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ACRONYM LIST

CAM    Compendium of Analytical Methods  
CASN   Chemical Abstracts Service Number  
CCB    Continuing calibration blank  
CCV    Continuing calibration verification  
FLAA   Flame atomic absorption spectrometry  
GFmA   Graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry  
HCl    Hydrochloric acid  
HNO₃   Nitric acid  
LLCV   Low-level calibration verification  
ICB    Initial calibration blank  
ICP-AES Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectrometry  
ICP-MS ICP-Mass Spectrometry  
ICSA/AB Interelement interference check samples  
ICV    Initial calibration verification  
IDP    Initial demonstration of proficiency  
IRAs   Immediate Response Actions  
IS     Internal Standard  
LCS/LCS D Laboratory control sample / Laboratory control sample duplicate  
LR     Linear range  
MassDEP Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection  
MB     Method blank  
MCP    Massachusetts Contingency Plan  
MD     Matrix duplicate  
MS     Matrix spike  
MOHML  Massachusetts Oil and Hazardous Materials List  
%D    Percent difference  
%R     Percent recovery  
r     Correlation coefficient  
RAO   Response Action Outcome  
RQs   Reportable Concentrations  
RL    Reporting limit  
RPD   Relative percent difference  
RQs   Reportable Quantities  
QA    Quality assurance  
QC    Quality control  

UNITS:

\( g \) Gram
\( mg/L \) Milligram per liter
\( mg/Kg \) Milligram per kilogram
\( mL \) Milliliter
\( \mu g/L \) Microgram per liter
\( \mu m \) Micrometer
\( nm \) Nanometer
1.0 Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for WSC-CAM-III D

1.1 Overview of WSC-CAM-III D

WSC-CAM-III D, *Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the Analysis of Trace Metals by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)*, is a component of MassDEP’s Compendium of Analytical Methods (CAM). Effective July 1, 2010, this revised CAM protocol, WSC-CAM-III D, replaces the original Trace Metals CAM document, WSC-CAM-III D (effective date, August 13, 2004). Refer to WSC-CAM-I A for an overview of the CAM process. Please note that while this protocol must be followed on and after the effective date of July 1, 2010 for the purpose of “Presumptive Certainty,” the revised protocol may be used optionally prior to its effective date upon its publication on April 15, 2010.

This document provides Quality Control (QC) requirements and performance standards to be used in conjunction with the required analytical method SW-846 6020A, analysis for trace metals in aqueous and solid samples using inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) preceded by conventional sample preparation methods via SW-846 Methods, as described in Section 1.3 of this protocol. The QC requirements and performance standards specified in this document in Table III D-1 together with the analytical procedures described in EPA SW-846 Method 6020A, *Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry*, constitute the WSC-CAM-III D protocol. All protocols included in the CAM are considered "methods" published by the MassDEP pursuant to the provisions of 310 CMR 40.0017(2). Use of EPA SW-846 6020A is a "Presumptive Certainty" requirement of WSC-CAM-III D. However, it should be noted that if the laboratory utilizes the analytical procedures in SW-846 Method 6020 instead of 6020A, it is acceptable to answer “YES” to Question B on the MassDEP Analytical Protocol Certification Form since there are no analytical procedural differences between 6020 and 6020A. Sample preservation, container and analytical holding time specifications for aqueous, soil, and sediment matrices for Trace Metals analyzed in support of MCP decision-making are presented in Appendix III D-1 of this document and Appendix VII-A of WSC-CAM-VII A Quality Assurance and Quality Control Guidelines for the Acquisition and Reporting of Analytical Data in Support of Response Actions Conducted Under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP). Data reporting requirements are also provided in WSC-CAM-VII A.

Overall usability of data produced using this CAM protocol should be evaluated for compliance with project-specific data quality objectives, regardless of “Presumptive Certainty” status. For more guidance on data usability, refer to MassDEP Policy #WSC-07-350, *MCP Representativeness Evaluations and Data Usability Assessments*.

1.1.1 Reporting Limits for Trace Metals by WSC-CAM-III D

The reporting limit (RL) for an individual analyte using WSC-CAM-III D is dependent on the concentration of the lowest non-zero standard in the initial calibration or the low-level calibration verification (LLCV), analyzed under identical conditions as the sample, with adjustments made for the sample size, preparation factors, percent solids, dilution factors, etc., as required. The CAM RLs for WSC-CAM-III D target analytes are:

- Aqueous samples (surface water, groundwater, and drinking water)
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- 0.5 - 1 µg/L for antimony, arsenic, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, lead, silver, and thallium
- 1 - 10 µg/L for barium, nickel, selenium, vanadium, and zinc

Soil and Sediment samples (assuming 100% solids)
- 0.05 – 0.5 mg/kg for all WSC-CAM-III D target analytes

For “Presumptive Certainty” purposes, if the typical CAM RLs are not achieved, respond “NO” to Question G of the “MassDEP MCP Analytical Protocol Certification Form” and address the CAM RL exceedance in the laboratory narrative.

Reporting limits lower than the above-referenced CAM RLs for WSC-CAM-III D target analytes may be required to satisfy project requirements. The RL (based on the concentration of the lowest calibration standard or the LLCV) for each target metal must be less than or equal to the MCP standards or criteria that the contaminant concentrations are being compared to (e.g., Method 1 Standards, benchmark values, background, etc.). Meeting MCP standards or criteria may require analytical modifications to improve sensitivity. All such modifications must be described in the laboratory narrative.

1.1.2 Initial Demonstration of Proficiency for WSC-CAM-III D

Each laboratory that uses the WSC-CAM-III D protocol is required to operate a formal quality assurance program. The minimum requirements of this program consist of an initial demonstration of laboratory proficiency, ongoing analysis of standards and blanks to confirm acceptable continuing performance, the digestion/analysis of laboratory control samples (LCS) and/or matrix spikes (MS) to assess accuracy and LCS duplicates or matrix duplicates (MD) to assess precision.

Laboratories must document and have on file an Initial Demonstration of Proficiency for each combination of sample preparation and determinative method being used. These data must meet or exceed the performance standards as presented in Table III D-1 of this protocol. Procedural requirements for performing the Initial Demonstration of Proficiency can be found in SW-846 Chapter One, Section 9.4 of SW-846 Method 6020A and in the preparation methods (SW-846 Method 3000 series). The data associated with the Initial Demonstration of Proficiency must be kept on file at the laboratory and made available to potential data users on request. The data associated with the Initial Demonstration of Proficiency for WSC-CAM-III D must include the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QC Element</th>
<th>Performance Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daily Performance Standard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS Tuning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Calibration</td>
<td>See WSC-CAM-III D, Table III D-1, for Performance Criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuing Calibration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method Blanks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent (%) Recovery for LCS &amp; MS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Laboratories are encouraged to actively monitor pertinent performance standards described in Table III D-1 to assess analytical trends (i.e., systematic bias, etc) and improve overall method performance by preempting potential non-conformances.

For the WSC-CAM-III D protocol, laboratory-specific control limits must meet or exceed (demonstrate less variability than) the performance standards for each QC element listed in Table III D-1. It should be noted that the performance standards listed in Table III D-1 are based on multiple-laboratory data, which are in most cases expected to demonstrate more variability than performance standards developed by a single laboratory.

This protocol is restricted to use by, or under the supervision of, analysts who are experienced in using ICP/MS as a quantitative tool for environmental analyses and knowledgeable in the correction of spectral, chemical, and physical interferences described in this method.

1.2 Summary of SW-846 Method 6020A

ICP-MS is used to determine trace elements at sub-µg/L concentrations in solution. The method is applicable for all of the analytes listed in Table III D-2 as well as numerous other elements (refer to Table 1, SW-846 Method 6020A). All aqueous matrices (except filtered groundwater samples) and solid matrices require digestion prior to analysis. Groundwater samples that have been pre-filtered and acidified do not require acid digestion. Samples that are not digested must either use an internal standard or be matrix-matched with the standards.

The method describes multi-elemental determinations by ICP-MS, measuring ions produced by a radio-frequency ICP. Samples are nebulized and the resulting aerosol is transported to the plasma torch and ionized. The ions are introduced into the mass spectrometer where they are sorted according to their mass-to-charge ratios and quantified. Interferences must be assessed and valid corrections applied. Interference corrections must include compensation for background ions contributed by the plasma gas, reagents, and constituents of the sample matrix.

1.3 Sample Digestion/Preparation Methods for WSC-CAM-III D

Samples for analysis by SW-846 Method 6020A must be prepared (digested) to solubilize the sample prior to analysis, except for filtered (dissolved) groundwater samples. Preparation methods for Trace Metals are described in Chapter Three of SW-846 and listed in Appendix III D-4, Methods for Sample Digestion/Preparation for Trace Metals Analyses. When analyzing groundwater samples for dissolved constituents, acid digestion is not necessary if the samples are filtered and acid preserved prior to analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QC Element</th>
<th>Performance Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relative Percent Difference (RPD) for LCS Duplicate or MD Internal Standards</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Instrument QC Samples including: ICSA/AB % recoveries &amp; Dilution Test %D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.4 Method Interferences

Samples submitted to a laboratory for trace metal analysis may become contaminated by numerous routes during both sampling and analysis. Potential sources of contamination may include:

- Metallic or metal-containing containers and sampling equipment,
- Laboratory acids or reagents,
- Improperly cleaned or stored equipment, and
- Atmospheric inputs such as dirt and dust.

Refer to Section 4.0 of SW-846 Method 6020A for further information on method interferences and contamination. Several common interferences and corrective measures are summarized as follows.

- **Isobaric elemental interferences** (described in Section 4.2 of SW-846 Method 6020A) – caused by isotopes of different elements forming atomic ions with the same nominal mass-to-charge ratio. A data system must be used to automatically correct for these interferences by determining the signal for another isotope of the interfering element and subtracting the appropriate signal from the analyte isotope signal.

- **Isobaric molecular interferences and doubly-charged ion interferences** (described in Section 4.3 of SW-846 Method 6020A) – caused by ions consisting of more than one atom or charge, respectively. Isobaric interferences that affect ICP-MS results are identified in the literature. A common example of isobaric molecular interference is from chloride on arsenic (specifically, ArCl⁺ on 75As). Molecular isobaric interferences can be corrected using the natural isotope abundances from the literature. For most commercial ICP-MS instruments, this correction (based on the natural isotope abundances) is automatically performed by the data system. See Section 4.3 of SW-846 Method 6020A for example isobaric corrections and Section 9.7 of SW-846 Method 6020A for further information on isobaric interferences. The adequacy of corrections for isobaric interferences is partly evaluated through the use of the interference check solutions (ICSA and ICSAB, see Table III D-1).

- **Physical interferences** (described in Section 4.5 of SW-846 Method 6020A) – caused by sample viscosity and surface tension effects on the sample nebulization. Samples with high dissolved solids or high acid content can exhibit physical interference. Physical interferences can be minimized by using an internal standard (IS). See Table III D-1 and Section 1.6 of this WSC-CAM-III D protocol for further details on IS requirements.

- **Memory interferences** (described in Section 4.6 of SW-846 Method 6020A) – caused by a high concentration sample contributing to signals measured in a subsequent sample. Memory interferences can be minimized by using rinse blanks for appropriate rinse times between all sample analyses.

- **High salt concentrations** (e.g., seawater samples) – cause analyte signal suppression or enhancement, dependent upon the element. Samples with high salt content can cause both physical interference and isobaric molecular interferences and may require high dilutions and/or alternate preparation procedures for accurate quantitation. See Section 1.6 of this WSC-CAM-III D protocol for further information.
1.5 Quality Control Requirements for WSC-CAM-III D

1.5.1 General QC Requirements

For general quality control procedures for all inorganic methods, including SW-846 Method 6020A, refer to SW-846 Chapter One. General QC procedures to evaluate the instrument’s operation can also be found in SW-846 Chapter One, Section 2.0, and include evaluation of calibrations and performance of sample analyses.

1.5.2 Specific QC Requirements and Performance Standards for WSC-CAM-III D

Specific QC requirements and performance standards for Trace Metals using the WSC-CAM-III D protocol are presented in Table III D-1. Refer to WSC-CAM-VII A for field QC requirements. Note that a project-specific matrix spike (MS) must be performed for target Trace Metals to evaluate accuracy in a solid matrix (soil/sediment) at a frequency of one per 20 samples per matrix. Strict compliance with the QC requirements and performance standards, as well as satisfying the CAM’s other analytical and reporting requirements will provide a data user with “Presumptive Certainty” in support of Response Actions under the MCP. The concept of “Presumptive Certainty” is explained in detail in Section 2.0 of WSC-CAM-VII A.

While optional, parties electing to utilize these protocols will be assured of “Presumptive Certainty” of data acceptance by agency reviewers. In order to achieve “Presumptive Certainty” for analytical data, parties must:

(a) Use the analytical method specified for the selected CAM protocol;
(b) Incorporate all required analytical QC elements specified for the selected CAM protocol;
(c) Implement, as necessary, required corrective actions and analytical response actions for all non-conforming analytical performance standards;
(d) Evaluate and narrate, as necessary, all identified CAM protocol non-compliances; and
(e) Comply with all the reporting requirements specified in WSC-CAM-VII A, including retention of reported and unreported analytical data and information for a period of ten (10) years.

In achieving “Presumptive Certainty” status, parties will be assured that analytical data sets:

✓ Satisfy the broad QA/QC requirements of 310 CMR 40.0017 and 40.0191 regarding the scientific defensibility, precision and accuracy, and reporting of analytical data; and

✓ May be used in a data usability and representativeness assessment, as required in 310 CMR 40.1056(2)(k) for Response Action Outcome (RAO) submittals, consistent with the guidance described in MassDEP Policy #WSC-07-350, MCP Representativeness Evaluations and Data Usability Assessments.
1.6 Special Analytical Considerations for WSC-CAM-III D

- Matrix Spike (MS) Recovery – **A MS is required for WSC-CAM-III D for solid matrices (soil/sediment) at a frequency of one per 20 samples per matrix.** Consistent with USEPA Region I data validation guidance, MassDEP requires rejection of non-detected metals results with <30% recovery in the MS. If the MS recovery is < 30% and non-detected results were found for the affected metal, the laboratory must follow the required corrective actions listed on Table III D-1.
  - Laboratories are not required to monitor whether or not matrix spikes are performed on soil/sediment samples at a frequency of one per 20 samples per matrix. This is the responsibility of the data user.
  - For “Presumptive Certainty” purposes, if the data user does not submit a soil/sediment sample for MS analysis, Question H of the “MassDEP MCP Analytical Protocol Certification Form” must be answered NO and this must be noted in the laboratory narrative.

- RLs, sensitivity, and the optimum and linear concentration ranges of the analytes can vary with the mass spectrometer, matrix, and operating conditions. Table 2 of SW-846 Method 6020A lists the recommended isotopes for quantitation of numerous elements, including all analytes listed in Table III D-2. Trace Metals other than those listed in Table III D-2 may be analyzed by this method if the Initial Demonstration of Proficiency, as described in Section 1.1.2 of this protocol, is demonstrated.

- An appropriate IS is required for each analyte determined by ICP-MS. Recommended internal standards are $^6$Li, $^{45}$Sc, $^{89}$Y, $^{103}$Rh, $^{115}$In, $^{159}$Tb, $^{165}$Ho, and $^{209}$Bi; however, experienced analysts should choose an IS based on mass for the metals of interest. Preparation of the recommended internal standard stock solutions is described in Section 7.4 of SW-846 Method 6020A. The lithium internal standard should have an enriched abundance of $^6$Li, so that interferences from native lithium are minimized. Other elements may need to be used on occasions when samples contain native concentrations of the recommended internal standards. Physical interferences can be minimized by using an IS.

- Dissolved solids, deposited on the nebulizer tip and/or interface skimmers during sample processing, will adversely affect instrument performance. A final total solids concentration below 0.2% (2000 mg/L) is recommended to optimize system performance. Samples with high salt, such as seawater samples, can cause both physical interference and isobaric molecular interferences that may result in significant high or low bias in analytical results. For example, the chloride interference on arsenic can result in arsenic results with a high bias, even when the correction equation described in SW-846 6020A (Section 4.3) is employed. Samples should be diluted to bring the sodium (and other analytes) within the linear range of the instrument. Note, however, that this approach (dilution) may raise the sample-specific reporting limit for analytes of interest above the MCP or data user requirements. Therefore, it is recommended that alternate preparation/extraction methods such as chelation/extraction be used to remove significant salt interference prior to ICP-MS analysis.

- For many applications, SW-846 Method 6020A is the preferred analytical approach for the determination of toxic metals in various environmental media to support ecological risk assessment decisions under the MCP. This method has the requisite sensitivity and flexibility to cost-effectively identify and quantify a wide range of ecologically-significant elements. The data user should consult with the ecological risk assessor to develop data quality objectives for the
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Sampling program that includes contaminants of concern and program-specific reporting limits. Any non-routine modifications to the method must be described in the laboratory narrative.

- Mercury is not included on the standard analyte list for WSC-CAM-III D because of the special requirement for sample digestion and processing necessary to produce valid data. Mercury data based on this WSC-CAM-III D protocol may be used in support of MCP Response Actions, however, if the sample digestion and processing precautions described in SW-846 Method 6020A, Section 11.1 are satisfied, and the overall quality control and performance standards for the protocol are met.

Although Mercury is not required to be reported to obtain “Presumptive Certainty” status for data using the WSC-CAM-III D protocol, it must be given consideration as a contaminant of concern when sites with unknown, uncertain or complex history are assessed for potential contamination associated with “total metals” pursuant to 310 CMR 40.0191. Unless the special precautions can be met for mercury using WSC-CAM-III D as described above, the WSC-CAM-III B protocol, based on SW-846 Methods 7470A and 7471B (cold vapor atomic absorption), should be used for mercury analysis.
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Table III D-1: Specific QC Requirements and Performance Standards for Metals (SW-846 6020A) Using WSC-CAM-III D

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required QC Parameter</th>
<th>Data Quality Objective</th>
<th>Required Performance Standard</th>
<th>Required Deliverable?</th>
<th>Rejection Criteria per WSC-07-350</th>
<th>Required Corrective Action</th>
<th>Required Analytical Response Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Initial Demonstration of Proficiency (IDP)  | Laboratory Analytical Accuracy & Precision | (1) Must be performed prior to using method on samples.  
(2) Must be performed for each matrix.  
(3) Must contain all target analytes.  
(4) Must follow procedures in Section 9.4 of SW-846 6020A and the applicable preparation method (SW-846 3000 series). | No                    | NA                               | Refer to Section 9.4 of SW-846 6020A, the applicable preparation method requirements in SW-846 3000 series methods, and Section 1.1.2 of this protocol. | NA                                |
| Preparation of Samples                      | Accuracy and Representativeness         | (1) All aqueous (except dissolved/filtered groundwaters) and solid samples must be prepared (digested) prior to analysis. See Appendix III D-4 for preparation method references. | No                    | NA                               | NA                                                                                             | NA                                |
| Daily Performance Standard                  | Laboratory Analytical Accuracy          | (1) Frequency: Daily prior to calibration.  
(2) Purpose: check of sample introduction; sensitivity; oxide and double charge interferences. This is a multiple check on instrument performance suggested by the manufacturers.  
(3) Check manufacturer’s requirements for acceptance criteria. | No                    | NA                               | Perform instrument maintenance and re-run standard.                                            | If data are reported from an ICP-MS run in which the Daily Performance Standard exceed manufacturer’s limits, laboratory must narrate why the data are considered valid as sensitivity may be affected. |
| Tuning                                      | Laboratory Analytical Accuracy – Verify Operating Conditions | (1) Frequency: Daily prior to calibration.  
(2) Tuning solution must contain elements representing all of the mass regions of interest (see Section 7.10 of SW-846 6020A).  
(3) Criteria: Mass Calibration <0.1 amu difference from true amu; Resolution <0.9 amu full width at 10% peak height.  
(4) Percent Relative Standard Deviation (RSD) <5%. | No                    | NA                               | Re-optimize instrument operating conditions, re-tune.                                            | Suspend all analyses until tuning non-compliance is rectified. |
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### Table III D-1: Specific QC Requirements and Performance Standards for Metals (SW-846 6020A) Using WSC-CAM-III D

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required QC Parameter</th>
<th>Data Quality Objective</th>
<th>Required Performance Standard</th>
<th>Required Deliverable?</th>
<th>Rejection Criteria per WSC-07-350</th>
<th>Required Corrective Action</th>
<th>Required Analytical Response Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Initial Calibration** | Laboratory Analytical Accuracy | (1) Frequency: Daily following tuning of ICP-MS and prior to sample analysis. Also required if any modifications are made to the sample introduction system or detectors.  
(2) A minimum of 3 non-blank calibration points which may include the RL (LLCV) standard. If LLCV standard is not included in calibration curve, then LLCV QC sample is required (see below). High level standard in calibration defines the upper end of the linear calibration range.  
(3) Minimum of 3 integrations for calibration and sample analyses.  
(4) Linear regression with correlation coefficient \( r > 0.998 \). | No | NA | Perform instrument maintenance as necessary; re-optimize instrument; recalibrate as required by SW-846 6020A. | Suspend all analyses until initial calibration meets criteria. |

| **Initial Calibration Verification (ICV)** | Laboratory Analytical Accuracy | (1) Frequency: Immediately after each initial calibration.  
(2) Prepared using standard source different than used for initial calibration.  
(3) Concentration level near midpoint of curve.  
(4) Must contain all target analytes.  
(5) Percent recoveries must be between 90-110% for each target analyte. | No | NA | (1) Reanalyze ICV; if acceptable, no further action required.  
(2) If reanalysis is still outside of criteria, recalibrate and reanalyze ICV. | Suspend all analyses until ICV meets criteria. |

| **Initial Calibration Blank (ICB)** | Laboratory Analytical Sensitivity (instrument drift & contamination) | (1) Frequency: Immediately after ICV.  
(2) Prepared using same concentration of acids as calibration standards.  
(3) Target analytes must be < RL. | No | NA | (1) Reanalyze ICB; if acceptable, no further action required.  
(2) If reanalysis is still outside of criteria, recalibrate and reanalyze ICV & ICB. | Suspend all analyses until ICB meets criteria. |
## Table III D-1: Specific QC Requirements and Performance Standards for Metals (SW-846 6020A) Using WSC-CAM-III D

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required QC Parameter</th>
<th>Data Quality Objective</th>
<th>Required Performance Standard</th>
<th>Required Deliverable?</th>
<th>Rejection Criteria per WSC-07-350</th>
<th>Required Corrective Action</th>
<th>Required Analytical Response Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Low-Level Calibration Verification (LLCV)   | Laboratory Analytical Sensitivity (verify low end of calibration range/verify RL) | (1) Frequency: Daily prior to sample analysis if initial calibration did not contain a low-level standard at the RL for each target analyte. If initial calibration includes the RL as the low-level standard in the initial calibration curve, then LLCV is not required.  
(2) Pre pared using same source as initial calibration standards.  
(3) Concentration levels must be at the level of the RL for all target analytes.  
(4) Percent recoveries must be 70-130% for all target analytes. | No                    | NA                                                | (1) Reanalyze LLCV; if acceptable, no further action required.  
(2) If reanalysis is still outside of criteria and associated analytes are ≤10x RL in associated field samples, recalibrate and reanalyze LLCV and associated samples.  
(3) If associated analytes are >10x RL in associated field samples, include explanation in laboratory narrative; no further action required. | Suspend all analyses until LLCV meets criteria unless the concentrations of the affected target analytes are >10x RL in the associated field samples. |
| Interference Check Standards (ICSA and ICSAB) | Laboratory Analytical Accuracy (verify adequacy of isobaric interference corrections) | (1) Frequency: Daily prior to sample analysis.  
(2) ICSA and ICSAB must contain known amounts of interfering analytes (see Section 7.7 and Table 1 of SW-846 6020A)  
(3) Percent recoveries must be 80-120% for all target analytes. | No                    | NA                                                | This is a method requirement of SW-846 6020A. No corrective action required because instrument corrections are based on natural isotope abundances that cannot be changed. | Narrate non-compliance. If IS is in compliance, then data are considered acceptable. |
| Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)   | Laboratory Analytical Accuracy | (1) Frequency: Every 10 samples and at the end of the analytical run.  
(2) Pre pared using same source as initial calibration standards.  
(3) Concentration level near midpoint of curve.  
(4) Must contain all target analytes.  
(5) Percent recoveries must be 90-110% for each target analyte. | No                    | NA                                                | (1) Reanalyze CCV; if acceptable, no further action required.  
(2) If reanalysis is still outside of criteria, recalibrate and reanalyze all associated samples since last compliant CCV – unless (3) applies.  
(3) If recovery is high (>110%) and all associated sample results are non-detected, no corrective action required. | If (3) applies, include explanation in laboratory narrative. |
| Continuing Calibration Blank (CCB)          | Laboratory Analytical Sensitivity (instrument drift & | (1) Frequency: Every 10 samples following CCV and at the end of the analytical run.  
(2) Pre pared using same concentration of CCV and at the end of the analytical run. | No                    | NA                                                | (1) Reanalyze CCB; if acceptable, no further action required. | If (3) applies, include explanation in laboratory narrative. |
### Table III D-1: Specific QC Requirements and Performance Standards for Metals (SW-846 6020A) Using WSC-CAM-III D

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required QC Parameter</th>
<th>Data Quality Objective</th>
<th>Required Performance Standard</th>
<th>Required Deliverable?</th>
<th>Rejection Criteria per WSC-07-350(^1)</th>
<th>Required Corrective Action</th>
<th>Required Analytical Response Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Method Blank (MB)</td>
<td>Laboratory Method Sensitivity (contamination evaluation)</td>
<td>acids as calibration standards.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) If reanalysis is still outside of criteria, recalibrate and</td>
<td>If (3) applies, include explanation in laboratory narrative.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3) Target analytes must be &lt;RL.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>reanalyze all associated samples since last compliant CCB – unless (3) applies.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1) Frequency: One per digestion batch of ≤20 field samples.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3) If concentration of contaminant in CCB is &gt;RL but all associated sample results are either non-detected or &gt;10x concentration in CCB, no corrective action required.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) Must be digested with the samples using the same preparation method as the samples.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1) Reanalyze MB; if acceptable, no further action required.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3) Target analytes must be &lt;RL.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) If reanalysis is still outside of criteria, redigest and reanalyze MB and all associated field samples in batch – unless (3) applies.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Aqueous LCS: Recovery &lt;50%; affected analytes in associated samples may be rejected.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>(3) If LCS and LCSD are both outside of criteria, redigest and reanalyze LCS/LCSD and all associated field samples in batch.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)</td>
<td>Laboratory Analytical Accuracy</td>
<td>(1) Frequency: One per digestion batch of ≤20 field samples.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1) Reanalyze LCS; if acceptable, no further action required.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) Must be matrix-matched by digesting with the samples using the same preparation method. CAM requires a solid Standard Reference Material (SRM) be prepared and analyzed with solid field samples as the “solid LCS.” An SRM is a soil or sediment matrix that contains the analytes of interest at known concentrations and with 95% confidence limits.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) If reanalysis is still outside of criteria and LCSD is in-control for same analyte, no corrective action required.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Aqueous LCS: Recovery &lt;50%; affected analytes in associated samples may be rejected.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>(3) If LCS and LCSD are both outside of criteria, redigest and reanalyze LCS/LCSD and all associated field samples in batch.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Notes:**
- **WSC-07-350:** Rejection criteria per WSC-07-350.
- **MB:** Method Blank
- **LCS:** Laboratory Control Sample
- **SRM:** Standard Reference Material
- **RL:** Reporting Limit
- **CCB:** Contaminated Control Bulk
- **CAM:** Contingency Action Matrix
- **ICP-MS:** Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry
- **MCP:** Massachusetts Contingency Plan
### Table III D-1: Specific QC Requirements and Performance Standards for Metals (SW-846 6020A) Using WSC-CAM-III D

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required QC Parameter</th>
<th>Data Quality Objective</th>
<th>Required Performance Standard</th>
<th>Required Deliverable?</th>
<th>Rejection Criteria per WSC-07-350</th>
<th>Required Corrective Action</th>
<th>Required Analytical Response Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LCS Duplicate (LCSD)</td>
<td>Laboratory Accuracy &amp; Precision</td>
<td>(3) Concentration levels for aqueous LCS near midpoint of curve. (4) Must contain all target analytes. (5) Percent recoveries for all target analytes must be 80-120% for aqueous LCS and within vendor control limits (95% confidence limits) for solid LCS.</td>
<td>Yes if no MD</td>
<td>Same as above for LCS for recovery evaluation.</td>
<td>(1) Reanalyze LCSD; if acceptable, no further action required. (2) If reanalysis is still outside of recovery criteria and LCS is in-control for same analyte, no corrective action required. (3) If LCSD and LCS are both outside of recovery criteria, redigest and reanalyze LCS/LCSD and all associated field samples in batch.</td>
<td>Report recovery and RPD exceedances in laboratory narrative.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix Spike (MS)</td>
<td>Method Accuracy in Sample Matrix</td>
<td>(1) Solid Samples (Soil/Sediment) Frequency: One per 20 field samples per matrix, designated by data user on COC or at project set-up. Aqueous Samples Frequency: One per digestion batch of ≤20 field samples per matrix strongly recommended (designated by data user on COC or at</td>
<td>Yes when requested by the data user</td>
<td>Recovery &lt;30%: affects non-detects for affected metal in all associated samples.</td>
<td>(1) Reanalyze MS; if acceptable, no further action required. (2) After reanalysis, if MS recovery is 30-74% or &gt;125% and LCS was in-control, no corrective action is required. (3) If MS recovery is &lt;30% and</td>
<td>Report MS exceedances in laboratory narrative. If redigested due to recoveries &lt;30%, report both sets of sample/MS data.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table III D-1: Specific QC Requirements and Performance Standards for Metals (SW-846 6020A) Using WSC-CAM-III D

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required QC Parameter</th>
<th>Data Quality Objective</th>
<th>Required Performance Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>project set-up).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) Concentration levels near midpoint of curve.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3) Must contain all target analytes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(4) Percent recoveries for all target analytes must be 75-125%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes ONLY when requested by the data user</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Narrate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Report exceedances in laboratory narrative.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Narrate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Report exceedances in laboratory narrative.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Report non-compliance in laboratory narrative.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Matrix Duplicate (MD)**

**Project-Specific**

**Method Precision in Sample Matrix**

(1) Frequency: One per digestion batch of ≤20 field samples per matrix is strongly recommended (designated by data user on COC or at project set-up).
(2) Prepare by digesting and analyzing an additional aliquot of the same field sample used for MS.
(3) RPD for each target analyte must be ≤20 for aqueous and ≤35 for solids.

**Dilution Test**

**Accuracy in Sample Matrix**

(1) Frequency: One per ≤20 field samples per matrix; only if project-specific MS outside of acceptance limits and analyte concentration is >50x RL.
(2) Perform 5x serial dilution on same sample used for MS/MD.
(3) %D of the Sample & Dilution results for target analytes at levels >50x RL must be ±10% for all matrices.

**Internal Standards**

**Analytical Accuracy in Sample Matrix**

(1) Frequency: Internal Standards must be added to each field sample and QC sample.
(2) All Samples: Relative Intensity of IS (in %) must be 70-130% of IS in midpoint standard of the initial calibration curve.
(3) Optimize mass and ionization potential match of IS to elements that will be quantitated by ICP-MS. IS must be within 50 amu of the element. See Section 7.5 of SW-846 6020A for recommended IS elements and further details.

No

(1) Perform dilution and re-analyze until IS criteria are met.
(2) If still not met, terminate analysis, re-calibrate, verify new calibration, and reanalyze affected samples.
(3) If still not met, narrate non-compliance as matrix interference.
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Table III D-1: Specific QC Requirements and Performance Standards for Metals (SW-846 6020A) Using WSC-CAM-III D

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required QC Parameter</th>
<th>Data Quality Objective</th>
<th>Required Performance Standard</th>
<th>Required Deliverable?</th>
<th>Rejection Criteria per WSC-07-350</th>
<th>Required Corrective Action</th>
<th>Required Analytical Response Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Reporting Issues</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>(1) Non-detected values must be reported with the sample-specific RL for each target analyte using all preparation/dilution factors. (2) The laboratory must only report values ≥ the sample-specific RL. (3) Sample concentrations that exceed the highest calibration standard must be diluted and reanalyzed to fall within the linear calibration range, measured at an alternate (less abundant) isotope to fall within the linear range when reanalyzed, or reported with narration. (4) Results for soils/sediments must be reported on a dry-weight basis for comparison to MCP regulatory standards. (5) Results must be reported with 2 or more “significant figures” if ≥ RL. If reporting values below the RL, report with 1 or more “significant figures”. (6) Refer to Appendix III D-1 for chain-of-custody requirements regarding preservation, cooler temperature, and holding times.</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>(1) The performance of dilutions must be documented in the laboratory narrative or on the report form. Unless due to elevated concentrations of target analytes, reasons for dilutions must be explained in the laboratory narrative. (2) If samples are not preserved properly or are not received with an acceptable cooler temperature, note the non-conformances in the laboratory narrative. (3) If samples are digested and/or analyzed outside of the holding time, note the non-conformances in the laboratory narrative. (4) Narrate any additional method non-compliance or sample-specific anomaly.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 As per Appendix IV of MassDEP Policy #WSC-07-350, MCP Representativeness Evaluations and Data Usability Assessments, September 2007, if these results are observed, data users should consider nondetect results as unusable and detected results as estimated with a significant low bias.

2 Reporting protocol for “significant figures” is a policy decision included for standardization and consistency for reporting of results and is not a definition of “significant” in the scientific or mathematical sense.
1.7 Analyte List for WSC-CAM-III D

The MCP analyte list for WSC-CAM-III D includes 13 target Metals as listed in Table III D-2. These include: antimony, arsenic, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, lead, nickel, selenium, silver, thallium, vanadium, and zinc.

It is the responsibility of the data user, in concert with the laboratory, to establish the range and required RL for the target analytes. Sources of various MassDEP standards and criteria are as follows:

- Reportable Quantities (RQs) and Concentrations (RCs) as described in 310 CMR 40.1600, The Massachusetts Oil and Hazardous Materials List (MOHML), in Subpart P of the MCP may be found at the following URL: https://www.mass.gov/site-cleanup-regulations-policies-forms-more.
- An online searchable Oil & Hazardous Materials List of RQs and RCs values may be found at the following URL: https://www.mass.gov/service-details/oil-hazardous-material-list.
- An updated list of MCP Method 1 Standards may be found at the following URL: https://www.mass.gov/site-cleanup-regulations-policies-forms-more.

The target Metals listed on Table III D-2 have promulgated MCP Method 1 groundwater/soil standards.

1.7.1 Analyte List Reporting Requirements for WSC-CAM-III D

While it is not necessary to request and report all the WSC-CAM-III D analytes listed in Table III D-2 to obtain “Presumptive Certainty” status, it is necessary to document use and reporting of a reduced analyte list, for site characterization and data representativeness considerations. MassDEP strongly recommends use of the full analyte list during the initial stages of site investigations, and/or at sites with an unknown or complicated history of uses of oil or hazardous materials. These assessment activities may include but are not limited to:

- Immediate Response Actions (IRAs) performed in accordance with 310 CMR 40.0410;
- Initial Site Investigation Activities performed in accordance with 310 CMR 40.0405(1);
- Phase I Initial Site Investigation Activities performed in accordance with 310 CMR 40.0480 through 40.0483; and
- Phase II Comprehensive Site Investigation Activities performed in accordance with 310 CMR 40.0830

In some cases, the use of the full analyte list for a chosen analytical method may not be necessary, with respect to data representativeness concerns, including:

- Sites where substantial site/use history information is available to rule-out all but a limited number of contaminants of concern, and where use of the full analyte list would significantly increase investigative costs; or
- Well-characterized sites where initial full-analyte list testing efforts have sufficiently narrowed the list of contaminants of concern.
Note: a data user who avoids detection and quantitation of a contaminant that is present or likely present at a site above background levels by limiting an analyte list could be found in criminal violation of MGL c. 21E or any regulations or orders adopted or issued thereunder.

In cases where a reduced list of analytes is requested, laboratories must still employ the specified QC requirements and performance standards in WSC-CAM-III D to obtain “Presumptive Certainty” status.
### Table III D-2: Analyte List for WSC-CAM-III D (SW-846 6020A)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>CASN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antimony</td>
<td>7440360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>7440382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium</td>
<td>7440393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beryllium</td>
<td>7440417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>7440439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (Total)</td>
<td>7440473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>7439921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>7440020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>7782492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>7440224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thallium</td>
<td>7440280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanadium</td>
<td>7440622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>7440666</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CASN** – Chemical Abstracts Service Numbers

**NOTE:** Other Trace Metals may also be analyzed using the WSC-CAM-III D protocol but are not considered part of the CAM target analyte list.
2.0 Data Usability Assessment

Specific guidance applicable to all Class A, B or C RAO Statements, including partial RAOs, for preparation of Representativeness Evaluations and Data Usability Assessments pursuant to 310 CMR 40.1056(2)(k) of the MCP is provided in *MCP Representativeness Evaluations and Data Usability Assessments* (Policy #WSC-07-350). This document provides general information regarding the purpose and content of these required evaluations as a component of and in support of an RAO submittal. The most current version of this document may be found at the following URL: [https://www.mass.gov/site-cleanup-regulations-policies-forms-more](https://www.mass.gov/site-cleanup-regulations-policies-forms-more).

Overall usability of data produced using this CAM protocol should be evaluated for compliance with project-specific data objectives using MassDEP Policy #WSC-07-350, regardless of “Presumptive Certainty” status.

3.0 Reporting Requirements for WSC-CAM-III D

3.1 General Reporting Requirements for WSC-CAM-III D

General environmental laboratory reporting requirements for analytical data used in support of assessment and evaluation decisions at MCP disposal sites are presented in WSC-CAM-VII A, Section 2.4. This guidance document provides limited recommendations for field QC, as well as the required content of the laboratory report, which includes:

- Laboratory identification information,
- Analytical results and supporting information,
- Sample- and batch-specific QC information,
- Laboratory Report Certification Statement,
- Copy of the Analytical Protocol Certification Form,
- Laboratory narrative contents, and
- Chain-of-custody form requirements.

3.2 Specific Reporting Requirements for WSC-CAM-III D

Specific QC requirements and performance standards for WSC-CAM-III D are presented in Table III D-1. Specific reporting requirements for WSC-CAM-III D are summarized below in Table III D-3 as “Required Analytical Deliverables (YES)”. These routine reporting requirements must always be included as part of the laboratory deliverable for this method. It should be noted that although certain items are not specified as “Required Analytical Deliverables (NO)”, these data must be available for review during an audit and may also be requested on a client-specific basis.

Soil and sediment results must be reported on a dry-weight basis. Refer to ASTM Method D2216, Determination of Moisture Content of Soils and Sediments, for more detailed analytical and equipment specifications.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Required Analytical Deliverable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daily Performance Standard</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuning</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Calibration</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Calibration Verification (ICV)</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Calibration Blank (ICB)</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-Level Calibration Verification (LLCV)</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuing Calibration Blank (CCB)</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interference Check Standards (ICS A and AB)</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method Blank</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCS Duplicate</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix Spike (MS)</td>
<td>YES (if requested by data user)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix Duplicate (MD)</td>
<td>YES (if requested by data user)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilution Test</td>
<td>YES (if MS requested by data user)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Standards</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification and Quantitation</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Reporting Issues</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2.2 Sample Dilution

Under circumstances that sample dilution is required because the concentration of one or more of the target or non-target metals exceeds the concentration of the respective highest calibration standard, the RL for the affected metal must be adjusted (increased) in direct proportion to the Dilution Factor (DF).

The revised RL for the diluted sample, $RL_d$:

$$RL_d = DF \times \text{Lowest Calibration Standard for target metal}$$

It should be understood that samples with elevated RLs as a result of a dilution may not be able to satisfy MCP standards/criteria in some cases if the $RL_d$ is greater than the applicable MCP standard or criterion to which the concentration is being compared. Such increases in RLs are the unavoidable but acceptable consequence of sample dilution that enable quantification of target analytes which exceed the calibration range. All dilutions must be fully documented in the laboratory narrative.
Appendix III D-1

Sample Collection, Preservation, and Handling Procedures for Trace Metals Analyses

Sample preservation, container and analytical holding time specifications for aqueous, soil, and sediment matrices for Trace Metals analyzed in support of MCP decision-making are summarized below and presented in Appendix VII A-1 of WSC-CAM-VII A, *Quality Assurance and Quality Control Guidelines for the Acquisition and Reporting of Analytical Data Conducted in Support of Response Actions Conducted Under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)*. Additional guidance may be found in SW-846, Chapter Three.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Container¹</th>
<th>Preservation²</th>
<th>Holding Time ³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aqueous Total Metals</td>
<td>500 mL Polyethylene Bottle</td>
<td>HNO₃ to pH &lt;2</td>
<td>180 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqueous Dissolved Metals</td>
<td>500 mL Polyethylene Bottle</td>
<td>Filter (0.45 µm) on site or at the laboratory (prior to acid preservation) within 24 hours of collection; then preserve with HNO₃ to pH &lt;2</td>
<td>180 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil and Sediments</td>
<td>4-ounce glass jar with teflon-lined cap</td>
<td>Cool to ≤ 6°C³</td>
<td>180 days⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentrated Waste</td>
<td>125 mL wide mouth glass or plastic</td>
<td>Cool to ≤ 6°C³</td>
<td>180 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹The collection of multiple sample containers per sample location may be required to collect enough sample for matrix QC.

²Holding time begins from time of sample collection. As per Appendix IV of MassDEP Policy #WSC-07-350. MCP Representativeness Evaluations and Data Usability Assessments, September 2007, if the holding time is exceeded by >2x, data users should consider non-detect results as unusable and detected results as estimated (low bias). Note: The holding time is for the target Trace Metals CAM list of 13 metals, not including mercury (mercury holding time is 28 days; analyze by alternate method).

³SW-846 does not require preservation for total metals (other than mercury) in solid samples; however, as a practical consideration since one sample container is generally collected for solid samples for all total metals analyses, preservation (cooling ≤ 6°C) for this CAM protocol has been defined.

⁴Alternatively, soil and sediment samples for Metals analyses may be held for up to one (1) year if frozen within 24 hours of collection at < -10°C. Sampling container should only be filled to 2/3 of capacity to avoid breakage caused by expansion during freezing. Preparation must commence within 24 hours of thawing. Temperature must never be allowed to go below -20°C to avoid damage to container seals and breakage.

⁵If samples were received by the laboratory on the same day of collection and were stored and transported to the laboratory on ice, cooler temperatures above 6°C are acceptable.
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Data Deliverable Requirements for Data Audits
If requested by MassDEP, submission of the information listed below may be required to perform a data audit to verify compliance with the analytical methods and to evaluate accuracy and reliability of the reported results. These deliverables represent a “full data package” including all sample documentation from receipt through preparation, analysis, and data reporting. The laboratory must ensure that these deliverables are available, in the event a data audit is performed. The laboratory is required to retain these deliverables for a period of 10 years from the date generated.

### Deliverable Requirements for Data Audits

WSC-CAM-III D (Trace Metals by ICP-MS: SW-846 6020A)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deliverable Requirements</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory Narrative</td>
<td>Must comply with the required laboratory narrative contents as described in WSC-CAM-VII A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Handling Information</td>
<td>Chain-of-custody (external and internal), sample receipt logs (cooler temperatures and sample pH), correspondences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Logs</td>
<td>Dry weight logs; Analytical logs; Freezer logs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Calibration Data</td>
<td>Raw instrument data for initial calibration, including calculation of linear or non-linear regression, correlation coefficients, or coefficients of determination; Concentrations of calibration standards used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Calibration Verification and Initial Calibration Blank Data</td>
<td>Percent recoveries for all ICVs; ICV source &amp; true value; Results and raw instrument data for ICV and ICB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-Level Calibration Verification, Continuing Calibration Verification, and Continuing Calibration Blank Data</td>
<td>Percent recoveries for all LLCV and CCV; LLCV and CCV source &amp; true value; Results and raw instrument data for LLCV, CCV, and CCB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interelement Interference Check</td>
<td>Percent recoveries for all ICSA/AB; results and raw instrument data for ICSA/AB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Results</td>
<td>Sample result forms with dilution factors, units, reporting limits, method reference, date of preparation, date of analysis; Raw instrument data; Percent solids results; Sample preparation logs (initial and final weights/volumes; preparation method reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method Blank Results</td>
<td>Method Blank results, units, reporting limits; Raw instrument data; Preparation logs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCS/LCS Duplicate Results and/or SRM results</td>
<td>Summary of results, including concentrations detected, concentrations spiked or known (vendor limits) if SRM, percent recoveries and RPDs; Raw instrument data; Preparation logs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DELIVERABLE REQUIREMENTS FOR DATA AUDITS</th>
<th>WSC-CAM-III D (Trace Metals by ICP-MS: SW-846 6020A)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MS Results – if analyzed</strong></td>
<td>Summary of results, project-specific sample ID, unspiked sample concentration, concentration detected, concentration spiked, percent recoveries and RPDs; Raw instrument data; Preparation logs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MD Results – if analyzed</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Internal Standard Results</strong></td>
<td>Summary of IS results for all data (samples and QC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MS Tune Data</strong></td>
<td>MS tune raw data and summary of tune results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix III D-3

Analysis Sequence for Trace Metals by WSC-CAM-III D

Typical analytical sequence for Trace Metals by ICP-MS using WSC-CAM-III D:

- Daily Performance Standard
- MS Tune
- Initial Calibration
- ICV
- ICB
- LLCV – only required if initial calibration curve does not have a low-level standard at the level of the RL
- Interference Check Standards (ICSA/AB)
- MB
- LCS
- LCSD – only required if not performing a project-specific MD
- 7 samples – include the project-specific MS and/or MD if applicable, plus Dilution test sample, if applicable
- CCV
- CCB
- 10 samples
- CCV
- CCB
- Etc. (continue 10 samples and CCV/CCB pairs)
- CCV – ending
- CCB – ending
### Appendix III D-4

Methods for Sample Digestion/Preparation for Trace Metals Analyses

---

Quality Assurance and Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the Analysis of Trace Metals by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)
## Methods for Sample Digestion/Preparation for Trace Metals Analyses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SW-846 Digestion/Preparation Method</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Title/Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3005A</td>
<td>Aqueous: Surface Water/ Groundwater</td>
<td>Acid Digestion of Waters for Total Recoverable or Dissolved Metals for Analysis by FLAA or ICP Spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3010A</td>
<td>Aqueous: Surface Water/ Groundwater/ Mobility-procedure extracts/ aqueous waste</td>
<td>Acid Digestion of Aqueous Samples and Extracts for Total Metals for Analysis by FLAA or ICP Spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3015A</td>
<td>Aqueous: Drinking Water/ Surface Water/ Groundwater/ Mobility-procedure extracts/ aqueous waste</td>
<td>Microwave Assisted Acid Digestion of Aqueous Samples and Extracts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3031</td>
<td>Solid: Oily Waste/Tar/ Wax/Paint/ Petroleum Product</td>
<td>Acid Digestion of Oils for Metals Analysis by Atomic Absorption or ICP Spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3040A</td>
<td>Solid: Oil/Grease/Wax</td>
<td>Dissolution Procedure for Oils, Greases, or Waxes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3050B</td>
<td>Solid: Soil/Sediment/ Sludges</td>
<td>Acid Digestion of Sediments, Sludges, and Soils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3051A</td>
<td>Solid: Soil/Sediment/ Sludge/Oil</td>
<td>Microwave Assisted Acid Digestion of Sediments, Sludges, Soils, and Oils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3052</td>
<td>Solid: Biological Tissue/Oil/Ash Soil/Sediment/ Sludge</td>
<td>Microwave Assisted Acid Digestion of Siliceous and Organically Based Matrices</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>