on meas	ure:	Additional notes on meas	ure:
What quality improve measure, or make pr Please indicate how Annual Performance	ement activities that involve the C ogress toward your goal?	HIP program and benefit CH	re documented in your 2010 Annual IP enrollees help enhance your abil r accuracy of your reporting of the o	ity to report on this measure	, improve your results for this

## MEASURE 14: Children and Adolescents' Access to Primary Care (continued)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:

Explain how these objectives were set: Other Comments on Measure:

# Category II - MANAGEMENT OF ACUTE CONDITIONS Upper Respiratory -- Appropriate Use of Antibiotics

# MEASURE 15: Appropriate Testing for Children with Pharyngitis

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
□ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ⊠ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> : ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). <i>Specify sample size</i> : ⊠ Other. <i>Measure not selected for annual HEDIS slate</i>	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:         □ Population not covered.         □ Data not available. Explain:         □ Small sample size (less than 30).         Specify sample size:         ⊠ Other. Measure not selected for annual HEDIS slate	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:         Population not covered.         Data not available. Explain:         Small sample size (less than 30).         Specify sample size:         Other. Measure not selected for annual HEDIS slate. We will be testing this measure as part of the Massachusetts CHIPRA demonstration.
Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Explanation of Provisional Data:         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Explanation of Provisional Data:         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: Other. Explain:
Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:         Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:         Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:         Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes Medicaid population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator: Definition of denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes Medicaid population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Definition of numerator: Definition of denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes Medicaid population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Year of Data:	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:
	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of patients ages 2-18 who were diagnosed with	Percentage of patients ages 2-18 who were diagnosed with	Percentage of patients ages 2-18 who were diagnosed with
pharyngitis, dispensed an antibiotic and who received a group A	pharyngitis, dispensed an antibiotic and who received a group A	pharyngitis, dispensed an antibiotic and who received a group A
streptococcus test for the episode	streptococcus test for the episode	streptococcus test for the episode
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Bate:
	Tato.	Tato.
	Deviations from Measure Specifications;	Deviations from Measure Specifications;
	Year of Data, Explain	Year of Data, Explain
	Data Source, Explain	Data Source, Explain
	Numerator, Explain	Numerator, Explain
	Denominator, <i>Explain</i>	Denominator, <i>Explain</i>
	Other, Explain	Other, Explain
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:		
How did your performance in 2011 compare with the An	nual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Re	port?
What quality improvement activities that involve the Me improve your results for this measure, or make progress	dicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP en	rollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure,
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in impl	roving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the dat	a.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:		
Explain how these objectives were set:		
Other Comments on Measure:		

# **MEASURE 15:** Appropriate Testing for Children with Pharyngitis (continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
□ Yes	☐ Yes	
⊠ No	⊠ No	No
<ul> <li>If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:</li> <li>□ Population not covered.</li> <li>⊠ Data not available. <i>Explain</i>:</li> <li>□ Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size:</li> <li>□ Other. Explain:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:</li> <li>□ Population not covered.</li> <li>☑ Data not available. Explain:</li> <li>□ Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size:</li> <li>□ Other. Explain:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:</li> <li>□ Population not covered.</li> <li>□ Data not available. Explain:</li> <li>□ Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size:</li> <li>□ Other. Explain: Measure not selected for MassHealth annual HEDIS cycle due to concerns about specifications. The MA CHIPRA Quality Grant team will not be testing this measure due to the specifications concerns with this measure. The grant team came to this decision following multiple conversations with the TA</li> </ul>
Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Explanation of Provisional Data:         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	<ul> <li>vendor for the Core Measures set, and based on CMS' decision to not require reporting on this measure at this time, until the measure steward provides additional guidance.</li> <li>Status of Data Reported:         <ul> <li>Provisional.</li> <li>Explanation of Provisional Data:</li> <li>Final.</li> <li>Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: Other. Explain:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: Other. Explain:
Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator:
<ul> <li>Denominator includes Medicaid population only.</li> <li>Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).</li> <li>Definition of numerator:</li> </ul>	Definition of denominator: <ul> <li>Denominator includes CHIP population only.</li> <li>Denominator includes Medicaid population only.</li> <li>Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).</li> </ul>	Definition of denominator:  Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes Medicaid population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:
Year of Data:		
	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)

# MEASURE 16: Otitis Media with Effusion - avoidance of inappropriate use of systemic antimicrobials

	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Percent of patients aged 2 months through 12 years with a	Percent of patients aged 2 months through 12 years with a	Percent of patients aged 2 months through 12 years with a
diagnosis of Otitis Media with Effusion (OME) who were not	diagnosis of Otitis Media with Effusion (OME) who were not	diagnosis of Otitis Media with Effusion (OME) who were not
prescribed systemic antimicrobials	prescribed systemic antimicrobials	prescribed systemic antimicrobials
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
	Deviations from Measure Specifications;	Deviations from Measure Specifications;
	☐ Year of Data, <i>Explain</i>	☐ Year of Data. <i>Explain</i>
	Data Source, Explain	Data Source, Explain
	Numerator, <i>Explain</i>	Numerator, <i>Explain</i>
	Denominator, <i>Explain</i>	Denominator, <i>Explain</i>
	Other, <i>Explain</i>	Other, <i>Explain</i>
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
	Rate:	Rate:
Kate:		
Hate:		
	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Additional notes on measure: Explanation of Progress:		
Additional notes on measure: Explanation of Progress:	Additional notes on measure: e Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual	
Additional notes on measure: Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2011 compare with th		Report?
	e Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual e Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP	Report?
Additional notes on measure: Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2011 compare with the What quality improvement activities that involve the improve your results for this measure, or make prop	e Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual e Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP	Report? enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measur
Additional notes on measure: Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2011 compare with the What quality improvement activities that involve the improve your results for this measure, or make prop	e Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual e Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP gress toward your goal?	Report? enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measur
Additional notes on measure: Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2011 compare with th What quality improvement activities that involve the improve your results for this measure, or make pro- Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	e Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual e Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP gress toward your goal?	Report? enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measur
Additional notes on measure: Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2011 compare with th What quality improvement activities that involve the improve your results for this measure, or make pro- Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	e Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual e Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP gress toward your goal?	Report? enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measur
Additional notes on measure: Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2011 compare with th What quality improvement activities that involve the improve your results for this measure, or make pro- Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:	e Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual e Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP gress toward your goal?	Report? enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measur

# MEASURE 16: Otitis Media with Effusion - avoidance of inappropriate use of systemic antimicrobials (continued)

# **Dental**

# MEASURE 17: Total eligible children ages one through twenty who received dental treatment services (CMS Form 416)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
☐ Yes x No	☐ Yes x No	⊠ Yes □ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:         Population not covered.         Data not available. Explain:         Small sample size (less than 30).         Specify sample size:         Other. Explain:         Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:   Population not covered.  Data not available. Explain:  Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size:  Other. Explain:  Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:         Population not covered.         Data not available. Explain:         Small sample size (less than 30).         Specify sample size:         Other. Explain:         Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Explanation of Provisional Data:         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: Other. Explain: Data Source:	Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: Other. Explain: Data Source:	Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: ⊠Other. EPSDT CMS-416 Data Source:
<ul> <li>Administrative (claims data). Specify:</li> <li>Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:</li> <li>Survey data. Specify:</li> <li>Other. Specify:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Administrative (claims data). Specify:</li> <li>Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:</li> <li>Survey data. Specify:</li> <li>Other. Specify:</li> </ul>	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> : MassHealth claims and MCO encounter data Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> : Survey data. <i>Specify</i> : Other. <i>Specify</i> :
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes Medicaid population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes Medicaid population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of numerator: EPSDT CMS-416         Definition of denominator: EPSDT CMS-416         Denominator includes CHIP population only.         Denominator includes Medicaid population only.         Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).         If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of
		children excluded:
Year of Data:		
	Date Range: From:	Date Range: From: 10/1/2009 To: 9/30/2010

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011	
Performance Measurement Data: Total eligible children ages 1-20 who received dental treatment services	Performance Measurement Data: Total eligible children ages 1-20 who received dental treatment services	Performance Measurement Data: Total eligible children ages 1-20 who received dental treatment services	
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: 152,828 Denominator: 615,599 Rate: 24.8%	
		Deviations from Measure Specifications; Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> Data Source, <i>Explain</i> Numerator, <i>Explain</i> Denominator, <i>Explain</i> Other, <i>Explain</i>	
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	
Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	
MassHealth did not report an objective to CMS in 2010. What quality improvement activities that involve the Med improve your results for this measure, or make progress The managed care contracts include an explicit maternal an health during each contract quality cycle.	iual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Re licaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP en toward your goal? d child health quality goal. All contracted MCOs must undertake at poving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data	rollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, least one quality improvement project related to maternal and child	
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: To maintain or improve performance rates			
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: To maintain	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: To maintain or improve performance rates		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: To maintain or improve performance rates			
Explain how these objectives were set: These objectives were based on the principle of continuous quality improvement			
Other Comments on Measure:			

# MEASURE 17: Total eligible children ages one through twenty who received dental treatment services (CMS Form 416) (continued)

# **Emergency Department**

# MEASURE 18: Emergency Department (ED) Utilization – Number of ED visits per member per reporting period

	Utilization – Number of ED visits per member pe	
FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
		Yes
🖾 No	🖾 No	⊠ No
If Data Net Demoted, Discos Fundain Wilson	If Data Mat Day artest, Disease Free lain Wilson	K Data Mat Davisated, Discuss Free Lain Wilson
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size:
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Other. <i>Explain</i> : This measure is being tested as part of the
Status of Data Banastad	Status of Data Reported:	Massachusetts CHIPRA grant Status of Data Reported:
Status of Data Reported:	Provisional.	Provisional.
$\square$ Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Final.	Final.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
opecity year of annual report in which data previously reported.	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported.	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported.
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used.
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). Specify:
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Denominator includes CHIP population only.		
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Definition of numerator:	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please
	further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of	further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of
	children excluded:	children excluded:
Year of Data:		
	Date Range:	Date Range:
	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
	- \	- ( ,,,,,, , ,,,,,,,

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
The number of visits per member per year as a function of all	The number of visits per member per year as a function of all	The number of visits per member per year as a function of all
child and adolescent members enrolled and eligible during the	child and adolescent members enrolled and eligible during the	child and adolescent members enrolled and eligible during the
neasurement year.	measurement year.	measurement year.
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
	Deviations from Measure Specifications;	Deviations from Measure Specifications;
	Year of Data, Explain	Year of Data, Explain
	Data Source, Explain	Data Source, Explain
	Numerator, <i>Explain</i>	Numerator, <i>Explain</i>
	Denominator, <i>Explain</i>	Denominator, <i>Explain</i>
	Other, Explain	Other, <i>Explain</i>
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
nale.	nale.	nale.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	-	-
How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Au	nnual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual R	enort?
now did your performance in 2011 compare with the Ar		
	. ,	
	edicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP e	
What quality improvement activities that involve the Me improve your results for this measure, or make progres	edicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP e	nrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure,
What quality improvement activities that involve the Me improve your results for this measure, or make progres	edicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP e s toward your goal?	nrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure,
What quality improvement activities that involve the Me improve your results for this measure, or make progres Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in imp	edicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP e s toward your goal?	nrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure,
What quality improvement activities that involve the Me improve your results for this measure, or make progres Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in imp Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	edicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP e s toward your goal?	nrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure,
What quality improvement activities that involve the Me improve your results for this measure, or make progres Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in imp Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:	edicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP e s toward your goal?	nrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure,

## MEASURE 18: Emergency Department (ED) Utilization – Number of ED visits per member per reporting period (continued)

# <u>Inpatient</u>

# MEASURE 19: Pediatric central-line associated bloodstream infection rates (PICU and NICU)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ⊠ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> : ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). <i>Specify sample size</i> : ☐ Other. <i>Explain</i> :	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ⊠ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> : ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). <i>Specify sample size</i> : ☐ Other. <i>Explain</i> :	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ⊠ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> : The feasibility of collecting this measure will be part of the Massachusetts CHIPRA grant process. ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). <i>Specify sample size</i> : ☐ Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Explanation of Provisional Data:         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Explanation of Provisional Data:         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: □HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: □Other. Explain:
Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of denominator:         Denominator includes CHIP population only.         Denominator includes Medicaid population only.         Denominator includes Medicaid population only.         Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).         Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of numerator:         Definition of denominator:         Denominator includes CHIP population only.         Denominator includes Medicaid population only.         Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of numerator:         Definition of denominator:         Denominator includes CHIP population only.         Denominator includes Medicaid population only.         Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Verse d Debe	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:
Year of Data:		
	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)

ance Measurement Data: ne-associated blood stream infections (CLABSI) during periods selected for surveillance as a function of per of central line catheter days selected for surveillance ic and neonatal intensive care units Intensive Care Unit pr: ator: Intensive Care Unit Intensive Care Unit pr: ator: Intensive Care Unit Intensive Care Unit I	Performance Measurement Data:         Central line-associated blood stream infections (CLABSI)         identified during periods selected for surveillance as a function of         the number of central line catheter days selected for surveillance         in pediatric and neonatal intensive care units         Pediatric Intensive Care Unit         Numerator:         Denominator:         Rate:         Neonatal Intensive Care Unit         Numerator:         Denominator:         Rate:         Deviations from Measure Specifications;         Year of Data, Explain         Data Source, Explain         Denominator, Explain         Denominator, Explain
or: ator: Intensive Care Unit or: ator: <b>ns from Measure Specifications;</b> of Data, <i>Explain</i> Source, <i>Explain</i> rrator, <i>Explain</i> minator, <i>Explain</i>	Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Neonatal Intensive Care Unit Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Deviations from Measure Specifications; Year of Data, Explain Data Source, Explain Numerator, Explain
or: ator: <b>ns from Measure Specifications;</b> of Data, <i>Explain</i> Source, <i>Explain</i> erator, <i>Explain</i> minator, <i>Explain</i>	Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Deviations from Measure Specifications; Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> Data Source, <i>Explain</i> Numerator, <i>Explain</i>
of Data, <i>Explain</i> Source, <i>Explain</i> erator, <i>Explain</i> minator, <i>Explain</i>	☐ Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> ☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> ☐ Numerator, <i>Explain</i>
, Explain	Other, Explain
al notes on measure: erformance Measurement Data: ing with another methodology) or: ator:	Additional notes on measure: Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
al notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	rollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure,
r	or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP en our goal?

# MEASURE 19: Pediatric central-line associated blood stream infection rates (PICU and NICU) (continued)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:

Explain how these objectives were set: Other Comments on Measure:

# Category III - MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC CONDITIONS Asthma

# MEASURE 20: Annual number of asthma patients 2 through 20 years old with one or more asthma-related emergency room visits

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
□ Yes ⊠ No	□ Yes ⊠ No	□ Yes ⊠ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: □ Population not covered. □ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> : □ Small sample size (less than 30). <i>Specify sample size</i> : □ Other. <i>Explain</i> :	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ☑ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> : ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). <i>Specify sample size</i> : ☐ Other. <i>Explain</i> :	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:         □ Population not covered.         □ Data not available. Explain:         □ Small sample size (less than 30).         Specify sample size:         ○ Other. Explain: This measure will be tested as part of the Massachusetts CHIPRA grant.
Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Explanation of Provisional Data:         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	<ul> <li>Status of Data Reported:</li> <li>Provisional.</li> <li>Explanation of Provisional Data:</li> <li>Final.</li> <li>Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.</li> <li>Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:</li> </ul>
Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: Other. Explain:
Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:     Definition of denominator:     Denominator includes CHIP population only.     Denominator includes Medicaid population only.     Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).     Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of numerator:         Definition of denominator:         Denominator includes CHIP population only.         Denominator includes Medicaid population only.         Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of numerator:         Definition of denominator:         Denominator includes CHIP population only.         Denominator includes Medicaid population only.         Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Year of Data:	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:
	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
# MEASURE 20: Annual number of asthma patients 2 through 20 years old with one or more asthma-related emergency room visits (continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Asthma emergency department utilization for all children 2-20	Asthma emergency department utilization for all children 2-20	Asthma emergency department utilization for all children 2-20
years of age diagnosed with asthma or treatment with at least two	years of age diagnosed with asthma or treatment with at least two	years of age diagnosed with asthma or treatment with at least two
short-acting beta adrenergic agents during the measurement year	short-acting beta adrenergic agents during the measurement year	short-acting beta adrenergic agents during the measurement yea
with one or more asthma-related ER visits.	with one or more asthma-related ER visits.	with one or more asthma-related ER visits.
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
	Deviations from Measure Specifications; Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> Data Source, <i>Explain</i> Numerator, <i>Explain</i> Denominator, <i>Explain</i> Other, <i>Explain</i>	Deviations from Measure Specifications; Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> Data Source, <i>Explain</i> Numerator, <i>Explain</i> Denominator, <i>Explain</i> Other, <i>Explain</i>
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure: Explanation of Progress:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:

Explain how these objectives were set:

Other Comments on Measure:

4

## Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder

## MEASURE 21: Follow-up care for children prescribed attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) medication

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this measure?
☐ Yes	☐ Yes	⊠ Yes
x No	x No	
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. Explain:	Data not available. Explain:	Data not available. Explain:
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
🔲 Final.	🔲 Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	🖾 Final.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
		Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
	Management Organities the set	Management On a sife at land
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: HEDIS 2011
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	specifications
Data Source:	Data Source:	Other. <i>Explain</i> : Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify: : MassHealth claims,
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	MCO encounter and claims data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
		☐ Other. <i>Specify</i> :
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator: : HEDIS 2011 specifications
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Definition of denominator: : HEDIS 2011 specifications
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	
		Denominator includes CHIP population only.
		Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
		Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
		If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please
		further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of
		children excluded:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	
	Date Range:	Date Range:
	From:	From: 3/1/2009 To: 12/31/2010

## MEASURE 21: Follow-up care for children prescribed attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) medication (continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Initiation Phase: Percentage of children 6 - 12 years of age as of the Index Prescription Episode Start Date (IPSD) with an ambulatory prescription for ADHD dispensed who had one follow up visit with a practitioner with prescribing authority during the 30 day initiation phase.	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Initiation Phase: Percentage of children 6 - 12 years of age as of the Index Prescription Episode Start Date (IPSD) with an ambulatory prescription for ADHD dispensed who had one follow up visit with a practitioner with prescribing authority during the 30 day initiation phase.	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Initiation Phase: Percentage of children 6 - 12 years of age as of the Index Prescription Episode Start Date (IPSD) with an ambulatory prescription for ADHD dispensed who had one follow up visit with a practitioner with prescribing authority during the 30 day initiation phase.
Continuation and Maintenance (C&M) Phase: Percentage of members 6 - 12 years of age as of the IPSD with an ambulatory prescriptionwho remained on the medication for at least 210 days and who, in addition to the visit in the initiation phase had at least two follow-up visits with practitioner within 270 days (9 months) after the initiation phase ended.	Continuation and Maintenance (C&M) Phase: Percentage of members 6 - 12 years of age as of the IPSD with an ambulatory prescription who remained on the medication for at least 210 days and who, in addition to the visit in the initiation phase had at least two follow-up visits with practitioner within 270 days (9 months) after the initiation phase ended.	Continuation and Maintenance (C&M) Phase: Percentage of members 6 - 12 years of age as of the IPSD with an ambulatory prescription who remained on the medication for at least 210 days and who, in addition to the visit in the initiation phase had at least two follow-up visits with practitioner within 270 days (9 months) after the initiation phase ended.
Initiation Phase	Initiation Phase	Initiation Phase
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: 2466 Denominator: 4029 Rate: 61%
Continuation and Maintenance (C&M) Phase:	Continuation and Maintenance (C&M) Phase:	Continuation and Maintenance (C&M) Phase:
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: 748 Denominator: 1043 Rate: 72%
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications; Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> Data Source, <i>Explain</i> Numerator, <i>Explain</i> Denominator, <i>Explain</i> Other, <i>Explain</i> Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications;         Year of Data, Explain         Data Source, Explain         Numerator, Explain         Denominator, Explain         Other, Explain         Additional notes on measure: : Rate is MassHealth weighted
		mean, thus the raw denominator has been adjusted to properly account for differences in plan size.
Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

#### Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? MassHealth did not report an objective to CMS in 2010.

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

The managed care contracts include an explicit maternal and child health quality goal. All contracted MCOs must undertake at least one quality improvement project related to maternal and child health during each contract quality cycle.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: Because the HEDIS measures are collected bi-annually, the Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012 is to maintain or improve on 2011 performance rates

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Because the HEDIS measures are collected bi-annually, the Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013 is to maintain or improve on 2011 performance rates

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Because the HEDIS measures are collected bi-annually, the Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014 is to maintain or improve on 2013 performance rates

Explain how these objectives were set: These objectives were based on the principle of continuous quality improvement Other Comments on Measure:

## **Diabetes**

## MEASURE 22: Annual hemoglobin A1C testing

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this measure?
☐ Yes x No	☐ Yes x No	☐ Yes ⊠ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  Population not covered.  Data not available. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:      Population not covered.      Data not available. Explain:     Small sample size (less than 30).     Specify sample size:     Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:         □ Population not covered.         □ Data not available. Explain:         □ Small sample size (less than 30).         Specify sample size:         ☑ Other. Explain: MassHealth only collects HEDIS diabetes metrics for adult populations. This will be tested as part of the CHIPRA demonstration grant.
Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Explanation of Provisional Data:         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: Other. Explain:
Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes Medicaid population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of denominator:         Denominator includes CHIP population only.         Denominator includes Medicaid population only.         Denominator includes Medicaid population only.         Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).         Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of numerator:         Definition of denominator:         Denominator includes CHIP population only.         Denominator includes Medicaid population only.         Denominator includes Medicaid population only.         Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).         If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	
		Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)

## MEASURE 22: Annual hemoglobin A1C testing (continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of pediatric patients (5-17 years old) with diabetes	Percentage of pediatric patients (5-17 years old) with diabetes	Percentage of pediatric patients (5-17 years old) with diabetes
with a HBA1c test in a 12-month measurement period	with a HBA1c test in a 12-month measurement period	with a HBA1c test in a 12-month measurement period
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Deviations from Measure Specifications;	Deviations from Measure Specifications;	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
Year of Data, Explain	Year of Data, Explain	Year of Data, Explain
Data Source, Explain	Data Source, Explain	Data Source, Explain
Numerator, Explain	Numerator, Explain	Numerator, Explain
Denominator, Explain	Denominator, Explain	Denominator, Explain
Other, Explain	Other, Explain	Other, Explain
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	nual Devfermence Objective decumented in very 2010 Annual D	amout 0
How did your performance in 2011 compare with the An	nual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Re	eport?
	dicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP er	nrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make progres	s toward your goal?	
	s toward your goal? roving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the da	a.
		a.
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in imp		a.

Explain how these objectives were set: Other Comments on Measure:

## Mental Health

## MEASURE 23: Follow-up after hospitalization for mental illness

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	⊠ Yes □ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:         □ Population not covered.         □ Data not available. Explain:         □ Small sample size (less than 30).         Specify sample size:         ○ Other. Measure not selected for annual HEDIS slate         Status of Data Reported:         □ Provisional.         □ Final.         □ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:         □ Population not covered.         □ Data not available. Explain:         □ Small sample size (less than 30).         Specify sample size:         ☑ Other. Measure not selected for annual HEDIS slate         Status of Data Reported:         □ Provisional.         □ Final.         □ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:         Population not covered.         Data not available. Explain:         Small sample size (less than 30).         Specify sample size:         Other. Explain:         Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Explanation of Provisional Data:         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: ⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: HEDIS Specifications 2010 □Other. Explain:
Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	Data Source:         Administrative (claims data). Specify:         Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	Data Source:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes Medicaid population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes Medicaid population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of numerator: HEDIS Specifications 2010         Definition of denominator: HEDIS Specifications 2010         Denominator includes CHIP population only.         Denominator includes Medicaid population only.         Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).         If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:
Year of Data:		
	Date Range: From:	Date Range:           From:         1/1/2009         To:         12/31/2009

### MEASURE 23: Follow-up after hospitalization for mental illness (continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of discharges for individuals aged 6 years and older who were hospitalized for treatment of a mental health disorder and who had an outpatient visit, intensive outpatient encounter, or partial hospitalization with a mental health practitioner	Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of discharges for individuals aged 6 years and older who were hospitalized for treatment of a mental health disorder and who had an outpatient visit, intensive outpatient encounter, or partial hospitalization with a mental health practitioner	Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of discharges for individuals aged 6 years and older who were hospitalized for treatment of a mental health disorder and who had outpatient visit, intensive outpatient encounter, or partial hospitalization with a mental health practitioner
<u>7 Day Follow-Up</u> Numerator: Denominator: Rate: <u>30 Day Follow-Up</u> Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	<u>7 Day Follow-Up</u> Numerator: Denominator: Rate: <u>30 Day Follow-Up</u> Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	<u>7 Day Follow-Up</u> Numerator: 5592 Denominator: 9592 Rate: 58% <u>30 Day Follow-Up</u> Numerator: 7502 Denominator: 9581 Rate: 78%
	Deviations from Measure Specifications; Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> Data Source, <i>Explain</i> Numerator, <i>Explain</i> Denominator, <i>Explain</i> Other, <i>Explain</i>	Deviations from Measure Specifications; Year of Data,) Data Source, <i>Explain</i> Numerator, <i>Explain</i> Denominator, <i>Explain</i> Other, <i>Explain</i>
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: Based on HEDIS 2010 data (1/2009-12/2009). NOTE: This is the HEDIS measure and includes adults. Also note that the rate is MassHealth weighted mean, thus the raw denominator has been adjusted to properly account for differences in plan size.
Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:

#### Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? MassHealth did not report an objective to CMS in 2010.

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

The managed care contracts include an explicit maternal and child health quality goal. All contracted MCOs must undertake at least one quality improvement project related to maternal and child health during each contract quality cycle.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: Because the HEDIS measures are collected bi-annually, the Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012 is to maintain or improve on 2010 performance rates

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Because the HEDIS measures are collected bi-annually, the Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013 is to maintain or improve on 2012 performance rates

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Because the HEDIS measures are collected bi-annually, the Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014 is to maintain or improve on 2012 performance rates

Explain how these objectives were set: These objectives were based on the principle of continuous quality improvement Other Comments on Measure:

# MEASURE 24: Consumer Assessment Of Healthcare Providers And Systems (CAHPS®) Health Plan Survey 4.0H (Child version including Medicaid and Children with Chronic Conditions supplemental items)

j	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
	Did you report on this measure?  Yes x No	Did you report on this measure?  Yes No
	If yes, how did you report this measure?	If yes, how did you report this measure?
	Submitted raw data to AHRQ	Submitted raw data to AHRQ
	Submitted a summary report to CMS using the CARTS attachment facility (NOTE: do not submit raw CAHPS data to CMS)	Submitted a summary report to CMS using the CARTS attachment facility (NOTE: do not submit raw CAHPS data to CMS)
	If no, explain why data were not reported:      Population not covered.      Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :      Small sample size (less than 30). <i>Specify sample size</i> :      Other. <i>Explain</i> :	If no, explain why data were not reported: ☐ Population not covered. ⊠ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> : MassHealth is currently in the field with a survey that will be reported in FFY12's report. ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). <i>Specify sample size</i> : ☐ Other. <i>Explain</i> :
	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
	Definition of denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes Medicaid population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Definition of denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes Medicaid population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:
Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Ann	ual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Re	port?
What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?		
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:		
Explain how these objectives were set:		
Other Comments on Measure:		

**Reporting of State-specific measures:** 

In addition to reporting the CHIPRA core set quality measures, if your State has developed State-specific quality measures as part of the CHIPRA Quality Demonstration Grant project, the State may report that data in CARTS. The State may report that data in CARTS. The State may attach documents/data regarding the state-specific measures by using the CARTS attachment facility. Please provide a brief description of the attachment in the space provided when submitting the attachment.

## Is the State attaching any state-specific quality measures as a CARTS attachment?



#### **Reporting of State-specific measures:**

In addition to reporting the CHIPRA core set quality measures, if your State has developed State-specific quality measures as part of the CHIPRA Quality Demonstration Grant project, the State may report that data in CARTS. The State may report that data in CARTS. The State may attach documents/data regarding the state-specific measures by using the CARTS attachment facility. Please provide a brief description of the attachment in the space provided when submitting the attachment.

## SECTION IIB: ENROLLMENT AND UNINSURED DATA

## Section IIB: Enrollment And Uninsured Data

1. The information in the table below is the Unduplicated Number of Children Ever Enrolled in CHIP in your State for the two most recent reporting periods. The enrollment numbers reported below should correspond to line 7 (Unduplicated # Ever Enrolled Year) in your State's 4<sup>th</sup> quarter data report (submitted in October) in the CHIP Statistical Enrollment Data System (SEDS). The percent change column reflects the percent change in enrollment over the two-year period. If the percent change exceeds 10 percent (increase or decrease), please explain in letter A below any factors that may account for these changes (such as decreases due to elimination of outreach or increases due to program expansions). This information will be filled in automatically by CARTS through a link to SEDS. Please wait until you have an enrollment number from SEDS before you complete this response.

Program	FFY 2010	FFY 2011	Percent change FFY 2010-2011
CHIP Medicaid Expansion Program	64,906	65,198	+0.4%
Separate Child Health Program	77,373	78,693	+1.7%

A. Please explain any factors that may account for enrollment increases or decreases exceeding 10 percent.

#### [7500]

2. The table below shows trends in the three-year averages for the number and rate of uninsured children in your State based on the Current Population Survey (CPS), along with the percent change between 1996-1998 and 2009-2010. Significant changes are denoted with an asterisk (\*). If your state uses an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children, please explain in Question #3. CARTS will fill in this information automatically, but in the meantime, please refer to the CPS data attachment that was sent with the FFY 2011 Annual Report Template.

		en Under Age 19 cent of Poverty	Below 200 Pe	ildren Under Age 19 rcent of Poverty as a Children Under Age 19
Period	Number (In Thousands)	Std. Error	Rate	Std. Error
1996-1998				
1998-2000				
2000-2002				
2002–2004				
2003–2005				
2004–2006				
2005–2007				
2006-2008				
2007-2009				
2008-2010				
Percent change 1996-1998 vs.				
2008-2010				

A. Please explain any activities or factors that may account for increases or decreases in your number and/or rate of uninsured children.

Three major factors account for decreases in the number and rate of uninsured children in Massachusetts: eligibility expansion, increased outreach activities, and the increased public attention and activity resulting from the health care reform in Massachusetts.

- B. Please note any comments here concerning CPS data limitations that may affect the reliability or precision of these estimates.
- The CPS is a labor market survey, and is not designed to measure the rate of health insurance coverage
- The CPS is based on the previous twelve months of time. Thus, 2010 CPS data are based on the period from March 2008 through March 2009.
- The CPS is a "residual" estimate for the entire previous year. The CPS did improve on this residual methodology by adding a confirming health insurance coverage question starting in 2000.
- The state's DHCFP survey (see #3 below) is a "point-in-time" estimate, with data collection efforts held in spring 2010. Respondents answer the state sponsored survey based on their current insurance status. Experts do not agree on what timeframe the CPS survey measures (point-in-time vs. entire year's insurance status vs. part of the year).
- The CPS estimates insurance status for missing data using a mix of national averages. This disproportionately affects Massachusetts data due to our generous Medicaid program and our higher than average employer offered insurance base. This is a very complex and highly important issue that many believe makes up a large percentage of the discrepancy between CPS and state-sponsored survey estimates.
- 3. Please indicate by checking the box below whether your State has an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring the change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children.

 $\boxtimes$  Yes (please report your data in the table below)

□ No (skip to Question #4)

Please report your alternate data in the table below. Data are required for two or more points in time to demonstrate change (or lack of change). Please be as specific and detailed as possible about the method used to measure progress toward covering the uninsured.

Data source(s)	Massachusetts Health Insurance Survey (MHIS), conducted on behalf of the Massachusetts Division of Health Care Finance and Policy (DHCFP) by the Urban Institute
Reporting period (2 or more	2008, 2009, 2010 (The results of the 2011 MHIS were not available at
points in time)	the time of the submission of this report).
Methodology	Massachusetts chose to redesign its state sponsored survey starting in 2008 to address some of the limitations of other surveys being used to estimate uninsured rates in Massachusetts. One of the biggest changes is that the revised MHIS includes a residential address-based sample, similar to that of the U.S. Census Bureau's Current Population Survey (CPS). This provides a more complete profile of Massachusetts households than in earlier versions of the Massachusetts and other surveys (the Massachusetts Department of Public Health/Centers for Disease Control BRFSS and the Massachusetts Health Reform Survey (MHRS) which is funded by

various foundations including the Blue Cross Blue Shield Foundation).
The prior state survey, along with other surveys, relied solely on random-digit-dial (RDD) survey design to sample households in the state who have a landline telephone number. Data suggests that individuals who are not captured by RDD surveys are more likely to be uninsured. In order to ensure that the state survey covers nearly all residents of Massachusetts, the revised state survey uses a dual sample frame design combining a random-digit-dial (RDD) sample with an address-based (AB) sample. This method was chosen to better capture the changing nature of the telephone environment with a growing number of households without landline telephones. The AB-sample captures households with landline phones, cell-phoneonly households, and non-telephone households, supplementing the landline sample of the traditional RDD survey. The sample does not include the homeless population (nor do the other surveys), which is estimated to be less than 1% of the Massachusetts population.
The revised MHIS uses a revised questionnaire to include very detailed questions on insurance coverage for all adults and children in a sample of 4,900 households in the state. It also provides information on access to and use of health care, and on health care costs. The revised state survey also gave respondents more methods by which to respond to the survey in order to increase participation rates. The state offers an internet option, a mail option, and an option for the respondent to call in and set up a time convenient to them to complete the survey on the telephone, in addition to the traditional telephone call to the respondent method (outbound). Forty six percent of respondents used the internet option, forty five percent the traditional outbound telephone, eight percent the inbound telephone, and one percent of the surveys were completed using the mail in 2008. These options are all explained in initial mailings to Massachusetts residents in the survey sample. The state also added another language option, Portuguese (along with Spanish and English as in the prior survey).
In 2009, surveys were completed with 4,910 Massachusetts households. The margin of error due to sampling at the 95% confidence interval for estimates that use the full sample is +/-1.54 percentage points. Estimates based on subsets of the full sample will have a larger margin of error. All estimates reported here are based on sample sizes of at least 50 observations. The response rate for the 2009 MHIS was 50% for the RDD-sample and 37% for the address- based sample, for a combined response rate of 41%. While address- based samples typically yield lower response rates than RDD samples, the address-based sample, by capturing cell phone-only households and non-telephone households, improves the extent to which the survey covers the entire Massachusetts population.
In 2010, surveys were completed with 4,478 Massachusetts households. The margin of error due to sampling at the 95% confidence interval for estimates that use the full sample is +/-1.71 percentage points. Estimates based on subsets of the full sample will have larger margins of error. All estimates reported here are based on

Demulation (Discossion lucks areas	sample sizes of at least 50 observations. The response rate for the 2010 MHIS was 49% for the RDD-sample and 37% for the address- based sample, for a combined response rate of 40%. While address- based samples typically yield lower response rates than RDD samples, the address-based sample, by capturing cell phone-only households and non-telephone households, improves the extent to which the survey covers the entire Massachusetts population. Additional information on the MHIS is available at www.mass.gov/dhcfp.
Population (Please include ages and income levels)	See methodology section
Sample sizes	See methodology section
Number and/or rate for two or more points in time	2008 – 1.2% 2009 – 1.9% 2010 - 0.2%
Statistical significance of results	The Massachusetts Health Insurance Survey 2010 estimates of the overall uninsured rate and the uninsured rate for children in Massachusetts were significantly lower than in 2009.

**B.** Please explain why your State chose to adopt a different methodology to measure changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children.

Please see response to Question 2B above

**C.** What is your State's assessment of the reliability of the estimate? Please provide standard errors, confidence intervals, and/or p-values if available.

The State deems the DHCFP survey to be more reliable than CPS data, for the reasons detailed in question #2B above. The margin of error due to sampling at the 95% confidence interval for estimates that use the full sample is  $\pm$ -1.71 percentage points. Estimates based on subsets of the full sample will have a larger margin of error.

D. What are the limitations of the data or estimation methodology?

One limitation of the selected sampling techniques is that they miss homeless persons in in the Commonwealth. However, this is estimated to be less than 1% of the total population.

E. How does your State use this alternate data source in CHIP program planning?

The Commonwealth continues to monitor this survey to assess progress in covering uninsured children.

4. How many children do you estimate have been enrolled in Medicaid as a result of CHIP outreach activities and enrollment simplification? Describe the data source and method used to derive this information.

MassHealth's outreach activities do not specifically target the CHIP population, but all children eligible for MassHealth. Therefore, MassHealth cannot estimate the number of children enrolled in Medicaid through these activities. The MassHealth (Medicaid plus CHIP) caseload has increased by over 50,000 children since the beginning of federal fiscal year 2009.

## SECTION IIC: STATE STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES AND PERFORMANCE GOALS

This subsection gathers information on your State's general strategic objectives, performance goals, performance measures and progress towards meeting goals, as specified in your CHIP State Plan. (If your goals reported in the annual report now differ from Section 9 of your CHIP state plan, please indicate how they differ in "Other Comments on Measure." Also, the state plan should be amended to reconcile these differences). The format of this section provides your State with an opportunity to track progress over time. This section contains templates for reporting performance measurement data for each of five categories of strategic objectives, related to:

- Reducing the number of uninsured children
- CHIP enrollment
- Medicaid enrollment
- Increasing access to care
- Use of preventative care (immunizations, well child care)

Please report performance measurement data for the three most recent years for which data are available (to the extent that data are available). In the first two columns, data from the previous two years' annual reports (FFY 2009 and FFY 2010) will be populated with data from previously reported data in CARTS. If you previously reported data in the 2 previous years reports (2009 and/or 2010) and you want to update/change the data, please enter that data. If you previously reported no data for either of those years, but you now have recent data available for them, please enter the data. In the third column, please report the most recent data available at the time you are submitting the current annual report (FFY 2011).

Note that the term *performance measure* is used differently in Section IIA versus IIC. In Section IIA, the term refers to the 24 core child health measures. In this section, the term is used more broadly, to refer to any data your State provides as evidence towards a particular goal within a strategic objective. For the purpose of this section, "objectives" refer to the five broad categories listed above, while "goals" are State-specific, and should be listed in the appropriate subsections within the space provided for each objective.

NOTES: Please do not reference attachments in this section. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure.

In addition, please do not report the same data that were reported in Sections IIA or IIB. The intent of this section is to capture goals and measures that your State did not report elsewhere in Section II.

Additional instructions for completing each row of the table are provided below.

#### Goal:

For each objective, space has been provided to report up to three goals. Use this section to provide a brief description of each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective. **All new goals should include a direction and a target.** For clarification only, an <u>example</u> goal would be: "Increase (direction) by 5 percent (target) the number of CHIP beneficiaries who turned 13 years old during the measurement year who had a second dose of MMR, three hepatitis B vaccinations and one varicella vaccination by their 13<sup>th</sup> birthday."

## Type of Goal:

For each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective, please indicate the type of goal, as follows:

- <u>New/revised:</u> Check this box if you have revised or added a goal. Please explain how and why the goal was revised.
- <u>Continuing</u>: Check this box if the goal you are reporting is the same one you have reported in previous annual reports.
- <u>Discontinued:</u> Check this box if you have met your goal and/or are discontinuing a goal. Please explain why the goal was discontinued.

#### Status of Data Reported:

Please indicate the status of the data you are reporting for each goal, as follows:

• <u>Provisional:</u> Check this box if you are reporting performance measure data for a goal, but the data are currently being modified, verified, or may change in any other way before you finalize them for FFY 2011.

**Explanation of Provisional Data** – When the value of the Status of Data Reported field is selected as "Provisional", the State must specify why the data are provisional and when the State expects the data will be final.

- Final: Check this box if the data you are reporting are considered final for FFY 2011.
- <u>Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report:</u> Check this box if the data you are reporting are the same data that your State reported for the goal in another annual report. Indicate in which year's annual report you previously reported the data.

#### **Measurement Specification:**

This section is included for only two of the objectives— objectives related to increasing access to care, and objectives related to use of preventative care—because these are the two objectives for which States may report using the HEDIS® measurement specification. In this section, for each goal, please indicate the measurement specification used to calculate your performance measure data (i.e., were the measures calculated using the HEDIS® specifications or some other method unrelated to HEDIS®).

Please indicate whether the measure is based on HEDIS® technical specifications or another source. If HEDIS® is selected, the HEDIS® Version field must be completed. If "Other" measurement specification is selected, the explanation field must be completed.

#### **HEDIS®** Version:

Please specify HEDIS® Version (example 2009, 2010). This field must be be completed only when a user select the HEDIS® measurement specification.

#### "Other" measurement specification explanation:

If "Other", measurement specification is selected, please complete the explanation of the "Other" measurement specification. The explanation field must be completed when "Other" measurement specification has been selected,

#### Data Source:

For each performance measure, please indicate the source of data. The categories provided in this section vary by objective. For the objectives related to reducing the number of uninsured children and CHIP or Medicaid enrollment, please indicate whether you have used eligibility/enrollment data, survey

data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). For the objectives related to access to care and use of preventative care, please indicate whether you used administrative data (claims) (specify the kind of administrative data used), hybrid data (claims and medical records) (specify how the two were used to create the data source), survey data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). In all cases, if another data source was used, please explain the source.

## Definition of Population Included in Measure:

Numerator: Please indicate the definition of the population included in the numerator for each measure (such as the number of visits required for inclusion, e.g., one or more visits in the past year).

Denominator: Please indicate the definition of the population included in the denominator for each measure

For measures related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care, please

- check one box to indicate whether the data are for the CHIP population only, or include both CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined.
- 1. If the denominator reported is not fully representative of the population defined above (the CHIP population only, or the CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) populations combined), please further define the denominator. For example, denominator includes only children enrolled in managed care in certain counties, technological limitations preventing reporting on the full population defined, etc.). Please report information on exclusions in the definition of the denominator (including the proportion of children excluded), The provision of this information is important and will provide CMS with a context so that comparability of denominators across the States and over time can occur.

#### **Deviations from Measure**

For the measures related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care.

If the data provided for a measure deviates from the measure specification, please select the type(s) of measure specification deviation. The types of deviation parallel the measure specification categories for each measure. Each type of deviation is accompanied by a comment field that States must use to explain in greater detail or further specify the deviation when a deviation(s) from a measure is selected..

The five types (and examples) of deviations are: Year of Data (e.g., partial year), Data Source (e.g., use of different data sources among health plans or delivery systems), Numerator (e.g., coding issues), Denominator (e.g., exclusion of MCOs, different age groups, definition of continuous enrollment),

Other.

When one or more of the types are selected, states are required to provide an explanation.

#### Year of Data: not available for the 2011 CARTS reporting period.

Please report the year of data for each performance measure. The year (or months) should correspond to the *period in which enrollment or utilization took place*. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to enrollment or utilization of services.

#### Date Range: available for 2011 CARTS reporting period.

Please define the date range for the reporting period based on the "From" time period as the month and year which corresponds to the beginning period in which utilization took place and please report the "To" time period as the month and year which corresponds to the end period in which utilization took place. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to utilization of services.

#### Performance Measurement Data (HEDIS® or Other):

In this section, please report the numerators and denominators, rates for each measure (or component). The template provides two sections for entering the performance measurement data, depending on whether you are reporting using HEDIS® or other methodologies. The form fields have been set up to facilitate entering numerators and denominators for each measure. If the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on the measure, please use the "additional notes" section.

#### Note: CARTS will calculate the rate when you enter the numerator and denominator.

For CARTS versions prior to 2011 States were able to enter a rate without entering a numerator and denominator (If you typically calculate separate rates for each health plan, report the aggregate state-level rate for each measure [or component]. The preferred method is to calculate a "weighted rate" by summing the numerators and denominators across plans, and then deriving a single state-level rate based on the ratio of the numerator to the denominator.) Beginning in 2011, CARTS will be requiring States to report numerators and denominators rather than providing them the option of only reporting the rate. If States reported a rate in years prior to 2011, that data will be able to be edited if the need arises.

#### **Explanation of Progress:**

The intent of this section is to allow your State to highlight progress and describe any qualityimprovement activities that may have contributed to your progress. Any quality-improvement activity described should involve the CHIP program, benefit CHIP enrollees, and relate to the performance measure and your progress. An example of a quality-improvement activity is a state-wide initiative to inform individual families directly of their children's immunization status with the goal of increasing immunization rates. CHIP would either be the primary lead or substantially involved in the project. If improvement has not occurred over time, this section can be used to discuss potential reasons for why progress was not seen and to describe future quality-improvement plans. In this section, your State is also asked to set annual performance objectives for FFY 2012, 2013, and 2014. Based on your recent performance on the measure (from FFY 2009 through 2011), use a combination of expert opinion and "best guesses" to set objectives for the next three years. Please explain your rationale for setting these objectives. For example, if your rate has been increasing by 3 or 4 percentage points per year, you might project future increases at a similar rate. On the other hand, if your rate has been stable over time, you might set a target that projects a small increase over time. If the rate has been fluctuating over time, you might look more closely at the data to ensure that the fluctuations are not an artifact of the data or the methods used to construct a rate. You might set an initial target that is an average of the recent rates, with slight increases in subsequent years. In future annual reports, you will be asked to comment on how your actual performance compares to the objective your State set for the year, as well as any qualityimprovement activities that have helped or could help your State meet future objectives.

#### Other Comments on Measure:

Please use this section to provide any other comments on the measure, such as data limitations, plans to report on a measure in the future, or differences between performance measures reported here and those discussed in Section 9 of the CHIP state plan.

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 & 3)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Maintain an overall children's uninsurance rate of no more than 3%.	Maintain an overall children's uninsurance rate of no more than 3%.	Maintain an overall children's uninsurance rate of no more than 3%.
Type of Goal:         ☑ New/revised. Explain: Massachusetts has succeeded in continuing to reduce the percentage of uninsured children. The Commonwealth is committed to sustaining the gains that have been made and ensuring that all children who are eligible for insurance are enrolled.         □ Continuing.         □ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> : ⊠ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> : ⊠ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
<ul> <li>Provisional.</li> <li>Final.</li> <li>Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.</li> <li>Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Provisional.</li> <li>Final.</li> <li>Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.</li> <li>Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Provisional.</li> <li>Final.</li> <li>Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.</li> <li>Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: 2010</li> </ul>
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
<ul> <li>Eligibility/Enrollment data.</li> <li>Survey data. Specify: Division of Health Care</li> <li>Finance and Policy (DHCFP) Massachusetts Health</li> <li>Insurance Survey, 2009</li> <li>Other. Specify:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Eligibility/Enrollment data.</li> <li>Survey data. Specify: Division of Health Care</li> <li>Finance and Policy (DHCFP) Massachusetts Health</li> <li>Insurance Survey, 2010</li> <li>Other. Specify:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Eligibility/Enrollment data.</li> <li>Survey data. Specify: Division of Health Care</li> <li>Finance and Policy (DHCFP) Massachusetts Health</li> <li>Insurance Survey, 2010</li> <li>Other. Specify:</li> </ul>
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator: The estimate of the number of children in Massachusetts	Definition of denominator: The estimate of the number of children in Massachusetts	Definition of denominator: The estimate of the number of children in Massachusetts
Definition of numerator: The estimate of the number of uninsured children in Massachusetts	Definition of numerator: The estimate of the number of uninsured children in Massachusetts	Definition of numerator: The estimate of the number of uninsured children in Massachusetts
Year of Data: 2009	Year of Data: 2010	Year of Data: 2010
		Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Describe what is being measured: The uninsurance rate among children in Massachusetts at all income levels	Describe what is being measured: The uninsurance rate among children in Massachusetts at all income levels	Describe what is being measured: The uninsurance rate among children in Massachusetts at all income

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011	
	Numerator: 3228	levels	
Numerator: The estimate of the number of uninsured	Denominator: 1,560,159	Numerator: 3228	
children in Massachusetts	Rate: 0.2%	Denominator: 1,560,159	
		Rate: 0.2%	
Denominator: The estimate of the number of children in	Additional notes on measure:		
Massachusetts			
		Additional notes on measure:	
Rate: 1.9%			
Additional notes on measure:			

#### Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

The Commonwealth is reporting the results from the FFY2010 report because the results of the 2011 MHIS were not available at the time of the submission of the FFY2011 report.

What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Massachusetts is committed to continuing to reduce the number of uninsured children and is currently pursuing operational enhancements to make it easier to apply for and stay enrolled in MassHealth. These include upgrades to the Virtual Gateway and the Commonwealth's work as a Robert Wood Johnson Foundation Maximizing Enrollment for Kids grantee, among others. MassHealth is also continually working with our partners in the community, for example, with our outreach and enrollment grantees, to find, screen and enroll even the most difficult-to-reach populations. In FFY2010, MassHealth collaborated with the two HHS CHIPRA grantees in the state on initiatives which also contributed to reducing the uninsured rate.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: Massachusetts will continue efforts to enroll every child eligible for health insurance and as a result, will maintain an uninsurance rate among all children of no more than 3%.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Massachusetts will continue efforts to enroll every child eligible for health insurance and as a result, will maintain an uninsurance rate among all children of no more than 3%.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Massachusetts will continue efforts to enroll every child eligible for health insurance and as a result, will maintain an uninsurance rate among all children of no more than 3%.

*Explain how these objectives were set:* The Commonwealth will continue to maximize its efforts to enroll every eligible child in health insurance. Despite those efforts, it is likely that there will always be a small percentage of the population that reports being uninsured, and the uninsured rate may also fluctuate depending on economic conditions. The Commonwealth may need to reevaluate this goal each year, and will adjust it as more data becomes available regarding the theoretical floor for the rate of uninsurance among children.

#### Other Comments on Measure:

CHIP Annual Report Template – FFY 2011

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 & 3) (Continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Maintain an uninsurance rate for children under 150%	Maintain an uninsurance rate for children under 150%	Maintain an uninsurance rate for children under 150%
FPL of no more than 3%.	FPL of no more than 3%.	FPL of no more than 3%.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain: Massachusetts has	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
succeeded in continuing to reduce the percentage of	Continuing.	Continuing.
uninsured children. The Commonwealth is committed to sustaining the gains that have been made and ensuring	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
that all children who are eligible for insurance are		
enrolled, with a particular focus on children under 150%		
FPL.		
Continuing.		
Discontinued. Explain:		
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	E Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported: 2010
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data
Survey data. Specify: Division of Health Care	Survey data. Specify: Division of Health Care	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> : Division of Health Care
Finance and Policy (DHCFP) Massachusetts Health	Finance and Policy (DHCFP) Massachusetts Health	Finance and Policy (DHCFP) Massachusetts Health
Insurance Survey, 2009	Insurance Survey, 2010  Other. Specify:	Insurance Survey, 2010  Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
•	•	•
Definition of denominator: The estimate of the number	Definition of denominator: The estimate of the number	Definition of denominator: The estimate of the number
of children in Massachusetts with household income	of children in Massachusetts with household income	of children in Massachusetts with household income
under 150% FPL	under 150% FPL	under 150% FPL
Definition of numerator: The estimate of uninsured	Definition of numerator: The estimate of uninsured	Definition of numerator: The estimate of uninsured
children in Massachusetts with household income less	children in Massachusetts with household income less	children in Massachusetts with household income less
than 150% FPL	than 150% FPL	than 150% FPL
Year of Data: 2009	Year of Data: 2010	Year of Data: 2010
		Date Range:
		From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Describe what is being measured: The rate of	Describe what is being measured: The rate of	Describe what is being measured: The rate of

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
uninsurance among children with household income less than 150% FPL	uninsurance among children with household income less than 150% FPL	uninsurance among children with household income less than 150% FPL
Numerator: The estimate of uninsured children in Massachusetts with household income less than 150% FPL	Numerator: 0 Denominator: 331,583 Rate: 0%	Numerator: 0 Denominator: 331,583 Rate: 0%
Denominator: The estimate of the number of children in Massachusetts with household income under 150% FPL	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Rate: 2.7%		
Additional notes on measure:		

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011	
Explanation of Progress:			
How did your performance in 2011 compare with	How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?		
The Commonwealth is reporting the results for submission of the FFY2011 report.	rom the FFY2010 report because the results of the	e 2011 MHIS were not available at the time of the	
What quality improvement activities that involve your results for this measure, or make progress	the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enh toward your goal?	nance your ability to report on this measure, improve	
and stay enrolled in MassHealth. These include u among others. MassHealth is also continually worki	e the number of uninsured children and is currently pursuing pgrades to the Virtual Gateway and the Commonwealth's ing with our partners in the community, for example, with o In FFY2010, MassHealth collaborated with the two HHS	s work as a Robert Wood Johnson Foundation grantee, bur outreach and enrollment grantees, to find, screen and	
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance	in improving the completeness or accuracy of your re	porting of the data	
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: an uninsurance rate among all children with household in		eligible for health insurance and as a result, will maintain	
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: an uninsurance rate among all children with household in	Massachusetts will continue efforts to enroll every child come less than 150% FPL of no more than 3%.	eligible for health insurance and as a result, will maintain	
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: an uninsurance rate among all children with household in		eligible for health insurance and as a result, will maintain	
efforts, it is likely that there will always be a small per economic conditions. The Commonwealth will reevaluate uninsurance among children.	Commonwealth will continue to maximize its efforts to enricentage of the population that reports being uninsured, as this goal each year, and will adjust it as more data become	and the uninsured rate may also fluctuate depending on	
Other Comments on Measure:			

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 & 3) (Continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Reduce the uninsurance rate for children between	Reduce the uninsurance rate for children between	Reduce the uninsurance rate for children between
150%-300 % FPL to that of the overall rate of	150%-300 % FPL to that of the overall rate of	150%-300 % FPL to that of the overall rate of
uninsurance for children.	uninsurance for children.	uninsurance for children.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
$\boxtimes$ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> : The uninsurance rate for this	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
income segment exceeds the overall uninsurance rate	🖾 Continuing.	🛛 Continuing.
for children, and the Commonwealth is committed to	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
bringing it down to that of the overall population.		
Discontinued. Explain:		
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
☐ Provisional. ⊠ Final.	☐ Provisional. ⊠ Final.	☐ Provisional. ☐Final.
$\Box$ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	$\boxtimes$ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual
report.	report.	report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported: 2010
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> : Division of Health Care	Survey data. Specify: Division of Health Care	Survey data. Specify: Division of Health Care
Finance and Policy (DHCFP) Massachusetts Health	Finance and Policy (DHCFP) Massachusetts Health	Finance and Policy (DHCFP) Massachusetts Health
Insurance Survey, 2009	Insurance Survey, 2010	Insurance Survey, 2010
Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. <i>Specify</i> :
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator: The estimate of the	Definition of denominator: The estimate of the	Definition of denominator: The estimate of the
uninsurance rate for all children in Massachusetts	uninsurance rate for all children in Massachusetts	uninsurance rate for all children in Massachusetts
Definition of numerator: The estimate of the	Definition of numerator: The estimate of the	Definition of numerator: The estimate of the
uninsurance rate for children in Massachusetts with	uninsurance rate for children in Massachusetts with	uninsurance rate for children in Massachusetts with
household incomes between 150-300% FPL	household incomes between 150-300% FPL	household incomes between 150-300% FPL
Year of Data: 2009	Year of Data: 2010	Year of Data: 2010
		Date Range:
		From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Describe what is being measured: The ratio of the	Describe what is being measured: The ratio of the	Describe what is being measured: The ratio of the
estimate of the uninsurance rate for children in	estimate of the uninsurance rate for children in	estimate of the uninsurance rate for children in
Massachusetts with household income between 150%-	Massachusetts with household income between 150%-	Massachusetts with household income between 150%-

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
300% FPL and. the estimate of the uninsurance rate for	300% FPL and. the estimate of the uninsurance rate for	300% FPL and. the estimate of the uninsurance rate for
children in Massachusetts at all income levels.	children in Massachusetts at all income levels.	children in Massachusetts at all income levels.
Numerator: 5.4%	Numerator: 1.1%	Numerator: 1.1%
Denominator: 1.9%	Denominator: 0.2%	Denominator: 0.2%
Rate: 2.84	Rate: 5.34	Rate: 5.34
	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Additional notes on measure:		

#### Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

The Commonwealth is reporting the results from the FFY2010 report because the results of the 2011 MHIS were not available at the time of the submission of the FFY2011 report.

## What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Massachusetts is committed to continuing to reduce the number of uninsured children and is currently pursuing operational enhancements to make it easier to apply for and stay enrolled in MassHealth. These include upgrades to the Virtual Gateway and the Commonwealth's work as a Robert Wood Johnson Foundation grantee, among others. MassHealth is also continually working with our partners in the community, for example, with our outreach and enrollment grantees, to find, screen and enroll even the most difficult-to-reach populations. In FFY2011, MassHealth continued our collaboration with the two HHS CHIPRA grantees in the state on initiatives with the goal of further reducing the uninsured rate.

#### Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: Massachusetts will continue efforts to enroll every child eligible for health insurance and as a result, will work to reduce the uninsurance rate among children with household income between 150-300% FPL to no more than the uninsurance rate for children at all income levels.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Massachusetts will continue efforts to enroll every child eligible for health insurance and as a result, will work to reduce the uninsurance rate among children with household income between 150-300% FPL to no more than the uninsurance rate for children at all income levels.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Massachusetts will continue efforts to enroll every child eligible for health insurance and as a result, will work to reduce the uninsurance rate among children with household income between 150-300% FPL to no more than the uninsurance rate for children at all income levels.

*Explain how these objectives were set:* Massachusetts is closing in on near universal coverage, especially for children. This objective was set in order to refine our focus on target populations which may have a disproportionately high rate of uninsurance among them. Other Comments on Measure:

## **Objectives Related to CHIP Enrollment**

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Maintain or increase the number of Virtual Gateway access sites at 235 or higher.	Maintain or increase the number of Virtual Gateway access sites at 235 or higher.	Maintain or increase the number of Virtual Gateway access sites at 235 or higher.
Type of Goal: ⊠ New/revised. Explain: Since the Virtual Gateway can improve efficiency for applicants and potential members during the application process, this goal reflects a growing level of technical organization at MassHealth that increases access that individuals may have to benefits during the application process. □ Continuing.	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> : ⊠ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Type of Goal: New/revised. <i>Explain</i> : X Continuing. Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Discontinued. Explain:		
Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	<ul> <li>Status of Data Reported:</li> <li>□ Provisional.</li> <li>⊠ Final.</li> <li>□ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.</li> <li>Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:</li> </ul>	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Explanation of Provisional Data: X Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Data Source:         Eligibility/Enrollment data.         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify: Records kept by Executive Office of Health and Human Services Virtual Gateway Operations Unit.	Data Source:         Eligibility/Enrollment data.         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify: Records kept by Executive Office of Health and Human Services Virtual Gateway Operations Unit.	Data Source:         Eligibility/Enrollment data.         Survey data. Specify:         X Other. Specify: Records kept by Executive Office of Health and Human Services Virtual Gateway Operations Unit.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Measure: The number of organizations that submitted MassHealth applications through the Virtual Gateway during SFY09 vs. SFY08 and FFY09 vs. FFY08.	Measure: The number of organizations that submitted MassHealth applications through the Virtual Gateway during SFY10 vs. SFY09 and FFY10 vs. FFY09.	Measure: The number of organizations that submitted MassHealth applications through the Virtual Gateway during SFY11 vs. SFY10 and FFY11 vs. FFY10.
Year of Data: SFY08 and FFY08	Year of Data: SFY10 and FFY10	
		Date Range: From: 07/2010 to 6/2011 (SFY) and 10/2010 to

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
		9/2011 (FFY)
Performance Measurement Data: Describe what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Performance Measurement Data: Describe what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	<b>Performance Measurement Data:</b> Describe what is being measured: The number of organizations that submitted MassHealth applications increased from 249 to 273 in SFY11 and from 259 to 267 in FFY11
Additonal notes on the measure: The number of organizations that submitted MassHealth applications increased from 229 to 240 in SFY09 and from 233 to 243 in FFY09.	Additional notes on measure: In preparing the FFY10 report, the Operations Unit discovered that all figures reported for FFY09 for the number of organizations that submitted MassHealth applications were miscalculated by one. Each number should have been one fewer. Therefore, in this section, revised FFY09 responses are provided, as well as the latest FFY10 responses. <b>REVISED FFY'09 RESPONSE:</b> The number of organizations that submitted MassHealth applications increased from 228 to 239 in SFY09 and from 232 to 242 in FFY09. <b>FFY'10 RESPONSE:</b> The number of organizations that submitted MassHealth applications increased from 239 to 249 in SFY10 and from 242 to 259 in FFY10.	Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Explanation of Progress:		
How did your performance in 2011 compare with t		
	nizations submitting MassHealth applications using th	ne Virtual Gateway, increased by 8 during the Federal Fiscal
Year and by 24 during the State Fiscal Year.		
What quality improvement activities that involve the your results for this measure, or make progress to		enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve
		ccess to and enrollment in health programs for children.
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	n improving the completeness or accuracy of you	r reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:		
MassHealth will continue to devote resources in order	to maintain or increase the number of Virtual Gatewa	y access sites at 235 or higher.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:		
MassHealth will continue to devote resources in order	to maintain or increase the number of Virtual Gatewa	y access sites at 235 or higher.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:		
MassHealth will continue to devote resources in order	to maintain or increase the number of Virtual Gatewa	y access sites at 235 or higher.
Explain how these objectives were set:		
This goal is part of MassHealth's mission to simplify th	ne enrollment and application process and enhance m	ember communications by using the most
advanced technology possible. MassHealth plans inc	lude increasing the number of Virtual Gateway access	s sites.
Other Comments on Measure:		

## Objectives Related to the Goal: CHIP Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Maintain or increase the percentage of kids enrolled in premium assistance at 3.5% or more of overall MassHealth child enrollment.	Maintain or increase the percentage of kids enrolled in premium assistance at 3.5% or more of overall MassHealth child enrollment.	
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain: Because enrollment in the	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Commonwealth's premium assistance program is		Continuing.
mandatory for all MassHealth-eligible populations once	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
access to qualifying insurance is confirmed, and		
subsidizing members' enrollment in employer-		
sponsored insurance (ESI) is a cost-effective strategy		
for MassHealth, measuring the share of MassHealth		
children who receive premium assistance should reflect		
the Commonwealth's ongoing efforts to maximize ESI.		
Continuing.		
Discontinued. Explain:		
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
🛛 Final.	🛛 Final.	🖾 Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual
report.	report.	report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	🛛 Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator: The number of children in	Definition of denominator: The number of children in	Definition of denominator: The number of children in
MassHealth at all income levels.	MassHealth at all income levels.	MassHealth at all income levels.
Definition of numerator: The number of children enrolled	Definition of numerator: The number of children enrolled	Definition of numerator: The number of children
in premium assistance at all income levels.	in premium assistance at all income levels.	enrolled in premium assistance at all income levels.
Year of Data: FFY2009	Year of Data: FFY2010	FFY2011
		Date Range:
		From: From: (10/2010) To: (09/2011)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Performance Measurement Data: Describe what is being measured: The percentage of children in MassHealth who receive premium	<b>Performance Measurement Data:</b> Describe what is being measured: The percentage of children in MassHealth who receive premium	Performance Measurement Data: Describe what is being measured: The percentage of children in MassHealth who receive premium
assistance.	assistance.	assistance.
Numerator 20,000 Denominator: 520,000	Numerator: 27,325 Denominator: 629, 364	Numerator: 29,129 Denominator: 656,835
Rate: 3.8%	Rate: 4.3%	Rate: 4.4%
Additional notes on measure: 3.8% of the children in MassHealth receive premium assistance.	Additional notes on measure: 4.3% of the children in MassHealth receive premium assistance.	Additional notes on measure: 4.4% of the children in MassHealth receive premium assistance.
Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?		

In FFY10, MassHealth set a new goal. In FFY11, we exceeded the objective that we set with enrollment in the MassHealth premium assistance program.

## What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

The Commonwealth's efforts towards universal coverage continue to succeed despite increases in health insurance premiums and unemployment rates. Providing subsidies to employees so that they can participate in their employer-sponsored insurance is a valuable and cost-effective tool for MassHealth in decreasing uninsurance and increasing enrollment in health insurance for children- particularly within higher income ranges. Enrollment in employer-sponsored insurance in Massachusetts continues to be strong and has remained steady since the implementation of health care reform, showing no signs that MassHealth has crowded out private insurance.

#### Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: MassHealth will continue to maintain our efforts to maximize employer-sponsored insurance for our members. The approximate proportion of children enrolled in premium assistance will continue to be above 3.5%.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: MassHealth will continue to maintain our efforts to maximize employer-sponsored insurance for our members. The approximate proportion of children enrolled in premium assistance will continue to be above 3.5%.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: MassHealth will continue to maintain our efforts to maximize employer-sponsored insurance for our members. The approximate proportion of children enrolled in premium assistance will continue to be above 3.5%.

*Explain how these objectives were set:* This objective was set as part of MassHealth's commitment to maximize private insurance by identifying applicants with access to employer-sponsored insurance and requiring enrollment. Mandatory enrollment in employer-sponsored insurance is MassHealth's primary mechanism to control crowd-out. The performance target was based on the FFY10 baseline adjusted to account for uncertainty in the employment market.

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Other Comments on Measure:		

## **Objectives Related to CHIP Enrollment (Continued)**

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
<b>Goal #3 (Describe)</b> Maintain or increase the percentage of MassHealth applications submitted through the Virtual Gateway at 53 % or above (vs. those submitted via paper).	<b>Goal #3 (Describe)</b> Maintain or increase the percentage of MassHealth applications submitted through the Virtual Gateway at 53 % or above (vs. those submitted via paper).	<b>Goal #3 (Describe)</b> Maintain or increase the percentage of MassHealth applications submitted through the Virtual Gateway at 53 % or above (vs. those submitted via paper).
Type of Goal:         ☑ New/revised. Explain: The Commonwealth has reported on the volume of Virtual Gateway applications before but this is a new goal and a new measurement which recognizes the month-to-month fluctuations in application and enrollment trends and is therefore a better indicator for MassHealth.         □ Continuing.         □ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> : ⊠ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Type of Objective: ☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> : ⊠ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:         □ Provisional.         ☑ Final.         □ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	<ul> <li>Status of Data Reported:</li> <li>Provisional. Explanation of Provisional Data:</li> <li>Final.</li> <li>Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.</li> <li>Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:</li> </ul>
Data Source:         Eligibility/Enrollment data.         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify: Records kept by Executive Office of Health and Human Services Virtual Gateway Operations Unit.	Data Source:         Eligibility/Enrollment data.         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify: Records kept by Executive Office of Health and Human Services Virtual Gateway Operations Unit.	Data Source:         Eligibility/Enrollment data.         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify: Records kept by Executive Office of Health and Human Services Virtual Gateway         Operations Unit and the Office of Medicaid.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator: The total number of MassHealth applications submitted, including paper applications.	Definition of denominator: The total number of MassHealth applications submitted, including paper applications.	Definition of denominator: The total number of MassHealth applications submitted, including paper applications.
Definition of numerator: The number of applications submitted through the Virtual Gateway.	Definition of numerator: The number of applications submitted through the Virtual Gateway.	Definition of numerator: The number of applications submitted through the Virtual Gateway.

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
The threshold monthly percentage during SFY09 of all MassHealth applications that were electronic Virtual Gateway applications (vs. paper applications).	The threshold monthly percentage during SFY09 of all MassHealth applications that were electronic Virtual Gateway applications (vs. paper applications). This is again used as the performance goal for FFY10.	The threshold monthly percentage during SFY09 of all MassHealth applications that were electronic Virtual Gateway applications (vs. paper applications). This is again used as the performance goal for FFY11.
Year of Data: SFY2009	Year of Data: FFY2010	Year of Data: FFY2011
		Date Range: From: 10/2010 to 9/2011 (FFY)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure: In all months of SFY09 the percentage of all MassHealth applications that were electronic Virtual Gateway applications, (vs. paper applications) met or exceeded 53%, achieving a high of 60% at one point.	Additional notes on measure: In all months of FFY10 except one (January, 2010) the percentage of MassHealth applications that were electronic Virtual Gateway applications (vs. paper applications) met or exceeded 53%, achieving a rate of 56% or higher in 9 months, reaching a high of 60% in August '10.	Additional notes on measure: The percentage of MassHealth applications that were electronic Virtual Gateway applications (vs. paper applications) over the course of the twelve months of FFY11 met or exceeded 53%, reaching a rate of 65% in January 2011.

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
	the Annual Performance Objective documented in y ay applications (vs. paper applications) over the course	your 2010 Annual Report? of the twelve months of FFY11 rose a full percentage point,
What quality improvement activities that involve t your results for this measure, or make progress t		enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve
submitted through the Virtual Gateway take less tim		nealth programs in one step. The MassHealth applications ng information, and allow for quicker benefit determinations. al coverage and provides for greater continuity of care.
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance	in improving the completeness or accuracy of your	reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: Mas applications submitted through the Virtual Gateway a	sHealth will continue to devote resources in order to ma t 53 % or above.	aintain or increase the percentage of MassHealth
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Mas applications submitted through the Virtual Gateway a	sHealth will continue to devote resources in order to ma it 53 % or above.	aintain or increase the percentage of MassHealth
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Mas applications submitted through the Virtual Gateway a	sHealth will continue to devote resources in order to ma it 53 % or above.	aintain or increase the percentage of MassHealth
<i>Explain how these objectives were set:</i> This goal is pplication process, and to increase the efficiency of Mass		dividuals who may be eligible, to simplify and streamline the

Other Comments on Measure:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
FFY 2009 Goal #4(Describe) Maintain or increase the number of Virtual Gateway Health Insurance and Health Assistance program users at 6500 or more. Type of Goal: ⊠ New/revised. Explain: Since the Virtual Gateway is increasingly used by more organizations to screen and enroll children for MassHealth, this goal reflects a growing level of access that organizations have to the MassHealth application process. □ Continuing. □ Discontinued. Explain:	FFY 2010 Goal #4 (Describe) Maintain or increase the number of Virtual Gateway Health Insurance and Health Assistance program users at 5700 or more. Type of Goal: ⊠ New/revised. Explain: In preparing the FFY10 report, the Operations Unit discovered that the FFY09 "6500 users" number which defined this goal, and the numbers stemming from that figure as used in the FFY09 report, did not capture the "users" as they had been defined (the Virtual Gateway Health Insurance and Health Assistance program users.) The number reported in FFY09 did not accurately reflect what the goal was trying to measure, mistakenly over-including hundreds of additional Virtual Gateway users of a different, unrelated, function. The "5700 users" number is a corrected goal for both FFY09 and FFY10, and reflects the intent of the original "6500 users" goal, with the erroneously included individuals removed from the count. Therefore, throughout this FFY10 section, revised FFY09 responses are provided, as well as the latest FFY10 responses.	FFY 2011 Goal #4 (Describe) Maintain or increase the number of Virtual Gateway Health Insurance and Health Assistance program users at 5700 or more. Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: X Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Continuing. Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> : Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
$\square$ Final.	⊠ Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	X Final.
FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
---	--	--
report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously
Data Source:	Data Source:	reported: Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data. Survey data. Specify:	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	<ul> <li>Eligibility/Enrollment data.</li> <li>Survey data. Specify:</li> <li>X Other Specify:</li> </ul>
Other. <i>Specify</i> : Records kept by the Executive Office of Health and Human Services virtual Gateway Operations Unit.	Other. <i>Specify</i> : Records kept by the Executive Office of Health and Human Services virtual Gateway Operations Unit.	X Other. <i>Specify</i> : Records kept by the Executive Office of Health and Human Services Virtual Gateway Operations Unit.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
The number of Virtual Gateway account holders thoughout Massachusetts that have the capability to submit electronic MassHealth applications using the Virtual Gateway. This measures the number of individuals employed by organizations that are registered to use the Virtual Gateway.	The number of Virtual Gateway account holders throughout Massachusetts that have the capability to submit electronic MassHealth applications using the Virtual Gateway. This measures the number of individuals employed by organizations that are registered to use the Virtual Gateway.	The number of Virtual Gateway account holders throughout Massachusetts that have the capability to submit electronic MassHealth applications using the Virtual Gateway. This measures the number of individuals employed by organizations that are registered to use the Virtual Gateway.
Year of Data: 2009	Year of Data: FFY2010	
		Date Range: From: 07/2010 to 6/2011 (SFY) and 10/2010 to 9/2011 (FFY)

	FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
--	----------	----------	----------

### Explanation of Progress:

#### How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

The rate of growth in Virtual Gateway account holders having the capability to submit electronic MassHealth applications using the Virtual Gateway from FFY '10 to FFY '11 was 476 individuals – a rate higher than we experienced between FFY '09 and FFY'10. This is an encouraging statistic and continues to show that most organizations in Massachusetts needing or wanting access to the Virtual Gateway for submitting MassHealth applications receive such access.

# What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Virtual Gateway account holders have the capability to use the Virtual Gateway to quickly and knowledgeably assist families and children with their MassHealth applications. Empowering more individuals with this qualification opens up the types of populations and communities who can receive help applying for health benefits.

#### Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

#### Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:

MassHealth will continue to devote resources in order to maintain or increase the number of Virtual Gateway Health Insurance and Health Assistance program users, i.e. individuals who have the ability to submit MassHealth applications through the Virtual Gateway) at 5700 or more.

#### Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:

MassHealth will continue to devote resources in order to maintain or increase the number of Virtual Gateway Health Insurance and Health Assistance program users, i.e. individuals who have the ability to submit MassHealth applications through the Virtual Gateway) at 5700 or more.

#### Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:

MassHealth will continue to devote resources in order to maintain or increase the number of Virtual Gateway Health Insurance and Health Assistance program users, i.e. individuals who have the ability to submit MassHealth applications through the Virtual Gateway) at 5700 or more.

#### Explain how these objectives were set:

This objective was set as part of MassHealth's commitment to enroll all eligible individuals, to ease the application and renewal processes for our members, and to expand access to the most up-to-date enrollment resources available to the community.

#### Other Comments on Measure:

# **Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment**

Since Massachusetts has a joint application for its Medicaid and CHIP programs, collectively known as MassHealth and applications for both programs can be submitted through the Virtual Gateway, Goal #1 of "Objectives Related to CHIP Enrollment" applies to "Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment" also.

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
🗌 Final.	🗌 Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	🗌 Final.
report.	report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	report.
reported:	reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
		reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	
		Date Range:
		From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011	
Explanation of Progress:			
How did your performance in 2011 compare with	the Annual Performance Objective documented in you	r 2010 Annual Report?	
What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?			
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:			
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:			
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:			
Explain how these objectives were set:			
Other Comments on Measure:			
1			

**Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)** Since Massachusetts has a joint application for its Medicaid and CHIP programs, collectively known as MassHealth and applications for both programs can be submitted through the Virtual Gateway, Goal #2 of "Objectives Related to CHIP Enrollment" applies to "Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment" also.

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Continuing.	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	🗌 Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	🗌 Final.
report.	report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	report.
reported:	reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
		reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	🗌 Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	
		Date Range:
		From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011	
Explanation of Progress:			
How did your performance in 2011 compare with	the Annual Performance Objective documented in you	r 2010 Annual Report?	
What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?			
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:			
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:			
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:			
Explain how these objectives were set:			
Other Comments on Measure:			

**Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)** Since Massachusetts has a joint application for its Medicaid and CHIP programs, collectively known as MassHealth and applications for both programs can be submitted through the Virtual Gateway, Goal #3 of "Objectives Related to CHIP Enrollment" applies to "Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment" also.

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
🔲 Final.	🔲 Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	
report.	report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	report.
reported:	reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
		reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	
		Date Range:
		From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011	
Explanation of Progress:			
How did your performance in 2011 compare with	the Annual Performance Objective documented in you	r 2010 Annual Report?	
What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?			
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:			
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:			
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:			
Explain how these objectives were set:			
Other Comments on Measure:			

# Objectives Related Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
<b>Goal #1 (Describe)</b> Maintain or improve the percentage of parents or guardians who respond that they were able to get an answer to their question the same day that they called their doctor's office at 95% or above.	<b>Goal #1 (Describe)</b> Maintain or improve the percentage of parents or guardians who respond that they were able to get an answer to their question the same day that they called their doctor's office at 95% or above.	<b>Goal #1 (Describe)</b> Maintain or improve the percentage of parents or guardians who respond that they were able to get an answer to their question the same day that they called their doctor's office at 95% or above.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> : ⊠ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> : ⊠ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:         2009	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Explanation of Provisional Data: Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: 2010
Measurement Specification:         □HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:         □HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:         Explain how HEDIS was modified:         ○Other. Explain:         The 2008 -2009 Massachusetts Health Quality Partners         Patient Experience Survey	Measurement Specification:         □HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:         □HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:         Explain how HEDIS was modified:         ○Other. Explain:         The 2008 -2009 Massachusetts Health Quality Partners         Patient Experience Survey	Heasurement Specification:         □HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:         ⊠Other. Explain:         The 2008 -2009 Massachusetts Health Quality Partners         Patient Experience Survey
Data Source:         ☐ Administrative (claims data).         ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).         ☑ Survey data. Specify: The MHQP Patient         Experience Survey is a statewide survey of MassHealth         members' experiences with their providers.         ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:         ☐ Administrative (claims data).         ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).         ⊠ Survey data. Specify: The MHQP Patient         Experience Survey is a statewide survey of MassHealth         members' experiences with their providers.         ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:         ☐ Administrative (claims data).         ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).         ⊠ Survey data. Specify: The 2008 -2009         Massachusetts Health Quality Partners Patient         Experience Survey – CAHPS- CG         ☐ Other. Specify:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator: Subset of the denominator who
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	always, almost always or usually were able to get an
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title	answer to their question the same day.
XIX).	XIX).	
Definition of denominator: The 2008 MHQP survey sample population consisted of	Definition of denominator: The 2008 MHQP survey sample population consisted of	Definition of denominator: The 2008 MHQP survey sample population consisted of 7,569 parents or
7,569 parents or guardians of MassHealth covered	7,569 parents or guardians of MassHealth covered	guardians of MassHealth covered children. Number of
children.	children.	respondents who called their child's doctor's office with
		a medical question during regular office hours
		(n=4,186).
		Denominator includes CHIP population only.
		Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title
		XIX).
		If denominator is a subset of the definition selected
		above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:
		indicate the number of children excluded.
Year of Data: 2008	Year of Data: 2008	Year of Data: 2008
		Date Range:
		From: 1/1/2008 to 12/31/2008
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
		Deviations from Measure Specifications;
		Year of Data, <i>Explain</i>
		Data Source, <i>Explain</i>
		☐ Numerator, <i>Explain</i> ☐ Denominator, <i>Explain</i>
		Other, Explain
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator: Subset of the denominator who always,	Numerator: Subset of the denominator who always,	Describe what is being measured: Access to urgent
almost always or usually were able to get an answer to their question the same day.	almost always or usually were able to get an answer to their question the same day.	care Numerator: Subset of the denominator who always,
their question the same day.	their question the same day.	almost always or usually were able to get an answer to
Denominator: Number of respondents who called their	Denominator: Number of respondents who called their	their question the same day
child's doctor's office with a medical question during regular office hours (n=4,186). Rate: $95\%$	child's doctor's office with a medical question during regular office hours (n=4,186). Rate: 95%	<b>Denominator</b> : Number of respondents who called their child's doctor's office with a medical question during regular office hours (n=4,186). <b>Rate</b> : 95%
<b>Survey Question:</b> In the last 12 months, when you called your child's doctor's office with a medical question during regular office hours, how often did you get an answer to your question that same day?	<b>Survey Question:</b> In the last 12 months, when you called your child's doctor's office with a medical question during regular office hours, how often did you get an answer to your question that same day?	Additional notes on measure: The 2008 MHQP survey sample population consisted of 7,569 parents or guardians of MassHealth covered children. The MHQP survey is virtually identical to the CAHPS-CG with some additional MassHealth specific questions.
		<u>Survey Question</u> : In the last 12 months, when you called your child's doctor's office with a medical question during regular office hours, how often did you get an answer to your question that same day?
Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?		

The member survey is currently being repeated. Updated results on this question will be available in the spring of 2012.

# What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

The MHQP survey is a statewide survey of MassHealth members' experiences with their providers. This biennial survey tracks the efforts of the four capitated and one PCCM plan to maintain and improve the quality of care delivered to children.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: To maintain or improve performance

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: To maintain or improve performance

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: To maintain or improve performance

Explain how these objectives were set: The objectives are based on a philosophy of continuous quality improvement.

Other Comments on Measure:

# Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
<b>Goal #2</b> Maintain or improve the percentage of parents or guardians who responded that they were able to get help or advice after regular office hours at 92% or above.	<b>Goal #2</b> Maintain or improve the percentage of parents or guardians who responded that they were able to get help or advice after regular office hours at 92% or above.	<b>Goal #2 (Describe)</b> Maintain or improve the percentage of parents or guardians who responded that they were able to get help or advice after regular office hours at 92% or above.
Type of Goal:         ☑ New/revised. Explain: The results of the 2008-2009         Massachusetts Health Quality Partners (MHQP) Patient         Experience Survey are newly available and more up-to-         date than CHAPS. This is a new objective which         measures a member's after-hours experience with their         provider.         □ Continuing.         □ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> : ⊠ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> : ⊠ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:         □ Provisional.         ⊠ Final.         □ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Explanation of Provisional Data:         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: 2010
Measurement Specification:         HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:         HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:         Explain how HEDIS was modified:         Other. Explain:         The 2008 -2009 Massachusetts Health Quality Partners         Patient Experience Survey	Measurement Specification:         HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:         HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:         Explain how HEDIS was modified:         Other. Explain:         The 2008 -2009 Massachusetts Health Quality Partners         Patient Experience Survey	Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: Other. Explain: The 2008 -2009 Massachusetts Health Quality Partners Patient Experience Survey
Data Source:         Administrative (claims data).         Hybrid (claims and medical record data).         Survey data. Specify: The MHQP Patient         Experience Survey is a statewide survey of MassHealth         members' experiences with their providers.         Other. Specify:	Data Source:         Administrative (claims data).         Hybrid (claims and medical record data).         Survey data. Specify: The MHQP Patient         Experience Survey is a statewide survey of MassHealth         members' experiences with their providers.         Other. Specify:	Data Source:         ☐ Administrative (claims data).         ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).         ☑ Survey data. Specify:         The MHQP Patient         Experience Survey is a statewide survey of MassHealth         members' experiences with their providers         ☐ Other. Specify:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator: Subset of the denominator who
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	always, almost always or usually were able to get the
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title	help or advice they needed after regular office hours
XIX).	XIX).	
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator: Number of respondents who
The 2008 MHQP survey sample population consisted of 7,569 parents or guardians of MassHealth covered	The 2008 MHQP survey sample population consisted of 7,569 parents or guardians of MassHealth covered	called their child's doctor's office after regular office hours for help or advice (n=2,040).
children.	children.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
cilidren.	cilidren.	$\square$ Denominator includes OHIP and Medicaid (Title
		XIX).
		,
		If denominator is a subset of the definition selected
		above, please further define the Denominator, please
		indicate the number of children excluded:
Year of Data: 2008	Year of Data: 2008	Year of Data: 2008
		Date Range:
		From: 1/1/2008 to 12/31/2008
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate: Deviations from Measure Specifications;
		Year of Data, Explain
		Data Source, <i>Explain</i>
		Numerator, <i>Explain</i>
		Denominator, Explain
		Other, <i>Explain</i>
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator: Subset of the denominator who always,	Numerator: Subset of the denominator who always,	Describe what is being measured: Access to after-
almost always or usually were able to get the help or advice they needed after regular office hours.	almost always or usually were able to get the help or advice they needed after regular office hours.	hours care Numerator: Subset of the denominator who always,
advice they needed after regular office hours.	advice they needed after regular office fibris.	almost always or usually were able to get the help or
Denominator: Number of respondents who called their	Denominator: Number of respondents who called their	advice they needed after regular office hours
child's doctor's office after regular office hours for help	child's doctor's office after regular office hours for help	Denominator: Number of respondents who called their
or advice (n=2,040).	or advice (n=2,040).	child's doctor's office after regular office hours for help
Rate: 92%	Rate: 92%	or advice (n=2,040).
		Rate: 92%
Survey Question: In the last 12 months, when you	Survey Question: In the last 12 months, when you	Additional notes on measure:
called your child's doctor's office after office hours, how	called your child's doctor's office after office hours, how	The MHQP survey is virtually identical to the CAHPS-
often did you get the help or advice you needed?	often did you get the help or advice you needed?	CG with some additional MassHealth specific
		questions.
		Survey Question: In the last 12 months, when you called your child's doctor's office after office hours, how
		often did you get the help or advice you needed?
Explanation of Progress:	l .	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	n the Annual Performance Objective documented in you	
The member survey is currently being repeated. Updated results on this question will be available in the spring of 2012.		
What quality improvement activities that involve	the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enh	ance your ability to report on this measure, improve
your results for this measure, or make progress	toward your goal?	
	vide survey of MassHealth members' experiences with their	ir providers. This biennial survey tracks the efforts of the
four capitated and one PCCM plan to maintain and	improve the quality of care delivered to children.	
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance	e in improving the completeness or accuracy of your re	porting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: To maintain or improve performance		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: To maintain or improve performance		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: To maintain or improve performance		
Explain how these objectives were set: The objectives are based on a philosophy of continuous quality improvement.		
Other Comments on Measure:		

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal:         New/revised. Explain:         Continuing.         Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: New/revised. <i>Explain</i> : Continuing. Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Type of Goal:         New/revised. Explain:         Continuing.         Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Explanation of Provisional Data:         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: Other. Explain:
Data Source:         Administrative (claims data).         Hybrid (claims and medical record data).         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	Data Source:         Administrative (claims data).         Hybrid (claims and medical record data).         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	Data Source:         Administrative (claims data).         Hybrid (claims and medical record data).         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of numerator:         Definition of denominator:         Denominator includes CHIP population only.         Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of numerator:         Definition of denominator:         Denominator includes CHIP population only.         Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
		If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	
		Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)

# Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011	
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)	
Numerater	Numerater	Numerater	
Numerator: Denominator:	Numerator: Denominator:	Numerator: Denominator:	
Bate:	Rate:	Rate:	
Trate.		Trate.	
		Deviations from Measure Specifications;	
		☐ Year of Data, <i>Explain</i>	
		Data Source, Explain	
		Numerator, Explain	
		Denominator, Explain	
		Other, <i>Explain</i>	
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	
Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2011 compare wit	h the Annual Performance Objective documented in you	ur 2010 Annual Report?	
What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?			
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.			
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:			
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:			
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:			
Explain how these objectives were set:			
Other Comments on Measure:			

# Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
<b>Goal#1 (Describe)</b> Maintain or improve the percentage of parents or guardians who report that their child's doctor talked with them about how their child was growing or developing at 94% or above.	<b>Goal#1 (Describe)</b> Maintain or improve the percentage of parents or guardians who report that their child's doctor talked with them about how their child was growing or developing at 94% or above.	<b>Goal #1 (Describe)</b> Maintain or improve the percentage of parents or guardians who report that their child's doctor talked with them about how their child was growing or developing at 94% or above.
Type of Goal:         ☑ New/revised. Explain: In 2008-2009 MassHealth assessed member experience using a practice-level survey developed by the Massachusetts Health Quality Partners (MHQP) called the the Patient Experience Survey. This is a new objective which addresses the content of the well child visit.         □ Continuing.         □ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> : ⊠ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> : ⊠ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: 2009	<ul> <li>Status of Data Reported:</li> <li>Provisional. Explanation of Provisional Data:</li> <li>Final.</li> <li>Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.</li> <li>Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: 2010</li> </ul>
Measurement Specification:         □HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:         □HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:         Explain how HEDIS was modified:         ○Other. Explain:         The 2008 -2009 Massachusetts Health Quality Partners         Patient Experience Survey	Measurement Specification:         □HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:         □HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:         Explain how HEDIS was modified:         ○Other. Explain:         The 2008 -2009 Massachusetts Health Quality Partners         Patient Experience Survey	Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: Other. Explain: The 2008 -2009 Massachusetts Health Quality Partners Patient Experience Survey
Data Source:         ☐ Administrative (claims data).         ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).         ⊠ Survey data. Specify: The MHQP Patient         Experience Survey is a statewide survey of MassHealth         members' experiences with their providers.         ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:         ☐ Administrative (claims data).         ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).         ⊠ Survey data. Specify: The MHQP Patient         Experience Survey is a statewide survey of MassHealth         members' experiences with their providers.         ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:         ☐ Administrative (claims data).         ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).         ⊠ Survey data. Specify: The MHQP Patient         Experience Survey is a statewide survey of MassHealth         members' experiences with their providers         ☐ Other. Specify:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of denominator:         □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.         ⊠ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).         Definition of denominator:         The 2008 MHQP survey sample population consisted of 7,569 parents or guardians of MassHealth covered children.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         □ Definition of denominator:         □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.         ⊠ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).         □ Definition of denominator:         The 2008 MHQP survey sample population consisted of 7,569 parents or guardians of MassHealth covered children.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of numerator: Subset of the denominator who         reported "yes" when queried about whether their child's         doctor talked with them about how their child was         growing and developing         Definition of denominator: Number of respondents who         answered the question (n=6,413).         □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.         ⊠ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).         If denominator is a subset of the definition selected
× 17		above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:
Year of Data: 2008	Year of Data: 2008	Year of Data: 2008
		Date Range: 1/1/2008 to 12/31/2008
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
		Deviations from Measure Specifications;
		Year of Data, Explain
		Data Source, <i>Explain</i>
		Numerator, <i>Explain</i>
		Denominator, <i>Explain</i>
		Other, <i>Explain</i>
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator: Subset of the denominator who reported	Numerator: Subset of the denominator who reported	Describe what is being measured: Developmental
"yes" when queried about whether their child's doctor talked with them about how their child was growing and	"yes" when queried about whether their child's doctor talked with them about how their child was growing and	screening Numerator: Subset of the denominator who reported
developing	developing	"yes" when gueried about whether their child's doctor
developing	developing	talked with them about how their child was growing and
Denominator: Number of respondents who answered	Denominator: Number of respondents who answered	developing
the question (n=6,413).	the question (n=6,413).	
Rate: 94%	Rate: 94%	Denominator: Number of respondents who answered
		the question ( $n=6,413$ ).
Company Quantiana in the last 10 membra did your	Current Outpations in the last 10 menths did your	Rate:: 94%
Survey Question: In the last 12 months, did your child's doctor talk with you about how your child is	<b>Survey Question:</b> In the last 12 months, did your child's doctor talk with you about how your child is	Additional notes on measure:
growing and developing?	growing and developing?	The MHQP survey is virtually identical to the CAHPS-
growing and doroloping.		CG with some additional MassHealth specific
		questions.
		Survey Question: In the last 12 months, did your
		child's doctor talk with you about how your child is
Fundamentian of December 2		growing and developing?
Explanation of Progress:	the Annual Performance Objective documented in you	r 2010 Annual Report?
	dated results on this question will be available in the spring	
What quality improvement activities that involve	the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enh	ance your ability to report on this measure, improve
your results for this measure, or make progress		
	vide survey of MassHealth members' experiences with the	ir providers. This biennial survey tracks the efforts of the
four capitated and one PCCM plan to maintain and	improve the quality of care delivered to children.	
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance	in improving the completeness or accuracy of your re	porting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: To maintain or improve performance		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: To maintain or improve performance		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: To maintain or improve performance		
	es are based on a philosophy of continuous quality improve	ement.
Other Comments on Measure:		

# Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #2         Maintain or improve the percentage of parents or guardians who report that their child's doctor's office reminded them to get preventive care that their child was due to receive at 85% or above.         Type of Goal:         New/revised. Explain: In 2008-2009 MassHealth assessed member experience using a practice-level survey developed by the Massachusetts Health Quality Partners (MHQP) called thePatient Experience Survey.         □ Continuing.         □ Discontinued. Explain:	Goal #2 Maintain or improve the percentage of parents or guardians who report that their child's doctor's office reminded them to get preventive care that their child was due to receive at 85% or above. Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> : ☑ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Goal #2 (Describe) Maintain or improve the percentage of parents or guardians who report that their child's doctor's office reminded them to get preventive care that their child was due to receive at 85% or above. Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> : ☑ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:         □ Provisional.         ☑ Final.         □ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:         □ Provisional.         ⊠ Final.         □ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: 2009	Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Explanation of Provisional Data:         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: 2010
Measurement Specification:         HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:         HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:         Explain how HEDIS was modified:         Other. Explain:         The 2008 -2009 Massachusetts Health Quality Partners         Patient Experience Survey	Measurement Specification:         □HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:         □HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:         Explain how HEDIS was modified:         ○Other. Explain:         The 2008 -2009 Massachusetts Health Quality Partners         Patient Experience Survey	Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: ⊠Other. Explain: The 2008 -2009 Massachusetts Health Quality Partners Patient Experience Survey
Data Source:         ☐ Administrative (claims data).         ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).         ⊠ Survey data. Specify: MHQP Patient Experience         Survey         ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:         □ Administrative (claims data).         □ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).         ⊠ Survey data. Specify: MHQP Patient Experience         Survey         □ Other. Specify:	Data Source:         ☐ Administrative (claims data).         ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).         ⊠ Survey data. Specify: The MHQP Patient         Experience Survey is a statewide survey of MassHealth         members' experiences with their providers         ☐ Other. Specify:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of denominator:         □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.         ⊠ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).         Definition of denominator:         The 2008 MHQP survey sample population consisted of 7,569 parents or guardians of MassHealth covered children.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of denominator:         Denominator includes CHIP population only.         Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).         Definition of denominator:         The 2008 MHQP survey sample population consisted of 7,569 parents or guardians of MassHealth covered children.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of numerator: :       Subset of the denominator         who reported "yes" when queried about whether their         child's doctor's office reminded them to get preventive         care that their child was due to receive         Definition of denominator: Number of respondents who         answered the question (n=6,839).         □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.         ⊠ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).         If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:
Year of Data: 2008	Year of Data: 2008	Year of Data: 2008
		Date Range: From1/1/2008 to 12/31/2008
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology) Numerator:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology) Numerator:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS) Numerator:
Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:
		Deviations from Measure Specifications; Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> Data Source, <i>Explain</i> Numerator, <i>Explain</i> Denominator, <i>Explain</i> Other, <i>Explain</i>
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator: Subset of the denominator who reported	Numerator: Subset of the denominator who reported	Describe what is being measured: Reminders for
"yes" when queried about whether their child's doctor's	"yes" when queried about whether their child's doctor's	preventive care
office reminded them to get preventive care that their	office reminded them to get preventive care that their	Numerator: Subset of the denominator who reported
child was due to receive.	child was due to receive.	"yes" when queried about whether their child's doctor's
		office reminded them to get preventive care that their
Denominator: Number of respondents who answered	Denominator: Number of respondents who answered	child was due to receive
the question (n=6,839).	the question (n=6,839).	Denominator: Number of respondents who answered
Rate: 85%	Rate: 85%	the question (n=6,839).
		Rate: 85%
Survey Question: In the last 12 months, did your	Survey Question: In the last 12 months, did your	
child's doctor's office remind you to get preventive care	child's doctor's office remind you to get preventive care	Additional notes on measure:
that your child was due to receive (for example,	that your child was due to receive (for example,	The MHQP survey is virtually identical to the CAHPS-
immunization, flu shot, eye exam)?	immunization, flu shot, eye exam)?	CG with some additional MassHealth specific
Additional nates on massures	Additional notae an macaura	questions.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	<u>Survey Question:</u> In the last 12 months, did your child's doctor's office remind you to get preventive care that
		your child was due to receive (for example,
		immunization, flu shot, eye exam)?

#### Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? The member survey is currently being repeated. Updated results on this question will be available in the spring of 2012.

What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

The MHQP Member Experience Survey is a statewide survey of MassHealth members' experiences with their providers. This biennial survey tracks the efforts of the four capitated and one PCCM plan to maintain and improve the quality of care delivered to children.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: To maintain or improve performance

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: To maintain or improve performance

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: To maintain or improve performance

Explain how these objectives were set: The objectives are based on a philosophy of continuous quality improvement.

Other Comments on Measure:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
<ul> <li>New/revised. Explain:</li> <li>Continuing.</li> <li>Discontinued. Explain:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>New/revised. Explain:</li> <li>Continuing.</li> <li>Discontinued. Explain:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>New/revised. Explain:</li> <li>Continuing.</li> <li>Discontinued. Explain:</li> </ul>
Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:         Provisional.         Explanation of Provisional Data:         Final.         Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.         Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version version of HEDIS used: HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: Other. Explain:
Data Source:         Administrative (claims data).         Hybrid (claims and medical record data).         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	Data Source:         Administrative (claims data).         Hybrid (claims and medical record data).         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:	Data Source:         Administrative (claims data).         Hybrid (claims and medical record data).         Survey data. Specify:         Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of numerator:         Definition of denominator:         Denominator includes CHIP population only.         Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:         Definition of numerator:         Definition of denominator:         Denominator includes CHIP population only.         Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
		If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Dete Demos
		Date Range:

## Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
		From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerater	Numerater	Numerater
Numerator: Denominator:	Numerator: Denominator:	Numerator: Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
nale.	ndle.	ndle.
		Deviations from Measure Specifications;
		Year of Data, <i>Explain</i>
		Data Source, <i>Explain</i>
		Numerator, <i>Explain</i>
		Denominator, <i>Explain</i>
		Other, <i>Explain</i>
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
<ul> <li>Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?</li> <li>Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.</li> <li>Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Explain how these objectives were set:</li> </ul>		
Other Comments on Measure:		

1. What other strategies does your State use to measure and report on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your CHIP population? What have you found?

As MassHealth members, CHIP eligible children are included in various MassHealth quality activities. MassHealth calculated HEDIS indicators in 2010, 2009, and 2008. HEDIS 2010 indicators that include children in the denominator were Appropriate Treatment of Children with URI, Use of Appropriate Medication for People with Asthma, Chlamydia Screening in Women, Follow-up after Hospitalization for Mental Illness, Mental Health – Percent Using Services, Childhood Immunization, Well Child Care in the First 15 Months of Life, Well Child Care in the 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, and 6<sup>th</sup> Year of Life and Adolescent Well Care Visits. A copy of all reports are available upon request and can be found on the Executive Office of Health and Human Services' website.

MassHealth conducted its biennial member satisfaction survey in 2006 and 2008/9. The survey is being repeated in the Fall of 2011. A copy of both reports (CAHPS, 2006 and Massachusetts Health Quality Partners (MHQP), 2009) are available upon request. The 2008/9 survey provides unique information to aid quality improvement efforts as it was conducted at the practice-level.

MassHealth conducted a Clinical Topic Review (CTR) in FY08 and reported the result in FY09. CTR 2008 examined the extent and quality of behavioral health screening in a sample population of children, adolescents, and young adults under the age of 21 prior to the implementation of the requirement to use a standardized behavioral health screening tool as of December 31, 2007. The report is available upon request.

In SFY08, a Primary Care Clinician (PCC) Plan Pay for Performance program was developed. The program provides PCCs the chance to earn incentive payments by completing a PCC practice infrastructure survey that is designed to gather information on PCCs' practices in the areas of access and the use of health information technology. Additionally, PCCs can earn incentive payments by meeting or exceeding benchmarks, or making improvements in care related to certain clinical indicators. The indicators include well child visits in the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and, 6th years of life, adolescent well care visits, and cervical cancer screening. PCCs were notified of their baseline performance in April 09 and began receiving the practice incentive payment in January, 2010. Incentive payments for clinical indicators were made in September 2010.

The PCC Plan produces PCC Profile Reports (PR) every six months to help PCCs identify areas for improvement and to identify related improvement interventions. A PCC PR is provided for each PCC practice serving 180 or more PCC Plan members. The new access measure developed in SFY07 was introduced in FY08. The measure shows the PCC the percent of newly enrolled members seen by the PCC within 4 months of enrollment, or the previous 12 months, if the member was previously enrolled with the same PCC, as required by the PCC contract. All PCCs, regardless of the size of their patient panel receive the PCC Care Monitoring Registries (CMR) and PCC Reminder Reports (RR) every six months. In SFY10, the Profile Report Improvement Meeting (PRIM) workgroup continued to meet biweekly to discuss ongoing quality improvement for the reports. The rigorous quality assurance process developed and implemented during SFY06 has been maintained.

In addition, contracted MCOs are required to implement standardized Quality Improvement (QI) initiatives. QI goals were selected based on the following criteria for identification of prevalent and priority areas, as delineated by the Institute of Medicine:

*Impact:* extent of the burden imposed by the condition, including effects on patients, families, and communities

*Improvability:* extent of the gap between current practice and evidence-based best practice, and the likelihood that the gap can be closed and the conditions improved through change

*Inclusiveness:* relevance to a broad range of individuals with regard to age, gender, socioeconomic status, and ethnicity/race

Each MCO is allowed to select and implement plan specific interventions targeted at members and/or providers to improve the health outcomes for enrolled members. Results of the QI initiatives are submitted to the MCO program for evaluation and assessment

2. What strategies does your CHIP program have for future measurement and reporting on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your CHIP population? When will data be available?

MassHealth plans to continue monitoring access and quality through its HEDIS, CTR, and member survey initiatives. In addition, MCOs will continue to strive towards standardized QI Goals (please see response to Question 1 above). Availability of reports differs by project.

In February 2010 MassHealth was awarded, in partnership with Children's Hospital of Boston, the Massachusetts Health Quality Partners, the National Initiative for Children's Healthcare Quality, and the University of Massachusetts' Medical School, a CHIPRA Quality Demonstration Grant. Under that grant, Massachusetts plans to collect and report on each of the measures included in the set of 24 core pediatric health care quality measures recommended by U.S. Health and Human Services Secretary Sebelius. Massachusetts plans to collect the core set of measures in 2011 and in 2013. Where possible, the collection of these measures will be coordinated with measure collection undertaken by MassHealth, as described herein.

The core measures will be reported out at the provider practice level, where possible, and will be collected for both MassHealth (Medicaid and CHIP) enrolled members, as well as those patients who are commercially insured. Reporting on the two cycles of core measures collection and analysis will be made in 2012 and 2014, respectively. The reports on the measures will be shared with providers and with families and consumers, and input from each group on the utility of the measures and measures reporting will be gathered. Likewise, the measures reporting activities will be coordinated where possible with other existing measures reporting methodologies.

3. Have you conducted any focused quality studies on your CHIP population, e.g., adolescents, attention deficit disorder, substance abuse, special heath care needs or other emerging health care needs? What have you found?

Please see response to question 1 above.

4. Please attach any additional studies, analyses or other documents addressing outreach, enrollment, access, quality, utilization, costs, satisfaction, or other aspects of your CHIP program's performance. Please include any analyses or descriptions of any efforts designed to reduce the number of uncovered children in the state through a state health insurance connector program or support for innovative private health coverage initiatives.

Please list attachments here and summarize findings or list main findings.

**HEDIS reports 2003-2010:** Annual MassHealth Managed Care reports that measure plan performance based on measures set by the NCQA (National Committee for Quality Assurance.) <u>http://www.mass.gov/eohhs/researcher/insurance/masshealth-annual-reports.html</u>

**MassHealth Managed Care Quality Strategy:** The MassHealth Managed Care Quality Strategy sets forth the values, goals and strategies that reflect the commitment to deliver care that is of high quality. <u>http://www.mass.gov/eohhs/docs/masshealth/research/qualitystrategy-05.pdf</u>

**Massachusetts Health Quality Partners**: MassHealth Quality Partners conducts a statewide survey of MassHealth's members' experiences with their providers. http://www.mhqp.org/quality/whatisquality.asp?nav=030000

#### EOHHS (Executive Office of Health and Human Services) enrollment and outreach grant program

Statewide grass-roots, health care reform outreach and enrollment efforts are funded by the state of Massachusetts under the direction of MassHealth and supported by several public organizations. This website provides information about the grant program and the work of EOHHS grant funded organizations and the work of EOHHS grant funded organizations. www.outreachgrants.org

#### EOHHS Outreach Grant Program Evaluation

In 2009, MassHealth asked the UMass Medical School's Center for Health Policy and Research (CHPR) to evaluate the contribution of the Enrollment Outreach Grant Program to advancing health care reform goals. This included evaluating how the program has a) supported Massachusetts residents with navigating health care reform requirements, and b) adapted in scope and services to meet the unique needs of health care reform partners. A copy of the executive summary can be found here: http://www.outreachgrants.org/uploadedFiles/Outreach\_Grants/Included\_Content/Right\_Column\_Content/O\_E%20Eval\_Final%20Executive%20Summary\_2-25-10.pdf

Access to Health Care in Massachusetts: Results from 2008, 2009 and 2010 Massachusetts Health Insurance Surveys, Massachusetts Division of Health Care Finance and Policy http://www.mass.gov/eohhs/docs/dhcfp/r/pubs/11/his-access-chartbook-2010-children.pdf

#### Massachusetts Health Care Reform – 2011 Progress Report

https://www.mahealthconnector.org/portal/binary/com.epicentric.contentmanagement.servlet.ContentDeliveryServlet/Health%2520Care%2520Reform/Overview/ConnectorProgressReport2011.pdf

Health Insurance Coverage in Massachusetts: Results from the 2008 - 2010 Massachusetts Health Insurance Surveys, Massachusetts Division of Health Care Finance and Policy (DHCFP) http://www.mass.gov/eohhs/docs/dhcfp/r/pubs/10/mhis-report-12-2010.pdf

# SECTION III: ASSESSMENT OF STATE PLAN AND PROGRAM OPERATION

## Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions

# A. OUTREACH

1. How have you redirected/changed your outreach strategies during the reporting period?

Located within the Office of Medicaid, the Health Care Reform (HCR) Outreach and Education Unit coordinates statewide outreach activities, disseminates educational materials related to state and federal Health Care Reform, and collaborates with state and community-based agencies. This coordination helps prevent the duplication of outreach efforts in the community, strengthens the knowledge of providers and residents, and provides information to help individuals make smart choices about health coverage.

The overall functions of the HCR Unit include: managing and providing oversight to the outreach and enrollment grant programs; supporting and managing training and technical assistance for community providers, partners, and grantee organizations around health care reform policy and program changes; and coordinating and collaborating with state agencies around state and federal health care reform policies, messaging, and outreach activities.

In SFY11, the HCR unit awarded fifty-one grants statewide to community-based non-profit organizations to increase enrollment in MassHealth and other health insurance programs, as well as provide assistance in helping individuals retain their health insurance coverage through redetermination or other case maintenance processes. Grantees conduct outreach and provide one-on-one enrollment assistance and redetermination services. The grantees help individuals with the application and enrollment process, help new enrollees understand how to use their health insurance, and educate them on the importance of having their care coordinated through a primary care physician. Grantees also help individuals understand and respond to requests for information from insurers and can also help individuals understand options available to them during open-enrollment. Each of the grantee organizations tailor their programs to meet the needs of the people and regions they serve.

Grantees use creative and innovative approaches for outreach including on-site enrollment activities at health fairs, homeless shelters, clinics, schools, and businesses. The HCR Unit provides technical assistance including various training and educational opportunities to share best practices and network with one another. Examples include regional grantee quarterly meetings and an annual statewide outreach summit event. In SFY11 the annual statewide outreach summit was sponsored by the Office of Medicaid, the Health Connector, and the Massachusetts Blue Cross Blue Shield Foundation (the Foundation). The Foundation administers a similar grant program called *Connecting Consumers to Care* which also focuses on targeted outreach, enrollment, and retention activities. Professional workshops were conducted for outreach grantees in the areas of: cultural competency, health literacy, and avoiding community health worker burnout.

In SFY11, grantees enrolled over 99,404 individuals into MassHealth, Commonwealth Care, Commonwealth Choice, the Health Safety Net and other public health insurance programs

available under our state health care reform. Of those enrolled, 21% were children in the MassHealth program. Grantees have also assisted over 61,084 individuals with submitting redetermination paperwork necessary to retain coverage. Of those assisted with redeterminations, 30% were children.

In SYFY11, the HCR Unit continued to work closely with the two Massachusetts CHIPRA Outreach grantees – *Health Care For All* and *South End Community Health Center*. The Office of Medicaid verifies enrollment and redetermination data for these two grantees. In addition, the Office of Medicaid has participated in workgroup meetings with both grantees to collaborate on outreach initiatives, discuss what outreach workers are experiencing and finding works well when conducting outreach, and to share resources. One of these recent outreach initiatives included a month long Kids Enrollment Statewide Challenge to find and enroll uninsured children into MassHealth coverage. The event involved 66 community-based organizations statewide, many of which included state outreach grant organizations, collectively working on this enrollment campaign. The Office of Medicaid participated in the planning workgroup and provided data validation support post event.. The Statewide Challenge resulted in 1,479 children being enrolled in MassHealth coverage

In October 2010, EOHHS, Office of Medicaid was awarded a Consumer Assistance Program (CAP) Grant, by the Center for Consumer Information & Insurance Oversight. The Consumer Assistance Grant program was established by the federal Affordable Care Act (ACA), to help strengthen and enhance existing state-based programs that directly assist consumers with questions or concerns regarding their health care coverage. The Office of Medicaid partnered with two non-profit organizations, *Health Care for All* and *Health Law Advocates* to assist uninsured residents enroll in health coverage; educate consumers about their rights; help consumers file complaints and appeals against health plans; and track consumer complaints to help identify problems and improve enforcement. In SFY11 the CAP Program received an average of 3,000 inquiries a month. A CAP website was created (<u>www.consumerassistance.org</u>) providing resource information including various fact sheets on consumer rights and protections under the ACA. Targeted outreach was conducted including dissemination of brochures and postcards to groups such as: banks, physician groups, colleges, and churches. In SY11, the CAP program accepted 150 cases involving private insurance appeals and grievances. The CAP also received numerous calls from consumers who are seeking to understand their rights under their health insurance plan and their new rights under the ACA.

The web-enabled Virtual Gateway continued to be used extensively in SFY11 to expand access to health insurance and health assistance programs to increasing numbers in the community. During SFY11, Virtual Gateway technology continued to reach a rising number of Virtual Gateway users – including MassHealth providers, MassHealth members themselves, state agencies and a growing number of community service organizations - to use the technology of the internet to outreach to numerous individuals and assist them in signing up for health insurance that meets their specific needs. For example, the number of organizations that submitted health insurance and health assistance program applications on the Virtual Gateway increased from 249 in SFY10, to 273 in SFY11.

In addition, SFY11 continued to see a sharp increase in the use of Virtual Gateway features designed to improve member access to and control of their case data, ensuring that coverage does not lag through premature or inappropriate termination of benefits.

For example, there was a continued and sizable increase in the usage of the Virtual Gateway's My Account Page (MAP) function, introduced in SFY08. MAP allows human service providers, with their

clients' permission, the ability to view, on the web in real time, their clients' MassHealth, Commonwealth Care and Health Safety Net case information. At the end of SFY11, for example, MAP was processing on average of over 420,000 transactions per month from registered organizational users. MAP has provided members, with the help of their assistors, access to the most accurate and up-to-date application and case information without having to call a MassHealth office, helping to ensure that applicants and members receive the most appropriate benefits as efficiently as possible.

In addition, functionality introduced during SFY10 allowing MassHealth members who are designated "Heads of Households" (the person who signed the application for benefits) to gain access to MAP without the need for third-party assistance to view accurate and up-to-date application and case information without having to call a MassHealth office has proven to be extremely useful to members. From March of 2010, when this expanded access to MAP was introduced, to the end of SFY 11, 47,932, health assistance searches were performed by members who are heads of households.

In SFY12, plans are underway to expand MAP functionality so that human service providers, with their clients' permission, and Heads of Households, will be able to view any eligibility document that has been received by MassHealth and show if it has been "processed" or "unprocessed" by the MassHealth agency. This information will be extremely helpful for advocates, providers, and community service organizations assisting applicants and members, as well as members accessing this information on their own, and result in fewer calls to MassHealth inquiring on the status of such documentation.

Members also continued to use the feature, introduced in SFY09, that allows members themselves to access the same information providers see on MAP by calling a dedicated 24 hour, 7 day a week self-service toll-free phone number. Members hear detailed information about their case status including key eligibility dates, health benefit information and outstanding verifications. Since its introduction in December 2008, and through October 2011, there have been almost 2 million (1,989,395) calls to this service.

Functionality introduced during SFY09 that allows members, with the help of providers, to change, online, basic demographic information through a Virtual Gateway Change Form continues to be used extensively by providers. Since its introduction in December, 2008, and through November 2011, there have been 56,388 changes submitted that in the past would have required a phone call to MassHealth. The Change Form supports continuous coverage by preventing members from being disenrolled due to outdated demographic information. It also may at times result in benefit upgrades, since changes trigger the redetermination of benefits. Finally, the Change Form collects member race and ethnicity information, improving the Commonwealth's ability to measure outcomes and address health disparities. During SFY10, access to the Change Form was expanded to include the Head of a Household. Since this expanded access was introduced in March of 2010, to the end of SFY 11, 2,464 changes have been submitted by health assistance members.

2. What methods have you found most effective in reaching low-income, uninsured children (e.g., T.V., school outreach, word-of-mouth)? How have you measured effectiveness?

We have found the following methods to be most effective in reaching low-income, uninsured children:

MassHealth outreach grant recipients conduct outreach and enrollment at locations where individuals spend time in routine daily life activities in their own communities rather than requiring individuals to come to a health facility or state agency for application assistance. Applications are submitted on site at the point of engagement through laptops and utilizing the Virtual Gateway system. Grantees ensure services are provided in a culturally and linguistically appropriate fashion. Reaching individuals where they are, conducting services in a way that meets the individual's needs and submitting applications in real time has proven extremely effective. Equally important to ensuring application assistance, MassHealth outreach grant recipients are vigilant in providing follow-up and case management after enrollment to help newly insured retain their health insurance coverage. This includes setting up appointments to complete the annual review paperwork, helping explain notices from MassHealth, and helping individuals respond to requests for information from their insurer. Remaining a locally trusted and reliable resource that individuals can turn to for help has been very successful. Many other referrals come to our partners via word of mouth.

MassHealth also continues to work collaboratively with the Massachusetts medical community to train, educate and promote MassHealth policies and initiatives. These collaborations are inclusive of working with over 25 Massachusetts Professional Associations, including the Massachusetts Hospital Association, the Massachusetts League of Community Health Centers, the Massachusetts Medical Society and the Massachusetts Chapter of the American Academy of Pediatriacs. MassHealth reaches their respective constituents by presenting at their meetings and hosting provider specific educational forums. Additional outreach efforts include utilizing the web as a major communication vehicle to reach the provider community, conducting one-on-one provider training and hosting targeted face-to-face provider educational and training forums throughout the state as well as conducting training and education sessions online. These tools help ensure MassHealth providers stay current on developments in the MassHealth program.

MassHealth also works collaboratively with the University of Massachusetts Medical School (UMMS) MassAHEC Network (Area Health Education Center) program which works to recruit, train and retain a culturally and linguistically diverse and skilled workforce of health professionals committed to underserved populations. The MassAHEC Network plays a key role in strengthening this workforce. MassAHEC provides a range of programs for health professionals, including medical interpreter (200-300 interpreters trained annually) and community health worker/patient navigator training (generally 30-50 annually), cultural competency and customer service workshops, continuing educational programs (4000 health professionals annually), as well as providing consultation on interpretation, translation and health literacy to improve health care access and adherence. MassAHEC is involved with the state's Patient Medical Home Initiative on consumer and community engagement to address the particular needs of limited English proficient patients and culturally diverse communities; materials have been developed and translated to define the concept of patient-centered medical home and the roles and responsibilities of actively engaged patients and their provider team with toolkits for practice on how to engage patients in practice transformation to be patient-centered. MassAHEC consists of six regional programs covering the state – Central Massachusetts, Pioneer Valley, Merrimack Valley, Boston, Berkshire, and Southeastern Massachusetts. Each regional AHEC has the same mission but bases its programming on the needs of its region.

MassHealth also continues to fund and provide leadership for the Massachusetts Health Care Training Forum (MTF) program. MTF is a partnership between MassHealth and the Office of Community Programs at UMMS. MTF hosts five regional meetings each quarter that feature presentations to keep

health care organizations and community agencies that serve MassHealth members, the uninsured, and underinsured informed of the latest changes in MassHealth and overall state and federal health care reform policies. MassHealth presents information about programmatic operations and policy changes and often leading community advocates share updates about policy developments in state and federal health care reform. MTF also provides information via a listserv (of approximately 4,570 members), and a website offering resource information and meeting materials. 177 updates were sent through the listserv in SFY11 and the website had over 55,000 visitors in SFY11. The meetings promote information dissemination, sharing of best practices, and building of community and public sector linkages in order to increase targeted outreach and member education information about MassHealth. In SFY11 MTF program attendance remained steadily high at a total of 1,679 individuals. In addition to those attending the meetings, evaluation reports indicate that participants share the materials with staff and stakeholders to reach approximately an additional 1,500-2,300 individuals per quarter, totaling an additional 7,000-9,000 reached in FY11.

3. Which of the methods described in Question 2 would you consider a best practice(s)?

All of the methods referenced in #2 are considered a best practice. It's very effective to reach individuals where they are in the community, to conduct services in a cultural and linguistic fashion that meets the individual's needs, and to submit applications via the Virtual Gateway in real time. Providing Virtual Gateway users with additional tools, such as My Account Page which includes a dedicated 24 hour, 7 day a week self-service toll-free phone number to obtain real time eligibility information, has proven to be tremendously helpful.

The Electronic Document Management (EDM) system has resulted in streamlining the entry point for all incoming documentation to MassHealth making it easier for our members and community partners to send information. Prior to enhancements made possible through EDM, members, providers, and community partners needed to keep track of several different addresses and fax numbers depending on the type of documentation being sent to MassHealth. EDM has resulted in two statewide E-fax numbers. Previously all mail was directed to the four MassHealth Enrollment Centers (MECs) and assigned to staff for processing. All mail is now redirected to one location, the Electronic Document Management Center (EDMC) for scanning and indexing. The EDM system has enabled the use of a statewide workforce where all staff have real-time access to every document. The EDM system also allows for more operational efficiency at the MECs, improves customer service, and has significantly improved the workflow. EDM is transforming MassHealth eligibility processing and these enhancements are better serving our members, providers and community partners.

Providing opportunities for educational and workforce development and for a broad network of information dissemination has proven to be very effective. Our applicant and member population is better served by more knowledgeable providers and organizations.

4. Is your state targeting outreach to specific populations (e.g., minorities, immigrants, and children living in rural areas)?

🛛 Yes 🗌 No

Have these efforts been successful, and how have you measured effectiveness?

Grantee outreach activities include print, TV, and radio advertisements to the Latino, Portuguese, Cambodian, Russian, and Chinese communities. MassHealth continues to translate materials into Spanish, Brazilian Portuguese, Chinese, Vietnamese, Haitian Creole, Russian, Cambodian, and Laotian.

The Member Education Unit conducts in-service presentations to various organizations including but not limited to:

The Massachusetts Office of Refugees and Immigrants Refugee Resettlement Training Unit; Native American Indian Tribes; School Nurses; Municipal Medicaid Programs through various schools; sister state agencies such as the Department of Public Health, Department of Mental Health, Department of Children and Families (formerly DSS), Department of Department of Developmental Services (formerly DMR), Department of Veteran's Services, and the Office of Substance Abuse; Community Action Councils; the Brain Injury Association of Massachusetts; various ethnic cultural organizations (including the Latino, Vietnamese, Brazilian, and Somalian populations), advocates for the homeless, shelters, and other facilities working with the homeless population, Senior Care Organizations, the Massachusetts Head Start Program, the Office of Substance Abuse, Family Support Groups, and the Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual and Transgender Youth Support Project.

These presentations provide education on a variety of topics including: MassHealth benefits; coverage types; covered services; rights and responsibilities; navigation tools such as website searching; how to access the Virtual Gateway; how to access other state health insurance programs; the application process; and post-enrollment information on how to maintain health coverage once it has been obtained. Member Education offers continued support to these organizations via e-mail and telephone in order to ensure proper procedure and an expedited service to the members. These efforts have been successful by encouraging new applicants, dispelling any myths about public programs, and assisting members with health insurance coverage retention.

The Member Education Unit also provides education to the MassHealth Managed Care Plan network regarding ongoing member case coverage.

5. What percentage of children below 200 percent of the Federal poverty level (FPL) who are eligible for Medicaid or CHIP have been enrolled in those programs?

According to the 2010 Massachusetts Health Insurance Survey, 1.1% of children under 300% FPL are uninsured (summary MHIS results do not provide a split at 200% FPL). It is extremely challenging to determine what portion of the remaining uninsured are eligible for Medicaid or CHIP, particularly given uncertainty around the immigration status of such individuals, which is not measured in a useful way by the Current Population Survey (CPS) and is not measured at all by the MHIS. With that said, given the extremely low uninsurance rate for children under 300% FPL and the Commonwealth's extensive efforts to identify and enroll all eligible children, the Commonwealth believes that the number of remaining eligible but unenrolled children is minimal. At the time of submission of the 2011 Annual Report, the results of the 2011 MHIS were not available.

(Identify the data source used). The Massachusetts Department of Health Care Finance and Policy (DHCFP) 2010 Massachusetts Health Insurance Survey (MHIS)

# B. SUBSTITUTION OF COVERAGE (CROWD-OUT)

# All states should answer the following questions. Please include percent calculations in your responses when applicable and requested.

B. Do you have substitution prevention policies in place?

🖂 Yes 🗌 No

If yes, indicate if you have the following policies:

- ig ig Imposing waiting periods between terminating private coverage and enrolling in CHIP
- Imposing cost sharing in approximation to the cost of private coverage
- 🛛 Monitoring health insurance status at the time of application

Other, please explain

The primary mechanism for crowd-out prevention is mandatory employer-sponsored health insurance enrollment in CHIP. MassHealth Family Assistance (Massachusetts' separate SCHIP program) maximizes private insurance by providing premium assistance if an uninsured child has access to qualifying coverage through employer-based insurance, and only offering direct coverage through MassHealth if there is no other access to health insurance.

Enrollment in ESI is mandatory for all MassHealth- eligible populations once access to qualifying insurance is confirmed. For children in families with household incomes below 200% FPL, once access to ESI is confirmed, their parents must enroll in premium assistance or their MassHealth will be terminated. Children in the separate child health program above 200% FPL must also be uninsured at the time of application; households who have dropped health insurance within the past six months are subject to a waiting period from the date of loss of coverage before being allowed to participate in the program.

For all applicants, the Commonwealth performs a health insurance investigation, accessing a comprehensive database. Contact is made with all employed members of the household and their employers to determine if employer-sponsored insurance (ESI) is available that meets a basic benefit level and cost-effectiveness test. If MassHealth-qualifying ESI is available, applicants may receive premium assistance, but may not receive direct coverage. MassHealth does not allow the family to opt out of ESI in order to obtain direct public coverage. This process controls crowd-out by maximizing the state's opportunity to identify applicants with access to qualifying ESI and require enrollment in such coverage.

For applicants above 200% FPL MassHealth uses the health insurance investigation to determine if ESI was dropped prior to application. MassHealth monitors health insurance status of potential members both at the time of application and monthly to ensure that only uninsured children are covered in CHIP. Insurance status is verified through national insurance databases that identify coverage from any source, including noncustodial parents. MassHealth contracts with Health Management Systems (HMS) which conducts a monthly state and national data match using a system called "Match MAX" which identifies health insurance for all potential members.

MassHealth also has a dedicated process to match records with a file from the Department of Revenue (DOR) to identify noncustodial parents of applicants and recipients who have court orders for medical support. This process allows us to not only verify existing coverage, but also to enforce the obligation of non-custodial parents by contacting their employers to arrange enrollment of the parent in an employer-sponsored family plan to cover their children.

2. Describe how substitution of coverage is monitored and measured and how the State evaluates the effectiveness of its policies.

## Please see response below

3. Identify the trigger mechanism or point at which your substitution prevention policy is instituted or modified if you currently have a substitution policy

For children up to 200% FPL who appear to have employer-sponsored group coverage, MassHealth conducts a health insurance investigation to determine if the insurance meets MassHealth standards and is cost-effective. If there is access to qualified health insurance coverage, the children will be eligible for premium assistance toward the cost of their employersponsored insurance. CHIP funds are not used to cover children who are insured at time of application or to provide direct coverage for children when there is access to qualifying ESI.

Additionally, for children between 200 and 300 percent FPL, MassHealth will not provide direct coverage or premium assistance if a family had employer-sponsored group coverage for applying children within the previous six months. Families in this income range which had employer-sponsored group coverage within the previous six months will be subject to a sixmonth waiting period, from the date of loss of coverage, before being allowed to enroll. Exceptions from this waiting period will be made for situations in which:

- (a) A child or children has special or serious health care needs;
- (b) The prior coverage was involuntarily terminated, including withdrawal of benefits by an employer, involuntary job loss, or COBRA expiration;
- (c) A parent in the family group died in the previous six months;
- (d) The prior coverage was lost due to domestic violence;
- (e) The prior coverage was lost due to becoming self-employed; or
- (f) The existing coverage's lifetime benefits were reduced substantially within the previous six months, or prior employer-sponsored health insurance was cancelled for this reason.

Thus far, MassHealth has found that Medicaid wavier and CHIP are not crowding out private insurance to any extent. If MassHealth finds a significant level of crowd-out, it will reevaluate the exceptions to the waiting period to determine if they are contributing to crowd-out, and modify them as necessary.

## All States must complete the following questions

4. At the time of application, what percent of CHIP applicants are found to have Medicaid [(# applicants found to have Medicaid/total # applicants) \* 100] [5] and what percent of applicants are found to have other group health insurance [(# applicants found to have other insurance/total # applicants) \* 100] [5]? Provide a combined percent if you cannot calculate separate percentages. [5]
MassHealth has a joint application for Medicaid and CHIP; as such it is not possible to determine the first statistic. After eligibility determination was done, 32% of CHIP applicant children (children with income in CHIP range) were found to have other insurance .

5. What percent of CHIP applicants cannot be enrolled because they have group health plan coverage?

12% of CHIP applicants cannot be enrolled because they have group health plan coverage

a. Of those found to have had other, private insurance and have been uninsured for only a portion of the state's waiting period, what percent meet your state's exemptions to the waiting period (if your state has a waiting period and exemptions) [(# applicants who are exempt/total # of new applicants who were enrolled)\*]?

Children under 200% do not have to wait; if they already have health insurance, they receive premium assistance through the Commonwealth's 1115 demonstration waiver.

Applicant children over 200% who are found to have insurance may be exempted from the waiting period if they meet one of the state's exemptions. However, in FFY11 there were no applying children over 200% FPL with exceptions to the waiting period

6. Does your State have an affordability exception to its waiting period?

Yes	$\boxtimes$	No
-----	-------------	----

If yes, please respond to the following questions. If no, skip to question 7.

a. Has the State established a specific threshold for defining affordability (e.g., when the cost of the child's portion of the family's employer-based health insurance premium is more than X percent of family income)?

Yes No

If the State has established a specific threshold, please provide this figure and whether this applies to net or gross income. If no, how does the State determine who meets the affordability exception?

- b. What expenses are counted for purposes of determining when the family exceeds the affordability threshold? (e.g., does the State consider only premiums, or premiums and other cost-sharing charges? Does the State base the calculation on the total premium for family coverage under the employer plan or on the difference between the amount of the premium for employee-only coverage and the amount of the premium for family coverage? Other approach?)
- c. What percentage of enrollees at initial application qualified for this exception in the last Federal Fiscal Year? (e.g., Number of applicants who were exempted because of affordability exception/total number of applicants who were enrolled).
- d. Does the State conduct surveys or focus groups that examine whether affordability is a concern?

🗌 Yes 🗌 No

If yes, please provide relevant findings.

7. If your State does not have an affordability exception, does your State collect data on the cost of health insurance for an individual or family?

MassHealth collects information regarding the cost of health insurance as a part of the health insurance investigation process.

8. Does the State's CHIP application ask whether applicants have access to private health insurance?

🛛 Yes 🗌 No

If yes, do you track the number of individuals who have access to private insurance?

🛛 Yes 🗌 No

If yes, what percent of individuals that enrolled in CHIP had access to private health insurance at the time of application during the last Federal Fiscal Year [(# of individuals that had access to private health insurance/total # of individuals enrolled in CHIP)\*100]? [5]

8% of individuals that enrolled in CHIP had access to private health insurance at time of application

**C. ELIGIBILITY** 

(This subsection should be completed by all States. Medicaid Expansion states should complete applicable responses and indicate those questions that are non-applicable with N/A.

# Section IIIC: Subpart A: Overall CHIP and Medicaid Eligibility Coordination

1. Does the State use a joint application for establishing eligibility for Medicaid or CHIP?

🛛 Yes 🗌 No

If no, please describe the screen and enroll process. [7500]

2. Please explain the process that occurs when a child's eligibility status changes from Medicaid to CHIP and from CHIP to Medicaid. Have you identified any challenges? If so, please explain.

When a child's eligibility changes from Medicaid to CHIP, a downgrade notice is sent to the household advising of the change in eligibility status. The new benefit is effective 14 days from the date of determination. If the family is now required to pay a monthly premium for the CHIP benefit, the eligibility notice will also explain the monthly premium required for the family. Premiums will begin effective the month after the notice is sent to the family.

3. Are the same delivery systems (such as managed care or fee for service,) or provider networks used in Medicaid and CHIP?

🛛 Yes 🗌 No

4.Do you have authority in your CHIP State plan to provide for presumptive eligibility, and have you implemented this? X Yes No

If yes

a. What percent of children are presumptively enrolled in CHIP pending a full eligibility determination

We cannot determine which children are eligible for CHIP and which are eligible for Medicaid until after the determination so can only provide a total number for both presumptive eligibility. Of all children applications to Masshealth, 30% were presumptively enrolled pending a full eligibility determination.

b. Of those children who are presumptively enrolled, what percent of those children are determined eligible and enrolled upon completion of the full eligibility determination those children are determined eligible and enrolled?

Of those children who are presumptively enrolled, 55% were determined eligible (for either Medicaid or CHIP) and enrolled upon completion of the full eligibility determination.

# Section IIIC: Subpart B: Initial Eligibility, Enrollment, and Renewal for CHIP (Title XXI) and Medicaid (Title XIX) Programs Table B1

This section is designed to assist CMS and the States track progress on the "5 out of 8" eligibility and enrollment milestones. It will not be used to determine CHIPRA performance bonus payments.

Program Feature	Question	Medicaid	CHIP
Continuous Eligibility	1. Does the State provide continuous eligibility for 12 months for children regardless of changes in circumstances other than the situations identified below:	In accordance with section 1902(e)(12) of the Act □ Yes ⊠ No	□Yes 🛛 No
	a. child is no longer a resident of the State;		
	b. death of the child;		
	c. child reaches the age limit;		
	<ul> <li>child/representative requests</li> <li>disenrollment;</li> </ul>		
	e. child enrolled in a separate CHIP program files a Medicaid application, is determined eligible for Medicaid and is enrolled in Medicaid without a coverage gap.		
Liberalization of Asset (or Resource Test) Requirements	2. Does the State have an assets test?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
	3. If there is an assets test, does the State allow administrative verification of assets?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A	□ Yes □ No □ N/A
Elimination of In-Person Interview	4. Does the State require an in-person interview to apply?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No	🗌 Yes 🛛 No

	5. Has the State eliminated an in-person requirement for renewal of CHIP eligibility?	🛛 Yes 🔲 No		
Use of Same Application and Renewal Forms and Procedures for Medicaid and CHIP	6. Does the State use the same application form, supplemental forms, and information verification process for <i>establishing</i> eligibility for Medicaid and CHIP?	🛛 Yes 🔲 No		
	7. Does the State use the same application form, supplemental forms, and information verification process for <i>renewing</i> eligibility for Medicaid and CHIP?	🛛 Yes 🔲 No		
Automatic/Administrative Renewal	8. For renewals of Medicaid or CHIP eligibility, does the State provide a preprinted form populated with eligibility information available to the State, to the child or the child's parent or other representative, along with a notice that eligibility will be renewed and continued based on such information unless the State is provided other information that affects eligibility?	□Yes 🛛 No	🗌 Yes 🖾 No	
	9. Does the State do an ex parte renewal? Specifically, does the State renew Medicaid or CHIP eligibility to the maximum extent possible based on information contained in the individual's Medicaid file or other information available to the State, before it seeks any information from the child's parent or representative?	⊠ Yes 🔲 No	🗌 Yes 🖾 No	
		If exparte is used, is it used for All applicants ☐ Yes	If exparte is used, is it used for All applicants Yes No A subset of applicants Yes No	
Presumptive Eligibility	10. Does the State provide presumptive eligibility to children who appear to be eligible for Medicaid and CHIP to enroll pending a full determination of eligibility?	🛛 Yes	□ No	
Express Lane Eligibility	11. Are you utilizing the Express Lane option in making eligibility determinations and/or renewals for both Medicaid and CHIP?	☐ Yes	🖾 No	

		If yes, which Express Lane Agencies are you using?		
		Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), formerly Food Stamps		
		Tax/Revenue Agency		
		Unemployment Com	pensation Agency	
		U Women, Infants, and	Children (WIC)	
		Free, Reduced Schoo	Lunch Program	
		Subsidized Child Care	Program	
		Other, please explain	. [7500]	
		If yes, what information is the Express Lane Agency providing?		
		🗌 Income		
		Resources		
		Residency		
		🗌 Age		
		Citizenship		
		Other, please explain. <b>[7500]</b>		
Premium Assistance	12. Has the State implemented premium assistance as added or modified by CHIPRA?	In accordance with section 2105(c)(10) of the Act, as added by section 301(a)(1) of CHIPRA. □Yes ⊠ No	In accordance with section 2105(c)(10) of the Act, as added by section 301(a)(1) of CHIPRA.	

# Section IIIC: Subpart C: Eligibility Renewal and Retention

- 1. What additional measures, besides those described in Tables B1 or C1, does your State employ to simplify an eligibility renewal and retain eligible children in CHIP?
  - Conducts follow-up with clients through caseworkers/outreach workers
  - Sends renewal reminder notices to all families
    - A. How many notices are sent to the family prior to disenrolling the child from the program?

Massachusetts sends one notice to the family advising of the need to submit the annual review.

- B. At what intervals are reminder notices sent to families (e.g., how many weeks before the end of the current eligibility period is a follow-up letter sent if the renewal has not been received by the State?) No reminder notices are sent.
- Other, please explain: [500]

2. Which of the above strategies appear to be the most effective? Have you evaluated the effectiveness of any strategies? If so, please describe the evaluation, including data sources and methodology.

All of the above strategies have played an important role in making the process work better for our MassHealth members. MassHealth has not conducted a formal evaluation of each outreach strategy, but rather has measured effectiveness through qualitative reporting from our outreach partners. Each month, grantees report on what enrollment and retention strategies worked best. Findings show it's very effective to follow-up with individuals where they are in the community, conducting services in a cultural and linguistic fashion that meets the individual's needs. Tying enrollment and retention events to current affairs, such as a flu prevention event or back to school campaign, is also key to success since these are a natural draw for individuals to attend.

Providing our grantees and partners with the tools they need to understand the current eligibility status on a member's case, the verifications that are missing, and what notices have been sent to the member, all in real time, has been extremely helpful. Grantee monthly reports mention how the "My Account Page" feature available through the Virtual Gateway has made their work much easier. Previously this information was not available online in real time; it could only be accessed by calling MassHealth.

Community organizations and consumer advocates have partnered to distribute bookmarks which explain the guidelines parents must follow in order to maintain MassHealth coverage for their children and magnets that provide an annual reminder of when their coverage must be renewed. MassHealth has played a role in vetting these materials and helping disemminate them through MTF program and through the MassHealth Member Education Unit. Providing families with this additional reminder information is another strategy being employed to help with the annual renewal process and retention of benefits for members.

Utilizing one renewal form for MassHealth, Commonwealth Care, and other health insurance programs is a streamlined process which prevents members and outreach partners from having to navigate numerous processes and forms for various programs. An individual's renewal forms are screened and processed for the richest benefit in the same way that they are during the application process.

# Section IIIC: Subpart D: Eligibility Data

# Table 1. Application Status of Title XXI Children in FFY 2011

States are required to report on questions 1 and 2 in FFY 2011. Reporting on questions 2.a., 2.b., and 2.c. is voluntary in FFY 2011, FFY 2011, and FFY 2012. Reporting on questions 2.a., 2.b., and 2.c. is required in 2013. Please enter the data requested in the table below and the template will tabulate the requested percentages.

			Number	Percent
	a.	Total number of title XXI applicants	17,718	100%
	b.	Total number of application denials	6,434	36%
1.	Tota	I number of procedural denials		

2.	Total number of eligibility denials	
	a. Total number of applicants denied for title XXI and enrolled in title XIX	
	Check here if there are no additional categories	
3.	Total number of applicants denied for other reasons Please indicate:	

3. Please describe any limitations or restrictions on the data used in this table:

#### **Definitions:**

- The "total number of title XXI applicants," including those that applied using a joint application form, is defined as the total number of applicants that had an eligibility decision made for title XXI in FFY 2011. This measure is for applicants that have not been previously enrolled in title XXI or they were previously enrolled in title XXI but had a break in coverage, thus requiring a new application. Please include only those applicants that have had a Title XXI *eligibility determination made* in FFY 2011 (e.g., an application that was determined eligible in September 2011, but coverage was effective October 1, 2011 is counted in FFY 2011).
- The "the total number of denials" is defined as the total number of applicants that have had an eligibility decision made for title XXI and denied enrollment for title XXI in FFY 2011. This definition only includes denials for title XXI at the time of initial application (not redetermination).
- 1. The "total number of procedural denials" is defined as the total number of applicants denied for title XXI procedural reasons in FFY 2011 (i.e., incomplete application, missing documentation, missing enrollment fee, etc.).
- 2. The "total number of eligibility denials" is defined as the total number of applicants denied for title XXI eligibility reasons in FFY 2011 (i.e., income too high, income too low for title XXI /referred for Medicaid eligibility determination/determined Medicaid eligible, obtained private coverage or if applicable, had access to private coverage during your State's specified waiting period, etc.)
  - i. The total number of applicants that are denied eligibility for title XXI and determined eligible for title XIX
- 3. The "total number of applicants denied for other reasons" is defined as any other type of denial that does not fall into 2a or 2b. Please check the box provided if there are no additional categories.

#### Table 2. <u>Redetermination Status of Children Enrolled in Title XXI</u>

For this table, States may voluntarily report in 2011 and 2012. Reporting is required for 2013.

#### Is the State reporting this data in the 2011 CARTS?

Yes (c	complete)	State is	reporting a	II measures	in the	redetermination	i table.
	joinpioloj	Olulo io	roporting <u>u</u>	minououroo		rodotornination	i lubio

X Yes (but incomplete) Please describe which measures the State did not report on, and why the State did not report on these measures. Explain: [7500]

The state is not reporting on the breakdown of reasons why children were disenrolled after the redetermination process. We are working to clarify the denial reasons in our eligibility system in order to report these breakdowns as required in 2013.

No If the State is not reporting any data, please explain why. Explain: [7500]

Please enter the data requested in the table below in the "Number" column, and the template will automatically tabulate the percentages.

	Number	Percent			
Total number of children who are eligible to be redetermined	17536	100%			
Total number of children screened for redetermination	17536		100%		
Total number of children retained after the redetermination process	15699				
Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI after the redetermination process	1837			100%	
<ul> <li>Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for failure to comply with procedures</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for failure to meet eligibility criteria</li> </ul>					100%
<ol> <li>Disenrolled from title XXI because income too high for title XXI (If unable to provide the data, check here )</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>Disenrolled from title XXI because income too low for title XXI (If unable to provide the data, check here )</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>Disenrolled from title XXI because application indicated access to private coverage or obtained private coverage         <ul> <li>(If unable to provide the data or if you have a title XXI Medicaid expansion and this data is not relevant check here )</li> </ul> </li> </ol>					
4. Disenrolled from title XXI for other eligibility reason(s) Please indicate: (If unable to provide the data check here )					
<ul> <li>Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for other reason(s)</li> </ul>					

Please indicate: (Check here if there are no additional categories)					
--	--	--	--	--	--

• If relevant, please describe any limitations or restrictions on the data entered into this table. Please describe any State policies or procedures that may have impacted the redetermination outcomes data [7500].

#### **Definitions:**

- i. The "total number of children who are eligible to be redetermined" is defined as the total number of children due to renew their eligibility in Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2011, and <u>did not age out</u> (did not exceed the program's maximum age requirement) of the program by or before redetermination. This total number may include those children who are eligible to renew prior to their 12 month eligibility redetermination anniversary date. This total number may include children whose eligibility can be renewed through administrative redeterminations, whereby the State sends the family a renewal form that is pre-populated with eligibility information already available through program records and requires the family to report any changes. This total may also include ex parte redeterminations, the process when a State uses information available to it through other databases, such as wage and labor records, to verify ongoing eligibility.
- ii. The "total number of children screened for redetermination" is defined as the total number of children that were screened by the State for redetermination in FFY 2011 (i.e., those children whose families have returned redetermination forms to the State, as well as administrative redeterminations and ex parte redeterminations).
- iii. The "total number of children retained after the redetermination process" is defined as the total number of children who were found eligible and remained in the program after the redetermination process in FFY 2011.
- iv. The "total number of children disenrolled from title XXI after the redetermination process" is defined as the total number of children who are disenrolled from <u>title XXI</u> following the redetermination process in FFY 2011. This includes those children that States may define as "transferred" to Medicaid for title XIX eligibility screening.
- 1. The "total number of children disenrolled for failure to comply with procedures" is defined as the total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for failure to successfully complete the redetermination process in FFY 2011 (i.e., families that failed to submit a complete application, failed to provide complete documentation, failed to pay premium or enrollment fee, etc.).
- 2. The "total number of children disenrolled for failure to meet eligibility criteria" is defined as the total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for no longer meeting one or more of their State's CHIP eligibility criteria (i.e., income too low, income too high, obtained private coverage or if applicable, had access to private coverage during your State's specified waiting period, etc.). If possible, please break out the reasons for failure to meet eligibility criteria in i.-iv.
- 3. The "total number of children disenrolled for other reason(s)" is defined as the total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for a reason other than failure to comply with procedures or failure to meet eligibility criteria, and are not already captured in 4.a. or 4.b.

The data entered in 4.a., 4.b., and 4.c. should sum to the total number of children disenrolled from title XXI (line 4).

CHIP Annual Report Template – FFY 2011 153

### Table 3. Duration Measure of Selected Children, Ages 0-16, Enrolled in Title XXI, Second Quarter FFY 2012

The purpose of this table is to measure title XXI enrollees' duration, or continuity, of public coverage (title XIX and title XXI). This information is required by CHIPRA, Section 402(a). Reporting is not required until 2013, but States will need to identify newly enrolled children in the second quarter of FFY 2012 (January, February, and March of 2011). If your eligibility system already has the capability to track a cohort of enrollees over time, an additional "flag" or unique identifier may not be necessary.

**Instructions:** For this prospective duration measure, please identify <u>newly enrolled</u> children in title XXI in the second quarter of FFY 2012, ages 0 months to 16 years at time of enrollment. Children enrolled in January 2012 must have birthdates after July 1995 (e.g., children must be younger than 16 years and 5 months) to ensure that they will not age out of the program at the 18<sup>th</sup> month of coverage. Similarly, children enrolled in February 2012 must have birthdates after August 1995, and children enrolled in March 2012 must have birthdates after September 1995. Each child newly enrolled during this time frame needs a unique identifier or "flag" so that the cohort can be tracked over time. If your eligibility system already has the capability to track a cohort of enrollees over time, an additional "flag" or unique identifier may not be necessary. Please follow the child based on the child's age category at the time of enrollment (e.g., the child's age at enrollment creates an age cohort that does not change over the 18 month time span). Please enter the data requested in the table below and the template will tabulate the percentages.

Specify how your "newly enrolled" population is defined:

**Not Previously Enrolled in CHIP or Medicaid**—"Newly enrolled" is defined as not enrolled in either title XXI or title XIX in the month before enrollment (i.e., for a child enrolled in January 2012, he/she would not be enrolled in either title XXI or title XIX in December 2011, etc.)

Not Previously Enrolled in CHIP—"Newly enrolled" is defined as not enrolled in title XXI in the month before enrollment (i.e., for a child enrolled in January 2012, he/she would not be enrolled in title XXI in December 2011, etc.)

Duration Measure, Title XXI		All Childre	en Ages 0- 6	Age Less mor		•	Ages 1-5		es 12	•	
		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
1.	Total number of children newly enrolled in title XXI in the		100%		100%		100%		100%		100%
	second quarter of FFY 2012										
		Enrollm	ent Status 6	5 months lat	er						
2.	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI										
3.	Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but re-enrolled in title XXI										
	3.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XIX)										+
	during title XXI coverage break										
	(If unable to provide the data, check here )										
4.	Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI										1
	4.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XIX)										
	after being disenrolled from title XXI										
	(If unable to provide the data, check here )										
		Enrollme	nt Status 1	2 months la	ter						
5.	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI										
6.	Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but										
	re-enrolled in title XXI										
	6.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XIX)										
	during title XXI coverage break										
	(If unable to provide the data, check here 🔲)										
7.	Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI										
	7.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XIX)										
	after being disenrolled from title XXI										
	(If unable to provide the data, check here 🔲)										
_		Enrollme	nt Status 1	8 months la	ter		1		T		
8.	Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI										-
9.	Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but re-enrolled in title XXI										
	9.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XIX)										
	during title XXI coverage break										
	(If unable to provide the data, check here 🔲)										
10.	Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI										
	10.aTotal number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XIX)										
	after being disenrolled from title XXI										
	(If unable to provide the data, check here $\Box$ )										

#### **Definitions:**

- a. The "total number of children newly enrolled in title XXI in the second quarter of FFY 2012" is defined as those children either new to public coverage or new to title XXI, in the month before enrollment. Please define your population of "newly enrolled" in the Instructions section.
- b. The total number of children that were continuously enrolled in title XXI for <u>6 months</u> is defined as the sum of: the number of children with birthdates after July 1995, who were newly enrolled in January 2012 and who were continuously enrolled through July 2012 + the number of children with birthdates after August 1995, who were newly enrolled in February 2012 and who were continuously enrolled through August 2012

+ the number of children with birthdates after September 1995, who were newly enrolled in March 2012 and who were continuously enrolled through September 2012

c. The total number who had a break in title XXI coverage during <u>6 months</u> of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage) but were reenrolled in title XXI by the end of the 6 months, is defined as the sum of:

the number of children with birthdates after July 1995, who were newly enrolled in January 2012 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by July 2012

+ the number of children with birthdates after August 1995, who were newly enrolled in February 2012 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by August 2012

+ the number of children with birthdates after September 1995, who were newly enrolled in March 2012 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by September 2012

- 3.a. From the population in #3, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX during their break in coverage.
  - d. The total number who disenrolled from title XXI, <u>6 months</u> after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of: the number of children with birthdates after July 1995, who were newly enrolled in January 2012 and were disenrolled by July 2012
    - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1995, who were newly enrolled in February 2012 and were disenrolled by August 2012
    - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1995, who were newly enrolled in March 2012 and were disenrolled by September 2012

4.a. From the population in #4, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX in the month after their disenrollment from title XXI.

e. The total number of children who were continuously enrolled in title XXI for <u>12 months</u> is defined as the sum of:

the number of children with birthdates after July 1995, who were newly enrolled in January 2012 and were continuously enrolled through January 2013 + the number of children with birthdates after August 1995, who were newly enrolled in February 2012 and were continuously enrolled through February 2013

+ the number of children with birthdates after September 1995, who were newly enrolled in March 2012 and were continuously enrolled through March 2013

f. The total number of children who had a break in title XXI coverage during <u>12 months</u> of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage), but were re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of the 12 months, is defined as the sum of:

the number of children with birthdates after July 1995, who were newly enrolled in January 2012 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XXI by January 2013

+ the number of children with birthdates after August 1995, who were newly enrolled in February 2012 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XXI by February 2013

+ the number of children with birthdates after September 1995, who were newly enrolled in March 2012 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XXI prior to March 2013

6.a. From the population in #6, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX during their break in coverage.

- g. The total number of children who disenrolled from title XXI <u>12 months</u> after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of: the number of children with birthdates after July 1995, who were enrolled in January 2012 and were disenrolled by January 2013
  - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1995, who were enrolled in February 2012 and were disenrolled by February 2013

+ the number of children with birthdates after September 1995, who were enrolled in March 2012 and were disenrolled March 2013

7.a. From the population in #7, provide the total number of children, who were enrolled in title XIX in the month after their disenrollment from title XXI.

h. The total number of children who were continuously enrolled in title XXI for <u>18 months</u> is defined as the sum of:

the number of children with birthdates after July 1995, who were newly enrolled in January 2012 and were continuously enrolled through July 2013 + the number of children with birthdates after August 1995, who were newly enrolled in February 2012 and were continuously enrolled through August 2013

+ the number of children with birthdates after September 1995, who were newly enrolled in March 2012 and were continuously enrolled through September 2013

i. The total number of children who had a break in title XXI coverage during <u>18 months</u> of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage), but were re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of the 18 months, is defined as the sum of:

the number of children with birthdates after July 1995, who were newly enrolled in January 2012 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by July 2013

+ the number of children with birthdates after August 1995, who were newly enrolled in February 2012 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by August 2013

+ the number of children with birthdates after September 1995, who were newly enrolled in March 2012 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by September 2013

9.a. From the population in #9, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX during their break in coverage.

- j. The total number of children who were disenrolled from title XXI <u>18 months</u> after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of: the number of children with birthdates after July 1995, who were newly enrolled in January 2012 and disenrolled by July 2013
  - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1995, who were newly enrolled in February 2012 and disenrolled by August 2013
  - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1995, who were newly enrolled in March 2012 and disenrolled by September 2013

CHIP Annual Report Template – FFY 2011 158

# **D. COST SHARING**

1. Describe how the State tracks cost sharing to ensure enrollees do not pay more than 5 percent aggregate maximum in the year?

Cost sharing is tracked by:

- Enrollees (shoebox method)
- Health Plan(s)
- State

Third Party Administrator

□ N/A (No cost sharing required)

Other, please explain.

If the State uses the shoebox method, please describe informational tools provided to enrollees to track cost sharing.

2. When the family reaches the 5% cap, are premiums, copayments and other cost sharing ceased?

🛛 Yes 🗌 No

- 3. Please describe how providers are notified that no cost sharing should be charged to enrollees exceeding the 5% cap. Massachusetts Eligibility Verification System (EVS) enables providers to recognize no cost sharing is applicable for a member via restrictive messaging that displays upon verification of eligibility.
- 4. Please provide an estimate of the number of children that exceeded the 5 percent cap in the State's CHIP program during the Federal fiscal year.

#### There were 20 children who met the 5% cap in the state's CHIP program

5. Has your State undertaken any assessment of the effects of premiums/enrollment fees on participation in CHIP?

☐ Yes No If so, what have you found?

6. Has your State undertaken any assessment of the effects of cost sharing on utilization of health services in CHIP?

 $\Box$  Yes  $\boxtimes$  No If so, what have you found?

7. If your State has increased or decreased cost sharing in the past Federal Fiscal year, how is the State monitoring the impact of these changes on application, enrollment, disenrollment, and utilization of children's health services in CHIP. If so, what have you found? n/a

# E. EMPLOYER SPONSORED INSURANCE PROGRAM (INCLUDING PREMIUM ASSISTANCE PROGRAM(S)) UNDER THE CHIP STATE PLAN OR A SECTION 1115 TITLE XXI DEMONSTRATION

1. Does your State offer an employer sponsored insurance program (including a premium assistance program) for children and/or adults using Title XXI funds?

Yes, please answer questions below. No, skip to Program Integrity subsection.

#### Children

Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority.

Purchase of Family Coverage under the CHIP State Plan (2105(c)(3))

CHIP Annual Report Template – FFY 2011 159

- Additional Premium Assistance Option under CHIP State Plan (2105(c)(10))
- Section 1115 Demonstration (Title XXI)
- Premium Assistance Option (applicable to Medicaid expansion) children (1906)
- Premium Assistance Option (applicable to Medicaid expansion) children (1906A)

### Adults

- Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each
- authority.
  - Purchase of Family Coverage under the CHIP State Plan (2105(c)(10)
  - Additional Premium Assistance Option under the CHIP State Plan (2105(c)(3)
  - Section 1115 Demonstration (Title XXI)
  - Premium Assistance option under the Medicaid State Plan (1906)
  - Premium Assistance option under the Medicaid State Plan (1906A)
- 2. Please indicate which adults your State covers with premium assistance. (Check all that apply.)
  - Parents and Caretaker Relatives
  - Childless Adults
  - Pregnant Women
- 3. Briefly describe how your program operates (e.g., is your program an employer sponsored insurance program or a premium assistance program. how do you coordinate assistance between the state and/or employer, who receives the subsidy if a subsidy is provided, etc.)

MassHealth Family Assistance maximizes private insurance by providing premium assistance if an uninsured child has access to coverage through employer-based insurance, and only offering direct coverage through MassHealth if there is no other access to health insurance. For all applicants, the Commonwealth performs a health insurance investigation, accessing a comprehensive database. Contact is made with all employed members of the household and their employers to determine if employer-sponsored insurance (ESI) is available that meets the basic benefit level, is cost effective and meets an employer contribution level of 50%. If MassHealth-qualifying ESI is available; applicants may receive premium assistance, but may not receive direct coverage. MassHealth does not allow the family to opt out of ESI in order to obtain direct public coverage. This process controls crowd-out by maximizing the state's opportunity to identify applicants with access to ESI and require enrollment.

For children in families with household incomes below 200% FPL, once access to ESI is confirmed, their parents must enroll in premium assistance or their MassHealth will be at the time of application; households who have dropped health insurance within the past six months are subject to a waiting period from the date of loss of coverage before being allowed to participate in the program.

MassHealth uses a comprehensive health insurance investigation to determine if ESI was dropped prior to application. MassHealth monitors health insurance status of potential members both at the time of application and monthly so that only uninsured children are covered in SCHIP. Insurance status is verified through national insurance databases that identify coverage from any source, including noncustodial parents. MassHealth contracts with Health Management Systems (HMS) which conducts a monthly state and national data match using a

system called "Match MAX" which Identifies health Insurance for all potential members. MassHealth also has a dedicated process to match with a file from the Department of Revenue (DOR) to identify noncustodial parents of applicants and recipients who have court orders for medical support. This process allows us to not only verify existing coverage, but also to enforce the obligation of non-custodial parents by contacting their employers to arrange enrollment of the parent in an employer-sponsored family plan to cover their children

4. What benefit package does the ESI program use?

Secretary approved per the State Plan amendment approved in March 2002

- 5. Are there any minimum coverage requirements for the benefit package?
- 🛛 Yes 🛛 🗌 No

MassHealth requires that the ESI meet the following minimum requirements:

- 1. The employer must contribute at least 50% to the cost of the health insurance premium;
- 2. The offered plan must meet the basic benefit level; and
- 3. Providing premium assistance must be cost effective.

6. Does the program provide wrap-around coverage for benefits?

🛛 Yes 🗌 No

For children enrolled in the Medicaid Expansion, as well as for disabled children enrolled in the Separate Child Health Program, MassHealth provides wrap-around coverage for benefits. For non-disabled children enrolled in the Separate Child Health Program, MassHealth does not provide wrap-around coverage, with the exception of dental, effective October 1, 2009. For all children enrolled in premium assistance, MassHealth will pay cost-sharing for any amounts in excess of 5% of family income, annually.

7. Are there limits on cost sharing for children in your ESI program?

🛛 Yes 🗌 No

In order to meet the cost sharing requirements, out of pocket expenses to the member cannot exceed 5% of the family's income.

8. Are there any limits on cost sharing for adults in your ESI program?

🗌 Yes 🖾 No

9. Are there protections on cost sharing for children (e.g., the 5 percent out-of-pocket maximum) in your premium assistance program?

Yes	🖂 No	If yes, how is the cost sharing tracked to ensure it remains within the 5
percent yea	arly aggreg	ate maximum ?

10. Identify the total number of children and adults enrolled in the ESI program for whom Title XXI funds are used during the reporting period (provide the number of adults enrolled in this program even if they were covered incidentally, i.e., not explicitly covered through a demonstration).

0	
8,568	
29,129	

Number of childless adults ever-enrolled during the reporting period-

Number of adults ever-enrolled during the reporting period Number of children ever-enrolled during the reporting period Please note that this includes both Title 21 and Title 19

11. Provide the average monthly enrollment of children and parents ever enrolled in the premium assistance program during FFY 2011.

Children \_\_\_\_\_ Parents\_\_\_\_\_

Currently, we are unable to answer this question, since the health insurance that MassHealth helps purchase are Family plans. We count covered lives which includes parents and other members in the family that are not MassHealth members.

12. During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your ESI program has experienced?

The greatest challenge for the ESI program continues to be the maintenance of household Information relating to employment and whether health insurance plan benefits meet the qualifying standards for coverage, premiums, and employer and employee contribution amounts.

13. During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your ESI program?

The Premium Assistance Unit continues to make enhancements in order to streamline the current process of processing cases. In order to keep up with the increase in enrollments of the uninsured, improvements were made in how cases are referred, reviewed, and investigated. A newly implemented tracking system has proven very successful in helping us process and collect overpayments more efficiently.

14. What changes have you made or are planning to make in your ESI program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned.

As a result of a State mandate this fiscal year, we are in the process of making changes in the way MassHealth makes payments to members. Effective 1/1/2012, all Premium Assistance payments will be made via Electronic Funds Transfers (EFT) and automatically credited to members designated checking or savings account; Checks will no longer be mailed to members. EFT payments offer cost savings both to the Commonwealth and to the members while also providing highly dependable, efficient and more timely payments.

15. What do you estimate is the impact of your ESI program (including premium assistance) on enrollment and retention of children? How was this measured?

There are several factors that MassHealth looks at when measuring the impact of the ESI program on retention of children. The Premium assistance program allows MassHealth to enroll more members into the program because of the cost savings incurred by helping Medicaid eligible members enroll into

private health insurance. Because MassHealth helps purchase family plans household members that are not Medicaid eligible are also covered. Enrolling families in ESI and private insurance is critical to retention of children in the program. MassHealth analyzes how many policies are purchased in order to determine cost avoidance and cost savings.

16. Identify the total state expenditures for providing coverage under your ESI program during the reporting period.

\$12,455,017

17. Provide the average amount each entity pays towards coverage of the dependent child/parent under your ESI program:

Child	\$279	Parent N/A		
State:	50%	State: N/A		
Employer:	50%	Employer: N/A		
Employee:	\$12-84	Employee: N/A		

18. Indicate the range in the average monthly dollar amount of premium assistance provided by the state on behalf of a child or parent.

Children	Low	\$522.00	High \$1,314
Parent	Low		High N/A

19. If you offer a premium assistance program, what, if any, is the minimum employer contribution?

Employers must contribute at least 50% towards the cost of the insurance premium.

20. Do you have a cost effectiveness test that you apply in determining whether an applicant can receive coverage (e.g., the state's share of a premium assistance payment must be less than or equal to the cost of covering the applicant under SCHIP or Medicaid)?

🛛 Yes 🗌 No

We ensure that the state's share of the Premium assistance is less than or equal to what MassHealth pays to cover a member if that member were enrolled in MassHealth direct coverage.

То

21. Please provide the income levels of the children or families provided premium assistance.

Income level of Children:	150% of FPL	300% of FPL
Income level of Parents:	150% of FPL	300% of FPL

From

Please note: MassHealth premium assistance makes determinations based on household income, rather than that of children.

22. Is there a required period of uninsurance before enrolling in premium assistance?

🛛 Yes 🗌 No

If yes, what is the period of uninsurance? For Families with income between 200%-300% FPL, a 6 month uninsurance requirement applies.

23. Do you have a waiting list for your program?  $\Box$  Yes $\boxtimes$  No

24. Can you cap enrollment for your program? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No

The state has never capped enrollment, but the state plan gives MassHealth the authority to do so if necessary.

25. What strategies has the State found to be effective in reducing administrative barriers to the provision of premium assistance in ESI?

The Premium Assistance's employer database allows us to gather all of the ESI that an employers offer, including the premiums, tiers, all of their health plans, with the summary of benefits. This way when we are processing a member that is employed by an employer that is on the database, we automatically determine them as having access or no access. This database is updated annually, during the open enrollment periods

# F. PROGRAM INTEGRITY (COMPLETE ONLY WITH REGARD TO SEPARATE CHIP PROGRAMS, I.E., THOSE THAT ARE NOT MEDICAID EXPANSIONS)

- 1. Does your state have a <u>written</u> plan that has safeguards and establishes methods and procedures for:
  - (1) prevention:  $\square$  Yes  $\square$  No

(2) investigation;:  $\square$  Yes  $\square$  No

(3) referral of cases of fraud and abuse?  $\square$  Yes  $\square$  No

Please explain: It is important to point out that in Massachusetts Medicaid and CHIP are managed and operated seamlessly as one program component of the broader MassHealth program. Therefore, while there are no separate fraud and abuse activities for CHIP, all methods and procedures employed by the Commonwealth to detect, investigate, and refer cases of fraud and abuse in the MassHealth program are brought to bear on CHIP. In Massachusetts, state staff performs all application, redetermination, matching, case maintenance, and referral processes for all MassHealth programs, including CHIP. All contractual arrangements regarding fraud and abuse activities apply to CHIP as well as Medicaid.

MassHealth emphasizes aggressive management of its front-end program processes to ensure that services provided are medically necessary, provided by qualified health care providers, provided to eligible residents of the Commonwealth, and that payments are appropriately made. Ongoing efforts to combat fraud, waste, and abuse, including utilization management and regular program and clinical review, are central to all program areas. Sophisticated information systems support MassHealth's efforts to detect inappropriate billings before payment is made, and to ensure that eligibility determinations are accurate.

Equally important are mechanisms for detailed reporting and review of claims after bills are paid to identify inappropriate provider behavior, and methods to ensure that MassHealth identifies members whose changed circumstances may affect their continuing eligibility. As with our front-end processes, information systems are a critical component of MassHealth's work to identify and address inappropriate payments. Post-payment activities are an important "second look" and are particularly important to the identification of prosecutable fraud. And when our systems identify potential fraud, MassHealth acts aggressively to pursue the case with the appropriate authorities.

MassHealth has the following documentation regarding established methods and procedures for prevention, investigation, and referral of cases of fraud and abuse:

1) MassHealth Program Integrity Activities Inventory

2) Efforts to Prevent and Identify Fraud, Waste, and Abuse—description and identification of responsible units

3) Provider Compliance activity sheet

4) Utilization Management plan

5) Memorandum of Understanding between the Executive Office of Health and Human Services (EOHHS) and the Office of the Attorney General, Massachusetts Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

6) Interdepartmental Service Agreement between EOHHS and the Department of Revenue (DOR)

7) MassHealth Eligibility Operations Memo 04-04 re: New Member Fraud Referral Process

8) MassHealth Eligibility Operations Memo 01-7 re: Department of Revenue "New Hire" Match

9) MassHealth Eligibility Operations Memo 99-14 re: Annual Eligibility Review Process for Health Care Reform Members on MA-21

10) Contract between EOHHS and MedStat Group to perform Program Integrity gap analysis— deliverables dated June 30, 2005.

11) Recipient Eligibility Verification System (REVS) codes—online system for providers to verify MassHealth eligibility at point of service

12) Managed care contract amendment language specifying program integrity and fraud and abuse prevention, detection, and reporting requirements for health plans contracting with MassHealth

Do managed health care plans with which your program contracts have <u>written</u> plans? Please Explain: Please see response above

2. For the reporting period, please report the

886 Number of hearing appeals of eligibility denials

258 Number of cases found in favor of beneficiary

NOTE: 258 represents the number of hearings that resulted in decisions that either fully or partially favored the beneficiary (i.e. a decision may have resulted in the reinstatement of the recipient's eligibility, without granting the appellant's asserted eligibility start date.)

The actual number of fair hearings held for beneficiaries (886) is only a partial sum of total appeals filed. The vast majority of appeals filed (15,591 for the last federal fiscal year) resulted in dismissals outside of hearings, in which case the majority were dismissed because of a favorable action by the agency toward the beneficiary (reinstatement of eligibility, retroactive adjustments, etc) The agency does not keep track of dismissal reasons, which are outside the purview of the MassHealth Board of Hearings.

3. For the reporting period, please indicate the number of cases investigated, and cases referred, regarding fraud and abuse in the following areas:

**Provider Credentialing** 

84 Number of cases investigated

**0** Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials

**Provider Billing** 

74 Number of cases investigated

16	Number of cases	referred to	appropriate I	aw enforcement	officials
----	-----------------	-------------	---------------	----------------	-----------

**Beneficiary Eligibility** 

609	Number	of cases	investigated
-----	--------	----------	--------------

324 Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials

Are these cases for:

CHIP	

4. Does your state rely on contractors to perform the above functions?

 $\boxtimes$  Yes, please answer question below.

🗌 No

5. If your state relies on contractors to perform the above functions, how does your state provide oversight of those contractors? Please explain: The Provider Compliance Unit, operated within the University of Massachusetts Medical School (UMMS), and managed by the MassHealth Operations Integrity Unit, is our primary post-payment fraud detection unit. Utilizing algorithims and reports found in our data warehouse, and through data analysis, the Provider Compliance Unit reviews paid claims data to detect aberrant trends and outlier billing patterns that can indicate potential fraud. The Provider Compliance Unit, which works closely with Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and our legal staff, meets our federal regulatory obligation to establish a surveillance utilization control system to safeguard against fraudulent, abusive, and inappropriate use of the Medicaid program.

Additionally, EOHHS's Compliance Office works across units engaged in program integrity to coordinate activities, establish unit specific internal control plans and risk assessments, manage external audit activity, coordinate the CMS Payment Error Rate Measurement (PERM), and establish and monitor compliance with information privacy and security requirements.

Our New Medicaid Management Information System (NewMMIS) processes provider claims and contains a significant number of sophisticated edits, rules, and other program integrity checks and balances. As a result, approximately 22% of all claims submitted are denied and 1% are suspended for review or verification. The NewMMIS, completed in May of 2009, has been designed with enhanced Program Integrity capabilities, including expanded functionality to add claims edits as needed in order to keep abreast with the latest trends in aberrant or fraudulent claims submissions. Generally, information systems support to MassHealth remains a significant priority of the Executive Office of Health and Human Services, in large part because of the potential of leveraging technology to combat fraud, waste, and abuse in the Medicaid program. The EOHHS Data Warehouse, for example, is a consolidated repository of claims and eligibility data that provides program and financial managers with the ability to develop standard and ad-hoc management reports.

The Claims Operations Unit manages our claims processing contractor and monitors claims activity weekly. The EOHHS Office of Financial Management organizes a weekly Cash Management Team made up of budget, program, and operations staff that closely monitors the weekly provider claims payroll and compares year-to-date cash spending with budgeted spending by both provider type and budget category. The prior authorization unit ensures that certain services are medically necessary before approving the service. Even more sophisticated measures are in place for the pharmacy program. The Drug Utilization Review program at UMMS monitors and audits pharmacy claims and is designed to prevent early refills, therapeutic duplication, ingredient duplication, and problematic drug-drug interaction. In February 2004, our Managed Care Program instituted required reporting on fraud and abuse protections for all of MassHealth's managed care organizations.

Finally, the MassHealth Operations unit provides close oversight of a contract for customer services to MassHealth members and providers. MassHealth currently employs a single vendor for customer services, responsible for both provider relations and member relations. The integration of these vendor services brings with it many new opportunities in the program integrity area. Our customer services contractor verifies the credentials of all providers applying to participate in our program as well as re-credentialing existing providers and will work closely with the Board of Registration in Medicine, the Division of Professional Licensing, the Department of Public Health, the US Department of Health and Human Services, and the Office of the Inspector General to identify disciplinary actions against enrolled providers.

6. Do you contract with managed care health plans and/or a third party contractor to provide this oversight?

🛛 Yes

🗌 No

Please Explain: The relationship with UMMS as described above is governed by an interagency service agreement (ISA) between the medical school and EOHHS.

# G. DENTAL BENEFITS - Reporting is required in 2010 CARTS

#### Is the State reporting this data in the 2011 CARTS?

Yes If yes, then please complete G1 and G2. No If the State is not reporting data, please explain why.

Explain: [7500]

#### 1. Information on Dental Care for CHIP Children (Include all delivery types, i.e. MCO, PCCM, FFS).

Data for this table are based from the definitions provided on the Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnostic, and Treatment (EPSDT) Report (Form CMS-416)

# a. Annual Dental Participation Table for CHIP Enrolled Children Children (Include children receiving full CHIP benefits and supplemental benefits).

Please check which populations of CHIP children are included in the following table:

Medicaid Expansion

Separate CHIP

X Both Medicaid Expansion and Separate CHIP

State	Age Groups						
FFY	Total	<1	1-2*	3 – 5	6 – 9	10-14	15–18
Total Enrollees Receiving Any Dental Services <sup>1</sup> [7]	77592	1	1461	8717	20234	25886	21293
Total Enrollees Receiving Preventive Dental Services <sup>2</sup> [7]	69798	1	1314	8320	19219	23298	17646
Total Enrollees Receiving Dental Treatment Services <sup>3</sup> [7]	43318	0	205	2740	10216	15915	14242

#### \*Includes 12-month visit

<sup>1</sup>**Total Eligibles Receiving Any Dental Services** - Enter the unduplicated number of children enrolled in CHIP for at least 90 continuous days and receiving at least one dental service by or under the supervision of a dentist as defined by HCPCS codes D0100 - D9999 (CDT codes D0100 - D9999).

<sup>2</sup>Total Eligibles Receiving Preventive Dental Services - Enter the unduplicated number of children enrolled in CHIP for at least 90 continuous days and receiving at least one preventive dental service by or under the supervision of a dentist as defined by HCPCS codes D1000 - D1999 -(CDT codes D1000 - D1999).

<sup>3</sup>Total Eligibles Receiving Dental Treatment Services - Enter the unduplicated number of children enrolled in CHIP for at least 90 continuous days and receiving at least one treatment service by or under the supervision of a dentist, as defined by HCPCS codes D2000 - D9999 (CDT codes D2000 - 09999).

b. For the age grouping that includes children 8 years of age, what is the number of such children who have received a protective sealant on at least one permanent molar tooth<sup>4</sup>?
 [7]

6115 children received a protective sealant on at least one permanent molar tooth.

<sup>4</sup>**Receiving a Sealant on a Permanent Molar Tooth --** Enter the unduplicated number of children enrolled in CHIP for 90 continuous days and in the age category of 6-9 who received a sealant on a permanent molar tooth regardless of whether the sealant was provided by a dentist or a non-dentist, as defined by HCPCS code D1351 (CDT code D1351). Number of 6 -9 yr olds with protective sealant: **6115** 

2. Does the State provide supplemental dental coverage? 
Yes 
No

If yes, how many children are enrolled? \_\_\_\_\_[7]

What percent of the total amount of children have supplemental dental coverage? \_\_\_\_\_[5]

# SECTION IV: PROGRAM FINANCING FOR STATE PLAN

1. Please complete the following table to provide budget information. Describe in narrative any details of your planned use of funds below, including the assumptions on which this budget was based (per member/per month rate, estimated enrollment and source of non-Federal funds). (*Note: This reporting period equals Federal Fiscal Year 2011. If you have a combination program you need only submit one budget; programs do not need to be reported separately.*)

# COST OF APPROVED CHIP PLAN

Benefit Costs	2011	2012	2013	
Insurance payments	\$13,170,421	\$13,444,463	\$13,333,392	
Managed Care	\$249,843,221	\$277,590,760	\$281,965,121	
Fee for Service	\$174,923,484	\$183,199,629	\$191,867,342	
Total Benefit Costs	\$437,937,126	\$474,234,852	\$487,165,855	
(Offsetting beneficiary cost sharing payments)				
Net Benefit Costs				

# **Administration Costs**

Personnel			
General Administration			
Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors)			
Claims Processing			
Outreach/Marketing costs			
Other (e.g., indirect costs)			
Health Services Initiatives			
Total Administration Costs	\$9,709,560	\$10,307,286	\$10,800,088
<b>10% Administrative Cap</b> (net benefit costs ÷ 9)	\$46,355,636	\$50,354,156	\$51,755,855

	\$277,491,682	\$301,271,546	\$309,791,806
Federal Title XXI Share			
	\$149,418,598	\$162,223,140	\$166,810,973
State Share			

	\$426,910,280	\$463,494,686	\$476,602,779
TOTAL COSTS OF APPROVED CHIP PLAN			

2. What were the sources of non-Federal funding used for State match during the reporting period?

State appropriations
 County/local funds
 Employer contributions
 Foundation grants
 Private donations
 Tobacco settlement
 Other (specify) [500]

3. Did you experience a short fall in CHIP funds this year? If so, what is your analysis for why there were not enough Federal CHIP funds for your program? **[1500]** 

There was no short fall in CHIP funds this year.

4. In the table below, enter 1) number of eligibles used to determine per member per month costs for the current year and estimates for the next two years; and, 2) per member per month cost rounded to a whole number. If you have CHIP enrollees in a fee for service program, per member per month cost will be the average cost per month to provide services to these enrollees.

	2011		2012		2013		
	# of eligibles	\$ PMPM	# of eligibles	\$ PMPM	# of eligibles	\$ PMPM	
Managed Care	68,424	\$242.90	75,815	\$252.21	82,056	\$259.26	
Fee for Service	38,537	\$515.73	38,092	\$535.50	35,103	\$550.47	

Enter any Narrative text below. [1500]

Fee for service includes spending on the Primary Care Clinician (PCC) plan

# SECTION V: 1115 DEMONSTRATION WAIVERS (FINANCED BY CHIP)

#### Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions.

2. If you do not have a Demonstration Waiver financed with CHIP funds skip to Section VI. If you do, please complete the following table showing whom you provide coverage to.

C	CHIP Non-HIFA Demonstration Eligibility HIFA Waiver Demonstration Eligibility							
	* Upper % of FPL are defined as <u>Up to and Including</u>							
Children	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL*	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL*		
Parents	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL*	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL*		
Childless Adults	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL*	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL		
Pregnant Women	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL*	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL*		

- 2. Identify the total number of children and adults ever enrolled (an unduplicated enrollment count) in your CHIP demonstration during the reporting period.
  - Number of children ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration
  - Number of parents ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration
    - Number of **pregnant women** ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration
    - Number of **childless adults** ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration (\*Only report for 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter of the FFY)
    - C. What have you found about the impact of covering adults on enrollment, retention, and access to care of children? You are required to evaluate the effectiveness of your demonstration project, so report here on any progress made in this evaluation, specifically as it relates to enrollment, retention, and access to care for children. **[1000]**
    - D. Please provide budget information in the following table for the years in which the demonstration is approved. *Note: This reporting period (Federal Fiscal Year 2011 starts 10/1/2010 and ends 9/30/2011).*

COST PROJECTIONS OF DEMONSTRATION (SECTION 1115 or HIFA)	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #1 (e.g., children)					
Insurance Payments					
Managed care					
per member/per month rate for managed care					
Fee for Service					
Average cost per enrollee in fee for service					
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #1					

# Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #2

(e.g., parents)			
Insurance Payments			
Managed care			
per member/per month rate for managed care			
Fee for Service			
Average cost per enrollee in fee for service			
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #2			

# **Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #3**

## (e.g., pregnant women)

Insurance Payments			
Managed care			
per member/per month rate for managed care			
Fee for Service			
Average cost per enrollee in fee for service			
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3			

# **Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #4**

# (e.g., childless adults)

Insurance Payments			
Managed care			
per member/per month rate for managed care			
Fee for Service			
Average cost per enrollee in fee for service			
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3			

Total Benefit Costs			
(Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)			
Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting			

Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)	Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)					
------------------------------------	------------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--

#### **Administration Costs**

Personnel			
General Administration			
Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors)			
Claims Processing			
Outreach/Marketing costs			
Other (specify)			
Total Administration Costs			
10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)			
Federal Title XXI Share			
State Share			
TOTAL COSTS OF DEMONSTRATION			

When was your budget last updated (please include month, day and year)? [500]

Please provide a description of any assumptions that are included in your calculations. [7500]

Other notes relevant to the budget: [7500]

1. For the reporting period, please provide an overview of your state's political and fiscal environment as it relates to health care for low income, uninsured children and families, and how this environment impacted CHIP.

Massachusetts' 2006 health care reform law was enacted with the goal of moving towards universal insurance by increasing access to affordable health care coverage. In Massachusetts significantly more adults and children have health insurance as a result of our state health reform law. In fact, state and national surveys and studies consistently demonstrate that increasingly nearly all residents in the state are insured. In fact, according to an October 2011 Blue Cross Blue Shield Foundation report (which relies on data from the Current Population Survey (CPS) and the Massachusetts State Division of Health Care Finance and Policy, DHCFP, Massachusetts Health Insurance (HIS) survey), Massachusetts has the lowest rate of uninsurance in the nation among all ages. The most recent state survey found the overall adult uninsured rate to be 1.9%; therefore, 98.1% of Mass residents were covered. This was a significant gain over 2009 when 97.3% of Massachusetts' residents were covered (DHCFP, 2010 Massachusetts HIS). (As previously indicated in this report, the results of the 2011 survey were not available at the time of the submission of this report). The October 2011 Blue Cross Blue Shield Foundation report found that 441,000 more Massachusetts residents have health insurance coverage than before reform. The Affordable Care Act will further increase access to affordable coverage in Massachusetts. Our state reforms provide subsidized coverage for individuals and families with income up to 300% FPL. Starting in 2014, federal reform will offer tax credits for people with incomes up to 400% FPL to purchase insurance through the Insurance Exchange.

Since the end of Federal Fiscal Year 2007, following the passage of state health care reform, the CHIP program (stand-alone and Medicaid expansion) has grown more than 20%. The 2010 state survey illustrates that the overall uninsurance rate for children statewide has continued to drop, estimated to be 0.2% in 2010, and as a result more than 99.8% of children in Massachusetts have health coverage (*DHCFP, 2010 Massachusetts HIS*). In the previous year, 98.1% of children had coverage. (*DHCFP, 2009 Massachusetts HIS*). The results show that health reform and the related coverage expansions and outreach efforts are succeeding in reaching those who need health care.

In fact, since the beginning of Federal Fiscal Year 2008 the MassHealth (Medicaid plus CHIP) caseload increased by over 52,854 children. The 2010 DHCFP data estimates that for those children in households earning less than 150% FPL the uninsurance rate is 0%. The data suggests that the remaining uninsured children in Massachusetts reside in households earning between 150% and 300% of the FPL. Additionally the 2010 US Census Bureau (*2010 CPS*) reports that although the uninsured rate for children across the nation held steady, the uninsurance rate for children in Massachusetts declined.

A September 2010 report by the Urban Institute and the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (RWJF) report (*Uninsured Children: Who Are They and Where Do They Live?*) confirms that nearly every child in the Commonwealth is covered and Massachusetts has the lowest uninsurance rate in the nation. A product of Massachusetts' health care reform has been a consistent and collaborative effort to find and enroll children in health care coverage. According to the 2010 Urban/ RWJF report, Massachusetts continues to be in a leader in insuring children and enrolling eligible children in the state's Medicaid and CHIP programs. According to the report, participation in Massachusetts' Medicaid and CHIP programs is 95.2%- statistically higher than the national average. (Note the participation rate is defined as the ratio

of a state's Medicaid/CHIP enrollment to that number plus uninsured eligible children.) According to the report Massachusetts also led the nation with the lowest rate of Hispanic children without health coverage. Only 2% of Hispanic children in the Commonwealth are without health coverage, compared to nationwide, where more than one in six (17.5 percent) Hispanic children are uninsured.

The Massachusetts CHIP program grew between FFY 2010 and FFY 2011. The stand-alone CHIP program grew nearly 5 %, while the Medicaid expansion population grew more than 3%. (The combined Medicaid and CHIP program grew at about 4.22%)

Substantial support for health reform overall persists despite statewide concerns about healthcare costs and economic conditions. An October 2011 Blue Cross Blue Shield Foundation (BCBSF) report (*Health Reform in Massachusetts, Expanding Access to Health Insurance Coverage* report) indicates that support for health reform has remained high despite state budgetary pressures and the economic recession. The 2011 survey shows that two out of three adults support reform. The 2011 BCBSF report indicates that public support for reform has been relatively stable since 2006, when Massachusetts' state health care reform was enacted. Public opinion of state health reform has remained positive; the 2011 BCBSF report reveals that physician support for reform remains high and that most employers believe health reform has been "good for Massachusetts" and 88% of Massachusetts physicians believe reform improved, or did not affect, care or quality of care.

2. During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your program has experienced?

As in FYY10, the greatest challenge that Massachusetts CHIP program experienced during FFY11 was the severe fiscal environment. It is difficult to find the administrative resources to do the important enrollment simplification and retention work we have planned while also trying to protect the expansions created by our state health reform and accompanying outreach efforts. At the same time, our caseloads are growing due to the economic downtown, leading to increased fiscal constraints.

# 3. During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your program?

In addition to operational enhancements to MassHealth systems, outreach efforts continued to contribute to the steadily declining children's health uninsurance rate and Massachusetts' overall success. In SFY11 the Office of Medicaid's Health Care Reform (HCR) Outreach and Education Unit awarded fifty-one grants statewide to community-based non-profit organizations to increase enrollment in MassHealth and other health insurance programs, as well as provide assistance in helping individuals retain their health insurance coverage through redetermination or other case maintenance processes.

Grantees conduct outreach and provide one-on-one enrollment assistance and redetermination services. The grantees help individuals with the application and enrollment process, help new enrollees understand how to use their health insurance, and educate them on the importance of having their care coordinated through a primary care physician. Grantees also help individuals understand and respond to requests for information from insurers and can also help individuals understand options available to them during open-enrollment. Each of the grantee organizations tailor their programs to meet the needs of the people and regions they serve.

In SFY11, grantees enrolled over 99,404 individuals into MassHealth, Commonwealth Care, Commonwealth Choice, the Health Safety Net and other public health insurance programs available under our state health care reform. Of those enrolled, 21% were children in the MassHealth program. Grantees have also assisted over 61,084 individuals with submitting redetermination paperwork necessary to retain coverage. Of those assisted with redeterminations, 30% were children.

In SFY11, the Office of Medicaid's HCR Unit continued to work closely with the two Massachusetts CHIPRA Outreach grantees – *Health Care For All* and *South End Community Health Center*. The Office of Medicaid verifies enrollment and redetermination data for these two grantees. In addition, the Office of Medicaid has participated in workgroup meetings with both grantees to collaborate on outreach intiatives, discuss what outreach workers are experiencing and finding works well when conducting outreach, and to share resources. One of these recent outreach initiatives included a month long Kids Enrollment Statewide Challenge to find and enroll uninsured children into MassHealth coverage. The event involved 66 community-based organizations statewide, many of which included MassHealth outreach grant organizations, collectively working on this enrollment campaign. The Office of Medicaid participated in the workgroup planning the event and provided data validation support post event. The Statewide Challenge resulted in over 1,479 children being enrolled in MassHealth coverage

In October 2010, EOHHS (The Office of Medicaid) was awarded a Consumer Assistance Program Grant, by the Center for Consumer Information & Insurance Oversight. The Consumer Assistance Program (CAP) was established by the federal Affordable Care Act to help strengthen and enhance existing statebased programs that directly assist consumers with questions or concerns regarding their health care coverage. The Office of Medicaid partnered with two non-profit organizations, *Health Care for All* and *Health Law Advocates* to assist uninsured residents enroll in health coverage; educate consumers about their rights; help consumers file complaints and appeals against health plans; and track consumer complaints to help identify problems and improve enforcement of beneficiaries' rights. In FY11 the CAP Program received an average of 3,000 inquiries a month. A CAP website was created (<u>www.consumerassistance.org</u>) providing resources and information, including various fact sheets on consumer rights and protections under the ACA. Targeted outreach was conducted including dissemination of brochures and postcards to groups such as: banks, physician groups, colleges, and churches. The CAP program handled 150 cases involving private insurance appeals and grievances and received numerous calls from consumers who were seeking to understand their rights under their health insurance plan, particularly their new rights under the ACA.

Massachusetts has continued to work on strategies that improve retention and reduce reliance on paper processing. One accomplishment in 2011 was the implementation of the Electronic Document Management (EDM) system. Previously all mail was directed to the four MassHealth Enrollment Centers (MECs) and assigned to staff for processing. All mail is now redirected one location, the Electronic Document Management Center (EDMC) for scanning and indexing. The EDM system has enabled the use of a statewide workforce where all staff has real-time access to every document. The EDM system also allows for more operational efficiency at the MECs, improves customer service, and has significantly improved the workflow.

Two other accomplishments in 2011 were the implementation of the match with the Social Security Administration to verify citizenship and identity and a match with the state Department of Workforce Development to verify unemployment income and health insurance. These initiatives are designed to improve member retention through increased use of data matching by reducing the need for the members and applicants to submit paper verifications.

# 4. What changes have you made or are planning to make in your CHIP program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned.

MassHealth is in its third year of implementing a *Maximizing Enrollment* grant work plan developed in consultation with the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation and the National Academy for State Health Policy. In 2011 the scope of the grant expanded from increasing enrollment and retention of Medicaid and CHIP children in public health programs to also include implementation of the Affordable Care Act. The grant has continued to provide MassHealth with specific goals to work on including increasing retention, improving the capacity and use of data, improving customer service, and enhancing agency collaboration.

MassHealth is currently engaged with the Department of Transitional Assistance, the state Supplemental Nutrition Assistance (SNAP) agency to conduct an Express Lane Renewal process through data matching. This project will increase retention, increase the use of data, improve customer service, and enhance agency collaboration. Massachusetts is proposing to renew coverage for families with gross monthly income at or below 150% of the federal poverty level who are receiving state subsidized health benefits and SNAP benefits. This process will include both children and adults and will continue to streamline the enrollment and renewal process in Massachusetts. MassHealth is working to implement this Express Lane Renewal option in early 2012.

Through the (EDM) system MassHealth implemented in 2011 MassHealth expects to experience continued workflow improvements. In SFY12, MassHealth through EDM is targeting to have received documents scanned, indexed, and imaged electronically within a 24 -48 hr window for eligibility processing. This window is currently 2-3 day window, so this will provide MassHealth staff even greater real-time access to every document for eligibility processing.

The web-enabled Virtual Gateway continued to be used extensively in SFY11 to expand access to health insurance and health assistance programs to increasing numbers in the community. During SFY11, Virtual Gateway technology continued to reach a rising number of Virtual Gateway users – including MassHealth providers, MassHealth members themselves, state agencies and a growing number of community service organizations - to use the technology of the internet to outreach to numerous individuals and assist them in signing up for health insurance that meets their specific needs. For example, the number of organizations that submitted health insurance and health assistance program applications on the Virtual Gateway increased from 249 in SFY10, to 273 in SFY11.

In addition, SFY11 continued to see a sharp increase in the use of Virtual Gateway features designed to improve member access to and control of their case data, ensuring that coverage does not lag through premature or inappropriate termination of benefits.

For example, there was a continued and sizable increase in the usage of the Virtual Gateway's My Account Page (MAP) function, introduced in SFY08. MAP allows human service providers, with their clients' permission, the ability to view, on the web in real time, their clients' MassHealth, Commonwealth Care and Health Safety Net case information. At the end of SFY11, for example, MAP was processing on average of over 420,000 transactions per month from registered organizational users. MAP has provided members, with the help of their assistors, access to the most accurate and up-to-date application and case information without having to call a MassHealth office, helping to ensure that applicants and members receive the most appropriate benefits as efficiently as possible.

In addition, functionality introduced during SFY10 allowing MassHealth members who are designated "Heads of Households" (the person who signed the application for benefits) to gain access to MAP without the need for third-party assistance to view accurate and up-to-date application and case information without having to call a MassHealth office has proven to be extremely useful to members. From March or 2010, when this expanded access to MAP was introduced, to the end of SFY 11, 47,932, health assistance searches were performed by members who are heads of households.

In SFY12, plans are underway to expand MAP functionality so that human service providers, with their clients' permission, and Heads of Households, will be able to view any eligibility document that has been received by MassHealth and show if it has been "processed" or "unprocessed" by the MassHealth agency. This information will be extremely helpful for advocates, providers, and community service organizations assisting applicants and members, as well as members accessing this information on their own, and result in fewer calls to MassHealth inquiring on the status of such documentation.

Members also continued to use the feature, introduced in SFY09, that allows members themselves to access the same information providers see on MAP by calling a dedicated 24 hour, 7 day a week self-service toll-free phone number. Members hear detailed information about their case status including key eligibility dates, health benefit information and outstanding verifications. Since its introduction in December 2008, and through October 2011, there have been almost 2 million (1,989,395) calls to this service.

Functionality introduced during SFY09 that allows members, with the help of providers, to change, online, basic demographic information through a Virtual Gateway Change Form continues to be used extensively by providers. Since its introduction in December, 2008, and through November 2011, there have been 56,388 changes submitted that in the past would have required a phone call to MassHealth. The Change Form supports continuous coverage by preventing members from being disenrolled due to outdated demographic information. It also may at times result in benefit upgrades, since changes trigger the redetermination of benefits. Finally, the Change Form collects member race and ethnicity information, improving the Commonwealth's ability to measure outcomes and address health disparities. As During SFY10, access to the Change Form was expanded to include the Head of a Household. Since this expanded access was introduced in March of 2010, to the end of SFY 11, 2,464 changes have been submitted by health assistance members.